FINITELY STAR REGULAR DOMAINS (*)

A. MIMOUNI

ABSTRACT. Let *R* be an integral domain, *Star*(*R*) the set of all star operations on *R* and *StarFC*(*R*) the set of all star operations of finite type on *R*. Then *R* is said to be star regular if $|Star(T)| \leq |Star(R)|$ for every overring *T* of *R*. In this paper we introduce the notion of finitely star regular domain as an integral domain *R* such that $|StarFC(T)| \leq |StarFC(R)|$ for each overring *T* of *R*. First, we show that the notions of star regular and finitely star regular domains are completely different and do not imply each other. Next, we extend/generalize well-known results on star regularity in Noetherian and Prüfer contexts to finitely star regularity. Also we handle the finite star regular domains issued from classical pullback constructions to construct finitely star regular domains that are not star regular and enriches the literature with a such class of domains.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let *R* be an integral domain with quotient field *L*, *F*(*R*) the set of nonzero fractional ideals of *R* and *f*(*R*) the set of nonzero finitely generated fractional ideals of *R*. A mapping $*: F(R) \rightarrow F(R), E \mapsto E^*$, is called a star operation on *R* if the following conditions hold for all $a \in L \setminus \{0\}$ and $E, F \in F(R)$:

- (I) $(aE)^* = aE^*;$
- (II) $E \subseteq E^*$; if $E \subseteq F$, then $E^* \subseteq F^*$; and
- (III) $(E^*)^* = E^*$.

The simplest star operations are the *d*-operation defined by $E^d = E$ for every $E \in F(R)$, and the *v*-operation defined by $E^v = (E^{-1})^{-1}$ (where $E^{-1} = (R : E) = \{x \in L | xE \subseteq R\}$) for every $E \in F(R)$. A star operation * is said to be of finite type (or of finite character) if for each nonzero (fractional) ideal *E* of *R*, $E^* = \bigcup F^*$ where the union is taken over all nonzero finitely generated subideals *F* of *E*. Also a star operation is stable if $(E \cap F)^* = E^* \cap F^*$ for each $E, F \in F(R)$. To any star operation * on *R*, we associate a star operation of finite type $*_f$ and a stable star operation of finite type $*_w$ by setting respectively $E^{*f} = \bigcup \{F^* | F \in f(R), F \subseteq E\}$ and $E^{*w} = \bigcup \{(E : F) | F \in f(R), F^* = R\}$. Notice that $v_f = t$ and $t_w = w$. For star operations * and *' on $R, * \le *'$ provided that $E^* \subseteq E^{*'}$ for every $E \in F(R)$. Clearly $d \le w \le t \le v$ and for every star operation * on $R, d \le * \le v$ and $d \le *_f \le t$. We denote by $\operatorname{Star}(R)$ the set of all star operations on *R*

Recently, motivated by well-known characterizations of integrally closed and Noetherian divisorial domains [22, 38], the author of this paper, together with E. Houston and M. H. Park, started a long and deep study of some ring-theoretic properties of integral domains having only finitely many star operations in different contexts of integral domains. Namely, complete characterizations are given in the cases of

Date: November 6, 2021.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 13A15, 13A18, 13F05, 13G05, 13C20.

^(*) Supported by KFUPM under DSR Research Grant #: RG161001.

local Notherian domains with infinite residue field and integrally closed domains see [25, 26, 27, 28, 29] (see also [11, 30, 24, 44], and [46, 47]).

In [28], the authors studied, for a Noetherian domain *R*, how |Star(R)| affects |Star(T)| for each proper overing *T* of *R* with the emphasis on the case where Star(R) is finite. They introduced the notion of a star regular domain as a domain *R* such that $|Star(T)| \leq |Star(R)|$ for each overring *T* of *R*. Notice that a Noetherian domain *R* (which is not a field) with finitely many star operations has Krull dimension one. The authors constructed a Noetherian domain *R* with |Star(R)| = 1 (equivalently, *R* is a divisorial domain), but having an overring *T* with $|Star(T)| = \infty$. Next, they showed that for a one-dimensional Noetherian domain *R*, if *R* is locally star regular, then it is star regular, and the converse holds if Star(R) is finte. They conjectured that "if *R* is a local Noetherian domain with $1 < |Star(R)| < \infty$, then *R* is star regular", and proved that this conjecture holds if *R* has infinite residue field. They also considered the question of whether finiteness of Star(R), and showed that this occurred when R is non-local.

In [29], the authors investigated star regular domains in the context of Prüfer domains. They showed that star regularity for Prüfer domains with only finitely many star operations reduces to star regularity of Prüfer domains *R* possessing a nonzero prime ideal *P* contained in the Jacobson radical of *R* such that Spec(R/P)is finite ([29, Theorem 3.1]). More precisely, they proved that if *R* is a semi-local Prüfer domain with more than one maximal ideal such that R/P is strongly discrete (where *P* is the largest prime ideal contained in the Jacobson radical of *R*), then *R* is star regular ([29, Theorem 3.11]).

In [34], the authors investigated some ring-theoretic properties of certain classes of integral domains with only finitely many star operations of finite type. Several generalizations/analogues of well-known results on integral domains with finitely many star operations were extended to integral domains with finitely many star operations of finite type. Namely in Noetherian-like settings such us Mori domains, pullback constructions and more.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study the notion of finitely star regular domains, that is, integral domains R such that $|StarFC(T)| \leq |StarFC(R)|$ for each overring T of R. The class of finitely star regular domains includes the class of Prüfer domains (since |StarFC(R)| = 1 for every Prüfer domain R), and coincides with the class of star domains in the Neotherian context. Motivated by the fact that Prüfer domains are finitely star regular domains, but not always star regular, in section 2, we start by showing that the two notions of star and finitely star regular domains are completely different and do not imply each other, (see Example 2.3, Example 2.4, and Example 2.5). Next, we extend [26, Theorem 2.3] to a one-dimensional domain of finite character (i.e., every nonzero non-unit element is contained in a finitely many maximal ideals). That is, for a one-dimensional domain R of finite character such that StarFC(R) is finite, $|StarFC(R)| = \prod_{M \in Max(R)} |StarFC(R_M)|$ (Theorem 2.10). The

second main theorem asserts that if *R* is a one-dimensional quasi-Prüfer domain (i.e. its integral closure is a Prüfer domain) such that StarFC(R) is finite, then *R* is finitely star regular if and only if R_M is finitely star regular for every maximal ideal *M* of *R* (Theorem 2.11). The third section deals with certain pullbacks that are

finitely star regular. The main result asserts that a large class of integral domains related to valuation domains, namely the class of pseudo-valuation domains (*PVD* for short), are always finitely star regular, but not star regular in general. Next, we deal with the classical pullbacks issued from valuation domains in order to enriches the literature with such a class of integral domains.

Throughout, *R* denotes an integral domain (which is not a field), *R'* its integral closure and \overline{R} its complete integral closure. The set of all maximal ideals of *R* is denoted by Max(R), and if *S* is an overring of *R*, [*R*,*S*] denotes the set of all intermediate rings between *R* and *S* (i.e. rings *T* such that $R \subseteq T \subseteq S$).

2. General Results

Recall that an integral domain *R* is said to be a star regular domain if $|Star(T)| \le |Star(R)|$ for every overring of *R*. Next, we introduce the notion of finitely star regular domains.

Definition 2.1. Let *R* be an integral domain. We say that *R* is finitely star regular if $|StarFC(T)| \le |StarFC(R)|$ for each overring *T* of *R*.

The next proposition deals with the class of integrally closed domains and shows that it is an important class of finitely star regular domains that are not necessarily star regular.

Proposition 2.2. Let R be an integrally closed domain. Then R is finitely star regular.

Proof. Assume that *R* is integrally closed. If $|StarFC(R)| = \infty$, we are done. Assume that StarFC(R) is finite. Then by [27, Theorem 3.1], *R* is a Prüfer domain. Thus every overring *T* of *R* is Prüfer and so |StarFC(T)| = |StarFC(R)| = 1, as desired. \Box

Our next three examples show that the notions of star regular domain and finitely star regular domain do not implies each other. The first one is an example of a finitely star regular domain R with Star(T) finite for every overring T of R, but which is not star regular (as it has an overring T with |Star(R)| < |Star(T)|). The second one is an example of a star regular domain which is not finitely star regular with Star(R) finite but Star(R) is infinite. In the third example, R is finitely star regular but not star regular with Star(R) finite and having an overring T with $|Star(T)| = \infty$. Notice that if V is a valuation domain, then $|Star(V)| \le 2$.

Example 2.3. Let *V* be a valuation domain with a principal maximal ideal *M* and suppose that *V* has a nonzero non-maximal ideal *P* such that $P = P^2$. Clearly for every overring *T* of *V*, |StarFC(T)| = |StarFC(V)| = 1. Thus *V* is a finitely star regular domain. However, $|Star(V_P)| = 2$ while |Star(V)| = 1. Hence *V* is not star regular.

Example 2.4. The following is an example of a star regular domain which is not finitely star regular. Let *k* be an infinite field, *X* and *Y* indeterminates over *k* and set $D = k[X^3, X^7]$ and $D_1 = k[X^3, X^7, X^8]$. By [41, Theorem 2.2], *D* is a divisorial Noetherian domain and so |Star(D)| = |StarFC(D)| = 1, while D_1 is a Noetherian overring of *D* with $|Star(D_1)| = |StarFC(D_1)| = \infty$. Indeed, let $M_1 = (X^3, X^7, X^8)$. Then M_1 is a maximal ideal of D_1 with $(D_1 : M_1) = k[X^3, X^4, X^5]$ and so $(D_1)_{M_1}$ is a Noetherian local domain satisfying the conditions of [26, Theorem 3.9]. Thus $|StarFC((D_1)_{M_1})| = |Star((D_1)_{M_1})| = \infty$. By [26, Theorem 2.3], $|StarFC(D_1)| = |Star(D_1)| = \infty$. Now set V = k(X)[[Y]] = k(X) + Yk(X)[[Y]], R = D + Yk(X)[[Y]] = D + M and $T = D_1 + M$. By

4

[34, Theorem 4.4], |StarFC(R)| = |StarFC(D)| = 1, but $|StarFC(T)| = |StarFC(D_1)| = \infty$. Thus *R* is not finitely star regular. However, $|Star(R)| = \infty$ and so *R* is star regular. Indeed, for every maximal ideal *P* of k[X], set $S_P = k[X]_P + M$. Then S_P is a fractional overring of *R*, and $(R : S_P) = M$, so that $(S_P)_v = (R : M) = V$. Now, by [25, Proposition 2.7], $*_P = \delta(d_{S_P}, v)$ defined by $E^{*_P} = ES_P \cap E_v$ is a star operation on *R*. Moreover, for $P \neq Q$ maximal ideals of k[X], $(S_P)^{*_P} = S_P \cap V = S_P$ and $(S_P)^{*_Q} = S_Q S_P \cap V = V \cap V = V$. Hence $*_P \neq *_Q$. Since k[X] has infinitely many maximal ideals that induce different star operations on *R*, *R* has infinitely many star operations.

The following is an example of a non star regular Prüfer domain *R* with only finitely many star operations having an overring *T* with $|Star(T)| = \infty$. The Example is given in [29, Example 2.4], and for the convenience of the reader, we include it here.

Example 2.5. The following is an example of a finitely star regular which is not star regular. Let A be the direct sum of countably infinitely many copies of G, where *G* is the totally ordered group $\mathbb{R} \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}$ with lexicographic order (i.e., for $(a,b), (a',b') \in \mathbb{R} \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}, (a,b) \ge (a',b') \text{ if } a > a', \text{ or } a = a' \text{ and } b \ge b').$ For $(a_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in A$, define $(a_i) \ge 0$ if $a_i \ge 0$ for all $i \ge 1$. Then *A* is a lattice ordered group. According to Jaffard and Ohm [33, 43], every lattice ordered group is a group of divisibility of a Bézout domain. Thus there is a Bézout domain *R* with $K^*/U(R) \cong A$, where U(R) is the set of units of *R*. Since *A* is the weak direct product of *G*'s, *R* has finite character. It follows easily from [37, Theorem 3.2] and [24, Lemma 3.4] that R is an h-local Prüfer domain with dim R = 2. Thus each overring T of R is a Pruüfer domain and so |StarFC(T)| = |StarFC(R)| = 1. Hence R is finitely star regular. Since for each maximal ideal M of R, R_M has value group G, MD_M is principal. Since R has finite character, each *M* is invertible. Hence *R* is a divisorial domain [22, Theorem 5.1]. Let *M* be a maximal ideal of *R*, and let *P* be the nonzero prime contained in *M*. Since the value group of R_P is equal to \mathbb{R} , PR_P is not principal. Hence $P = P^2$, and so R_M is not strongly discrete. By [29, Theorem 2.3], there is an overring T of R with $|Star(T)| = \infty$ (and we may take $T = \bigcap R_P$, where the intersection is taken over the height-one primes of *R*).

Notice that, for each positive integer *n*, if in the definition of *A*, we replace *n* of the *G* by $\mathbb{R} \bigoplus \mathbb{R}$, then the resulting *R* satisfies $|Star(R)| = 2^n$ by [25, Theorem 3.1], and *R* has an overring *T* with $|Star(T)| = \infty$ ([29, Remark 2.5]).

The next two lemmas are crucial. The first one is [25, Proposition 2.7] and we shall use it whenever we consider a proper overring *T* of the base ring *R* and a star operation on *T*. The second one is a direct combination of [25, Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 4.6] and we shall use it whenever we consider the particular overring $T = R_M$ for some maximal ideal *M*.

Lemma 2.6. ([25, Proposition 2.7]) Let *R* be an integral domain, *T* an overring of *R*, * a star operation on *R* and *' a star operation on *T*. Then the map $\delta(*',*) : F(R) \to F(R)$, $E \mapsto E^{\delta(*',*)} := (ET)^{*'} \cap E^*$, defines a star operation on *R*. Moreover, if * and *' are of finite type, then so is $\delta(*',*)$.

Lemma 2.7. Let *R* be an integral domain, *M* a maximal ideal of *R* and $* \in StarFC(R)$. Then *(M) defined on R_M by $(AR_M)^{*(M)} = A^*R_M$ for every $A \in f(R)$ is a star operation on R_M . Moreover, if *R* is *v*-coherent, then every star operation on R_M is of this form, that is, if $*' \in StarFC(R_M)$, then *' = *(M) for some $* \in StarFC(R)$. **Theorem 2.8.** Let *R* be an integral domain such that $(R : \overline{R}) = M$ is a maximal ideal of *R* and suppose that \overline{R} is a PID. Then for every $T \in [R, \overline{R}]$, $|Star(T)| \leq |Star(R)|$ and $|StarFC(T)| \leq |StarFC(R)|$.

Proof. First notice that $M^{-1} = (M : M) = \overline{R}$ and so M is a divisorial ideal of R. Let $T \in [R, \overline{R}]$. Since R is a PID, $|StarFC(\overline{R})| = 1 \leq |StarFC(R)|$, so without loss of generality we may assume that $R \subsetneq T \subsetneq \overline{R}$. Thus (R:T) = M and so M is an ideal of T and $T_v = M^{-1} = \overline{R}$. Notice that if S is a fractional overring of T, then $S \subseteq \overline{S} = \overline{T} = \overline{R} = M^{-1}$. Since $(M^{-1})^*$ is a fractional overring of *T*, then $(M^{-1})^* = M^{-1}$ for every $* \in Star(T)$. Thus for every nonzero fractional ideal *I* of *T* with $IM^{-1} = xM^{-1}$, $I^* \subseteq (IM^{-1})^* = (xM^{-1})^* = xM^{-1} = IM^{-1}$. Now let $*_1 \neq *_2$ be star operations (resp. star operations of finite type) on T and let A be a fractional ideal (resp. a finitely generated fractional ideal) of T such that $A^{*_1} \neq A^{*_2}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $A \subseteq M$ (for if $0 \neq d \in T$ is such that $dA \subseteq T$ and $0 \neq m \in M$, $mdA \subseteq mT \subseteq M$ and $(mdA)^{*_1} = mdA^{*_1} \neq mdA^{*_2} = (mdA)^{*_2}$. Notice that A is not a divisorial ideal of T and so A is not an invertible ideal of T. Thus $A(R:A) \neq R$. Now set $AM^{-1} = aM^{-1}$. Then $AM = AMM^{-1} = aMM^{-1} = aM$ and $(M : A) = (M_v : A) = ((R : A) = (M_v : A)) = ((R : A))$ M^{-1} : A) = (R: AM^{-1}) = (R: aM^{-1}) = a^{-1}M_v = a^{-1}M. Thus $A(M:A) = a^{-1}AM = M$. Hence $M = A(M:A) \subseteq A(R:A) \subseteq R$ and by maximality of M, M = A(M:A) = A(R:A). Thus $(R:A) = (M:A) = a^{-1}M$ and so $A_v = aM^{-1} = AM^{-1}$. Now, by Lemma 2.6, $A^{\delta(*_1,v)} = A^{*_1} \cap A_v = A^{*_1} \cap AM^{-1} = A^{*_1}$ and $A^{\delta(*_2,v)} = A^{*_2} \cap A_v = A^{*_2} \cap AM^{-1} = A^{*_2}$. Thus $\delta(*_1, v) \neq \delta(*_2, v)$.

For star operations of finite type $*_1 \neq *_2$ and A a finitely generated ideal of $T (A \subseteq M)$ with $A^{*_1} \neq A^{*_2}$, let B be a finitely generated ideal of R such that BT = A (for $A = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} a_i T$, just take $B = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} a_i R$). If since $B(R:B) \subseteq A(T:A) \subsetneq T$, $B(R:B) \subsetneq R$. Moreover, $BM^{-1} = AM^{-1} = aM^{-1}$. Thus $(M:B) = (M_v:B) = ((R:M^{-1}):B) = (R:BM^{-1}) = (R:aM^{-1}) = a^{-1}M_v = a^{-1}M$. Hence $B(M:B) = a^{-1}BM = M$ and so $M = B(M:B) \subseteq B(R:B) \subseteq R$. Thus M = M(B:M) = M(R:M) and so $(R:B) = (M:B) = a^{-1}M$. Hence $B_t = B_v = aM^{-1} = BM^{-1} = AM^{-1}$. Finally, $B^{\delta(*_1,t)} = (BT)^{*_1} \cap B_t = A^{*_1} \cap AM^{-1} = A^{*_1}$ and $B^{\delta(*_2,t)} = (BT)^{*_2} \cap B_t = A^{*_2} \cap AM^{-1} = A^{*_2}$. It follows that $\delta(*_1,t) \neq \delta(*_2,t)$. In both cases, the map $\delta(-,v) : Star(T) \longrightarrow Star(R), * \longmapsto \delta(*,v)$ (resp. $\delta(-,t) : StarFC(T) \longrightarrow StarFC(R), * \longmapsto \delta(*,t)$) is one-to-one. Hence $|Star(T)| \leq |Star(R)|$ (resp. $|StarFC(T)| \leq |StarFC(R)|$), as desired.

Recall that an integral domain *R* is said to be conducive if the conductor $(R : T) \neq 0$ for every overring *T* of *R* with $T \subsetneq qf(R)$, equivalently $(R : V) \neq 0$ for some valuation overring *V* of *R*. The next corollary generalizes [28, Proposition 1.10].

Corollary 2.9. ([28, Proposition 1.10]) Let (R, M) be a local Noetherian domain such that M^{-1} is a valuation domain. Then R is star regular.

Proof. Since M^{-1} is a valuation domain, *R* is a conducive domain and clearly $M^{-1} = (M : M) = \overline{R}$. Thus $[R, L] = [R, \overline{R}] \cup \{L\}$. By Theorem 2.8, *R* is star regular. \Box

It is well-known that if *R* is a Noetherian domain (which is not a field) with Star(R) = StarFC(R) finite, then *R* has Krull dimension dim(R) = 1 ([26, Theorem 2.1]). Therefore *R* is of finite character, that is, each nonzero nonunit element is contained in only finitely many maximal ideals. In [26, Theorem 2.3], it was proved

that for a Noetherian domain R, |StarFC(R)| = |Star(R)| = $|Star(R_M)|$. Our $M \in Max(R)$ first theorem generalizes this result to one-dimensional domains of finite character.

Theorem 2.10. *Let R be a one-dimensional domain of finite character such that StarFC(R)* is finite. Then $|StarFC(R)| = \prod_{M \in Max(R)} |StarFC(R_M)|$.

Proof. First set $\mathcal{M}_1 := \{M \in Max(R) : |StarFC(R_M| = 1)\}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 2} := \{M \in Max(R) : M \in Max(R) : M \in Max(R)\}$ $|StarFC(R_M| \ge 2)$. Clearly Max(R) is the disjoint union of \mathcal{M}_1 and $\mathcal{M}_{\ge 2}$. Now if $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 2} = \emptyset$, then $|StacFC(R_M)| = 1$ and so $d_M = t(M)$ for every $M \in Max(R)$. Let $* \in StarFC(R)$ and let *I* be a finitely generated ideal of *R*. Then for every $M \in Max(R)$, $I_{t}R_{M} = (IR_{M})^{t(M)} = (IR_{M})^{d_{M}} = IR_{M}. \text{ Hence } I_{t} = I \text{ and so } t = d. \text{ Thus } StarFc(R) = \{d\}$ and therefore $|StarFC(R)| = 1 = \prod_{m=1}^{M} |StarFC(R_{M})|. \text{ Assume that } \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2} \neq \emptyset.$ $M{\in}Max(R)$

Claim: $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}$ is finite. By way of contradiction suppose that $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}$ is infinite. Then for every positive integer *n* consider a subset $\{M_1, \ldots, M_n\} \subseteq M_{\geq 2}$, and consider the

 $\max \phi : \prod_{i=1}^{n} StarFC(R_{M_{i}}) \longrightarrow StarFC(R), \star = (*_{i})_{i=1}^{n} \mapsto \phi(\star) = \star_{\phi} \text{ where } \star_{\phi} \text{ is defined}$ by $A^{\star_{\phi}} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} (AR_{M_{i}})^{*_{i}} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{M \in Max(R): M \neq M_{i} \\ M \in Max(R): M \neq M_{i}}} (AR_{M}).$ By [1, Theorem 2], $\star_{\phi} \in StarFC(R)$ and so ϕ is well-defined. Now, let $\star = (*_{i})_{i=1}^{n} \neq (*'_{i})_{i=1}^{n} = \star'.$ Then $*_{j} \neq *'_{j}$ for some $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Let *E* be a finitely generated integral ideal of R_{M_j} such that $E^{*_j} \neq E^{*'_j}$ and let $A = E \cap R$. Since $dim(R_{M_i}) = 1$, E is $M_j R_{M_i}$ -primary and so A is M_j -primary. Hence $Max(R, A) = \{M_j\}$ and so $AR_M = R_M$ for every $M \in Max(R) - \{M_j\}$. So $A^{\star_{\phi}} =$ $(AR_{M_j})^{*_j} \cap \bigcap_{M \in Max(R): M \neq M_j} R_M = E^{*_j} \cap \bigcap_{M \in Max(R): M \neq M_j} R_M. \text{ Thus } A^{\star_\phi} \cap R_{M_j} = E^{*_j} \cap R. \text{ Similarly } A^{\star_\phi} = E^{*_j'} \cap R. \text{ So if } A^{\star_\phi} = A^{\star_\phi'}, \text{ then } E^{*_j} \cap R = A^{\star_\phi} \cap R_{M_j} = A^{\star_\phi'} \cap R_{M_j} = E^{*_j'} \cap R.$

Hence $E^{*j} = (E^{*j} \cap R)R_{M_j} = (E^{*'_j} \cap R)R_{M_j} = E^{*'_j}$, which is absurd. Hence $A^{\star\phi} \neq A^{\star'\phi}$ and so $\phi(\star) \neq \phi(\star')$. Thus ϕ is a one-to-one and therefore $\prod_{i=1}^{n} |StarFC(R_{M_i})| \leq |StarFC(R)|$,

for every positive integer *n*. So $|StarFC(R)| = \infty$, which is a contradiction, completing the proof of the claim.

Now assume that $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 2} = \{M_1, \dots, M_r\}$. Let $\varphi : StarFC(R) \longrightarrow \prod_{M \in Max(R)} StarFC(R_M)$ defined by $\varphi(*) = (*(M))_{M \in Max(R)}$. Clearly φ is one-to-one and so

$$|StarFC(R)| \leq \prod_{M \in Max(R)} |StarFC(R_M)| = \prod_{i=1} |StarFC(R_{M_i})| \prod_{M \in M_1} |StarFC(R_M)| = \prod_{i=1}^r |StarFC(R_{M_i})| \leq |StarFC(R)| \text{ (since } \prod_{M \in M_1} |StarFC(R_M)| = 1 \text{ and } \prod_{i=1}^r |StarFC(R_{M_i})| \leq |StarFC(R)| \text{ by the proof of the claim). It follows that } |StarFC(R)| = \prod_{M \in Max(R)} |StarFC(R_M)|.$$

In [28, Theorem 1.5] it was proved that for a Noetherian domain R with Star(R) finite, R is star regular if and only if R_M is star regular for every maximal ideal M of R. Our next theorem generalizes this result to one-dimensional quasi-Prüfer domain such that StarFC(R) is finite. Notice that, for a Noetherian domain with Star(R) finite, dim(R) = 1 and so R' is a Dedekind domain and so R is a quasi-Prüfer domain.

Theorem 2.11. Let R be a one-dimensional quasi-Prüfer domain such that StarFC(R) is finite. Then R is finitely star regular if and only if R_M is finitely star regular for every maximal ideal M of R.

Proof. Notice that each overring $T \subsetneq qf(R)$ of R is a one-dimensional quasi-Prüfer domain.

 \iff) Assume that R_M is finitely star regular for every $M \in Max(R)$. Let T be a proper overring of R. By Theorem 2.10, $|StarFC(T)| = \prod_{N \in Max(T)} |StarFC(T_N)| \le N \le Max(T)$

 $\prod_{Q=N\cap R: N\in Max(T)} |StarFC(R_Q)| \le \prod_{M\in Max(R)} |StarFC(R_M)| = |StarFC(R)|.$ Thus R is finitely

star regular.

 \implies) We mimic the proof of [28, Theorem 1.5]. Assume that *R* is finitely star regular and let *M* be a maximal ideal of *R* and suppose that there is an overring *T* of *R*_M such that $|StarFC(T)| > |StarFC(R_M)|$. Set $B := \bigcap_{Q \in Max(R) \setminus \{M\}} R_Q$ and $S = T \cap B$. We first note

that for every maximal ideal N of T, $N \cap R_M = MR_M$ since R_M is one-dimensional local domain. So $N \cap R = M$. But since T is one-dimensional quasi-Prüfer domain, T is h-local and so T has only finitely many maximal ideals, say N_1, \ldots, N_r . Since R is a one-dimensional quasi-Prüfer domain, it is h-local, and hence $B_{R\setminus M} = K$ by [39, Theorem 22]. This yields $S_{R\setminus M} = T_{R\setminus M} \cap B_{R\setminus M} = T \cap K = T$. It follows that $N_i \cap S \neq N_j \cap S$ for $i \neq j$. It is clear that if Q is a maximal ideal of S different from the $N_i \cap S$, then $Q \cap R = P$ for some maximal ideal P of R distinct from M and hence $P = PR_P \cap S$ and $S_Q = R_P$. We then have $Max(S) = \{N_i \cap S \mid i = 1, \ldots, n\} \cup \{PR_P \cap S \mid P \neq M\}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{split} |StarFC(S)| &= \prod_{i=1}^{n} |StarFC(S_{Q_i \cap S})| \cdot \prod_{M \neq N} |StarFC(R_M)| \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{n} |StarFC(T_{Q_i})| \cdot \prod_{M \neq N} |StarFC(R_M)| \\ &= |StarFC(T)| \cdot \prod_{M \neq N} |StarFC(R_M)| \\ &> |StarFC(R_N)| \cdot \prod_{M \neq N} |StarFC(R_M)| \\ &= |StarFC(R)|. \end{split}$$

Therefore, *R* is not star regular.

Corollary 2.12. *Let R be a domain with StarFC*(*R*) *is finite and which satisfies one of the following conditions:*

(1) Each proper overring of R is Archimedean;

(2) Each proper valuation overring of R satisfies the accp;

(3) Each proper overring of R is a Mori domain.

Then R is finitely star regular if and only if R_M is finitely star regular for every maximal ideal M of R.

Proof. By [6, Proposition 3.1(b), Lemma 3.2 and Corollary 3.9], either *R* is a valuation domain or dim(R') = 1 and *R'* is Prüfer. If R = V is a valuation domain, then *R* is finitely star regular. Assume that dim(R') = 1 and *R'* is Prüfer. Then *R* has the finite character and for each overring *T* of *R*, either *T* is a valuation or dim(T) = 1 and *T'* is Prüfer. If *T* is valuation, $|StarFC(T)| = 1 \le |StarFC(R)|$. Assume that dim(T) = 1 and *T'* is Prüfer. By Theorem 2.10, $|StarFC(T)| = \prod_{N \in Max(T)} |StarFC(T_N)| \le N \le Max(T)$

$$\prod_{Q=N\cap R, N\in Max(T)} |StarFC(R_Q)| \le \prod_{M\in Max(R)} |StarFC(R_M)| = |StarFC(R)| \text{ as desired.} \qquad \Box$$

Corollary 2.13. ([28, Theorem 1.5]) *Let* R *be a Noetherian domain with* Star(R) *finite. Then* R *is star regular if and only if* R_M *is star regular for every maximal ideal* M *of* R.

Proof. Notice that Star(R) = StarFC(R) and dimR = 1. Since $R' = \overline{R}$ is a Krull domain, R' is a Dedekind domain. The conclusion follows now from Theorem 2.11.

3. PULLBACK CONSTRUCTIONS

Let *T* be a domain, *M* a maximal ideal of *T*, *K* its residue field, $\phi : T \longrightarrow K$ the canonical surjection, *D* a proper subring of *K*, and k := qf(D). Let *R* be the pullback issued from the following diagram of canonical homomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R := \phi^{-1}(D) & \longrightarrow & D \\ (\Box) & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T & \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} & K = T/M. \end{array}$$

Clearly, M = (R : T) and $D \cong R/M$. For ample details on the ideal structure of R and its ring-theoretic properties, we refer the reader to [2, 3, 9, 10, 13, 14, 19]. The case where T = V is a valuation domain is crucial and we will refer to this case as a classical diagram of type (\Box). Notice that for the classical diagram, if I is a (fractional) ideal of R, then either $I = \phi^{-1}(E)$ for some $E \in F(D)$ if $M \subset I$, or I is an ideal of V or $I = a\phi^{-1}(E)$ for some $0 \neq a \in M$ and E a D-submodule of K with $D \subseteq E \subset K$ if I is not an ideal of V (the proof similar to that of [9, Theorem 2.1]). For more on star operations on pullbacks, see [15, 16].

Theorem 3.1. For the classical diagram of $type(\Box)$, assume that qf(D) = K. Then R is finitely star regular if and only if D is finitely star regular.

Proof. Assume that *D* is finitely star regular and let *T* be an overring of *R*. If $V \subseteq T$, then *T* is a valuation domain and so $|StarFC(T)| = 1 \le |StarFC(R)|$. Assume that $R \subseteq T \subsetneq V$. Then $T = \phi^{-1}(D_1)$ where D_1 is an overring of *D*. Now by [34, Theorem 4.4], $|StarFC(T)| = |StarFC(D_1)| \le |StarFC(D)| = |StarFC(R)|$, and therefore *R* is finitely star regular.

Conversely, assume that *R* is finitely star regular and let D_1 be an overring of *D*. Set $T = \phi^{-1}(D_1)$. Then *T* is an overring of *R* and again by [34, Theorem 4.4], $|StarFC(D_1)| = |StarFC(T)| \le |StarFC(R)| = |StarFC(D)|$, and therefore *D* is finitely star regular.

Example 3.2. Let *k* be a field , *X* an indeterminate over *k* and set $D = k[[X^3, X^4, X^5]]$, V = k((X))[[Y]] = k((X)) + M and R = D + M. Clearly *R* is neither integrally closed nor Noetherian domain. By [26, Theorem 3.8], |StarFC(D)| = |Star(D)| = 3. Since the only overrings of *D* are $D_1 = k[[X^2, X^3]]$, $D_2 = k[[X]]$ and qf(D) = k((X)) and since D_1 and D_2 are Noetherian divisorial domains, *D* is finitely star regular. Hence *R* is finitely star regular by Theorem 3.1. In fact the only proper overrings of *R* are $T_1 = D_1 + M$, $T_2 = D_2 + M$ and *V*. By [34, Theorem 4.4], $|StarFC(T_1)| = |StarFC(T_2)| = |StarFC(V)| = 1$ while |StarFC(R)| = 3.

Our next theorem deals with an important of class of finitely star regular domains that are not in general star regular. It shows that any PVD is a finitely star regular domain. Recall from Hedstrom and Houston ([21]) that a domain R is pseudo-valuation domain if it is quasilocal and shares its maximal ideal with a valuation domain which necessarily must contain R and be unique. In terms of pullbacks, according to [3, Proposition 2.6], R is a pseudo-valuation domain if and only if there is a valuation domain V with maximal ideal M and a subfield k of V/M = K such that R is the pullback in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \longrightarrow & k \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V & \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} & K = V/M \end{array}$$

Notice that a *PVD* which is not a valuation domain is a *TV*-domain, that is, the *t*-and *v*-operations are the same ([31, Proposition 4.3]). We start with the following useful lemma.

Lemma 3.3. Let *R* be a PVD (which is not a valuation domain), *V* its associated valuation overring, *M* its maximal ideal, k = R/M and K = V/M. If StarFC(*R*) is finite, then *K* is algebraic over *k*.

Proof. Assume that *StarFC*(*R*) is finite and suppose that *K* is transcendental over *k*. Let $\lambda \in K$ transcendental over *k* and set $T = \phi^{-1}(k[\lambda])$. Since $(k : k[\lambda]) = (0)$, $T^{-1} = (R : T) = \phi^{-1}(k : k[\lambda]) = \phi^{-1}(0) = M$, and so $T_t = T_v = V$. Now, for every nonzero prime ideals $p \neq q$ of $k[\lambda]$, set $T_P = \phi^{-1}(k[\lambda]_P)$ and $T_q = \phi^{-1}(k[\lambda]_q)$. By Lemma 2.6, $\delta(d_{T_P}, t)$ and $\delta(d_{T_q}, t)$ are star operations on *R* of finite type and $T^{\delta(d_{T_P}, t)} = TT_P \cap T_t = T_P \cap V = T_P$ and $T^{\delta(d_{T_q}, t)} = TT_q \cap T_t = T_q \cap V = T_q$. Thus $\delta(d_{T_P}, t) \neq \delta(d_{T_q}, t)$. As *Spec*(($k[\lambda]$) is inifinte, *starFC*(*R*) would be infinite, which is absurd. It follows that *K* is algebraic over *k*.

Theorem 3.4. Any PVD is finitely star regular.

Proof. First, if *R* is a valuation domain, then for every overring *T* of *R*, |StarFC(T)| = |StarFC(R)| = 1. So, without loss of generality, we may assume that *R* is not a valuation domain and StarFC(R) is finite. Let *V* be the associated valuation of *R*, *M* its maximal ideal, k = R/M and K = V/M. By Lemma 3.3, *K* is algebraic over *k*. Now, let *T* be a proper overring of *R*. If $V \subseteq T$, then *T* is a valuation domain and so $|StarFC(T)| = 1 \le |StarFC(R)|$, as desired. Assume that $R \subsetneq T \subsetneq V$. Then $T = \phi^{-1}(F)$ where *F* is a subfield of *K* with $k \subsetneq F \subsetneq K$. We claim that $\delta(-,t) : StarFC(T) \longrightarrow StarFC(R), * \mapsto \delta(*,t)$ is a one-to-one map. Indeed, let $* \ne *' \in StarFC(T)$ and let *A* be a finitely generated integral ideal of *T* such that $A^* \ne A^{*'}$. Necessarily $A \subsetneq M$ and *A* is not an ideal of *V*. Then $A = a\phi^{-1}(W)$ where $F \subsetneq W \gneqq K$ is a finite

dimensional *k*-subspace of *K*. Set $W = \sum_{i=1}^{i=r} F\lambda_i$ and let $H = \sum_{i=1}^{i=r} k\lambda_i$ and $B = a\phi^{-1}(H)$. Then *B* is a finitely generated ideal of *R*, BT = A and $B_t = B_v = A_{v_T} = aV$. Thus $B^{\delta(*,t)} = (BT)^* \cap B_t = A^* \cap A_{v_T} = A^*$. Similarly, $B^{\delta(*',t)} = (BT)^{*'} \cap B_t = A^{*'} \cap A_{v_T} = A^{*'}$. Hence $B^{\delta(*,t)} \neq B^{\delta(*',t)}$ and therefore $\delta(-,t)$ is one-to-one. It follows that $|StarFC(T)| \leq |StarFC(R)|$ and therefore *R* is finitely star regular.

Notice that a *PVD* is not necessarily a star regular domain as shown by the following example.

Example 3.5. Let *V* be a valuation domain with a principal maximal ideal *M* and a non-maximal prime ideal *P* such that $P = P^2$. Suppose that K = V/M is a quadratic extension of a field *k* and let *R* be the *PVD* arising from the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} R := \phi^{-1}(k) & \longrightarrow & k \\ (\Box) & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V & \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} & K = V/M \end{array}$$

Since [K : k] = 2, *R* is a divisorial domain and so |Star(R)| = 1. However, V_P is an overring of *R* and $|Star(V_P)| = 2$. Hence *R* is not star regular.

Example 3.6. Let $k = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and K an extension of k with [K : k] = 4 (for instance, let x be a root of the irreducible polynomial $f(Y) = Y^4 + Y^3 + 1 \in k[Y]$ and K = k(x)). Let X be an indeterminate over k and set V = K[[X]] = K + M and R = k + M. Let T be a proper overring of R. If $V \subseteq T$, T is a valuation domain and so $|StarFC(T)| = 1 \le |StarFC(R)|$. If $R \subsetneq T \subsetneq V$, then $T = \phi^{-1}(F)$ where $k \subsetneq F \subsetneq K$ is a subfield of K. Necessarily [K : F] = 2 and so T is a divisorial PVD. Hence $|StarFC(T)| = |Star(T)| = 1 \le |Star(R)| = |StarFC(R)| = 9$ by [44].

Recall that for the general pullback of type (\Box), every star operation * on R induces a star operation $*_{\phi}$ on D defined by $J^{*_{\phi}} = \phi((\phi^{-1}(J))^*)$ for every $J \in F(D)$ ([15, Proposition 2.7 and Proposition 2.6]. In this context, it is easy to check that if * is of finite type on R, then $*_{\phi}$ on D is of finite type on D.

Theorem 3.7. For the classical pullback diagram of type (\Box) , let $T = \phi^{-1}(D_1)$ be an overring of R. Then $|StarFC(T)| \leq |StarFC(D_1)||StarFC(R)|$. In particular, if $|StarFC(D_1)| = 1$ for every $D_1 \in [D, K]$, then R is finitely star regular.

Proof. Set $T = \phi^{-1}(D_1)$ and consider the map $\delta : StarFC(T) \longrightarrow StarFC(D_1) \times StarFC(R)$, $* \mapsto (*_{\phi}, \delta(*, t))$. We claim that δ is one-to-one. Indeed, let $* \neq *' \in StarFC(T)$ and let A be a finitely generated integral ideal of T such that $A^* \neq A^{*'}$. Necessarily A is not an ideal of V. If $M \subsetneq A$, then $A = \phi^{-1}(J)$ for some finitely ideal J of D_1 . In this case $A^* = \phi^{-1}(J^{*_{\phi}})$ and $A^{*'} = \phi^{-1}(J^{*'_{\phi}})$. Thus $J^{*_{\phi}} \neq J^{*'_{\phi}}$ and so $*_{\phi} \neq *'_{\phi}$. Assume that $A \subsetneq M$ and set $A = a\phi^{-1}(W)$ where $D_1 \subsetneq W \subsetneq K$ is a finitely generated D_1 -module. If $(D_1 : W) \neq 0$, then $W \subseteq qf(D_1)$ and so W would be a finitely generated fractional ideal of D_1 . Thus $A^* = a\phi^{-1}(W^{*_{\phi}})$ and $A^{*'} = a\phi^{-1}(W^{*'_{\phi}})$. Thus $W^{*_{\phi}} \neq W^{*'_{\phi}}$ and so $*_{\phi} \neq *'_{\phi}$. Assume that $(D_1 : W) = 0$. Set $W = \sum_{i=1}^{i=r} D_1\lambda_i$ and let $H = \sum_{i=1}^{i=r} D\lambda_i$ and $B = a\phi^{-1}(H)$. Then *B* is a finitely generated ideal of *R*, BT = A and $B_t = B_v = A_{v_T} = aV$. Thus $B^{\delta(*,t)} = (BT)^* \cap B_t = A^* \cap A_{v_T} = A^*$. Similarly, $B^{\delta(*',t)} = (BT)^{*'} \cap B_t = A^{*'} \cap A_{v_T} = A^{*'}$. Hence $B^{\delta(*,t)} \neq B^{\delta(*',t)}$. Thus $\delta(*) \neq \delta(*')$ and hence δ is one-to-one. It follows that $|StarFC(T)| \leq |StarFC(D_1||StarFC(R)|$.

Now assume that $|StarFC(D_1)| = 1$ for every $D_1 \in [D, K]$ and let T be an overring of R. If $V \subseteq T$, then $|StarFC(T)| = 1 \le |StarFC(R)|$. Let T be a proper overring of R. Assume that $R \subsetneq T \subsetneq V$ and $T = \phi^{-1}(D_1)$ where $D \subsetneq D_1 \subsetneq K$. Then $|StarFC(T)| \le |StarFC(D_1)||StarFC(R)| = |StarFC(R)|$ and therefore R is finitely star regular.

Example 3.8. Let \mathbb{Q} be the field of rational numbers, and X and Y indeterminates over \mathbb{Q} . Set $D = \mathbb{Q}[[X^2, X^3]], V = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})((X))[[Y]] = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})((X)) + M$ and R = D + M. Clearly $[D, K] = \{D, \mathbb{Q}[[X]], \mathbb{Q}((X)), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})[[X^2, X^3]], \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})[[X]], K\}$ and every $D_1 \in [D, K]$ is divisorial. Thus R is finitely star regular.

References

- D. D. Anderson, Star operations induced by overrings, Comm. Algebra, 16(12) (1988), 2535–2553.
- [2] D. F. Anderson, A. Bouvier, D. E. Dobbs, M. Fontana, and S. Kabbaj, On Jaffard domains, Expo. Math. 6 (1988), 145–175. 8
- [3] D. F. Anderson and D. E. Dobbs, Pairs of rings with the same prime ideals, Canad. J. Math. 32 (1980), 362–384. 8, 9
- [4] V. Barucci, Mori domains, in: Scott T. Chapman, Sarah Glaz (Eds), Non-Noetherian Commutative Ring Theory, Mathematics and its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 520 (2000) 57–73.
- [5] V. Barucci, Strongly divisorial ideals and complete integral closure of an integral domain, J. Algebra 99 (1986), 132–142.
- [6] V. Barucci and D. E. Dobbs, On chain conditions in integral domains, Canad. Math. Bull. 27 (1984), no. 3, 351–359 8
- [7] V. Barucci and S. Gabelli, How far is a Mori domain from being Krull domain?, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 45 (1987), 101–112
- [8] H. Bass, On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings, Math. Z. 82 (1963), 8–28.
- [9] E. Bastida and R. Gilmer, Overrings and divisorial ideals of rings of the form D+M, Michigan Math. J. 20 (1992), 79–95. 8
- [10] J.W. Brewer and E.A. Rutter, D + M constructions with general overrings, Michigan Math. J. 23 (1976), 33–42. 8
- [11] G. W. Chang, Integral domains with finitely many star operations of finite type, Korean J. Math 20
 (2) (2012), 185–191. 2
- [12] W. Fangui and R. L. McCasland, On strong Mori domains, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 135 (1999), 155-165.
- [13] M. Fontana, Topologically defined classes of commutative rings, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 123 (1980), 331–355. 8
- [14] M. Fontana and S. Gabelli, On the class group and the local class group of a pullback, J. Algebra 181 (3) (1996), 803–835. 8
- [15] M. Fontana and M. H. Park, Star operations and pullbacks, J. Algebra 274 (1) (2004), 387–421. 8, 10
- [16] M. Fontana and M. H. Park, On the star class group of a pullback, J. Algebra 292 (2) (2005), 516–539.
- [17] M. Fontana and M. Zafrullah, On v-domains: a survey. Commutative Algebra. Noetherian and non-Noetherian Perspectives, pp. 145–179, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [18] R. Fossum, Divisor Class of Krull Domains, Ergebnisse der Mathematik, Band 74, Springer-Verlag (1973), New York.
- [19] S. Gabelli and E. Houston, Coherentlike conditions in pullbacks, Michigan Math. J., 44 (1997), 99–123.8
- [20] R. Gilmer and W. Heinzer, Intersections of quotient rings of an integral domain, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 7 (2) (1967), 133–150

- [21] J. Hedstrom and E. Houston, Pseudo-valuation domains, Pacific J. Math. 75 (1978), 137–147. 9
- [22] W. Heinzer, Integral domains in which each nonzero ideal is divisorial, Mathematika 15 (1968), 164–170. 1, 4
- [23] E. Houston, Prime t-ideals in R[X]. In "Commutative ring theory," pp. 163–170, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 153, Dekker, New York, 1994.
- [24] E. Houston, E. K. Lee and M. H. Park, Locally pseudo-valuation domains with only finitely many star operations, J. Algebra 444 (2015), 1-19. 2, 4
- [25] E. Houston, A. Mimouni and M. H. Park, Integral domains which admit at most two star operations, Comm. Algebra 39 (5) (2011), 1907-1921. 2, 4
- [26] E. Houston, A. Mimouni and M. H. Park, Noetherian domains which admit only finitely many star operations, J. Algebra, 366 (2012), 78-93. 2, 3, 5, 9
- [27] E. Houston, A. Mimouni and M. H. Park, Integrally closed domains which admit only finitely many star operations, Comm. Algebra 42 (12)(2014), 5264-5286. 2, 3
- [28] E. Houston, A. Mimouni and M. H. Park, Star operations on overrings of Noetherian domains, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 220 (2016), 810-821. 2, 5, 7, 8
- [29] E. Houston, A. Mimouni and M. H. Park, Star operations on overrings of Prüfer domains, Comm. Algebra, 45 (8) (2017), 3297–3309. 2, 4
- [30] E. Houston and M. H. Park, A characterization of local Noetherian domains which admit only finitely many star operations: the infinite residue field case, J. Algebra 407 (2014), 105-134. 2
- [31] E. Houston and M. Zafrullah, Integral domains in which each t-ideal is divisorial, Michigan Math. J. 35 (2) (1988), 291–300 9
- [32] J. A. Huckaba and I. J. Papick, When the dual of an ideal is a ring, Manuscripta Math. 37 (1982), 67–85.
- [33] P. Jaffard, Les Systèmes d'idéaux, Travaux et Recherches Mathématiques, IV, Dunod, Paris, 1960. 4
- [34] A. Kadri and A. Mimouni, On some classes of integral domains with only finitely many star operations of finite type, J. Commutative Algebra, to appear. 2, 4, 8, 9
- [35] B. G. Kang, Prüfer v-multiplication domains and the ring $R[X]_{N_v}$, J. Algebra 123 (1989), 151-170.
- [36] I. Kaplansky, Commutative rings, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1974.
- [37] W. J. Lewis, The spectrum of a ring as a partially ordered set, J. Algebra 25 (1973), 419-434. 4
- [38] E. Matlis, Reflexive domains, J. Algebra 8 (1968), 1-33. 1
- [39] E. Matlis, Torsion-free modules, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago-London, 1972. 7
- [40] A. Mimouni, Integral domains in which each ideal is a w-ideal, Comm. Algebra, 33 (5) (2005), 1345–1355.
- [41] A. Mimouni, Note on the divisoriality of domains of the form k[[X^p;X^q]],k[X^p;X^q],k[[X^p;X^q],k[[X^p;X^q],X^r]] and k[X^p;X^q;X^r], Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 40 (1) (2016), 38–42. 3
- [42] J. L. Mott and M. Zafrullah, On Prüfer v-multiplication domains, manuscripta math. 35 (1981), 1–26.
- [43] J. Ohm, Semi-valuations and groups of divisibility, Can. J. Math. 21 (1969), 576-591. 4
- [44] M. H. Park, On the cardinality of star operations on a pseudo-valuation domain, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 42 (6)(2012), 1939–1951. 2, 10
- [45] J. Querre, Sur les anneaux reflexifs, Canadian J. Math. 6 (1975), 1222–1228.
- [46] D. Spirito, Jaffard families and localizations of star operations, J. Commut. Algebra 11(2) (2019), 265–300. 2
- [47] D. Spirito, The sets of star and semistar operations on semilocal Pr
 üfer domains, J. Commut. Algebra 12 (4) (2020), 581–602. 2

Department of Mathematics, KFUPM, Dhahran 31261, KSA Email address: amimouni@kfupm.edu.sa