

CONGRUENCE-SIMPLICITY OF STEINBERG ALGEBRAS OF NON-HAUSDORFF AMPLE GROUPOIDS OVER SEMIFIELDS

TRAN GIANG NAM AND JENS ZUMBRÄGEL

ABSTRACT. We investigate the algebra of an ample groupoid, introduced by Steinberg, over a semifield S . In particular, we obtain a complete characterization of congruence-simpleness for Steinberg algebras of second-countable ample groupoids, extending the well-known characterizations when S is a field. We apply our congruence-simplicity results to tight groupoids of inverse semigroup representations and then specialize to groups acting on graphs.

Keywords: Étale groupoids; Ample groupoids; Congruence-simple semirings; Steinberg algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

Convolutional algebras over topological groupoids have been a subject of intensive study, e.g., when describing C^* -algebras [16, 15], inverse semigroup algebras [19] and Leavitt path algebras [2]. In particular, so-called Steinberg algebras [19] are defined over ample groupoids, i.e., étale groupoids with totally disconnected unit space. Within these investigations, questions on the simplicity of the algebras received quite some attention recently, see, e.g., [1, 20, 3, 22].

While the unit space of the underlying groupoid is usually assumed to be locally compact Hausdorff, the groupoid itself is frequently non-Hausdorff in interesting examples, such as the groupoid of germs of self-similar group actions [14] or of self-similar graphs [6, 3]. A surprising new phenomenon that occurs in the non-Hausdorff scenario is that simpleness of the Steinberg algebra may actually depend on the ground field. In fact, it has been observed that the algebra over the groupoid of germs associated to the Grigorchuk group is simple over fields of characteristic zero, but not over the base field \mathbb{F}_2 [14, 3]. Lying behind these observations are certain nonzero ideals of “singular functions”, which can only exist in the non-Hausdorff case.

Semirings and semifields have emerged in the literature as natural generalizations of rings and fields in a “non-additive” setting, and found applications in diverse areas such as computer science, theoretical physics and cryptography (see, e.g., the monograph [8] for an overview). This paper continues the investigation of classes of congruence-simple infinite semirings by Katsov and the authors [10, 11, 13]. In particular, Katsov and the authors have investigated

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16S99; Secondary 16Y60, 20L05, 22A22.

Leavitt path algebras over semirings [11], while the present authors initiated a study of Steinberg algebras of Hausdorff ample groupoids over semirings [13]. This semiring setup showcases interesting novel attributes of the Steinberg algebras; for example, contrary to the ring case, it turns out that the algebra of a finite inverse semigroup over a semiring is not necessarily isomorphic to its associated Steinberg algebra (see [13, Prop. 2.12]).

As the realm of non-Hausdorff groupoids yields some unexpected behavior and interesting challenges, in this work we suggest an investigation of Steinberg algebras over general ample groupoids. We provide a classification of congruence-simpleness of such Steinberg algebras in case the underlying groupoid is second-countable. In doing so, we give a universal property of Steinberg algebras over the Boolean semifield \mathbb{B} and introduce congruence versions of various ideals of singular functions as introduced by Nekrashevych [14] and by Clark et al [3]. We also examine examples given by groupoids of self-similar graphs and related classes, with respect to simplicity of their Steinberg algebras. Here we should mention that congruence-simple semirings have found applications in constructions of novel semigroup actions for a potential use in public-key cryptosystems (see, e.g., [12]). In this regard, a fundamental problem is thus to classify congruence-simple semirings, in particular additively idempotent congruence-simple semirings.

The article is organized as follows. In the subsequent section we introduce the Steinberg algebra over a commutative semiring (Definition 2.1) and give some preparatory results; in particular, we establish a universal property of Steinberg algebras over the Boolean semifield \mathbb{B} (Corollary 2.5). Section 3 contains a study of congruence-simple Steinberg algebras, in which we introduce the aforementioned congruences (Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.7) and present our main result (Theorem 3.8). Then in Section 4 we apply our results to tight groupoids of inverse semigroup representations and discuss examples coming from self-similar graphs and self-similar actions.

2. STEINBERG ALGEBRAS OVER COMMUTATIVE SEMIRINGS

In this section we introduce the Steinberg algebra of an ample groupoid over a commutative semiring. Originally, the construction of the algebra was given for ample groupoids over commutative rings [19]. In our previous work [13] we studied Steinberg algebras of Hausdorff ample groupoids over commutative semirings, while here we do not assume the groupoid to be Hausdorff.

We first briefly recall some basic notions of semirings, semimodules and algebras; for more background information we refer to [9].

By a *hemiring* $(R, +, \cdot)$ we mean an algebraic structure such that its additive reduct $(R, +)$ is a commutative monoid with neutral element 0, its multiplicative reduct (R, \cdot) is a semiring, and the distributive laws $r(s + t) = rs + rt$, $(r + s)t = rt + st$ hold for $r, s, t \in R$. When there is also a neutral element 1 with respect to multiplication we refer to a *semiring*; one always has $1 \neq 0$ except in the *trivial*

semiring $R = \{0\}$. If the additive reduct of a hemiring is actually an abelian group then the hemiring is a *ring*, otherwise we speak of a *proper* hemiring. The hemiring is said to be *commutative* if its multiplication is commutative. A nontrivial commutative semiring is called a *semifield* if every nonzero element has a multiplicative inverse. An important example of a proper semifield is the *Boolean semifield* $\mathbb{B} := (\{0, 1\}, \text{or}, \text{and})$.

A *congruence* on a hemiring $(R, +, \cdot)$ is an equivalence relation \sim on R such that $r \sim s$ implies $t + r \sim t + s$, $tr \sim ts$, $rt \sim st$ for all $r, s, t \in R$. If there exist only the trivial congruences, namely the full one $R \times R$ and the diagonal one $\Delta_R := \{(r, r) \mid r \in R\}$, then the hemiring is called *congruence-simple*. As usual, a map $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ between hemirings R and S is called *homomorphism* if $\varphi(a + b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b)$, $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$, $\varphi(0) = 0$ holds for all $a, b \in R$; and an *isomorphism* is a bijective homomorphism. It is not hard to see that a hemiring R is congruence-simple if and only if every nonzero homomorphism $R \rightarrow S$ into a hemiring S is injective, cf. [13, Rem. 2.1].

Let S be a semiring. By a (left) *semimodule* $(M, +)$ over S we mean a commutative monoid with neutral element 0, together with an S -multiplication $S \times M \rightarrow M$, $(s, m) \mapsto sm$ such that $(r + s)m = rm + sm$, $r(m + n) = rm + rn$, $r(sm) = (rs)m$, $r0 = 0$, $0m = 0$, $1m = m$ for all $r, s \in S$, $m, n \in M$. Homomorphisms and isomorphisms between S -semimodules are defined in a standard manner. An S -semimodule is called *free* if it is isomorphic to a direct sum of the canonical S -semimodule S .

Now let S be a commutative semiring. An *algebra* $(A, +, \cdot)$ over S is an S -semimodule which is also a hemiring such that $(sa)b = s(ab) = a(sb)$ holds for all $s \in S$, $a, b \in A$. For example, if G is a group, then the *group algebra* SG is the free S -semimodule $S^{(G)}$ of all functions $f: G \rightarrow S$ with finite support, where the multiplication $f * g: G \rightarrow S$ is defined, for $f, g \in S^{(G)}$ and $\gamma \in G$, by the convolution

$$(f * g)(\gamma) := \sum_{\alpha\beta=\gamma} f(\alpha)g(\beta).$$

Next, we recollect the notion of an ample topological groupoid. A *groupoid* \mathcal{G} is the set of morphisms in a small category in which every morphism is invertible. We identify the objects $\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ with their identity morphisms, called *units*, and denote the source and the range maps by $s, r: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{G}^{(2)} := \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{G}^2 \mid r(\beta) = s(\alpha)\}$ be the set of composable pairs.

A *topological groupoid* is a groupoid \mathcal{G} equipped with a topology such that the composition map $\mathcal{G}^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \alpha\beta$ and the inverse map $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{-1}$ are continuous. The topological groupoid \mathcal{G} is called *étale* if the unit space $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is locally compact Hausdorff and the source and range maps $s, r: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ are local homeomorphisms.

An étale groupoid \mathcal{G} is said to be *ample* in case its unit space is totally disconnected. An ample groupoid has a topological base of compact open bisections [19, Prop. 3.6], where a *bisection* is a subset $U \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ such that $s|_U, r|_U$ are homeomorphisms onto the image. We remark that a compact open bisection is not necessarily closed and thus its characteristic function may be discontinuous. Also, the intersection of two compact open bisections is not necessarily compact; in fact, this holds if and only if the groupoid is Hausdorff, cf. [19, Prop. 3.7].

Now we are ready to define the Steinberg algebra of an ample groupoid \mathcal{G} over a commutative semiring S . Denote by $S^{\mathcal{G}}$ the S -module of all functions $f: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow S$ and by \mathcal{G}^a the set of all compact open bisections in \mathcal{G} .

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{G} be an ample groupoid and S a commutative semiring. The *Steinberg algebra* $A_S(\mathcal{G})$ is defined as the S -submodule of $S^{\mathcal{G}}$ generated by the characteristic functions 1_U of compact open bisections U , i.e.,

$$A_S(\mathcal{G}) := \left\{ \sum_{U \in F} s_U 1_U \mid s_U \in S, F \subseteq \mathcal{G}^a \text{ finite} \right\},$$

with multiplication $f * g$, for $f, g \in A_S(\mathcal{G})$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$, given by the convolution

$$(f * g)(\gamma) := \sum_{\substack{r(\beta)=s(\alpha) \\ \alpha\beta=\gamma}} f(\alpha)g(\beta).$$

Regarding the well-definedness of this convolution and the algebraic properties of the Steinberg algebra, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.2 (cf. [19, Prop. 4.5, 4.6]). *Let \mathcal{G} be an ample groupoid and S a commutative semiring. Then there holds:*

- (1) $f * g \in A_S(\mathcal{G})$ for all $f, g \in A_S(\mathcal{G})$;
- (2) $1_U * 1_V = 1_{UV}$ for any $U, V \in \mathcal{G}^a$, thus $1_U * 1_V = 1_{U \cap V}$ if also $U, V \subseteq \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$;
- (3) $1_{U^{-1}}(\gamma) = 1_U(\gamma^{-1})$ for any $U \in \mathcal{G}^a$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$;
- (4) $A_S(\mathcal{G})$, equipped with the convolution, is an S -algebra.

Proof. Items (1) to (3) are proved similarly as in the proof of [19, Prop. 4.5]. For item (4), it is sufficient to show the associativity of convolution. However, this is a straightforward by using item (2) (or the proof of [18, Prop. 2.4]). \square

Note that the Steinberg algebra $A_S(\mathcal{G})$ in general does not have an identity element. In fact, it is unital if and only if the unit space $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is compact, in which case the identity element is given by $1_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$, cf. [19, Prop. 4.11].

In the following we study Steinberg algebras over additively idempotent commutative semirings and over the Boolean semifield in more detail.

Lemma 2.3. *Let \mathcal{G} be an ample groupoid with a base \mathcal{B} of compact open bisections, and S an additively idempotent commutative semiring. Then $A_S(\mathcal{G}) = \text{Span}_S\{1_U \mid U \text{ is compact open in } \mathcal{G}\} = \text{Span}_S\{1_B \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\}$. If moreover the*

semiring S is the Boolean semifield \mathbb{B} , then

$$A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G}) = \{1_U \mid U \text{ is compact open in } \mathcal{G}\}.$$

Proof. Obviously, there holds

$$\text{Span}_S\{1_B \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\} \subseteq A_S(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \text{Span}_S\{1_U \mid U \text{ is compact open in } \mathcal{G}\}.$$

Let U be a compact open subset of \mathcal{G} . Since \mathcal{B} is a base for the topology on \mathcal{G} , there exist $B_1, \dots, B_k \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $U = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_k$. Because the semiring S is additively idempotent, we readily obtain that

$$1_U = 1_{B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_k} = 1_{B_1} + \dots + 1_{B_k} \in \text{Span}_S\{1_B \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

This shows the first statement of the lemma. Now if the semiring S is the Boolean semifield \mathbb{B} , it is clear that $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{B}}\{1_U \mid U \text{ is compact open in } \mathcal{G}\}$ equals the set $\{1_U \mid U \text{ is compact open in } \mathcal{G}\}$, thus finishing the proof. \square

Remark 2.4. Let \mathcal{G} be an ample groupoid and let S be an additively idempotent commutative semiring.

(1) For all compact open subsets U, V of \mathcal{G} there holds

$$1_U * 1_V = 1_{UV},$$

extending Proposition 2.2 (2). Indeed, by additive idempotency, for $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ the value $(1_U * 1_V)(\gamma) = \sum_{\alpha\beta=\gamma} 1_U(\alpha)1_V(\beta)$ equals 1 iff there exists $\alpha \in U$ and $\beta \in V$ with $r(\beta) = s(\alpha)$ and $\alpha\beta = \gamma$, i.e., iff $\gamma \in UV$.

(2) If moreover the semiring S is the Boolean semifield \mathbb{B} , there is a natural bijective correspondence between the Steinberg algebra $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ and the collection of all compact open subsets of \mathcal{G} , given by $1_U \mapsto U$ where $U \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ is a compact open subset, cf. Lemma 2.3. Under this bijection the Steinberg algebra operations correspond to the set operations

$$U + V := U \cup V, \quad U * V := UV$$

for any compact open subsets U, V of \mathcal{G} .

The following result provides us with a universal property of Steinberg algebras over the Boolean semifield \mathbb{B} .

Corollary 2.5. *Let \mathcal{G} be an ample groupoid, and let B be a \mathbb{B} -algebra containing a family of elements $\{t_U \mid U \text{ is a compact open subset of } \mathcal{G}\}$ satisfying:*

- (1) $t_{\emptyset} = 0$;
- (2) $t_U + t_V = t_{U \cup V}$ and $t_U * t_V = t_{UV}$ for all compact open subsets U and V .

Then there is a unique \mathbb{B} -algebra homomorphism $\pi: A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow B$ such that $\pi(1_U) = t_U$ for all compact open subsets U .

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G}) = \{1_U \mid U \text{ is compact open in } \mathcal{G}\}.$$

Using Remark 2.4 (2), it is straightforward to show that the map $\pi: A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow B$, $1_U \longmapsto t_U$ for any compact open subset $U \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, is a \mathbb{B} -algebra homomorphism, thus finishing the proof. \square

We conclude this section by computing Steinberg algebras of ample groupoids with finite unit space, extending [21, Prop. 3.1] to commutative semirings. Before doing so, we need to fix some useful notations for groupoids. Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid and let D, E be subsets of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. Define

$$\mathcal{G}_D := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{G} \mid s(\gamma) \in D\}, \quad \mathcal{G}^E := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{G} \mid r(\gamma) \in E\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_D^E := \mathcal{G}_D \cap \mathcal{G}^E.$$

For $u, v \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ we also briefly denote $\mathcal{G}_u := \mathcal{G}_{\{u\}}$, $\mathcal{G}^v := \mathcal{G}^{\{v\}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_u^v := \mathcal{G}_u \cap \mathcal{G}^v$. For a unit $u \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ the group $\mathcal{G}_u^u = \{\gamma \in \mathcal{G} \mid s(\gamma) = u = r(\gamma)\}$ is called its *isotropy group*. The *isotropy subgroupoid* of \mathcal{G} is $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{G}) := \bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \mathcal{G}_u^u$.

Consider the equivalence relation on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, defined by $u \sim v$ if there exists some $g \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $s(g) = u$ and $r(g) = v$, whose equivalence classes are called *orbits*. It is well-known that, up to conjugation in \mathcal{G} (and hence isomorphism), the isotropy group of u depends only on the orbit of u . Thus we may speak unambiguously of the isotropy group of the orbit.

Proposition 2.6. *Let S be a commutative semiring and \mathcal{G} an ample groupoid with $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ finite. Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_k$ be the orbits of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, with \mathcal{O}_i of size $n_i := |\mathcal{O}_i|$ and having isotropy group G_i , for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then there holds*

$$A_S(\mathcal{G}) \cong \prod_{i=1}^k M_{n_i}(SG_i),$$

where $M_{n_i}(SG_i)$ denotes the $n_i \times n_i$ -matrices over the group algebra SG_i .

Proof. The proof is essentially based on the one of [21, Prop. 3.1]. Since the unit space $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is both Hausdorff and finite, it is discrete. Then, since s is a local homeomorphism, also \mathcal{G} is discrete. By [13, Ex. 2.8 (3)], $A_S(\mathcal{G})$ is exactly the free S -algebra having basis \mathcal{G} and whose product extends that of \mathcal{G} , where we interpret undefined products as 0. For every i , let $\mathcal{G}_i := s^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_i) = r^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_i)$. We then have that \mathcal{G}_i is an ample subgroupoid of \mathcal{G} and $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathcal{G}_k$, hence $A_S(\mathcal{G}) \cong \prod_{i=1}^k A_S(\mathcal{G}_i)$.

Now it suffices to show that $A_S(\mathcal{G}_i) \cong M_{n_i}(SG_i)$. To this end, we fix an element $u_i \in \mathcal{O}_i$, and choose, for each $v \in \mathcal{O}_i$, an element $g_v \in \mathcal{G}_i$ such that $s(g_v) = u_i$ and $r(g_v) = v$. Notice that $G_i \cong \mathcal{G}_{u_i}^{u_i}$ as groups. We define a map $\varphi: A_S(\mathcal{G}_i) \longrightarrow M_{n_i}(SG_i)$ by $\varphi(g) := g_{r(g)}^{-1} g g_{s(g)} E_{r(g)s(g)}$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}_i$, where we index the rows and columns of matrices by \mathcal{O}_i , and $E_{r(g)s(g)}$ is the matrix unit in $M_{n_i}(SG_i)$. It is not hard to see that φ is an isomorphism, as desired. \square

3. CONGRUENCE-SIMPLENESS OF STEINBERG ALGEBRAS

The main goal of this section is to present a description of the congruence-simple Steinberg algebras $A_S(\mathcal{G})$ of second-countable ample groupoids \mathcal{G} over a

semifield S , which extends the well-known description when the ground semifield S is a field (see [3, Th. 3.14] and [22, Th. 4.16]).

We begin by recalling some important notations for a groupoid \mathcal{G} . A subset D of the unit space $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is called *invariant* if $s(\gamma) \in D$ implies $r(\gamma) \in D$ for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$; equivalently, $D = \{r(\gamma) \mid s(\gamma) \in D\} = \{s(\gamma) \mid r(\gamma) \in D\}$. Also, D is invariant if and only if its complement is invariant. Moreover, let \mathcal{T} denote the set of units with trivial isotropy group; this set \mathcal{T} is invariant. The groupoid \mathcal{G} is said to be *principal* if $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, *i.e.*, if $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.

Definition 3.1 ([1, Def. 2.1]). Let \mathcal{G} be an ample groupoid. We say that \mathcal{G} is *minimal* if $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ has no nontrivial open invariant subsets. We say that \mathcal{G} is *topologically principal* if the set \mathcal{T} is dense in $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, and we call \mathcal{G} *effective* if the interior of $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{G})$ is equal to $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.

Notice that effective groupoids are related to topologically principal groupoids. Any effective second-countable ample groupoid is topologically principal (see [17, Prop. 3.6]). Conversely, any Hausdorff ample groupoid being topologically principal is effective (see [1, Lem. 3.1]). However, this fact is not generally true in the non-Hausdorff case (see [3, Lem. 5.1]).

We now describe necessary conditions for Steinberg algebras of ample groupoids over semifields to be congruence-simple, the argument following the proof of [13, Prop. 3.2].

Proposition 3.2. *If the Steinberg algebra $A_S(\mathcal{G})$ of an ample groupoid \mathcal{G} over a semifield S is congruence-simple, then there holds:*

- (1) S is either a field or the Boolean semifield \mathbb{B} ;
- (2) \mathcal{G} is both minimal and effective.

Proof. First, we note that since S is a semifield, by [9, Prop. 4.34], S is either a field or zerosumfree. If S is a field, then the proposition follows from [20, Th. 3.5]. Henceforth we consider the case when S is a zerosumfree semifield.

(1) Since S is zerosumfree, there exists a surjective semiring homomorphism $\pi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ such that $\pi(0) = 0$ and $\pi(s) = 1$ for all $0 \neq s \in S$. For any compact open subset U of \mathcal{G} , we denote by t_U the characteristic function $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ of U . We claim that the formula

$$\varphi: A_S(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G}), \quad \sum_{U \in F} a_U 1_U \mapsto \sum_{U \in F} \pi(a_U) t_U,$$

is well-defined on linear combinations of indicator functions, where F is a finite set of compact open bisections. Indeed, assume that

$$f := \sum_{U \in F} a_U 1_U = \sum_{V \in H} b_V 1_V =: g,$$

where each a_U and b_V is nonzero in S , and each of F and H is a finite set of compact open bisections. Then, since S is zerosumfree, and $f = g$, we have

$\bigcup_{U \in F} U = \bigcup_{V \in H} V$, so $\varphi(f) = \sum_{U \in F} t_U = t_{\bigcup_{U \in F} U} = t_{\bigcup_{V \in H} V} = \sum_{V \in H} t_V = \varphi(g)$, as desired. By using Proposition 2.2 (2), it is straightforward to show that φ is a semiring homomorphism.

Assume that there exists a nonzero element $s \in S$ such that $s \neq 1$. Fix a nonempty compact open bisection U of \mathcal{G} . We then have $s1_U \neq 1_U$ and $\varphi(s1_U) = \pi(s)t_U = t_U = \varphi(1_U)$. Therefore, the map φ defines a nontrivial congruence and $A_S(\mathcal{G})$ is not congruence-simple, a contradiction. Thus we obtain that $s = 1$ for all $0 \neq s \in S$, and S is exactly the Boolean semifield \mathbb{B} , as desired.

(2) Assume that $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ contains a nontrivial open invariant subset V . Let $D := \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \setminus V$. We then have that \mathcal{G}_D coincides with the *restriction*

$$\mathcal{G}|_D := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{G} \mid s(\gamma), r(\gamma) \in D\}$$

of \mathcal{G} to D . Thus \mathcal{G}_D is a topological subgroupoid of \mathcal{G} with the relative topology, and its unit space is D . Since D is closed in $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, and the map $s: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is continuous, $\mathcal{G}_D = s^{-1}(D)$ is closed in \mathcal{G} , and so $U \cap \mathcal{G}_D$ is a compact open bisection of \mathcal{G}_D for any compact open bisection U of \mathcal{G} . This implies that \mathcal{G}_D is an ample groupoid.

For any compact open subset U of \mathcal{G} , we denote by t_U the characteristic function $\mathcal{G}_D \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ of $U \cap \mathcal{G}_D$. It is clear that the collection $\{t_U \mid U \text{ is a compact open in } \mathcal{G}\}$ of elements of $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G}_D)$ satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) of Corollary 2.5, by which there exists a \mathbb{B} -algebra homomorphism $\varphi: A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G}_D)$ such that $\varphi(1_U) = t_U$ for all compact open subsets U of \mathcal{G} . Since V is a nontrivial open subset of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, there exist nonempty compact open subsets U_1 and U_2 of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ such that $U_1 \subseteq V$ and $U_2 \cap D \neq \emptyset$. This implies that $\varphi(1_{U_1}) = t_{U_1 \cap \mathcal{G}_D} = 0$ (since $U_1 \cap \mathcal{G}_D = \emptyset$) and $\varphi(1_{U_2}) = t_{U_2 \cap \mathcal{G}_D} \neq 0$, so φ is a nonzero homomorphism, but not injective. Therefore, $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is not congruence-simple, a contradiction, whence \mathcal{G} is minimal.

We next show that \mathcal{G} is effective. Denote by $F(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$ the free \mathbb{B} -semimodule with basis $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. Let U be a compact open bisection of \mathcal{G} , and observe that $s(\alpha) \mapsto r(\alpha)$ determines a homeomorphism from $s(U)$ to $r(U)$. We define a map $f_U: \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \rightarrow F(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$ by

$$f_U(x) = \begin{cases} r(\alpha) & \text{if } x = s(\alpha) \text{ and } \alpha \in U, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. By the universal property of the free \mathbb{B} -semimodule $F(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$, there exists an element $t_U \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{B}}(F(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}))$ extending f_U .

For any compact open subset B of \mathcal{G} , define $t_B := \sum_{U \in F} t_U \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{B}}(F(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}))$, where $B = \bigcup_{U \in F} U$, with F a finite set of compact open bisections of \mathcal{G} . Indeed, for any $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, we have

$$\sum_{U \in F} t_U(x) = \sum_{U \in F} \sum_{\alpha \in U} \{r(\alpha) \mid x = s(\alpha)\} = \sum_{\alpha \in \bigcup_{U \in F} U} \{r(\alpha) \mid x = s(\alpha)\}.$$

In particular, t_B only depends on $B = \bigcup_{U \in F} U$ and is well-defined.

It is easy to see that the collection $\{t_B \mid B \text{ is compact open in } \mathcal{G}\}$ of elements of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{B}}(F(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}))$ satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) of Corollary 2.5, by which there exists a \mathbb{B} -algebra homomorphism $\psi: A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{B}}(F(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}))$ such that $\psi(1_B) = t_B$ for all compact open subsets B of \mathcal{G} . The homomorphism ψ is nonzero because $t_U \neq 0$ for any nonempty compact open bisection U of \mathcal{G} .

Now suppose that \mathcal{G} is not effective. Then there is a nonempty compact open bisection $U \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ such that $U \not\subseteq \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and $s(\alpha) = r(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in U$. It implies that $U \neq s(U)$ and $t_U = t_{s(U)}$, hence $1_U \neq 1_{s(U)}$ whereas

$$\psi(1_U) = t_U = t_{s(U)} = \psi(1_{s(U)}),$$

showing that ψ is not injective. Therefore, $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is not congruence-simple, a contradiction, so that \mathcal{G} is effective, finishing the proof. \square

The next result, being a \mathbb{B} -algebra analog of [1, Prop. 4.5] and [20, Prop. 3.4], provides a criterion for minimal ample groupoids. It plays an important role in the proof of the subsequent main result (Theorem 3.8).

Lemma 3.3. *An ample groupoid \mathcal{G} is minimal if and only if 1_V generates $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ as an ideal for all nonempty compact open subsets V of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.*

Proof. (\implies). Assume that \mathcal{G} is a minimal ample groupoid. Let V be a nonempty compact open subset of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, and I an ideal of $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ generated by 1_V . If U is any compact open bisection of \mathcal{G} , we must prove that $1_U \in I$. Let $K := r(U) \subseteq \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. We then have that K is a compact open subset of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. Since $s(\mathcal{G}^V)$ is a nonempty open invariant set, and \mathcal{G} is minimal, $s(\mathcal{G}^V) = \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, and hence $K \subseteq s(\mathcal{G}^V)$. Thus, for any $u \in K$, there exists an element $\alpha_u \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $s(\alpha_u) = u$ and $r(\alpha_u) \in V$. For each $u \in K$, let B_u be a compact open bisection of \mathcal{G} containing α_u such that $r(B_u) \subseteq V$ and $s(B_u) \subseteq K$. We then have $1_{s(B_u)} = 1_{B_u^{-1}} * 1_V * 1_{B_u} \in I$. Since K is compact, there exists a finite subset $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ of K such that $\{s(B_{u_i}) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ covers K . Therefore, $1_K = \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{s(B_{u_i})} \in I$, and so $1_U = 1_K * 1_U \in I$. It follows that $I = A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$.

(\impliedby). Suppose that \mathcal{G} is not minimal. Let U be a nontrivial open invariant subset of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and let $D := \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \setminus U$. Then \mathcal{G}_D coincides with the restriction $\mathcal{G}|_D := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{G} \mid s(\gamma), r(\gamma) \in D\}$ of \mathcal{G} to D . As shown in the proof of Proposition 3.2, \mathcal{G}_D is an ample groupoid with unit space D , and there is a nonzero \mathbb{B} -algebra homomorphism $\varphi: A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G}_D)$ such that $\varphi(1_B) = 1_{B \cap \mathcal{G}_D}$ for all compact open subsets B of \mathcal{G} . This implies that $\text{Ker}(\varphi) := \varphi^{-1}(0)$ is a proper ideal of $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$. Since U is a nontrivial open subset of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, there exists a nonempty compact open subset V of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ such that $V \subseteq U$. We then have $\varphi(1_V) = 1_{V \cap \mathcal{G}_D} = 0$ (since $V \cap \mathcal{G}_D = \emptyset$), and so $1_V \in \text{Ker}(\varphi) \setminus \{0\}$, whence by our hypothesis $\text{Ker}(\varphi) = A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$, a contradiction, thus finishing the proof. \square

Recall that $\mathcal{T} = \{u \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \mid \mathcal{G}_u^u = \{u\}\}$ is the (invariant) set of units in a groupoid \mathcal{G} that have trivial isotropy group.

Definition 3.4. Let S be a semiring and \mathcal{G} an ample groupoid. We denote by $\equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})}$ the binary relation on $A_S(\mathcal{G})$ defined by: for all f and $g \in A_S(\mathcal{G})$,

$$f \equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})} g \text{ iff } f(\alpha) = g(\alpha) \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathcal{G} \text{ such that } s(\alpha), r(\alpha) \in \mathcal{T}.$$

We have the following useful fact.

Lemma 3.5. For a commutative semiring S and an ample groupoid \mathcal{G} , the relation $\equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})}$ is a congruence on $A_S(\mathcal{G})$.

Proof. It is obvious that $\equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})}$ is an equivalence relation on $A_S(\mathcal{G})$ satisfying $sf \equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})} sg$ and $f + h \equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})} g + h$ for all $s \in S$ and $f, g, h \in A_S(\mathcal{G})$ with $f \equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})} g$.

Let $f, g, h \in A_S(\mathcal{G})$ with $f \equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})} g$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ with $s(\gamma), r(\gamma) \in \mathcal{T}$. Notice that whenever $\gamma = \alpha\beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{G}$, we have $s(\beta) = s(\gamma) \in \mathcal{T}$ and $r(\alpha) = r(\gamma) \in \mathcal{T}$, as well as $r(\beta) = s(\alpha) \in \mathcal{T}$, since \mathcal{T} is an invariant subset of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. From $f \equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})} g$ and these remarks, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (f * h)(\gamma) &= \sum_{\substack{r(\beta)=s(\alpha) \\ \alpha\beta=\gamma}} f(\alpha)h(\beta) = \sum_{\substack{r(\beta)=s(\alpha) \\ \alpha\beta=\gamma}} g(\alpha)h(\beta) = (g * h)(\gamma), \\ (h * f)(\gamma) &= \sum_{\substack{r(\beta)=s(\alpha) \\ \alpha\beta=\gamma}} h(\alpha)f(\beta) = \sum_{\substack{r(\beta)=s(\alpha) \\ \alpha\beta=\gamma}} h(\alpha)g(\beta) = (h * g)(\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

so that $f * h \equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})} g * h$ and $h * f \equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})} h * g$, as desired. \square

The following result, being a “congruence” analog of [14, Prop. 4.1], plays an important role in the proof of our main result below.

Proposition 3.6. For a topologically principal and minimal ample groupoid \mathcal{G} over a semifield S , the quotient algebra $A_S(\mathcal{G})/\equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})}$ is congruence-simple if and only if S is either a field or the Boolean semifield \mathbb{B} .

Proof. (\implies). Assume that $A_S(\mathcal{G})/\equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})}$ is congruence-simple. Since S is a semifield, S is either a field or zerosumfree. Consider the case when S is a zerosumfree semifield. Then, there exists a semiring homomorphism $\pi: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}$ given by $\pi(0) = 0$ and $\pi(s) = 1$ for all $0 \neq s \in S$. We define a binary relation ρ on $A_S(\mathcal{G})$ as follows: for all $f = \sum_{B \in F} a_B 1_B$ and $g = \sum_{C \in H} b_C 1_C$, where each of F and H is a finite set of compact open bisections of \mathcal{G} , $f \rho g$ if and only if $\sum_{B \in F} \pi(a_B) 1_B(\alpha) = \sum_{C \in H} \pi(b_C) 1_C(\alpha)$ in $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $r(\alpha), s(\alpha) \in \mathcal{T}$. Following the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.2(1), the relation ρ is well-defined. Moreover, similar to the proof of Lemma 3.5, we have that ρ is a congruence on $A_S(\mathcal{G})$ containing $\equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})}$.

Since \mathcal{G} is topologically principal, $(1_U, 0) \notin \rho$ for any nonempty compact open subset U of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, and so $\rho \neq A_S(\mathcal{G})^2$. Because $A_S(\mathcal{G})/\equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})}$ is congruence-simple,

$\equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})}$ is a maximal congruence on $A_S(\mathcal{G})$, and so ρ equals $\equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})}$. Let s be a nonzero element in S and U a nonempty compact open subset of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. It is obvious that $(s1_U, 1_U) \in \rho$, so that $s1_U \equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})} 1_U$, which means that $s1_U(\alpha) = 1_U(\alpha)$ in $A_S(\mathcal{G})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $r(\alpha), s(\alpha) \in \mathcal{T}$. Since \mathcal{G} is topologically principal, there exists an element $u \in U \cap \mathcal{T}$. We then have $s = s1_U(u) = 1_U(u) = 1$, and thus $S = \mathbb{B}$.

(\Leftarrow). If S is a field, then $A_S(\mathcal{G})/\equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})} \cong A_S(\mathcal{G})/I$, where $I = \{f \in A_S(\mathcal{G}) \mid f \equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})} 0\}$. Therefore, the statement follows from [14, Prop. 4.1].

Consider the case $S = \mathbb{B}$. It is sufficient to prove that $\equiv_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$ is a maximal congruence on $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$. We first note that $1_U \not\equiv_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})} 0$ for all nonempty compact open subsets U of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, and so $\equiv_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$ is not $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})^2$.

Assume that ρ is a congruence on $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\equiv_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})} \subset \rho$. Then, there exist two elements f and g in $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $(f, g) \in \rho$ and $f \not\equiv_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})} g$, i.e., $f(\alpha) \neq g(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}$ with $r(\alpha), s(\alpha) \in \mathcal{T}$. Let U be a compact open bisection of \mathcal{G} containing α^{-1} , and let $u := r(\alpha) = \alpha\alpha^{-1} \in \mathcal{T}$. We have

$$f * 1_U(u) = \sum_{\beta\gamma=u} f(\beta)1_U(\gamma) = f(\alpha)1_U(\alpha^{-1}) = f(\alpha),$$

since the unique $\gamma \in U$ such that $s(\gamma) = s(u) = u$ is given by $\gamma = \alpha^{-1}$, and then $\beta = \alpha$. Similarly, $g * 1_U(u) = g(\alpha)$, and so $f * 1_U(u) \neq g * 1_U(u)$.

Thus, there exist two elements $\vartheta, \psi \in A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $(\vartheta, \psi) \in \rho$ with $\vartheta(u) \neq \psi(u)$ for some $u \in \mathcal{T}$ (we may take $\vartheta = f * 1_U$ and $\psi = g * 1_U$ as above). Without loss of generality, one may assume that $\vartheta(u) = 0$ and $\psi(u) = 1$. Write $\vartheta = \sum_{B \in F} 1_B$ and $\psi = \sum_{C \in H} 1_C$, where each of F and H is a finite set of compact open bisections of \mathcal{G} . For each $D \in F \cup H$, choose a compact open neighbourhood $V_D \subseteq \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ of u as follows:

Case 1: $u \in D$. Let V_D be a compact open subset in $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ such that $u \in V_D$ and $V_D \subseteq D \cap \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. Then $V_D D V_D \subseteq D \cap \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.

Case 2: there exists $\gamma \in D$ such that $r(\gamma) = u$ and $s(\gamma) \neq u$. Since $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is Hausdorff, there are two compact open subsets U and W of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ such that $u = r(\gamma) \in U$, $s(\gamma) \in W$ and $U \cap W = \emptyset$. Then $U \cap r(D)$ and $W \cap s(D)$ are nonempty compact open subsets of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, and the set $D' := (U \cap r(D))D(W \cap s(D))$ is a compact open bisection of \mathcal{G} . Moreover, $\gamma \in D' \subseteq D$ and $r(D') \cap s(D') = \emptyset$, and we let $V_D := r(D')$. Then we have $u \in V_D$ and $V_D D V_D = \emptyset$.

Case 3: there exists $\gamma \in D$ such that $s(\gamma) = u$ and $r(\gamma) \neq u$. Since $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is Hausdorff, there are two compact open subsets U and W of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ such that $u = s(\gamma) \in U$, $r(\gamma) \in W$ and $U \cap W = \emptyset$. Then $U \cap s(D)$ and $W \cap r(D)$ are nonempty compact open subsets of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, and the set $D' := (W \cap r(D))D(U \cap s(D))$ is a compact open bisection of \mathcal{G} . Moreover, $\gamma \in D' \subseteq D$ and $r(D') \cap s(D') = \emptyset$, and we let $V_D := s(D')$. Then we have $u \in V_D$ and $V_D D V_D = \emptyset$.

Case 4: $u \notin r(D) \cup s(D)$. Since $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is Hausdorff, and $r(D)$ and $s(D)$ are compact open subsets of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, they are closed in $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, so $\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \setminus (r(D) \cup s(D))$ is

an open subset of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ containing u . Let V_D be a compact open subset of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ such that $u \in V_D \subseteq \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \setminus (r(D) \cup s(D))$. We then have $V_D D V_D = \emptyset$.

Let $V := \bigcap_{D \in F \cup H} V_D$. Then V is a compact open subset of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ containing u . Furthermore, since $\vartheta(u) = 0$, i.e., $u \notin \bigcup_{B \in F} B$, and by construction of V , we have $1_V * \vartheta * 1_V = \sum_{B \in F} 1_V B V = 0$. Since $\psi(u) = 1$, we have $u \in D$ for some $D \in H$. By construction of V , the set $U := V D V$ is a compact open subset of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ containing u , and so $1_V * \psi * 1_V(u) = \sum_{C \in H} 1_V C V(u) = 1$. Let $h := 1_V * \psi * 1_V$. We then note that $h \neq 0$ and $(h, 0) = (1_V * \psi * 1_V, 1_V * \vartheta * 1_V) \in \rho$, and so $(1_U, 0) = (1_U * h, 1_U * 0) \in \rho$.

Let us consider the ideal $I := \{f \in A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G}) \mid (f, 0) \in \rho\}$ of $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$. From the observation above, I contains a nonzero element 1_U with $\text{supp}(1_U) = U \subseteq \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. Since \mathcal{G} is minimal, we infer $I = A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ from Lemma 3.3. It immediately follows that $\rho = A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})^2$, whence $\equiv_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$ is a maximal congruence on $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$. This finishes the proof. \square

Let S be a commutative semiring, \mathcal{G} an ample groupoid, and $f, g \in A_S(\mathcal{G})$. Let

$$\text{Eq}(f, g) := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{G} \mid f(\alpha) = g(\alpha)\}.$$

We define a binary relation $\rho_{A_S(\mathcal{G})}$ on $A_S(\mathcal{G})$ as follows: $f \rho_{A_S(\mathcal{G})} g$ if and only if $\text{Eq}(f, g)$ is dense in \mathcal{G} . We should mention that if S is a field, then $\rho_{A_S(\mathcal{G})}$ is a congruence on $A_S(\mathcal{G})$, by [3, Prop. 3.7]. The following result plays an important role in the proof of our main result below.

Lemma 3.7. *For an ample groupoid \mathcal{G} , the following statements hold:*

- (1) $\rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$ is a congruence on $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$;
- (2) If, in addition, \mathcal{G} is topologically principal, then $\rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$ equals $\equiv_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$.

Proof. (1) From Remark 2.4(2), every element of $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is of the form 1_U , where U is a compact open subset of \mathcal{G} . Then, if U and V are compact open subsets of \mathcal{G} , notice that $(U \cup V) \setminus \overline{U \cap V} = ((U \cup V) \setminus (U \cap V))^{\circ}$ and hence

$$(1_U, 1_V) \in \rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})} \Leftrightarrow ((U \cup V) \setminus (U \cap V))^{\circ} = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow U \cup V \subseteq \overline{U \cap V}.$$

It is obvious that $\rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$ is reflexive and symmetric. Let U , V and W be compact open subsets of \mathcal{G} such that $(1_U, 1_V) \in \rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$ and $(1_V, 1_W) \in \rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$, i.e., $U \cup V \subseteq \overline{U \cap V}$ and $V \cup W \subseteq \overline{V \cap W}$. Suppose that $(1_U, 1_W) \notin \rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$, then there exists a nonempty open set $A \subseteq (U \cup W) \setminus \overline{U \cap W}$. In particular, $A \cap (U \cup W) \neq \emptyset$ and we may assume that $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Since $A \cap U \subseteq U \cup V \subseteq \overline{U \cap V}$ we have a nonempty open set $B := A \cap U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, and since $B \subseteq V \cup W \subseteq \overline{V \cap W}$ it follows that $A \cap U \cap V \cap W = B \cap V \cap W \neq \emptyset$. In particular, $A \cap U \cap W \neq \emptyset$ and thus $A \cap \overline{U \cap W} \neq \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. This shows that $\rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$ is transitive, and therefore, $\rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$ is an equivalence relation.

Next we claim that $\rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$ is a congruence on $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$. It is, indeed, enough to show that the pairs $(1_U + 1_W, 1_V + 1_W)$, $(1_U * 1_W, 1_V * 1_W)$ and $(1_W * 1_U, 1_W * 1_V)$

are in $\rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$ for all compact open bisections W and compact open subsets U, V of \mathcal{G} with $(1_U, 1_V) \in \rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$.

We have $1_U + 1_W = 1_{U \cup W}$ and $1_V + 1_W = 1_{V \cup W}$, and since $U \cup V \subseteq \overline{U \cap V}$ we infer $U \cup V \cup W \subseteq (\overline{U \cap V}) \cup W \subseteq \overline{(U \cap V) \cup W} = \overline{(U \cup W) \cap (V \cup W)}$, which shows that $(1_U + 1_W, 1_V + 1_W) \in \rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$.

Now notice that $1_U * 1_W = 1_{UW}$ and $1_V * 1_W = 1_{VW}$, and suppose that $(1_{UW}, 1_{VW}) \notin \rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$. Then, since \mathcal{G} is ample, there exists a nonempty compact open bisection $A \subseteq (UW \cup VW) \setminus \overline{UW \cap VW}$ of \mathcal{G} . We then have $s(A) \subseteq s(W)$ and $AW^{-1} \subseteq (UW \cup VW)W^{-1} \subseteq U \cup V$. The set AW^{-1} is open and nonempty, since $A = As(A) \subseteq As(W) = AW^{-1}W$. Hence, from $U \cup V \subseteq \overline{U \cap V}$ it follows that $AW^{-1} \cap U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, whence $A \cap (UW \cap VW) \neq \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. This shows that $(1_U * 1_W, 1_V * 1_W) \in \rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$, and the proof for $(1_W * 1_U, 1_W * 1_V) \in \rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$ is similar.

(2) Since \mathcal{G} is topologically principal, the algebra $A_S(\mathcal{G})/\equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})}$ is congruence-simple by Proposition 3.6 and thus the congruence $\equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})}$ is maximal. We claim that $\equiv_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})} \subseteq \rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$. Indeed, let U and V be compact open subsets of \mathcal{G} such that $1_U \equiv_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})} 1_V$, and let $A \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ be any nonempty open set. Then $s(A)$ is a nonempty open set in $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, and hence $s(A) \cap \mathcal{T} \neq \emptyset$, since \mathcal{G} is topologically principal. Since $1_U \equiv_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})} 1_V$, it follows that $1_U(\alpha) = 1_V(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in A$ with $s(\alpha) \in \mathcal{T}$ (and thus $r(\alpha) \in \mathcal{T}$), and hence $\alpha \in \text{Eq}(1_U, 1_V) \cap A$. This shows that $\text{Eq}(1_U, 1_V)$ is dense in \mathcal{G} , and we have $(1_U, 1_V) \in \rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$, as claimed.

Observe that $(1_U, 0) \notin \rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$ for any nonempty compact open subset U of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, whence $\rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})} \neq A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})^2$. Since $\equiv_{A_S(\mathcal{G})}$ is maximal and contained in $\rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$, we infer that the congruences are equal, as desired. \square

Now we are able to present the main result of this section, which is a “semiring” analog of [3, Th. 3.14] and [22, Th. 4.16], characterizing the congruence-simple Steinberg algebras of second-countable ample groupoids over semifields.

Theorem 3.8. *Let \mathcal{G} be a second-countable ample groupoid over a semifield S . Then the Steinberg algebra $A_S(\mathcal{G})$ is congruence-simple if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) S is either a field or the Boolean semifield \mathbb{B} ;
- (2) \mathcal{G} is both minimal and effective;
- (3) $\text{Eq}(f, g)$ is not dense in \mathcal{G} , for any two distinct elements f and g in $A_S(\mathcal{G})$.

Proof. (\implies). Items (1) and (2) follow from Proposition 3.2. If S is a field, then item (3) follows from [3, Th. 3.14]. Consider the case when $S = \mathbb{B}$. Since $\equiv_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$ is a congruence by Lemma 3.5, and $A_S(\mathcal{G})$ is congruence-simple, we infer that $\equiv_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$ equals $\Delta_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$. Since \mathcal{G} is an effective second-countable ample groupoid, by [17, Prop. 3.6] it is topologically principal. Then, by Lemma 3.7, we readily obtain that $\rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})} = \Delta_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$, proving item (3).

(\Leftarrow). If S is a field, then the statement follows from [3, Th. 3.14]. Consider the case when $S = \mathbb{B}$. Since \mathcal{G} is an effective second-countable ample groupoid, by [17, Prop. 3.6] it is topologically principal. From Lemma 3.7 (2) we have that $\equiv_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$ equals $\rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$, while $\rho_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})} = \Delta_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$ because of item (3). Then it follows from Proposition 3.6 that the algebra $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G}) = A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})/\equiv_{A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})}$ is congruence-simple, thus finishing the proof. \square

In a topological space we say a subset B is a *regular open* set if B equals the interior of its closure. The intersection of a collection of regular open sets is again regular open but the same is not true for unions; see, *e.g.*, [7, Chap. 10] for a detailed discussion of regular open sets. By Theorem 3.8, we obtain the following interesting corollary, which provides sufficient conditions for Steinberg algebras over the Boolean semifield \mathbb{B} to be congruence-simple, and being an \mathbb{B} -algebra analog of [3, Cor. 3.16].

Corollary 3.9. *Let \mathcal{G} be a second-countable ample groupoid which satisfies the following two conditions:*

- (1) *\mathcal{G} is minimal and effective;*
- (2) *every compact open subset of \mathcal{G} is regular open.*

Then $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is congruence-simple.

Proof. Let f and g be two elements in $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$. By Lemma 2.3, we have $f = 1_U$ and $g = 1_V$ for some compact open subsets U and V of \mathcal{G} . Suppose that $\text{Eq}(f, g)$ is dense in \mathcal{G} , then we have $U \cup V \subseteq \overline{U \cap V}$. However, the sets U and V are regular open by our hypothesis, and so $U \cap V$ is regular open. It follows that $U \cup V \subseteq (\overline{U \cap V})^\circ = U \cap V$, so we infer that $U = V$ and therefore $f = g$. Now applying Theorem 3.8, we obtain that $A_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{G})$ is congruence-simple. \square

4. EXAMPLES

In this section we establish semiring analogs of the main results introduced in [3, Sec. 5], which provide us with examples of congruence-simple Steinberg algebras of non-Hausdorff ample groupoids over the Boolean semifield \mathbb{B} (Theorems 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4).

We begin by recalling tight groupoids of inverse semigroups. For any unreferenced claims in this section, see [4] and [5]. An *inverse semigroup* is a semigroup S in which for any $s \in S$ there is a unique $s^* := t \in S$ satisfying $sts = s$ and $tst = t$. If S has an identity, we will denote it by 1_S . We assume every inverse semigroup to be countable and have a zero element 0 satisfying $0s = s0 = 0$ for all $s \in S$. Any inverse semigroup carries a natural order structure by defining $s \leq t$ iff $ts^*s = s$, for $s, t \in S$. The set of idempotents of S forms a commutative semigroup, which is denoted by $E(S)$. For $e, f \in E(S)$, we have $e \leq f$ iff $ef = e$, and this way $E(S)$ becomes a meet-semilattice.

A *filter* in $E(S)$ is a nonempty subset ξ of $E(S)$ such that

- (1) $0 \notin \xi$,
- (2) $e, f \in \xi$ implies $ef \in \xi$, and
- (3) $e \in \xi$, $e \leq f$ implies $f \in \xi$.

We denote by $\widehat{E}_0(S)$ the set of all filters; it can be viewed as a subspace of $\{0, 1\}^{E(S)}$. For finite subsets X, Y of $E(S)$, let

$$U(X, Y) = \{\xi \mid X \subseteq \xi \subseteq E(S) \setminus Y\}.$$

Sets of this form are clopen and generate the topology on $\widehat{E}_0(S)$ as X and Y vary over all the finite subsets of $E(S)$. With this topology, $\widehat{E}_0(S)$ is called the *spectrum* of $E(S)$. From the definition of a filter it is easy to see that if X and Y are finite subsets of $E(S)$ and $e := \prod_{x \in X} x$, then $U(X, Y) = U(\{e\}, Y)$, so we can take sets of the form $U(\{e\}, Y)$ to be the basis of the topology on $\widehat{E}_0(S)$. A filter is called an *ultrafilter* if it is not properly contained in any other filter. We denote by $\widehat{E}_\infty(S)$ the set of ultrafilters. Let $\widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}(S)$ denote the closure of $\widehat{E}_\infty(S)$ in $\widehat{E}_0(S)$, which is called the *tight spectrum* of $E(S)$.

An *action* of an inverse semigroup S on a space X consists of a collection $\alpha = \{\alpha_s\}_{s \in S}$ of homeomorphisms between open subsets of X satisfying:

- (1) $\alpha_s \circ \alpha_t = \alpha_{st}$ for all $s, t \in S$, and
- (2) the union of the domains of the α_s coincides with X .

These imply that if e is an idempotent, then α_e is the identity map on some open subset D_e of X , and that the domain of α_s coincides with D_{s^*s} .

If α is an action of S on a space X , we let

$$S \ltimes_\alpha X = \{(s, x) \mid s \in S, x \in D_{s^*s}\}$$

and put an equivalence relation on this set by setting $(s, x) \sim (t, y)$ if and only if $x = y$ and there exists $e \in E(S)$ such that $x \in D_e$ and $se = te$. The equivalence class of (s, x) is denoted $[s, x]$ and is called the *germ* of (s, x) . The set of all germs is denoted

$$\mathcal{G}(\alpha) = \{[s, x] \mid s \in S, x \in D_{s^*s}\}$$

and becomes a groupoid with source, range, inverse, and product given by

$$\begin{aligned} s([s, x]) &= [s^*s, x], & r([s, x]) &= [ss^*, \alpha_s(x)], \\ [s, x]^{-1} &= [s^*, \alpha_s(x)], & [s, \alpha_t(x)][t, x] &= [st, x]. \end{aligned}$$

The unit space $\mathcal{G}(\alpha)^{(0)}$ is identified with X . If the space X is locally compact Hausdorff and totally disconnected such that D_e is compact open for all $e \in E(S)$, then $\mathcal{G}(\alpha)$ is ample, with sets of the form

$$\Theta(s, U) = \{[s, x] \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha) \mid x \in U \subseteq D_{s^*s}, U \text{ compact open}\}$$

forming a basis of compact open sets for $\mathcal{G}(\alpha)$. If S is countable, then $\mathcal{G}(\alpha)$ is second-countable. Criteria on α which are equivalent to $\mathcal{G}(\alpha)$ being Hausdorff are given in [5, Th. 3.15]. This problem is also considered in [20].

An inverse semigroup acts on its tight spectrum in the following way. Let $D_e^\vartheta = \{\xi \in \widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}(S) \mid e \in \xi\}$ and define

$$\vartheta_s: D_{s^*s}^\vartheta \longrightarrow D_{ss^*}^\vartheta, \quad \vartheta_s(\xi) = \{f \in E(S) \mid f \geq ses^* \text{ for some } e \in \xi\}.$$

This defines an action of S on $\widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}(S)$, called the *standard action* of S . The groupoid of germs for the standard action is denoted by $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}(S) = \mathcal{G}(\vartheta)$ and is called the *tight groupoid* of S . If every tight filter is an ultrafilter (i.e., if $\widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}(S) = \widehat{E}_\infty(S)$), then by [3, Lem. 5.1] the set

$$\{\Theta(s, D_{s^*s}^\vartheta) \mid s \in S\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$$

is an inverse semigroup of compact open bisections which generates the topology of $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}(S)$.

Following the notation in [5, Sec. 4], for any $s \in S$ we denote by

$$F_s := \{x \in \widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}(S) \mid \vartheta_s(x) = x\}$$

the set of *fixed elements* for s , and by

$$TF_s := \{x \in \widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}(S) \mid \exists e \in E(S) \text{ with } e \leq s \text{ and } x \in D_e^\vartheta\}$$

the set of *trivially fixed elements* for s . Following [3, Def. 5.4], an inverse semigroup S is said to satisfy *Condition (S)* if whenever there exists $x \in \widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}(S)$ and a finite set $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\} \subseteq S \setminus E(S)$ such that $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n F_{s_i}$, then

$$x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n (F_{s_i} \setminus TF_{s_i}) \quad \text{implies} \quad x \notin \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n F_{s_i}\right)^\circ.$$

In [3, Lem. 5.6] the authors showed an interesting result that if S is an inverse semigroup satisfying Condition (S) and if $\widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}(S) = \widehat{E}_\infty(S)$, then any compact open subset of $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}(S)$ is regular open. Using this result and Corollary 3.9, we provide \mathbb{B} -algebra analogs of results established in [3, Sec. 5].

4.1. Ample groupoids of self-similar graphs. Let $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ be a directed graph, with vertex set E^0 , edge set E^1 , and source and range maps $s, r: E^1 \longrightarrow E^0$. An *automorphism* of E is a bijective map $\sigma: E^0 \sqcup E^1 \longrightarrow E^0 \sqcup E^1$ with $\sigma(E^i) = E^i$ for $i = 0, 1$, such that $r \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ r$ and $s \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ s$. Following [6], by an *action* of a group G on E we mean a group homomorphism $g \longmapsto \sigma_g$ from G to the group of automorphisms of E . We often write $g \cdot e$ instead of $\sigma_g(e)$.

Let X be a set and σ an action of a group G on X . A map $\varphi: G \times X \longrightarrow G$ is called a *one-cocycle* for σ if

$$\varphi(gh, x) = \varphi(g, \sigma_h(x))\varphi(h, x)$$

for all $g, h \in G$ and all $x \in X$, see [6].

Notation 4.1. The quadruple (G, E, σ, φ) , sometimes written as a triple (G, E, φ) or a pair (G, E) , will denote a countable discrete group G , a finite graph E with no

sources, an action σ on E , and a one-cocycle $\varphi: G \times E^1 \rightarrow G$ for the restriction of σ to E^1 such that for all $g \in G$, $v \in E^0$, $e \in E^1$ there holds

$$\varphi(g, e) \cdot v = g \cdot v.$$

Following [6], we can extend the one-cocycle φ on finite paths α in E recursively, for $v \in E^0$, $e \in E^1$, by

$$\varphi(g, v) := g, \quad \varphi(g, e\alpha) := \varphi(\varphi(g, e), \alpha),$$

and extend the action σ on finite or infinite paths in E by letting

$$g \cdot (e_1 e_2 e_3 \dots) := (g \cdot e_1)(\varphi(g, e_1) \cdot e_2)(\varphi(g, e_1 e_2) \cdot e_3) \dots$$

Recall from [6, Def. 4.1] that given a triple (G, E, φ) as in Notation 4.1, we may define the inverse semigroup $S_{G,E}$ as

$$S_{G,E} := \{(\alpha, g, \beta) \mid \alpha, \beta \in E^*, g \in G, s(\alpha) = g \cdot s(\beta)\} \cup \{0\},$$

where E^* denotes the set of finite paths in E . The proof of [6, Prop. 4.3] shows that under the multiplication

$$(\alpha, g, \beta)(\gamma, h, \delta) := \begin{cases} (\alpha g \cdot \varepsilon, \varphi(g, \varepsilon)h, \delta) & \text{if } \gamma = \beta\varepsilon, \\ (\alpha, g\varphi(h^{-1}, \varepsilon)^{-1}, \delta h^{-1} \cdot \varepsilon) & \text{if } \beta = \gamma\varepsilon, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

one obtains an inverse semigroup $S_{G,E}$, in which $(\alpha, g, \beta)^* = (\beta, g^{-1}, \alpha)$ and where 0 acts as a zero in $S_{G,E}$. Then, we can construct the groupoid of germs of the action of $S_{G,E}$ on the space of tight filters $\widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}(S_{G,E})$ of the semilattice $E(S_{G,E})$ of idempotents of $S_{G,E}$. In our concrete case, $\widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}(S_{G,E})$ turns out to be homeomorphic to the compact space E^∞ of one-sided infinite paths on E which has the *cylinder sets*

$$Z(\gamma) := \{\gamma\widehat{\eta} \mid \widehat{\eta} \in E^\infty\}$$

as a basis for its topology. In particular, $\widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}(S_{G,E}) = \widehat{E}_\infty(S_{G,E})$.

The action of $(\alpha, g, \beta) \in S_{G,E}$ on $\eta = \beta\widehat{\eta}$ is given by the rule $(\alpha, g, \beta) \cdot \eta = \alpha g \cdot \widehat{\eta}$. Thus, the groupoid of germs is

$$\mathcal{G}_{G,E} = \{[\alpha, g, \beta; \eta] \mid \eta = \beta\widehat{\eta}\},$$

where $[s; \eta] = [t; \mu]$ if and only if $\eta = \mu$ and there exists $0 \neq e^2 = e \in S_{G,E}$ such that $e \cdot \eta = \eta$ and $se = te$. The unit space

$$\mathcal{G}_{G,E}^{(0)} = \{[\alpha, 1_G, \alpha; \eta] \mid \eta = \alpha\widehat{\eta}\}$$

is identified with the one-sided infinite path space E^∞ , via the homeomorphism $[\alpha, 1_G, \alpha; \eta] \mapsto \eta$. Under this identification, the source and range maps are

$$s([\alpha, g, \beta; \beta\widehat{\eta}]) = \beta\widehat{\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad r([\alpha, g, \beta; \beta\widehat{\eta}]) = \alpha g \cdot \widehat{\eta}.$$

A topology basis on $S_{G,E}$ is given by compact open bisections of the form

$$\Theta(\alpha, g, \beta; Z(\gamma)) := \{[\alpha, g, \beta; \xi] \in \mathcal{G}_{G,E} \mid \xi \in Z(\gamma)\}$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in E^*$ and $g \in G$. Thus $\mathcal{G}_{G,E}$ is locally compact and ample. In [6, Th. 12.2, Th. 14.10] characterizations are given for when $\mathcal{G}_{G,E}$ is Hausdorff and effective, in terms of the properties of the triple (G, E, φ) and the action of $S_{G,E}$ on E^∞ . We then have the following.

Theorem 4.2 (cf. [3, Th. 5.9 (3)]). *Let (G, E, φ) be a triple as in Notation 4.1 such that $S_{G,E}$ satisfies Condition (S) and such that $\mathcal{G}_{G,E}$ is minimal, and let K be a semifield. Then the algebra $A_K(\mathcal{G}_{G,E})$ is congruence-simple if and only if K is either a field or the Boolean semifield.*

Proof. Since $\widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}(S_{G,E}) = \widehat{E}_\infty(S_{G,E})$, Condition (S) and countability imply that $\mathcal{G}_{G,E}$ is effective by [3, Rem. 5.5]. By [3, Lem. 5.6], any compact open subset of $\mathcal{G}_{G,E}$ is regular open. Then, by [3, Th. 5.9 (3)] and Corollary 3.9, we immediately obtain the result. \square

We remark that [3, Lem. 5.9] gives a criterion for verifying Condition (S) more readily in this context, and the minimality assumption in Theorem 4.2 is satisfied in many cases; see [6, Th. 13.6]. By using Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 3.9, we are able to provide congruence-simple Steinberg algebras of certain non-Hausdorff ample groupoids over the Boolean semifield \mathbb{B} in the next subsections.

4.2. A non-Hausdorff ample groupoid associated to a Katsura triple.
Consider the two matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $E_A = (E_A^0, E_A^1, r, s)$ be the directed graph whose incidence matrix is A . Namely, E_A is the graph with $E_A^0 = \{1, 2, 3\}$,

$$E_A^1 = \{e_{11}^0, e_{11}^1, e_{12}, e_{13}, e_{21}, e_{22}^0, e_{22}^1, e_{23}, e_{32}, e_{33}^0, e_{33}^1\},$$

$s(e_{ii}^j) = r(e_{ii}^j) = i$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 0, 1$, $s(e_{12}) = 1$, $r(e_{12}) = 2$, $s(e_{13}) = 1$, $r(e_{13}) = 3$, $s(e_{21}) = 2$, $r(e_{21}) = 1$, $s(e_{23}) = 2$, $r(e_{23}) = 3$, $s(e_{32}) = 3$ and $r(e_{32}) = 2$.

The matrix B determines a \mathbb{Z} -action and a one-cocycle $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} \times E_A^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, as in [6, Ex. 3.4]. This action and one-cocycle are described for $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \cdot e_{ii}^0 &= e_{ii}^1, & \varphi(1, e_{ii}^0) &= 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, 3 \\ 1 \cdot e_{ii}^1 &= e_{ii}^0, & \varphi(1, e_{ii}^1) &= 1 \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, 3 \\ 1 \cdot e_{12} &= e_{12}, & \varphi(1, e_{12}) &= 2 \\ 1 \cdot e_{13} &= e_{13}, & \varphi(1, e_{13}) &= 0 \\ 1 \cdot e_{21} &= e_{21}, & \varphi(1, e_{21}) &= 2 \\ 1 \cdot e_{23} &= e_{23}, & \varphi(1, e_{23}) &= 2 \\ 1 \cdot e_{32} &= e_{32}, & \varphi(1, e_{32}) &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we let

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \{w \in E_A^* \mid r(e) = s(e) \text{ for every edge } e \text{ in } w\}, \\ V &= \{v \in E_A^* \mid r(e) \neq s(e) \text{ for every edge } e \text{ in } v\}. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently, \mathbb{Z} acts differently on paths in W from paths in V . On paths in W , \mathbb{Z} acts like a 2-odometer, while for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $v \in V$ we have $n \cdot v = v$ and

$$\varphi(n, v) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } e_{13} \text{ is an edge in } v, \\ n2^{|v|} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}, E_A}$ and $S_{\mathbb{Z}, E_A}$ be the groupoid and inverse semigroup associated to the Katsura triple $(\mathbb{Z}, E_A, \varphi)$, respectively. We then have that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}, E_A}$ is minimal and non-Hausdorff (by [3, Lem. 5.12]), and effective (by [3, Lem. 5.14]). Also, $S_{\mathbb{Z}, E_A}$ satisfies Condition (S), by [3, Lem. 5.15]. Moreover, $A_K(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}, E_A})$ is simple for all fields K by [3, Th. 5.16]. Using these observations, Corollary 3.9, and Theorem 4.2, we immediately obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.3 (cf. [3, Th. 5.16 (2)]). *For any semifield K , the algebra $A_K(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}, E_A})$ is congruence-simple if and only if K is either a field or the Boolean semifield \mathbb{B} .*

4.3. Ample groupoids of self-similar actions. Let X be a finite set with more than one element, let G be a group, and let X^* denote the set of all words in elements of X , including an empty word \emptyset . Let X^∞ denote the Cantor set of one-sided infinite words in X , with the product topology of the discrete topology on X . Recall that the cylinder sets $Z(\alpha) = \{\alpha\xi \mid \xi \in X^\infty\}$ form a clopen basis for the topology on X^∞ as α ranges over X^* .

Suppose that we have a faithful length-preserving action $(g, \alpha) \mapsto g \cdot \alpha$ of G on X^* , such that for all $g \in G$ and $x \in X$ there exists a unique element of G , denoted by $g|_x$, such that for all $\alpha \in X^*$ there holds

$$g \cdot x\alpha = (g \cdot x)(g|_x \cdot \alpha).$$

In this case, the pair (G, X) is called a *self-similar action*. The map $G \times X \rightarrow G$, $(g, x) \mapsto g|_x$ is called the *restriction* and extends to $G \times X^*$ via the formula

$$g|_{x_1 \dots x_n} = g|_{x_1} \cdots g|_{x_n},$$

and this restriction has the property that for $\alpha, \beta \in X^*$, we have

$$g \cdot \alpha\beta = (g \cdot \alpha)(g|_\alpha \cdot \beta).$$

The action of G on X^* extends to an action of G on X^∞ given by

$$g \cdot (x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots) = (g \cdot x_1)(g|_{x_1} \cdot x_2)(g|_{x_1 x_2} \cdot x_3) \dots$$

Notice that if $R_{|X|}$ denotes the graph with a single vertex and $|X|$ edges, and $\varphi(g, \alpha) := g|_\alpha$, then the self-similar group (G, X) is equivalent to the self-similar graph triple $(G, R_{|X|}, \varphi)$.

Let $S_{G,X} = \{(\alpha, g, \beta) \mid \alpha, \beta \in X^*, g \in G\} \cup \{0\}$. This set becomes an inverse semigroup when given the operation

$$(\alpha, g, \beta)(\gamma, h, \delta) := \begin{cases} (\alpha g \cdot \varepsilon, g|_\varepsilon h, \delta) & \text{if } \gamma = \beta\varepsilon, \\ (\alpha, g(h^{-1}|_\varepsilon)^{-1}, \delta h^{-1} \cdot \varepsilon) & \text{if } \beta = \gamma\varepsilon, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with $(\alpha, g, \beta)^{-1} = (\beta, g^{-1}, \alpha)$. We use the notation $\mathcal{G}_{G,X} := \mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}(S_{G,X})$. Then we have that $\mathcal{G}_{G,X}$ is ample, minimal and effective; see [6, Sec. 13].

For $g \in G$ denote by $FW_g := \{\alpha \in X^* \mid g \cdot \alpha = \alpha\}$ and $SFW_g := \{\alpha \in X^* \mid g \cdot \alpha = \alpha \text{ and } \varphi(g, \alpha) = 1_G\}$ the set of fixed words and strongly fixed words, respectively. Following [3, Def. 5.19], a self-similar action (G, X) is called ω -faithful if for any finite subset $F \subseteq G$ and any $\xi \in X^\infty$ such that every prefix of ξ is in $\bigcap_{f \in F} FW_f \setminus SFW_f$, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every prefix ν of ξ with $|\nu| \geq n$ there exists a word α such that $f|_\nu \cdot \alpha \neq \alpha$ for all $f \in F$. In [3, Lem. 5.20], the authors showed that $S_{G,X}$ satisfies Condition (S) for all ω -faithful self-similar actions (G, X) . Moreover, $A_K(\mathcal{G}_{G,X})$ is simple for all ω -faithful self-similar actions (G, X) and for all fields K , by [3, Th. 5.21 (3)]. Using these results, Corollary 3.9 and Theorem 4.2, we immediately obtain the following.

Theorem 4.4 (cf. [3, Th. 5.21 (3)]). *For any semifield K and any ω -faithful self-similar action (G, X) , the algebra $A_K(\mathcal{G}_{G,X})$ is congruence-simple if and only if K is either a field or the Boolean semifield \mathbb{B} .*

It has been shown that the Steinberg algebra of the tight groupoid associated to the Grigorchuk group is simple over a ground field of characteristic zero (see [3, Thm. 5.22]), but not over the field \mathbb{F}_2 (cf. [14, Ex. 4.5] and [3, Cor. 5.26]). In light of this observation we conclude the article with the following question.

Open Problem. *Is the Steinberg algebra of the tight groupoid associated to the Grigorchuk group over the Boolean semifield congruence-simple?*

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Brown, L. O. Clark, C. Farthing, and A. Sims, Simplicity of algebras associated to étale groupoids, *Semigroup Forum* **88** (2014), 433–452.
- [2] L. O. Clark, C. Farthing, A. Sims, and M. Tomforde, A groupoid generalisation of Leavitt path algebras, *Semigroup Forum* **89** (2014), 501–517.
- [3] L. O. Clark, R. Exel, E. Pardo, A. Sims, and C. Starling, Simplicity of algebras associated to non-Hausdorff groupoids, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **372** (2019), 3669–3712.
- [4] R. Exel, Inverse semigroups and combinatorial C^* -algebras, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.* **39** (2008), 191–313.
- [5] R. Exel and E. Pardo, The tight groupoid of an inverse semigroup, *Semigroup Forum* **92** (2016), 274–303.
- [6] R. Exel and E. Pardo, Self-similar graphs, a unified treatment of Katsura and Nekrashevych C^* -algebras, *Adv. Math.* **306** (2017), 1046–1129.
- [7] S. Givant and P. Halmos, *Introduction to Boolean Algebras*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2009.

- [8] K. Glazek, *A Guide to the Literature on Semirings and Their Applications in Mathematics and Information Sciences*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2002.
- [9] J. S. Golan, *Semirings and their Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1999.
- [10] Y. Katsov, T. G. Nam, and J. Zumbrägel, On simpleness of semirings and complete semirings, *J. Algebra Appl.* **13** (2014), 1450015 (29 pages).
- [11] Y. Katsov, T. G. Nam, and J. Zumbrägel, Simpleness of Leavitt path algebras with coefficients in a commutative semiring, *Semigroup Forum* **94** (2017), 481–499.
- [12] G. Maze, C. Monico, and J. Rosenthal, Public key cryptography based on semigroup actions, *Adv. Math. Commun.* **1** (2007), 489–507.
- [13] T. G. Nam and J. Zumbrägel, On Steinberg algebras of Hausdorff ample groupoids over commutative semirings. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **225** (2021), no. 4, 106548, 22 pp.
- [14] V. Nekrashevych, Growth of étale groupoids and simple algebras, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **26** (2016), 375–397.
- [15] A. L. T. Paterson, *Groupoids, Inverse Semigroups, and their Operator Algebras*, Progress in Mathematics **170**, Springer, 1999.
- [16] J. Renault, *A Groupoid Approach to C^* -Algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **793**, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [17] J. Renault, Cartan subalgebras in C^* -algebras, *Irish Math. Soc. Bull.* **61** (2008), 29–63.
- [18] S. W. Rigby, The groupoid approach to Leavitt path algebras. In: “Leavitt Path Algebras and Classical K -Theory”, 23–71, Indian Statistical Institute, Springer, 2019.
- [19] B. Steinberg, A groupoid approach to discrete inverse semigroup algebras, *Adv. Math.* **223** (2010), 689–727.
- [20] B. Steinberg, Simplicity, primitivity and semiprimitivity of étale groupoid algebras with applications to inverse semigroup algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **220** (2016), 1035–1054.
- [21] B. Steinberg, Chain conditions on étale groupoid algebras with applications to Leavitt path algebras and inverse semigroup algebras, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **104** (2018), 403–411.
- [22] B. Steinberg and N. Szakács, Simplicity of inverse semigroup and étale groupoid algebras, *Adv. Math.* **380** (2021), 107611, 55 pp.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, VAST, 18 HOANG QUOC VIET, CAU GIAY, HANOI, VIETNAM
Email address: `tgnam@math.ac.vn`

FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PASSAU, GERMANY
Email address: `jens.zumbraegel@uni-passau.de`