

# GEOMETRY OF ASSOCIATED QUANTUM VECTOR BUNDLES AND THE QUANTUM GAUGE GROUP

GUSTAVO AMILCAR SALDAÑA MONCADA

ABSTRACT. In Differential Geometry, it is well-known that given a principal  $G$ -bundle with a principal connection, for every unitary finite-dimensional linear representation of  $G$ , one can induce a linear connection and a hermitian structure on associated vector bundles, which are compatible. Furthermore, the gauge group acts on the space of principal connections and on the space of linear connections defined in associated vector bundles. This paper aims to present the *non-commutative* counterpart of all these *classical* facts in the theory of quantum bundles and quantum connections.

*MSC 2010:* 46L87; 16T99.

*Keywords:* quantum bundles, quantum connections, hermitian structures, quantum gauge group.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Non-Commutative Geometry, also known as *Quantum Geometry*, arises as a kind of *algebraic and physical* generalization of geometrical concepts [1, 2, 3]. There are a variety of reasons to believe that this branch of mathematics could solve some of the Standard Model's fundamental problems [1].

In resonance with this philosophy, M. Durdevich developed in [4, 5, 6] a formulation of the theory of principal bundles and principal connections in Non-Commutative Geometry's framework. This theory used the concept of quantum group presented by S. L. Woronovicz in [7, 8] playing the role of the structure group on the bundle. It is used a more general differential calculus on it that allows us to extend the complete structure of  $*$ -Hopf algebra; reflecting the *classical* fact that for every Lie group its tangent bundle is a Lie group as well. Furthermore, Durdevich's formulation can *embrace* other *classical* concepts like characteristic classes and classifying spaces [9, 10].

In [11] one can appreciate a categorical equivalence between principal bundles with principal connections over a fixed base space  $M$  and the category of associated functors called *gauge theory sectors*; Durdevich's theory allows to recreate this result for quantum principal bundles and quantum principal connections [12]. All of these are clear reasons to keep developing this theory.

The purpose of this work is to extend the theory of *associated quantum vector bundles* following the line of research of M. Durdevich [10, 12] and also in resonance with [13, 14, 15], to add canonical hermitian structures as well as studying the relationship between this new structure and induced quantum linear connections for any real quantum principal connection. Moreover, we will introduce and analyze an *ad hoc* definition of quantum gauge group and

its natural action on the space of quantum principal connections and the space of quantum linear connections.

We believe that the approach presented is important not only because of the results as such that we will show, which reflect the analogy with the *classical* case and extends the theory (the reader should put particular attention on Theorems 3.2, 4.1, Definition 4.1, and Proposition 4.2 among other results), but because it opens the door to many other research lines, for example, the moduli space of quantum connections and Yang–Mills models and Field Theory, in accordance with the work presented in [14, 15]. Yang–Mills models and Field Theory will be explored in detail in forthcoming publications [16, 17, 18].

The paper is organized into five sections. In the second one we are going to present preparatory material, and it is broken down into two subsections. The first one is about compact matrix quantum group, its representations, and the universal differential envelope  $*$ -calculus of a  $*$ -FODC. In the second subsection, we will present all the basic notions of quantum principal bundles and quantum principal connections; however, we will change the *traditional* definition of quantum principal connections in order to embrace a more general theory. The third section consists of two subsections. In the first subsection, we will show the general theory of associated quantum vector bundles and induced quantum linear connections. In contrast, in the second one, we will introduce a canonical hermitian structure that results compatible with induced quantum linear connections. The fourth section is about the quantum gauge group and its action on quantum connections. Finally, for this paper not to be too long, in the last subsection, we are going to present an illustrative example by using trivial quantum principal bundles in the sense of [5]. In [17, 18] we present concrete examples of our theory. The final section is about some concluding comments.

We shall use the notation used in [12], for example all quantum spaces will be formally represented them as associative unital  $*$ -algebras over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $(X, \cdot, \mathbb{1}, *)$  interpreted like the  *$*$ -algebra of smooth  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued functions on the quantum space*. We will identify the quantum space with its algebra, so in general, we are going to omit the words *associative* and *unital*. Also, all our  $*$ -algebra morphisms will be unital. In the whole work, we are going to use Sweedler notation.

In literature there are other viewpoints on quantum principal bundles, for example [19, 20, 21]. All these formulations are intrinsically related by the theory of *Hopf–Galois extensions* [22]. We have decided to use Durdevich’s formulation of quantum principal bundles because of its purely geometrical–algebraic framework, when differential calculus (which link geometry, analysis and algebra), connections, their curvature and their covariant derivatives are the most relevant objects.

It is worth mentioning that in general, we will not assume that the connections are strong either regular [23, 5]. Even though this text is based on Durdevich’s theory, our definition of the quantum gauge group will be the one presented in [24], but at the level of differential calculus.

## 2. PREPARATORY MATERIAL

Like in the *classical* case, the idea of group will play a fundamental role in the concept of principal bundle. So it is necessary to start checking the highlights of this theory developed

by S. L. Woronovicz in [7, 8], and the highlights of the universal differential envelope  $\ast$ -calculus presented in [4, 25].

**2.1. About Quantum Groups.** A compact matrix quantum group (cmqg) will be denoted by  $\mathcal{G}$ ; while its dense  $\ast$ -Hopf (sub)algebra will be denoted by

$$\mathcal{G}^\infty := (G, \cdot, \mathbb{1}, \phi, \epsilon, \kappa, \ast),$$

where  $\phi$  is the coproduct,  $\epsilon$  is the counity and  $\kappa$  is the coinverse. In accordance with [8], it shall treat as the algebra of all smooth  $C^\infty$ -valued functions defined on  $\mathcal{G}$ . In the same way a (smooth right)  $\mathcal{G}$ -representation on a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $V$  is a linear map

$$\alpha : V \longrightarrow V \otimes G$$

such that

$$(1) \quad (\text{id}_V \otimes \epsilon) \circ \alpha \cong \text{id}_V$$

and

$$(2) \quad (\text{id}_V \otimes \phi) \circ \alpha = (\alpha \otimes \text{id}_G) \circ \alpha.$$

We say that the representation is finite-dimensional if  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V) < |\mathbb{N}|$ .  $\alpha$  usually receives the name of (*right*) *coaction* or (*right*) *corepresentation* of  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $V$ . It is worth mentioning that in the general theory ([7]), Diagram (1) is not necessary.

Given two  $\mathcal{G}$ -representations  $\alpha, \beta$  coacting on  $V, W$ , respectively, a corepresentation morphism is a linear map

$$T : V \longrightarrow W$$

such that the following diagram holds

$$(3) \quad (T \otimes \text{id}_G) \circ \alpha = T \circ \beta$$

The set of all corepresentation morphisms between two corepresentations  $\alpha, \beta$  will be denoted as

$$(4) \quad \text{MOR}(\alpha, \beta)$$

and the set of all finite-dimensional  $\mathcal{G}$ -representations will be denoted by

$$(5) \quad \text{OBJ}(\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{G}})$$

A  $\mathcal{G}$ -representation is reducible if there exists a subspace  $L$  such that  $\alpha(L) = L \otimes G$  and it is unitary if viewed has an element of  $B(V) \otimes G$  with  $B(V) := \{f : V \longrightarrow V \mid f \text{ is linear}\}$  it is unitary. In [7], Woronovicz proved that for every finite-dimensional  $\mathcal{G}$ -representation coacting on  $V$  there is an inner product  $\langle - | - \rangle$  (not necessarily unique) such that it becomes into a unitary one. This inner product is  $\alpha$ -invariant in the sense of

$$(6) \quad \langle v_1 | v_2 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{1} = \sum_{k,l} \langle v_{1k} | v_{2l} \rangle \otimes g_{1k}^* g_{2l}$$

for all  $v_1, v_2 \in V$  with  $\alpha(v_i) = \sum_k v_{ik} \otimes g_{ik}$ . Even more in [7] one can find a prove of the following theorem

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a complete set of mutually non-equivalent irreducible unitary (necessarily finite-dimensional)  $\mathcal{G}$ -representations with  $\alpha_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{triv}} \in \mathcal{T}$  (the trivial corepresentation in  $\mathbb{C}$ ). For any  $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$  that coacts on  $(V^\alpha, \langle - | - \rangle)$ ,*

$$(7) \quad \alpha(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_\alpha} e_j \otimes g_{ji}^\alpha,$$

where  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{n_\alpha}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V^\alpha$  and  $\{g_{i,j}^\alpha\}_{i,j=1}^{n_\alpha} \subseteq G$ . Then  $\{g_{ij}^\alpha\}_{\alpha,i,j}$  is a Hamel basis of  $G$ , where the index  $\alpha$  runs on  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $i, j$  run from 1 to  $n_\alpha$ .

The basis  $\{g_{ij}^\alpha\}_{\alpha,i,j}$  satisfies

$$(8) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n_\alpha} g_{ik}^{\alpha*} \kappa(g_{kj}^{\alpha*}) = \delta_{ij} \mathbb{1}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n_\alpha} g_{ik}^{\alpha*} g_{jk}^\alpha = \delta_{ij} \mathbb{1}$$

with  $\delta_{ij}$  being the Kronecker delta, among other properties [7].

Given a bicovariant first-order differential  $*$ -calculus ( $*$ -FODC [25]) on  $G$ ,  $(\Gamma, d)$ , the universal differential envelope  $*$ -calculus

$$(9) \quad (\Gamma^\wedge, d, *)$$

is introducing as the graded differential  $*$ -algebra defined by

$$\Gamma^\wedge := \otimes_G^\bullet \Gamma / \mathcal{Q}, \quad \otimes_G^\bullet \Gamma := \oplus_k (\otimes_G^k \Gamma) \quad \text{with} \quad \otimes_G^k \Gamma := \underbrace{\Gamma \otimes_G \cdots \otimes_G \Gamma}_{k \text{ times}}$$

with  $\mathcal{Q}$  the bilateral ideal of  $\otimes_G^\bullet \Gamma$  generated by

$$\sum_i dg_i \otimes_G dh_i \quad \text{such that} \quad \sum_i g_i dh_i = 0,$$

$g_i, h_i \in G$ . This space is generated by its degree 0 elements  $G$  and it is interpreted as *quantum differential forms on  $G$* . Of course the differential map and the  $*$  operation are obtained by the quotient [4, 25]. The *peculiar* name that  $(\Gamma^\wedge, d, *)$  receives is because this space has two universal properties that allow us to extend  $*$ -algebra morphisms of  $G$  and linear  $*$ -antimultiplicative morphisms of  $G$ , always that they commute with  $d$  [4, 25]. By using these properties one can define a graded differential  $*$ -Hopf algebra structure on  $\Gamma^\wedge$  which extends  $\mathcal{G}^\infty$  [4]

$$\Gamma^{\wedge\infty} := (\Gamma^\wedge, \cdot, 1, \phi, \epsilon, \kappa, d, *)$$

and  $\epsilon$  has the particularity that  $\epsilon(\vartheta) = 0$  if  $\vartheta \notin G$ . Now it is possible to define the right adjoint coaction of  $(\Gamma^\wedge, d, *)$

$$(10) \quad \text{Ad} : \Gamma^\wedge \longrightarrow \Gamma^\wedge \otimes \Gamma^\wedge$$

such that  $\text{Ad}(\vartheta) = (-1)^{\partial\vartheta^{(1)}\partial\vartheta^{(2)}} \vartheta^{(2)} \otimes \kappa(\vartheta^{(1)}) \vartheta^{(3)}$ , where  $\partial x$  denotes the grade of  $x$  and (using Sweedler's notation)

$$(\text{id}_{\Gamma^\wedge} \otimes \phi)\phi(\vartheta) = (\phi \otimes \text{id}_{\Gamma^\wedge})\phi(\vartheta) = \vartheta^{(1)} \otimes \vartheta^{(2)} \otimes \vartheta^{(3)}.$$

Clearly Ad extends the right adjoint coaction Ad of  $G$  [25]. Define

$$(11) \quad \text{inv}\Gamma^\wedge = \{\theta \in \Gamma \mid \Phi_{\Gamma^\wedge}(\theta) = \mathbb{1} \otimes \theta\},$$

with  $\Phi_{\Gamma^\wedge}$  the extension of the canonical left corepresentation of  $G$  on  $\Gamma$  [25]. This space is a graded  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space, and it is well-known that

$$(12) \quad {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma := {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma^{\wedge 1} \cong \text{Ker}(\epsilon)/\mathcal{R},$$

where  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \text{Ker}(\epsilon)$  is the canonical right  $G$ -ideal of  $G$  associated to  $(\Gamma, d)$  [8, 25]. This space plays the role of *the quantum Lie algebra* and it allows us to consider the quantum germs map [4, 25]

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi : G &\longrightarrow {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma \\ g &\longmapsto \kappa(g^{(1)})dg^{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi(g) = g^{(1)} \otimes g^{(2)}$ . This map has several useful properties, for example the restriction map  $\pi|_{\text{Ker}(\epsilon)}$  is surjective and

$$\ker(\pi) = \mathcal{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbb{1}, \quad \pi(g) = -(d\kappa(g^{(1)}))g^{(2)}, \quad dg = g^{(1)}\pi(g^{(2)}),$$

$$d\kappa(g) = -\pi(g^{(1)})\kappa(g^{(2)}), \quad \pi(g)^* = -\pi(\kappa(g)^*), \quad d\pi(g) = -\pi(g^{(1)})\pi(g^{(2)})$$

for all  $g \in G$  [4, 25]. The canonical right corepresentation of  $G$  on  $\Gamma$  leaves  ${}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma$  invariant and denoting it by

$$(14) \quad \text{ad} : {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma \longrightarrow {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma \otimes G$$

it satisfies [4, 25]

$$\text{ad} \circ \pi = (\pi \otimes \text{id}_G) \circ \text{Ad}.$$

There is a right  $G$ -module structure in  ${}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma$  given by

$$(15) \quad \theta \circ g = \kappa(g^{(1)})\theta g^{(2)} = \pi(hg - \epsilon(h)g)$$

if  $\theta = \pi(h)$ . It is worth mentioning that

$$(\theta \circ g)^* = \theta^* \circ \kappa(g)^*$$

and also we have

$${}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma^\wedge = \otimes^\bullet {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma / S^\wedge, \quad \otimes^\bullet {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma := \bigoplus_k (\otimes^k {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma) \quad \text{with} \quad \otimes^k {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma := \underbrace{{}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma \otimes \cdots \otimes {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma}_{k \text{ times}}$$

where  $S^\wedge$  is the bilateral ideal of  $\otimes^\bullet {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma$  generated by

$$\pi(g^{(1)}) \otimes \pi(g^{(2)})$$

with  $g \in \mathcal{R}$ . In this way it is possible to extend the module structure to  ${}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma^\wedge$  by means of

$$1 \circ g = \epsilon(g), \quad (\theta_1\theta_2) \circ g = (\vartheta_1 \circ g^{(1)})(\theta_2 \circ g^{(2)}).$$

Finally the following identification holds

$$\Gamma^\wedge \cong G \otimes {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma^\wedge.$$

**2.2. Basics of Quantum Principal Bundles.** Now we can introduce the notions of quantum principal bundles and quantum principal connections. As we mentioned before, we are going to base on the theory developed by M. Durdevich but changin the *tradicional* definition of quantum principal connections and we will use the notation shown in [12]. If the reader prefers, it is possible to check this theory in the original work [4, 5, 6].

Let  $(M, \cdot, \mathbb{1}, *)$  be a quantum space and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a cmqg. A quantum principal  $\mathcal{G}$ -bundle over  $M$  (qpb) is a quantum structure formally represented by the triplet

$$(16) \quad \zeta = (GM, M, {}_{GM}\Phi),$$

where  $(GM, \cdot, \mathbb{1}, *)$  is a quantum space called *the quantum total space* with  $(M, \cdot, \mathbb{1}, *)$  as quantum subspace which receives the name of *quantum base space*, and

$${}_{GM}\Phi : GM \longrightarrow GM \otimes G$$

is a  $*$ -algebra morphism that satisfies

- (1)  ${}_{GM}\Phi$  is a  $\mathcal{G}$ -representation.
- (2)  ${}_{GM}\Phi(x) = x \otimes \mathbb{1}$  if and only if  $x \in M$ .
- (3) The linear map  $\beta : GM \otimes GM \longrightarrow GM \otimes G$  given by

$$\beta(x \otimes y) := x \cdot {}_{GM}\Phi(y) = (x \otimes \mathbb{1}) \cdot {}_{GM}\Phi(y)$$

is surjective.

Given a qpb over  $M$ ,  $\zeta$ , a differential calculus on it is

- (1) A graded differential  $*$ -algebra  $(\Omega^\bullet(GM), d, *)$  generated by  $\Omega^0(GM) = GM$  (*quantum differential forms on GM*).
- (2) A bicovariant  $*$ -FODC over  $G$   $(\Gamma, d)$ .
- (3) The map  ${}_{GM}\Phi$  is extendible to a graded differential  $*$ -algebra morphism

$${}_{\Omega}\Psi : \Omega^\bullet(GM) \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(GM) \otimes \Gamma^\wedge.$$

It is worth mentioning that  ${}_{\Omega}\Psi$  is a corepresentation of  $\Gamma^\wedge$  coacting on  $\Omega^\bullet(GM)$ . In this way, the space of horizontal forms is defined as

$$(17) \quad \text{Hor}^\bullet GM := \{\varphi \in \Omega^\bullet(GM) \mid {}_{\Omega}\Psi(\varphi) \in \Omega^\bullet(GM) \otimes G\}.$$

and it is a graded  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\Omega^\bullet(GM)$ . Since

$${}_{\Omega}\Psi(\text{Hor}^\bullet GM) \subseteq \text{Hor}^\bullet GM \otimes G,$$

the map

$$(18) \quad {}_{\text{H}}\Phi := {}_{\Omega}\Psi|_{\text{Hor}^\bullet GM}$$

turns into a  $\mathcal{G}$ -representation [25]. Also, one can define the space of base forms (*quantum differential forms on M*) as

$$(19) \quad \Omega^\bullet(M) := \{\mu \in \Omega^\bullet(GM) \mid {}_{\Omega}\Psi(\mu) = \mu \otimes \mathbb{1}\}.$$

The space of base forms is a graded differential  $*$ -subalgebra of  $(\Omega^\bullet(GM), d, *)$  and in general, *it is not generated by M* [25]. By using  ${}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma^\wedge$ , it can be defined the space of vertical forms [25].

Now we introduce the concept of quantum principal connection (qpc) as a linear map

$$(20) \quad \omega : {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma \longrightarrow \Omega^1(GM)$$

that satisfies

$${}_{\Omega}\Psi(\omega(\theta)) = (\omega \otimes \text{id}_G)\text{ad}(\theta) + \mathbb{1} \otimes \theta.$$

A qpb with a qpc will be denoted by  $(\zeta, \omega)$ . In analogy with the classical case, it can be proved that the set

$$(21) \quad \mathbf{qpc}(\zeta) := \{\omega : {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma \longrightarrow \Omega^1(GM) \mid \omega \text{ is a qpc on } \zeta\}$$

is not empty for any qpb  $\zeta$  ([5]), and it is an affine space modeled by the vector space

$$(22) \quad \overrightarrow{\mathbf{qpc}(\zeta)} := \text{MOR}^1(\text{ad}, {}_{\text{H}}\Phi) = \{\lambda : {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma \longrightarrow \Omega^1(GM) \mid \lambda \text{ is a linear map}\}.$$

There is a canonical involution on  $\mathbf{qpc}(\zeta)$  given by

$$(23) \quad \widehat{\omega} := * \circ \omega \circ *.$$

We define the dual qpc of  $\omega$  as  $\widehat{\omega}$ . A qpc  $\omega$  is real if  $\widehat{\omega} = \omega$  and we say that it is imaginary if  $\widehat{\omega} = -\omega$ . A qpc is called regular if for all  $\varphi \in \text{Hor}^k GM$  and  $\theta \in {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma$ , we have

$$(24) \quad \omega(\theta) \varphi = (-1)^k \varphi^{(0)} \omega(\theta \circ \varphi^{(1)}),$$

where  ${}_{\text{H}}\Phi(\varphi) = \varphi^{(0)} \otimes \varphi^{(1)}$ . A qpc  $\omega$  is called multiplicative if

$$(25) \quad \omega(\pi(g^{(1)}))\omega(\pi(g^{(2)})) = 0$$

for all  $g \in \mathcal{R}$ .

The curvature of a qpc is defined as the linear map

$$(26) \quad R^\omega := d \circ \omega - \langle \omega, \omega \rangle : {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma \longrightarrow \Omega^2(GM)$$

with

$$\langle \omega, \omega \rangle := m_\Omega \circ (\omega \otimes \omega) \circ \delta : {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma \longrightarrow \Omega^2(GM),$$

where  $\delta : {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma \longrightarrow {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma \otimes {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma$  is an embedded differential ([5, 25]) and  $m_\Omega$  is the multiplication map of  $\Omega^\bullet(GM)$ . A really important property of multiplicative qpcs is the fact that for these connections the curvature does not depend on the map  $\delta$  [5, 25]. The curvature of any qpc fulfills

$$(27) \quad R^\omega \in \text{MOR}(\text{ad}, {}_{\text{H}}\Phi).$$

and

$$(28) \quad \widehat{R}^\omega := * \circ R^\omega \circ * = R^{\widehat{\omega}}.$$

To conclude this section we are going to define the covariant derivative of a qpc as the first-order linear map

$$(29) \quad D^\omega : \text{Hor}^\bullet GM \longrightarrow \text{Hor}^\bullet GM$$

such that for every  $\varphi \in \text{Hor}^k GM$

$$D^\omega(\varphi) = d\varphi - (-1)^k \varphi^{(0)} \omega(\pi(\varphi^{(1)})).$$

On the other hand, the first-order linear map

$$(30) \quad \widehat{D}^\omega := * \circ D^\omega \circ *$$

is called the dual covariant derivative of  $\omega$ . It can be proved that

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} D^\omega &\in \text{MOR}_{(\mathbb{H}\Phi, \mathbb{H}\Phi)}, & D^\omega|_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} &= d|_{\Omega^\bullet(M)}, \\ \widehat{D}^\omega &\in \text{MOR}_{(\mathbb{H}\Phi, \mathbb{H}\Phi)}, & \widehat{D}^\omega|_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} &= d|_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\widehat{D}^\omega(\varphi) = D^\omega(\varphi) + \ell^\omega(\pi(\kappa^{-1}(\varphi^{(1)})), \varphi^{(0)}) + (-1)^k \varphi^{(0)}(\omega - \widehat{\omega})(\pi(\varphi^{(1)})),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \ell^\omega : \text{inv}\Gamma \times \text{Hor}^\bullet GM &\longrightarrow \text{Hor}^\bullet GM \\ (\theta, \varphi) &\longmapsto \omega(\theta)\varphi - (-1)^k \varphi^{(0)}\omega(\theta \circ \varphi^{(1)}). \end{aligned}$$

The map  $\ell^\omega$  measures the lack of regularity of  $\omega$  in the sense of  $\ell^\omega = 0$  if and only if  $\omega$  is regular. Moreover, if  $\omega$  is real and regular,  $D^\omega = \widehat{D}^\omega = D^{\widehat{\omega}}$  and if  $\omega$  is regular, then  $D^\omega, \widehat{D}^\omega$  satisfy the graded Leibniz rule. In general

$$(32) \quad D^\omega(\varphi\psi) = D^\omega(\varphi)\psi + (-1)^k \varphi D^\omega(\psi) + (-1)^k \varphi^{(0)} \ell^\omega(\pi(\varphi^{(1)}), \psi)$$

$$(33) \quad D^\omega(\psi)^* = D^\omega(\psi^*) + \ell^\omega(\pi(\kappa(\psi^{(1)})^*), \psi^{(0)*})$$

for all  $\varphi \in \text{Hor}^k GM, \psi \in \text{Hor}^\bullet GM$ .

**Remark 1.** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  a complete set of mutually non-equivalent irreducible  $\mathcal{G}$ -representations with  $\alpha_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{triv}} \in \mathcal{T}$ . From this point until the end of this paper we are going to consider for a given  $\zeta = (GM, M, {}_{GM}\Phi)$  and each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$  that there exists

$$\{T_k^{\mathbb{L}}\}_{k=1}^{d_\alpha} \subseteq \text{MOR}_{\mathbf{Rep}_\infty}^0(\alpha, {}_{GM}\Phi)$$

for some  $d_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(34) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{d_\alpha} x_{ki}^{\alpha*} x_{kj}^\alpha = \delta_{ij} \mathbb{1},$$

with  $x_{ki}^\alpha := T_k^{\mathbb{L}}(e_i)$ , where  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{n_\alpha}$  is some fixed orthonormal basis of the vector space  $V^\alpha$  on which  $\alpha$  coacts. Also we are going to assume that the following relation holds

$$(35) \quad W^{\alpha\text{T}} X^{\alpha*} = \text{Id}_{n_\alpha} \quad \text{where} \quad W^\alpha = (w_{ij}^\alpha) = Z^\alpha X^\alpha C^{\alpha-1*}$$

for each  $\alpha$ . Here  $X^\alpha = (x_{ij}^\alpha) \in M_{d_\alpha \times n_\alpha}(GM)$ ,  $X^{\alpha*} = (x_{ij}^{\alpha*})$ , while  $\text{Id}_{n_\alpha}$  is the identity element of  $M_{n_\alpha}(GM)$  and  $Z^\alpha = (z_{ij}^\alpha) \in M_{d_\alpha}(\mathbb{C})$  is a strictly positive element. Finally  $C^\alpha \in M_{n_\alpha}(\mathbb{C})$  is the matrix written in terms of the basis  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{n_\alpha}$  of the canonical representation isomorphism between  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^{cc} := (\text{id}_{V^\alpha} \otimes \kappa^2)\alpha$ , and  $W^{\alpha\text{T}}$  is the transpose matrix of  $W^\alpha$  [5].

It is worth mentioning that in terms of the theory of Hopf-Galois extensions ([22]), the first condition guarantees that  $GM$  is principal [23]. Furthermore, the second condition implies the existence of a right  $M$ -linear right  $G$ -colinear splitting of the multiplication  $GM \otimes M \longrightarrow GM$ . However, we have decided to use Remark 1 because in this way, it is possible to do explicit calculations as the reader will verify in the next section.

### 3. ASSOCIATED QUANTUM VECTOR BUNDLES, INDUCED QUANTUM LINEAR CONNECTIONS AND HERMITIAN STRUCTURES

This section will present some of the essential aspects of associated quantum vector bundles and the definition of the canonical hermitian structure. Since in this paper we are not interested in the categorical point of view, we have to *weaken* some imposed conditions in [12].

**3.1. Associated Quantum Vector Bundles and Induced Quantum Linear Connections.** Let us start taking a quantum  $\mathcal{G}$ -bundle  $\zeta = (GM, M, {}_{GM}\Phi)$  and a  $\mathcal{G}$ -representation  $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$  coacting on  $V^\alpha$ . The  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $\text{MOR}(\alpha, {}_{GM}\Phi)$  has a natural  $M$ -bimodule structure given by multiplication with elements of  $M$ . Define

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} \varrho_{kl}^\alpha : M &\longrightarrow M \\ p &\longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n_\alpha} x_{ki}^\alpha p x_{li}^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

with  $k, l \in \{1, \dots, d_\alpha\}$  and for all  $p, q \in M$  we have

$$\varrho_{kl}^\alpha(p)^* = \varrho_{lk}^\alpha(p^*), \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n_\alpha} \varrho_{ki}^\alpha(p) \varrho_{il}^\alpha(q) = \varrho_{kl}^\alpha(pq);$$

so there is a linear multiplicative  $*$ -preserving (in general not-unital) map

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} \varrho^\alpha : M &\longrightarrow M_{d_\alpha}(M) \\ p &\longmapsto (\varrho_{kl}^\alpha(p)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $M_{d_\alpha}(M)$  denotes  $d_\alpha \times d_\alpha$  matrices with coefficients in  $M$  [5]. Let us take the free left  $M$ -module  $M^{d_\alpha}$ , its canonical basis  $\{\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_{d_\alpha}\}$  and the left  $M$ -submodule

$$M^{d_\alpha} \cdot \varrho^\alpha(\mathbb{1}) \subseteq M^{d_\alpha}.$$

The operation

$$(38) \quad \cdot : M^{d_\alpha} \cdot \varrho^\alpha(\mathbb{1}) \otimes M \longrightarrow M^{d_\alpha} \cdot \varrho^\alpha(\mathbb{1})$$

given by

$$\varepsilon \otimes p \longmapsto \varepsilon \cdot \varrho^\alpha(p)$$

induces a  $M$ -bimodule structure on  $M^{d_\alpha} \cdot \varrho^\alpha(\mathbb{1})$ . Define the left  $M$ -module morphism

$$(39) \quad H : M^{d_\alpha} \longrightarrow \text{MOR}(\alpha, {}_{GM}\Phi)$$

such that  $H(\bar{e}_k) = T_k^L$ . Thus according to [5]

$$H|_{M^{d_\alpha} \cdot \varrho^\alpha(\mathbb{1})} : M^{d_\alpha} \cdot \varrho^\alpha(\mathbb{1}) \longrightarrow \text{MOR}(\alpha, {}_{GM}\Phi)$$

is a  $M$ -bimodule isomorphism. This shows that

$$(40) \quad \zeta_\alpha^L := (\Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M) := \text{MOR}(\alpha, {}_{GM}\Phi), +, \cdot)$$

is a finitely generated projective left  $M$ -module. In particular for all  $T \in \Gamma(M, V^\alpha M)$ ,

$$(41) \quad T = \sum_{k=1}^{d_\alpha} p_k^T T_k^L, \quad p_k^T = \sum_{i=1}^{n_\alpha} T(e_i) x_{ki}^{\alpha*} \in M.$$

We can repeat this process to conclude that

$$(42) \quad \zeta_\alpha^R := (\Gamma^R(M, V^\alpha M) := \text{MOR}(\alpha, {}_G M \Phi), +, \cdot)$$

is a finitely generated projective  $M$ -right module. In this case for every  $T \in \text{MOR}(\alpha, {}_G M \Phi)$

$$(43) \quad T = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\alpha} T_k^R \tilde{p}_k^T \quad \text{with} \quad T_k^R = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\alpha} z_{ki} T_i^L, \quad \tilde{p}_k^T = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_\alpha, n_\alpha} y_{ik}^\alpha w_{ij}^{\alpha*} T(e_j) \in M.$$

where  $Y^\alpha = (y_{ij}^\alpha) \in M_{d_\alpha}(\mathbb{C})$  is the inverse of  $Z^\alpha$ . In the light of [1, 26] and in resonance with the Serre–Swan theorem,  $\zeta_\alpha^L$  has to be interpreted as the *associated left quantum vector bundle* (associated left qvb) and  $\zeta_\alpha^R$  as the *associated right quantum vector bundle* (associated right qvb).

Let us fix a qpc  $\omega$ . Then the map

$$\Upsilon_\alpha^{-1} : \Omega^\bullet(M) \otimes_M \Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M) \longrightarrow \text{MOR}(\alpha, {}_H \Phi)$$

such that  $\Upsilon_\alpha^{-1}(\mu \otimes_M T) = \mu T$  is a graded  $M$ -bimodule isomorphism, where  $\text{MOR}(\alpha, {}_H \Phi)$  has the  $M$ -bimodule structure similar to the one of  $\text{MOR}(\alpha, {}_G M \Phi)$ , and its inverse function is given by

$$(44) \quad \Upsilon_\alpha(\tau) = \sum_{k=1}^{d_\alpha} \mu_k^\tau \otimes_M T_k^L, \quad \mu_k^\tau = \sum_{i=1}^{n_\alpha} \tau(e_i) x_{ki}^{\alpha*}.$$

Elements of this tensor product can be interpreted as *left qvb-valued differential forms*. Thus the linear map

$$(45) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha^\omega : \Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M) &\longrightarrow \Omega^1(M) \otimes_M \Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M) \\ T &\longmapsto \Upsilon_\alpha \circ D^\omega \circ T, \end{aligned}$$

is a *quantum linear connection* on  $\zeta_\alpha^L$  in the sense of [26]. Furthermore, the linear map

$$\tilde{\Upsilon}_\alpha^{-1} : \Gamma^R(M, V^\alpha M) \otimes_M \Omega^\bullet(M) \longrightarrow \text{MOR}(\alpha, {}_H \Phi)$$

such that  $\tilde{\Upsilon}_\alpha^{-1}(T \otimes_M \mu) = T\mu$  is also a graded  $M$ -bimodule isomorphism as well with its inverse function given by

$$\tilde{\Upsilon}_\alpha(\tau) = \sum_{k=1}^{d_\alpha} T_k^R \otimes_M \tilde{\mu}_k^\tau, \quad \tilde{\mu}_k^\tau = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_\alpha, n_\alpha} y_{ik}^\alpha w_{ij}^{\alpha*} \tau(e_j).$$

Elements of this tensor product can be interpreted as *right qvb-valued differential forms*. Hence the linear map

$$(46) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^\omega : \Gamma^R(M, V^\alpha M) &\longrightarrow \Gamma^R(M, V^\alpha M) \otimes_M \Omega^1(M) \\ T &\longmapsto \tilde{\Upsilon}_\alpha \circ \widehat{D}^\omega \circ T, \end{aligned}$$

is a *quantum linear connection* in  $\zeta_\alpha^R$ .  $\nabla_\alpha^\omega$  and  $\widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^\omega$  receive the name of *induced quantum linear connections* (induced qlc) of  $\omega$ . In addition, by defining

$$(47) \quad \sigma_\alpha := \tilde{\Upsilon}_\alpha \circ \Upsilon_\alpha^{-1}$$

we obtain that when  $\omega$  is real and regular

$$\sigma_\alpha \circ \nabla_\alpha^\omega = \widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^\omega,$$

which is the case of the theory presented in [12].

Extending  $\nabla_\alpha^\omega$  to the *exterior covariant derivative*

$$d^{\nabla_\alpha^\omega} : \Omega^\bullet(M) \otimes_M \Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M) \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(M) \otimes_M \Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M)$$

such that for all  $\mu \in \Omega^k(M)$

$$(48) \quad d^{\nabla_\alpha^\omega}(\mu \otimes_M T) = d\mu \otimes_M T + (-1)^k \mu \nabla_\alpha^\omega(T),$$

the curvature of  $\nabla_\alpha^\omega$  is defined as

$$(49) \quad R^{\nabla_\alpha^\omega} := d^{\nabla_\alpha^\omega} \circ \nabla_\alpha^\omega : \Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M) \longrightarrow \Omega^2(M) \otimes_M \Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M)$$

and the following formula holds

$$(50) \quad d^{\nabla_\alpha^\omega} = \Upsilon_\alpha \circ D^\omega \circ \Upsilon_\alpha^{-1}.$$

In the same way, by using the exterior covariant derivative of  $\widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^\omega$

$$d^{\widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^\omega} : \Gamma^R(M, V^\alpha M) \otimes_M \Omega^\bullet(M) \longrightarrow \Gamma^R(M, V^\alpha M) \otimes_M \Omega^\bullet(M)$$

which is given by

$$(51) \quad d^{\widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^\omega}(T \otimes_M \mu) = \widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^\omega(T)\mu + T \otimes_M d\mu,$$

the curvature is defined as

$$(52) \quad R^{\widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^\omega} := d^{\widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^\omega} \circ \widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^\omega : \Gamma^R(M, V^\alpha M) \longrightarrow \Gamma^R(M, V^\alpha M) \otimes_M \Omega^2(M)$$

and the following formula holds

$$(53) \quad d^{\widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^\omega} = \widetilde{\Upsilon}_\alpha \circ \widehat{D}^\omega \circ \widetilde{\Upsilon}_\alpha^{-1}.$$

All these constructions can be extended in a very natural way by using the direct sum operator for every  $\alpha \in \mathbf{OBJ}(\mathbf{Rep}_G)$ , not just for elements of  $\mathcal{T}$ . It is worth mentioning that definitions of  $\zeta_\alpha^L$ ,  $\zeta_\alpha^R$ , the fact that  $\Upsilon_\alpha$  and  $\widetilde{\Upsilon}_\alpha$  are  $M$ -bimodule isomorphisms, as well as Equations 50, 53 are clearly the *non-commutative* counter part of the Gauge Principle [27, 11].

In accordance with [28],  $GM \square^G V^{\alpha*} \cong \Gamma(M, V^\alpha M)$  (for the natural left coaction on the dual space of  $V^\alpha$ ,  $V^{\alpha*}$ ), which is the commonly accepted construction of the associated qvb. Nevertheless, we have decided to use  $\Gamma(M, V^\alpha M)$  because in this way, the definitions of  $\nabla_\alpha^\omega$ ,  $\widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^\omega$  are completely analogous to its *classical* counterparts (in Differential Geometry, both connections are the same); not to mention that it is easier to work with, because it will allow us to do explicit calculations. In addition, by using intertwining maps the definition of the *canonical hermitian structure* will look more natural.

**3.2. The Canonical Hermitian Structure.** For  $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$ , the left  $M$ -module morphism given by  $H$  followed by  $H|_{M^{d_\alpha} \cdot \varrho^\alpha(\mathbb{1})}^{-1}$ , which is

$$\bar{e}_i \longmapsto \sum_{k=1}^{d_\alpha} p_{ik} \bar{e}_k, \quad \text{with} \quad p_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{d_\alpha} x_{ik}^\alpha x_{jk}^{\alpha*} \in M,$$

induces a *canonical* hermitian structure on  $\zeta_\alpha^L$ , i.e., a  $M$ -valued sesquilinear map (antilinear in the second coordinate)

$$\langle -, - \rangle_L : \Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M) \times \Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M) \longrightarrow M$$

such that for all  $p \in M$ ,  $T_1, T_2 \in \Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M)$

- (1)  $\langle T_1, pT_2 \rangle_L = \langle T_1, T_2 \rangle_L p^*$ .
- (2)  $\langle T_1, T_2 \rangle_L^* = \langle T_2, T_1 \rangle_L$
- (3)  $\langle T_1, T_1 \rangle_L \in M^+$ , where  $M^+$  is the the pointed convex cone generated by elements of the form  $\{pp^*\}$ .

Explicitly

$$(54) \quad \langle T_1, T_2 \rangle_L = \sum_{k=1}^{n_\alpha} T_1(e_k) T_2(e_k)^*.$$

Even more

$$(55) \quad \langle T_1 p, T_2 \rangle_L = \langle T_1, T_2 p^* \rangle_L.$$

It is worth mentioning that  $\langle -, - \rangle_L$  does not depend on the orthonormal basis used to calculate it.

**Remark 2.** *The associated matrix of  $H$  followed by  $H|_{M^{d_\alpha} \cdot \varrho^\alpha(\mathbb{1})}^{-1}$  written in terms of the basis  $\{\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_{d_\alpha}\}$  is exactly  $\varrho^\alpha(\mathbb{1})$  (see Equation 37) and a direct calculation shows that*

$$\varrho^\alpha(\mathbb{1}) = \varrho^\alpha(\mathbb{1})^\dagger,$$

where  $\dagger$  denotes the composition of the  $*$  operation on  $M$  and the usual matrix transposition. General theory ([29]) tells us that in this situation  $\langle -, - \rangle_L$  is non-singular, i.e., there is a Riesz representation theorem in terms of left  $M$ -modules.

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbf{OBJ}(\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{G}})$  that coacts on  $V^\alpha$ . Then there exist  $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{T}$  coacting on  $V^{\alpha_i}$  such that  $\alpha \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \alpha_i$ . [7]. Assume that  $f$  is a corepresentation isomorphism between them. Thus

$$(56) \quad \begin{aligned} A_f : \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \Gamma^L(M, V^{\alpha_i} M) &\longrightarrow \Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M) \\ T &\longmapsto T \circ f \end{aligned}$$

is a  $M$ -bimodule isomorphism and its inverse is  $A_{f^{-1}}$  [12]. We can define an hermitian structure on  $\Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M)$  given by

$$(57) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle -, - \rangle_L : \Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M) \times \Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M) &\longrightarrow M \\ \left( \begin{array}{cc} T_1 & T_2 \end{array} \right) &\longmapsto \sum (T_1 \circ f^{-1})(v_k) (T_2 \circ f^{-1})(v_k)^*, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\{v_k\}$  an orthonormal basis of  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m V^{\alpha_i}$ . For any unitary corepresentation morphism  $f$ , the previous equation agrees with the canonical hermitian structure induced by the direct sum of the canonical hermitian structures of  $\zeta_{\alpha_i}^L$ , so we can take Equation 57 as our definition for

a general finite-dimensional  $\mathcal{G}$ -representation, especially because there always exists unitary corepresentation morphisms. In fact, according to [7]  $V^\alpha$  decomposes into an orthogonal direct sum of subspaces  $V_i^\alpha$  such that  $\alpha|_{V_i^\alpha} \cong \alpha_i$  and these restrictions are unitary. This tells us that it is enough to find an unitary corepresentation morphism between  $\alpha|_{V_i^\alpha}$  and  $\alpha_i$ . In accordance with [7]

$$\text{MOR}(\alpha|_{V_i^\alpha}, \alpha_i) = \{\hat{\lambda}\hat{f} \mid \hat{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}\},$$

where  $\hat{f}$  is a representation isomorphism. Thus by considering  $f = 1/(\det(\hat{f}))^{1/n_\alpha} \hat{f}$  it can be shown that  $f^* = \lambda f^{-1}$  since  $f^* \circ f = \lambda \text{id}_{V_i^\alpha}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  has to be a  $n_\alpha$ -root of unity. Due to the fact that  $f^* \circ f$  is a positive element and  $\lambda$  is also an eigenvalue, we conclude that  $\lambda = 1$ .

**Definition 3.1** (Canonical hermitian structure). *For every  $\alpha \in \text{OBJ}(\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{G}})$  we define the canonical hermitian structure on the associated left qvb  $\zeta_\alpha^L$  as the sesquilinear map given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -, - \rangle_L : \Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M) \times \Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M) &\longrightarrow M \\ \left( \begin{array}{cc} T_1 & T_2 \end{array} \right) &\longmapsto \sum_{k=1}^{n_\alpha} T_1(e_k) T_2(e_k)^*, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{n_\alpha}$  is any orthonormal basis of  $V^\alpha$ .

It is worth mentioning that despite that we have used the word *canonical* on its name,  $\langle -, - \rangle_L$  depends on the inner product  $\langle - | - \rangle$  of  $V^\alpha$  for which  $\alpha$  is unitary.

In [12] it was defined a canonical  $M$ -bimodule isomorphism

$$A_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} : \Gamma^L(M, (V_1 \otimes V_2)M) \longrightarrow \Gamma^L(M, V_1 M) \otimes_M \Gamma^L(M, V_2 M)$$

for all  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{T}$ .

The proof of the following proposition is a straightforward calculation

**Proposition 3.1.** *By considering the tensor product hermitian structure on the space  $\Gamma^L(M, V_1 M) \otimes_M \Gamma^L(M, V_2 M)$  given by*

$$\langle T_1 \otimes_M T_2, U_1 \otimes_M U_2 \rangle_L^\otimes = \langle T_1 \langle T_2, U_2 \rangle_L, U_1 \rangle_L,$$

all maps  $A_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$  are isometries.

Since  $\langle -, - \rangle_L$  does not depend on the orthonormal basis used to calculate it, one gets

**Proposition 3.2.** *If  $f : V \longrightarrow W$  is a unitary corepresentation morphism between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , then the  $M$ -bimodule morphism*

$$\begin{aligned} A_f : \Gamma^L(M, WM) &\longrightarrow \Gamma^L(M, VM) \\ T &\longmapsto T \circ f \end{aligned}$$

is an isometry.

Due to the fact that the canonical hermitian structure is induced by

$$(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{d_\alpha} p_i q_i^*,$$

where  $\bar{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_{d_\alpha})$ ,  $\bar{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_{d_\alpha}) \in M^{d_\alpha}$ , it follows that [29]

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\zeta$  be a qpb and let us assume that  $(M, \cdot, \mathbb{1}, *)$  has structure of  $C^*$ -algebra (or assume that it can be completed to a  $C^*$ -algebra). Then for all  $\alpha \in \text{OBJ}(\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{G}})$ ,*

$$(\zeta_{\alpha}^{\text{L}}, \langle -, - \rangle_{\text{L}})$$

*is a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module (or it can be completed to a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module).*

The previous theorem is important since, in its context, one can apply all the theory about Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules ([30]) to associated left qvbs.

**Definition 3.2** (Canonical hermitian structure). *For every  $\alpha \in \text{OBJ}(\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{G}})$  we define the canonical hermitian structure on the associated right qvb  $\zeta_{\alpha}^{\text{R}}$  as the sesquilinear map (now antilinear in the first coordinate) given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -, - \rangle_{\text{R}} : \Gamma^{\text{R}}(M, V^{\alpha}M) \times \Gamma^{\text{R}}(M, V^{\alpha}M) &\longrightarrow M \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} T_1 \\ T_2 \end{array} \right) &\longmapsto \sum_{k=1}^{n_{\alpha}} T_1(e_k)^* T_2(e_k), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{n_{\alpha}}$  is any orthonormal basis of  $V^{\alpha}$ .

It is worth mentioning that  $\langle -, - \rangle_{\text{R}}$  does not come from the generators  $\{T^{\text{R}}\}$ ; however, it shares with  $\langle -, - \rangle_{\text{L}}$  similar properties; for example, it is non-singular.

The introduction of hermitian structures on associated left/right qvbs opens the door to study adjointable operators  $\text{End}(\zeta_{\alpha}^{\text{L}})$ ,  $\text{End}(\zeta_{\alpha}^{\text{R}})$ , and unitary operators  $U(\zeta_{\alpha}^{\text{L}})$ ,  $U(\zeta_{\alpha}^{\text{R}})$  [29].

By taking a differential calculus on  $\zeta$ , the canonical hermitian structures can be extended to a  $\Omega^{\bullet}(M)$ -valued sesquilinear map

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -, - \rangle_{\text{L}} : (\Omega^{\bullet}(M) \otimes_M \Gamma^{\text{L}}(M, V^{\alpha}M)) \times (\Omega^{\bullet}(M) \otimes_M \Gamma^{\text{L}}(M, V^{\alpha}M)) &\longrightarrow \Omega^{\bullet}(M) \\ \langle -, - \rangle_{\text{R}} : (\Gamma^{\text{R}}(M, V^{\alpha}M) \otimes_M \Omega^{\bullet}(M)) \times (\Gamma^{\text{R}}(M, V^{\alpha}M) \otimes_M \Omega^{\bullet}(M)) &\longrightarrow \Omega^{\bullet}(M) \end{aligned}$$

by means of [29]

$$\langle \mu_1 \otimes_M T_1, \mu_2 \otimes_M T_2 \rangle_{\text{L}} = \mu_1 \langle T_1, T_2 \rangle_{\text{L}} \mu_2^*$$

and

$$\langle T_1 \otimes_M \mu_1, T_2 \otimes_M \mu_2 \rangle_{\text{R}} = \mu_1^* \langle T_1, T_2 \rangle_{\text{R}} \mu_2.$$

In the previous context, it is common to say that a qlc  $\nabla$  is compatible with a hermitian structure  $\langle -, - \rangle$  or that it is a hermitian qlc if

$$(58) \quad \langle \nabla(x_1), x_2 \rangle + \langle x_1, \nabla(x_2) \rangle = d\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$$

for all elements  $x_1, x_2$  of the qvb.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $(\zeta, \omega)$  be a qpb with a real qpc and  $\alpha \in \text{OBJ}(\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{G}})$ . Then the induced qlc is hermitian.*

*Proof.* It is enough to prove the statement for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$ . By using some basic relations and Equations 32, 33 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \nabla_\alpha^\omega(T_1), T_2 \rangle_L + \langle T_1, \nabla_\alpha^\omega(T_2) \rangle_L &= \sum_{k,i=1}^{d_\alpha, n_\alpha} \mu_k^{D^\omega \circ T_1} T_k^L(e_i) T_2(e_i)^* \\
 &+ T_1(e_i) T_k^L(e_i)^* (\mu_k^{D^\omega \circ T_2})^* \\
 &= \sum_{k,i,j=1}^{d_\alpha, n_\alpha} D^\omega(T_1(e_j)) x_{kj}^{\alpha*} x_{ki}^\alpha T_2(e_i)^* \\
 &+ T_1(e_i) x_{ki}^{\alpha*} x_{kj}^\alpha D^\omega(T_2(e_j))^* \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_\alpha} D^\omega(T_1(e_i)) T_2(e_i)^* + T_1(e_i) D^\omega(T_2(e_i))^* \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_\alpha} D^\omega(T_1(e_i) T_2(e_i)^*) \\
 &- \sum_{i,j=1}^{n_\alpha} T_1(e_j) \ell^\omega(\pi(g_{ji}^\alpha), T_2(e_i)^*) \\
 &+ \sum_{i,j=1}^{n_\alpha} T_1(e_i) \ell^\omega(\pi(\kappa(g_{ji}^\alpha)^*), T_2(e_j)^*) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_\alpha} D^\omega(T_1(e_i) T_2(e_i)^*) \\
 &- \sum_{i,j=1}^{n_\alpha} T_1(e_j) \ell^\omega(\pi(g_{ji}^\alpha), T_2(e_i)^*) \\
 &+ \sum_{i,j=1}^{n_\alpha} T_1(e_i) \ell^\omega(\pi(g_{ij}^\alpha), T_2(e_j)^*) \\
 &= D^\omega \langle T_1, T_2 \rangle_L = d \langle T_1, T_2 \rangle_L,
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $T_1, T_2 \in \Gamma(M, V^\alpha M)$ . Another explicit calculation like the previous one shows

$$\langle \widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^\omega(T_1), T_2 \rangle_R + \langle T_1, \widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^\omega(T_2) \rangle_R = d \langle T_1, T_2 \rangle_R.$$

■

In the context of [12], Propositions 3.1, 3.2 are telling us that the functor  $\text{Ass}$  can be defined by adding the inner product that turns  $\alpha$  into a unitary corepresentation and the canonical hermitian structure, at least for degree 0 morphisms.

Before concluding this section, it is important to mention that the definition of the canonical hermitian structure on associated left/right qvbs is based on the fact that in Differential Geometry, one can define a metric on associated vector bundles by using the inner product that turns the linear representation into a unitary one (invariant inner products). Even more, by taking a principal connection, the induced linear connection is compatible with this metric, and one can appreciate the *non-commutative* version of this result in Theorem 3.2.

#### 4. THE QUANTUM GAUGE GROUP AND ITS ACTION ON QUANTUM CONNECTIONS

The purpose of this section is to present our definition of the quantum gauge group for a given qpb and its action on the space of qpcs and the spaces of induced qlc. Finally, to show that all the theory developed in this paper is non-trivial, we will work with an illustrative example. Our definition of the quantum gauge group will be inspired by the one presented in [24]. To accomplish our goal, first of all, we need to define the *quantum translation map* [24, 31, 32].

**4.1. The Quantum Translation Map and The Quantum Gauge Group.** Given a qpb, the surjective map  $\beta$  can be used to define the linear isomorphism

$$\tilde{\beta} : GM \otimes_M GM \longrightarrow GM \otimes G$$

such that  $\tilde{\beta}(x \otimes_M y) = \beta(x \otimes y) = (x \otimes \mathbb{1}) \cdot_{GM} \Phi(y)$ . The degree zero quantum translation map is defined as

$$\text{qtrs} : G \longrightarrow GM \otimes_M GM$$

such that  $\text{qtrs}(g) = \tilde{\beta}^{-1}(\mathbb{1} \otimes g)$ . Explicitly, by taking the Hamel basis  $\{g_{ij}^\alpha\}_{\alpha,i,j}$  (see Theorem 2.1 and Remark 1) we have

$$\text{qtrs}(g_{ij}^\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{d_\alpha} x_{ki}^{\alpha*} \otimes_M x_{kj}^\alpha.$$

Sometimes for comfort, we are going to use the Sweedler's notation  $\text{qtrs}(g) = [g]_1 \otimes_M [g]_2$ . The map  $\tilde{\beta}$  has a natural extension to a graded linear isomorphism [31, 32]

$$(59) \quad \tilde{\beta} : \Omega^\bullet(GM) \otimes_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \Omega^\bullet(GM) \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(GM) \otimes \Gamma^\wedge.$$

given by

$$\tilde{\beta}(w \otimes z) = (w \otimes \mathbb{1}) \cdot_\Omega \Psi(z).$$

On the other hand, by taking a qpc  $\omega$  (which always exists [5]), the degree zero quantum translation map can be extended to

$$(60) \quad \text{qtrs} : \Gamma^\wedge \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(GM) \otimes_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \Omega^\bullet(GM)$$

and it can be proved that [31, 32]

$$\text{qtrs}(\vartheta) = \tilde{\beta}^{-1}(\mathbb{1} \otimes \vartheta).$$

The quantum translation map satisfies

- (1)  $\text{qtrs} \circ d = d_{\otimes^\bullet} \circ \text{qtrs}$ , where  $d_{\otimes^\bullet}$  is the differential map of  $\Omega^\bullet(GM) \otimes_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \Omega^\bullet(GM)$ .
- (2)  $\text{qtrs}(\theta) = \mathbb{1} \otimes_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \omega(\theta) - (m_\Omega \otimes_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \text{id}_{GM})(\omega \otimes \text{qtrs}) \text{ad}(\theta)$  for all  $\theta \in \text{inv} \Gamma$ , where  $m_\Omega$  is the multiplication map of  $\Omega^\bullet(GM)$ .
- (3)  $[\vartheta]_1 [\vartheta]_2 = \epsilon(\vartheta)$  for all  $\vartheta \in \Gamma^\wedge$ .
- (4)  $(\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(GM)} \otimes_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \Omega \Psi) \circ \text{qtrs} = (\text{qtrs} \otimes \text{id}_{\Gamma^\wedge}) \circ \phi$ .
- (5)  $(\Omega \Psi \otimes_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(GM)}) \circ \text{qtrs} = (\sigma \otimes_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(GM)}) \circ (\kappa \otimes \text{qtrs}) \circ \phi$ , where

$$\sigma : \Gamma^\wedge \otimes \Omega^\bullet(GM) \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(GM) \otimes \Gamma^\wedge$$

is the canonical graded twist map, i.e.,  $\sigma(\vartheta \otimes w) = (-1)^{kl} w \otimes \vartheta$  if  $w \in \Omega^k(M)$  and  $\vartheta \in \Gamma^\wedge$ .

- (6)  $\mu \text{qtrs}(\vartheta) = (-1)^{lk} \text{qtrs}(\vartheta) \mu$  for all  $\mu \in \Omega^k(M)$ ,  $\vartheta \in \Gamma^\wedge$ .

among other properties [31, 32]. It is worth mentioning that since  $\text{qtrs}$  agrees with  $\tilde{\beta}^{-1}(\mathbb{1} \otimes -)$ , it does not depend on the choice of  $\omega$ .

Let

$$\mathfrak{f}_1, \mathfrak{f}_2 : \Gamma^\wedge \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(GM)$$

be two graded linear maps. The convolution product of  $\mathfrak{f}_1$  with  $\mathfrak{f}_2$  is defined by

$$\mathfrak{f}_1 * \mathfrak{f}_2 = m_\Omega \circ (\mathfrak{f}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{f}_2) \circ \phi : \Gamma^\wedge \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(GM),$$

where  $m_\Omega$  is the product map. Now we will just consider graded maps  $\mathfrak{f}$  such that

$$\mathfrak{f}(\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{1}$$

and

$$(61) \quad (\mathfrak{f} \otimes \text{id}_{\Gamma^\wedge}) \circ \text{Ad} = {}_\Omega\Psi \circ \mathfrak{f}$$

where  $\text{Ad} : \Gamma^\wedge \longrightarrow \Gamma^\wedge \otimes \Gamma^\wedge$  is the extension of  $\text{Ad} : G \longrightarrow G \otimes G$  (see Equation 10). We say that  $\mathfrak{f}$  is a convolution invertible map if there exists a graded linear map  $\mathfrak{f}^{-1} : \Gamma^\wedge \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(GM)$  such that

$$\mathfrak{f} * \mathfrak{f}^{-1} = \mathfrak{f}^{-1} * \mathfrak{f} = \mathbb{1}\epsilon.$$

A direct calculation shows that the set of all convolution invertible maps is a group with respect to the convolution product.

**Proposition 4.1.** *There exists a group isomorphism between the group of all graded left  $\Omega^\bullet(M)$ -module isomorphisms  $\mathfrak{F} : \Omega^\bullet(GM) \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(GM)$  that satisfy  $\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{1}$  and such that the following diagram holds*

$$(62) \quad (\mathfrak{F} \otimes \text{id}_{\Gamma^\wedge}) \circ {}_\Omega\Psi = {}_\Omega\Psi \circ \mathfrak{F}$$

and the group of all convolution invertible maps. Here we have considered  $(\mathfrak{F}_1 \circ \mathfrak{F}_2)(w) = \mathfrak{F}_2(\mathfrak{F}_1(w))$ .

*Proof.* For a map  $\mathfrak{F}$ , consider

$$(63) \quad \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{F}} := m_{\Omega^\bullet} \circ (\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(GM)} \otimes_{\Omega^\bullet(GM)} \mathfrak{F}) \circ \text{qtrs} : \Gamma^\wedge \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(GM),$$

where  $m_{\Omega^\bullet} : \Omega^\bullet(GM) \otimes_{\Omega^\bullet(GM)} \Omega^\bullet(GM) \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(GM)$  is the multiplication map; and for  $\mathfrak{f}$  define

$$(64) \quad \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{f}} := m_\Omega \circ (\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(GM)} \otimes \mathfrak{f}) \circ {}_\Omega\Psi : \Omega^\bullet(GM) \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(GM).$$

Now the proof is completely analogous to the one presented in [24] but by considering the graded-differential  $*$ -Hopf algebra structure of  $\Gamma^\wedge, \Gamma^{\wedge\infty}$ , instead of  $\mathcal{G}^\infty$ . ■

Despite the following definition does not recreate the classical case, *a priori* motivation to consider it is the fact that in Non-Commutative Geometry, we identify the set of sections of the associated bundle with equivariant maps. In [11, 17, 18] one can find *a posteriori* motivation of this definition based on the orbits of Yang-Mills qpcs.

**Definition 4.1.** *(The quantum gauge group) Let  $\zeta = (GM, M, {}_{GM}\Phi)$  be a quantum  $\mathcal{G}$ -bundle over  $(M, \cdot, \mathbb{1}, *)$  with a differential calculus. We define the quantum gauge group  $\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$  as the group of all convolution invertible maps. Elements of  $\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$  are usually called quantum gauge transformations (qgts).*

Let  $\zeta$  be a quantum principal  $\mathcal{G}$ -bundle with a differential calculus. The set of all characters of  $G$

$$(65) \quad G_{cl} := \{\chi : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \chi \text{ is a character}\}$$

has a group structure with the multiplication  $\chi_1 * \chi_2 := (\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2) \circ \phi$ , the unity is  $\epsilon$  and the inverse of a character  $\chi$  is defined by  $\chi^{-1} := \chi \circ \kappa$  [7, 4]. In resonance with the Gelfand–Naimark theorem, this group can be interpreted as the group of all *classical points* of  $G$  and it is isomorphic to a compact subgroup of  $U(n)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  [4, 7]. Every character  $\chi$  can be extended to

$$(66) \quad \chi : \Gamma^\wedge \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

by means of  $\chi|_G := \chi$ ,  $\chi|_{\Gamma^{\wedge k}} := 0$  for  $k \geq 1$ . Consider

$$\mathfrak{F}_\chi := (\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \otimes \chi) \circ_{\Omega} \Psi : \Omega^\bullet(GM) \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(GM).$$

This map is a graded differential  $*$ -algebra isomorphism with inverse

$$\mathfrak{F}_\chi^{-1} := \mathfrak{F}_{\chi^{-1}}$$

because of  $(\circ_{\Omega} \Psi)$  is a coaction of  $\Gamma^\wedge$ , see Diagrams 1, 2)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}_{\chi^{-1}} \circ \mathfrak{F}_\chi &= (\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(GM)} \otimes \chi^{-1}) \circ_{\Omega} \Psi \circ (\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(GM)} \otimes \chi) \circ_{\Omega} \Psi \\ &= (\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(GM)} \otimes \chi^{-1} \otimes \chi) \circ (\circ_{\Omega} \Psi \otimes \text{id}_{\Gamma^\wedge}) \circ_{\Omega} \Psi \\ &= (\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(GM)} \otimes \chi^{-1} \otimes \chi) \circ (\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(GM)} \otimes \phi) \circ_{\Omega} \Psi \\ &= (\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(GM)} \otimes ((\chi^{-1} \otimes \chi) \circ \phi)) \circ_{\Omega} \Psi = (\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(GM)} \otimes \epsilon) \circ_{\Omega} \Psi \cong \text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(GM)} \end{aligned}$$

and a similar calculation works to prove that  $\mathfrak{F}_\chi \circ \mathfrak{F}_{\chi^{-1}} = \text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(GM)}$ . Due to the fact that

$$\mu \in \Omega^\bullet(M) \iff \circ_{\Omega} \Psi(\mu) = \mu \otimes \mathbb{1}$$

it is clear that  $\mathfrak{F}_\chi|_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} = \text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(M)}$ . Finally a direct calculation by using Diagram 2 as before proves that  $\mathfrak{F}_\chi \in \mathfrak{q}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$  if and only if

$$(67) \quad (\text{id}_{\Gamma^\wedge} \otimes \chi) \circ \phi = (\chi \otimes \text{id}_{\Gamma^\wedge}) \circ \phi.$$

In this way, if one considers the submonoid  $\widehat{G}_{cl}$  of  $G_{cl}$  such that Equation 67 holds, then it is possible to define the monoid morphism.

$$(68) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta : \widehat{G}_{cl} &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{q}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G} \\ \chi &\longmapsto \mathfrak{F}_\chi. \end{aligned}$$

If  $G$  is abelian in the sense of [7] (for example, for the cmqg associated to the Lie group  $U(1)$ ), then  $\widehat{G}_{cl} = G_{cl}$  and  $\Delta$  is a group morphism. This is the *quantum* counterpart of the *classical* fact that for a given principal  $\mathcal{G}$ -bundle with total space  $GM$  and  $G$  abelian, the diffeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} r_g : GM &\longrightarrow GM \\ x &\longmapsto xg \end{aligned}$$

is a gauge transformation for all  $g \in G$ .

**4.2. Action on Quantum Connections.** The main idea of gauge theory is to study classes of objects transformable one to another by gauge transformations and in particular, to study the gauge-invariant objects. A condition is called gauge-invariant if it is satisfied by the whole gauge class. Probably one of the most important examples of gauge-equivalent objects arises when the gauge group acts on the set of principal connections by means of the pull-back, since this reverberates in an action on associated connections. The purpose of this section is to get the non-commutative geometrical counterpart of the gauge group's action on connections.

The proof of the following theorem is straightforward and hence we will omit it.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\zeta = (GM, M, {}_{GM}\Phi)$  be a qpb over  $(M, \cdot, \mathbb{1}, *)$  with a qpc  $\omega$  and a qgt  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Then*

(1) *The linear map  $\mathfrak{f}^*\omega$  defined by*

$$(69) \quad \mathfrak{f}^*\omega(\theta) := \mathfrak{F}_f(\omega(\theta))$$

*is again a qpc, and this defines a right group action of the qgg in the set of all qpcs of  $\zeta$ ,  $\mathbf{qpc}(\zeta)$ .*

(2) *If  $\mathfrak{F}_f$  preserves the  $*$  operation,  $\mathfrak{f}^*\omega$  is real if  $\omega$  is real.*

(3) *If  $\mathfrak{F}_f$  is a graded  $*$ -algebra morphism,  $\mathfrak{f}^*\omega$  is regular if  $\omega$  is regular.*

(4) *If  $\mathfrak{F}_f$  is a graded  $*$ -algebra morphism,  $\mathfrak{f}^*\omega$  is multiplicative if  $\omega$  is multiplicative.*

It is worth mentioning that to define  $\mathfrak{F}_f$  as a graded left  $\Omega^\bullet(M)$ -module isomorphism such that it satisfies Diagram 62 and  $\mathfrak{F}_f(\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{1}$ , it is not necessary the quantum translation map. The last theorem provides us gauge-equivalence classes of quantum principal connections and the moduli space of quantum connections.

Like in the *classical* case, it is possible to find an explicit formula of the gauge action on connections and their curvatures.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Given a qpc  $\omega$ , we get*

$$(70) \quad \mathfrak{f}^*\omega(\theta) = m_\Omega(\omega \otimes \mathfrak{f})\text{ad}(\theta) + \mathfrak{f}(\theta)$$

*for all  $\theta \in \text{inv}\Gamma$ . Even more, if  $\mathfrak{F}_f$  is a graded differential  $*$ -algebra morphism, then the curvature satisfies*

$$(71) \quad \mathfrak{F}_f(R^\omega(\theta)) = R^{\mathfrak{f}^*\omega}(\theta) = m_\Omega(R^\omega \otimes \mathfrak{f})\text{ad}(\theta).$$

*Proof.* For all  $\theta \in \text{inv}\Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f}(\theta) &= m_{\Omega^\bullet}(\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(GM)} \otimes_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \mathfrak{F}_f) \text{qtrs}(\theta) \\ &= \mathfrak{F}_f(\omega(\theta)) - \omega(\theta^{(0)}) [\theta^{(1)}]_1 \mathfrak{F}_f([\theta^{(1)}]_2) = \mathfrak{F}_f(\omega(\theta)) - \omega(\theta^{(0)}) \mathfrak{f}(\theta^{(1)}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{ad}(\theta) = \theta^{(0)} \otimes \theta^{(1)}$ . The last equality implies that

$$\mathfrak{f}^*\omega(\theta) = \omega(\theta^{(0)}) \mathfrak{f}(\theta^{(1)}) + \mathfrak{f}(\theta) = m_\Omega(\omega \otimes \mathfrak{f})\text{ad}(\theta) + \mathfrak{f}(\theta).$$

On the other hand assume that  $\mathfrak{F}_f$  is a graded differential  $*$ -algebra. If  $\theta = \pi(g)$  such that  $\delta(\theta) = \pi(g^{(1)}) \otimes \pi(g^{(2)})$  with  $\phi(g) = g^{(1)} \otimes g^{(2)}$  [25], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}_f(R^\omega(\theta)) &= \mathfrak{F}_f(d\omega(\theta)) - \mathfrak{F}_f(\langle \omega, \omega \rangle(\theta)) = d\mathfrak{f}^*\omega(\theta) - \langle \mathfrak{f}^*\omega, \mathfrak{f}^*\omega \rangle(\theta) \\ &= R^{\mathfrak{f}^*\omega}(\theta); \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\mathfrak{F}_f \circ R^\omega = m_\Omega \circ (\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(GM)} \otimes f) \circ \Omega \Psi \circ R^\omega = m_\Omega \circ (R^\omega \otimes f) \circ \text{ad},$$

which completes the proof. ■

It is worth mentioning that the covariant derivative fulfills

$$(72) \quad D^{f^{\otimes \omega}}(\varphi) = d\varphi - (-1)^k \varphi^{(0)} \mathfrak{F}_f(\omega(\pi(\varphi^{(1)})))$$

where  $\varphi \in \text{Hor}^k GM$ .

From this point and in the rest of this section, we shall assume that  $\mathfrak{F}_f$  is a graded differential  $*$ -algebra morphism. This happens, for example, for qgts induced by elements of  $\widehat{G}_{cl}$ .

**4.3. On Induced Quantum Linear Connections.** In Differential Geometry the gauge group acts on associated vector bundles via vector bundle isomorphisms. Specifically, given a principal  $G$ -bundle  $\zeta = (GM, M, \pi)$  ( $\pi$  is the projection map) and an associated vector bundle  $\zeta_\alpha = (V^\alpha M, M, \pi_\alpha)$  for the linear representation  $\alpha : G \rightarrow GL(V^\alpha)$ , a gauge transformation  $F$  induces a vector bundle isomorphism defined by [12]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_F : V^\alpha M &\longrightarrow V^\alpha M \\ [x, v] &\longmapsto [F(x), v] \end{aligned}$$

If  $\omega$  is a principal connection of  $\zeta$ , then  $\mathbf{A}_F$  is a parallel vector bundle isomorphism between  $(\zeta_\alpha, \nabla_\alpha^\omega)$  and  $(\zeta_\alpha, \nabla_\alpha^{F^*\omega})$  and we have

$$\nabla_\alpha^{F^*\omega} = (\text{id}_{\Omega^1(M)} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_F) \circ \nabla_\alpha^\omega \circ \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_F^{-1}$$

(the tensor product is over  $C^\infty(M)$ ), where here  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_F$  denotes the isomorphism of sections induced by  $\mathbf{A}_F$  [12]. The curvature follows a similar formula.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $(\zeta, \omega)$  be a qpb with a qpc. Then a quantum gauge transformation  $f$  defines a left  $M$ -module automorphism  $\mathbf{A}_f$  of  $\zeta_\alpha^L$  such that*

$$(\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \otimes_M \mathbf{A}_f) \circ \nabla_\alpha^\omega = \nabla_\alpha^{f^{\otimes \omega}} \circ \mathbf{A}_f$$

for a fixed  $\mathcal{G}$ -representation. Furthermore (see Equation 47)  $(\mathbf{A}_f \otimes_M \text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(M)}) \circ \sigma_\alpha = \sigma_\alpha \circ (\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \otimes_M \mathbf{A}_f)$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to prove the theorem for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$ . Let us start noticing that by Diagram 62,  $D^{f^{\otimes \omega}} \circ \mathfrak{F}_f = \mathfrak{F}_f \circ D^\omega$  and also, by this diagram, the map

$$(73) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_f : \Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M) &\longrightarrow \Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M) \\ T &\longmapsto \mathfrak{F}_f \circ T \end{aligned}$$

is well-defined. Even more, it is a  $M$ -bimodule morphism, and its inverse is given by the composition with  $\mathfrak{F}_f^{-1}$ . In this way, for all  $T \in \Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M)$

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_\alpha^{f^\otimes \omega} \circ \mathbf{A}_f)(T) &= \nabla_\alpha^{f^\otimes \omega}(\mathfrak{F}_f \circ T) = \sum_{k=1}^{d_\alpha} \mu^{D^{f^\otimes \omega} \circ \mathfrak{F}_f \circ T} \otimes_M T_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{d_\alpha} \mu^{\mathfrak{F}_f \circ D^\omega \circ T} \otimes_M T_k; \end{aligned}$$

so  $(\Upsilon_\alpha^{-1} \circ \nabla_\alpha^{f^\otimes \omega} \circ \mathbf{A}_f)(T) = \mathfrak{F}_f \circ D^\omega \circ T$ . On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} ((\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \otimes_M \mathbf{A}_f) \circ \nabla_\alpha^\omega)(T) &= \sum_{k=1}^{d_\alpha} (\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \otimes_M \mathbf{A}_f)(\mu^{D^\alpha \circ T} \otimes_M T_k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{d_\alpha} \mu^{D^\alpha \circ T} \otimes_M \mathbf{A}_f(T_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{d_\alpha} \mu^{D^\omega \circ T} \otimes_M \mathfrak{F}_f \circ T_k; \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$(\Upsilon_\alpha^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \otimes_M \mathbf{A}_f) \circ \nabla_\alpha^\omega)(T) = \sum_{k=1}^{d_\alpha} \mu^{D^\omega \circ T} \mathfrak{F}_f \circ T_k = \mathfrak{F}_f \circ \sum_{k=1}^{d_\alpha} \mu^{D^\omega \circ T} T_k = \mathfrak{F}_f \circ D^\omega \circ T.$$

By using the fact that  $\Upsilon_\alpha^{-1}$  is bijective, we conclude that  $\mathbf{A}_f$  satisfies the first part of the statement.

Let us take  $\psi \in \Omega^\bullet(M) \otimes_M \Gamma(M, V^\alpha M)$ . Then if  $\Upsilon_\alpha^{-1}(\psi) = \sum_k T_k^R \tilde{\mu}_k$  we get

$$(\mathbf{A}_f \otimes_M \text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(M)})\sigma_\alpha(\psi) = \sum_k \mathbf{A}_f(T_k^R) \otimes_M \tilde{\mu}_k = \sum_k \mathfrak{F}_f \circ T_k^R \otimes_M \tilde{\mu}_k$$

so  $\tilde{\Upsilon}_\alpha^{-1}(\mathbf{A}_f \otimes_M \text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(M)})\sigma_\alpha(\psi) = (\mathfrak{F}_f \circ \Upsilon_\alpha^{-1})(\psi)$ ; while

$$\sigma_\alpha(\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \otimes_M \mathbf{A}_f)(\psi) = \sum_k T_k^R \otimes_M \mu'_k,$$

if  $(\mathfrak{F}_f \circ \Upsilon_\alpha^{-1})(\psi) = \sum_k T_k^R \mu'_k$  because of  $(\Upsilon_\alpha^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \otimes_M \mathbf{A}_f))(\psi) = (\mathfrak{F}_f \circ \Upsilon_\alpha^{-1})(\psi)$ , hence

$\tilde{\Upsilon}_\alpha^{-1}\sigma_\alpha(\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \otimes_M \mathbf{A}_f)(\psi) = (\mathfrak{F}_f \circ \Upsilon_\alpha^{-1})(\psi)$  and the theorem follows because  $\tilde{\Upsilon}_\alpha^{-1}$  is bijective. ■

**Corollary 4.1.** *The following formula holds:*

$$\nabla_\alpha^{f^\otimes \omega} = (\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \otimes_M \mathbf{A}_f) \circ \nabla_\alpha^\omega \circ \mathbf{A}_f^{-1} = (\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \otimes_M \mathbf{A}_f) \circ \nabla_\alpha^\omega \circ \mathbf{A}_{f^{-1}}.$$

**Proposition 4.3.** *We have  $\mathbf{A}_f \in U(\mathcal{C}_\alpha^L)$ .*

*Proof.* As before, it is enough to prove the proposition for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$ . In this way by taking  $T_1, T_2 \in \Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{A}_f(T_1), T_2 \rangle_L &= \sum_{k=1}^{n_\alpha} \mathfrak{F}_f(T_1(e_k)) T_2(e_k)^* = \sum_{k=1}^{n_\alpha} \mathfrak{F}_f(T_1(e_k)) \mathfrak{F}_f^{-1}(T_2(e_k))^* \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n_\alpha} T_1(e_k) \mathfrak{F}_f^{-1}(T_2(e_k))^* \\ &= \langle T_1, \mathfrak{F}_f^{-1} \circ T_2 \rangle_L \\ &= \langle T_1, \mathbf{A}_f^{-1}(T_2) \rangle_L, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that  $\sum_{k=1}^{n_\alpha} T_1(e_k) \mathfrak{F}_f^{-1}(T_2(e_k))^* \in M$ . This allows us to conclude that  $\mathbf{A}_f$  is adjointable with respect to  $\langle -, - \rangle_L$  and  $\mathbf{A}_f^\dagger = \mathbf{A}_f^{-1}$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Last corollary turns into  $\nabla_\alpha^{f^{\otimes \omega}} = (\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \otimes_M \mathbf{A}_f) \circ \nabla_\alpha^\omega \circ \mathbf{A}_f^\dagger$ .*

A direct calculation shows

$$(74) \quad R^{\nabla_\alpha^{f^{\otimes \omega}}} = (\text{id}_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} \otimes_M \mathbf{A}_f) \circ R^{\nabla_\alpha^\omega} \circ \mathbf{A}_f^\dagger.$$

Of course, there are similar results for  $(\zeta_\alpha^R, \widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^\omega)$ ,  $(\zeta_\alpha^R, \widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^{f^{\otimes \omega}})$  and

$$(75) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_f : \Gamma^R(M, V^\alpha M) &\longrightarrow \Gamma^R(M, V^\alpha M) \\ T &\longmapsto \widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_f \circ T \end{aligned}$$

where  $\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_f := * \circ \mathfrak{F}_f \circ *$ .

**Remark 3.** *Notice that in order to define  $\mathbf{A}_f$  and  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_f$  it is not necessary that  $\mathfrak{F}_f$  be a graded differential  $*$ -algebra morphism, our definition works for any qgt  $\mathfrak{f}$ ; so this induces a natural right action of  $\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{G}$  on  $\Gamma^L(M, V^\alpha M)$  and  $\Gamma^R(M, V^\alpha M)$ .*

**4.4. Example: Trivial Quantum Principal Bundles.** Mirroring the *classical* case, trivial quantum principal bundles are perhaps the first examples that one has in mind. We will consider the theory of trivial qpbs developed in [5] to show our example. It is worth mentioning that this kind of bundles are also trivial in the sense of [19].

In [5] there is a characterization of all real qpc's that we can extend to any qpc (not necessary real) by linear maps

$$A^\omega : {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma \longrightarrow \Omega^1(M),$$

which can be interpreted as *the non-commutative gauge potentials*. In this way, every qpc  $\omega$  is of the form

$$\omega = (A^\omega \otimes \text{id}_G) \circ \text{ad} + \omega^{\text{triv}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^{\text{triv}} : {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma &\longrightarrow \Omega^1(M \otimes G) \\ \theta &\longrightarrow \mathbb{1} \otimes \theta \end{aligned}$$

receives the name of *trivial qpc*. Of course, this characterization extends to the curvature by using *the non-commutative field strength*  $F^\omega$  [5]

$$R^\omega = (F^\omega \otimes \text{id}_G) \circ \text{ad}, \quad F^\omega = dA^\omega - \langle A^\omega, A^\alpha \rangle : {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma \longrightarrow \Omega^2(M).$$

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $\zeta^{\text{triv}}$  be a trivial quantum principal  $\mathcal{G}$ -bundle with the trivial differential calculus. Given  $\mathcal{T}$  a complete set of mutually non-equivalent irreducible unitary  $\mathcal{G}$ -representations, we have*

- (1) *If  $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$  coacts on a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of dimension  $n_\alpha$ , then there exists a left-right  $M$ -basis  $\{T_k^\alpha\}_{k=1}^{n_\alpha} \subseteq \text{MOR}(\alpha, {}_{GM}\Phi)$  such that Equation 34 holds. In particular, associated left/right qvbs always exists for any  $\alpha \in \text{OBJ}(\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathcal{G}})$  and they are trivial qvbs in the sense of [12].*
- (2) *For the trivial qpc (which is real, regular, multiplicative and flat [5])*

$$D^{\omega^{\text{triv}}} \circ T_k^\alpha = 0, \quad \widehat{D}^{\omega^{\text{triv}}} \circ T_k^\alpha = 0$$

for all  $k$ .

- (3) *The induced qlc for  $\omega^{\text{triv}}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$  can be expressed by*

$$(76) \quad \nabla_\alpha^{\omega^{\text{triv}}}(T) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_\alpha} dp_k^T \otimes_M T_k^\alpha, \quad \widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^{\omega^{\text{triv}}}(T) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_\alpha} T_k^\alpha \otimes_M dp_k^T,$$

where  $p_k^T = T(e_k)$ . In particular, it is a trivial qlc in the sense of [12].

- (4) *The exterior covariant derivatives are given by*

$$d^{\nabla_\alpha^{\omega^{\text{triv}}}}(\mu \otimes_M T) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_\alpha} d(\mu p_k^T) \otimes_M T_k^\alpha,$$

and

$$d^{\widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^{\omega^{\text{triv}}}}(T \otimes_M \mu) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_\alpha} T_k^\alpha \otimes_M d(\mu p_k^T)$$

for all  $\mu \in \Omega^\bullet(M)$ . In particular  $\nabla_\alpha^{\omega^{\text{triv}}}$  and  $\widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^{\omega^{\text{triv}}}$  are flat.

*Proof.* (1) Consider  $G^\alpha = (g_{ij}^\alpha) \in M_{n_\alpha}(G)$ . Then the linear maps

$$T_k^\alpha : V^\alpha \longrightarrow M \otimes G$$

defined by  $T_k^\alpha(e_i) = \mathbb{1} \otimes g_{ki}^\alpha$ , is a left-right  $M$ -basis of  $\text{MOR}(\alpha, {}_{GM}\Phi)$  that satisfy Equation 34 since according to [7]  $G^\alpha G^{\alpha^\dagger} = G^{\alpha^\dagger} G^\alpha = \text{Id}_{n_\alpha}$ .

- (2) Because of  $dg = g^{(1)}\pi(g^{(2)})$  for all  $g \in G$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\omega^{\text{triv}}}(T_k^\alpha(e_i)) &= D^{\omega^{\text{triv}}}(\mathbb{1} \otimes g_{ki}^\alpha) = \mathbb{1} \otimes dg_{ki}^\alpha - (\mathbb{1} \otimes g_{ki}^{\alpha(1)}) \omega^{\text{triv}}(\pi(g_{ki}^{\alpha(2)})) \\ &= \mathbb{1} \otimes dg_{ki}^\alpha - \mathbb{1} \otimes g_{ki}^{\alpha(1)} \pi(g_{ki}^{\alpha(2)}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $D^{\omega^{\text{triv}}} \circ T_k^\alpha = 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n_\alpha$ . A similar calculation proves  $\widehat{D}^{\omega^{\text{triv}}} \circ T_k^\alpha = 0$ .

(3) In this case for all  $T \in \text{MOR}(\alpha, {}_{GM}\Phi)$  we get  $T = \sum_{k=1}^{n_\alpha} p_k^T T_k^\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{n_\alpha} T_k^\alpha p_k^T$ . By

the previous point together with Equation 32 shows that  $D^{\omega^{\text{triv}}} \circ T = \sum_{k=1}^{n_\alpha} dp_k^T T_k^\alpha$ ,

$\widehat{D}^{\omega^{\text{triv}}} \circ T = \sum_{k=1}^{n_\alpha} T_k^\alpha dp_k^T$  and hence Equation 76 holds.

(4) It follows from the previous point. ■

For degree zero the quantum translation map is given by

$$\text{qtrs}(g_{ij}^\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_\alpha} (\mathbb{1} \otimes g_{ki}^{\alpha*}) \otimes_M (\mathbb{1} \otimes g_{kj}^\alpha).$$

and by considering the trivial qpc we get that for all  $\theta \in {}_{\text{inv}}\Gamma$

$$\text{qtrs}(\theta) = \mathbb{1} \otimes_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} (\mathbb{1} \otimes \theta) - (\mathbb{1} \otimes \theta^{(0)}) \text{qtrs}(\theta^{(1)}),$$

where  $\text{ad}(\theta) = \theta^{(0)} \otimes \theta^{(1)}$ . The inverse function  $\widetilde{\beta}$  is given by

$$\widetilde{\beta}(w \otimes_{\Omega^\bullet(M)} (\mu \otimes \vartheta)) = (w \otimes \mathbb{1}) \cdot {}_\Omega\Psi(\mu \otimes \vartheta) = w\mu \otimes \phi(\vartheta).$$

Let us consider a concrete example by using quantum line bundles. In this case, the  $\ast$ -FODC on  $U(1)$  will be given by the right ideal  $\text{Ker}^2(\epsilon)$  and hence the universal differential envelope  $\ast$ -calculus  $(\Gamma^\wedge, d, \ast)$  is the *classical* algebra of differential forms of  $U(1)$ .

If  $\mathfrak{f}$  is a graded differential  $\ast$ -algebra (like in the *classical* case), then  $\text{Im}(\mathfrak{f})$  is graded commutative. Since  $z$  is a unitary element and  $\Gamma^{\wedge k} = \{0\}$  for  $k \geq 2$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{f}$  has to satisfy

$$\mathfrak{f}(z) = p \quad \text{where} \quad pp^* = p^*p = \mathbb{1} \quad \text{and} \quad p^*dp \in \text{Ker}(d).$$

The action of this kind of qgts on qpcs is determined by

$$\mathfrak{f}^{\otimes} \omega^{\text{triv}}(\varsigma) = A^\omega(\varsigma) \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes \varsigma \quad \text{with} \quad A^\omega(\varsigma) = p^*dp,$$

where  $\pi(z) = \varsigma$ . At least, we can guarantee that qgts given by  $\widehat{G}_{cl}$ , which in this case are of the form  $\mathfrak{f}_\chi = e^{ix} \mathbb{1}$  with  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , are graded differential  $\ast$ -algebra morphisms. The existence of more graded differential  $\ast$ -algebra morphisms that also are qgts depends on the explicit form of  $(\Omega^\bullet(M), d, \ast)$ . For example if  $(\Omega^\bullet(M), d, \ast)$  is the graded differential  $\ast$ -algebra used in [16] (the Chevalley–Eilenberg complex for square matrices of order 2 with complex coefficients), then there are no more qgts of this type.

When  $(\Omega^\bullet(M), d, \ast)$  comes from a smooth (compact) manifold, then we get all the *classical* gauge transformations; however, there are qgts that do not give rise to smooth maps and hence they are useless in the framework of Differential Geometry.

## 5. CONCLUDING COMMENTS

This paper extends the work presented in [12] in the sense that we use *general* qpcs, not just the real and regular ones, and we have defined other geometric structures. First of all, we would like to highlight the importance of the universal differential envelope  $\ast$ -calculus

$(\Gamma^\wedge, d, *)$  as *quantum differential forms on  $\mathcal{G}$* . This space is one of the principal differences with the theory of [19], and it does not only allows to extend the  $*$ -Hopf algebra structure of  $\mathcal{G}^\infty$  to  $\Gamma^\wedge$ , but it is maximal with this property [4]. Moreover, this space allowed us to define the quantum translation map at the level of differential calculus.

Now let us talk about the qgg. As we have mentioned before, Definition 4.1 is the one presented in [24] but at the level of differential calculus, and as we have just seen, it does not recreate the *classical* case.

One possible option to recreate the *classical* case is by considering convolution invertible maps that also are graded differential  $*$ -algebras and defining the qgg as the group generated by these elements. Another option is defining the qgg as the group of all graded differential  $*$ -algebra isomorphisms  $\mathfrak{F} : \Omega^\bullet(GM) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(GM)$  that satisfy Diagram 62. With these options, depending on the qpb, the qgg could not have *enough* elements. This is a problem, for example, when we talk about Yang–Mills theory in Non–Commutative Geometry since the orbit of *Yang–Mills qpcs* could be trivial [16, 17, 18]; from a physical point of view, this implies that there could be too many non–gauge–equivalent boson fields. To prevent this fact, we have decided to define the qgg in the most general way and use Equation 69.

In the literature, for example [33], there is a common accepted action of the qgg as

$$f * \omega * f^{-1} + f * (d \circ f^{-1});$$

nevertheless, this definition is not well–defined in Durdevich’s framework since qpcs are defined on the *quantum* Lie algebra  $\text{inv}\Gamma$ . For this reason, we used Equation 69 to define the action of  $\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{G}$  on  $\mathfrak{qpc}(\zeta)$ . In the *classical* case, the action of the gauge group on principal connections is via the pullback; Equation 69 is just the *dualization* of that.

On the other hand, although this work has been developed in the framework of Non–Commutative Geometry, the quantum gauge group is a classical group. Therefore, an exciting way of research would be to explore a way to define the qgg as a quantum group; although there would be coactions instead of actions in this situation.

Since  $\text{MOR}(\alpha, {}_{GM}\Phi)$  is a  $M$ –bimodule in a natural way, we can not ignore the left or the right  $M$ –module structure. Even more, in general, it is not a finitely generated projective  $M$ –bimodule. This is why we decided to deal with both structures, and Durdevich’s theory allows us to develop (under the assumptions of Remark 1) the theory for the left/right associated qvbs. In [16, 17, 18] one can appreciate more explicitly the importance of taking into account both associated qvbs and their induced qlcs. It is worth mentioning that for smooth compact manifolds, both associated qvbs are the same and since principal connections are always regular, both induced qlcs are the same.

The reader is invited to notice the incredible geometric–dual similarity of this theory with Differential Geometry, particularly our construction of the canonical hermitian structure (see Definitions 3.1, 3.2), Theorems 3.2, 4.1 and Propositions 4.2. We have to emphasis that according to [6], if the quantum base space  $(M, \cdot, \mathbb{1}, *)$  is stable under holomorphic functional calculus, then Equation 34 holds, so it is not a very restrictive condition as it seems at first sight.

## REFERENCES

- [1] CONNES, A. : *Noncommutative Geometry*, <https://www.alainconnes.org/docs/book94bigpdf.pdf>, 2019

- [2] PRUGOVECKI, E. : *Quantum Geometry: A framework for Quantum General Relativity–Fundamental Theories of Physics*, Springer, 1992.
- [3] WORONOWICZ, S, L. : *Pseudospaces, Pseudogroups and Pontryagin Duality, in: Proceedings of International Conference on Mathematics and Physics*, Lausanne 1979, Lectures Notes in Physics, **115**, 407–412 (1980).
- [4] DURDEVICH, M. : *Geometry of Quantum Principal Bundles I*, Commun Math Phys **175** (3), 457-521 (1996).
- [5] DURDEVICH, M. : *Geometry of Quantum Principal Bundles II*, Rev. Math. Phys. **9** (5), 531–607 (1997).
- [6] DURDEVICH, M. : *Geometry of Quantum Principal Bundles III*, Algebras, Groups & Geometries. **27**, 247–336 (2010).
- [7] WORONOWICZ, S, L. : *Compact Matrix Pseudogroups*, Commun. Math. Phys. **111**, 613-665 (1987).
- [8] WORONOWICZ, S, L. : *Differential Calculus on Compact Matrix Pseudogroups (Quantum Groups)*, Commun. Math. Phys. **122**, 125-170 (1989).
- [9] DURDEVICH, M. : *Quantum Classifying Spaces and Universal Quantum Characteristic Classes*, Banach Center Publications **40**, 315-327 (1997).
- [10] DURDEVICH, M. : *Characteristic Classes of Quantum Principal Bundles*, Algebras, Groups and Geometries **26**, 241-341 (2009).
- [11] SALDAÑA, M, G, A. & WEINGART, G. : *Functoriality of Principal Bundles and Connections*, arXiv:1907.10231v2, 18 Apr 2020.
- [12] SALDAÑA, M, G. : *Functoriality of Quantum Principal Bundles and Quantum Connections*, arXiv:2002.04015, 10 Feb 2020.
- [13] Hajac, P & Majid, S. : *Projective Module Description of the  $q$ -monopole*, Commun. Math. Phys., **206**, 247–264 (1999).
- [14] LANDI, G.; REINA, C. & ZAMPINI, A. : *Gauge Laplacians on Quantum Hopf Bundles*, Commun. Math. Phys., **287**, 179–209 (2009).
- [15] ZAMPINI, A. : *Warped Products and Yang-Mills Equations on Noncommutative Spaces*, Lett. Math. Phys., **105** (2), 221–243 (2015).
- [16] SALDAÑA, M, G, A. : *Quantum Principal Bundles and Yang–Mills–Scalar–Matter Fields*. arXiv:2109.01554v2, 1 Dec 2021.
- [17] SALDAÑA, M, G, A. : *Yang–Mills–Matter–Fields in the Quantum Hopf Fibration*. arXiv:2112.01973v1, 3 Dec 2021.
- [18] SALDAÑA, M, G, A. : *Yang–Mills–Matter–Fields in the Two–Point Space*. arXiv:2112.00647v1, 1 Dec 2021.
- [19] BRZEZIŃSKI, T & MAJID, S. : *Quantum Group Gauge Theory on Quantum spaces*, Commun. Math. Phys. **157**, 591–638 (1993). Erratum: Commun. Math. Phys. 167–235 (1995).
- [20] BUDZYŃSKI, R., J. & KONDRACKI, W. : *Quantum Principal Bundles: Topological Aspects*, Rep. Math. Phys., **37**(3), 365-385 (1996).
- [21] PFLAUM, M., J. : *Quantum Groups on Fiber Bundles*, Commun. Math. Phys., **116** (2), 279–315 (1994).
- [22] KREIMER, H., F. & TAKEUCHI, M. : *Hopf Algebras and Galois Extensions of an Algebra*, Indiana Univ., Math. J, **30** (5), 675-692 (1981).
- [23] BAUM, P., F., DE COMMER, K. & HAJAC, P. : *Free Actions of Compact Quantum Groups on Unital  $C^*$ -algebras*, Doc. Math., **22**, 825-849 (2017).
- [24] BRZEZIŃSKI, T : *Translation Map in Quantum Principal Bundles*, J. Geom. Phys. **20**, 349 (1996).
- [25] SONTZ, S, B. : *Principal Bundles: The Quantum Case*, Universitext, Springer, 2015.
- [26] DUBOIS-VIOLETTE, M. : *Lectures on Graded Differential Algebras and NonCommutative Geometry*, arXiv:math/9912017v3, 21 Jun 2000.
- [27] KOLÁR, I., MICHOR, P. W. & SLOVÁK, J. : *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry*, internet book  
<http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~michor/kmsbookh.pdf>

- [28] BRZEZIŃSKI, T. : *On Modules Associated to Coalgebra Galois Extension*, J. Algebra **215**, 290-317 (1999).
- [29] LANDI, G. : *An Introduction to Noncommutative Spaces and Their Geometries*, Springer, 1997.
- [30] LANCE, E. C. : *Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [31] DURDEVICH, M. : *Quantum Principal Bundles & Hopf-Galois Extensions*, <https://www.matem.unam.mx/micho/papers.html>
- [32] DURDEVICH, M. : *Quantum Gauge Transformations & Braided Structure on Quantum Principal Bundles*, <https://www.matem.unam.mx/micho/papers.html>
- [33] Hajac, P : *Strong Connections on Quantum Principal Bundles*, Commun. Math. Phys., **182** (3), 579–617 (1996).

GUSTAVO AMILCAR SALDAÑA MONCADA, INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS UNAM  
*Email address:* `gamilcar@matem.unam.mx`