

Double Field Theory as the Double Copy of Yang-Mills

Felipe Díaz-Jaramillo,^{1,*} Olaf Hohm,^{1,†} and Jan Plefka^{1,‡}

¹*Institut für Physik und IRIS Adlershof, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin,
Zum Großen Windkanal 2, 12489 Berlin, Germany*

We show that double field theory arises from the color-kinematic double copy of Yang-Mills theory. A precise double copy prescription for the Yang-Mills action at quadratic and cubic order is provided that yields the double field theory action in which the duality invariant dilaton has been integrated out. More precisely, at quadratic order this yields the gauge invariant double field theory, while at cubic order it yields the cubic double field theory action subject to a gauge condition that originates from Siegel gauge in string field theory.

I. INTRODUCTION

The fundamental interactions in nature, as far as currently known, are governed by two kinds of theories: Yang-Mills theory, which describes the gauge bosons of the standard model of particle physics, and Einstein's theory of general relativity, which describes the force of gravity and the universe at large. While these two kinds of theories share broad qualitative features, such as the presence of gauge symmetries, their actions appear to be quite different. Most importantly, at the quantum field theory level, the perturbative expansion of general relativity about flat space-time is notoriously involved and non-renormalizable, in sharp contrast to the well-understood perturbation theory of Yang-Mills theory.

Yet, the Bern-Carrasco-Johansson construction [1, 2] of gravity scattering amplitudes as the ‘double copy’ of Yang-Mills amplitudes suggests that there might be a much more intimate relationship between gauge theory and gravity. Specifically, the perturbation theory of Yang-Mills and more general gauge theories can be arranged in such a way that their building blocks obey a property known as color-kinematics duality. Here the contributions associated to the gauge group known as ‘color factors’ appear on the same footing as the purely kinematical numerator factors (functions of momenta and polarizations), which in turn obey relations akin to Jacobi identities. Replacing the color factors by kinematic factors then yields gravity amplitudes, whose computation by standard textbook methods starting from the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian is incomparably more involved, see [3] for a recent review and [6] for a popular account. Somewhat misleadingly, these observations are often summarized by “gravity is Yang-Mills squared”. Formal proofs of the double copy have been provided at tree-level [7, 8] using a variety of methods. Evidence for the existence also at loop-level has been provided through a number of explicit constructions, see [3] for an account and [4, 5] for a treatment in terms of homotopy algebras.

(In addition, the double copy prescription has been extended to the sector of classical solutions [9].)

Double copy techniques are a main feature of the modern amplitude program, which largely abandons the textbook approach to quantum field theory with its baggage of off-shell fields and gauge redundancies, see [10] for reviews. As such, there is no reason to expect that features of the double copy can be seen at the level of an action. To quote [11]: “no amount of fiddling with the Einstein-Hilbert action will reduce it to a square of a Yang-Mills action.” Nevertheless, there have been numerous attempts to render the double copy at least partially manifest at the level of a Lagrangian [7, 12, 13].

A first step is to rewrite the perturbative expansion of gravity in a way that manifests a left-right index factorization. Starting from the Einstein-Hilbert action this requires a series of elaborate field redefinitions that were worked out to a small order in fields in [12]. This problem is solved in the framework of double field theory [14–18] that doubles space-time yielding an automatic index factorization pertaining to the two space-time coordinates. Double field theory was originally conceived of as a non-local field theory, referred to as *weakly constrained double field theory*, that captures, by virtue of fields depending on doubled coordinates, massive Kaluza-Klein and winding modes of string theories on toroidal backgrounds [15]. While this theory has not yet been constructed explicitly beyond cubic order, much work has been done on *strongly constrained double field theory*, in which the doubling of coordinates is purely formal but which provides a duality covariant and background independent reformulation of the target space actions of string theory including metric, B-field and dilaton. (See [19] for reviews and [20] for earlier important work.) It was anticipated by Siegel in [14] that these reformulations render index factorizations manifest, and this was established explicitly to all orders in fields in [21] and used in [22] for amplitude computations. (See also [23], where the problem of index factorizations was revisited more recently, and [24–26] for double copy prescriptions of classical solutions in double field theory.)

In this letter we go beyond this and ask: what does a double copy prescription applied to the Yang-Mills Lagrangian actually give? As the double copy instructs us to replace color factors with a second set of kinematic fac-

* felipe.diaz-jaramillo@hu-berlin.de

† ohohm@physik.hu-berlin.de

‡ jan.plefka@hu-berlin.de

tors, which come with their own momenta, this naturally leads to a double field theory with doubled momenta or, in position space, a doubled set of coordinates. The key technical result reported here is that this double copy of Yang-Mills theory yields at quadratic and cubic order double field theory upon integrating out the duality invariant dilaton.¹ More precisely, at quadratic order this match holds at the level of gauge invariant Lagrangians, while at cubic order this match requires a gauge choice, which in the string field theory formulation of [15] originates from the so-called Siegel gauge and which reduces to the de Donder gauge for standard gravity fields.

II. QUADRATIC THEORY

We start from the action for Yang-Mills theory in D dimensions,

$$S_{\text{YM}} = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^D x \kappa_{ab} F^{\mu\nu a} F_{\mu\nu}{}^b, \quad (1)$$

with the field strength for the gauge bosons $A_\mu{}^a$,

$$F_{\mu\nu}{}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu{}^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu{}^a + g_{\text{YM}} f^a{}_{bc} A_\mu{}^b A_\nu{}^c, \quad (2)$$

where g_{YM} is the gauge coupling. Here, $f^a{}_{bc}$ denote the structure constants of the color gauge group, with adjoint indices a, b, \dots , and invariant Cartan-Killing form κ_{ab} that lowers adjoint indices, so that $f_{abc} \equiv \kappa_{ad} f^d{}_{bc}$ is totally antisymmetric. Expanding the Yang-Mills action to quadratic order in fields and integrating by parts one obtains

$$S_{\text{YM}}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^D x \kappa_{ab} A^{\mu a} (\square A_\mu{}^b - \partial_\mu \partial^\nu A_\nu{}^b). \quad (3)$$

In order to motivate the double copy prescription it is convenient to pass over to momentum space. Defining $A_\mu{}^a(k) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2}} \int d^D x A_\mu{}^a(x) e^{ikx}$ the quadratic action reads

$$S_{\text{YM}}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_k \kappa_{ab} k^2 \Pi^{\mu\nu}(k) A_\mu{}^a(-k) A_\nu{}^b(k), \quad (4)$$

where $\int_k := \int d^D k$, and we have scaled out k^2 , in order to define the projector in terms of the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-, +, +, +)$

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}(k) \equiv \eta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k^2}, \quad (5)$$

which obeys the identities

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}(k) k_\nu \equiv 0, \quad \Pi^{\mu\nu} \Pi_{\nu\rho} \equiv \Pi^\mu{}_\rho. \quad (6)$$

¹ The duality invariant dilaton ϕ of double field theory is defined in terms of the familiar scalar dilaton Φ by $e^{-2\phi} = \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\Phi}$.

The first identity implies gauge invariance under

$$\delta A_\mu{}^a(k) = k_\mu \lambda^a(k), \quad (7)$$

where the gauge parameter $\lambda^a(k)$ is an arbitrary function.

Let us now turn to the double copy construction of a gravity theory. We take the double copy prescription to lead to a double field theory: Replace the color indices a by a *second* set of spacetime indices denoted by a bar, $a \rightarrow \bar{\mu}$, corresponding to a *second* set of spacetime momenta \bar{k}^μ .²

$$A_\mu{}^a(k) \rightarrow e_{\mu\bar{\mu}}(k, \bar{k}). \quad (8)$$

To complete the double copy prescription for the quadratic theory we need to define a substitution rule for the Cartan-Killing metric κ_{ab} . We will see that the following replacement does the job:

$$\kappa_{ab} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \bar{\Pi}^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}(\bar{k}), \quad (9)$$

where $\bar{\Pi}^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}$ is defined as in (5), but with all momenta replaced by barred momenta and all indices replaced by barred indices. This prescription is motivated from the double copy rule at the level of amplitudes: for a gauge theory amplitude $\mathcal{A} = \sum_i \frac{n_i c_i}{D_i}$, where n_i are kinematic factors, c_i are color factors, and the D_i are the inverse propagators, the double copy amounts to replacing c_i by kinematic factors n_i , while the $D_i \sim k^2$ are untouched. Thus, it is natural to scale out k^2 from the kinetic operator and to double only the resulting projector $\Pi^{\mu\nu}$, which also guarantees that a two-derivative theory is mapped to a two-derivative theory.

The quadratic gravity action following from this double copy (DC) prescription then reads

$$S_{\text{DC}}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{k, \bar{k}} k^2 \Pi^{\mu\nu}(k) \bar{\Pi}^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}(\bar{k}) e_{\mu\bar{\mu}}(-k, -\bar{k}) e_{\nu\bar{\nu}}(k, \bar{k}). \quad (10)$$

We emphasize that the field $e_{\mu\bar{\mu}}$ now depends on doubled momenta $K \equiv (k, \bar{k})$. Note that the momenta k and \bar{k} enter the action on the same footing, except that we have chosen the factor in front to be k^2 rather than \bar{k}^2 , but in double field theory this asymmetry is resolved due to the so-called level-matching constraint [15]

$$k^2 = \bar{k}^2, \quad (11)$$

where two copies of the same flat space-time metric are used to take the square. In order to match with double field theory, and also to lead to a local action, we thus

² The mass dimensions in momentum space are $[A] = -1 - \frac{D}{2}$ and $[e] = -1 - D$, so this substitution does not preserve the dimensions, which is due to the additional \bar{k} integral. Similarly, the dimensions of the coupling constants are $[g_{\text{YM}}] = 2 - \frac{D}{2}$ and $[\kappa] = 1 - D$ for the gravitational coupling in double field theory, which differs from that in Einstein gravity.

have to assume that the doubled momenta are subject to this constraint (which does have more general solutions than the trivial $k = \bar{k}$ for which the theory reduces to a standard linearized gravity theory). We also note that, owing to the first identity in (6), the action is manifestly gauge invariant under

$$\delta e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} = k_\mu \bar{\lambda}_{\bar{\nu}} + \bar{k}_{\bar{\nu}} \lambda_\mu, \quad (12)$$

with two independent gauge parameters λ_μ and $\bar{\lambda}_{\bar{\mu}}$ that depend on doubled momenta $K \equiv (k, \bar{k})$, subject to (11).

We will now show that (10) is indeed equivalent to (quadratic) double field theory. Writing out the projectors with (5) and using the level-matching constraint (11) the action reads

$$S_{\text{DC}}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{k, \bar{k}} \left(k^2 e^{\mu\bar{\nu}} e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} - k^\mu k^\rho e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} e_{\rho\bar{\nu}} - \bar{k}^{\bar{\nu}} \bar{k}^{\bar{\sigma}} e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} e^{\mu\bar{\sigma}} + \frac{1}{k^2} k^\mu k^\rho \bar{k}^{\bar{\nu}} \bar{k}^{\bar{\sigma}} e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} e_{\rho\bar{\sigma}} \right). \quad (13)$$

In order to compare with the standard double field theory action we have to Fourier transform to (doubled) position space. This is straightforward except for the last term in (13), which due to the factor $\frac{1}{k^2}$ would yield a non-local term. This problem is resolved by introducing an auxiliary scalar field $\phi(k, \bar{k})$ (the dilaton):

$$S_{\text{DC}}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{k, \bar{k}} \left(k^2 e^{\mu\bar{\nu}} e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} - k^\mu k^\rho e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} e_{\rho\bar{\nu}} - \bar{k}^{\bar{\nu}} \bar{k}^{\bar{\sigma}} e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} e^{\mu\bar{\sigma}} - k^2 \phi^2 + 2\phi k^\mu \bar{k}^{\bar{\nu}} e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} \right). \quad (14)$$

Integrating out ϕ by solving its own field equations,

$$\phi = \frac{1}{k^2} k^\mu \bar{k}^{\bar{\nu}} e_{\mu\bar{\nu}}, \quad (15)$$

and back-substituting into the action we recover the non-local (13). Alternatively, without integrating out fields, one may redefine the dilaton as $\phi \rightarrow \phi' = \phi - \frac{1}{k^2} k^\mu \bar{k}^{\bar{\nu}} e_{\mu\bar{\nu}}$, which decouples ϕ' from $e_{\mu\bar{\nu}}$. The action (14) is of course still gauge invariant, with a gauge transformation for ϕ that is determined by the variation of (15):

$$\delta\phi = k_\mu \lambda^\mu + \bar{k}_{\bar{\mu}} \bar{\lambda}^{\bar{\mu}}, \quad (16)$$

where we used (11). With the action in the form (14) it is then straightforward to Fourier transform to a local action in doubled position space:

$$S_{\text{DC}}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{4} \int d^D x d^D \bar{x} \left(e^{\mu\bar{\nu}} \square e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} + \partial^\mu e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} \partial^\rho e_{\rho\bar{\nu}} + \bar{\partial}^{\bar{\nu}} e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} \bar{\partial}^{\bar{\sigma}} e^{\mu\bar{\sigma}} - \phi \square \phi + 2\phi \partial^\mu \bar{\partial}^{\bar{\nu}} e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} \right), \quad (17)$$

where $\partial_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$ and $\bar{\partial}_{\bar{\mu}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^{\bar{\mu}}}$ are the partial derivatives corresponding to the coordinates that are dual to k^μ and $\bar{k}^{\bar{\mu}}$ and hence by (11) subject to the constraint

$$\square \equiv \partial^\mu \partial_\mu = \bar{\partial}^{\bar{\mu}} \bar{\partial}_{\bar{\mu}}. \quad (18)$$

The gauge transformations (12) and (16) translate in doubled position space to

$$\begin{aligned} \delta e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} &= \partial_\mu \bar{\lambda}_{\bar{\nu}} + \bar{\partial}_{\bar{\nu}} \lambda_\mu, \\ \delta\phi &= \partial_\mu \lambda^\mu + \bar{\partial}_{\bar{\mu}} \bar{\lambda}^{\bar{\mu}}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

under which (17) is invariant, modulo the constraint (18). The action (17) defines precisely the standard quadratic double field theory action, which upon setting $x = \bar{x}$ is equivalent, up to field redefinitions, to the familiar free action for gravity, antisymmetric tensor and dilaton [15].

III. CUBIC THEORY

We now turn to the cubic vertex of Yang-Mills theory and extend the double copy construction to the cubic action of double field theory. The cubic part of the Yang-Mills action (1) reads

$$S_{\text{YM}}^{(3)} = -g_{\text{YM}} \int d^D x f_{abc} \partial^\mu A^{\nu a} A_\mu{}^b A_\nu{}^c. \quad (20)$$

Upon Fourier transforming to momentum space this becomes

$$S_{\text{YM}}^{(3)} = \frac{ig_{\text{YM}}}{(2\pi)^{D/2}} \int_{k_1, k_2, k_3} \delta(k_1 + k_2 + k_3) f_{abc} k_1^\mu A_1^{\nu a} A_{2\mu}{}^b A_{3\nu}{}^c, \quad (21)$$

where we use the short-hand notation $A_i \equiv A(k_i)$, and we performed the x -integration, introducing the delta function. It is convenient to write this more symmetrically as

$$S_{\text{YM}}^{(3)} = -\frac{ig_{\text{YM}}}{6(2\pi)^{D/2}} \int_{k_1, k_2, k_3} \delta(k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \times f_{abc} \Pi^{\mu\nu\rho}(k_1, k_2, k_3) A_{1\mu}{}^a A_{2\nu}{}^b A_{3\rho}{}^c, \quad (22)$$

where we defined

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu\rho}(k_1, k_2, k_3) \equiv \eta^{\mu\nu} k_{12}^\rho + \eta^{\nu\rho} k_{23}^\mu + \eta^{\rho\mu} k_{31}^\nu, \quad (23)$$

with $k_{ij} \equiv k_i - k_j$. Note that this tensor has the anti-symmetry properties required by the structure it multiplies, e.g., $\Pi^{\mu\nu\rho}(k_1, k_2, k_3) = -\Pi^{\nu\mu\rho}(k_2, k_1, k_3)$.

Our task now is to give the double copy prescription that extends (8), (9) to the cubic theory. The natural substitution rule is

$$f_{abc} \rightarrow \frac{i}{4} \bar{\Pi}^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}\bar{\rho}}(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}_2, \bar{k}_3), \quad (24)$$

where the factor of i is needed since we relate a theory with one derivative to a theory with two derivatives. Together with $g_{\text{YM}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\kappa$, after which we set $\kappa = 1$, this gives the cubic action

$$S_{\text{DC}}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{48(2\pi)^{D/2}} \int dK_1 dK_2 dK_3 \delta(K_1 + K_2 + K_3) \times \bar{\Pi}^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}\bar{\rho}}(\bar{k}_1, \bar{k}_2, \bar{k}_3) \Pi^{\mu\nu\rho}(k_1, k_2, k_3) e_{1\bar{\mu}} e_{2\nu\bar{\nu}} e_{3\rho\bar{\rho}},$$

where we use the short-hand notation $e_{i\mu\bar{\mu}} \equiv e_{\mu\bar{\mu}}(K_i)$, with $K \equiv (k, \bar{k})$ for doubled momenta, and $dK \equiv d^{2D}K$. Writing out $\Pi^{\mu\nu\rho}$ and $\bar{\Pi}^{\mu\nu\bar{\rho}}$ yields nine terms which, upon

relabeling momentum variables and indices, reduce to two terms, and then writing out $k_{ij} = k_i - k_j$ the action becomes

$$S_{\text{DC}}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{8(2\pi)^{D/2}} \int dK_1 dK_2 dK_3 \delta(K_1 + K_2 + K_3) \quad (25)$$

$$\times e_{1\mu\bar{\mu}} \left[-k_2^\mu e_{2\rho\bar{\rho}} \bar{k}_3^{\bar{\mu}} e_3^{\rho\bar{\rho}} + k_2^\mu e_{2\nu\bar{\nu}} \bar{k}_3^{\bar{\rho}} e_3^{\nu\bar{\mu}} + k_2^\rho e_2^{\mu\bar{\rho}} \bar{k}_3^{\bar{\mu}} e_{3\rho\bar{\rho}} + k_2^\mu \bar{k}_2^{\bar{\mu}} e_{2\rho\bar{\rho}} e_3^{\rho\bar{\rho}} - k_{2\rho} e_2^{\mu\bar{\rho}} \bar{k}_3^{\bar{\rho}} e_3^{\rho\bar{\mu}} - k_2^\rho \bar{k}_2^{\bar{\mu}} e_2^{\mu\bar{\rho}} e_{3\rho\bar{\rho}} \right].$$

Fourier transforming to position space and integrating by parts, we finally obtain

$$S_{\text{DC}}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{8} \int d^D x d^D \bar{x} e_{\mu\bar{\mu}} \left[2\partial^\mu e_{\rho\bar{\rho}} \bar{\partial}^{\bar{\mu}} e^{\rho\bar{\rho}} - 2\partial^\mu e_{\nu\bar{\nu}} \bar{\partial}^{\bar{\rho}} e^{\nu\bar{\mu}} - 2\partial^\rho e^{\mu\bar{\rho}} \bar{\partial}^{\bar{\rho}} e_{\rho\bar{\rho}} + \partial^\rho e_{\rho\bar{\rho}} \bar{\partial}^{\bar{\rho}} e^{\mu\bar{\mu}} + \bar{\partial}_{\bar{\rho}} e^{\mu\bar{\rho}} \partial_\rho e^{\rho\bar{\mu}} \right]. \quad (26)$$

In the following we will prove that this action agrees precisely with the cubic double field theory upon imposing a gauge fixing condition and integrating out the dilaton. The need for a gauge fixing condition is natural in view of amplitude computations: The double copy only works in the Feynman respectively de Donder gauges. In fact, we have also verified by a Noether construction that the sum of the quadratic and cubic double copy actions (17) and (26) cannot be gauge invariant. Thus, at best the above cubic piece (26) is related to a gauge fixed form of double field theory.

It turns out to be convenient to use the form of double field theory originally derived from closed string field theory (SFT) [15]. We will not need any detailed technical background of SFT, but it is instructive to write out the string field truncated to the relevant states. The string field Ψ takes values in the first-quantized Hilbert space and reads

$$|\Psi\rangle = \int dK \left(-\frac{1}{2} e_{\mu\bar{\nu}}(K) \alpha_{-1}^\mu \bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\bar{\nu}} c_1 \bar{c}_1 \quad (27)$$

$$+ \varphi(K) c_1 c_{-1} + \bar{\varphi}(K) \bar{c}_1 \bar{c}_{-1}$$

$$+ i f_\mu(K) c_0^+ c_1 \alpha_{-1}^\mu + i \bar{f}_{\bar{\mu}}(K) c_0^+ \bar{c}_1 \bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\bar{\mu}} \right) |\mathbf{0}; K\rangle,$$

where α_m^μ and $\bar{\alpha}_m^{\bar{\mu}}$ are the familiar left- and right-moving

oscillators of the first-quantized string, and the c_m and \bar{c}_m are ghosts, being part of a bc ghost system with algebra $\{b_m, c_m\} = \delta_{m+n}$ and $c_0^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(c_0 \pm \bar{c}_0)$. The state $|\mathbf{0}; K\rangle$ is constructed from the so-called $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant vacuum $|\mathbf{0}\rangle$ by the action of $\exp(ik \cdot x)$ and $\exp(i\bar{k} \cdot \bar{x})$ and obeys in particular $b_0 |\mathbf{0}; K\rangle = \bar{b}_0 |\mathbf{0}; K\rangle = 0$ [15], see [27] for a review. Closed SFT yields for this truncated string field an action that reads to cubic order in position space (see equation (3.3) in [15])

$$S_{\text{SFT}} = \int d^D x d^D \bar{x} \left[\mathcal{L}^{(2)} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{DC}}^{(3)} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{extra}}^{(3)} \right], \quad (28)$$

where the superscripts denote the power of fields, and the various terms are defined as follows.

The quadratic action is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{4} e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} \square e^{\mu\bar{\mu}} + 2\bar{\varphi} \square \varphi - f_\mu f^\mu - \bar{f}_{\bar{\mu}} \bar{f}^{\bar{\mu}} - f^\mu (\bar{\partial}^{\bar{\nu}} e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} - 2\partial_\mu \bar{\varphi}) + \bar{f}^{\bar{\nu}} (\partial^\mu e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} + 2\bar{\partial}_{\bar{\nu}} \varphi). \quad (29)$$

We observe that f_μ and $\bar{f}_{\bar{\mu}}$ are auxiliary and can hence be integrated out algebraically. Doing so we recover the quadratic double field theory action in the form (17), with $\phi \equiv \varphi - \bar{\varphi}$ and the combination $\varphi + \bar{\varphi}$ dropping out and hence being pure gauge. The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\text{DC}}^{(3)}$ precisely agrees with (26) obtained from the double copy construction. Thus, the complete double field theory action to cubic order differs from the double copy construction by $\mathcal{L}_{\text{extra}}^{(3)}$, which is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{extra}}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} f^\mu \bar{f}^{\bar{\nu}} - \frac{1}{2} f_\mu f^\mu \bar{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{f}_{\bar{\nu}} \bar{f}^{\bar{\nu}} \varphi - \frac{1}{4} f^\mu (e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} \bar{\partial}^{\bar{\nu}} \bar{\varphi} + \bar{\partial}^{\bar{\nu}} (e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} \bar{\varphi})) - \frac{1}{4} \bar{f}^{\bar{\nu}} (e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} \partial^\mu \varphi + \partial^\mu (e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} \varphi)) \quad (30)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} f^\mu (\bar{\varphi} \partial_\mu \varphi - \varphi \partial_\mu \bar{\varphi}) + \frac{1}{4} \bar{f}^{\bar{\nu}} (\bar{\varphi} \bar{\partial}_{\bar{\nu}} \varphi - \varphi \bar{\partial}_{\bar{\nu}} \bar{\varphi}) - \frac{1}{8} e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} (\bar{\varphi} \partial^\mu \bar{\partial}^{\bar{\nu}} \varphi + \varphi \partial^\mu \bar{\partial}^{\bar{\nu}} \bar{\varphi} - \partial^\mu \varphi \bar{\partial}^{\bar{\nu}} \bar{\varphi} - \bar{\partial}^{\bar{\nu}} \varphi \partial^\mu \bar{\varphi}).$$

Upon integrating out f and \bar{f} including these cubic terms one recovers the standard cubic double field theory action

of [15], up to field redefinitions. However, in the following it will be easier to stay in the first-order formulation and

impose the gauge fixing condition

$$f_\mu = \bar{f}_{\bar{\mu}} = 0. \quad (31)$$

In principle, this leaves residual gauge transformations with $\lambda_\mu = \partial_\mu \chi$ and $\bar{\lambda}_{\bar{\mu}} = -\bar{\partial}_{\bar{\mu}} \chi$, but these are ‘trivial gauge parameters’ whose action on fields vanishes. The above gauge condition is actually implied by a well-known gauge condition for SFT, known as Siegel gauge:

$$b_0^+ |\Psi\rangle = 0, \quad (32)$$

where $b_0^+ = b_0 + \bar{b}_0$. Indeed, acting with b_0^+ on (27) and using $b_0^+ |\mathbf{0}; K\rangle = 0$ one finds that the terms in the first and second line are annihilated, while the terms in the third line, using $\{b_0^+, c_0^+\} = 1$, give a non-vanishing contribution. The Siegel gauge condition thus precisely amounts to setting $f_\mu = \bar{f}_{\bar{\mu}} = 0$. One may also verify that setting $x = \bar{x}$, replacing f and \bar{f} by their lowest-order on-shell values, and setting the scalar dilaton to zero, this gauge condition implies the familiar de Donder gauge $\partial^\mu h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\nu h = 0$.

Using the gauge condition (31) in (28) one obtains

$$S_{\text{SFT}} = \int d^D x d^D \bar{x} \left[\frac{1}{4} e_{\mu\bar{\mu}} \square e^{\mu\bar{\mu}} + 2\bar{\varphi} \square \varphi + \mathcal{L}_{\text{DC}}^{(3)} - \frac{1}{8} e_{\mu\bar{\nu}} (\bar{\varphi} \partial^\mu \bar{\partial}^\nu \varphi + \varphi \partial^\mu \bar{\partial}^\nu \bar{\varphi} - \partial^\mu \varphi \bar{\partial}^\nu \bar{\varphi} - \bar{\partial}^\nu \varphi \partial^\mu \bar{\varphi}) \right]. \quad (33)$$

For the quadratic theory we had to integrate out the dilaton $\phi \equiv \varphi - \bar{\varphi}$ from double field theory in order to show that it equals the double copy of Yang-Mills. A subtlety at this stage is that, after picking Siegel gauge, it is no longer true that only the combination $\phi \equiv \varphi - \bar{\varphi}$ enters the action. Thus, we have to integrate out the pair of fields $(\varphi, \bar{\varphi})$. Since these enter the action (33) only quadratically, at tree-level integrating them out just amounts to setting $\varphi = \bar{\varphi} = 0$.³ Alternatively, we could integrate out φ and $\bar{\varphi}$ from the full action (28) before gauge fixing, which owing to the linear couplings in (29) yields $\varphi = \square^{-1}(\partial_\mu f^\mu) + \dots$, etc., hence leading to a non-local action. But since these non-local terms involve f and \bar{f} they disappear in the gauge (31), and so the two operations of fixing a gauge and integrating out $\varphi, \bar{\varphi}$ commute. Either way, cubic double field theory in Siegel gauge precisely coincides with the double copy of Yang-Mills theory upon integrating out the scalar fields.

IV. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

In this letter we have given the arguably most direct double copy prescription for the Yang-Mills action to cubic order and shown that it yields double field theory to

this order (in which the duality invariant dilaton has been integrated out). Remarkably, at quadratic order this relation holds at the level of gauge invariant actions, i.e., without the need to impose a gauge condition or to introduce extra fields. While the match of the cubic terms does require gauge fixing, this gauge condition is well-known in closed string field theory, from which double field theory was originally derived, as the Siegel gauge.

The question is whether, and if so how, this relation between Yang-Mills theory and double field theory extends to higher order in fields. The quartic part of the Yang-Mills Lagrangian (1) can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{YM}}^{(4)} = -\frac{1}{4} g_{\text{YM}}^2 \kappa^{ab} f_{acd} f_{bef} A^{\mu c} A^{\nu d} A_\mu^e A_\nu^f. \quad (34)$$

One subtlety in giving a double copy prescription for the quartic vertex directly is that although with (9) and (24) we have given double copy substitution rules for κ_{ab} and f_{abc} , the quartic vertex in the above form also requires the *inverse* κ^{ab} of the Cartan-Killing metric, but $\bar{\Pi}^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}$ is not invertible. Nevertheless, a natural proposal for the double copy is a quartic Lagrangian in momentum space of the structural form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{DC}}^{(4)} \propto \eta^{\bar{\tau}\bar{\kappa}} \bar{\Pi}_{\bar{\tau}\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} \bar{\Pi}_{\bar{\kappa}\bar{\rho}\bar{\sigma}} e^{\mu\bar{\mu}} e^{\nu\bar{\nu}} e_\mu^{\bar{\rho}} e_\nu^{\bar{\sigma}} + \eta^{\tau\kappa} \Pi_{\tau\mu\nu} \Pi_{\kappa\rho\sigma} e^{\mu\bar{\mu}} e^{\nu\bar{\nu}} e_\mu^\rho e_\nu^\sigma, \quad (35)$$

which would give rise to a two-derivative action. As it stands, this proposal is incomplete since each of the $\Pi^{\mu\nu\rho}$ depends on three momenta (c.f. (23)), while in the above terms there are only four e fields, but at least structurally one can see that such an action reproduces many terms of the quartic double field theory, see [22].

It is clear that new ingredients are needed in order to realize double copy at the level of actions to all orders in fields. In particular, the perturbative expansion of double field theory is non-polynomial and so a match with the Yang-Mills action, which is quartic, requires a suitable reformulation of both theories. Indeed, double copy suggests to bring both actions to cubic form upon introducing auxiliary fields, see e.g. [7], and more generally one may expect additional fields in order to realize the double copy in a manner that is off-shell and fully gauge invariant. This may also provide a new perspective on the important open problem, alluded to in the introduction, of constructing a weakly constrained double field theory beyond cubic order. While in principle such a theory can be derived from the full closed string field theory by integrating out all massive string modes that do not belong to the double field theory sector [27, 28], it would be greatly beneficial to have an efficient formulation of this theory as a double copied Yang-Mills action.

It would also be interesting to understand whether the web of double copy constructible theories, i.e. including various amounts of supersymmetries, gauged gravity theories and also non-gravitational theories, may be connected to double field theory.

³ Indeed, there are no tree-level diagrams for only external $e_{\mu\bar{\mu}}$ states that involve these fields and hence setting them to zero is the correct procedure of integrating them out at tree-level.

Acknowledgements

We have greatly benefited from discussions with Roberto Bonezzi who in particular pointed out the relevance of the Siegel gauge.

This work is supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) - Projektnummer 417533893/GRK2575 “Rethinking Quantum Field Theory” and by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 771862).

-
- [1] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco, and Henrik Johansson, “New Relations for Gauge-Theory Amplitudes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 085011 (2008), arXiv:0805.3993 [hep-ph].
- [2] Zvi Bern, John Joseph M. Carrasco, and Henrik Johansson, “Perturbative Quantum Gravity as a Double Copy of Gauge Theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 061602 (2010), arXiv:1004.0476 [hep-th].
- [3] Zvi Bern, John Joseph Carrasco, Marco Chiodaroli, Henrik Johansson, and Radu Roiban, “The Duality Between Color and Kinematics and its Applications,” (2019), arXiv:1909.01358 [hep-th].
- [4] L. Borsten, B. Jurčo, H. Kim, T. Macrelli, C. Saemann and M. Wolf, “Becchi-Rouet-Stora-Tyutin-Lagrangian Double Copy of Yang-Mills Theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, no.19, 191601 (2021) [arXiv:2007.13803 [hep-th]].
- [5] L. Borsten, H. Kim, B. Jurčo, T. Macrelli, C. Saemann and M. Wolf, “Double Copy from Homotopy Algebras,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **69**, no.8-9, 2100075 (2021) [arXiv:2102.11390 [hep-th]].
- [6] C Wood, “How Gravity is a double copy of other forces,” *quantamagazine.org* (2021).
- [7] Zvi Bern, Tristan Dennen, Yu-tin Huang, and Michael Kiermaier, “Gravity as the Square of Gauge Theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 065003 (2010), arXiv:1004.0693 [hep-th].
- [8] Carlos R. Mafra, Oliver Schlotterer, and Stephan Stieberger, “Explicit BCJ Numerators from Pure Spinors,” *JHEP* **07**, 092 (2011), arXiv:1104.5224 [hep-th]; N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, Jacob L. Bourjaily, Poul H. Damgaard, and Bo Feng, “Manifesting Color-Kinematics Duality in the Scattering Equation Formalism,” *JHEP* **09**, 094 (2016), arXiv:1608.00006 [hep-th]; Yi-Jian Du and Fei Teng, “BCJ numerators from reduced Pfaffian,” *JHEP* **04**, 033 (2017), arXiv:1703.05717 [hep-th]; Elliot Bridges and Carlos R. Mafra, “Algorithmic construction of SYM multiparticle superfields in the BCJ gauge,” *JHEP* **10**, 022 (2019), arXiv:1906.12252 [hep-th].
- [9] Ricardo Monteiro, Donal O’Connell, and Chris D. White, “Black holes and the double copy,” *JHEP* **12**, 056 (2014), arXiv:1410.0239 [hep-th]; Andrés Luna, Ricardo Monteiro, Donal O’Connell, and Chris D. White, “The classical double copy for Taub-NUT spacetime,” *Phys. Lett. B* **750**, 272–277 (2015), arXiv:1507.01869 [hep-th]; Andrés Luna, Ricardo Monteiro, Isobel Nicholson, Alexander Ochirov, Donal O’Connell, Niclas Westerberg, and Chris D. White, “Perturbative spacetimes from Yang-Mills theory,” *JHEP* **04**, 069 (2017), arXiv:1611.07508 [hep-th]; Mariana Carrillo-González, Riccardo Penco, and Mark Trodden, “The classical double copy in maximally symmetric spacetimes,” *JHEP* **04**, 028 (2018), arXiv:1711.01296 [hep-th]; Alfredo Guevara, Ben Maybee, Alexander Ochirov, Donal O’Connell, and Justin Vines, “A worldsheet for Kerr,” *JHEP* **03**, 201 (2021), arXiv:2012.11570 [hep-th]; Ricardo Monteiro, Donal O’Connell, David Peinador Veiga, and Matteo Sergola, “Classical solutions and their double copy in split signature,” *JHEP* **05**, 268 (2021), arXiv:2012.11190 [hep-th] C. Cheung and J. Mangan, “Covariant color-kinematics duality,” *JHEP* **11**, 069 (2021) [arXiv:2108.02276 [hep-th]].
- [10] Lance J. Dixon, “Calculating scattering amplitudes efficiently,” in *Theoretical Advanced Study Institute in Elementary Particle Physics (TASI 95): QCD and Beyond* (1996) arXiv:hep-ph/9601359; Henriette Elvang and Yu-tin Huang, “Scattering Amplitudes,” (2013), arXiv:1308.1697 [hep-th]; Johannes M. Henn and Jan C. Plefka, *Scattering Amplitudes in Gauge Theories*, Vol. 883 (Springer, Berlin, 2014).
- [11] Hermann Nicolai, “From Grassmann to maximal (N=8) supergravity,” *Annalen Phys.* **19**, 150–160 (2010).
- [12] Z. Bern and Aaron K. Grant, “Perturbative gravity from QCD amplitudes,” *Phys. Lett. B* **457**, 23–32 (1999), arXiv:hep-th/9904026.
- [13] Mathias Tolotti and Stefan Weinzierl, “Construction of an effective Yang-Mills Lagrangian with manifest BCJ duality,” *JHEP* **07**, 111 (2013), arXiv:1306.2975 [hep-th]; A. Anastasiou, L. Borsten, M. J. Duff, S. Nagy, and M. Zoccali, “Gravity as Gauge Theory Squared: A Ghost Story,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 211601 (2018), arXiv:1807.02486 [hep-th]; L. Borsten and S. Nagy, “The pure BRST Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian from the double-copy to cubic order,” *JHEP* **07**, 093 (2020), arXiv:2004.14945 [hep-th]; Pietro Ferrero and Dario Francia, “On the Lagrangian formulation of the double copy to cubic order,” *JHEP* **02**, 213 (2021), arXiv:2012.00713 [hep-th]; M. Beneke, P. Hager, and A. F. Sanfilippo, “Double copy for Lagrangians at trilinear order,” (2021), arXiv:2106.09054 [hep-th].
- [14] W. Siegel, “Superspace duality in low-energy superstrings,” *Phys. Rev. D* **48**, 2826–2837 (1993), arXiv:hep-th/9305073.
- [15] Chris Hull and Barton Zwiebach, “Double Field Theory,” *JHEP* **09**, 099 (2009), arXiv:0904.4664 [hep-th].
- [16] Chris Hull and Barton Zwiebach, “The Gauge algebra of double field theory and Courant brackets,” *JHEP* **09**, 090 (2009), arXiv:0908.1792 [hep-th].
- [17] Olaf Hohm, Chris Hull, and Barton Zwiebach, “Background independent action for double field theory,” *JHEP* **07**, 016 (2010), arXiv:1003.5027 [hep-th].
- [18] Olaf Hohm, Chris Hull, and Barton Zwiebach, “Gen-

- eralized metric formulation of double field theory,” *JHEP* **08**, 008 (2010), arXiv:1006.4823 [hep-th].
- [19] Gerardo Aldazabal, Diego Marques, and Carmen Nunez, “Double Field Theory: A Pedagogical Review,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **30**, 163001 (2013), arXiv:1305.1907 [hep-th]; David S. Berman and Daniel C. Thompson, “Duality Symmetric String and M-Theory,” *Phys. Rept.* **566**, 1–60 (2014), arXiv:1306.2643 [hep-th]; Olaf Hohm, Dieter Lüst, and Barton Zwiebach, “The Spacetime of Double Field Theory: Review, Remarks, and Outlook,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **61**, 926–966 (2013), arXiv:1309.2977 [hep-th].
- [20] Arkady A. Tseytlin, “Duality symmetric closed string theory and interacting chiral scalars,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **350**, 395–440 (1991); Taichiro Kugo and Barton Zwiebach, “Target space duality as a symmetry of string field theory,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **87**, 801–860 (1992), arXiv:hep-th/9201040; W. Siegel, “Two vierbein formalism for string inspired axionic gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **47**, 5453–5459 (1993), arXiv:hep-th/9302036.
- [21] Olaf Hohm, “On factorizations in perturbative quantum gravity,” *JHEP* **04**, 103 (2011), arXiv:1103.0032 [hep-th].
- [22] Rutger H. Boels and Christoph Horst, “Perturbative quantum gravity in double field theory,” *JHEP* **04**, 120 (2016), arXiv:1512.03192 [hep-th].
- [23] Clifford Cheung and Grant N. Remmen, “Twofold Symmetries of the Pure Gravity Action,” *JHEP* **01**, 104 (2017), arXiv:1612.03927 [hep-th]; “Hidden Simplicity of the Gravity Action,” *JHEP* **09**, 002 (2017), arXiv:1705.00626 [hep-th].
- [24] Kanghoon Lee, “Kerr-Schild Double Field Theory and Classical Double Copy,” *JHEP* **10**, 027 (2018), arXiv:1807.08443 [hep-th].
- [25] Kwangeon Kim, Kanghoon Lee, Ricardo Monteiro, Isabel Nicholson, and David Peinador Veiga, “The Classical Double Copy of a Point Charge,” *JHEP* **02**, 046 (2020), arXiv:1912.02177 [hep-th].
- [26] David S. Berman, Kwangeon Kim, and Kanghoon Lee, “The classical double copy for M-theory from a Kerr-Schild ansatz for exceptional field theory,” *JHEP* **04**, 071 (2021), arXiv:2010.08255 [hep-th].
- [27] Alex S. Arvanitakis, Olaf Hohm, Chris Hull, and Victor Lekeu, “Homotopy Transfer and Effective Field Theory II: Strings and Double Field Theory,” (2021), arXiv:2106.08343 [hep-th].
- [28] Ashoke Sen, “Wilsonian Effective Action of Superstring Theory,” *JHEP* **01**, 108 (2017), arXiv:1609.00459 [hep-th].