

PROPER ABELIAN SUBCATEGORIES OF TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES AND THEIR TILTING THEORY

PETER JØRGENSEN

ABSTRACT. In the theory of triangulated categories, we propose to replace *hearts of t -structures* by *proper abelian subcategories*, which may be plentiful even when hearts are not. For instance, this happens in negative cluster categories.

In support of our proposal, we show that proper abelian subcategories with a few vanishing negative self extensions permit a tilting theory which is a direct generalisation of Happel–Reiten–Smalø tilting of hearts.

0. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to propose a change of perspective from *hearts of t -structures* to *proper abelian subcategories* of triangulated categories.

Definition 0.1 (see [14, def. 1.2]). A *proper abelian subcategory* \mathcal{A} of a triangulated category \mathcal{C} is an additive subcategory which is abelian and satisfies that $0 \rightarrow a' \xrightarrow{\alpha'} a \xrightarrow{\alpha} a'' \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence in \mathcal{A} if and only if a', a, a'' are in \mathcal{A} and there is a triangle $a' \xrightarrow{\alpha'} a \xrightarrow{\alpha} a'' \rightarrow \Sigma a'$ in \mathcal{C} .

Hearts of t -structures are proper abelian subcategories, but there are many others. Indeed, the heart of a t -structure has all negative self extensions equal to zero, but this property fails for large classes of proper abelian subcategories arising in practice. For instance, [14, Introduction] provides an explanation of this in the setting of negative cluster categories as developed in [4], [5], [6], [7], [11], [13].

In support of the proposal to supplant hearts of t -structures, we will show that proper abelian subcategories with a few vanishing negative self extensions permit a tilting theory which is a direct generalisation of Happel–Reiten–Smalø tilting of hearts, see [2, thm. I.3.1], [10, prop. 2.1], and [18, prop. 2.1]. To state our results, we need the following vanishing condition.

Definition 0.2. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer or ∞ . A full subcategory \mathcal{A} of a triangulated category \mathcal{C} satisfies condition E_n if $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma^i \mathcal{A}) = 0$ for $-n - 1 < i < 0$.

Observe that if $n \geq 2$ then condition E_n implies condition E_{n-1} . We also need the following generalisation of the class of right tilts of a fixed heart.

Definition 0.3. Let \mathcal{A} be a proper abelian subcategory of a triangulated category \mathcal{C} and let $n \geq 1$ be an integer or ∞ . The E_n -interval right of \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{C} is

$$[\mathcal{A}, \Sigma \mathcal{A}]_n = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{proper abelian subcategories } \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C} \text{ satisfying } E_n \\ \text{such that } \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \mathcal{B} \subseteq \Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A} \end{array} \right\}.$$

For $\mathcal{B}', \mathcal{B} \in [\mathcal{A}, \Sigma \mathcal{A}]_n$ we write

$$\mathcal{B}' \preceq \mathcal{B} \quad \text{if} \quad \mathcal{B}' \subseteq \mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \mathcal{B} \subseteq \Sigma \mathcal{B}' * \mathcal{B}'.$$

Under mild conditions, \preceq is a partial order on $[\mathcal{A}, \Sigma \mathcal{A}]_n$ which generalises the partial order on right tilts of a fixed heart given by inclusion of the corresponding coailes.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18E10, 18E40, 18G80.

Key words and phrases. Happel–Reiten–Smalø tilting, heart, t -structure, torsion pair.

Theorem A. Let \mathcal{A} be a proper abelian subcategory of a Krull–Schmidt triangulated category \mathcal{C} and let $n \geq 3$ be an integer or ∞ .

- (i) \preceq is a partial order on $[\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{A}]_n$.
- (ii) If \mathcal{A} satisfies condition E_n then \mathcal{A} and $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$ are the least and greatest elements for \preceq .

Our main result is the following direct generalisation of Happel–Reiten–Smalø tilting.

Theorem B. Let \mathcal{A} be a proper abelian subcategory of a Krull–Schmidt triangulated category \mathcal{C} , let $n \geq 4$ be an integer or ∞ , and assume that \mathcal{A} satisfies condition E_n . Then there are order preserving, mutually inverse bijections

$$\text{TorsPair}(\mathcal{A}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Phi(-)} \\ \xleftarrow{\Psi(-)} \end{array} [\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{A}]_{n-1}$$

given by

$$\Phi(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}) = \Sigma\mathcal{F} * \mathcal{T} \quad , \quad \Psi(\mathcal{B}) = (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} \cap \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B}).$$

Here $\text{TorsPair}(\mathcal{A})$ is the class of torsion pairs in \mathcal{A} and $*$ is defined as usual, see Equation (1.1). The partial orders preserved by the bijections are \sqsubseteq on $\text{TorsPair}(\mathcal{A})$, defined by inclusion of torsion free classes, and \preceq on $[\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{A}]_{n-1}$, see Definition 0.3.

In Theorem B, the tilting map Φ starts with a torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ in a proper abelian subcategory \mathcal{A} satisfying condition E_n , but produces a proper abelian subcategory $\Phi(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ satisfying condition E_{n-1} . The following result shows that this can sometimes be improved, hence permitting the tilting process to be iterated indefinitely.

Theorem C. In Theorem B, make either of the following additional assumptions.

- (i) $n = \infty$.
- (ii) $n \geq 4$ is an integer and \mathcal{C} is k -linear $(-n-1)$ -Calabi–Yau over a field k .

Then there are mutually inverse bijections

$$\text{TorsPair}(\mathcal{A}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Phi(-)} \\ \xleftarrow{\Psi(-)} \end{array} [\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{A}]_n$$

given by the formulae in Theorem B.

In particular, if Q is a finite acyclic quiver, k a field, then part (ii) of Theorem C applies to the “generic” proper abelian subcategory $\mathcal{A} = \text{mod } kQ$ of the negative cluster category $\mathcal{C}_{-n-1}(kQ)$, see [7, sec. 1.2]. The proofs of Theorems B and C are facilitated by the following simple criterion for proper abelian subcategories, which has the classic [1, prop. 1.2.4] from the theory of t -structures as a special case.

Theorem D. Let \mathcal{A} be an additive, extension closed subcategory of a triangulated category \mathcal{C} , and assume \mathcal{A} satisfies condition E_2 . Then

$$\mathcal{A} \text{ is a proper abelian subcategory of } \mathcal{C} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} * \Sigma\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Sigma\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}.$$

Finally, note that related work has recently appeared in [15] and [16]. The notion of *proper n -exact subcategories* was in effect considered in [15, thm. 1], and the notion of *distinguished abelian subcategories* was introduced in [16, def. 1.1].

1. SETUP

The following applies throughout the paper.

- Consider an additive category. An *additive subcategory* is a full subcategory closed under isomorphisms, direct sums, and direct summands.

- The suspension functor of a triangulated category is denoted Σ .
- A *short triangle* in a triangulated category is a diagram $c' \xrightarrow{\gamma'} c \xrightarrow{\gamma} c''$ for which there is a triangle $c' \xrightarrow{\gamma'} c \xrightarrow{\gamma} c'' \xrightarrow{\gamma''} \Sigma c'$.
- Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be full subcategories of a triangulated category \mathcal{C} . Then

$$\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B} = \{e \in \mathcal{C} \mid \text{there is a short triangle } a \rightarrow e \rightarrow b \text{ with } a \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } b \in \mathcal{B}\} \quad (1.1)$$
 is a full subcategory of \mathcal{C} closed under isomorphisms.
- If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are closed under direct sums, then so is $\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}$.
- If \mathcal{C} is Krull–Schmidt and \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are additive subcategories satisfying $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = 0$, then $\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}$ is an additive subcategory of \mathcal{C} by [12, prop. 2.1(1)]. Note that the proof of [12, prop. 2.1(1)] only requires the Krull–Schmidt property of \mathcal{C} , not the stronger blanket assumptions of [12].
- We say that \mathcal{A} is *extension closed* in \mathcal{C} if $\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

2. LEMMAS ON ADDITIVE SUBCATEGORIES

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be an additive subcategory of a triangulated category \mathcal{C} and assume \mathcal{A} satisfies condition E_1 . Then $\mathcal{A} * \Sigma^2 \mathcal{A} \subseteq \Sigma^2 \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. Given $c \in \mathcal{A} * \Sigma^2 \mathcal{A}$, there is a triangle $a' \rightarrow c \rightarrow \Sigma^2 a'' \xrightarrow{\delta} \Sigma a'$ with $a', a'' \in \mathcal{A}$. Here $\delta = 0$ since \mathcal{A} satisfies condition E_1 , so the triangle is split whence $c \cong a' \oplus \Sigma^2 a''$. Hence there is also a split triangle $\Sigma^2 a'' \rightarrow c \rightarrow a' \xrightarrow{0} \Sigma \Sigma^2 a''$ which proves $c \in \Sigma^2 \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be an additive subcategory of a triangulated category \mathcal{C} and assume \mathcal{A} satisfies condition E_2 .*

- (i) $(\Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}) \cap (\mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$.
- (ii) If $\mathcal{A} * \Sigma \mathcal{A} \subseteq \Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}$ then $(\Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}) \cap (\mathcal{A} * \Sigma \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. (i): The inclusion \supseteq is clear. To prove \subseteq , let $c \in (\Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}) \cap (\mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{A})$ be given. Since $c \in \mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{A}$, there is a short triangle $a' \rightarrow c \xrightarrow{\gamma} \Sigma^{-1} a''$ with $a', a'' \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $c \in \Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}$, condition E_2 implies $\gamma = 0$ whence c is a direct summand of a' so $c \in \mathcal{A}$ as desired.

(ii): The inclusion \supseteq is clear, and \subseteq can be proved as follows:

$$(\Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}) \cap (\mathcal{A} * \Sigma \mathcal{A}) \subseteq (\mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{A}) \cap (\Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A},$$

where we used $\mathcal{A} * \Sigma \mathcal{A} \subseteq \Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}$, its first negative suspension, and part (i). \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be an additive subcategory of a Krull–Schmidt triangulated category \mathcal{C} and assume \mathcal{A} satisfies condition E_2 . Then $(\mathcal{A} * \Sigma \mathcal{A}) \cap (\Sigma^2 \mathcal{A} * \Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{A}) \subseteq \Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. First observe that condition E_2 and [12, prop. 2.1(1)] imply that $\Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}$ and $\Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{A}$ are additive subcategories of \mathcal{C} .

Let $c \in (\mathcal{A} * \Sigma \mathcal{A}) \cap (\Sigma^2 \mathcal{A} * \Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{A})$ be given. Since $c \in \Sigma^2 \mathcal{A} * \Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{A}$, there is a short triangle $\Sigma^2 a' \xrightarrow{\sigma} c \rightarrow x$ with $a' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in \Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{A}$. Since $c \in \mathcal{A} * \Sigma \mathcal{A}$, condition E_2 implies $\sigma = 0$ whence c is a direct summand of x so $c \in \Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{A}$ since $\Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{A}$ is an additive subcategory.

Hence there is a short triangle $y \rightarrow c \xrightarrow{\gamma} \Sigma^{-1} a''$ with $y \in \Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}$ and $a'' \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $c \in \mathcal{A} * \Sigma \mathcal{A}$, condition E_2 implies $\gamma = 0$ whence c is a direct summand of y so $c \in \Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}$ as desired since $\Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}$ is an additive subcategory. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be an additive subcategory of a Krull–Schmidt triangulated category \mathcal{C} and assume \mathcal{A} satisfies condition E_3 . Then $(\Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{A}) \cap (\mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-2} \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. The inclusion \supseteq is clear. To prove \subseteq , let $c \in (\Sigma\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}) \cap (\mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-2}\mathcal{A})$ be given. Since $c \in \Sigma\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}$, there is a short triangle $\Sigma a \xrightarrow{\sigma} c \rightarrow x$ with $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in \mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}$. Since $c \in \mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-2}\mathcal{A}$, condition E_3 implies $\sigma = 0$. Hence c is a direct summand of x . However, condition E_3 and [12, prop. 2.1(1)] imply that $\mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ is an additive subcategory (actually E_1 suffices), so since x is in $\mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}$, so is c . \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM A

Proof of Theorem A. (i): It is clear that \preceq is reflexive.

To prove that \preceq is transitive, let $\mathcal{B}'' \preceq \mathcal{B}' \preceq \mathcal{B}$ in $[\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{A}]_n$ be given. On the one hand we have

$$\mathcal{B}'' \subseteq \mathcal{B}' * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B}' \subseteq \mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-2}\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-2}\mathcal{B}.$$

On the other hand we have

$$\mathcal{B}'' \subseteq \Sigma\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A} \subseteq \Sigma\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B} \subseteq \Sigma\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B}.$$

The computations use that $\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B}$ and \mathcal{B} are closed under extensions. Lemma 2.4 now shows

$$\mathcal{B}'' \subseteq \mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B}.$$

Similar computations show

$$\mathcal{B} \subseteq \Sigma\mathcal{B}'' * \mathcal{B}'',$$

and the last two equations show $\mathcal{B}'' \preceq \mathcal{B}$ as desired.

To prove that \preceq is antisymmetric, let $\mathcal{B}', \mathcal{B}$ in $[\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{A}]_n$ satisfy $\mathcal{B}' \preceq \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B} \preceq \mathcal{B}'$. Then $\mathcal{B}' \preceq \mathcal{B}$ gives $\mathcal{B}' \subseteq \mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \Sigma\mathcal{B}' * \mathcal{B}'$ while $\mathcal{B} \preceq \mathcal{B}'$ gives $\mathcal{B}' \subseteq \Sigma\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}' * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B}'$. Lemma 2.2(i) now gives $\mathcal{B}' \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}'$ whence $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B}$.

(ii): Assume that \mathcal{A} satisfies condition E_n . Then it is immediate from Definition 0.3 that $\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{A} \in [\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{A}]_n$ and that each $\mathcal{B} \in [\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{A}]_n$ satisfies $\mathcal{A} \preceq \mathcal{B} \preceq \Sigma\mathcal{A}$. \square

4. LEMMAS ON PROPER ABELIAN SUBCATEGORIES

The following lemma was proved in [14, lem. 4.2]. Note that the proof only requires the assumptions made here, not the stronger blanket assumptions of [14].

Lemma 4.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a proper abelian subcategory of a triangulated category. If $a_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} a_0 \rightarrow c$ is a short triangle with $a_1, a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$, then there is a short triangle $\Sigma \text{Ker } \alpha_1 \rightarrow c \rightarrow \text{Coker } \alpha_1$ where $\text{Ker } \alpha_1$ and $\text{Coker } \alpha_1$ are the kernel and cokernel of α_1 in \mathcal{A} .*

Lemma 4.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a proper abelian subcategory of a triangulated category \mathcal{C} and let \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F} be full subcategories of \mathcal{A} closed under isomorphism. Then $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ is a torsion pair in \mathcal{A} if and only if $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{T} * \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. We know by [8, p. 224] that $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ is a torsion pair in \mathcal{A} if and only if $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ and each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ permits a short exact sequence $t \rightarrow a \rightarrow f$ with $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Since \mathcal{A} is a proper abelian subcategory, the latter condition is equivalent to each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ permitting a short triangle $t \rightarrow a \rightarrow f$ with $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}$, and this is equivalent to $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{T} * \mathcal{F}$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be a proper abelian subcategory of a triangulated category \mathcal{C} , let $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be a torsion pair in \mathcal{A} , and consider the full subcategory $\mathcal{B} = \Sigma\mathcal{F} * \mathcal{T}$ of \mathcal{C} .*

- (i) \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{F} are additive, extension closed subcategories of \mathcal{C} .
- (ii) $\mathcal{T} * \Sigma\mathcal{F} \subseteq \Sigma\mathcal{F} * \mathcal{T}$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \Sigma\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}$.
- (iv) \mathcal{B} is extension closed in \mathcal{C} .
- (v) If \mathcal{C} is Krull–Schmidt and \mathcal{A} satisfies condition E_1 , then \mathcal{B} is an additive subcategory of \mathcal{C} .

(vi) If \mathcal{A} satisfies condition E_1 then $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{A} \cap \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{F}$.

(vii) If $n \geq 2$ is an integer or ∞ and \mathcal{A} satisfies condition E_n , then \mathcal{B} satisfies condition E_{n-1} .

Proof. (i) is clear from the definition of proper abelian subcategory and torsion pair.

(ii): See [14, lem. 4.4(i)], the proof of which applies under the assumptions made here.

(iii): Using associativity of $*$ which holds by [1, lem. 1.3.10], we have

$$\mathcal{A} \stackrel{(a)}{=} \mathcal{T} * \mathcal{F} \subseteq \Sigma \mathcal{F} * \mathcal{T} * \mathcal{F} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{B}.$$

Here (a) is by Lemma 4.2. A similar computation proves the second inclusion in (iii).

(iv): Associativity of $*$ again gives

$$\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{B} = \Sigma \mathcal{F} * \mathcal{T} * \Sigma \mathcal{F} * \mathcal{T} \stackrel{(a)}{\subseteq} \Sigma \mathcal{F} * \Sigma \mathcal{F} * \mathcal{T} * \mathcal{T} \stackrel{(b)}{\subseteq} \Sigma \mathcal{F} * \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{B}.$$

Here (a) and (b) are by parts (ii) and (i).

(v): Assume that \mathcal{C} is Krull-Schmidt and that \mathcal{A} satisfies condition E_1 . Then $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{T}) = 0$ since $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, so $\mathcal{B} = \Sigma \mathcal{F} * \mathcal{T}$ is an additive subcategory of \mathcal{C} by [12, prop. 2.1(1)].

(vi): To show $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{T}$, note that \supseteq is clear. For \subseteq , let $b \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ be given. Since $b \in \mathcal{B}$, there is a short triangle $\Sigma f \xrightarrow{\sigma} b \rightarrow t$ with $f \in \mathcal{F}, t \in \mathcal{T}$. Since $b \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $\sigma = 0$ by condition E_1 for \mathcal{A} . Hence b is a direct summand of t whence $b \in \mathcal{T}$. A similar argument proves $\mathcal{A} \cap \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{F}$.

(vii): Assume that \mathcal{A} satisfies condition E_n . To see that $\mathcal{B} = \Sigma \mathcal{F} * \mathcal{T}$ satisfies condition E_{n-1} , it is enough to prove the following.

(a) $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma \mathcal{F}, \Sigma^i \Sigma \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $-n < i < 0$.

(b) $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma \mathcal{F}, \Sigma^i \mathcal{T}) = 0$ for $-n < i < 0$.

(c) $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}, \Sigma^i \Sigma \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $-n < i < 0$.

(d) $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}, \Sigma^i \mathcal{T}) = 0$ for $-n < i < 0$.

Since $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, condition E_n for \mathcal{A} immediately implies parts (a), (b), and (d), and also part (c) for $-n < i < -1$. Part (c) with $i = -1$ states $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$, which is true because $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ is a torsion pair in \mathcal{A} . \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a proper abelian subcategory, \mathcal{B} an additive subcategory of a triangulated category \mathcal{C} . Assume that \mathcal{A} satisfies condition E_3 , that \mathcal{B} satisfies condition E_1 , and that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}$.*

Then $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}) := (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} \cap \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B})$ is a torsion pair in \mathcal{A} .

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 we must prove $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{T} * \mathcal{F}$, and the former follows from condition E_1 for \mathcal{B} .

To prove $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{T} * \mathcal{F}$, let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ be given. Since $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B}$, there is a triangle

$$\Sigma^{-2}b'' \rightarrow b' \rightarrow a \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}b'' \tag{4.1}$$

with $b', b'' \in \mathcal{B}$, so it is enough to show $b' \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\Sigma^{-1}b'' \in \mathcal{F}$, hence enough to show $b', \Sigma^{-1}b'' \in \mathcal{A}$.

To show $b' \in \mathcal{A}$, note that we have $b' \in \mathcal{B} \subseteq \Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}$, so there is a short triangle $\Sigma a' \xrightarrow{\sigma'} b' \rightarrow a''$ with $a', a'' \in \mathcal{A}$. The triangle (4.1) shows $b' \in \Sigma^{-2}\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{A}$, so $b' \in \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A} * \Sigma^{-2}\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}$ because $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}$. Condition E_3 for \mathcal{A} hence implies $\sigma' = 0$ so b' is a direct summand of $a'' \in \mathcal{A}$ whence $b' \in \mathcal{A}$. A similar argument shows $\Sigma^{-1}b'' \in \mathcal{A}$. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM D

Proof of Theorem D. \Rightarrow : Immediate by Lemma 4.1.

\Leftarrow : Assume that $\mathcal{A} * \Sigma\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Sigma\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is an additive subcategory satisfying condition E_2 , we know by [9, theorem] that \mathcal{A} is an exact category with conflations given by all short triangles in \mathcal{C} with terms in \mathcal{A} (actually E_1 suffices). See [14, thm. 2.5] for an alternative proof. By [3, ex. 8.6(i)] it remains to show that each morphism in \mathcal{A} factors into a deflation followed by an inflation.

Let $a_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} a_0$ be a morphism in \mathcal{A} and complete it to a short triangle $a_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} a_0 \rightarrow c$. Then $c \in \mathcal{A} * \Sigma\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Sigma\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}$ so there is a short triangle $\Sigma a' \rightarrow c \rightarrow a''$ with $a', a'' \in \mathcal{A}$. By the octahedral axiom, the short triangles can be combined to the following commutative diagram where each row and column is a short triangle; see [17, prop. 1.4.6].

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 a' & \longrightarrow & a_1 & \xrightarrow{q} & x \\
 \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow i \\
 \Sigma^{-1}c & \longrightarrow & a_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & a_0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \Sigma^{-1}a'' & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & a''
 \end{array}$$

The third column and first row show that $x \in (\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}) \cap (\mathcal{A} * \Sigma\mathcal{A})$ whence $x \in \mathcal{A}$ by Lemma 2.2(ii). Hence the third column and first row are conflations, so q is a deflation, i an inflation whence α_1 has been factored as desired. \square

6. PROOFS OF THEOREMS B AND C

Proof of Theorem B. The values of Ψ are in $\text{TorsPair}(\mathcal{A})$ by Lemma 4.4.

The values of Φ are in $[\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{A}]_{n-1}$: We must show that $\mathcal{B} = \Phi(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}) = \Sigma\mathcal{F} * \mathcal{T}$ is a proper abelian subcategory of \mathcal{C} satisfying E_{n-1} such that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \Sigma\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}$. All the latter conditions hold by Lemma 4.3, parts (iii) and (vii), so we must show that $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is a proper abelian subcategory. Lemma 4.3, parts (iv), (v), and (vii) give that \mathcal{B} is an additive, extension closed subcategory of \mathcal{C} which satisfies condition E_2 . By Theorem D it remains to show $\mathcal{B} * \Sigma\mathcal{B} \subseteq \Sigma\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{B}$.

We have the following string of inclusions.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{B} * \Sigma\mathcal{B} &\stackrel{(a)}{\subseteq} \Sigma\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A} * \Sigma^2\mathcal{A} * \Sigma\mathcal{A} \\
 &\stackrel{(b)}{\subseteq} \Sigma\mathcal{A} * \Sigma^2\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A} * \Sigma\mathcal{A} \\
 &\stackrel{(c)}{\subseteq} \Sigma^2\mathcal{A} * \Sigma\mathcal{A} * \Sigma\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A} \\
 &\stackrel{(d)}{\subseteq} \Sigma^2\mathcal{A} * \Sigma\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A} \\
 &\stackrel{(e)}{\subseteq} \Sigma^2\mathcal{B} * \Sigma\mathcal{B} * \Sigma\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B} \\
 &\stackrel{(f)}{\subseteq} \Sigma^2\mathcal{B} * \Sigma\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

- (a) is by Lemma 4.3(iii),
- (b) is by Lemma 2.1,
- (c) is by two applications of Theorem D,
- (d) is because \mathcal{A} is extension closed,

(e) is by Lemma 4.3(iii),

(f) is because \mathcal{B} is extension closed by Lemma 4.3(iv).

This shows that given $c \in \mathcal{B} * \Sigma \mathcal{B}$, we have $c \in (\mathcal{B} * \Sigma \mathcal{B}) \cap (\Sigma^2 \mathcal{B} * \Sigma \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{B})$. Hence $c \in \Sigma \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{B}$ by Lemma 2.3.

$\Psi\Phi = \text{id}$: This holds by Lemma 4.3(vi).

$\Phi\Psi = \text{id}$: Let $\mathcal{B} \in [\mathcal{A}, \Sigma \mathcal{A}]_{n-1}$ be given. Applying Lemma 4.4 with \mathcal{B} and $\Sigma \mathcal{A}$ in place of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} shows that $(\mathcal{B} \cap \Sigma \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{A})$ is a torsion pair in \mathcal{B} . Hence

$$\Phi\Psi(\mathcal{B}) = \Phi(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} \cap \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{B}) = \Sigma(\mathcal{A} \cap \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{B}) * (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}) = (\mathcal{B} \cap \Sigma \mathcal{A}) * (\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{B}.$$

Ψ preserves the partial orders \sqsubseteq and \preccurlyeq : Suppose that $\mathcal{B}' \preccurlyeq \mathcal{B}$ in $[\mathcal{A}, \Sigma \mathcal{A}]_{n-1}$. Let $\Sigma^{-1} b' \in \mathcal{A} \cap \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{B}'$ be given. Since $\mathcal{B} \in [\mathcal{A}, \Sigma \mathcal{A}]_{n-1}$ we have $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{B}$ so there is a short triangle $b_0 \xrightarrow{\beta} \Sigma^{-1} b' \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1} b_1$ with $b_i \in \mathcal{B}$. Here $\beta = 0$ by condition E_2 for \mathcal{B} since $\mathcal{B}' \preccurlyeq \mathcal{B}$ implies $\mathcal{B}' \subseteq \mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{B}$. Hence $\Sigma^{-1} b'$ is a direct summand of $\Sigma^{-1} b_1$ whence $\Sigma^{-1} b' \in \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{B}$. This shows $\Sigma^{-1} b' \in \mathcal{A} \cap \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{B}$, so we have shown $\mathcal{A} \cap \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{B}' \subseteq \mathcal{A} \cap \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{B}$, that is, $\Psi(\mathcal{B}') \sqsubseteq \Psi(\mathcal{B})$.

Φ preserves the partial orders \sqsubseteq and \preccurlyeq : Suppose that $(\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{F}') \sqsubseteq (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ in $\text{TorsPair}(\mathcal{A})$, that is, $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ whence $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}'$. Using Lemma 4.2 shows that $\mathcal{B}' = \Phi(\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{F}')$ and $\mathcal{B} = \Phi(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ satisfy

$$\mathcal{B}' = \Sigma \mathcal{F}' * \mathcal{T}' \subseteq \Sigma \mathcal{F} * \mathcal{A} = \Sigma \mathcal{F} * \mathcal{T} * \mathcal{F} \subseteq \Sigma \mathcal{F} * \mathcal{T} * \mathcal{F} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{B} * \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{B},$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \Sigma \mathcal{F} * \mathcal{T} \subseteq \Sigma \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{T}' = \Sigma \mathcal{T}' * \Sigma \mathcal{F}' * \mathcal{T}' \subseteq \Sigma^2 \mathcal{F}' * \Sigma \mathcal{T}' * \Sigma \mathcal{F}' * \mathcal{T}' = \Sigma \mathcal{B}' * \mathcal{B}',$$

that is, $\mathcal{B}' \preccurlyeq \mathcal{B}$. □

Proof of Theorem C. The inclusion $[\mathcal{A}, \Sigma \mathcal{A}]_n \subseteq [\mathcal{A}, \Sigma \mathcal{A}]_{n-1}$ is immediate from the definitions of the interval $[\mathcal{A}, \Sigma \mathcal{A}]_n$ and condition E_n , so we must show $[\mathcal{A}, \Sigma \mathcal{A}]_n \supseteq [\mathcal{A}, \Sigma \mathcal{A}]_{n-1}$ if (i) or (ii) holds. This is clear if (i) holds so assume (ii) and let $\mathcal{B} \in [\mathcal{A}, \Sigma \mathcal{A}]_{n-1}$ be given. Then \mathcal{B} satisfies condition E_{n-1} so to show $\mathcal{B} \in [\mathcal{A}, \Sigma \mathcal{A}]_n$ it is sufficient to show $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}, \Sigma^{-n} \mathcal{B}) = 0$. However, by Theorem B we know $\mathcal{B} = \Sigma \mathcal{F} * \mathcal{T}$ for a torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ in \mathcal{A} , so it is enough to prove the following.

$$(a) \quad \mathcal{C}(\Sigma \mathcal{F}, \Sigma^{-n} \Sigma \mathcal{F}) = 0.$$

$$(b) \quad \mathcal{C}(\Sigma \mathcal{F}, \Sigma^{-n} \mathcal{T}) = 0.$$

$$(c) \quad \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}, \Sigma^{-n} \Sigma \mathcal{F}) = 0.$$

$$(d) \quad \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}, \Sigma^{-n} \mathcal{T}) = 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ while $n \geq 4$, condition E_n for \mathcal{A} immediately implies parts (a), (c), and (d). For part (b) we have

$$\mathcal{C}(\Sigma \mathcal{F}, \Sigma^{-n} \mathcal{T}) \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma^{-n-1} \mathcal{T}) \stackrel{(e)}{\cong} \text{D} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}) \stackrel{(f)}{=} 0$$

where (e) is because \mathcal{C} is $(-n-1)$ -Calabi–Yau, (f) is because $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ is a torsion pair, and D denotes k -linear duality. □

Remark 6.1. In the situation of Theorem B, it follows from Lemma 4.2 that $(\Sigma \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{T})$ is a torsion pair in \mathcal{B} since $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{T}) = 0$ because \mathcal{A} satisfies condition E_4 , hence condition E_1 .

Acknowledgement. We thank David Pauksztello for answering several questions.

This work was supported by a DNRF Chair from the Danish National Research Foundation (grant DNRF156), by a Research Project 2 from the Independent Research Fund Denmark (grant 1026-00050B), and by the Aarhus University Research Foundation (grant AUFF-F-2020-7-16).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, and P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque **100** (1982) (proceedings of the conference “Analysis and topology on singular spaces”, Vol. 1, Luminy, 1981).
- [2] A. Beligiannis and I. Reiten, “Homological and homotopical aspects of torsion theories”, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 188, 2007.
- [3] T. Bühler, *Exact categories*, Expo. Math. **28** (2010), 1–69.
- [4] R. Coelho Simões, *Hom-configurations and noncrossing partitions*, J. Algebraic Combin. **35** (2012), 313–343.
- [5] R. Coelho Simões, *Mutations of simple-minded systems in Calabi–Yau categories generated by a spherical object*, Forum Math. **29** (2017), 1065–1081.
- [6] R. Coelho Simões and D. Pauksztello, *Simple-minded systems and reduction for negative Calabi–Yau triangulated categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **373** (2020), 2463–2498.
- [7] R. Coelho Simões, D. Pauksztello, and D. Ploog, Functorially finite hearts, simple-minded systems in negative cluster categories, and noncrossing partitions, preprint (2020). [arXiv:2004.00604v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.00604)
- [8] S. E. Dickson, *A torsion theory for abelian categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **121** (1966), 223–235.
- [9] M. Dyer, Exact subcategories of triangulated categories, preprint. <https://www3.nd.edu/~dyer/papers/extri.pdf>
- [10] D. Happel, I. Reiten, and S. Smalø, “Tilting in abelian categories and quasitilted algebras”, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1996), no. 575.
- [11] O. Iyama and H. Jin, Positive Fuss–Catalan numbers and simple-minded systems in negative Calabi–Yau categories, preprint (2020). [arXiv:2002.09952v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.09952)
- [12] O. Iyama and Y. Yoshino, *Mutation in triangulated categories and rigid Cohen–Macaulay modules*, Invent. Math. **172** (2008), 117–168.
- [13] H. Jin, Reductions of triangulated categories and simple-minded collections, preprint (2019). [arXiv:1907.05114v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.05114)
- [14] P. Jørgensen, Abelian subcategories of triangulated categories induced by simple minded systems, preprint (2020). [arXiv:2010.11799v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.11799)
- [15] C. Klapproth, n -exact categories arising from $(n + 2)$ -angulated categories, preprint (2021). [arXiv:2108.04596v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.04596)
- [16] M. Linckelmann, On abelian subcategories of triangulated categories, preprint (2021). [arXiv:2008.03199v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.03199)
- [17] A. Neeman, “Triangulated categories”, Ann. of Math. Stud., Vol. 148, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2001.
- [18] J. Woolf, *Stability conditions, torsion theories and tilting*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **82** (2010), 663–682.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AARHUS UNIVERSITY, NY MUNKEGADE 118, 8000 AARHUS C, DENMARK

Email address: peter.jorgensen@math.au.dk

URL: <https://sites.google.com/view/peterjorgensen>