Analysis of viscoelastic flow with a generalized memory and its exponential convergence to steady state

Yingwen Guo · Xiangcheng Zheng*

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract We investigate a viscoelastic flow model with a generalized memory, in which a weak-singular component is introduced in the exponential convolution kernel of classical viscoelastic flow equations that remains untreated in the literature. We prove the well-posedness and regularity of the solutions, based on which we prove the exponential convergence of the solutions to the steady state. The proposed model serves as an extension of classical viscoelastic flow equations by adding a dimension characterized by the power of the weak-singular kernel, and the derived results provide theoretical supports for designing numerical methods for both the considered equation and its steady state.

Keywords viscoelastic flow \cdot weak-singular \cdot well-posedness and regularity \cdot exponential convergence \cdot Naiver-Stokes equation

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020) 76A10 · 47G20

1 Introduction

Viscoelastic flows arise in widely applications and have attracted extensive attentions. A typical governing equation is derived from the combination of the Oldroyd's model, the continuity equation and the momentum conservation equation as follows

$$\mathbf{u}_t - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \rho \int_0^t e^{-\delta(t-s)} \Delta \mathbf{u} ds + \nabla p = \mathbf{f}.$$
 (1)

Y. Guo

X. Zheng

The work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province under grant No. 2019JQ-398, by the Scientific Research Program of Shaanxi Provincial Education Department under grant No. 19JK0841, by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation under grants No. 246135 and No. 2021TQ0017, and by the International Postdoctoral Exchange Fellowship Program (Talent-Introduction Program) No. YJ20210019.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China. E-mail: zhengxch@math.pku.edu.cn

Here $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) = (u_1(\mathbf{x},t), u_2(\mathbf{x},t))$ is the velocity vector, $p = p(\mathbf{x},t)$ is the pressure of the fluid, $\mathbf{f} = (f_1(\mathbf{x},t), f_2(\mathbf{x},t))$ is the prescribed external force, $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ for some two-dimensional convex polygonal domain Ω with the boundary $\partial \Omega$, μ is the solvent viscosity, $\delta = 1/\lambda_1$ where λ_1 refers to the relaxation time and $\rho = (\mu/\lambda_1)(\lambda_1/\lambda_2 - 1) > 0$ where λ_2 stands for the retardation time satisfying the restriction $0 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1$.

Extensive mathematical and numerical analysis for model (1) and related problems have been carried out, see e.g., [3,5,6,7,8,19,20,22,23,27]. In particular, the convergence of (1) to a steady state in an exponential rate with respect to time was rigorously proved in [12,17,28,34]. A main application of this result lies in approximating the steady state of (1) with small viscosity μ via computing (1) [10]. To be specific, solving the steady state of (1) requires certain iterative method due to its strong nonlinear term $(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u}$. However, it was shown in [10,11] that the uniqueness condition of the Stokes iterative, which is an efficient iterative method with a constant coefficient matrix compared with other iterative methods, may be lost for small viscosity μ . Thus one may alternatively solve (1) to approximate its steady state, which requires the convergence estimates of (1) to its steady state.

Compared with classical Naiver-Stokes equations, which corresponds to $\rho = 0$ in (1), a mainly overcomed difficulty in the investigation of model (1) in the literature stems from the newly encountered convolution term, which introduces nonlocal features in time by an exponential kernel to model the memory effects of the fluid and thus the dynamics. In the past decades, increasingly experimental evidences show that the power function kernels in, e.g., the fractional calculus, adequately describe the creep and relaxation of viscoelastic materials [4, 16, 26, 24] and thus provide more accurate modeling for the memory effects in the dynamics of viscoelastic fluids [2, 14, 29, 30, 32]. Nevertheless, the corresponding viscoelastic flow equation like (1) involving the power function memory kernel is meagerly studied in the literature.

Motivated by these discussions, we consider the following viscoelastic flow model with a generalized memory kernel combining the exponential component as model (1) and the newly added power-law decay factor $t^{-\beta}$ for $0 \le \beta < 1$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_t - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \rho \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \Delta \mathbf{u} ds + \nabla p = \mathbf{f}, \\ (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \infty); & \text{div } \mathbf{u} = 0, \ (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \infty); \\ \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}), \ \mathbf{x} \in \Omega; \quad \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \ t \in [0, \infty). \end{cases}$$
(2)

The combined memory kernel $t^{-\beta}e^{-\delta t}$ appears in many applications, see e.g., [35, Equation 1.4] and [21, Equation 1.22]. Compared with (1), the additional factor $t^{-\beta}$ introduces initial singularities and the convolution kernel is no longer continuously differentiable as that in (1). For this reason, the analysis needs careful treatments near the initial time. Furthermore, the indefinite integral of this combined kernel could not be evaluated into a closed form as its components $e^{\delta t}$ and $t^{-\beta}$, which significantly complicates the analysis.

In this paper, we address the aforementioned concerns to analyze model (2) and prove its exponential convergence to the following steady state

$$\begin{cases} -\left(\mu + \frac{\rho\Gamma(1-\beta)}{\delta^{1-\beta}}\right)\Delta\bar{\mathbf{u}} + (\bar{\mathbf{u}}\cdot\nabla)\bar{\mathbf{u}} + \nabla\bar{p} = \bar{\mathbf{f}}, \quad \mathbf{x}\in\Omega, \\ \operatorname{div}\bar{\mathbf{u}} = 0, \ \mathbf{x}\in\Omega; \quad \bar{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x})|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(3)

which provide theoretical supports for designing numerical methods for both the considered equation (2) and its steady state (3). Note that when β tends to 0, this equation approaches to the steady state of the traditional viscoelastic flow equation (1) proposed in, e.g., [12, Equation 1.3]. That is, the current work mathematically generalizes the existing models and results by introducing an extra dimension characterized by the parameter β .

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we introduce notations and preliminary results to be used subsequently. In Section 3 we prove well-posedness and regularity results for model (2), based on which we prove exponential convergence of viscoelastic flow equation (2) to its steady state (3) in Sections 4-5.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Spaces and notations

We follow [1,9,13,33] to introduce useful spaces and notations. Define the following Hilbert spaces

$$\mathbf{X} = H_0^1(\Omega)^2, \quad \mathbf{Y} = L^2(\Omega)^2, \quad M = L_0^2(\Omega) = \left\{ q \in L^2(\Omega); \int_{\Omega} q d\mathbf{x} = 0 \right\},$$

where $L^2(\Omega)^d$ (d = 1, 2) is equipped with the usual L^2 -scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) and L^2 -norm $\|\cdot\|_0$, and $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and **X** are equipped with the following scalar product and equivalent norm

$$(\nabla \cdot, \nabla \cdot), \quad |\cdot|_1 = \|\nabla \cdot\|_0.$$

Here, $\|\cdot\|_i$ and $|\cdot|_i$ denote the usual norm and semi norm of the sobolev space $H^i(\Omega)^d$, for i = 0, 1, 2. Define the closed subset **V** of **X** and the closed subset **H** of **Y** as follows

$$\mathbf{V} = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{X}; \text{div } \mathbf{v} = 0\}, \quad \mathbf{H} = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{Y}; \text{div } \mathbf{v} = 0, \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial \Omega} = 0\}.$$

Furthermore, let P be the L^2 -orthogonal projection of \mathbf{Y} onto \mathbf{H} and A be the Stokes operator defined by $A = -P\Delta$ with $\mathbf{D}(A) := H^2(\Omega)^2 \cap \mathbf{V}$, which satisfies [1, 10, 13, 18]

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_2^2 \le c \|A\mathbf{v}\|_0^2, \quad \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{D}(A), \tag{4}$$

$$\gamma_0 \|\mathbf{v}\|_0^2 \le |\mathbf{v}|_1^2, \quad \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{X}, \quad \gamma_0 |\mathbf{v}|_1^2 \le \|A\mathbf{v}\|_0^2, \quad \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{D}(A), \tag{5}$$

where $\gamma_0, c > 0$ are generic constants depending on Ω . In particular, $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ satisfies $||A^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{v}||_0 = |\mathbf{v}|_1$ for $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$.

With the above definitions, the bilinear forms $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{X} \times M$ are respectively defined by

$$a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{v}), \quad d(\mathbf{v}, q) = -(\mathbf{v}, \nabla q) = (q, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}), \quad \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{X}, \quad q \in M.$$

The bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous and coercive on $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X}$, and $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous on $\mathbf{X} \times M$ and satisfies the well-known inf-sup condition: there exist a positive constant $C_0 > 0$ such that for all $q \in M$

$$\sup_{\mathbf{v}\in\mathbf{X}}\frac{|d(\mathbf{v},q)|}{|\mathbf{v}|_1} \ge C_0 \|q\|_0.$$
(6)

In addition, the trilinear form $a_1(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X}$ is defined by

$$a_1(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \langle (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{X}}, \quad \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{X},$$

which is continuous on $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X}$ and satisfies [13,33]

$$a_1(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = -a_1(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}), \quad \forall \ \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{X},$$
(7)

$$|a_1(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})| \le N |\mathbf{u}|_1 |\mathbf{v}|_1 |\mathbf{w}|_1, \quad \forall \ \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{X},$$
(8)

$$|a_{1}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})| \leq c_{0} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|A\mathbf{v}\|_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{0}, \quad \forall \ \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{D}(A), \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{Y}, \quad (9)$$

$$|a_1(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w})| \le c_0 \|\mathbf{v}\|_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \|A\mathbf{v}\|_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_1 \|\mathbf{w}\|_0, \quad \forall \ \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{D}(A), \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{Y},$$
(10)

where c_0 is a positive constant depending only on Ω and N is defined in terms of

$$b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) := ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) + \frac{1}{2}((\operatorname{div}\mathbf{u})\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}a_1(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) - \frac{1}{2}a_1(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}), \ \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{X}$$
(11)

by

$$N := \sup_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{X}} \frac{b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})}{|\mathbf{u}|_1 |\mathbf{v}|_1 |\mathbf{w}|_1}.$$

Lemma 1 [9, 13, 15, 33] There exists a unique solution $(\mathbf{v}, q) \in (\mathbf{X}, M)$ to the steady Stokes problem

$$-\Delta \mathbf{v} + \nabla q = \mathbf{g}, \quad div \, \mathbf{v} = 0 \quad in \ \Omega, \quad \mathbf{v}|_{\partial \Omega} = 0,$$

for any prescribed $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{Y}$ and

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_2 + \|q\|_1 \le c \|\mathbf{g}\|_0$$

where c > 0 is a generic constant depending on Ω .

Lemma 2 [31,25] For any α , $t^* > 0$ and $\phi \in L^2(0,t^*)$, the following property holds

$$\int_{0}^{t^{*}} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \phi(s) ds \phi(t) dt \ge 0.$$

We then introduce the Gamma function $\Gamma(\cdot)$ defined by

$$\Gamma(z) := \int_0^\infty s^{z-1} e^{-s} ds, \quad z > 0$$

that will be frequently used in the future. Note that by a simple transformation, the above equation implies

$$\int_0^\infty s^{z-1} e^{-\upsilon s} ds = \frac{1}{\upsilon^z} \int_0^\infty s^{z-1} e^{-s} ds = \frac{\Gamma(z)}{\upsilon^z}, \quad z, \upsilon > 0.$$
(12)

We finally introduce the Gronwall inequality to support the analysis.

Lemma 3 [5] If g, h, y, G are nonnegative locally integrable functions on the time interval $[0, \infty)$ such that for all $t \ge 0$ and for some $C \ge 0$

$$y(t) + G(t) \le C + \int_0^t h(s)ds + \int_0^t g(s)y(s)ds,$$

then for $t \geq 0$

$$y(t) + G(t) \le \left(C + \int_0^t h(s)ds\right) \exp\left(\int_0^t g(s)ds\right).$$

2.2 Regularity of solutions to the steady state

By (7) and (11), the variational formulation of (3) reads: find $(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{p}) \in (\mathbf{X}, M)$ such that for any $(\mathbf{v}, q) \in (\mathbf{X}, M)$

$$\left(\mu + \frac{\rho\Gamma(1-\beta)}{\delta^{1-\beta}}\right)a(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v}) - d(\mathbf{v}, \bar{p}) + d(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, q) + a_1(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v}) = (\bar{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{v}).$$
(13)

Then we follow [10] to assume that the solution $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ of (13) satisfies

$$\mu a(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) + b(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v}) \ge \mu_0 |\mathbf{v}|_1^2, \quad \forall \ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{X},$$
(14)

for some $0 < \mu_0 < \mu$. We show in the next theorem that this assumption could ensure the uniqueness of solutions to model (13).

Theorem 1 Under the assumption (14), problem (13) admits a unique solution pair $(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{p}) \in \mathbf{X} \times M$ which satisfies

$$|\bar{\mathbf{u}}|_{1} \leq \left(\mu + \frac{\rho \Gamma(1-\beta)}{\delta^{1-\beta}}\right)^{-1} \|\bar{\mathbf{f}}\|_{-1}, \quad \|\bar{\mathbf{f}}\|_{-1} := \sup_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{X}} \frac{(\bar{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{v})}{|\mathbf{v}|_{1}}.$$
 (15)

Moreover, if $\mathbf{\bar{f}} \in \mathbf{Y}$, then the solution pair $(\mathbf{\bar{u}}, \mathbf{\bar{p}}) \in \mathbf{D}(A) \times (H^1(\Omega) \cap M)$ satisfies

$$\|A\bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_{0} + \left(\mu + \frac{\rho\Gamma(1-\beta)}{\delta^{1-\beta}}\right)^{-1} \|\bar{p}\|_{1} \\ \leq c \left(\mu + \frac{\rho\Gamma(1-\beta)}{\delta^{1-\beta}}\right)^{-1} \|\bar{\mathbf{f}}\|_{0} \left(1 + \left[\mu + \frac{\rho\Gamma(1-\beta)}{\delta^{1-\beta}}\right]^{-4} \|\bar{\mathbf{f}}\|_{0}^{2}\right).$$
(16)

Proof. By Lemma 1, the proof could be carried out following those of Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 2.4 in [10] and is thus omitted. \blacksquare

3 Analysis of viscoelastic flow

We investigate the well-posedness and regularity of the solutions \mathbf{u} to the viscoelastic fluid (2) for $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbf{V}$. In the rest of the paper, we may abbreviate a space-time dependent function $g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})$ as g(t) for simplicity and use κ to denote a generic positive constant that may assume different values at different occurrences. We introduce

$$J(t; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) := \left(\rho \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \nabla \mathbf{v}(s) ds, \nabla \mathbf{w}(t)\right), \quad \forall \ \mathbf{v}(\cdot, t), \mathbf{w}(\cdot, t) \in \mathbf{X}$$

such that the variational formulation of (2) could be formulated as

$$(\mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{v}) + \mu a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + a_1(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) - d(\mathbf{v}, p) + d(\mathbf{u}, q) + J(t; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}), \quad (17)$$
$$\mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{u}(t_0) \in \mathbf{V}, \quad \forall \ (\mathbf{v}, q) \in \mathbf{X} \times M.$$

Lemma 4 For r = 0, 1, the following estimate holds

$$\left| J(t; \mathbf{v}, A^r \mathbf{w}) \right| \le \frac{\mu}{\varepsilon} \|A^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \mathbf{w}\|_0^2 + \frac{\varepsilon \rho^2}{4\mu} \frac{\Gamma(1-\beta)}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|A^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \mathbf{v}\|_0^2 ds$$

for any $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{V}$ where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a generic constant.

Proof. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Minkowski's integral inequality and noting $(A\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = (\nabla \mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{w})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| J(t; \mathbf{v}, A^{r} \mathbf{w}) \right| \\ &= \left| \left(\rho \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} A \mathbf{v}(s) ds, A^{r} \mathbf{w}(t) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left\| \rho \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} A^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \mathbf{v}(s) ds \right\|_{0} \|A^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \mathbf{w}(t)\|_{0} \\ &\leq \rho \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|A^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \mathbf{v}(s)\|_{0} ds \|A^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \mathbf{w}(t)\|_{0} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{\varepsilon} \|A^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \mathbf{w}(t)\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4\mu} \left(\rho \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|A^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \mathbf{v}(s)\|_{0} ds \right)^{2} \\ &=: \frac{\mu}{\varepsilon} \|A^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \mathbf{w}(t)\|_{0}^{2} + I_{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Holder inequality and (12) we bound I_0 as

$$I_{0} \leq \frac{\varepsilon\rho^{2}}{4\mu} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} ds \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|A^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \mathbf{v}(s)\|_{0}^{2} ds$$
$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon\rho^{2}}{4\mu} \frac{\Gamma(1-\beta)}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|A^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \mathbf{v}(s)\|_{0}^{2} ds,$$

Combining the above two equations we complete the proof. \blacksquare

Theorem 2 Suppose $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{f} \in L^{\infty}(0,\infty;\mathbf{H})$. Then the viscoelastic fluid (2) admits a unique solution $\mathbf{u} \in L^{\infty}(0,\infty;\mathbf{H}) \cap L^2(0,t;\mathbf{V})$ for any $t \ge 0$.

Proof. According to the positivity of the kernel in Lemma 2, the proof could be carried out following Theorem 3.1, Lemma 3.1, and Lemma 3.2 in [5] and is thus omitted. \blacksquare

Theorem 3 Suppose $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbf{V}$, $\mathbf{f} \in L^{\infty}(0, \infty; \mathbf{H}) \cap L^2(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega)^2)$ and satisfies

$$\int_0^\infty e^{2\alpha s} \|\mathbf{f}(s)\|_0^2 ds < \infty.$$
(18)

If (\mathbf{u}, p) satisfies (2), then the following properties hold

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} + \mu e^{-2\alpha t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\alpha s} |\mathbf{u}|_{1}^{2} ds \le \kappa e^{-2\alpha t}, \quad \forall \ t \ge 0,$$
(19)

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{1}^{2} + \mu e^{-2\alpha t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\alpha s} \|A\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} ds \le \kappa e^{-2\alpha t}, \quad \forall \ t \ge 0,$$
(20)

where $\kappa > 0$ depends on the data and $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta, \frac{\mu_0 \gamma_0}{2}\}.$

Proof. Taking $(\mathbf{v}, q) = e^{2\alpha t}(\mathbf{u}, p)$ in (17), we have

$$\frac{e^{2\alpha t}}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} + e^{2\alpha t}\mu a(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u}) + e^{2\alpha t}a_{1}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u}) + e^{2\alpha t}J(t;\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u}) = e^{2\alpha t}(\mathbf{f}(t),\mathbf{u}).$$
(21)

Combining (21) with (5), and noting $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta, \frac{\mu_0 \gamma_0}{2}\}$, we obtain

$$e^{2\alpha t} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} + 2\alpha e^{2\alpha t} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{3}{2}\mu e^{2\alpha t} |\mathbf{u}|_{1}^{2} + 2e^{2\alpha t} J(t;\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u}) \le 2e^{2\alpha t} (\mathbf{f}(t),\mathbf{u}).$$
(22)

We invoke

$$2|e^{2\alpha t}(\mathbf{f}(t),\mathbf{u})| \le \frac{1}{2}\mu e^{2\alpha t}|\mathbf{u}|_{1}^{2} + 2\mu^{-1}e^{2\alpha t}\|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_{-1}^{2}$$

in (22) to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}(e^{2\alpha t}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2}) + \mu e^{2\alpha t}|\mathbf{u}|_{1}^{2} + 2e^{2\alpha t}J(t;\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u}) \le 2\mu^{-1}e^{2\alpha t}\|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_{-1}^{2}, \ \forall \ t \ge 0.$$
(23)

Integrating (23) from 0 to t, using Lemma 2 and the assumption (18), and multiplying the resulting equation by $e^{-2\alpha t}$ on both sides we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} + \mu e^{-2\alpha t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\alpha s} |\mathbf{u}|_{1}^{2} ds \leq e^{-2\alpha t} \|\mathbf{u}(0)\|_{0}^{2} + 2\mu^{-1} e^{-2\alpha t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\alpha s} \|\mathbf{f}(s)\|_{0}^{2} ds \leq e^{-2\alpha t}, \ \forall \ t \geq 0.$$

$$(24)$$

Then we compete the proof of (19). Next, taking $(\mathbf{v},q) = e^{2\alpha t}(A\mathbf{u},0)$ in (17) leads to

$$\frac{e^{2\alpha t}}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|\mathbf{u}|_{1}^{2} + \mu e^{2\alpha t}\|A\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} + e^{2\alpha t}a_{1}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u},A\mathbf{u}) + e^{2\alpha t}J(t;\mathbf{u},A\mathbf{u}) = e^{2\alpha t}(\mathbf{f}(t),A\mathbf{u}).$$
(25)

By (10) and the Young's inequality we have

,

$$e^{2\alpha t}|a_{1}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u},A\mathbf{u})| \leq c_{0}e^{2\alpha t} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathbf{u}|_{1}\|A\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|A\mathbf{u}\|_{0}$$

$$\leq \frac{\mu}{8}e^{2\alpha t}\|A\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} + 8^{3}c_{0}^{4}\mu^{-3}e^{2\alpha t}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2}|\mathbf{u}|_{1}^{4}$$

$$\leq \frac{\mu}{8}e^{2\alpha t}\|A\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} + 8^{3}c_{0}^{4}\mu^{-3}e^{-4\alpha t}\|e^{\alpha t}\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2}|e^{\alpha t}\mathbf{u}|_{1}^{4},$$

$$|e^{2\alpha t}(\mathbf{f}(t),A\mathbf{u})| \leq \frac{\mu}{8}e^{2\alpha t}\|A\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} + 2\mu^{-1}e^{2\alpha t}\|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_{0}^{2}.$$

Combining these inequalities with (25) and using $0 < \alpha \le \min\{\frac{\delta}{2}, \frac{\mu_0\gamma_0}{4}\}$ and (5) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}(e^{2\alpha t}|\mathbf{u}|_{1}^{2}) + \frac{5\mu}{4}e^{2\alpha t}\|A\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} + 2e^{2\alpha t}J(t;\mathbf{u},A\mathbf{u}) \\
\leq \kappa e^{-4\alpha t}|e^{\alpha t}\mathbf{u}|_{1}^{4} + 2\mu^{-1}e^{2\alpha t}\|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_{0}^{2}.$$
(26)

Integrating (26) from 0 to t, and noting $(A\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) = (\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{u})$, then using Lemma 2 yields

$$e^{2\alpha t} |\mathbf{u}|_{1}^{2} + \mu \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\alpha s} ||A\mathbf{u}||_{0}^{2} ds \leq |\mathbf{u}(0)|_{1}^{2} + \kappa \int_{0}^{t} e^{-4\alpha s} |e^{\alpha s}\mathbf{u}|_{1}^{2} |e^{\alpha s}\mathbf{u}|_{1}^{2} ds + 2\mu^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\alpha s} ||\mathbf{f}(s)||_{0}^{2} ds, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$
(27)

Invoking Lemma 3 and (24) in (27) and multiplying the resulting equation by $e^{-2\alpha t}$ on both sides we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{u}|_{1}^{2} + \mu e^{-2\alpha t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\alpha s} ||A\mathbf{u}||_{0}^{2} ds \\ &\leq e^{-2\alpha t} \left(|\mathbf{u}(0)|_{1}^{2} + 2\mu^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\alpha s} ||\mathbf{f}(s)||_{0}^{2} ds \right) \exp\left(\kappa \int_{0}^{t} e^{-4\alpha s} |e^{\alpha s}\mathbf{u}|_{1}^{2} ds\right) \\ &\leq e^{-2\alpha t} \left(|\mathbf{u}(0)|_{1}^{2} + 2\mu^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\alpha s} ||\mathbf{f}(s)||_{0}^{2} ds \right) \exp\left(\kappa \int_{0}^{t} e^{-2\alpha s} ||\mathbf{u}|_{1}^{2} ds\right) \\ &\leq \kappa e^{-2\alpha t} \left(||\mathbf{u}(0)|_{1}^{2} + 2\mu^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\alpha s} ||\mathbf{f}(s)||_{0}^{2} ds \right). \end{aligned}$$
(28)

Combining (28) with (18) we obtain (20) and thus complete the proof of this theorem. \blacksquare

Theorem 4 Suppose $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbf{D}(A)$, $\mathbf{f} \in L^{\infty}(0,\infty;\mathbf{H}) \cap L^2(0,\infty;L^2(\Omega)^2)$, $\mathbf{f}_t \in L^2(0,\infty;H^{-1}(\Omega)^2)$ and

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{2\alpha s} \|\mathbf{f}(s)\|_{0}^{2} ds + \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{2\alpha s} \|\mathbf{f}_{s}(s)\|_{-1}^{2} ds < \infty.$$
⁽²⁹⁾

If (\mathbf{u}, p) satisfies (2), then

$$\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_0^2 + \mu e^{-2\alpha t} \int_0^t e^{2\alpha s} |\mathbf{u}_s|_1^2 ds \le \kappa e^{-2\alpha t}, \quad \|A\mathbf{u}\|_0^2 \le \kappa, \quad \forall \ t \ge 0,$$
(30)

where $\kappa > 0$ depends on the data, $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta, \frac{\mu_0 \gamma_0}{2}\}$, and $\|\mathbf{f}_t(t)\|_{-1} := \sup_{0 \neq \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{X}} \frac{(\mathbf{f}_t(t), \mathbf{v})}{|\mathbf{v}|_1}$.

In particular, if further $||f(t)||_0 \leq c^* e^{-\alpha t}$ for $t \geq 0$ and for some constant $c^* \geq 0$, then the following exponential decay holds

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_2^2 \le c \|A\mathbf{u}\|_0^2 \le \kappa e^{-2\alpha t}, \quad \forall \ t \ge 0$$
(31)

where c is given in (4).

Proof. Differentiating (17) with respect to time and noting

$$J_t(t; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\rho t^{-\beta} e^{-\delta t} \nabla \mathbf{u}(0), \nabla \mathbf{v}) + \left(\rho \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \nabla \mathbf{u}_t(s) ds, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right)$$
$$= \rho t^{-\beta} e^{-\delta t} a(\mathbf{u}(0), \mathbf{v}) + J(t; \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{v})$$

we have

$$(\mathbf{u}_{tt}, \mathbf{v}) + \mu a(\mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{v}) + a_1(\mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + a_1(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{v}) - d(\mathbf{v}, p_t) + d(\mathbf{u}_t, q) + J(t; \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{v}) = -\rho t^{-\beta} e^{-\delta t} a(\mathbf{u}(0), \mathbf{v}) + (\mathbf{f}_t(t), \mathbf{v}).$$
(32)

Taking $(\mathbf{v}, q) = e^{2\alpha t}(\mathbf{u}_t, p_t)$ in (32) we get

$$\frac{e^{2\alpha t}}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_0^2 + \mu e^{2\alpha t} |\mathbf{u}_t|_1^2 + e^{2\alpha t} a_1(\mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_t) + e^{2\alpha t} J(t; \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{u}_t) = -\rho t^{-\beta} e^{-(\delta - 2\alpha)t} a(\mathbf{u}(0), \mathbf{u}_t) + e^{2\alpha t} (\mathbf{f}_t(t), \mathbf{u}_t).$$
(33)

In view of (9) and $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbf{D}(A)$ we obtain

$$\begin{split} \rho t^{-\beta} e^{-(\delta-2\alpha)t} |&- a(\mathbf{u}(0), \mathbf{u}_t) | \leq \rho t^{-\beta} e^{-(\delta-2\alpha)t} \|A\mathbf{u}(0)\|_0 \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_0 \\ &\leq \kappa t^{-\beta} e^{-(\delta-2\alpha)t} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_0, \\ e^{2\alpha t} |a_1(\mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_t)| \leq c_0 e^{2\alpha t} |\mathbf{u}_t|_1 \|A\mathbf{u}\|_0 \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_0 \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{8} e^{2\alpha t} |\mathbf{u}_t|_1^2 + 2c_0^2 \mu^{-1} e^{2\alpha t} \|A\mathbf{u}\|_0^2 \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_0^2, \\ e^{2\alpha t} (\mathbf{f}_t(t), \mathbf{u}_t) \leq \frac{\mu}{8} e^{2\alpha t} |\mathbf{u}_t|_1^2 + 2\mu^{-1} e^{2\alpha t} \|\mathbf{f}_t(t)\|_{-1}^2. \end{split}$$

Combining these inequalities with (33) and noting $0 < \alpha \le \min\{\frac{\delta}{2}, \frac{\mu_0 \gamma_0}{4}\}$ yield

$$e^{2\alpha t} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}_{t}\|_{0}^{2} + 2\alpha e^{2\alpha t} \|\mathbf{u}_{t}\|_{0}^{2} + \mu e^{2\alpha t} |\mathbf{u}_{t}|_{1}^{2} + 2e^{2\alpha t} J(t;\mathbf{u}_{t},\mathbf{u}_{t})$$

$$\leq 2c_{0}^{2} \mu^{-1} e^{2\alpha t} \|A\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} \|\mathbf{u}_{t}\|_{0}^{2} + \kappa t^{-\beta} e^{-(\delta-2\alpha)t} \|\mathbf{u}_{t}\|_{0} + 2\mu^{-1} e^{2\alpha t} \|\mathbf{f}_{t}(t)\|_{-1}^{2}.$$
(34)

We integrate (34) from 0 to t, use $\|\mathbf{u}_t(0)\|_0^2 \leq c \|A\mathbf{u}(0)\|_0^2$ derived from model (2), and $(A\mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{u}_t) = (\nabla \mathbf{u}_t, \nabla \mathbf{u}_t)$ as well as Lemma 2 and (29) to obtain

$$e^{2\alpha t} \|\mathbf{u}_{t}\|_{0}^{2} + \mu \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\alpha s} |\mathbf{u}_{s}|_{1}^{2} ds$$

$$\leq \|\mathbf{u}_{t}(0)\|_{0}^{2} + 2c_{0}^{2}\mu^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\alpha s} \|A\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} \|\mathbf{u}_{s}\|_{0}^{2} ds$$

$$+ \kappa \int_{0}^{t} s^{-\beta} e^{-(\delta - 2\alpha)s} \|\mathbf{u}_{s}\|_{0} ds + 2\mu^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\alpha s} \|\mathbf{f}_{s}(s)\|_{-1}^{2} ds$$

$$\leq \kappa + 2c_{0}^{2}\mu^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} \|A\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} e^{2\alpha s} \|\mathbf{u}_{s}\|_{0}^{2} ds$$

$$+ \kappa \int_{0}^{t} s^{-\beta} e^{-(\delta - \alpha)s} e^{2\alpha s} \|\mathbf{u}_{s}\|_{0}^{2} ds.$$
(35)

Using Lemma 3 and (20) for (35) we have

$$e^{2\alpha t} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_0^2 + \mu \int_0^t e^{2\alpha s} |\mathbf{u}_s|_1^2 ds$$

$$\leq \kappa \exp\left(\kappa \int_0^t \|A\mathbf{u}\|_0^2 ds + \kappa \int_0^t s^{-\beta} e^{-(\delta-\alpha)s} ds\right) \leq \kappa,$$

which proves the first equation of (30).

Then we take $(\mathbf{v},q)=(A\mathbf{u},0)$ in (17) to get

$$(\mathbf{u}_t, A\mathbf{u}) + \mu \|A\mathbf{u}\|_0^2 + a_1(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, A\mathbf{u}) + J(t; \mathbf{u}, A\mathbf{u}) = (\mathbf{f}(t), A\mathbf{u}).$$
(36)

Due to (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{1}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u},A\mathbf{u})| &\leq c_{0} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|A\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{6} \|A\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} + 6^{3}c_{0}^{4}\mu^{-3} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} |\mathbf{u}|_{1}^{2} |\mathbf{u}|_{1}^{2}, \\ |(\mathbf{f}(t),A\mathbf{u})| &\leq \frac{\mu}{6} \|A\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{3}{2}\mu^{-1} \|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_{0}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these inequalities with (36) and using (19) and (20) yield

$$2(\mathbf{u}_t, A\mathbf{u}) + \frac{4}{3}\mu \|A\mathbf{u}\|_0^2 \le \kappa |\mathbf{u}|_1^2 + \frac{3}{2}\mu^{-1} \|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_0^2 - 2J(t; \mathbf{u}, A\mathbf{u}).$$
(37)

Using Lemma 4, we get

$$\left| J(t; \mathbf{u}, A\mathbf{u}) \right| \le \frac{\mu}{12} \|A\mathbf{u}\|_0^2 + \frac{3\rho^2}{\mu} \frac{\Gamma(1-\beta)}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|A\mathbf{u}\|_0^2 ds.$$
(38)

We incorporate

$$2|(\mathbf{u}_t, A\mathbf{u})| \le \frac{\mu}{6} ||A\mathbf{u}||_0^2 + 6\mu^{-1} ||\mathbf{u}_t||_0^2$$

with (37) and use (38) to obtain

$$\mu \|A\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} \leq \kappa \|\mathbf{u}\|_{1}^{2} + 6\mu^{-1} \|\mathbf{u}_{t}\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{3}{2}\mu^{-1} \|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_{0}^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{6\rho^{2}}{\mu} \frac{\Gamma(1-\beta)}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|A\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} ds.$$
(39)

Applying (30), (20) and (4) in (39) we get

$$\mu \|A\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} \leq \kappa (e^{-2\alpha t} + \|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_{0}^{2}) + \frac{6\rho^{2}}{\mu} \frac{\Gamma(1-\beta)}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|A\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} ds.$$

By Lemma 3, we have

$$\mu \|A\mathbf{u}\|_{0}^{2} \leq \kappa (e^{-2\alpha t} + \|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_{0}^{2}) \exp\left(\frac{6\rho^{2}}{\mu} \frac{\Gamma(1-\beta)}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} ds\right), (40)$$

which, together with (12) and $f \in L^{\infty}(0,\infty;\mathbf{H})$, leads to the second estimate of (30). We finally use (40) and $||f(t)||_0 \leq c^* e^{-\alpha t}$ to obtain (31) and thus complete the proof.

4 Exponential convergence: basic results

We prove basic asymptotic results on the solutions between (2) and (3). Let $\mathbf{z} := \mathbf{u} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \ \eta := p - \bar{p}$ and

$$J_1(t; \mathbf{\bar{u}}, \mathbf{v}) := \frac{\rho}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_{\delta t}^{\infty} s^{-\beta} e^{-s} ds \, a(\mathbf{\bar{u}}, \mathbf{v}(t)), \quad \forall \ \mathbf{v}(\cdot, t) \in \mathbf{X}.$$

Lemma 5 The following estimates hold for r = 0, 1

$$e^{2\alpha t}|J_1(t; \bar{\mathbf{u}}, A^r \mathbf{v})| \le c_2 e^{-(\delta - 2\alpha)t} \|A^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_0^2 + \frac{\mu}{4\varepsilon} e^{2\alpha t} \|A^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \mathbf{v}\|_0^2, \quad \forall \ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V},$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a general constant, $c_2 > 0$ depends only on the data and $\bar{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbf{V}$.

Proof. Since

$$\frac{\rho}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_{\delta t}^{\infty} s^{-\beta} e^{-s} ds \le \frac{\rho}{\delta^{1-\beta}} e^{-\frac{\delta t}{2}} \int_{\delta t}^{\infty} s^{-\beta} e^{-\frac{s}{2}} ds \le c_1 e^{-\frac{\delta t}{2}},\tag{41}$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is a constant depends only on the data ρ, δ, β , noting $(A\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v}) = (\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \nabla \mathbf{v})$, we have for $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{2\alpha t}J_1(t;\bar{\mathbf{u}},A^r\mathbf{v})| \\ &= e^{2\alpha t}\frac{\rho}{\delta^{1-\beta}}\int_{\delta t}^{\infty}s^{-\beta}e^{-s}ds|(A\bar{\mathbf{u}},A^r\mathbf{v})| \\ &\leq \varepsilon\mu_0^{-1}e^{2\alpha t}\left(\frac{\rho}{\delta^{1-\beta}}\int_{\delta t}^{\infty}s^{-\beta}e^{-s}ds\right)^2 \|A^{\frac{r+1}{2}}\bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_0^2 + \frac{\mu}{4\varepsilon}e^{2\alpha t}\|A^{\frac{r+1}{2}}\mathbf{v}\|_0^2 \\ &\leq c_2e^{-(\delta-2\alpha)t}\|A^{\frac{r+1}{2}}\bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_0^2 + \frac{\mu}{4\varepsilon}e^{2\alpha t}\|A^{\frac{r+1}{2}}\mathbf{v}\|_0^2, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \blacksquare

Theorem 5 Suppose the assumptions of the Theorem 4 hold, $\bar{\mathbf{f}} \in L^2(\Omega)^2$ and

$$\int_0^\infty e^{2\alpha s} \|\mathbf{f}(s) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}\|_0^2 ds < \infty.$$
(42)

Then the solution ${\bf u}$ of (2) exponentially converges to $\bar{{\bf u}}$ in the following sense

$$\|\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2} + \mu e^{-2\alpha t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\alpha s} |\mathbf{z}|_{1}^{2} ds \le \kappa e^{-2\alpha t}, \quad \forall \ t \ge 0,$$

$$(43)$$

$$|\mathbf{z}|_{1}^{2} + \mu e^{-2\alpha t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\alpha s} ||A\mathbf{z}||_{0}^{2} ds \le \kappa e^{-2\alpha t}, \quad \forall \ t \ge 0,$$
(44)

where $\kappa > 0$ depends on the data and $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta, \frac{\mu_0 \gamma_0}{2}\}.$

Proof. By (12) the equation (3) could be reformulated as follows

$$\bar{\mathbf{u}}_t - \mu \Delta \bar{\mathbf{u}} + (\bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla) \bar{\mathbf{u}} + \nabla \bar{p} - \rho \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \Delta \bar{\mathbf{u}} ds$$
$$= \bar{\mathbf{f}} + \frac{\rho}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_{\delta t}^\infty s^{-\beta} e^{-s} \Delta \bar{\mathbf{u}} ds.$$
(45)

Subtracting (45) from (2) we obtain that

$$\mathbf{z}_{t} - \mu \Delta \mathbf{z} + (\mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{z} + (\bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{z} + (\mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla) \bar{\mathbf{u}} - \rho \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \Delta \mathbf{z}(s) ds$$
$$+ \nabla \mathbf{z} = -\frac{\rho}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\beta} e^{-s} A \bar{\mathbf{u}}(s) ds + \mathbf{f}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}$$
(46)

$$+\nabla \eta = -\frac{1}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_{\delta t} s \, e^{-\Delta \mathbf{u}(s)ds} + \mathbf{f}(t) - \mathbf{f}, \tag{46}$$

$$\mathbf{z}(t) \in \mathbf{V}, \ \eta(t) \in M, \ t \ge 0, \ \mathbf{z}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0 - \bar{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbf{V},$$
(47)

for all $(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty)$. Then the variational formulation of (46) are

$$(\mathbf{z}_t, \mathbf{v}) + \mu a(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}) + a_1(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}) + a_1(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}) + a_1(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v}) -d(\mathbf{v}, \eta) + d(\mathbf{z}, q) + J(t; \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}) = J_1(t; \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v}) + (\mathbf{f}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{v}), \qquad (48)$$
$$\mathbf{z}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0 - \bar{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbf{V},$$

for all $(\mathbf{v}, q) \in (\mathbf{X} \times M)$. Taking $(\mathbf{v}, q) = e^{2\alpha t}(\mathbf{z}, \eta)$ in (48), using (7) and noting div $\mathbf{z} = 0$ from (47) we have

$$\frac{e^{2\alpha t}}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2} + e^{2\alpha t} \mu a(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}) + e^{2\alpha t} b(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{z}) + e^{2\alpha t} J(t; \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z})$$
$$= e^{2\alpha t} J_{1}(t; \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{z}) + e^{2\alpha t} (\mathbf{f}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{z}).$$
(49)

Combining the assumption (14), (5) and noting $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta, \frac{\mu_0 \gamma_0}{2}\}$ we obtain from (49) that

$$e^{2\alpha t} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2} + 2\alpha e^{2\alpha t} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{3}{2}\mu e^{2\alpha t} |\mathbf{z}|_{1}^{2} + 2e^{2\alpha t} J(t; \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z})$$

$$\leq 2e^{2\alpha t} J_{1}(t; \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{z}) + 2e^{2\alpha t} (\mathbf{f}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{z}).$$
(50)

By Lemma 5 we have

$$2e^{2\alpha t}|J_1(t; \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{z})| \le c_2 e^{-(\delta - 2\alpha)t} |\bar{\mathbf{u}}|_1^2 + \frac{\mu}{4} e^{2\alpha t} |\mathbf{z}|_1^2,$$

$$2|e^{2\alpha t}(\mathbf{f}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{z})| \le \frac{\mu}{4} e^{2\alpha t} |\mathbf{z}|_1^2 + 4\mu^{-1} e^{2\alpha t} ||\mathbf{f}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}||_0^2,$$

which, together with (50), yield

$$\frac{d}{dt}(e^{2\alpha t} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2}) + \mu e^{2\alpha t} |\mathbf{z}|_{1}^{2} + 2e^{2\alpha t} J(t; \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}) \\
\leq c_{2} e^{-(\delta - 2\alpha)t} |\bar{\mathbf{u}}|_{1}^{2} + 4\mu^{-1} e^{2\alpha t} \|\mathbf{f}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}\|_{0}^{2}.$$
(51)

Integrating (51) from 0 to t and using Lemma 2, (42) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2} + \mu e^{-2\alpha t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\alpha s} |\mathbf{z}|_{1}^{2} ds \\ &\leq e^{-2\alpha t} \|\mathbf{z}(0)\|_{0}^{2} + c_{2} |\bar{\mathbf{u}}|_{1}^{2} e^{-2\alpha t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-(\delta - 2\alpha)s} ds \\ &\quad + \frac{4e^{-2\alpha t}}{\mu} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\alpha s} \|\mathbf{f}(s) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}\|_{0}^{2} ds \\ &\leq e^{-2\alpha t} \|\mathbf{z}(0)\|_{0}^{2} + \kappa e^{-2\alpha t} \leq \kappa e^{-2\alpha t}, \quad \forall \ t \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (43).

Next, taking $(\mathbf{v}, q) = e^{2\alpha t} (A\mathbf{z}, 0)$ in (48) we get

$$\mu e^{2\alpha t} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{e^{2\alpha t}}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\mathbf{z}|_{1}^{2} + e^{2\alpha t} J(t; \mathbf{z}, A\mathbf{z}) + e^{2\alpha t} a_{1}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, A\mathbf{z}) + e^{2\alpha t} a_{1}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{z}, A\mathbf{z}) + e^{2\alpha t} a_{1}(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, A\mathbf{z}) = e^{2\alpha t} J_{1}(t; \bar{\mathbf{u}}, A\mathbf{z}) + e^{2\alpha t} (\mathbf{f}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}, A\mathbf{z}).$$
(52)

Due to (9) and Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{split} e^{2\alpha t} |a_{1}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, A\mathbf{z})| &\leq c_{0} e^{2\alpha t} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\mathbf{z}|_{1} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_{0} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{12} e^{2\alpha t} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2} + 12^{3} c_{0}^{4} \mu^{-3} e^{2\alpha t} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2} |\mathbf{z}|_{1}^{4}, \\ e^{2\alpha t} |a_{1}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{z}, A\mathbf{z})| + e^{2\alpha t} |a_{1}(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, A\mathbf{z})| \\ &\leq 2c_{0} e^{2\alpha t} |\mathbf{z}|_{1} \|A\bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_{0} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_{0} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{12} e^{2\alpha t} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2} + 12c_{0}^{2} \mu^{-1} e^{2\alpha t} \|A\bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_{0}^{2} |\mathbf{z}|_{1}^{2}, \\ e^{2\alpha t} |J_{1}(t; \bar{\mathbf{u}}, A\mathbf{z})| &\leq c_{2} e^{-(\delta - 2\alpha) t} \|A\bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{\mu}{12} e^{2\alpha t} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2}, \\ |e^{2\alpha t}(\mathbf{f}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}, A\mathbf{z})| &\leq \frac{\mu}{12} e^{2\alpha t} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2} + 3\mu^{-1} e^{2\alpha t} \|\mathbf{f}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}\|_{0}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Invoking these inequalities and (5), (16), (43), $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta, \frac{\mu_0 \gamma_0}{2}\}$ as well as $|\mathbf{z}|_1 \leq |\bar{\mathbf{u}}|_1 + |\mathbf{u}(t)|_1 \leq \kappa$ proved by (15) and (20) in (52) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}(e^{2\alpha t}|\mathbf{z}|_{1}^{2}) + \mu e^{2\alpha t} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2} + 2e^{2\alpha t}J(t;\mathbf{z},A\mathbf{z}) \\
\leq \kappa e^{2\alpha t}|\mathbf{z}|_{1}^{2} + \kappa \|A\bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_{0}^{2} + 6\mu^{-1}e^{2\alpha t}\|\mathbf{f}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}\|_{0}^{2}.$$
(53)

Integrating (53) from 0 to t and noting $(A\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z})=(\nabla\mathbf{z},\nabla\mathbf{z}),$ then using Lemma 2 we reach

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{z}|_{1}^{2} + \mu e^{-2\alpha t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\alpha s} ||A\mathbf{z}||_{0}^{2} ds \\ &\leq e^{-2\alpha t} |\mathbf{z}(0)|_{1}^{2} + \kappa e^{-2\alpha t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\alpha s} |\mathbf{z}|_{1}^{2} ds + \kappa e^{-2\alpha t} \\ &+ 6\mu^{-1} e^{-2\alpha t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2\alpha s} ||\mathbf{f}(s) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}||_{0}^{2} ds, \quad \forall t \ge 0, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (42) and (43), leads to (44). \blacksquare

Theorem 6 Under the assumptions of the Theorem 5, p converges to \bar{p} in an exponential rate

$$\|p(t) - \bar{p}\|_0^2 \le \kappa e^{-2\alpha t}, \quad \forall \ t \ge 0,$$
 (54)

where $\kappa > 0$ depends on the data and $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta, \frac{\mu_0 \gamma_0}{2}\}.$

Proof. By $\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{u}_t$ and the estimate of \mathbf{u}_t in Theorem 4 we have

$$\|\mathbf{z}_t\|_0^2 \le \kappa e^{-2\alpha t}, \quad \forall \ t \ge 0.$$
(55)

Using (8), (48) and (6), we obtain

$$\|\eta(t)\|_{0} \leq C_{0}^{-1} \|\mathbf{f}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}\|_{0} + C_{0}^{-1} \|\mathbf{z}_{t}\|_{0} + C_{0}^{-1} |\mathbf{z}|_{1} + C_{0}^{-1} (|\mathbf{z}|_{1} + |\bar{\mathbf{u}}|_{1}) |\mathbf{z}|_{1} + C_{0}^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} |\mathbf{z}|_{1} ds + C_{0}^{-1} \frac{\rho}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_{\delta t}^{\infty} s^{-\beta} e^{-s} |\bar{\mathbf{u}}|_{1} ds.$$
(56)

By (44), (41), (15) and $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta, \frac{\mu_0 \gamma_0}{2}\}$ we get

$$\int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} |\mathbf{z}|_{1} ds \leq e^{-\alpha t} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-(\delta-\alpha)(t-s)} e^{\alpha s} |\mathbf{z}|_{1} ds$$
$$\leq e^{-\alpha t} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-(\delta-\alpha)(t-s)} ds \leq \kappa e^{-\alpha t}, \quad (57)$$

$$\frac{\rho}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_{\delta t}^{\infty} s^{-\beta} e^{-s} |\bar{\mathbf{u}}|_1 ds \le \kappa e^{-\frac{\delta t}{2}} \le \kappa e^{-\alpha t}.$$
(58)

Combining (56) with (57)–(58) and using (55), (44), (42) and (15) we prove (54). \blacksquare

5 Exponential convergence in stronger norms

Theorem 7 Under the assumptions of Theorem 5, the solution (\mathbf{u}, p) of (2) converges to the solution $(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{p})$ of (3) in an exponential rate

$$\|\mathbf{u}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_2^2 \le \kappa e^{-2\alpha t}, \quad \forall \ t \ge 0,$$
(59)

$$||p(t) - \bar{p}||_1^2 \le \kappa e^{-2\alpha t}, \quad \forall \ t \ge 0,$$
 (60)

where $\kappa > 0$ depends on the data and $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta, \frac{\mu_0 \gamma_0}{2}\}.$

Proof. Taking $(\mathbf{v}, q) = (A\mathbf{z}, 0)$ in (48) we get

$$(\mathbf{z}_t, A\mathbf{z}) + \mu \|A\mathbf{z}\|_0^2 + a_1(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, A\mathbf{z}) + a_1(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{z}, A\mathbf{z}) + a_1(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, A\mathbf{z}) + J(t; \mathbf{z}, A\mathbf{z})$$

= $J_1(t; \bar{\mathbf{u}}, A\mathbf{z}) + (\mathbf{f}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}, A\mathbf{z}).$ (61)

By (9) and (10) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{1}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, A\mathbf{z})| &\leq c_{0} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{\frac{3}{2}}, \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{8} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2} + 8^{3} c_{0}^{4} \mu^{-3} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2} |\mathbf{z}|_{1}^{2} |\mathbf{z}|_{1}^{2}, \\ |a_{1}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{z}, A\mathbf{z})| + |a_{1}(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, A\mathbf{z})| &\leq 2c_{0} |\mathbf{z}|_{1} \|A\bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_{0} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_{0}, \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{8} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2} + 8c_{0}^{2} \mu^{-1} \|A\bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_{0}^{2} |\mathbf{z}|_{1}^{2}, \\ |(\mathbf{f}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}, A\mathbf{z})| &\leq \frac{\mu}{8} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2} + 2\mu^{-1} \|\mathbf{f}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}\|_{0}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these inequalities with (61) and using (16), (43) and (44) we obtain

$$2(\mathbf{z}_{t}, A\mathbf{z}) + \frac{5}{4}\mu \|A\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2} + 2J(t; \mathbf{z}, A\mathbf{z})$$

$$\leq \kappa |\mathbf{z}|_{1}^{2} + 2J_{1}(t; \bar{\mathbf{u}}, A\mathbf{z}) + 4\mu^{-1} \|\mathbf{f}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}\|_{0}^{2}.$$
 (62)

Using Lemma 4, we get

$$\left| J(t; \mathbf{z}, A\mathbf{z}) \right| \le \frac{\mu}{24} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_0^2 + \frac{6\rho^2}{\mu} \frac{\Gamma(1-\beta)}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_0^2 ds.$$
(63)

We incorporate

$$2|(\mathbf{z}_{t}, A\mathbf{z})| \leq \frac{\mu}{12} ||A\mathbf{z}||_{0}^{2} + 12\mu^{-1} ||\mathbf{z}_{t}||_{0}^{2},$$

$$2|J_{1}(t; \bar{\mathbf{u}}, A\mathbf{z})| = \frac{2\rho}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_{\delta t}^{\infty} s^{-\beta} e^{-s} ds |(A\bar{\mathbf{u}}, A\mathbf{z})|$$

$$\leq \frac{\mu}{12} ||A\mathbf{z}||_{0}^{2} + \frac{12}{\mu} \left(\frac{\rho}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_{\delta t}^{\infty} s^{-\beta} e^{-s} ds\right)^{2} ||A\bar{\mathbf{u}}||_{0}^{2}.$$

with (62) and (63) to obtain

$$\mu \|A\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2} \leq \kappa |\mathbf{z}|_{1}^{2} + 12\mu^{-1} \|\mathbf{z}_{t}\|_{0}^{2} + 4\mu^{-1} \|\mathbf{f}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}\|_{0}^{2}
+ 12\mu^{-1} \left(\frac{\rho}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_{\delta t}^{\infty} s^{-\beta} e^{-s} ds\right)^{2} \|A\bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_{0}^{2}
+ \frac{12\rho^{2}}{\mu} \frac{\Gamma(1-\beta)}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2} ds.$$
(64)

Invoking (44), (55), (42), (41) and (16) in (64) yields

$$\mu \|A\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2} \leq \kappa (e^{-\delta t} + e^{-2\alpha t}) + \frac{12\rho^{2}}{\mu} \frac{\Gamma(1-\beta)}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_{0}^{2} ds, (65)$$

together with $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta, \frac{\mu_0 \gamma_0}{2}\}$ and Lemma 3, then (65) becomes

$$\|A\mathbf{z}\|_0^2 \le \kappa e^{-2\alpha t} \exp\left(\frac{12\rho^2}{\mu} \frac{\Gamma(1-\beta)}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} ds\right),$$

which, combine with (4) and (12), implies (59).

Finally, using (48) yields

$$d(\mathbf{v},\eta) = (\mathbf{z}_t, \mathbf{v}) + \mu a(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}) + a_1(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}) + a_1(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}) + a_1(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v}) + d(\mathbf{z}, q) + J(t; \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}) - J_1(t; \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{f}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{v}), \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}.$$
(66)

Using (5), (9) and (10) in (66) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta(t)\|_{1} &\leq (1+\gamma_{0}^{-1})|\eta(t)|_{1} \leq C_{1} \sup_{\mathbf{v}\in\mathbf{X}} \frac{|d(\mathbf{v},q)|}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_{0}} \\ &\leq C_{1}\|\mathbf{f}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}\|_{0} + C_{1}\|\mathbf{z}_{t}(t)\|_{0} + C_{1}|A\mathbf{z}(t)|_{0} \\ &+ C_{1}(\|A\mathbf{z}(t)\|_{0} + \|A\bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_{0})|\mathbf{z}(t)|_{1} \\ &+ C_{1} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_{0} ds \\ &+ \frac{\rho C_{1}}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_{\delta t}^{\infty} s^{-\beta} e^{-s} \|A\bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_{0} ds, \end{aligned}$$
(67)

where $C_1 > 0$ is a positive constant. We apply (65), (41) and (16) as well as $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta, \frac{\mu_0 \gamma_0}{2}\}$ to obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_0 ds \\ &\leq e^{-\alpha t} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-(\delta-\alpha)(t-s)} e^{\alpha s} \|A\mathbf{z}\|_0 ds \\ &\leq e^{-\alpha t} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\beta} e^{-(\delta-\alpha)(t-s)} ds \leq \kappa e^{-\alpha t}, \\ &\frac{\rho}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_{\delta t}^\infty s^{-\beta} e^{-s} \|A\bar{\mathbf{u}}\|_0 ds \\ &\leq \kappa \frac{\rho}{\delta^{1-\beta}} \int_{\delta t}^\infty s^{-\beta} e^{-s} ds \leq \kappa e^{-\frac{\delta t}{2}} \leq \kappa e^{-\alpha t}. \end{split}$$

Combining (67) with the above two equations and using (55), (44), (65) and (16) we prove (60) and thus complete the proof of the theorem. \blacksquare

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Data availability statement

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

References

- 1. R. A. Adams, Sobolev Spaces. Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- S. Ali, M. Shah, M. Khan, H. Qi, Exact solutions for a viscoelastic fluid with the generalized Oldroyd-B model. Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 10 (2009), 2590–2599.
- G. Araujo, S. Menezes, A. Marinho, Existence of solutions for an Oldroyd model of viscoelastic fluids. *Electron. J. Diff. Eqn.* 2009 (2009), 1–16.
- R. Bagley, P. Torvik, A theoretical basis for the application of fractional calculus to viscoelasticity. J. Rheology 27 (1983), 201–210.
- J. Cannon, R. Ewing, Y. He, Y. Lin, A modified nonlinear Galerkin method for the viscoelastic fluid motion equations. *Inter. J. Engrg. Sci.* 37 (1999), 1643–1662.
- 6. G. Coclite, M. Coclite, On a model for the evolution of morphogens in a growing tissue III: $\theta < \log 2$. J. Differ. Equ. 263 (2017), 1079–1124.
- 7. G. Coclite, M. Coclite. Long time behavior of a model for the evolution of morphogens in a growing tissue II: $\theta < \log 2$. J. Differ. Equ. 272 (2021), 1015–1049.
- C. Gal, T. Medjo, A Navier-Stokes-Voight model with memory. Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 36 (2013), 2507–2523.
- V. Girault and P. A. Raviart, Finite Element Method for Navier-Stokes Equations: Theory and algorithms. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1987.
- Y. He, Euler implicit/explicit iterative scheme for the stationary Navier-Stokes equations. Numer. Math. 123 (2013), 67–96.
- Y. He, J. Li, Convergence of three iterative methods based on the finite element discretization for the stationary Navier-Stokes equations. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.* 198 (2009), 1351–1359.
- Y. He, Y. Lin, S. Shen, R. Tait, On the convergence of viscoelastic fluid flows to a steady state. Adv. Diff. Equ. 7 (2002), 717–742.
- J. G. Heywood and R. Rannacher, Finite-element approximations of the nonstationary Navier–Stokes problem. Part I: Regularity of solutions and second-order spatial discretization. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 19 (1982), 275–311.
- 14. M. Khan, The Rayleigh-Stokes problem for an edge in a viscoelastic fluid with a fractional derivative model. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* 10 (2009), 3190–3195.
- R. B. Kellogg and J. E. Osborn, A regularity result for the Stokes problem in a convex polygon. J. Functional Anal. 21 (1976), 397–431.
- R. Koeller, Applications of Fractional Calculus to the Theory of Viscoelasticity. ASME. J. Appl. Mech. 51 (1984), 299–307.
- 17. A. Kotsiolis, A. Oskolkov, On the solvability of fundamental initial-boundary value problem for the motion equations of Oldroy's fluid and the behavior of solutions, when $t \to \infty$. *Notes of Scientifics of LOMI* t.150, 6 (1986), 48–52.
- S. Larsson, The long-time behavior of finite-element approximations of solutions to semilinear parabolic problems. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 26 (1989), 348–365.
- J. Li, Z. Chen, Y. He, A stabilized multi-level method for non-singular finite volume solutions of the stationary 3D Navier-Stokes equations. *Numer. Math.* 122 (2012), 279–304.
- X. Li, J. Shen, Error analysis of the SAV-MAC scheme for the Navier-Stokes equations. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 58 (2020), 2465–2491.
- Y. Lin, Semi-Discrete Finite Element Approximations for Linear Parabolic Integro-Differential Equations with Integrable Kernels. J. Integral Equ. Appl. 10 (1998), 51–83.
- H. Liang, H. Brunner, Collocation methods for integro-differential algebraic equations with index 1. IMA J. Numer. Anal. 40 (2020), 850–885.
- 23. C. Lubich, I. Sloan, V Thomée, Nonsmooth data error estimates for approximations of an evolution equation with a positive-type memory term. *Math. Comp.* 65 (1996), 1–17.
- 24. F. Mainardi, Fractional calculus and waves in linear viscoelasticity: an introduction to mathematical models. World Scientific, 2010.
- W. McLean and V. Thomée, Numerical solution of an evolution equation with a positivetype memory term. *The ANZIAM J.* 35 (1993), 23–70.
- F. Meral, T. Royston, R. Magin, Fractional calculus in viscoelasticity: An experimental study. Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 15 (2010), 939-945,
- K. Mustapha, W. McLean, Discontinuous Galerkin method for an evolution equation with a memory term of positive type. *Math. Comp.* 78 (2009), 1975–1995.
- V. Ortov, P. Sobolevskii, On mathematical models of a viscoelasticity with a memory. Diff. Integral Equ. 4 (1991), 103–115.

- 29. M. Paola, A. Pirrotta, A. Valenza A, Visco-elastic behavior through fractional calculus: An easier method for best fitting experimental results. Mechanics of Materials 43 (2011), 799-806.
- 30. P. Perdikaris, G. Karniadakis, Fractional-order viscoelasticity in one-dimensional blood flow models. Annals of Biomedical Engineering 42 (2014), 1012–1023.
- 31. P. E. Sobolevskii, Stabilization of viscoelastic fluid motion (Oldroyd's mathematical model). Diff. Integral Equ. 7 (1994), 1579–1621.
- 32. J. Suzuki, Y. Zhou, M. D'Elia, M. Zayernouri, A thermodynamically consistent fractional visco-elasto-plastic model with memory-dependent damage for anomalous materials. Comput. Meth. Appl. Mech. Engrg. 373 (2021), 113494.
 33. R. Temam, Navier-Stokes Equations, Theory and Numerical Analysis, 3rd ed., North-
- Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- 34. K. Wang, Y. Lin, Y. He, Asymptotic analysis of the equations of motion for viscoelastic oldroyd fluid. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.-Ser. B 32 (2012), 657-677.
- 35. D. Xu, Analytical and numerical solutions of a class of nonlinear integro-differential equations with L1 kernels. Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 51 (2020), 103002.