

A Lax Operator for $d = 2$ $N = 2$ Supergravity

Robert F. Penna*

*Institute for Advanced Study
Einstein Drive, Princeton, NJ 08540 USA*

Abstract

General relativity and supergravity become integrable systems after dimensional reduction to two spacetime dimensions. This means the equations of motion can be encoded in the flatness condition for a Lax operator. Nicolai and Warner found Lax operators for dimensionally reduced supergravity. They gave explicit formulas primarily for the case with $N = 16$ supersymmetry in two dimensions (which corresponds to $N = 8$ supergravity in four dimensions). In this note, we derive analogous results for the case with $N = 2$ supersymmetry in two dimensions (which corresponds to $N = 1$ supergravity in four dimensions). This is the simplest example of the general fact that supergravity becomes an integrable system after dimensional reduction to two dimensions.

*rpenna@ias.edu

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 2 | $N = 2$ Supergravity in Three Dimensions | 3 |
| 3 | Supersymmetry | 6 |
| 4 | Dimensional Reduction | 10 |
| 5 | $N = 2$ Supergravity in Two Dimensions | 15 |
| 6 | Lax Operator | 18 |
| A | Proof of Equation (3.16) | 20 |

1 Introduction

Lax operators are one of the basic objects in the theory of integrable systems. A Lax operator is a Lie algebra valued 1-form, L , obeying the flatness condition

$$dL + L \wedge L = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

The flatness condition encodes the equations of motion of an integrable system. L is a function of the spacetime coordinates and an auxiliary parameter, $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$, called the spectral parameter.

The Lax operator can also be formulated as a $(0, 1)$ gauge field, A , on twistor space [1, 2]. The flatness condition (1.1) becomes the partial flatness condition

$$\bar{\partial}A + A \wedge A = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

A conceptual advantage of this formulation is that now the spacetime coordinates and the spectral parameter are all just coordinates on twistor space, which is six real dimensional. An interesting recent development is the observation [3–5] that (1.2) is the equation of motion of a Chern-Simons theory on twistor space. Thus the problem of finding and classifying integrable systems is closely related to the problem of finding and classifying solutions of a Chern-Simons theory on twistor space.

General relativity and supergravity become integrable systems after dimensional reduction to two spacetime dimensions. In the case of pure general relativity, the dimensionally reduced theory is an $SL(2, \mathbb{R})/SO(2)$ coset sigma model coupled to a dilaton and 2d general relativity [6–12]. The 2d theory has an infinite dimensional Kac-Moody symmetry called the Geroch group. (The Poisson brackets of the charges generate a Yangian symmetry [13, 14].) Under the action of the Geroch group, the Minkowski metric can be mapped to any 4d vacuum metric with two commuting Killing vectors. In principle, this reduces the problem of deriving vacuum metrics with two commuting Killing vectors to pure algebra. For a recent example of these facts in action, see [15], where we gave an algebraic derivation of the Einstein-Rosen metric, which describes a cylindrical gravitational wave.

In the case of supergravity, the dimensionally reduced theory is a coset sigma model coupled to a dilaton, matter fermions, and 2d supergravity. Nicolai [16, 17] and Nicolai and Warner [18] found Lax operators for these models. They described the Lax operator explicitly for the case with $N = 16$ supersymmetry in two dimensions (which corresponds to $N = 8$ supersymmetry in four dimensions). In the present paper, we will derive the Lax operator for the case with $N = 2$ supersymmetry in two dimensions¹ (which corresponds to $N = 1$ supersymmetry in four dimensions).

The 2d metric is

$$ds^2 = \lambda^2(-dt^2 + dr^2), \tag{1.3}$$

where $\lambda = \lambda(t, r)$. We eliminated the off diagonal components and set $-g_{tt} = g_{rr} = \lambda^2$ using a diffeomorphism. It turns out that the equation of motion for λ decouples from the other equations of motion. So we can consider the Lax operator with or without λ . The Lax operators that have been derived so far do not include λ and we will not include it here either. Extending the Lax operator to include the equation of motion for λ is an interesting open problem². Nicolai [17] has extended the Lax operator to include the off diagonal components of (1.3). For simplicity, we will not consider that extension in this work.

In a similar way, it is possible to decouple the equations of motion for the 2d gravitinos. Nicolai [8] described an $N = 2$ Lax operator that does not include the gravitinos. The $N = 16$ Lax operators of [17, 18] do include the gravitinos. We will include the gravitinos in our Lax operator³.

There is a remarkable interplay between supersymmetry and integrability in these models (first observed in [16]). The action and the equations of motion have quadratic and quartic fermion terms. But the Lax operator only has quadratic fermion terms. The quartic fermion terms in the equations of motion come from the $L \wedge L$ term in the flatness condition (1.1). The upshot is that we can (and do) ignore quartic fermion terms throughout this work, and yet still obtain the Lax operator of the full theory (the theory with quartic fermion terms). It would be good to have a

¹The $N = 2$ Lax operator described herein misses some fermion terms in the equations of motion that are not missed by the Lax operators with $N > 2$. This can be traced to the fact that $SO(2)$ is abelian. This issue was first noted by Nicolai [8]. We comment on this issue at the end of Section 6.

²In the case of pure general relativity, there is a formula for λ in terms of a cocycle on the Geroch group [7].

³The dimensional reduction of $N = 1$ supergravity from four dimensions to a single null dimension has a hyperbolic Kac-Moody symmetry [19] that mixes the matter fermions and the gravitinos. This is one motivation for keeping the gravitinos in the Lax operator.

better conceptual understanding of why this works. It would also be interesting to find a similar principle at the level of the action, relating the quartic fermion terms in the action to the quadratic fermion terms, perhaps using twistor Chern-Simons theory [3–5].

This paper is relatively self contained. We begin in three spacetime dimensions. We check that the 3d action is supersymmetric to linear order in the fermions. Then we derive the 2d action and equations of motion by dimensionally reducing the 3d action. We discuss the Lax operator (6.3)–(6.5) in Section 6. Our conventions for the metric, curvature tensors, gamma matrices, etc. follow the textbook [20].

2 $N = 2$ Supergravity in Three Dimensions

We begin by introducing $N = 2$ supergravity in three spacetime dimensions [21–23]. This action can be derived by dimensionally reducing $N = 1$ supergravity from four dimensions. However, the reduction to three dimensions requires some nontrivial redefinitions of the 4d fermions (for a similar example, see [24]), so it is easier to begin in three dimensions.

The pure supergravity part of the action is

$$\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e R - \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e \bar{\psi}_m^I \gamma^{mnp} D_n \psi_p^I. \quad (2.1)$$

The first term is the Einstein-Hilbert term and the second term is the gravitino kinetic term. The metric signature is $(-++)$. The fields are the frame field, e_m^a , and a pair of gravitinos, ψ_m^I , $I = 1, 2$. The gravitinos are Majorana fermions. In the first term, $e = \det e_m^a$ and R is the Ricci scalar. In the second term, the covariant derivative is

$$D_m \psi_n^I = \partial_m \psi_n^I + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mab} \gamma^{ab} \psi_n^I + \dots \quad (2.2)$$

The dots indicate an additional coupling to be defined below (equation 2.10). ω_{mab} is the spin connection. We use m, n, p, \dots for curved indices and a, b, c, \dots for flat indices.

The matter part of the action includes an $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(2)$ coset sigma model. To set this up, let $U \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ be the basic field of the sigma model. The Lie algebra of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, decomposes as

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{k}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{so}(2)$ and \mathfrak{k} is the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{so}(2)$ in $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Define Lie algebra valued fields, $Q_m \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $P_m \in \mathfrak{k}$, by

$$-(\partial_m U)U^{-1} = Q_m + P_m. \quad (2.4)$$

Q_m transforms as an $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ gauge field under local $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ transformations, $\delta U = -hU$, $h \in \mathfrak{so}(2)$. To see why, note that the variation of (2.4) is

$$\begin{aligned} -(\partial_m h U)U^{-1} + (\partial_m U)U^{-1}h &= -\partial_m h - h(\partial_m U)U^{-1} + (\partial_m U)U^{-1}h \\ &= -\partial_m h - [P_m, h]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Collect terms according to the Lie algebra decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ to get

$$\delta Q_m = -(\partial_m h), \quad (2.6)$$

$$\delta P_m = -[P_m, h]. \quad (2.7)$$

The first line is the transformation law for an $\text{SO}(2)$ gauge field.

Now the matter part of the action is

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e g^{mn} \text{Tr}(P_m P_n) - \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e \bar{\chi}^I \not{D} \chi^I. \quad (2.8)$$

The first term is the sigma model kinetic term and the second term is the matter fermion kinetic term. In the first term, $g^{mn} = e_a^m e_b^n \eta_{ab}$ is the inverse metric (η_{ab} is the 3d Minkowski metric). The matter fermions, χ^I , $I = 1, 2$, are Majorana fermions. The slash operator is $\not{D} \chi^I = \gamma^m D_m \chi^I$. The covariant derivative, $D_m \chi^I$, is

$$D_m \chi^I = \partial_m \chi^I + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mab} \gamma^{ab} \chi^I + \frac{3}{2} Q_m^3 \varepsilon^{IJ} \chi^J. \quad (2.9)$$

ε^{IJ} is the Levi-Civita symbol ($\varepsilon^{12} = -\varepsilon^{21} = 1$). The factor of Q_m^3 in (2.9) is defined by $Q_m = Q_m^3 Y^3$, where Y^3 is the generator of $\mathfrak{so}(2)$ (see equation 2.11). In what follows, we will usually suppress the Lie algebra index on Q_m^3 for brevity. The covariant derivative of the gravitino (2.2) is defined similarly:

$$D_m \psi_n^I = \partial_m \psi_n^I + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mab} \gamma^{ab} \psi_n^I + \frac{1}{2} Q_m \varepsilon^{IJ} \psi_n^J. \quad (2.10)$$

The sum of the gravity and matter actions, (2.1) and (2.8), is not supersymmetric. In fact, it is not even supersymmetric to linear order in the fermions. To get an action that is supersymmetric to linear order in the fermions, we need to add one more term. First, define the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ basis

$$Y^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.11)$$

In this basis, the components of P_n are

$$P_n = P_n^1 Y^1 + P_n^2 Y^2 = P_n^A Y^A \quad (A = 1, 2). \quad (2.12)$$

Let $t_{IJ}^A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{IJ}^A$ and $t_{IJ} = t_{IJ}^A Y^A$ ($A = 1, 2$). The additional term we need is

$$- \int d^3x e t_{IJ}^A (\bar{\chi}^I \gamma^m \gamma^n \psi_m^J) P_n^A. \quad (2.13)$$

In the next section, we will check that the action

$$S = S_0 + S_{1/2} + S_{3/2} + S_2 + S_N, \quad (2.14)$$

with

$$S_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e g^{mn} \text{Tr}(P_m P_n), \quad (2.15)$$

$$S_{1/2} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e \bar{\chi}^I \not{D} \chi^I, \quad (2.16)$$

$$S_{3/2} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e \bar{\psi}_m^I \gamma^{mnp} D_n \psi_p^I, \quad (2.17)$$

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e R, \quad (2.18)$$

$$S_N = - \int d^3x e t_{IJ}^A (\bar{\chi}^I \gamma^m \gamma^n \psi_m^J) P_n^A, \quad (2.19)$$

is supersymmetric to linear order in the fermions.

First, we record some facts about Q_m and P_m that will be needed in what follows. Define

$$D_m U = \partial_m U + Q_m U, \quad (2.20)$$

$$D_m U^{-1} = \partial_m U^{-1} - U^{-1} Q_m, \quad (2.21)$$

and

$$D_m Q_n = \partial_m Q_n, \quad (2.22)$$

$$D_m P_n = \partial_m P_n + [Q_m, P_n]. \quad (2.23)$$

There is no $[Q_m, Q_n]$ term in (2.22) because $Q_m, Q_n \in \mathfrak{so}(2)$. Equations (2.20)–(2.23) are compatible with definition (2.4) because

$$\begin{aligned} -D_m((\partial_n U)U^{-1}) &= -\partial_m((\partial_n U)U^{-1}) - Q_m(\partial_n U)U^{-1} + (\partial_n U)U^{-1}Q_m \\ &= -\partial_m((\partial_n U)U^{-1}) - [Q_m, \partial_n U U^{-1}] \\ &= \partial_m Q_n + \partial_m P_n + [Q_m, P_n] \\ &= D_m Q_n + D_m P_n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

We are going to need the important pair of equations

$$\partial_m Q_n - \partial_n Q_m + [P_m, P_n] = 0, \quad (2.25)$$

$$D_m P_n - D_n P_m = 0. \quad (2.26)$$

To derive these equations, first combine (2.20) and (2.4) to get

$$(D_m + P_m)U = \partial_m U + Q_m U + P_m U = 0. \quad (2.27)$$

Then expand the commutator $[D_m + P_m, D_n + P_n]U$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} [D_m + P_m, D_n + P_n]U &= [D_m, D_n]U + [D_m, P_n]U + [P_m, D_n]U + [P_m, P_n]U \\ &= (\partial_m Q_n)U - (\partial_n Q_m)U + (D_m P_n)U - (D_n P_m)U + [P_m, P_n]U \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

Collect the terms on the middle line according to the Lie algebra decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ to get (2.25)–(2.26).

Finally, define

$$\mathcal{D}_m \psi_n^I = \partial_m \psi_n^I + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mab} \gamma^{ab} \psi_n^I. \quad (2.29)$$

D_m and \mathcal{D}_m are related by

$$D_m \psi_n^I = \mathcal{D}_m \psi_n^I + \frac{1}{2} Q_m \varepsilon^{IJ} \psi_n^J. \quad (2.30)$$

An important identity is

$$[D_m, D_n] \psi_p^I = [\mathcal{D}_m, \mathcal{D}_n] \psi_p^I - \varepsilon^{AB} \varepsilon^{IJ} P_m^A P_n^B \psi_p^J. \quad (2.31)$$

To prove this, first expand

$$\begin{aligned} [D_m, D_n] \psi_p^I &= D_m D_n \psi_p^I - D_n D_m \psi_p^I \\ &= D_m (\mathcal{D}_n \psi_p^I + \frac{1}{2} Q_n \varepsilon^{IJ} \psi_p^J) - D_n (\mathcal{D}_m \psi_p^I + \frac{1}{2} Q_m \varepsilon^{IJ} \psi_p^J) \\ &= D_m \mathcal{D}_n \psi_p^I + \frac{1}{2} Q_m \varepsilon^{IJ} \mathcal{D}_n \psi_p^J + \frac{1}{2} (D_m Q_n) \varepsilon^{IJ} \psi_p^J + \frac{1}{2} Q_n \varepsilon^{IJ} D_m \psi_p^J \\ &\quad - D_n \mathcal{D}_m \psi_p^I - \frac{1}{2} Q_n \varepsilon^{IJ} \mathcal{D}_m \psi_p^J - \frac{1}{2} (D_n Q_m) \varepsilon^{IJ} \psi_p^J - \frac{1}{2} Q_m \varepsilon^{IJ} D_n \psi_p^J \\ &= [\mathcal{D}_m, \mathcal{D}_n] \psi_p^I + \frac{1}{2} (D_m Q_n - D_n Q_m) \varepsilon^{IJ} \psi_p^J. \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

Then use $D_m Q_n = \partial_m Q_n$ (equation 2.22) to get

$$[D_m, D_n] \psi_p^I = [\mathcal{D}_m, \mathcal{D}_n] \psi_p^I + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_m Q_n - \partial_n Q_m) \varepsilon^{IJ} \psi_p^J. \quad (2.33)$$

Now recall the remark beneath (2.9). The term in parentheses is

$$\partial_m Q_n^3 - \partial_n Q_m^3 = -[P_m, P_n]^3 = -2P_m^A P_n^B \varepsilon^{AB}. \quad (2.34)$$

The first equality follows from equation (2.25). The second equality follows upon writing $P_m = P_m^A Y^A$ and using $[Y^A, Y^B] = 2\varepsilon^{AB} Y^3$. Plugging (2.34) into (2.33) gives (2.31)

3 Supersymmetry

In this section, we will check that the 3d action (2.14)–(2.19) is supersymmetric to linear order in the fermions. It is not invariant to cubic order in the fermions. To get complete invariance, we would need to add quartic fermion terms to the action.

The supersymmetry variations of the gravity fields are

$$\delta e_m^a = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma^a \psi_m^I, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\delta \psi_m^I = D_m \epsilon^I. \quad (3.2)$$

The infinitesimal parameters, ϵ^I , $I = 1, 2$, are Majorana fermions. The covariant derivative of an infinitesimal parameter is

$$D_m \epsilon^I = \partial_m \epsilon^I + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mab} \gamma^{ab} \epsilon^I + \frac{1}{2} Q_m \varepsilon^{IJ} \epsilon^J. \quad (3.3)$$

The variations of the inverse tetrad and determinant are

$$\delta e_a^m = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma^m \psi_a^I, \quad \delta e = \frac{1}{2} e \bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma^p \psi_p^I. \quad (3.4)$$

The variations of the matter fields are

$$\delta \chi^I = -\gamma^m \epsilon^J P_m^A t_{IJ}^A, \quad (3.5)$$

$$(\delta U U^{-1})^A = \frac{1}{2} t_{IJ}^A \bar{\epsilon}^I \chi^J. \quad (3.6)$$

The second line is the variation of U , the basic field of the sigma model. The variations of Q_m and P_m are obtained by varying (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Q_m + \delta P_m &= -(\partial_m \delta U) U^{-1} + (\partial_m U) U^{-1} \delta U U^{-1} \\ &= -\partial_m (\delta U U^{-1}) + [(\partial_m U) U^{-1}, \delta U U^{-1}] \\ &= -\partial_m (\delta U U^{-1}) - [Q_m, \delta U U^{-1}] - [P_m, \delta U U^{-1}]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Collecting terms according to the Lie algebra decomposition $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ gives

$$\delta Q_m = -[P_m, \delta U U^{-1}], \quad (3.8)$$

$$\delta P_m = -\partial_m (\delta U U^{-1}) - [Q_m, \delta U U^{-1}] \equiv -D_m (\delta U U^{-1}). \quad (3.9)$$

These equations and (3.6) define the variations of Q_m and P_m .

Our goal is to show that the 3d action (2.14)–(2.19) is invariant under (3.1)–(3.2) and (3.5)–(3.6) to linear order in the fermions. There are two parts to this problem. In the first part, we will show that the terms in the variation that are linear in χ^I sum to zero. These terms come from varying U in S_0 , varying χ^I in $S_{1/2}$, and varying ψ^I in S_N . In the second part, we will show that the terms in the variation that are linear in ψ_m^I sum to zero. These terms come from varying e_m^a in S_0 and S_2 , varying ψ_m^I in $S_{3/2}$, and varying χ^I in S_N . Table 1 summarizes all the terms that appear in the variation of the action.

Varying U in S_0 gives

$$\begin{aligned} -\int d^3x e g^{mn} \text{Tr}(P_m \delta P_n) &= -2 \int d^3x e g^{mn} P_m^A \delta P_n^A \\ &= \int d^3x e g^{mn} P_m^A D_n (t_{IJ}^A \bar{\epsilon}^I \chi^J) \\ &= -\int d^3x e g^{mn} (t_{IJ}^A \bar{\epsilon}^I \chi^J) D_n P_m^A \\ &= -\int d^3x e \gamma^m \gamma^n (t_{IJ}^A \bar{\epsilon}^I \chi^J) D_n P_m^A. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

| | δS_0 | $\delta S_{1/2}$ | $\delta S_{3/2}$ | δS_2 | δS_N |
|-------------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| δe_m^a | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| δU | 1 | 3 | 3 | — | 3 |
| $\delta \chi^I$ | — | 1 | — | — | 1 |
| $\delta \psi_m^I$ | — | — | 1 | — | 1 |

Table 1: Varying S_i gives terms that are linear (1) and cubic (3) in the fermions.

In the first step, we used $\text{Tr}(Y^A Y^B) = 2\delta^{AB}$. In the second step, we used equation (3.9) for δP_n^A . In the third step, we integrated by parts. In the last step, we used $g^{mn} = \gamma^m \gamma^n - \gamma^{mn}$ and $\gamma^{mn} D_m P_n = 0$ (which follows from equation 2.26).

Varying χ^I in $S_{1/2}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
-\int d^3x e (\delta \bar{\chi}^I) \not{D} \chi^I &= -\int d^3x e (t_{IJ}^A \bar{\epsilon}^J \gamma^m P_m^A) \not{D} \chi^I \\
&= \int d^3x e (t_{IJ}^A (D_n \bar{\epsilon}^J) \gamma^m P_m^A) \gamma^n \chi^I + \int d^3x e (t_{IJ}^A \bar{\epsilon}^J \gamma^m (D_n P_m^A)) \gamma^n \chi^I \\
&= \int d^3x e t_{IJ}^A (\bar{\chi}^I \gamma^n \gamma^m (D_n \epsilon^J)) P_m^A + \int d^3x e (t_{IJ}^A \bar{\epsilon}^J \gamma^m \gamma^n \chi^I) D_n P_m^A \\
&= \int d^3x e t_{IJ}^A (\bar{\chi}^I \gamma^m \gamma^n (D_m \epsilon^J)) P_n^A + \int d^3x e \gamma^m \gamma^n (t_{IJ}^A \bar{\epsilon}^I \chi^J) D_n P_m^A. \quad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

In the second step, we integrated by parts. In the last step, we used $\gamma^m \gamma^n = g^{mn} + \gamma^{mn}$ and $\gamma^{mn} D_m P_n = 0$ to pull $\gamma^m \gamma^n$ outside the fermion bilinear in the second term.

Varying ψ_m^J in S_N gives

$$-\int d^3x e t_{IJ}^A (\bar{\chi}^I \gamma^m \gamma^n (D_m \epsilon^J)) P_n^A. \quad (3.12)$$

Now it is clear that equations (3.10)–(3.12) sum to zero. We thus confirm that the terms in the variation of the action that are linear in χ^I sum to zero.

Now consider the terms in the variation of the action that are linear in ψ_m^I . These terms come from varying e_m^a in S_0 and S_2 , varying ψ_m^I in $S_{3/2}$, and varying χ^I in S_N .

Varying e_m^a in S_0 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} e \bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma^p \psi_p^I \right) g^{mn} + 2e \left(-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma^m \psi_a^I \right) e^{na} \right] \text{Tr}(P_m P_n) \\
&= -\frac{1}{4} \int d^3x e \bar{\epsilon}^I (\gamma^p \psi_p^I g^{mn} - 2\gamma^m \psi^{In}) \text{Tr}(P_m P_n) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e \bar{\epsilon}^I (\gamma^p \psi_p^I g^{mn} - 2\gamma^m \psi^{In}) P_m^A P_n^A. \quad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

The first equality is straightforward, we are just collecting some common factors in the integrand. The second equality follows upon expanding $P_m = P_m^A Y^A$ and using $\text{Tr}(Y^A Y^B) = 2\delta^{AB}$.

Varying ψ_m^I in $S_{3/2}$ and integrating by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e (D_m \bar{\epsilon}^I) \gamma^{mnp} D_n \psi_p^I - \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e \bar{\psi}_m^I \gamma^{mnp} D_n (D_p \epsilon^I) \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e \bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma^{mnp} [D_m, D_n] \psi_p^I. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

The commutator is (2.31)

$$[D_m, D_n] \psi_p^I = [\mathcal{D}_m, \mathcal{D}_n] \psi_p^I - \varepsilon^{AB} \varepsilon^{IJ} P_m^A P_n^B \psi_p^J. \quad (3.15)$$

It is a standard result that the contribution from $[\mathcal{D}_m, \mathcal{D}_n] \psi_p^I$ is cancelled by the variation of e_m^a in S_2 . We are interested in the contribution from the second term,

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e \varepsilon^{AB} \varepsilon^{IJ} (\bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma^{mnp} \psi_p^J) P_m^A P_n^B = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e \varepsilon^{AB} \varepsilon^{IJ} (\bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma^m \gamma^p \gamma^n \psi_p^J) P_m^A P_n^B. \quad (3.16)$$

We have used an identity, $\gamma^{mnp} \varepsilon^{AB} P_m^A P_n^B = -\gamma^m \gamma^p \gamma^n \varepsilon^{AB} P_m^A P_n^B$, whose proof is straightforward but somewhat tedious (see Appendix A).

Varying χ^I in S_N gives

$$-\int d^3x e t_{IJ}^A ((\bar{\epsilon}^K \gamma^p P_p^B t_{IK}^B) \gamma^m \gamma^n \psi_m^J) P_n^A = -\int d^3x e t_{IJ}^A t_{IK}^B (\bar{\epsilon}^K \gamma^p \gamma^m \gamma^n \psi_m^J) P_p^B P_n^A. \quad (3.17)$$

Expand $t_{IJ}^A t_{IK}^B$ using

$$t_{IJ}^A t_{IK}^B = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{AB} \delta_{JK} + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{AB} \varepsilon_{JK}. \quad (3.18)$$

Equation (3.18) is easy to check by a direct calculation (there are 16 components to check). Plugging (3.18) into (3.17) gives two terms. The first term is

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e (\bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma^p \gamma^m \gamma^n \psi_m^I) P_p^A P_n^A = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e (\bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma^m \gamma^p \gamma^n \psi_p^I) P_m^A P_n^A \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e \bar{\epsilon}^I (\gamma^p \psi_p^I g^{mn} - 2\gamma^m \psi^In) P_m^A P_n^A. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

The first equality is just an index relabeling. The second equality follows from the elementary identity $\gamma^m \gamma^p \gamma^n = -\gamma^p g^{mn} + 2\gamma^n g^{mp} - \gamma^p \gamma^{mn}$.

The contribution from the second term of (3.18) is

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e \varepsilon^{AB} \varepsilon^{IJ} (\bar{\epsilon}^J \gamma^m \gamma^p \gamma^n \psi_p^I) P_m^B P_n^A = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e \varepsilon^{AB} \varepsilon^{IJ} (\bar{\epsilon}^I \gamma^m \gamma^p \gamma^n \psi_p^J) P_m^A P_n^B. \quad (3.20)$$

This is also just an index relabeling.

Now it is clear that equations (3.13), (3.16), (3.19), and (3.20) sum to zero. We have therefore shown that the 3d action (2.14)–(2.19) is invariant under (3.1)–(3.2) and (3.5)–(3.6) to linear order in the fermions.

4 Dimensional Reduction

In this section, we will derive the action of $N = 2$ supergravity in two spacetime dimensions by dimensionally reducing the 3d action (2.14)–(2.19).

The 3d metric is

$$ds^2 = \lambda^2(-dt^2 + dr^2) + \rho^2(dx^2)^2, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\lambda = \lambda(t, r)$ and $\rho = \rho(t, r)$ are functions of t and r only. The off diagonal components have been eliminated, and the diagonal components $-g_{tt} = g_{rr} = \lambda^2$ have been identified, using the equations of motion and a diffeomorphism. We dimensionally reduce along x^2 .

4.1 S_0

The first term in the 3d action is the sigma model kinetic term,

$$S_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e g^{mn} \text{Tr}(P_m P_n). \quad (4.2)$$

Assume the fields are independent of x^2 . Then $P_2 = 0$ (equation 2.4). So S_0 becomes

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e g^{\mu\nu} \text{Tr}(P_\mu P_\nu), \quad (4.3)$$

where $\mu, \nu = t, r$ are 2d indices. The frame field is $e_m^a = \text{diag}(\lambda, \lambda, \rho)$ and its determinant is $e = \lambda^2 \rho$. The metric and inverse metric are

$$g_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 h_{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ 0 & \rho^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g^{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-2} h^{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ 0 & \rho^{-2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.4)$$

where $h_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1)$ is the 2d Minkowski metric. So the 2d sigma model kinetic term is

$$S_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \rho h^{\mu\nu} \text{Tr}(P_\mu P_\nu). \quad (4.5)$$

4.2 $S_{1/2}$

The next term in the 3d action is the matter fermion kinetic term,

$$S_{1/2} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e \bar{\chi}^I \gamma^m D_m \chi^I. \quad (4.6)$$

The covariant derivative is (2.9)

$$D_m \chi^I = \partial_m \chi^I + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mab} \gamma^{ab} \chi^I + \frac{3}{2} Q_m \varepsilon^{IJ} \chi^J. \quad (4.7)$$

The spin connection can be eliminated in two spacetime dimensions. To see why, we need to compute the spin connection of the 3d metric (4.1).

The first step is to compute the anholonomy coefficients,

$$\Omega_{[mn]p} = (\partial_m e_n^a - \partial_n e_m^a) e_{ap}, \quad (4.8)$$

where $e_{am} = \text{diag}(-\lambda, \lambda, \rho)$. The nonvanishing components are

$$\Omega_{[tr]t} = \lambda \partial_r \lambda, \quad \Omega_{[tr]r} = \lambda \partial_t \lambda, \quad \Omega_{[t2]2} = \rho \partial_t \rho, \quad \Omega_{[r2]2} = \rho \partial_r \rho. \quad (4.9)$$

The next step is to compute the spin connection,

$$\omega_{m[np]} = \frac{1}{2} (\Omega_{[mn]p} - \Omega_{[np]m} + \Omega_{[pm]n}). \quad (4.10)$$

The nonvanishing components of ω_{mab} are

$$\omega_{t[tr]} = -\lambda^{-1} \partial_r \lambda, \quad \omega_{r[tr]} = -\lambda^{-1} \partial_t \lambda, \quad \omega_{2[t2]} = -\lambda^{-1} \partial_t \rho, \quad \omega_{2[r2]} = -\lambda^{-1} \partial_r \rho. \quad (4.11)$$

We stress that these are the components of ω_{mab} with one curved index and two flat indices. We could use dots to distinguish curved and flat indices (for example, $\omega_{\dot{t}tr}$ versus $\omega_{t\dot{t}r}$), but the extra notation becomes cumbersome. Instead, we will simply state whether indices are curved or flat when confusion might arise.

The spin connection term in equation (4.7) is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mab} \gamma^m \gamma^{ab} &= \frac{1}{4} e_c^m \omega_{mab} \gamma^c \gamma^{ab} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{-1} (\omega_{ttr} \gamma^t \gamma^{tr} + \omega_{rtr} \gamma^r \gamma^{tr}) + \frac{1}{2} \rho^{-1} (\omega_{2t2} \gamma^2 \gamma^{t2} + \omega_{2r2} \gamma^2 \gamma^{r2}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

To simplify the gamma matrices that appear here (and in what follows), it is convenient to introduce a matrix representation. First recall the Pauli matrices,

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.13)$$

The (anti)commutators of the Pauli matrices are $\{\sigma_i, \sigma_j\} = 2\delta_{ij}\mathbb{1}$ and $[\sigma_i, \sigma_j] = 2i\varepsilon_{ijk}\sigma_k$. The matrix representation of the gamma matrices we will use is

$$\gamma^t = i\sigma_1, \quad \gamma^r = \sigma_2, \quad \gamma^2 = \sigma_3, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\gamma_t = -i\sigma_1, \quad \gamma_r = \sigma_2, \quad \gamma_2 = \sigma_3. \quad (4.15)$$

Now it is straightforward to compute

$$\gamma^t \gamma^{tr} = -\gamma^r, \quad \gamma^r \gamma^{tr} = -\gamma^t, \quad \gamma^2 \gamma^{t2} = -\gamma^t, \quad \gamma^2 \gamma^{r2} = -\gamma^r. \quad (4.16)$$

Plugging into (4.12) gives

$$\frac{1}{4}\omega_{mab}\gamma^m\gamma^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda^{-2}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\lambda + \rho^{-1}\lambda^{-1}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\rho). \quad (4.17)$$

(Recall that $\mu = t, r$ is a 2d index.) This is the contribution of the spin connection to the derivative (4.7).

Now consider the contribution of the spin connection to the integrand (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned} e\bar{\chi}^I\gamma^m D_m\chi^I &= e\bar{\chi}^I(e_c^m\gamma^c\partial_m)\chi^I + e\bar{\chi}^I\left(\frac{1}{4}\omega_{mab}\gamma^m\gamma^{ab}\right)\chi^I + e\bar{\chi}^I\left(\frac{3}{2}Q_m\varepsilon^{IJ}\gamma^m\right)\chi^J \\ &= (\lambda^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}\bar{\chi}^I)\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu(\lambda^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}\chi^I) + (\lambda^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}\bar{\chi}^I)\left(\frac{3}{2}Q_\mu\varepsilon^{IJ}\gamma^\mu\right)(\lambda^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}\chi^J) \\ &\equiv (\lambda^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}\bar{\chi}^I)\gamma^\mu D_\mu(\lambda^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}\chi^I). \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

In the last step, we defined

$$D_\mu(\lambda^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}\chi^I) = \partial_\mu(\lambda^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}\chi^I) + \frac{3}{2}Q_\mu\varepsilon^{IJ}(\lambda^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}\chi^J). \quad (4.19)$$

The spin connection has now been eliminated. The key step was moving $\lambda^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}$ inside the derivative in equation (4.18).

To eliminate λ from the matter fermion kinetic term, rescale $\lambda^{1/2}\chi^I \rightarrow \chi^I$. This gives the 2d matter fermion kinetic term,

$$S_{1/2} = -\frac{1}{2}\int d^2x\rho^{1/2}\bar{\chi}^I\mathcal{D}(\rho^{1/2}\chi^I). \quad (4.20)$$

4.3 $S_{3/2}$

The next term in the 3d action is the gravitino kinetic term (2.17)

$$S_{3/2} = -\frac{1}{2}\int d^3x e\bar{\psi}_m^I\gamma^{mnp}D_n\psi_p^I. \quad (4.21)$$

This is the most complicated term. Fortunately, most of the steps are similar to steps from the previous two subsections. The first step is to replace the 3d indices m, n, p, \dots with 2d indices μ, ν, ρ, \dots . The gravitino becomes (compare 4.4)

$$\psi_m^I = (\lambda\psi_\mu^I, \rho\psi_2^I). \quad (4.22)$$

The rank 3 Clifford algebra element becomes

$$\gamma^{[mn2]} = e^{-1}\gamma^{[\mu\nu 2]}. \quad (4.23)$$

And the gravitino action becomes

$$S_{3/2} = -\frac{1}{2}\int d^3x [\lambda\bar{\psi}_\mu^I\gamma^{\mu 2\nu}D_2(\lambda\psi_\nu^I) + \lambda\bar{\psi}_\mu^I\gamma^{\mu\nu 2}D_\nu(\rho\psi_2^I) + \rho\bar{\psi}_2^I\gamma^{2\mu\nu}D_\mu(\lambda\psi_\nu^I)]. \quad (4.24)$$

It turns out [16–18] we can use the equations of motion to set (compare 4.1)

$$\psi_\mu^I = \gamma_\mu \psi^I. \quad (4.25)$$

Plugging into (4.24), integrating by parts, and using $\gamma_\mu \gamma^{\mu 2\nu} = \gamma^{2\nu\mu} \gamma_\mu = \gamma^{2\nu}$, gives

$$S_{3/2} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \left[\lambda \bar{\psi}^I \gamma^{2\nu} D_2(\lambda \gamma_\nu \psi^I) + 2\lambda \bar{\psi}^I \gamma^{\nu 2} D_\nu(\rho \psi_2^I) \right]. \quad (4.26)$$

The first term on the rhs vanishes. To see why, note that $Q_2 = 0$ because the fields are independent of x^2 (equation 2.25). So the only possible contribution to this term is from the spin connection. But

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{2\nu} \omega_{2ab} \gamma^{ab} \gamma_\nu &= -2\omega_{2t2} \gamma^\nu \gamma^2 \gamma^{t2} \gamma_\nu - 2\omega_{2r2} \gamma^\nu \gamma^2 \gamma^{r2} \gamma_\nu \\ &= 2\omega_{2t2} \gamma^\nu \gamma^t \gamma_\nu + 2\omega_{2r2} \gamma^\nu \gamma^r \gamma_\nu \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

The second equality follows from (4.16) and the third equality follows from $\gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma_\nu = 0$. So the gravitino kinetic term is

$$S_{3/2} = \int d^3x \lambda \bar{\psi}^I \gamma^{\mu 2} D_\mu(\rho \psi_2^I) = - \int d^3x \lambda \bar{\psi}^I \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu D_\mu(\rho \psi_2^I). \quad (4.28)$$

At this point, $S_{3/2}$ is essentially no more complicated than the matter fermion kinetic term of the previous subsection. As in that case, the contribution from the spin connection can be eliminated. The covariant derivative in (4.28) is

$$D_\mu(\rho \psi_2^I) = \partial_\mu(\rho \psi_2^I) + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\mu ab} \gamma^{ab} \rho \psi_2^I + \frac{1}{2} Q_\mu \varepsilon^{IJ} \rho \psi_2^J. \quad (4.29)$$

The spin connection contributes (compare 4.17)

$$\frac{1}{4} \omega_{\mu ab} \gamma^\mu \gamma^{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{-1} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \lambda. \quad (4.30)$$

So the integrand of $S_{3/2}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} -\lambda \bar{\psi}^I \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu D_\mu(\rho \psi_2^I) &= -(\lambda^{1/2} \bar{\psi}^I) \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu(\lambda^{1/2} \rho \psi_2^I) - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{IJ} \left(\lambda^{1/2} \bar{\psi}^I \right) \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu Q_\mu \left(\lambda^{1/2} \rho \psi_2^J \right) \\ &\equiv -\lambda^{1/2} \bar{\psi}^I \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu D_\mu(\lambda^{1/2} \rho \psi_2^I). \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

In the last step, we defined

$$D_\mu(\lambda^{1/2} \rho \psi_2^I) = \partial_\mu(\lambda^{1/2} \rho \psi_2^I) + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{IJ} Q_\mu(\lambda^{1/2} \rho \psi_2^J). \quad (4.32)$$

Rescaling $\lambda^{1/2} \psi^I \rightarrow \psi^I$ and $\lambda^{1/2} \psi_2^I \rightarrow \psi_2^I$ gives

$$S_{3/2} = - \int d^2x \bar{\psi}^I \gamma^2 \mathcal{D}(\rho \psi_2^I). \quad (4.33)$$

This is the 2d gravitino kinetic term.

4.4 S_2

The 3d Einstein-Hilbert term is

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x e R. \quad (4.34)$$

We need to compute the scalar curvature, R , of the 3d metric (4.1). We have already computed the spin connection. The next step is to compute the Riemann curvature tensor,

$$R_{mnab} = \partial_m \omega_{nab} - \partial_n \omega_{mab} + \omega_{mac} \omega_n^c{}_b - \omega_{nac} \omega_m^c{}_b. \quad (4.35)$$

The nonvanishing independent components are

$$R_{trtr} = -\partial_t(\lambda^{-1}\partial_t\lambda) + \partial_r(\lambda^{-1}\partial_r\lambda), \quad (4.36)$$

$$R_{t2t2} = -\partial_t(\lambda^{-1}\partial_t\rho) + (\lambda^{-1}\partial_r\lambda)(\lambda^{-1}\partial_r\rho), \quad (4.37)$$

$$R_{t2r2} = -\partial_t(\lambda^{-1}\partial_r\rho) + (\lambda^{-1}\partial_r\lambda)(\lambda^{-1}\partial_t\rho), \quad (4.38)$$

$$R_{r2r2} = -\partial_r(\lambda^{-1}\partial_r\rho) + (\lambda^{-1}\partial_t\lambda)(\lambda^{-1}\partial_t\rho). \quad (4.39)$$

These are the components of R_{mnab} with two curved indices and two flat indices.

The Ricci curvature is $R_{mn} = R_m^p{}_{np}$. So the integrand of the Einstein-Hilbert term is

$$\begin{aligned} eR &= e(g^{tt}R_{tt} + g^{rr}R_{rr} + g^{22}R_{22}) \\ &= \lambda^2\rho(-\lambda^{-2}R_{tt} + \lambda^{-2}R_{rr} + \rho^{-2}R_{22}) \\ &= -2h^{\mu\nu}\rho\partial_\mu(\lambda^{-1}\partial_\nu\lambda) - 2h^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

The second term does not contribute because it is a total derivative. So the 2d Einstein-Hilbert term is

$$S_2 = - \int d^2x \rho h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu(\lambda^{-1}\partial_\nu\lambda). \quad (4.41)$$

4.5 S_N

The last term in the 3d action is the interaction term (2.19),

$$S_N = - \int d^3x e t_{IJ}^A (\bar{\chi}^I \gamma^m \gamma^n \psi_m^J) P_n^A. \quad (4.42)$$

$P_2^A = 0$ because the fields are independent of x^2 . So

$$\gamma^m \gamma^n \psi_m^J P_n^A = \frac{1}{\lambda} \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma_\mu \psi^J P_\nu^A + \frac{1}{\lambda} \gamma^2 \gamma^\nu \psi_2^J P_\nu^A. \quad (4.43)$$

The first term vanishes because $\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma_\mu \psi^J = 0$. This leaves

$$S_N = - \int d^3x \rho \lambda t_{IJ}^A (\bar{\chi}^I \gamma^2 \gamma^\nu \psi_2^J) P_\nu^A. \quad (4.44)$$

Rescaling $\lambda^{1/2}\chi^I \rightarrow \chi^I$ and $\lambda^{1/2}\psi_2^I \rightarrow \psi_2^I$ gives

$$S_N = - \int d^2x \rho t_{IJ}^A (\bar{\chi}^I \gamma^2 \gamma^\nu \psi_2^J) P_\nu^A. \quad (4.45)$$

This is the 2d interaction term.

5 $N = 2$ Supergravity in Two Dimensions

In the previous section, we obtained the action for $N = 2$ supergravity in two spacetime dimensions. Now we will derive the equations of motion. The 2d action is

$$S = S_0 + S_{1/2} + S_{3/2} + S_2 + S_N, \quad (5.1)$$

with

$$S_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \rho h^{\mu\nu} \text{Tr}(P_\mu P_\nu), \quad (5.2)$$

$$S_{1/2} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \rho^{1/2} \bar{\chi}^I \mathcal{D}(\rho^{1/2} \chi^I), \quad (5.3)$$

$$S_{3/2} = - \int d^2x \bar{\psi}^I \gamma^2 \mathcal{D}(\rho \psi_2^I), \quad (5.4)$$

$$S_2 = - \int d^2x \rho h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu (\lambda^{-1} \partial_\nu \lambda), \quad (5.5)$$

$$S_N = - \int d^2x \rho t_{IJ}^A (\bar{\chi}^I \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu \psi_2^J) P_\mu^A. \quad (5.6)$$

The derivative, $\mathcal{D} = \gamma^\mu D_\mu$, is an $\text{SO}(2)$ covariant derivative, with

$$D_\mu \chi^I = \partial_\mu \chi^I + \frac{3}{2} Q_\mu \varepsilon^{IJ} \chi^J, \quad (5.7)$$

$$D_\mu \psi^I = \partial_\mu \psi^I + \frac{1}{2} Q_\mu \varepsilon^{IJ} \psi^J, \quad (5.8)$$

$$D_\mu \psi_2^I = \partial_\mu \psi_2^I + \frac{1}{2} Q_\mu \varepsilon^{IJ} \psi_2^J. \quad (5.9)$$

The spin connection was eliminated in the previous section.

5.1 Fermion Equations of Motion

It is convenient to integrate by parts and use $\gamma^\mu \gamma^2 = -\gamma^2 \gamma^\mu$ to get

$$S_{3/2} = - \int d^2x \bar{\psi}^I \gamma^2 \mathcal{D}(\rho \psi_2^I) = - \int d^2x \rho \bar{\psi}_2^I \gamma^2 (\mathcal{D} \psi^I). \quad (5.10)$$

A Majorana flip and some index relabeling gives

$$S_N = - \int d^2x \rho t_{IJ}^A (\bar{\chi}^I \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu \psi_2^J) P_\mu^A = \int d^2x \rho t_{IJ}^A (\bar{\psi}_2^I \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu \chi^J) P_\mu^A. \quad (5.11)$$

Now it is clear that varying the action with respect to $\bar{\psi}_2^I$ gives the equation of motion

$$\mathbb{D}\psi^I = t_{IJ}^A \gamma^\mu \chi^J P_\mu^A . \quad (5.12)$$

Varying the action with respect to $\bar{\psi}^I$ gives the equation of motion

$$\mathbb{D}(\rho\psi_2^I) = 0 . \quad (5.13)$$

And varying the action with respect to $\bar{\chi}^I$ gives the equation of motion

$$\rho^{-1/2} \mathbb{D}(\rho^{1/2} \chi^I) = -t_{IJ}^A \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu \psi_2^J P_\mu^A . \quad (5.14)$$

Equations (5.12)–(5.14) are the equations of motion for the fermions⁴.

5.2 Scalar Equations of Motion

Varying the action with respect to λ gives

$$h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \rho = 0 . \quad (5.15)$$

Varying the action with respect to ρ gives

$$-h^{\mu\nu} \text{Tr}(P_\mu P_\nu) - \frac{1}{2} \rho^{-1/2} \bar{\chi}^I \mathbb{D}(\rho^{1/2} \chi^I) - \bar{\psi}_2^I \gamma^2 (\mathbb{D}\psi^I) - h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu (\lambda^{-1} \partial_\nu \lambda) + t_{IJ}^A \bar{\psi}_2^I \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu \chi^J P_\mu^A = 0 . \quad (5.16)$$

The third and fifth terms cancel (equation 5.12). The factor of $\rho^{1/2}$ in the second term can be pulled outside the derivative because $\bar{\chi}^I \gamma^\mu \chi^I = 0$. So the equation of motion for λ becomes

$$h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu (\lambda^{-1} \partial_\nu \lambda) = -h^{\mu\nu} \text{Tr}(P_\mu P_\nu) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi}^I \mathbb{D}\chi^I . \quad (5.17)$$

The equation of motion obtained by varying $h_{\mu\nu}$ is equivalent to (5.17).

The last and most complicated equation of motion is the equation of motion for P_μ . It is obtained by varying the action with respect to U , the basic field of the sigma model (see equation 2.4). The variations of Q_μ and P_μ are related to δU by equations (3.8) and (3.9),

$$\delta Q_\mu = -[P_\mu, \delta U U^{-1}] , \quad (5.18)$$

$$\delta P_\mu = -D_\mu (\delta U U^{-1}) . \quad (5.19)$$

Writing $Q_\mu = Q_\mu^3 Y^3$ gives

$$\delta Q_\mu^3 = 2\varepsilon^{AB} (\delta U U^{-1})^A P_\mu^B . \quad (5.20)$$

⁴The 3d action gives an additional equation of motion for ψ_2 that is not visible in the 2d action in this gauge (4.25). Nicolai [17] has shown how to include the missing equation of motion in the $N = 16$ Lax operator. We will ignore this extension for simplicity.

Now consider the variation of each term in the action with respect to U . The variation of S_0 with respect to U is

$$\begin{aligned}
-\int d^2x \rho h^{\mu\nu} \text{Tr}(P_\mu \delta P_\nu) &= \int d^2x \rho h^{\mu\nu} \text{Tr}(P_\mu D_\nu(\delta U U^{-1})) \\
&= -\int d^2x h^{\mu\nu} \text{Tr}(D_\nu(\rho P_\mu) \delta U U^{-1}) \\
&= -2 \int d^2x h^{\mu\nu} D_\nu(\rho P_\mu^A) (\delta U U^{-1})^A. \tag{5.21}
\end{aligned}$$

In the second step, we integrated by parts. In the last step, we used the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ basis (2.11) and $\text{Tr}(Y^A Y^B) = 2\delta^{AB}$.

Varying $S_{1/2}$ with respect to U gives

$$-\frac{3}{4} \int d^2x \varepsilon^{IJ} \rho (\bar{\chi}^I \gamma^\mu \chi^J) \delta Q_\mu^3 = -\frac{3}{2} \int d^2x \varepsilon^{AB} \varepsilon^{IJ} \rho (\bar{\chi}^I \gamma^\mu \chi^J) (\delta U U^{-1})^A P_\mu^B. \tag{5.22}$$

Varying $S_{3/2}$ with respect to U gives

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \varepsilon^{IJ} \rho (\bar{\psi}^I \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu \psi_2^J) \delta Q_\mu^3 = -\int d^2x \varepsilon^{AB} \varepsilon^{IJ} \rho (\bar{\psi}^I \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu \psi_2^J) (\delta U U^{-1})^A P_\mu^B. \tag{5.23}$$

And varying S_N with respect to U and integrating by parts gives

$$-\int d^2x \rho t_{IJ}^A (\bar{\chi}^I \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu \psi_2^J) \delta P_\mu^A = -\int d^2x D_\mu(\rho t_{IJ}^A \bar{\chi}^I \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu \psi_2^J) (\delta U U^{-1})^A. \tag{5.24}$$

Combining (5.21)–(5.24) gives the equation of motion for P_μ^A ,

$$\begin{aligned}
2h^{\mu\nu} D_\mu(\rho P_\nu^A) &= -\frac{3}{2} \varepsilon^{AB} \varepsilon^{IJ} \rho (\bar{\chi}^I \gamma^\mu \chi^J) P_\mu^B - \varepsilon^{AB} \varepsilon^{IJ} \rho (\bar{\psi}^I \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu \psi_2^J) P_\mu^B \\
&\quad - D_\mu(\rho t_{IJ}^A \bar{\chi}^I \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu \psi_2^J). \tag{5.25}
\end{aligned}$$

5.3 Null Coordinates

The equation of motion for ρ is (5.15)

$$(-\partial_t^2 + \partial_r^2)\rho = 0. \tag{5.26}$$

We will set $\rho = r$ from now on. This choice is appropriate for describing cylindrical gravitational waves.

The Lax operator to be introduced in the next section is particularly simple in null coordinates, $x^\pm = t \pm r$. In these coordinates, the action of γ^2 on the gamma matrices (4.14) is

$$\gamma^2 \gamma_\pm = \pm \gamma_\pm, \tag{5.27}$$

The equation of motion for ψ_2^I (5.13) is

$$\gamma^+ D_+(r\psi_2^I) + \gamma^- D_-(r\psi_2^I) = 0. \quad (5.28)$$

Multiplying by γ^2 on the left gives

$$\gamma^+ D_+(r\psi_2^I) - \gamma^- D_-(r\psi_2^I) = 0. \quad (5.29)$$

Adding and subtracting these two equations gives

$$\gamma^\pm D_\pm(r\psi_2^I) = 0. \quad (5.30)$$

The equations of motion for χ and ψ are

$$r^{-1/2} \gamma^\pm D_\pm(r^{1/2} \chi^I) = \pm t_{IJ}^A \gamma^\pm \psi_2^J P_\pm^A, \quad (5.31)$$

$$\gamma^\pm D_\pm \psi^I = t_{IJ}^A \gamma^\pm \chi^J P_\pm^A. \quad (5.32)$$

6 Lax Operator

The Lax operator is a 1-form,

$$L = L_+ dx^+ + L_- dx^-. \quad (6.1)$$

In the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ basis (2.11), the components of the Lax operator are

$$L_\pm = L_\pm^A Y^A + L_\pm^3 Y^3. \quad (6.2)$$

In this basis, the Lax operator of $d = 2$ $N = 2$ supergravity is

$$L_+^A = \frac{\zeta - 1}{\zeta + 1} P_+^A + \frac{\zeta(\zeta - 1)}{(\zeta + 1)^3} t_{IJ}^A \bar{\psi}_2^I \gamma_+ \chi^J, \quad (6.3)$$

$$L_-^A = \frac{\zeta + 1}{\zeta - 1} P_-^A + \frac{\zeta(\zeta + 1)}{(\zeta - 1)^3} t_{IJ}^A \bar{\psi}_2^I \gamma_- \chi^J, \quad (6.4)$$

$$L_\pm^3 = Q_\pm \pm \frac{3\zeta}{4(\zeta \pm 1)^2} \varepsilon^{IJ} \bar{\chi}^I \gamma_\pm \chi^J + \frac{\zeta}{2(\zeta \pm 1)^2} \varepsilon^{IJ} \bar{\psi}_2^I \gamma_\pm \psi^J + \frac{\zeta^2}{(\zeta \pm 1)^4} \varepsilon^{IJ} \bar{\psi}_2^I \gamma_\pm \psi_2^J. \quad (6.5)$$

The spectral parameter, ζ , is spacetime dependent, with

$$d\zeta = -\frac{\zeta}{2r} \left(\frac{\zeta - 1}{\zeta + 1} dx^+ - \frac{\zeta + 1}{\zeta - 1} dx^- \right). \quad (6.6)$$

Our goal is to show that the equations of motion imply

$$dL + L \wedge L = 0. \quad (6.7)$$

Define a 0-form F by

$$F dx^+ \wedge dx^- = dL + L \wedge L. \quad (6.8)$$

F admits a series expansion

$$\begin{aligned}
F &= f^{(0)}\mathbf{Y}^3 + f^{(1)}\frac{\zeta^2 + 1}{(\zeta + 1)(\zeta - 1)} + f^{(1')} \frac{\zeta}{(\zeta + 1)(\zeta - 1)} \\
&\quad - f_+^{(2)}\frac{\zeta}{(\zeta - 1)^2}\mathbf{Y}^3 - f_-^{(2)}\frac{\zeta}{(\zeta + 1)^2}\mathbf{Y}^3 - f_+^{(3)}\frac{\zeta(\zeta + 1)}{(\zeta - 1)^3} - f_-^{(3)}\frac{\zeta(\zeta - 1)}{(\zeta + 1)^3} \\
&\quad - f_+^{(4)}\frac{\zeta^2}{(\zeta - 1)^4}\mathbf{Y}^3 - f_-^{(4)}\frac{\zeta^2}{(\zeta + 1)^4}\mathbf{Y}^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

Our task is to show that the coefficients vanish if and only if the equations of motion are satisfied. The first two coefficients, $f^{(0)}$ and $f^{(1)}$, correspond to the group theoretic equations (2.25) and (2.26). The next coefficient, $f^{(1')}$, corresponds to the sigma model equation of motion (5.25). The higher order coefficients, $f_{\pm}^{(2)}$, $f_{\pm}^{(3)}$, and $f_{\pm}^{(4)}$, correspond to the \pm equations of motion (5.30)–(5.32) for ψ^I , χ^I , and ψ_2^I .

The coefficient of the constant term is

$$f^{(0)} = \partial_+ Q_- - \partial_- Q_+ + [P_+, P_-]. \tag{6.10}$$

$f^{(0)} = 0$ is equivalent to equation (2.25).

The coefficient of the first singular term is

$$f^{(1)} = D_+ P_- - D_- P_+. \tag{6.11}$$

$f^{(1)} = 0$ is equivalent to (2.26).

The coefficient of the next singular term is

$$\begin{aligned}
f^{(1')} &= -h^{\mu\nu} r^{-1} D_\mu (r P_\nu) + \frac{3}{4} \varepsilon^{AB} \varepsilon^{IJ} (\bar{\chi}^I \gamma^\mu \chi^J) P_\mu^A \mathbf{Y}^B + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{AB} \varepsilon^{IJ} (\bar{\psi}^I \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu \psi_2^J) P_\mu^A \mathbf{Y}^B \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4} r^{-1} t^{IJ} (\bar{\psi}_2^I \gamma_+ \chi^J) - \frac{1}{4} r^{-1} t^{IJ} (\bar{\psi}_2^I \gamma_- \chi^J) + \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^{AB} \varepsilon^{IJ} (\bar{\psi}_2^I \gamma^\mu \psi_2^J) P_\mu^A \mathbf{Y}^B.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

$f^{(1')} = 0$ is the equation of motion (5.25) for P_μ . To see why, note that the second line sums to $-\frac{1}{2} t^{IJ} D_\mu (r \bar{\chi}^I \gamma^2 \gamma^\mu \psi_2^J)$ when the fermion equations of motion are satisfied.

The coefficients of the higher order poles are

$$f_{\pm}^{(2)} = -\frac{3}{8} \varepsilon^{IJ} r^{-1} \partial_{\pm} (r \bar{\chi}^I \gamma^{\pm} \chi^J) \pm \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^{IJ} r^{-1} \partial_{\pm} (r \bar{\psi}_2^I \gamma^{\pm} \psi_2^J) \pm \varepsilon^{AB} t_{IJ}^B (\bar{\psi}_2^I \gamma^{\pm} \chi^J) P_{\pm}^A, \tag{6.13}$$

$$f_{\pm}^{(3)} = \pm \frac{1}{2} t^{IJ} r^{-3/2} D_{\pm} (r^{3/2} \bar{\psi}_2^I \gamma^{\pm} \chi^J) + \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^{AB} \varepsilon^{IJ} (\bar{\psi}_2^I \gamma^{\pm} \psi_2^J) P_{\pm}^A \mathbf{Y}^B, \tag{6.14}$$

$$f_{\pm}^{(4)} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{IJ} r^{-2} \partial_{\pm} (r^2 \bar{\psi}_2^I \gamma^{\pm} \psi_2^J). \tag{6.15}$$

The partial derivatives in the first and third lines should be SO(2) covariant derivatives ($\partial_{\pm} \rightarrow D_{\pm}$). This defect is particular to $N = 2$ supergravity (it disappears for larger N). It can be traced to the

fact that the $SO(2)$ factor in the sigma model coset space is abelian. This issue was first observed by Nicolai [8]. Modulo this issue, the vanishing of (6.13)–(6.15) is equivalent to the equations of motion for the fermions (5.30)–(5.32). To see why, use $\varepsilon^{IJ}t_{JK}^A = -\varepsilon^{AB}t_{IK}^B$ and equation (3.18).

Acknowledgment This research was supported, in part, by the U.S. Department of Energy and the Sivian Fund at the Institute for Advanced Study.

A Proof of Equation (3.16)

Equation (3.16) required an identity,

$$\gamma^{mnp}\varepsilon^{AB}P_m^AP_n^B = -\gamma^m\gamma^p\gamma^n\varepsilon^{AB}P_m^AP_n^B. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

To prove this, first use $\gamma^{mnp} = \gamma^{[m}\gamma^n\gamma^p]$ and antisymmetry in m and n to get

$$\gamma^{mnp}\varepsilon^{AB}P_m^AP_n^B = -\frac{1}{3}(\gamma^m\gamma^p\gamma^n + \gamma^p\gamma^n\gamma^m + \gamma^n\gamma^m\gamma^p)\varepsilon^{AB}P_m^AP_n^B. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Then use $\gamma^{mp} = \frac{1}{2}[\gamma^m, \gamma^p]$ to move γ^p to the middle of each term. So

$$\gamma^{mnp}\varepsilon^{AB}P_m^AP_n^B = -\frac{1}{3}(\gamma^m\gamma^p\gamma^n + \gamma^n\gamma^p\gamma^m + 2\gamma^{pn}\gamma^m + \gamma^n\gamma^p\gamma^m + 2\gamma^n\gamma^{mp})\varepsilon^{AB}P_m^AP_n^B. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Finally, use antisymmetry in m and n and $\gamma^{mnp} = \frac{1}{2}\{\gamma^m, \gamma^{np}\}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{mnp}\varepsilon^{AB}P_m^AP_n^B &= -\frac{1}{3}(-\gamma^m\gamma^p\gamma^n - 2\gamma^{np}\gamma^m - 2\gamma^m\gamma^{np})\varepsilon^{AB}P_m^AP_n^B \\ &= \frac{1}{3}(\gamma^m\gamma^p\gamma^n + 4\gamma^{mnp})\varepsilon^{AB}P_m^AP_n^B, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

which is equivalent to (A.1).

References

- [1] N. M. J. Woodhouse and L. J. Mason, *The Geroch group and non-Hausdorff twistor spaces*, *Nonlinearity* **1** (1988), no. 1 73.
- [2] L. J. Mason and N. M. J. Woodhouse, *Integrability, Self-Duality, and Twistor Theory*. Oxford University Press, 1996.
- [3] K. Costello, “Topological strings, twistors, and skyrmions.” WHCGP seminar, available at <http://web.math.ucsb.edu/~drm/WHCGP/> (4/27/2020).
- [4] R. Bittleston and D. Skinner, *Twistors, the ASD Yang-Mills equations, and 4d Chern-Simons theory*, 2020. arXiv:2011.04638.

- [5] R. F. Penna, *A twistor action for integrable systems*, 2020. arXiv:2011.05831.
- [6] R. Geroch, *A method for generating new solutions of Einstein's equation. II*, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **13** (1972), no. 3 394–404.
- [7] P. Breitenlohner and D. Maison, *On the Geroch group*, in *Annales de l'IHP Physique Théorique*, vol. 46, pp. 215–246, 1987.
- [8] H. Nicolai, *Two-dimensional gravities and supergravities as integrable systems*, in *Recent Aspects of Quantum Fields*, pp. 231–273. Springer, 1991.
- [9] J. H. Schwarz, *Classical symmetries of some two-dimensional models*, *Nuclear Physics B* **447** (1995), no. 1 137–182.
- [10] J. H. Schwarz, *Classical symmetries of some two-dimensional models coupled to gravity*, *Nuclear Physics B* **454** (1995), no. 1-2 427–448.
- [11] H. Lu, M. J. Perry, and C. Pope, *Infinite-dimensional symmetries of two-dimensional coset models*, 2007. arXiv:0711.0400.
- [12] H. Lu, M. J. Perry, and C. N. Pope, *Infinite-dimensional symmetries of two-dimensional coset models coupled to gravity*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **806** (2009) 656–683.
- [13] D. Korotkin and H. Samtleben, *Yangian symmetry in integrable quantum gravity*, *Nuclear Physics B* **527** (1998), no. 3 657–689.
- [14] K. Koepsell, H. Nicolai, and H. Samtleben, *On the Yangian $[Y(e_8)]$ quantum symmetry of maximal supergravity in two dimensions*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **1999** (1999), no. 04 023.
- [15] R. F. Penna, *Einstein-Rosen waves and the Geroch group*, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **62** (2021), no. 8 082503.
- [16] H. Nicolai, *The integrability of $N = 16$ supergravity*, *Physics Letters B* **194** (1987), no. 3 402–407.
- [17] H. Nicolai, *New linear systems for 2d Poincaré supergravities*, *Nuclear Physics B* **414** (1994), no. 1-2 299–325.
- [18] H. Nicolai and N. P. Warner, *The structure of $N = 16$ supergravity in two dimensions*, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **125** (1989), no. 3 369–384.
- [19] H. Nicolai, *A hyperbolic Kac-Moody algebra from supergravity*, *Physics Letters B* **276** (1992), no. 3 333–340.
- [20] D. Z. Freedman and A. Van Proeyen, *Supergravity*. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [21] N. Marcus and J. H. Schwarz, *Three-dimensional supergravity theories*, *Nuclear Physics B* **228** (1983), no. 1 145–162.
- [22] N. Marcus, A. Sagnotti, and J. H. Schwarz, *Infinite symmetry algebras of extended supergravity theories*, *Nuclear Physics B* **243** (1984), no. 2 335–349.

- [23] B. De Wit, A. Tollsten, and H. Nicolai, *Locally supersymmetric $d = 3$ non-linear sigma models*, *Nuclear Physics B* **392** (1993), no. 1 3–38.
- [24] B. De Wit and H. Nicolai, *$d = 11$ supergravity with local $SU(8)$ invariance*, *Nuclear Physics B* **274** (1986), no. 2 363–400.