

# ASYMPTOTIC ESTIMATES OF HOLOMORPHIC SECTIONS ON BOHR-SOMMERFELD LAGRANGIAN SUBMANIFOLDS

YUSAKU TIBA

ABSTRACT. Let  $M$  be a complex manifold and  $L$  be a line bundle over  $M$  with a Hermitian metric  $h$  whose Chern form is a Kähler form  $\omega$ . Let  $X \subset M$  be a Lagrangian submanifold of  $(M, \omega)$ . When  $X$  satisfies the Bohr-Sommerfeld condition, we give an asymptotic estimate of the norm  $|f|_{h^k}$  on  $X$  for  $f \in H^0(M, L^k)$ .

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 32A25, 53D12

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper  $M$  will be a complex manifold of dimension  $n$  with a complex structure  $J \in \text{End}(TM)$  and  $L$  will be a positive line bundle over  $M$  with a Hermitian metric  $h$  such that the Chern form  $\omega = c_1(L, h)$  associated to  $h$  is a Kähler form. We denote by  $\nabla$  the Chern connection of  $(L, h)$ . We consider the  $k$ -th tensor power  $L^k$  of  $L$ . Let  $f, g$  be sections of  $L^k$ . We denote by  $\langle f, g \rangle_{h^k}$  the pointwise scalar product and define the integral product  $(f, g)_{h^k} = \int_M \langle f, g \rangle_{h^k} \omega_n$  where  $\omega_n = \omega^n/n!$ . We write  $|f|_{h^k}^2 = \langle f, f \rangle_{h^k}$  and  $\|f\|_{h^k}^2 = (f, f)_{h^k}$ . Let  $L^2(M, L^k)$  be the Hilbert space of square integrable sections of  $L^k$ . We define  $H_{(2)}^0(M, L^k) = H^0(M, L^k) \cap L^2(M, L^k)$ . The Bergman kernel  $K_k(x, y)$  of  $H_{(2)}^0(M, L^k)$  is the reproducing kernel for the Hilbert space  $H_{(2)}^0(M, L^k)$ , that is,  $f(x) = (f(\cdot), K(x, \cdot))_{h^k}$  for any  $f \in H_{(2)}^0(M, L^k)$  and  $x \in M$ . It is a well known property that the Bergman kernel function  $B_k(x) = |K_k(x, x)|_{h^k}$  is characterized by

$$B_k(x) = \sup_{f \in H_{(2)}^0(M, L^k), f \neq 0} \frac{|f(x)|_{h^k}^2}{\|f\|_{h^k}^2}.$$

The asymptotic behavior, as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  of the Bergman kernel function is studied in detail, and the asymptotic series expansion formula of  $B_k(x)$  is proved when  $M$  is projective (see [16], [4], [20]). Berndtsson [3] gave a simple proof for the leading order term

$$(1) \quad B_k(x) \sim k^n \quad (k \rightarrow +\infty).$$

In this paper, we estimate holomorphic sections in  $H_{(2)}^0(M, L^k)$  on a Bohr-Sommerfeld Lagrangian submanifold and provide an analogue result of (1).

Let  $X \subset M$  be a Lagrangian submanifold of  $(M, \omega)$ , that is,  $X$  is a real  $n$ -dimensional submanifold of  $M$  such that  $\iota^*\omega = 0$ . Here  $\iota : X \rightarrow M$  is the inclusion map. Let  $\nabla^X$  be the connection induced by  $\nabla$  on  $\iota^*L$ . Then  $(\iota^*L, \nabla^X)$  is flat since  $\iota^*\omega = 0$ . We say that  $(X, \nabla^X)$  satisfies the Bohr-Sommerfeld condition if there exists a non-vanishing smooth section  $\zeta \in C^\infty(X, \iota^*L)$  satisfying  $\nabla^X \zeta = 0$  (cf. [14], [17]). Hence  $(X, \nabla^X)$  satisfies the Bohr-Sommerfeld condition if and only if the holonomy of  $(\iota^*L, \nabla^X)$  is trivial. If we take  $\zeta$  as  $|\zeta|_h = 1$  on  $X$ , we call the data of  $(X, \zeta)$  the Bohr-Sommerfeld Lagrangian

submanifold. We define  $\text{Vol}(X, \omega)$  by the integral of the Riemannian density induced by  $\omega$  on  $X$ . Our first result is the following asymptotic estimate.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X \subset M$  be a Lagrangian submanifold of  $(M, \omega)$ . Assume that  $(X, \nabla^X)$  satisfies the Bohr-Sommerfeld condition. Then*

$$(2) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{\text{Vol}(X, \omega)}{(2k)^{n/2}} \sup_{f \in H_{(2)}^0(M, L^k), f \neq 0} \frac{\inf_{x \in X} |f(x)|_{h^k}^2}{\|f\|_{h^k}^2} \right) \leq 1.$$

We do not assume  $M$  is projective nor Stein in Theorem 1. The next result shows that (2) is an optimal estimate in some cases. Let  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N\}$  be a finite sequence of points in  $M \setminus X$  (possibly empty). We denote by  $H_{(2),A}^0(M, L^k)$  the Hilbert space of holomorphic sections  $f \in H_{(2)}^0(M, L^k)$  which has a zero at each point  $a_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, N$ ). Here, if  $a \in M$  occurs  $l$  times in  $A$ , then  $f$  vanishes to order  $l - 1$  at  $a$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $X \subset M$  be a Lagrangian submanifold of  $(M, \omega)$ . Assume that  $(X, \nabla^X)$  satisfies the Bohr-Sommerfeld condition. Let  $A$  be a finite sequence of points in  $M \setminus X$ . We assume one of the following three conditions:*

- (i)  $M$  is a projective manifold.
- (ii)  $M$  is a Stein manifold and the Ricci form  $\text{Ric}(\omega)$  of  $\omega$  satisfies  $\text{Ric}(\omega) \geq -C\omega$  on  $M$  for some  $C > 0$ .
- (iii)  $M$  is a pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Then

$$(3) \quad \sup_{f \in H_{(2),A}^0(M, L^k), f \neq 0} \frac{\inf_{x \in X} |f(x)|_{h^k}^2}{\|f\|_{h^k}^2} \sim \frac{(2k)^{n/2}}{\text{Vol}(X, \omega)} \quad (k \rightarrow +\infty).$$

One of our motivations for Theorem 2 is to study a quantitative version of the theorem due to [8] and [10].

**Theorem 3.** (Theorem 2.8 of [10]) *Assume that  $M$  is a projective manifold. Let  $X \subset M$  be a totally real submanifold and  $\iota : X \rightarrow M$  be the inclusion map. The following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $X$  is rationally convex.
- (b) There exists a smooth Hodge form  $\theta$  for  $M$  such that  $\iota^*\theta = 0$ .

Here the condition (a) means that  $M \setminus X$  is equal to a union of positive divisors of  $M$ . Theorem 3 was first proved by [8] in the case of  $M = \mathbb{C}^n$ .

It would be an interesting problem whether the left hand side of (3) has the asymptotic series expansion. Bohr-Sommerfeld Lagrangian submanifolds and related asymptotic series expansion formulas are studied in symplectic settings (cf. [14]).

In the proof of Theorem 1, we use Demailly's Jensen-Lelong formula (cf. Chapter III of [7]) with a potential function which satisfies the complex Monge-Ampère equation outside  $X$ . There exists such a potential function if  $X$  is a real-analytic manifold ([11]). We note that similar computations appear in [2]. In Section 3, we reduce our situation to the real-analytic case.

We introduce some notation. We use the notation  $f \lesssim g$  to mean that  $|f| \leq c|g|$  for some positive number  $c$  which does not depend on  $k$ . We write  $f_k = O(k^{-\infty})$  if  $f_k \lesssim k^{-m}$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . When  $f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  is a function on an open set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , we

write  $|\partial_z f| = (|\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}|^2 + \dots + |\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}|^2)^{1/2}$ ,  $|\bar{\partial}_z f| = (|\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_1}|^2 + \dots + |\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_n}|^2)^{1/2}$ . When  $\sigma$  is an  $L^k$ -valued form, we denote by  $|\sigma|_{h^k, \omega}$  (resp.  $\|\sigma\|_{h^k, \omega}$ ) the pointwise (resp. integral) norm of  $\sigma$  induced by  $(h^k, \omega)$ .

*Acknowledgment.* The author would like to express his gratitude to referees who informed him that our proof can be simplified by the complex microlocal analysis at least in the case of projective manifolds. Their suggestions lead the author to the study of non-projective cases. This work was supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI No. 21K03266).

## 2. BOHR-SOMMERFELD CONDITION

Let  $X \subset M$  be a Lagrangian submanifold of  $(M, \omega)$  and  $\iota : X \rightarrow M$  be the inclusion map. We take a sufficiently small Stein tubular neighborhood  $U \subset M$  of  $X$ . Since the de Rham cohomology class  $[c_1(L, h)]$  vanishes on  $U$ , there exists  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $L|_U^l$  is a trivial holomorphic line bundle (cf. [10]). We introduce a basic property of the Bohr-Sommerfeld condition.

**Proposition 1.** *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a)  $(X, \nabla^X)$  satisfies the Bohr-Sommerfeld condition.
- (b) There exists a non-vanishing smooth section  $s \in C^\infty(U, L)$  which satisfies  $\nabla s = 0$  on  $X$ .
- (c) For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a non-vanishing smooth section  $s \in C^\infty(U, L)$  such that  $\log |s|_h^2 = 0$  to order one on  $X$  and  $\nabla'' s = 0$  to order  $m$  on  $X$ .
- (d) There exists a non-vanishing holomorphic section  $s_0 \in H^0(U, L)$  which satisfies  $\int_\gamma d^c \log |s_0|_h^2 \in 4\pi\mathbb{Z}$  for any  $\gamma \in H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Here  $\nabla''$  is the  $(0, 1)$ -part of  $\nabla$  and  $d^c$  is defined by  $d^c f(v) = -df(Jv)$  for  $v \in TM$ .

*Proof.* Assume (a). There exists  $\zeta \in C^\infty(X, \iota^* L)$  such that  $\nabla^X \zeta = 0$  and  $|\zeta|_h = 1$  on  $X$ . This implies that  $L|_U$  is a trivial smooth line bundle, and it is holomorphically trivial by the Oka principle. We take a non-vanishing holomorphic section  $s_0 \in H^0(U, L|_U)$  and put  $\varphi_0 = -\log |s_0|_h^2$ . For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , a smooth function  $\zeta/s_0$  on  $X$  can be extended to a function  $\xi \in C^\infty(U)$  with  $\bar{\partial}\xi = 0$  to order  $m$  on  $X$  by the Hörmander-Werner Lemma (cf. [13], Proposition 5.55 of [5]). By taking  $U$  sufficiently small, we may assume  $\xi \neq 0$ . Put  $s = \xi s_0$ . For  $p \in X$ , we have

$$(4) \quad \nabla s(p) = (d\xi - \xi d\varphi_0)s_0(p) = (\partial \log(|\xi|^2 e^{-\varphi_0}))s(p) = (\partial \log |s|_h^2)s(p).$$

Since  $|s|_h(p) = |\zeta|_h(p) = 1$  and  $\nabla_v s = \nabla_v^X \zeta = 0$  for any  $v \in TX$ , we have

$$\log |s|_h^2(p) = 0, \quad \langle \partial \log |s|_h^2, v \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle d \log |s|_h^2, v \rangle + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \langle d^c \log |s|_h^2, v \rangle = 0.$$

This shows (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c).

On the other hand, if  $s \in C^\infty(U, L)$  satisfies the condition of (c) for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , it follows that  $\nabla s = 0$  on  $X$  by (4) and  $(X, \nabla^X)$  satisfies the Bohr-Sommerfeld condition. Hence (a), (b) and (c) are equivalent.

Now we prove (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (d). We take  $\varphi_0, \xi \in C^\infty(U)$  as above. Put  $\tau = \log \xi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}$ . We have  $d\varphi_0(p) = 2d \operatorname{Re} \tau(p)$  for any  $p \in X$  since  $d \log |s|_h^2(p) = 0$ . This

implies  $d^c\varphi_0(p) = 2d^c \operatorname{Re} \tau(p) = 2d \operatorname{Im} \tau(p)$  by the Cauchy-Riemann equation. Let  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  be a smooth closed curve. Then

$$\int_{\gamma} d^c\varphi_0 = 2 \int_{\gamma} d \operatorname{Im} \tau = 2 \operatorname{Im} \tau(\gamma(1)) - 2 \operatorname{Im} \tau(\gamma(0)) \in 4\pi\mathbb{Z}$$

and (d) holds.

Conversely, we assume (d). Put  $\varphi_0 = -\log |s_0|_h^2$  and define smooth function  $g$  on  $X$  by  $g = \exp(\frac{1}{2}\varphi_0 + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \int d^c\varphi_0)$ . Using Hörmander-Wermer Lemma, we extend  $g$  to a function  $\tilde{g} \in C^\infty(U)$  with  $\bar{\partial}\tilde{g} = 0$  to order  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  on  $X$ . Put  $\tilde{g} = e^G$  locally. On  $TX$ , we have

$$d(2\operatorname{Re} G - \varphi_0) = d(\varphi_0 - \varphi_0) = 0,$$

$$d^c(2\operatorname{Re} G - \varphi_0) = 2d \operatorname{Im} G - d^c\varphi_0 = d \int d^c\varphi_0 - d^c\varphi_0 = 0.$$

This shows that  $s = \tilde{g}s_0 \in C^\infty(U, L)$  satisfies the condition of (c).  $\square$

Assume there exists a non-vanishing holomorphic section  $s_{l,0} \in H^0(U, L')$ . Put  $\varphi_0 = -\frac{1}{l} \log |s_{l,0}|_h^2$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $\varphi'_0 \in C^\infty(U)$  and  $l' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\varphi_0 - \varphi'_0|_{C^q} < \varepsilon$  on  $U$ ,  $\varphi_0 = \varphi'_0$  on  $X$ ,  $\iota^* dd^c \varphi'_0 = 0$  and that  $l' \int_{\gamma} d^c \varphi'_0 \in 4\pi\mathbb{Z}$  for any  $\gamma \in H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$  (see the proof of Lemma 3.2 of [8]). Hence we can approximate  $h$  by a Hermitian metric  $h'$  such that the Chern connection associated to  $(l'L, l'h')$  induces the connection which satisfies the Bohr-Sommerfeld condition on  $X$ .

### 3. REDUCTION TO THE REAL-ANALYTIC CASE

Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of dimension  $n$ . Let  $X \subset M$  be a Lagrangian submanifold of  $(M, \omega)$  such that  $(X, \nabla^X)$  satisfies the Bohr-Sommerfeld condition. Let  $U \subset M$  be a sufficiently small Stein tubular neighborhood of  $X$ . We take a non-vanishing section  $s_0 \in H^0(U, L)$  and put  $\varphi_0 = -\log |s_0|_h^2$ . Take  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  to be sufficiently large and take  $s \in C^\infty(U, L)$  which satisfies the condition of (c) in Proposition 1, that is, one-jet of  $\log |s|_h^2$  vanishes on  $X$  and  $\nabla'' s = 0$  to order  $m$  on  $X$ . We write  $s = \xi s_0$  for  $\xi \in C^\infty(U)$ . Put  $\varphi = -\log |s|_h^2$ . Let  $f_k \in H^0_{(2)}(M, L^k)$  which satisfies  $\|f_k\|_{h^k} = 1$  and let  $u_k \in C^\infty(U)$  such that  $f_k = u_k s^k$  on  $U$ . By Whitney's theorem ([19]), there exists a real-analytic manifold  $Y$  which is diffeomorphic to  $X$ . Let  $N$  be a Stein complexification of  $Y$  (see [9]). By shrinking  $N$  and  $U$  if necessary, there exists a diffeomorphism  $\Phi : N \rightarrow U$  such that  $\Phi(Y) = X$  and that the  $m$ -jet of  $\bar{\partial}\Phi$  vanishes on  $Y$  (cf. Proposition 5.55 of [5]). Put  $\frac{1}{4\pi} dd^c(\varphi \circ \Phi) = \omega'$  and  $\omega'_n = (\omega')^n/n!$ . We have  $\omega' = \frac{1}{4\pi} \Phi^* dd^c \varphi = \Phi^* \omega$  on  $Y$  and  $Y$  is a Lagrangian submanifolds of  $(N, \omega')$ . We note that  $\varphi \circ \Phi$  is a strictly plurisubharmonic function near  $Y$ . Let  $d_Y(z)$  be the distance from  $z \in N$  to  $Y$  with respect to the Riemannian metric induced by  $\omega'$ . Put  $W_k = \{z \in N \mid d_Y(z) < \frac{2 \log k}{\sqrt{k}}\}$ .

**Lemma 1.**

$$\int_{W_k} |\bar{\partial}(u_k \circ \Phi)|_{\omega'}^2 e^{-k\varphi \circ \Phi} \omega'_n = O(k^{-m+4}).$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is sufficiently large number. For simplicity we abuse notation and write  $|\cdot|$  for the norm of a form. Because  $\bar{\partial}(u_k \xi^k) = 0$ , we have  $\bar{\partial}u_k = -ku_k \xi^{-1} \bar{\partial}\xi$ . Then

$$|\bar{\partial}(u_k \circ \Phi)|^2 \lesssim |\partial u_k|^2 |\bar{\partial}\Phi|^2 + |\bar{\partial}u_k|^2 |\bar{\partial}\Phi|^2 \lesssim |\partial u_k|^2 |\bar{\partial}\Phi|^2 + k^2 |u_k \bar{\partial}\xi|^2 |\partial\Phi|^2.$$

It follows that

$$k^2 \int_{W_k} |u_k \bar{\partial} \xi|^2 |\partial \Phi|^2 e^{-k\varphi \circ \Phi} \omega'_n \lesssim k^2 \int_{\Phi(W_k)} |u_k|^2 |\bar{\partial} \xi|^2 e^{-k\varphi} \omega_n = O\left(\frac{(\log k)^{2m}}{k^{m-2}}\right).$$

Let  $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  be a function such that  $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ ,  $\chi = 1$  on  $(-\infty, 1/2]$  and that  $\chi = 0$  on  $[1, +\infty)$ . Put  $\tilde{\chi}_k = \chi(\frac{\sqrt{k}d_Y}{4\log k})$ . Then

$$\int_{W_k} |\partial u_k|^2 |\bar{\partial} \Phi|^2 e^{-k\varphi \circ \Phi} \omega'_n \leq \int \tilde{\chi}_k^2 |\partial u_k|^2 |\bar{\partial} \Phi|^2 e^{-k\varphi \circ \Phi} \omega'_n.$$

Denote by  $I_k$  the right hand side of the above inequality. We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_k &\lesssim \int \tilde{\chi}_k^2 |\bar{\partial} \Phi|^2 e^{-k\varphi} \partial u_k \wedge \overline{\partial u_k} \wedge \omega^{n-1} \\ &\lesssim \int \tilde{\chi}_k^2 |\bar{\partial} \Phi|^2 e^{-k\varphi} |u_k| |\partial \bar{\partial} u_k| \omega_n + k \int \tilde{\chi}_k^2 |\bar{\partial} \Phi|^2 e^{-k\varphi} |u_k| |\partial u_k| \omega_n \\ &\quad + \int |\partial ((\tilde{\chi}_k^2 |\bar{\partial} \Phi|^2) \circ \Phi^{-1})| e^{-k\varphi} |u_k| |\partial u_k| \omega_n \\ &\lesssim k \left( \int \tilde{\chi}_k^2 |\bar{\partial} \Phi|^2 e^{-k\varphi} |u_k|^2 \omega_n + \int \tilde{\chi}_k^2 |\bar{\partial} \Phi|^2 e^{-k\varphi} |u_k| |\partial u_k| \omega_n \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int \tilde{\chi}_k |\bar{\partial} \Phi|^2 e^{-k\varphi} |u_k| |\partial u_k| \omega_n \right) + \int \tilde{\chi}_k^2 |\bar{\partial} \Phi| |D \bar{\partial} \Phi| e^{-k\varphi} |u_k| |\partial u_k| \omega_n \end{aligned}$$

where  $D$  is a differential operator of order one. We have

$$k \int \tilde{\chi}_k^2 |\bar{\partial} \Phi|^2 e^{-k\varphi} |u_k|^2 \omega_n = O\left(\frac{(\log k)^{2m}}{k^{m-1}}\right).$$

Put  $W'_k = \{w \in N \mid d_Y(w) < \frac{4\log k}{\sqrt{k}}\}$ . The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} k \int \tilde{\chi}_k^2 |\bar{\partial} \Phi|^2 e^{-k\varphi} |u_k| |\partial u_k| \omega_n &\leq k \int \tilde{\chi}_k |\bar{\partial} \Phi|^2 e^{-k\varphi} |u_k| |\partial u_k| \omega_n \\ &\leq k \left( \int_{\Phi(W'_k)} |\bar{\partial} \Phi|^2 |u_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} \omega_n \right)^{1/2} \left( \int \tilde{\chi}_k^2 |\partial u_k|^2 |\bar{\partial} \Phi|^2 e^{-k\varphi} \omega_n \right)^{1/2} \lesssim \frac{(\log k)^m}{k^{m/2-1}} I_k^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int \tilde{\chi}_k^2 |\bar{\partial} \Phi| |D \bar{\partial} \Phi| |u_k| |\partial u_k| e^{-k\varphi} \omega_n &\leq \left( \int \tilde{\chi}_k^2 |D \bar{\partial} \Phi|^2 |u_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} \omega_n \right)^{1/2} \left( \int \tilde{\chi}_k^2 |\bar{\partial} \Phi|^2 |\partial u_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} \omega_n \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{(\log k)^{m-1}}{k^{m/2-1/2}} I_k^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists  $c > 0$  which does not depend on  $k$  and

$$I_k \leq c \left( \frac{1}{k^{m-2}} + \frac{1}{k^{m/2-2}} I_k^{1/2} \right) \leq c \left( \frac{1}{k^{m-2}} + \frac{2c}{k^{m-4}} + \frac{1}{2c} I_k \right).$$

We have  $I_k = O(k^{-m+4})$  and we complete the proof.  $\square$

For sufficiently large  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $W_k$  is a Stein manifold. By regarding  $u_k \circ \Phi$  as a  $\bigwedge^n T^{(1,0)} N|_{W_k}$ -valued  $(n, 0)$ -form, there exists  $v_k \in C^\infty(W_k)$  such that  $\bar{\partial} v_k = \bar{\partial}(u_k \circ \Phi)$

and that  $\int_{W_k} |v_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi \circ \Phi} \omega'_n = O(k^{-m+4})$  (cf. [7]). Let  $\beta_k = u_k \circ \Phi - v_k$ . Then  $\beta_k$  is a holomorphic function on  $W_k$ . If  $m > 4$ , we have

$$(5) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{W_k} |\beta_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi \circ \Phi} \omega'_n \leq 1.$$

Our next task is to estimate  $|v_k|$  on  $Y$ . We first estimate  $u_k$  near  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $r > 0$  be a sufficiently small number. Let  $c > 0$  be a positive number which is larger than the  $C^1$ -norm of  $\varphi_0$  on a neighborhood of  $X$ . Let  $D$  be a differential operator of order one with bounded coefficients. Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  which does not depend on  $r$  and the following estimates hold:*

- (i)  $\sup_{\{z \in M, d_X(z) < \delta r\}} |u_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} \lesssim e^{ckr} / r^{2n},$
- (ii)  $\sup_{\{z \in M, d_X(z) < \delta r\}} |Du_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} \lesssim e^{4ckr} / r^{2+2n} + k^2 e^{ckr} / r^{2n}.$

*Proof.* We take open sets  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^l, \{V'_i\}_{i=1}^l$  ( $V_i \subset \subset V'_i$ ) in  $M$  such that  $X \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^l V_i$  and that there exists a holomorphic coordinate on  $V'_i$ . Let  $B_i(p, r) \subset V'_i$  be the Euclidean ball of center  $p \in V'_i$  and radius  $r$  in  $V'_i$ . We may assume that  $B_i(p, 2r) \subset V'_i$  for any  $p \in X \cap V_i$  by taking  $r$  sufficiently small. Let  $p \in X \cap V_i$ . Since  $u_k \xi^k$  is a holomorphic function, the mean value inequality implies

$$\sup_{B_i(p, r)} |u_k \xi^k|^2 e^{-k\varphi_0} \lesssim \frac{e^{ckr}}{r^{2n}} \int_{B_i(p, 2r)} |u_k \xi^k|^2 e^{-k\varphi_0} d\mu_{i, \text{Leb}} \lesssim \frac{e^{ckr}}{r^{2n}}$$

where  $d\mu_{i, \text{Leb}}$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $V'_i$ . Since  $\sup_{B_i(p, r)} |D(u_k \xi^k)| \lesssim \frac{1}{r} \sup_{B_i(p, 2r)} |u_k \xi^k|$ , we have

$$\sup_{B_i(p, r)} |D(u_k \xi^k)|^2 e^{-k\varphi_0} \lesssim \frac{e^{2ckr}}{r^2} \sup_{B_i(p, 2r)} |u_k \xi^k|^2 e^{-k\varphi_0}.$$

Hence

$$\sup_{B_i(p, r)} |Du_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} \lesssim \frac{e^{2ckr}}{r^2} \sup_{B_i(p, 2r)} |u_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} + k^2 \sup_{B_i(p, r)} |u_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} \lesssim \frac{e^{4ckr}}{r^{2+2n}} + \frac{k^2 e^{ckr}}{r^{2n}}.$$

If we take  $\delta$  sufficiently small,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^l \bigcup_{p \in X \cap V_i} B_i(p, r)$  contains  $\{z \in M \mid d_X(z) < \delta r\}$  for any sufficiently small  $r$ . This completes the proof of (i), (ii).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *We have*

$$|v_k|^2 = O(k^{2n-2m}) + O(k^{2n-m+4})$$

*uniformly on  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $q \in Y$  and  $W_q \subset N$  be a sufficiently small neighborhood of  $q$  and  $(w_1, \dots, w_n)$  be a holomorphic coordinate on  $W_q$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be as in Lemma 2. Then there exists  $\delta' > 0$  which does not depend on  $q \in Y$  such that  $\Phi(B(q, \delta' r)) \subset \{z \in M \mid d_X(z) < \delta r\}$  for any  $0 < r \ll 1$ . Here  $B(q, \delta' r)$  is the Euclidean ball of center  $q$  and radius  $\delta' r$  in  $W_q$ . We take  $r$  to be a positive number which depends only on  $k$  such that  $B(q, \delta' r) \subset W_k = \{z \in N \mid d_Y(z) < \frac{2 \log k}{\sqrt{k}}\}$ . Let  $c' > 0$  be a positive number which is larger than the  $C^1$ -norm of  $\varphi \circ \Phi$  on  $W_q$ . By Lemma 15.1.8 of [12], we have

$$\begin{aligned} |v_k(q)|^2 &\lesssim (\delta' r)^2 \sup_{B(q, \delta' r)} |\bar{\partial}_w v_k|^2 + \frac{1}{(\delta' r)^{2n}} \int_{B(q, \delta' r)} |v_k|^2 d\mu_{\text{Leb}} \\ &\lesssim r^2 e^{c' \delta' k r} \sup_{B(q, \delta' r)} |\bar{\partial}_w v_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi \circ \Phi} + r^{-2n} e^{c' \delta' k r} k^{-m+4}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  be a holomorphic coordinate on a neighborhood of  $\Phi(q)$ . Then

$$|\bar{\partial}_w v_k| = |\bar{\partial}_w(u_k \circ \Phi)| \leq |\partial_z u_k| |\bar{\partial}_w \Phi| + |\bar{\partial}_z u_k| |\partial_w \Phi| \lesssim |\partial_z u_k| |\bar{\partial}_w \Phi| + k |u_k \bar{\partial}_z \xi|.$$

By Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |v_k(q)|^2 \\ & \lesssim r^{-2n} e^{c'\delta'kr} ((e^{4ckr} + r^2 k^2 e^{ckr}) \sup_{B(q, \delta'r)} |\bar{\partial}_w \Phi|^2 + r^2 k^2 e^{ckr} \sup_{B(q, \delta'r)} |\bar{\partial}_z \xi|^2) + r^{-2n} e^{c'\delta'kr} k^{-m+4} \\ & \lesssim r^{-2n+2m} e^{c'\delta'kr} (e^{4ckr} + r^2 k^2 e^{ckr}) + r^{-2n} e^{c'\delta'kr} k^{-m+4}. \end{aligned}$$

If we take  $r = k^{-1}$ , we have  $B(q, \delta'r) \subset W_k$  for sufficiently large  $k$  and  $|v_k(q)|^2 = O(k^{2n-2m}) + O(k^{2n-m+4})$ . Since  $Y$  is compact, the above estimates hold uniformly on  $Y$ .  $\square$

#### 4. MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATION AND DEMAILLY'S JENSEN-LELONG FORMULA

We use the same notation as in Section 3. Since  $N$  is Stein, we may assume that  $N$  is a real-analytic submanifold in a higher dimensional Euclidean space. Then one can approximate  $\varphi \circ \Phi$  by analytic functions in the  $C^l$ -norm for any  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  on a neighborhood of  $Y$  ([18]). Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be a sufficiently small number. Let  $\varphi_\varepsilon$  be a real analytic function on a neighborhood of  $Y$  such that

$$(6) \quad |\varphi_\varepsilon - \varphi \circ \Phi|_{C^2} < \varepsilon.$$

Let  $ds^2$  be the real-analytic Riemannian metric on  $Y$  induced by  $\frac{1}{4\pi} dd^c \varphi_\varepsilon$ . By the fundamental result of Guillemin and Stenzel [11], there exists the real-analytic strictly plurisubharmonic function  $\rho$  on a neighborhood of  $Y$  such that  $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ ,  $\rho^{-1}(0) = Y$ , the Kähler form  $\frac{1}{4\pi} dd^c \rho$  induces the Riemannian metric  $ds^2$  on  $Y$  and that  $(dd^c \sqrt{\rho})^n = 0$  outside  $Y$ . We note that  $\rho$  depends on  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By Shrinking  $N$  if necessary, we may assume that  $\rho$  is defined on  $N$ . Since  $\rho$  is strictly plurisubharmonic and  $\sqrt{\rho}$  is a solution of the Monge-Ampère equation,  $\partial \bar{\partial} \sqrt{\rho}$  has  $(n-1)$  positive eigenvalues and does not have negative eigenvalues on  $N \setminus Y$ . Because  $\sqrt{\rho}$  attains its minimum at  $Y$ , we have that  $\sqrt{\rho}$  is plurisubharmonic on  $N$  (cf. Theorem 5.6 of [15]).

Put  $\omega_\rho = \frac{1}{4\pi} dd^c \rho$ . Let  $q \in Y$  and  $W_q \subset N$  be a small open neighborhood of  $q$ . Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a smooth coordinate on  $W_q \cap Y$  and  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $TY$  on  $W_q \cap Y$  with respect to the Riemannian metric induced by  $\omega_\rho$ . Let  $J_N \in \text{End}(TN)$  be the complex structure of  $N$ . Then  $(J_N e_1, \dots, J_N e_n)$  induces a frame of the normal bundle  $TN/TY$  on  $W_q \cap Y$ . We have  $\langle e_1, \dots, e_n \rangle^\perp = \langle J_N e_1, \dots, J_N e_n \rangle$ . Let  $(x, y) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  be the coordinate on  $W_q$  associated to  $(J_N e_1, \dots, J_N e_n)$ , that is,  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots, 0)$  corresponds to a point in  $W_q \cap Y$  and  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  corresponds to  $\exp_{(x,0)}(y_1 J_N e_1 + \dots + y_n J_N e_n) \in N$ . Then  $\rho(x, y) = 2\pi \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 + O(|y|^3)$  on  $W_q$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\varphi \circ \Phi(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}(x) y_i y_j + O(|y|^3)$$

where  $a_{ij}(x) = 2\pi \omega'(\partial/\partial y_i, J_N \partial/\partial y_j)(x, 0)$ . Here  $\omega' = \frac{1}{4\pi} dd^c \varphi \circ \Phi$ . By (6), there exist  $c_1, c_2, c_3 > 0$  which do not depend on  $k$  or  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$(7) \quad (1 - c_1 \varepsilon) \varphi \circ \Phi \leq \rho \leq (1 + c_1 \varepsilon) \varphi \circ \Phi,$$

$$(8) \quad (1 - c_2\varepsilon)\omega'_n \leq \omega_{\rho,n} \leq (1 + c_2\varepsilon)\omega'_n,$$

$$(9) \quad (1 - c_3\varepsilon)\text{Vol}(X, \omega) \leq \text{Vol}(Y, \omega_\rho) \leq (1 + c_3\varepsilon)\text{Vol}(X, \omega)$$

on a neighborhood of  $Y$ . Here  $\omega_{\rho,n} = \omega_\rho^n/n!$  and  $\text{Vol}(Y, \omega_\rho)$  is defined by the integral of the Riemannian density induced by  $\omega_\rho$  on  $Y$ . We define  $B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(r) = \{z \in N \mid \sqrt{\rho}(z) \leq r\}$ ,  $S_{\sqrt{\rho}}(r) = \{z \in N \mid \sqrt{\rho}(z) = r\}$ . For sufficiently large  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(\frac{\sqrt{2\pi \log k}}{\sqrt{k}}) \subset W_k = \{z \in N \mid d_Y(z) < \frac{2 \log k}{\sqrt{k}}\}$ . By Demailly's Jensen-Lelong formula (see [7]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{y \in Y} |\beta_k(y)|^2 \int_{S_{\sqrt{\rho}}(r)} d^c \sqrt{\rho} \wedge (dd^c \sqrt{\rho})^{n-1} &= \inf_{y \in Y} |\beta_k(y)|^2 \int_Y (dd^c \sqrt{\rho})^n \leq \int_Y |\beta_k|^2 (dd^c \sqrt{\rho})^n \\ &\leq \int_{S_{\sqrt{\rho}}(r)} |\beta_k|^2 d^c \sqrt{\rho} \wedge (dd^c \sqrt{\rho})^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 < r < \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}$ . Here  $(dd^c \sqrt{\rho})^n$  is the Monge-Ampère measure whose support is contained in  $Y$  (see [1], [7]). Since  $d^c \sqrt{\rho} = \rho^{-1/2} \frac{d^c \rho}{2}$  and  $dd^c \sqrt{\rho} = \rho^{-1/2} \frac{dd^c \rho}{2} - \rho^{-3/2} \frac{d\rho \wedge d^c \rho}{4}$ , we obtain

$$\inf_{y \in Y} |\beta_k(y)|^2 \int_{S_{\sqrt{\rho}}(r)} e^{-kb\rho} \rho^{-1/2} d^c \rho \wedge (dd^c \rho)^{n-1} \leq \int_{S_{\sqrt{\rho}}(r)} |\beta_k|^2 e^{-kb\rho} \rho^{-1/2} d^c \rho \wedge (dd^c \rho)^{n-1}$$

for any  $b > 0$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf_{y \in Y} |\beta_k(y)|^2 \int_{B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(\frac{\sqrt{2\pi \log k}}{\sqrt{k}})} e^{-kb\rho} \rho^{-1} d\rho \wedge d^c \rho \wedge (dd^c \rho)^{n-1} \\ &= 2 \inf_{y \in Y} |\beta_k(y)|^2 \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{2\pi \log k}}{\sqrt{k}}} dr \int_{S_{\sqrt{\rho}}(r)} e^{-kb\rho} \rho^{-1/2} d^c \rho \wedge (dd^c \rho)^{n-1} \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{2\pi \log k}}{\sqrt{k}}} dr \int_{S_{\sqrt{\rho}}(r)} |\beta_k|^2 e^{-kb\rho} \rho^{-1/2} d^c \rho \wedge (dd^c \rho)^{n-1} \\ &= \int_{B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(\frac{\sqrt{2\pi \log k}}{\sqrt{k}})} |\beta_k|^2 e^{-kb\rho} \rho^{-1} d\rho \wedge d^c \rho \wedge (dd^c \rho)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(dd^c \sqrt{\rho})^n = 0$ , we have

$$n\rho^{-1} d\rho \wedge d^c \rho \wedge (dd^c \rho)^{n-1} = 2(dd^c \rho)^n.$$

Hence we have

$$(10) \quad \inf_{y \in Y} |\beta_k(y)|^2 \int_{B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(\frac{\sqrt{2\pi \log k}}{\sqrt{k}})} e^{-kb\rho} \omega_{\rho,n} \leq \int_{B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(\frac{\sqrt{2\pi \log k}}{\sqrt{k}})} |\beta_k|^2 e^{-kb\rho} \omega_{\rho,n}.$$

**Lemma 4.**

$$\int_{B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(\frac{\sqrt{2\pi \log k}}{\sqrt{k}})} e^{-kb\rho} \omega_{\rho,n} \sim \frac{\text{Vol}(Y, \omega_\rho)}{(2kb)^{n/2}} \quad (k \rightarrow +\infty).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^l \subset Y$  and  $W_i \subset N$  be a small neighborhood of  $q_i$  such that  $Y \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^l W_i$  and that there exist non-negative smooth functions  $\lambda_i \in C_0^\infty(W_i)$  which satisfy  $\sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i = 1$  on a neighborhood of  $Y$ . We take a smooth coordinate  $(x, y)$  on  $W_i$  as in



the first part of this section. Since  $\rho(x, y) = 2\pi \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 + O(|y|^3)$ , there exists  $c_4 > 1$  which does not depend on  $k$  and satisfies

$$\{z \in W_q \mid |y(z)| < c_4^{-1} \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}\} \subset B_{\sqrt{\rho}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2\pi} \log k}{\sqrt{k}}\right) \subset \{z \in W_q \mid |y(z)| < c_4 \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}\}$$

for sufficiently large  $k$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y| < c_4^{-1} \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}} \lambda_i e^{-kb\rho} \omega_{\rho, n} &= \int_{|y| < c_4^{-1} \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}} \lambda_i(x, y) e^{-2\pi b k |y|^2 + k O(|y|^3)} (1 + O(|y|)) d\mu_Y dy \\ &= \frac{1}{k^{n/2}} \int_{|y| < c_4^{-1} \log k} \lambda_i(x, \frac{y}{\sqrt{k}}) e^{-2\pi b |y|^2} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{(\log k)^3}{\sqrt{k}}\right)\right) d\mu_Y dy \end{aligned}$$

where  $d\mu_Y$  is the Riemannian density on  $Y$  induced by  $\omega_\rho$ . Since

$$\int_{c_4^{-1} \log k \leq |y| < c_4 \log k} \lambda_i(x, 0) e^{-2\pi b |y|^2} d\mu_Y dy = O(k^{-\infty}),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\sqrt{\rho}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2\pi} \log k}{\sqrt{k}}\right)} \lambda_i e^{-kb\rho} \omega_{\rho, n} &= \frac{1}{k^{n/2}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{(\log k)^3}{\sqrt{k}}\right)\right) \int \lambda_i(x, 0) e^{-2\pi b |y|^2} d\mu_Y dy + O(k^{-\infty}) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2kb)^{n/2}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{(\log k)^3}{\sqrt{k}}\right)\right) \int \lambda_i(x, 0) d\mu_Y + O(k^{-\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain  $\int_{B_{\sqrt{\rho}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2\pi} \log k}{\sqrt{k}}\right)} e^{-kb\rho} \omega_{\rho, n} = \frac{1}{(2kb)^{n/2}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{(\log k)^3}{\sqrt{k}}\right)\right) \text{Vol}(Y, \omega_\rho)$ .  $\square$

Now put  $b = \frac{1}{1-c_1\varepsilon}$ . By (5), (7) and (8), we have

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_{\sqrt{\rho}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2\pi} \log k}{\sqrt{k}}\right)} |\beta_k|^2 e^{-kb\rho} \omega_{\rho, n} \leq 1 + c_2\varepsilon.$$

Then (9), (10) and Lemma 4 imply

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{y \in Y} |\beta_k(y)|^2 \frac{\text{Vol}(X, \omega)}{(2k)^{n/2}} \leq \frac{1 + c_2\varepsilon}{(1 - c_1\varepsilon)^{n/2} (1 - c_3\varepsilon)}.$$

By Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\inf_{x \in X} |f_k(x)|_{h^k}^2 \text{Vol}(X, \omega)}{(2k)^{n/2}} &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{y \in Y} \left( (1 + \varepsilon) |\beta_k(y)|^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) |v_k(y)|^2 \right) \frac{\text{Vol}(X, \omega)}{(2k)^{n/2}} \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)(1 + c_2\varepsilon)}{(1 - c_1\varepsilon)^{n/2} (1 - c_3\varepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large  $m$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1 since  $\varepsilon$  is any positive number.

## 5. ESTIMATE FROM BELOW

Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of dimension  $n$ . Let  $X \subset M$  be a Lagrangian submanifold of  $(M, \omega)$  such that  $(X, \nabla^X)$  satisfies the Bohr-Sommerfeld condition. We take  $U \subset M$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s_0 \in H^0(U, L)$ ,  $s \in C^\infty(U, L)$  and  $\xi, \varphi_0, \varphi \in C^\infty(U)$  as in Section 3. Let  $p \in X$  and  $V \subset M$  be a small neighborhood of  $p$ . We take a smooth coordinate  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  on  $V \cap X$  and take a local frame  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  of  $TX$  on  $V \cap X$ . We take a local coordinate system  $(x, y) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  as in the first part of Section 4. Since  $\omega = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |s_0|_h^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi} dd^c \varphi + O(|y|)$ , we have  $\varphi(x, y) = 2\pi \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 + O(|y|^3)$ . Let  $d_X(z)$  be the distance from  $z \in M$  to  $X$ . Let  $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  be a function such that  $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ ,  $\chi = 1$  on  $(-\infty, 1/2]$  and that  $\chi = 0$  on  $[1, +\infty)$ . Define  $\chi_k(z) = \chi(\frac{\sqrt{k}d_X(z)}{\log k})$  for  $z \in M$ . Put  $s_k = \chi_k s^k \in C^\infty(U, L^k)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 5.**

$$\|\nabla'' s_k\|_{h^k, \omega}^2 = O(k^{2-m}).$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is sufficiently large. We have

$$|\nabla'' s_k|_{h^k, \omega}^2 = |\xi^k \bar{\partial} \chi_k + k \chi_k \xi^{k-1} \bar{\partial} \xi|_\omega^2 e^{-k\varphi_0} \lesssim |\bar{\partial} \chi_k|_\omega^2 e^{-k\varphi} + k^2 |\chi_k \bar{\partial} \xi|_\omega^2 e^{-k\varphi}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V |\bar{\partial} \chi_k|_\omega^2 e^{-k\varphi} \omega_n &\lesssim \left( \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\log k} \right)^2 \int_{\frac{\log k}{2\sqrt{k}} < |y| < \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}, (x,y) \in V} e^{-2k\pi|y|^2} dx dy \\ &\lesssim \left( \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}} \right)^{n-2} e^{-\pi(\log k)^2/2} = O(k^{-\infty}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$k^2 \int_V |\chi_k \bar{\partial} \xi|_\omega^2 e^{-k\varphi} \omega_n \lesssim k^2 \int_{|y| < \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}, (x,y) \in V} |y|^{2m} e^{-2k\pi|y|^2} dx dy = O(k^{2-m}).$$

The last equality holds by the boundedness of  $|\sqrt{k}y|^{2m} e^{-\pi|\sqrt{k}y|^2}$ . The lemma holds since  $X$  is compact.  $\square$

Let  $A$  be a finite sequence of points in  $M \setminus X$  (possibly empty). Assume that  $A$  consists of  $a_1, \dots, a_N \in M \setminus X$  ( $a_i \neq a_j$  if  $i \neq j$ ) and  $a_i$  occurs  $l_i$  times in  $A$ . Let  $U_i \subset M$  be a small neighborhood of  $a_i$ . We may assume that the support of  $\chi_k$  does not intersect  $U_i$  for any  $i$  and  $k$ . Let  $0 \leq \kappa_i \leq 1$  be a smooth function on  $M$  such that the support of  $\kappa_i$  is contained in  $U_i$  and  $\kappa_i = 1$  on a neighborhood of  $a_i$ . Let  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  be a holomorphic coordinate on  $U_i$  such that  $a_i$  corresponds to the origin. Then we put  $\tau_i(z) = \kappa_i(z)(n + l_i - 1) \log |z|^2$ . By taking  $U_i$  small, we have  $\tau_i \leq 0$ . We define a singular Hermitian metric  $h'_k$  of  $L^k$  by  $h'_k = h^k e^{-\sum_{i=1}^N \tau_i}$ . The Chern form induced by  $h'_k$  is positive in the sense of currents if  $k$  is sufficiently large. Lemma 5 shows that  $\|\nabla'' s_k\|_{h'_k, \omega}^2 = O(k^{2-m})$ .

Now we assume that  $M$  satisfies one of the three conditions in Theorem 3. We regard  $s_k$  as an  $L^k \otimes \bigwedge^n T^{(1,0)} M$ -valued  $(n, 0)$ -form. We show that there exists  $t_k \in C^\infty(M, L^k)$  such that  $\nabla'' t_k = \nabla'' s_k$  and  $\|t_k\|_{h^k}^2 \leq \|t_k\|_{h'_k}^2 \lesssim \|\nabla'' s_k\|_{h'_k, \omega}^2$  for sufficiently large  $k$  (cf. [6], [7]). If  $M$  is a pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\bigwedge^n T^{(1,0)}$  is trivial line bundle and there exists no problem. If  $M$  is a projective (resp. Stein) manifold, we need to deal with

the difference of the Chern form of  $L^k$  and  $L^k \otimes \bigwedge^n T^{(1,0)}M$ . However the compactness (resp. the condition  $Ric(\omega) \geq -C\omega$ ) gives the solution of  $\bar{\partial}$ -equation with the desired estimate for sufficiently large  $k$ . We define a holomorphic section  $\alpha_k = s_k - t_k$ . Since  $\|\alpha_k\|_{h_k', \omega}^2 < +\infty$ , we have  $\alpha_k \in H_{(2),A}^0(M, L^k)$ .

**Lemma 6.** *If  $m > n/2 + 2$ , we have*

$$\|\alpha_k\|_{h^k}^2 \sim \frac{\text{Vol}(X, \omega)}{(2k)^{n/2}} \quad (k \rightarrow +\infty).$$

*Proof.* For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it follows that

$$(1 - \varepsilon)\|s_k\|_{h^k}^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\|t_k\|_{h^k}^2 \leq \|\alpha_k\|_{h^k}^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|s_k\|_{h^k}^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\|t_k\|_{h^k}^2.$$

Hence it is enough to show  $\|s_k\|_{h^k}^2 \sim \frac{\text{Vol}(X, \omega)}{(2k)^{n/2}} (k \rightarrow +\infty)$  and we can prove Lemma 6 by the same argument as in Lemma 4.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** *We have*

$$|t_k|_{h^k}^2 = O(k^{2+2n-m})$$

*uniformly on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be a sufficiently large number. Let  $p \in X$  and  $(V, (z_1, \dots, z_n))$  ( $p \in V \subset M$ ) be a holomorphic local coordinate system. Let  $v_k \in C^\infty(V)$  such that  $t_k = v_k \xi^k s_0^k$ . By Lemma 15.1.8 of [12], we have

$$|v_k \xi^k(p)|^2 \lesssim k^{-2} \sup_{B(p, k^{-1})} |\bar{\partial}_z(v_k \xi^k)|^2 + k^{2n} \int_{B(p, k^{-1})} |v_k \xi^k|^2 d\mu_{\text{Leb}}.$$

Here  $B(p, r)$  is the Euclidean ball of center  $p$  and radius  $r$ , and  $d\mu_{\text{Leb}}$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $V$ . We have  $\bar{\partial}_z(v_k \xi^k) = \bar{\partial}_z(\chi_k \xi^k)$ . Let  $\eta = \sup_{B(p, k^{-1})} |\varphi_0(p) - \varphi_0(z)|$ . We have  $\eta = O(k^{-1})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |t_k(p)|_{h^k}^2 &\lesssim k^{-2} e^{k\eta} \sup_{B(p, k^{-1})} (|\bar{\partial} \chi_k \xi^k|^2 + k^2 |\chi_k \xi^{k-1} \bar{\partial} \xi|^2) e^{-k\varphi_0} + k^{2n} e^{k\eta} \int_{B(p, k^{-1})} |t_k|_{h^k}^2 \omega_n \\ &\lesssim k^{-2} \sup_{B(p, k^{-1})} (|\bar{\partial} \chi_k|^2 + k^2 |\chi_k \bar{\partial} \xi|^2) e^{-k\varphi} + O(k^{2+2n-m}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} k^{-2} \sup_{B(p, k^{-1})} |\bar{\partial} \chi_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} &\lesssim \frac{1}{k(\log k)^2} \sup_{B(p, k^{-1})} |\chi'(\frac{\sqrt{k} d_X}{\log k})|^2 e^{-k\pi(d_X)^2} = O(k^{-\infty}), \\ |\chi_k \bar{\partial} \xi|^2 e^{-k\varphi} &\lesssim \chi_k(d_X)^{2m} e^{-k\pi(d_X)^2} = O(k^{-m}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X$  is compact, the above estimates do not depend on  $p$  and the lemma is proved.  $\square$

If we take  $m > 2 + 2n$ , we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} |\alpha_k|_{h^k}^2 = 1$  uniformly on  $X$ . Hence we obtain

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{\text{Vol}(X, \omega)}{(2k)^{n/2}} \sup_{f \in H_{(2),A}^0(M, L^k), f \neq 0} \frac{\inf_{x \in X} |f(x)|_{h^k}^2}{\|f\|_{h^k}^2} \right) \geq 1.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, The Dirichlet problem for a complex Monge-Ampère equation, *Invent. Math.* **37** (1976), 1–44.
- [2] R. Berman and J. Ortega-Cerdà, Sampling of real multivariate polynomials and pluripotential theory, *Am. J. Math.* **140**, No. 3 (2018), 789–820.
- [3] B. Berndtsson, Bergman Kernels related to Hermitian line bundles over compact complex manifolds, in: *Expositions in Complex and Riemannian Geometry*, in: *Contemp. Math.*, **332** (2003), pp. 1–17.
- [4] D. Catlin, The Bergman Kernel and a theorem of Tian, in: *Analysis and Geometry in Several Complex Variables* (Katata, 1997), *Trends Math.*, pp. 1–23, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1999.
- [5] K. Cieliebak and Y. Eliashberg, From Stein to Weinstein and back. Symplectic geometry of affine complex manifolds, *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications* **59**, (2012), American Mathematical Society, Providence
- [6] J. P. Demailly, *Analytic Methods in Algebraic Geometry*, volume 1 of *Surveys of Modern Mathematics*. Higher Education Press; International Press, Beijing; Sommerville, 2010.
- [7] J. P. Demailly, *Complex analytic and differential geometry*, OpenContent Book. Version of Thursday June 21, 2012. Available at the authors web page.
- [8] J. Duval and N. Sibony, Polynomial convexity, rational convexity, and Currents, *Duke Math. J.* **79** (1995), 487–513.
- [9] H. Grauert, On Levi’s problem and the imbedding of real analytic manifolds, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **68** (1958) 460–472.
- [10] V. Guedj, Approximation of currents on complex manifolds, *Math. Ann.* **313** (1999), 437–474.
- [11] V. Guillemin and M. Stenzel, Grauert tubes and the homogeneous Monge-Ampère equation, *J. Differential Geom.* **34**, No. 2 (1991), 561–570.
- [12] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential operators*, Vol. II, *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.* **257**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1983).
- [13] L. Hörmander and J. Wermer, Uniform approximation on compact sets in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , *Math. Scand.* **223** (1968), 5–21.
- [14] L. Ios, Quantization and isotropic submanifolds, *Michigan Math. J.* (2021), advance publication, 1–44.
- [15] L. Lempert and R. Szöke, Global solutions of the homogeneous complex Monge-Ampère equation and complex structures on the tangent bundle of Riemannian manifolds, *Math. Ann.* **290**, 4 (1991), 689–712.
- [16] G. Tian, On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds, *J. Differential Geom.* **32** (1990), 99–130.
- [17] A. Vêrine, Bohr-Sommerfeld Lagrangian submanifolds as minima of convex functions, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **18**, No. 1 (2020), 333–353.
- [18] H. Whitney, Analytic extensions of differential functions in closed sets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **36** (1934), 63–89.
- [19] H. Whitney, Differentiable manifolds, *Ann. of Math.* **37** (1936), 645–680.
- [20] S. Zelditch, Szegő kernels and a theorem of Tian, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **6** (1998), 317–331.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
 OCHANOMIZU UNIVERSITY,  
 2-1-1 OTSUKA, BUNKYO-KU, TOKYO (JAPAN)  
 chiba.yusaku@ocha.ac.jp