

Beta-star polytopes and hyperbolic stochastic geometry

Thomas Godland^a

Zakhar Kabluchko^b

Christoph Thäle^c

Abstract

Motivated by problems of hyperbolic stochastic geometry we introduce and study the class of beta-star polytopes. A beta-star polytope is defined as the convex hull of an inhomogeneous Poisson processes on the complement of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d with density proportional to $(\|x\|^2 - 1)^{-\beta}$, where $\|x\| > 1$ and $\beta > d/2$. Explicit formulas for various geometric and combinatorial functionals associated with beta-star polytopes are provided, including the expected number of k -dimensional faces, the expected external angle sums and the expected intrinsic volumes. Beta-star polytopes are relevant in the context of hyperbolic stochastic geometry, since they are tightly connected to the typical cell of a Poisson-Voronoi tessellation as well as the zero cell of a Poisson hyperplane tessellation in hyperbolic space. The general results for beta-star polytopes are used to provide explicit formulas for the expected f -vector of the typical hyperbolic Poisson-Voronoi cell and the hyperbolic Poisson zero cell. Their asymptotics for large intensities and their monotonicity behaviour is discussed as well. Finally, stochastic geometry in the de Sitter half-space is studied as the hyperbolic analogue to recent investigations about random cones generated by random points on half-spheres in spherical or conical stochastic geometry.

Keywords. Beta-star polytope, beta-star set, de Sitter space, expected angle sum, expected f -vector, hyperbolic space, hyperbolic stochastic geometry, Poisson hyperplane tessellation, Poisson process, Poisson-Voronoi tessellation, zero cell, typical cell

MSC 2010. Primary: 51M10, 52A22, 60D05; Secondary: 52A55, 60F05.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Statement of the main results	6
2.1	Definition and existence of beta* polytopes	6
2.2	The T -functional	7
2.3	Expected f -vector	9
3	Applications and special cases	10
3.1	Basics from hyperbolic geometry	11
3.2	Poisson hyperplane tessellations in the hyperbolic space	12
3.2.1	A family of hyperbolic hyperplane tessellations	13
3.2.2	Poisson zero cell and the beta* polytope	13
3.2.3	Expected f -vector of the hyperbolic Poisson zero cell	15
3.3	Poisson-Voronoi tessellations in the hyperbolic space	17
3.3.1	Reduction to beta* polytopes	17
3.3.2	Expected f -vector of the typical hyperbolic Poisson-Voronoi cell	19
3.4	Asymptotics of beta* polytopes for large intensities and monotonicity	20

^aUniversity of Münster, Germany. Email: thomas.godland@uni-muenster.de

^bUniversity of Münster, Germany. Email: zakhar.kabluchko@uni-muenster.de

^cRuhr University Bochum, Germany. Email: christoph.thaele@rub.de

3.5	Stochastic geometry in de Sitter space	22
3.6	The case $\beta = (d + 2)/2$	26
4	Boundedness of cells and existence of β^* polytopes: Proofs	26
4.1	Coverings by arcs and caps	26
4.2	Proofs of Theorems 3.3 and 2.4	27
4.3	Proofs of Theorems 3.4 and 2.5	29
5	Properties of β^* intensities	29
5.1	Projections	30
5.2	Canonical decomposition	31
6	Expected T-functional and intrinsic volumes: Proofs	35
7	Expected f-vector and external angle sums: Proofs	38
7.1	Expected external angle sums: Proof of Theorem 2.10	38
7.2	Expected f -vector: Proof of Theorem 2.9	41
8	Asymptotics for large intensities and monotonicity: Proofs	44
8.1	Proof of Theorem 3.13	44
8.2	Proof of Proposition 3.15	46
8.3	Monotonicity: Proof of Theorem 3.16	47
9	Special cases and small dimensions: Proofs	49
9.1	Typical Poisson-Voronoi cells in small dimensions: Proof of Corollary 3.12	49
9.2	The case $\beta = (d + 1)/2$: Proof of Theorem 3.5	49
9.3	The case $\beta = (d + 2)/2$: Proof of Theorem 3.22	50
	References	51

1 Introduction

Stochastic geometry is concerned with the analysis of complex spatial random structures. While traditionally research has been centred around models in Euclidean space, the focus has partially moved to stochastic geometry models in non-Euclidean spaces in the last years, most notably to spaces of constant curvature $+1$ and -1 . As examples which are most relevant to our situation we mention here the studies on hyperbolic random geometric graphs [11, 17, 18], hyperbolic random polytopes [8, 10, 9], hyperbolic Poisson line or hyperplane tessellations [23, 42, 48, 53] and hyperbolic Poisson-Voronoi (or Poisson-Delaunay) tessellations [6, 5, 13, 21, 28, 29, 41].

In the focus of the present paper are the typical cell of a Poisson-Voronoi tessellation and the zero cell of a Poisson hyperplane tessellation in a d -dimensional hyperbolic space. We start by a description of these two objects. For this, let

$$\mathbb{H}^d := \{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : x_0^2 - x_1^2 - \dots - x_d^2 = 1, x_0 > 0\}$$

be the hyperboloid model for a d -dimensional hyperbolic space, $d \in \mathbb{N}$. It is well known that via the so-called gnomonic projection $\Pi_{\text{gn}} : \mathbb{H}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^d$ it can be identified with the Klein model in the d -dimensional open unit ball \mathbb{B}^d and via the stereographic projection $\Pi_{\text{st}} : \mathbb{H}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^d$ with the Poincaré model in \mathbb{B}^d ; see Section 3.1 for details and further explanations.




Figure 1.1: Simulation of a Poisson-Voronoi tessellation (left panel) and a Poisson hyperplane tessellation (right panel) in the Poincaré disk model of the hyperbolic plane.

Poisson-Voronoi tessellation of the hyperbolic space. For $\lambda > 0$ let $\eta_{d,\lambda}$ be a stationary Poisson process in \mathbb{H}^d with intensity $\lambda > 0$. More precisely, this means that $\eta_{d,\lambda}$ is a Poisson process whose intensity measure is a multiple λ of the d -dimensional hyperbolic volume measure on \mathbb{H}^d . In particular, this implies that the law of $\eta_{d,\lambda}$ is invariant under all isometries of \mathbb{H}^d . If $d_{\text{hyp}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the hyperbolic distance on \mathbb{H}^d , we can associate with each point $x \in \eta_{d,\lambda}$ its *Voronoi cell*

$$V(x; \eta_{d,\lambda}) := \{y \in \mathbb{H}^d : d_{\text{hyp}}(x, y) \leq d_{\text{hyp}}(z, y) \text{ for all } z \in \eta_{d,\lambda}\}.$$

In other words, $V(x; \eta_{d,\lambda})$ is the set of all points of \mathbb{H}^d that are closer to x than to any other point of $\eta_{d,\lambda}$, in the sense of the hyperbolic distance. As in the Euclidean case, it is not hard to verify that each Voronoi cell is a hyperbolic random polytope. The collection of all such Voronoi cells is the *hyperbolic Poisson-Voronoi tessellation* $\mathcal{V}_{d,\lambda}$ with intensity λ ; see the left panel of Figure 1.1 showing it in the Poincaré model. We are interested in what is known as the *typical cell* $V_{d,\lambda}^{\text{typ}}$ of $\mathcal{V}_{d,\lambda}$. Intuitively, one can think of $V_{d,\lambda}^{\text{typ}}$ as a randomly selected cell of $\mathcal{V}_{d,\lambda}$, where each cell has the same chance of being selected, independently of size and shape. Formally, the distribution of $V_{d,\lambda}^{\text{typ}}$ can be defined using Palm calculus. However, there is an alternative way based on Slivnyak's theorem for Poisson processes. In fact, if $e = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ denotes the apex of the hyperboloid \mathbb{H}^d we may define $V_{d,\lambda}^{\text{typ}}$ as the Voronoi cell of e if the point e is added to the Poisson process $\eta_{d,\lambda}$:

$$V_{d,\lambda}^{\text{typ}} := \{y \in \mathbb{H}^d : d_{\text{hyp}}(y, e) \leq d_{\text{hyp}}(y, z) \text{ for all } z \in \eta_{d,\lambda}\}. \quad (1.1)$$

In Theorem 3.10 below we shall identify the distribution (of the gnomonic projection) of $V_{d,\lambda}^{\text{typ}}$.

Poisson hyperplane tessellation of the hyperbolic space. To describe the next model, we fix $\lambda > 0$ and consider a Poisson process $\xi_{d,\lambda}$ on the space $A_h(d, d-1)$ of hyperbolic hyperplanes in \mathbb{H}^d (which are defined as intersections of linear hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} with \mathbb{H}^d). The intensity measure of $\xi_{d,\lambda}$ is chosen to be the following infinite measure on $A_h(d, d-1)$:

$$\mu_{d,\lambda}(\cdot) := \lambda \int_{\mathbb{S}_e^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty (\cosh \theta)^{d-1} \mathbb{1}_{\{H_e(u, \theta) \in \cdot\}} d\theta \sigma_{d-1;e}(du).$$




Figure 1.2: Beta* polytopes in dimension $d = 2$ with $\beta = 3$ and $\beta = 6$.

Here, $e = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the apex (or the origin) of \mathbb{H}^d , \mathbb{S}_e^{d-1} stands for the (hyperbolic) unit sphere in \mathbb{H}^d centred at e , $\sigma_{d-1;e}$ is the normalized spherical Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{S}_e^{d-1} , and $H_e(u, \theta)$ denotes the unique hyperbolic hyperplane orthogonal to u having hyperbolic distance θ to e . It is known that the measure $\mu_{d,\lambda}$ is the unique (up to a multiplicative constant) measure on $A_h(d, d-1)$ which is invariant under all isometries of \mathbb{H}^d ; see [47] and, in particular, Equation (17.54) on p. 309 there. Equivalently, we can describe $\xi_{d,\lambda}$ as follows: the hyperbolic distances from e to the hyperplanes from $\xi_{d,\lambda}$ form a Poisson process on $(0, \infty)$ with Lebesgue intensity $\theta \mapsto \cosh^{d-1} \theta$, while their normal directions are independent and uniformly distributed on the unit sphere. The hyperplanes from $\xi_{d,\lambda}$ decompose the space \mathbb{H}^d into random subsets with pairwise disjoint interiors; see the right panel of Figure 1.1 for a realization in the Poincaré model. With probability one, there is a unique such set containing the origin e . We refer to this set as the *hyperbolic Poisson zero cell* and denote it by $Z_{d,\lambda}^0$. Remarkably and in contrast to the case of the typical Voronoi cell described above, it is known for $d = 2$ from [4, 42, 53] (and can also be concluded from a paper of Hoffmann-Jørgensen [24] for general d , as we shall demonstrate) that $Z_{d,\lambda}^0$ is a *bounded* hyperbolic random polytope only if the intensity parameter λ lies above a certain critical value, while below this value $Z_{d,\lambda}^0$ is hyperbolically unbounded with positive probability. In the forthcoming Theorem 3.1 we shall identify the precise distribution (again, of the gnomonic projection) of $Z_{d,\lambda}^0$.

Beta* sets. The connection between the typical cell $V_{d,\lambda}^{\text{typ}}$ and the zero cell $Z_{d,\lambda}^0$ comes from the fact that, under gnomonic projection and after application of convex duality/polarity, both random polytopes can be identified with the convex hull of an inhomogeneous Poisson process on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{\mathbb{B}}^d$ whose Lebesgue intensity is proportional to $(\|x\|^2 - 1)^{-\beta}$, for $\|x\| > 1$; see Figure 1.2. As it turns out, in the Voronoi case one has that $\beta = d$, whereas one has to choose $\beta = (d+1)/2$ in case of the Poisson zero cell. This motivates an independent study of what we call a *beta* set* (or a *beta-star set*), which is defined as the closed convex hull of the atoms of the Poisson process mentioned above. In general, this Poisson process has a countably infinite number of atoms and the beta* set is a closed convex set. However, for some values of parameters it turns out that a *finite* subset of these atoms suffices to generate this closed convex hull, in which case we speak of a *beta* polytope*.

Summary of the main results. Our main results can roughly be summarized as follows:

- (a) We provide conditions on the parameters β , d , and an additional intensity parameter which we call α , under which a beta* set is a polytope; see Theorem 2.4.
- (b) We compute the expectation of the so-called T -functional of beta* sets; see Theorem 2.6. As a consequence, we derive a formula for the expected intrinsic volumes of the beta* set; see Proposition 2.7.
- (c) We compute the expected f -vector (i.e., the expected number of faces of any given dimension) of a beta* polytope; see Theorem 2.9. The main tool is the so-called canonical decomposition of the beta* intensity which we state and prove in Theorem 5.3. We also compute the expected external angle sums of beta* polytopes in Theorem 2.10.
- (d) We show that the typical Voronoi cell in the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^d is related to the beta* polytope with $\beta = d$ and compute its expected f -vector; see Theorems 3.10 and 3.11.
- (e) We introduce a class of (in general, non-stationary) Poisson hyperplane tessellations in the hyperbolic space and show that the zero cells of these tessellations are related to beta* polytopes by convex duality; see Theorem 3.1. This fact can be used to compute their expected f -vectors. The stationary hyperplane tessellation is included as a special case; see Theorem 3.5.
- (f) We analyse the behaviour of beta* polytopes as the intensity parameter goes to ∞ and show that they converge to their Euclidean counterparts; see Theorem 3.14. We also prove that the convergence of the expected f -vectors takes place in a strictly decreasing way; see Theorem 3.16.

Related polytopes. The results listed above complement our findings for beta and beta' polytopes in [30, 31, 33, 34, 36, 37], which in turn have found application to Voronoi and hyperplane tessellations in Euclidean and spherical spaces. The beta polytopes are defined as convex hulls of i.i.d. samples in the unit ball \mathbb{B}^d with density proportional to $(1 - \|x\|^2)^\beta$, $\|x\| < 1$. Similarly, beta' polytopes are defined as convex hulls of i.i.d. samples in \mathbb{R}^d with density proportional to $(1 + \|x\|^2)^{-\beta}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. As we have shown in the above mentioned papers, the beta' polytopes are related to Voronoi and hyperplane tessellations on the sphere and to random polytopes in the half-sphere. In the present paper we will show that the beta* polytopes are related to similar objects in the hyperbolic space and the de Sitter half-space. Moreover, the infinite intensity limits of both, the beta' and beta* polytopes, which are called Poisson polytopes and defined as convex hulls of Poisson processes with intensities proportional to $\|x\|^{-2\beta}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, are related to Voronoi and hyperplane tessellations of the Euclidean space. The beta and beta' distributions with densities proportional to $(1 - \|x\|^2)^\beta$, $\|x\| < 1$, and $(1 + \|x\|^2)^{-\beta}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, (together with the Gaussian distribution and the uniform distribution on the sphere, which are their limit cases) were characterized by Ruben and Miles [45] as the only probability distributions satisfying the so-called canonical decomposition property. The beta* intensity proportional to $(\|x\|^2 - 1)^{-\beta}$, $\|x\| > 1$, defines an *infinite* measure (for $d \geq 2$) and does not appear in the classification of Ruben and Miles, although it also satisfies a variant of canonical decomposition, as we shall show in Theorem 5.3.

Structure of the paper. Our main results on beta* polytopes will be stated in Section 2. Applications to hyperbolic stochastic geometry will be presented in Section 3. The remaining sections contain proofs.

Notation. We write $\|\cdot\|$ for the Euclidean norm and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for the Euclidean scalar product in \mathbb{R}^d . We denote by $\mathbb{B}^d := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| < 1\}$ the open unit ball and by $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^d := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ its closure. Following the book of Schneider and Weil [50, p. 13] we write κ_d for the volume of

\mathbb{B}^d and ω_d for the surface area (that is, the $(d-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure) of the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| = 1\}$ in \mathbb{R}^d . It is well known that

$$\kappa_d = \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} + 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_d = d\kappa_d = \frac{d\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} + 1)} = \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}.$$

By $\text{conv}(A)$, $\text{aff}(A)$ and $\text{lin}(A)$ we indicate the convex, the affine and the linear hull of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, respectively.

2 Statement of the main results

2.1 Definition and existence of beta* polytopes

Let us define the objects we are interested in. Fix some space dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$. For $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > d/2$ we denote by $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ a Poisson process on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| > 1\}$ whose intensity $f_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ (with respect to the Lebesgue measure) is given by

$$f_{d,\alpha,\beta}(x) = \frac{\alpha \tilde{c}_{d,\beta}}{(\|x\|^2 - 1)^\beta}, \quad \|x\| > 1, \quad \tilde{c}_{d,\beta} := \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma(\beta - \frac{d}{2})}. \quad (2.1)$$

It should be observed that although $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ does not have points inside the unit ball $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$, the points of $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ accumulate in an outside neighbourhood of the boundary of \mathbb{B}^d , as we shall see in a moment (see also Figure 1.2). Introducing the constant $\tilde{c}_{d,\beta}$, which may look unnatural at a first sight, will prove convenient at many places, for example in Lemma 5.1. For background information and properties of Poisson processes we refer to [38] and [44, Chapter 3].

Definition 2.1 (beta* sets). The closed convex hull of the atoms of $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ is denoted by $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ and called a *beta* set* with parameters $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > d/2$.

Realizations of beta* sets are shown on Figure 1.2. We record some basic properties of the Poisson process $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta > \max(d/2, 1)$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then, the total number of atoms of $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ is infinite a.s., while the number of atoms having norm $\geq r$ is finite a.s. for every $r > 1$. Also, with probability 1, all points on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} are accumulation points for the atoms of $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$.*

Proof. Condition $\beta > 1$ ensures that $\int_{\|x\|>1} f_{d,\alpha,\beta}(x) dx = +\infty$ meaning that the total number of atoms of $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ is infinite a.s. On the other hand, condition $\beta > d/2$ ensures that $\int_{\|x\|>r} f_{d,\alpha,\beta}(x) dx < \infty$ for all $r > 1$ meaning that the number of atoms outside any ball $r\mathbb{B}^d$ of radius $r > 1$ is finite a.s. To prove the last claim of the proposition, represent the atoms as $R_1 U_1, R_2 U_2, \dots$, where $R_1 > R_2 > \dots > 1$ are the distances from the atoms to the origin, while U_1, U_2, \dots are independent random vectors whose distribution is uniform on the unit sphere due to the isotropy of the intensity. Since $R_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and the sequence U_1, U_2, \dots is dense on the unit sphere with probability 1, we conclude that the unit sphere belongs to the closure of the set of atoms of $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$. \square

Remark 2.3. Although in the following we are interested in the case $d \geq 2$, let us mention that for $d = 1$ and $\beta \in (1/2, 1)$ the number of atoms of $\zeta_{1,\alpha,\beta}$ is finite a.s. For $d = \beta = 1$ the number of atoms is infinite and they cluster at ± 1 a.s.

By Proposition 2.2, the beta* set $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ is a compact convex set containing $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$ with probability 1. However, $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ need not be a polytope a.s. since, as we shall see in a moment, with positive probability its boundary might touch the boundary of $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$ at infinitely many points that become




Figure 2.1: Left panel: Phase diagram illustrating Theorem 2.4 for fixed dimension $d \geq 2$. Darkgray region: the beta* set $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ is a.s. a polytope. Lightgray region: $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ is (with positive probability) not a polytope. Hatched lightgray: the beta* set is not defined. The white point with $\beta = (d+1)/2$ and $\alpha = (d-1)\pi$ represents the doubly critical case which remains an open problem except for $d = 2$. Right panel: A convex body (in blue) touching the unit circle at infinitely many points that form a Cantor set on the circle. The convex dual of this body is shown in red. The edges of the convex dual correspond to the arcs which are removed during the construction of the Cantor set. For $d = 2$, the beta* set is conjectured to have a similar structure if it is not a polytope.

exposed points [49, p. 18] of $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$; see the right panel of Figure 2.1. In order to characterize the cases when this does not happen, let us denote by R the radius of the largest ball centred at the origin and contained in $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$. That is, we put

$$R := \sup\{r > 0 : r\mathbb{B}^d \subset P_{d,\alpha,\beta}\}.$$

Note that if $R > 1$, then $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ is a convex hull of the atoms of $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ with norm exceeding R , and, since their number is a.s. finite, a polytope.

Theorem 2.4 (Existence of beta* polytopes). *Fix a dimension $d \geq 2$.*

- (i) *If $\beta > (d+1)/2$ and $\alpha > 0$ is arbitrary, or if $\beta = (d+1)/2$ and $\alpha > (d-1)\pi$, then $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ is a.s. a d -dimensional polytope with $R > 1$.*
- (ii) *If $d/2 < \beta < (d+1)/2$ and $\alpha > 0$ is arbitrary, or if $\beta = (d+1)/2$ and $0 < \alpha < (d-1)\pi$, then*

$$\mathbb{P}[P_{d,\alpha,\beta} \text{ is not a polytope and } R = 1] > 0.$$

Observe that the probability in case (ii) is not equal to one. Indeed, on an event of positive probability the Poisson process $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ has at least one atom in a sufficiently small ball around each vertex of the cube $[-2, 2]^d$, which guarantees that $R > 1$ and, as a consequence, $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ is a polytope. It is an interesting problem to investigate the (probably fractal) structure of the boundary of a beta*-set when it touches the unit sphere in the case (ii), $R = 1$. The doubly critical case when $\beta = (d+1)/2$ and $\alpha = (d-1)\pi$ remains open except when $d = 2$, where we shall show the following result. The parameter regimes are illustrated in Figure 2.1, left panel.

Theorem 2.5 (Existence of beta* polygons in the doubly critical case). *Almost surely, $P_{2,\pi,3/2}$ is a polygon with $R > 1$.*

2.2 The T -functional

In this section we start the investigation of geometric parameters of beta* polytopes and more general beta* sets $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ for $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > d/2$, as introduced in the previous section. For that

purpose we extend the definition of the so-called T -functional for polytopes, as introduced by Wieacker [55], to general convex sets. Namely, consider a non-empty closed convex set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, and let H be a supporting hyperplane of K . We call the intersection $H \cap K$ a k -face of K , $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$, provided that the affine hull of $H \cap K$ is a k -dimensional affine subspace of \mathbb{R}^d (in the literature such faces are known as exposed faces, see [49, Section 2.1, page 75], but since this is the only type of faces we consider we do not follow this terminology). By $\mathcal{F}_k(K)$ we denote the (possibly empty) set of all k -faces of K . We remark that the (exposed) $(d-1)$ -skeleton $\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-1}(K)} F$ of K may in general be a proper subset of the boundary of K , whereas equality holds for d -dimensional polytopes, for example. Now, for parameters $a, b \geq 0$ we define the T -functional of K as

$$T_{a,b}(K) := \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-1}(K)} \text{dist}(F)^a V_{d-1}(F)^b,$$

where $\text{dist}(F) := \text{dist}(\text{aff}(F))$ denotes the distance from the origin to the affine hyperplane spanned by F , and $V_{d-1}(F)$ is the $(d-1)$ -dimensional volume (Hausdorff measure) of F . In the special case $d = 1$ and for intervals $K = [m, M]$ with $m < M$ we define $T_{a,b}(K) := |m|^a + |M|^a$ for $a \geq 0$ (the functional does not depend on b). The next theorem provides a formula for the expected T -functional of a beta* set.

Theorem 2.6 (Expected T -functional). *Let $d \geq 2$ and $a, b \geq 0$, $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > d/2$ be parameters satisfying the following constraints:*

- if $\beta = (d+1)/2$ then $0 \leq b < 1$, $0 \leq a < 2d - (d-1)(b+1) - 1$ and $\alpha > \pi(d-1)(1-b)$,
- if $\beta > (d+1)/2$ then $0 \leq b < 2\beta - d$, $0 \leq a < d(2\beta - d + 1) - (d-1)(b+1) - 1$.

Only in these cases $\mathbb{E}T_{a,b}(P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) < \infty$ and its value is given by

$$\mathbb{E}T_{a,b}(P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) = \frac{(\tilde{c}_{d,\beta}\alpha)^d}{d} \omega_d S_{d,\beta}(b) \int_1^\infty p_{d,\alpha,\beta}(h) h^a (h^2 - 1)^{\frac{(d-1)(b+1)}{2} - d(\beta - \frac{d-1}{2})} dh,$$

where the function $p_{d,\alpha,\beta}(h)$, $h > 1$, and the constant $S_{d,\beta}(b)$ are given by

$$p_{d,\alpha,\beta}(h) := \exp \left\{ -\alpha \tilde{c}_{1,\beta - \frac{d-1}{2}} \int_h^\infty (r^2 - 1)^{-\beta + \frac{d-1}{2}} dr \right\},$$

$$S_{d,\beta}(b) := \frac{\tilde{c}_{d-1,\beta}^{-d}}{((d-1)!)^{b+1}} \frac{\Gamma(d(\beta - \frac{d-1}{2}) - \frac{(d-1)(b+1)}{2})}{\Gamma(d(\beta - \frac{d+b}{2}))} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta - \frac{d+b}{2})}{\Gamma(\beta - \frac{d-1}{2})} \right)^d \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{i+b+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{i}{2})}. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $d = 1$ and $a > 0$, $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 1$. Then, $\mathbb{E}T_{a,b}(P_{1,\alpha,\beta}) < \infty$ if and only if $a < 2\beta - 1$ and its value is given by the same formula as above (with $S_{1,\beta}(b) = 1$).

Let us discuss some special cases of this result. By choosing $a = b = 0$, Theorem 2.6 yields a formula for the expected number $\mathbb{E}T_{0,0}(P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) = \mathbb{E}f_{d-1}(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})$ of facets (i.e. $(d-1)$ -faces) of a beta* set $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$. In particular $\mathbb{E}f_{d-1}(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})$ is finite for all $\alpha > 0$ if $\beta > (d+1)/2$, while for $\beta = (d+1)/2$ this is the case if and only if $\alpha > \pi(d-1)$. Note that in the doubly critical case $\alpha = \pi(d-1)$ and $\beta = (d+1)/2$, we have that $\mathbb{E}f_{d-1}(P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) = \infty$ although, at least for $d = 2$, $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ is almost surely a polygon according to Theorem 2.5.

Choosing $a = 0$ and $b = 1$ in Theorem 2.6 an explicit formula for the expected surface area of beta* polytopes $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ can be derived, provided $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > (d+1)/2$. Moreover, since in these cases $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ almost surely contains the unit ball according to Theorem 2.4 and in particular the origin of \mathbb{R}^d in its interior, decomposing $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ into pyramids $\text{conv}(F \cup \{0\})$ spanned by the facets $F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-1}(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})$ of $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ meeting at the origin, one can use the base-times-height-formula for the volume of such pyramids to express the expected volume of $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ as $\frac{1}{d} \mathbb{E}T_{1,1}(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})$. In

addition, we observe that this term is a lower bound of the volume of $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$, even in the cases where the beta* set is not a polytope.

More generally, all expected intrinsic volumes $\mathbb{E}V_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, can be expressed by means of the T -functional as follows (note that the case $k = 0$ is trivial in this context, since $V_0(P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) = 1$ almost surely).

Proposition 2.7 (Expected intrinsic volumes). *Suppose that $d \geq 2$, $\beta > (d+1)/2$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then, for $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ it holds that $\mathbb{E}V_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) < \infty$ and*

$$\mathbb{E}V_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) = \frac{1}{d} \binom{d}{k} \frac{\kappa_d}{\kappa_k \kappa_{d-k}} \mathbb{E}T_{1,1}(P_{k,\alpha,\beta-\frac{d-k}{2}}). \quad (2.3)$$

Remark 2.8. The proof of Proposition 2.7 together with the argument using the base-times-height-formula above shows that for $d \geq 2$ the right-hand side in (2.3) is a lower bound for $\mathbb{E}V_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})$ for all parameters $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > d/2$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. If $\beta \leq \frac{d+1}{2}$ and $k \geq 2$, then $\beta' := \beta - \frac{d-k}{2} \in (\frac{k}{2}, \frac{k+1}{2}]$ and we have from Theorem 2.6 (case $d \geq 2$) that $\mathbb{E}T_{1,1}(P_{k,\alpha,\beta'}) = \infty$. If, on the other hand, $\beta \leq \frac{d+1}{2}$ and $k = 1$, the same conclusion follows from Theorem 2.6 (case $d = 1$). In particular, this shows that for all $\beta \in (\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d+1}{2}]$ the expected intrinsic volumes $\mathbb{E}V_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, are infinite.

2.3 Expected f -vector

Our next target is an explicit formula for the number of k -dimensional faces of $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ for all $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$. To state it, we need to introduce some further notation.

Angles of polytopes. For a d -dimensional polytope P , a face $F \in \mathcal{F}_k(P)$ and a point x in the relative interior of F let $N_F(P)$ be the cone of normal vectors of P at x (note that $N_F(P)$ is independent of the precise choice of x). The solid angle of $N_F(P)$ is called the *external angle* $\gamma(F, P)$ of P at its face F . Next, define the tangent cone $T_F(P)$ as the positive hull of $P - x$ (again, this definition is independent of the choice of x). The *internal angle* $\beta(F, P)$ of P at its face F is the solid angle of the cone $T_F(P)$. Solid angles are normalized such that the full-space angle is 1. We refer to [20, Chapter 14] for further details.

Internal angle sums of beta' simplices. To be consistent with the notation used in the works on beta and beta' polytopes [37, 30], let $\tilde{J}_{m,\ell}(\beta)$ denote the expected internal angle at some $(\ell-1)$ -dimensional face of the simplex $\text{conv}(Z_1, \dots, Z_m) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$, where Z_1, \dots, Z_m are m independent random points in \mathbb{R}^{m-1} each having the so-called beta' probability density

$$\tilde{f}_{m-1,\beta}(x) := \tilde{c}_{m-1,\beta}(1 + \|x\|^2)^{-\beta}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}. \quad (2.4)$$

That is,

$$\tilde{J}_{m,\ell}(\beta) = \mathbb{E}\beta(\text{conv}(Z_1, \dots, Z_\ell), \text{conv}(Z_1, \dots, Z_m)). \quad (2.5)$$

By exchangeability, the expected sum of internal angles at all $(\ell-1)$ -dimensional faces of the beta' simplex $\text{conv}(Z_1, \dots, Z_m)$ is then

$$\tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{m,\ell}(\beta) := \binom{m}{\ell} \tilde{J}_{m,\ell}(\beta).$$

The admissible values of the parameters are $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\beta > (m-1)/2$. By convention, $\tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{1,1}(\beta) := 1$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{m,\ell}(\beta) := 0$ for $m < \ell$.

External angle sums of beta polytopes.* For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda > 1, \alpha > 0$ or $\lambda = 1, \alpha > (m-1)\pi$ we introduce the quantities

$$I_{\alpha,m}^*(\lambda) = \int_1^\infty \tilde{c}_{1,\frac{\lambda m+1}{2}}(y^2-1)^{-\frac{\lambda m+1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\alpha \int_y^\infty \tilde{c}_{1,\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}(t^2-1)^{-\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} dt \right\} dy \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,m}^*(\lambda) = \frac{\alpha^m}{m!} I_{\alpha,m}^*(\lambda). \quad (2.7)$$

The latter term $\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,m}^*(\lambda)$ turns out to be the expected sum of external angles at all $(m-1)$ -dimensional faces of the beta* polytope $P_{d,\alpha,\frac{\lambda+d}{2}}$ in \mathbb{R}^d , as we will see in Theorem 2.10 below.

The next result provides an explicit formula for the expected f -vector of $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ in terms of $\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,m}^*(\lambda)$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{m,\ell}(\beta)$.

Theorem 2.9 (Expected f -vector). *Suppose that either $\beta > (d+1)/2$ and $\alpha > 0$ or $\beta = (d+1)/2$ and $\alpha > (d-1)\pi$. Then, for $k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$, it holds that*

$$\mathbb{E}f_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) = 2 \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor (d-k-1)/2 \rfloor} \mathbb{I}_{\alpha,d-2s}^*(2\beta-d) \cdot \tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{d-2s,k+1}\left(\beta-s-\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Theorem 2.9 can be seen as the analogue for beta* polytopes of the corresponding results for beta polytopes [37, Theorem 1.2] and beta' polytopes [37, Theorem 1.14].

Our next result provides an interpretation of $\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,m}^*(\lambda)$ as an expected external angle sum and is the analogue for beta* polytopes of [37, Theorem 1.13 and Theorem 1.16].

Theorem 2.10 (Expected external angle sums). *Suppose that either $\beta > (d+1)/2$ and $\alpha > 0$, or $\beta = (d+1)/2$ and $\alpha > (d-1)\pi$. Then, for $k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$ it holds that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{G \in \mathcal{F}_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})} \gamma(G, P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) \right] = \mathbb{I}_{\alpha,k+1}^*(2\beta-d).$$

Remark 2.11. We mention two alternative expressions for $I_{\alpha,m}^*(\lambda)$. They are obtained from (2.6) by the change of variables $y = \cosh \varphi$, $t = \cosh \theta$ with $\varphi, \theta \in (0, \infty)$, and, respectively, $y = \coth \varphi$, $t = \coth \theta$ with $\varphi, \theta \in (0, \infty)$:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\alpha,m}^*(\lambda) &= \int_0^\infty \tilde{c}_{1,\frac{\lambda m+1}{2}}(\sinh \varphi)^{-\lambda n} \exp \left\{ -\alpha \int_\varphi^\infty \tilde{c}_{1,\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}(\sinh \theta)^{-\lambda} d\theta \right\} d\varphi \\ &= \int_0^\infty \tilde{c}_{1,\frac{\lambda m+1}{2}}(\sinh \varphi)^{\lambda m-1} \exp \left\{ -\alpha \int_0^\varphi \tilde{c}_{1,\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}(\sinh \theta)^{\lambda-1} d\theta \right\} d\varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

We also mention that the quantity $\tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{m,\ell}(\beta)$ has been explicitly computed in [30]. In particular, from that paper it is known that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{m,\ell}\left(\frac{\lambda+m-1}{2}\right) = \binom{m}{\ell} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \tilde{c}_{1,\frac{\lambda m}{2}}(\cos \varphi)^{\lambda m-2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{i\varphi} \tilde{c}_{1,\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}(\cosh \theta)^{-\lambda} d\theta \right)^{m-\ell} d\varphi, \quad (2.9)$$

for all $\lambda > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\lambda m > 1$, and where $i = \sqrt{-1}$ stands for the imaginary unit. This makes the formula in Theorem 2.9 for the expected f -vector of a beta* polytope fully explicit.

3 Applications and special cases

In this section we come back to the two hyperbolic stochastic geometry models discussed earlier. We show how they are related to beta* polytopes and then discuss several consequences.




Figure 3.1: Illustration of the gnomonic projection which identifies the hyperboloid model with the Klein model (left), and the stereographic projection (right) which identifies the hyperboloid model with the Poincaré model of hyperbolic space.

3.1 Basics from hyperbolic geometry

The hyperbolic space can be defined as a simply connected, geodesically complete d -dimensional Riemannian manifold of constant negative curvature $\kappa = -1$. In the following, we shall briefly describe three classical realizations of the hyperbolic space we shall work with. We refer the reader to [14, 2, 39, 43] for further background material on hyperbolic geometry.

The hyperboloid model. Fix some dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the space \mathbb{R}^{d+1} . The *Minkowski product* of two vectors $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d)$ and $y = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_d)$ in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} is defined by

$$B(x, y) := x_0 y_0 - x_1 y_1 - \dots - x_d y_d.$$

The hyperboloid model for a d -dimensional hyperbolic space is defined on the *upper hyperboloid*

$$\mathbb{H}^d := \{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : x_0^2 - x_1^2 - \dots - x_d^2 = 1, x_0 > 0\}.$$

The restriction of $B(x, y)$ to any tangent space of \mathbb{H}^d turns out to be positive definite and defines a Riemannian metric on \mathbb{H}^d with constant curvature $\kappa = -1$. The Riemannian metric, in turn, determines a volume measure ν_{hyp} on \mathbb{H}^d . The geodesic distance $d_{\text{hyp}}(x, y)$ between any two points $x \in \mathbb{H}^d$ and $y \in \mathbb{H}^d$ is given by

$$d_{\text{hyp}}(x, y) := \text{arcosh } B(x, y). \quad (3.1)$$

The hyperbolic hyperplanes (i.e. totally geodesic subspaces of \mathbb{H}^d of dimension $d - 1$) can be represented as $\mathbb{H}^d \cap H$ where $H \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ is a d -dimensional linear subspace (especially, H passes through the origin 0 of \mathbb{R}^{d+1}).

Any point $x \in \mathbb{H}^d$ can be parametrized as $x = (\cosh \theta, u \sinh \theta)$, where $u \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\theta \geq 0$ is the hyperbolic distance between x and the *apex* $e := (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ of the hyperboloid \mathbb{H}^d . We observe that the apex can be parametrized as $e = (\cosh 0, u \sinh 0)$ for any $u \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, while the parametrization of any other point $x \in \mathbb{H}^d$ is unique.

The Klein model. The hyperboloid model on \mathbb{H}^d is connected to the Klein model on the open unit ball $\mathbb{B}^d = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| < 1\}$ via the *gnomonic projection* $\Pi_{\text{gn}} : \mathbb{H}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^d$; see the left panel of Figure 3.1. To define this projection, consider a segment joining 0 and some point $x \in \mathbb{H}^d$. This segment intersects the hyperplane $\{x_0 = 1\}$ at some point denoted by $(1, v)$ with $v \in \mathbb{B}^d$. Then the gnomonic projection of x is defined as $\Pi_{\text{gn}}(x) := v$. For a point $x \in \mathbb{H}^d$ with parametrization $(\cosh \theta, u \sinh \theta)$ the gnomonic projection is given by

$$\Pi_{\text{gn}}(x) := u \tanh \theta \in \mathbb{B}^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (3.2)$$

In the Klein model, the hyperbolic hyperplanes are represented by (non-empty) intersections of usual affine hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^d with \mathbb{B}^d . The Riemannian metric d_{Kl} (i.e. the distance between a point $v \in \mathbb{B}^d$ and an infinitesimally close point $v + dv$) and the volume element ν_{Kl} in the Klein model are given by

$$d_{\text{Kl}}^2(v, v + dv) = \frac{\|dv\|^2}{1 - \|v\|^2} + \frac{\langle v, dv \rangle^2}{(1 - \|v\|^2)^2}, \quad \nu_{\text{Kl}}(dv_1, \dots, dv_d) = \frac{dv_1 \dots dv_d}{(1 - \|v\|^2)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}. \quad (3.3)$$

The distance between $v \in \mathbb{B}^d$ and the origin 0 is given by

$$d_{\text{Kl}}(0, v) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + \|v\|}{1 - \|v\|} = \text{artanh } \|v\|. \quad (3.4)$$

The Poincaré model. To pass from the hyperboloid model to the Poincaré model which is defined on the unit ball \mathbb{B}^d (as is the Klein model), we need the *stereographic projection* $\Pi_{\text{st}} : \mathbb{H}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^d$; see the right panel of Figure 3.1. To define the projection, consider a segment joining $-e = (-1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and some point $x \in \mathbb{H}^d$. This segment intersects the hyperplane $\{x_0 = 0\}$ at some point denoted by $(0, w)$ with $w \in \mathbb{B}^d$. Then the stereographic projection of x is defined as $\Pi_{\text{gn}}(x) := w$. For a point $x \in \mathbb{H}^d$ with parametrization $(\cosh \theta, u \sinh \theta)$ we have

$$\Pi_{\text{st}}(x) := u \tanh \frac{\theta}{2} \in \mathbb{B}^d. \quad (3.5)$$

In the Poincaré model, the hyperbolic hyperplanes have the form $S \cap \mathbb{B}^d$, where S is a $(d-1)$ -dimensional sphere in \mathbb{R}^d intersecting \mathbb{S}^{d-1} orthogonally or a hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^d passing through the origin. The Riemannian metric and the volume element in the Poincaré model are given by

$$d_{\text{Poi}}^2(w, w + dw) = \frac{4\|dw\|^2}{(1 - \|w\|^2)^2}, \quad \nu_{\text{Poi}}(dw_1, \dots, dw_d) = \frac{2^d dw_1 \dots dw_d}{(1 - \|w\|^2)^d}. \quad (3.6)$$

The distance between 0 and $w \in \mathbb{B}^d$ is given by

$$d_{\text{Poi}}(0, w) = \log \frac{1 + \|w\|}{1 - \|w\|} = 2 \text{artanh } \|w\| = 2d_{\text{Kl}}(0, w). \quad (3.7)$$

This relation between the distances in the Klein and Poincaré models will be crucial in our treatment of the typical hyperbolic Voronoi cell.

Isomorphism between the models. Let us finally describe the map $\varphi_{\text{Poi} \rightarrow \text{Kl}} : \mathbb{B}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^d$ between the Poincaré and the Klein model. If some point $x \in \mathbb{H}^d$ is represented by $w = \Pi_{\text{st}}(x) \in \mathbb{B}^d$ in the Poincaré model, then the corresponding point in the Klein model is given by

$$\varphi_{\text{Poi} \rightarrow \text{Kl}}(w) = \frac{2w}{1 + \|w\|^2} = \Pi_{\text{gn}}(x).$$

3.2 Poisson hyperplane tessellations in the hyperbolic space

We are now going to describe the connection between beta* polytopes and certain hyperplane tessellations of the hyperbolic space. It is known from [47] that there is a unique (up to a multiplicative constant $\lambda > 0$) infinite measure on the space of hyperbolic hyperplanes that is invariant under the isometries of the d -dimensional hyperbolic space. Consider a Poisson process on the space of hyperbolic hyperplanes whose intensity is given by that measure. The atoms of this process give rise to countably many hyperplanes dissecting the hyperbolic space into random cells with disjoint interiors. We shall be interested in the hyperbolic Poisson zero cell, i.e. the a.s. unique cell containing some fixed point (the “origin”). In fact, we shall define a family of hyperbolic hyperplane tessellations indexed by two parameters λ and β which reduces to the isometry-invariant tessellation mentioned above in the special case $\beta = (d+1)/2$.

3.2.1 A family of hyperbolic hyperplane tessellations

We start by defining our tessellations in the Klein model on \mathbb{B}^d , $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix some parameters $\beta > \max(d/2, 1)$ and $\lambda > 0$. Consider a Poisson process on $(0, 1)$ with Lebesgue intensity

$$f(r) := \lambda \frac{r^{2\beta-d-1}}{(1-r^2)^\beta}, \quad 0 < r < 1. \quad (3.8)$$

By our assumption on β , the function f is integrable at 0 but not at 1. Hence, the atoms of this Poisson process can be ordered increasingly as $r_1 < r_2 < \dots$ and satisfy $r_n \rightarrow 1$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Independently, let u_1, u_2, \dots be i.i.d. points drawn uniformly at random from the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . Then, in the Klein model, our hyperplane tessellation consists of the hyperplanes

$$\{x \in \mathbb{B}^d : \langle x, u_n \rangle = r_n\} = (u_n^\perp + r_n u_n) \cap \mathbb{B}^d \quad n = 1, 2, \dots;$$

see the left panel of Figure 3.2 for a realization. Note that $r_1, r_2, \dots \in (0, 1)$ are the *Euclidean* distances from the hyperplanes to the origin, while $u_1, u_2, \dots \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ are their normals.

Let us now give an equivalent definition of the tessellation which is model-independent in the sense that it involves only quantities intrinsic to hyperbolic geometry. Consider a d -dimensional hyperbolic space \mathbb{M}^d endowed with the hyperbolic metric $d_h(\cdot, \cdot)$. Fix an arbitrary point $p \in \mathbb{M}^d$, referred to as the origin, and let $\mathbb{S}_p^{d-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{M}^d : d_h(x, p) = 1\}$ be the unit hyperbolic sphere centred at p and endowed with the normalized spherical Lebesgue measure $\sigma_{d-1;p}$. Let again $\lambda > 0$ and $\beta > \max(d/2, 1)$ be parameters and consider a Poisson process $\xi_{d,\lambda,\beta}$ on the space $A_h(d, d-1)$ of hyperbolic hyperplanes whose intensity measure is chosen to be

$$\mu_{d,\lambda,\beta}(\cdot) := \lambda \int_{\mathbb{S}_p^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty (\cosh \theta)^{d-1} (\sinh \theta)^{2\beta-d-1} \mathbb{1}_{\{H_p(u,\theta) \in \cdot\}} d\theta \sigma_{d-1;p}(du), \quad (3.9)$$

where $H_p(u, \theta) \in A_h(d, d-1)$ is the unique hyperbolic hyperplane orthogonal to the hyperbolic line connecting p to u and having hyperbolic distance $\theta > 0$ to p . In the special case when $\beta = (d+1)/2$, the \sinh -term disappears and it is known [47] (see, in particular, Equation (17.54) on p. 309 there) that the above definition does not depend on the choice of the origin p (which is not true for other values of β). Moreover, $\mu_{d,\lambda,(d+1)/2}$ is invariant under isometries of the hyperbolic space; we shall give another proof of invariance in Remark 3.21.

Returning to the Klein model, we choose $p = 0$, write $\theta = \operatorname{artanh} r$ for $r \in (0, 1)$ and observe that for any unit vector $u \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ we have $H_0(u, \theta) = (u^\perp + ru) \cap \mathbb{B}^d$ since $d_{\text{Kl}}(0, x) = \operatorname{artanh} \|x\|$ by (3.4). Hence, we can rewrite the formula for $\mu_{d,\lambda,\beta}$ as follows:

$$\mu_{d,\lambda,\beta}(\cdot) = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{r^{2\beta-d-1}}{(1-r^2)^\beta} \mathbb{1}_{\{(u^\perp + ru) \cap \mathbb{B}^d \in \cdot\}} dr \sigma_{d-1}(du),$$

where σ_{d-1} is the uniform distribution on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} and we used the identities

$$\cosh(\operatorname{artanh} r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}, \quad \sinh(\operatorname{artanh} r) = \frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}, \quad d(\operatorname{artanh} r) = \frac{dr}{1-r^2}. \quad (3.10)$$

It follows that the definition of $\mu_{d,\lambda,\beta}$ given in (3.9) is equivalent to the construction we presented at the beginning of this section.

3.2.2 Poisson zero cell and the beta* polytope

We are interested in the *zero cell* of the hyperbolic Poisson hyperplane tessellation with intensity measure $\mu_{d,\lambda,\beta}$, as defined in Section 3.2.1, which, in the Klein model, is the random closed convex set given by

$$Z_{d,\lambda,\beta} := \{x \in \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d : \langle x, u_n \rangle \leq r_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d. \quad (3.11)$$




Figure 3.2: A line tessellation in the Klein model of the hyperbolic plane (left panel) and the convex dual of its zero cell, a beta* polytope (right panel). In this simulation we took $\beta = 6$.

As we shall see in Theorem 3.3, the zero cell need not be bounded in the sense of hyperbolic geometry, that is it may touch the unit sphere. The next theorem relates $Z_{d,\lambda,\beta}$ to the convex dual of a beta* set. Recall that the convex dual of a set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is defined as

$$K^\circ := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1 \text{ for all } y \in K\}. \quad (3.12)$$

Theorem 3.1 (Reduction of the zero cell to beta* sets). *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\beta > \max(d/2, 1)$. Then the zero cell $Z_{d,\lambda,\beta}$ has the same distribution as the convex dual $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}^\circ$ of the beta* set $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ with $\alpha = \lambda/(\tilde{c}_{d,\beta}\omega_d)$.*

Proof. By definition, the beta* set $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ is the closed convex hull of the atoms of the Poisson process with intensity $\alpha \tilde{c}_{d,\beta}(\|x\|^2 - 1)^{-\beta}$, where $\|x\| > 1$. We represent these atoms as $R_1 U_1, R_2 U_2, \dots$, where $R_1 > R_2 > \dots > 1$ denote the distances from the atoms to 0, and $U_1, U_2, \dots \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ are vectors of unit length. By the transformation property of the Poisson processes, the distances R_1, R_2, \dots form a Poisson process on $(1, \infty)$ with intensity

$$\psi(R) := \alpha \tilde{c}_{d,\beta} \frac{\omega_d R^{d-1}}{(R^2 - 1)^\beta}, \quad R > 1,$$

where the term $\omega_d R^{d-1}$ comes from the polar integration formula. Moreover, it follows from the isotropy of the intensity that U_1, U_2, \dots are independent, uniformly distributed on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , and independent from R_1, R_2, \dots .

By definition of convex duality (3.12), the dual of the beta* set $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ (which is the closed convex hull of the points $R_1 U_1, R_2 U_2, \dots$ and the open unit ball \mathbb{B}^d) is given by

$$P_{d,\alpha,\beta}^\circ = \{x \in \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d : \langle x, R_n U_n \rangle \leq 1 \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{x \in \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d : \langle x, U_n \rangle \leq 1/R_n \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (3.13)$$

Again by the transformation property of Poisson processes, the inverse distances $1/R_1, 1/R_2, \dots$ form a Poisson process on $(0, 1)$. To compute its intensity, observe that a point $1/R_n$ belongs to an infinitesimal interval $[r, r + dr]$ with $0 < r < 1$ if and only if R_n belongs to the interval $[1/(r + dr), 1/r]$ whose length is $r^{-2}dr + o(dr)$. Hence, the intensity of the Poisson process formed by the points $1/R_1, 1/R_2, \dots$ is

$$g(r) = r^{-2}\psi(1/r) = \alpha \tilde{c}_{d,\beta} r^{-2} \frac{\omega_d r^{1-d}}{(r^{-2} - 1)^\beta} = \alpha \tilde{c}_{d,\beta} \omega_d \frac{r^{2\beta-d-1}}{(1 - r^2)^\beta}, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

If α and λ are such that $\alpha \tilde{c}_{d,\beta} \omega_d = \lambda$, then $f(r) = g(r)$ (as defined in (3.8)) and the Poisson processes formed by the points $1/R_1, 1/R_2, \dots$ and r_1, r_2, \dots have the same law. Comparing (3.11) and (3.13) we conclude that $Z_{d,\lambda,\beta}$ and $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}^\circ$ have the same law. \square

Remark 3.2. As a corollary of Theorem 3.1, we record the identity $\mathbb{E}f_k(Z_{d,\lambda,\beta}) = \mathbb{E}f_{d-k-1}(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})$, for all $k = 0, \dots, d-1$. Combined with Theorem 2.9, it yields an explicit formula for the expected f -vector of $Z_{d,\lambda,\beta}$.

The next theorem characterizes the cases when the zero cell is bounded in the hyperbolic sense (that is, when it does not touch the unit sphere).

Theorem 3.3 ((Un-)boundedness of the zero cells). *Fix a dimension $d \geq 2$ and let $\lambda_d^{\text{crit}} := (d-1)^2 \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2}) / \Gamma(\frac{d}{2})$.*

- (i) *If $\beta > (d+1)/2$ and $\lambda > 0$ is arbitrary, or if $\beta = (d+1)/2$ and $\lambda > \lambda_d^{\text{crit}}$, then $Z_{d,\lambda,\beta}$ is a (Euclidean) polytope contained in \mathbb{B}^d (meaning that the zero cell is hyperbolically bounded), with probability 1.*
- (ii) *If $d/2 < \beta < (d+1)/2$ and $\lambda > 0$ is arbitrary, or if $\beta = (d+1)/2$ and $0 < \lambda < \lambda_d^{\text{crit}}$, then with non-vanishing probability the set $Z_{d,\lambda,\beta}$ intersects \mathbb{S}^{d-1} (meaning that it is hyperbolically unbounded) and is not a (Euclidean) polytope.*

The second claim of Part (i) was known from [22, Lemma 5.3.3]. It remains open to investigate the presumably fractal structure of the set $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} \cap Z_{d,\lambda,\beta}$ in case (ii), for example to compute its Hausdorff dimension on the event that this set is non-empty (see [16] for general results in this direction). The doubly critical case when $\beta = (d+1)/2$ and $\lambda = \lambda_d^{\text{crit}}$ remains open except in dimension $d = 2$, where the following result is known; see [42], [4, Section 6] and [53].

Theorem 3.4 (Boundedness of the planar zero cell in the doubly critical case). *With probability 1, $Z_{2,\pi,3/2}$ is a (Euclidean) polygon contained in the open unit disk \mathbb{B}^2 .*

3.2.3 Expected f -vector of the hyperbolic Poisson zero cell

In the rest of the present Section 3.2 we concentrate on the case $\beta = (d+1)/2$ (which corresponds to the stationary hyperplane tessellation mentioned in Section 1) and state explicit formulas for the expected f -vector of the corresponding beta* polytope. These can be translated to yield the expected f -vector of the hyperbolic Poisson zero cell $Z_{d,\lambda}^0 = Z_{d,\lambda,(d+1)/2}$ via the distributional identity

$$f_k(Z_{d,\lambda}^0) \stackrel{d}{=} f_{d-k-1}(P_{d,\alpha,\frac{d+1}{2}}), \quad k = 0, \dots, d-1, \quad \alpha = \lambda \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}{2\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}. \quad (3.14)$$

The formulas involve an array of numbers $A[n, k]$ which appeared in [31] and are defined in terms of the polynomials $Q_n(x)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, given by $Q_0(x) := Q_1(x) := 1$ and

$$Q_n(x) := \begin{cases} (1 + (n-1)^2 x^2)(1 + (n-3)^2 x^2) \cdots (1 + 3^2 x^2)(1 + 1^2 x^2) & : n = 2, 4, 6, \dots, \\ (1 + (n-1)^2 x^2)(1 + (n-3)^2 x^2) \cdots (1 + 4^2 x^2)(1 + 2^2 x^2) & : n = 3, 5, 7, \dots \end{cases}$$

The numbers $A[n, k]$, indexed by $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geq k$, are then defined by

$$A[n, k] := \begin{cases} [x^k] Q_n(x) & : k \text{ even,} \\ [x^k] \left(\tanh\left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right) \cdot Q_n(x) \right) & : k \text{ odd and } n \text{ even,} \\ [x^k] \left(\cotanh\left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right) \cdot Q_n(x) \right) & : k \text{ odd and } n \text{ odd,} \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

where $[x^k]H(x)$ denotes the coefficient of x^k in a formal power series $H(x)$. Terms of the form $A[n, k]$ with $n < k$, whenever they appear, should be interpreted as 0.




Figure 3.3: The expected vertex number of the zero cell of the stationary hyperbolic Poisson hyperplane tessellation $Z_{d,\lambda,(d+1)/2} = Z_{d,\lambda}^0$ in dimension $d = 2$ (left panel), $d = 3$ (middle panel) and $d = 4$ (right panel) as a function of $\lambda > \lambda_d^{\text{crit}}$, where λ_d^{crit} is shown as a dashed vertical line. The dashed horizontal line represents the expected vertex number of the zero cell of the stationary and isotropic *Euclidean* Poisson hyperplane tessellation; see Section 3.4.

Theorem 3.5 (Expected f -vector of the zero cell). *Suppose that $d \geq 2$, $\alpha > (d-1)\pi$ and let $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}f_{\ell-1}(P_{d,\alpha,\frac{d+1}{2}}) = \frac{\pi^\ell}{\ell!} \sum_{\substack{m \in \{\ell, \dots, d\} \\ m \equiv d \pmod{2}}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^m \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} - \frac{m-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + \frac{m+1}{2})} (A[m, \ell] - A[m-2, \ell]). \quad (3.16)$$

Remark 3.6. It is known that $A[n, n] = 2^{-n}(n!)^2/\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)^2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, see [31, Proposition 1.2]. Hence, the expected number of facets of $P_{d,\alpha,(d+1)/2}$ is given by

$$\mathbb{E}f_{d-1}(P_{d,\alpha,\frac{d+1}{2}}) = \frac{d! \alpha^d}{4^d \Gamma(1 + \frac{d}{2})^2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} - \frac{d-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + \frac{d+1}{2})}.$$

It is straightforward to check that the right-hand side of (3.16) is always a rational function of α . In the next corollary we specialize (3.16) to small space dimensions $d \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. Numerical values are shown in Figure 3.3 (recall (3.14)).

Corollary 3.7. (i) *For $d = 2$ and $\alpha > \pi$ one has that*

$$\mathbb{E}f_0(P_{2,\alpha,\frac{3}{2}}) = \mathbb{E}f_1(P_{2,\alpha,\frac{3}{2}}) = \frac{\alpha^2 \pi^2}{2(\alpha^2 - \pi^2)}.$$

(ii) *For $d = 3$ and $\alpha > 2\pi$ one has that*

$$\mathbb{E}f_k(P_{3,\alpha,2}) = \begin{cases} \frac{2\alpha^2 \pi^2}{3(\alpha^2 - 4\pi^2)} + 2 & : k = 0, \\ \frac{2\alpha^2 \pi^2}{\alpha^2 - 4\pi^2} & : k = 1, \\ \frac{4\alpha^2 \pi^2}{3(\alpha^2 - 4\pi^2)} & : k = 2. \end{cases}$$

(iii) *For $d = 4$ and $\alpha > 3\pi$ one has that*

$$\mathbb{E}f_k(P_{4,\alpha,\frac{5}{2}}) = \begin{cases} \frac{40\alpha^4 \pi^2 - 36\alpha^2 \pi^4 - 3\alpha^4 \pi^4}{8(\alpha^4 - 10\alpha^2 \pi^2 + 9\pi^4)} & : k = 0, \\ \frac{10\alpha^4 \pi^2 - 9\alpha^2 \pi^4}{2(\alpha^4 - 10\alpha^2 \pi^2 + 9\pi^4)} & : k = 1, \\ \frac{3\alpha^4 \pi^4}{4(\alpha^4 - 10\alpha^2 \pi^2 + 9\pi^4)} & : k = 2, \\ \frac{3\alpha^4 \pi^4}{8(\alpha^4 - 10\alpha^2 \pi^2 + 9\pi^4)} & : k = 3. \end{cases}$$

Part (i) recovers a formula of Santaló and Yañez [48, Equation (6.9) on p. 163]. Note that their λ corresponds to our $\alpha/\pi = \lambda/\pi$ (by (3.14) these quantities are equal for $d = 2$); see the paragraph after Equation (2.12) in [48].

The papers [48] and [46] contain many other explicit formulas related to Poisson line tessellations in the hyperbolic plane, but cases (ii) and (iii) seem to be new.

Let us finally discuss the asymptotic behaviour of $\mathbb{E}f_k(Z_{d,\lambda}^0)$ in the large intensity limit, i.e. as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. By (3.14), we may pass to the convex dual and look at $\mathbb{E}f_{d-k-1}(P_{d,\alpha,(d+1)/2})$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. The terms appearing in Theorem 3.5 satisfy

$$T_m(\alpha) := \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^m \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} - \frac{m-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + \frac{m+1}{2})} = 1 + \frac{\pi^2 m(m^2 - 1)}{6\alpha^2} + O(\alpha^{-4}) \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty,$$

see [52] for the asymptotic expansion of the quotient of Gamma functions. As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}f_{\ell-1}(P_{d,\alpha,\frac{d+1}{2}}) &= \frac{\pi^\ell}{\ell!} \sum_{\substack{m \in \{\ell, \dots, d\} \\ m \equiv d \pmod{2}}} (A[m, \ell] - A[m-2, \ell]) + \frac{Q_{d,\ell}}{\alpha^2} + O(\alpha^{-4}) \\ &= \frac{\pi^\ell}{\ell!} A[d, \ell] + \frac{Q_{d,\ell}}{\alpha^2} + O(\alpha^{-4}) \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where $Q_{d,\ell} > 0$ is a constant only depending on d and ℓ . Not surprisingly, the first term is the expected number of $(\ell - 1)$ -faces of the convex dual of the *flat* (i.e. Euclidean) Poisson zero cell, which is visible as a dashed horizontal line in Figure 3.3; see [31, Theorem 2.1]. The large intensity limit will be treated in more generality in Section 3.4.

3.3 Poisson-Voronoi tessellations in the hyperbolic space

Next we turn to the study of the typical cell in the d -dimensional hyperbolic Poisson-Voronoi tessellation. We shall define a more general model, indexed by two parameters λ and β , which reduces to the special case we are interested in if $\beta = d$.

3.3.1 Reduction to beta* polytopes

We start with a model-independent definition which is for convenience stated in the hyperboloid model. Recall that $e = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the apex of \mathbb{H}^d . Fix some parameters $\lambda > 0$ and $\beta > \max(d/2, 1)$ and consider a Poisson process $\eta_{d,\lambda,\beta}$ on \mathbb{H}^d whose intensity with respect to the hyperbolic volume measure ν_{hyp} on \mathbb{H}^d is given by

$$x \mapsto \lambda \cdot \left(\sinh \frac{d_{\text{hyp}}(e, x)}{2} \right)^{2\beta-2d}, \quad x \in \mathbb{H}^d,$$

where we recall that $d_{\text{hyp}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the hyperbolic distance on \mathbb{H}^d . The *Voronoi cell* of e in the point process $\eta_{d,\lambda,\beta} \cup \{e\}$ is the random set

$$V_{d,\lambda,\beta} := \{x \in \mathbb{H}^d : d_{\text{hyp}}(x, e) \leq d_{\text{hyp}}(y, e) \text{ for all } y \in \eta_{d,\lambda,\beta}\}.$$

Actually, we are mostly interested in the special case $\beta = d$ in which the intensity measure is λ times the Riemannian volume measure ν_{hyp} , the Poisson process $\eta_{d,\lambda,d}$ is stationary under isometries of \mathbb{H}^d , and $V_{d,\lambda,d}$ has the same distribution as the typical cell of the stationary Poisson-Voronoi tessellation generated by $\eta_{d,\lambda,d}$, as explained in Section 1.

Theorem 3.8 (Reduction of the typical Voronoi cell to beta* sets, I). *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\beta > \max(d/2, 1)$. Then the closure of the gnomonic projection $\Pi_{\text{gn}}(V_{d,\lambda,\beta})$ has the same distribution as the convex dual $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}^\circ$ of the beta* set $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ with $\alpha = 2^d \lambda / \tilde{c}_{d,\beta}$.*

Proof. The proof is divided into 3 steps. The main idea is shown on Figure 3.4.

Step 1. First we pass to the Poincaré model on \mathbb{B}^d by the stereographic projection Π_{st} . Note that it maps the apex e to 0. The image of $\eta_{d,\lambda,\beta}$ under Π_{st} is again a Poisson process denoted by $\Pi_{\text{st}}(\eta_{d,\lambda,\beta})$. Recalling the formula for the volume element (3.6) as well as the formulas




Figure 3.4: Proof of Theorem 3.8. The left panel shows the construction of the Voronoi cell in the Poincaré model. The right panel is the image of the left one under the map $\varphi_{\text{Poi} \rightarrow \text{Kl}}$.

$d_{\text{Poi}}(0, w) = 2 \operatorname{artanh} \|w\|$ and $\sinh(\operatorname{artanh} \|w\|) = \|w\| / \sqrt{1 - \|w\|^2}$, we conclude that the intensity of $\Pi_{\text{st}}(\eta_{d,\lambda,\beta})$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{B}^d is given by

$$w \mapsto 2^d \lambda \frac{\|w\|^{2\beta-2d}}{(1 - \|w\|^2)^\beta}, \quad w \in \mathbb{B}^d. \quad (3.17)$$

Let $W_1, W_2, \dots \in \mathbb{B}^d$ be the points of $\Pi_{\text{st}}(\eta_{d,\lambda,\beta})$. The typical Voronoi cell in the Poincaré model is given by

$$\Pi_{\text{st}}(V_{d,\lambda,\beta}) = \{w \in \mathbb{B}^d : d_{\text{Poi}}(w, 0) \leq d_{\text{Poi}}(W_i, 0) \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Let us give a more explicit description of this cell as an intersection of hyperbolic half-spaces. For every point W_i let V_i be midpoint, in the sense of the Poincaré metric, of the segment joining 0 to W_i , that is $2d_{\text{Poi}}(0, V_i) = d_{\text{Poi}}(0, W_i)$. Let H_i^- be a hyperbolic half-space, still in the sense of the Poincaré model, which contains 0 and whose bounding hyperbolic hyperplane H_i passes through V_i and is orthogonal to the segment joining 0 to W_i ; see the left panel of Figure 3.4. Then,

$$\Pi_{\text{st}}(V_{d,\lambda,\beta}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} H_i^-.$$

Step 2. And now let us map everything to the Klein model by the map $\varphi_{\text{Poi} \rightarrow \text{Kl}} : \mathbb{B}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^d$. Using the relation

$$d_{\text{Poi}}(0, V_i) = \frac{1}{2} d_{\text{Poi}}(0, W_i) = d_{\text{Kl}}(0, W_i)$$

mentioned in (3.7), we conclude that $\varphi_{\text{Poi} \rightarrow \text{Kl}}(V_i) = W_i$. It follows that $\varphi_{\text{Poi} \rightarrow \text{Kl}}(H_i^-)$ is a half-space G_i^- , in the sense of the Klein model, which contains 0 and whose boundary (which is a Euclidean affine hyperplane) passes through the point W_i and is orthogonal to the segment $[0, W_i]$; see the right panel of Figure 3.4. Summarizing, we have

$$\Pi_{\text{gn}}(V_{d,\lambda,\beta}) := \varphi_{\text{Poi} \rightarrow \text{Kl}}(\Pi_{\text{st}}(V_{d,\lambda,\beta})) = \{v \in \mathbb{B}^d : \langle v, W_i \rangle \leq \|W_i\|^2 \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Step 3. Note that the Poisson process formed by the points W_1, W_2, \dots is isotropic and their radial parts $\|W_1\|, \|W_2\|, \dots$ form a Poisson process on $(0, 1)$ with intensity

$$r \mapsto 2^d \lambda \omega_d \frac{r^{2\beta-d-1}}{(1 - r^2)^\beta}, \quad 0 < r < 1,$$

which follows from (3.17) together with the polar integration formula. Recalling the notation introduced in Section 3.2.1 we conclude that the closure of $\Pi_{\text{gn}}(V_{d,\lambda,\beta})$ has the same distribution




Figure 3.5: The expected vertex number of the typical hyperbolic Voronoi cell $V_{d,\lambda}^{\text{typ}}$ in dimension $d = 2$ (left panel), $d = 3$ (middle panel) and $d = 4$ (right panel) for intensities $0 < \lambda \leq 5$. The dashed horizontal line represents the expected vertex number of the typical *Euclidean* Voronoi cell; see Section 3.4.

as the zero cell $Z_{d,2^d\lambda\omega_d,\beta}$ defined in (3.11). By Theorem 3.1, the latter has the same distribution as the convex dual of the beta* set $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ with $\alpha = 2^d\lambda\omega_d/(\tilde{c}_{d,\beta}\omega_d) = 2^d\lambda/\tilde{c}_{d,\beta}$, which completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.9. From the above proof and Theorem 3.3 we see that $V_{d,\lambda,\beta}$ is a.s. bounded in the hyperbolic metric provided that $\beta > (d+1)/2$ or $\beta = (d+1)/2$ and $2^d\lambda\omega_d > \lambda_d^{\text{crit}}$. In these cases, the word “closure” can be removed from the statement of Theorem 3.8.

3.3.2 Expected f -vector of the typical hyperbolic Poisson-Voronoi cell

Next we turn to the special case of the stationary Poisson-Voronoi tessellation discussed in Section 1. Specializing Theorem 3.8 to $\beta = d$ (and noting that the case $\beta = d = 1$ not covered by it can be proven in the same way) we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.10 (Reduction of the typical Voronoi cell to beta* sets, II). *The gnomonic projection of the typical cell $V_{d,\lambda}^{\text{typ}}$ of the stationary hyperbolic Poisson-Voronoi tessellation with intensity $\lambda > 0$ on \mathbb{H}^d , $d \in \mathbb{N}$, has the same distribution as the convex dual $P_{d,\alpha,d}^\circ$ of the beta* polytope $P_{d,\alpha,d}$ with*

$$\alpha = 2^d\lambda/\tilde{c}_{d,d} = \frac{2^d\pi^{d/2}\lambda\Gamma(d/2)}{\Gamma(d)}.$$

Theorem 3.10 together with Theorem 2.9 imply an explicit formula for the expected number of k -faces of the typical hyperbolic Voronoi cell $V_{d,\lambda}^{\text{typ}}$.

Theorem 3.11 (Expected f -vector of the typical Voronoi cell). *For all $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda > 0$ and $k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E}f_k(V_{d,\lambda}^{\text{typ}}) = \mathbb{E}f_{d-k-1}(P_{d,2^d\lambda/\tilde{c}_{d,d},d}) = 2 \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \mathbb{I}_{2^d\lambda/\tilde{c}_{d,d},d-2s}^*(d) \cdot \tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{d-2s,d-k}\left(d-s-\frac{1}{2}\right). \quad (3.18)$$

For small values of d , we will now present explicit formulas for the expected number of k -faces of $P_{d,\alpha,d}$, and thus, also for the expected face numbers of $V_{d,\lambda}^{\text{typ}}$; see the first equality in (3.18). We remark that for $d = 2$ our result is in line with that of Isokawa [29, Theorem 1] and for $d = 3$ with the one obtained in [28, Theorem 1.1]. Some numerical values are shown in Figure 3.5.

Corollary 3.12. *Let $\alpha > 0$.*

(i) *For $\beta = d = 2$ one has that*

$$\mathbb{E}f_0(P_{2,\alpha,2}) = \mathbb{E}f_1(P_{2,\alpha,2}) = 6\left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha}\right).$$

(ii) For $\beta = d = 3$ one has that

$$\mathbb{E}f_k(P_{3,\alpha,3}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{I}_{\alpha,3}^*(3) + 2 & : k = 0, \\ 3\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,3}^*(3) & : k = 1, \\ 2\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,3}^*(3) & : k = 2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,3}^*(3) = \frac{64\alpha^3}{105\pi} \int_0^\infty \sinh^8(\varphi) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}(\sinh(2\varphi)-2\varphi)} d\varphi = \frac{64\alpha^3}{105\pi} \int_0^\infty \sinh^8(\varphi) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{\pi}(\sinh(\varphi)\cosh(\varphi)-\varphi)} d\varphi.$$

(iii) For $\beta = d = 4$ one has that

$$\mathbb{E}f_k(P_{4,\alpha,4}) = \begin{cases} \frac{54}{143}\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,4}^*(4) + 2\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,2}^*(4) & : k = 0, \\ \frac{340}{143}\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,4}^*(4) + 2\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,2}^*(4) & : k = 1, \\ 4\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,4}^*(4) & : k = 2, \\ 2\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,4}^*(4) & : k = 3, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_{\alpha,4}^*(4) &= \frac{2145\alpha^4}{32768} \int_0^\infty \sinh^{15}(\varphi) e^{-\alpha(2+\cosh\varphi)\sinh^4(\frac{\varphi}{2})} d\varphi, \\ \mathbb{I}_{\alpha,2}^*(4) &= \frac{35\alpha^2}{64} \int_0^\infty \sinh^7(\varphi) e^{-\alpha(2+\cosh\varphi)\sinh^4(\frac{\varphi}{2})} d\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

3.4 Asymptotics of beta* polytopes for large intensities and monotonicity

Consider a Poisson-Voronoi tessellation or a Poisson hyperplane tessellation of the hyperbolic space whose intensity parameter α increases to ∞ . The cells of such tessellations become smaller and since the role of the curvature becomes negligible on small scales, it is natural to conjecture that the hyperbolic cells become close to their Euclidean counterparts as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. Similar conclusion should apply to the corresponding cells in the spherical geometry. In this section we shall confirm this conjecture by studying the asymptotic behaviour of beta* sets $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ with fixed $\beta > d/2$, as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. Observe that the rescaled beta* set $\alpha^{-1/(2\beta-d)}P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ is generated by a Poisson process with Lebesgue intensity

$$\alpha^{\frac{d}{2\beta-d}} \cdot f_{d,\alpha,\beta}(x \cdot \alpha^{\frac{1}{2\beta-d}}) = \tilde{c}_{d,\beta}(\|x\|^2 - \alpha^{-\frac{2}{2\beta-d}})^{-\beta} \mathbb{1}_{\{\|x\| > \alpha^{-1/(2\beta-d)}\}} \xrightarrow{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{c}_{d,\beta} \cdot \|x\|^{-2\beta}, \quad (3.19)$$

where the limit holds pointwise for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ and we used that $2\beta - d > 0$ by assumption. This motivates us to consider a Poisson process $\chi_{d,\mu,\beta}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ whose intensity (with respect to the Lebesgue measure) is given by

$$x \mapsto \frac{\mu \tilde{c}_{d,\beta}}{\|x\|^{2\beta}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$

Here, $\mu > 0$ and $\beta > d/2$ are parameters. The atoms of $\chi_{d,\mu,\beta}$ cluster at 0 but not at ∞ . The convex hull of the atoms of $\chi_{d,\mu,\beta}$ is a random polytope, denoted here by $\text{conv } \chi_{d,\mu,\beta}$, which already appeared in [30, 33, 37] (with a different parametrization) and is referred to as a Poisson polytope in the sequel. Its convex dual object appeared earlier in [25, 26], see also the references cited therein. The next theorem is not surprising in view of (3.19).

Theorem 3.13 (Convergence of beta* sets). *Fix $\beta > d/2$. Then, as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, the random set $\alpha^{-1/(2\beta-d)} P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ converges weakly on the space of compact convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^d equipped with the Hausdorff metric to the Poisson polytope $\text{conv } \chi_{d,1,\beta}$.*

Continuity arguments similar to those in [33] allow to conclude from Theorem 3.13 the convergence of the f -vector of the beta* polytope $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ to that of the Poisson polytope $\text{conv } \chi_{d,1,\beta}$, both in distribution and in expectation. For example, it is possible to show that

$$(f_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta}))_{k=0}^{d-1} \xrightarrow[\alpha \rightarrow \infty]{d} (f_k(\text{conv } \chi_{d,1,\beta}))_{k=0}^{d-1} \quad \text{in distribution,} \quad \beta \geq \frac{d+1}{2}.$$

We choose a different method based on the explicit formula of Theorem 2.9 and present a result in which also the speed of convergence of the expected f -vector to its limit is addressed.

Theorem 3.14 (Expected f -vector for large intensities). *Fix $k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$ and suppose that $\beta \geq (d+1)/2$. Then, as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\mathbb{E}f_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) = \mathbb{E}f_k(\text{conv } \chi_{d,1,\beta}) + C_{d,k,\beta} \alpha^{-\frac{2}{2\beta-d}} + O(\alpha^{-\frac{4}{2\beta-d}}),$$

where $C_{d,k,\beta}$ is a constant which may be given explicitly and only depends on the parameters d , k and β .

Proof. Fix some $k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$. We recall from Theorem 2.9 that

$$\mathbb{E}f_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) = 2 \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor (d-k-1)/2 \rfloor} \mathbb{I}_{\alpha,d-2s}^*(2\beta-d) \cdot \tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{d-2s,k+1}\left(\beta-s-\frac{1}{2}\right),$$

where in the case $\beta = (d+1)/2$ we assume that α is sufficiently large. On the other hand, $\mathbb{E}f_k(\text{conv } \chi_{d,1,\beta})$ has been computed in [37, Theorem 1.21] and is given by

$$\mathbb{E}f_k(\text{conv } \chi_{d,1,\beta}) = 2 \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor (d-k-1)/2 \rfloor} \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_{\infty,d-2s}(2\beta-d) \cdot \tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{d-2s,k+1}\left(\beta-s-\frac{1}{2}\right),$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_{\infty,n}(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{n} \frac{\tilde{c}_{1,\frac{\lambda n+1}{2}}}{(\tilde{c}_{1,\frac{\lambda+1}{2}})^n}.$$

The notation $\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_{\infty,n}(\lambda)$, as already introduced in [30], is motivated by the fact that $\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_{\infty,n}(\lambda)$ is the limit of the quantities $\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_{\alpha,n}(\lambda)$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, see the proof of Theorem 1.21 in [37], as well as of the quantities $\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,n}^*(\lambda)$, see the following Proposition 3.15. This proposition immediately yields the desired asymptotic expansion in Theorem 3.14. Its proof is postponed to Section 8. We refrain from presenting an explicit formula for the constant $C_{d,k,\beta}$ since it is rather involved. \square

Proposition 3.15. *Suppose that $\lambda \geq 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, we have the asymptotic expansion*

$$\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,n}^*(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{n} \frac{\tilde{c}_{1,\frac{\lambda n+1}{2}}}{(\tilde{c}_{1,\frac{\lambda+1}{2}})^n} + \frac{K_1(\lambda,n)}{\alpha^{\frac{2}{\lambda}}} + O(\alpha^{-\frac{4}{\lambda}}) = \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_{\infty,n}(\lambda) + \frac{K_1(\lambda,n)}{\alpha^{\frac{2}{\lambda}}} + O(\alpha^{-\frac{4}{\lambda}}),$$

where the formula for the constant $K_1(\lambda,n)$ can be found in (8.2) below.

Let us consider the special cases $\beta = (d+1)/2$ and $\beta = d$. From [37, Theorem 1.23] it follows that one can identify the Euclidean Poisson zero cell and the Euclidean typical Poisson-Voronoi cell with the convex duals of $\text{conv } \chi_{d,\mu,(d+1)/2}$ and $\text{conv } \chi_{d,\mu,d}$, respectively, for suitably chosen intensities μ that are responsible for rescaling only and do not influence the f -vector. It follows from Theorem 3.14, combined with (3.14) and (3.18), that the expected numbers of k -faces of $Z_{d,\lambda}^0$ and $V_{d,\lambda}^{\text{typ}}$ converge, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, to the expected numbers of k -faces of the corresponding Euclidean objects, which are shown in Figures 3.3 and 3.5 as dashed horizontal lines. Moreover, the speed of convergence for the typical Poisson-Voronoi cell is $\text{const}(d, k) \cdot \lambda^{-2/d} + O(\lambda^{-4/d})$. The distributional convergence of the f -vectors can also be obtained by following the approach of [33].

Numerical simulations (see, in particular Figures 3.5 and 3.3) suggest that $\mathbb{E}f_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})$ is a strictly decreasing function of α . Together with the results of the present section, this would imply that the hyperbolic typical Voronoi cell and the hyperbolic Poisson zero cell are expected to have *more* k -dimensional faces than their Euclidean analogues, for all $k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$. Our next theorem shows that this is indeed the case. On the other hand, let us remark that in the spherical setting, the expected f -vectors are strictly increasing functions of the number of points (or, in the Poisson process setting, intensity); see [12] and [37, Theorem 1.5]. In particular, spherical cells are expected to have *less* k -faces than their Euclidean analogues.

Theorem 3.16 (Monotonicity of the expected f -vector). *Fix $k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$ and $\beta \geq (d+1)/2$. Then the function $\alpha \mapsto \mathbb{E}f_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})$ is strictly monotone decreasing in the range $\alpha > 0$ (if $\beta > (d+1)/2$) or $\alpha > (d-1)\pi$ (if $\beta = (d+1)/2$).*

3.5 Stochastic geometry in de Sitter space

In this section we shall present hyperbolic counterparts of the results on random polytopes in a half-sphere obtained in [3, 12, 31, 33]. Our approach will provide an interpretation of beta* polytopes as quite natural random objects in the de Sitter half-space (whose points are related by duality to hyperplanes in \mathbb{H}^d , as we shall see). Let us begin by recalling the results on the d -dimensional upper half-sphere in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} , which is denoted by

$$\mathbb{S}_+^d := \{x = (x_0, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : x_0 \geq 0, x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2 = 1\}.$$

Let U_1, \dots, U_n be random vectors drawn uniformly and independently from \mathbb{S}_+^d . The polyhedral convex cone generated by these vectors (also called their positive hull) is denoted by

$$C_{d,n} = \text{pos}(U_1, \dots, U_n) = \{\lambda_1 U_1 + \dots + \lambda_n U_n : \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \geq 0\}.$$

The study of the random cone $C_{d,n}$ and the random spherical polytope $C_{d,n} \cap \mathbb{S}_+^d$ has been initiated in the work of Bárány, Hug, Reitzner and Schneider [3] and continued in [12, 33] and [31]. It is convenient to replace $C_{d,n}$ by its horizontal cross-section $P := C_{d,n} \cap \{x_0 = 1\}$ as follows. By definition, the gnomonic projection $\Pi_{\text{gn}} : \{x_0 > 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ maps a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ with $x_0 > 0$ to the intersection of the ray spanned by x with the hyperplane $\{x_0 = 1\}$, that is

$$\Pi_{\text{gn}}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d) = \left(\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{x_0} \right), \quad (3.20)$$

see Figure 3.6, left panel. Here and in the following we identify the hyperplane $\{x_0 = 1\}$ with \mathbb{R}^d via the map $(1, v) \mapsto v$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$. It is well known that the gnomonic projection maps the uniform distribution on \mathbb{S}_+^d to the d -dimensional Cauchy distribution (which is a special case of the beta' distribution) with the density

$$\tilde{f}(v) = \tilde{c}_{d, \frac{d+1}{2}} (1 + \|v\|^2)^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (3.21)$$




Figure 3.6: Illustration of the gnomonic projections $\Pi_{\text{gn}} : \mathbb{S}_+^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\Pi_{\text{gn}} : \mathbb{L}_+^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$ on the half-sphere \mathbb{S}_+^{d-1} (left panel) and the de Sitter half-space \mathbb{L}_+^d (right panel) for $d = 1$.

Hence, the horizontal cross-section $P = C_{d,n} \cap \{x_0 = 1\}$ is a beta' polytope in $\{x_0 = 1\} \equiv \mathbb{R}^d$, that is the convex hull of n independent Cauchy-distributed random points $V_1 := \Pi_{\text{gn}}(U_1), \dots, V_n := \Pi_{\text{gn}}(U_n)$. This observation from [33] makes it possible to derive explicit formulae for $\mathbb{E}f_k(C_{d,n})$, the expected number of k -dimensional faces, in terms of the quantities $A[n, k]$ which already appeared in Section 3.2 and a certain array $B\{n, k\}$; see [31, Theorem 2.2] and also [32, Theorem 1.1]. Further quantities of interest include the solid angle $\angle(C_{d,n})$ and the Grassmann angles $\gamma_\ell(C_{d,n})$ with $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, which may be defined by

$$\angle(C_{d,n}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_P \tilde{f}(v) dv = \frac{1}{2} \int_P \tilde{c}_{d, \frac{d+1}{2}} (1 + \|v\|^2)^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} dv, \quad (3.22)$$

$$\gamma_\ell(C_{d,n}) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^{d+1-\ell}} \mathbb{1}_{\{P \cap \text{aff}(v_1, \dots, v_{d+1-\ell}) \neq \emptyset\}} \tilde{f}(v_1) \dots \tilde{f}(v_{d+1-\ell}) dv_1 \dots dv_{d+1-\ell}. \quad (3.23)$$

The expectations of both quantities satisfy Efron-type identities, see [31, Equation (4.13)] and [33, Theorem 2.7], which allows to express them through the expected f -vector.

Let us now turn to the hyperbolic analogues of these results. We shall work in the space \mathbb{R}^{d+1} . Recall that the Minkowski product of two vectors $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d)$ and $x' = (x'_0, x'_1, \dots, x'_d)$ in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} is defined by

$$B(x, x') = x_0 x'_0 - x_1 x'_1 - \dots - x_d x'_d.$$

The *de Sitter space* \mathbb{L}^d is the hyperboloid defined by the equation $B(x, x) = -1$, that is

$$\mathbb{L}^d = \{x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : x_0^2 - x_1^2 - \dots - x_d^2 = -1\}.$$

For comparison, recall that the hyperboloid model \mathbb{H}^d of the hyperbolic geometry is defined by the equation $B(x, x) = 1$ together with $x_0 > 0$. The Minkowski product induces on \mathbb{L}^d a pseudo-Riemannian metric which is invariant under the action of the Lorentz group $O(1, d)$ on \mathbb{L}^d . Even though this metric is not positive-definite, it defines a volume measure on \mathbb{L}^d as follows. If, in some local coordinates z_1, \dots, z_d , the pseudo-Riemannian metric is given by a matrix $g_{ij}(z)$, then the corresponding volume element is given by

$$d\nu_{\text{dS}}(dz_1, \dots, dz_d) = |\det(g_{ij}(z))|^{1/2} dz_1 \dots dz_d.$$

In our setting, the de Sitter space is the analogue of the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^d , while the analogue of the upper half-sphere \mathbb{S}_+^d is the *upper de Sitter half-space* defined by

$$\mathbb{L}_+^d = \{x \in \mathbb{L}^d : x_0 > 0\}.$$

We can identify \mathbb{L}_+^d with its ‘‘Klein model’’ $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$ via the gnomonic projection $\Pi_{\text{gn}} : \mathbb{L}_+^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$ defined in the same way as in (3.20), see Figure 3.6, right panel. The next simple proposition

shows that the pseudo-Riemannian metric and the volume element induced on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{B}^d$ by this identification are very similar to what is known for the Klein model of hyperbolic geometry; see (3.3).

Proposition 3.17. *The images of the pseudo-Riemannian metric and the corresponding volume element of the upper de Sitter half-space under the gnomonic projection are given by*

$$ds^2 = \frac{\|du\|^2}{1 - \|u\|^2} + \frac{\langle u, du \rangle^2}{(\|u\|^2 - 1)^2}, \quad \nu_{dS}(du_1, \dots, du_d) = \frac{du_1 \dots du_d}{(\|u\|^2 - 1)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{B}^d. \quad (3.24)$$

Proof. The inverse of the gnomonic projection is given by

$$\Pi_{\text{gn}}^{-1}(u) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\|u\|^2 - 1}}, \frac{u}{\sqrt{\|u\|^2 - 1}} \right), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{B}^d.$$

Given this, one checks that the differential of the map Π_{gn}^{-1} is given by

$$d\Pi_{\text{gn}}^{-1}(u) = \Pi_{\text{gn}}^{-1}(u + du) - \Pi_{\text{gn}}^{-1}(u) = \left(-\frac{\langle u, du \rangle}{(\|u\|^2 - 1)^{3/2}}, \frac{(\|u\|^2 - 1)du - \langle u, du \rangle u}{(\|u\|^2 - 1)^{3/2}} \right).$$

Computing the squared Minkowski pseudo-norm $B(d\Pi_{\text{gn}}^{-1}(u), d\Pi_{\text{gn}}^{-1}(u))$ of the infinitesimal vector on the right-hand side, we arrive at the formula for ds^2 stated in (3.24). Let us now turn to the volume element. The matrix of the pseudo-Riemannian metric $ds^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^d g_{ij} du_i du_j$ in the coordinates u_1, \dots, u_d is therefore given by

$$g_{ij} = g_{ij}(u) = \frac{u_i u_j}{(\|u\|^2 - 1)^2} - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\|u\|^2 - 1}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, d,$$

where δ_{ij} denotes the Kronecker delta. To compute the determinant of this matrix, we denote by I_d the $(d \times d)$ -identity matrix, and let $J : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be an orthogonal projection on the line spanned by u . Then, using the shorthand $p := 1/(\|u\|^2 - 1)$, we can write

$$\det(g_{ij})_{i,j=1}^d = p^d \det(pu_i u_j - \delta_{ij}) = p^d \det(p\|u\|^2 J - I_{d \times d}) = (-p)^d (1 - p\|u\|^2) = \frac{(-1)^{d+1}}{(1 - \|u\|^2)^{d+1}}.$$

It follows that the volume element is given by the formula stated in (3.24). \square

Consider now a Poisson process on the upper de Sitter half-space \mathbb{L}_+^d whose intensity measure is given by the standard volume element dV times $\alpha \tilde{c}_{d,(d+1)/2}$, where $\alpha > 0$ is some constant. Denote the atoms of this point process (listed in some order) by U_1^*, U_2^*, \dots and consider the convex cone $C_{d,\alpha}^* = \text{pos}(U_1^*, U_2^*, \dots) \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ spanned by these vectors.

Proposition 3.18. *The horizontal cross-section $P^* := C_{d,\alpha}^* \cap \{x_0 = 1\}$ of $C_{d,\alpha}^*$ has the same distribution as the beta* polytope $P_{d,\alpha,(d+1)/2}$.*

Proof. Clearly, $C_{d,\alpha}^* \cap \{x_0 = 1\}$ is the convex hull of the points $V_1^* := \Pi_{\text{gn}}(U_1^*), V_2^* := \Pi_{\text{gn}}(U_2^*), \dots$. According to Proposition 3.17, the points V_1^*, V_2^*, \dots form a Poisson process on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{B}^d$ with intensity $\alpha \tilde{c}_{d,(d+1)/2} (\|u\|^2 - 1)^{-(d+1)/2}$, and the claim follows. \square

Let $\zeta := \sum_{j=1}^\infty \delta_{V_j^*}$ be the point process on $\mathbb{R}^d \equiv \{x_0 = 1\}$ formed by the points V_1^*, V_2^*, \dots . Note that ζ has the same law as $\zeta_{d,\alpha,(d+1)/2}$ and its intensity is $f_{d,\alpha,(d+1)/2}$; see Section 2.1. Motivated by (3.22), the analogue of the solid angle for the cone $C_{d,\alpha}^*$ is defined by

$$\angle^*(C_{d,\alpha}^*) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus P^*} f_{d,1,\frac{d+1}{2}}(v) dv = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus P^*} \tilde{c}_{d,\frac{d+1}{2}}(\|v\|^2 - 1)^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} dv.$$

Note that in contrast to (3.22) the integral is taken over $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus P^*$. For $\alpha > (d-1)\pi$ the integral over P^* is a.s. infinite because $P^* \supset r\mathbb{B}^d$ with some random $r > 1$ by Theorem 2.4. The next proposition is an Efron-type identity which, combined with Theorem 3.5, yields an explicit formula for $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{L}^*(P)$.

Proposition 3.19. *We have $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{L}^*(C_{d,\alpha}^*) = \frac{1}{2\alpha}\mathbb{E}f_1(C_{d,\alpha}^*)$.*

Proof. By Mecke's formula, see Proposition 6.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}f_0(P^*) &= \mathbb{E} \sum_{v \in \zeta} \mathbb{1}_{\{v \in \mathcal{F}_0(P^*)\}} = \mathbb{E} \sum_{v \in \zeta} \mathbb{1}_{\{v \notin \text{conv}(\zeta \setminus \{v\})\}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{B}^d} \mathbb{P}[v \notin \text{conv} \zeta] f_{d,\alpha, \frac{d+1}{2}}(v) dv \\ &= \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus P^*} f_{d,\alpha, \frac{d+1}{2}}(v) dv = 2\alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}\mathcal{L}^*(C_{d,\alpha}^*),\end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows since $f_0(P^*) = f_1(C_{d,\alpha}^*)$. \square

To generalize the previous result, we define the analogues of the Grassmann angles (see (3.23)) in the de Sitter space as follows. For $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, the functional γ_ℓ^* of the cone $C_{d,\alpha}^*$ is defined by

$$\gamma_\ell^*(C_{d,\alpha}^*) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{B}^d)^{d+1-\ell}} \mathbb{1}_{\{P^* \cap \text{aff}(v_1, \dots, v_{d+1-\ell}) = \emptyset\}} f_{d,1, \frac{d+1}{2}}(v_1) \dots f_{d,1, \frac{d+1}{2}}(v_{d+1-\ell}) dv_1 \dots dv_{d+1-\ell}.$$

Let us stress that we have “ $= \emptyset$ ” in the indicator function, which is in contrast to (3.23).

Proposition 3.20. *For all $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ we have $\mathbb{E}\gamma_\ell^*(C_{d,\alpha}^*) = \alpha^{\ell-d-1} \mathbb{E}f_{d-\ell+1}(C_{d,\alpha}^*)$.*

Proof. Let $k := d+1-\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Then, by the Mecke formula stated in Proposition 6.1,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}f_{k-1}(P^*) &= \mathbb{E} \sum_{(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \zeta_{\neq}^k} \mathbb{1}_{\{\text{conv}(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}(P^*)\}} \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{B}^d)^k} \mathbb{P}[\text{conv}(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}(\text{conv}(\zeta \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}))] \prod_{j=1}^k f_{d,\alpha, (d+1)/2}(v_j) dv_j \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{B}^d)^k} \mathbb{P}[\text{aff}(v_1, \dots, v_k) \cap P^* = \emptyset] \prod_{j=1}^k f_{d,\alpha, (d+1)/2}(v_j) dv_j.\end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows since $f_{d,\alpha, (d+1)/2}(v_j) = \alpha f_{d,1, (d+1)/2}(v_j)$. \square

Remark 3.21. There is a duality between the de Sitter geometry in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{B}^d$ and the hyperbolic geometry in the Klein model \mathbb{B}^d . Namely, to a vector $x \in \mathbb{L}_+^d$ we assign the hyperplane $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : B(x, y) = 0\} \cap \mathbb{H}^d$ in the hyperboloid model. Under gnomonic projection, this correspondence takes the following form: to a point $v \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{B}^d$ in the “Klein model” of the de Sitter half-space we assign the hyperplane $\{z \in \mathbb{B}^d : \langle z, v \rangle = 1\} \cap \mathbb{B}^d$ in the Klein model of the hyperbolic space. Under this correspondence (which is the usual polarity w.r.t. the unit sphere), the volume measure ν_{as} on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{B}^d$, see (3.24), is mapped to some measure on the space of hyperplanes in the Klein model. The latter measure is invariant under isometries since so is the volume element dV . In fact, the proof of Theorem 3.1, with $\beta = (d+1)/2$, shows that this measure coincides with the measure $\mu_{d,\omega_d, (d+1)/2}$ defined in Section 3.2.1, thus proving its invariance under isometries.

3.6 The case $\beta = (d+2)/2$

Finally, we discuss the case where $\beta = (d+2)/2$, which we included since the corresponding terms in the f -vector of $P_{d,\alpha,(d+2)/2}$ become explicit.

Theorem 3.22. *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha > 0$ and $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}f_{\ell-1}(P_{d,\alpha,\frac{d+2}{2}}) = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha e^\alpha}{\pi}} \sum_{\substack{m \in \{\ell, \dots, d\} \\ m \equiv d \pmod{2}}} K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \left(\binom{m}{\ell} \binom{m+\ell}{\ell} - \binom{m-2}{\ell} \binom{m+\ell-2}{\ell} \right),$$

where $K_\nu(z)$ denotes the modified Bessel function of the second kind which may be defined [54, pp. 181, 172] by

$$K_\nu(z) = \int_0^\infty \cosh(\nu t) \cdot e^{-z \cosh t} dt = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}(z/2)^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2})} \int_1^\infty e^{-zt} (t^2 - 1)^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} dt, \quad z, \nu > 0. \quad (3.25)$$

Let us now provide a geometric interpretation of the special case $\beta = (d+2)/2$; see [7, Lemma 2.3(c)] for an analogous construction in spherical geometry. Consider an infinite measure ν'_{dS} on de Sitter half-space \mathbb{L}_+^d which is obtained from the standard Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$ by lifting it via the map $v \mapsto (\sqrt{\|v\|^2 - 1}, v)$. Let U'_1, U'_2, \dots be the atoms of the Poisson process on \mathbb{L}_+^d with intensity measure ν'_{dS} and consider the cone $C'_d := \text{pos}(U'_1, U'_2, \dots) \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$.

Proposition 3.23. *The horizontal cross-section $C'_d \cap \{x_0 = 1\}$ has the same distribution as the beta* polytope $P_{d,\alpha,(d+2)/2}$ with $\alpha = 1/\tilde{c}_{d,(d+2)/2}$.*

Proof. The points $V'_i := \Pi_{\text{gn}}(U'_i)$ form a Poisson process on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d \subset \{x_0 = 1\}$ whose intensity measure m is the image of the Lebesgue measure under the involution $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$ given by $\Psi(v) = v/\sqrt{\|v\|^2 - 1}$. Clearly, m is rotationally invariant and

$$m(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus R\bar{\mathbb{B}}^d) = \text{Vol}\left(\frac{\mathbb{B}^d}{\sqrt{1-R^{-2}}}\right) = \frac{\kappa_d}{(1-R^{-2})^{d/2}}, \quad R > 1.$$

Taking the derivative in R , and dividing by $\omega_d R^{d-1}$, we conclude that the Lebesgue density of m is given by $v \mapsto (\|v\|^2 - 1)^{-(d+2)/2}$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$, which proves the claim. \square

4 Boundedness of cells and existence of beta* polytopes: Proofs

In this section we prove the results on the boundedness of hyperbolic zero cells stated in Theorems 3.3 and 3.4. By duality, these imply conditions under which beta* sets are polytopes as stated in Theorems 2.4 and 2.5. The proofs rely on classical results about random coverings of the unit sphere which we now recall.

4.1 Coverings by arcs and caps

Given a deterministic sequence $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots \in (0, 1)$, consider random arcs S_1, S_2, \dots of lengths $2\pi\ell_1, 2\pi\ell_2, \dots$ placed uniformly and independently on a unit circle \mathbb{S}^1 . The question whether the whole circle is covered infinitely often by these arcs with probability 1, i.e. whether $\mathbb{P}[\limsup S_n = \mathbb{S}^1] = 1$, goes back to Dvoretzky [15] and has been studied in the works of Billard, Kahane, Erdős, Orey and Mandelbrot. This line of research culminated in the work of Shepp [51] who proved that the circle is covered infinitely often with probability 1 if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} e^{\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_n} = +\infty, \quad (4.1)$$

provided the sequence ℓ_n is non-increasing. Coverings by random sets in more general metric spaces have been studied in several works including [24] and [16]; see the latter paper for more pointers to the literature. Let us recall a special case of a result of Hoffmann-Jørgensen [24, Section 5], for which we introduce some further notation. An open spherical cap in the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} is a set of the form

$$S(u, h) = \{x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} : \langle u, x \rangle > h\},$$

where $u \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is called the centre of the cap and $h \in (0, 1)$. Consider now a deterministic sequence of numbers $h_1, h_2, \dots \in (0, 1)$ and a sequence of independent points u_1, u_2, \dots drawn uniformly at random from the sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . We are interested in whether the caps $S(u_1, h_1), S(u_2, h_2), \dots$ cover the sphere with probability 1. Recall that σ_{d-1} denotes the spherical Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} normalized such that $\sigma_{d-1}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) = 1$.

Theorem 4.1 (Hoffmann-Jørgensen [24]). *Let the sequence h_1, h_2, \dots be such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\sigma_{d-1}(S(u_n, h_n)) = a \in [0, \infty]. \quad (4.2)$$

- (i) *If $a > 1$, then $\mathbb{P}[\limsup S(u_n, h_n) = \mathbb{S}^{d-1}] = 1$. That is, with probability 1, the sphere is covered infinitely often by the caps.*
- (ii) *If $a < 1$, then $\mathbb{P}[\limsup S(u_n, h_n) = \mathbb{S}^{d-1}] = 0$ and $\mathbb{P}[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{S(u_n, h_n)} \neq \mathbb{S}^{d-1}] > 0$. In particular, the probability that there are points not covered by the closed caps is positive.*

Note that the case $a = 1$ from this theorem will be discussed in Remark 4.3 below.

Proof. Claim (i) follows from (5.3) in Section 5 of [24] (note that our $\sigma_{d-1}(S(u_n, h_n))$ corresponds to a_n^p there). Claim (ii) follows from (5.5) in Section 5 of [24] (which claims that $\limsup S(u_n, h_n) \neq \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ a.s.) together with (3.10) on page 175 of [24] (which claims that uncovered points exist with positive probability). \square

4.2 Proofs of Theorems 3.3 and 2.4

Our aim is to prove Theorem 3.3. Fix some $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta > \max(d/2, 1)$ and $\lambda > 0$. Recall that we are interested in the zero cell

$$Z_{d,\lambda,\beta} = \{x \in \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d : \langle x, u_n \rangle \leq r_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d, \quad (4.3)$$

where u_1, u_2, \dots are as above and $r_1 < r_2 < \dots$ form an independent Poisson process on $(0, 1)$ with intensity

$$f(r) = \lambda \frac{r^{2\beta-d-1}}{(1-r^2)^\beta}, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

In what follows, for two sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ we write $a_n \sim b_n$, provided that $a_n/b_n \rightarrow 1$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 4.2. *With probability 1, we have*

$$1 - r_n \sim \left(\frac{\lambda}{2^\beta(\beta-1)} \right)^{1/(\beta-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{n^{1/(\beta-1)}}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. We would like to have a representation $r_n = \varphi(P_n)$, where $P_1 < P_2 < \dots$ are the arrivals of a homogeneous, unit intensity Poisson process on $(0, \infty)$, and $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is a suitable monotone increasing function with $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(y) = 1$. By the well-known transformation property of Poisson processes, such representation holds if

$$\int_0^{\varphi(y)} \frac{\lambda r^{2\beta-d-1}}{(1-r^2)^\beta} dr = y \quad \text{for all } y \in [0, \infty). \quad (4.4)$$

Using the L'Hospital rule, one easily checks that

$$\int_0^z \frac{\lambda r^{2\beta-d-1}}{(1-r^2)^\beta} dr \sim \frac{\lambda(1-z)^{1-\beta}}{2^\beta(\beta-1)}, \quad \text{as } z \uparrow 1.$$

Since $\varphi(y) \uparrow 1$ as $y \rightarrow +\infty$, it follows that

$$\frac{\lambda(1-\varphi(y))^{1-\beta}}{2^\beta(\beta-1)} \sim y, \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow +\infty.$$

To complete the proof, recall that $1-r_n = 1-\varphi(P_n)$ and $P_n \sim n$ a.s., as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by the law of large numbers. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.3. It is well known (and follows from general properties of beta distributions, see, e.g., Lemma 3.1 with $\beta = -1$ in [37]) that the normalized spherical volume of a spherical cap $S(u, h) = \{x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} : \langle u, x \rangle > h\}$ satisfies

$$\sigma_{d-1}(S(u, h)) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})} \int_h^1 (1-s^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} ds \sim \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})} \cdot \frac{2^{\frac{d-1}{2}}(1-h)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{d-1}, \quad \text{as } h \uparrow 1,$$

where the asymptotic equivalence can be verified using the rule of L'Hospital. Taking into account Lemma 4.2 it follows that with probability 1,

$$\sigma_{d-1}(S(u_n, r_n)) \sim \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})} \frac{2^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{d-1} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2^\beta(\beta-1)} \right)^{\frac{d-1}{2(\beta-1)}} \cdot n^{-\frac{d-1}{2\beta-2}}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.5)$$

Let now $\beta > (d+1)/2$. Then, $(d-1)/(2\beta-2) < 1$ and we can apply Theorem 4.1 (i) with $a = \infty$ to almost every realization of $r_1 < r_2 < \dots$ to conclude that \mathbb{S}^{d-1} is a.s. covered by the open caps $S(u_n, r_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By compactness, we can extract finitely many open caps that cover the sphere. It follows that the zero cell $Z_{d,\lambda,\beta}$ is a polytope contained in \mathbb{B}^d (and not intersecting \mathbb{S}^{d-1}).

Let now $d/2 < \beta < (d+1)/2$. Then, $(d-1)/(2\beta-2) > 1$ and we can apply Theorem 4.1 (ii) with $a = 0$ to conclude that the closures of the caps $S(u_n, r_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, do not cover the sphere on certain event, E say, of positive probability. On this event, the zero cell $Z_{d,\lambda,\beta}$ intersects \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . On the same event E , $Z_{d,\lambda,\beta}$ is not a (Euclidean) polytope because if it were a polytope, it could be defined by finitely many inequalities of the form $\langle x, u_n \rangle \leq r_n$. However, the union of finitely many closed spherical caps is closed, which means that its complement (being non-empty) contains a spherical cap. This contradicts the assumption that $Z_{d,\lambda,\beta} \subset \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$ is a polytope.

Consider now the critical case $\beta = (d+1)/2$. After some elementary transformations, (4.5) takes the following form: with probability 1,

$$\sigma_{d-1}(S(u_n, r_n)) \sim \frac{\lambda \Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})(d-1)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{n} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_d^{\text{crit}}} \cdot \frac{1}{n}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If $\lambda > \lambda_d^{\text{crit}}$, respectively, $0 < \lambda < \lambda_d^{\text{crit}}$, then we can argue as above, applying Part (i), respectively, Part (ii), of Theorem 4.1. \square

Remark 4.3. The doubly critical case when $\beta = (d+1)/2$ and $\lambda = \lambda_d^{\text{crit}}$ corresponds to the missing case $a = 1$ in Theorem 4.1. In fact, for $a = 1$, Hoffmann-Jørgensen [24, Section 5] has a more refined result in which Condition (4.2) is replaced by the assumption $n\sigma_{d-1}(S(u_n, h_n)) - 1 \sim b/\log n$ for some $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Unfortunately, there is a gap in the range of b not covered by the results of [24] and (after slightly more involved computations than above) one can check that the doubly critical case falls precisely into this gap.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. The claim follows from Theorem 3.3 via the duality relation $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}^\circ \stackrel{d}{=} Z_{d,\lambda,\beta}$ stated in Theorem 3.1. Note that the critical value of α is given by

$$\alpha_d^{\text{crit}} = \frac{\lambda_d^{\text{crit}}}{\tilde{c}_{d, \frac{d+1}{2}} \omega_d} = (d-1)\pi,$$

where we used (2.1) and the formula $\omega_d = d\kappa_d = d\pi^{d/2}/\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} + 1)$. \square

4.3 Proofs of Theorems 3.4 and 2.5

Although Theorem 3.4 is known from [42], [4, Section 6] and [53], we shall provide a short proof. Then, Theorem 2.5 follows by duality stated in Theorem 3.1. To prove that $Z_{2,\pi,3/2}$ is a (Euclidean) polygon that does not touch the unit circle \mathbb{S}^1 we shall verify Shepp's condition (4.1). To this end, we use the distributional representation $r_n = \varphi(P_n)$, where $P_1 < P_2 < \dots$ are the arrivals of a homogeneous, unit intensity Poisson process on $(0, \infty)$, and $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is a monotone increasing function with

$$y = \pi \int_0^{\varphi(y)} (1-r^2)^{-3/2} dr = \frac{\pi \varphi(y)}{\sqrt{1-\varphi^2(y)}}, \quad y \geq 0,$$

which is a special case of (4.4). Solving this equation yields $\varphi(y) = y/\sqrt{y^2 + \pi^2}$ and we arrive at

$$r_n = \frac{P_n}{\sqrt{P_n^2 + \pi^2}} = 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{2P_n^2} + O(P_n^{-4}), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The length of the arc $\{x \in \mathbb{S}^1 : \langle x, u_n \rangle > r_n\}$ is $2\pi\ell_n$, where

$$\ell_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot 2 \arccos r_n = \frac{1}{P_n} + O(P_n^{-2}), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the law of the iterated logarithm, we have $P_k = k + o(\sqrt{k \log k})$ a.s., as $k \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{P_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{P_n} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k + o(\sqrt{k \log k})} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \left(1 - o\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}\right) \right) = \log n + O(1),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} e^{\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} e^{\frac{1}{P_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{P_n} + O(1)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} e^{\log n + O(1)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{O(1)}}{n} = \infty.$$

Hence, Shepp's criterium (4.1) implies that the circle \mathbb{S}^1 is covered by the arcs with probability 1. By compactness, we can extract finitely many open arcs covering the circle and it follows that $Z_{2,\pi,3/2}$ is a polygon with probability one. \square

5 Properties of beta* intensities

In this section we shall state and prove two basic properties of the beta* intensities: invariance under projections and the canonical decomposition. We recall that for $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta > d/2$ and $\alpha > 0$ we defined the beta* intensity $f_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ by

$$f_{d,\alpha,\beta}(x) := \frac{\alpha \tilde{c}_{d,\beta}}{(\|x\|^2 - 1)^\beta}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{B}^d, \quad \tilde{c}_{d,\beta} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma(\beta - \frac{d}{2})}. \quad (5.1)$$

Let $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ be a Poisson process on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{B}^d$ with intensity $f_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure.




Figure 5.1: Projection property of beta* intensities; see Lemma 5.1.

5.1 Projections

For $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ we let $\pi_k : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ denote the orthogonal projection onto the first k coordinates, that is $\pi_k(z_1, \dots, z_d) = (z_1, \dots, z_k)$. The next lemma shows that Poisson processes with density of the form $f_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ are stable under projections, meaning that the orthogonal projections onto lower-dimensional subspaces of such Poisson processes are again of the same type with a suitably modified parameter β . We remark that this projection behaviour is similar to the one for beta and beta' densities as considered in [37, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ be a Poisson process in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$ with intensity $f_{d,\alpha,\beta}$. Then, its projection*

$$\pi_k(\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}) := \sum_{\substack{z \text{ is an atom of } \zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta} \\ \pi_k(z) \notin \bar{\mathbb{B}}^k}} \delta_{\pi_k(z)}$$

has the same distribution as the Poisson process $\zeta_{k,\alpha,\beta-\frac{d-k}{2}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^k \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^k$.

Remark 5.2. Note that the points of $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ are located outside the closed unit ball $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$ and accumulate close to its boundary; see Figure 5.1. In our context it is useful to think of \mathbb{B}^d as kind of ‘black hole’ into which $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ densely puts an infinite number of points at infinite intensity. Under projection π_k , the points of $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ belonging to the cylinder $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{d-k}$ (which contains $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$) are mapped to the new ‘black hole’ $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^k$, and fill it with infinite intensity. Note that infinitely many points which were initially not in the black hole may fall into it after projection. In a rigorous treatment, such points have to be excluded from the definition of $\pi_k(\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta})$; see Figure 5.1.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. By the transformation property of Poisson processes, $\pi_k(\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta})$ is a Poisson process in $\mathbb{R}^k \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^k$ and its intensity measure is the image of the intensity measure of $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ under π_k (provided the image measure is locally finite, which we shall see a posteriori). To determine the image measure, we represent the points from \mathbb{R}^d as (x, y) with $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d-k}$, so that $\pi_k((x, y)) = x$. Let us fix some $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ such that $h := \|x\| > 1$. Then the Lebesgue density of the intensity measure of $\pi_k(\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta})$ at x is given by

$$\psi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-k}} f_{d,\alpha,\beta}((x, y)) dy = \alpha \tilde{c}_{d,\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-k}} \frac{dy}{(h^2 + \|y\|^2 - 1)^\beta} = \frac{\alpha \tilde{c}_{d,\beta}}{(h^2 - 1)^\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-k}} \frac{dy}{\left(1 + \frac{\|y\|^2}{h^2 - 1}\right)^\beta},$$

where we used that $\|(x, y)\|^2 = h^2 + \|y\|^2$. Applying the substitution $y/\sqrt{h^2 - 1} = z$ we see that

$$\psi(x) = \frac{\alpha \tilde{c}_{d,\beta}}{(h^2 - 1)^\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-k}} \frac{(h^2 - 1)^{\frac{d-k}{2}} dz}{(1 + \|z\|^2)^\beta} = \frac{\alpha \tilde{c}_{d,\beta}}{(h^2 - 1)^{\beta - \frac{d-k}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-k}} \frac{dz}{(1 + \|z\|^2)^\beta}.$$

It remains to compute the integral on the right-hand side. Using the polar integration in \mathbb{R}^{d-k} and the substitution $s = r^2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-k}} \frac{dz}{(1 + \|z\|^2)^\beta} &= \omega_{d-k} \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{d-k-1}}{(1 + r^2)^\beta} dr = \frac{\omega_{d-k}}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{s^{\frac{d-k}{2}-1}}{(1 + s)^\beta} ds \\ &= \frac{\pi^{\frac{d-k}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d-k}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d-k}{2})\Gamma(\beta - \frac{d-k}{2})}{\Gamma(\beta)} = \frac{1}{\tilde{c}_{d-k,\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting pieces together yields

$$\psi(x) = \frac{\tilde{c}_{d,\beta}}{\tilde{c}_{d-k,\beta}} \frac{\alpha}{(h^2 - 1)^{\beta - \frac{d-k}{2}}} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\pi^{\frac{d-k}{2}} \Gamma(\beta - \frac{d-k}{2})} \frac{\alpha}{(h^2 - 1)^{\beta - \frac{d-k}{2}}} = \frac{\alpha \tilde{c}_{k,\beta - \frac{d-k}{2}}}{(h^2 - 1)^{\beta - \frac{d-k}{2}}}.$$

This proves that the two Poisson processes $\pi_k(\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta})$ and $\zeta_{k,\alpha,\beta - \frac{d-k}{2}}$ have the same intensity measure and are hence identically distributed. The argument is thus complete. \square

5.2 Canonical decomposition

We are going to state a property of beta^* measures which can be regarded as an analogue of the canonical decomposition of beta and beta' distributions of Ruben and Miles [45, 40]; see also Theorems 3.3 and 3.6 from [37]. Let $\Phi_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ be the (non-random) measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$ with Lebesgue density $f_{d,\alpha,\beta}$; see (5.1). Although the measure $\Phi_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ is infinite for $\beta > \max(d/2, 1)$, it is convenient to think probabilistically and imagine that we sample a tuple $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ whose components x_1, \dots, x_k are $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ “random vectors” in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$ that are stochastically independent and “distributed” according this infinite measure. In Part (b) of the following Theorem 5.3 we shall provide a description of the “distribution” of their affine hull $A_x := \text{aff}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$. By rotational invariance, it suffices to compute the “distribution” of the distance from A_x to the origin (which is an infinite measure). Moreover, in Part (a) we shall describe the distribution of the points x_1, \dots, x_k inside their own affine hull A_x . This requires clarification since the affine subspace A_x is itself random. To address this issue, we shall fix certain non-random identification $I_A : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ for every affine subspace $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\dim A = k - 1$ (see below) and look at the points $I_{A_x}(x_1), \dots, I_{A_x}(x_k)$ in \mathbb{R}^{k-1} , after certain normalization.

For $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ we write $G(d, \ell)$ for the Grassmannian of ℓ -dimensional linear subspaces of \mathbb{R}^d and $A(d, \ell)$ for the Grassmannian of ℓ -dimensional affine subspaces of \mathbb{R}^d . We denote by $\pi_E : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow E$ the orthogonal projection onto an affine subspace $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and by $p(E) = \pi_E(0) = \text{argmin}_{x \in E} \|x\|$ the projection of the origin onto E . For every affine subspace $E \in A(d, k - 1)$ let us fix an isometry $I_E : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ such that $I_E(p(E)) = 0$. Additionally, we require that $(x, E) \mapsto I_E(\pi_E(x))$ defines a measurable map from $\mathbb{R}^d \times A(d, k - 1)$ to \mathbb{R}^{k-1} , where the spaces $\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ and $A(d, k - 1)$ are equipped with their standard Borel σ -algebras, see [50, Chapter 13.2] for the σ -algebra of $A(d, k - 1)$. Note that the choice of I_E is not unique.

Theorem 5.3 (Canonical decomposition). *Let $\Phi_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ be the measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$ with Lebesgue density $f_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ and parameters $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > d/2$. Fix $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ be a k -tuple of points from $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$. Let $A_x := \text{aff}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ be the affine hull of the points x_1, \dots, x_k , and $p(A_x)$ be the orthogonal projection of the origin on A_x . Recall that $\text{dist}(A_x) = \|p(A_x)\|$ denotes the distance from the origin to A_x . Define the set*

$$\mathcal{S}_{k,d} := \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^k : A_x \cap \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d = \emptyset, \dim A_x = k - 1\}$$

and the transformation

$$\begin{aligned} T : \quad \mathcal{S}_{k,d} &\rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{k-1})^k \times (\mathbb{R}^{d-k+1} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^{d-k+1}) \\ (x_1, \dots, x_k) &\mapsto (T_1(x), T_2(x)) \end{aligned}$$

given by

$$T_1(x) := \left(\frac{I_{A_x}(x_1)}{\sqrt{\text{dist}^2(A_x) - 1}}, \dots, \frac{I_{A_x}(x_k)}{\sqrt{\text{dist}^2(A_x) - 1}} \right), \quad T_2(x) := I_{A_x^\perp}(p(A_x)).$$

The restriction of a measure μ to a set B is denoted by $\mu|_B$. Then the following hold.

(a) We have the decomposition

$$\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Phi_{d,\alpha,\beta} \right) \Big|_{\mathcal{S}_{k,d}} \circ T^{-1} = \phi_{k-1} \otimes \left(\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Phi_{d,\alpha,\beta} \right) \Big|_{\mathcal{S}_{k,d}} \circ T_2^{-1} \right)$$

as a product measure, where ϕ_{k-1} is the probability measure on $(\mathbb{R}^{k-1})^k$ whose Lebesgue density is a constant multiple of

$$V_{k-1}^{d-k+1}(\text{conv}(z_1, \dots, z_k)) \prod_{i=1}^k \tilde{f}_{k-1,\beta}(z_i), \quad z_1, \dots, z_k \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}.$$

Here, $V_{k-1}(\text{conv}(z_1, \dots, z_k))$ is the $(k-1)$ -volume of the simplex $\text{conv}(z_1, \dots, z_k)$ and $\tilde{f}_{k-1,\beta}(z)$ is the beta' density on \mathbb{R}^{k-1} given by

$$\tilde{f}_{k-1,\beta}(z) = \tilde{c}_{k-1,\beta}(1 + \|z\|^2)^{-\beta}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}.$$

(b) The Lebesgue density of the (usually, infinite) measure $(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Phi_{d,\alpha,\beta})|_{\mathcal{S}_{k,d}} \circ T_2^{-1}$ on the space $\mathbb{R}^{d-k+1} \setminus \mathbb{B}^{d-k+1}$ is given by $f_{d-k+1,\alpha^k,k\beta-\frac{(d+1)(k-1)}{2}}$.

In the proof of Theorem 5.3 we will rely on an integral-geometric transformation formula for which we refer to [50, Theorem 7.2.7]. For $\ell \in \{0, \dots, d\}$ we use ν_ℓ to denote the invariant Haar probability measure on the linear Grassmannian $G(d, \ell)$. Moreover, let

$$\mu_\ell(\cdot) := \int_{G(d,\ell)} \int_{L^\perp} \mathbb{1}_{\{L+x \in \cdot\}} dx \nu_\ell(dL) \quad (5.2)$$

be the invariant measure on the affine Grassmannian $A(d, \ell)$; see [50, Chapter 13.2]. We use the convention that whenever we integrate over an affine subspace of \mathbb{R}^d (such as L^\perp in the above formula), the corresponding differential dx refers to the integration with respect to the Lebesgue measure in that subspace, which will always be clear from the context.

Proposition 5.4 (Affine Blaschke-Petkantschin formula). *Let $k \in \{1, \dots, d+1\}$ and $h : (\mathbb{R}^d)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative measurable function. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^k} h(x_1, \dots, x_k) d(x_1, \dots, x_k) \\ &= B(d, k) \int_{A(d,k-1)} \int_{E^k} h(x_1, \dots, x_k) V_{k-1}^{d-k+1}(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_k)) d(x_1, \dots, x_k) \mu_{k-1}(dE), \end{aligned}$$

where, recalling that $\omega_\ell := 2\pi^{\ell/2}/\Gamma(\ell/2)$ is the surface area of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^ℓ ,

$$B(d, k) := ((k-1)!)^{d-k+1} \frac{\omega_{d-k+2} \cdots \omega_d}{\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{k-1}}, \quad B(d, 1) := 1.$$

Proof of Theorem 5.3. Let $g : (\mathbb{R}^{k-1})^k \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ and $h : \mathbb{R}^{d-k+1} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^{d-k+1} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be non-negative measurable functions. Our interest lies in the following quantity:

$$\begin{aligned} B_{g,h} &:= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{k-1})^k \times (\mathbb{R}^{d-k+1} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^{d-k+1})} g(z_1, \dots, z_k) h(y) \left(\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \Phi_{d,\alpha,\beta} \right) \Big|_{S_{k,d}} \circ T^{-1} \right) (d(z_1, \dots, z_k, y)) \\ &= \int_{S_{k,d}} g \left(\frac{I_{A_x}(x_1)}{\sqrt{\text{dist}^2(A_x) - 1}}, \dots, \frac{I_{A_x}(x_k)}{\sqrt{\text{dist}^2(A_x) - 1}} \right) h(I_{A_x^\perp}(p(A_x))) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \Phi_{d,\alpha,\beta}(dx_i) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the affine Blaschke-Petkantschin formula from Proposition 5.4 and recalling (5.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_{g,h} &= B(d, k) \int_{A(d, k-1)} \int_{A^k} \mathbb{1}_{\{A \cap \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d = \emptyset\}} \cdot g \left(\frac{I_A(x_1)}{\sqrt{\text{dist}^2(A) - 1}}, \dots, \frac{I_A(x_k)}{\sqrt{\text{dist}^2(A) - 1}} \right) \\ &\quad \times h(I_{A^\perp}(p(A))) V_{k-1}^{d-k+1}(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_k)) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k f_{d,\alpha,\beta}(x_i) dx_i \right) \mu_{k-1}(dA) \\ &= \alpha^k \cdot (\tilde{c}_{d,\beta})^k \cdot B(d, k) \int_{A(d, k-1)} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{k-1})^k} \mathbb{1}_{\{\text{dist}(A) > 1\}} \cdot g \left(\frac{y_1}{\sqrt{\text{dist}^2(A) - 1}}, \dots, \frac{y_k}{\sqrt{\text{dist}^2(A) - 1}} \right) \\ &\quad \times V_{k-1}^{d-k+1}(\text{conv}(y_1, \dots, y_k)) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{1}_{\{\text{dist}^2(A) + \|y_i\|^2 > 1\}} \frac{dy_i}{(\text{dist}^2(A) + \|y_i\|^2 - 1)^\beta} \right) \\ &\quad \times h(I_{A^\perp}(p(A))) \mu_{k-1}(dA). \end{aligned}$$

In the second step, we used the substitution $y_i = I_A(x_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, together with the fact that $\|x_i\|^2 = \text{dist}^2(A) + \|y_i\|^2$, and the definition of $f_{d,\alpha,\beta}$. Recall that $I_A : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ is an isometry satisfying $I_A(p(A)) = 0$. Applying the substitution

$$z_i := y_i / \sqrt{\text{dist}^2(A) - 1} \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k,$$

we observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{dist}^2(A) + \|y_i\|^2 - 1)^{-\beta} &= (\text{dist}^2(A) - 1)^{-\beta} \left(\frac{\|y_i\|^2}{\text{dist}^2(A) - 1} + 1 \right)^{-\beta} \\ &= (\text{dist}^2(A) - 1)^{-\beta} (\|z_i\|^2 + 1)^{-\beta}, \\ dy_i &= (\text{dist}^2(A) - 1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} dz_i, \\ V_{k-1}(\text{conv}(y_1, \dots, y_k)) &= (\text{dist}^2(A) - 1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} V_{k-1}(\text{conv}(z_1, \dots, z_k)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $B_{g,h}$ transforms into

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha^k (\tilde{c}_{d,\beta})^k B(d, k) \int_{A(d, k-1)} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{k-1})^k} \mathbb{1}_{\{\text{dist}(A) > 1\}} \cdot g(z_1, \dots, z_k) h(I_{A^\perp}(p(A))) V_{k-1}^{d-k+1}(\text{conv}(z_1, \dots, z_k)) \\ &\quad \times (\text{dist}^2(A) - 1)^{\frac{(d-k+1)(k-1)}{2} + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} - k\beta} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k (1 + \|z_i\|^2)^{-\beta} dz_i \right) \mu_{k-1}(dA) \\ &= \frac{\alpha^k (\tilde{c}_{d,\beta})^k B(d, k)}{(\tilde{c}_{k-1,\beta})^k} \left(\int_{A(d, k-1)} h(I_{A^\perp}(p(A))) \mathbb{1}_{\{\text{dist}(A) > 1\}} (\text{dist}^2(A) - 1)^{-\gamma} \mu_{k-1}(dA) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

$$\times \left(\int_{(\mathbb{R}^{k-1})^k} g(z_1, \dots, z_k) V_{k-1}^{d-k+1}(\text{conv}(z_1, \dots, z_k)) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \tilde{f}_{k-1,\beta}(z_i) dz_i \right) \right), \quad (5.4)$$

where we also used the definition (2.4) of the beta' density $\tilde{f}_{k-1,\beta}$ and set

$$\gamma := -\frac{(d-k+1)(k-1)}{2} - \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + k\beta = k\beta - \frac{(d+1)(k-1)}{2}.$$

Note that $\gamma > (d-k+1)/2$ since $\beta > d/2$ by assumption. We observe that the above formula already exhibits the desired product structure for part (a) and that the integral in line (5.4) is already in the desired form for ϕ_{k-1} in part (a). It remains to rewrite the integral in line (5.3) using the definition (5.2) of the invariant measure μ_{k-1} on $A(d, k-1)$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{A(d,k-1)} h(I_{A^\perp}(p(A))) \mathbb{1}_{\{\text{dist}(A) > 1\}} (\text{dist}^2(A) - 1)^{-\gamma} \mu_{k-1}(\mathrm{d}A) \\ &= \int_{G(d,k-1)} \int_{L^\perp} h(I_{L^\perp}(x)) \mathbb{1}_{\{\|x\| > 1\}} (\|x\|^2 - 1)^{-\gamma} \mathrm{d}x \nu_{k-1}(\mathrm{d}L) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-k+1}} h(y) \mathbb{1}_{\{\|y\| > 1\}} (\|y\|^2 - 1)^{-\gamma} \mathrm{d}y \\ &= \frac{1}{\tilde{c}_{d-k+1,\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-k+1} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^{d-k+1}} h(y) f_{d-k+1,1,\gamma}(y) \mathrm{d}y, \end{aligned}$$

where in the first step, we used that for every linear subspace $L \in G(d, k-1)$ and point $x \in L^\perp$ we have $(L+x)^\perp = L^\perp$, $p(L+x) = x$ and $\text{dist}(L+x) = \|x\|$. In the second step, we applied the substitution $y := I_{L^\perp}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-k+1}$ together with the fact that $\|y\| = \|x\|$, while the last equation follows from the definition of the beta* intensity. Finally, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} B_{g,h} &= \left(\frac{(\tilde{c}_{d,\beta})^k \cdot B(d, k) \cdot S_{k,\beta}(d-k)}{\tilde{c}_{d-k+1,\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-k+1} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^{d-k+1}} h(y) f_{d-k+1,\alpha^k,\gamma}(y) \mathrm{d}y \right) \\ &\times \left(\frac{1}{S_{k,\beta}(d-k)(\tilde{c}_{k-1,\beta})^k} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{k-1})^k} g(z_1, \dots, z_k) V_{k-1}^{d-k+1}(\text{conv}(z_1, \dots, z_k)) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \tilde{f}_{k-1,\beta}(z_i) \mathrm{d}z_i \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

since it turns out that the normalization constant for the second integral satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{k-1})^k} V_{k-1}^{d-k+1}(\text{conv}(z_1, \dots, z_k)) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \tilde{f}_{k-1,\beta}(z_i) \mathrm{d}z_i \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{((k-1)!)^{d-k+1}} \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \frac{d}{2})^k \Gamma(k\beta - \frac{(d+1)(k-1)}{2})}{\Gamma(\beta - \frac{k-1}{2})^k \Gamma(k\beta - \frac{dk}{2})} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \left[\frac{\Gamma(\frac{j}{2} + \frac{d-k+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{j}{2})} \right] = S_{k,\beta}(d-k)(\tilde{c}_{k-1,\beta})^k, \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where $S_{k,\beta}(d-k)$ is defined in (2.2). The integral in the first line is the $(d-k+1)$ -st moment of the volume of a beta' simplex in \mathbb{R}^{k-1} , whose value is known, see e.g. [34, Proposition 2.8] or [19, Theorem 2.3 (c)] and follows from a formula due to Miles [40].

Taking the explicit expressions of the constants $\tilde{c}_{d,\beta}$, $B(d, k)$ and $S_{k,\beta}(d-k)$ into consideration, elementary manipulations yield

$$\frac{(\tilde{c}_{d,\beta})^k \cdot B(d, k) \cdot S_{k,\beta}(d-k)}{\tilde{c}_{d-k+1,\gamma}} = 1.$$

Recalling the definition of the probability measure ϕ_{k-1} from the statement of the theorem finally gives the identity

$$B_{g,h} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-k+1} \setminus \mathbb{B}^{d-k+1}} h(y) f_{d-k+1, \alpha^k, \gamma}(y) \, dy \right) \left(\int_{(\mathbb{R}^{k-1})^k} g(z_1, \dots, z_k) \phi_{k-1}(d(z_1, \dots, z_k)) \right).$$

Since both measures on the right-hand side are σ -finite (the second one is even a probability measure), the form of both integrals and the product structure proves claim (a). Inserting $g = 1$ also yields (b), and thus, completes the proof. \square

6 Expected T -functional and intrinsic volumes: Proofs

Our first aim is to prove Theorem 2.6 on the expected T -functional of beta* sets. For this, we start by recalling a version of the multivariate Mecke formula for Poisson processes that can be found in [38, Theorem 4.4] or [50, Corollary 3.2.3]. Let \mathbb{X} be a Polish space supplied with a non-atomic locally finite measure λ . By $\mathbf{N}(\mathbb{X})$ we denote the space of counting measures on \mathbb{X} , which can be endowed with a canonical σ -field, see [38, 50] for details.

Proposition 6.1 (Mecke's formula). *Let η be a Poisson process on \mathbb{X} with intensity measure λ . Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $h : \mathbb{X}^m \times \mathbf{N}(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative measurable function. Then*

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \eta_{\neq}^m} h(x_1, \dots, x_m, \eta) = \int_{\mathbb{X}^m} \mathbb{E} h(x_1, \dots, x_m, \eta \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}) \lambda^m(d(x_1, \dots, x_m)),$$

where η_{\neq}^m is the collection of all m -tuples of pairwise distinct points of η .

We can now turn to the proof of Theorem 2.6.

Proof of Theorem 2.6. Let us begin with the special case $d = 1$. By definition of the functional $T_{a,b}$ we can write

$$\mathbb{E} T_{a,b}(P_{1,\alpha,\beta}) = \mathbb{E}[|\min \zeta_{1,\alpha,\beta}|^a + (\max \zeta_{1,\alpha,\beta})^a] = 2\mathbb{E}(\max \zeta_{1,\alpha,\beta})^a.$$

Since $\zeta_{1,\alpha,\beta}$ is a Poisson process with intensity $f_{1,\alpha,\beta}$ given by (2.1), for every $h > 1$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}[\max \zeta_{1,\alpha,\beta} \leq h] = \mathbb{P}[\zeta_{1,\alpha,\beta} \cap (h, \infty) = \emptyset] = \exp \left\{ -\alpha \tilde{c}_{1,\beta} \int_h^\infty \frac{dr}{(r^2 - 1)^\beta} \right\}.$$

Differentiating in h yields the density of $\max \zeta_{1,\alpha,\beta}$, which implies the formula for $\mathbb{E} T_{a,b}(P_{1,\alpha,\beta})$ in Theorem 2.6. To check whether $\mathbb{E} T_{a,b}(P_{1,\alpha,\beta}) < \infty$, we need to analyse the integrability at $h = 1$ and at $h = \infty$. For $\beta > 1$ the function is clearly integrable at $h = 1$ and is asymptotically equivalent to a multiple of $h^a(h^2 - 1)^{-\beta}$ at $h = \infty$, which is integrable provided that $a < 2\beta - 1$.

For $d \geq 2$ we start by observing that any facet, that is, any $(d-1)$ -face, of a beta* set $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ is a $(d-1)$ -dimensional simplex, which means that almost surely every facet $F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-1}(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})$ arises as convex hull $F = \text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ of d distinct points $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in (\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta})_{\neq}^d$ of the

underlying Poisson process $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$. This allows us to rewrite $\mathbb{E}T_{a,b}(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}T_{a,b}(P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) &= \frac{1}{d!} \mathbb{E} \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in (\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta})^d_{\neq}} \mathbb{1}\{\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathcal{F}_{d-1}(\text{conv}(\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}))\} \\ &\quad \times \text{dist}(\text{aff}(x_1, \dots, x_d))^a V_{d-1}(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_d))^b \\ &= \frac{(\tilde{c}_{d,\beta}\alpha)^d}{d!} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d)^d} \mathbb{P}[\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathcal{F}_{d-1}(\text{conv}(\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta} \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_d\}))] \\ &\quad \times \text{dist}(\text{aff}(x_1, \dots, x_d))^a V_{d-1}(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_d))^b \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{dx_i}{(\|x_i\|^2 - 1)^\beta},\end{aligned}$$

where we applied the multivariate Mecke formula for Poisson processes from Proposition 6.1. In a next step, we apply the affine Blaschke-Petkantschin formula, which we rephrased in Proposition 5.4, to deduce that $\mathbb{E}T_{a,b}(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})$ is the same as

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{(\tilde{c}_{d,\beta}\alpha)^d}{d} \frac{\omega_d}{2} \int_{A(d,d-1)} \int_{H^d} \mathbb{P}[\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathcal{F}_{d-1}(\text{conv}(\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta} \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_d\}))] \\ &\quad \times \text{dist}(H)^a V_{d-1}(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_d))^{b+1} \mathbb{1}\{H \cap \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d = \emptyset\} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{dx_i}{(\|x_i\|^2 - 1)^\beta} \mu_{d-1}(dH) \\ &= \frac{(\tilde{c}_{d,\beta}\alpha)^d}{d} \frac{\omega_d}{2} \int_{G(d,d-1)} \int_{E^\perp} \int_{(E+h)^d} \mathbb{P}[\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathcal{F}_{d-1}(\text{conv}(\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta} \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_d\}))] \\ &\quad \times \|h\|^a V_{d-1}(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_d))^{b+1} \mathbb{1}\{\|h\| > 1\} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{dx_i}{(\|x_i\|^2 - 1)^\beta} dh \nu_{d-1}(dE),\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used the decomposition of the invariant measure μ_{d-1} from (5.2). We also used that $\text{conv} \zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ a.s. contains $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$ by Proposition 2.2. For a linear subspace $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we let $\pi_L : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow L$ denote the orthogonal projection onto L . Observe that $\pi_{E^\perp}(x_1) = \dots = \pi_{E^\perp}(x_d)$ and $\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a facet of $\text{conv}(\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta} \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_d\})$ if and only if $\pi_{E^\perp}(x_1) \notin \pi_{E^\perp}(\text{conv}(\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}))$. Next, we define the non-absorption probability

$$p_{d,\alpha,\beta}(r) := \mathbb{P}[re_1 \notin \pi_{\text{lin}(e_1)}(\text{conv}(\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}))], \quad r > 1,$$

where e_1, \dots, e_d is the standard orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^d . Each point $x_i \in E+h$ can be represented as $y_i + h$ for some uniquely determined $y_i \in E$. Applying for $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ this change of variables and using the rotational symmetry of the integral (which allows us to identify the hyperplane E

with the coordinate subspace \mathbb{R}^{d-1} and E^\perp with \mathbb{R} we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}T_{a,b}(P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) &= \frac{(\tilde{c}_{d,\beta}\alpha)^d}{d} \omega_d \int_1^\infty \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})^d} p_{d,\alpha,\beta}(h) h^a V_{d-1}(\text{conv}(y_1, \dots, y_d))^{b+1} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{dy_i}{(\|y_i\|^2 + h^2 - 1)^\beta} dh \\
&= \frac{(\tilde{c}_{d,\beta}\alpha)^d}{d} \omega_d \int_1^\infty \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})^d} p_{d,\alpha,\beta}(h) h^a V_{d-1}(\text{conv}(z_1, \dots, z_d))^{b+1} (h^2 - 1)^{\frac{(d-1)(b+1)}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(h^2 - 1)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} dz_i}{(h^2 - 1)^\beta (1 + \|z_i\|^2)^\beta} dh \\
&= \frac{(\tilde{c}_{d,\beta}\alpha)^d}{d} \omega_d S_{d,\beta}(b) \int_1^\infty p_{d,\alpha,\beta}(h) h^a (h^2 - 1)^{\frac{(d-1)(b+1)}{2} - d(\beta - \frac{d-1}{2})} dh, \tag{6.1}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the substitution $z_i = y_i/\sqrt{h^2 - 1}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and the abbreviation

$$S_{d,\beta}(b) := \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})^d} V_{d-1}(\text{conv}(z_1, \dots, z_d))^{b+1} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{dz_i}{(1 + \|z_i\|^2)^\beta}.$$

As already observed in (5.5), $S_{d,\beta}(b)$ is – up to the normalization constant $\tilde{c}_{d-1,\beta}^d$ – the $(b+1)$ -st moment of the volume of a beta' simplex in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} and given by

$$S_{d,\beta}(b) = \frac{\tilde{c}_{d-1,\beta}^{-d}}{((d-1)!)^{b+1}} \frac{\Gamma(d(\beta - \frac{d-1}{2}) - \frac{(d-1)(b+1)}{2})}{\Gamma(d(\beta - \frac{d+b}{2}))} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta - \frac{d+b}{2})}{\Gamma(\beta - \frac{d-1}{2})} \right)^d \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{i+b+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{i}{2})}.$$

It remains to determine the probability $p_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ in the integral term in (6.1). Since by Lemma 5.1 the projected point process $\pi_{\text{lin}(e_1)}(\text{conv}(\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}))$ has the same distribution as the Poisson process $\zeta_{1,\alpha,\beta - \frac{d-1}{2}}$ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus [-1, 1]$ (whose points cluster at ± 1), we can express $p_{d,\alpha,\beta}(h)$ as

$$p_{d,\alpha,\beta}(h) = \mathbb{P}[\zeta_{1,\alpha,\beta - \frac{d-1}{2}} \cap [h, \infty) = \emptyset] = \exp \left\{ -\alpha \tilde{c}_{1,\beta - \frac{d-1}{2}} \int_h^\infty \frac{dr}{(r^2 - 1)^{\beta - \frac{d-1}{2}}} \right\}.$$

This proves the formula in Theorem 2.6.

In order to complete the proof, we need to verify that $\mathbb{E}T_{a,b}(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})$ is finite under the assumptions of the theorem. Since $b < 2\beta - d$, the prefactor $S_{d,\beta}(b)$ is finite and we only need to ensure that the integral in the expression for $\mathbb{E}T_{a,b}(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})$ converges. For this it is sufficient to ensure that the integrand is integrable at $h = 1$ and at $h = \infty$. Let us start with the case $\beta > (d+1)/2$. Integrability at $h = 1$ follows, since at $h = 1$ the integrand behaves like a multiple of $\exp \left\{ -\alpha c(d, \beta) (h-1)^{-\beta + \frac{d+1}{2}} \right\} (h^2 - 1)^{-\gamma}$, for some constant $c(d, \beta) > 0$ and where

$$\gamma := d \left(\beta - \frac{d-1}{2} \right) - \frac{(d-1)(b+1)}{2}$$

is the negative exponent of the term $h^2 - 1$. On the other hand, at $h = \infty$ the integrand behaves like a multiple of $h^a h^{-2\gamma} = h^{a-2\gamma}$ and the exponent $a - 2\gamma$ is less than -1 by our assumption on a , which in turn yields the desired integrability at $h = \infty$. Summarizing, this shows that

$\mathbb{E}T_{a,b}(P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) < \infty$ for any $\alpha > 0$ under the mentioned constraints on a and b for $\beta > (d+1)/2$ (and in fact only in these cases).

On the other hand, if $\beta = (d+1)/2$, the argument leading to integrability at $h = \infty$ remains the same and we can concentrate on integrability at $h = 1$. To this end, we note that, since $\int_h^\infty \frac{dr}{r^2-1} = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{h+1}{h-1}$ and $\tilde{c}_{1,1} = 1/\pi$, it follows that $p_{d,\alpha,\frac{d+1}{2}}(h) = (\frac{h-1}{h+1})^{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}}$ for $h > 1$. This shows that at $h = 1$ the integrand behaves like a multiple of $(h-1)^{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}-\gamma}$, where again γ is the negative exponent of the term h^2-1 as above. So, integrability at $h = 1$ holds if (and only if) $\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} - \gamma > -1$ or, equivalently, $\alpha > 2\pi(\gamma-1)$. Using that in our case $\gamma = d - \frac{(d-1)(b+1)}{2}$, it follows that

$$2\pi(\gamma-1) = \pi(2d - (d-1)(b+1) - 2) = \pi(d - db + b - 1) = \pi(d-1)(1-b),$$

which implies integrability at $h = 1$ if (and only if) $\alpha > \pi(d-1)(1-b)$.

Finally, if the constraints on α , β , a and b mentioned in the statement of the theorem are not satisfied, integrability either at $h = 1$ or $h = \infty$ does not hold, implying that in these cases $\mathbb{E}T_{a,b}(P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) = \infty$. The proof is thus complete. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.7. The case $k = d$ has already been discussed before the statement of Proposition 2.7. To obtain the formula for $\mathbb{E}V_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ we use Kubota's formula [50, Equations (6.11) and (5.5)] (also known as mean projection formula) from integral geometry and Fubini's theorem. The combination of these two results shows that

$$\mathbb{E}V_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) = \binom{d}{k} \frac{\kappa_d}{\kappa_k \kappa_{d-k}} \int_{G(d,k)} \mathbb{E}V_k(\pi_L P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) \nu_k(dL),$$

where we recall that $\pi_L : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow L$ stands for the orthogonal projection on to L . However, by Lemma 5.1 the projected polytope $\pi_L P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ is a beta* polytope in L with parameters α and $\beta - \frac{d-k}{2}$. Identifying L with \mathbb{R}^k and using rotational symmetry, this yields

$$\mathbb{E}V_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) = \binom{d}{k} \frac{\kappa_d}{\kappa_k \kappa_{d-k}} \mathbb{E}V_k(P_{k,\alpha,\beta-\frac{d-k}{2}}) = \frac{1}{d} \binom{d}{k} \frac{\kappa_d}{\kappa_k \kappa_{d-k}} \mathbb{E}T_{1,1}(P_{k,\alpha,\beta-\frac{d-k}{2}})$$

and finishes the proof. \square

7 Expected f -vector and external angle sums: Proofs

7.1 Expected external angle sums: Proof of Theorem 2.10

Suppose that either $\beta > (d+1)/2$ and $\alpha > 0$ or $\beta = (d+1)/2$ and $\alpha > (d-1)\pi$. Our goal is to prove that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{G \in \mathcal{F}_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})} \gamma(G, P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) \right] = \mathbb{I}_{\alpha,k+1}^*(2\beta - d)$$

for $k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$, where $\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,m}^*(\lambda)$ is defined as in (2.6) and (2.7). In order to do this, we need to find a suitable description of the tangent cones $T_G(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})$ and their duals, the normal cones $N_G(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})$.

Description of the external angles: Let $x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for $k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$, be affinely independent points and denote their affine hull by $A_x := \text{aff}(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})$. Then, we have $\dim A_x = k$ while the linear subspace A_x^\perp has dimension $d-k$. Furthermore, let $\pi_{A_x^\perp} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow A_x^\perp$ be the orthogonal projection onto A_x^\perp and recall that $I_{A_x^\perp} : A_x^\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d-k}$ is an isometry such that

$I_{A_x^\perp}(0) = 0$. Now, we consider a realization $x_{k+2}, x_{k+3}, \dots \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$ of the Poisson process $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ and define the points

$$y := \pi_{A_x^\perp}(x_1) = \dots = \pi_{A_x^\perp}(x_{k+1}), \quad y_i := \pi_{A_x^\perp}(x_{k+i+1}) \in A_x^\perp, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We are interested in the polytope $P := \text{conv}(x_i : i \in \mathbb{N})$. At first, assume $G := \text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})$ is a k -face of P and note that this already implies that $A_x \cap \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d = \emptyset$ a.s. because P contains $r\bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$ for some $r > 1$ by Proposition 2.4. Defining $\bar{x} = (x_1 + \dots + x_{k+1})/(k+1)$, which lies in the relative interior of G , we observe that the tangent cone of P at G is given by

$$T_G(P) = \text{pos}(x_i - \bar{x} : i \in \mathbb{N}).$$

But since the positive hull of $x_1 - \bar{x}, \dots, x_{k+1} - \bar{x}$ equals $A_x - \bar{x}$, we can write the tangent cone as an orthogonal sum

$$T_G(P) = (A_x - \bar{x}) \oplus \text{pos}(y_i - y : i \in \mathbb{N}).$$

For convenience we map the points y_i and y to \mathbb{R}^{d-k} by considering $y'_i := I_{A_x^\perp}(y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-k}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $y' := I_{A_x^\perp}(y) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-k}$. Using the isometry property of $I_{A_x^\perp}$ and the fact that $(A_x - \bar{x})$ is a linear subspace, we obtain that the external angle $\gamma(G, P)$ is given by

$$\gamma(G, P) = \angle((\text{pos}(y'_i - y' : i \in \mathbb{N}))^\circ) = \mathbb{P}[\langle y'_1 - y', N \rangle \leq 0, \langle y'_2 - y', N \rangle \leq 0, \dots], \quad (7.1)$$

where N is standard normal random vector in \mathbb{R}^{d-k} and $\angle(C)$ denotes the solid angle of a cone C (normalized so that the full-space angle is 1). The above holds if G is a face of P . In the case where G is *not* a face of P the external angle $\gamma(G, P)$ vanishes by definition, as does the probability on the right-hand side of (7.1). To prove the latter claim, observe that G is not a face of P if and only if $\pi_{A_x^\perp}(x_1) = y$ is not a vertex of $\pi_{A_x^\perp}(P) = \text{conv}(y, y_1, y_2, \dots)$, which holds if and only if $\text{pos}(y_i - y : i \in \mathbb{N}) = A_x^\perp$ since $y_1 - y, y_2 - y, \dots$ are in general position a.s.

Proof of Theorem 2.10. Since each k -face of $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ is of the form $\text{conv}(X_1, \dots, X_{k+1})$ for affinely independent points X_1, \dots, X_{k+1} from the Poisson process $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$, we can write, using the Mecke formula from Proposition 6.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{G \in \mathcal{F}_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})} \gamma(G, P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{(X_1, \dots, X_{k+1}) \in (\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta})_{\neq}^{k+1}} \gamma(\text{conv}(X_1, \dots, X_{k+1}), P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \mathbb{1}_{\{\text{conv}(X_1, \dots, X_{k+1}) \in \mathcal{F}_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})\}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d)^{k+1}} \mathbb{E} \left[\gamma(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}), P) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \mathbb{1}_{\{\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \in \mathcal{F}_k(P)\}} \right] \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \Phi_{d,\alpha,\beta}(dx_i) \right), \quad (7.2) \end{aligned}$$

where we used the notation $P := \text{conv}(\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta} \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}\})$ and recall that $\Phi_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ denotes the infinite measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$ with Lebesgue density $f_{d,\alpha,\beta}$. Without changing the integral, we can restrict the integration limits to the set $\mathcal{S}_{k+1,d} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^{k+1} : A_x \cap \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d = \emptyset\}$.

Thus, following the arguments from the beginning of Section 7.1, we can rewrite the expectation inside the integral as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\gamma(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}), P) \mathbb{1}_{\{\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \in \mathcal{F}_k(P)\}} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-k}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\forall z \in I_{A_x^\perp}(\pi_{A_x^\perp}(\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta})) : \langle z - I_{A_x^\perp}(\pi_{A_x^\perp}(x_1)), g \rangle \leq 0\}} (2\pi)^{-\frac{d-k}{2}} e^{-\|g\|^2/2} dg \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we observe that the Poisson process $I_{A_x^\perp}(\pi_{A_x^\perp}(\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}))$ on \mathbb{R}^{d-k} has the same distribution as $\zeta_{d-k,\alpha,\beta-\frac{k}{2}}$ due to Lemma 5.1. In particular, it is rotationally invariant. Note that the distribution does not depend on the initial subspace A_x , and hence, not on the points x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} . Also, according to Theorem 5.3 (b), we know that the measure $(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{k+1} \Phi_{d,\alpha,\beta})|_{\mathcal{S}_{k+1,d}} \circ T_2^{-1}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d-k} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^{d-k}$ is the beta* measure $\Phi_{d-k,\alpha^{k+1},(k+1)\beta-\frac{(d+1)k}{2}}$. Here, we used the notation $T_2(x) = I_{A_x^\perp}(\pi_{A_x^\perp}(x_1))$ from Theorem 5.3. Inserting all of this into (7.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{G \in \mathcal{F}_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})} \gamma(G, P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-k} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^{d-k}} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-k}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\forall z \in \zeta_{d-k,\alpha,\beta-\frac{k}{2}} : \langle z-y, g \rangle \leq 0\}} (2\pi)^{-\frac{d-k}{2}} e^{-\|g\|^2/2} dg \right] \\ & \quad \times \Phi_{d-k,\alpha^{k+1},(k+1)\beta-\frac{(d+1)k}{2}}(dy) \\ &= \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-k} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^{d-k}} \mathbb{P}[\forall z \in \zeta_{d-k,\alpha,\beta-\frac{k}{2}} : \langle z-y, e \rangle \leq 0] \Phi_{d-k,\alpha^{k+1},(k+1)\beta-\frac{(d+1)k}{2}}(dy), \end{aligned}$$

where e is some arbitrary unit vector in \mathbb{R}^{d-k} . In the last step we used the rotational invariance of the Poisson process $\zeta_{d-k,\alpha,\beta-\frac{k}{2}}$ and of the beta* measure $\Phi_{d-k,\alpha^{k+1},(k+1)\beta-\frac{(d+1)k}{2}}$. Choosing $e = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-k}$, we obtain, using again Lemma 5.1 in the second step,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{G \in \mathcal{F}_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})} \gamma(G, P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-k} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^{d-k}} \mathbb{P}[\forall z \in \zeta_{d-k,\alpha,\beta-\frac{k}{2}} : \langle z, e \rangle \leq \langle y, e \rangle] \Phi_{d-k,\alpha^{k+1},(k+1)\beta-\frac{(d+1)k}{2}}(dy) \\ &= \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-1,1]} \mathbb{P}[\forall z' \in \pi_1(\zeta_{d-k,\alpha,\beta-\frac{k}{2}}) : z' \leq y'] \Phi_{1,\alpha^{k+1},(k+1)\beta-\frac{d(k+1)-1}{2}}(dy'), \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi_1 : \mathbb{R}^{d-k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denotes the projection onto the first coordinate. The same lemma also implies that the projected Poisson process $\pi_1(\zeta_{d-k,\alpha,\beta-\frac{k}{2}})$ has the same distribution as $\zeta_{1,\alpha,\beta-\frac{d-1}{2}}$. This process has atoms clustering at ± 1 . Therefore, the probability under the integral sign vanishes for $y' \leq 1$. Let in the following $y' > 1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[\forall z' \in \pi_1(\zeta_{d-k,\alpha,\beta-\frac{k}{2}}) : z' \leq y'] &= \mathbb{P}[\zeta_{1,\alpha,\beta-\frac{d-1}{2}} \cap (y', \infty) = \emptyset] \\ &= \exp \left\{ - \int_{y'}^{\infty} f_{1,\alpha,\beta-\frac{d-1}{2}}(t) dt \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ - \alpha \tilde{c}_{1,\beta-\frac{d-1}{2}} \int_{y'}^{\infty} (t^2 - 1)^{-(\beta-\frac{d-1}{2})} dt \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

Setting $\gamma := (k+1)\beta - \frac{d(k+1)-1}{2}$, and using the definitions (2.7) and (2.6) in the second step yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{G \in \mathcal{F}_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})} \gamma(G, P_{d,\alpha,\beta}) \right] \\ &= \frac{\alpha^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} \int_1^\infty \tilde{c}_{1,\gamma} (y^2 - 1)^{-\gamma} \exp \left\{ -\alpha \cdot \tilde{c}_{1,\beta - \frac{d-1}{2}} \int_y^\infty (t^2 - 1)^{-(\beta - \frac{d-1}{2})} dt \right\} dy \\ &= \mathbb{I}_{\alpha,k+1}^*(2\beta - d). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

7.2 Expected f -vector: Proof of Theorem 2.9

This section contains the proof of Theorem 2.9 on the expected f -vector of $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$. We divide the proof into three parts. In the first part, we sketch the idea of the proof and use a formula by Affentranger and Schneider [1] to reduce the expected f -vector to a formula containing external and internal angles. In the second step we use the canonical decomposition from Theorem 5.3 to separate the expectations of the said angles. In the third part, we finally compute the formula for $\mathbb{E}f_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})$.

Idea of the proof: Suppose that either $\beta > (d+1)/2$ and $\alpha > 0$ or $\beta = (d+1)/2$ and $\alpha > (d-1)\pi$. In order to compute the expected f -vector of the beta*-polytope $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$, which is defined as the convex hull of the Poisson process $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$, we shall represent this polytope as a random uniform projection of a higher dimensional polytope. The projection property from Lemma 5.1 implies that, for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, the projection of the Poisson process $\zeta_{d+\ell,\alpha,\beta+\frac{\ell}{2}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d+\ell} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^{d+\ell}$ to $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{\mathbb{B}}^d$ has the same distribution as the Poisson process $\zeta_{d,\alpha,\beta}$. Now, taking a random and uniformly distributed d -dimensional subspace $L_d \in G(d+\ell, d)$ and denoting by π_{L_d} the orthogonal projection onto L_d , we observe that

$$f_k(\pi_{L_d} P_{d+\ell,\alpha,\beta+\frac{\ell}{2}}) \stackrel{d}{=} f_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta}),$$

where $\stackrel{d}{=}$ stands for equality in distribution. Thus, we can reduce the expectation of the right-hand side to the expectation of the left-hand side, which can be computed by using the following formula due to Affentranger and Schneider [1]. For any polytope P of dimension $\dim P$, $d \in \{1, \dots, \dim P\}$ and $k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$ one has that

$$\mathbb{E}f_k(\pi_{L_d} P) = 2 \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{G \in \mathcal{F}_{d-1-2s}(P)} \gamma(G, P) \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_k(G)} \beta(F, G), \quad (7.4)$$

where we recall that $\gamma(G, P)$ denotes the external angle of P at its face G while $\beta(F, G)$ denotes the internal angle of G at its face F . Applying formula (7.4) to our case yields

$$\mathbb{E} \left[f_k(\pi_{L_d} P_{d+\ell,\alpha,\beta+\frac{\ell}{2}}) \middle| P_{d+\ell,\alpha,\beta+\frac{\ell}{2}} \right] = 2 \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{G \in \mathcal{F}_{d-1-2s}(P_{d+\ell,\alpha,\beta+\frac{\ell}{2}})} \gamma(G, P_{d+\ell,\alpha,\beta+\frac{\ell}{2}}) \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_k(G)} \beta(F, G).$$

Hence, we can take the expectation with respect to the random set $P_{d+\ell,\alpha,\beta+\frac{\ell}{2}}$ of both sides to arrive at

$$\mathbb{E}[f_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})] = 2 \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{G \in \mathcal{F}_{d-1-2s}(P_{d+\ell,\alpha,\beta+\frac{\ell}{2}})} \gamma(G, P_{d+\ell,\alpha,\beta+\frac{\ell}{2}}) \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_k(G)} \beta(F, G) \right]. \quad (7.5)$$

It turns out that, in some sense, we separate the sum over the external angles and the sum over the internal angles. Clearly, we cannot argue that both angle sums are independent, since the sum over the internal angles depends on G . The main ingredient in separating the external and internal angles is the canonical decomposition in Theorem 5.3.

Separating the internal and external angles: With the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.10 (with k replaced by $d - 2s - 1$, d replaced by $d + \ell$ and β replaced by $\beta + \frac{\ell}{2}$), we can rewrite the expectation in line (7.5) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(d-2s)!} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{(X_1, \dots, X_{d-2s}) \in (\zeta_{d+\ell, \alpha, \beta + \frac{\ell}{2}})^{d-2s}} \gamma \left(\text{conv}(X_1, \dots, X_{d-2s}), P_{d+\ell, \alpha, \beta + \frac{\ell}{2}} \right) \right. \\ & \left. \times \mathbb{1} \left\{ \text{conv}(X_1, \dots, X_{d-2s}) \in \mathcal{F}_{d-1-2s}(P_{d+\ell, \alpha, \beta + \frac{\ell}{2}}) \right\} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_k(\text{conv}(X_1, \dots, X_{d-2s}))} \beta(F, \text{conv}(X_1, \dots, X_{d-2s})) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The Mecke formula from Proposition 6.1 applied to the expectation above yields that $\mathbb{E}[f_k(P_{d, \alpha, \beta})]$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{(d-2s)!} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{d+\ell})^{d-2s}} \mathbb{E} \left[\gamma \left(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_{d-2s}), P \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_{d-2s}) \in \mathcal{F}_{d-1-2s}(P)\}} \right. \\ & \left. \times \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_k(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_{d-2s}))} \beta(F, \text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_{d-2s})) \right] \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d-2s} \Phi_{d+\ell, \alpha, \beta + \frac{\ell}{2}}(dx_i) \right) \\ & = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{(d-2s)!} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{d+\ell})^{d-2s}} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_k(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_{d-2s}))} \beta(F, \text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_{d-2s})) \\ & \times \mathbb{E} \left[\gamma \left(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_{d-2s}), P \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_{d-2s}) \in \mathcal{F}_{d-1-2s}(P)\}} \right] \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d-2s} \Phi_{d+\ell, \alpha, \beta + \frac{\ell}{2}}(dx_i) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

where we used the notation $P := \text{conv}(\zeta_{d+\ell, \alpha, \beta + \frac{\ell}{2}} \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_{d-2s}\})$. Up to the sum of the internal angles, the above summands already occurred in line (7.2) and were evaluated in the subsequent proof. Following the same reasoning, we can rewrite (7.6) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[f_k(P_{d, \alpha, \beta})] &= 2 \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(d-2s)!} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{d-2s, d+\ell}} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_k(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_{d-2s}))} \beta(F, \text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_{d-2s})) \\ & \times \exp \left\{ -\alpha \int_{\langle T_2(x_1, \dots, x_{d-2s}), e_1 \rangle}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_{1, \beta - \frac{d-1}{2}}(t^2 - 1)^{-(\beta - \frac{d-1}{2})} dt \right\} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d-2s} \Phi_{d+\ell, \alpha, \beta + \frac{\ell}{2}}(dx_i) \right), \end{aligned}$$

with $T_2(x_1, \dots, x_{d-2s}) := I_{A_x^\perp}(\pi_{A_x^\perp}(x_1)) = I_{A_x^\perp}(p(A_x))$. Since the internal angle is invariant under isometries and rescalings, the sum $\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_k(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_{d-2s}))} \beta(F, \text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_{d-2s}))$ does not change if we replace (x_1, \dots, x_{d-2s}) by

$$T_1(x_1, \dots, x_{d-2s}) = \left(\frac{I_{A_x}(x_1)}{\sqrt{\text{dist}^2(A_x) - 1}}, \dots, \frac{I_{A_x}(x_{d-2s})}{\sqrt{\text{dist}^2(A_x) - 1}} \right),$$

where we recall that the two functions T_1 and T_2 are defined in the same way as in Theorem 5.3. Recalling that $T = (T_1, T_2)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[f_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})] &= 2 \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(d-2s)!} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{d-2s,d+\ell}} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_k(\text{conv}(T_1(x_1, \dots, x_{d-2s})))} \beta(F, \text{conv}(T_1(x_1, \dots, x_{d-2s}))) \\
&\quad \times \exp \left\{ -\alpha \int_{\langle T_2(x_1, \dots, x_{d-2s}), e_1 \rangle}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_{1, \beta - \frac{d-1}{2}}(t^2 - 1)^{-(\beta - \frac{d-1}{2})} dt \right\} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d-2s} \Phi_{d+\ell, \alpha, \beta + \frac{\ell}{2}}(dx_i) \right) \\
&= 2 \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(d-2s)!} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{d-2s-1})^{d-2s} \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell+2s+1}} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_k(\text{conv}(z_1, \dots, z_{d-2s}))} \beta(F, \text{conv}(z_1, \dots, z_{d-2s})) \\
&\quad \times \exp \left\{ -\alpha \int_{\langle y, e_1 \rangle}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_{1, \beta - \frac{d-1}{2}}(t^2 - 1)^{-(\beta - \frac{d-1}{2})} dt \right\} \\
&\quad \times \left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d-2s} \Phi_{d+\ell, \alpha, \beta + \frac{\ell}{2}} \right) \Big|_{\mathcal{S}_{d-2s,d+\ell}} \circ T^{-1} \right) (d(z_1, \dots, z_{d-2s}, y)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, part (a) of Theorem 5.3 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[f_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})] &= 2 \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{(\mathbb{R}^{d-2s-1})^{d-2s}} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_k(\text{conv}(z_1, \dots, z_{d-2s}))} \beta(F, \text{conv}(z_1, \dots, z_{d-2s})) \phi_{d-2s-1}(d(z_1, \dots, z_{d-2s})) \right) \\
&\quad (7.7)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left(\frac{1}{(d-2s)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\ell+2s+1} \setminus \mathbb{B}^{\ell+2s+1}} \exp \left\{ - \int_{\langle y, e_1 \rangle}^{\infty} f_{1, \alpha, \beta - \frac{d-1}{2}}(t) dt \right\} f_{\ell+2s+1, \alpha^{d-2s}, \gamma}(y) dy \right), \quad (7.8)$$

where

$$\gamma := (d-2s) \left(\beta + \frac{\ell}{2} \right) - \frac{(d+\ell+1)(d-2s-1)}{2},$$

and ϕ_{d-2s-1} is the probability measure on $(\mathbb{R}^{d-2s-1})^{d-2s}$ from Theorem 5.3 whose Lebesgue density is a constant multiple of

$$V_{d-2s-1}^{\ell+2s+1}(\text{conv}(z_1, \dots, z_{d-2s})) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d-2s} \tilde{f}_{d-2s-1, \beta + \frac{\ell}{2}}(z_i) \right).$$

We have thus achieved our goal to separate the internal and external angles.

Expected f -vector: Finally, we need to evaluate the integrals in lines (7.7) and (7.8). As already explained in the proof of Theorem 2.10, the integral in line (7.8) can be simplified as follows. Since the image measure of $\Phi_{\ell+2s+1, \alpha, \gamma}$ under orthogonal projection onto $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}^{\ell+2s}$ is

given by $\Phi_{1,\alpha,\beta(d-2s)-\frac{d(d-2s)-1}{2}}$, due to Lemma 5.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{(d-2s)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\ell+2s+1} \setminus \mathbb{B}^{\ell+2s+1}} \exp \left\{ - \int_{\langle y, e_1 \rangle}^{\infty} f_{1,\alpha,\beta-\frac{d-1}{2}}(y) dt \right\} f_{\ell+2s+1,\alpha^{d-2s},\gamma}(y) dy \\
&= \frac{1}{(d-2s)!} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-1,1]} \exp \left\{ - \int_s^{\infty} f_{1,\alpha,\beta-\frac{d-1}{2}}(t) dt \right\} f_{1,\alpha^{d-2s},\beta(d-2s)-\frac{d(d-2s)-1}{2}}(s) ds \\
&= \frac{\alpha^{d-2s}}{(d-2s)!} \int_1^{\infty} \exp \left\{ - \int_s^{\infty} f_{1,\alpha,\beta-\frac{d-1}{2}}(t) dt \right\} f_{1,1,\beta(d-2s)-\frac{d(d-2s)-1}{2}}(s) ds \\
&= \mathbb{I}_{\alpha,d-2s}^*(2\beta-d).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we want to evaluate the integral in line (7.7). Since ϕ_{d-2s-1} is a probability measure we will denote this integral as an expectation. Let Z_1, \dots, Z_{d-2s} be random vectors in \mathbb{R}^{d-2s-1} whose joint distribution is ϕ_{d-2s-1} . Using the exchangeability of (Z_1, \dots, Z_{d-2s}) and the fact that each k -face of $\text{conv}(Z_1, \dots, Z_{d-2s})$ is almost surely of the form $\text{conv}(Z_{i_1}, \dots, Z_{i_{k+1}})$ for some indices $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k+1} \leq d-2s$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{d-2s-1})^{d-2s}} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_k(z_1, \dots, z_{d-2s})} \beta(F, \text{conv}(z_1, \dots, z_{d-2s})) \phi_{d-2s-1}(d(z_1, \dots, z_{d-2s})) \\
&= \binom{d-2s}{k+1} \mathbb{E}[\beta(\text{conv}(Z_1, \dots, Z_{k+1}), \text{conv}(Z_1, \dots, Z_{d-2s}))].
\end{aligned}$$

The analogue to Theorem 5.3 for beta'-densities, which was proven in [37, Theorem 3.6], states that (Z_1, \dots, Z_{d-2s}) has the same distribution as

$$\left(\frac{I_A(X_1)}{\sqrt{1 + \text{dist}^2(A)}}, \dots, \frac{I_A(X_{d-2s})}{\sqrt{1 + \text{dist}^2(A)}} \right),$$

where X_1, \dots, X_{d-2s} are i.i.d. points in $\mathbb{R}^{d+\ell}$ with density $\tilde{f}_{d+\ell,\beta+\frac{\ell}{2}}$ and $A := \text{aff}(X_1, \dots, X_{d-2s})$. But the internal angles do not change under rescaling and isometry, which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \binom{d-2s}{k+1} \mathbb{E}[\beta(\text{conv}(Z_1, \dots, Z_{k+1}), \text{conv}(Z_1, \dots, Z_{d-2s}))] \\
&= \binom{d-2s}{k+1} \mathbb{E}[\beta(\text{conv}(X_1, \dots, X_{k+1}), \text{conv}(X_1, \dots, X_{d-2s}))] \\
&= \tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{d-2s,k+1} \left(\beta - s - \frac{1}{2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The last equation follows from [37, Theorem 4.1] (with d replaced by $d-2s-1$). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.9. \square

8 Asymptotics for large intensities and monotonicity: Proofs

8.1 Proof of Theorem 3.13

We shall provide two proofs. The first one has the advantage that it could be applied in a much more general setting, but it requires that we are dealing with polytopes. The second proof uses special monotonicity features of the model and is valid for all $\beta > d/2$.

First proof of Theorem 3.13. In this proof it is assumed that the intensity α and the parameter β are such that $P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ is almost surely a polytope.

In order to prove Theorem 3.13 we use a Scheffé-type lemma addressing the convergence of random polytopes from [35, Proposition 2.3], and in what follows we also use the same notation as in that paper in order to simplify comparison. To this end, let \mathcal{P}_m^d be the set of d -dimensional polytopes with exactly $m \geq d+1$ vertices whose last coordinates are all distinct. Each such polytope P can uniquely be represented as convex hull of m points $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $x_{i,d} < x_{j,d}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ and we write $\iota^{-1}P$ for the vertex representation (x_1, \dots, x_m) of P . Also, put $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_m^d := \iota^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_m^d) \subset (\mathbb{R}^d)^m$ and let μ_m^d be the measure on $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_m^d$ arising as the restriction of the m -fold product of the d -dimensional Lebesgue measure. Clearly, with probability one, the vertex representations of the rescaled beta* polytopes

$$Q_\alpha := \iota^{-1}(\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2\beta-d}} P_{d,\alpha,\beta}),$$

where $\alpha > 0$ if $\beta > (d+1)/2$ and $\alpha > (d-1)\pi$ if $\beta = (d+1)/2$, belong to the disjoint union $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\infty^d := \coprod_{m=d+1}^\infty \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_m^d$, which we supply with the infinite sum μ_∞^d of the measures μ_m^d . For every $m \geq d+1$ the density φ_α of Q_α with respect to μ_∞^d is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_m) = & \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \alpha^{\frac{d}{2\beta-d}} f_{d,\alpha,\beta}(\alpha^{\frac{1}{2\beta-d}} x_i) \mathbb{1}_{\{\|\alpha^{1/(2\beta-d)} x_i\| > 1\}} \right) \\ & \times \exp \left\{ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_m)} \alpha^{\frac{d}{2\beta-d}} f_{d,\alpha,\beta}(\alpha^{\frac{1}{2\beta-d}} y) \mathbb{1}_{\{\|\alpha^{1/(2\beta-d)} y\| > 1\}} dy \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

recall (3.19). Here, the first factor reflects the part of the density corresponding to the m vertices x_1, \dots, x_m of Q_α , while the second factor is the probability that all other points of the Poisson process generating Q_α belong to the convex hull of these vertices. Using the definition of $f_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ this can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_m) = & \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \alpha^{\frac{d}{2\beta-d}+1} \tilde{c}_{d,\beta}(\|\alpha^{\frac{1}{2\beta-d}} x_i\|^2 - 1)^{-\beta} \mathbb{1}_{\{\|\alpha^{1/(2\beta-d)} x_i\| > 1\}} \right) \\ & \times \exp \left\{ - \alpha^{\frac{d}{2\beta-d}+1} \tilde{c}_{d,\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_m)} (\|\alpha^{\frac{1}{2\beta-d}} y\|^2 - 1)^{-\beta} \mathbb{1}_{\{\|\alpha^{1/(2\beta-d)} y\| > 1\}} dy \right\} \\ = & \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \tilde{c}_{d,\beta}(\|x_i\|^2 - \alpha^{-\frac{2}{2\beta-d}})^{-\beta} \mathbb{1}_{\{\|\alpha^{1/(2\beta-d)} x_i\| > 1\}} \right) \\ & \times \exp \left\{ - \tilde{c}_{d,\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_m)} (\|y\|^2 - \alpha^{-\frac{2}{2\beta-d}})^{-\beta} \mathbb{1}_{\{\|\alpha^{1/(2\beta-d)} y\| > 1\}} dy \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

see again (3.19). Letting $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, this converges to

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_m) := \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \tilde{c}_{d,\beta} \|x_i\|^{-2\beta} \right) \exp \left\{ - \tilde{c}_{d,\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_m)} \|y\|^{-2\beta} dy \right\}$$

for $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathcal{P}_m^d$ with $x_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, which shows the pointwise convergence of φ_α to φ on $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\infty^d$. However, φ is precisely the density on $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\infty^d$ with respect to μ_∞^d of the convex hull of a Poisson process with Lebesgue intensity $\tilde{c}_{d,\beta} \|x_i\|^{-2\beta}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, under the mapping ι^{-1} . In Section 3.4, we denoted this random convex hull by $\text{conv } \chi_{d,1,\beta}$. Thus, an application of the Scheffé-type lemma for random polytopes [35, Proposition 2.3] shows that, as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, $\alpha^{-1/(2\beta-d)} P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ weakly converges to the Poisson polytope $\text{conv } \chi_{d,1,\beta}$ on the space of compact convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^d endowed with the Hausdorff metric. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.13. \square

Second proof of Theorem 3.13. The following proof of Theorem 3.13 applies in the full range $\beta > d/2$. The convex set $\alpha^{-1/(2\beta-d)}P_{d,\alpha,\beta}$ is generated by a Poisson process with intensity

$$h_{d,\alpha,\beta}(x) := \tilde{c}_{d,\beta}(\|x\|^2 - \alpha^{-\frac{2}{2\beta-d}})^{-\beta}, \quad \|x\| > \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2\beta-d}}.$$

Let us extend this definition by putting $h_{d,\alpha,\beta}(x) = +\infty$ if $\|x\| \leq \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2\beta-d}}$. Then, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} h_{d,\alpha,\beta}(x) = \tilde{c}_{d,\beta}\|x\|^{-2\beta} =: h_{d,\infty,\beta}(x).$$

Moreover, observe that the function $\alpha \mapsto h_{d,\alpha,\beta}(x)$ is non-increasing in $\alpha > 0$. This feature allows us to construct a coupling of all random sets of interest on a common probability space. To this end, let (x_i, y_i) , $i \in \mathbb{N}$, be the atoms of a Poisson process on $\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \infty)$ whose intensity is the Lebesgue measure. Define the following random convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d :

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{d,\alpha,\beta} &= \overline{\text{conv}}(x_i : y_i \leq h_{d,\alpha,\beta}(x_i)) \stackrel{d}{=} \alpha^{-1/(2\beta-d)} P_{d,\alpha,\beta}, \\ Q_{d,\infty,\beta} &= \text{conv}(x_i : y_i \leq h_{d,\infty,\beta}(x_i)) \stackrel{d}{=} \text{conv } \chi_{d,1,\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

We now claim that in our coupling there is an a.s. finite random variable $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that $Q_{d,\alpha,\beta} = Q_{d,\infty,\beta}$ for all $\alpha > \alpha_0$, which is stronger than the claim of Theorem 3.13. In the rest of this proof, ω denotes some outcome of our random experiment and we write $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(\omega)$ to indicate that α_0 is random. By definition, we have $Q_{d,\alpha,\beta} \supseteq Q_{d,\infty,\beta}$ for every $\alpha > 0$. On the other hand, it is known [33, Corollary 4.2] that, with probability 1, the random convex set $Q_{d,\infty,\beta}$ contains a ball $r(\omega)\mathbb{B}^d$ of certain random radius $r(\omega) > 0$ and is a convex hull of finitely many points x_i , $i \in I(\omega)$, located outside $r(\omega)\mathbb{B}^d$. Since $h_{d,\alpha,\beta}(x) \downarrow h_{d,\infty,\beta}(x)$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, the number of atoms (x_i, y_i) , $i \in \mathbb{N}$, that satisfy $\|x_i\| \geq r(\omega)$ and $h_{d,\infty,\beta}(x_i) \leq y_i \leq h_{d,\alpha,\beta}(x_i)$, converges to 0 a.s. It follows that for sufficiently large $\alpha > \alpha_0(\omega)$, we have $Q_{d,\alpha,\beta} = Q_{d,\infty,\beta}$. \square

8.2 Proof of Proposition 3.15

We use that $\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,n}^*(\lambda) = \frac{\alpha^n}{n!} I_{\alpha,n}^*(\lambda)$ and substitute $y = \coth(w)$ in the definition (2.6) of $I_{\alpha,n}^*(\lambda)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_{\alpha,n}^*(\lambda) &= \frac{\alpha^n}{n!} \int_0^\infty \tilde{c}_{1,\frac{\lambda n+1}{2}} (\coth^2 w - 1)^{-\frac{\lambda n+1}{2}+1} \exp \left\{ -\alpha \int_{\coth w}^\infty \tilde{c}_{1,\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} (t^2 - 1)^{-\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} dt \right\} dw. \\ &= \frac{\alpha^n}{n!} \int_0^\infty \tilde{c}_{1,\frac{\lambda n+1}{2}} (\sinh w)^{\lambda n-1} \exp \left\{ -\alpha \int_{\coth w}^\infty \tilde{c}_{1,\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} (t^2 - 1)^{-\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} dt \right\} dw \\ &=: \frac{\alpha^n \tilde{c}_{1,\frac{\lambda n+1}{2}}}{n!} \int_0^\infty \varphi(w) \cdot e^{-\alpha h(w)} dw. \end{aligned} \tag{8.1}$$

We are now applying [56, Theorem II.1.1] to deduce the first two terms of the asymptotic expansion of the integral in the last line. To this end we need to check especially assumptions (II.1.9) – (II.1.11) in [56]. Since the function $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by (8.1) attains its minimum $h(0) = 0$ at $w = 0$, we have to determine the asymptotic expansions of $h(w)$ and $\varphi(w)$, also defined by (8.1), at $w = 0$. Using the same notation as in [56] it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} h(w) &= \int_{\coth w}^\infty \tilde{c}_{1,\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} (t^2 - 1)^{-\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} dt = \frac{\tilde{c}_{1,\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}}{\lambda} \cdot w^\lambda + \frac{(\lambda-1)\tilde{c}_{1,\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}}{6(\lambda+2)} \cdot w^{\lambda+2} + O(w^{\lambda+4}) \\ &=: a_0 \cdot w^\lambda + a_2 \cdot w^{\lambda+2} + O(w^{\lambda+4}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(w) &= (\sinh w)^{\lambda n-1} = w^{\lambda n-1} + \frac{\lambda n-1}{6} \cdot w^{\lambda n+1} + O(w^{\lambda n+3}) \\ &=: b_0 \cdot w^{\lambda n-1} + b_2 \cdot w^{\lambda+1} + O(w^{\lambda n+3}),\end{aligned}$$

as $w \rightarrow 0$. Putting $\mu := \lambda$ and $\tilde{\alpha} := \lambda n$ for the parameters μ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ defined as in (II.1.9) and (II.1.10) in [56] (our $\tilde{\alpha}$ corresponds to Wong's α), respectively, and denoting

$$\begin{aligned}c_0 &:= \frac{b_0}{\mu} \cdot a_0^{-\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\mu}} = \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{(\tilde{c}_{1, \frac{\lambda+1}{2}})^n}, \\ c_2 &:= a_0^{-\frac{\tilde{\alpha}+2}{\mu}} \left(\frac{b_2}{\mu} - 2\mu a_0 a_2 \cdot \frac{(\tilde{\alpha}+2)b_0}{2\mu^3 a_0^2} \right) = (\tilde{c}_{1, \frac{\lambda+1}{2}})^{-(\frac{2}{\lambda}+n)} \frac{\lambda^{\frac{2}{\lambda}+n}(n-1)}{2(\lambda+2)},\end{aligned}$$

we can now apply [56, Theorem II.1.1]. From this result it follows that

$$\int_0^\infty \varphi(w) \cdot e^{-\alpha \cdot h(w)} dw = \Gamma(n) \frac{c_0}{\alpha^n} + \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda n+2}{\lambda}\right) \frac{c_2}{\alpha^{\frac{\lambda n+2}{\lambda}}} + O\left(\alpha^{-\frac{\lambda n+4}{\lambda}}\right),$$

as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. In summary, we obtain

$$\mathbb{I}_{\alpha, n}^*(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{n} \frac{\tilde{c}_{1, \frac{\lambda n+1}{2}}}{(\tilde{c}_{1, \frac{\lambda+1}{2}})^n} + \frac{K_1(\lambda, n)}{\alpha^{\frac{2}{\lambda}}} + O\left(\alpha^{-\frac{4}{\lambda}}\right),$$

where $K_1(\lambda, n)$ is explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned}K_1(\lambda, n) &= \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda n+2}{\lambda}\right) \cdot c_2 \cdot \frac{\tilde{c}_{1, \frac{\lambda n+1}{2}}}{n!} \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{n+\frac{2}{\lambda}}(n-1)\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}+\frac{1}{\lambda}}}{2(\lambda+2)n!} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda n+1}{2})\Gamma(n+\frac{2}{\lambda})}{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda n}{2})} \cdot \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda+1}{2})}\right)^{n+\frac{2}{\lambda}}.\end{aligned}\tag{8.2}$$

This completes the proof. \square

8.3 Monotonicity: Proof of Theorem 3.16

The proof we give is inspired by those of similar monotonicity results in [12] and especially [37] for the expected f -vector of beta and beta' polytopes in \mathbb{R}^d .

Proof of Theorem 3.16. Recalling the explicit formula for the expected f -vector from Theorem 2.9 it is sufficient to show that the quantities $\mathbb{I}_{\alpha, m}^*(\lambda)$ given by (2.7) for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha > 0$ if $\lambda > 1$ or $\alpha > (m-1)\pi$ if $\lambda = 1$ are strictly monotone decreasing in α . Since constants only depending on m and λ do not influence the monotonicity behaviour of $\mathbb{I}_{\alpha, m}^*(\lambda)$, it is sufficient to prove strict monotonicity in α of the function

$$G(\alpha) := \frac{\alpha^m}{m!} \int_1^\infty (y^2-1)^{-\frac{\lambda m+1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\alpha \int_y^\infty \tilde{c}_{1, \frac{\lambda+1}{2}}(t^2-1)^{-\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} dt\right\} dy,$$

where $\alpha > 0$ if $\lambda > 1$ or $\alpha > (m-1)\pi$ if $\lambda = 1$, recall (2.6). To simplify our notation, we define

$$f(y) := \tilde{c}_{1, \frac{\lambda+1}{2}}(y^2-1)^{-\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{F}(y) := \int_y^\infty f(t) dt, \quad y > 1,$$

which allows us to rewrite $G(\alpha)$ as

$$G(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha^m}{m!} \int_1^\infty \left(\frac{f(y)}{\tilde{c}_{1, \frac{\lambda+1}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{\lambda m+1}{\lambda+1}} e^{-\alpha \bar{F}(y)} dy.$$

Again, since the constant $\tilde{c}_{1, \frac{\lambda+1}{2}}$ does not influence the monotonicity behaviour of $G(\alpha)$, it is sufficient to prove that

$$H(\alpha) := \frac{\alpha^m}{m!} \int_1^\infty f(y)^{\frac{\lambda m+1}{\lambda+1}} e^{-\alpha \bar{F}(y)} dy$$

is strictly monotone decreasing in α for $\alpha > 0$ if $\lambda > 1$ or $\alpha > (m-1)\pi$ if $\lambda = 1$. We compute the derivative of $H(\alpha)$:

$$\begin{aligned} H'(\alpha) &= \frac{\alpha^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \int_1^\infty f(y)^{\frac{\lambda m+1}{\lambda+1}} e^{-\alpha \bar{F}(y)} dy - \frac{\alpha^m}{m!} \int_1^\infty f(y)^{\frac{\lambda m+1}{\lambda+1}} \bar{F}(y) e^{-\alpha \bar{F}(y)} dy \\ &= \frac{\alpha^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \int_1^\infty f(y)^{\frac{\lambda m+1}{\lambda+1}} e^{-\alpha \bar{F}(y)} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{m} \bar{F}(y) \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we substitute $z = \bar{F}(y)$ and introduce the abbreviations $L(z) := f(\bar{F}^{-1}(z))^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}}$, $h(z) := e^{-\alpha z}$ and $g(z) := 1 - \frac{\alpha}{m}z$ for $z > 0$. This allows us to rewrite $H'(\alpha)$ as

$$H'(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \int_0^\infty L(z)^{m-1} h(z) g(z) dz,$$

where we used that $\frac{\lambda m+1}{\lambda+1} - 1 = \frac{\lambda(m-1)}{\lambda+1}$.

We observe now that $L(z)$ is strictly convex on $(0, \infty)$. Indeed, according to the chain rule the derivative of $L(z)$ equals $L'(z) = -\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1} f(\bar{F}^{-1}(z))^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}-2} f'(\bar{F}^{-1}(z))$. To see that this function is strictly increasing, we first note that $-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1} f(y)^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}-2} f'(y)$ is strictly decreasing in $y > 1$, since its derivative $-\lambda(\tilde{c}_{1, \frac{\lambda+1}{2}})^{-1/(\lambda+1)}(y^2 - 1)^{-3/2}$ is strictly negative. Also, $\bar{F}^{-1}(z)$ is strictly decreasing in $z > 0$, since $\bar{F}(z)$ itself is strictly decreasing in z as well.

Let $z_0 := m/\alpha > 0$ be the unique zero of the function $g(z)$. Using the strict convexity of $L(z)$ we have that $L(z) < \frac{L(z_0)}{z_0}z$ on $(0, z_0)$ and $L(z) > \frac{L(z_0)}{z_0}z$ on (z_0, ∞) . Together with the fact that g is positive on $(0, z_0)$ and negative on (z_0, ∞) this yields

$$\begin{aligned} H'(\alpha) &= \frac{\alpha^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \left(\int_0^{z_0} L(z)^{m-1} h(z) g(z) dz + \int_{z_0}^\infty L(z)^{m-1} h(z) g(z) dz \right) \\ &< \frac{\alpha^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \left(\int_0^{z_0} \left(\frac{L(z_0)}{z_0} z \right)^{m-1} h(z) g(z) dz + \int_{z_0}^\infty \left(\frac{L(z_0)}{z_0} z \right)^{m-1} h(z) g(z) dz \right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \left(\frac{L(z_0)}{z_0} \right)^{m-1} \int_0^\infty z^{m-1} h(z) g(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we observe that

$$\int_0^\infty z^{m-1} h(z) g(z) dz = \int_0^\infty z^{m-1} e^{-\alpha z} dz - \frac{\alpha}{m} \int_0^\infty z^m e^{-\alpha z} dz,$$

which after the substitution $u = \alpha z$ takes the form

$$\frac{1}{\alpha^m} \int_0^\infty u^{m-1} e^{-u} du - \frac{1}{m \alpha^m} \int_0^\infty u^m e^{-u} du = \frac{1}{\alpha^m} \left(\Gamma(m) - \frac{\Gamma(m+1)}{m} \right) = 0.$$

This yields that $H'(\alpha) < 0$ and shows that $H(\alpha)$ and consequently $\alpha \mapsto \mathbb{E}f_k(P_{d,\alpha,\beta})$ is strictly decreasing in the respective range of α . \square

9 Special cases and small dimensions: Proofs

This section contains the proofs of the remaining results from Section 3.

9.1 Typical Poisson-Voronoi cells in small dimensions: Proof of Corollary 3.12

The formula for $d = 2$ can either be concluded from Theorem 2.6 by putting $a = b = 0$ and $\beta = d = 2$ there or from Theorem 2.9. From the latter we get

$$\mathbb{E}f_0(P_{2,\alpha,2}) = \mathbb{E}f_1(P_{2,\alpha,2}) = 2\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,2}^*(2),$$

where we used the trivial values $\tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{2,1}(3/2) = \tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{2,2}(3/2) = 1$. From the definition of $\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,2}^*(2)$, see (2.8), we have

$$\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,2}^*(2) = \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \int_0^\infty (\sinh \varphi)^{-4} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_\varphi^\infty (\sinh \theta)^{-2} d\theta \right\} d\varphi = 3 \left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right),$$

which proves the case $d = 2$. Applying Theorem 2.9 to the case $\beta = d = 3$ yields

$$\mathbb{E}f_k(P_{3,\alpha,3}) = 2\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,3}^*(3) \cdot \tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{3,k+1}\left(\frac{5}{2}\right) + 2\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,1}^*(3) \cdot \tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{1,k+1}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right).$$

Now, we use that $\tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{1,k+1}(3/2)$ vanishes for $k \in \{1, 2\}$ and is equal to 1 for $k = 0$. Together with the explicit values $\tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{3,1}(5/2) = 1/2$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{3,2}(5/2) = 3/2$ (which are just angle sums in a triangle), this gives

$$\mathbb{E}f_k(P_{3,\alpha,3}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{I}_{\alpha,3}^*(3) + 2\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,1}^*(3), & : k = 0, \\ 3\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,3}^*(3), & : k = 1, \\ 2\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,3}^*(3), & : k = 2. \end{cases}$$

However, applying Euler's relation $f_0(P) - f_1(P) + f_2(P) = 2$, which holds for every polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, yields $\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,1}^*(3) = 1$, and thus, completes the proof for $d = 3$. The proof for $d = 4$ is similar and uses the non-trivial values $\tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{4,1}(7/2) = 27/143$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{4,2}(7/2) = 170/143$ that follow from (2.9). \square

9.2 The case $\beta = (d+1)/2$: Proof of Theorem 3.5

We turn to the proof of the formula for the expected f -vector of $P_{d,\alpha,(d+1)/2}$ stated in Theorem 3.5. The next lemma provides an explicit formula for the expected external angle sums $\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,m}^*(1)$.

Lemma 9.1. *In the special case $\lambda = 1$ and for $\alpha > \pi(m-1)$, it holds that*

$$\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,m}^*(1) = \frac{\alpha^m \Gamma(\frac{m+1}{2})}{m 2^m \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} - \frac{m-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + \frac{m+1}{2})}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (9.1)$$

Proof. By definition of $\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,m}^*(\lambda)$ given in (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,m}^*(1) = \frac{\alpha^m}{m!} \int_1^\infty \tilde{c}_{1,\frac{m+1}{2}}(y^2-1)^{-\frac{m+1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\alpha \int_y^\infty \tilde{c}_{1,1}(t^2-1)^{-1} dt \right\} dy.$$

Now, $\tilde{c}_{1,1} = 1/\pi$ and $\int_y^\infty \frac{dt}{t^2-1} = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{y+1}{y-1}$ for $y > 1$. Inserting this and the value of $\tilde{c}_{1,(m+1)/2}$ leads to

$$\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,m}^*(1) = \frac{\alpha^m \Gamma(\frac{m+1}{2})}{m! \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} \int_1^\infty \left(\frac{y+1}{y-1} \right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}} (y^2-1)^{-\frac{m+1}{2}} dy.$$

Substituting $z = \frac{y+1}{y-1} - 1 = \frac{2}{y-1}$ gives

$$\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,m}^*(1) = \frac{\alpha^m \Gamma(\frac{m+1}{2})}{m! 2^m \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} \int_0^\infty z^{m-1} (z+1)^{-(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + \frac{m+1}{2})} dz.$$

Note that this integral converges since $\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + \frac{m+1}{2} > m$ by our assumption $\alpha > (m-1)\pi$. Using the integral representation for the beta function and expressing the result through gamma functions we arrive at

$$\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,m}^*(1) = \frac{\alpha^m \Gamma(\frac{m+1}{2})}{m! 2^m \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(m) \Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} - \frac{m-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + \frac{m+1}{2})} = \frac{\alpha^m \Gamma(\frac{m+1}{2})}{m 2^m \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} - \frac{m-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + \frac{m+1}{2})}.$$

The proof is thus complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.5. We apply Theorem 2.9 with $\beta = (d+1)/2$ to obtain

$$\mathbb{E}f_{\ell-1}(P_{d,\alpha,\frac{d+1}{2}}) = 2 \sum_{\substack{m \in \{\ell, \dots, d\} \\ m \equiv d \pmod{2}}} \mathbb{I}_{\alpha,m}^*(1) \cdot \tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{m,\ell}\left(\frac{m}{2}\right). \quad (9.2)$$

The expected internal angle sums appearing in this formula are given by Theorem 4.1 from [31] which states that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{m,\ell}\left(\frac{m}{2}\right) = \frac{\pi^{\ell-m}}{\ell!} \cdot \frac{m(A[m,\ell] - A[m-2,\ell])}{2\tilde{c}_{1,\frac{m+1}{2}}}, \quad (9.3)$$

where $A[\cdot, \cdot]$ has been defined in (3.15). Inserting (9.1) and (9.3) into (9.2) yields

$$\mathbb{E}f_{\ell-1}(P_{d,\alpha,\frac{d+1}{2}}) = 2 \sum_{\substack{m \in \{\ell, \dots, d\} \\ m \equiv d \pmod{2}}} \frac{\alpha^m \Gamma(\frac{m+1}{2})}{m 2^m \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} - \frac{m-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + \frac{m+1}{2})} \cdot \frac{\pi^{\ell-m}}{\ell!} \frac{m(A[m,\ell] - A[m-2,\ell])}{2\tilde{c}_{1,\frac{m+1}{2}}}.$$

Using the definition of $\tilde{c}_{1,(m+1)/2}$ and simplifying the resulting expression completes the proof of the formula for $\mathbb{E}f_{\ell-1}(P_{d,\alpha,(d+1)/2})$. \square

9.3 The case $\beta = (d+2)/2$: Proof of Theorem 3.22

To prove Theorem 3.22, we apply Theorem 2.9 with $\beta = (d+2)/2$, which results in

$$\mathbb{E}f_{\ell-1}(P_{d,\alpha,\frac{d+2}{2}}) = 2 \sum_{\substack{m \in \{\ell, \dots, d\} \\ m \equiv d \pmod{2}}} \mathbb{I}_{\alpha,m}^*(2) \cdot \tilde{\mathbb{J}}_{m,\ell}\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right). \quad (9.4)$$

The internal angle sums appearing here were already evaluated in [30, Theorem 2.6]:

$$\mathbb{J}_{m,\ell}\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{\binom{2m}{m}} \left(\binom{m}{\ell} \binom{m+\ell}{\ell} - \binom{m-2}{\ell} \binom{m-2+\ell}{\ell} \right). \quad (9.5)$$

The next lemma relates the external angle sums $\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,m}^*(2)$ to the modified Bessel function $K_\nu(z)$ of the second kind as defined in (3.25).

Lemma 9.2. *In the special case $\lambda = 2$ and for all $\alpha > 0$, we have*

$$\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,m}^*(2) = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha e^\alpha}{\pi}} \binom{2m-1}{m} K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (9.6)$$

Proof. For $\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,m}^*(2)$, we use the definition (2.6) and insert the values of $\tilde{c}_{1,m+\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\tilde{c}_{1,\frac{3}{2}}$ to obtain

$$\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,m}^*(2) = \frac{\alpha^m \Gamma(m + \frac{1}{2})}{m! \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(m)} \int_1^\infty (y^2 - 1)^{-(m+\frac{1}{2})} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_y^\infty (t^2 - 1)^{-\frac{3}{2}} dt \right\} dy.$$

Since

$$\int_y^\infty (t^2 - 1)^{-\frac{3}{2}} dt = \frac{y}{\sqrt{y^2 - 1}} - 1, \quad y > 1,$$

we have

$$\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,m}^*(2) = \frac{\alpha^m e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma(m + \frac{1}{2})}{m! \sqrt{\pi} (m-1)!} \int_1^\infty (y^2 - 1)^{-(m+\frac{1}{2})} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \frac{y}{\sqrt{y^2 - 1}} \right\} dy.$$

Substituting $u = y/\sqrt{y^2 - 1}$ and recalling a formula for $K_\nu(z)$ given in (3.25) yields

$$\mathbb{I}_{\alpha,m}^*(2) = \frac{\alpha^m e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma(m + \frac{1}{2})}{m! \sqrt{\pi} (m-1)!} \int_1^\infty (z^2 - 1)^{m-1} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot u} du = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha} 2^{2m-1} e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma(m + \frac{1}{2})}{m! \pi} \cdot K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right).$$

Applying Legendre's duplication formula to the last expression yields that

$$\frac{\sqrt{\alpha} 2^{2m-1} e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma(m + \frac{1}{2})}{m! \pi} = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha} e^{\alpha/2}}{m! \sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(2m)}{\Gamma(m)} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha e^\alpha}{\pi}} \binom{2m-1}{m}.$$

The proof is thus complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.22. Insert (9.5) and (9.6) into (9.4) and simplify the resulting expression. \square

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Ben Hansen and Tobias Müller for providing us with the simulation of the hyperbolic Poisson-Voronoi tessellation shown in the left panel of Figure 1.1 and to the unknown referee for a careful reading of the manuscript. TG and ZK were supported by the German Research Foundation under Germany's Excellence Strategy EXC 2044 – 390685587, *Mathematics Münster: Dynamics - Geometry - Structure* and TG, ZK and CT by the DFG priority program SPP 2265 *Random Geometric Systems*.

References

- [1] Affentranger, F., Schneider, R.: Random projections of regular simplices, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **7** (1), 219–226 (1992).
- [2] Alekseevskij, D.V., Vinberg, E.B. and Solodovnikov, A.S.: Geometry of spaces of constant curvature. *Geometry, II*, 1—138, *Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.*, **29**, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [3] Bárány, I., Hug, D., Reitzner, M. and Schneider, R.: Random points in halfspheres, *Rand. Struct. Alg.* **50**, 3–22 (2017).
- [4] Benjamini, I., Jonasson, J., Schramm, O., Tykesson, J. Visibility to infinity in the hyperbolic plane, despite obstacles. *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.* **6**, 323–342 (2009).
- [5] Benjamini, I., Krauz, Y. and Paquette, E. Anchored expansion of Delaunay complexes in real hyperbolic space and stationary point processes, to appear in *Probab. Theory Related Fields* (2021+).
- [6] Benjamini, I., Paquette, E. and Pfefferer, J.: Anchored expansion, speed and the Poisson-Voronoi tessellation in symmetric spaces, *Ann. Probab.* **46**, 1917–1956 (2018).
- [7] Besau, F., Hack, T., Pivovarov, P. and Schuster, F. E.: Spherical centroid bodies, arXiv:1902.10614.
- [8] Besau, F., Ludwig, M. and Werner, E.M.: Weighted floating bodies and polytopal approximation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **370**, 7129–7148 (2018).
- [9] Besau, F. and Thäle, C.: Asymptotic normality for random polytopes in non-Euclidean geometries, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **373**, 8911–8941 (2020).
- [10] Besau, F., Rosen, D. and Thäle, C.: Random inscribed polytopes in projective geometries, *Math. Ann.* **381**, 1345–1372 (2021).
- [11] Bode, M., Fountoulakis, N., Müller, T.: The probability that the hyperbolic random graph is connected, *Rand. Struct. Alg.* **49**, 65–94 (2016).
- [12] Bonnet, G., Grote, J., Temesvari, D., Thäle, C., Turchi, N. and Wespi, F.: Monotonicity of facet numbers of random convex hulls, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **455**, 1351–1364 (2017).
- [13] Calka, P., Chapron, A. and Enriquez, N.: Mean asymptotics for a Poisson–Voronoi cell on a Riemannian manifold, arXiv:1807.09043 (2018).
- [14] Cannon, J.W., Floyd, W. J., Kenyon, R. and Parry, W.R.: Hyperbolic geometry. In: *Flavors of Geometry*, edited by Silvio Levy, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., **31**, Cambridge University Press (1997).
- [15] Dvoretzky, A. On covering a circle by randomly placed arcs. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **42**, 199–203 (1956).
- [16] Feng, D.-J., Järvenpää, E., Järvenpää, M., Suomala, V. Dimensions of random covering sets in Riemann manifolds. *Ann. Probab.* **46**, no. 3, 1542–1596 (2018).
- [17] Fountoulakis, N., van der Hoorn, W.L.F., Müller, T. and Schepers, M.: Clustering in a hyperbolic model of complex networks, *Electron. J. Probab.* **26**, Paper no. 13 (2021).
- [18] Fountoulakis, N. and Yukich, J.E.: Limit theory for isolated and extreme points in hyperbolic random geometric graphs, *Electron. J. Probab.* **25**, Paper No. 141 (2020).

- [19] Grote, J., Kabluchko, Z., Thäle, C.: Limit theorems for random simplices in high dimensions, *ALEA, Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.* **16**, 141–177 (2019).
- [20] Grünbaum, B.: *Convex Polytopes*, 2nd edition, Springer (2003).
- [21] Hansen, B.T. and Müller, T.: The critical probability for Voronoi percolation in the hyperbolic plane tends to $1/2$, *Rand. Struct. Alg.* **60**(1), 54–67 (2022).
- [22] Herold, F.: *Random Mosaics in Hyperbolic Space*, PhD Thesis, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (2020), available online at <https://publikationen.bibliothek.kit.edu/1000129986>.
- [23] Herold, F., Hug, D. and Thäle, C.: Does a central limit theorem hold for the k -skeleton of Poisson hyperplanes in hyperbolic space?, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **179**, 889–968 (2021).
- [24] Hoffmann-Jørgensen, J.: Coverings of metric spaces with randomly placed balls, *Math. Scand.* **32**, 169–186 (1973).
- [25] Hörrmann, J., Hug, D., Reitzner, M. and Thäle, C.: Poisson polyhedra in high dimensions, *Adv. Math.*, **281**, 1–39, (2015).
- [26] Hug, D. and Schneider, R.: Asymptotic shapes of large cells in random tessellations, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **17**(1), 156–191 (2007).
- [27] Hug, D. and Schneider, R.: Conical random tessellations, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **52**, 395–426 (2016).
- [28] Isokawa, Y.: Poisson-Voronoi tessellations in three-dimensional hyperbolic spaces, *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* **32**, 648–662 (2000).
- [29] Isokawa, Y.: Some mean characteristics of Poisson-Voronoi and Poisson-Delaunay tessellations in hyperbolic planes, *Bull. Fac. Ed. Kagoshima Univ. Natur. Sci.* **52**, 11–25 (2000).
- [30] Kabluchko, Z.: Angles of random simplices and face numbers of random polytopes, *Adv. Math.*, **380**, article 107612 (2021).
- [31] Kabluchko, Z.: Expected f -vector of the Poisson zero polytope and random convex hulls in the half-sphere, *Mathematika*, **66**(4), 1028–1053 (2020).
- [32] Kabluchko, Z.: On expected face numbers of random beta and beta’ polytopes, to appear in *Contrib. Alg. and Geom.* (2021+).
- [33] Kabluchko, Z., Marynych, A., Temesvari, D. and Thäle, C.: Cones generated by random points on half-spheres and convex hulls of Poisson processes, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **175**, 1021–1061 (2019).
- [34] Kabluchko, Z., Temesvari, D. and Thäle, C.: Expected intrinsic volumes and facet numbers of random beta-polytopes, *Math. Nachr.* **292**, 79–105 (2019).
- [35] Kabluchko, Z., Temesvari, D. and Thäle, C.: A new approach to weak convergence of random cones and polytopes, *Canadian J. Math.* **73** (6), 1627 – 1647 (2021).
- [36] Kabluchko, Z. and Thäle, C.: The typical cell of a Voronoi tessellation on the sphere, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **66**, 1330–1350 (2021).
- [37] Kabluchko, Z., Thäle, C. and Zaporozhets, D.: Beta polytopes and Poisson polyhedra: f -vectors and angles, *Adv. Math.* **374**, article 107333 (2020).

- [38] Last, G. and Penrose, M.: *Lectures on the Poisson Process*, Cambridge University Press (2018).
- [39] Loustau, B. *Hyperbolic geometry*. Online book at arxiv:2003.11180.
- [40] Miles, R.E.: Isotropic random simplices, *Adv. Appl. Probab.* **3** 353–382 (1971).
- [41] Nielsen, F. and Nock, R. Hyperbolic Voronoi diagrams made easy. In: *International Conference on Computational Science and Its Applications (ICCSA)*, pages 74–80 (2010). arXiv: 1210.8234.
- [42] Porret-Blanc, S.: Sur le caractère borné de la cellule de Crofton des mosaïques de géodésiques dans le plan hyperbolique, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **344**, 477–481 (2007).
- [43] Ratcliffe, J.G.: *Foundations of Hyperbolic Manifolds*, 2nd edition, Springer (2006).
- [44] Resnick, S.I. *Extreme Values, Regular Variation and Point Processes*, reprint of the 1987 original, Springer, 2008.
- [45] Ruben, H. and Miles, R.E.: A canonical decomposition of the probability measure of sets of isotropic random points in \mathbb{R}^n , *J. Multivar. Anal.* **10**, 1–18 (1980).
- [46] Santaló, L.A. Average values for polygons formed by random lines in the hyperbolic plane. (Spanish) *Univ. Nac. Tucumán Rev. Ser. A* 16, 29–43 (1966).
- [47] Santaló, L.A. *Integral Geometry and Geometric Probability*, second edition. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press (2004).
- [48] Santaló, L.A. and Yañez, I.: Averages for polygons formed by random lines in Euclidean and hyperbolic planes, *Adv. Appl. Probab.* **9**, 140–157 (1972).
- [49] Schneider, R.: *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press (2014).
- [50] Schneider, R. and Weil, W.: *Stochastic and Integral Geometry*, Springer (2008).
- [51] Shepp, L.A. Covering the circle with random arcs. *Israel J. Math.* **11**, 328–345 (1972).
- [52] Tricomi, F.G. and Erdélyi, A.: The asymptotic expansion of a ratio of gamma functions. *Pacific J. Math.* 1, 133–142 (1951).
- [53] Tykesson, J. and Calka, P.: Asymptotics of visibility in the hyperbolic plane, *Adv. Appl. Probab.* **45**, 332–350 (2013).
- [54] Watson, G.N.: *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions*, 2nd Edition reprinted, Cambridge university press (1966).
- [55] Wieacker, J.A.: *Einige Probleme der polyedrischen Approximation*. Diploma Thesis, University of Freiburg (1978).
- [56] Wong, R.: *Asymptotic Approximations of Integrals*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, SIAM (2001).