

SHEAVES OF NONCOMMUTATIVE SMOOTH AND HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THE NON-ABELIAN TWO-DIMENSIONAL LIE ALGEBRA

O. YU. ARISTOV

ABSTRACT. Dosi and, quite recently, the author showed that, on the character space of a nilpotent Lie algebra, there exists a sheaf of Fréchet–Arens–Michael algebras (of noncommutative holomorphic functions in the complex case and of noncommutative smooth functions in the real case). We construct similar sheaves (both versions, holomorphic and smooth) on a special space of representations for the Lie algebra of the group of affine transformations of the real line (which is the simplest nonnilpotent solvable Lie algebra).

With any triangular Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over $\mathbb{R}$ a Fréchet–Arens–Michael algebra is associated, whose elements can be regarded as noncommutative C^∞ functions (see the author’s paper [1]). In [1], the author considered a local version of this algebra in the case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent (by analogy with algebras of noncommutative holomorphic functions defined by Dosi in [2] and [3]). Namely, for each open subset V of the character space of $\mathfrak{g}$, the multiplication in the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ can be extended to a Fréchet–Arens–Michael algebra of polynomial growth (it is denoted by $C_\mathfrak{g}^\infty(V)$), and there arises a sheaf of algebras of this type (which are noncommutative if $\mathfrak{g}$ is non-Abelian) [1, Theorems 5.1 and 5.5]. In a similar way, a sheaf of noncommutative holomorphic functions for a nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ is constructed (see [3, Sec. 5.1, pp. 119–120], where certain constraints on $\mathfrak{g}$ are imposed, and [1, Sec. 6], where the general case is considered).

However, the definition in the form given in [1] cannot be applied to nonnilpotent triangular Lie algebras. It is easy to check that, even for the simplest nonnilpotent triangular Lie algebra $\mathfrak{af}_1$ (of the group of affine transformations of the real line), the multiplication in $U(\mathfrak{af}_1)$ does not generally extend to a continuous operation on the required space [1, Sec. 5.2]. The same is true of noncommutative holomorphic functions.

In this paper, we propose a method for overcoming this difficulty in the case of $\mathfrak{af}_1$. We consider a topological space consisting of special indecomposable representations of $\mathfrak{af}_1$ (the set of characters is its proper subset) and construct a Fréchet–Arens–Michael algebra $C_{\mathfrak{af}_1}^\infty(V)$ of polynomial growth for each open set V in this space. The proof that it belongs to the required class uses curious algebras of triangular matrices; see Sec. 1. As a result, we obtain a sheaf (Theorem 4), which can be called a sheaf of noncommutative smooth functions by analogy with the Abelian case. In a similar way, we construct a sheaf $\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{g}(-)$ of noncommutative holomorphic functions for the complexification of the algebra $\mathfrak{af}_1$ (Theorem 7). The results obtained for this simplest algebra give hope for the existence of sheaves for any triangular Lie algebras.

On the used classes of algebras. Polynomial growth is the most important property of both the globally defined algebra $C_\mathfrak{g}^\infty$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a triangular Lie $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra, and the

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research under grant 19-01-00447.

locally defined algebras $C_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\infty}(V)$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent. In particular, $C_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\infty}$ has a universal property in the class of Banach algebras of polynomial growth [1, Theorem 4.4]. This is also true in the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{af}_1$. Our first objective is to specify locally defined C^{∞} algebras for this case. It is natural to expect that they must be of polynomial growth, and this is indeed so (Theorem 3).

Recall that a locally convex algebra (here and in what follows, all algebras are assumed to be unital) over $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ is called an *Arens–Michael algebra* if its topology is determined by a family of submultiplicative prenorms (i.e., prenorms for which $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$). In other words, it is a projective limit of Banach algebras (see [4]). Following [1, Definition 2.6], we say that an Arens–Michael $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra B has *polynomial growth* if, for any $b \in B$ and any continuous submultiplicative prenorm $\|\cdot\|$ on B there exists a $K > 0$ and an $\alpha \geq 0$ such that

$$\|e^{isb}\| \leq K(1 + |s|)^{\alpha} \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(here $\|\cdot\|$ is extended to the complexification). In particular, such are the Banach algebras $C^n[a, b]$ of n -times continuously differentiable functions for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the Arens–Michael algebras $C^{\infty}[a, b]$ of infinitely differentiable functions, and their generalizations. The basic properties of algebras of polynomial growth can be found in [1] and [5].

The algebras of noncommutative C^{∞} functions defined in [1] were introduced by analogy with the algebras of noncommutative holomorphic functions considered previously by Dosi in [2] and [3] for nilpotent Lie $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras $\mathfrak{g}$, namely, with the globally defined algebra $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and the locally defined algebras $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V)$. In [1], the definition of $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ was generalized to arbitrary finite-dimensional solvable Lie $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras (they are automatically triangular). Note that, in the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{af}_1$, the corresponding algebra $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{af}_1}$ has the universal property in the class of all Banach algebras, i.e., is the Arens–Michael envelope; however, this is a mere coincidence (this does not hold for arbitrary $\mathfrak{g}$).

In [1, Remark 6.8], it was mentioned that the algebra $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V)$ for a nilpotent $\mathfrak{g}$ and the algebra $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ in the general case are projective limits of Banach algebras satisfying a polynomial identity. Moreover, we can restrict the set of polynomial identities, because, as essentially shown in [1], these algebras are locally solvable Arens–Michael algebras. (We say that an associative algebra is *solvable* if it is solvable as a Lie algebra, and we say that an Arens–Michael algebra is *locally solvable* if it is a projective limit of Banach solvable algebras.) Note also that any Banach $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra of polynomial growth is solvable.

As in the case of algebras of noncommutative smooth functions, it is natural to expect that the properties of algebras $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{af}_1}(V)$ defined in (10) are similar to those in the nilpotent case. Indeed, the $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{af}_1}(V)$ are locally solvable Arens–Michael algebras (see Theorems 5 and 7).

1. ALGEBRAS OF TRIANGULAR MATRICES

In this section, we define certain algebras of triangular matrices whose entries belong to the spaces of infinitely smooth functions and of holomorphic functions (with domains depending on the position in the matrix) and show that they are Arens–Michael algebras of polynomial growth in the case of the field $\mathbb{R}$ and locally solvable in the case of $\mathbb{C}$. These algebras play an auxiliary role and are used in the proofs of the main theorems of Sec. 2, but they may also be of independent interest.

The C^{∞} -version: polynomial growth. Let T_p denote the algebra of upper triangular (including diagonal) real matrices of order p , where $p \in \mathbb{N}$. In [1, Theorem 2.12], it was

shown that, for any $p, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and any open set W in $\mathbb{R}^m$, the algebra $C^\infty(W, T_p)$ of matrix-valued functions is of polynomial growth. This algebra can be identified with the algebra $T_p(C^\infty(W))$ of triangular matrices with entries in $C^\infty(W)$. We need the following generalization.

We consider tuples

$$\underline{K} = \{K_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq p\}$$

of compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^m$ such that the interior of each K_{ij} is dense. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_p^m$ the family of all such sets satisfying the condition $K_{ik} \subset K_{ij} \cap K_{jk}$ for all admissible indices. Given $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, by $T_p(C^n(\underline{K}))$ we denote the vector space of upper triangular matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} & \cdots & & f_{1p} \\ & f_{22} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & f_{p-1,p-1} & f_{p-1,p} \\ & & & & f_{pp} \end{pmatrix},$$

in which the functions f_{ij} belong to different spaces, namely, $f_{ij} \in C^n(K_{ij})$. The set K_{ij} is allowed to be empty; in this case, we set $C^n(K_{ij}) = 0$. The space $T_p(C^n(\underline{K}))$ treated as a Cartesian product of function spaces is a Banach space.

Let $\underline{K} \in \mathcal{C}_p^m$. To simplify notation, for any $f \in C^n(K_{ij})$ and $g \in C^n(K_{jk})$, we denote the product of the restrictions of these functions to K_{ik} by fg . Obviously, $fg \in C^n(K_{ik})$. We define multiplication in $T_p(C^n(\underline{K}))$ by the standard formula: the product of matrices $f = (f_{ij})$ and $g = (g_{jk})$ is the matrix with entries $h_{ik} = \sum_j f_{ij}g_{jk}$. The associativity of multiplication is obvious.

Proposition 1. *If $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $p, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\underline{K} \in \mathcal{C}_p^m$, then $T_p(C^n(\underline{K}))$ is a Banach $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra of polynomial growth.*

Proof. It is easy to see that multiplication in $T_p(C^n(\underline{K}))$ is continuous; therefore, this is a Banach algebra. Consider the following extension of Banach algebras:

$$0 \longleftarrow C^n(K_{11}) \times \cdots \times C^n(K_{pp}) \longleftarrow T_p(C^n(\underline{K})) \longleftarrow I \longleftarrow 0,$$

where I is the ideal of matrices with zero diagonal. Obviously, it is split and nilpotent. Since the class of Banach $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras of polynomial growth is stable with respect to the passage to closed subalgebras and finite Cartesian products [1, Proposition 2.11] and all $C^n(K_{jj})$ are of polynomial growth [1, Proposition 2.13], it follows that $C^n(K_{11}) \times \cdots \times C^n(K_{pp})$ is of polynomial growth as well. Thus, $T_p(C^n(\underline{K}))$ is a split nilpotent extension of a Banach $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra of polynomial growth; according to [1, Theorem 2.14], it also has this property. $\square$

Now let $\underline{W} = \{W_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq p\}$ be a tuple of open subsets $\mathbb{R}^m$ such that $W_{ik} \subset W_{ij} \cap W_{jk}$ for all admissible indices. Consider the vector space $T_p(C^\infty(\underline{W}))$ of upper triangular matrices (h_{ij}) , where $h_{ij} \in C^\infty(W_{ij})$ for all $i \leq j$; we endow it with the same multiplication as above and the product topology.

Theorem 1. *Let $p, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\underline{W} = \{W_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq p\}$ be a tuple of open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^m$ such that $W_{ik} \subset W_{ij} \cap W_{jk}$ for all admissible indices. Then $T_p(C^\infty(\underline{W}))$ is the projective limit of Banach algebras of the form $T_p(C^n(\underline{K}))$, where $\underline{K} \in \mathcal{C}_p^m$ and $\underline{K} \subset \underline{W}$ (that is, $K_{ij} \subset W_{ij}$ for all admissible indices) and, therefore, a Fréchet–Arens–Michael $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra of polynomial growth.*

Proof. By Proposition 1, it suffices to prove the first assertion, i.e., that $T_p(C^\infty(\underline{W}))$ is the projective limit of Banach algebras of the form $T_p(C^n(\underline{K}))$, where $\underline{K} \in \mathcal{C}_p^m$ and $\underline{K} \subset \underline{W}$.

Note that the sets $\underline{K}$ of compact subsets satisfying the conditions $\underline{K} \in \mathcal{C}_p^m$ and $\underline{K} \subset \underline{W}$ form a net with respect to inclusion. Indeed, the first condition is preserved because

$$K_{ik} \cup K'_{ik} \subset (K_{ij} \cup K'_{ij}) \cap (K_{jk} \cup K'_{jk})$$

if $K_{ik} \subset K_{ij} \cap K_{jk}$ and $K'_{ik} \subset K'_{ij} \cap K'_{jk}$, and the preservation of the second is obvious.

If $\underline{K} \subset \underline{K}'$ and $n' \geq n$, we have a continuous homomorphism

$$T_p(C^{n'}(\underline{K}')) \rightarrow T_p(C^n(\underline{K})).$$

There arises the projective system of Banach algebras

$$(T_p(C^n(\underline{K})): \underline{K} \in \mathcal{C}_p^m, \underline{K} \subset \underline{W}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+).$$

On the other hand, $T_p(C^\infty(\underline{W}))$ is the projective limit of spaces of the form $T_p(C^n(\underline{K}))$, where $\underline{K} \subset \underline{W}$, in the category of Banach spaces. Thus, to complete the proof, it suffices, given any $\underline{K} \subset \underline{W}$, to find a $\underline{K}' \in \mathcal{C}_p^m$ for which $\underline{K} \subset \underline{K}' \subset \underline{W}$.

First, note that, for fixed i' and k' satisfying the inequality $i' \leq k'$ and any $x \in W_{i'k'}$, there exists a $\underline{K} \subset \underline{W}$ such that $x \in K_{i'k'}$ and $\underline{K} \in \mathcal{C}_p^m$. Indeed, we have $x \in W_{i'j} \cap W_{jk'}$ for all j satisfying the condition $i' \leq j \leq k'$. Hence there exists a ball S of nonzero radius centered at x which is contained in all $W_{i'j}$ and $W_{jk'}$ for these j . We set $K_{ik} := S$ if $i' \leq i \leq k \leq k'$ and $K_{ik} := \emptyset$ otherwise. It is easy to check that the required condition $K_{ik} \subset K_{ij} \cap K_{jk}$ holds for all admissible indices, i.e., $\underline{K} \in \mathcal{C}_p^m$.

Consider the topological space $\coprod_{i \leq j} W_{ij}$ (disjoint union) and its compact subset $\coprod_{i \leq j} K_{ij}$. According to what was proved above, there exists a family $(\underline{K}_\alpha)$ such that $\bigcup_\alpha \underline{K}_\alpha = \underline{W}$ and $\underline{K}_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_p^m$ for each α . Since $\coprod_{i \leq j} K_{ij}$ is compact and each set in $(\underline{K}_\alpha)$ is a neighborhood, we can assume that this family is finite. Since $\mathcal{C}_p^m$ is a net, it follows that, passing to the union, we obtain the required $\underline{K}'$. $\square$

The holomorphic version: locally solvable algebras. Now we turn to algebras of triangular matrices composed of holomorphic functions.

We consider tuples $\underline{K} = \{K_{ij}: 1 \leq i \leq j \leq p\}$ of compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}^m$ and tuples

$$\underline{W} = \{W_{ij}: 1 \leq i \leq j \leq p\}$$

of open subsets of $\mathbb{C}^m$ such that $W_{ik} \subset W_{ij} \cap W_{jk}$ for all admissible indices. (The notation $\mathcal{C}_p^m$ is used for the family of compact subsets satisfying the same conditions as in the real case except the density of interior.)

Let $A(K_{ij})$ denote the Banach algebra of functions holomorphic inside K_{ij} and continuous on the closure of K_{ij} (endowed with the max-norm). Then $T_p(A(\underline{K}))$ is a Banach space.

Proposition 2. *If $p, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\underline{K} \in \mathcal{C}_p^m$, then $T_p(A(\underline{K}))$ is a Banach solvable algebra.*

Proof. Since multiplication in $T_p(A(\underline{K}))$ is continuous, it follows that this is a Banach algebra. It is easy to see that the subspace $[T_p(A(\underline{K})), T_p(A(\underline{K}))]$ is nilpotent, and hence this algebra is solvable. $\square$

Consider the vector space $T_p(\mathcal{O}(\underline{W}))$ of upper triangular matrices (f_{ij}) in which every f_{ij} belongs to the space $\mathcal{O}(W_{ij})$ of holomorphic functions; we endow it with the same multiplication as above and with the product topology.

Theorem 2. *Let $p, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\underline{W} = \{W_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq p\}$ be a tuple of open subsets of $\mathbb{C}^m$ such that $W_{ik} \subset W_{ij} \cap W_{jk}$ for all admissible indices. Then $T_p(\mathcal{O}(\underline{W}))$ is the projective limit of Banach algebras of the form $T_p(A(\underline{K}))$, where $\underline{K} \in \mathcal{C}_p^m$ and $\underline{K} \subset \underline{W}$; therefore, this is a locally solvable Fréchet–Arens–Michael algebra.*

The proof of this theorem is similar to that of Theorem 1 but uses Proposition 2 instead of Proposition 1.

2. LOCALLY DEFINED ALGEBRAS OF NONCOMMUTATIVE FUNCTIONS AND SHEAVES

Let $\mathfrak{af}_1$ denote the two-dimensional Lie algebra (real or complex, depending on the context) with basis e_1, e_2 and multiplication defined by $[e_1, e_2] = e_2$. In this section, we define a topological space consisting of special indecomposable representations of $\mathfrak{af}_1$ and show that, for each open set V , we can define a Fréchet–Arens–Michael algebra $C_{\mathfrak{af}_1}^\infty(V)$ of polynomial growth in the real case and a locally solvable Fréchet–Arens–Michael algebra $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{af}_1}(V)$ in the complex case. We also prove the main results of this paper, namely, that the corresponding functors determine sheaves (Theorems 4 and 7).

The sheaf of noncommutative C^∞ functions. In the case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a nilpotent Lie $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra and V is an open subset of its character space, multiplication in the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ continuously extends to $C_{\mathfrak{g}}^\infty(V) := C^\infty(V)^{\mathbb{Z}_+}$ (see [1, Theorem 5.1]). For the algebra $\mathfrak{af}_1$, which is not nilpotent, the definition must be modified. The idea is to consider the product of spaces of the form $C^\infty(V_q)$, where the open sets V_q are subject to certain relations, instead of the power of $C^\infty(V)$.

Consider the following family of indecomposable representations of the algebra $\mathfrak{af}_1$:

$$\sigma_{r,q}(e_1) := X_q + r \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{r,q}(e_2) := Y_q \quad (r \in \mathbb{R}, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+),$$

where

$$X_q := \begin{pmatrix} q & & & \\ & q-1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_q := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

(For brevity, we identify finite-dimensional operators with their matrices.)

Let us denote the set $\{\sigma_{r,q} : r \in \mathbb{R}, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ by $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}$ and endow it with a topology as follows. Consider the shift operation on the family of all subsets of $\mathbb{R}$:

$$X + \mu := \{x + \mu : x \in X\} \quad (X \subset \mathbb{R}, \mu \in \mathbb{R}).$$

We declare a subset of $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}$ to be open if it is of the form

$$\bigcup_{q=0}^{\infty} \{\sigma_{r,q} : r \in V_q\}, \quad (2)$$

where $(V_q)_{q \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a sequence of open subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying the condition

$$V_q \cap (V_q + 1) \supset V_{q+1} \quad (q \in \mathbb{Z}_+); \quad (3)$$

in particular, the sequence (V_q) is nonincreasing. (The shift by 1 appears because this number is an eigenvalue of the operator $\text{ad } e_1$ in the adjoint representation.) What we obtain is indeed a topology, because the shift commutes with the intersection and union

operations. (Note that this topology is not Hausdorff, because any open set containing $\sigma_{r,q+1}$ contains also $\sigma_{r,q}$ and $\sigma_{r-1,q}$.)

For an open subset V of $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}$ represented in the form (2), we set

$$C_{\mathfrak{af}_1}^{\infty}(V) := \prod_{q=0}^{\infty} C^{\infty}(V_q). \quad (4)$$

(Note that if V_q is empty for some q , then $C^{\infty}(V_q)$ is the zero algebra. Obviously, then the algebras for larger q are zero as well; in this case, the product can be regarded to be finite.)

In the case where $V = \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}$, we have $V_q = \mathbb{R}$ for all q . The space $C_{\mathfrak{af}_1}^{\infty}(\Omega_{\mathbb{R}})$ coincides with the space $C_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\infty}$ defined in [1] (for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{af}_1$).

Writing the elements of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{af}_1)$ in the form $a = \sum_j f_j(e_1)e_2^j$, where f_j belongs to the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{R}[\lambda]$, we obtain the linear map

$$U(\mathfrak{af}_1) \rightarrow C_{\mathfrak{af}_1}^{\infty}(V): a \mapsto (f_q). \quad (5)$$

Theorem 3. *Let V be an open subset of $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then multiplication in $U(\mathfrak{af}_1)$ extends to a continuous multiplication in $C_{\mathfrak{af}_1}^{\infty}(V)$. Moreover, $C_{\mathfrak{af}_1}^{\infty}(V)$ with this multiplication is a projective limit of Banach $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras of polynomial growth and, therefore, a Fréchet–Arens–Michael algebra of polynomial growth.*

Proof. We argue as in [1, Example 4.7] with the only difference that, instead of $T_{q+1}(C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ we use an algebra of the form $T_{q+1}(C^{\infty}(\underline{W}))$ with a special $\underline{W}$.

Let $\mathbb{R}[\lambda; T_{q+1}]$ denote the algebra of matrix-valued polynomials. For each $q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, consider the homomorphism

$$\tilde{\pi}_q: U(\mathfrak{af}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[\lambda; T_{q+1}], \quad \tilde{\pi}_q(a) := (\lambda \mapsto \sigma_{\lambda,q}(a)).$$

According to the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem, any element of $U(\mathfrak{af}_1)$ can be represented in the form $a = \sum_j f_j(e_1)e_2^j$. It is easy to check that

$$[\tilde{\pi}_q(a)](\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} f_0(\lambda+q) & f_1(\lambda+q) & \cdots & f_q(\lambda+q) \\ & f_0(\lambda+q-1) & & \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & f_0(\lambda+1) & f_1(\lambda+1) \\ & & & & f_0(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

We set

$$W_{ij}^{q+1} := V_{j-i} - q - 1 + i \quad (1 \leq i \leq j \leq q+1), \quad (7)$$

and

$$\underline{W}_{(q+1)} := \{W_{ij}^{q+1} : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq q+1\}.$$

Obviously $\underline{W}_{(q+1)}$ is a tuple of open subsets of $\mathbb{R}$.

Let us check that $W_{ik}^{q+1} \subset W_{ij}^{q+1} \cap W_{jk}^{q+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq k \leq q+1$. It follows from (3) that $V_{k-i} \subset V_{j-i}$ and $V_{k-i} \subset V_{k-j} + j - i$ (because $k - j = (k - i) - (j - i)$). Therefore,

$$W_{ik}^{q+1} = V_{k-i} - q - 1 + i \subset (V_{j-i} - q - 1 + i) \cap (V_{k-j} - q - 1 + j) = W_{ij}^{q+1} \cap W_{jk}^{q+1}.$$

Thus, $T_{q+1}(C^{\infty}(\underline{W}_{(q+1)}))$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1 and, therefore, is a projective limit of Banach $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras of polynomial growth and a Fréchet algebra.

Increasing the range of each $\tilde{\pi}_q$ to $T_{q+1}(C^\infty(\underline{W}_{(q+1)}))$, we can consider the homomorphism

$$\rho_V : U(\mathfrak{af}_1) \rightarrow \prod_{q=0}^{\infty} T_{q+1}(C^\infty(\underline{W}_{(q+1)})) : a \mapsto (\tilde{\pi}_q(a)).$$

Note that $U(\mathfrak{af}_1)$ is a dense subspace of $C_{\mathfrak{af}_1}^\infty(V)$ (because, by Weierstrass' theorem on approximation of continuously differentiable functions, the polynomial algebra is dense in each $C^\infty(V_q)$). Since the class of Fréchet algebras is stable with respect to the passage to countable Cartesian products and closed subalgebras and the same is true of the class of projective limits of Banach algebras of polynomial growth [1, Proposition 2.11], it follows that, to complete the proof, it suffices to show that ρ_V is continuous and topologically injective (with respect to the restriction of the topology of $C_{\mathfrak{af}_1}^\infty(V)$ to $U(\mathfrak{af}_1)$).

First, we prove the continuity. To this end, we need to show that the homomorphism $U(\mathfrak{af}_1) \rightarrow T_{q+1}(C^\infty(\underline{W}_{(q+1)}))$ is continuous for each q . Recall that the topology of $C^\infty(U)$, where U is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$, is determined by the prenorms

$$|f|_{K,n} := \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m, |\beta|=n} |f^{(\beta)}|_{K,0}, \quad \text{where } |f|_{K,0} := \max_{x \in K} |f(x)|,$$

$n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and K is any compact subset of U . (Here $|\beta| := \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_m$ for $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ and $f^{(\beta)}$ denotes the partial derivative of the function f .)

On the one hand, the topology of $T_{q+1}(C^\infty(\underline{W}_{(q+1)}))$ is determined by the prenorms

$$h \mapsto |h_{ij}|_{K_{ij},n}, \quad h = (h_{ij}) \in T_{q+1}(C^\infty(\underline{W}_{(q+1)})), \quad (8)$$

where $1 \leq i \leq j \leq q+1$, K_{ij} is a compact subset of W_{ij} , and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. On the other hand, the topology of $U(\mathfrak{af}_1)$, which is inherited from $C_{\mathfrak{af}_1}^\infty(V)$, is determined by the prenorms

$$a \mapsto |f_p|_{M,l} \quad (p, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+, M \subset V_p \text{ is compact}). \quad (9)$$

Estimating the matrix elements in (6), we obtain

$$|\tilde{\pi}_q(a)_{ij}|_{K_{ij},n} \leq |f_{j-i}|_{K_{ij}+q+1-i,n} \quad (i \leq j)$$

for each $a \in U(\mathfrak{af}_1)$. Therefore, to prove the continuity of ρ_V , it suffices, given any set $\underline{K}$ of compact subsets such that $\underline{K} \subset \underline{W}_{(q+1)}$, to find compact sets $M_k \subset V_k$, $k = 0, \dots, q$, such that $K_{ij} + q + 1 - i \subset M_{j-i}$ for $i \leq j$. Since

$$K_{ij} + q + 1 - i \subset W_{ik}^{q+1} + q + 1 - i = V_{j-i},$$

it follows that the $M_k := \bigcup_{j-i=k} K_{ij}$ are as required. This proves the continuity.

Now we show that ρ_V is topologically injective. To this end, we must estimate each prenorm in (9) (for given $p, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and a compact subset M of V_p) in terms of prenorms of the form (8). According to (7), we have $W_{1,p+1}^{p+1} := V_p - p$. Therefore, $M - p \subset W_{1,p+1}^{p+1}$. Now consider the homomorphism $\tilde{\pi}_p$. Since f_p corresponds to the upper right corner entry in (6) (with $q = p$), it follows that

$$|f_p|_{M,l} = |(\tilde{\pi}_p(a))_{1,p+1}|_{M-p,l}.$$

Hence ρ is topologically injective, which completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Recall that a *sheaf of Fréchet spaces* (*Fréchet algebras*) is defined as the presheaf of Fréchet spaces (Fréchet algebras) which turns into a sheaf of sets after the application of the forgetting functor (see the discussion in [1, Remark 5.4]). In what follows, sheaves in subcategories of Fréchet algebras are understood in the same sense.

Let V and W be open subsets of $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}$, and let $W \subset V$. Then the topology on $U(\mathfrak{af}_1)$, which is determined by the embedding $U(\mathfrak{af}_1) \rightarrow C_{\mathfrak{af}_1}^\infty(V)$, is finer than that determined by the embedding $U(\mathfrak{af}_1) \rightarrow C_{\mathfrak{af}_1}^\infty(W)$. Since both algebras are completions of $U(\mathfrak{af}_1)$, we have a continuous homomorphism $\tau_{VW}: C_{\mathfrak{af}_1}^\infty(V) \rightarrow C_{\mathfrak{af}_1}^\infty(W)$.

Theorem 4. (cf. [1, Theorem 5.5]) *The correspondences*

$$V \mapsto C_{\mathfrak{af}_1}^\infty(V) \quad \text{and} \quad (W \subset V) \mapsto \tau_{VW}$$

determine a sheaf of Fréchet–Arens–Michael $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras of polynomial growth on $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proof. The correspondence under consideration is a contravariant functor from the category of open subsets of $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}$ to the category of Fréchet–Arens–Michael $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras of polynomial growth, i.e., we have a presheaf. By definition (see (4)) for each open set $V \subset \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}$, the Fréchet space $C_{\mathfrak{af}_1}^\infty(V)$ is the countable product of the spaces $C^\infty(V_p)$. The gluing axiom for C^∞ functions on $\mathbb{R}$ implies the gluing axiom for the presheaf of sets on $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}$. $\square$

The sheaf of noncommutative holomorphic functions. In this section, we consider the complexification of the real Lie algebra discussed above; to simplify notation, we denote it by the same symbol $\mathfrak{af}_1$. The basic constructions for it are the same as in the real case. In particular, we need the family $\{\sigma_{\lambda,q}: \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ of representations of the form (1); we denote it by $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}$. We declare a subset of $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}$ to be open if it has the form (2), where $(V_q)_{q \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a sequence of open subsets of $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying condition (3).

An important difference from the case of C^∞ functions is that the polynomial algebra is not necessarily dense in the algebra of holomorphic functions on an open set. However, this constraint is of technical character and can easily be overcome.

For an open set V in $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}$ of the form (2), we put

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{af}_1}(V) := \prod_{q=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}(V_q), \quad (10)$$

where $\mathcal{O}(V_q)$ denotes the algebra of holomorphic functions. In particular, the Fréchet space $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{af}_1}(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}})$ coincides with the space $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{af}_1}$ defined in [1, Sec. 6].

Let $\mathcal{B}$ denote the family of all open subsets $V = (V_q)$ of $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) there is a $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that the sets V_q are empty if $q > p$;
- (2) for each $q = 0, \dots, p$, there exists an $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that V_q is the union of open disks of radius ε centered at $\sigma_{\lambda,q}, \dots, \sigma_{\lambda-p+q,q}$ (in particular, V_p is a disk centered at $\sigma_{\lambda,p}$).

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{B}$ is a base for the topology of $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Lemma 1. *If V belongs to $\mathcal{B}$, then the image of the linear map $U(\mathfrak{af}_1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{af}_1}(V)$ defined by (5) is dense.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the image of the map $\mathbb{C}[\lambda] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(V_q)$ is dense for each q . Since V belongs to $\mathcal{B}$, it follows that V_q is either the empty set or a union of disjoint open disks in $\mathbb{C}$. Thus, the required assertion readily follows from Mergelyan’s theorem (see, e.g., [6, p. 386, Theorem 20.5]). $\square$

The three theorems concluding the paper are similar to Theorems 6.2, 6.5, and 6.6 in [1].

Theorem 5. *Let $V = (V_q)$ be an open subset of $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that the polynomial algebra is dense in $\mathcal{O}(V_q)$ for each q (e.g., $V \in \mathcal{B}$). Then the multiplication in $U(\mathfrak{af}_1)$ can be extended to a continuous multiplication in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{af}_1}(V)$. With respect to this multiplication, $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{af}_1}(V)$ is a locally solvable Fréchet–Arens–Michael $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra.*

We need the following proposition, which is verified directly.

Proposition 3. *The class of locally solvable Arens–Michael algebras is stable with respect to the passage to Cartesian products and closed subalgebras.*

Proof of Theorem 5. This theorem is proved by the same argument as Theorem 3 with minor modifications. We consider the homomorphism

$$U(\mathfrak{af}_1) \rightarrow \prod_{q=0}^{\infty} T_{q+1}(\mathcal{O}(\underline{W}_{(q+1)})) : a \mapsto (\tilde{\pi}_q(a)),$$

where $\underline{W}_{(q+1)}$ is defined in the same way as previously. To check that the algebra $T_{q+1}(\mathcal{O}(\underline{W}_{(q+1)}))$ is a locally solvable Fréchet–Arens–Michael algebra, we use Theorem 2 instead of Theorem 1. By assumption, the homomorphism has dense image, and according to Proposition 3 it suffices to check the continuity and topological injectivity. These properties can be proved in the same way as in Theorem 3, because all estimates used in the proof involve only uniform norms (without derivatives). $\square$

If V and W belong to $\mathcal{B}$ and $W \subset V$, then we have the continuous homomorphism $\tau_{VW} : \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{af}_1}(V) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{af}_1}(W)$.

Theorem 6. *On $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}$, the correspondences*

$$V \mapsto \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{af}_1}(V) \quad \text{and} \quad (W \subset V) \mapsto \tau_{VW}$$

determine a sheaf of locally solvable Fréchet–Arens–Michael $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras on the topology base $\mathcal{B}$.

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4 with the obvious replacement of the sheaf of smooth functions by the sheaf of holomorphic functions and of the family of all open sets by the topology base.

Theorem 7. *For each open subset V of $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}$, there exists a multiplication with respect to which $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{af}_1}(V)$ is a locally solvable Fréchet–Arens–Michael algebra. In the case where the polynomial algebra is dense in $\mathcal{O}(V)$, this multiplication is a continuous extension of that in $U(\mathfrak{af}_1)$. Moreover, the correspondences in Theorem 6 determine the sheaf $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{af}_1}(-)$ of locally solvable Fréchet–Arens–Michael $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras on $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}$.*

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 6.6 in [1], we show that $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{af}_1}(-)$ is an extension of the sheaf on the base $\mathcal{B}$ constructed in Theorem 6. In particular, it turns out to be a sheaf of Fréchet–Arens–Michael algebras. The local solvability follows from Proposition 3. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Yu. Aristov, *Functions of class C^∞ in non-commuting variables in the context of triangular Lie algebras*, Izv. RAN. Ser. Mat. 86:6 (2022), 5–46 (in Russian), English trans. arXiv:2103.06143.
- [2] A. A. Dosiev (Dosi), *Formally-radical functions in elements of a nilpotent Lie algebra and noncommutative localizations*, Algebra Colloq., 17, Sp. Iss. 1 (2010), 749–788.

- [3] A. A. Dosi, *Taylor functional calculus for supernilpotent Lie algebra of operators*, Journal of Operator Theory, 63:1 (2010), 191–216.
 - [4] A. Ya. Khelemskii, *Banach and Polynormed Algebras: General Theory, Representations, Homology* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993).
 - [5] O. Yu. Aristov, *Envelopes in the class of Banach algebras of polynomial growth and C^∞ -functions of a finite number of free variables*, Preprint in Russian (2021).
 - [6] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis* (McGraw-Hill Book, New York, 1987).
- Email address:* `aristovoyu@inbox.ru`