# Cohomology of weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras and Rota-Baxter paired operators

Apurba Das

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208016, Uttar Pradesh, India. Email: apurbadas348@gmail.com

#### Abstract

In this paper, we define representations and cohomology of weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras. As applications of cohomology, we study abelian extensions and formal 1-parameter deformations weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras. Finally, we consider weighted Rota-Baxter paired operators that induces a weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra and a representation of it. We define suitable cohomology for such paired operators that govern deformation.

#### 2020 MSC classification: 17B38, 17B56, 16S80.

**Keywords:** Weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras, Representations, Cohomology, Deformations, Rota-Baxter paired operators.

#### Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Representations of weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras	3
3	Cohomology of weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras	7
4	Applications of cohomology	12
5	Rota-Baxter paired operators	16

#### 1 Introduction

Rota-Baxter operators were first appeared in the work of Baxter in his study of the fluctuation theory [6] and further developed by Rota in combinatorics [25]. Subsequently, Cartier [7] and Atkinson [2] studied further properties of Rota-Baxter operators. In last twenty years, Rota-Baxter operators on associative algebras pay very much attention due to its connection with combinatorics of shuffle algebras [15], Yang-Baxter equations [1], dendriform algebras [1,13], renormalizations in quantum field theory [8], multiple zeta values in number theory [16] and splitting of algebraic operads [3]. See [17] for more details about Rota-Baxter operators on associative algebras. On the other hand, Rota-Baxter operators on Lie algebras was first considered by Kuperscmidt in the study of classical r-matrices [19]. They are also connected with pre-Lie algebras [3], integrable systems [26] and combinatorics of Lyndon-Shirshov words [23].

Deformation theory of some algebraic structure goes back to Gerstenhaber [14] for associative algebras and Nijenhuis-Richardson [24] for Lie algebras. Recently, deformation theory has been adapted to Rota-Baxter operators on Lie algebras [27] and subsequently developed in [9] for associative algebras. In both these papers, the authors only considered deformations of Rota-Baxter operators by keeping the underlying algebras intact. In [11,21] the authors dealt with deformations of Rota-Baxter algebras in which they simultaneously deform Rota-Baxter operators and the underlying algebras. Note that all these works are concern about Rota-Baxter operators of weight zero.

Rota-Baxter operators with arbitrary weight (also called weighted Rota-Baxter operators) was considered in [4,5]. They are related with tridendriform algebras [12], post-Lie algebras and modified Yang-Baxter equations [4], weighted infinitesimal bialgebras and weighted Yang-Baxter equations [32], combinatorics of rooted forests [31], among others. Recently, the authors in [18] defined the cohomology of Rota-Baxter operators of weight 1 on Lie algebras and Lie groups. This motivates the present author to study cohomology and deformations of weighted Rota-Baxter operators on both associative and Lie algebras [10]. However, the simultaneous deformations of (associative) algebras and weighted Rota-Baxter operators are considered in a recent preprint of Wang and Zhou [30]. More precisely, they considered weighted Rota-Baxter associative algebras and define cohomology of them with coefficients in a suitable Rota-Baxter bimodule. When considering the cohomology with coefficients in itself, it governs the simultaneous deformations of algebras and weighted Rota-Baxter operators.

Our aim in this paper is to apply the approach of [30] to weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras. More precisely, we first consider representations of weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras and provide various constructions of representations. Then we define the cohomology of a weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra with coefficients in a representation. This cohomology is obtained as a byproduct of the standard Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of the underlying Lie algebra and the cohomology of the underlying weighted Rota-Baxter operator. When the weight is zero, our cohomology coincides with the one introduced in [21]. We interpret our second cohomology group as the isomorphism classes of abelian extensions of weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras. Then we consider deformations of weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras in which we simultaneously deform underlying Lie algebras and weighted Rota-Baxter operators. The infinitesimals of such deformations are 2-cocycles in the cohomology and equivalent deformations produce cohomologous 2-cocycles. Hence they correspond to the same element in the second cohomology group. We also find a sufficient condition for the rigidity of a weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra.

Finally, given a Lie algebra and a representation, we introduce Rota-Baxter paired operators that induces a weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra and representation of it. The terminology of Rota-Baxter paired operators is motivated by a paper by Zheng, Guo and Zhang [33] where the authors introduced Rota-Baxter paired modules in the associative context. We define a suitable differential graded Lie algebra that characterize Rota-Baxter paired operators as its Maurer-Cartan elements. This suggests us to define the cohomology of Rota-Baxter paired operators that control formal deformations.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we consider weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras and their representations. We also give several new constructions of representations. The cohomology of a weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra with coefficients in a representation is defined in Section 3. Applications of such cohomology to abelian extensions and formal 1-parameter deformations are given in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, we define Rota-Baxter paired operators. We provide the cohomology of such paired operators and consider their deformations.

All vector spaces, (multi)linear maps, wedge products are over a field  $\mathbf{k}$  of characteristic 0.

## 2 Representations of weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras

In this section, we consider weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras [28] and introduce their representations. We also provide various examples and new constructions. Let  $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$  be a fixed scalar unless specified otherwise.

**2.1 Definition.** (i) Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra. A linear map  $\mathfrak{T} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$  is said to be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter operator if  $\mathfrak{T}$  satisfies

$$[\mathfrak{T}(x),\mathfrak{T}(y)] = \mathfrak{T}([\mathfrak{T}(x),y] + [x,\mathfrak{T}(y)] + \lambda[x,y]), \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$
(1)

(ii) A  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra is a pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  consisting of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  together with a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter operator on it.

**2.2 Example.** (i) For any Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}})$  is a (-1)-weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra.

(ii) Let  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra. Then for any  $\mu \in \mathbf{k}$ , the pair  $(\mathfrak{g},\mu\mathfrak{T})$  is a  $(\mu\lambda)$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra.

(iii) Let  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra. Then  $(\mathfrak{g}, -\lambda \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}} - \mathfrak{T})$  is so.

(iv) Given a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  and an automorphism  $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \psi^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{T} \circ \psi)$  is a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra.

(v) Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra which splits as the direct sum of two subalgebras  $\mathfrak{g}_{-}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{+}$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  is a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra, where  $\mathfrak{T} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$  is given by

$$\mathfrak{T}(x_-, x_+) = (0, -\lambda x_+), \text{ for } (x_-, x_+) \in \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_+ = \mathfrak{g}_+$$

(vi) This example generalizes the previous one. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra which splits as the direct sum of three subalgebras  $\mathfrak{g}_-$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_+$  in which  $\mathfrak{g}_-$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_+$  are both  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -modules (i.e., representations of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ ). If  $(\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{T}_0)$  is a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra then  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$  is so, where  $\mathfrak{T}: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$  is given by

$$\mathfrak{T}(x_-, x_0, x_+) = (0, \mathfrak{T}_0(x_0), -\lambda x_+), \text{ for } (x_-, x_0, x_+) \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

**2.3 Definition.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}',\mathfrak{T}')$  be two  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras. A morphism from  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$  to  $(\mathfrak{g}',\mathfrak{T}')$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\phi:\mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{g}'$  satisfying additionally  $\phi\circ\mathfrak{T}=\mathfrak{T}'\circ\phi$ . It is called an isomorphism if  $\phi$  is so.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra. Recall that a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  with a linear map (called the action map)  $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V})$  satisfying

$$\rho[x,y] = \rho(x) \circ \rho(y) - \rho(y) \circ \rho(x), \text{ for } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

We denote a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  as above by  $(\mathcal{V}, \rho)$  or simply by  $\mathcal{V}$  when no confusion arises. Note that any Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a representation of itself with the action map  $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to \operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{g})$  given by  $\rho(x)(y) = [x, y]$ , for  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . This is called the adjoint representation.

**2.4 Definition.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra. A representation of it is a pair  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$  in which  $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}, \rho)$  is a representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$  is a linear map satisfying

$$\rho(Tx)(\mathcal{T}u) = \mathcal{T}(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u + \rho(x)(\mathcal{T}u) + \lambda\rho(x)u), \text{ for } x \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in \mathcal{V}.$$
(2)

**2.5 Example.** Any  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  is a representation of itself. We call this the adjoint representation.

**2.6 Example.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and  $\mathcal{V}$  be a representation of it. Then the pair  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{V}})$  is a representation of the (-1)-weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}})$ .

**2.7 Example.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra and  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$  be a representation of it. Then for any scalar  $\mu \in \mathbf{k}$ , the pair  $(\mathcal{V}, \mu \mathcal{T})$  is a representation of the  $(\mu \lambda)$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu \mathfrak{T})$ .

**2.8 Proposition.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra and  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$  be a representation of it. Then  $(\mathcal{V}, -\lambda \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{V}} - \mathcal{T})$  is a representation of the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, -\lambda \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}} - \mathfrak{T})$ .

*Proof.* For any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{V}$ , we observe that

$$\rho((-\lambda \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}} - \mathfrak{T})x)(-\lambda \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{V}} - \mathcal{T})(u)$$

$$= \lambda^{2}\rho(x)u + \lambda\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u + \lambda\rho(x)\mathcal{T}u + \rho(\mathfrak{T}x)\mathcal{T}u$$

$$= \lambda^{2}\rho(x)u + \lambda\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u + \lambda\rho(x)\mathcal{T}u + \mathcal{T}(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u + \rho(x)\mathcal{T}u + \lambda\rho(x)u).$$
(3)

On the other hand,

$$(-\lambda \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{V}} - \mathcal{T}) \left( \rho(-\lambda \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}} - \mathfrak{T}(x))u + \rho(x)(-\lambda \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{V}} - \mathcal{T})(u) + \lambda\rho(x)u \right)$$
  
$$= \lambda^{2}\rho(x)u + \lambda\mathcal{T}(\rho(x)u) + \lambda\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u + \mathcal{T}(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u) + \lambda^{2}\rho(x)u + \lambda\mathcal{T}(\rho(x)u) + \lambda\rho(x)\mathcal{T}u + \mathcal{T}(\rho(x)\mathcal{T}u) \quad (4)$$
  
$$- \lambda^{2}\rho(x)u - \lambda\mathcal{T}(\rho(x)u).$$

The expressions in (3) and (4) are same. Hence  $(\mathcal{V}, -\lambda i d_{\mathcal{V}} - \mathcal{T})$  is a representation of the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, -\lambda i d_{\mathfrak{g}} - \mathfrak{T})$ .

**2.9 Proposition.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra and  $\{(\mathcal{V}_i,\mathcal{T}_i)\}_{i\in I}$  be a family of representations of it. Then  $(\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathcal{V}_i, \bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathcal{T}_i)$  is also a representation of the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\rho_i : \mathfrak{g} \to \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V}_i)$  denote the action of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  on the representation  $\mathcal{V}_i$ . Then it follows that  $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to \operatorname{End}(\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{V}_i), \rho(x)(u_i)_{i \in I} = (\rho_i(x)u_i)_{i \in I}$  is a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{V}_i$ . Moreover, for any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $(u_i)_{i \in I} \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{V}_i$ , we have

$$\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)(\oplus_{i\in I}\mathcal{T}_i)(u_i)_{i\in I} = \left(\rho_i(\mathfrak{T}x)\mathcal{T}_i(u_i)\right)_{i\in I}$$
  
=  $\left(\mathcal{T}_i\left(\rho_i(\mathfrak{T}x)u_i + \rho_i(x)\mathcal{T}_i(u_i) + \lambda\rho_i(x)u_i\right)\right)_{i\in I}$   
=  $\left(\oplus_{i\in I}\mathcal{T}_i\right)\left(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)(u_i)_{i\in I} + \rho(x)(\oplus_{i\in I}\mathcal{T}_i)(u_i)_{i\in I} + \lambda\rho(x)(u_i)_{i\in I}\right).$ 

Hence the result follows.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and  $(\mathcal{V}, \rho)$  be a representation. Then there is a representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  on the space  $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V})$  with the action given by

$$\widetilde{\rho}:\mathfrak{g}\to \mathrm{End}\big(\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{V})\big), \ (\widetilde{\rho}(x)f)u=-f(\rho(x)u), \ \text{ for } x\in\mathfrak{g}, \ f\in\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{V}) \text{ and } u\in\mathcal{V}.$$

With this representation, we have the following.

**2.10 Proposition.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra and  $(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{T})$  be a representation of it. Then  $(\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V}),\widetilde{\mathcal{T}})$  is also a representation of the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$ , where

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$$
: End $(\mathcal{V}) \to$  End $(\mathcal{V}), \ \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(f)(u) = -\lambda f(u) - f(\mathcal{T}(u)), \ \text{ for } u \in V.$ 

*Proof.* For any  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$  and  $u \in \mathcal{V}$ ,

$$\left(\tilde{\rho}(\mathcal{T}x)\tilde{T}(f)\right)u = -\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(f)\left(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u\right) = \lambda \ f(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u) + f\left(\mathcal{T}(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u)\right).$$
(5)

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} &\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}\big(\widetilde{\rho}(\mathfrak{T}x)f + \widetilde{\rho}(x)\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(f) + \lambda\widetilde{\rho}(x)f\big)\right)u \\ &= -\lambda\big(\widetilde{\rho}(\mathfrak{T}x)f + \widetilde{\rho}(x)\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(f) + \lambda\widetilde{\rho}(x)f\big)u - \big(\widetilde{\rho}(\mathfrak{T}x)f + \widetilde{\rho}(x)\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(f) + \lambda\widetilde{\rho}(x)f\big)(\mathcal{T}u) \\ &= \lambda\bigg(f(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u) + \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(f)(\rho(x)u) + \lambda f(\rho(x)u)\bigg) + \bigg(f\big(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)(\mathcal{T}u)\big) + \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(f)\big(\rho(x)(\mathcal{T}u)\big) + \lambda f\big(\rho(x)(\mathcal{T}u)\big)\bigg) \\ &= \lambda\bigg(f(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u) - \underline{\lambda}f(\rho(x)u) - \underline{f}(\mathcal{T}(\rho(x)u)) + \underline{\lambda}f(\rho(x)u)\bigg) \\ &+ f\bigg(\mathcal{T}\big(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u\big) + \underline{\mathcal{T}}(\rho(x)(\mathcal{T}u)) + \underline{\mathcal{T}}(\rho(x)u) - \underline{\lambda}\rho(x)(\mathcal{T}u) - \underline{\mathcal{T}}(\rho(x)(\mathcal{T}u)) + \lambda\rho(x)(\mathcal{T}u)\bigg) \\ &= \lambda f(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u) + f\big(\mathcal{T}(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u)\big). \end{split}$$
(6)

It follows from (5) and (6) that  $(\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V}), \widetilde{\mathcal{T}})$  is a representation of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$ .

In the following, we construct the semidirect product in the context of  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras.

**2.11 Proposition.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra and  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$  be a representation of it. Then  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{V}, \mathfrak{T} \oplus \mathcal{T})$  is a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra, where the Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{V}$  is given by the semidirect product

$$[(x, u), (y, v)]_{\kappa} := ([x, y], \rho(x)v - \rho(y)u), \text{ for } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, u, v \in V.$$
(7)

Proof. We have

$$\begin{split} &[(\mathfrak{T} \oplus \mathcal{T})(x, u), (\mathfrak{T} \oplus \mathcal{T})(y, v)]_{\ltimes} \\ &= \left([\mathfrak{T}(x), \mathfrak{T}(y)], \ \rho(\mathfrak{T}x)(\mathcal{T}v) - \rho(\mathfrak{T}y)(\mathcal{T}u)\right) \\ &= \left(\mathfrak{T}[\mathfrak{T}(x), y], \ \mathcal{T}(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)v - \rho(\mathfrak{T}y)u)\right) + \left(\mathfrak{T}[x, \mathfrak{T}(y)], \ \mathcal{T}(\rho(x)(\mathcal{T}v) - \rho(y)(\mathcal{T}u))\right) \\ &+ \lambda \ \left(\mathfrak{T}[x, y], \ \mathcal{T}(\rho(x)v - \rho(y)u)\right) \\ &= (\mathfrak{T} \oplus \mathcal{T}) \left([(\mathfrak{T} \oplus \mathcal{T})(x, u), (y, v)]_{\ltimes} + [(x, u), (\mathfrak{T} \oplus \mathcal{T})(y, v)]_{\ltimes} + \lambda[(x, u), (y, v)]_{\ltimes}\right). \end{split}$$

This shows that  $\mathfrak{T} \oplus \mathcal{T}$  is a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter operator on the semidirect product Lie algebra. Hence the result follows.

**2.12 Remark.** The converse of the above proposition is also true. More precisely, let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space and  $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V}), \mathcal{T} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$  be two linear maps. Then  $(\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}, \rho), \mathcal{T})$  is a representation of the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  if and only if  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{V}, \mathfrak{T} \oplus \mathcal{T})$  is a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra, where  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{V}$  is equipped with the bracket (7).

**2.13 Remark.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and  $\mathcal{V}$  be a representation of it. Let  $\mathfrak{T} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter operator on  $\mathfrak{g}$  which makes  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra. Intuitively, it follows from the above proposition that  $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$  can be considered as a representation of  $\mathfrak{T}$  with respect to the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation  $\mathcal{V}$ . In Section 5, we call the tuple  $(\mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{T})$  as  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter paired operators.

**2.14 Proposition.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra. Then we have the followings.

(i) The pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, [, ]_{\mathfrak{T}})$  is a Lie algebra, where

$$[x,y]_{\mathfrak{T}} := [\mathfrak{T}(x), y] + [x, \mathfrak{T}(y)] + \lambda[x, y], \text{ for } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

We denote this Lie algebra by  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}$ .

(ii) The pair (g<sub>I</sub>, I) is a λ-weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra and the map I : g<sub>I</sub> → g is a morphism of λ-weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras.

*Proof.* (i) This is a standard result that  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}} = (\mathfrak{g}, [,]_{\mathfrak{T}})$  is a Lie algebra. See for instance [10, Proposition 5.5]. We also observe that

$$\begin{split} [\mathfrak{T}(x),\mathfrak{T}(y)]_{\mathfrak{T}} &= \ [\mathfrak{T}^2(x),\mathfrak{T}(y)] + [\mathfrak{T}(x),\mathfrak{T}^2(y)] + \lambda[\mathfrak{T}(x),\mathfrak{T}(y)] \\ &= \ \mathfrak{T}\big([\mathfrak{T}(x),y]_{\mathfrak{T}} + [x,\mathfrak{T}(y)]_{\mathfrak{T}} + \lambda[x,y]_{\mathfrak{T}}\big) \end{split}$$

which shows that  $\mathfrak{T}$  is a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter operator on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}$ .

(ii) Since  $\mathfrak{T}$  is a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter operator on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , it follows from (1) that

$$\mathfrak{T}([x,y]_{\mathfrak{T}}) = [\mathfrak{T}(x),\mathfrak{T}(y)], \text{ for } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}.$$

This imples that  $\mathfrak{T} : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}} \to \mathfrak{g}$  is a morphism of  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras from  $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \mathfrak{T})$  to  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$ .

**2.15 Theorem.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra and  $(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{T})$  be a representation of it. Define a map  $\overline{\rho} : \mathfrak{g} \to \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V})$  by

$$\overline{\rho}(x)u := \rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u + \rho(x)(\mathcal{T}u) + \lambda\rho(x)u, \text{ for } x \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Then

- (i)  $\overline{\rho}$  satisfies  $\mathcal{T}(\overline{\rho}(x)u) = \rho(\mathfrak{T}(x))\mathcal{T}(u)$ ,
- (ii)  $(\overline{\mathcal{V}} = (\mathcal{V}, \overline{\rho}), \mathcal{T})$  is a representation of the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \mathfrak{T})$ .

*Proof.* The part (i) follows from (2). To prove the part (ii) we first observe that

$$\begin{split} \overline{\rho}(x)\overline{\rho}(y)u &- \overline{\rho}(y)\overline{\rho}(x)u \\ &= \rho(\mathfrak{T}x)\overline{\rho}(y)u + \rho(x)\mathcal{T}(\overline{\rho}(y)u) + \lambda\rho(x)\overline{\rho}(y)u - \rho(\mathfrak{T}y)\overline{\rho}(x)u + \rho(y)\mathcal{T}(\overline{\rho}(x)u) + \lambda\rho(y)\overline{\rho}(x)u \\ &= \rho(\mathfrak{T}x)\rho(\mathfrak{T}y)u + \rho(\mathfrak{T}x)\rho(y)\mathcal{T}(u) + \lambda\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)\rho(y)u + \rho(x)\rho(\mathfrak{T}y)\mathcal{T}(u) \\ &+ \lambda\rho(x)\rho(\mathfrak{T}y)u + \lambda\rho(x)\rho(y)\mathcal{T}(u) - \lambda^{2}\rho(x)\rho(y)u \\ &- \rho(\mathfrak{T}y)\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u - \rho(\mathfrak{T}y)\rho(x)\mathcal{T}(u) - \lambda\rho(\mathfrak{T}y)\rho(x)u - \rho(y)\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)\mathcal{T}(u) \\ &- \lambda\rho(y)\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u - \lambda\rho(y)\rho(x)\mathcal{T}(u) - \lambda^{2}\rho(y)\rho(x)u \\ &= \rho([\mathfrak{T}x,\mathfrak{T}y])u + \rho([\mathfrak{T}x,y] + [x,\mathfrak{T}y] + \lambda[x,y])\mathcal{T}(u) + \lambda\rho([\mathfrak{T}x,y] + [x,\mathfrak{T}y] + \lambda[x,y])u \\ &= \rho(\mathfrak{T}[x,y]_{\mathfrak{T}})u + \rho([x,y]_{\mathfrak{T}})\mathcal{T}(u) + \lambda\rho([x,y]_{\mathfrak{T}})u \\ &= \overline{\rho}([x,y]_{\mathfrak{T}})u. \end{split}$$

This shows that  $\overline{\mathcal{V}} = (\mathcal{V}, \overline{\rho})$  is a representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}$ . Moreover, we have

$$\overline{\rho}(\mathfrak{T}x)\mathcal{T}(u) = \rho(\mathfrak{T}^2(x))\mathcal{T}(u) + \rho(\mathfrak{T}x)\mathcal{T}^2(u) + \lambda\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)\mathcal{T}(u)$$
$$= \mathcal{T}(\overline{\rho}(\mathfrak{T}x)u + \overline{\rho}(x)\mathcal{T}(u) + \lambda\overline{\rho}(x)u) \quad \text{(from (i))}.$$

This shows that  $(\overline{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{T})$  is a representation of  $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \mathfrak{T})$ .

**2.16 Remark.** When considering the adjoint representation  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  of the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$ , the representation of the above theorem is the adjoint representation of the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \mathfrak{T})$ .

In the following, we will prove another relevant result that will be useful in the next section to construct the cohomology of  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras.

**2.17 Theorem.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra and  $(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{T})$  be a representation of it. Define a map  $\tilde{\rho}: \mathfrak{g} \to \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V})$  by

$$\widetilde{\rho}(x)u = \rho(\mathfrak{T}(x))u - \mathcal{T}(\rho(x)u), \text{ for } x \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Then  $\tilde{\rho}$  defines a representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}$  on  $\mathcal{V}$ . Moreover,  $(\tilde{\mathcal{V}} = (\mathcal{V}, \tilde{\rho}), \mathcal{T})$  is a representation of the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \mathfrak{T})$ .

*Proof.* For any  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{V}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\rho}(x)\widetilde{\rho}(y)u &- \widetilde{\rho}(y)\widetilde{\rho}(x)u \\ &= \widetilde{\rho}(x)\big(\rho(\mathfrak{T}y)u - \mathcal{T}(\rho(y)u)\big) - \widetilde{\rho}(y)\big(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u - \mathcal{T}(\rho(x)u)\big) \\ &= \rho(\mathfrak{T}x)\rho(\mathfrak{T}y)u - \rho(\mathfrak{T}x)\mathcal{T}(\rho(y)u) - \mathcal{T}\big(\rho(x)\rho(\mathfrak{T}y)u - \rho(x)\mathcal{T}(\rho(y)u)\big) \\ &- \rho(\mathfrak{T}y)\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u + \rho(\mathfrak{T}y)\mathcal{T}(\rho(x)u) + \mathcal{T}\big(\rho(y)\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u - \rho(y)\mathcal{T}(\rho(x)u)\big) \\ &= \rho(\mathfrak{T}x)\rho(\mathfrak{T}y)u - \mathcal{T}\bigg(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)\rho(y)u + \underline{\rho(x)\mathcal{T}(\rho(y)u)} + \lambda\rho(x)\rho(y)u\bigg) - \mathcal{T}\bigg(\rho(x)\rho(\mathfrak{T}y)u - \underline{\rho(x)\mathcal{T}(\rho(y)u)}\bigg) \\ &- \rho(\mathfrak{T}y)\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u + \mathcal{T}\bigg(\rho(\mathfrak{T}y)\rho(x)u + \underline{\rho(y)\mathcal{T}(\rho(x)u)} + \lambda\rho(y)\rho(x)u\bigg) + \mathcal{T}\bigg(\rho(y)\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u - \underline{\rho(y)\mathcal{T}(\rho(x)u)}\bigg) \\ &= \rho([\mathfrak{T}x,\mathfrak{T}y])u - \mathcal{T}\big(\rho([\mathfrak{T}x,y] + [x,\mathfrak{T}y] + \lambda[x,y])u\big) \\ &= \rho(\mathfrak{T}[x,y]_{\mathfrak{T}})u - \mathcal{T}\big(\rho([x,y]_{\mathfrak{T}})u\big) \\ &= \widetilde{\rho}([x,y]_{\mathfrak{T}})u. \end{split}$$

This shows that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}} = (\mathcal{V}, \widetilde{\rho})$  defines a representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}$ . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\rho}(\mathfrak{T}x)(\mathcal{T}u) \\ &= \rho(\mathfrak{T}^2(x))(\mathcal{T}u) - \mathcal{T}(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)(\mathcal{T}u)) \\ &= \mathcal{T}\big(\rho(\mathfrak{T}^(x))u + \rho(\mathfrak{T}x)(\mathcal{T}u) + \lambda\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u\big) - \mathcal{T}^2\big(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u + \rho(x)(\mathcal{T}u) + \lambda\rho(x)u\big) \\ &= \mathcal{T}\big(\rho(\mathfrak{T}^2(x))u - \mathcal{T}(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u)\big) + \mathcal{T}\big(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)(\mathcal{T}u) - \mathcal{T}(\rho(x)(\mathcal{T}u))\big) + \mathcal{T}\big(\lambda\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u - \lambda\mathcal{T}(\rho(x)u)\big) \\ &= \mathcal{T}\big(\widetilde{\rho}(\mathfrak{T}x)u + \widetilde{\rho}(x)(\mathcal{T}u) + \lambda\widetilde{\rho}(x)u\big) \end{split}$$

which shows that  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{T})$  is a representation of  $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \mathfrak{T})$ .

# 3 Cohomology of weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras

In this section, we first recall the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of a Lie algebra with coefficients in a representation. Then we define the cohomology of a weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra with coefficients in a representation. This cohomology is obtained as a byproduct of the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of the underlying Lie algebra with the cohomology of the weighted Rota-Baxter operator. Applications of cohomology to abelian extensions and formal 1-parameter deformations are given in the next section.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and  $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}, \rho)$  be a representation of it. The Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with coefficients in  $\mathcal{V}$  is given by the cohomology of the cochain complex  $\{C^*_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}), \delta_{\mathsf{CE}}\}$ , where

 $C^n_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V}) = \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^n \mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V})$  for  $n \ge 0$  and the coboundary map  $\delta_{\mathsf{CE}} : C^n_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V}) \to C^{n+1}_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V})$  given by

$$(\delta_{\mathsf{CE}} f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+n} \rho(x_i) f(x_1, \dots, \hat{x_i}, \dots, x_{n+1}) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n+1} (-1)^{i+j+n+1} f([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \hat{x_i}, \dots, \hat{x_j}, \dots, x_{n+1}),$$

for  $f \in C^n_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$  and  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra and  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$  be a representation of it. Then we have seen in Theorem 2.17 that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}} = (\mathcal{V}, \widetilde{\rho})$  is a representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}$ . Therefore, one can define the corresponding Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology. More precisely, for each  $n \geq 0$ , we define

$$C^n_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}},\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}) = \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^n \mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V})$$

and a coboundary map  $\partial_{\mathsf{CE}}: C^n_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}) \to C^{n+1}_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{V}})$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_{\mathsf{CE}} f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+n} \ \widetilde{\rho}(x_i) f(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n+1} (-1)^{i+j+n+1} \ f([x_i, x_j]_{\mathfrak{T}}, x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, \widehat{x_j}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+n} \ \rho(\mathfrak{T}(x_i)) f(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, x_{n+1}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+n} \ \mathcal{T}\big(\rho(x_i) f(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, x_{n+1})\big) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n+1} (-1)^{i+j+n+1} \ f([\mathfrak{T}(x_i), x_j] + [x_i, \mathfrak{T}(x_j)] + \lambda[x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, \widehat{x_j}, \dots, x_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\{C^*_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}), \partial_{\mathsf{CE}}\}$  is a cochain complex. The corresponding cohomology groups are called the cohomology of  $\mathfrak{T}$  with coefficients in the representation  $\mathcal{T}$ .

**3.1 Remark.** When  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T}) = (\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  is the adjoint representation of the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$ , one may consider the cohomology of  $\mathfrak{T}$  with coefficients in the representation  $\mathfrak{T}$  itself. In [10] the author defines the cohomology of a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter operators motivated from their Maurer-Cartan characterizations. It follows that the cohomology of  $\mathfrak{T}$  in the sense of [10] is isomorphic to our cohomology of  $\mathfrak{T}$  with coefficients in the representation  $\mathfrak{T}$  itself.

We will now in a position to define the cohomology of the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  with coefficients in the representation  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$ . We first consider the two cochain complexes, namely,

- $\diamond$  the Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complex  $\{C^*_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}, V), \delta_{\mathsf{CE}}\}$  defining the cohomology of the Lie algebra with coefficients in the representation  $\mathcal{V}$ ,
- $\diamond$  the complex  $\{C^*_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \widetilde{V}), \partial_{\mathsf{CE}}\}$  defining the cohomology of  $\mathfrak{T}$  with coefficients in the representation  $\mathcal{T}$ .

The following result is similar to [30, Proposition 5.1].

**3.2 Proposition.** The collection of maps  $\{\Phi^n : C^n_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}, V) \to C^n_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \widetilde{V})\}_{n \ge 0}$  defined by

 $\Phi^0 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad and$ 

$$\Phi^{n}(f)(x_{1},...,x_{n}) = f(\mathfrak{T}(x_{1}),...,\mathfrak{T}(x_{n})) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^{n-k-1} \sum_{i_{1} < \cdots < i_{k}} \mathcal{T} \circ f(x_{1},...,\mathfrak{T}(x_{i_{1}}),...,\mathfrak{T}(x_{i_{k}}),...,x_{n})$$

is a morphism of cochain complexes from  $\{C^*_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}, V), \delta_{\mathsf{CE}}\}$  to  $\{C^*_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \widetilde{V}), \partial_{\mathsf{CE}}\}$ , i.e.,  $\partial_{\mathsf{CE}} \circ \Phi^n = \Phi^{n+1} \circ \delta_{\mathsf{CE}}$ , for  $n \ge 0$ .

Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra and  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$  be a representation of it. For each  $n \geq 0$ , we define an abelian group  $C^n_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  by

$$C_{\mathsf{RB}}^{n}(\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V}) = \begin{cases} C_{\mathsf{CE}}^{0}(\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{V} & \text{if } n = 0\\ C_{\mathsf{CE}}^{n}(\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V}) \oplus C_{\mathsf{CE}}^{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}},\widetilde{V}) = \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^{n}\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V}) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^{n-1}\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V}) & \text{if } n \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

and a map  $\delta_{\mathsf{RB}}: C^n_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}) \to C^{n+1}_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$  by

$$\delta_{\mathsf{RB}}(v) = (\delta_{\mathsf{CE}}(v), -v), \text{ for } v \in C^n_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{V},$$
  
$$\delta_{\mathsf{RB}}(f, g) = (\delta_{\mathsf{CE}}(f), -\partial_{\mathsf{CE}}(g) - \Phi^n(f)), \text{ for } (f, g) \in C^n_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_{\mathsf{RB}})^2(v) &= \ \delta_{\mathsf{RB}}(\delta_{\mathsf{CE}}(v), -v) \\ &= \ \left( (\delta_{\mathsf{CE}})^2(v), \partial_{\mathsf{CE}}(v) - \Phi^2 \circ \delta_{\mathsf{CE}}(v) \right) = 0 \quad (\because \ \partial_{\mathsf{CE}} \circ \Phi^0 = \Phi^1 \circ \delta_{\mathsf{CE}}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_{\mathsf{RB}})^2(f,g) &= \ \delta_{\mathsf{RB}}\big(\delta_{\mathsf{CE}}(f), \ -\partial_{\mathsf{CE}}(g) - \Phi^n(f)\big) \\ &= \ \big((\delta_{\mathsf{CE}})^2(f), \ (\partial_{\mathsf{CE}})^2(g) + \partial_{\mathsf{CE}} \circ \Phi^n(f) - \Phi^{n+1} \circ \delta_{\mathsf{CE}}(f)\big) = 0 \quad (\because \ \partial_{\mathsf{CE}} \circ \Phi^n = \Phi^{n+1} \circ \delta_{\mathsf{CE}}). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\{C_{\mathsf{RB}}^*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}), \delta_{\mathsf{RB}}\}$  is a cochain complex. Let  $Z_{\mathsf{RB}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$  and  $B_{\mathsf{RB}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$  denote the space of *n*-cocycles and *n*-coboundaries, respectively. Then we have  $B_{\mathsf{RB}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}) \subset Z_{\mathsf{RB}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$ , for  $n \geq 0$ . The corresponding quotients

$$H^n_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V}) := \frac{Z^n_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V})}{B^n_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V})}, \text{ for } n \ge 0$$

are called the cohomology of the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  with coefficients in the representation  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$ .

Observe that there is a short exact sequence of cochain complexes

$$0 \to C^{*-1}_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}) \xrightarrow{i} C^*_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}) \xrightarrow{p} C^*_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}) \to 0$$

given by  $i(g) = (0, (-1)^{n-1}g)$  and p(f, g) = f, for  $(f, g) \in C^n_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$ . This short exact sequence induces the following long exact sequence on cohomology groups

$$0 \to H^0_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}) \to H^0_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}) \to H^0_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}) \to H^1_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}) \to H^1_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}) \to H^1_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}) \to \cdots$$

**3.3 Proposition.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra and  $(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{T})$  be a representation of it. Then the cohomology of  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$  with coefficients in the representation  $(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{T})$  is isomorphic to the cohomology of  $(\mathfrak{g}, -\lambda \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}} - \mathfrak{T})$  with coefficients in the representation  $(\mathcal{V}, -\lambda \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{V}} - \mathcal{T})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{T}' = -\lambda \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}} - \mathfrak{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T}' = -\lambda \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{V}} - \mathcal{T}$ . Then it is easy to see that the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}'}$  of Proposition 2.14 (i) is negative to the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}$ . Moreover, the representation  $\widetilde{\rho}'$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}'}$  on  $\mathcal{V}$  (we denote this representation by  $\widetilde{\rho}'$ ) as of Theorem 2.17 is given by the negative of the representation  $\widetilde{\rho}$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}$  on  $\mathcal{V}$ . Hence the corresponding Chevalley-Eilenberg differentials

$$\partial_{\mathsf{CE}}: C^n_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}},\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}) \to C^{n+1}_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}},\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \partial'_{\mathsf{CE}}: C^n_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}'},\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}') \to C^{n+1}_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}'},\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}')$$

are related by  $\partial'_{\mathsf{CE}}f = -\partial_{\mathsf{CE}}f$ . Finally, if  $(\Phi')^n : C^n_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}) \to C^n_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}'}, \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}')$  be the map as of Proposition 3.2 replacing  $\mathfrak{T}$  by  $\mathfrak{T}'$ , then we have  $(\Phi')^n(f) = (-1)^n \Phi^n(f)$ .

For each  $n \ge 0$ , define an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\Xi^n: C^n_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}) \oplus C^{n-1}_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}) \ \to \ C^n_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}) \oplus C^{n-1}_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{T}'}, \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}') \quad \text{ by } \quad \Xi^n(f, g) = (f, (-1)^{n-1}g).$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_{\mathsf{RB}}' \circ \Xi^n)(f,g) &= \delta_{\mathsf{RB}}'(f,(-1)^{n-1}g) = \left(\delta_{\mathsf{CE}}f, \ (-1)^n \partial_{\mathsf{CE}}'g - (\Phi')^n(f)\right) \\ &= \left(\delta_{\mathsf{CE}}f, \ (-1)^{n+1} \partial_{\mathsf{CE}}g - (-1)^n \Phi^n(f)\right) \\ &= \left(\Xi^{n+1} \circ \delta_{\mathsf{RB}}\right)(f,g). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the collection of maps  $\{\Xi^n\}_{n\geq 0}$  commute with the respective coboundary maps. Hence they induce isomorphism on cohomology.

# **3.1** $H^0$ and $H^1$

Let  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra and  $(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{T})$  be a representation of it. An element  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  is in  $Z^0_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V})$  if and only if  $(\delta_{\mathsf{CE}}(v), -v) = 0$ . This holds only when v = 0. Therefore, it follows from the definition that  $H^0_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V}) = 0$ .

A pair  $(\gamma, v) \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}) \oplus \mathcal{V}$  is said to be a derivation on the weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  with coefficients in the representation  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$  if they satisfies

$$\begin{split} \gamma([x,y]) &= \rho(x)(\gamma(y)) - \rho(y)(\gamma(x)),\\ \gamma(\mathfrak{T}(x)) - \mathcal{T}(\gamma(x)) &= \mathcal{T}(\rho(x)v) - \rho(\mathfrak{T}(x))v, \text{ for } x, y \in \mathfrak{g} \end{split}$$

It follows from the first condition that  $\gamma$  is a derivation on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with coefficients in  $\mathcal{V}$ . The second condition says that the obstruction of vanishing  $\gamma \circ \mathfrak{T} - \mathcal{T} \circ \gamma$  is measured by the presence of v. We denote the set of all derivations by  $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$ .

A derivation is said to be inner if it is of the form  $(-\delta_{\mathsf{CE}}(v), v)$ , for some  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ . The set of all inner derivations are denoted by  $\mathrm{InnDer}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$ .

It follows from the definition that  $Z^1_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V}) = \mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V})$  and  $B^1_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V}) = \mathrm{InnDer}(\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V})$ . Hence we have  $H^1_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V}) = \frac{\mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V})}{\mathrm{InnDer}(\mathfrak{g},\mathcal{V})}$ , the space of outer derivations.

# 3.2 Relation with the cohomology of weighted Rota-Baxter associative algebras

In [30] Wang and Zhou defined the cohomology of a weighted Rota-Baxter associative algebra with coefficients in a Rota-Baxter bimodule. In this subsection, we show that our cohomology is related to the cohomology of [30] by suitable skew-symmetrization.

**3.4 Definition.** (i) A  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter associative algebra is a pair  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{R})$  in which  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an associative algebra and  $\mathfrak{R} : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$  is a linear map satisfying

$$\Re(a) \cdot \Re(b) = \Re(\Re(a) \cdot b + a \cdot \Re(b) + \lambda a \cdot b), \text{ for } a, b \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

(ii) Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{R})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter associative algebra. A Rota-Baxter bimodule over it consists of a pair  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$  in which  $\mathcal{M}$  is an  $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule (denote both left and right actions by cdot) and

 $\mathcal{R}: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$  is a linear map satisfying for  $a \in \mathfrak{A}, m \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}(a) \cdot \mathcal{R}(m) &= \mathcal{R}\big(\mathfrak{R}(a) \cdot m + a \cdot \mathcal{R}(m) + \lambda \ a \cdot m\big), \\ \mathcal{R}(m) \cdot \mathfrak{R}(a) &= \mathcal{R}\big(\mathcal{R}(m) \cdot a + m \cdot \mathfrak{R}(a) + \lambda \ m \cdot a). \end{aligned}$$

**3.5 Remark.** It follows from the above definition that any  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter associative algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{R})$  is a Rota-Baxter bimodule over itself. This is called the adjoint Rota-Baxter bimodule.

The following result is straightforward.

**3.6 Proposition.** Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{R})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter associative algebra. Then  $(\mathfrak{A}_c, \mathfrak{R})$  is a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra, where  $\mathfrak{A}_c$  is the vector space  $\mathfrak{A}$  with the commutator Lie bracket

$$[a,b]_c = a \cdot b - b \cdot a, \text{ for } a, b \in \mathfrak{A}_c.$$

(This is called the skew-symmetrization). Moreover, if  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$  is a Rota-Baxter bimodule over  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{R})$ , then  $(\mathcal{M}_c, \mathcal{R})$  is a representation of the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}_c, \mathfrak{R})$ , where  $\mathcal{M}_c = \mathcal{M}$  as a vector space and the representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_c$  on  $\mathcal{M}_c$  is given by  $\rho(a)(m) = a \cdot m - m \cdot a$ , for  $a \in \mathfrak{A}_c$  and  $m \in \mathcal{M}_c$ .

Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{R})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter associative algebra. Then it is known that  $(\mathfrak{A}, *_{\mathfrak{R}})$  is an associative algebra [12], where

$$a *_{\mathfrak{R}} b = \mathfrak{R}(a) \cdot b + a \cdot \mathfrak{R}(b) + \lambda \ a \cdot b, \text{ for } a, b \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

We denote this associative algebra by  $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{R}}$ . Moreover, if  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$  is a Rota-Baxter bimodule over the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter associative algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{R})$ , then it has been observed in [30] that  $\mathcal{M}$  carries a bimodule structure over the associative algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{R}}$  with left and right actions

$$a \sim m = \Re(a) \cdot m - \mathcal{R}(a \cdot m)$$
 and  $m \sim a = m \cdot \Re(a) - \mathcal{R}(m \cdot a)$ , for  $a \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{R}}$  and  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Denote this  $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{R}}$ -bimodule by  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ . To define the cohomology of the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter associative algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{R})$  with coefficients in the Rota-Baxter bimodule  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$ , the authors in [30] considered two Hochschild cochain complexes, namely  $\{C^*_{\mathsf{H}}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{M}), \delta_{\mathsf{H}}\}$  and  $\{C^*_{\mathsf{H}}(\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{R}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}), \partial_{\mathsf{H}}\}$ . The first one is the Hochschild complex of the given algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  with coefficients in the bimodule  $\mathcal{M}$ , whereas the second one is the Hochschild complex of the algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{R}}$  with coefficients in the bimodule  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ . They proved that the collection  $\{\Psi^n : C^n_{\mathsf{H}}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{M}) \to C^n_{\mathsf{H}}(\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{R}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}})\}_{n\geq 0}$  of maps given by

$$\Psi^0 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}}, \quad \mathrm{and}$$

$$\Psi^{n}(f)(a_{1},\ldots,a_{n}) = f(\mathfrak{R}(a_{1}),\ldots,\mathfrak{R}(a_{n})) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^{n-k-1} \sum_{i_{1}<\cdots< i_{k}} \mathcal{R} \circ f(a_{1},\ldots,\mathfrak{R}(a_{i_{1}}),\ldots,\mathfrak{R}(a_{i_{k}}),\ldots,a_{n})$$

defines a morphism of cochain complexes. This allows the authors to consider the cochain complex  $\{C_{\mathsf{RB}}^*(\mathfrak{A},\mathcal{M}), \delta_{\mathsf{RB}}^{\mathsf{ass}}\}$ , where

$$C^0_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{A},\mathcal{M}) = C^0_{\mathsf{H}}(\mathfrak{A},\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M} \quad \text{and} \quad C^n_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{A},\mathcal{M}) = C^n_{\mathsf{H}}(\mathfrak{A},\mathcal{M}) \oplus C^{n-1}_{\mathsf{H}}(\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{R}},\mathcal{M}), \quad \text{for } n \ge 1$$

and  $\delta_{\mathsf{RB}}^{\mathsf{ass}}: C^n_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{M}) \to C^{n+1}_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{M})$  given by

$$\delta_{\mathsf{RB}}^{\mathsf{ass}} = (\delta_{\mathsf{H}}(f), \ -\partial_{\mathsf{H}}(g) - \Psi^{n}(f)), \ \text{ for } (f,g) \in C_{\mathsf{RB}}^{n}(\mathfrak{A},\mathcal{M}).$$

The corresponding cohomology groups are called the cohomology of the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter associative algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{R})$  with coefficients in the Rota-Baxter bimodule  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$ .

To find the connection between the cohomology of a weighted Rota-Baxter associative algebra and the cohomology of the corresponding skew-symmetrized weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra, we need the following result.

**3.7 Proposition.** Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{R})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter associative algebra and  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$  be a Rota-Baxter bimodule. Then  $(\mathfrak{A}_c)_{\mathfrak{R}} = (\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{R}})_c$  as a Lie algebra. Here  $(\mathfrak{A}_c)_{\mathfrak{R}}$  is the Lie algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{A}$  induced by the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter operator  $\mathfrak{R}$  on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_c$  as in Proposition 2.14 (i). Moreover, the representation of the Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}_c)_{\mathfrak{R}}$  on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_c$  and the representation of the Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{R}})_c$  on  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})_c$  coincide.

It is known that the standard skew-symmetrization gives rise to a morphism from the Hochschild cochain complex of an associative algebra to the Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complex of the corresponding skewsymmetrized Lie algebra [20]. Hence the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{cccc} C^{n}_{\mathsf{H}}(\mathfrak{A},\mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathsf{H}}} & C^{n+1}_{\mathsf{H}}(\mathfrak{A},\mathcal{M}) & & C^{n}_{\mathsf{H}}(\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{R}},\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}) & \xrightarrow{\partial \mathsf{H}} & C^{n+1}_{\mathsf{H}}(\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{R}},\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}) \\ & & & \\ S_{n} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S_{n+1} & & & \\ C^{n}_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{A}_{c},\mathcal{M}_{c}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathsf{CE}}} C^{n+1}_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{A}_{c},\mathcal{M}_{c}) & & C^{n}_{\mathsf{CE}}((\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{R}})_{c},\widetilde{M}_{c}) \xrightarrow{\partial \mathsf{H}} & C^{n+1}_{\mathsf{H}}(\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{R}},\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}) \\ & & & \\ S_{n} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S_{n+1} & & \\ C^{n}_{\mathsf{CE}}((\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{R}})_{c},\widetilde{M}_{c}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathsf{CE}}} C^{n+1}_{\mathsf{CE}}((\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{R}})_{c},\widetilde{M}_{c}). \end{array}$$

Here  $S_*$  are the skew-symmetrization maps. As a consequence, we get the following.

**3.8 Theorem.** Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{R})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter associative algebra and  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$  be a Rota-Baxter bimodule. Then the collection of maps

$$\mathbb{S}_n : C^n_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{M}) \to C^n_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{A}_c, \mathcal{M}_c), \text{ for } n \ge 0$$

defined by  $\mathfrak{S}_0 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_n = (S_n, S_{n-1})$  for  $n \geq 1$ , induces a morphism from the cohomology of  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{R})$ with coefficients in the Rota-Baxter bimodule  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$  to the cohomology of  $(\mathfrak{A}_c, \mathfrak{R})$  with coefficients in the representation  $(\mathcal{M}_c, \mathcal{R})$ .

*Proof.* We only need to check that the maps  $\{\mathbb{S}_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  commute with corresponding coboundary maps. For  $(f,g) \in C^n_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{A},\mathcal{M}),$ 

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_{\mathsf{RB}} \circ \mathbb{S}_n)(f,g) &= \ \delta_{\mathsf{RB}}(S_n f, S_{n-1}g) \\ &= \ \left( \delta_{\mathsf{CE}} \circ S_n(f), \ -\partial_{\mathsf{CE}} \circ S_{n-1}(g) - (\Phi^n \circ S_n)(f) \right) \\ &= \ \left( S_{n+1} \circ \delta_{\mathsf{H}}(f), \ -S_n \circ \partial_{\mathsf{H}}(g) - S_n \circ \Psi^n(f) \right) \quad (\because \ \Phi^n \circ S_n = S_n \circ \Psi^n) \\ &= \ \mathbb{S}_{n+1} \left( \delta_{\mathsf{H}}(f), \ -\partial_{\mathsf{H}}(g) - \Psi^n(f) \right) = (\mathbb{S}_{n+1} \circ \delta_{\mathsf{RB}}^{\mathsf{ass}})(f,g). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows.

# 4 Applications of cohomology

In this section, we study abelian extensions and formal 1-parameter deformations of weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras in terms of cohomology.

#### 4.1 Abelian extensions and $H^2$

Let  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra. Let  $(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{T})$  be a pair of a vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  and a linear map  $\mathcal{T}: \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ . Note that  $(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{T})$  can be considered as a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra where the Lie bracket on  $\mathcal{V}$  is assumed to be trivial.

**4.1 Definition.** An abelian extension of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  by  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$  is a short exact sequence of morphisms of  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T}) \xrightarrow{i} (\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \widehat{\mathfrak{T}}) \xrightarrow{p} (\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T}) \longrightarrow 0.$$
(8)

In this case, we say that  $(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \widehat{\mathfrak{T}})$  is an abelian extension of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  by  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$ .

**4.2 Definition.** Let  $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, \hat{\mathfrak{T}})$  and  $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}', \hat{\mathfrak{T}}')$  be two abelian extensions of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  by  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$ . They are said to be isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism  $\phi : (\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, \hat{\mathfrak{T}}) \to (\hat{\mathfrak{g}}', \hat{\mathfrak{T}}')$  of  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras which makes the following diagram commutative

Let  $(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \widehat{\mathfrak{T}})$  be an abelian extension of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  by  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$  as of (8). A section of the map p is a linear map  $s : \mathfrak{g} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  satisfying  $p \circ s = \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Note that a section of p always exists.

Let  $s : \mathfrak{g} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  be a section of the map p. We define a linear map  $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V})$  by  $\rho(x)(u) := [s(x), i(u)]_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}$ , for  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{V}$ . Then it can be easily check that  $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}, \rho)$  is a representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . More generally,  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$  is a representation of the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$ . One can easily check that this representation does'nt depend on the choice of the section s. We call this as the induced representation on  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$ .

Suppose  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$  is a given representation of the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$ . We denote by  $\operatorname{Ext}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$  the isomorphism classes of abelian extensions of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  by  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$  for which the induced representation on  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$  is the prescribed one.

**4.3 Theorem.** Let  $(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \widehat{\mathfrak{T}})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra and  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$  be a representation of it. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $\operatorname{Ext}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$  and the second cohomology group  $H^2_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(\psi, \chi) \in Z^2_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$  be a 2-cocycle, i.e., we have  $\delta_{\mathsf{CE}}\psi = 0$  and  $-\partial_{\mathsf{CE}}(\chi) - \Phi^2(\psi) = 0$ . Consider the space  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{V}$  with the bracket

$$[(x, u), (y, v)] = ([x, y], \rho(x)v - \rho(y)u + \psi(x, y)).$$

Since  $\delta_{\mathsf{CE}}\psi = 0$ , it follows that the above bracket makes  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{V}$  into a Lie algebra. We denote this Lie algebra by  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ . We also define a map  $\widehat{\mathfrak{T}} : \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  by  $\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}(x, u) = (\mathfrak{T}(x), \mathcal{T}(u) + \chi(x))$ , for  $(x, u) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Since  $-\partial_{\mathsf{CE}}(\chi) - \Phi^2(\psi) = 0$ , it follows that  $\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}$  is a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter operator on the Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ . In other words,  $(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \widehat{\mathfrak{T}})$  is a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra. Moreover, the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T}) \xrightarrow{i} (\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \widehat{\mathfrak{T}}) \xrightarrow{p} (\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T}) \longrightarrow 0$$

defines an abelian extension of  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$  by  $(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{T})$ , where i(u) = (0, u) and p(x, u) = x, for  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{V}$ . Note that the canonical section  $s : \mathfrak{g} \to \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ , s(x) = (x, 0) induces  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T})$  with the original representation of the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$ .

Let  $(\psi', \chi') \in Z^2_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$  be another 2-cocycle cohomologous to  $(\psi, \chi)$ . Then there exists a pair  $(\gamma, v) \in$ Hom $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}) \oplus \mathcal{V}$  such that

$$(\psi, \chi) - (\psi', \chi') = (\delta_{\mathsf{CE}}(\gamma), -\partial_{\mathsf{CE}}v - \Phi^1(\gamma)).$$

Consider the map  $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{V} \to \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{V}$  given by

$$\phi(x, u) = (x, u - \gamma(x) - \partial_{\mathsf{CE}}(v)(x)).$$

Then it can be easily checked that  $\phi$  defines an isomorphism of abelian extensions from  $(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \widehat{\mathfrak{T}})$  to  $(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}', \widehat{\mathfrak{T}}')$ . Therefore, there is a well-defined map  $\Upsilon : H^2_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}) \to \operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$ .

Conversely, let  $(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \widehat{\mathfrak{T}})$  be an abelian extension given by (8). Let  $s : \mathfrak{g} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  be a section of the map p. We define elements  $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$  and  $\chi \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$  by

$$\psi(x,y) = [s(x), s(y)]_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}} - s[x,y] \quad \text{ and } \quad \chi(x) = \widehat{\mathfrak{T}}(s(x)) - s(\mathfrak{T}(x)), \text{ for } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$$

Then it follows from a straightforward computation that the pair  $(\psi, \chi)$  defines a 2-cocycle in  $Z^2_{\text{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$ . Moreover, the corresponding cohomology class in  $H^2_{\text{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$  does'nt depend on the choice of the section s.

Let  $(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \widehat{\mathfrak{T}})$  and  $(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}', \widehat{\mathfrak{T}}')$  be two isomorphic abelian extensions as of (9). Let  $s : \mathfrak{g} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  be a section of the map p. Then we have

$$p' \circ (\phi \circ s) = p \circ s = \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{q}}.$$

This shows that  $s' = \phi \circ s : \mathfrak{g} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}'$  is a section of p'. If  $(\psi', \chi')$  denotes the 2-cocycle in  $Z^2_{\text{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$  corresponding to the abelian extension  $(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}', \widehat{\mathfrak{T}}')$  and section  $s' : \mathfrak{g} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}'$  of the map p', then

$$\psi'(x,y) = [s'(x), s'(y)]_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}'} - s'[x,y]$$

$$= [\phi \circ s(x), \phi \circ s(y)]_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}'} - \phi \circ s[x,y]$$

$$= \phi([s(x), s(y)]_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}} - s[x,y])$$

$$= \phi(\psi(x,y)) = \phi(x,y) \quad (\because \phi|_{\mathcal{V}} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{V}})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \chi'(x) &= \ \widehat{\mathfrak{T}}'(s'(x)) - s'(\mathfrak{T}(x)) \\ &= \ \widehat{\mathfrak{T}}'(\phi \circ s(x)) - \phi \circ s(\mathfrak{T}(x)) \\ &= \ \phi(\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}(s(x)) - s(\mathfrak{T}(x))) = \phi(\chi(x)) = \chi(x) \qquad (\because \ \phi|_{\mathcal{V}} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{V}}). \end{aligned}$$

This show that  $(\psi, \chi)$  and  $(\psi', \chi')$  correspond to the same element in  $H^2_{\text{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$ . Therefore, we have a well-defined map  $\Omega$  :  $\text{Ext}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}) \to H^2_{\text{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$ . Finally, the map  $\Upsilon$  and  $\Omega$  are inverses to each other. This completes the proof.

#### 4.2 Formal deformations

In this subsection, we will consider formal deformations of a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$ . Here we will simultaneously deform the Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter operator  $\mathfrak{T}$ . We find the relation between such deformations and cohomology of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  with coefficients in the adjoint representation.

Let  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra. Let  $\mu \in C^2_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}) = \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})$  be the element that corresponds to the Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , i.e.,  $\mu(x,y) = [x,y]$ , for  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Consider the space  $\mathfrak{g}[[t]]$  of formal power series in t with coefficients from  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}[[t]]$  is a  $\mathbf{k}[[t]]$ -module.

**4.4 Definition.** A formal 1-parameter deformation of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  consists of a pair  $(\mu_t, \mathfrak{T}_t)$  of two formal power

series

$$\begin{split} \mu_t &= \sum_{i \ge 0} \mu_i t^i, \text{ where } \mu_i \in \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \text{ with } \mu_0 = \mu, \\ \mathfrak{T}_t &= \sum_{i \ge 0} \mathfrak{T}_i t^i, \text{ where } \mathfrak{T}_i \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \text{ with } \mathfrak{T}_0 = \mathfrak{T} \end{split}$$

such that the  $\mathbf{k}[[t]]$ -bilinear map  $\mu_t$  defines a Lie algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}[[t]]$  and the  $\mathbf{k}[[t]]$ -linear map  $\mathfrak{T}_t : \mathfrak{g}[[t]] \to \mathfrak{g}[[t]]$  is a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter operator. In other words,  $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]] = (\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu_t), \mathfrak{T}_t)$  is a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra over  $\mathbf{k}[[t]]$ .

Thus,  $(\mu_t, \mathfrak{T}_t)$  is a formal 1-parameter deformation of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  if and only if

$$\mu_t(x,\mu_t(y,z)) + \mu_t(y,\mu_t(z,x)) + \mu_t(z,\mu_t(x,y)) = 0, \mu_t(\mathfrak{T}_t(x),\mathfrak{T}_t(y)) = \mathfrak{T}_t(\mu_t(\mathfrak{T}_t(x),y) + \mu_t(x,\mathfrak{T}_t(y)) + \lambda\mu_t(x,y)),$$

for  $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ . They are equivalent to the following two systems of identities: for  $n \ge 0$  and  $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$\sum_{i+j=n} \mu_i(x,\mu_j(y,z)) + \mu_i(y,\mu_j(z,x)) + \mu_i(z,\mu_j(x,y)) = 0,$$

$$\sum_{i+j+k=n} \mu_i(\mathfrak{T}_j(x),\mathfrak{T}_k(y)) = \sum_{i+j+k=n} \mathfrak{T}_i(\mu_j(\mathfrak{T}_k(x),y) + \mu_j(x,\mathfrak{T}_k(y))) + \sum_{i+j=n} \lambda \ \mathfrak{T}_i(\mu_j(x,y)).$$
(10)
(11)

**4.5 Definition.** Two formal deformations  $(\mu_t, \mathfrak{T}_t)$  and  $(\mu'_t, \mathfrak{T}'_t)$  of a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  are said to be equivalent if there is a formal isomorphism

$$\phi_t = \sum_{i \ge 0} \phi_i t^i : \mathfrak{g}[[t]] \to \mathfrak{g}[[t]], \text{ where } \phi_i \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \text{ with } \phi_0 = \operatorname{id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

such that the  $\mathbf{k}[[t]]$ -linear map  $\phi_t$  is a morphism of  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras from  $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]]' = (\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu'_t), \mathfrak{T}'_t)$  to  $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]] = (\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu_t), \mathfrak{T}_t)$ .

Therefore,  $(\mu_t, \mathfrak{T}_t)$  and  $(\mu'_t, \mathfrak{T}'_t)$  are equivalent if the followings are hold:

$$\phi_t(\mu'_t(x,y)) = \mu_t(\phi_t(x), \phi_t(y)) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_t \circ \mathfrak{T}'_t = \mathfrak{T}_t \circ \phi_t.$$

They can be equivalently expressed by the following system of equations: for  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$\sum_{i+j=n} \phi_i \left( \mu'_j(x,y) \right) = \sum_{i+j+k=n} \mu_i \left( \phi_j(x), \phi_k(x) \right), \tag{12}$$

$$\sum_{i+j=n} \phi_i \circ \mathfrak{T}'_j(x) = \sum_{i+j=n} \mathfrak{T}_i \circ \phi_j(x).$$
(13)

**4.6 Theorem.** Let  $(\mu_t, \mathfrak{T}_t)$  be a formal 1-parameter deformation of the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$ . Then  $(\mu_1, \mathfrak{T}_1) \in C^2_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$  is a 2-cocycle in the cohomology of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  with coefficients in the adjoint representation. Moreover, the corresponding cohomology class in  $H^2_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$  depends only on the equivalence class of the deformation  $(\mu_t, \mathfrak{T}_t)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(\mu_t, \mathfrak{T}_t)$  is a formal 1-parameter deformation, we get from (10) and (11) for n = 1 that

$$[x, \mu_1(y, z)] + \mu_1(x, [y, z]) + [y, \mu_1(z, x)] + \mu_1(y, [z, x]) + [z, \mu_1(x, y)] + \mu_1(z, [x, y]) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{split} & \mu_1(\mathfrak{T}(x),\mathfrak{T}(y)) + [\mathfrak{T}_1(x),\mathfrak{T}(y)] + [\mathfrak{T}(x),\mathfrak{T}_1(y)] \\ & = \mathfrak{T}_1([\mathfrak{T}(x),y] + [x,\mathfrak{T}(y)]) + \mathfrak{T}\big([\mathfrak{T}_1(x),y] + [x,\mathfrak{T}_1(y)] + \mu_1(\mathfrak{T}(x),y) + \mu_1(x,\mathfrak{T}(y))\big) \\ & \quad + \lambda \ \mathfrak{T}(\mu_1(x,y)) + \lambda \ \mathfrak{T}_1([x,y]). \end{split}$$

The first identity is equivalent to  $\delta_{\mathsf{CE}}\mu_1 = 0$  while the second identity is equivalent to  $-\partial_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{T}_1) - \Phi^2(\mu_1) = 0$ . This implies that

$$\delta_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mu_1, \mathfrak{T}_1) = (\delta_{\mathsf{CE}}\mu_1, -\partial_{\mathsf{CE}}(\mathfrak{T}_1) - \Phi^2(\mu_1)) = 0.$$

This proves the first part. For the second part, we let  $(\mu_t, \mathfrak{T}_t)$  and  $(\mu'_t, \mathfrak{T}'_t)$  be two equivalent deformations. For n = 1, it follows from (12) and (13) that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_1' - \mu_1)(x, y) &= \ [\phi_1(x), y] + [x, \phi_1(y)] - \phi_1[x, y], \\ (\mathfrak{T}_1' - \mathfrak{T}_1)(x) &= \ \mathfrak{T}(\phi_1(x)) - \phi_1(\mathfrak{T}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(\mu'_1, \mathfrak{T}'_1) - (\mu_1, \mathfrak{T}_1) = (\delta_{\mathsf{CE}}\phi_1, -\Phi^1(\phi_1)) = \delta_{\mathsf{RB}}(\phi_1, 0)$ . This shows that  $(\mu_1, \mathfrak{T}_1)$  and  $(\mu'_1, \mathfrak{T}'_1)$  correspond to the same cohomology class in  $H^2_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ . This completes the proof.

We will now prove the following interesting result about formal 1-parameter deformations.

**4.7 Theorem.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$  be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra. If  $H^2_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}) = 0$  then any formal 1-parameter deformation of  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$  is equivalent to the trivial one  $(\mu'_t = \mu, \mathfrak{T}'_t = \mathfrak{T})$ .

Proof. Let  $(\mu_t, \mathfrak{T}_t)$  be a formal 1-parameter deformation of the  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$ . It follows from Theorem 4.6 that  $(\mu_1, \mathfrak{T}_1)$  is a 2-cocycle. Thus, from the hypothesis, there exists  $(\psi_1, v) \in$ Hom $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}) \oplus \mathcal{V}$  such that

$$(\mu_1, \mathfrak{T}_1) = \delta_{\mathsf{RB}}(\psi_1, v) = (\delta_{\mathsf{CE}}(\psi_1), -\partial_{\mathsf{CE}}x - \Phi^1(\psi_1)).$$
(14)

Let  $\phi_1 : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$  be the map  $\phi_1 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + \phi_1 t$ . Then

$$\left(\overline{\mu}_t = \phi_t^{-1} \circ \mu_t \circ (\phi_t \otimes \phi_t), \ \overline{\mathfrak{T}}_t = \phi_t^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{T}_t \circ \phi_t\right)$$

is a deformation of  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$  equivalent to  $(\mu_t,\mathfrak{T}_t)$ . Using (14), it can be easily check that the linear terms of  $\overline{\mu}_t$  and  $\overline{\mathfrak{T}}_t$  are vanish. In other words,  $\overline{\mu}_t$  and  $\overline{\mathfrak{T}}_t$  are of the form

$$\overline{\mu}_t = \mu + \overline{\mu}_2 t^2 + \cdots$$
 and  $\overline{\mathfrak{T}}_t = \mathfrak{T} + \overline{\mathfrak{T}}_2 t^2 + \cdots$ .

Hence by repeating this argument, we conclude that  $(\mu_t, \mathfrak{T}_t)$  is equivalent to  $(\mu'_t = \mu, \mathfrak{T}'_t = \mathfrak{T})$ . Hence the proof.

**4.8 Remark.** A  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{T})$  is said to be rigid if any deformation of it is equivalent to the trivial one. It follows from the above theorem that the vanishing of the second cohomology group  $H^2_{\mathsf{RB}}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})$  is a sufficient condition for the rigidity of  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$ .

# 5 Rota-Baxter paired operators

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and  $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}, \rho)$  be a representation of it. In this section, we introduce weighted Rota-Baxter paired operators that are related to weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras together with their representations. We introduce a differential graded Lie algebra whose Maurer-Cartan elements are weighted Rota-Baxter paired operators. Using such characterization, we define the cohomology of Rota-Baxter paired operators. Finally, we discuss deformations of weighted Rota-Baxter paired operators in terms of cohomology.

**5.1 Definition.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and  $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}, \rho)$  be a representation of it. A pair  $(\mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{T})$  of linear maps  $\mathfrak{T} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$  is said to be a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter paired operators if they satisfy the followings: for  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{V}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{T}(x),\mathfrak{T}(y)] &= \mathfrak{T}\big([\mathfrak{T}(x),y] + [x,\mathfrak{T}(y)] + \lambda[x,y]\big),\\ \rho(Tx)(\mathcal{T}u) &= \mathcal{T}\big(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u + \rho(x)(\mathcal{T}u) + \lambda\rho(x)u\big), \end{aligned}$$

**5.2 Remark.** Observe that the first condition is equivalent to say that  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$  is a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebra, while the second condition is equivalent to say that  $(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{T})$  is a representation of  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{T})$ . Therefore,  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter paired operators are closely related with weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras and their representations. Hence examples of Rota-Baxter paired operators follow from the examples of Section 2.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra. Then the direct sum  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$  carries a Lie algebra structure with bracket given by

$$[(x, x'), (y, y')]_{\lambda} = ([x, y], [x, y'] + [x', y] + \lambda[x', y']).$$

We denote this Lie algebra by  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g})_{\lambda}$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}, \rho)$  is a representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then  $\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{V}$  can be equipped with a representation of the Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g})_{\lambda}$  with the action given by

$$\rho_{\lambda}\big((x,x')\big)(u,u') = \big(\rho(x)u, \ \rho(x)u' + \rho(x')u + \lambda\rho(x')u'\big).$$

Hence the direct sum  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{V}$  carries the semidirect product Lie algebra structure whose bracket is explicitly given by

$$\{ [(x, x', u, u'), (y, y', v, v')] \}$$
  
=  $([x, y], [x, y'] + [x', y] + \lambda [x', y'], \rho(x)v - \rho(y)u, \rho(x)v' + \rho(x')v + \lambda (x')v' - \rho(y)u' - \rho(y')u - \rho(y')u').$ 

We denote this Lie algebra by  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{V})_{\lambda}$ . The following proposition is straightforward, hence we omit the details.

**5.3 Proposition.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and  $\mathcal{V}$  be a representation of it. A pair  $(\mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{T})$  of linear maps  $\mathfrak{T}: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathcal{T}: \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$  forms a  $\lambda$ -weighted Rota-Baxter paired operators if and only if

$$Gr_{\mathfrak{T},\mathcal{T}} := \{(\mathfrak{T}x, x, \mathcal{T}u, u) \mid x \in \mathfrak{g}, \ u \in \mathcal{V}\} \subset \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{V}$$

is a Lie subalgebra of  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{V})_{\lambda}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and  $\mathcal{V}$  be a representation of it. We denote  $\mathfrak{g}'$  and  $\mathcal{V}'$  by another copies of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$ , respectively. Consider the vector space  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{V}'$  and the Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket on the space  $\oplus_{n\geq 1}$ Hom $(\wedge^n \mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l})$  of skew-symmetric multilinear maps on  $\mathfrak{l}$ , given by

$$[f,g]_{\mathsf{NR}} := f \diamond g - (-1)^{(m-1)(n-1)} g \diamond f,$$

where

$$(f \diamond g)(l_1, \dots, l_{m+n-1}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{(n,m-1)}} (-1)^{\sigma} f(g(l_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, l_{\sigma(n)}), l_{\sigma(n+1)}, \dots, l_{\sigma(m+n-1)})$$

for  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^{n}\mathfrak{l},\mathfrak{l})$  and  $g \in \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^{n}\mathfrak{l},\mathfrak{l})$ . The Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket  $[,]_{\mathsf{NR}}$  makes the shifted graded vector space  $\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^{n+1}\mathfrak{l},\mathfrak{l})$  into a graded Lie algebra. Moreover, it can be easily checked that the graded subspace  $\mathfrak{a} = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \left( \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^{n+1}\mathfrak{g}',\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^{n}\mathfrak{g}' \otimes \mathcal{V}', \mathcal{V}) \right)$  is an abelian subalgebra.

In the subsequent, we will use the following notations. Let

- $\langle \rangle \ \mu \in \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \text{ and } \mu' \in \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{g}') \text{ denote the multiplications of } \mathfrak{g} \text{ and } \mathfrak{g}', \text{ respectively;}$
- $\diamondsuit \ \mathrm{ad}': \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}' \to \mathfrak{g}' \ \mathrm{be \ the \ adjoint \ representation \ of \ } \mathfrak{g} \ \mathrm{on} \ \mathfrak{g}';$
- $\Diamond \ \rho: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V} \text{ and } \rho': \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{V}' \to \mathcal{V}' \text{ denote the representations of } \mathfrak{g} \text{ on } \mathcal{V} \text{ and } \mathcal{V}', \text{ respectively;}$
- $\Diamond \ \varrho: \mathfrak{g}' \times \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V} \text{ and } \varrho': \mathfrak{g}' \times \mathcal{V}' \to \mathcal{V}' \text{ denote the representations of } \mathfrak{g}' \text{ on } \mathcal{V} \text{ and } \mathcal{V}', \text{ respectively.}$

We consider the element

$$\theta = \mu + \mathrm{ad}' + \rho + \rho' + \varrho \in \mathrm{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}).$$

It is easy to see that  $\theta$  is a Maurer-Cartan element in the graded Lie algebra  $(\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^{n+1}\mathfrak{l},\mathfrak{l}), [, ]_{\operatorname{NR}})$ . Therefore, it induces a differential  $d_{\theta} := [\theta, -]_{\operatorname{NR}}$  on the graded vector space  $\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^{n+1}\mathfrak{l},\mathfrak{l})$ . Hence by the derived bracket construction of Voronov [29], the shifted graded space

$$\mathfrak{a}[-1] = \bigoplus_{n \ge 1} \left( \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^n \mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{g}) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^{n-1} \mathfrak{g}' \otimes \mathcal{V}', \mathcal{V}) \right)$$

inherits a graded Lie algebra structure with bracket given by

$$\llbracket \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \rrbracket = (-1)^m \ [d_\theta(\mathbf{P}), \mathbf{Q}]_{\mathsf{NR}},\tag{15}$$

for  $\mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{P}_2) \in \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^m \mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{g}) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^{m-1} \mathfrak{g}' \otimes \mathcal{V}', \mathcal{V}) \text{ and } \mathbf{Q} = (\mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{Q}_2) \in \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^n \mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{g}) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^{n-1} \mathfrak{g}' \otimes \mathcal{V}', \mathcal{V}).$ 

On the other hand, the element  $\theta' = -(\mu' + \varrho') \in \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l})$  is also a Maurer-cartan element in the graded Lie algebra  $(\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^{n+1}\mathfrak{l},\mathfrak{l}), [,]_{\operatorname{NR}})$ . Hence it induces a differential  $d_{\theta'} = [\theta', -]_{\operatorname{NR}}$  on  $\bigoplus_{n\geq 1} \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^n \mathfrak{l},\mathfrak{l})$ . The differential  $d_{\theta'}$  restricts to a differential d on the space  $\mathfrak{a}[-1]$ . Finally, the elements  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  additionally satisfies  $[\theta, \theta'] = 0$  which in turn implies that d is a derivation for the bracket  $[\![,]\!]$ on  $\mathfrak{a}[-1]$ . In other words, the triple  $(\mathfrak{a}[-1], [\![,]\!], \mathfrak{d})$  is a differential graded Lie algebra.

By replacing  $\mathfrak{g}'$  by  $\mathfrak{g}$  and replacing  $\mathcal{V}'$  by  $\mathcal{V}$ , we get the following.

**5.4 Theorem.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and  $\mathcal{V}$  be a representation of it. Then the triple

$$(\oplus_{n\geq 1} (\operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^n \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^{n-1} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V})), [[, ]], d)$$

is a differential graded Lie algebra. A pair  $\mathbf{T} = (\mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{T})$  is a Rota-Baxter paired operators if and only if  $\mathbf{T}$  is a Maurer-Cartan element in the above differential graded Lie algebra.

*Proof.* The first part is clear from previous discussions. For any  $\mathbf{T} = (\mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{T}) \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V})$ , by expanding (15) similar to [27], we get that

$$\begin{split} & [\![\mathbf{T},\mathbf{T}]\!]_1(x,y) = \ 2 \big( \mathfrak{T}([\mathfrak{T}(x),y] + [x,\mathfrak{T}(y)]) - [\mathfrak{T}(x),\mathfrak{T}(y)] \big), \\ & [\![\mathbf{T},\mathbf{T}]\!]_2(x,u) = \ 2 \big( \mathcal{T}(\rho(\mathfrak{T}x)u + \rho(x)(\mathcal{T}u)) - \rho(\mathfrak{T}x)(\mathcal{T}u)) \big), \end{split}$$

for  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{V}$ . On the other hand, we can also check (similar to [10]) that

$$(\mathbf{dT})_1(x, y) = \lambda \mathfrak{T}[x, y]$$
 and  $(\mathbf{dT})_2(x, u) = \lambda \mathcal{T}(\rho(x)u).$ 

Hence it follows that  $d\mathbf{T} + \frac{1}{2} \llbracket \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{T} \rrbracket = 0$  if and only if  $\mathbf{T} = (\mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{T})$  is a Rota-Baxter paired operators.

It follows from the above theorem that a Rota-Baxter paired operators  $\mathbf{T} = (\mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{T})$  induces a differential

$$d_{\mathbf{T}}: \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^{n}\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^{n-1}\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} \to \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^{n+1}\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^{n}\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}, \text{ for } n \geq 1,$$

$$d_{\mathbf{T}} = \mathbf{d} + [\![\mathbf{T}, -]\!].$$

We define  $C_{\mathsf{RBp}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}) = \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^n \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^{n-1} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V})$ , for  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $\{C_{\mathsf{RBp}}^*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}), d_{\mathbf{T}}\}$  is a cochain complex. The corresponding cohomology groups are called the cohomology of the Rota-Baxter paired operators  $(\mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{T})$ .

Note that, for a Rota-Baxter paired operators  $\mathbf{T} = (\mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{T})$ , the differential  $d_{\mathbf{T}}$  makes the tuple

$$(\bigoplus_{n\geq 1} C^n_{\mathsf{RBp}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}), \llbracket, \rrbracket, d_{\mathbf{T}})$$

into a differential graded Lie algebra. In the following, we will show that this differential graded Lie algebra governs the Maurer-Cartan deformations of  $\mathbf{T}$ .

**5.5 Proposition.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and  $\mathcal{V}$  be a representation of it. Let  $\mathbf{T} = (\mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{T})$  be a Rota-Baxter paired operators. Then for a pair  $\mathbf{T}' = (\mathfrak{T}', \mathcal{T}')$  of maps  $\mathfrak{T}' : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathcal{T}' : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ , the sum  $\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{T}' = (\mathfrak{T} + \mathfrak{T}', \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}')$  is a Rota-Baxter paired operators if and only if  $\mathbf{T}'$  is a Maurer-Cartan element in the differential graded Lie algebra  $(\bigoplus_{n\geq 1} C_{\mathsf{RBp}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}), [[, ]], d_{\mathbf{T}}).$ 

*Proof.* We observe that

$$d(\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{T}') + \frac{1}{2} \llbracket \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{T}', \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{T}' \rrbracket$$
  
=  $d\mathbf{T} + d\mathbf{T}' + \frac{1}{2} (\llbracket \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{T} \rrbracket + 2\llbracket \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{T}' \rrbracket + \llbracket \mathbf{T}', \mathbf{T}' \rrbracket)$   
=  $d\mathbf{T}' + \llbracket \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{T}' \rrbracket + \frac{1}{2} \llbracket \mathbf{T}', \mathbf{T}' \rrbracket = d_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{T}') + \frac{1}{2} \llbracket \mathbf{T}', \mathbf{T}' \rrbracket$ 

Hence the result follows from the Maurer-Cartan characterization of Rota-Baxter paired operators.  $\Box$ 

**5.6 Remark.** In [10] the present author studied formal 1-parameter deformations of weighted Rota-Baxter operators by keeping the underlying algebra intact. Given a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and a representation  $\mathcal{V}$ , one could also study formal 1-parameter deformations of Rota-Baxter paired operators by keeping the algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and the representation  $\mathcal{V}$  intact. Then it is easy to see that the above-defined cohomology governs such deformations. More precisely, the infinitesimals lie in the first cohomology group, and the obstruction to extending a finite order deformation lies in the second cohomology group.

Acknowledgements. The research is supported by the fellowship of Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Kanpur.

#### References

- [1] M. Aguiar, Pre-Poisson algebras, Lett. Math. Phys. 54 (2000), 263-277.
- [2] F. V. Atkinson, Some aspects of Baxter's functional equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 7 (1963), 1-30.
- [3] C. Bai, O. Bellier, L. Guo and X. Ni, Splitting of operations, Manin products and Rota-Baxter operators, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2013 (2013), 485-524.

- [4] C. Bai, L. Guo and X. Ni, Nonabelian generalized Lax pairs, the classical Yang-Baxter equation and PostLie algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 297 (2010), 553-596.
- [5] C. Bai, L. Guo and X. Ni, Relative Rota-Baxter algebras and tridendriform algebras, J. Algebra Appl. 12 (2013) 1350027.
- [6] G. Baxter, An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity, *Pacific J. Math.* 10 (1960), 731-742.
- [7] P. Cartier, On the structure of free Baxter algebras, Adv. Math. 9 (1972) 253-265.
- [8] A. Connes and D. Kreimer, Renormalization in quantum field theory and the Riemann-Hilbert problem. I. The Hopf algebra structure of graphs and the main theorem, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 210 (2000), 249-273.
- [9] A. Das, Deformations of associative Rota-Baxter operators, J. Algebra 560 (2020) 144-180.
- [10] A. Das, Cohomology and deformations of weighted Rota-Baxter operators, arXiv:2108.05411
- [11] A. Das and S. K. Mishra, The  $L_{\infty}$ -deformations of associative Rota-Baxter algebras and homotopy Rota-Baxter operators, arXiv:2008.11076
- [12] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, Loday-type algebras and the Rota-Baxter relation, Lett. Math. Phys. 61 (2002) 139-147.
- [13] K. Ebrahimi-Fard and L. Guo, Rota-Baxter algebras and dendriform algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 212 (2008) 320-339.
- [14] M. Gerstenhaber, On the deformation of rings and algebras, Ann. of Math. (2) 79 (1964), 59-103.
- [15] L. Guo and W. Keigher, Baxter algebras and shuffle products, Adv. Math. 150 (2000) 117-149.
- [16] L. Guo and B. Zhang, Renormalization of multiple zeta values, J. Algebra 319 (2008) 3770-3809.
- [17] L. Guo, An introduction to Rota-Baxter algebra, Surveys of Modern Mathematics, 4. International Press, Somerville, MA; Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2012.
- [18] J. Jiang, Y. Sheng and C. Zhu, Cohomologies of relative Rota-Baxter operators on Lie groups and Lie algebras, arXiv:2108.02627v1
- [19] B. A. Kupershmidt, What a classical r-matrix really is, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 6 (1999), no. 4, 448-488.
- [20] J.-L. Loday, Cyclic homology, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 301, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. xx+513 pp.
- [21] A. Lazarev, Y. Sheng and R. Tang, Deformations and homotopy theory of relative Rota-Baxter Lie algebras, Comm. Math. Phys. 383 (2021) 595-631.
- [22] J. B. Miller, Some properties of Baxter operators, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 17 (1966), 387-400.
- [23] J. Qiu and Y. Chen, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for Lie Ω-algebras and free Rota-Baxter Lie algebras, J. Algebra Appl. Vol. 16, No. 10 (2017) 1750190.
- [24] A. Nijenhuis and R. Richardson, Deformation of Lie algebra structures, J. Math. Mech. 17 (1967), 89-105.

- [25] G.-C. Rota, Baxter algebras and combinatorial identities, I, II, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 75 (1969), 325-329; ibid. 75 1969 330-334.
- [26] M. A. Semenov-Tyan Shanskii, What is a classical r-matrix ? Funct. Anal. Appl. 17 (1893) 259-272.
- [27] R. Tang, C. Bai, L. Guo and Y. Sheng, Deformations and their controlling cohomologies of *O*-operators, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 368 (2019), no. 2, 665-700.
- [28] R. Tang, C. Bai, L. Guo and Y. Sheng, Homotopy Rota-Baxter operators, homotopy Ooperators and homotopy post-Lie algebras, arXiv:1907.13504
- [29] Th. Voronov, Higher derived brackets and homotopy algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 202 (2005), 133-153.
- [30] K. Wang and G. Zhou, Deformations and homotopy theory of Rota-Baxter algebras of any weight, arXiv:2108.06744
- [31] Y. Zhang, D. Chen, X. Gao and Y. Luo, Weighted infinitesimal unitary bialgebras on rooted forests and weighted cocycles, *Pacific J. Math.* 302 (2019), 741-766.
- [32] Y. Zhang, X. Gao and J. Zheng, Weighted infinitesimal unitary bialgebras on matrix algebras and weighted associated Yang-Baxter equations, arXiv:1811.00842
- [33] H. Zheng, L. Guo and L. Zhang, Rota-Baxter paired modules and their constructions from Hopf algebras, J. Algebra 559 (2020) 601-624.