

Noncommutative Kepler Dynamics: symmetry groups and bi-Hamiltonian structures

Mahouton Norbert Hounkonnou [†], Mahougnon Justin Landalidji [†], Melanija Mitrović [‡]

†) International Chair of Mathematical Physics and Applications (ICMPA-UNESCO Chair)
University of Abomey-Calavi, 072 B.P. 50 Cotonou, Republic of Benin
E-mails: norbert.hounkonnou@cipma.uac.bj with copy to hounkonnou@yahoo.fr
E-mails: landalidjijustin@yahoo.fr

‡) Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Department of Mathematics and Informatics
University of Niš, Serbia
E-mails: melanija.mitrovic@masfak.ni.ac.rs

Abstract

Integrals of motion are constructed from noncommutative (NC) Kepler dynamics, generating $SO(3)$, $SO(4)$, and $SO(1,3)$ dynamical symmetry groups. The Hamiltonian vector field is derived in action-angle coordinates, and the existence of a hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian structures is highlighted. Then, a family of Nijenhuis recursion operators is computed and discussed.

Keywords: Bi-Hamiltonian structure, noncommutative phase space, recursion operator, Kepler dynamics, dynamical symmetry groups.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 37C10; 37J35; 37K05; 37K10.

1 Introduction

In *Mysterium Cosmographicum*, published in 1596, Kepler proposed a model of the solar system by relating the five extra-terrestrial planets (Mercury, Venus, Mars, Jupiter, and Saturn) known at that time to be the five Platonic solids (the tetrahedron or pyramid, cube, octahedron, dodecahedron, and icosahedron) [40, 61]. Kepler's work attracted the attention of the Danish astronomer Brahe who recruited him in October 1600 as an assistant. Kepler then accessed Brahe's empirical data, and published the so-called Kepler's first two laws of planetary motion in 1609 [60, 32], and the third one in 1619 [33]. Kepler thus obtained from Brahe a detailed set of observations of the motion of the planet Mars, analyzed them and deduced that the path of Mars is an ellipse, with the sun located at one of its focal points, and that the radius vector from the sun to this planet sweeps out equal areas in equal times [10]. The Kepler direct problem of determining the nature of the force required to maintain elliptical motion about a focal force center was finally solved by Newton in the 1680s. Indeed, Newton determined the functional dependence on distance of the force required to sustain such an elliptical path of Mars about the sun as a center of force located at a focal point of the ellipse. Today scientists still concentrate on the inverse problem that consists in using the combined gravitational forces of the sun and the other planets to predict and explain perturbations in the conic paths of planets and comets.

On the other hand, although the Kepler problem was a central theme of analytical dynamics for centuries, addressed by several authors, it continues to be so in the contemporary studies as well, revealing interesting mathematical symmetries. Significant steps for understanding the symmetries underlying the Kepler dynamics were made with its quantum explorations, where the $SO(4)$, $O(4,1)$, and $O(4,2)$ symmetry groups were explored (see *e.g.*, [36, 49, 23, 7, 5, 6, 31] and the references therein). These studies led to the re-investigation of the classical Kepler problem (see [24, 4, 27, 26] and the references therein). Thus, in 1966, Bacry *et al.* [4] proved that the transformations generated by the angular momentum and the Runge-Lenz vector indeed form a group of canonical transformations isomorphic to $SO(4)$. In 1968, Györgyi [27] gave a formulation of the Kepler problem, manifestly invariant with respect to the $SO(4)$ and $SO(3,1)$ symmetry groups, in terms of the Fock variables and their canonical conjugates, respectively, and introduced a new time parameter, proportional to the eccentric anomaly. In that work, a transformation of the dynamical variables was performed in order to regain the standard time t leading in a natural way to Bacry's generators inducing an $SO(4,2)$ symmetry group. In 1970, Moser [47] regularized the Kepler problem, enlarging the

phase space in such a way that the temporal evolution generates a global time flow, a situation otherwise precluded by the existence of collision orbits. Six years later, *i.e.*, in 1976, Ligon *et al.* [37] completed the previous works by adding the symplectic forms and the Hamiltonian vector fields. They transformed the Kepler problem in such a way that both the time flow and the $SO(4)$ symmetry were globally realized in a simple and canonical way. This was also done for a positive energy and the group $SO(1,3)$. Since 1976, several works have focused on the classical Kepler problem, (see *e.g.*, [13, 14, 16, 26, 45, 43]). Further, in a remarkable book published in 2001, Vilasi [59] showed that the Lie algebra of symmetries for the Kepler dynamics is twice $so(3)$, or better, $su(2) \otimes su(2)$, which is locally isomorphic with $so(4)$.

In addition, in the last few decades, there was a renewed interest in the Kepler problem as one of completely integrable Hamiltonian systems (IHS), the concept of which goes back to Liouville in 1897 [39] and Poincaré in 1899 [50]. Loosely speaking, IHS are dynamical systems admitting a Hamiltonian description, and possessing sufficiently many constants of motion. Many of these systems are Hamiltonian systems with respect to two compatible symplectic structures [41, 25, 58, 22] permitting a geometrical interpretation of the so-called recursion operator [35]. Hence, a natural approach to integrability is to try to find sufficient conditions for the eigenvalues of the recursion operator to be in involution [54]. In 1992, Marmo *et al.* [44] constructed two Hamiltonian structures for the Kepler problem in angle-action coordinates and proved their compatibility condition by checking the vanishing of the Nijenhuis tensor of the corresponding recursion operator.

Over the past few years, Magri's approach [41] to integrability through bi-Hamiltonian structures has become one of the most powerful methods relating to the integrability of evolution equations, applicable in studying both finite and infinite dimensional dynamical systems [28]. This approach has also been proven to be one of the classical methods of integrability of evolution equations along with, for example, the Hamilton-Jacobi method of separation of variables and the method of the Lax representation [35, 55]. When a completely integrable Hamiltonian system does admit a bi-Hamiltonian construction, one can generate infinite hierarchies of conserved quantities through the construction by Oevel [48] based on scaling invariances and master symmetries [20, 56]. Another generalization is due to Bogoyavlenskij [8] who proposed a complete classification of the invariant Poisson structures for non-degenerate and degenerate Hamiltonian systems. In 1997 and 1999, Smirnov [55, 56] formulated a constructive method of transforming a completely integrable Hamiltonian system, in Liouville's sense, into Magri-Morosi-Gel'fand-Dorfman's (MMGD) bi-Hamiltonian form. He showed that the action-angle variables can be a powerful tool in solving the problem of transformation of a completely integrable Hamiltonian system into its MMGD bi-Hamiltonian form. The application of Smirnov's result to the classical Kepler problem is due to the possibility of transforming the action-angle coordinates connected with the spherical-polar coordinates to the Delaunay coordinates. In 2005, Rañada [51] proved the existence of a bi-Hamiltonian structure arising from a non-symplectic symmetry as well as the existence of master symmetries and additional integrals of motion (weak superintegrability) for certain particular values of two parameters b and k . In 2015, Grigoryev *et al.* showed that the perturbed Kepler problem is a bi-Hamiltonian system in spite of the fact that the graph of the Hamilton function is not a hypersurface of translation, which goes against a necessary condition for the existence of the bi-Hamiltonian structure according to the Fernandes theorem [21, 28]. They explicitly presented a few non-degenerate bi-Hamiltonian formulations of the perturbed Kepler problem using the Bogoyavlenskij construction of a continuum of compatible Poisson structures for the isochronous Hamiltonian systems [8]. Our present work focuses on an investigations into dynamical symmetry groups and bi-Hamiltonian structures for the Kepler dynamics in a noncommutative (NC) phase space.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the considered noncommutative phase space and give some basic notions useful for our subsequent development. In Section 3, we give the Hamiltonian function, symplectic form and vector field describing the Kepler dynamics in the noncommutative phase space. In Section 4, we study the existence of dynamical symmetry groups $SO(3)$, $SO(4)$, and $SO(1,3)$ in the described setting. In Section 5, we derive relevant geometric quantities in action-angle variables, and obtain the corresponding Hamiltonian system. In Section 6, we construct bi-Hamiltonian structures and the associated recursion operators. In Section 7, we define the hierarchy of master symmetries and compute the conserved quantities. In Section 8, we end with some concluding remarks.

2 Noncommutative phase space and basic definitions

Let $\mathcal{Q} = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ be the manifold describing the configuration space of the Kepler problem, and $\mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ be the cotangent bundle with the local coordinates (q, p) , and a natural symplectic structure $\omega : \mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{Q}$ given by

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^3 dp_i \wedge dq^i,$$

where $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{Q}$ is the tangent bundle. By definition, ω is non-degenerate. It induces the map $P: \mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}\mathcal{Q}$ defined by

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i},$$

called a bivector field, which is the inverse map of ω , i.e. $\omega \circ P = P \circ \omega = 1$ [59]. In this case, the Hamiltonian vector field X_f of a Hamiltonian function f is given by

$$X_f = Pdf.$$

The noncommutativity [15] between phase space variables is here understood by replacing the usual product with the β -star product, also known as the Moyal product, between two arbitrary functions of position and momentum as follows [57, 42, 34]:

$$(f *_{\beta} g)(q, p) = f(q_i, p_i) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \beta^{ab} \overleftarrow{\partial}_a \overrightarrow{\partial}_b\right) g(q_j, p_j) \Big|_{(q_i, p_i) = (q_j, p_j)},$$

where

$$\beta_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{ij} & \delta_{ij} + \gamma_{ij} \\ -\delta_{ij} - \gamma_{ij} & \lambda_{ij} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The parameters α and λ are antisymmetric $n \times n$ matrices generating the noncommutativity in coordinates and momenta, respectively; γ can depend on α and λ . The $*_{\beta}$ deformed Poisson bracket is defined as:

$$\{f, g\}_{\beta} := f *_{\beta} g - g *_{\beta} f,$$

providing the commutation relations

$$\{q_i, q_j\}_{\beta} = \alpha_{ij}, \quad \{q_i, p_j\}_{\beta} = \delta_{ij} + \gamma_{ij}, \quad \{p_i, q_j\}_{\beta} = -\delta_{ij} - \gamma_{ij}, \quad \{p_i, p_j\}_{\beta} = \lambda_{ij}. \quad (1)$$

It is worth noticing that the following transformed coordinates:

$$q'_i = q_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} p_j, \quad p'_i = p_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{ij} q_j, \quad (2)$$

obey the same commutation relations as in (1) with respect to the usual Poisson bracket, i.e.

$$\{q'_i, q'_j\} = \alpha_{ij}, \quad \{q'_i, p'_j\} = \delta_{ij} + \gamma_{ij}, \quad \{p'_i, q'_j\} = -\delta_{ij} - \gamma_{ij}, \quad \{p'_i, p'_j\} = \lambda_{ij},$$

while q_i and p_j satisfy the canonical commutation relations:

$$\{q_i, q_j\} = 0, \quad \{q_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad \{p_i, p_j\} = 0.$$

A Hamiltonian system is a triple (\mathcal{Q}, ω, H) , where (\mathcal{Q}, ω) is a symplectic manifold, which is, in the present context, the configuration space for the Kepler problem, and H is a smooth function on \mathcal{Q} , called *Hamiltonian* or *Hamiltonian function* [53].

Given a general dynamical system defined on the $2n$ -dimensional manifold \mathcal{Q} [55], its evolution can be described by the equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = X(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{Q}, \quad X \in \mathcal{T}\mathcal{Q}. \quad (3)$$

If the system (3) admits two different Hamiltonian representations:

$$\dot{x}(t) = X_{H_1, H_2} = P_1 dH_1 = P_2 dH_2,$$

its integrability as well as many other properties are subject to Magri's approach. The bi-Hamiltonian vector field X_{H_1, H_2} is defined by two pairs of Poisson bivectors P_1, P_2 and Hamiltonian functions H_1, H_2 . Such a manifold \mathcal{Q} equipped with two Poisson bivectors is called a double Poisson manifold, and the quadruple $(\mathcal{Q}, P_1, P_2, X_{H_1, H_2})$ is called a bi-Hamiltonian system. P_1 and P_2 are two compatible Poisson bivectors with vanishing Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket [19]:

$$[P_1, P_2]_{NS} = 0.$$

3 NC Kepler Hamiltonian system

We consider the NC Kepler Hamiltonian function in the transformed phase space coordinates (2),

$$H' = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{p'_i p'^i}{2m} - \frac{k}{r'}.$$

The dynamical variables satisfy the NC Poisson bracket [29]

$$\{f, g\}_{nc} = \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \theta_\nu^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_\nu} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q^\nu} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^\nu} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_\nu} \right)$$

with respect to the NC symplectic form

$$\omega_{nc} := \sum_{i=1}^3 dp'_i \wedge dq'^i = \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \theta_\nu dp_\nu \wedge dq^\nu,$$

with

$$\theta_\nu = \sum_{\mu=1}^4 \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{\mu\nu} \alpha_{\mu\nu} \right) \neq 0, \quad \delta_{\mu\nu} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \mu \neq \nu \\ 1, & \text{if } \mu = \nu. \end{cases}$$

For $n = 3$, the Hamiltonian function H' takes the form

$$H' = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(p_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_{ij} q^j \right)^2 - k \left[\sum_{i=1}^3 \left(q^i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \alpha_{ij} p_j \right)^2 \right]^{-1/2} \quad (4)$$

yielding the Hamilton's equations:

$$\dot{q}^\mu := \{H', q^\mu\}_{nc} = \theta_\mu^{-1} \left(\sigma_\mu p_\mu + \sum_{s=1}^3 R_{\mu s} q^s + \frac{k}{4Y^3} \sum_{l, \nu=1}^3 \alpha_{l\mu} \alpha_{l\nu} p_\nu \right) \quad (5)$$

$$\dot{p}_\mu := \{H', p_\mu\}_{nc} = -\theta_\mu^{-1} \left(\tilde{\sigma}_\mu q^\mu - \sum_{s=1}^3 R_{\mu s} p_s + \frac{1}{4m} \sum_{l, \nu=1}^3 \lambda_{l\mu} \lambda_{l\nu} q^\nu \right), \quad (6)$$

where

$$Y = \left[\left(q^1 - \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_{12} p_2 + \alpha_{13} p_3) \right)^2 + \left(q^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_{21} p_1 + \alpha_{23} p_3) \right)^2 + \left(q^3 - \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_{31} p_1 + \alpha_{32} p_2) \right)^2 \right]^{1/2},$$

$$\sigma_\mu = \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{4Y^3} \sum_{i=1}^3 (\alpha_{i\mu})^2, \quad \tilde{\sigma}_\mu = \frac{1}{Y^3} + \frac{1}{4m} \sum_{i=1}^3 (\lambda_{i\mu})^2, \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\mu s} = \frac{\lambda_{\mu s}}{2m} - \frac{\alpha_{s\mu} k}{2Y^3}.$$

In terms of the new coordinates p'_i and q'^i , the Hamilton's equations (5) and (6) become

$$\dot{q}^i = \sum_{j=1}^3 \theta_i^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{m} p'_i + \frac{k}{2Y^3} \alpha_{ij} q'^j \right), \quad \dot{p}_i = \sum_{j=1}^3 \theta_i^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2m} \lambda_{ji} p'_j + \frac{k}{Y^3} q'^i \right),$$

where $\mu, i = 1, 2, 3$, $\nu \neq \mu$; $q'^i = q^i(q, p)$ and $p'_i = p'_i(q, p)$ are given by the relations (2).

The Hamiltonian function H' (4) can be viewed as a generalization of the Hamiltonian function obtained in our previous work [30]. The quantity Y deforming the distance between the sun and the considered planet is responsible for the distortion of the conic path about the sun.

The 1-form $dH' \in \mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{Q}$ is given by

$$dH' = \sum_{\mu=1}^3 \left[\left(\sigma_{\mu} p_{\mu} + \sum_{s=1}^3 R_{\mu s} q^s + \frac{k}{4Y^3} \sum_{l,\nu=1}^3 \alpha_{l\mu} \alpha_{l\nu} p_{\nu} \right) dp_{\mu} + \left(\tilde{\sigma}_{\mu} q^{\mu} - \sum_{s=1}^3 R_{\mu s} p_s + \frac{1}{4m} \sum_{l,\nu=1}^3 \lambda_{l\mu} \lambda_{l\nu} q^{\nu} \right) dq^{\mu} \right], \quad (7)$$

where $\nu \neq \mu$.

Using the NC Poisson bracket (3), we obtain the following NC Hamiltonian vector field

$$X_{H'} = \sum_{\mu=1}^3 \theta_{\mu}^{-1} \left[\left(\sigma_{\mu} p_{\mu} + \sum_{s=1}^3 R_{\mu s} q^s + \frac{k}{4Y^3} \sum_{l,\nu=1}^3 \alpha_{l\mu} \alpha_{l\nu} p_{\nu} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu}} - \left(\tilde{\sigma}_{\mu} q^{\mu} - \sum_{s=1}^3 R_{\mu s} p_s + \frac{1}{4m} \sum_{l,\nu=1}^3 \lambda_{l\mu} \lambda_{l\nu} q^{\nu} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\mu}} \right], \quad \nu \neq \mu$$

satisfying the required condition for a Hamiltonian system, i. e.

$$\iota_{X_{H'}} \omega' = -dH',$$

where $\iota_{X_{H'}} \omega'$ is the interior product of ω' with respect to the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{H'}$. Hence, the triplet $(\mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{Q}, \omega', H')$ is a Hamiltonian system.

The NC coordinates q'^i and p'_i generate the following noncommutative relations:

$$\{p'_i, q'^j\}_{nc} = F_{ij}, \quad \{p'_i, p'_j\}_{nc} = D_{ij}, \quad \{q'^i, q'^j\}_{nc} = E_{ij},$$

where

$$F_{ii} = \theta_i^{-1} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_{ij} \alpha_{ij} \theta_j^{-1}; \quad F_{ij} = \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{ir} \alpha_{jr} \theta_r^{-1}; \quad D_{ij} = \frac{\lambda_{ji}}{2} (\theta_i^{-1} + \theta_j^{-1});$$

$$E_{ij} = \frac{\alpha_{ji}}{2} (\theta_i^{-1} + \theta_j^{-1}), \quad i, j, r = 1, 2, 3.$$

4 Dynamical symmetry groups

Let us start by defining the NC phase space angular momentum vector L' and the Laplace-Runge-Lenz (LRL) vector A' as follows:

$$L' = q' \times p', \quad A' = p' \times L' - mk \frac{q'}{Y},$$

where p' is the momentum vector, q' is the position vector of the particle of mass m . Their components

$$\begin{cases} L'_1 = q'^2 p'_3 - q'^3 p'_2 \\ L'_2 = q'^3 p'_1 - q'^1 p'_3 \\ L'_3 = q'^1 p'_2 - q'^2 p'_1 \end{cases} ; \quad \begin{cases} A'_1 = p'_2 L'_3 - p'_3 L'_2 - mk \frac{q'^1}{Y} \\ A'_2 = p'_3 L'_1 - p'_1 L'_3 - mk \frac{q'^2}{Y} \\ A'_3 = p'_1 L'_2 - p'_2 L'_1 - mk \frac{q'^3}{Y} \end{cases}$$

do not commute with the Hamiltonian function H' , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
\{H', L'_i\}_{nc} &= \sum_{\mu, \nu, j=1}^3 \varepsilon_{\mu i \nu} \left[\left(\frac{1}{m} D_{\nu j} p'_j + \frac{k}{Y^3} F_{\nu j} q'^j \right) q'^\mu + \left(\frac{1}{m} F_{j\nu} p'_j + \frac{k}{Y^3} E_{j\nu} q'^j \right) p'_\mu \right], \\
\{H', A'_i\}_{nc} &= \sum_{\eta, \varrho=1}^3 \varepsilon_{i \eta \varrho} \left\{ \sum_{\mu, \nu, j=1}^3 \varepsilon_{\mu \varrho \nu} \left[\left(\frac{1}{m} D_{\nu j} p'_j + \frac{k}{Y^3} F_{\nu j} q'^j \right) q'^\mu \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \left(\frac{1}{m} F_{j\nu} p'_j + \frac{k}{Y^3} E_{j\nu} q'^j \right) p'_\mu \right] p'_\eta + \sum_{j=1}^3 \left(\frac{1}{m} D_{\eta j} p'_j + \frac{k}{Y^3} F_{\eta j} q'^j \right) L'_\eta \right\} \\
&\quad - \frac{mk}{Y} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left(\frac{1}{m} F_{ji} p'_j + \frac{k}{Y^3} E_{ji} q'^j \right) + \frac{mk}{Y^3} \sum_{j, h=1}^3 \left(\frac{1}{m} F_{jh} p'_j + \frac{k}{Y^3} E_{jh} q'^j \right) q'^h q'^i,
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $i = 1, 2, 3$; $\varepsilon_{\mu \varrho \nu}$, $\varepsilon_{i \eta \varrho}$, and $\varepsilon_{\mu i \nu}$ are Levi-Civita symbols giving by

$$\varepsilon_{ijk} := \frac{1}{2}(i-j)(j-k)(k-i)$$

Proposition 1. *Under the following conditions:*

$$(1) \lambda_{ij} \alpha_{ij} = - \left[\frac{1}{2} (\lambda_{i\kappa} \alpha_{i\kappa} + \lambda_{j\kappa} \alpha_{j\kappa}) + 4 \right], \quad i, j, \kappa = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$(2) \frac{q'^i}{q'^j} = \frac{\lambda_{\kappa i} \theta_j}{\lambda_{\kappa j} \theta_i} = - \frac{\alpha_{\kappa j} \theta_i}{\alpha_{\kappa i} \theta_j} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{p'_i}{p'_j} = \frac{\alpha_{\kappa i} \theta_j}{\alpha_{\kappa j} \theta_i} = - \frac{\lambda_{\kappa j} \theta_i}{\lambda_{\kappa i} \theta_j},$$

$$(3) F \text{ be a symmetric } 3 \times 3 \text{ matrices, where } F_{11} = F_{22} = F_{33},$$

the vectors L'_i and A'_i are in involution with the Hamiltonian function H' , i.e.,

$$\{H', L'_i\}_{nc} = 0, \quad \{H', A'_i\}_{nc} = 0, \tag{10}$$

and, hence, become constants of motion or first integrals of H' on $\mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{Q}$.

Proof.

The condition (1) leads to $\lambda_{ij} \theta_i = \lambda_{ji} \theta_j$ and $\alpha_{ij} \theta_i = \alpha_{ji} \theta_j$. Therefore, $D_{ij} = E_{ij} = 0$, and the equations (8) and (9) are reduced to

$$\begin{aligned}
\{H', L'_i\}_{nc} &= \sum_{\mu, \nu, j=1}^3 \varepsilon_{\mu i \nu} \left(\frac{k}{Y^3} F_{\nu j} q'^j q'^\mu + \frac{1}{m} F_{j\nu} p'_j p'_\mu \right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\
\{H', A'_i\}_{nc} &= \sum_{\eta, \varrho=1}^3 \varepsilon_{i \eta \varrho} \left\{ \sum_{\mu, \nu, j=1}^3 \varepsilon_{\mu \varrho \nu} \left(\frac{k}{Y^3} F_{\nu j} q'^j q'^\mu + \frac{1}{m} F_{j\nu} p'_j p'_\mu \right) p'_\eta + \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{k}{Y^3} F_{\eta j} q'^j L'_\eta \right\} \\
&\quad - \frac{mk}{Y} \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{1}{m} F_{ji} p'_j + \frac{mk}{Y^3} \sum_{j, h=1}^3 \frac{1}{m} F_{jh} p'_j q'^h q'^i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.
\end{aligned}$$

After computing, replacing the F_{ij} by their expressions, and using the conditions (2) and (3), we obtain $\{H', L'_i\}_{nc} = \{H', A'_i\}_{nc} = 0$. Then, L' and A' are constants of motion or first integrals of H' on $\mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{Q}$. \square

Equation (10) means that the functions L'_i and A'_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, are also constant along the orbits of H' . Then, in $\mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{Q}$, the orbits of H' lie in the inverse image of a value of these functions [37, 26].

The previous proposition naturally leads to the following:

Proposition 2. *Let L' be an integral of motion on $\mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{Q}$. Then, under the defined NC Poisson bracket (3), its components L'_i 's generate the Lie algebra $so(3)$ of the group $SO(3)$, i.e., $\{L'_i, L'_j\}_{nc} = \varepsilon_{ijh} F'_{hh} L'_h$, where $\varepsilon_{ijh} F'_{hh}$ are the structure constants of the Lie algebra.*

Proof.

Under the conditions (1), (2), and (3) of Proposition 1, the Poisson bracket

$$\begin{aligned} \{L'_i, L'_j\}_{nc} &= \varepsilon_{ijk} \left\{ \sum_{\eta, \varrho, \nu=1}^3 \left[\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\eta\varrho\nu} \left(D_{\eta\varrho} q'^{\nu} q'^{\kappa} + E_{\eta\varrho} p'_\nu p'_\kappa \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \varepsilon_{\eta\varrho\kappa} \left(F_{\eta\kappa} p'_\varrho q'^{\kappa} - F_{\kappa\eta} q'^{\varrho} p'_\kappa - F_{\eta\varrho} q'^{\kappa} p'_\kappa \right) \right] - F_{\kappa\kappa} L'_\kappa \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $i, j, h = 1, 2, 3$, yields $\{L'_i, L'_j\}_{nc} = \varepsilon_{ijh} F'_{hh} L'_h$, where $\varepsilon_{ijh} F'_{hh}$ are the structure constants of the Lie algebra. □

Moreover, the following relations hold:

$$\{A'_i, A'_j\}_{nc} = -2m\varepsilon_{ijh} F'_{hh} H' L'_h \quad (11)$$

$$\{L'_i, A'_i\}_{nc} = F'_{jh} (L'_h p'_j + L'_j p'_h) \quad (12)$$

$$\{L'_i, A'_j\}_{nc} = \varepsilon_{ijh} \left(F'_{hh} A'_h - F'_{hj} \frac{mk}{Y} q'^j \right) + F'_{hj} L'_i p_h, \quad (13)$$

where $F'_{ij} = -F_{ij}$ and $i, j, h = 1, 2, 3$.

Let us now consider some noncommutative constant energy hypersurfaces Π_c defined as:

$$\Pi_c := \{(q, p) \in \mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{Q} | H(q, p) = c\},$$

where c is a constant. Then, following [37] and [18], as the 1-form dH' obtained in (7) has no zeroes on $\mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{Q}$, the noncommutative constant energy hypersurfaces Π_c are closed submanifolds of $\mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{Q}$. Moreover, let us define the following open submanifolds of $\mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{Q}$: $\Pi_\tau := \bigcup_{c \geq 0} \Pi_c$, $\{\tau = -, +\}$, such that

$$\mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{Q} = \Pi_- \cup \Pi_0 \cup \Pi_+, \quad \Pi_- = \{(q, p) \in \mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{Q} | H(q, p) < 0\}, \quad \Pi_+ = \{(q, p) \in \mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{Q} | H(q, p) > 0\}$$

with Π_0 – the common boundary of Π_- and Π_+ .

On Π_τ , we introduce

$$L_i'^\tau := L'_i | \Pi_\tau, \quad \tau = \pm, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

and define a scaled Runge-Lenz-Pauli vector $\hat{\Gamma}'$ by

$$\hat{\Gamma}' = \begin{cases} \hat{\Gamma}'^- = \frac{1}{(-2mH')^{1/2}} A', & \text{if } \tau = - \\ \hat{\Gamma}'^+ = \frac{1}{(2mH')^{1/2}} A', & \text{if } \tau = +, \end{cases}$$

where H' is the Hamiltonian function given in (4). Further, we get $\{H', \hat{\Gamma}'^+\}_{nc} = \{H', \hat{\Gamma}'^-\}_{nc} = 0$ proving that $\hat{\Gamma}'^+$ and $\hat{\Gamma}'^-$ are also constants of motion on Π_+ and Π_- , respectively. We can then rewrite the relations (11), (12), and (13) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\hat{\Gamma}'^{\tau}, \hat{\Gamma}'^{\tau}\}_{nc} &= -\tau \varepsilon_{ijh} F'_{hh} L_h'^\tau \\ \{L_i'^\tau, \hat{\Gamma}'^{\tau}\}_{nc} &= (2m\tau H')^{-1/2} F'_{jh} (L_h'^\tau p'_j + L_j'^\tau p'_h) \\ \{L_i'^\tau, \hat{\Gamma}'^{\tau}\}_{nc} &= \varepsilon_{ijh} \left(F'_{hh} \hat{\Gamma}'^{\tau} - (2m\tau H')^{-1/2} F'_{hj} \frac{mk}{Y} q'^j \right) + (2m\tau H')^{-1/2} F'_{hj} L_i'^\tau p_h, \end{aligned}$$

where $F'_{ij} = -F_{ij}$, $i, j, h = 1, 2, 3$, and $\{\tau = -, +\}$.

Setting for all $i, j, h = 1, 2, 3$, $L_h'^\tau p'_j = -L_j'^\tau p'_h$ and $\frac{m}{Y} q'^j = \varepsilon_{ijh} L_i'^\tau p'_h$, we arrive at a Lie algebra isomorphic to the Lie algebra $so(4)$ for $\tau = -$:

$$\begin{aligned} \{L_i'^-, L_j'^-\}_{nc} &= \varepsilon_{ijh} F'_{hh} L_h'^- \\ \{\hat{\Gamma}'^-, \hat{\Gamma}'^-\}_{nc} &= \varepsilon_{ijh} F'_{hh} L_h'^- \\ \{L_i'^-, \hat{\Gamma}'^-\}_{nc} &= \varepsilon_{ijh} F'_{hh} \hat{\Gamma}'^-, \end{aligned}$$

with the associated generators given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{hj} &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \varepsilon_{hji} F'_{ii} L_i'^-, \quad h, j, i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \Phi_{h4} &= -\Phi_{4h} = F'_{hh} \hat{\Gamma}_h'^-, \quad \Phi_{44} = 0, \quad h = 1, 2, 3,\end{aligned}$$

and, for $\tau = +$, at a Lie algebra isomorphic to the Lie algebra $so(1, 3)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\{L_i'^+, L_j'^+\}_{nc} &= \varepsilon_{ijh} F'_{hh} L_h'^+ \\ \{\hat{\Gamma}_i'^+, \hat{\Gamma}_j'^+\}_{nc} &= -\varepsilon_{ijh} F'_{hh} L_h'^+ \\ \{L_i'^+, \hat{\Gamma}_j'^+\}_{nc} &= \varepsilon_{ijh} F'_{hh} \hat{\Gamma}_h'^+, \end{aligned}$$

with the corresponding generators provided by

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{hj} &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \varepsilon_{hji} F'_{ii} L_i'^+, \quad h, j, i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \Psi_{h4} &= \Psi_{4h} = -F'_{hh} \hat{\Gamma}_h'^+, \quad \Psi_{44} = 0, \quad h = 1, 2, 3.\end{aligned}$$

5 Case of action-angle coordinates

The idea of considering a Liouville-integrable Hamiltonian system in the action-angle coordinates leads to many interesting results elucidating general properties of Hamiltonian systems [1, 55].

Assuming the conditions $\sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \lambda_{ij} \lambda_{ik} q^j q^k = 0$ and $\alpha_{ij} = 0$, the Hamiltonian function (4) takes the form

$$H' = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^3 \left[p_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \varepsilon_{ijk} L_k + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^3 (\lambda_{ij} q^j)^2 \right] - \frac{k}{r}, \quad (14)$$

where $L_k = q^j p_i - q^i p_j$, ($i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$) denote the components of the angular momentum L on the cotangent bundle $\mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^3$. The term $\varpi = -\frac{1}{4m} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \varepsilon_{ijk} L_k + \frac{1}{8m} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 (\lambda_{ij} q^j)^2$ contains the deformation parameters perturbing the initial Kepler Hamiltonian function

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^3 p_i^2 - \frac{k}{r}.$$

Without loss of generality, let us consider the matrix λ under the form

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\dot{\vartheta} \dot{\varphi} \sin(2\varphi) & \sqrt{2} \dot{\vartheta} \dot{\varphi} \cos \varphi \\ \dot{\vartheta} \dot{\varphi} \sin(2\varphi) & 0 & \sqrt{2} \dot{\vartheta} \dot{\varphi} \sin \varphi \\ -\sqrt{2} \dot{\vartheta} \dot{\varphi} \cos \varphi & -\sqrt{2} \dot{\vartheta} \dot{\varphi} \sin \varphi & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where we have $\varphi \in (0, 2\pi)$, $\vartheta \in (0, \pi)$, $\dot{\vartheta} \sin(2\varphi) \ll m$, and $\dot{\varphi}, \dot{\vartheta}$ are constants. There results the Hamiltonian function (14) in spherical-polar coordinates as follows:

$$H' = \frac{1}{2m} \left[p_r^2 + M^2 \frac{p_\vartheta^2}{r^2} + \left(1 + \frac{\dot{\vartheta}}{m} \sin(2\varphi) \right) \frac{p_\varphi^2}{r^2 \sin^2 \vartheta} \right] - \frac{k}{r},$$

where

$$M = \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{m} \dot{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2m} \dot{\varphi}^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{is a constant of motion,}$$

$$p_r = m\dot{r}, \quad p_\vartheta = mr^2 \dot{\vartheta}, \quad \text{and} \quad p_\varphi = mr^2 \dot{\varphi} \sin^2 \vartheta.$$

The associated Hamiltonian vector field $X_{H'} \in \mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{Q}$ corresponding to the symplectic form:

$$\omega' = dp_r \wedge dr + dp_\vartheta \wedge d\vartheta + dp_\varphi \wedge d\varphi$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} X_{H'} = & \frac{1}{m} \left\{ p_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \left(mk - \frac{M^2 p_\vartheta^2 \sin^2 \vartheta + \left(1 + \frac{\dot{\vartheta}}{m} \sin(2\varphi)\right) p_\varphi^2}{r \sin^2 \vartheta} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_r} + M^2 \frac{p_\vartheta}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \right. \\ & \left. + \left(1 + \frac{\dot{\vartheta}}{m} \sin(2\varphi)\right) \frac{p_\varphi \cos \vartheta}{r^2 \sin^3 \vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\vartheta} + \left(1 + \frac{\dot{\vartheta}}{m} \sin(2\varphi)\right) \frac{p_\varphi}{r^2 \sin^2 \vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{\dot{\vartheta} \cos 2\varphi}{mr^2 \sin^2 \vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\varphi} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us introduce the following two additional integrals of motion:

$$D_\varphi = \left(1 + \frac{\dot{\vartheta}}{m} \sin(2\varphi)\right)^{1/2} p_\varphi, \text{ and } \tilde{L}^2 = M^2 p_\vartheta^2 + \frac{D_\varphi^2}{\sin^2 \vartheta}, \quad (15)$$

where \tilde{L} is the modified angular momentum vector in spherical-polar coordinates, and

$$D_\varphi = \tilde{L} \cos \xi.$$

ξ denotes the angle between the orbit plane and the equatorial plane (x, y) [59]. Since the Hamiltonian function H' does not explicitly depend on the time, then setting $V = W - Et$, it is possible to find an additive separable solution:

$$W = W_r(r) + W_\vartheta(\vartheta) + W_\varphi(\varphi),$$

which reduces the Hamilton-Jacobi equation [2]

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + H' \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial q} / q/t \right) = 0$$

to a simpler form

$$E = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{M^2}{r^2} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \vartheta} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2mr^2 \sin^2 \vartheta} \left(1 + \frac{\dot{\vartheta}}{m} \sin(2\varphi)\right) \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \varphi} \right)^2 - \frac{k}{r},$$

leading to the following set of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{dW_\varphi(\varphi)}{d\varphi} \right)^2 = p_\varphi^2 \\ \left(\frac{dW_\vartheta(\vartheta)}{d\vartheta} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{M^2} \left(\tilde{L}^2 - \frac{D_\varphi^2}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \right) \\ -r^2 \left(\frac{dW_r(r)}{dr} \right)^2 + 2mr^2 E + 2mrk = \tilde{L}^2. \end{cases}$$

In the compact case characterized by $E < 0$, the action-angle variables [2, 38, 8, 55] can be expressed as:

$$\begin{cases} J_\varphi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint \frac{dW_\varphi(\varphi)}{d\varphi} d\varphi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint p_\varphi d\varphi = p_\varphi \\ J_\vartheta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint \frac{dW_\vartheta(\vartheta)}{d\vartheta} d\vartheta = \frac{1}{2\pi M} \oint \left(\tilde{L}^2 - \frac{D_\varphi^2}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \right)^{1/2} d\vartheta \\ J_r = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint \frac{dW_r(r)}{dr} dr = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint \left(2mE + \frac{2mk}{r} - \frac{\tilde{L}^2}{r^2} \right)^{1/2} dr \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi^i = \frac{\partial W}{\partial J_i}, \quad \varphi^i(0) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

According to equation (15), J_φ can be re-written in terms of D_φ and $\sin(2\varphi)$:

$$J_\varphi = D_\varphi \left(1 + \frac{\dot{\vartheta}}{m} \sin(2\varphi)\right)^{-1/2}.$$

Since $\dot{\vartheta} \sin(2\varphi) \ll m$, then $\frac{\dot{\vartheta} \sin(2\varphi)}{m} \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{\dot{\vartheta} \sin(2\varphi)}{2m} \ll 1$. Using the first order Maclaurin expansion [11],

$$\left(1 + \frac{\dot{\vartheta}}{m} \sin(2\varphi)\right)^{-1/2} \simeq 1 - \frac{\dot{\vartheta}}{2m} \sin(2\varphi) \simeq 1$$

and the standard integration method [9], we get:

$$\begin{cases} J_\varphi = J_3 = D_\varphi \\ J_\vartheta = J_2 = \frac{1}{M} \left(\tilde{L} - D_\varphi \right) \\ J_r = J_1 = -\tilde{L} + \frac{mk}{\sqrt{-2mE}} \\ \varphi^1 = -\frac{1}{(J_1 + MJ_2 + J_3)^2} \sqrt{\tilde{G}} + \arcsin \left[\frac{mkr - (J_1 + MJ_2 + J_3)^2}{\tilde{Q}} \right] \\ \varphi^2 = M\varphi^1 - M \arcsin \left[\frac{\left(1 - \frac{(MJ_2 + J_3)}{mkr}\right) (J_1 + MJ_2 + J_3)^{3/2}}{\tilde{Q}} \right] + M \arcsin \left[\tilde{U} \cos \vartheta \right] \\ \varphi^3 = \frac{1}{M} \varphi^2 + \arcsin \left[\frac{J_3 \cot \vartheta}{\sqrt{(MJ_2 + J_3)^2 - J_3^2}} \right] + \varphi, \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q} &= (J_1 + MJ_2 + J_3) \sqrt{(J_1 + MJ_2 + J_3)^2 - (MJ_2 + J_3)^2}, \quad \tilde{U} = \frac{(MJ_2 + J_3)}{\sqrt{(MJ_2 + J_3)^2 - J_3^2}}, \\ \tilde{G} &= -m^2 k^2 r^2 + 2mk(J_1 + MJ_2 + J_3)^2 r - (MJ_2 + J_3)^2 (J_1 + MJ_2 + J_3)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain the Hamiltonian H' , the Poisson bivector P' , the symplectic form ω' , and the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{H'}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H' &= E = -\frac{mk^2}{2(J_1 + MJ_2 + J_3)^2}, \quad P' = \sum_{h=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial J_h} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^h}, \\ \omega' &= \sum_{h=1}^3 dJ_h \wedge d\varphi^h, \quad X_{H'} := \{H', \cdot\} = \frac{mk^2}{(J_1 + MJ_2 + J_3)^3} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^1} + M \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^3} \right), \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the required relation $\iota_{X_{H'}} \omega' = -dH'$. Therefore, in the action-angle coordinates (J, φ) , the triplet $(\mathcal{T}^* \mathcal{Q}, \omega', H')$ is also a Hamiltonian system.

6 Construction of bi-Hamiltonian structures

The generalized action-angle variables of Kepler's problem are usually denoted by $L, G, H, l = \mathbf{M}, g = \omega, h = \Omega$, called the Delaunay variables [3]. G and H have the meaning of total and azimuthal angular momentum respectively, and L has the meaning of a total orbital action. The angles ω, Ω are argument of periapsis and longitude of ascending node. The final angle \mathbf{M} is just the mean anomaly containing the only real dynamics in the Kepler problem.

Let us consider the following Delaunay-type variables:

$$\begin{cases} I_1 = J_3 \\ I_2 = MJ_2 + J_3 \\ I_3 = J_1 + MJ_2 + J_3 \end{cases} ; \quad \begin{cases} \phi^1 = \varphi^3 - \frac{1}{M} \varphi^2 \\ \phi^2 = \varphi^2 - M \varphi^1 \\ \phi^3 = \varphi^1, \end{cases}$$

which coincide with the classical Delaunay variables for $\lambda_{ij} = 0$ [46]:

$$\begin{cases} I_1 \equiv H = \sqrt{mka(1 - \epsilon^2)} \cos \xi \\ I_2 \equiv G = \sqrt{mka(1 - \epsilon^2)} \\ I_3 \equiv L = \sqrt{mka} \end{cases} ; \quad \begin{cases} \phi^1 \equiv h = \Omega \\ \phi^2 \equiv g = \omega \\ \phi^3 \equiv l = \mathbf{M} = n(t - t_0), \end{cases}$$

where ξ is the inclination, n is the mean motion, a is the semi-major axis of the orbit, ϵ is the eccentricity, and t_0 is the time at which the satellite passes through the perigee.

Then, the Hamiltonian function H' , the symplectic form ω' , the Poisson bivector P' , and the Hamiltonian vector field X'_H are reduced to the expressions:

$$H' = -\frac{mk^2}{2I_3^2}, \quad P' = \sum_{j=1}^3 \tilde{N}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial I_j} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^j}, \quad \omega' = \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{1}{\tilde{N}_j} dI_j \wedge d\phi^j, \quad X'_H = \frac{mk^2}{I_3^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^3},$$

where $\tilde{N}_1 = 1, \tilde{N}_2 = M, \tilde{N}_3 = 1$.

Recall that a vector field X is called non-degenerate or anisochronous if the Kolmogorov condition [8] for the Hessian matrix

$$\det \left| \frac{\partial^2 H(J_1, \dots, J_n)}{\partial J_i \partial J_k} \right| \neq 0 \quad (17)$$

is met almost everywhere in the given action-angle coordinates. This condition implies that the dense subsets of the invariant n -dimensional tori of X are closures of trajectories. If (17) is not satisfied, X is called degenerate or isochronous vector field.

In our framework, X'_H is a degenerate Hamiltonian vector field since

$$\det \left| \frac{\partial^2 H'(J_1, \dots, J_n)}{\partial J_i \partial J_k} \right| = 0.$$

Since our system is isochronous with a well-defined derivative for $H' = E < 0$,

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{\partial H'}{\partial J_1} = \frac{1}{k\sqrt{m}} (-2E)^{3/2},$$

then according to the Bogoyavlenskij theorem [8], we can get a bi-Hamiltonian formulation of this system in the domain of definition of the action-angle variables (16). In particular, we use here the Hamiltonian function H' in the defined Delaunay-type variable (I, ϕ) to construct bi-Hamiltonian structures. Now, following the example of the generic Bogoyavlenskij construction for the isochronous Hamiltonian system proposed by Grigoryev *et al.* in 2015 [28], we can carry out the following canonical transformations:

$$\tilde{I}_1 = I_1, \quad \tilde{I}_2 = I_2, \quad \tilde{I}_3 = H' = -\frac{mk^2}{2I_3^2}, \quad \tilde{\phi}^1 = \phi^1, \quad \tilde{\phi}^2 = \phi^2, \quad \tilde{\phi}^3 = \frac{k\sqrt{m}}{(-2H)^{3/2}} \phi^3.$$

permitting us to construct the following set of Poisson bivectors for all $h \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\tilde{P}_h = \tilde{\beta}_1(\tilde{I}_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{I}_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\phi}^1} + \tilde{\beta}_2(\tilde{I}_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{I}_2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\phi}^2} + \left(\frac{dF_h}{d\tilde{I}_3} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{I}_3} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\phi}^3}.$$

Putting

$$\tilde{\beta}_1(\tilde{I}_1) = \tilde{I}_1^h = I_1^h, \quad \tilde{\beta}_2(\tilde{I}_2) = M^{h+1} \tilde{I}_2^h = M^{h+1} I_2^h, \quad F_h = -\frac{mk^2}{(2+h)I_3^{2+h}}, \quad h \in \mathbb{N},$$

simplifies the expression of the Poisson bivectors \tilde{P}_h as

$$\tilde{P}_h = \sum_{j=1}^3 \tilde{N}_j^{h+1} I_j^h \frac{\partial}{\partial I_j} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^j}, \quad \tilde{N}_1 = 1, \quad \tilde{N}_2 = M, \quad \tilde{N}_3 = 1.$$

Each of \tilde{P}_h is compatible with P' , *i.e.*, $[\tilde{P}_h, P']_{NS} = 0$. In this case, the eigenvalues of the corresponding recursion operator $T := \tilde{P} \circ P'^{-1}$ are integrals of motion only [8, 44, 56]. Then, for all $h \in \mathbb{N}$, a hierarchy of Poisson bivectors \tilde{P}_h and their corresponding 2-forms $\tilde{\omega}_h$ are given by

$$\tilde{P}_h = \sum_{i,j=1}^6 (\tilde{P}_h)^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \quad \tilde{\omega}_h = \sum_{i,j=1}^6 (\tilde{\omega}_h)_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j,$$

where $n = 3$, $x^k = I_k$, $x^{k+3} = \phi^k$, $k \leq 3$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\tilde{P}_h)^{14} = I_1^h \\ (\tilde{P}_h)^{25} = M^{h+1} I_2^h \\ (\tilde{P}_h)^{36} = I_3^h \\ (\tilde{P}_h)^{ij} = 0, \text{ otherwise} \end{array} \right\}, \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\tilde{\omega}_h)_{41} = I_1^{-h} \\ (\tilde{\omega}_h)_{52} = M^{-(h+1)} I_2^{-h} \\ (\tilde{\omega}_h)_{63} = I_3^{-h} \\ (\tilde{\omega}_h)_{ij} = 0, \text{ otherwise,} \end{array} \right\},$$

$(\tilde{P}_h)^{ij} = -(\tilde{P}_h)^{ji}$, and $(\tilde{\omega}_h)_{ij} = -(\tilde{\omega}_h)_{ji}$.

In the previous action-angle coordinate system (J, φ) , they become

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\tilde{P}_h)^{14} = \left(k\sqrt{\frac{-m}{2H'}}\right)^h \\ (\tilde{P}_h)^{24} = \frac{1}{M} \left((\tilde{P}_h)^{14} - (\tilde{P}_h)^{25} \right) \\ (\tilde{P}_h)^{25} = M^h \tilde{L}^h \\ (\tilde{P}_h)^{34} = M (\tilde{P}_h)^{24} \\ (\tilde{P}_h)^{35} = M \left((\tilde{P}_h)^{25} - (\tilde{P}_h)^{36} \right) \\ (\tilde{P}_h)^{36} = J_3^h \\ (\tilde{P}_h)^{ij} = 0, \text{ otherwise} \end{array} \right\}, \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\tilde{\omega}_h)_{41} = \left(\frac{1}{k}\sqrt{\frac{-2H'}{m}}\right)^h \\ (\tilde{\omega}_h)_{42} = M \left((\tilde{\omega}_h)_{41} - (\tilde{\omega}_h)_{52} \right) \\ (\tilde{\omega}_h)_{52} = \frac{1}{M^h \tilde{L}^h} \\ (\tilde{\omega}_h)_{43} = \frac{1}{M} (\tilde{\omega}_h)_{42} \\ (\tilde{\omega}_h)_{53} = \frac{1}{M} \left((\tilde{\omega}_h)_{52} - (\tilde{\omega}_h)_{63} \right) \\ (\tilde{\omega}_h)_{63} = J_3^{-h} \\ (\tilde{\omega}_h)_{ij} = 0, \text{ otherwise,} \end{array} \right.$$

$(\tilde{P}_h)^{ij} = -(\tilde{P}_h)^{ji}$, $(\tilde{\omega}_h)_{ij} = -(\tilde{\omega}_h)_{ji}$, $H' = H'(J, \varphi)$, and $\tilde{L}^h = \tilde{L}^h(J, \varphi)$.

The Poisson bracket $\{.,.\}_{\tilde{\omega}_h}$ with respect to each symplectic form $\tilde{\omega}_h$ is now defined as:

$$\{f, g\}_{\tilde{\omega}_h} = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 (\Lambda_h)_j^i \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial I_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \phi^j} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi^j} \frac{\partial g}{\partial I_i} \right), \quad (\Lambda_h) = \begin{pmatrix} (I_1)^h & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M^{h+1} I_2^h & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (I_3)^h \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition 3. For each $h \in \mathbb{N}$, the vector field X_H is a bi-Hamiltonian vector field with respect to $(\omega', \tilde{\omega}_h)$, i.e.,

$$\iota_{X_{H'}} \omega' = -dH' \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_{X_{H'}} \tilde{\omega}_h = -dF_h, \quad X_{H'} = \{H', .\} = \{F_h, .\}_{\tilde{\omega}_h},$$

where $F_h, (F_0 \equiv H')$, are integrals of motion for $X_{H'}$.

Proof.

Since

$$\iota_X (df \wedge dg) = (Xf)dg - (df)Xg,$$

we obtain

$$\iota_{X_{H'}} \omega' = -dH', \quad \iota_{X_{H'}} \tilde{\omega}_h = -dF_h, \quad \text{and} \quad X_{H'} = \{H', .\} = \{F_h, .\}_{\tilde{\omega}_h}.$$

□

Besides, we obtain the recursion operators

$$T_h = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 (T_h)_i^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial I_j} \otimes dI_i + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^j} \otimes d\phi^i \right), \quad h \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (T_h)_1^1 = I_1^h \\ (T_h)_2^2 = M^h I_2^h \\ (T_h)_3^3 = I_3^h \\ (T_h)_j^i = 0, \text{ otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

taking the following forms in action-angle coordinate system (J, φ) :

$$T_h = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \left((R_h)_i^j \frac{\partial}{\partial J_j} \otimes dJ_i + (S_h)_i^j \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^j} \otimes d\varphi^i \right),$$

with

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (R_h)_1^1 = (R_h)_3^3 = (R_h)_1^3 = (\tilde{P}_h)^{14} \\ (R_h)_1^2 = M (\tilde{P}_h)^{14} \\ (R_h)_1^3 = \frac{1}{M} (\tilde{P}_h)^{14} \\ (R_h)_2^2 = (\tilde{P}_h)^{14} + (\tilde{P}_h)^{25} \\ (R_h)_2^3 = \frac{1}{M} (R_h)_2^2 \\ (R_h)_3^2 = M (R_h)_2^2 \\ (R_h)_3^3 = (R_h)_2^2 + (\tilde{P}_h)^{36} \end{array} \right\}, \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (S_h)_1^1 = (R_h)_2^2 \\ (S_h)_1^2 = -\frac{1}{M} (\tilde{P}_h)^{25} \\ (S_h)_1^3 = -M (\tilde{P}_h)^{25} \\ (S_h)_2^2 = (\tilde{P}_h)^{36} + (\tilde{P}_h)^{25} \\ (S_h)_2^3 = -M (\tilde{P}_h)^{36} \\ (S_h)_3^2 = -\frac{1}{M} (\tilde{P}_h)^{36} \\ (S_h)_3^3 = (\tilde{P}_h)^{36} \\ (S_h)_1^3 = (S_h)_3^1 = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

7 Master symmetries

In differential geometric terms, a vector field Γ on $\mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{Q}$ that satisfies

$$[X_{H'}, \Gamma] \neq 0, \quad [X_{H'}, X] = 0, \quad [X_{H'}, \Gamma] = X,$$

is called a master symmetry or a generator of symmetries of degree $m = 1$ for $X_{H'}$ [12, 17, 20, 51, 52].

In the following, we consider the Hamiltonian system $(\mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{Q}, \omega', H')$ and the integrals of motion $F_h, h \in \mathbb{N}$. Thereby, we obtain the vector fields

$$X_h := \{F_h, \cdot\} = \frac{mk^2}{I_3^{(h+3)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^3}$$

which commute with the Hamiltonian vector field $X_0 = X_{H'}$. The X_h 's are called *dynamical symmetries* of the Hamiltonian system $(\mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{Q}, \omega', H')$, i.e., $[X_{H'}, X_h] = 0$.

For the Hamiltonian system $(\mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{Q}, \omega', H')$ we introduce the vector fields $\Gamma_{i\mu} \in \mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{Q}$

$$\Gamma_{i\mu} = \frac{1}{(3+i)} \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\tilde{N}_j^\mu}{I_j^{(\mu-1)}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial I_j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^j} \right), \quad \tilde{N}_1 = 1, \tilde{N}_2 = M, \tilde{N}_3 = 1, \quad i, \mu \in \mathbb{N},$$

satisfying the relation

$$\iota_{\Gamma_{i\mu}} \omega' = -d\tilde{F}_{i\mu},$$

with

$$\tilde{F}_{i\mu} = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\tilde{N}_j}{3+i} \left(\ln(I_j) - \frac{\phi^j}{I_j} \right), & \mu = 2 \\ \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\tilde{N}_j^{\mu-1}}{3+i} \left(\frac{I_j^{2-\mu}}{2-\mu} - \frac{\phi^j}{I_j^{\mu-1}} \right), & \mu \neq 2. \end{cases}$$

Computing the Lie bracket between X_i and $\Gamma_{i\mu}$, we obtain, (see Fig 1 for their diagram representation):

$$[X_i, \Gamma_{i\mu}] = X_{i+\mu}, \quad [X_i, X_{i+\mu}] = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad X_{i+\mu} = \frac{mk^2}{I_3^{(\mu+i+3)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial I_3}. \quad (18)$$

Hence, $\Gamma_{i\mu}$ are master symmetries or generators of symmetries of degree $m = 1$ for X_i . The quantities $\tilde{F}_{i\mu}$ are called *master integrals*. Furthermore, we have




Figure 1: Diagrammatical illustration of equation (18).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\Gamma_{i0}}(P') &= -\frac{1}{3+i} P', \quad (\tilde{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{3+i}), \quad \mathcal{L}_{\Gamma_{i0}}(\tilde{P}_1) = 0, \quad (\tilde{\beta} = 0), \\ \mathcal{L}_{\Gamma_{i0}}(H') &= -\frac{2}{3+i} H', \quad (\tilde{\gamma} = -\frac{2}{3+i}), \end{aligned}$$

showing that the vector fields

$$\Gamma_{i0} = \frac{1}{(3+i)} \sum_{j=1}^3 I_j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial I_j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^j} \right)$$

are conformal symmetries for both P', \tilde{P}_1 and H' [20]. Defining now the families of quantities $X'_h, \Gamma'_{ih}, P'_h, \omega'_h$ and dH'_h by

$$X'_h := T^h X_0, P'_h := T^h P', \omega'_h := (T^*)^h \omega', \Gamma'_{ih} := T^h \Gamma_{i0}, dH'_h := (T^*)^h dH',$$

where $i, h \in \mathbb{N}$, and $T^* := P'^{-1} \circ \tilde{P}_1$ denotes the adjoint of $T := \tilde{P}_1 \circ P'^{-1}$, we obtain

$$P'_h = \sum_{j=1}^3 \tilde{N}_j^{h+1} I_j^h \frac{\partial}{\partial I_j} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^j}, \Gamma'_{ih} = \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\tilde{N}_j^h I_j^{h+1}}{3+i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial I_j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^j} \right), X'_h = \frac{mk^2}{I_3^{3-h}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^3},$$

$$\omega'_h = \sum_{j=1}^3 \tilde{N}_j^{h-1} I_j^h dI_j \wedge d\phi^j, dH'_h = \frac{mk^2}{I_3^{3-h}} dI_3 \text{ and } H'_h = \begin{cases} mk^2 \ln(I_3), & \text{if } h = 2, \\ \frac{mk^2}{(2-h)I_3^{2-h}}, & \text{if } h \neq 2 \end{cases}$$

and, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we derive the following plethora of conserved quantities:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma'_{ih}}(\Gamma'_{il}) = \frac{l-h}{3+i} \Gamma'_{i(l+h)}, \mathcal{L}_{\Gamma'_{ih}}(X'_l) = -\frac{3-l}{3+i} X'_{l+h}, \mathcal{L}_{\Gamma'_{ih}}(P'_l) = \frac{l-h-1}{3+i} P'_{l+h},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma'_{ih}}(\omega'_l) = \frac{l+h+1}{3+i} \omega'_{l+h}, \mathcal{L}_{\Gamma'_{ih}}(T) = \frac{1}{3+i} T^{1+h}, \quad (l \in \mathbb{N}),$$

$$\langle dH'_l, \Gamma'_{ih} \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{mk^2}{3+i}, & \text{if } (h+l) = 2, \\ -\frac{2-(h+l)}{3+i} H'_{l+h}, & \text{if } (h+l) \neq 2, \end{cases}$$

satisfying

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma'_{ih}}(\Gamma'_{il}) = (\tilde{\beta} - \tilde{\alpha})(l-h) \Gamma'_{i(l+h)}, \mathcal{L}_{\Gamma'_{ih}}(X'_l) = (\tilde{\beta} + \tilde{\gamma} + (l-1)(\tilde{\gamma} - \tilde{\alpha})) X'_{l+h},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma'_{ih}}(P'_l) = (\tilde{\beta} + (l-h-1)(\tilde{\beta} - \tilde{\alpha})) P'_{l+h}, \mathcal{L}_{\Gamma'_{ih}}(\omega'_l) = (\tilde{\beta} + (l+h+1)(\tilde{\beta} - \tilde{\alpha})) \omega'_{l+h},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma'_{ih}}(T) = (\tilde{\beta} - \tilde{\alpha}) T^{1+h}, \langle dH'_l, \Gamma'_{ih} \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{mk^2}{3+i}, & \text{if } (h+l) = 2, \\ (\tilde{\gamma} + (l+h)(\tilde{\beta} - \tilde{\alpha})) H'_{l+h}, & \text{if } (h+l) \neq 2, \end{cases}$$

analogue to the Oevel formulae (see [48, 20, 55, 56]).

8 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we have defined a noncommutative phase space, derived a Hamiltonian system and proved the existence of dynamical symmetry groups $SO(3)$, $SO(4)$, and $SO(1,3)$ for the Kepler problem. Further, we have investigated the same Kepler problem in action-angle coordinates and obtained its corresponding Hamiltonian system. Then, we have constructed a hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian structures in the considered action-angle coordinates following the example of the generic Bogoyavlenskij construction for the isochronous Hamiltonian system proposed by Grigoryev *et al.*, and computed conserved quantities using related master symmetries.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

The ICMIPA-UNESCO Chair is in partnership with the Association pour la Promotion Scientifique de l'Afrique (APSA), France, and Daniel Iagolnitzer Foundation (DIF), France, supporting the development of mathematical physics in Africa. M. M. is supported by the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Niš, Serbia, Grant "Research and development of new generation machine systems in the function of the technological development of Serbia".

References

- [1] Abraham R., Marsden J. E., *Foundation of Mechanics (2nd edition)*, Addison-Wesley, New York (1978).
- [2] Arnold V. I., *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, Graduate Texts in Mechanics, **60**, Springer, New York (1978).
- [3] Arnold V. I., Kozlov V. V., Neishtadt A. I., *Mathematical Aspects of Classical and Celestial Mechanics (3rd edition)*, Springer, **3**, (2006).
- [4] Bacry H., Ruegg H., Souriau J. M., *Dynamical Groups and Spherical Potentials in Classical Mechanics*, Commun. Math. Phys., **3**, 323-333 (1966).
- [5] Bander M., Itzykson C., *Group Theory and the Hydrogen Atom (I)*, Rev. Mod. Phys., **38**, 330-345 (1966).
- [6] Bander M., Itzykson C., *Group Theory and the Hydrogen Atom (II)*, Rev. Mod. Phys., **38**, 346-358 (1966).
- [7] Bargmann V., *Zur Theorie des Wasserstoffatoms. Bemerkungen zur gleichnamigen Arbeit von V. Fock*, Z. Phys., **99**, 576-582 (1936).
- [8] Bogoyavlenskij O. I., *Theory of tensor invariants of integrable Hamiltonian systems. I. incompatible Poisson structures*, Commun. Math. Phys., **180**, 529-586 (1996).
- [9] Born M., *The Mechanics of the Atom*, G.Bell And Sons Limited, London (1927).
- [10] Brackenridge J. B., *The Key to Newton's Dynamics*, University of California Press (1995).
- [11] Canute C., Tabacco A., *Mathematical analysis I*, Springer-Verlag, Milan (2008).
- [12] Caseiro R., *Master integrals, superintegrability and quadratic algebras*, Bull. Sci. Math., **126**, 617-630 (2002).
- [13] Chang D. E., Marsden J. E., *Geometric Derivation of Delaunay Variables and Geometric Phases*, Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron., **86**, 185-208 (2003).
- [14] Chenciner A., Montgomery R., *On a Remarkable Periodic Orbit of the Three-body Problem in the Case of Equal Masses*, Ann. Math., **152**, 881-901 (2000).
- [15] Connes A., *Geometrie noncommutative*. Inter Editions, Paris (1990).
- [16] Cushman R. H., Duistermaat J. J., *A Characterization of the Ligon-Schaaf Regularization Map*, Comm. Pure and Appl., **50**, 773-787 (1997).
- [17] Damianou P. A., *Symmetries of Toda equations*, J. Phys. A, **26**, 3791-3796 (1993).
- [18] Dieudonné J., *Eléments d'analyse*, Tome 3, Gauthiers-Villars, Paris (1970).
- [19] Dubrovin B., *Bihamiltonian structures of PDEs and Frobenius manifolds*, Lectures at the ICTP Summer School "Poisson Geometry", Trieste (2005).
- [20] Fernandes R. L., *On the master symmetries and bi-Hamiltonian structure of the Toda lattice*, J. Phys. A Math. Gen., **26**, 3797-3803 (1993).
- [21] Fernandes R. L., *Completely integrable bi-Hamiltonian systems*, J. Dyn. Differ. Equ., **6**, No.1, 53-69 (1994).
- [22] De Filippo S., Marmo G., Salerno M., Vilasi G., *A New Characterization of Completely Integrable Systems*, Nuovo Cimento B, **83**, 97-112 (1984).
- [23] Fock V., *Zur Theorie des Wasserstoffatoms*, Z. Phys., **98**, 145-154 (1935).

- [24] Fradkin D. M., *Existence of the dynamic symmetries O_4 and SU_3 for all classical central potential problems*, Prog. Theor. Phys., **37**, 798-812 (1967).
- [25] Gelfand I. M., Dorfman I. Y., *The Schouten Bracket and Hamiltonian Operators*, Funct. Anal. Appl., **14**, 71-74 (1980).
- [26] Guichardet A., *Histoire d'un vecteur tricentenaire*, Gaz. Math., **117**, 23-33 (2008).
- [27] Györgyi G., *Kepler's equation, Fock variables, Bacry's generators and Dirac brackets*, Nuovo Cimento, **53A**, 717-735 (1968).
- [28] Grigoryev Y. A., Tsiganov A. V., *On bi-Hamiltonian formulation of the perturbed Kepler problem*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., **48**, 175206(7pp) (2015).
- [29] Hounkonnou M. N., Landalidji M. J., Baloitcha E., *Recursion Operator in a Noncommutative Minkowski Phase Space*, Proceedings of XXXVI Workshop on Geometric Methods in Physics, Poland 2017, Trends in Mathematics, 83-93 (2019).
- [30] Hounkonnou M. N., Landalidji M. J., *Hamiltonian dynamics for the Kepler problem in a deformed phase space*, Proceedings of XXXVII Workshop on Geometric Methods in Physics, Poland 2018, Trends in Mathematics, 34-48 (2019).
- [31] Hulthen L., *Über die quantenmechanische Herleitung der Balmerterme*, Z. Phys., **86**, 21-23 (1933).
- [32] Kepler J., *New Astronomy*, ed. William H. Donahue, Cambridge University Press, New York (1992).
- [33] Kepler J., *The Harmony of the World*, ed. trans. E. J. Aiton, A. M. Duncan & J. V. Field, American Philosophical Society, New York (1997).
- [34] Khosravi N., Jalalzadeh S., Sepangi H. R., *Non-commutative multi-dimensional cosmology*, J. High Energy Phys., **01**, 134 (2006).
- [35] Lax P. D., *Integrals of nonlinear equations of evolution and solitary ways*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., **21**, 467-490 (1968).
- [36] Lenz W., *Über den Bewegungsverlauf und die Quantenzustände des gestörten Keplerbewegung*, Z. Phys., **24**, 197-207 (1924).
- [37] Ligon T., Schaaf M., *On the global symmetry of the classical Kepler problem*, Reports on Math. Phys., **9**, 281-300 (1976).
- [38] Liouville J., *Note sur l'integration des équations différentielles de la dynamique*, J. Math. Pure Appl., **20**, 137-138 (1855).
- [39] Liouville R., *Sur le mouvement d'un corps solide pesant suspendu par l'un de ses points*, Acta Math. **20**, 239-284 (1897).
- [40] Livio M., *The Golden Ratio: The Story of Phi, the World's Most Astonishing Number*, Broadway Books, New York (2003).
- [41] Magri F., *A simple model of the integrable Hamiltonian equation*, J. Math. Phys., **19**, 1156-62 (1978).
- [42] Malekolkalami B., Atazadeh K., Vakili B., *Late time acceleration in a non-commutative model of modified cosmology*, Phys. Lett.B, **739**, 400-404 (2014).
- [43] Marle C. M., *A Property of Conformally Hamiltonian Vector Fields; Application to the Kepler Problem*, AIMS Journals, **4**, 181-206 (2012).
- [44] Marmo G., Vilasi G., *When Do Recursion Operators Generate New Conservation Laws?*, Phys. Lett. B, **277**, 137-140 (1992).

- [45] Milnor J., *On the Geometry of the Kepler Problem*, Amer. Math. Monthly., **90**, 353-365 (1983).
- [46] Morbidelli A., *Modern Celestial Mechanics: Aspects of Solar System Dynamics*, Taylor & Francis, **5**, New York (2002).
- [47] Moser J., *Regularization of Kepler's Problem and the Averaging Method on a Manifold*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., **Vol. XXIII**, 609-636 (1 970).
- [48] Oevel W., *A Geometrical Approach to Integrable Systems Admitting Time Dependent Invariants*, in Proceedings of the Conference on Nonlinear Evolution Equations, Solitons and the Inverse Scattering Transform, M. Ablowitz, B. Fuchssteiner and M. Kruskal eds., Oberwolfach (1986).
- [49] Pauli W., *Über das Wasserstoffspektrum vom Standpunkt der neuen Quantenmechanik*, Z. Phys., **36**, 336-363 (1926) .
- [50] Poincaré H., *Sur les quadratures mécaniques* Acta Math., **13**, 1 (1899).
- [51] Rañada M. F., *A system of $n = 3$ coupled oscillators with magnetic terms: symmetries and integrals of motion*, SIGMA, **1**, 004, 7 pages (2005).
- [52] Rañada M. F., *Superintegrability of the Calogero-Moser system: constants of motion, master symmetries, and time-dependent symmetries*, J. Math. Phys., **40**, 236-247 (1999).
- [53] Rudolph G., Schmidt M., *Differential geometry and mathematical physics, Part I. manifolds, Lie group and Hamiltonian systems*, Springer, New York (2013).
- [54] Santoprete M., *On the relationship between two notions of compatibility for bi-Hamiltonian systems*, SIGMA, **11**, 089, 11 pages (2015).
- [55] Smirnov R. G., *Magri-Morosi-Gel'fand-Dorfman's bi-Hamiltonian constructions in the action-angle variables*, J. Math. Phys., **38**, 6444 (1997).
- [56] Smirnov R. G., *The action-angle coordinates revisited: bi-Hamiltonian systems*, Rep. Math. Phys., **44**, 199-204 (1999).
- [57] Vakili B., Pedram P., Jalalzadeh S., *Late time acceleration in a deformed phase space model of dilaton cosmology*, Phys. Lett. B, **687**, 119-123 (2010).
- [58] Vilasi G., *On the Hamiltonian Structures of the Korteweg-de Vries and Sine- Gordon Theories*, Phys. Lett. B, **94**, 195-198 (1980).
- [59] Vilasi G., *Hamiltonian Dynamics*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore (2001).
- [60] Voelkel J. R., *Johannes Kepler and the New Astronomy*, Oxford University Press, New York (1999).
- [61] Zhou J., *On Geometry and Symmetry of Kepler Systems. I.*, (arXiv:1708.05504v1 [math-ph]), (2017).