

The volume of a unit vector field in 2 dimensions via calibrations

Rui Albuquerque

February 10, 2022

Abstract

We use the theory of calibrations to write the equation of a minimal volume vector field on a given Riemann surface.

Key Words: vector field; minimal volume; calibration.

MSC 2020: Primary: 53C38, 57M50, 57R25; Secondary: 58A15.

1 – Introduction

Gluck and Ziller used the theory of calibrations to prove that the minimal volume unit vector fields defined on the 3-dimensional sphere are the Hopf vector fields, [6]. Inspired by such work, we try to parallel those ideas on the setting of an oriented Riemannian 2-manifold.

In [6] an appropriate calibration 3-form φ is found on the total space of the unit tangent sphere bundle $\pi : S\mathbb{S}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$. The bundle sections are of course the unit vector fields on the base. Applying the theory of calibrations of Harvey and Lawson ([7]), the corresponding embedded 3-dimensional C^2 submanifolds calibrated by φ are precisely the Hopf vector fields. They minimize volume globally in a unique homology class, namely the canonical class of the base \mathbb{S}^3 which is included in $H_3(SS^3)$.

The question of minimality in dimension 2 has been raised before, but very little seems to be known. There are several important results e.g. in [2, 3, 4, 5, 10]. To the best of our knowledge, a simple differential equation characterizing the 2-dimensional variational problem was missing.

Let M denote a Riemann surface endowed with a unit norm C^2 vector field X . By the same original definition in [6], we have, cf. [5],

$$\text{vol}(X) = \text{vol}(M, X^*g^S) = \int_M \sqrt{1 + \|\nabla_{e_0} X\|^2 + \|\nabla_{e_1} X\|^2} \text{vol}_M \quad (1)$$

where g^S is the Sasaki metric on SM and e_0, e_1 is *any* local orthonormal frame on M .

We denote by $\pi : SM \longrightarrow M$ the unit tangent sphere bundle of M , eventually with boundary. SM is a Riemannian submanifold of metric contact type with contact 1-form e^0 , this is, a contact manifold with compatible metric induced from (TM, g^S) and contact structure induced from the geodesic spray.

When M is oriented, there exists a natural differential system of 1-forms e^0, e^1, e^2 globally defined on SM (which we like to see as the simplest case of a fundamental differential system introduced in [1]). Let us recall at once the three structural equations of Cartan: $de^0 = e^{21}$, $de^1 = e^{02}$, $de^2 = Ke^{10}$, where K stands for the Gauss curvature of M .

It is clear how to find the global frame e_0, e_1, e_2 at each point $u \in SM$ such that $\pi(u) = x \in M$. The global vector field e_0 is the tautological horizontal vector field, ie. the horizontal lift of $u \in T_x M$. In other words, e_0 is the geodesic spray vector field. Then e_0, e_1 is a well-defined direct orthonormal basis of horizontal vector fields; and e_2 is the vertical dual of e_1 tangent to the S^1 fibres.

In this article we start by characterizing a 2-form φ on SM , clearly a linear combination of e^{01}, e^{20}, e^{12} , which defines the appropriate calibration for the study of unit vector fields on M .

We then establish the existence of φ to that of a minimal vector field on M . Since M must not satisfy any further restriction, our first theorem is also a local result. Indeed, we deduce an equation of a minimal volume vector field in any bounded domain: letting A be essentially a \mathbb{C} -valued function given by the components of $\nabla.X$, we must have, in a conformal chart z of M ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{A}{\sqrt{1 + |A|^2}} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Our second main result is the solution of (2) deduced over constant negative sectional curvature $K < 0$.

For the reader to grasp the questions developed here below, we note the existence of a parallel vector field, clearly an absolute minima of the volume, starts as a local question. On the other hand, the theory of calibrations due to Harvey and Lawson applies to manifolds with boundary. So there is a path through geometry and topology here to pursue.

2 – Minimal volume over a surface

We start by recalling some general ideas in any dimension.

Let $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension $n + 1$. Recall the well-known metric and contact structure e^0 on the total space of $\pi : SM \longrightarrow M$. As usual, we let e_0 denote the geodesic spray, i.e. the unit norm horizontal vector field such that $d\pi_u(e_0) = u \in T_{\pi(u)}M$, $\forall u \in SM$.

Let us assume a calibration φ is defined on SM .

Let $X \in \mathfrak{X}_M$ be a class C^2 unit norm vector field on M . As explained in [7], since $\varphi \leq \text{vol}$ and since the $H_{n+1}(SM, \mathbb{R})$ homology class of $X(M)$ is the same for all X , the minimal volume unit vector fields are those for which $\varphi = \text{vol}$ when restricted to the submanifold $X(M)$. Indeed, recalling vol_X from [5, 6], such unit vector fields are those for which $X^*\varphi = \text{vol}_X$; corresponding to the so-called φ -submanifolds which are sections of $\pi : SM \rightarrow M$. Then the fundamental relation follows: for any unit $X' \in \mathfrak{X}_M$,

$$\int_M \text{vol}_X = \int_{X(M)} \varphi = \int_{X'(M)} \varphi \leq \int_M \text{vol}_{X'}. \quad (3)$$

The theory of calibrations holds for submanifolds with boundary of the calibrated manifold. So we may well focus on a fixed open subset, a domain $\Omega \subset M$ perhaps with non-empty boundary, and seek for an immersion $X : \Omega \rightarrow SM$ giving a φ -submanifold. We remark that prescribing boundary values for X on a compact $\partial\Omega$ implies that certain *moment* conditions are satisfied, cf. [7, Eq. 6.9].

Recalling a useful notation π^*, π^\star , for the horizontal, respectively vertical, canonical lift, we have the ‘horizontal plus vertical’ decomposition $dX(Y) = \pi^*Y + \pi^\star(\nabla_Y X)$ in TTM . Also we may find local adapted frames $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{1+n}, \dots, e_{2n}$, indeed, a local oriented orthonormal moving frame on SM with the e_{i+n} vertical *mirror* of the horizontal e_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$.

π^*X is the horizontal lift and thus $\pi^*X = e_0$ when we restrict to the submanifold $X(M) \subset SM$. This implies the pullback $X^*e^0 = X^\flat$. The horizontal e_i project through $d\pi$ to a frame $e_i \in TM$ (same notation). Hence, we may write

$$dX(e_i) = e_i + \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} e_{j+n} \quad (4)$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, where $A_{ij} = \langle \nabla_{e_i} X, e_j \rangle$. Since $\|X\| = 1$, $A_{i0} = 0$.

We now suppose M is a Riemann surface and $\pi : SM \rightarrow M$ is the unit circle tangent bundle. Let us search for the calibration φ .

As it is well-known, SM is parallelizable. We have the global direct orthonormal frame e_0, e_1, e_2 , with e_2 the vertical mirror of e_1 . In particular $\pi^*\text{vol}_M = e^{01}$.

The following formulas are well-known, cf. [1] and the references therein:

$$de^0 = e^2 \wedge e^1, \quad de^1 = e^0 \wedge e^2, \quad de^2 = K e^1 \wedge e^0 \quad (5)$$

where $K = \langle R(e_0, e_1)e_1, e_0 \rangle$ is the Gauss curvature. Notice K is the pullback of a function on M and it is not necessarily a constant.

Let us assume the abbreviation $b = \pi^*b$ for any given real function b on M ; this gives a function on SM of course constant along the fibres.

Given $b_0, b_1, b_2 \in C_M^1(\mathbb{R})$, we have a 2-form on SM :

$$\varphi = b_2 e^0 \wedge e^1 + b_1 e^2 \wedge e^0 + b_0 e^1 \wedge e^2. \quad (6)$$

This is a 2-calibration if it has comass 1 and $d\varphi = 0$. Recall from [7] that comass 1 is defined by

$$\sup\{\|\varphi\|_u^* : u \in SM\} = 1 \quad (7)$$

where

$$\|\varphi\|_u^* = \sup\{\langle \varphi_u, \xi \rangle : \xi \text{ is a unit simple 2-vector at } u\}. \quad (8)$$

Proposition 1. *The 2-form φ on SM has comass 1 if and only if*

$$\sup\{b_0^2 + b_1^2 + b_2^2 : x \in M\} = 1. \quad (9)$$

The form φ is closed if and only if the function $b_1 + \sqrt{-1}b_0$ is holomorphic.

Proof. For the first part, it is easy to deduce $\varphi(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \langle b_0 e_0 + b_1 e_1 + b_2 e_2, \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v} \rangle$, for any \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} tangent to SM . We then recall that $\|\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}\| = \|\mathbf{u} \wedge \mathbf{v}\|$. The definition of comass 1 together with Cauchy inequality yields $|(b_0, b_1, b_2)| \leq 1$ and the requirement that the above supremum is 1. For the second part of the theorem, we note that $db_i(e_2) = 0, \forall i = 0, 1, 2$, by construction. And therefore $d\varphi = 0$ is equivalent to the condition $db_1(e_1) + db_0(e_0) = 0$. As the frame varies along a single fibre we find Cauchy-Riemann equations. Hence the result. \blacksquare

Remark. There seems to be no advantage, later on, in considering general functions on SM ; even if the equation $db_2(e_2) + db_1(e_1) + db_0(e_0) = 0$ sounds quite charming. It is interesting to observe, by the way, that any two functions f, g on M , such that $\sup\{f^2 + |\nabla g|^2\} = 1$, define a calibration 2-form by $f e^0 \wedge e^1 + dg \wedge e^2$.

Let us now seek for a calibration φ on SM , intended for a new study on M .

Again let $X \in \mathfrak{X}_M$ have unit norm and be defined over (a domain contained in) M . We then have a unique vector field Y on the same domain such that X, Y is a direct orthonormal frame.

The differential of the map X is given by the identities $dX(e_0) = e_0 + A_{01}e_2$, $dX(e_1) = e_1 + A_{11}e_2$, with usual notation $A_{ij} = \langle \nabla_{e_i} X, e_j \rangle$. In other words, abbreviating $A_{i1} = A_i$,

$$X^*e^0 = e^0, \quad X^*e^1 = e^1, \quad X^*e^2 = A_0e^0 + A_1e^1. \quad (10)$$

Recalling definition (1), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}_X &= \|dX(e_0) \wedge dX(e_1)\| e^{01} \\ &= \|e_0 \wedge e_1 + A_1 e_0 \wedge e_2 + A_0 e_2 \wedge e_1\| e^{01} \\ &= \sqrt{1 + A_1^2 + A_0^2} e^{01}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

On the other hand,

$$X^*\varphi = (-b_0 A_0 - b_1 A_1 + b_2) e^{01}. \quad (12)$$

Theorem 1. *Suppose there exists a unit vector field X on M such that the \mathbb{C} -valued function $A = A_1 + \sqrt{-1}A_0$ satisfies the following equation, in a conformal chart z of M :*

$$2(1 + |A|^2) \frac{\partial A}{\partial \bar{z}} - A \frac{\partial |A|^2}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0, \quad (13)$$

corresponding to $A/\sqrt{1 + |A|^2}$ being holomorphic. Then there exists a calibration φ on the total space of SM for which X is a φ -submanifold. In particular, X is a unit vector field on M of minimal volume.

Proof. By Proposition 1, we search for a map $\vec{b} = (b_0, b_1, b_2)$ from M into the Euclidean ball of radius 1 and having a limit value in the \mathbb{S}^2 boundary. Let us also denote $\vec{A} = (-A_0, -A_1, 1)$.

Now, by (11) and (12), condition $X^*\varphi \leq \text{vol}_X$ is equivalent to

$$\langle \vec{b}, \vec{A} \rangle \leq |\vec{A}|.$$

Since we wish equality and since $|\vec{b}| \leq 1 \leq |\vec{A}|$, there is a unique solution:

$$\vec{b} = \frac{\vec{A}}{|\vec{A}|}.$$

The corresponding φ is globally defined, with the same domain as X . Finally, one must have φ closed. Hence the function $A/\sqrt{1 + |A|^2}$ must be holomorphic; and a straightforward computation leads to (13). ■

Remark. Seeing A as ∇X , one certainly finds inspiration for (13) from the minimal surface $u = u(x, y)$ graph equation in \mathbb{R}^3 , due to Lagrange, cf. [9, Eq. 1]:

$$\text{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) = 0.$$

Corollary 1. *Suppose X is a solution of (13) such that the function $|A|$ is constant. Then A is constant and the Riemann surface has constant sectional curvature $K = -|A|^2 \leq 0$. In particular,*

$$\text{vol}(X) = \sqrt{1 - K} \text{vol}(M). \quad (14)$$

Proof. Let us use the notation $e_0 = X$, $e_1 = Y$ on M , as before. In general context, we have $\nabla_0 e_0 = A_0 e_1$, $\nabla_1 e_0 = A_1 e_1$ and so $\nabla_0 e_1 = -A_0 e_0$, $\nabla_1 e_1 = -A_1 e_0$. Hence $[e_0, e_1] = -A_0 e_0 - A_1 e_1$ and then, cf. definition of R in [1],

$$\begin{aligned} R(e_0, e_1)e_1 &= \nabla_{e_0} \nabla_{e_1} e_1 - \nabla_{e_1} \nabla_{e_0} e_1 - \nabla_{[e_0, e_1]} e_1 \\ &= -\nabla_0(A_1 e_0) + \nabla_1(A_0 e_0) + A_0 \nabla_0 e_1 + A_1 \nabla_1 e_1 \\ &= -dA_1(e_0)e_0 - A_1 A_0 e_1 + dA_0(e_1)e_0 + A_0 A_1 e_1 - A_0^2 e_0 - A_1^2 e_0 \\ &= dA_0(e_1)e_0 - dA_1(e_0)e_0 - A_0^2 e_0 - A_1^2 e_0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $|A|$ is constant, then from (13) it follows that A is holomorphic. Henceforth A is constant. And thus $K = \langle R(e_0, e_1)e_1, e_0 \rangle = -|A|^2$. ■

Here follows a non-trivial complete example to which Corollary 1 applies. It is the Lie group of affine transformations $M = \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with left invariant metric, together with any unit left invariant vector field X . It is easy to prove that A is a constant.

M is indeed a constant curvature hyperbolic surface, it is the 2-dimensional case of Special Example 1.7 from [8], which is deduced there to be hyperbolic. Moreover, we know there are no other Lie groups of dimension 2 up to isometry with the same constant curvature $K < 0$.

Equation (13) proves quite hard to solve, be it for constant $K < 0$ or > 0 . In the hyperbolic case, we cannot be sure about uniqueness of the solutions given by invariant theory.

3 – In a conformal chart

We seek further understanding of (13) in general. Let us recall that a complex chart $z = x + iy$ corresponds with isothermal coordinates, ie. a chart such that the metric is given by $\lambda|dz|^2$ for some function $\lambda > 0$.

A real vector field X is given by $X = a\partial_x + b\partial_y = f\partial_z + \bar{f}\partial_{\bar{z}}$ where $f = a + ib$. If $Z = h\partial_z + \bar{h}\partial_{\bar{z}}$ is another vector field, then

$$\langle X, Z \rangle = (f\bar{h} + \bar{f}h)\frac{\lambda}{2} \quad (15)$$

so that $\|X\|^2 = f\bar{f}\lambda$. We have $Y = if\partial_z - i\bar{f}\partial_{\bar{z}} = \bar{Y}$.

Recall the Levi-Civita connection, a real operator, is given by $\nabla_z\partial_z = \Gamma\partial_z$ where $\Gamma = \frac{1}{\lambda}\frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial z}$, $\nabla_z\partial_{\bar{z}} = \nabla_{\bar{z}}\partial_z = 0$, $\nabla_{\bar{z}}\partial_{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{\lambda}\frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial\bar{z}}\partial_{\bar{z}}$. In particular we have $R(\partial_z, \partial_{\bar{z}})\partial_z = -\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial\bar{z}}\partial_z$ and hence

$$K = \frac{\langle R(\partial_z, \partial_{\bar{z}})\partial_z, \partial_{\bar{z}} \rangle}{\langle \partial_z, \partial_{\bar{z}} \rangle^2} = -\frac{2}{\lambda}\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial\bar{z}} = -\frac{2}{\lambda}\frac{\partial^2 \log \lambda}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}. \quad (16)$$

Therefore $\nabla_X X = \varepsilon_0\partial_z + \bar{\varepsilon}_0\partial_{\bar{z}}$ and $\nabla_Y X = i\varepsilon_1\partial_z - i\bar{\varepsilon}_1\partial_{\bar{z}}$ where

$$\varepsilon_0 = ff'_z + \frac{f^2}{\lambda}\lambda'_z + \bar{f}f'_{\bar{z}} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_1 = ff'_z + \frac{f^2}{\lambda}\lambda'_z - \bar{f}f'_{\bar{z}}. \quad (17)$$

We have $A_1 = \langle \nabla_Y X, Y \rangle = (\varepsilon_1\bar{f} + \bar{\varepsilon}_1f)\frac{\lambda}{2}$ and $A_0 = \langle \nabla_X X, Y \rangle = (-i\varepsilon_0\bar{f} + i\bar{\varepsilon}_0f)\frac{\lambda}{2}$. Now for a unit vector we have the identity $f'_z\bar{f}\lambda + f\bar{f}'_z\lambda + f\bar{f}\lambda'_z = 0$ and its conjugate. This yields $A_0 = i\lambda(f^2\bar{f}'_z - \bar{f}^2f'_z)$ and $A_1 = -\lambda(f^2\bar{f}'_z + \bar{f}^2f'_z)$, finally giving a simple and noteworthy result.

Proposition 2. $A = -2\lambda f^2\bar{f}'_z = 2(\Gamma f + f'_z)$.

We note that $|A| = 2|\bar{f}'_z|$ and that a holomorphic unit vector field is just a parallel vector field.

Finding f from equation (13) in Theorem 1 proves quite difficult even for the trivial non-flat metrics.

On the round \mathbb{S}^2 punctured at two antipodal points, it is stated and proved in [3] that a minimum of $\text{vol}(X)$ is attained: a solution X_0 is given, for instance, by the directed meridians unit tangent vector field, invariant by parallel transport between poles. However, this solution does not solve *our* equation — which is not surprising!, for we have found vector fields with even less volume than X_0 in a smaller open region of \mathbb{S}^2 . Such result will be shown in a proper article.

References

- [1] R. Albuquerque, *A fundamental differential system of Riemannian geometry*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 35 (7) (2019), 2221–2250.
- [2] V. Borrelli, O. Gil-Medrano, *Area-minimizing vector fields on round 2-spheres*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 640 (2010), 85–99.
- [3] F. Brito, P. Chacón and D. Johnson, *Unit vector fields on antipodally punctured spheres: big index, big volume*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 136.1 (2008), 147–157.
- [4] F. Brito, A. Gomes and I. Gonçalves, *Poincaré index and the volume functional of unit vector fields on punctured spheres*, manuscripta math. 161 (2020), 487–499.
- [5] O. Gil-Medrano and E. Llinares-Fuster, *Minimal unit vector fields*, Tohoku Math. J. 54 (2002), 71–84.
- [6] H. Gluck and W. Ziller, *On the volume of a unit vector field on the three-sphere*, Comment. Math. Helv. 61 (1986), 177–192.
- [7] R. Harvey and H.B. Lawson, *Calibrated geometries*, Acta Math. 148 (1982), 47–157.
- [8] J.W. Milnor, *Curvatures of left invariant metrics on Lie groups*, Adv. Math. 21 (1976), 293–329.
- [9] W.H. Meeks and J. Pérez, *The classical theory of minimal surfaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 48, Number 3 (2011), 325–407.
- [10] G. Wiegink, *Total bending of vector fields on Riemannian manifolds*, Math. Ann. 303, No. 2 (1995), 325–344.

R. ALBUQUERQUE | rpa@uevora.pt

Centro de Investigação em Matemática e Aplicações

Rua Romão Ramalho, 59, 671-7000 Évora, Portugal

The research leading to these results has received funding from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia. Project Ref. UIDB/04674/2020.