

# The $\text{AdS}_\theta^2/\text{CFT}_1$ Correspondence and Noncommutative Geometry II: Noncommutative Quantum Black Holes

Badis Ydri

*Department of Physics, Badji-Mokhtar Annaba University,  
Annaba, Algeria.*

In this article we present the construction of noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  black hole and its four-dimensional Yang-Mills IKKT-type matrix model which includes two competing Myers term one responsible for the condensation of pure  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  and the other one responsible for the condensation of the dilaton field. It is argued that the phase diagram of this matrix model features three phases: 1) A gravitational phase ( $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  black hole), 2) A geometric phase ( $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  background) and 3) A Yang-Mills phase. The Hawking process is therefore seen as an exotic line of discontinuous transitions between the gravitational and geometrical phases. Alternatively, a noncommutative non-linear sigma model describing the transition of the dilaton field between the gravitational and geometrical phases is also constructed.

## Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	1
A QM/NCG Correspondence	1
Noncommutative Quantum Black Holes	2
Phase Structure of the Noncommutative $\text{AdS}_\theta^2 \times \mathbb{S}_N^2$	3
<b>The commutative <math>\text{AdS}^2</math></b>	3
<b>The noncommutative <math>\text{AdS}_\theta^2</math></b>	4
<b>The <math>SO(1,2)</math>-coherent states</b>	5
<b>Yang-Mills matrix models, UV-IR mixing and phase structure</b>	7
<b><math>\text{AdS}_2</math> black holes and their evaporation</b>	9
<b>Noncommutative black holes and matrix models</b>	11
<b>Dilaton gravity in two dimensions as a non-linear sigma model</b>	13
<b>Star products in black hole configurations</b>	15
<b>Conclusion</b>	16
<b>Hawking process</b>	17
<b>Note on deformation quantization</b>	20
<b>References</b>	21

## INTRODUCTION

In this article a synthesis of the principles of non-commutative geometry and their matrix models together with the principles of the  $\text{AdS}^{d+1}/\text{CFT}_d$  correspondence for  $d = 1$  is presented.

The original motivation behind this work comes from fuzzy physics [57] as well as from non-perturbative lattice-like approaches to superstring theory which are matrix-based [58].

## A QM/NCG Correspondence

In the first part of our study [40] we have put forward a novel proposal for the  $\text{AdS}^2/\text{CFT}_1$  correspondence in which we turn the usual understanding on its head. It is customary to assume that the bulk theory is given by some gravity theory about an AdS background and then seek a quantum field theory residing on the boundary which is dual to the gravity theory in the bulk. As it happens the case of  $\text{AdS}^2$  is very different from higher dimensional anti-de Sitter spacetimes as the boundary theory is essentially unknown. See for example, [5, 6] and [20, 21].

This difficulty is usually traced to the fact that  $\text{AdS}^2$  has two disconnected one-dimensional boundaries as opposed to higher dimensional AdS which are characterized by a single connected boundary. But in here we trace instead this difficulty to the fact that  $\text{CFT}_1$  as given by conformal quantum mechanics is really only quasi-conformal (in a sense to be *specified*) and as a consequence the theory in the bulk is only required to be quasi-AdS (in a sense to be proposed). See for example, [18, 19] but also [59–64].

Thus, in this proposal we are turning our understanding of the  $\text{AdS}^{d+1}/\text{CFT}_d$  on its head since we are starting from the boundary and then we are moving towards the bulk and not the other way around. We are thus insisting that on the boundary the  $\text{CFT}_1$  is really given by dAFF conformal quantum mechanics. However, this conformal quantum mechanics is only "quasi-conformal" in the sense that there is neither an  $SO(1,2)$ -invariant quantum vacuum state nor there are primary operators in the strict sense yet the bulk correlators are correctly reproduced by appropriately defined boundary quantum

fields.

The fact that we insist that the boundary theory is given by this quasi-conformal "conformal quantum mechanics" leads us to the conclusion that the bulk theory is not necessarily given by commutative  $\text{AdS}^2$  which should strictly correspond to conformal invariance. In fact, we will argue that noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  is a much better candidate as it shares the same group structure as this quasi-conformal "conformal quantum mechanics" while it is quasi-AdS in the sense that it behaves more and more as commutative  $\text{AdS}^2$  as we approach the boundary. Indeed, both commutative  $\text{AdS}^2$  and noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  are characterized by the same boundary.

It is further observed that the Lorentz group  $SO(1, 2)$  is the fundamental unifying structure of the  $\text{AdS}^2$  spacetime, the noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  space and of the boundary quantum theory. In particular, the algebra of quasi-primary operators at the boundary is seen to be a subalgebra of the operator algebra of noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$ . This leads us to the conclusion/conjecture that the theory in the bulk must be given by noncommutative geometry [10] and not by classical gravity, i.e. it is given by noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  and not by commutative  $\text{AdS}^2$ . Thus, the "quasi-conformal" dAFF conformal quantum mechanics on the boundary is actually dual to the "quasi-AdS" noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  in the bulk.

### Noncommutative Quantum Black Holes

In this article we present the second part of our study in which a synthesis of the principles of noncommutative geometry and the  $\text{AdS}^2/\text{CFT}_1$  correspondence is outlined. In this part the main focus will be on constructing noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  black holes and their matrix models.

First, we note that Connes' noncommutative geometry is understood here as "first quantization" of geometry whereas the corresponding matrix models (typically Yang-Mills matrix models of the IKKT-type [27]) provide "quantum gravity" or "second quantization" of the corresponding geometry.

We begin with a brief presentation of the differential geometry of commutative  $\text{AdS}^2$  and its quantization into the noncommutative geometry of noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$ . Then we present an overview of the  $SO(1, 2)$ -coherent state which allows us to intuitively visualize noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  and provides the natural starting point for the construction of the Weyl map and Moyal-Weyl star product and hence allows us a concrete handle on the commutative limit.

After presenting the noncommutative geometry of noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  we present its IKKT-type Yang-Mills matrix models (which includes a cubic Myers term [28]). Then we briefly discuss the quantum stability of this noncommutative/matrix background and the UV-IR mixing

of the gauge degrees of freedom. The detailed discussion of the phase structure is relegated to the forthcoming third part of our study.

The commutative  $\text{AdS}^2$  black holes are then constructed in the two-dimensional Jackiw-Teitelboim dilaton gravity and the corresponding Hawking process [1] is formulated. The scalar field (the dilaton) plays a fundamental role here in distinguishing between pure  $\text{AdS}^2$  spacetime ( $\text{AdS}_0$ ) and  $\text{AdS}^2$  black hole spacetime ( $\text{AdS}_+$ ). Indeed, these two solutions are locally equivalent and they are only globally/topologically differentiated by the value of the dilaton field [33]. The Hawking process is then formulated between  $\text{AdS}_0$  (ground state) and  $\text{AdS}_+$  (excited state) as essentially an Unruh effect [2].

These  $\text{AdS}^2$  black hole configurations are then quantized (similarly to the quantization of pure  $\text{AdS}^2$  spacetime) to obtain noncommutative black hole configurations around noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$ . Then we derive a four-dimensional Yang-Mills matrix model with mass deformations which "second quantize" these noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  black hole configurations. This IKKT-type Yang-Mills matrix model includes two competing Myers term one responsible for the condensation of pure  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  and the other one responsible for the condensation of the dilaton field. It is argued that the phase diagram of this matrix model features three phases: 1) A gravitational phase ( $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  black hole), 2) A geometric phase ( $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  background) and 3) A Yang-Mills phase (no discernible gravitational/geometrical content in the sense described here).

The Hawking process within the Yang-Mills matrix model is therefore given by an exotic line of discontinuous transitions with a jump in the entropy, characteristic of a 1st order transition, yet with divergent critical fluctuations and a divergent specific heat, characteristic of a 2nd order transition, between the gravitational and geometrical phases.

Another proposal for noncommutative dilaton gravity is also put forward. In this alternative scenario dilaton gravity presents itself as a non-linear sigma model. The vacuum expectation value of the dilaton field should vanish in the pure  $\text{AdS}^2$  background while it will take a non-trivial value in the  $\text{AdS}^2$  black hole background. In the context of the noncommutative non-linear sigma model this transition is exhibited as a third order transition from a disordered one-cut solution (pure  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$ ) to a uniform-ordered two-cut solution ( $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  black hole).

In this article we will also construct the star product in noncommutative black hole configurations using Darboux theorem. This will complete and complement our semi-classical treatment of noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  and noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  black hole and their commutative limits.

We also include two appendices. The first appendix contains a much more detailed discussion of the Hawking

ing process in  $\text{AdS}^2$  spacetime. The second appendix contains a brief discussion of the relation between gauge and coordinate transformations in the context of deformation quantization.

### Phase Structure of the Noncommutative $\text{AdS}_\theta^2 \times \mathbb{S}_N^2$

In the forthcoming third part of our study, in which a synthesis of the principles of noncommutative geometry and the  $\text{AdS}^2/\text{CFT}_1$  correspondence is put forward, we will derive explicitly the phase structure of the IKKT-type Yang-Mills matrix models describing the quantum gravitational fluctuations around the fuzzy sphere  $\mathbb{S}_N^2$ , the noncommutative pseudo-sphere  $\mathbb{H}_\theta^2$ , the noncommutative anti-de Sitter spacetime  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  and the noncommutative near-horizon geometry  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2 \times \mathbb{S}_N^2$ .

### THE COMMUTATIVE $\text{AdS}^2$

The bulk geometry is defined by the metric of  $\text{AdS}^2$  given in the cylindrical coordinates  $(\sigma, \tau)$  by

$$ds^2 = \frac{R^2}{\cos^2 \sigma} (-d\tau^2 + d\sigma^2). \quad (1)$$

The global coordinates of  $\text{AdS}^2$ , which is a spacetime embedded in Minkowski spacetime  $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$  with metric  $\eta = (-1, -1, +1)$ , consists of the two coordinates  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$ . These coordinates are given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= R \frac{\cos \tau}{\cos \sigma} = -\frac{z}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{R^2 - t^2}{z^2} \right) \\ X_2 &= R \frac{\sin \tau}{\cos \sigma} = -R \frac{t}{z} \\ X_3 &= R \tan \sigma = -\frac{z}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{R^2 + t^2}{z^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We can immediately verify that

$$-X_1^2 - X_2^2 + X_3^2 = -R^2. \quad (3)$$

Thus, anti-de Sitter spacetime  $\text{AdS}^2$  is the one-sheeted hyperboloid embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$  with negative constant curvature.

It is easily seen that the group  $SO(2, 1) = SU(1, 1)/\mathbb{Z}_2$  is the group of isometries (translations, dilatations and special conformal transformations) of  $\text{AdS}^2$  in the same way that the group  $SO(3) = SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$  is the group of isometries (rotations) of  $\mathbb{S}^2$ .

For  $\text{AdS}^2$  the ranges of the cylindrical coordinates are  $\sigma \in ]-\pi/2, \pi/2[$  and  $\tau \in [0, 2\pi[$  (which becomes  $[-\infty, +\infty[$  as we unwrap to the universal cover by lifting the restriction on the range of the time coordinate in order to avoid closed timelike curves). Thus,  $\text{AdS}^2$  is a

rectangle with bases at  $\tau = \pm\infty$ , a center at  $\sigma = 0$  and two spatial infinities (boundaries) at  $\sigma \rightarrow \pm\pi/2$ .

The higher-dimensional generalization of equation (2) gives the global system of coordinates  $(\tau, \sigma, \hat{x}_i)$  on  $\text{AdS}^{d+1}$ . Explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= R \frac{\cos \tau}{\cos \sigma} = -\frac{z}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{R^2 + \vec{x}^2 - t^2}{z^2} \right) \\ X_2 &= R \frac{\sin \tau}{\cos \sigma} = -R \frac{t}{z} \\ X_i &= R \tan \sigma \hat{x}_i = -R \frac{x_i}{z}, \quad 2 < i < d+2 \\ X_{d+2} &= R \tan \sigma \hat{x}_{d+2} = -\frac{z}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{R^2 - \vec{x}^2 + t^2}{z^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Thus, for  $\text{AdS}^{d+1}$  with dimension  $d+1 > 2$  the range of the cylindrical coordinate  $\sigma$  is instead given by  $\sigma \in [0, \pi/2[$  (due to the extra angular variable  $\hat{x}_i$  which describes a sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , i.e.  $\sum_i \hat{x}_i^2 = 1$ ). Thus,  $\text{AdS}^{d+1}$  is a cylinder with bases at  $\tau = \pm\infty$ , a center at  $\sigma = 0$ , a single spatial infinity at  $\sigma \rightarrow \pi/2$ , while going around the cylinder corresponds to the angular variable  $\hat{x}_i$ .

The fact that we have for  $\text{AdS}^2$  two disconnected one-dimensional boundaries at  $\sigma = \pm\pi/2$  makes this case very different from higher dimensional anti-de Sitter spacetimes and is probably what makes the  $\text{AdS}^2/\text{CFT}_1$  correspondence the most mysterious case among all examples of the  $\text{AdS}/\text{CFT}$  correspondence. For example, see [5, 6] and [20, 21].

The (Euclidean)  $\text{AdS}_2$  is the hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^2$  (a pseudosphere) embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{1,2}$  with metric  $\eta = (-1, +1, +1)$  which is obtained by the Wick rotation  $X_2 \rightarrow -iX_2$  and  $\tau \rightarrow -i\tau$ . The isometry group is  $SO(1, 2)$  and therefore all group theory considerations remain virtually unchanged.

The metric of Euclidean  $\text{AdS}_2$  in the so-called Poincaré coordinates  $(t, z)$ , defined also by equation (2), is given by

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{R^2}{z^2} (dz^2 + dt^2) \\ &= R^2 \left( \frac{du^2}{u^2} + u^2 dt^2 \right), \quad u = \frac{1}{z}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The Poincaré patch is perhaps the most important system of coordinates on  $\text{AdS}$  spacetime. The point  $u = \infty$  or equivalently the point  $z = 0$  is the conformal boundary (the spatial infinities  $\sigma \rightarrow \pm\pi/2$ ) whereas the point  $u = 0$  or equivalently  $z = \infty$  is the horizon which is a single point in the Euclidean case. In the Minkowski case the Poincaré coordinates are called a patch because they cover only half of the spacetime which obviously can be extended beyond the horizon.

In the case of a higher dimensional anti-de Sitter spacetime  $\text{AdS}^{d+1}$  the conformal boundary  $z = 0$  is a Minkowski spacetime  $\mathbb{M}^d$  with metric  $\eta =$

$(-1, +1, \dots, +1)$ . Indeed, we can think of  $u$  as the energy scale of the conformal field theory living on the Minkowski spacetime  $\mathbb{M}^d$  which is foliated at  $z = 1/u$ . Alternatively,  $z$  and  $u$  can be thought of as a lattice spacing and an ultraviolet cutoff respectively after Euclidean continuation.

In the case of Euclidean  $\text{AdS}_2$  the global coordinates are given explicitly by the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= R \frac{\cosh \tau}{\cos \sigma} = -\frac{z}{2} \left(1 + \frac{R^2 + t^2}{z^2}\right) \\ X_2 &= R \frac{\sinh \tau}{\cos \sigma} = -R \frac{t}{z} \\ X_3 &= R \tan \sigma = -\frac{z}{2} \left(1 - \frac{R^2 - t^2}{z^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The Euclidean  $\text{AdS}_2$  is then given by the pseudosphere

$$-X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_3^2 = -R^2. \quad (7)$$

The isometry group is still given by  $SO(1,2) = SU(1,1)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

### THE NONCOMMUTATIVE $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$

All Poisson manifolds are characterized by a symplectic two-form which can be quantized in the usual way. A celebrated example is the fuzzy sphere [22, 23]. The noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  is in fact a very close relative of the fuzzy sphere [11–14]. Indeed, in Euclidean signature the noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  is a pseudo-sphere embedded in Minkowski spacetime  $\mathbb{M}^{1,2}$ . As a consequence, the symmetry group underlying the fuzzy sphere is the rotation group  $SO(3) = SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$  whereas the symmetry group underlying the noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  is the Lorentz group  $SO(1,2) = SU(1,1)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ . The Lorentz group  $SO(1,2)$  is a subgroup of the  $d = 1$  conformal group  $SL(2, R)$ . Thus, familiarity with the representation theory of the Lorentz group  $SO(1,2)$  is important. See for example [8, 9] and [15–17].

The noncommutative geometry of  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  is defined in terms of a spectral triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \Delta)$  consisting of an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and a Laplacian  $\Delta$  [10]. In here it will be sufficient to specify the geometry in terms of an appropriate set of coordinate coproducts with a suitable commutative or semi-classical limit.

Euclidean anti-de Sitter space  $\text{AdS}^2$  is the co-adjoint orbit  $SO(1,2)/SO(2)$  which admits a non-degenerate symplectic two-form  $\omega$  given by

$$\omega = \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{R}{\cos^2 \sigma} d\tau \wedge d\sigma. \quad (8)$$

This two-form is closed, i.e.  $d\omega = 0$  and its quantization (which leads to the quantization of the deformation parameter  $\kappa$ ) yields the noncommutative anti-de Sitter space  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$ . Indeed, this two-form can be inverted

(since it is non-degenerate) allowing us to define a Poisson structure which can be quantized in the usual way by replacing the Poisson brackets with commutators. The Poisson structure on anti-de Sitter space is given explicitly (in the coordinates  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ ) by

$$\{f, g\} = \kappa \frac{\cos^2 \sigma}{R} (\partial_\tau f \partial_\sigma g - \partial_\sigma f \partial_\tau g). \quad (9)$$

We compute immediately the fundamental Poisson brackets

$$\{X^a, X^b\} = \kappa f^{ab}{}_c X^c. \quad (10)$$

The structure constants are given by  $f^{ab}{}_c = \epsilon^{ab}{}_c$  for Euclidean  $\text{AdS}^2$ . Canonical quantization proceeds now in the usual way by introducing a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , replacing the coordinate functions  $X^a$  with coordinate operators  $\hat{X}^a$  and replacing the fundamental Poisson brackets  $\{.,.\}$  with the fundamental commutators  $[.,.]/i$ . We obtain then the commutation relations

$$[\hat{X}^a, \hat{X}^b] = i\kappa f^{ab}{}_c \hat{X}^c. \quad (11)$$

The noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  is also given by the embedding relation (which generalizes (7))

$$-\hat{X}_1^2 + \hat{X}_2^2 + \hat{X}_3^2 = -R^2. \quad (12)$$

More explicitly, the coordinate operators  $\hat{X}^a$  are given by

$$\hat{X}^a = \kappa K^a. \quad (13)$$

The  $K^a$  are the generators of the Lie group  $SO(1,2)$  in the irreducible representations given by the discrete series  $D_k^\pm$  with  $k = \{1/2, 1, 2/3, 2, 3/2, \dots\}$ . These are infinite dimensional unitary irreducible representations corresponding to the lowest and highest weight states given respectively by the Hilbert spaces

$$\mathcal{H}_k^+ = \{|km\rangle; m = k, k+1, k+2, \dots\}. \quad (14)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_k^- = \{|km\rangle; m = -k, -(k+1), -(k+2), \dots\}. \quad (15)$$

The Casimir is given by  $C = -K_1^2 + K_2^2 + K_3^2 = -k(k-1)$  whereas the action of the generators  $K^1$  and  $K^\pm = -K^3 \pm iK^2$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} K^1 |km\rangle &= m |km\rangle \\ K^+ |km\rangle &= \sqrt{m(m+1) - k(k-1)} |km+1\rangle \\ K^- |km\rangle &= \sqrt{m(m-1) - k(k-1)} |km-1\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The integer  $k$  must be quantized in such a way that we have the relation [14]

$$\frac{R^2}{\kappa^2} = k(k-1). \quad (17)$$

The commutative limit  $\kappa \rightarrow 0$  corresponds therefore to  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

The radius operator is obviously defined by

$$\hat{u} = \frac{1}{\hat{z}} = \frac{\hat{X}_1 - \hat{X}_3}{R^2}. \quad (18)$$

We compute immediately the expectation value  $\langle km|\hat{u}|km\rangle = \kappa m/R^2$  which approaches  $\pm\infty$  as  $m \rightarrow \pm\infty$  corresponding to the two representations  $D_k^\pm$  [14]. These two limits  $m \rightarrow \pm\infty$  correspond to the two boundaries of noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$ .

### THE $SO(1,2)$ -COHERENT STATES

In this section (which can be skipped on a first read) we will present the  $SO(1,2)$ -coherent state which will allow us to intuitively visualize noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$ . The over-complete basis of coherent states provides also a natural starting point for the construction of the Weyl map and the corresponding Moyal-Weyl star product (using also Darboux theorem) and hence allows us a concrete handle on the commutative limit.

Another very useful system of coordinates on Euclidean  $\text{AdS}^2$  or  $\mathbb{H}^2$  is the pseudosphere coordinates and their Poincare disk (complex) coordinates which should be thought of as the corresponding stereographic projection.

Recall the spherical coordinates  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  and their stereographic projection (complex) coordinate  $\xi$  given respectively by (with  $n_i = X_i/R$ )

$$n_1 = \sin \theta \cos \phi, \quad n_2 = \sin \theta \sin \phi, \quad n_3 = \cos \theta. \quad (19)$$

$$\xi = -\frac{n_1 - in_2}{1 + n_3} = -\tan \frac{\theta}{2} \exp(-i\phi). \quad (20)$$

Similarly, the pseudosphere coordinates  $\tau$  and  $\phi$  and the Poincare disk (complex) coordinates  $\xi$  on  $\text{AdS}^2$  are given by (with  $n_i = X_{i+1}/R$  and  $n_0 > 0$ )

$$n_0 = \cosh \tau, \quad n_1 = \sinh \tau \cos \phi, \quad n_2 = \sinh \tau \sin \phi. \quad (21)$$

$$\xi = \frac{n_1 - in_2}{1 + n_0} = \tanh \frac{\tau}{2} \exp(-i\phi). \quad (22)$$

We compute the metric

$$ds^2 = d\tau^2 + \sinh^2 \tau d\phi^2 = \frac{4}{(1 - |\xi|^2)^2} (d\xi_1^2 + d\xi_2^2). \quad (23)$$

From this we obtain the measure (with  $\xi = \rho \exp(i\kappa)$ )

$$\sinh \tau d\tau d\phi = \frac{4}{(1 - |\xi|^2)^2} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 = \frac{4\rho}{(1 - \rho^2)^2} d\rho d\kappa. \quad (24)$$

The upper sheet of the hyperboloid  $\mathbb{H}^2$  ( $n_0 \geq 0$ ) which is precisely Euclidean  $\text{AdS}^2$  is then isomorphic to the disk  $|\xi| \leq 1$ .

Coherent states are quantum states in the Hilbert space with the most classical properties. They can be built in a straightforward way for coadjoint orbits such as  $\mathbb{S}^2 = SU(2)/U(1)$  and  $\text{AdS}^2 = SU(1,1)/U(1)$  in which there is an intimate relation between the geometry of the underlying manifold and the geometry of the isometry group acting on it [54]. In this case the coherent states represent in a precise sense the points of the quantized geometry.

Thus, starting from any point  $\vec{n}_0$  in the manifold we can reach any other point  $\vec{n}$  (since the action of the group is transitive) through the application of an element  $g$  of the group  $G = SU(2)$  (for the sphere) and  $G = SU(1,1)$  (for the pseudosphere), i.e.  $\vec{n} = g\vec{n}_0$ . The point  $\vec{n}_0$  is associated with a state  $|\psi_0\rangle$  of minimum uncertainty in the appropriate irreducible representation of the group. For example,  $|\psi_0\rangle = |j, -j\rangle$  for the sphere and  $|\psi_0\rangle = |k, k\rangle$  for the pseudosphere, i.e.  $\vec{n}_0$  corresponds to the north pole  $(0, 0, 1)$  on the sphere and to the "north pole"  $(1, 0, 0)$  on the pseudosphere. The state  $|\psi\rangle$  associated with the rotated point  $\vec{n}$  is then given by  $U(g)|\psi_0\rangle$  where  $U(g)$  is the representation of the group element  $g$  in the considered representation. We write

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_0\rangle &= |\vec{n}_0\rangle \\ |\psi\rangle &= U(g)|\psi_0\rangle \equiv |\vec{n}\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The most important case for us here is the case of the non-compact group  $SU(1,1)$  in the infinite dimensional irreducible representations given by the positive discrete series  $D_k^+$ . These are lowest state representations characterized by an integer Bargmann index  $k$ . The Lie algebra is given explicitly (with  $K^1 = L_0$ ,  $K^2 = L_1$ ,  $K^3 = L_2$ )

$$[L_0, L_1] = iL_2, \quad [L_0, L_2] = -iL_1, \quad [L_1, L_2] = -iL_0. \quad (26)$$

Equivalently (with  $L_\pm = \pm iK^\pm$ )

$$[L_0, L_\pm] = \pm L_\pm, \quad [L_+, L_-] = -2L_0. \quad (27)$$

The Casimir is given by

$$C = -L_0^2 + L_1^2 + L_2^2 \equiv -\hat{C}. \quad (28)$$

The states of the irreducible representation  $D_k^+$  are given by (with  $k = 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}, \dots$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{C}|k, m\rangle &= k(k-1)|k, m\rangle \\ L_0|k, m\rangle &= (k+m)|k, m\rangle, \quad m \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The group  $SU(1,1)$  is the set of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $g$  with unit determinant which satisfy  $gJg^\dagger = J$  where  $J = \text{diag}(1, -1)$ . For  $SU(2)$ ,  $J = \text{diag}(1, 1)$ . Thus,  $SU(2)$  is the set of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $g$  with unit determinant which leave the form  $|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2$  invariant whereas  $SU(1,1)$  is the set of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $g$  with unit determinant which leave the form  $|z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2$  invariant. We can bring  $g \in SU(1,1)$  into the form

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det g = |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = 1. \quad (30)$$

The anti-de Sitter spacetime  $\text{AdS}^2$  is the coadjoint orbit  $SU(1,1)/U(1)$  which means that points  $\vec{n}$  of the AdS spacetime are actually equivalence classes  $[gh]$  where  $g \in SU(1,1)$  and  $h$  is an element of the stability group  $U(1)$  given explicitly by

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (31)$$

This can be seen explicitly from the relation

$$gL_0g^{-1} = -L_0n^a, \quad g^{-1} = Jg^\dagger J. \quad (32)$$

The  $L_0$  is the generator of the stability group  $U(1)$  and it is seen that its orbit given by the coadjoint action  $gL_0g^{-1}$  for some  $g \in SU(1,1)$  and any  $h \in U(1)$  is labeled by the point  $\vec{n}$  of  $\text{AdS}^2$ . Indeed, this orbit is spanned under the right action  $g \rightarrow gh$  and since  $hL_0h^{-1} = L_0$  the point  $\vec{n}$  of  $\text{AdS}^2$  can be associated uniquely to the class  $[gh]$  of  $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ .

In a general irreducible representation of the group  $SU(1,1)$  corresponding to a discrete series with Bargmann index  $k$  the above equation reads

$$U(g)L_0U(g^{-1}) = -L_0n^a. \quad (33)$$

Thus, if the fiducial coherent state  $|\psi_0\rangle = |k, k\rangle$  is an eigenstate of  $L_0$  with eigenvalue  $k$  then the rotated coherent state  $|\psi\rangle = U(g)|\psi_0\rangle$  is an eigenstate of  $-L_0n^a$  with the same eigenvalue  $k$ , i.e.  $-L_0n^a$  is a rotated  $L_0$ . We have then

$$L_0|\psi_0\rangle = k|\psi_0\rangle \Rightarrow -L_0n^a|\psi\rangle = k|\psi\rangle. \quad (34)$$

Similarly, since the fiducial vector satisfies  $L_-|\psi_0\rangle = 0$  (because it is a lowest state vector) the rotated coherent state must satisfy  $U(g)L_-U(g^{-1})|\psi\rangle = 0$ .

Similar considerations hold on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  which is the coadjoint orbit  $SU(2)/U(1)$ . Thus, points  $\vec{n}$  of the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  are associated uniquely to the equivalence classes  $[gh]$  of  $SU(2)/U(1)$  via the relation  $g\sigma_3g^{-1} = \sigma_a n^a$ .

In the fundamental representation of  $SU(1,1)$  (which is non-unitary) we have  $L_0 = \sigma_3/2$ ,  $L_1 = i\sigma_1/2$  and  $L_2 = i\sigma_2/2$  and hence the above relation takes the form (noting also that  $J \equiv \sigma_3$ )

$$gg^\dagger\sigma_3 = \sigma_3n_0 - i\sigma_1n_1 - i\sigma_2. \quad (35)$$

By choosing  $h$  appropriately we can choose the representative element  $g_{\vec{n}}$  of the equivalence class  $[gh]$  to be of the form (with  $\alpha > 0$  corresponding to the upper sheet of the hyperboloid)

$$g_{\vec{n}} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & \alpha \end{pmatrix}. \quad (36)$$

By substituting in the previous equation we obtain immediately

$$n_0 = \alpha^2 + |\beta|^2, \quad n_1 = 2\alpha\beta_2, \quad n_2 = 2\alpha\beta_1. \quad (37)$$

After some algebra we find the solution

$$\alpha = \cosh \frac{\tau}{2}, \quad \beta = i \sinh \frac{\tau}{2} e^{-i\phi}. \quad (38)$$

Hence the representative element  $g_{\vec{n}}$  of the coset  $[gh]$  is parametrized by the local coordinates  $\tau$  and  $\phi$  on the AdS spacetime as follows (with  $m_1 = \sin \phi$ ,  $m_2 = -\cos \phi$  and  $\zeta = -i\tau(m_1 - im_2)/2$ )

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\vec{n}} &= \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \frac{\tau}{2} & i \sinh \frac{\tau}{2} e^{-i\phi} \\ -i \sinh \frac{\tau}{2} e^{i\phi} & \cosh \frac{\tau}{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \exp(\tau(m_1 L_1 + m_2 L_2)) \\ &= \exp(\zeta L_+ - \bar{\zeta} L_-). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Similar considerations on  $\mathbb{S}^2 = SU(2)/U(1)$  will lead to the group element (with  $m_1 = \sin \phi$ ,  $m_2 = -\cos \phi$  and  $\zeta = i\theta(m_1 - im_2)/2$ )

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\vec{n}} &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} & -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\phi} \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \exp(i\theta(m_1 L_1 + m_2 L_2)) \\ &= \exp(\zeta L_+ - \bar{\zeta} L_-). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

The group  $SU(1,1)$  is a real form of the complex group  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ . Thus, any element  $g_{\vec{n}}$  of  $SU(1,1)$  understood as an element of the complex group  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  admits the so-called Gaussian decomposition, viz

$$g_{\vec{n}} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = z_+ h z_- . \quad (41)$$

$$z_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta/\delta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\delta & 0 \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix}, \quad z_- = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \gamma/\delta & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (42)$$

For our case, we compute immediately

$$\begin{aligned} z_+ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \tanh \frac{\tau}{2} e^{-i\phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1 + \tanh \frac{\tau}{2} e^{-i\phi} L_+ \\ &= \exp(\xi L_+) \\ h &= \begin{pmatrix} 1/\cosh \frac{\tau}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \cosh \frac{\tau}{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \exp(-\eta K_0) \\ z_- &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -i \tanh \frac{\tau}{2} e^{i\phi} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1 + \tanh \frac{\tau}{2} e^{i\phi} L_- \\ &= \exp(\bar{\xi} L_-). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

In the second equation above  $\eta$  is given by

$$\eta = 2 \ln \cosh \frac{\tau}{2} = -\ln(1 - |\xi|^2). \quad (44)$$

The representative element  $g_{\vec{n}}$  of the coset  $[gh]$  associated with the point  $\vec{n}$  of  $\text{AdS}^2$  is given then explicitly by

$$g_{\vec{n}} = \exp(\xi L_+) \exp(-\eta L_0) \exp(\bar{\xi} L_-). \quad (45)$$

Although this result is obtained in the fundamental representation of the group  $SU(1,1)$  (since the generators  $L$ 's were assumed to be proportional to the Pauli matrices) it is in fact valid (since the parameters are independent of the representation) in any irreducible representation of the group corresponding to a discrete series with Bargmann index  $k$ . We have then the so-called displacement operator  $U^{(k)}(g_{\vec{n}})$  associated with the element  $g_{\vec{n}}$  of the coset  $[gh]$  or equivalently with the point  $\vec{n}$  of  $\text{AdS}^2$  given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} U^{(k)}(g_{\vec{n}}) &= \exp(\zeta L_+ - \bar{\zeta} L_-) \\ &= \exp(\xi L_+) \exp(-\eta L_0) \exp(\bar{\xi} L_-). \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

We can immediately compute the coherent state (using also  $L_-|k, k\rangle = 0$  and  $L_0|k, k\rangle = k|k, k\rangle$ )

$$\begin{aligned} |\vec{n}\rangle &= U^{(k)}(g_{\vec{n}})|\vec{n}_0\rangle \\ &= \exp(\xi L_+) \exp(-\eta L_0) \exp(\bar{\xi} L_-)|k, k\rangle \\ &= (1 - |\xi|^2)^k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n+2k)}{n!\Gamma(2k)}} \xi^n |k, k+n\rangle \\ &\equiv |\xi\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

In the above equation we have also used the relation

$$|k, k+n\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(2k)}{n!\Gamma(n+2k)}} (L_+)^n |k, k\rangle. \quad (48)$$

We compute the resolution of unity

$$\int d\mu_k(\xi) |\xi\rangle \langle \xi| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |k, k+n\rangle \langle k, k+n| = 1. \quad (49)$$

In deriving this equation we use also the following result

$$\int d\mu_k(\xi) \xi^n \bar{\xi}^m (1 - |\xi|^2)^{2k} = \frac{n!\Gamma(2k)}{\Gamma(n+2k)} \delta_{n,m}. \quad (50)$$

The measure is then given explicitly by

$$d\mu_k(\xi) = \frac{2k-1}{\pi} \frac{d\xi_1 d\xi_2}{(1 - |\xi|^2)^2}. \quad (51)$$

Similarly, we compute the inner product between any two coherent states to be given by

$$\langle \xi_1 | \xi_2 \rangle = \frac{(1 - |\xi_1|^2)^k (1 - |\xi_2|^2)^k}{(1 - \bar{\xi}_1 \xi_2)^{2k}}. \quad (52)$$

We conclude with the very important result

$$\langle \xi | L_a | \xi \rangle = k n_a. \quad (53)$$

This shows explicitly that the coordinate operators on the noncommutative  $\text{AdS}^2_\theta$  are given precisely by the operators  $L_a/k$  which were obtained previously by a direct quantization of the symplectic structure on  $\text{AdS}^2$ .

### YANG-MILLS MATRIX MODELS, UV-IR MIXING AND PHASE STRUCTURE

We can think of "noncommutative geometry" as the correct theory of "classical gravity" (first quantization) while the corresponding "matrix models" we can think of them as the corresponding theory of "quantum gravity" (second quantization).

For example, it can be shown that Yang-Mills matrix models captures the noncommutative geometry of the fuzzy sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2_N$  as well as the corresponding geometrical/gravitational quantum fluctuations about this background [24–26]. These Yang-Mills matrix models are essentially truncation of the IKKT matrix model [27] to lower dimensions with and without supersymmetric mass deformations. This is a typical example of emergent geometry and emergent gravity where the geometrical/gravitational degrees of freedom are mostly encoded in a gauge theory.

Another example is the noncommutative  $\text{AdS}^2_\theta$  in Euclidean signature which is given by equations (11) and (12). This space is really a quantized pseudo-sphere, i.e. a quantized Hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^2$ . It is not difficult to convince ourselves that one must start in this case from an IKKT-type model given by the Yang-Mills matrix model

$$\begin{aligned} S[D] &= N \text{Tr} \left( -\frac{1}{4} [D_a, D_b] [D^a, D^b] + \frac{2i}{3} \kappa f_{abc} D^a D^b D^c \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta D_a D^a \right). \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

The quadratic term is added for completeness. The cubic term is effectively Myers effect [28] which is responsible here for the condensation of matrix/noncommutative geometry into classical/commutative geometry. The ambient metric is  $\eta = (-1, +1, +1)$ ,  $D_a = (D_a)^\dagger$  are three matrices in  $\text{Mat}(\infty, \mathbb{C})$  and  $f_{abc}$  are the structure constants of  $SO(1,2)$ . Hence this model is invariant under  $SO(1,2)$  rotations as well as under gauge transformations  $D_a \rightarrow U D_a U^\dagger$  and under translations  $D_a \rightarrow D_a + c$ .

At the level of the matrix model the two main differences between the noncommutative  $\text{AdS}^2_\theta$  case and the fuzzy sphere case are:

1. The trace  $\text{Tr}$  is infinite dimensional.
2. The action lives in an ambient space with Lorentzian signature.

Regarding the second difference we can first note that in the geometric AdS<sup>2</sup> phase the Yang-Mills term of the matrix model is positive definite despite the ambient Lorentzian signature. This will be discussed further below.

Furthermore, since it is really hard to see how does the matrix model (54) know about the ambient Lorentzian signature, it seems that this matrix model actually reproduces the two spaces:

$$-\hat{X}_1^2 + \hat{X}_2^2 + \hat{X}_3^2 = -R^2, \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (55)$$

$$-\hat{X}_1^2 + \hat{X}_2^2 + \hat{X}_3^2 = -R^2, \mathbb{M}^{1,2}. \quad (56)$$

The first space is a two-sheeted hyperboloid with a non-constant curvature, some of its Killing vectors fail to leave the ambient metric invariant [7], whereas the second space is the pseudo-sphere or the hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^2$ , i.e. AdS<sup>2</sup> in Euclidean signature which is a space of constant curvature. The first space is seen to lie midway between the sphere and the pseudo-sphere and it is quantized by means of the non-unitary finite irreducible representations  $F_k$  of  $SO(1,2)$  as opposed to the discrete series  $D_k^\pm$  used to quantize the pseudo-sphere AdS<sup>2</sup>.

The first difference between AdS <sub>$\theta$</sub> <sup>2</sup> and  $\mathbb{S}_N^2$  is equally important and equally serious. Indeed, the infinite dimensionality of the matrix algebra (and the fact that the operator Laplacian has the same spectrum as the commutative one [40]) makes the noncommutative AdS<sup>2</sup> quite similar to its commutative counterpart in stark contrast with the case of the fuzzy sphere  $\mathbb{S}_N^2$ .

In the following we need to regularize the matrix model (54) by cutting off the trace Tr in such a way that only  $N_k = 2k - 1$  states in the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_k^\pm$  are included where  $k$  is the pseudo-spin quantum number associated with the irreducible representation  $D_k^\pm$  of  $SO(1,2)$ . Thus,  $\text{Tr} = \text{Tr}_k$  where  $\text{Tr}_k \mathbf{1} = N_k$ . In analogy with the fuzzy sphere case the normalization  $N$  in front of the action (54) will be chosen to be precisely equal to  $N_k$ . We employ then in (54) the regularization

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} &\longrightarrow \text{Tr}_k \\ N &\longrightarrow N_k = 2k - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

The variation of the action and the equation of motion are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S &= -N \text{Tr} \delta D^a \left( [D^b, F_{ab}] - 2\beta D_a \right) \equiv 0 \\ \Rightarrow [D^b, F_{ab}] - 2\beta D_a &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

The field strength  $F_{ab}$  of the gauge field  $D_a$  is given by

$$F_{ab} = [D_a, D_b] - i\kappa f_{abc} D^c. \quad (59)$$

A solution of these equations of motion is given by

$$D^a = \phi K^a, \quad \phi = \kappa \varphi. \quad (60)$$

The order parameter  $\varphi$  is given by (using among other things  $\epsilon^{abc} \epsilon_{abd} = -2\delta_d^c$  and  $\tau = -\beta/\kappa^2$ )

$$\varphi^3 - \varphi^2 + \tau \varphi = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi_0 = 0, \quad \varphi_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4\tau}). \quad (61)$$

The classical potential, i.e. the value of the action in this configuration  $\phi$  is given by (with  $C = K_a K^a = -k(k - 1) \cdot \mathbf{1}$  and  $k(k - 1) = R^2/\kappa^2$ )

$$\begin{aligned} V &= N_k \text{Tr} K_a K^a \left[ -\frac{1}{2}\phi^4 + \frac{2}{3}\kappa\phi^3 + \beta\phi^2 \right] \\ &= 2N_k^2 \kappa^2 R^2 \left[ \frac{1}{4}\varphi^4 - \frac{1}{3}\varphi^3 + \frac{1}{2}\tau\varphi^2 \right] \\ &= 2N_k^2 \kappa^4 k(k - 1) \left[ \frac{1}{4}\varphi^4 - \frac{1}{3}\varphi^3 + \frac{1}{2}\tau\varphi^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

For stability (the sign of the quartic term needs to be positive) the Casimir operator  $C = K_a K^a$  is required to be negative which selects the discrete representations  $D_k^\pm$  of  $SO(1,2)$  (used for AdS<sup>2</sup>) as well as the finite representations  $F_k$  (which are non-unitary and can be used to define a matrix approximation of (55)). Thus, in the second line in the above equation we have assumed that  $K^a$  are the generators of  $SO(1,2)$  in the irreducible representations given by the discrete series  $D_k^\pm$ . We can also consider the case of reducible representations of  $SO(1,2)$  consisting of direct sum of different discrete series.

Before we proceed to quantum corrections two quick but important remarks are in order. First, the two results (61) and (62) are identical to those obtained in the fuzzy sphere case [29] and as a consequence similarity between the phase diagrams ensues. Second, the conditions (11) and (12) are solved by (60) generating effectively both spaces (55) and (56). We can think of (55) as a non-unitary realization of noncommutative AdS<sup>2</sup>.

The calculation of the one-loop corrections in the case of the noncommutative anti-de Sitter space AdS <sub>$\theta$</sub> <sup>2</sup> proceeds in exactly the same way as the analogous calculation in the case of the fuzzy sphere  $\mathbb{S}_N^2$  [30]. The only difference here lies in the signature of the metric. Furthermore, these IKKT-type Yang-Mills models, such as (54), are generally characterized by one-loop dominance, i.e. quantum corrections are dominated by the one-loop result which makes this calculation all the more fundamental. For example, this one-loop dominance is studied in the case of the fuzzy sphere matrix model in [31].

Quantization around the AdS <sub>$\theta$</sub> <sup>2</sup> background (60), using the background field method gives, after gauge fixing in the Lorentz gauge, the effective action

$$\Gamma = S + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \log \Omega - \text{tr} \log \mathcal{D}^2. \quad (63)$$

The Laplacian operator  $\Omega$  on the space of field configurations is given explicitly by the formula

$$\Omega_{ab} = \mathcal{D}_c^2 \delta_{ab} - 2\mathcal{F}_{ab} + 2\beta\delta_{ab}. \quad (64)$$

The first term is due to the gauge field while the second term is due to the ghost field. The notation  $\mathcal{D}_a$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{ab}$  means that the covariant derivative  $D_a$  and the curvature  $F_{ab}$  act by commutators.

It can be argued that the contributions from all the terms in the Laplacian  $\Omega$  except the first are subleading [30]. In particular, the effect of the quadratic term in (54) (the  $\beta$ -term) is only to extend slightly the region of the AdS<sup>2</sup> phase. Thus, the effective potential (per one degree of freedom) in the configuration (60) is given explicitly by

$$2\frac{V}{N_k^2} = \tilde{\kappa}^4 \left[ \frac{1}{4}\varphi^4 - \frac{1}{3}\varphi^3 + \frac{1}{2}\tau\varphi^2 \right] + \log \varphi^2. \quad (65)$$

The scaled coupling constant  $\tilde{\kappa}$  is given explicitly by

$$\tilde{\kappa}^4 = 4\kappa^4 k(k-1). \quad (66)$$

This is the collapsed coupling constant in the large  $k$  or equivalently  $N_k = 2k - 1$  limit (commutative limit). Indeed, by expanding the IKKT-type Yang-Mills matrix model (54) around the background (60) we obtain a  $U(1)$  gauge field on the noncommutative anti-de Sitter space AdS <sub>$\theta$</sub> <sup>2</sup> where the gauge coupling constant  $g$  is inversely proportional to  $\tilde{\kappa}^2$ . The complete gauge dynamics found when expanding around the background (60) is a noncommutative  $U(1)$  gauge field on AdS <sub>$\theta$</sub> <sup>2</sup> coupled to a scalar field normal to AdS <sub>$\theta$</sub> <sup>2</sup>, i.e. a noncommutative Higgs system on AdS <sub>$\theta$</sub> <sup>2</sup>.

This is very similar to what happens in the case of the fuzzy sphere  $\mathbb{S}_N^2$  where there it is also found that a UV-IR mixing behavior exists in the large  $N$  limit [30]. However, in the case of noncommutative anti-de Sitter space AdS <sub>$\theta$</sub> <sup>2</sup> the spectrum of the Laplacian operator on noncommutative AdS <sub>$\theta$</sub> <sup>2</sup> is found to be exactly identical to the spectrum of the Laplacian operator on commutative AdS<sup>2</sup> and as a consequence it is conjectured that there is no UV-UR mixing on AdS <sub>$\theta$</sub> <sup>2</sup>.

In summary, the three results (61), (62) and (65) are exactly identical to those obtained in the fuzzy sphere case [29] and as a consequence we have an exact analogy between the phase diagrams in the two cases.

The commutative limit of the above IKKT-type Yang-Mills matrix model (54) with an emphasis on the gravitational degrees of freedom (emergent matrix or noncommutative gravity) can also be pursued along the lines of [52, 53].

## AdS<sub>2</sub> BLACK HOLES AND THEIR EVAPORATION

It is well known that in two dimensions all negatively curved spacetimes are locally AdS<sup>2</sup> and thus stable black hole solutions in two dimensions do not exist in a naive way. This is similar to the fact that in three dimensions all negatively curved spacetimes are locally AdS<sup>3</sup> and thus stable black hole solutions in three dimensions do not also exist in a naive way. However, black hole solutions in two and three dimensions exist. Indeed, in three dimensions the celebrated BTZ (Banados, Teitelboim and Zanelli) black hole [4] is a stable black hole solution which differs from AdS<sup>3</sup> by global identification and in two dimensions the SS (Spradlin and Strominger) black hole [5, 6] is also a stable black solution which differs from AdS<sup>2</sup> by global identification. These black holes are therefore locally identical with the corresponding anti-de Sitter spacetimes and differ from these spacetimes only topologically.

As we will see in the following dilaton gravity in two dimensions provides another way of obtaining stable AdS<sup>2</sup> black holes which are locally identical to AdS<sup>2</sup> spacetime but differ from it only globally precisely through the value of the dilaton field.

We start with dilaton gravity theory in four dimensions given by the action [32, 33]

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-\det g^{(4)}} e^{-2\phi} (R^{(4)} - F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}). \quad (67)$$

A closely related low-energy effective actions of string theory with similar black holes physics are found in [34, 35]).

A spherically symmetric non-singular black hole solution of the equations of motion is given by [32]

$$F_{ij} = \frac{Q_M}{r^2} \epsilon_{ijk} n_k, \quad Q_M = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} Q. \quad (68)$$

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{r_+}{r}\right) d\tau^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{r_+}{r}\right)\left(1 - \frac{r_-}{r}\right)} + r^2 d\Omega_2^2. \quad (69)$$

$$e^{2(\phi - \phi_0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_-}{r}}}. \quad (70)$$

The inner radius  $r_-$  and the outer radius  $r_+$  are given in terms of the mass  $M$  and the charge  $Q_M$  of the black hole by the relations [32]

$$2M = r_+, \quad Q^2 = r_+ r_-. \quad (71)$$

The temperature and the entropy of the black hole are given on the other hand by the relations [32]

$$T = \frac{1}{4\pi r_+} \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_-}{r_+}}, \quad S_{\text{entro}} = \pi r_+^2 \quad (72)$$

The extremal limit  $T \rightarrow 0$  of this black hole configuration is then given by  $r_+ = r_- = Q$  or equivalently  $M = Q/2$ .

The spatial sections of this black hole solution coincide with those of the Reissner-Nordstrom black hole [3]. However, this solution corresponds to a non-singular black hole where the spacetime manifold is cut at  $r = r_-$  while it is asymptotically flat. Indeed, the maximal extension of this metric yields a Penrose diagram identical to that of the Schwarzschild solution except that the singularity  $r = 0$  is replaced by the boundary of the manifold at  $r = r_-$  [32].

Furthermore, it is not difficult to show that the near-horizon geometry of this extremal black hole is given by  $\text{AdS}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ .

By performing spherical reduction of the action (67) on a sphere of constant radius  $r = Q$  we obtain the action (with  $\Lambda = 1/2Q$ )

$$S = 4\pi Q^2 \int d^2x \sqrt{-\det g^{(2)}} e^{-2\phi} (R^{(2)} + 2\Lambda^2). \quad (73)$$

This is called the Jackiw-Teitelboim action [36] which is one of the most important dilatonic gravity models in two dimensions.

The most general solution of the equations of motion stemming from the Jackiw-Teitelboim action (see [33] and references therein) is given by the metric field (in the so-called Schwarzschild coordinates) and the dilaton field (with  $\Phi = \exp(-2\phi)$ )

$$ds^2 = -(\Lambda^2 r^2 - a^2) d\tau^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\Lambda^2 r^2 - a^2}. \quad (74)$$

$$e^{2(\phi - \phi_0)} = \frac{1}{\Lambda r} \iff \Phi = e^{-2\phi} = \Phi_0 \Lambda r. \quad (75)$$

We can set  $\phi_0 = 0$  and  $\Phi_0 = 1$  for simplicity. The parameter  $a^2$  in the metric is an integration constant related to the mass  $M$  of the solution by the relation

$$M = \frac{\Lambda}{2} a^2 \Phi_0. \quad (76)$$

The above metric corresponds, for all values of  $a^2$ , to a two-dimensional spacetime with a constant negative curvature  $R = -2\Lambda^2$ , i.e. an anti-de Sitter spacetime  $\text{AdS}^2$ . Furthermore, it was shown in [32] that this metric in Schwarzschild coordinates describes the two-dimensional sections of the extremal four-dimensional black hole given in (69).

The solution for  $a^2 = 0$  is exactly  $\text{AdS}^2$  spacetime and it plays the role of the ground state of the theory. For example, this solution has mass  $M = 0$  and the mass of the other solution will be computed with respect to this ground state.

The solution  $a^2 > 0$  is an  $\text{AdS}^2$  black hole with a horizon at  $r_H = a/\Lambda$ . This spacetime can not be distinguished locally from the pure  $\text{AdS}^2$  solution with  $a^2 = 0$  since there exists a coordinate transformation connecting the two solutions.

However, although the solution with  $a^2 = 0$  ( $\text{AdS}^2$  spacetime) is locally equivalent to the solution with  $a^2 > 0$  ( $\text{AdS}^2$  black hole) these two solutions are globally different due precisely to the behavior of the dilaton field which effectively sets the boundary condition on the spacetime.

Furthermore, this black hole solution must be cutoff at  $r = 0$  otherwise the dilaton field  $\Phi = \exp(-2\phi)$  will become negative when we maximally extend the corresponding metric beyond  $r = 0$  which in turn will translate in four dimensions into a negative value for the area of the transverse sphere which is physically unacceptable. Therefore  $r = 0$  is a boundary for the  $\text{AdS}^2$  black hole with  $a^2 > 0$  corresponding to the boundary  $r = r_-$  of the extremal four-dimensional regular black hole (69). The temperature and the entropy of this  $\text{AdS}^2$  black hole can be computed in the usual way and one finds [32]

$$T = \frac{a\Lambda}{2\pi}, \quad S_{\text{entro}} = 4\pi \sqrt{\frac{\Phi_0 M}{2\Lambda}}. \quad (77)$$

The relationship between the  $\text{AdS}^2$  spacetime corresponding to the solution  $a^2 = 0$  (denoted from now on by  $\text{AdS}_0$ ) and the  $\text{AdS}^2$  black hole corresponding to the solution  $a^2 > 0$  (denoted from now on by  $\text{AdS}_+$ ) is identical to the relationship between the two-dimensional Minkowski spacetime and the Rindler wedge. Indeed, the parameter  $a^2$  in our case (which is proportional to the mass of the black hole) is the analogue of the acceleration  $\alpha$  with which the Rindler observer is uniformly accelerating in Minkowski spacetime creating thus a horizon at  $z = |t|$  separating the Rindler wedge from the rest of Minkowski spacetime.

Furthermore, a non-zero value of the parameter  $a^2$  is associated with the existence of a horizon at  $r = r_H = a/\Lambda$  separating the exterior  $r > r_H$  of the black hole  $\text{AdS}_+$  from its interior region  $0 < r < r_H$ . The exterior  $r > r_H$  of the black hole  $\text{AdS}_+$  is described by the light-cone coordinates  $\sigma^\pm$  given by (with  $\sigma$  being the tortoise coordinate  $r_*$ )

$$\sigma^\pm = \tau \pm \sigma, \quad \sigma = -\frac{1}{a\Lambda} \text{arctanh}\left(\frac{a}{\Lambda r}\right). \quad (78)$$

The black hole coordinates  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  are defined in the range  $-\infty < \tau < +\infty$  and  $0 < \sigma < \infty$ . The metric on the  $\text{AdS}^2$  black hole  $\text{AdS}_+$  is then given by

$$ds^2 = \frac{a^2}{\sinh^2 a\Lambda\sigma} (-d\tau^2 + d\sigma^2). \quad (79)$$

The asymptotic behavior of this AdS<sup>2</sup> black hole AdS<sub>+</sub> is given by the AdS<sup>2</sup> spacetime AdS<sub>0</sub> which can be fully covered by the light-like coordinates  $z^\pm = t \pm z$  where  $t$  and  $z$  are defined by

$$t = \frac{1}{a\Lambda} e^{a\Lambda\tau} \cosh a\Lambda\sigma, \quad z = \frac{1}{a\Lambda} e^{a\Lambda\tau} \sinh a\Lambda\sigma. \quad (80)$$

The AdS coordinates  $t$  and  $z$  are defined in the range  $-\infty < t < +\infty$  and  $0 < z < \infty$ . The metric on AdS<sub>0</sub> is then of the Poincare form, viz

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2 z^2} (-dt^2 + dz^2). \quad (81)$$

By comparing with (5) we obtain the identification  $\Lambda = 1/R$ . The change of coordinates (80) which relates the two sets of coordinates  $z^\pm$  and  $\sigma^\pm$  (although in our case it does not correspond to any motion of physical observers and therefore is connecting two different manifolds) play exactly the role of the boost which connects the Minkowski coordinates  $(t, x)$  to the Rindler coordinates  $(\eta, \sigma)$ . This change of coordinates also takes the form

$$z^\pm = \frac{1}{a\Lambda} e^{a\Lambda\sigma^\pm}. \quad (82)$$

These coordinates define region or quadrant I of AdS<sub>0</sub> (the exterior of the black hole) in which the time-like Killing vector field (which generates boosts in the  $z$ -direction) is  $\partial_\tau$ . This Killing vector field is future-directed. Thus, the Killing horizons lie at  $z = \pm t$ .

The region or quadrant II of AdS<sub>0</sub> in which the timelike Killing vector field (given here by  $\partial_{-\tau} = -\partial_\tau$ ) is past-directed is given by the coordinates

$$z^\pm = -\frac{1}{a\Lambda} e^{a\Lambda\sigma^\pm}. \quad (83)$$

The change of coordinates  $(t, z) \rightarrow (\tau, \sigma)$  in this region is given by

$$t = -\frac{1}{a\Lambda} e^{a\Lambda\tau} \cosh a\Lambda\sigma, \quad z = -\frac{1}{a\Lambda} e^{a\Lambda\tau} \sinh a\Lambda\sigma. \quad (84)$$

Hence AdS<sub>+</sub> covers the region of AdS<sub>0</sub> given by the union of the two quadrants I and II which is specified by the condition  $z^+ z^- \geq 0$  with the black horizon defined by the condition  $z^+ z^- = 0$ .

Therefore, quantization of fields and semi-classical considerations of Hawking radiation in the AdS<sub>+</sub> background with AdS<sub>0</sub> taken as a ground state should then

proceed along the same steps as the analogous calculation performed in the Rindler wedge with the Minkowski spacetime taken as a ground state.

However, we should also recall that anti-de Sitter spacetime is not a globally hyperbolic space and thus one should be careful with the boundary conditions at infinity (transparent boundary conditions) as discussed for example in [33]. See also the exciting recent work on the black hole information loss problem based on the Almheiri-Polchinski (AP) model [37].

Thus, there will be two vacuum states  $|0_+\rangle$  and  $|0_0\rangle$  corresponding to the two different spacetimes (observers) AdS<sub>+</sub> and AdS<sub>0</sub> and as a consequence a thermal radiation will be observed in each vacuum state by the other observer associated with the other spacetime which is precisely Hawking radiation. The corresponding annihilation operators are defined by

$$\hat{a}_k |0_0\rangle = 0. \quad (85)$$

$$\hat{b}_k^{(1)} |0_+\rangle = \hat{b}_k^{(2)} |0_+\rangle = 0. \quad (86)$$

The AdS<sub>+</sub> number operator in region I is then defined by

$$\hat{N}_R^{(1)}(k) = \hat{b}_k^{(1)\dagger} \hat{b}_k^{(1)}. \quad (87)$$

We can now immediately compute the expectation value of this number operator in region I in the anti-de Sitter vacuum  $|0_0\rangle$  to find

$$\langle 0_0 | \hat{N}_R^{(1)}(k) | 0_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\exp(\frac{2\pi\omega}{a\Lambda}) - 1} \delta(0). \quad (88)$$

This is a blackbody Planck spectrum corresponding to the temperature

$$T = \frac{a\Lambda}{2\pi}. \quad (89)$$

The Hawking effect [1] computed in this case is mathematically equivalent to the Unruh effect [2].

In the first appendix a much more detailed discussion of the Hawking process in AdS<sup>2</sup> spacetime is included.

## NONCOMMUTATIVE BLACK HOLES AND MATRIX MODELS

We go to Euclidean signature by the usual Wick rotation  $\tau \rightarrow -i\tau$ .

By employing the definition of the dilaton field solution (75), the definition of the tortoise coordinate  $\sigma$  in terms

of the radial coordinate  $r$  given by equation (78), the change of coordinates (80), the definition of the global coordinates  $X_2$  in terms of the Poincare coordinates  $t$  and  $z$  given by the second equation of (6), the fact that  $\Lambda = 1/R$ , and the definition of the AdS<sup>2</sup> black hole temperature  $T$  given by equation (77) we obtain

$$\Phi = 2\pi T X_2. \quad (90)$$

This is a simple relation giving the dilaton field of the AdS<sup>2</sup> black hole in terms of the global coordinate  $X_2$  of the AdS<sup>2</sup> spacetime which will be our starting point to construct noncommutative AdS <sub>$\theta$</sub> <sup>2</sup> black hole (noncommutative geometry in the presence of black hole configurations) and their quantum gravity analogue (matrix model defining quantization about noncommutative black hole backgrounds).

As we have seen, after canonical quantization the global coordinates  $X_a$  become operators  $\hat{X}_a$  in a Hilbert space satisfying the relations (11), (12) and (13). The dilaton field  $\Phi$  becomes therefore an operator  $\hat{\Phi}$  in this same Hilbert space given by the relation

$$\hat{\Phi} = 2\pi T \hat{X}_2. \quad (91)$$

The noncommutative AdS <sub>$\theta$</sub> <sup>2</sup> black hole is given by the four matrices  $\hat{X}^a$ ,  $\hat{\Phi}$  satisfying the constraints (11), (12), (13) and (91).

Recall the metric  $\eta_{\mu\nu} = (-1, +1, +1)$  and the Levi-Civita tensor is defined by  $\epsilon^{123} = +1$ . We can then write the above equation as the following three commutators (with  $\kappa_1 = 2\pi T \kappa$ )

$$[\hat{\Phi}, \hat{X}^1] = -i\kappa_1 \hat{X}^3. \quad (92)$$

$$[\hat{\Phi}, \hat{X}^2] = 0. \quad (93)$$

$$[\hat{\Phi}, \hat{X}^3] = -i\kappa_1 \hat{X}^1. \quad (94)$$

We think of these three equations as the equations of motion for the matrix dilaton field  $\hat{\Phi}$ . A supplementary matrix model which yields (91) as a global minimum without altering the solution given by (11), (12) and (13) is provided by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\Phi} &= \frac{N}{2} \text{Tr} \left[ (i[\hat{\Phi}, D^1] - \kappa_1 D^3)(i[\hat{\Phi}, D_1] + \kappa_1 D_3) \right. \\ &\quad + (i[\hat{\Phi}, D^2])(i[\hat{\Phi}, D_2]) \\ &\quad \left. + (i[\hat{\Phi}, D^3] - \kappa_1 D^1)(i[\hat{\Phi}, D_3] + \kappa_1 D_1) \right] \\ &= \frac{N}{2} \text{Tr} \left[ -[\hat{\Phi}, D^a][\hat{\Phi}, D_a] + 4i\kappa_1 \hat{\Phi}[D^1, D^3] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \kappa_1^2 D^1 D_1 - \kappa_1^2 D^3 D_3 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

The full matrix action describing quantum gravitational fluctuations about the noncommutative AdS <sub>$\theta$</sub> <sup>2</sup> black hole is given by the sum of the two actions (54) and (95). By defining  $D_4 = D^4 = \Phi$  this complete matrix action is seen to be a 4-dimensional Yang-Mills matrix model with mass deformations which are invariant only under the subgroup of  $SO(1, 2)$  pseudo-rotations around  $X_2$ . We get the action

$$\begin{aligned} S[D] &= N \text{Tr} \left( -\frac{1}{4} [D_\mu, D_\nu][D^\mu, D^\nu] + 2i\kappa D^2[D^1, D^3] \right. \\ &\quad + 2i\kappa_1 \hat{\Phi}[D^1, D^3] + \beta D_a D^a \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \kappa_1^2 D_1 D^1 - \frac{1}{2} \kappa_1^2 D_3 D^3 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

We are interested in the phase structure of the model with the parameters

$$\beta = 0, \quad \kappa \neq 0, \quad \kappa_1 = 2\pi T \kappa. \quad (97)$$

We have then two parameters  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa_1$  or equivalently  $\kappa$  and  $T$ . We will fix the value of the parameter  $\kappa_1$  so that an increase in the actual temperature  $T$  of the black hole corresponds to a decrease in the inverse gauge coupling constant  $\kappa$  [38].

Yang-Mills matrix models are generally characterized by two phases: 1) A Yang-Mills phase and 2) A geometric phase due to the Myers terms, i.e. the cubic terms in (96). See [39] and references therein.

The first cubic term in (96) is responsible for the condensation of the geometry (AdS <sub>$\theta$</sub> <sup>2</sup> space) whereas the second cubic term in (96) is responsible for the stability of the noncommutative black hole solution in the AdS <sub>$\theta$</sub> <sup>2</sup> space. There should then be two critical points  $\kappa_{1\text{cr}}$  and  $\kappa_{2\text{cr}}$  corresponding to the vanishing of the two cubic expectation values  $\langle i \text{Tr} D^2[D^1, D^3] \rangle$  and  $\langle i \text{Tr} \Phi[D^1, D^3] \rangle$  respectively. By assuming now that  $\kappa_1 > \kappa$  we have  $\kappa_{2\text{cr}} > \kappa_{1\text{cr}}$  and we have the following three phases (a gravitational phase, a geometric phase and a Yang-Mills phase):

- For  $\kappa > \kappa_{2\text{cr}}$  we have a black hole phase where  $\hat{\Phi} \propto \hat{X}_2$ . This is the gravitational phase in which we have a stable noncommutative AdS <sub>$\theta$</sub> <sup>2</sup> black hole.
- For  $\kappa_1 < \kappa < \kappa_{2\text{cr}}$  the second cubic term effectively vanishes and condensation is now only driven by the first cubic term. In other words, although we have in this phase  $\hat{\Phi} \sim 0$  the three matrices  $D_a$  still fluctuate about the noncommutative AdS <sub>$\theta$</sub> <sup>2</sup> background given by the matrices  $\hat{X}_a$ . This is the geometric phase.
- As we keep decreasing the temperature, i.e. increasing  $\kappa$  below  $\kappa_1$  the AdS <sub>$\theta$</sub> <sup>2</sup> background evaporates in a transition to a pure Yang-Mills matrix model. In this Yang-Mills phase, all four matrices become centered around zero.

This is the Hawking process as it presents itself in the Yang-Mills matrix model, i.e. as an exotic line of discontinuous transitions with a jump in the entropy, characteristic of a 1st order transition, yet with divergent critical fluctuations and a divergent specific heat characteristic of a 2nd order transition.

### DILATON GRAVITY IN TWO DIMENSIONS AS A NON-LINEAR SIGMA MODEL

An alternative way of recasting the Hawking process as a phase transition starts from the Jackiw-Teitelboim action which can be rewritten as a non-linear sigma model. The vacuum expectation value of the dilaton field should vanish in the pure AdS<sup>2</sup> background while it will take a non-trivial value in the AdS<sup>2</sup> black hole background. In this section we will rewrite the Jackiw-Teitelboim action as a non-linear sigma model, then write down its noncommutative analogue and briefly discuss the corresponding phase structure.

The most general dilaton gravity action in two dimensions is given by (after an appropriate Weyl rescaling of the metric)

$$S = \int d^2x \sqrt{-\det g} (\Phi R + V(\Phi)). \quad (98)$$

For example, the Jackiw-Teitelboim model corresponds to the potential  $V(\Phi) = 2\Lambda^2\Phi$ .

There are two physical degrees of freedom in this theory: one given by the dilaton field  $\Phi$  and one contained in the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . Indeed, in two dimensions the metric is of the generic form

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \rho \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 - \beta^2 & \beta \\ \beta & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (99)$$

The "lapse function"  $\alpha = \alpha(t, x)$  and the "shift vector"  $\beta = \beta(t, x)$  are non-dynamical variables here whereas the scale factor  $\rho = \rho(t, x)$  is the only metric dynamical variable in two dimensions.

The metric in two dimensions can also be rewritten in the form (with  $u = (t+x)/2$  and  $v = (t-x)/2$  being the conformal light-cone coordinates)

$$ds^2 = 4\rho(u, v) du dv. \quad (100)$$

In other words, the metric (any metric in two dimensions) is locally conformally flat.

The above model (98) is completely integrable which means that it can be completely solved in terms of free fields [41].

We start from the equations of motion

$$(\nabla_{(\mu} \nabla_{\nu)} - g_{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\sigma} \nabla^{\sigma}) \Phi + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} V = 0. \quad (101)$$

$$R + \frac{dV}{d\Phi} = 0. \quad (102)$$

Define also

$$H(g, \Phi) = \nabla_{\sigma} \Phi \nabla^{\sigma} \Phi \neq 0 \quad (103)$$

If  $H \neq 0$  then the equation of motion (102) is automatically satisfied if the equation of motion (101) is satisfied [41].

The so-called Bäcklund transformation [42] allows us to transform the interacting fields  $\Phi$  and  $g_{\mu\nu}$  into the free fields  $M$  and  $\psi$  as follows

$$\nabla_{\mu} \psi = \frac{\nabla_{\mu} \Phi}{H(g, \Phi)}. \quad (104)$$

$$M = N(\Phi) - H(g, \Phi), \quad N(\Phi) = \int^{\Phi} d\Phi' V(\Phi'). \quad (105)$$

Indeed,  $\psi$  and  $M$  are free fields since  $\nabla_{\mu} \nabla^{\mu} \psi = 0$  and  $\nabla_{\mu} M = 0$ . These equations of motion are equivalent to the original equations of motion (101) and (102). In particular, the second equation  $\nabla_{\mu} M = 0$  means that the field  $M$  is actually a locally conserved quantity (the ADM mass). In the conformal light-cone coordinates the solution of these free equations of motion is trivially given by

$$\psi = F(u) + G(v), \quad M = M_{\text{ADM}}. \quad (106)$$

We can explicitly determine the dependence of the original fields  $\Phi$  and  $\rho$  on the free fields  $\psi$  and  $M$  to be given by [41]

$$\frac{d\psi}{d\Phi} = \frac{1}{N(\Phi) - M}, \quad \rho = (N(\Phi) - M) \partial_u \psi \partial_v \psi. \quad (107)$$

The metric (100) becomes then

$$ds^2 = 4(N(\Phi) - M) dF dG. \quad (108)$$

In other words,  $F$  and  $G$  appear as conformal light-cone coordinates. Thus, we introduce together with  $\psi = F + G$  a timelike coordinate  $T$  by  $T = F - G$ . The metric becomes

$$ds^2 = -(N(\Phi) - M) dT^2 + \frac{d\Phi^2}{(N(\Phi) - M)}. \quad (109)$$

The dilaton field  $\Phi$  appears therefore as a radial coordinate and it is the only dynamical variable appearing in the above general solution. In some sense this result generalizes Birkhoff theorem (in Einstein gravity in four dimensions with spherical symmetry the only local constant of the motion is the Schwarzschild mass).

As an example, we consider the dimensional reduction of Einstein gravity in four dimensions on a sphere of radius  $R^2 = 4\Phi$  (spherical reduction is consistent in the case of maximal rotational invariance). The resulting action takes the form (98) with a potential  $V(\Phi) = 1/2\sqrt{\Phi}$  and as a consequence the metric element (109) reduces to the radial part of the Schwarzschild solution.

By taking the derivative of equation (105) we obtain

$$\nabla_\mu M = V \cdot \nabla_\mu \Phi - \nabla_\mu H. \quad (110)$$

By employing this result we can express the potential  $V$  in terms of  $M$  and  $\nabla_\mu \Phi$  as follows

$$V = \frac{1}{H} \nabla^\mu \Phi \nabla_\mu M + \frac{1}{H} \nabla^\mu \Phi \nabla_\mu H. \quad (111)$$

From the other hand, the Ricci scalar in two dimensions is locally given by a total divergence, viz

$$R = 2\nabla_\mu A^\mu, \quad A^\mu = \frac{\nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu \chi \cdot \nabla_\nu \chi - \nabla_\nu \nabla^\nu \chi \cdot \nabla^\mu \chi}{\nabla^\rho \chi \cdot \nabla_\rho \chi} \quad (112)$$

In this equation  $\chi$  is an arbitrary scalar field which we choose to be  $\chi = \Phi$ . We then compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi \cdot R &= 2\Phi \cdot \nabla_\mu A^\mu \\ &= 2\nabla_\mu (\Phi \cdot A^\mu) - 2\nabla_\mu \Phi \cdot A^\mu \\ &= 2\nabla_\mu (\Phi \cdot A^\mu) - \frac{2}{H} \nabla_\mu \Phi \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2} \nabla^\mu H - \nabla_\nu \nabla^\nu \Phi \cdot \nabla^\mu \Phi \right) \\ &= 2\nabla_\mu (\Phi A^\mu + \nabla^\mu \Phi) - \frac{1}{H} \nabla_\mu \Phi \cdot \nabla^\mu H. \end{aligned} \quad (113)$$

By putting (111) and (113) together and using the fact that  $H = N(\Phi) - M$  we can show that the original dilaton gravity action (98) takes the form (up to a surface term)

$$S = \int d^2x \sqrt{-\det g} \frac{\nabla_\mu M \nabla^\mu \Phi}{N(\Phi) - M}. \quad (114)$$

This is a non-linear sigma model. The mass functional  $M$  is constant on the classical orbit equal to the ADM mass of the black hole given by (77), viz [43]

$$M = \frac{2\pi^2}{\Lambda} T^2. \quad (115)$$

We switch now to Euclidean signature. Furthermore, we will implement the constraint (105) through a Gaussian term in the path integral. The action reads explicitly

$$S = \int d^2x \sqrt{\det g} \left[ \frac{\partial_\mu M \partial^\mu \Phi}{N(\Phi) - M} + m^2 \left( M - N(\Phi) + \partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi \right)^2 \right]. \quad (116)$$

In the limit  $m^2 \rightarrow \infty$  we recover the constraint (105). We can write down the noncommutative analogue of this action in a straightforward way. However, we are more interested in the phase structure of this model corresponding to a constant dilaton configuration, i.e.  $\Phi = \text{constant}$ . In this case we are really dealing with a potential model given explicitly by

$$V = \int d^2x \sqrt{\det g} \left[ m^2 \left( M - N(\Phi) \right)^2 \right]. \quad (117)$$

The noncommutative analogue of this potential is simply given by

$$V = 2\pi R \kappa \text{Tr} \left[ m^2 \left( \hat{M} - N(\hat{\Phi}) \right)^2 \right]. \quad (118)$$

For the case of the Jackiw-Teitelboim action we have  $V = 2\Lambda^2 \hat{\Phi}$  and  $N(\hat{\Phi}) = \Lambda^2 \hat{\Phi}^2$ . This is (after regularization) a real quartic matrix model of the form [44, 45]

$$V = N_k \text{Tr} (\mu \hat{\Phi}^2 + g \hat{\Phi}^4). \quad (119)$$

The parameters  $\mu$  and  $g$  are given explicitly by

$$\mu = -2\pi M \cdot \left( \frac{m^2}{k^2} \right), \quad g = \pi \Lambda^2 \cdot \left( \frac{m^2}{k^2} \right). \quad (120)$$

The one-cut solution (disordered phase) in which the dilaton field  $\hat{\Phi}$  fluctuates around zero, i.e. with eigenvalues supported in a single interval occurs for all values of the mass  $M$  satisfying

$$M \leq M_*, \quad M_* = \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{k}{m}. \quad (121)$$

The large mass limit  $m^2 \rightarrow \infty$  is then required to be correlated with the commutative limit  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . This critical value  $M_*$  is consistent with the black hole mass (76). In other words, the dilaton field is zero when the mass parameter  $M$  of the matrix model is lower than the black hole mass given by (76), i.e. the black hole has already evaporated and we have only pure  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  space in this phase.

Above the line  $M = M_*$  we have a two-cut solution (non-uniform ordered phase) where the dilaton field  $\hat{\Phi}$  is proportional to the idempotent matrix  $\gamma$  (which satisfies  $\gamma^2 = \mathbf{1}$ ). In this two-cut phase we have therefore a non-trivial dilaton field corresponding to a stable  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  black hole. The transition at  $M = M_*$  between the one-cut and two-cut phases is third order.

## STAR PRODUCTS IN BLACK HOLE CONFIGURATIONS

The Moyal-Weyl star product and the Weyl map were always very powerful tools for semi-classical computations and for the intuitive visualization of noncommutative geometry.

Another crucial tool is Darboux theorem which states that for every Poisson manifold with an invertible Poisson structure  $\theta^{ij}$ , i.e. for every symplectic manifold there exists a coordinate system  $\bar{x}$  in which the noncommutativity parameter  $\bar{\theta}^{ij}$  is constant. Thus, according to Darboux theorem every noncommutative or quantized symplectic manifold is locally a noncommutative Moyal-Weyl space. This is in fact the equivalence principle for symplectic geometry and its corresponding emergent noncommutative gravity as discussed in [46]. See also [47] for the use of Darboux theorem in determining the symplectic structure (noncommutativity parameter) in matrix models.

In this section we will employ Darboux theorem to write down the Moyal-Weyl star product for pure noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  and then we will write down the Moyal-Weyl product for the noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  black hole by employing one more time Darboux theorem, i.e. by employing the fact that  $\text{AdS}^2$  spacetime and the corresponding  $\text{AdS}^2$  black hole are related by a coordinate transformation. Indeed, the dilaton field enters only globally/topologically and thus it does not affect the star product which is a purely local object.

Let us recall the metric and the fundamental Poisson bracket in the Poincare coordinates  $(t, z)$  given respectively by

$$ds^2 = \frac{R^2}{z^2}(dz^2 + dt^2). \quad (122)$$

We compute immediately the Poisson bracket

$$\{t, z\} = -\frac{R^3}{(X_1 - X_3)^3} \{X_2, X_1 - X_3\} = \frac{\kappa}{R} z^2. \quad (123)$$

Formally this Poisson bracket (123) can be derived from the symplectic structure (with  $x^1 = t$  and  $x^2 = z$ )

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2}(\theta^{-1})_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j \equiv -\frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{R}{z^2} dt \wedge dz. \quad (124)$$

The corresponding Poisson bracket is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \{f, g\} &= \theta^{ij} \partial_i f \partial_j g \\ &= \kappa \frac{z^2}{R} (\partial_t f \partial_z g - \partial_z f \partial_t g). \end{aligned} \quad (125)$$

Thus, the Poisson structure or noncommutativity parameter  $\theta$  is an  $x$ -dependent tensor given explicitly by

$$\theta^{ij} = \frac{\kappa}{R} z^2 \epsilon^{ij}. \quad (126)$$

It is well known from deformation quantization [48] that these Poisson brackets define the leading correction in the formal expansion of the star product. Explicitly, we should have (recall that upon quantization  $i\{f, g\}$  becomes the commutator  $[\hat{f}, \hat{g}]$ )

$$\begin{aligned} f * g(x) &= f(x)g(x) + \frac{i}{2}\{f, g\} + O(\theta^2) \\ &= f(x)g(x) + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{ij} \partial_i f \partial_j g + O(\theta^2). \end{aligned} \quad (127)$$

We can also compute the Poisson bracket (with  $u = 1/z$ )

$$\{t, u\} = \frac{1}{R(X_1 - X_3)} \{X_2, X_1 - X_3\} = -\frac{\kappa}{R}. \quad (128)$$

The parameter  $\kappa/R$  is dimensionless and thus  $z$  is the radial coordinate of anti-de Sitter spacetime  $\text{AdS}^2$  whereas  $u$  is the energy scale of the conformal field theory  $\text{CFT}_1$  living on its boundary located at  $z \rightarrow 0$ . We observe from this second Poisson bracket that  $t$  and  $u$  are conjugate variables but they are not necessarily canonical variables because their phase space is not the full  $\mathbb{R}^2$  but it is  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$  [49]. A set  $(x, y)$  of canonical coordinates on  $\text{AdS}^2$  with phase space given by  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is given by

$$z = R \exp(-x), \quad t = \exp(-x)y. \quad (129)$$

We compute immediately the metric

$$ds^2 = R^2 dx^2 + (dy - y dx)^2. \quad (130)$$

We can check quite easily that the fundamental Poisson bracket is given in this case by

$$\{x, y\} = \kappa. \quad (131)$$

In our case a coordinate system in which the noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  appears to be a noncommutative Moyal-Weyl space is then already at hand. Indeed, the fundamental Poisson bracket (131) in the canonical coordinates  $(x, y)$  with metric (130) can be derived from the symplectic structure (with  $\bar{x}^1 = x$  and  $\bar{x}^2 = y$ )

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\theta}^{-1})_{ij} d\bar{x}^i \wedge d\bar{x}^j \equiv -\frac{1}{\kappa} dx \wedge dy. \quad (132)$$

The corresponding Poisson bracket is given by

$$\{f, g\} = \bar{\theta}^{ij} \bar{\partial}_i f \bar{\partial}_j g = \kappa (\partial_x f \partial_y g - \partial_y f \partial_x g), \quad \bar{\theta}^{ij} = \kappa \epsilon^{ij}. \quad (133)$$

And the star product is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f * g(\bar{x}) &= f(\bar{x})g(\bar{x}) + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\theta}^{ij} \bar{\partial}_i f \bar{\partial}_j g \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8} \bar{\theta}^{ij} \bar{\theta}^{kl} \bar{\partial}_i \bar{\partial}_k f \bar{\partial}_j \bar{\partial}_l g + O(\bar{\theta}^3). \end{aligned} \quad (134)$$

The canonical coordinates  $(x, y)$  play the role of Darboux coordinates on the noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  since  $\bar{\theta}$  is a constant tensor [65]. But this star product, again since  $\bar{\theta}$  is constant, is precisely given by the Groenewold-Moyal-Weyl star product [50, 51] defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f \bar{*} g(\bar{x}) &= \exp\left(\frac{i}{2} \bar{\theta}^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^j}\right) f(\bar{x} + \xi) g(\bar{x} + \eta) |_{\xi=\eta=0} \\ &= f(\bar{x}) \exp\left(\frac{i}{2} \overleftarrow{\partial}_i \bar{\theta}^{ij} \overrightarrow{\partial}_j\right) g(\bar{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (135)$$

Under the coordinate transformation  $x \longrightarrow \bar{x}$  we have

$$\bar{\theta}^{ij} = \theta^{kl}(x) \frac{\partial \bar{x}^i}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^j}{\partial x^l}. \quad (136)$$

After substitution we get

$$\begin{aligned} f \bar{*} g(\bar{x}) &= f(x) \exp\left(\frac{i}{2} \overleftarrow{\partial}_m \theta^{mn}(x) \overrightarrow{\partial}_n\right) g(x) \\ &= fg + \frac{i}{2} \theta^{mn} \partial_m f \partial_n g \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8} \partial_p (\theta^{mn} \partial_m f) \partial_n (\theta^{pq} \partial_q g) + O(\theta^3). \end{aligned} \quad (137)$$

On a quantized Poisson manifold such as noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  the Poisson structure  $\theta$  is generally not a constant tensor and hence the star product is given by a formal power series in  $\theta^{mn}(x)$ . The star product which is associative upto the second order in  $\theta^{mn}(x)$  is given explicitly by [48]

$$\begin{aligned} f * g &= fg + \frac{i}{2} \theta^{mn} \partial_m f \partial_n g - \frac{1}{8} \theta^{mn} \theta^{pq} \partial_m \partial_p f \partial_n \partial_q g \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{12} \theta^{mn} \partial_n \theta^{pq} (\partial_m \partial_p f \partial_q g + \partial_m \partial_p g \partial_q f) + O(\theta^3). \end{aligned} \quad (138)$$

The two star products (137) and (173) should be related by a gauge transformation (in the sense of deformation quantization) corresponding to the coordinate transformation  $x \longrightarrow \bar{x}$  [48]. See also the second appendix.

In summary, the formula (136) allows us to compute the symplectic structure (noncommutativity parameter) and as a consequence it allows us to compute the star product of pure noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  in the Poincare coordinates  $(t, z)$ .

Similar considerations hold in the presence of non-trivial black hole configurations. The metric of the corresponding  $\text{AdS}^2$  black hole is given by

$$ds^2 = -(\Lambda^2 r^2 - a^2) d\tau^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\Lambda^2 r^2 - a^2}. \quad (139)$$

The map to a pure  $\text{AdS}^2$  spacetime is given by ( $\sigma$  is the tortoise coordinate)

$$t = -\frac{i}{a\Lambda} e^{-ia\Lambda\tau} \cosh a\Lambda\sigma, \quad z = \frac{1}{a\Lambda} e^{-ia\Lambda\tau} \sinh a\Lambda\sigma. \quad (140)$$

The metric becomes then given by (122) with  $\Lambda = 1/R$ . The symplectic structure of pure noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  in the Poincare coordinates  $(t, z)$  will then transform under this change of coordinates to the symplectic structure of noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  black hole in an obvious way, i.e. as a tensor.

## CONCLUSION

This article contains the second part of our study in which we attempt a coherent unification between the principles of noncommutative geometry (and their matrix models) from the one hand and the principles of the  $\text{AdS}^2/\text{CFT}_1$  correspondence from the other hand. In this part the main focus has been on constructing noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  black holes and their matrix models.

Noncommutative geometry is understood here as "first quantization" of geometry whereas the corresponding Yang-Mills matrix models provide "quantum gravity" or "second quantization" of the corresponding geometry. The symmetry structure given here by the Lorentz group  $SO(1, 2)$  is the starting point of the noncommutative geometry but it also the unifying structure underlying: 1) the  $\text{AdS}^2$  spacetime, 2) the noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  space and 3) the  $\text{CFT}_1$  theory on the boundary given by the dAFF conformal quantum mechanics.

The commutative  $\text{AdS}^2$  black holes are constructed in the two-dimensional Jackiw-Teitelboim dilaton gravity and the corresponding Hawking process is formulated as an Unruh effect between  $\text{AdS}_0$  (ground state) and  $\text{AdS}_+$  (excited state). The dilaton field plays a fundamental role here in distinguishing between pure  $\text{AdS}^2$  spacetime ( $\text{AdS}_0$ ) where it takes zero value and  $\text{AdS}^2$  black hole spacetime ( $\text{AdS}_+$ ) where it takes a non-trivial value. These two solutions are locally equivalent and they are only globally/topologically differentiated by the value of the dilaton field.

More importantly we have presented in this article the construction of noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  black hole and its four-dimensional Yang-Mills IKKT-type matrix model which includes two competing Myers term one responsible for the condensation of pure  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  and the other one responsible for the condensation of the dilaton field. It is argued that the phase diagram of this matrix model features three phases: 1) A gravitational phase ( $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  black hole), 2) A geometric phase ( $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  background) and 3) A Yang-Mills phase (no discernible gravitational/geometrical content in the sense described here).

The Hawking process within the Yang-Mills matrix model is therefore given by an exotic line of discontinuous transitions between the gravitational and geometrical phases.

An alternative proposal for noncommutative dilaton gravity is also given in terms of a non-linear sigma model. The vacuum expectation value of the dilaton field should vanish in the pure AdS<sup>2</sup> background while it will take a non-trivial value in the AdS<sup>2</sup> black hole background which corresponds in the noncommutative/matrix model to a third order phase transition from a disordered one-cut solution (pure AdS<sub>θ</sub><sup>2</sup>) to a uniform-ordered two-cut solution (AdS<sub>θ</sub><sup>2</sup> black hole).

### HAWKING PROCESS

The equations of motion of the Jackiw-Teitelboim action admits the following three solutions:

- AdS<sub>0</sub>: The solution for  $a^2 = 0$  is pure AdS<sup>2</sup> spacetime and it plays the role of the ground state of the theory (analogous to Minkowski spacetime). For example, this solution has mass  $M = 0$  whereas the mass of the other solutions is computed with respect to this ground state.
- AdS<sub>+</sub>: The solution  $a^2 > 0$  is an AdS<sup>2</sup> black hole with a horizon at  $r_H = a/\Lambda$  and it can not be distinguished locally from pure AdS<sup>2</sup> spacetime with  $a^2 = 0$ . Indeed, by means of an appropriate change of coordinates we can bring the solution  $a^2 > 0$  into the form of the solution  $a^2 = 0$ . The difference between the two cases is strictly topological in character originating from the global properties of the solution encoded in the behavior of the dilaton field.

To see this crucial point more explicitly we consider the coordinate transformation

$$r' = a\Lambda\tau r, \quad 2a\Lambda\tau' = \ln\left(\Lambda^2\tau^2 - \frac{1}{\Lambda^2r^2}\right). \quad (141)$$

We can then check immediately that

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -(\Lambda^2r'^2 - a^2)d\tau'^2 + \frac{dr'^2}{\Lambda^2r'^2 - a^2} \\ &= -\Lambda^2r^2d\tau^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\Lambda^2r^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (142)$$

However, the dilaton field changes in a non-trivial way under the above coordinate transformation, viz

$$\Phi_0\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda^2r'^2}{a^2} - 1}e^{-a\Lambda\tau'} = \Phi_0\Lambda r. \quad (143)$$

Thus, although the solution with  $a^2 = 0$  (pure AdS<sup>2</sup> spacetime) is locally equivalent to the solution with  $a^2 > 0$  (AdS<sup>2</sup> black hole) these two solutions are globally different due to the behavior of the dilaton field which effectively sets the boundary conditions on the spacetime.

- AdS<sub>-</sub>: The solution with the value  $a^2 < 0$  corresponds to a negative mass and although this makes sense in two dimensions (it corresponds to no naked singularities) it will translate in four dimensions into a naked singularity which is unacceptable by cosmic censorship. Hence the solution with  $a^2 < 0$  is unphysical (from the four-dimensional point of view) and should be discarded.

In summary, AdS<sup>2</sup> black hole (the solution with  $a^2 > 0$ ) is characterized by a horizon at  $r_H = a/\Lambda$  and a boundary at  $r = 0$ . For the semi-classical process of Hawking radiation the boundary at  $r = 0$  is not required and therefore one can work in a system of coordinates where the boundary is not accessible. We introduce then the light-cone coordinates

$$\sigma^\pm = \tau \pm \sigma. \quad (144)$$

Obviously,  $\sigma$  is the tortoise coordinate  $r_*$  defined as usual by the requirement

$$(\Lambda^2r^2 - a^2)dr_*^2 = \frac{dr^2}{\Lambda^2r^2 - a^2}. \quad (145)$$

The light-cone coordinates  $\sigma^\pm$  are given explicitly by (78).

Equivalently, we can work in the light-like coordinates  $x^\pm$  defined by

$$x^\pm = \frac{2}{a\Lambda} \tanh \frac{a\Lambda}{2} \sigma^\pm. \quad (146)$$

The metric and the dilaton fields in the light-cone and light-like coordinates take the form (conformal gauge)

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -\frac{a^2}{\sinh^2 \frac{a\Lambda}{2}(\sigma^- - \sigma^+)} d\sigma^- d\sigma^+ \\ &= -\frac{4}{\Lambda^2} \frac{1}{(x^- - x^+)^2} dx^- dx^+. \end{aligned} \quad (147)$$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2(\phi - \phi_0)} &= \frac{1}{a} \tanh \frac{a\Lambda}{2} (\sigma^- - \sigma^+) \\ &= \frac{\Lambda}{2} \frac{x^- - x^+}{1 - \frac{a^2\Lambda^2}{4} x^- x^+}. \end{aligned} \quad (148)$$

The AdS<sup>2</sup> spacetime corresponds thus to setting  $a^2 = 0$  or equivalently  $x^\pm = \sigma^\pm$  in these expressions. In other

words, the coordinates  $x^\pm$  can be thought of as describing AdS<sup>2</sup> spacetime even for  $a^2 \neq 0$  since they can be easily extended to the whole of spacetime. We also observe that the boundary of AdS<sup>2</sup> spacetime is located at  $x^- = x^+$  and that we must have  $x^- \geq x^+$  (corresponding to  $r \geq 0$  in the Schwarzschild coordinates) in order for the dilaton field  $\exp(2(\phi - \phi_0))$  to remain positive. Furthermore, it is clear that the coordinates  $\sigma^\pm$  for  $a^2 > 0$  cover only the region  $-2/a\Lambda < x^\pm < +2/a\Lambda$  of the AdS<sup>2</sup> spacetime corresponding to the solution  $a^2 = 0$  in the conformal gauge. This region corresponds to the region  $r > r_H$  in the Schwarzschild coordinates whereas the boundary at  $r = 0$  in the Schwarzschild coordinates corresponds now to the line  $1 - \frac{a^2\Lambda^2}{4}x^-x^+ = 0$ .

Another interesting system of coordinates consists of the Poincare coordinates  $t$  and  $z$  defined for the AdS<sup>2</sup> black hole by the change of coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{1}{a\Lambda} e^{a\Lambda\tau} \cosh a\Lambda\sigma \longrightarrow \tau + \frac{1}{a\Lambda}, \quad a \longrightarrow 0 \\ z &= -\frac{1}{a\Lambda} e^{a\Lambda\tau} \sinh a\Lambda\sigma \longrightarrow -\sigma, \quad a \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (149)$$

The metric in the Poincare patch is given by the usual form

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2 z^2} (-dt^2 + dz^2). \quad (150)$$

For  $a = 0$  (pure AdS<sup>2</sup> spacetime) the boundary is located at  $z = 0$  or equivalently  $x^- - x^+ = 0$  and the Poincare patch covers  $z > 0$  or equivalently  $x^- - x^+ > 0$ . This result shows also that the AdS<sup>2</sup> black hole is indeed locally equivalent to a pure AdS<sup>2</sup> spacetime. In fact the difference between them is fully encoded in the value of the dilaton field which reflects the boundary conditions imposed on the spacetime and its consequent topological features.

The relationship between pure AdS<sup>2</sup> spacetime AdS<sub>0</sub> corresponding to the solution  $a^2 = 0$  and the AdS<sup>2</sup> black hole AdS<sub>+</sub> corresponding to the solution  $a^2 > 0$  is identical to the relationship between the two-dimensional Minkowski spacetime and the two-dimensional Rindler wedge which are given respectively by the metric tensors

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + dz^2. \quad (151)$$

$$ds^2 = \exp(2\alpha\sigma)(-d\tau^2 + d\sigma^2). \quad (152)$$

The Rindler wedge is confined to the quadrant  $z > |t|$  of Minkowski spacetime (with  $-\infty < \tau, \sigma < +\infty$ ). The change of coordinates  $(t, z) \longrightarrow (\tau, \sigma)$  is given explicitly by

$$t = \frac{1}{\alpha} \exp(\alpha\sigma) \sinh \alpha\tau, \quad z = \frac{1}{\alpha} \exp(\alpha\sigma) \cosh \alpha\tau, \quad z > |t|. \quad (153)$$

The asymptotic behavior of the AdS<sup>2</sup> black hole AdS<sub>+</sub> is given by the pure AdS<sup>2</sup> spacetime AdS<sub>0</sub> which can be fully covered by the light-like coordinates  $x^\pm$ . The change of coordinates (146) which relates the two sets of coordinates  $x^\pm$  and  $\sigma^\pm$  (although in our case it does not correspond to any motion of physical observers and therefore is connecting two different manifolds) play exactly the role of the boost which connects the Minkowski coordinates  $(t, x)$  to the Rindler coordinates  $(\eta, \sigma)$ .

We will use in the following a slightly different parametrization of AdS<sub>+</sub> and AdS<sub>0</sub> made possible by the  $SL(2, R)$  symmetry of the metric (147) given by the transformations

$$x^\pm \longrightarrow \frac{ax^\pm + b}{cx^\pm + d}, \quad ad - bc = 1. \quad (154)$$

From the first line of (147) the metric on the AdS<sup>2</sup> black hole AdS<sub>+</sub> is given by (79). The metric on the AdS<sup>2</sup> spacetime AdS<sub>0</sub> is assumed to be of the Poincare form (81). The change of coordinates  $(t, z) \longrightarrow (\tau, \sigma)$  is given explicitly by (82).

By comparing (80) with (153) we can see that  $a\Lambda$  in our anti-de Sitter black hole plays exactly the role of the acceleration  $\alpha$  in Rindler spacetime. Equivalently, the parameter  $a^2$  (which is proportional to the mass of the black hole) acts effectively as the analogue of the acceleration  $\alpha$  with which the Rindler observer is uniformly accelerating in Minkowski spacetime.

These coordinates define region or quadrant I of AdS<sub>0</sub> (the exterior of our black hole) in which the time-like Killing vector field  $\partial_\tau$  is future-directed. The region or quadrant II of AdS<sub>0</sub> in which the time-like Killing vector field (given by  $\partial_{-\tau} = -\partial_\tau$ ) is past-directed is defined similarly by equations (83) and (84).

Hence AdS<sup>+</sup> covers the region of AdS<sub>0</sub> given by the union of the two quadrants I and II which is specified by the condition  $z^+z^- \geq 0$  with the black horizon defined by the condition  $z^+z^- = 0$ .

The equation of motion is the Klein-Gordon equation in the AdS<sup>2</sup> black hole background AdS<sub>+</sub> which is locally equivalent to the AdS<sup>2</sup> spacetime AdS<sub>0</sub>, i.e. the equation of motion is effectively the Klein-Gordon equation in anti-de Sitter spacetime AdS<sup>2</sup>. Furthermore, the inner product between two solutions  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  of the equation of motion is defined in the usual way by ( $\Sigma$  is the space-like surface  $\tau = 0$  and  $n^\mu$  is the time-like unit vector normal to it)

$$\begin{aligned}
(\phi_1, \phi_2) &= -i \int_{\Sigma} (\phi_1 \partial_{\mu} \phi_2^* - \partial_{\mu} \phi_1 \cdot \phi_2^*) d\Sigma n^{\mu} \\
&= -i \int (\phi_1 \partial_{\tau} \phi_2^* - \partial_{\tau} \phi_1 \cdot \phi_2^*) d\sigma. \quad (155)
\end{aligned}$$

A positive-frequency normalized plane wave solution of this equation of motion in region I ( $z > 0$ ) is given by (with  $\omega = |k|$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
g_k^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\omega}} \exp(-i\omega\tau + ik\sigma), \text{ I} \\
g_k^{(1)} &= 0, \text{ II}. \quad (156)
\end{aligned}$$

This is positive-frequency since  $\partial_{\tau} g_k^{(1)} = -i\omega g_k^{(1)}$ .

A positive-frequency normalized plane wave solution in region II is instead given by

$$\begin{aligned}
g_k^{(2)} &= 0, \text{ I} \\
g_k^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\omega}} \exp(i\omega\tau + ik\sigma), \text{ II}. \quad (157)
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\partial_{-\tau} g_k^{(2)} = -i\omega g_k^{(2)}$ .

A general solution of the Klein-Gordon equation takes then the form

$$\phi = \int_k (\hat{b}_k^{(1)} g_k^{(1)} + \hat{b}_k^{(2)} g_k^{(2)} + \text{h.c.}) \quad (158)$$

This should be contrasted with the expansion of the same solution in terms of the anti-de Sitter spacetime modes  $f_k \propto \exp(-i(\omega t - kz))$  with  $\omega = |k|$  which we will write as

$$\phi = \int_k (\hat{a}_k f_k + \text{h.c.}) \quad (159)$$

The AdS<sub>0</sub> vacuum  $|0_0\rangle$  and the AdS<sub>+</sub> vacuum  $|0_+\rangle$  are defined obviously by

$$\hat{a}_k |0_0\rangle = 0. \quad (160)$$

$$\hat{b}_k^{(1)} |0_+\rangle = \hat{b}_k^{(2)} |0_+\rangle = 0. \quad (161)$$

In order to compute the corresponding Bogolubov coefficients we extend the positive-frequency modes  $g_k^{(1)}$  and  $g_k^{(2)}$  to the entire spacetime AdS<sub>0</sub> thus replacing the corresponding annihilation operators  $\hat{b}_k^{(1)}$  and  $\hat{b}_k^{(2)}$  by new annihilation operators  $\hat{c}_k^{(1)}$  and  $\hat{c}_k^{(2)}$  which annihilate the anti-de Sitter spacetime vacuum  $|0_0\rangle$  [2].

Clearly, for  $k > 0$  we have in region I the following behavior

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{4\pi\omega} g_k^{(1)} &= \exp(-i\omega\sigma^+) \\
&= (a\Lambda)^{-i\frac{\omega}{a\Lambda}} (z^+)^{-i\frac{\omega}{a\Lambda}}. \quad (162)
\end{aligned}$$

In region II ( $z < 0$ ) we should instead consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{4\pi\omega} g_{-k}^{(2)*} &= \exp(-i\omega\sigma^+) \\
&= (-a\Lambda)^{-i\frac{\omega}{a\Lambda}} (z^+)^{-i\frac{\omega}{a\Lambda}} \\
&= e^{\frac{\pi\omega}{a\Lambda}} (a\Lambda)^{-i\frac{\omega}{a\Lambda}} e^{\frac{\pi\omega}{a\Lambda}} (z^+)^{-i\frac{\omega}{a\Lambda}}. \quad (163)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus for all  $z$ , i.e. along the surface  $t = 0$ , we should consider for  $k > 0$  the combination

$$\sqrt{4\pi\omega} (g_k^{(1)} + e^{-\frac{\pi\omega}{a\Lambda}} g_{-k}^{(2)*}) = (a\Lambda)^{-i\frac{\omega}{a\Lambda}} (z^+)^{-i\frac{\omega}{a\Lambda}}. \quad (164)$$

A normalized analytic extension to the entire spacetime of the positive-frequency modes  $g_k^{(1)}$  is given by the modes

$$h_k^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \sinh \frac{\pi\omega}{a\Lambda}}} (e^{\frac{\pi\omega}{2a\Lambda}} g_k^{(1)} + e^{-\frac{\pi\omega}{2a\Lambda}} g_{-k}^{(2)*}). \quad (165)$$

Similarly, a normalized analytic extension to the entire spacetime of the positive-frequency modes  $g_k^{(2)}$  is given by the modes

$$h_k^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \sinh \frac{\pi\omega}{a\Lambda}}} (e^{\frac{\pi\omega}{2a\Lambda}} g_k^{(2)} + e^{-\frac{\pi\omega}{2a\Lambda}} g_{-k}^{(1)*}). \quad (166)$$

The field operator can then be expanded in these modes as

$$\phi = \int_k (\hat{c}_k^{(1)} h_k^{(1)} + \hat{c}_k^{(2)} h_k^{(2)} + \text{h.c.}) \quad (167)$$

Obviously, the modes  $h_k^{(1)}$  and  $h_k^{(2)}$  share with  $f_k$  the same anti-de Sitter spacetime vacuum  $|0_0\rangle$ , viz

$$\hat{c}_k^{(1)} |0_0\rangle = \hat{c}_k^{(2)} |0_0\rangle = 0. \quad (168)$$

The AdS<sub>+</sub> number operator in region I is defined by

$$\hat{N}_R^{(1)}(k) = \hat{b}_k^{(1)\dagger} \hat{b}_k^{(1)}. \quad (169)$$

We can now immediately compute the expectation value of this number operator in region I in the anti-de Sitter vacuum  $|0_0\rangle$  to find

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 0_0 | \hat{N}_R^{(1)}(k) | 0_0 \rangle &= \langle 0_0 | \hat{b}_k^{(1)+} \hat{b}_k^{(1)} | 0_0 \rangle \\
&= \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi\omega}{a\Lambda}}}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi\omega}{2}} \langle 0_0 | \hat{c}_{-k}^{(2)} \hat{c}_{-k}^{(2)+} | 0_0 \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{e^{\frac{2\pi\omega}{a\Lambda}} - 1} \delta(0). \tag{170}
\end{aligned}$$

This is a blackbody Planck spectrum corresponding to the temperature

$$T = \frac{a\Lambda}{2\pi}. \tag{171}$$

### NOTE ON DEFORMATION QUANTIZATION

It is well known from deformation quantization that Poisson brackets define the leading correction in the formal expansion of the star product. Explicitly, we should have (recall that upon quantization  $i\{f, g\}$  becomes the commutator  $[\hat{f}, \hat{g}]$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
f * g(x) &= f(x)g(x) + \frac{i}{2}\{f, g\} + O(\theta^2) \\
&= f(x)g(x) + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{ab}\partial_a f \partial_b g + O(\theta^2). \tag{172}
\end{aligned}$$

On a quantized Poisson manifold such as the noncommutative  $\text{AdS}_\theta^2$  the Poisson structure  $\theta$  is generally not a constant tensor and hence the star product is given by a formal power series in  $\theta^{ab}(x)$ . The star product which is associative upto the second order in  $\theta^{ab}(x)$  is given explicitly by [48]

$$\begin{aligned}
f * g &= f \cdot g + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{ab}\partial_a f \partial_b g - \frac{1}{8}\theta^{ab}\theta^{cd}\partial_a \partial_c f \partial_b \partial_d g \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{12}\theta^{ab}\partial_b \theta^{cd}(x)(\partial_a \partial_c f \partial_d g + \partial_a \partial_c g \partial_d f) + O(\theta^3). \tag{173}
\end{aligned}$$

The noncommutativity tensor  $\theta(x)$  satisfies by construction the Jacobi identity

$$\theta^{ab}\partial_b \theta^{cd} + \theta^{db}\partial_b \theta^{ac} + \theta^{cb}\partial_b \theta^{da} = 0. \tag{174}$$

The Kontsevich formula for deformation quantization actually only deals with equivalence classes of star products, i.e. a given star product is really only defined modulo gauge transformations [48, 55, 56].

In this context a gauge transformation is a differential linear operator  $D : A \rightarrow A$  (where  $A$  is the algebra of functions on  $\text{AdS}^2$ ) which transforms the star product  $*$  as follows

$$D(f * g) = \overline{f * g} \equiv \overline{f * g}. \tag{175}$$

Where  $\bar{*}$  is the gauge transformed star product and functions (elements of the algebra  $A$ ) are transformed as

$$\bar{f} \equiv D(f) = \sum_n D_n(f). \tag{176}$$

The  $D_n : A \rightarrow A$  are differential linear operators of order  $O(\theta^n)$ . The operator  $D$  is invertible (we assume that  $D_0 = 1$ ) with inverse  $E = \sum_n E_n$  (with  $E_0 = 1$ ) given by [56]

$$E_n = - \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} E_m D_{n-m}. \tag{177}$$

We also write the star products  $*$  and  $\bar{*}$  as

$$f * g = \sum_n B_n(f, g), \quad \bar{f} \bar{*} \bar{g} = \sum_n C_n(\bar{f}, \bar{g}). \tag{178}$$

The  $B_n$  and  $C_n$  are bi-differential operators, i.e.  $B_n : A \times A \rightarrow A$  and  $C_n : A \times A \rightarrow A$  of order  $O(\theta^n)$ . Clearly, the operators  $B_n$  are given by (upto the third order  $O(\theta^3)$  in the noncommutativity parameter)

$$\begin{aligned}
B_0(f, g) &= fg \\
B_1(f, g) &= \frac{i}{2}\theta^{ab}\partial_a f \partial_b g \\
B_2(f, g) &= -\frac{1}{8}\theta^{ab}\theta^{cd}\partial_a \partial_c f \partial_b \partial_d g \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{12}\theta^{ab}\partial_b \theta^{cd}(\partial_a \partial_c f \partial_d g + \partial_a \partial_c g \partial_d f). \tag{179}
\end{aligned}$$

The definition of the gauge transformation (175) reads then in more detail as (by giving the gauge transformed operators  $C_n$  in terms of the  $B_k$ 's with  $k \leq n$ )

$$C_n(\bar{f}, \bar{g}) = \sum_{m+k+l+j=n} D_m(B_k(E_l \bar{f}, E_j \bar{g})). \tag{180}$$

We compute explicitly the first three terms:

$$C_0(\bar{f}, \bar{g}) = \bar{f} \bar{g}. \tag{181}$$

$$C_1(\bar{f}, \bar{g}) = B_1(\bar{f}, \bar{g}) + D_1(\bar{f} \bar{g}) - (D_1 \bar{f}) \bar{g} - \bar{f} (D_1 \bar{g}). \tag{182}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_2(\bar{f}, \bar{g}) &= B_2(\bar{f}, \bar{g}) + D_2(\bar{f} \bar{g}) - (D_2 \bar{f}) \bar{g} - \bar{f} (D_2 \bar{g}) \\
&\quad - D_1((D_1 \bar{f}) \bar{g}) - D_1(\bar{f} (D_1 \bar{g})) + (D_1 \bar{f})(D_1 \bar{g}) \\
&\quad + (D_1^2 \bar{f}) \bar{g} + \bar{f} (D_1^2 \bar{g}) + D_1(B_1(\bar{f}, \bar{g})) \\
&\quad - B_1(D_1 \bar{f}, \bar{g}) - B_1(\bar{f}, D_1 \bar{g}). \tag{183}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, upto the third order  $O(\theta^3)$  in the noncommutativity parameter the gauge transformation (175) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
D(f * g) &= f * g + D_1(fg) + D_1(B_1(f, g)) + D_2(fg) \\
&\quad + O(\theta^3). \tag{184}
\end{aligned}$$

All star products in the Kontsevich theory of deformation quantization are determined in terms of Poisson structures while gauge transformations correspond typically to coordinate transformations  $x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ . But under a coordinate transformation  $x \rightarrow \bar{x}$  we must obviously have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^{ab} &\longrightarrow \bar{\theta}^{ab} = \theta^{cd}(x) \frac{\partial \bar{x}^a}{\partial x^c} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^b}{\partial x^d} \Rightarrow \\ B_1(f, g) &= \frac{i}{2} \bar{\theta}^{ab} \bar{\partial}_a f \bar{\partial}_b g. \end{aligned} \quad (185)$$

Thus, the Poisson structure in the transformed coordinates  $\bar{x}$  is precisely given by the noncommutativity parameter  $\bar{\theta}^{ab}$  and therefore the corresponding star product is given by  $\bar{*}$ , i.e.

$$C_1(f, g) = B_1(f, g) = \frac{i}{2} \bar{\theta}^{ab} \bar{\partial}_a f \bar{\partial}_b g. \quad (186)$$

By comparing this result with equation (182) we conclude that the gauge transformation  $D_1$  (if it corresponds to the coordinate transformation  $x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ ) must satisfy the Leibniz rule, viz

$$D_1(\bar{f}\bar{g}) = (D_1\bar{f})\bar{g} + \bar{f}(D_1\bar{g}). \quad (187)$$

By using this result in (183) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_2(\bar{f}, \bar{g}) &= B_2(\bar{f}, \bar{g}) + D_2(\bar{f}\bar{g}) - (D_2\bar{f})\bar{g} - \bar{f}(D_2\bar{g}) \\ &\quad - (D_1\bar{f})(D_1\bar{g}) + D_1(B_1(\bar{f}, \bar{g})) \\ &\quad - B_1(D_1\bar{f}, \bar{g}) - B_1(\bar{f}, D_1\bar{g}). \end{aligned} \quad (188)$$

A simple solution is given by  $D_1 = 0$ , i.e. the gauge transformation starts to become non-trivial only at the second order  $O(\theta^2)$  in the noncommutativity parameter.

- 
- [1] S. W. Hawking, "Particle Creation by Black Holes," Commun. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975) Erratum: [Commun. Math. Phys. **46**, 206 (1976)].
- [2] W. G. Unruh, "Notes on black hole evaporation," Phys. Rev. D **14**, 870 (1976).
- [3] H. Reissner, "Über die Eigengravitation des Elektrischen Feldes nach der Einsteinschen Theorie," Annalen Phys.,50,106-120 (1916). G. Nordstrom, "On the Energy of the Gravitational Field in Einstein's Theory," Proc.Kon.Ned Akad.Wet.,20,1238-1245 (1918).
- [4] M. Banados, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, "The Black hole in three-dimensional space-time," Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1849 (1992) [hep-th/9204099].
- [5] A. Strominger, "AdS(2) quantum gravity and string theory," JHEP **01**, 007 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9809027 [hep-th]].
- [6] M. Spradlin and A. Strominger, "Vacuum states for AdS(2) black holes," JHEP **11**, 021 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9904143 [hep-th]].
- [7] I. Bengtsson, "ANTI-DE SITTER SPACE," author's website.
- [8] V. Bargmann, "Irreducible unitary representations of the Lorentz group," Ann. Math.48 (1947) 568.
- [9] L.C. Biedenharn, J. Nuyts, N. Straumann, "On the unitary representations of SU(1,1) and SU(2,1)," Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare, Vol.III, Number 1, 1965.
- [10] A. Connes, "Gravity coupled with matter and foundation of noncommutative geometry," Commun. Math. Phys. **182**, 155 (1996).
- [11] P. M. Ho and M. Li, "Large N expansion from fuzzy AdS(2)," Nucl. Phys. B **590**, 198 (2000) [hep-th/0005268].
- [12] P. M. Ho and M. Li, "Fuzzy spheres in AdS / CFT correspondence and holography from noncommutativity," Nucl. Phys. B **596**, 259 (2001) [hep-th/0004072].
- [13] D. Jurman and H. Steinacker, "2D fuzzy Anti-de Sitter space from matrix models," JHEP **1401**, 100 (2014) [arXiv:1309.1598 [hep-th]].
- [14] A. Pinzul and A. Stern, "Non-commutative AdS<sub>2</sub>/CFT<sub>1</sub> duality: the case of massless scalar fields," Phys. Rev. D **96**, no. 6, 066019 (2017) [arXiv:1707.04816 [hep-th]].
- [15] N. Mukunda and B. Radhakrishnan, "Clebsch-gordan problem and coefficients for the three-dimensional lorentz group in a continuous basis. 1.," J. Math. Phys. **15**, 1320-1331 (1974) doi:10.1063/1.1666814
- [16] F. Girelli and G. Sellaroli, "3d Lorentzian loop quantum gravity and the spinor approach," Phys. Rev. D **92**, no.12, 124035 (2015) [arXiv:1506.07759 [gr-qc]].
- [17] D. Basu and K. B. Wolf, "The Clebsch-gordan Coefficients of the Three-dimensional Lorentz Algebra in the Parabolic Basis," J. Math. Phys. **24**, 478 (1983)
- [18] V. de Alfaro, S. Fubini and G. Furlan, "Conformal Invariance in Quantum Mechanics," Nuovo Cim. A **34**, 569 (1976)
- [19] C. Chamon, R. Jackiw, S. Y. Pi and L. Santos, "Conformal quantum mechanics as the CFT<sub>1</sub> dual to AdS<sub>2</sub>," Phys. Lett. B **701**, 503-507 (2011) [arXiv:1106.0726 [hep-th]].
- [20] M. Cadoni and S. Mignemi, "Entropy of 2-D black holes from counting microstates," Phys. Rev. D **59**, 081501 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9810251 [hep-th]].
- [21] M. Cadoni and S. Mignemi, "Asymptotic symmetries of AdS(2) and conformal group in d = 1," Nucl. Phys. B **557**, 165-180 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9902040 [hep-th]].
- [22] J. Hoppe, MIT Ph.D. Thesis, (1982).
- [23] J. Madore, "The Fuzzy sphere," Class. Quant. Grav. **9**, 69 (1992).
- [24] A. Y. Alekseev, A. Recknagel and V. Schomerus, "Brane dynamics in background fluxes and noncommutative geometry," JHEP **0005**, 010 (2000).
- [25] S. Iso, Y. Kimura, K. Tanaka and K. Wakatsuki, "Non-commutative gauge theory on fuzzy sphere from matrix model," Nucl. Phys. B **604**, 121 (2001).
- [26] H. Steinacker, "Quantized gauge theory on the fuzzy sphere as random matrix model," Nucl. Phys. B **679**, 66 (2004).
- [27] N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa and A. Tsuchiya, "A Large N reduced model as superstring," Nucl. Phys. B **498**, 467 (1997).
- [28] R. C. Myers, "Dielectric branes," JHEP **9912**, 022 (1999).
- [29] R. Delgadillo-Blando and D. O'Connor, "Matrix geometries and Matrix Models," JHEP **11**, 057 (2012) [arXiv:1203.6901 [hep-th]].
- [30] P. Castro-Villarreal, R. Delgadillo-Blando and B. Ydri,

- “A gauge-invariant UV-IR mixing and the corresponding phase transition for U(1) fields on the fuzzy sphere,” Nucl. Phys. B **704**, 111 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0405201].
- [31] T. Azuma, S. Bal, K. Nagao and J. Nishimura, “Nonperturbative studies of fuzzy spheres in a matrix model with the Chern-Simons term,” JHEP **0405**, 005 (2004).
- [32] M. Cadoni and S. Mignemi, “Nonsingular four-dimensional black holes and the Jackiw-Teitelboim theory,” Phys. Rev. D **51**, 4319 (1995) [hep-th/9410041].
- [33] M. Cadoni and S. Mignemi, “Classical and semiclassical properties of extremal black holes with dilaton and modulus fields,” Nucl. Phys. B **427**, 669 (1994) [hep-th/9312171].
- [34] D. Garfinkle, G. T. Horowitz and A. Strominger, “Charged black holes in string theory,” Phys. Rev. D **43**, 3140 (1991) Erratum: [Phys. Rev. D **45**, 3888 (1992)].
- [35] S. B. Giddings and A. Strominger, “Dynamics of extremal black holes,” Phys. Rev. D **46**, 627 (1992) [hep-th/9202004].
- [36] R. Jackiw and C. Teitelboim, in: Quantum Theory of Gravity, S. Christensen ed. (Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1984).
- [37] A. Almheiri and J. Polchinski, “Models of AdS<sub>2</sub> backreaction and holography,” JHEP **11**, 014 (2015) [arXiv:1402.6334 [hep-th]].
- [38] R. Delgadillo-Blando, D. O’Connor and B. Ydri, “Geometry in Transition: A Model of Emergent Geometry,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 201601 (2008) [arXiv:0712.3011 [hep-th]].
- [39] B. Ydri, “Two approaches to quantum gravity and M-(atrix) theory at large number of dimensions,” [arXiv:2007.04488 [hep-th]].
- [40] B. Ydri, “The AdS<sup>2</sup>/CFT<sub>1</sub> correspondence and non-commutative geometry I: A QM/NCG correspondence,” arXiv:2108.13982 [hep-th].
- [41] M. Cavaglia, “Geometrodynamical formulation of two-dimensional dilaton gravity,” Phys. Rev. D **59**, 084011 (1999) [hep-th/9811059].
- [42] A. T. Filippov, in: Problems in Theoretical Physics, Dubna, JINR, June 1996, p. 113; Mod. Phys. Lett. A **11**, 1691 (1996); Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **12**, 13 (1997).
- [43] M. Cadoni and P. Carta, “The AdS / CFT correspondence in two-dimensions,” Mod. Phys. Lett. A **16**, 171-178 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0102064 [hep-th]].
- [44] E. Brezin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi and J. B. Zuber, “Planar Diagrams,” Commun. Math. Phys. **59**, 35 (1978).
- [45] Y. Shimamune, “On The Phase Structure Of Large N Matrix Models And Gauge Models,” Phys. Lett. B **108**, 407 (1982).
- [46] J. Lee and H. S. Yang, “Quantum Gravity from Noncommutative Spacetime,” J. Korean Phys. Soc. **65**, 1754 (2014) [arXiv:1004.0745 [hep-th]].
- [47] D. N. Blaschke and H. Steinacker, “Schwarzschild Geometry Emerging from Matrix Models,” Class. Quant. Grav. **27**, 185020 (2010) [arXiv:1005.0499 [hep-th]].
- [48] M. Kontsevich, “Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds. 1.,” Lett. Math. Phys. **66**, 157 (2003) [q-alg/9709040].
- [49] H. A. Kastrup, “Quantization of the optical phase space  $S^{*2} = \text{phi mod } 2\text{pi}$ ,  $I \geq 0$  in terms of the group SO(1,2),” Fortsch. Phys. **51**, 975 (2003) [quant-ph/0307069].
- [50] J. E. Moyal, “Quantum mechanics as a statistical theory,” Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **45**, 99 (1949).
- [51] H. J. Groenewold, “On the Principles of elementary quantum mechanics,” Physica **12**, 405 (1946).
- [52] H. Steinacker, “Emergent Gravity and Noncommutative Branes from Yang-Mills Matrix Models,” Nucl. Phys. B **810**, 1 (2009) [arXiv:0806.2032 [hep-th]].
- [53] H. Steinacker, “Emergent Geometry and Gravity from Matrix Models: an Introduction,” Class. Quant. Grav. **27**, 133001 (2010) [arXiv:1003.4134 [hep-th]].
- [54] A. Perelomov, “Generalized coherent states and their applications,” Springer (1986).
- [55] A. S. Cattaneo and G. Felder, “A Path integral approach to the Kontsevich quantization formula,” Commun. Math. Phys. **212**, 591 (2000) [math/9902090].
- [56] A. Gracia-Saz, “On the Kontsevich Formula for Deformation Quantization,” 2003.
- [57] B. Ydri, “Fuzzy physics,” [arXiv:hep-th/0110006 [hep-th]].
- [58] M. Hanada, “What lattice theorists can do for superstring/M-theory,” Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **31**, no.22, 1643006 (2016) [arXiv:1604.05421 [hep-lat]].
- [59] T. Okazaki, “Whittaker vector, Wheeler-DeWitt equation, and the gravity dual of conformal quantum mechanics,” Phys. Rev. D **92**, no.12, 126010 (2015) [arXiv:1510.04759 [hep-th]].
- [60] T. Okazaki, “Implications of Conformal Symmetry in Quantum Mechanics,” Phys. Rev. D **96**, no.6, 066030 (2017) [arXiv:1704.00286 [hep-th]].
- [61] K. S. Gupta, T. Jurić, A. Samsarov and I. Smolić, “Noncommutativity and the Weak Cosmic Censorship,” JHEP **10**, 170 (2019) [arXiv:1908.07402 [hep-th]].
- [62] K. S. Gupta, T. Jurić and A. Samsarov, “Noncommutative duality and fermionic quasinormal modes of the BTZ black hole,” JHEP **06**, 107 (2017) [arXiv:1703.00514 [hep-th]].
- [63] K. S. Gupta, E. Harikumar, T. Jurić, S. Meljanac and A. Samsarov, “Noncommutative scalar quasinormal modes and quantization of entropy of a BTZ black hole,” JHEP **09**, 025 (2015) [arXiv:1505.04068 [hep-th]].
- [64] K. S. Gupta, E. Harikumar, T. Juric, S. Meljanac and A. Samsarov, “Effects of Noncommutativity on the Black Hole Entropy,” Adv. High Energy Phys. **2014**, 139172 (2014) [arXiv:1312.5100 [hep-th]].
- [65] These Darboux coordinates cover the whole of AdS<sup>2</sup>. The coordinates  $(t, u)$  are also Darboux coordinates for which the noncommutativity is constant given by  $\tilde{\theta}^{ij} = -\kappa \epsilon^{ij} / R$  but these coordinates cover only half of AdS<sup>2</sup>.