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Abstract

To understand the upper limits or potential of metabolism, the theory of evolution needs to be defined from the mathematical and physical points of view. In this study, we proposed that life is a self-reproducing and self-accelerating open chemical system. Cell membrane and division are not necessary for life. Being alive is a state in which life competes for energy and matter through complex metabolism. Evolution is the dynamic performance of living systems in a complex and changing environment. This study roughly classified life activities into molecular, cellular, individual, ecological, and biogeochemical (atomic) levels according to the scales of life activities, summarized several new orders or laws that emerge as the levels increase, and analyzed the relationship between these laws and the evolution from simple to complex. Then, we proposed the concept that life not only obeys the mathematical and physical laws, but also evolves complex and ingenious methods to gradually use, hide, and/or resist the effects of nature’s laws on life activities. Organisms can then obtain more functions, higher efficiency, better configuration, and greater competitive advantages. Organisms significantly influence themselves in all five levels of life activities, and the whole biosphere can be considered as a huge feedback loop. The accelerated development of human civilization indicates that the feedback loop is running faster and faster. Based on previous studies and the present study, we proposed that feedback regulation continuously drives the evolution of organisms owing to the limitations of metabolism in a complex and changing environment.
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1. Metabolism, environmental change, and evolution

Life survives by competing for energy and matter. Competition takes place through extremely complex metabolism, which is a collection of ordered biochemical reactions. To reach the maximal reaction rate, each biochemical reaction needs to be performed under specific physical and chemical conditions, and the different requirements between different reactions have to be reconciled to support the metabolic goal. Further, the types and amounts of energy and matter available on the earth’s surface are highly variable, as are the physical and chemical conditions and local competitors. To survive amidst the heavy competition, many organisms have evolved a dormancy strategy: they temporarily withdraw from the competition by entering a resting stage of the life cycle or a minimal metabolic state, and re-enter the competition when environmental conditions become more appropriate. Even so, a given species usually lives in a narrow and limited set of environmental conditions and applies a specific metabolic strategy, which indicates that biological metabolism has its limits. In this way, complex life can only evolve in moderately unstable environments, such as the earth’s surface. However, we know little about the potential or upper limits of biological metabolism.

From the time scale of the life cycle, changes in most environmental factors within a certain area of the earth’s surface are roughly periodic and repetitive. This study focused only on the environmental changes that life has or can adapt, and roughly classified them into two types: adapted and not yet adapted but can be adapted. To survive in a changing environment and promote a competition advantage, life has evolved two strategies: adjusting metabolism and updating metabolism. In the present study, the adjustment of metabolism refers to non-genetic responses that are mainly employed to deal with the changes that have already been experienced and adapted, such as the diel cycle of light and temperature, while the update of metabolism involves genetic responses to changes that have not been experienced, have not yet been adapted, or are no longer being adapted, such as the dramatic changes caused by typhoons,
earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, floods, and other emergencies. Non-genetic responses are accomplished through the execution of genetic code and take place at the physiological, transcriptional, and translational levels. Non-genetic responses are always online and timely and do not involve changes in genetic code or information. Genetic responses take more time than non-genetic responses, and this advantage is generally expressed in the offspring. Geographical remains tell us that complex changes occur on the earth’s surface that will last as long as the planet survives (Li 2016; Schlesinger and Bernhardt 2016). Thus, competitive ability is mainly reflected in coping with uncertainty and can be quantified by changes in population size.

Modern evolutionary theory contains four core mechanisms: heredity, horizontal gene transfer, mutations, and selection. Metabolic strategies are written in genetic language and passed from parents to their offspring in the form of genetic code though so-called heredity. The theory of heredity, mainly founded by Gregor Mendel (Urry et al. 2017), explains why offspring and parents are similar but with some differences. Horizontal gene transfer is the movement of genetic information between organisms and can occurs even between prokaryotes and eukaryotes (Keeling and Palmer 2008). Mutations are genetic changes that occur non-randomly in genome sequences and can be induced by exposure to high-energy radiation, certain chemicals, or other stressful conditions (Cairns et al. 1988; Fitzgerald et al. 2019). Heredity, horizontal gene transfer, and mutations can all change the genetic sequence, but heredity has the greatest effect, while mutation has the least. All these mechanisms are designed to keep the evolution achievements as much as possible and to prepare for upcoming uncertainties. This is why the effect of evolution on phenotypes is progressive. Selection is a filter that favors genetic changes that provide some advantages under certain environmental conditions (Lande 1976; Urry et al. 2017). The natural selection theory was originally proposed by Charles Darwin to explain the metabolic changes exhibited on growth and form.

To explore the formation mechanisms behind the rich and colorful array of organisms, Charles Darwin and D’Arcy Thompson focused their attention on the biological changes and eternal laws of nature, respectively. Darwin discovered the
general principle of biological changes, known as the nature selection theory. However, this theory does not place any constraints on metabolism, which prevents us from inferring the potential or upper limits of life. At that time, people did not have a deep understanding of nature and its laws, and thus thought about the connection with evolution. This shortcoming was noticed by D’Arcy Thompson; a master of first principle, he emphasized the importance of unchangeable principles from the point of view of mathematics and physics (Thompson 1942). That is, as long as life exists in a physical world, it must obey the mathematical and physical laws, which determine what kind of evolution can and will happen. For example, the movement of a certain organism is a resistance to the combined effect of various external forces, although the main external forces and the corresponding mechanisms of movement differ significantly between bacteria, water insects, and humans (Thompson 1942). To highlight this difference, Thompson (1942) gave a poetic and precise description in his enduring book *On Growth and Form*. Of course, some contradictions have not been reasonably explained; in particular, the second law of thermodynamics is not suitable to describe the evolution and development of life from simple to complex.
Figure 1 Biosphere is a huge feedback loop and feedback regulation that can also be found at all five levels of life activity. From the atomic level to the biogeochemical level, the spatial and temporal scales are increasing. Biogeochemistry studies the collection of life activities at the atomic level and is focused on the overall effect of biological metabolism on the large-scale cycle of life elements.

Both Darwin and Thompson were correct, and there was no essential conflict between their revolutionary opinions. Biomathematics and biophysics, two emerging fields, were established based on their combined opinions and have attracted a lot of interest. These new fields focus on how life is organized mathematically, physically, and chemically at the molecular, cellular, individual, ecological, and biogeochemical (atomic) levels so as to manifest their specific characteristics on form and growth (Fig. 1). This study attempted to establish a general framework that evolves classic evolutionary theory with Thompson’s concerns. Our recent studies have shown that the two dimensional (2D) biological polygon network not only obeys but has evolved complex methods to control cell size and intracellular angles using mathematical and physical laws (Xu et al. 2017; Xu 2019a; Xu 2019b; Xu 2021). Therefore, these are two different concepts: whether an organism obeys a certain law and whether the law is manifested on the life activity of the organism. The present study summarized several key laws of mathematics and physics that play important roles at all five levels of life activities, and analyzed the relationship between these laws and the evolution from simple to complex. Then, this study proposed the concept that life not only obeys the mathematical and physical laws but also evolves complex and ingenious methods to gradually use, hide, and/or resist the effects of nature’s laws on life activities.

2. **Five levels of life activities**

Life activities occur at the molecular, cellular, individual, ecological, and biogeochemical (atomic) levels, and new orders or laws are introduced with the increase of level (Fig. 2). Small organic molecular units are used to build highly ordered
macromolecules, including nucleic acids, proteins, carbohydrates, and lipids. The macromolecules are organized to form cells, which are the smallest functional units of an organism. To improve overall efficiency, multi-celled organisms coordinate the metabolic activities of cells through signal networks, suggesting that the cells need to be organized according to mathematical and physical rules.

The competition relationship between any two individual organisms totally depends on their interests, regardless of whether they belong to the same species or not, and regardless of their genetic relationship. Each kind of evolutionary winner represents the success of a particular metabolic strategy. Interestingly, the winners of evolution prefer to fight and compete for their own strategy, that is, for their family, group or species, which is common and obvious in the animal kingdom (Dawkins 2016). The metabolism needs to be updated when the adjustment of metabolism does not work, and then a conflict between parents and offspring occurs. In order to support the updating of metabolism, avoid competition between parents and offspring, and enhance competition ability, most beings are selected to have only a limited lifespan and to provide resources for their offspring. The lifespan and number of a given organism can then be used as an indicator to quantify the competitive ability and environmental carrying capacity. Ecology generally focuses on large-scale competition and the effect of environmental changes on the competition. For example, the competition between two phytoplankton functional groups *Phaeocystis* and diatoms in the Ross Sea of Antarctica during the six months of polar days (Smith et al. 2014).

The environment and life shape each other through the exchange of energy and matter, which not only creates human beings, but also provides us with a suitable atmospheric oxygen concentration to engage in various intellectual activities (Benzie 2000; Buick 2008; Lyons et al. 2014). This is biogeochemistry, which studies the cycles of life elements from large temporal and spatial scales. Life elements are acquired by organisms and used to produce small organic molecules, the building blocks of biological macromolecules.

One of the most interesting phenomena in biogeochemistry is that, from the
perspective of an individual, other members of the population are part of the environment. At this point, life influences, selects, and evolves itself. This is a typical feedback regulation (Fig. 1). For example, owing to the hard work of photoautotrophs in the past history of the earth, the atmospheric CO$_2$ and O$_2$ concentrations largely decreased and increased, respectively (Buick 2008; Nelson 2011). This has transformed the earth’s surface from a reducing environment to an oxidizing environment, resulting in the development of an antioxidant system for the survival of life under a high O$_2$ condition (Benzie 2000). Besides, to support the CO$_2$ requirement of photosynthesis at a low CO$_2$ concentration, photoautotrophs have evolved CO$_2$ concentrating mechanisms (Giordano et al. 2005). In this way, the collection of all life and environment can be considered as a self-adapting and self-selecting system, and evolution is the dynamic performance of the system. Modern fertility medicine is human selection of the self, and human selection is also manifested in crops, livestock, ornamental animals, and companion animals.

Once sufficient energy and material are provided, the individual number of a species increases, resulting in the accumulation of highly ordered macromolecules. Thus, 200 years ago, scientists already realized that the second law of thermodynamics is insufficient or inappropriate to explain life phenomena (Thompson 1942). The evolution of non-living and living structures has the opposite effect on entropy or disorder, that is, it increases and decreases, respectively. Thus, non-living structures are subjected to the second law of thermodynamics, but this fundamental law is not reflected in the development and evolution of organisms. About 80 years ago, physicist Schrödinger pointed out that it was metabolism that helped life to resist the effect of the second law of thermodynamics (Schrodinger 1944). In short, life feeds on negative entropy. This concept draws the first dividing line between non-living and living systems. All life, regardless of species, lives beyond the second law of thermodynamics by acquiring, utilizing, and converting external energy and resources. Thus, taken as a whole, this law holds statistically, which indicates that the emergence and continuation of life presupposes local disequilibrium or fluctuation in nature.
Figure 2 As complexity increases, some typical orders or laws are introduced. (1) Biochemical reactions obey the laws of thermodynamics and are accelerated by enzymes. (2) Cells tend to be spherical because they obey the Young–Laplace equation, but most cells are non-spherical under real conditions. (3) The epithelium, a 2D space-filling structure composed of polygonal cells, can be described by Euler’s law. (4) The neighboring relationship between cells can be described by Aboav–Weaire’s law. (5) According to Lewis’s law, the size of an $n$-edged cell tends to increase with $n$. (6) Division is used to hide the effect of the von Neumann–Mullins law on cell size. (7) The topology of an early embryo, a three-dimensional (3D) space-filling structure composed of polyhedral cells, can be described by 3D Euler’s law. (8) City size distribution follows Zipf’s law. (9) The metabolic rate of organisms and the development of human civilization can be predicted by the allometric relationship. In conclusion, the development and evolution of living organisms reflected the opposite trend to the second law of thermodynamics.

2.1 Molecular level: biochemical reactions
The metabolism is a self-reproducing and self-accelerating network of biochemical reactions, but it needs to be fed with matter and energy. In theory, all chemical reactions are reversible; that is, products in one direction become reactants in the opposite direction. Under given conditions, the direction in which a certain reaction is energetically possible is not determined by life but must obey the laws of thermodynamics (Urry et al. 2017). Whether the reaction will actually occur or not and the reaction rate are not determined by the laws of thermodynamics but can be largely controlled by life. To gradually and effectively transform energy and produce preferred or desired chemical products, life uses two methods: spatial-temporal separation and acceleration.

In a metabolic network, different pathways are usually connected with several small biomolecules, which become the key nodes in the network. The reaction rate, for example, can be influenced by the concentration of reactants and products, pH, temperature, and the catalyzer. Then, the abnormal accumulation of intermediates and final metabolites, even essential nutrients, can disturb the metabolic network and may cause death. To deal with this problem, the production pathway will be inhibited, and the elimination and protection pathways will be evoked. This is a typical feedback regulation in the metabolic network. Of course, feedforward regulation also plays a role (Urry et al. 2017). Besides, there are serious conflicts between many key metabolic pathways, for example, respiration and the toxic effects of oxygen on biological macromolecules and related metabolic pathways, competition between photorespiration and photosynthesis in photoautotrophs, and the paradox between water loss and CO₂ uptake through stomata in crassulacean acid metabolism plants (Benzie 2000; Falkowski and Raven 2013; Li 2016; Urry et al. 2017). The spatial and/or temporal separations have been used to eliminate the conflicts between different metabolic pathways and have been severed as a ratchet wheel to produce desired metabolites step by step. More precisely, it is necessary to place an appropriate amount of chemicals at the right time and position. The formation of the cell boundary is the sign of the emergence of primitive life, which can be regarded as the oldest version of
spatial-temporal separation. As the physical boundary of the cells of today’s organisms, membranes not only prevent the free diffusion of chemicals across the membrane but also provide a specific and stable physical, chemical, and biological environment. For eukaryotes, the cell is further compartmentalized to separate the structures with different functions, and compartmentalized structure, such as vacuoles, can switch their functions under different situations (Urry et al. 2017).

The spatial-temporal separation is a general strategy at the molecular and cellular levels and is also manifests on all the other three levels. During human development, spatial and temporal changes in organogenesis, such as the development of hands and feet, are accomplished by cell differentiation and apoptosis. Under stable environmental conditions, the dynamic pattern of a given ecosystem is usually similar from year to year. For example, in the last decades, scientists have observed that several key species or functional groups of the Ross Sea food web in Antarctica always dominate the biomass at their trophic levels and during specific periods (Smith et al. 2014). In particular, the spatial-temporal variation of the phytoplankton *Phaeocystis* and diatoms leads to profound biogeochemical consequences; that is, the seawater CO₂ concentration decreases rapidly from about 410 µatm to about 150 µatm in the first 3 months of the polar day each year (Takahashi et al. 2002; Smith et al. 2014).

The spatial-temporal separation only ensures that the desired products will be produced by metabolism, while the production rate is speed up by biological enzymes. The enzymes are macromolecules that act as catalysts, accelerating chemical reaction by lowering the energy barrier and showing the same effect on the rate of reversible reactions in both directions (Urry et al. 2017). Biological enzymes can increase the rate of chemical reactions by at least five orders of magnitude (Nelson and Cox 2017), and then the utilization rate of energy and matter is accelerated by enzymes. The acceleration effect of enzymes ensures the accumulation of macromolecules. This is an important advantage for competition, and it is believed that the chemical reactions in all living things are catalyzed by enzymes (Urry et al. 2017). In addition, organisms are always exposed to various kinds of damage, and the rate of repair metabolism needs to
be faster than the rate of damage in order to prevent the accumulation of negative effects. Therefore, repair metabolism is crucial for life and is also accelerated by enzymes. Besides, enzymes accelerate the cycling of energy and matter in the biosphere, thus driving and accelerating the global elemental cycle. For example, photosynthesis, in which enzymes are involved, plays an important role in the global carbon cycle and can potentially be used to maintain atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration (Falkowski and Raven 2013; Li 2016).

2.2 Cellular level: exchange of energy and matter
Life is an open system that exchanges energy and matter with the environment. More importantly, these exchanges take place under the control of life, through complex structures and metabolism (Urry et al. 2017). Today, the simplest form of life capable of independent survival is a single-celled organism that is fully enclosed with a membrane. The cell membrane forms a selective barrier that controls not only which chemical can cross the membrane but also the rate of crossing (Urry et al. 2017). Therefore, it is widely accepted that the most basic structure of a biological open system is the cell membrane. The second function of the cell membrane is that it separates the cell from the external environment and provides relatively stable physical, chemical, and biological conditions to support and protect metabolism. Besides, the cell membrane stands right in the front line of intensive chemical attacks, suggesting that cellular metabolism requires rapid and effective repair or prevention of membrane damage. Thus, the formation and maintenance of cell membranes is a central part of metabolism.

Indeed, the organic membrane systems of today’s organisms are complex and powerful. However, the boundary of primitive organisms should be simple and may have only one selection function, such as size selection. Several functions of the chloroplast, such as the Calvin cycle and the photooxidation of water, do not depend on membrane integrity (Urry et al. 2017). Thus, a partially enclosed inorganic microcave, either naturally formed or formed by bioerosion or biomineralization, can serve as a
boundary for primitive organisms. As a typic boring organism, the filamentous conchocelis of red algae *Pyropia* can dig a long “tunnel” inside mollusk shells and grow inside it (Zeng 1985), which necessitates the exchange of matter between the algae and the environment through the micron-sized pores in the shell. This is an example of bioerosion. It is generally believed that all organisms can induce or control the deposition of inorganic minerals at specific sites, which is called biomineralization (Knoll 2003; Weiner and Dove 2003). Some organisms can be completely covered by inorganic exoskeletons with small pores, such as single-celled diatoms. The micropores on the silica exoskeleton of diatoms are channels for the matter exchange between the diatom and the environment, and can be as small as about 40 nm (Losic et al. 2006). Thus, this inorganic shell becomes the first selection barrier that prevents the exchange of matter larger than 40 nm. These analyses suggest that the inorganic microcave may be the first version of the boundary of biological open system.

Gánti (1997) proposed that the minimum life model is a chemical automata with three characteristics: a self-reproducing metabolic cycle, a membrane subsystem, and an information subsystem (Gánti 1997). The latter two are parts of the first system, called metabolism. Based on Gánti’s concept and the above discussion, this study proposed that life is a self-reproducing and self-accelerating open chemical system. Our concept is partly supported by recent computational and experimental studies that show that the self-reproducing cycle, catalyzer, and membrane can emerge from abiotic chemicals according to known reaction rules (Wolos et al. 2020). However, the most important question remains unanswered: What is the invisible driving force of chemical automata?

In our concept and the original version of Gánti, cell division is not a necessary function of primitive organisms. For most cells of today’s organisms, division works together with other metabolic processes to maintain a specific size distribution (Beardall et al. 2009; Neumuller and Knoblich 2009; Kiyomitsu 2015; Amodeo and Skotheim 2016; Bjorklund 2019). Division decreases cell size by 50% on average, which is the key process that controls the upper limit of cell size. As for the lower limit,
the cell must have enough space to hold chemicals and serve as a site for metabolism. The accumulation rate of organic matter is likely at scale with the volume, but the maximum rate of external energy and matter acquisition is expected to scale with the surface area (Beardall et al. 2009; Finkel et al. 2010; Amodeo and Skotheim 2016). Furthermore, the intracellular movement of chemical is directly related to cell size. Small cells, then, are generally considered to have an advantage over large cells in maintaining relatively high metabolic rates (Beardall et al. 2009; Finkel et al. 2010), which may explain why cell division can be found in most organisms. However, some single-celled organisms are huge because they do not divide, such as, green macroalga *Caulerpa lentillifera* and foraminifera xenophyophores (Gooday et al. 2017; Arimoto et al. 2019). Interestingly, these organisms are dominant species under specific environmental conditions. Thus, for biological metabolism, cell division can bring some advantages, but it is not necessary and absolute. As a common nonlinear open system in nature and in industrial applications, Bénard convection can be considered as a non-living 2D polygon network that closely resembles living epithelial tissues and has several common laws (Cerisier et al. 1996; Xu 2021). The dynamics of Bénard convection, mediates by several topological processes, include cell division and its opposite change, namely cell merging (Cerisier et al. 1996). This indicates that cell division and fusion can emerge naturally.

Surface tension tends to make the bubble spherical. For a given volume, the surface area of spheres is minimal, which not only saves the cost on the bubble boundary but also minimizes the surface energy of bubbles. For these reasons, the protoplast of the onion becomes spherical once the rigid cell walls are removed (Taiz and Zeiger 2010). Surface tension also contributes to the formation of spherical lipid droplets covered by a single layer of membrane (Ben M'barek et al. 2017). The shape of the simplest artificial single-celled organism, JCVI-syn3.0, is close to spherical, and it contains less than 500 genes, indicating that the simplest life tends to be spherical (Pelletier et al. 2021). However, the shape of single-celled organisms is highly variable. For example, several dominant phytoplankton species, including diatoms and
dinoflagellates, are non-spherical (Thompson 1942; Sun and Liu 2003). In this case, the Young–Laplace equation is no longer the main force controlling cell shape. By quantifying the geometric feature of cell structure, we can derive the mechanism of shape transformation. The first step must be to break the influence of surface tension on the cell membrane, which is simple for today’s organisms but a huge step forward for the weak primitive membrane-bearing organism.

Thompson commented that the form of an object is a “diagram of forces” (Thompson 1942). In this way, cell shape is the manifestation of mechanical properties as well as a dynamic consequence of the internal and external forces of the cell. Those physiological processes that can influence the cell size, such as growth, respiration, and cell division, will alter the balance of forces and feedback-regulate the processes (Fig. 1). All three domains of life, including Bacteria, Archaea, and Eukarya, use cytoskeletons to help maintain cell shape (Wickstead and Gull 2011). The cytoskeleton is a network of protein polymers that govern the shape and mechanical stability of the cell (Ingber et al. 2014). Thus, the cytoskeleton is used to break or hide the effect of surface tension on the cell shape. Similar but different mechanisms are also carried out in multi-celled organisms—for instance, the bone system, composed mainly of organic macromolecules and calcium minerals, is essential for the shape, movement, and protective functions of our body.

2.3 Individual level: space filling

Cell division is used by most of today’s organisms and is controlled by several positive and negative feedback loops (Rhind and Russell 2012; Kiyomitsu 2015; Amodeo and Skotheim 2016). Besides, the position and orientation of division are adjusted according to mechanical forces and cell shape (Minc and Piel 2012). This is a biophysical feedback regulation of cell size (Fig. 1). So far, there is still a lack of insight into the evolutionary advantages of cell division. The division of a cell gives rise to two daughter cells. The daughters are independent or at least partially independent cells, which have the potential to divide further. Cell division can exponentially increase the
number of single-celled organisms, which increases the survival probability in a changing environment. In general, the life cycle of multi-celled organisms includes a single-celled stage because single-cell seeds can greatly increase reproductive efficiency. Besides, for multi-celled organisms, cell division ensures that the organism can develop various physiological functions, and the death of part cells does not lead to the loss of physiological functions. These are important evolutionary advantages for organisms that use cell division, especially those that live in tough environmental conditions.

From a statistical point of view, equal-size divisions tend to divide cells equally. Many studies have emphasized the importance of equal size division for maintaining size distribution and related physiological functions, and have attempted to explore the behind mechanisms through experimental and model studies (Amodeo and Skotheim 2016; Bjorklund 2019). However, unequal-size divisions are also common in today’s organisms and play an important role in the development of both single- and multi-celled organisms (Kiyomitsu 2015; Amodeo and Skotheim 2016). Therefore, for single-celled organisms, cell size is sometimes not a valid indicator for species classification. For example, the volume of the green macroalga *Caulerpa lentillifera* and the synthetic bacteria JCVI-syn3.0 can vary in size by more than three orders of magnitude (Arimoto et al. 2019; Pelletier et al. 2021). Besides, even for equal-size division, daughter cells are not identical; they differ in protein content, organelles, and developmental potential (Neumuller and Knoblich 2009).

In the rest of this section, we will mainly focus on the space filling of multi-celled organisms. According to the highest geometric complexity of cell arrangement in life cycle, this study divided organisms into four categories: zero- (0D), one- (1D), two- (2D), and three- (3D) dimensional organisms. A 0D organism is a single cell, while the remaining three are multi-celled organisms, which can be considered as a set of cells arranged into 1D, 2D, and 3D structures. The cell size of multi-celled organisms is generally scaled in micrometers, except for those cells with specific functions, such as egg, muscle, and nerve cells in animals and pavement cells in plant leaves (Urry et al.
Thus, the size of organism, and the number of cells and the type of cell increase with dimensionality. From a life-cycle perspective, high-dimensional organisms generally develop from a single cell and may experience the 1D and/or 2D stage before reaching the highest complexity. Besides, parts of a high-dimensional organism can be regarded as low-dimensional structures. A well-known example is the epithelium, a 2D membranous structure consisting of one or more layers of cells that cover most internal and external surfaces of a multi-celled organism and its organs.

Just like the cell membrane of a cell, the epithelium separates the organism or the organs from the external environment, controls the exchanges of energy and matter, protects internal cells, and is the first and main barrier preventing microbial invasion. Moreover, for multi-celled organisms, the development, growth, shape, and physiological functions of cells follow the guidance of chemical signals. Thus, the epithelial cells need to be in close contact with each other, leaving no gaps. Each epithelial cell can be simplified as a convex polygon, where every three polygonal cells meet at a vertex. Then, the epithelium can be considered as a 2D polygonal network. Such trivalent 2D structures are found everywhere in non-living systems, from the atomic to astronomic scales (Xu 2021). The geometric and topological dynamics of non-living trivalent 2D structures can be described by four laws: Euler’s law, Lewis’s law, Aboav–Weaire’s law, and the von Neumann–Mullins law (Weaire and Rivier 1984; Stavans 1993; Xu 2021). As a master rule, Euler’s law not only describes the topological relationships between the number of cells, edges, and vertices of trivalent 2D structures, but also applies to any kind of 2D space-filling structures. According to Lewis’s law, the cell size increases with the number of edges. Aboav–Weaire’s law tells us that cells with few edges tend to neighbor cells with many edges, and vice versa. The change rate of cell size can be described by the von Neumann–Mullins law. These laws show a deep connection between the global and local structures and are related to the conserved distribution of the number of cell edges (Xu 2021). The original idea of Lewis’s law and Aboav–Weaire’s law can be traced back to the first edition of Thompson’s On Growth and Form (Thompson 1917).
The 2D soap froths are one of the most intensively studied non-living trivalent 2D structures, and therefore we compared them with living 2D structures. Based on previous studies, we concluded that as the complexity of cell arrangement in biological structures increases, the above four laws are broken one by one and may manifest in new aspects. Cell size variation in 2D froth structures depends on the number of cell edges, whereas that in living 2D structures is independent of the number of edges (Glazier and Weaire 1992; Xu 2021). This difference occurs because the cell size of living 2D structures is controlled by the organism rather than by the von Neumann–Mullins law (Xu 2021). Cell division in living 2D structures greatly changes the number of edges, resulting in cell size that is out of the control of von Neumann–Mullins law. A recent simulation study suggested that the von Neumann–Mullins law is one of the consequences of relaxation, which follows a simple mathematical rule: the central angle between two adjacent vertices of an \( n \)-edged regular polygon is \( 2\pi/n \) (Xu 2021). Another consequence of relaxation is that the intracellular angles are concentrated around 120°, which is consistent with the observations of 2D soap froths and a living 2D structure Pyropia thalli (Stavans and Glazier 1989; Xu et al. 2017; Xu 2021). Thus, the living 2D structure takes advantage of the mathematical rule but hides one of its consequences, namely the von Neumann–Mullins law. Moreover, Aboav–Weaire’s law seems to be more conservative than Lewis’s law, as relaxation does not influence the neighbor relationship but changes the cell size (Xu 2021).

Nearly a century ago, the epidermal cells of plant leaves already attracted much attention owing to their sinuous cell wall. Thompson commented that this phenomena is not easy to explain (Thompson 1942), but the answer still follows his revolutionary concept: life must obey mathematical and physical laws. It the initial stage of development, walled cells can also be simplified as convex polygons. Later, cells stop dividing and start expanding and can end up with a size more than 100 times the original (Sapala et al. 2018). This will increase the internal pressure of the epidermal cell, leading to high mechanical stress on the cell wall. To relieve the mechanical stress,
the cell wall is bent by the cytoskeleton (Smith and Oppenheimer 2005). Theoretically, the deformation of the cell wall does not influence the edge number of cells; then, Aboav–Weaire’s law is not affected. If both cell size changes and cell wall deformation are uniform, then Lewis’s law will be preserved. However, both Aboav–Weaire’s law and Lewis’s law were broken in observations of the pavement cells of *Arabidopsis* leaves (Carter et al. 2017). This deviation may have occurred because of the asynchronous development of cells, as small convex cells can usually be observed coexisting with large pavement cells on the surface of the leaves.

Among the four laws mentioned above, only Euler’s law has been proven mathematically, while the other three are still empirical laws with equations that are under debate (Xu 2019a; Xu 2019b; Xu 2021). There is still a long way to go to find out the 3D versions of Lewis’s law, Aboav–Weaire’s law, and the von Neumann–Mullins law. The 3D space-filling structure should be similar to the 2D space-filling structure, but more complex. For 1D and 2D biological structures, the direction of cell division is perpendicular to the direction of cell proliferation. As for 3D biological structure filling with polyhedral cells, the division can happen at any direction. The 2D version of Euler’s law is mathematically a specific case of the 3D version, but in biology, they need to be executed by different mechanisms. Moreover, in the 3D condition, Euler’s law is not sufficient in identifying whether a polyhedron is sealed or not (Thompson 1942; Grünbaum and Motzkin 1963). This is a serious problem for the study of 3D space filling. We should learn from organisms, as some of them evolved exquisite and robust mechanisms to follow the 3D Euler’s law, for example, the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi* (named after Darwin’s bulldog, Thomas Huxley), the radiolarias *Didymocyrtis*, and *Pantanellium* (Young et al. 2003; Yoshino et al. 2015; Xu et al. 2018; Yoshino et al. 2019).

The early embryo can be considered as a 3D space-filling structure composed of convex polyhedral cells, which means it obeys a 3D version of the four laws mentioned above. The most attractive cells in animals are neurons, which are the basis of consciousness. The unique shape of neurons makes the human nervous system not a
3D structure filled with polyhedral cells, but essentially a network of countless connected neurons. Then, Lewis’s law, Aboav–Weaire’s law, and the von Neumann-Mullins law do not apply to the nervous system. It is clear that the Euler’s law does not manifest in the space filling of nervous system as it does in epidermis and early embryo. It is, however, reflected in the topology of neural networks (Santos et al. 2019). Human fusiform HeLa cells and astrocytes can be converted into functional neurons, and related technologies are expected to be used for the treatment of nervous system diseases (Xue et al. 2013; Qian et al. 2020). These analyses and experimental studies suggested that the evolution of animal consciousness is based on the breakthrough and advanced application of the laws of nature. In addition to the Euler characteristic, another topological invariant, the Betti number, has been used to analyze the topological phase transition of the brain neural network (Santos et al. 2019). The differences in form complexity among single-celled protozoa, small worms, and mammals can be quantified by the Betti number. Obviously, the Betti number of mammal embryos increases during the development.

2.4 Ecological level: size and competition

The ratio of surface area to volume of small organisms tends to be higher than that of large ones. Thus, it is theoretically assumed that small phytoplankton have an advantage over large ones in terms of nutrient uptake (Beardall et al. 2009; Finkel et al. 2010). However, this is not the truth. First, only part of the membrane surface is occupied by proteins, and only part of the membrane protein has the function of nutrient uptake. Second, the smaller the volume, the more frequently the cell membrane is attacked by hazardous chemicals. Third, some dominate phytoplankton groups, such as diatoms and coccolithophores, are fully covered by inorganic exoskeleton, leaving a limited area for membrane direct contact with the outside world (Young et al. 2003; Losic et al. 2006).

Compartmentalization has allowed multi-celled organisms to develop many powerful functions or abilities. The cell type of humans can be divided into more than 100 major clusters and 800 sub-clusters (Han et al. 2020), which is the basis of why we
can see, hear, smell, taste, feel, think, talk, and write, and more importantly, establish civilization. The functions and abilities of single-celled organisms are relatively simple, but we still do not fully understand the mechanisms behind them. The organic matter of an organism increases with its size, but the resources in a given environment are limited, which sets an upper limit for the size of organism. This partly explains the so-called island rule, which has been confirmed recently (Benitez-Lopez et al. 2021). According to this rule, small animals on islands have a tendency to become bigger, and big animals tend to become smaller. The island rule suggests that animals tend to get larger if resources are sufficient. This is a long-term feedback regulation of offspring size through genetic adaptation. In the short-term, limited resources can also lead to negative feedback between the population density of a species and the rates of birth and death (Urry et al. 2017). Besides, small warm-blooded animals dissipate heat faster than large ones, so small ones need more food to sustain their body temperature (West 2017). This is another important evolutionary advantage of large body size.

About 80% of the earth’s biomass is plants, mainly terrestrial trees (Bar-On et al. 2018). Bacteria are the second major biomass component, accounting for about 15% of global biomass. Given the huge size difference between bacteria and trees, it is not surprising that the number of bacteria is estimated to be more than $10^{15}$ times that of trees (Bar-On et al. 2018). This is consistent with a previous study that used a power law to describe the relationship between the size and abundance of photoautotrophs, including marine phytoplankton and terrestrial plants (Belgrano et al. 2002). According to this law, the abundance of organisms decreases with the increasing size. If this law can be extended to all organisms on the earth, and if the variation of total energy and total matter on the earth’s surface is neglectable, the distribution of different-sized organisms should remain relatively stable. However, humans do not obey this power law. Currently, the biomass of mammals is about four times that before human civilization (Bar-On et al. 2018). There are two reasons: The biomass of wild mammals has decreased by about 80% owing to the development of human civilization; at present, the biomass of humans is more than 10 times the biomass of wild mammals, and so is
livestock (Bar-On et al. 2018). The increase in mammalian biomass is not due to the fourfold increase of resources on the earth’s surface, but rather to the increasing ability of humans to obtain and utilize resources.

Similar power–law relations are reflected in many aspects of human civilization. In 1949, Zipf found an inverse power relationship between the rank and frequency of words (Zipf 1949). According to this law, if words are ranked in descending order of frequency, frequency is linearly related to the inverse of rank. Zipf’s law is observed everywhere in our lives and nature, including the distribution of firms, wealth, income, words, paper citations, cities, ships, sand, and meteorites (Lü et al. 2010; West 2017). One of the most interesting phenomena is that in the development of human society, Zipf’s law can always be used to describe the distribution of cities based on their populations (Jiang et al. 2015). About 8,000 years ago, the ancient city Çatalhöyük, located in modern Turkey, was the largest city in the world, with a population of only about 5,500 (Waibel 2020). Today, the largest city in our planet is Tokyo, with a population of about 38 million. These data suggest that human cities obey Zipf’s law, and human civilization moves forward along the curve of Zipf’s law. Obviously, human civilization was established and developed on the basis of reducing the living space of other organisms.

2.5 Biogeochemical (atomic) level: size and metabolic rate

Under the action of physical forces, fundamental particles form chemical elements, which are then organized to form stars (Viola 1990; Li 2016; Schlesinger and Bernhardt 2016). Besides, the motion and evolution of stars and various matter are also controlled by the physical forces. As one of the four fundamental forces of nature, gravity is one of the main drivers that determine the distribution of elements on the earth, especially as it enriches five of the six essential life elements, namely carbon (C), hydrogen (H), nitrogen (N), oxygen (O), and sulfur (S), on the earth’s surface (Li 2016; Schlesinger and Bernhardt 2016). This is the atomic basis for the emergence of life.

All living organisms exchange energy and chemical matter with the external
environment through metabolism. More than 95% of the biomass on the earth consists of six essential elements, C, H, N, O, phosphorus (P), and S (Li 2016; Schlesinger and Bernhardt 2016). All organisms, including microorganisms, plants, and animals, have a similar elemental composition. Therefore, this elemental preference is a universal phenomenon, indicating that organisms compete mainly for the above six elements. Elemental preference is also reflected in the carbon isotopes. Owing to minor chemical and physical differences, lighter carbon isotopes are much more likely to be fixed by photosynthesis, which results in lower $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratios in photoautotrophs than in the atmosphere (Craig 1953; Farquhar et al. 1989). Fossil fuels, the remains of ancient organisms (mainly plants) about 300 million years ago, have a $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratio similar to those of modern plants (Craig 1953). This proves that the elemental preference of life has been present for about 300 million years. Biogeochemistry studies the collection of life activities at the atomic level and focuses on the overall effect of biological metabolism on large-scale cycling of life elements. Moreover, the biogeochemical effects of organisms also influence themselves at the atomic level and are manifested in all five levels of life activities. Thus, the biosphere can be considered as a huge feedback loop.

Julian Huxley (grandson of Thomas Huxley) and Max Kleiber summarized an empirical power law, the allometric relationship, to describe the relationships between individual size and metabolic rate (Huxley 1932; Kleiber 1932; Brown et al. 2004). Huxley’s idea was strongly influenced by Thompson’s work, and he expressed his respect for Thompson in the title page and preface of his book (Huxley 1932). This law has been reexamined and confirmed across a mass range of more than 25 orders of magnitude (Brown et al. 2004; West 2017). According to this law, with the increase of biomass, the metabolic rate per individual tends to increase, but the metabolic rate normalized by biomass tends to decrease. In a word, the exponent of the allometric relationship of organisms ranges from zero to one, which indicates that the efficiency of metabolism decreases with increasing size. This law can be used to explain why both marine phytoplankton and terrestrial plants contribute about 50% of global net primary
production, but the former accounts for only about 0.2% of the latter’s biomass (Field et al. 1998; Allen et al. 2005).

Recently, the allometric relationship has also been observed in many aspects of human civilization; for example, economic growth rates, crime rates, patent rights, and even walking speed all scale with the population of a city (West 2017). When this law is applied to quantify the development of human civilization, the exponent becomes larger than one, indicating that the efficiency of human society increases with size. This explains why more people have moved to big cities, and cities have grown in size, in the past several thousand years. Humans are the most highly evolved and successful species on the earth. So far, at the individual level, the metabolic rate of humans is still limited by the old version of the allometric relationship (with an exponent less than one) (West 2017). However, when people work together, human civilization becomes increasingly fast. A landmark event is that, in 2020, the human-made mass has surpassed all living biomass (Elhacham et al. 2020). This will have a significant impact on global biogeochemistry and us, but we still know little about it.

3 Conclusion
In this study, we proposed that life is a self-reproducing and self-accelerating open chemical system. Cell membrane and division are not necessary for life. Being alive is a state in which life competes for energy and matter through a complex metabolism. Then, evolution is the dynamic performance of the life system in a complex and changing environment. According to the scales of life activities, this study roughly classified life activities at the molecular, cellular, individual, ecological, and biogeochemical (atomic) levels and summarized the new orders or laws that emerge with the increase of level (Fig. 2).

As a collection of biochemical reactions, metabolism is subjected to the thermodynamic laws and other laws, but it is largely controlled by the organism itself. Organisms use two methods, spatial-temporal separation and acceleration, to effectively produce desired chemicals and maintain metabolism. The cell membrane
is the boundary that separates the cell from its external environment. It may evolve after the emergence of the oldest organism and can be found in all organisms that can live independently today. The membrane serves as a selective barrier that controls the exchange of energy and matter between the cell and the external environment, and provides relatively stable physical, chemical, and biological conditions to support and protect metabolism. The shape of the cell membrane obeys the Young–Laplace equation and tends to be spherical. However, the cell shape of most organisms is not spherical and is largely controlled by the organism itself. For organisms tessellated with convex polyhedral cells, the arrangement of cells follows four mathematical laws: Euler’s law, Lewis’s law, Aboav–Weaire’s law, and the von Neumann–Mullins law (Weaire and Rivier 1984; Stavans 1993; Xu 2021). This is also true for soap froths. During the development of convex polyhedral cells into neurons, these laws lose their effectiveness one by one. Moreover, Euler’s law is presented in a new way: the topology of neural network (Santos et al. 2019).

There is a power-law relationship between the size and abundance of organisms (Belgrano et al. 2002). However, humans do not obey this relationship because the total biomass of humans and livestock is more than 20 times that of wild mammals (Bar-On et al. 2018). A similar power law, Zipf’s law, can be used to describe the relationship between the rank and population of cities (Jiang et al. 2015), even though city size has been increasing over the past several thousand years. Another power law, the allometric relationship, can be used to describe the relationship between the size of organisms and their metabolic rates, with an exponent between zero and one (Brown et al. 2004). However, when this law is applied to quantify the development of human civilization, the exponent becomes larger than one, indicating that the efficiency of human society increases with size (West 2017). This can explain why the development of human civilization has caused cities to become larger and larger.

Based on the above analyses, we found that following the laws does not mean that the laws are reflected in life activities. Then, we proposed a concept that life not
only obeys the mathematical and physical laws but also evolves complex and ingenious methods to gradually use, hide, and/or even resist the effects of nature’s laws on life activities (Fig. 2). In this way, organisms can obtain more functions, higher efficiency, better configuration, and greater competitive advantages. Many scientists, including Schrödinger, posited that there were undiscovered universal laws of physics in living matter (Schrödinger 1944). However, about 80 years later, no such laws have been found. The known laws may be sufficient to explain what life is and why it evolves. Perhaps living matter and non-living matter are mathematically and physically the same, and their difference comes from the fact that the high complexity of life can carry or accommodate more laws, and the laws are combined and presented in more complex ways (Fig. 2). This study found that organisms significantly influence themselves at all five levels of life activities. Then, the whole biosphere can be considered as a huge feedback loop (Fig. 1). Feedback regulation is also common in the literature of philosophy and science, and many researchers consider it to be a fundamental feature of living matter rather than non-living matter (Goldenfeld and Woese 2011; Davies 2019; Tao 2021). The accelerated development of human civilization indicates that the feedback loop runs faster and faster. Based on previous studies and the present study, we proposed that feedback regulation continues to drive the evolution of organisms owing to the limitations of metabolism in a complex and changing environment.

Anderson (1972) pointed out that for both living and non-living matters, "we can see how the whole becomes not only more than but very different from the sum of the parts." In short, "more is different." This study strongly supports Anderson’s idea. In this way, our definition of life may be insufficient or needs to be modified to describe the most essential characteristics of life activities, especially human behavior and consciousness. This is the shortage of the present study. Finally, we would like to ask: which law is next?
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