

Recollements induced by Frobenius pairs

Yajun Ma, Jiangsheng Hu* and Rongmin Zhu

Abstract

Let T be a right exact functor from an abelian category \mathcal{B} into another abelian category \mathcal{A} . Then there exists an abelian category, named comma category and denoted by $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$. In this paper, we construct left Frobenius pairs (resp. strong left Frobenius pairs) over $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ using left Frobenius pairs (resp. strong left Frobenius pairs) over \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} . As a consequence, we obtain a recollement of (right) triangulated categories, generalizing the result of Xiong-Zhang-Zhang (J. Algebra 503 (2018) 21-55) about the recollement of additive (resp. triangulated) categories induced by monomorphism categories. This result is applied to the classes of flat modules and Gorenstein flat modules, the classes of Gorenstein projective modules and Gorenstein projective complexes, the class of Ding projective modules and the class of Gorenstein flat-cotorsion modules.

Keywords: Abelian category; comma category; Frobenius pair; recollement.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 18A25; 16E30; 18G25.

1. Introduction

Recall that for a right exact functor $T : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ between abelian categories, there exists an abelian category, denoted by $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$, consisting of all triples $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}_\varphi$ where $\varphi : T(B) \rightarrow A$ is a morphism in \mathcal{A} . We note that this new abelian category is called a *comma category* in [14, 28] and forming a comma category along a given functor is a standard way to glue two categories. Examples of comma categories include but are not limited to: the category of modules or complexes over a triangular matrix ring, the morphism category of an abelian category and so on (see Example 2.3). We refer to [9, 14, 15, 23, 28] for more details.

The recollements of triangulated categories were introduced by Beilinson, Bernstein and Deligne in order to decompose derived categories of sheaves into two parts, which provided a derived version of Grothendieck's six functors on abelian categories. Recollements of triangulated categories play an important role in geometry, representation theory and ring theory; see [1, 4, 10, 11, 20, 30, 34, 36] for instance. To produce recollements of triangulated categories is an interesting topic. There are many methods available. For instance, one may take stable categories of Frobenius categories or quotient categories by smashing subcategories.

On the other hand, one can also consider recollements of abelian categories or additive categories in the similar way; see [24, 31, 33, 34] for instance. An interesting and deep result, due to Xiong-Zhang-Zhang [34], is that recollements of triangulated categories or additive categories can be constructed from a triangular matrix algebra $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$, where the bimodule ${}_R M_S$

*Corresponding author. Jiangsheng Hu was supported by the NSF of China (Grants No. 12171206, 11771212) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20211358)

is finitely generated over a pair of Artin algebras R and S . More precisely, they proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1. [34, Theorem 1.3] *Let $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ be a triangular matrix algebra of Artin algebras. Assume that M_S is finitely generated projective and $M \otimes_S D(S_S)$ is a finitely generated injective left R -module, where D is the duality for Artin algebras. If we set $R\text{-mod}$ (resp. $S\text{-mod}$) be the category of finitely generated left R -modules (resp. S -modules) and $\mathcal{M}(R, M, S)$ be the monomorphism category induced by the bimodule ${}_R M_S$, then one has the following recollement of additive categories*

$$R\text{-}\overline{\text{mod}} \begin{array}{c} \longleftarrow \\ \longrightarrow \\ \longleftarrow \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} \mathcal{M}(R, M, S) \begin{array}{c} \longleftarrow \\ \longrightarrow \\ \longleftarrow \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} S\text{-}\overline{\text{mod}}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $R\text{-}\overline{\text{mod}}$ (resp. $S\text{-}\overline{\text{mod}}$, and $\overline{\mathcal{M}(R, M, S)}$) is the stable category of $R\text{-mod}$ (resp. $S\text{-mod}$, and $\mathcal{M}(R, M, S)$) respect to the subcategory of the injective objects of $R\text{-mod}$ (resp. $S\text{-mod}$, and $\mathcal{M}(R, M, S)$).

If in addition R and S are selfinjective algebras, then it is in fact a recollement of triangulated categories.

From the notions of relative generators and cogenerators in approximation theory, Becerril and coauthors [3] introduced left Frobenius pairs and strong left Frobenius pairs, which are closely linked to the construction of exact model structures. Detailed definitions can be found in [3, Definition 2.5] or Definition 2.4 below. For instance, for any Artin algebra R , $R\text{-mod}$ respect to the subcategory $\text{inj}(R)$ of the injective objects form a left Frobenius pair, and it is a strong Frobenius pair if and only if R is selfinjective. Moreover, it is easy to check that for any left Frobenius pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$, its stable category $\overline{\mathcal{X}} := \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{W}$ has a right triangulated structure introduced by Beligiannis and Marmaridis in [6], and if in addition $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ is a strong Frobenius pair, then its stable category $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ has a triangulated structure (see Lemma 3.5 and Remark 3.7). Inspired by this fact, we mainly prove the following analogous result to Theorem 1.1.

Suppose that $T : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a right exact functor and \mathcal{B} has enough projectives. Following [8], we can construct a left derived functor $L_n T$ for any $n \geq 1$. For an object B in \mathcal{B} , one can choose a projective resolution of B

$$\mathbf{P} : \cdots \rightarrow P_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d_n} P_n \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{d_1} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_0} P_0 \rightarrow 0$$

and define $L_n T(B) = \text{Ker} T d_{n-1} / \text{Im} T d_n$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ be a comma category. Assume that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ and $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{V})$ are left Frobenius pairs over \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , respectively, with $(L_n T)\mathcal{Y} = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$, $T(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ and $T(\mathcal{Y}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. Then we get the following recollement of right triangulated categories*

$$\overline{\mathcal{X}} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\overline{q}} \\ \xrightarrow{\overline{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}} \\ \xleftarrow{\overline{U}_{\mathcal{A}}} \end{array} \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\overline{T}_{\mathcal{B}}} \\ \xrightarrow{\overline{U}_{\mathcal{B}}} \\ \xleftarrow{\overline{r}} \end{array} \overline{\mathcal{Y}}. \quad (1.2)$$

If in addition $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ and $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{V})$ are strong left Frobenius pairs, then it is in fact a recollement of triangulated categories.

Theorem 1.2 generalizes Theorem 1.1 above, and provides many more possibilities for constructing some appropriate recollements of (right) triangulated categories (see Corollaries 4.4, 4.6, 4.8, 4.10 and 4.11). Note that, in [34], one of the key arguments in the proof is that one can give the specific characterization of indecomposable injective objects in the monomorphism category induced by the bimodule ${}_R M_S$ over a pair of Artin algebras R and S , while in our general context we do not have this fact and therefore must avoid this kind of arguments. To prove this theorem, we first characterize when (strong) left Frobenius pairs in abelian categories \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} can induce (strong) left Frobenius pairs in the comma category $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ (see Propositions 2.7 and 2.8), and then demonstrate that the stable categories of (strong) left Frobenius pairs above are useful to construct the recollement of (right) triangulated categories.

The contents of this paper are arranged as follows. In Section 2, we construct (strong) left Frobenius pairs in the comma category $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ from the abelian categories \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} (see Propositions 2.7 and 2.8). In Section 3, we obtain a recollement of right triangulated categories (resp. triangulated categories) from left Frobenius pairs (resp. strong left Frobenius pairs), including Theorem 1.2. This is based on the (strong) left Frobenius pair constructed in Section 2. Section 4 is devoted to give some applications of Theorem 1.2.

In the following sections, we always assume that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are abelian categories with enough projectives and injectives. For any ring R , $R\text{-Mod}$ (resp. $R\text{-mod}$) is the category of (resp. finitely generated) left R -modules and $\text{Ch}(R)$ is the category of complexes of left R -modules. For unexplained ones, we refer the reader to [14, 18].

2. Frobenius pairs in comma categories

In this section, we construct left Frobenius pairs (resp. strong left Frobenius pairs) in a comma category, which is very critical to the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Definition 2.1. [14, 28] *Let $T : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a right exact functor. Then the comma category $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ is defined as follows:*

- (1) *The objects are triples $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}_\varphi$, with $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\varphi : T(B) \rightarrow A$ is a morphism in \mathcal{A} ;*
- (2) *A morphism $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}_\varphi \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A' \\ B' \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi'}$ is given by two morphisms $a : A \rightarrow A'$ in \mathcal{A} and $b : B \rightarrow B'$ in \mathcal{B} such that $\varphi'T(b) = a\varphi$.*

If there is no possible confusion, we sometimes omit the morphism φ .

Remark 2.2. *For a comma category $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$, we have the following functors.*

- (1) *The functor $T_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow (T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ is defined on objects $Y \in \mathcal{B}$ by $T_{\mathcal{B}}(Y) = \begin{pmatrix} T(Y) \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$ and given a morphism $\beta : Y \rightarrow Y'$ in \mathcal{B} then $T_{\mathcal{B}}(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} T(\beta) \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$ is a morphism in $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$.*
- (2) *The functor $U_{\mathcal{B}} : (T \downarrow \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is defined on objects $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\varphi \in (T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ by $U_{\mathcal{B}}(\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\varphi) = Y$ and given a morphism $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\varphi \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} X' \\ Y' \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi'}$ in $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ then $U_{\mathcal{B}}(\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}) = \beta$. Similarly, we can define the functor $U_{\mathcal{A}} : (T \downarrow \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$.*

- (3) The functor $Z_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow (T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ is defined on objects $Y \in \mathcal{B}$ by $T_{\mathcal{B}}(Y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$ and given a morphism $\beta : Y \rightarrow Y'$ in \mathcal{B} then $Z_{\mathcal{B}}(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$ is a morphism in $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$. Similarly, we can define $Z_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$.
- (4) The functor $q : (T \downarrow \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is defined on objects $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi} \in (T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ by $q(\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi}) = \text{Coker}\varphi$ and given a morphism $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} X' \\ Y' \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi'}$ in $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ then $q(\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}) : \text{Coker}\varphi \rightarrow \text{Coker}\varphi'$.

It is easy to check, see also [31] that the above the data define the following recollement of abelian categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{q} \\ \xrightarrow{Z_{\mathcal{A}}} \\ \xleftarrow{U_{\mathcal{A}}} \end{array} & (T \downarrow \mathcal{A}) & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{T_{\mathcal{B}}} \\ \xrightarrow{U_{\mathcal{B}}} \\ \xleftarrow{Z_{\mathcal{B}}} \end{array} & \mathcal{B} \cdot \end{array}$$

Next we give some examples of comma categories.

Example 2.3. (1) Let R and S be two rings, ${}_R M_S$ an R - S -bimodule, and $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ the triangular matrix ring. If we define $T \cong M \otimes_S - : S\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R\text{-Mod}$, then we get that $\Lambda\text{-Mod}$ is equivalent to the comma category $(T \downarrow R\text{-Mod})$.

(2) Let $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ be a triangular matrix ring. If we define $T \cong M \otimes_S - : \text{Ch}(S) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(R)$, then $\text{Ch}(\Lambda)$ is equivalent to the comma category $(T \downarrow \text{Ch}(R))$.

(3) If $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ and T is the identity functor, then the comma category $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ coincides with the morphism category $\text{mor}(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} .

(4) Let $\mathcal{A} = R\text{-Mod}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \text{Ch}(R)$. If we define $e : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ via $C^{\bullet} \mapsto C^0$ for any $C^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{B}$, then e is an exact functor and we have a comma category $(e \downarrow \mathcal{A})$.

Let \mathcal{X} be a subclass of \mathcal{A} . For convenience, we set

$$\mathcal{X}^{\perp} := \{M : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(X, M) = 0 \text{ for every } X \in \mathcal{X} \text{ and all } i \geq 1\};$$

$${}^{\perp}\mathcal{X} := \{M : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(M, X) = 0 \text{ for every } X \in \mathcal{X} \text{ and all } i \geq 1\}.$$

A subcategory \mathcal{X} is *closed under extensions* if for any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_3 \rightarrow 0$ such that X_1 and X_3 are in \mathcal{X} , then $X_2 \in \mathcal{X}$.

Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{W} be two subcategories of \mathcal{A} . Then \mathcal{W} is called a *cogenerator* for \mathcal{X} if $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ and for any $X \in \mathcal{X}$ there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow W \rightarrow X' \rightarrow 0$ with $W \in \mathcal{W}$ and $X' \in \mathcal{X}$. Moreover, \mathcal{W} is called an *injective cogenerator* for \mathcal{X} if $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{X}^{\perp}$ and \mathcal{W} is a cogenerator for \mathcal{X} . Dually, we have the notions of generator and projective generator.

Definition 2.4. [3, Definition 2.5] *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. A pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ is called a left Frobenius pair in \mathcal{A} if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) \mathcal{X} is closed under extensions, kernels of epimorphisms and direct summands;
- (2) \mathcal{W} is closed under direct summands in \mathcal{A} ;
- (3) \mathcal{W} is an injective cogenerator for \mathcal{X} .

If in addition \mathcal{W} is also a projective generator for \mathcal{X} , then we say that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ is a strong left Frobenius pair.

In the following, we give some examples of (strong) left Frobenius pairs.

Example 2.5. (1) For any associative ring R with identity, a left R -module N is called *Gorenstein projective* (resp. *Ding projective*) [13, 17, 22] if there is an exact sequence of projective left R -modules

$$\mathbf{P} = \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

with $N = \text{Ker}(P_0 \rightarrow P^0)$ such that $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{P}, Q)$ is exact for any projective (resp. flat) left R -module Q . Let $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ (resp. $\mathcal{DP}(R)$) be the full subcategory of $R\text{-Mod}$ consisting of all Gorenstein (resp. Ding) projective modules and $\mathcal{P}(R)$ the subcategory of $R\text{-Mod}$ consisting of all projective modules. Then $(\mathcal{GP}(R), \mathcal{P}(R))$ and $(\mathcal{DP}(R), \mathcal{P}(R))$ are strong left Frobenius pairs by [3, Propositions 6.1 and 6.12].

(2) Recall that a *cotorsion pair* in \mathcal{A} is a pair of classes $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ of objects in \mathcal{A} satisfying the following two conditions: (i) $X \in \mathcal{X}$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(X, Y) = 0$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$; (ii) $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(X, Y) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$. The cotorsion pair is called *complete* if, for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ with $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, and another short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ with $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$. We say that the cotorsion pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is *hereditary* if $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{i \geq 1}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) = 0$. The book [13] is a standard reference for cotorsion pairs.

If $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is a complete and hereditary cotorsion pair in an abelian category \mathcal{A} , then it is easy to check that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y})$ is a left Frobenius pair in \mathcal{A} .

Recall from the introduction that $L_n T$ is the n th left derived functor of T for any integer $n \geq 1$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ be a comma category.*

- (1) *If $(L_n T)Y = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$, then $\text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} T(Y) \\ Y \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)_\varphi\right) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^i(Y, X_2)$ for all $i \geq 1$.*
- (2) *$\text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} N_1 \\ N_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)_\varphi\right) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(X, N_1)$ for all $i \geq 1$.*

Proof. (1). It follows from the fact that $T_{\mathcal{B}}$ preserves projective objects and $(T_{\mathcal{B}}, U_{\mathcal{B}})$ is an adjoint pair and its proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.2(3) in [26]

(2). It follows from the fact that $Z_{\mathcal{A}}$ preserves projective objects and $(Z_{\mathcal{A}}, U_{\mathcal{A}})$ is an adjoint pair and its proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.2(2) in [26]. \square

In the following, let $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ be two subcategories of \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$ two subcategories of \mathcal{B} . We denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ the class of objects C such that there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ with each $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$ for some n . For convenience, we set

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}} := \left\{ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ Y \end{smallmatrix}\right)_\varphi \in (T \downarrow \mathcal{A}) : Y \in \mathcal{Y}, \varphi \text{ is monic and } \text{Coker} \varphi \in \mathcal{X} \right\}.$$

Next we construct left Frobenius pairs in $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ from those of abelian categories \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , which is useful to produce recollements of (right) triangulated categories (see Theorem 1.2).

Proposition 2.7. *Let $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ be a comma category. Assume that $(L_n T)\mathcal{Y} = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $T(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *$(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ and $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{V})$ are left Frobenius pairs in \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , respectively.*

(2) $(\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}, \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}})$ is a left Frobenius pair in $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). It is easy to check that $\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}}$ are closed under direct summands. Let $0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi_1} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi_2} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} X_3 \\ Y_3 \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi_3} \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ with $\begin{pmatrix} X_3 \\ Y_3 \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi_3} \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$. Then we have the following exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & & & & 0 \\
& & & & & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & T(Y_1) & \longrightarrow & T(Y_2) & \longrightarrow & T(Y_3) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \varphi_1 \downarrow & & \varphi_2 \downarrow & & \varphi_3 \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \longrightarrow & X_2 & \longrightarrow & X_3 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}\varphi_1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}\varphi_2 & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}\varphi_3 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

as $(L_1T)(Y_3) = 0$ by hypothesis. By the Snake Lemma, $\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi_1} \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$ if and only if $\begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi_2} \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$. Hence $\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$ is closed under extensions and kernels of epimorphisms.

Next, we claim that $\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}}$ is an injective cogenerator for $\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$. Let $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi} \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} W \\ V \end{pmatrix}_{\psi} \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}}$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} T(Y) \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \text{Coker}\varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow 0,$$

which induces an exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\begin{pmatrix} \text{Coker}\varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ V \end{pmatrix}_{\psi}\right) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ V \end{pmatrix}_{\psi}\right) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\begin{pmatrix} T(Y) \\ Y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ V \end{pmatrix}_{\psi}\right)$$

for all $i \geq 1$. Since \mathcal{V} is an injective cogenerator for \mathcal{Y} , we have

$$\text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\begin{pmatrix} T(Y) \\ Y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ V \end{pmatrix}_{\psi}\right) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^i(Y, V) = 0$$

for all $i \geq 1$ by Lemma 2.6. We need to show that $\text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\begin{pmatrix} \text{Coker}\varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ V \end{pmatrix}_{\psi}\right) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Since $\begin{pmatrix} W \\ V \end{pmatrix}_{\psi} \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}}$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} T(V) \\ V \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} W \\ V \end{pmatrix}_{\psi} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \text{Coker}\psi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow 0,$$

which induces an exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\begin{pmatrix} \text{Coker}\varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} T(V) \\ V \end{pmatrix}\right) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\begin{pmatrix} \text{Coker}\varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ V \end{pmatrix}_{\psi}\right) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\begin{pmatrix} \text{Coker}\varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \text{Coker}\psi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Since $\text{Coker}\varphi \in \mathcal{X}$, $\text{Coker}\psi \in \mathcal{W}$ and $T(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$, it follows that

$$\text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\begin{pmatrix} \text{Coker}\varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} T(V) \\ V \end{pmatrix}\right) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(\text{Coker}\varphi, T(V)) = 0$$

and

$$\text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\begin{pmatrix} \text{Coker}\varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \text{Coker}\psi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(\text{Coker}\varphi, \text{Coker}\psi) = 0$$

for all $i \geq 1$ by Lemma 2.6. So $\text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\left(\text{Coker}\varphi\right)_0, \left(\frac{W}{V}\right)_\psi\right) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Thus $\text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\left(\frac{X}{Y}\right)_\varphi, \left(\frac{W}{V}\right)_\psi\right) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$, and hence $\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}} \subseteq (\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}})^\perp$.

Let $\left(\frac{X}{Y}\right)_\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$. Since Y is in \mathcal{Y} , we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow V \rightarrow Y' \rightarrow 0$ with $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and $Y' \in \mathcal{Y}$ as \mathcal{V} is a cogenerator for \mathcal{Y} . Similarly, we also have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Coker}\varphi \rightarrow W \rightarrow X' \rightarrow 0$$

with $W \in \mathcal{W}$ and $X' \in \mathcal{X}$. Since $T(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$, we have $T(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}^\perp$. It follows from Lemma 2.6 that

$$\text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\left(\text{Coker}\varphi\right)_0, \left(\frac{T(V)}{V}\right)\right) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(\text{Coker}\varphi, T(V)) = 0$$

for all $i \geq 1$. So $\text{Hom}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}\left(\left(\frac{X}{Y}\right)_\varphi, \left(\frac{T(V)}{V}\right)\right) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}\left(\left(\frac{T(Y)}{Y}\right), \left(\frac{T(V)}{V}\right)\right)$ is an epimorphism. Hence we have the following exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{T(Y)}{Y}\right) & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{X}{Y}\right)_\varphi & \longrightarrow & \left(\text{Coker}\varphi\right)_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{T(V)}{V}\right) & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{T(V)}{V}\right) \oplus \left(\frac{W}{0}\right) & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{W}{0}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{T(Y')}{Y'}\right) & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{C}{Y'}\right)_\theta & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{X'}{0}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array} \quad (2.1)$$

in $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$. Note that $\left(\frac{T(V)}{V}\right) \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\left(\frac{W}{0}\right) \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}}$. It follows that $\left(\frac{T(V)}{V}\right) \oplus \left(\frac{W}{0}\right) \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}}$. Since $\left(\frac{T(Y')}{Y'}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{X'}{0}\right)$ are in $\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$, it follows that $\left(\frac{C}{Y'}\right)_\theta \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$ as $\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$ is closed under extensions. Hence we already have shown that $\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}}$ is an injective cogenerator for $\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$. So $(\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}, \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}})$ is a left Frobenius pair in $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$, as desired.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). It is easy to check that $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{V}$ and \mathcal{W} are closed under direct summands. Let $0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_3 \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence with $X_3 \in \mathcal{X}$ in \mathcal{A} . Then we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \left(\frac{X_1}{0}\right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{X_2}{0}\right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{X_3}{0}\right) \rightarrow 0$ with $\left(\frac{X_3}{0}\right) \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$. Note that $(\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}, \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}})$ is a left Frobenius pair in $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$. It follows that $\left(\frac{X_2}{0}\right) \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$ if and only if $\left(\frac{X_1}{0}\right) \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$ if and only if $X_2 \in \mathcal{X}$ if and only if $X_1 \in \mathcal{X}$. Thus \mathcal{X} is closed under extensions and kernels of epimorphisms. Let $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $W \in \mathcal{W}$. Then $\left(\frac{X}{0}\right) \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\left(\frac{W}{0}\right) \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}}$. It follows from Lemma 2.6 that $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(X, W) \cong \text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\left(\frac{X}{0}\right), \left(\frac{W}{0}\right)\right) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. So $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{X}^\perp$. For any $X \in \mathcal{X}$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \left(\frac{X}{0}\right)_0 \rightarrow \left(\frac{W}{V}\right)_\varphi \rightarrow \left(\frac{N}{V}\right)_\psi \rightarrow 0$$

with $\left(\frac{W}{V}\right)_\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\left(\frac{N}{V}\right)_\psi \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$ as $\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}}$ is an injective cogenerator for $\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$. Thus we have the following exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & 0 & & 0 & \\
& & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & T(V) & \xlongequal{\quad} & T(V) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \psi \\
0 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & \text{Coker}\varphi & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}\psi \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & 0 & & 0.
\end{array}$$

Since $T(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\text{Coker}\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$, the second column in the above diagram splits. It follows that $W \cong T(V) \oplus \text{Coker}\varphi \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$. Note that $T(V)$ and $\text{Coker}\psi$ are in $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$. Then we have $N \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ by [2, Lemma 3.1]. Hence we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X' \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ with $Y \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ and $X' \in \mathcal{X}$ by [2, Theorem 1.1]. Consider the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & 0 & & 0 & \\
& & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& & & Y & \xlongequal{\quad} & Y & \\
& & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & X' \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & 0 & & 0.
\end{array}$$

Since $Y \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}, W \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{W}} \subseteq \mathcal{X}^\perp$, it follows that $K \in \mathcal{X}^\perp$. Note that $K \in \mathcal{X}$ as \mathcal{X} is closed under extensions. Then $K \in \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{X}^\perp = \mathcal{W}$ by [2, Theorem 3.9]. Thus the second row in the above diagram implies that \mathcal{W} is a cogenerator for \mathcal{X} . Hence $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ is a left Frobenius pair in \mathcal{A} .

Let $0 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2 \rightarrow Y_3 \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence with $Y_3 \in \mathcal{Y}$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} T(Y_1) \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} T(Y_2) \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} T(Y_3) \\ Y_3 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow 0$$

with $\begin{pmatrix} T(Y_3) \\ Y_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}$ as $(L_1 T)(Y_3) = 0$ by hypothesis. Hence $Y_1 \in \mathcal{Y}$ if and only if $\begin{pmatrix} T(Y_1) \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}$ if and only if $\begin{pmatrix} T(Y_2) \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}$ if and only if $Y_2 \in \mathcal{Y}$. Thus \mathcal{Y} is closed under extensions and kernels of epimorphisms. For any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} T(Y) \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} W' \\ V' \end{pmatrix}_\theta \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} X' \\ Y' \end{pmatrix}_\rho \rightarrow 0$$

with $(\frac{W'}{V'})_\theta \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}}$ and $(\frac{X'}{Y'})_\rho \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$ as $\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}}$ is an injective cogenerator for $\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$. Thus $V' \in \mathcal{V}$ and $Y' \in \mathcal{Y}$. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow V' \rightarrow Y' \rightarrow 0$ implies that \mathcal{V} is a cogenerator for \mathcal{Y} . Let $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Then $(\frac{T(Y)}{Y}) \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$ and $(\frac{T(V)}{V}) \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}}$. Thus $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^i(Y, V) \cong \text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i((\frac{T(Y)}{Y}), (\frac{T(V)}{V})) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ by Lemma 2.6, and hence $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}^\perp$. So $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{V})$ is a left Frobenius pair. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.8. *Let $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ be a comma category. Assume that $(L_n T)\mathcal{Y} = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $T(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ and $T(\mathcal{Y}) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ and $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{V})$ are strong left Frobenius pairs in \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , respectively.
- (2) $(\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}, \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}})$ is a strong left Frobenius pair in $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). We have already proved that $(\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}, \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}})$ is a left Frobenius pair in $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ by Proposition 2.7. We need to show that $\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}}$ is a projective generator for $\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$.

Let $(\frac{X}{Y})_\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$ and $(\frac{W}{V})_\psi \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}}$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \left(\frac{T(V)}{V}\right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{W}{V}\right)_\psi \rightarrow \left(\text{Coker}\psi\right)_0 \rightarrow 0,$$

which induces the following exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\left(\text{Coker}\psi\right)_0, \left(\frac{X}{Y}\right)_\varphi\right) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\left(\frac{W}{V}\right)_\psi, \left(\frac{X}{Y}\right)_\varphi\right) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\left(\frac{T(V)}{V}\right), \left(\frac{X}{Y}\right)_\varphi\right)$$

for any $i \geq 1$. Note that $\text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\left(\frac{T(V)}{V}\right), \left(\frac{X}{Y}\right)_\varphi\right) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^i(V, Y) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ by Lemma 2.6. We need to show that

$$\text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\left(\text{Coker}\psi\right)_0, \left(\frac{X}{Y}\right)_\varphi\right) = 0$$

for all $i \geq 1$. Since $(\frac{X}{Y})_\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}}$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \left(\frac{T(Y)}{Y}\right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{X}{Y}\right)_\varphi \rightarrow \left(\text{Coker}\varphi\right)_0 \rightarrow 0,$$

which induces the following exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\left(\text{Coker}\psi\right)_0, \left(\frac{T(Y)}{Y}\right)\right) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\left(\text{Coker}\psi\right)_0, \left(\frac{X}{Y}\right)_\varphi\right) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\left(\text{Coker}\psi\right)_0, \left(\text{Coker}\varphi\right)_0\right)$$

for any $i \geq 1$. Since $\text{Coker}\psi \in \mathcal{W}$, $\text{Coker}\varphi \in \mathcal{X}$, $T(\mathcal{Y}) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ and \mathcal{W} is a projective generator for \mathcal{X} , it follows that

$$\text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\left(\text{Coker}\psi\right)_0, \left(\frac{T(Y)}{Y}\right)\right) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(\text{Coker}\psi, T(Y)) = 0$$

and

$$\text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\left(\text{Coker}\psi\right)_0, \left(\text{Coker}\varphi\right)_0\right) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(\text{Coker}\psi, \text{Coker}\varphi) = 0$$

for all $i \geq 1$ by Lemma 2.6. Thus $\text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\left(\text{Coker}\psi\right)_0, \left(\frac{X}{Y}\right)_\varphi\right) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$, and hence $\text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i\left(\left(\frac{W}{V}\right)_\psi, \left(\frac{X}{Y}\right)_\varphi\right) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. So $\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{W}} \subseteq {}^\perp(\mathfrak{B}_Y^{\mathcal{X}})$.

Since $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $\text{Coker}\varphi \in \mathcal{X}$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Y' \rightarrow V \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$$

with $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and $Y' \in \mathcal{Y}$ as $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{V})$ is a strong left Frobenius pair. Similarly, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow W \rightarrow \text{Coker}\varphi \rightarrow 0$$

with $W \in \mathcal{W}$ and $X' \in \mathcal{X}$. Since $T(\mathcal{Y}) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$, $\text{Ext}_{(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})}^i((\begin{smallmatrix} W \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}), (\begin{smallmatrix} T(Y) \\ Y \end{smallmatrix})) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(W, T(Y)) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ by Lemma 2.6. Hence we have the following exact commutative diagram in $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (\begin{smallmatrix} T(Y') \\ Y' \end{smallmatrix}) & \longrightarrow & (\begin{smallmatrix} K_1 \\ K_2 \end{smallmatrix})_{\rho} & \longrightarrow & (\begin{smallmatrix} X' \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (\begin{smallmatrix} T(V) \\ V \end{smallmatrix}) & \longrightarrow & (\begin{smallmatrix} T(V) \\ V \end{smallmatrix}) \oplus (\begin{smallmatrix} W \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}) & \longrightarrow & (\begin{smallmatrix} W \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (\begin{smallmatrix} T(Y) \\ Y \end{smallmatrix}) & \longrightarrow & (\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ Y \end{smallmatrix})_{\varphi} & \longrightarrow & (\begin{smallmatrix} \text{Coker } \varphi \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

Since $(\begin{smallmatrix} T(V) \\ V \end{smallmatrix}) \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{W}}$ and $(\begin{smallmatrix} W \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}) \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{W}}$, so is $(\begin{smallmatrix} T(V) \\ V \end{smallmatrix}) \oplus (\begin{smallmatrix} W \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix})$. Note that $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}$ is closed under extensions. Hence the second column in the above diagram implies that $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{W}}$ is a projective generator for $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}$. So $(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{W}})$ is a strong left Frobenius pair in $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$, as desired.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). By Proposition 2.7, it suffices to check that \mathcal{W} is a projective generator for \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{V} is a projective generator for \mathcal{Y} . Let $X \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\begin{smallmatrix} N \\ V \end{smallmatrix})_{\theta} \rightarrow (\begin{smallmatrix} W \\ V \end{smallmatrix})_{\varphi} \rightarrow (\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}) \rightarrow 0$$

with $(\begin{smallmatrix} W \\ V \end{smallmatrix})_{\varphi} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{W}}$ and $(\begin{smallmatrix} N \\ V \end{smallmatrix})_{\theta} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{X}}$ as $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{W}}$ is a generator for $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}$. Hence we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & T(V) & \xlongequal{\quad} & T(V) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & X \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & \text{Coker } \theta & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker } \varphi & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array}$$

Since $T(V) \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\text{Coker } \varphi \in \mathcal{W}$, the second column in the above diagram splits. Thus $W \cong T(V) \oplus \text{Coker } \varphi$, and hence $W \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$. So there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow W' \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$

with $W' \in \mathcal{W}$ and $Y \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$. Consider the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & 0 & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & W' & \longrightarrow & W \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & X & \xlongequal{\quad} & X \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0.
 \end{array}$$

The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow W' \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ implies that \mathcal{W} is a generator for \mathcal{X} . Note that $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(W, X) \cong \text{Ext}_{(T\downarrow\mathcal{A})}^i\left(\begin{pmatrix} W \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 0$ for any $W \in \mathcal{W}, X \in \mathcal{X}$ and all $i \geq 1$ by Lemma 2.6. It follows that $\mathcal{W} \subseteq {}^\perp\mathcal{X}$. Thus \mathcal{W} is a projective generator for \mathcal{X} , and hence $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ is a strong left Frobenius pair. Similarly, we can show that $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{V})$ is a strong left Frobenius pair. This completes the proof. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

To prove Theorem 1.2, we first introduce the definitions of right triangulated functors and recollements of right triangulated categories.

Definition 3.1. [5, 6] Let \mathcal{C} be an additive category with an additive endofunctor $\Sigma : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Consider in \mathcal{C} the category $\mathcal{RT}(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma)$ whose objects are diagrams of the form $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma A$ with $A, B, C \in \mathcal{C}$ and morphisms indicated by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C & \xrightarrow{h} & \Sigma A \\
 \alpha \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \gamma \downarrow & & \Sigma(\alpha) \downarrow \\
 A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & B' & \xrightarrow{g'} & C' & \xrightarrow{h'} & \Sigma A'.
 \end{array}$$

A right triangulation of the pair (\mathcal{C}, Σ) is a full subcategory Δ of $\mathcal{RT}(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma)$ which satisfies all the axioms of a triangulated category, except that Σ is not necessarily an equivalence. Then the triple $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma, \Delta)$ is called a right triangulated category, Σ is the suspension functor and the diagrams in Δ are the right triangles.

Definition 3.2. A covariant functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ is said to be a right triangulated functor from the right triangulated category $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma, \Delta)$ to the right triangulated category $(\mathcal{C}', \Sigma', \Delta')$, if $\varphi : F\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma'F$ is a natural isomorphism and for any right triangle $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma A$ of Δ , then $F(A) \xrightarrow{F(f)} F(B) \xrightarrow{F(g)} F(C) \xrightarrow{\varphi_A F(h)} \Sigma F(A)$ is a right triangle of Δ' .

Remark 3.3. The right triangulated functors between triangulated categories are precisely triangulated functors between triangulated categories.

Definition 3.4. A recollement situation between right triangulated categories \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{B} is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & q & & l \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{e} & \mathcal{B} \\ & \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright & \\ & & p & & r \end{array}$$

of right triangulated functors satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) (l, e, r) is an adjoint triple;
- (2) (q, i, p) is an adjoint triple;
- (3) The functors i , l and r are fully faithful;
- (4) $\text{Im } i = \text{Ker } e$.

In what follows, for any left Frobenius pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$, we always denote by $\overline{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{W}$ the stable category of \mathcal{X} , the objects of $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ are objects of \mathcal{X} , and $\text{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}}(X, Y) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(X, Y)/\mathcal{W}(X, Y)$, where $\mathcal{W}(X, Y)$ is the subgroup consisting of those morphisms factoring through objects of \mathcal{W} .

Lemma 3.5. If $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ is a left Frobenius pair in \mathcal{A} , then $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ has a right triangulated structure.

Proof. By [6, Theorem 2.12], it suffices to show that for any morphism $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$, if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(f, W) : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X_2, W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X_1, W)$ is epic for any $W \in \mathcal{W}$, then $\text{Coker } f \in \mathcal{X}$.

Note that \mathcal{W} is an injective cogenerator for \mathcal{X} . Then there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{g} W \xrightarrow{h} X' \rightarrow 0$ with $W \in \mathcal{W}$ and $X' \in \mathcal{X}$. Thus there exists a morphism $k : X_2 \rightarrow W$ with $g = kf$, and hence f is monic as g is monic. By the exactness of the sequence $0 \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{f} X_2 \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Coker } f \rightarrow 0$, we have an exact sequence $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X_2, W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X_1, W) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\text{Coker } f, W) \rightarrow 0$. So $\text{Coker } f \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \cap {}^\perp \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{X}$ by [3, Proposition 2.14], as desired. \square

Remark 3.6. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ be a left Frobenius pair in \mathcal{A} . For any $X \in \mathcal{X}$, we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow W \rightarrow X' \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{A} with $W \in \mathcal{W}$ and $X' \in \mathcal{X}$. Define $\Sigma(X) = X'$ to be the image of X' in $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$. Then $\Sigma : \overline{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{X}}$ is an additive functor. Let $0 \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{f} X_2 \xrightarrow{g} X_3 \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in \mathcal{X} . For an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{h} W_1 \xrightarrow{l} \Sigma X_1 \rightarrow 0$ with $W_1 \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\Sigma X_1 \in \mathcal{X}$, there exists the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{g} & X_3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow a & & \downarrow b & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \xrightarrow{h} & W_1 & \xrightarrow{l} & \Sigma X_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

as $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(X_3, W_1) = 0$. Hence the sequence $X_1 \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} X_2 \xrightarrow{\overline{g}} X_3 \xrightarrow{\overline{b}} \Sigma X_1$ in $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ is called a distinguished right triangle. The right triangles in $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ are defined as the sequences which are isomorphic to some distinguished right triangles obtained in this way by [6].

Remark 3.7. If $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ is a strong left Frobenius pair in \mathcal{A} , then \mathcal{X} is a Frobenius exact category with projective-injective objects \mathcal{W} . Moreover the stable category $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ is triangulated by [21].

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.2 from the introduction.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ and $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{V})$ are left Frobenius pairs in \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} respectively. It follows from Proposition 2.7 that $(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{W}})$ is a left Frobenius pair in $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$. So $\overline{\mathcal{X}}, \overline{\mathcal{Y}}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}}$ are right triangulated categories by Lemma 3.5.

We first construct the functors involved. If $(\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ Y \end{smallmatrix})_{\varphi} \rightarrow (\begin{smallmatrix} X' \\ Y' \end{smallmatrix})_{\varphi'}$ factors through an object $(\begin{smallmatrix} W \\ V \end{smallmatrix})_{\theta} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{W}}$, then $\text{Coker} \varphi \rightarrow \text{Coker} \varphi'$ factors through $\text{Coker} \theta$. Thus the functor q induces a functor $\overline{q} : \overline{\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{X}}$ given by $(\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ Y \end{smallmatrix})_{\varphi} \mapsto \text{Coker} \varphi$ by Remark 2.2(4).

Similarly, we also have functors $\overline{Z}_{\mathcal{A}} : \overline{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}}$ given by $X \mapsto (\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix})$ and $\overline{T}_{\mathcal{B}} : \overline{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}}$ given by $Y \mapsto (\begin{smallmatrix} T(Y) \\ Y \end{smallmatrix})$, which are fully faithful.

Since $T(\mathcal{Y}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ and \mathcal{X} is closed under extensions, we have a functor $\overline{U}_{\mathcal{A}} : \overline{\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{X}}$ given by $(\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ Y \end{smallmatrix})_{\varphi} \mapsto X$. We also have a functor $\overline{U}_{\mathcal{B}} : \overline{\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{Y}}$ given by $(\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ Y \end{smallmatrix})_{\varphi} \mapsto Y$.

Since $(q, Z_{\mathcal{A}}), (Z_{\mathcal{A}}, U_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(T_{\mathcal{B}}, U_{\mathcal{B}})$ are adjoint pairs by Remark 2.2, we have that $(\overline{q}, \overline{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}), (\overline{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{U}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\overline{T}_{\mathcal{B}}, \overline{U}_{\mathcal{B}})$ are adjoint pairs by [33, Lemma 2.4] or [34, Lemma 3.1].

Step 1: We claim that $\overline{q}, \overline{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{U}_{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\overline{U}_{\mathcal{B}}$ are right triangulated functors.

We first start to show that \overline{q} is a right triangulated functor. Let

$$0 \rightarrow (\begin{smallmatrix} X' \\ Y' \end{smallmatrix})_{\varphi'} \rightarrow (\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ Y \end{smallmatrix})_{\varphi} \rightarrow (\begin{smallmatrix} X'' \\ Y'' \end{smallmatrix})_{\varphi''} \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence in $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Coker} \varphi' \rightarrow \text{Coker} \varphi \rightarrow \text{Coker} \varphi'' \rightarrow 0$$

in \mathcal{X} by the Snake Lemma. Hence it is enough to show that $\overline{q}\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma\overline{q}$ is a natural isomorphism by Remark 3.6. Let $(\begin{smallmatrix} f \\ g \end{smallmatrix}) : (\begin{smallmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{smallmatrix})_{\varphi_1} \rightarrow (\begin{smallmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_2 \end{smallmatrix})_{\varphi_2}$ be a morphism in $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}$. Since \mathcal{V} is an injective cogenerator for \mathcal{Y} , one has exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow Y_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} V_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} Y_1' \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow Y_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} V_2 \xrightarrow{\beta_2} Y_2' \rightarrow 0$$

with $V_i \in \mathcal{V}, Y_i' \in \mathcal{Y}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & V_1 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & Y_1' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & g \downarrow & & t \downarrow & & s \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Y_2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & V_2 & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & Y_2' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

as $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^1(Y_1', V_2) = 0$. Since \mathcal{W} is an injective cogenerator for \mathcal{X} , we also have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker} \varphi_1 & \xrightarrow{i_1} & W_1 & \longrightarrow & X_1' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & h \downarrow & & k \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker} \varphi_2 & \xrightarrow{i_2} & W_2 & \longrightarrow & X_2' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with $W_i \in \mathcal{W}$ and $X'_i \in \mathcal{X}$ for $i = 1, 2$ by the similar argument above. Through the construction of diagram (2.1) in the proof of Proposition 2.7, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& \begin{pmatrix} T(Y_1) \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi_1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \begin{pmatrix} \text{Coker}_{\varphi_1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
& \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow \\
& \begin{pmatrix} T(V_1) \\ V_1 \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \begin{pmatrix} T(V_1) \\ V_1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} W_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \begin{pmatrix} W_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
& \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow \\
\begin{pmatrix} T(Y'_1) \\ Y'_1 \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ Y'_1 \end{pmatrix}_{\theta_1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \begin{pmatrix} X'_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & \\
& \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
& \begin{pmatrix} T(Y_2) \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi_2} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \begin{pmatrix} \text{Coker}_{\varphi_2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
& \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow \\
& \begin{pmatrix} T(V_2) \\ V_2 \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \begin{pmatrix} T(V_2) \\ V_2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} W_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \begin{pmatrix} W_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
& \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow \\
\begin{pmatrix} T(Y'_2) \\ Y'_2 \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \begin{pmatrix} C_2 \\ Y'_2 \end{pmatrix}_{\theta_2} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \begin{pmatrix} X'_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} &
\end{array}$$

By the above diagram, $\bar{q}\Sigma\left(\begin{smallmatrix} X_i \\ Y_i \end{smallmatrix}\right)_{\varphi_i} = \bar{q}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} C_i \\ Y'_i \end{smallmatrix}\right)_{\theta_i} = \text{Coker}\theta_i \cong X'_i = \Sigma\bar{q}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} X_i \\ Y_i \end{smallmatrix}\right)_{\varphi_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

The commutativity of diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ Y'_1 \end{pmatrix}_{\theta_1} & \longrightarrow & \begin{pmatrix} X'_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\begin{pmatrix} C_2 \\ Y'_2 \end{pmatrix}_{\theta_2} & \longrightarrow & \begin{pmatrix} X'_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
\end{array}$$

implies the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{q}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} C_1 \\ Y'_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)_{\theta_1} & \longrightarrow & \bar{q}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} X'_1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bar{q}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} C_2 \\ Y'_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)_{\theta_2} & \longrightarrow & \bar{q}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} X'_2 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right).
\end{array}$$

It follows that $\bar{q}\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma\bar{q}$ is a natural isomorphism. Thus \bar{q} is a right triangulated functor.

Similarly, we can show that $\overline{Z_{\mathcal{A}}}$, $\overline{U_{\mathcal{A}}}$, $\overline{T_{\mathcal{B}}}$ and $\overline{U_{\mathcal{B}}}$ are right triangulated functors.

Step 2: We claim that $\text{Im}\overline{Z_{\mathcal{A}}} = \text{Ker}\overline{U_{\mathcal{B}}}$. By construction, we have that $\text{Im}\overline{Z_{\mathcal{A}}} \subseteq \text{Ker}\overline{U_{\mathcal{B}}}$ and $\text{Ker}\overline{U_{\mathcal{B}}} = \{(\frac{X}{Y})_{\varphi} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}} \mid Y \in \mathcal{V}\}$ in $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}$. Let $(\frac{X}{V})_{\varphi} \in \text{Ker}\overline{U_{\mathcal{B}}}$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T(V) \rightarrow X \rightarrow \text{Coker}\varphi \rightarrow 0$$

in \mathcal{A} . Since $\text{Coker}\varphi \in \mathcal{X}$ and $T(V) \in \mathcal{W}$, the above exact sequence splits. Thus $X \cong T(V) \oplus \text{Coker}\varphi$, and hence we have

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ V \end{smallmatrix}\right)_\varphi \cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix} T(V) \\ V \end{smallmatrix}\right) \oplus \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \text{Coker}\varphi \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \text{Coker}\varphi \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \overline{Z_{\mathcal{A}}}(\text{Coker}\varphi)$$

in $\overline{\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}}$. So $\text{Im}\overline{Z_{\mathcal{A}}} = \text{Ker}\overline{U_{\mathcal{B}}}$, as desired.

Step 3: We claim that there exists a fully faithful functor $\overline{r} : \overline{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}}$ with $\overline{r}(Y) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} W \\ Y \end{smallmatrix}\right)_\sigma$, where $W \in \mathcal{W}$ such that there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T(Y) \xrightarrow{\sigma} W \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Coker}\sigma \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{A} with $\text{Coker}\sigma \in \mathcal{X}$, and $(\overline{U_{\mathcal{B}}}, \overline{r})$ is an adjoint pair between $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}}$.

We justify the claim as follows. Define a functor $r : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}}$ as follows. Let $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$. Since $T(\mathcal{Y}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ is a left Frobenius pair, one has an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T(Y) \xrightarrow{\sigma} W \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Coker}\sigma \rightarrow 0$$

in \mathcal{A} with $W \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\text{Coker}\sigma \in \mathcal{X}$. We define $r(Y) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} W \\ Y \end{smallmatrix}\right)_\sigma$. One can see that it is well-defined from the argument below, by taking $g = \text{Id}_Y$. Let $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$ be a morphism in \mathcal{Y} such that

$$0 \rightarrow T(Y') \xrightarrow{\sigma'} W' \xrightarrow{\pi'} \text{Coker}\sigma' \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence with $W' \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\text{Coker}\sigma' \in \mathcal{X}$. Since $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\text{Coker}\sigma, W') = 0$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T(Y) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & W & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{Coker}\sigma \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow T(g) & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T(Y') & \xrightarrow{\sigma'} & W' & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & \text{Coker}\sigma' \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

and define $r(g) = \overline{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} f \\ g \end{smallmatrix}\right)} : \left(\begin{smallmatrix} W \\ Y \end{smallmatrix}\right)_\sigma \rightarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} W' \\ Y' \end{smallmatrix}\right)_{\sigma'}$. We claim that $r(g)$ is well-defined and hence the functor $r : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}}$ is well-defined.

Indeed, if we have another map $f' : W \rightarrow W'$ with $f'\sigma = \sigma'T(g)$, then $f - f'$ factors through $\text{Coker}\sigma$. Since $\text{Coker}\sigma \in \mathcal{X}$, we have a monomorphism $\tilde{\sigma} : \text{Coker}\sigma \rightarrow \widetilde{W}$ with $\widetilde{W} \in \mathcal{W}$. Then it is easy to see that $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} f \\ g \end{smallmatrix}\right) - \left(\begin{smallmatrix} f' \\ g \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ factors through $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \widetilde{W} \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Hence $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} f \\ g \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} f' \\ g \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ in $\overline{\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}}$.

We claim that the functor $r : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}}$ induces a functor $\overline{r} : \overline{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}}$. For this, assume that $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$ factors through an object $V \in \mathcal{V}$ with $g = g_2g_1$. Since $T(V) \in \mathcal{W}$, we have $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\text{Coker}\sigma, T(V)) = 0$. So there is a morphism $\alpha : W \rightarrow T(V)$ with $T(g_1) = \alpha\sigma$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T(Y) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & W & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{Coker}\sigma \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow T(g_1) & \nearrow \alpha & \downarrow f & \nearrow \tilde{\sigma} & \downarrow \\ & & T(V) & & & & \widetilde{W} \\ & & \downarrow T(g_2) & & \downarrow \tilde{f} & & \downarrow \beta \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T(Y') & \xrightarrow{\sigma'} & W' & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & \text{Coker}\sigma' \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Since $(f - \sigma'T(g_2)\alpha)\sigma = f\sigma - \sigma'T(g_2)T(g_1) = 0$, there exists a morphism $\tilde{f} : \text{Coker}\sigma \rightarrow W'$ with $f - \sigma'T(g_2)\alpha = \tilde{f}\pi$. Since $\text{Coker}\sigma \in \mathcal{X}$, there is a monomorphism $\tilde{\sigma} : \text{Coker}\sigma \rightarrow \widetilde{W}$ with $\widetilde{W} \in \mathcal{W}$.

Then there is a morphism $\beta : \widetilde{W} \rightarrow W'$ such that $\widetilde{f} = \beta\widetilde{\sigma}$. Hence $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} [\sigma' T(g_2) & \beta] \\ g_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} [\alpha \\ \widetilde{\sigma}\pi] \\ g_1 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$ factors through $\begin{pmatrix} T(V) \\ V \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{W} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and hence r induces a functor $\overline{r} : \overline{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}$ given by $\overline{r}(Y) = \begin{pmatrix} W \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{\sigma}$ and $\overline{r}(\overline{g}) = \overline{\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}}$. By construction, it is clear that \overline{r} is full. If $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$ factors through an object $\begin{pmatrix} W \\ V \end{pmatrix}_{\theta} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{W}}$, then g factors through V . Hence $\overline{r} : \overline{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}$ is faithful.

Next, we show that $(\overline{U}_{\mathcal{B}}, \overline{r})$ is an adjoint pair between $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}$. Let $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} W' \\ Y' \end{pmatrix}_{\sigma'}$ be a morphism in $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}$ such that $0 \rightarrow T(Y') \rightarrow W' \rightarrow \text{Coker}\sigma' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence in \mathcal{A} with $W' \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\text{Coker}\sigma' \in \mathcal{X}$. We can show that $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$ factors through an object $\begin{pmatrix} W \\ V \end{pmatrix}_{\theta} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{W}}$ with $W \in \mathcal{W}$ if and only if $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$ factors through $V \in \mathcal{V}$ by the similar argument in the previous paragraph. This implies that the map from $\text{Hom}_{\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}}(\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi}, \begin{pmatrix} W' \\ Y' \end{pmatrix}_{\sigma'})$ to $\text{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}}(Y, Y')$ given by $\overline{\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}} \mapsto \overline{g}$ is well-defined and injective. It is easy to see that the given map is surjective. Hence we have the following isomorphism, which is natural in both positions

$$\text{Hom}_{\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}}(\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi}, \begin{pmatrix} W' \\ Y' \end{pmatrix}_{\sigma'}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}}(Y, Y'),$$

i.e., $(\overline{U}_{\mathcal{B}}, \overline{r})$ is an adjoint pair between $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}$.

Step 4: We claim that \overline{r} is a right triangulated functor.

Let $0 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2 \rightarrow Y_3 \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence in \mathcal{Y} . Since $T(\mathcal{Y}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ and \mathcal{W} is an injective cogenerator for \mathcal{X} , we have exact sequences $0 \rightarrow T(Y_1) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} W_1 \rightarrow \text{Coker}\delta_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow T(Y_3) \xrightarrow{\delta_3} W_3 \rightarrow \text{Coker}\delta_3 \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{A} with $W_i \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\text{Coker}\delta_i \in \mathcal{X}$ for $i = 1, 3$. Since $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(T(Y_3), W_1) = 0$, we have the following exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T(Y_1) & \longrightarrow & T(Y_2) & \longrightarrow & T(Y_3) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \delta_1 \downarrow & & \delta_2 \downarrow & & \delta_3 \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & W_1 & \longrightarrow & W_1 \oplus W_3 & \longrightarrow & W_3 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}\delta_1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}\delta_2 & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}\delta_3 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0. \end{array}$$

Hence we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} W_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix}_{\delta_1} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} W_1 \oplus W_3 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix}_{\delta_2} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} W_3 \\ Y_3 \end{pmatrix}_{\delta_3} \rightarrow 0$. So it is enough to show that $\Sigma\overline{r} \rightarrow \overline{r}\Sigma$ is a natural isomorphism by Remark 3.6. Let $g : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ be a morphism in \mathcal{Y} . Since \mathcal{W} is an injective cogenerator for \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{V} is an injective cogenerator for \mathcal{Y} , we have the following exact commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & V_1 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & Y'_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & g \downarrow & & t \downarrow & & s \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Y_2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & V_2 & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & Y'_2 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T(Y'_1) & \xrightarrow{l_1} & W'_1 & \longrightarrow & X_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow T(s) & & \downarrow k & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T(Y'_2) & \xrightarrow{l_2} & W'_2 & \longrightarrow & X_2 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

such that $V_i \in \mathcal{V}$, $Y'_i \in \mathcal{Y}$, $W'_i \in \mathcal{W}$ and $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since $T(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq \mathcal{W}$, it follows that $\bar{r}(Y_i) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} T(V_i) \\ Y_i \end{smallmatrix} \right)_{T\alpha_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus we have the following exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \left(\begin{smallmatrix} T(V_1) \\ Y_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_{T(\alpha_1)} & \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \alpha_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)} & \left(\begin{smallmatrix} T(V_1) \oplus W'_1 \\ V_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_{(l_1 T(\beta_1))} & \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \beta_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)} & \left(\begin{smallmatrix} W'_1 \\ Y'_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_{l_1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} T(t) \\ g \end{smallmatrix} \right) & & \downarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} T(t) & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{smallmatrix} \right) & & \downarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} k \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right) \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \left(\begin{smallmatrix} T(V_2) \\ Y_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_{T(\alpha_2)} & \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \alpha_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right)} & \left(\begin{smallmatrix} T(V_2) \oplus W'_2 \\ V_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_{(l_2 T(\beta_2))} & \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \beta_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right)} & \left(\begin{smallmatrix} W'_2 \\ Y'_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_{l_2} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since the sequence $0 \longrightarrow T(V_i) \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ l_i T(\beta_i) \end{smallmatrix} \right]} T(V_i) \oplus W'_i \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} -l_i T(\beta_i) & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]} W'_i \longrightarrow 0$ is exact with $W'_i \in \mathcal{W}$ for any $i = 1, 2$, it follows that $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} T(V_i) \oplus W'_i \\ V_i \end{smallmatrix} \right)_{(l_i T(\beta_i))} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{W}}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Hence $\Sigma \bar{r}(Y_i) = \Sigma \left(\begin{smallmatrix} T(V_i) \\ Y_i \end{smallmatrix} \right)_{T\alpha_i} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} W'_i \\ Y'_i \end{smallmatrix} \right)_{l_i} = \bar{r} \Sigma(Y_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus $\Sigma \bar{r} \rightarrow \bar{r} \Sigma$ is a natural isomorphism. So \bar{r} is a right triangulated functor, as desired.

By the above arguments, we have the desired recollement (1.2) of right triangulated categories in Theorem 1.2.

Finally, we assume that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W})$ and $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{V})$ are strong left Frobenius pairs, then $(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{W}})$ is a strong left Frobenius pair in $(T \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ by Proposition 2.8. Thus $\bar{\mathcal{X}}, \bar{\mathcal{Y}}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\mathcal{X}}$ are triangulated categories by Remark 3.7. So (1.2) is also a recollement of triangulated categories by the proof above. This completes the proof. \square

4. Applications

4.1. Monomorphism categories. Let $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ be a triangular matrix algebra, where the bimodule ${}_R M_S$ is finitely generated over a pair of Artin algebras R and S . By [34], the R - S -bimodule M satisfies the condition (IP), that is, $M \otimes_S D(S_S)$ is an injective left R -module and M_S is projective, where D is the duality for Artin algebras. Recall in [34] that the monomorphism category $\mathcal{M}(R, M, S)$ induced by bimodule ${}_R M_S$ is the subcategory of Λ -mod consisting of $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ Y \end{smallmatrix} \right)_{\phi}$ such that $\phi : M \otimes_S Y$ is a monic R -map. Note that for any Artin algebra A , $(A\text{-mod}, \text{inj}A)$ is a left Frobenius pair, where $\text{inj}A$ is the subcategory of injective A -modules. As an application of Theorems 1.2, we refine [34, Theorem 1.3].

Corollary 4.1. [34, Theorem 1.3] *An R - S -bimodule M satisfying the condition (IP) induces a recollement of right triangulated categories*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \overline{q} & & \overline{T_{\mathcal{D}}} & \\
 & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\
 R\text{-mod} & \xrightarrow{\overline{Z_{\mathcal{M}}}} & \mathcal{M}(R, M, S) & \xrightarrow{\overline{U_{\mathcal{D}}}} & S\text{-mod} \\
 & \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright & \\
 & \overline{U_{\mathcal{M}}} & & \overline{\tau} &
 \end{array}$$

If in addition R and S are selfinjective algebras, then it is in fact a recollement of triangulated categories.

Proof. Since $(R\text{-mod}, \text{inj}R)$ and $(S\text{-mod}, \text{inj}S)$ are left Frobenius pairs, we get the desired recollement of right triangulated categories by Theorem 1.2. If in addition R and S are selfinjective algebras, then $(R\text{-mod}, \text{inj}R)$ and $(S\text{-mod}, \text{inj}S)$ are strong left Frobenius pairs. Thus the desired recollement is the recollement of triangulated categories by Theorem 1.2. \square

4.2. Flat modules and Gorenstein flat modules. For any associative ring R with identity. A left R -module N is called *Gorenstein flat* [13, 22] if there is an exact sequence of flat left R -modules

$$\mathbf{F} = \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

with $N = \text{Ker}(F_0 \rightarrow F^0)$ such that $Q \otimes_R \mathbf{F}$ is exact for any injective right R -module Q . Let $\mathcal{GF}(R)$ be the full subcategory of $R\text{-Mod}$ consisting of all Gorenstein flat modules. It is well known that $(\mathcal{F}(R), \mathcal{C}(R))$, where $\mathcal{F}(R)$ is the class of flat left R -modules and $\mathcal{C}(R) = \mathcal{F}(R)^\perp$ is the class of cotorsion left R -modules, is a complete hereditary cotorsion pair. Recently Šaroch and Šťovíček have shown in [32] that $(\mathcal{GF}(R), \mathcal{GF}(R)^\perp)$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion pair regardless of the ring R and $\mathcal{GF}(R) \cap \mathcal{GF}(R)^\perp = \mathcal{F}(R) \cap \mathcal{C}(R)$. Thus $(\mathcal{GF}(R), \mathcal{F}(R) \cap \mathcal{C}(R))$ and $(\mathcal{F}(R), \mathcal{F}(R) \cap \mathcal{C}(R))$ are left Frobenius pairs by Example 2.5(2).

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ be a triangular matrix ring.*

- (1) ([19, Theorem 3.1]) *A left Λ -module $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\varphi$ is a projective left Λ -module if and only if Y is a projective left S -module and $\varphi : M \otimes_S Y \rightarrow X$ is a monic R -map with a projective cokernel.*
- (2) ([14, Proposition 1.14]) *A left Λ -module $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\varphi$ is a flat left Λ -module if and only if Y is a flat left S -module and $\varphi : M \otimes_S Y \rightarrow X$ is a monic R -map with a flat cokernel.*

Recall that a left R -module N is flat-cotorsion if it is both flat and cotorsion. By the Lemma 4.2(2) and [26, Corollary 4.3], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} R & R \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\varphi$ is flat-cotorsion if and only if Y is flat-cotorsion and $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ is a monic R -map with a flat-cotorsion cokernel.*

As a consequence of Lemma 4.3, [25, Theorem 2.3] and Theorem 1.2, we obtain the following recollements of right triangulated categories; one can compare it with [37, Theorem 4.12].

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} R & R \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$ be a triangular matrix ring. Then we have the recollements of right triangulated categories*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \bar{q} & & \overline{T_{\mathcal{A}}} & \\
 & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\
 \overline{\mathcal{F}(R)} & \xrightarrow{\overline{Z_{\mathcal{A}}}} & \overline{\mathcal{F}(\Lambda)} & \xrightarrow{\overline{U_{\mathcal{A}}}} & \overline{\mathcal{F}(R)} \\
 & \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright & \\
 & \overline{U_{\mathcal{A}}} & & \bar{\tau} &
 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \bar{q} & & \overline{T_{\mathcal{A}}} & \\
 & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\
 \overline{\mathcal{GF}(R)} & \xrightarrow{\overline{Z_{\mathcal{A}}}} & \overline{\mathcal{GF}(\Lambda)} & \xrightarrow{\overline{U_{\mathcal{A}}}} & \overline{\mathcal{GF}(R)} \\
 & \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright & \\
 & \overline{U_{\mathcal{A}}} & & \bar{\tau} &
 \end{array} .$$

4.3. Gorenstein projective modules and Gorenstein projective complexes.

Lemma 4.5. [30, Theorem 1.1] *Let $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ be a triangular matrix ring with $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$ and $\text{fd} M_S < \infty$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi}$ is a Gorenstein projective left T -module if and only if Y is a Gorenstein left S -module and $\varphi : M \otimes_S Y \rightarrow X$ is a monic R -map with a Gorenstein projective cokernel.*

As an application of Theorem 1.2, we have the following recollement of triangulated categories. One can compare it with [37, Corollary 4.8] and [30, Corollary 4.4]. Also, our proof here is different from that in [37] and [30].

Corollary 4.6. *Let $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ be a triangular matrix ring with $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$ and $\text{fd} M_S < \infty$. If ${}_R M \otimes_S G \in \mathcal{GP}(R)$ for any $G \in \mathcal{GP}(S)$, then we have the following recollement of triangulated categories.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \bar{q} & & \overline{T_{\mathcal{A}}} & \\
 & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\
 \overline{\mathcal{GP}(R)} & \xrightarrow{\overline{Z_{\mathcal{A}}}} & \overline{\mathcal{GP}(\Lambda)} & \xrightarrow{\overline{U_{\mathcal{A}}}} & \overline{\mathcal{GP}(S)} \\
 & \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright & \\
 & \overline{U_{\mathcal{A}}} & & \bar{\tau} &
 \end{array}$$

Proof. Since $\text{fd}(M_S) < \infty$, $\text{id}({}_S \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) < \infty$. So we have the following

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{Tor}_i^S(M, G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Ext}_S^i(G, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) = 0$$

for any $G \in \mathcal{GP}(S)$ and all $i \geq 1$. Thus $\text{Tor}_i^S(M, G) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. For any projective S -module Q , there exists a projective S -module P such that $Q \oplus P \cong S^{(I)}$. $M \otimes_S (Q \oplus P) \cong M \otimes_S S^{(I)} = M^{(I)}$ implies $\text{pd}_R(M \otimes_S Q) \leq \text{pd}_R M < \infty$. Hence $M \otimes_S Q$ is projective as $M \otimes_S Q$ is Gorenstein projective by hypothesis. So the corollary follows from Example 2.5(1), Lemma 4.5 and Theorem 1.2. \square

Recall from [35, Theorem 2.2] that a complex X^{\bullet} in $\text{Ch}(R)$ is Gorenstein projective if and only if X^n is a Gorenstein projective module for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 4.7. [23, Corollary 4.9] *Let $(e \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ be a comma category in Example 2.3(4). Then $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y^{\bullet} \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi}$ is a Gorenstein projective object in $(e \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ if and only if Y^{\bullet} is a Gorenstein projective object in $\text{Ch}(R)$ and $\varphi : Y^0 \rightarrow X$ is a monic R -map with a Gorenstein projective cokernel.*

Thus we have the following recollement of triangulated categories by Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 4.7.

Corollary 4.8. *Let $(e \downarrow \mathcal{A})$ be a comma category in Example 2.3(4). Then we get the following recollement of triangulated categories.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \overline{q} & & \overline{T_{\mathcal{B}}} & \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\ \overline{\mathcal{GP}(\mathcal{A})} & \xrightarrow{\overline{Z_{\mathcal{A}}}} & \overline{\mathcal{GP}(\mathcal{T} \downarrow \mathcal{A})} & \xrightarrow{\overline{U_{\mathcal{B}}}} & \overline{\mathcal{GP}(\mathcal{B})} \\ & \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright & \\ & \overline{U_{\mathcal{A}}} & & \overline{\tau} & \end{array}$$

4.4. Ding projective modules. Ding et al. in [12] introduced and studied the notion of strongly Gorenstein flat modules. Since strongly Gorenstein flat modules admit nice analogous properties with Gorenstein projective modules, Gillespie renamed these modules by Ding projective modules. We denote by $\mathcal{DP}(R)$ the class of Ding projective modules over any ring R . For more details on Ding projective modules, we refer to [12, 17].

Recently, Mao in [27] studied the structure of Ding projective modules over formal triangular matrix rings and obtained the following conclusion.

Lemma 4.9. [27, Theorem 3.4] *Let $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ be a triangular matrix ring with $\text{fd}_R M < \infty$ and $\text{fd}_S M < \infty$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{\varphi}$ is a Ding projective left T -module if and only if Y is a Ding projective left S -module and $\varphi : M \otimes_S Y \rightarrow X$ is a monic R -map with a Ding projective cokernel.*

As an application of Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 4.9, we have the following recollement.

Corollary 4.10. *Let $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ be a triangular matrix ring with $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$ and $\text{fd}_S M < \infty$. If ${}_R M \otimes_S G \in \mathcal{DP}(R)$ for any $G \in \mathcal{DP}(S)$, then we have the following recollement of triangulated categories.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \overline{q} & & \overline{T_{\mathcal{B}}} & \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\ \overline{\mathcal{DP}(R)} & \xrightarrow{\overline{Z_{\mathcal{A}}}} & \overline{\mathcal{DP}(\Lambda)} & \xrightarrow{\overline{U_{\mathcal{B}}}} & \overline{\mathcal{DP}(S)} \\ & \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright & \\ & \overline{U_{\mathcal{A}}} & & \overline{\tau} & \end{array}$$

Proof. Since Ding projective modules are Gorenstein projective modules, $\text{Tor}_i^S(M, G) = 0$ for any $G \in \mathcal{DP}(S)$ and all $i \geq 1$ by the proof of Corollary 4.6. So this corollary follows from Theorem 1.2, Example 2.5(1) and Lemma 4.9. \square

4.5. Gorenstein flat-cotorsion modules. To push the Gorenstein flat dimension beyond the setting of coherent rings, Christensen et. al introduced the concept of Gorenstein flat-cotorsion modules in [29]. A left R -module N is called *Gorenstein flat-cotorsion* [29] if there is an exact sequence of flat-cotorsion modules

$$\mathbf{C} = \cdots \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow C^0 \rightarrow C^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

with $N = \text{Ker}(C_0 \rightarrow C^0)$ such that $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{C}, C)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(C, \mathbf{C})$ are exact for any flat-cotorsion left R -module C . Let $\mathcal{GFC}(R)$ be the class of Gorenstein flat-cotorsion modules. Then $(\mathcal{GFC}(R), \mathcal{F}(R) \cap \mathcal{C}(R))$ is a strong Frobenius pair.

For any triangular matrix ring $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} R & R \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$, a left Λ -module $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\varphi$ is Gorenstein flat-cotorsion if and only if Y is Gorenstein flat-cotorsion and φ is a monic R -map with a Gorenstein flat-cotorsion cokernel by a similar proof to that of [30, Theorem 1.1]. Then we have the following recollement of triangulated categories by Theorem 1.2 and Example 2.5(2).

Corollary 4.11. *Let $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} R & R \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$ be a triangular matrix ring. Then we have the following recollement of triangulated categories*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \overline{\eta} & & \overline{T_{\mathcal{B}}} & \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\ \overline{\mathcal{GFC}}(R) & \xrightarrow{\overline{Z_{\mathcal{A}}}} & \overline{\mathcal{GFC}}(\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\overline{U_{\mathcal{B}}}} & \overline{\mathcal{GFC}}(R) \\ & \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright & \\ & \overline{U_{\mathcal{A}}} & & \overline{\tau} & \end{array} .$$

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Angeleri Hügel, S. Koenig and Q.H. Liu, Recollements and tilting objects, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 215 (2011) 420–438.
- [2] M. Auslander, R. O. Buchweitz, The homological theory of maximal Cohen-Macaulay approximations, *Mem. Soc. Math. France* 38 (1989) 5–37.
- [3] V. Becerril, O. Mendoza, M. A. Pérez and V. Santiago, Frobenius pairs in abelian categories: Correspondences with cotorsions pairs, exact model categories, and Auslander-Buchweitz contexts, *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.* 14 (2019) 1-50.
- [4] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne, Faisceaux Pervers (Perverse sheaves), in: *Analysis and Topology on Singular Spaces, I*, Luminy, 1981, *Asterisque* 100 (1982) 5-171 (in French).
- [5] A. Beligiannis, I. Reiten, Homological and homotopical aspects of torsion theories, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 188 (2007) 1-207.
- [6] A. Beligiannis, N. Marmaridis, Left triangulated categories arising from contravariantly finite subcategories. *Commun. Algebra* 22(12)(1994) 5021–5036.
- [7] R. O. Buchweitz, Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules and Tate cohomology over Gorenstein rings, Hamburg, 1987, 155 pp, unpublished manuscript.
- [8] H. Cartan, S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1956.
- [9] X. W. Chen, J. Le, Recollements, comma categories and morphic enhancements, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb., Sect. A*, 2021, DOI: 10.1017/prm.2021.8
- [10] H. X. Chen, C. C. Xi, Good tilting modules and recollements of derived module categories, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 104 (2012) 959-996.
- [11] H. X. Chen, C. C. Xi, Recollements of derived categories I: Construction from exact contexts, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 225 (2021) 106648, 17 pp.
- [12] N. Q. Ding, Y. L. Li and L. X. Mao, Strongly Gorenstein flat modules, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 86 (2009) 323-338.
- [13] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 2000.
- [14] R. M. Fossum, P. A. Griffith and I. Reiten, *Trivial Extension of Abelian Categories*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 456. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- [15] N. Gao, S. Koenig and C. Psaroudakis, Ladders of recollements of abelian categories, *J. Algebra* 579 (2021) 256–302.
- [16] J. Gillespie, Model structures on exact categories, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 215 (2011) 2892-2902.
- [17] J. Gillespie, Model structures on modules over Ding-Chen rings, *Homology, Homotopy Appl.* 12 (2010) 61-73.

- [18] R. Göbel, J. Trlifaj, *Approximations and Endomorphism Algebras of Modules*, Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2006.
- [19] A. Haghany, K. Varadarajan, Study of modules over formal triangular matrix rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 147 (2000) 41–58.
- [20] D. Happel, Reduction techniques for homological conjectures, *Tsukuba J. Math.* 17 (1993) 115–130.
- [21] D. Happel, *Triangulated Categories in the Representation of Finite Dimensional Algebras*, vol. 119, Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [22] H. Holm, Gorenstein homological dimensions, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 189 (2004) 167–193.
- [23] J. S. Hu, H. Y. Zhu, Special precovering in comma categories, *Sci. China Math.* 2021, DOI: 10.1007/s11425-020-1790-9 64.
- [24] R. MacPherson, K. Vilonen, Elementary construction of perverse sheaves, *Invent. Math.* 84 (1986) 403–436.
- [25] L. X. Mao, Gorenstein flat modules and dimensions over formal triangular matrix rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 224 (2020) 106207.
- [26] L. X. Mao. Cotorsion pairs and approximation classes over formal triangular matrix rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 224 (2020) 106271.
- [27] L. X. Mao, Ding modules and dimensions over formal triangular matrix rings, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* 224 (2021) 1–22.
- [28] N. Marmaridis, Comma categories in representation theory, *Comm. Algebra* 11 (1983) 1919–1943.
- [29] L. W. Christensen, S. Estrada, L. Liang, P. Thompson, D. J. Wu and G. Yang, A refinement of Gorenstein flat dimension via the flat-cotorsion theory, *J. Algebra* 567 (2021) 346–370.
- [30] H. H. Li, Y. F. Zheng, J. S. Hu and H. Y. Zhu, Gorenstein projective modules and recollements over triangular matrix rings, *Comm. Algebra* 11 (2020) 4932–4947.
- [31] C. Psaroudakis, A representation-theoretic approach to recollements of abelian categories, in: *Surveys in Representation Theory of Algebras*, in: *Contemporary Mathematics*, vol.716, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018, pp. 67–154.
- [32] J. Šaroch and J. Štovíček, Singular compactness and definability for Σ -cotorsion and Gorenstein modules. *Selecta Math.* 26 (23) (2020) 40pp.
- [33] M. X. Wang, Z. Q. Lin, Recollement of additive quotient categories, arXiv: 1502.00479v1.
- [34] B. L. Xiong, Y. H. Zhang and P. Zhang, Bimodule monomorphism categories and RSS equivalences via cotilting modules, *J. Algebra* 503 (2018) 21–55.
- [35] X. Y. Yang, Z. K. Liu, Gorenstein projective, injective, and flat complexes, *Comm. Algebra* 39 (2011) 1705–1721.
- [36] P. Zhang, Gorenstein-projective modules and symmetric recollements. *J. Algebra* 388 (2013) 65–80.
- [37] R. M. Zhu, Y. Y. Peng and N. Q. Ding, Recollements associated to cotorsion pairs over upper triangular matrix rings, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 98 (2021) 83–113.

Yajun Ma

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China.

E-mail: 13919042158@163.com

Jiangsheng Hu

School of Mathematics and Physics, Jiangsu University of Technology, Changzhou, Jiangsu 213001, China.

E-mail: jiangshenghu@hotmail.com

Rongmin Zhu

School of Mathematical Sciences, Huaqiao University, Quanzhou 362021, China.

E-mail: rongminzhu@hotmail.com