

# MINIMAL HYPERSURFACES IN MANIFOLDS OF RICCI CURVATURE BOUNDED BELOW

QI DING

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we study the angle estimate of distance functions from minimal hypersurfaces in manifolds of Ricci curvature bounded from below using Colding's method in [13]. With Cheeger-Colding theory, we obtain the Laplacian comparison for limits of distance functions from minimal hypersurfaces in the version of Ricci limit space. As an application, if a sequence of minimal hypersurfaces converges to a metric cone  $CY \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  ( $2 \leq k \leq n$ ) in a non-collapsing metric cone  $CX \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  obtained from ambient manifolds of almost nonnegative Ricci curvature, then we can prove a Frankel property for the cross section  $Y$  of  $CY$ . Namely,  $Y$  has only one connected component in  $X$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $B_{2R}(p_i)$  be a sequence of  $(n+1)$ -dimensional smooth geodesic balls with  $\text{Ric} \geq -n\kappa^2$  for some constant  $\kappa \geq 0$  such that  $B_{2R}(p_i)$  converges to a metric ball  $\overline{B_{2R}(p_\infty)}$  in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense. Then for each integer  $i \geq 1$ , there is an  $\epsilon_i$ -Gromov-Hausdorff approximation  $\Phi_i : \overline{B_{2R}(p_i)} \rightarrow \overline{B_{2R}(p_\infty)}$  for some sequence  $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $V_i$  be a sequence of rectifiable stationary  $n$ -varifold in  $B_{2R}(p_i)$  such that  $\text{spt} V_i \cap B_R(p_i) \neq \emptyset$ . Denote  $M_i \triangleq \text{spt} V_i$ . Up to choosing the subsequence, we can assume that  $\Phi_i(M_i)$  converges to  $M_\infty \subset \overline{B_{2R}(p_\infty)}$  in the Hausdorff sense. For studying  $M_\infty$ , we need that the renormalized volume of  $M_i$  is uniformly bounded, i.e.,

$$(1.1) \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(M_i \cap B_R(p_i))}{\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_R(p_i))} < \infty.$$

The condition of uniformly bounded volume is always assumed for compactness of stationary varifolds in Euclidean space (see [29] for instance).

In this paper, we will study  $M_\infty \cap B_R(p_\infty)$  using the distance function  $\rho_{M_\infty}$  from  $M_\infty$  on  $B_{2R}(p_\infty)$ . Our object is twofold. On the one hand, we prove the connectivity of the cross section of minimal cones in a class of metric cones (see Theorem 1.2), which will be used to establish Poincaré inequality on minimal graphs over manifolds of Ricci curvature bounded below in [17]. On the other hand, we wish to study minimal hypersurfaces in manifolds of Ricci curvature bounded below via understanding the local geometric structure of  $M_\infty$ .

In general, a  $L^2$ -Hessian estimate may not exist for the distance functions from  $M_i$  (compared to distance functions from fixed points in manifolds). However, Colding [13] can approach distance functions from points successfully using harmonic functions. The harmonic functions admit  $L^2$ -Hessian estimates using the Bochner formula and the Cheng-Yau gradient estimate [12]. After ingenious partitions twice, Colding got the angle estimate for the distance functions in an integral version. Based on Colding's method [13], we can

---

The author is partially supported by NSFC 11871156 and NSFC 11922106.

deduce a similar angle estimate for the distance function from  $M_i$  for each  $i$  (see Lemma 4.4).

In [9, 10, 11], Cheeger-Colding established the structure theory of the Ricci limit space  $B_{2R}(p_\infty)$ . Let  $\nu$  denote the renormalized limit measure from  $B_R(p_i)$  (see (2.3)). Cheeger-Colding proved the rectifiability of  $B_{2R}(p_\infty)$ , and that  $B_{2R}(p_\infty)$  admits cotangent bundle. This enables us to study derivative and Laplacian for Lipschitz functions on  $B_{2R}(p_\infty)$  (see also Cheeger [7]). We will give a brief introduction for this knowledge in §2. In [25], Honda studied convergence of the differentials of Lipschitz functions via radial derivatives of distance functions with respect to the measured Gromov-Hausdorff topology. Combining Honda's results and Lemma 4.4, we can prove the following convergence (see Theorem 5.2).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $B_{2R}(p_i)$ ,  $B_{2R}(p_\infty)$ ,  $M_i$ ,  $M_\infty$  as in the first paragraph with (1.1). For each Lipschitz function  $\phi$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$ , there is a sequence of Lipschitz functions  $\phi_i$  on  $B_R(p_i)$  satisfying  $(\phi_i, d\phi_i) \rightarrow (\phi, d\phi)$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$  and  $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Lip} \phi_i \leq \mathbf{Lip} \phi$  such that*

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(p_i)} \langle d\rho_{M_i}, d\phi_i \rangle = \int_{B_R(p_\infty)} \langle d\rho_{M_\infty}, d\phi \rangle d\nu.$$

Furthermore, if  $\phi$  has compact support in  $B_R(p_\infty) \setminus M_\infty$ , then we can require that the function  $\phi_i$  has compact support in  $B_R(p_i) \setminus M_i$ .

From Theorem 1.1 and the Laplacian comparison for  $\rho_{M_i}$  by Heintze-Karcher [23] (see also Lemma 7.1 in [16] for the distribution sense), we obtain (see (5.23))

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{B_R(p_\infty)} \langle d\rho_{M_\infty}, d\phi \rangle d\nu \geq -n\kappa \int_{B_R(p_\infty)} \phi \tanh(\kappa\rho_{M_\infty}) d\nu$$

for each nonnegative Lipschitz function  $\phi$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$  with compact support in  $B_R(p_\infty) \setminus M_\infty$ .

Let  $R_i \geq 0$  be a sequence with  $R_i \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $B_{R_i}(q_i)$  be a sequence of  $(n+1)$ -dimensional smooth geodesic balls with Ricci curvature  $\geq -nR_i^{-2}$  such that  $(B_{R_i}(q_i), q_i)$  converges to a metric cone  $(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{o})$  in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense with  $\epsilon_i$ -Gromov-Hausdorff approximations  $\Phi_i$  for some  $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ . Suppose  $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_1(q_i)) > 0$ , and  $\mathbf{C}$  splits off a Euclidean factor  $\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  isometrically for some integer  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Then there is a  $k$ -dimensional metric space  $X$  such that  $\mathbf{C} = CX \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ , where  $CX$  is a metric cone of the cross section  $X$  with the vertex  $\mathbf{o}$ . For each integer  $i \geq 1$ , let  $M_i$  be the support of rectifiable stationary  $n$ -varifold in  $B_{R_i}(q_i)$  with  $q_i \in M_i$  and (1.1) for some  $R \geq 2$ . Suppose that  $\Phi_i(M_i)$  converges in the Hausdorff sense to a metric cone  $CY \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ , where  $CY$  is a metric cone with the vertex at  $\mathbf{o}$  and the cross section  $Y \subset X$ .

From (1.3), we can prove that the distance function from  $Y$  on  $X$  is (strongly) superharmonic in the weak sense. Then with the mean value inequality for weak superharmonic functions on  $X$ , we can derive the following result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *For  $k \geq 2$ ,  $Y$  is connected in  $X$ . Namely, there are no non-empty closed sets  $Y_1, Y_2 \subset X$  with  $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$  and  $Y_1 \cap Y_2 = \emptyset$ .*

Here,  $k \geq 2$  is necessary in Theorem 1.2 since there are stationary varifolds in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  composed by several ( $\geq 3$ ) radial lines through the origin. From Theorem 1.2, clearly the cross section of  $CY \times \mathbb{R}^l$  is connected in the cross section of  $CX \times \mathbb{R}^l$  for any integer  $1 \leq l \leq n - k$ . A simple version of Theorem 1.2 is a well-known result that every minimal

hypercone in Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  has the connected cross section in the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^n$ . Theorem 1.2 can be seen as a Frankel property in the metric space  $X$ , where Frankel [21] proved that if  $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2$  are two complete compact minimal hypersurfaces in a complete connected manifold of positive Ricci curvature, then  $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2$  must intersect.

The paper is organized as follows. In §3, we investigate the measure related to the Laplacian of distance functions from hypersurfaces with bounded mean curvature using functions of bounded variation. In §4, we study the angle estimate for such distance functions based on Colding's method [13]. In §5, we study the limits of the Laplacian of distance functions from minimal hypersurfaces in a sequence of manifolds of Ricci curvature bounded below. As an application, in §6 we prove a Frankel property on cross sections of a class of metric cones.

## 2. PRELIMINARY

Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space with the Radon measure  $\mu$ . The measure  $\mu$  is said to be *Ahlfors  $k$ -regular* at  $x \in X$ , if there exists a constant  $K_x \geq 1$  such that

$$K_x^{-1}r^k \leq \mu(B_r(x)) \leq K_x r^k$$

for all  $0 < r \leq 1$ . The space  $X$  is said to be  $\mu$ -*rectifiable* (see Cheeger-Colding [11] for instance), if there exists an integer  $m$ , a countable collection of Borel subsets,  $C_{k,i} \subset X$  with  $k \leq m$  and bi-Lipschitz maps  $\phi_{k,i} : C_{k,i} \rightarrow \phi_{k,i}(C_{k,i}) \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  such that

- i)  $\mu(X \setminus \cup_{k,i} C_{k,i}) = 0$ ;
- ii)  $\mu$  is Ahlfors  $k$ -regular at all  $x \in C_{k,i}$ .

Let  $f$  be a Borel function on  $X$ , and  $g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a Borel function such that for all points  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and all continuous rectifiable curves  $c : [0, l] \rightarrow X$ , parameterized by arclength  $s$  with  $c(0) = x_1, c(l) = x_2$ , we have

$$(2.1) \quad |f(x_2) - f(x_1)| \leq \int_0^l g(c(s)) ds.$$

In this case,  $g$  is called an *upper gradient* for  $f$  (see [7, 11]). For a Lipschitz function  $f$  on  $X$ , we define the pointwise Lipschitz constant

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Lip } f(x) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y \in \partial B_r(x)} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{r} = \limsup_{y \rightarrow x, y \neq x} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)}$$

for each  $x \in X$ . Clearly, (2.1) holds with  $g = \text{Lip } f$  (see Cheeger [7] for further discussion). Let  $\mathbf{Lip } f = \sup_{x \in X} \text{Lip } f(x)$  denote the Lipschitz constant of  $f$  on  $X$ .

For  $R > 0$  and  $\kappa \geq 0$ , let  $B_{2R}(p_i)$  be a sequence of  $(n+1)$ -dimensional smooth geodesic balls with  $\text{Ric} \geq -n\kappa^2$  such that  $B_R(p_i)$  converges to an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional metric ball  $\overline{B_R(p)}$  in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense. From Cheeger-Colding [9, 10, 11], there is a unique Radon measure  $\nu$  on  $B_R(p)$  given by

$$(2.3) \quad \nu(B_r(y)) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(y_i))}{\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_R(p_i))}$$

for every ball  $B_r(y) \subset B_R(p)$  and for each sequence  $y_i \in B_R(p_i)$  converging to  $y$ . Here,  $\mathcal{H}^{n+1}$  is the  $(n+1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure. Denote  $Z = B_R(p)$ . From [8, 11], there holds the segment inequality (a refinement of the Poincaré inequality) for  $B_R(p_i)$

as well as the limit  $Z$ . Moreover, Theorem 5.5 and Theorem 5.7 in [11] tell us that  $Z$  is  $\nu$ -rectifiable, and the following condition iii) holds:

- iii) For all  $x \in \cup_{k,i} C_{k,i}$  and all  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists  $C_{k,i}$  such that  $x \in C_{k,i}$  and the map  $\phi_{k,i} : C_{k,i} \rightarrow \phi_{k,i}(C_{k,i}) \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  is  $e^{\pm\lambda}$ -bi-Lipschitz.

A point  $z \in Z$  is said to be a  $k$ -regular point if every tangent cone at  $z$  is isometric to  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}_k$  denote the set of  $k$ -regular points in  $Z$ . By Theorem 1.18 of Colding-Naber [14], there is a unique integer  $k_* \leq n+1$  such that  $\nu(Z \setminus \mathcal{R}_{k_*}) = 0$ .

From [7, 11], a finite dimensional cotangent ( $L_\infty$  vector) bundle  $T^*Z$  exists on  $Z$ , and for each Lipschitz function  $f$  on an open set  $U \subset Z$  one can define *differential* of  $f$ , denoted by  $df$ , which is a  $\nu$ -a.e. well defined  $L_\infty$  section of  $T^*U \subset T^*Z$ , and the pointwise inner product  $\langle df_1, df_2 \rangle$   $\nu$ -a.e. for every Lipschitz functions  $f_1, f_2$  on  $U$ . Moreover, there is a Borel set  $U^* \subset U$  such that  $\nu(U \setminus U^*) = 0$ , and  $|df_i|(x) = \text{Lip } f_i(x)$  for every  $x \in U^*$  and  $i = 1, 2$ . Obviously, the differential here satisfies the Leibnitz rule. Moreover,

$$(2.4) \quad \langle df_1, df_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \text{Lip}(f_1 + f_2)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \text{Lip}(f_1 - f_2)^2 \quad \text{on } U^*.$$

As a consequence, the Dirichlet energy  $|\text{Lip } f|$  is associated to a quadratic form which is obtained from the bilinear form

$$\int_U \langle df_1, df_2 \rangle d\nu.$$

From the uniqueness of strong derivatives in  $L^2$  sense (Theorem 6.7 in [11]), it follows that the corresponding Laplace operator is linear self-adjoint. With (2.5) in [11], Hölder inequality and Theorem 1 in [22], the following Poincaré inequality

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{B_r(z)} \left| f - \fint_{B_r(z)} f d\nu \right|^q d\nu \leq c_{n,\kappa r} r^q \int_{B_r(z)} |df|^q d\nu$$

holds for any  $B_{2r}(z) \subset Z$ ,  $q \geq 1$ , any Lipschitz function  $f$  on  $B_{2r}(z)$ , where  $c_{n,\kappa r}$  is a constant depending only on  $n, \kappa r$ , and  $\fint_{B_r(z)} f d\nu$  is the average of  $f$  on  $B_r(z)$  defined by  $\frac{1}{\nu(B_r(z))} \int_{B_r(z)} f d\nu$ . Moreover, the inequality (2.5) holds for all functions in the Sobolev space  $H^{1,q}(Z)$  (see §4 in [7]).

Let  $N$  be an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold. For an open set  $\Omega \subset N$ , there is an integer  $m \geq 1$  so that  $\overline{\Omega}$  is properly embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ . We use the definitions of Allard [2] for varifolds on  $N$  (see also chapter 8 of [29], or [31]). Let  $G_{n,m}$  denote the (Grassmann) manifold including all the  $n$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ . An  $n$ -varifold  $V$  in  $\Omega$  is a Radon measure on

$$G_{n,m}(\Omega) = \{(x, T) | x \in \Omega, T \in G_{n,m} \cap T_x N\}.$$

An  $n$ -rectifiable varifold in  $\Omega$  is an  $n$ -varifold in  $\Omega$  with support on countably  $n$ -rectifiable sets. The  $n$ -rectifiable varifold  $V$  is said to have the generalized mean curvature (vector field)  $H$  in  $\Omega$  if

$$\int_{G_{n,m}(\Omega)} \text{div}_\omega Y dV(x, \omega) = - \int_{G_{n,m}(\Omega)} \langle Y, H \rangle dV(x, \omega)$$

for each  $Y \in C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n+m})$  with  $Y(x) \in T_x N$  for each  $x \in \Omega$ . In particular,  $H(x) \in T_x N$  for a.e.  $x \in \text{spt } V$ . The notion of  $n$ -rectifiable varifold obviously generalises the notion of  $n$ -dimensional  $C^1$ -submanifold.

**Notional convention.** If a point  $q$  belongs to some metric space  $Z$  defined in the paper, we let  $d(q, \cdot)$  denote the distance function on  $Z$  from  $q$ , and always let  $B_r(q)$  denote the geodesic ball in this  $Z$  with radius  $r$  and centered at  $q$ . For a subset  $K \subset Z$ , let  $B_r(K)$  denote  $r$ -tubular neighborhood of  $K$  in  $Z$ . For each integer  $k > 0$ , let  $\omega_k$  denote the volume of  $k$ -dimensional unit Euclidean ball, and  $\mathcal{H}^k$  denote the  $k$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure. When we write an integration on a subset of a Riemannian manifold w.r.t. some volume element, we always omit the volume element if it is associated with the standard metric of the given manifold.

### 3. LAPLACIAN OF DISTANCE FUNCTIONS FROM HYPERSURFACES

Let  $N$  be an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature  $\text{Ric}_N \geq -n\kappa^2$  on  $B_{R+R'}(p)$  for some constants  $\kappa \geq 0$  and  $R' \geq R > 0$ . Let  $\Delta_N$  denote the Laplacian of  $N$ , and  $\nabla$  be the Levi-Civita connection of  $N$ . Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -rectifiable varifold in  $B_{R+R'}(p)$  with the generalized mean curvature  $H$  in  $B_{R+R'}(p)$  (see its definition in §2). Let  $\Lambda_0$  be a positive constant, and  $\Sigma$  be the support of  $V$ . Suppose

$$H_0 \triangleq \sup_{\Sigma} |H| < \infty, \quad \Sigma \cap B_{R'}(p) \neq \emptyset, \quad \mathcal{H}^n(\Sigma) \leq \Lambda_0.$$

By a similar argument of Theorem 17.7 in [29] (see also the proof of Theorem 5.1 in [18]), there are constants  $r_0 > 0, \beta_0 > \omega_n/2$  depending on  $H_0, \Lambda_0$  and the geometry of  $B_{R+R'}(p)$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{2}\omega_n r^n \leq \mathcal{H}^n(B_r(x) \cap \Sigma) \leq \beta_0 r^n$$

for any  $x \in \Sigma \cap B_R(p)$  and any  $r \in (0, r_0)$ .

Let  $\rho_\Sigma$  denote the distance function from  $\Sigma$  in  $N$ . At a differentiable point  $x \in B_R(p) \setminus \Sigma$  of  $\rho_\Sigma$ , there exist a unique  $x' \in \Sigma$  and a unique non-zero vector  $v_x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with  $|v_x| = \rho_\Sigma(x)$  such that  $\exp_{x'}(v_x) = x$ . Let  $\gamma_x$  denote the geodesic  $\exp_{x'}(tv_x/|v_x|)$  from  $t = 0$  to  $t = |v_x|$ . In particular,  $\rho_\Sigma$  is smooth at  $\gamma_x(t)$  for each  $t \in (0, |v_x|]$ . Let  $H_x(t), A_x(t)$  denote the mean curvature function (pointing out of  $\{\rho_\Sigma < t\}$ ), the second fundamental form of the level set  $\{\rho_\Sigma = t\}$  at  $\gamma_x(t)$ , respectively. From Heintze-Karcher [23], there is a function  $\Theta$  with  $|\Theta| \leq \max\{H_0, n\kappa\}$  such that

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta_N \rho_\Sigma = -H_x(t)|_{t=\rho_\Sigma} \leq \Theta \quad \text{at } \gamma_x(\rho_\Sigma).$$

In fact, from the variational argument,

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{\partial H_x}{\partial t} = |A_x|^2 + \text{Ric}(\dot{\gamma}_x, \dot{\gamma}_x) \geq \frac{1}{n}|H_x|^2 - n\kappa^2.$$

Clearly, the above ordinary differential inequality implies  $H_x(t) \geq -\max\{H_0, n\kappa\}$  for each  $t \in [0, |v_x|]$ . So one can choose the function  $\Theta$  satisfying  $|\Theta| \leq \max\{H_0, n\kappa\}$ . (For a special situation, one may choose a suitable  $\Theta$ , where the argument in §3 and §4 still works.) From (3.2) and the argument of the proof of Lemma 7.1 in [16],

$$(3.4) \quad \Delta_N \rho_\Sigma \leq \Theta \quad \text{on } B_R(p) \setminus \Sigma$$

in the distribution sense. Namely,

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{B_R(p)} \langle \nabla \rho_\Sigma, \nabla \phi \rangle \geq - \int_{B_R(p)} \phi \Theta$$

for any Lipschitz function  $\phi \geq 0$  with compact support in  $B_R(p) \setminus \Sigma$ , where we omitted the standard volume element of  $B_R(p)$  in the above integration.

For an open  $U$  in  $N$ , let  $\text{Lip}_0(U)$  denote the space including all Lipschitz functions  $f$  on  $\overline{U}$  with  $f = 0$  on  $\partial U$ . Let  $L$  denote a linear operator on the Sobolev space  $W^{1,1}(B_R(p))$  defined by

$$(3.6) \quad L\phi = \int_{B_R(p)} \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla \rho_\Sigma \rangle + \int_{B_R(p)} \phi \Theta$$

for each function  $\phi \in W^{1,1}(B_R(p))$ . From (3.5), we have

$$(3.7) \quad L\phi \geq 0 \quad \text{for each } \phi \geq 0, \phi \in \text{Lip}_0(B_R(p) \setminus \Sigma),$$

which implies

$$(3.8) \quad L\phi_1 \leq L\phi_2 \quad \text{for each } 0 \leq \phi_1 \leq \phi_2 \text{ with } \phi_1, \phi_2 \in \text{Lip}_0(B_R(p) \setminus \Sigma).$$

For a set  $K$  with  $\overline{K} \subset N$ , let  $B_t(K)$  be the  $t$ -tubular neighborhood of  $K$  in  $N$ , i.e.,  $B_t(K) = \{x \in N \mid \inf_{y \in K} d(x, y) < t\}$ . For a bounded open set  $\Omega$  in  $N$ , let  $\text{Per}(\Omega)$  denote the perimeter of  $\Omega$  defined by (see [20] for the Euclidean case)

$$(3.9) \quad \text{Per}(\Omega) = \sup \left\{ \int_\Omega \text{div}_N \mathcal{X} \mid \mathcal{X} \in \Gamma_c^1(TN), |\mathcal{X}| \leq 1 \text{ on } N \right\},$$

where  $\text{div}_N$  denotes the divergence of  $N$  w.r.t. its metric,  $\Gamma_c^1(TN)$  denotes the space containing all  $C^1$  tangent vector fields on  $N$  with compact supports. Suppose  $\text{Per}(\Omega) < \infty$ . Let  $\sigma \in C_c^\infty((-1, 1))$  be a symmetric function with  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \sigma(|z|^2) dz = 1$ ,  $\sigma(0) > 0$ , and  $\sigma_\epsilon(x, y) = \epsilon^{-n-1} \sigma(\epsilon^{-2} d^2(x, y))$  for all  $x, y \in N$ , where  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the distance function on  $N$ . Then

$$(3.10) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{y \in N} \sigma_\epsilon(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \sigma(|z|^2) dz = 1.$$

Let  $\chi_\Omega$  be the characteristic function of  $\Omega$ . For any small  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ , let  $w_\epsilon = w_{\epsilon, \Omega}$  be a convolution of  $\chi_\Omega$  and  $\sigma_\epsilon$  defined by

$$(3.11) \quad w_\epsilon(x) = (\chi_\Omega * \sigma_\epsilon)(x) = \int_{y \in N} \chi_\Omega(y) \sigma_\epsilon(x, y) = \int_{y \in \Omega} \sigma_\epsilon(x, y).$$

Since the function  $d^2(x, y)$  is smooth for small  $d(x, y)$ , then  $w_\epsilon$  is a smooth function with compact support in  $\overline{B_\epsilon(\Omega)}$ . From [3] or [20], we have

$$(3.12) \quad \text{Per}(\Omega) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_N |\nabla w_\epsilon|^2.$$

By Sard's theorem, for every small  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\partial\{x \in N \mid w_\epsilon(x) > t\}$  is smooth for almost every  $t$ . From the co-area formula and the semi-continuity of functions of bounded variation, there is a sequence  $t_i \rightarrow 0$  such that  $\Omega_i \triangleq \{x \in N \mid w_{t_i}(x) > 1/i\}$  has smooth boundary with  $\overline{\Omega_i} \subset \overline{\Omega} \setminus \partial\overline{\Omega}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^n(\partial\Omega_i \cap \Sigma) = 0$  and (see [3] or [20])

$$(3.13) \quad \text{Per}(\Omega) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^n(\partial\Omega_i).$$

For any real function  $f$ , we denote  $f^+ = \max\{0, f\}$  and  $f^- = \max\{0, -f\}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *For an open set  $\Omega \subset B_R(p)$  and a function  $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_0(\Omega)$ , we have*

$$(3.14) \quad L\varphi \leq \sup_\Omega \varphi^+ \left( \text{Per}(\Omega) + 2\mathcal{H}^n(\Sigma \cap \overline{\Omega} \setminus \partial\overline{\Omega}) + \int_\Omega |\Theta| \right) + 2 \left( \sup_{\Sigma \cap \Omega} \varphi^- \right) \mathcal{H}^n(\Sigma \cap \Omega).$$

*Proof.* We assume  $\text{Per}(\Omega) < \infty$ , or else (3.14) holds automatically from (3.8). Let  $\Omega_i$  be as above. For any  $\phi \in \text{Lip}_0(\Omega \setminus \Sigma)$ , there is a sequence of functions  $\phi_i \in \text{Lip}_0(\Omega_i \setminus \Sigma)$  with  $\inf_\Omega \phi \leq \inf_{\Omega_i} \phi_i \leq \sup_{\Omega_i} \phi_i \leq \sup_\Omega \phi$  such that

$$(3.15) \quad L\phi = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} L\phi_i.$$

In fact,  $\phi_i$  can be chosen as  $\eta_i \phi$  with the Lipschitz function  $\eta_i$  satisfying  $\eta_i = 1$  on  $\Omega_i \setminus B_{\delta_i}(\partial\Omega_i)$ ,  $\eta_i = \frac{1}{\delta_i} \rho_{\Omega_i}$  on  $\Omega_i \cap B_{\delta_i}(\partial\Omega_i)$ , and  $\eta_i = 0$  outside  $\Omega_i$  for some sequence  $\delta_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  so that  $\delta_i^{-1} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(\Omega_i \cap B_{\delta_i}(\partial\Omega_i)) < (1 + i^{-1}) \mathcal{H}^n(\partial\Omega_i)$  for each  $i$ .

Let  $\Omega_{i,\epsilon} = \Omega_i \setminus \overline{B_\epsilon(\partial\Omega_i \cup \Sigma)}$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $\eta_{i,\epsilon} = 1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \rho_{\Omega_{i,\epsilon}}$  on  $\Omega_s$  and  $\eta_{i,\epsilon} = 0$  outside  $\Omega_i$ , then  $\eta_{i,\epsilon}$  is Lipschitz on  $N$  with the Lipschitz constant  $1/\epsilon$ . We can assume  $\sup_\Omega \phi^+ > 0$ , or else (3.14) holds clearly from (3.8). Hence, without loss of generality we may assume  $\sup_\Omega \phi_i^+ > 0$  for each integer  $i \geq 1$ . Since  $\phi_i \in \text{Lip}_0(\Omega_i)$ , there is a sequence  $\epsilon_{i,j} > 0$  with  $\epsilon_{i,j} \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  so that  $\phi_i \leq \eta_{i,\epsilon_{i,j}} \sup_\Omega \phi_i^+ \leq \eta_{i,\epsilon_{i,j}} \sup_\Omega \phi^+$ . From (3.6)(3.8), we have

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sup_\Omega \phi^+} L\phi_i &\leq L\eta_{i,\epsilon_{i,j}} = -\frac{1}{\epsilon_{i,j}} \int_{\Omega_i} \langle \nabla \rho_{\Omega_{i,\epsilon_{i,j}}}, \nabla \rho_\Sigma \rangle + \int_{\Omega_i} \eta_{i,\epsilon_{i,j}} \Theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon_{i,j}} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(\Omega_i \setminus \Omega_{i,\epsilon_{i,j}}) + \int_{\Omega_i} |\Theta|. \end{aligned}$$

With (3.1),  $\mathcal{H}^n(\partial\Omega_i \cap \Sigma) = 0$  and Theorem 2.104 in [3] by Ambrosio-Fusco-Pallara, letting  $j \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.16) gives

$$(3.17) \quad L\phi_i \leq \sup_\Omega \phi^+ \left( \mathcal{H}^n(\partial\Omega_i) + 2\mathcal{H}^n(\Omega_i \cap \Sigma) + \int_{\Omega_i} |\Theta| \right).$$

Combining  $\overline{\Omega_i} \subset \overline{\Omega} \setminus \partial\overline{\Omega}$  and (3.13)(3.15), letting  $i \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.17) infers

$$(3.18) \quad L\phi \leq \sup_\Omega \phi^+ \left( \text{Per}(\Omega) + 2\mathcal{H}^n(\Sigma \cap \overline{\Omega} \setminus \partial\overline{\Omega}) + \int_\Omega |\Theta| \right).$$

For any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , let  $\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon$  be a nonnegative Lipschitz function on  $B_R(p)$  with  $\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \equiv 1$  on  $B_R(p) \setminus B_\epsilon(\Sigma)$ ,  $\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon = \rho_\Sigma/\epsilon$  on  $B_R(p) \cap B_\epsilon(\Sigma)$ . For any  $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_0(\Omega)$ , from (3.1) and the proof of Theorem 2.104 in [3], it follows that

$$(3.19) \quad \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\Omega -\varphi \langle \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon, \nabla \rho_\Sigma \rangle \leq 2 \left( \sup_{\Sigma \cap \Omega} \varphi^- \right) \mathcal{H}^n(\Sigma \cap \Omega).$$

With (3.18), we have

$$(3.20) \quad L(\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \varphi) \leq \sup_\Omega \varphi^+ \left( \text{Per}(\Omega) + 2\mathcal{H}^n(\Sigma \cap \overline{\Omega} \setminus \partial\overline{\Omega}) + \int_\Omega |\Theta| \right).$$

Since

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla \rho_\Sigma \rangle + \int_\Omega \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \varphi \Theta &= \int_\Omega \langle \nabla(\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \varphi), \nabla \rho_\Sigma \rangle - \int_\Omega \varphi \langle \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon, \nabla \rho_\Sigma \rangle + \int_\Omega \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \varphi \Theta \\ &= L(\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \varphi) - \int_\Omega \varphi \langle \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon, \nabla \rho_\Sigma \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

letting  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  in (3.21), with (3.19)(3.20) we get

$$(3.22) \quad L\varphi \leq \sup_\Omega \varphi^+ \left( \text{Per}(\Omega) + 2\mathcal{H}^n(\Sigma \cap \overline{\Omega} \setminus \partial\overline{\Omega}) + \int_\Omega |\Theta| \right) + 2 \left( \sup_{\Sigma \cap \Omega} \varphi^- \right) \mathcal{H}^n(\Sigma \cap \Omega).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

For an open  $U$  in  $N$ , let  $\text{Lip}_c(U)$  denote the space including all Lipschitz functions on  $U$  with compact supports in  $U$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *There is a Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $B_R(p)$  such that*

$$(3.23) \quad \int_{B_R(p)} f d\mu = \sup_{\phi \in \text{Lip}_c(B_R(p)), 0 \leq \phi \leq f} L\phi$$

for any nonnegative continuous function  $f$  on  $B_R(p)$  with compact support in  $B_R(p)$ . Moreover, for any open  $\Omega \subset B_R(p)$

$$(3.24) \quad \mu(\Omega) \leq \text{Per}(\Omega) + 2\mathcal{H}^n(\Sigma \cap \overline{\Omega} \setminus \partial\overline{\Omega}) + \int_{\Omega} |\Theta|.$$

*Proof.* For any open  $\Omega \subset B_R(p)$ , let  $\mathcal{K}_+(\Omega)$  denote the set containing all the nonnegative continuous functions with compact supports in  $\Omega$ . Let  $\tilde{L} : \mathcal{K}_+(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by

$$(3.25) \quad \tilde{L}(f) = \sup_{\phi \in \text{Lip}_c(\Omega), 0 \leq \phi \leq f} L\phi.$$

For each  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{K}_+(\Omega)$  and each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there are functions  $\phi_i \in \text{Lip}_c(\Omega)$ ,  $0 \leq \phi_i \leq f_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  such that

$$(3.26) \quad \tilde{L}f_1 \leq L\phi_1 + \epsilon, \quad \tilde{L}f_2 \leq L\phi_2 + \epsilon.$$

Hence

$$(3.27) \quad \tilde{L}f_1 + \tilde{L}f_2 \leq L\phi_1 + L\phi_2 + 2\epsilon \leq \tilde{L}(f_1 + f_2) + 2\epsilon.$$

On the other hand, there is a function  $\phi_0 \in \text{Lip}_c(\Omega)$  with  $0 \leq \phi_0 \leq f_1 + f_2$  such that

$$(3.28) \quad \tilde{L}(f_1 + f_2) \leq L\phi_0 + \epsilon.$$

It's easy to check that  $\phi_0 f_1 / (f_1 + f_2), \phi_0 f_2 / (f_1 + f_2)$  are continuous functions with supports both in  $\text{spt}\phi_0$ . By the construction of mollifiers, for any  $\delta > 0$  with  $B_{2\delta}(\text{spt}\phi_0) \subset \Omega$  there are smooth functions  $f_{1,\delta}, f_{2,\delta} \geq 0$  with supports in  $B_\delta(\text{spt}\phi_0)$  such that

$$(3.29) \quad \left| f_{i,\delta} - \frac{\phi_0 f_i}{f_1 + f_2} \right| \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

From  $0 \leq \phi_i \leq f_i$  with  $i = 1, 2$  and  $0 \leq \phi_0 \leq f_1 + f_2$ , we have  $f_{i,\delta} \leq f_i + \frac{\delta}{2}$ . Let  $\phi_\delta \in C^\infty(\Omega, [0, \delta/2])$  satisfy  $\phi_\delta = \delta/2$  on  $B_\delta(\text{spt}\phi_0)$  and  $\phi_\delta = 0$  on  $\Omega \setminus B_{3\delta/2}(\text{spt}\phi_0)$ . For each  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $f_{i,\delta}^* = \max\{0, f_{i,\delta} - \phi_\delta\}$ , then  $f_{i,\delta}^* \in \text{Lip}_c(\Omega)$ . Since  $f_{i,\delta}$  has the support in  $B_\delta(\text{spt}\phi_0)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , it follows that

$$(3.30) \quad 0 \leq f_{i,\delta}^* \leq f_i \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

From  $\phi_0 \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq \phi_\delta \leq \delta/2$  and (3.29), we have

$$(3.31) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^2 f_{i,\delta}^* - \phi_0 \right| \leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^2 (f_{i,\delta} - \phi_\delta) - \phi_0 \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left| f_{i,\delta} - \phi_\delta - \frac{\phi_0 f_i}{f_1 + f_2} \right| \leq \delta + \delta = 2\delta.$$

Denote  $\phi_0^* = \phi_0 - \sum_{i=1}^2 f_{i,\delta}^*$ . With Lemma 3.1 and (3.28)(3.30)(3.31), we get

$$(3.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{L}(f_1 + f_2) - \epsilon &\leq L\phi_0 = Lf_{1,\delta}^* + Lf_{2,\delta}^* + L\phi_0^* \\ &\leq \tilde{L}f_1 + \tilde{L}f_2 + 2\delta \left( \text{Per}(\Omega) + 2\mathcal{H}^n(\Sigma \cap \Omega \setminus \partial\Omega) + \int_{\Omega} |\Theta| + 2\mathcal{H}^n(\Sigma \cap \Omega) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  and  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  in (3.27)(3.32), we deduce

$$(3.33) \quad \tilde{L}f_1 + \tilde{L}f_2 = \tilde{L}(f_1 + f_2).$$



Clearly,  $\tilde{L}(cf) = c\tilde{L}f \geq 0$  for any constant  $c \geq 0$  and  $f \in \mathcal{K}_+(\Omega)$ . From Lemma 3.1 and Riesz representation theorem, there is a Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $B_R(p)$  such that

$$(3.34) \quad \tilde{L}f = \int_{B_R(p)} f d\mu \quad \text{for any } f \in \mathcal{K}_+(B_R(p)).$$

For any open  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ , let  $\xi_s = 1 - \frac{1}{s}\rho_{\Omega'}$  on  $B_s(\Omega')$ , and  $\xi_s = 0$  outside  $B_s(\Omega')$  for small  $s > 0$ . We assume  $B_s(\Omega') \subset\subset \Omega$ . Then  $\mu(\Omega') \leq \tilde{L}\xi_s$ . From (3.14), we get

$$(3.35) \quad \mu(\Omega') \leq \text{Per}(\Omega) + 2\mathcal{H}^n(\Sigma \cap \overline{\Omega} \setminus \partial\overline{\Omega}) + \int_{\Omega} |\Theta|.$$

Forcing  $\Omega' \rightarrow \Omega$  in the above inequality implies (3.24).  $\square$

We define a Radon measure  $\mu_*$  by

$$(3.36) \quad \mu_* = \mu + 2\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \Sigma,$$

i.e.,  $\mu_*(K) = \mu(K) + 2\mathcal{H}^n(K \cap \Sigma)$  for any Borel set  $K \subset B_R(p)$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** *For an open  $\Omega \subset B_R(p)$ , and a function  $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_0(\Omega)$ , we have*

$$(3.37) \quad |L\varphi| \leq \sup_{\Omega} |\varphi| \mu_*(\Omega).$$

*Proof.* Denote  $\varphi = \varphi^+ - \varphi^-$ . Let  $\Omega_i$  and  $\eta_i$  be the ones as in the proof of Lemma 3.1. Put  $\varphi_i = \eta_i \varphi^+ \in \text{Lip}_c(\Omega)$ . With (3.15) and Lemma 3.2, we get

$$(3.38) \quad L\varphi^+ = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} L\varphi_i \leq \sup_{\Omega} \varphi^+ \mu(\Omega).$$

From Lemma 3.1, it follows that

$$(3.39) \quad L(-\varphi^-) \leq 2 \sup_{\Omega} \varphi^- \mathcal{H}^n(\Omega \cap \Sigma).$$

Combining (3.38)(3.39), we get

$$(3.40) \quad L\varphi = L(\varphi^+ - \varphi^-) \leq \sup_{\Omega} |\varphi| (\mu(\Omega) + 2\mathcal{H}^n(\Omega \cap \Sigma)) = \sup_{\Omega} |\varphi| \mu_*(\Omega).$$

By considering  $-\varphi$  instead of  $\varphi$ , we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** *In Lemma 3.1, we have obtained the estimate of  $L\varphi$ , but the right hand of (3.14) is not necessarily non-decreasing as  $\Omega$  increases because of the term  $\text{Per}(\Omega)$ . However, the upper bound  $\mu_*(\Omega)$  does not decrease as  $\Omega$  increases.*

**Proposition 3.5.** *For any ball  $B_r(z) \subset B_R(p)$ ,*

$$(3.41) \quad \mu_*(B_r(z)) \leq \frac{(n+1)\kappa}{\tanh(\kappa r)} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z)) + 4\mathcal{H}^n(B_r(z) \cap \Sigma) + \int_{B_r(z)} |\Theta|.$$

*Proof.* For any  $r > 0$ , with integrating by parts we have

$$(3.42) \quad \begin{aligned} n\kappa \int_0^r \sinh^n(\kappa t) dt &= \int_0^r \tanh(\kappa t) d \sinh^n(\kappa t) \\ &= \sinh^n(\kappa r) \tanh(\kappa r) - \kappa \int_0^r \sinh^n(\kappa t) (1 - \tanh^2(\kappa t)) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(3.43) \quad (n+1)\kappa \int_0^r \sinh^n(\kappa t) dt \geq \sinh^n(\kappa r) \tanh(\kappa r).$$

With Bishop-Gromov volume comparison, we have

$$(3.44) \quad \mathcal{H}^n(\partial B_r(z)) \leq \frac{\sinh^n(\kappa r)}{\int_0^r \sinh^n(\kappa t) dt} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z)) \leq \frac{(n+1)\kappa}{\tanh(\kappa r)} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z)).$$

Combining with (3.24)(3.44) and the definition of  $\mu_*$ , we immediately get (3.41).  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** Let  $S$  be a countably  $n$ -rectifiable set in  $N$  with  $\mathcal{H}^n(S) < \infty$ , and there are a Radon measure  $\mathbf{m}$  on  $N$  and constants  $\gamma, \gamma' > 0$  such that  $\mathbf{m}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mathcal{H}^n$  and

$$(3.45) \quad \mathbf{m}(B_r(x)) \geq \gamma r^n \text{ for any } x \in S \cap B_R(p), \text{ and any } r \in (0, \gamma').$$

We suppose that there is a  $L^1$ -function  $f$  on  $B_R(p)$  such that  $\Delta_N \rho_S \leq f$  on  $B_R(p) \setminus S$  in the distribution sense. Then our argument in §3 and §4 still works provided  $\Sigma$  is replaced by  $S$  here. Without the condition (3.45), our argument in §3 and §4 also works under a more careful covering for  $S$ , and for any open  $\Omega \subset B_R(p)$  the coefficient of  $\mathcal{H}^n(S \cap \Omega)$  will be replaced by a constant depending only on  $n, \kappa$ .

#### 4. AN ANGLE ESTIMATE FOR DISTANCE FUNCTIONS FROM HYPERSURFACES

Let  $N$  be the  $(n+1)$ -dimensional manifold, and  $\Sigma$  be the subset in  $N$  defined at the beginning of §3. Now let us approach  $\rho_\Sigma$  by harmonic functions analog to [13]. We define a Radon measure  $\mu^*$  on  $B_R(p)$  by letting

$$(4.1) \quad \mu^*(K) = \mu_*(K) + \int_K |\Theta|$$

for any Borel set  $K \subset B_R(p)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** For any  $B_{2r}(z) \subset B_R(p)$ , there is a harmonic function  $\mathbf{b}$  on  $B_{2r}(z)$  with  $|\mathbf{b}| \leq 2r$  on  $B_{2r}(z)$  such that

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{B_r(z)} |\nabla \mathbf{b} - \nabla \rho_\Sigma|^2 \leq 4r \mu^*(B_{2r}(z)).$$

*Proof.* We fix a point  $z \in B_R(p)$ , and define a Lipschitz function

$$b_z = \rho_\Sigma - \rho_\Sigma(z) \quad \text{on } B_{R+R'}(p).$$

Then for each  $x \in B_{R+R'}(p)$

$$(4.3) \quad |b_z(x)| = |\rho_\Sigma(x) - \rho_\Sigma(z)| \leq d(x, z).$$

For any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ , let  $b_{z,\epsilon}$  be a mollifier of  $b_z$  defined by

$$b_{z,\epsilon}(x) = (b_z * \sigma_\epsilon)(x) = \int_{y \in N} b_z(y) \sigma_\epsilon(x, y),$$

where  $\sigma_\epsilon$  is the function defined in (3.11). Since  $\sigma_\epsilon$  is smooth for small  $\epsilon > 0$ , it follows that  $b_{z,\epsilon} \in C^\infty$  for small  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then for any  $U \subset B_R(p)$  we have

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_U |\nabla b_z - \nabla b_{z,\epsilon}|^2 = 0.$$

We fix a ball  $B_{2r}(z) \subset B_R(p)$ . For every small  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $U_\epsilon$  be an open set in  $B_{2r}(z)$  with smooth  $\partial U_\epsilon$  such that  $U_\epsilon$  converges to  $B_{2r}(z)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $\mathbf{b}_\epsilon$  denote the harmonic

function on  $U_\epsilon$  with  $\mathbf{b}_\epsilon = b_{z,\epsilon}$  on  $\partial U_\epsilon$ . From Schauder theory of elliptic equations,  $\mathbf{b}_\epsilon \in C^\infty(\overline{U}_\epsilon)$ . From the maximum principle, we have

$$\sup_{U_\epsilon} |\mathbf{b}_\epsilon| = \sup_{\partial U_\epsilon} |\mathbf{b}_\epsilon| = \sup_{\partial U_\epsilon} |b_{z,\epsilon}|.$$

Since  $b_{z,\epsilon} \rightarrow b_z$  uniformly,  $|b_z| \leq d(\cdot, z)$  on  $B_{2r}(p)$ , and  $U_\epsilon \subset B_{2r}(z)$ , then there is a function  $\psi_\epsilon > 0$  with  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \psi_\epsilon = 0$  such that

$$(4.5) \quad \sup_{U_\epsilon} |\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon}| \leq \sup_{U_\epsilon} |\mathbf{b}_\epsilon| + \sup_{U_\epsilon} |b_{z,\epsilon}| \leq 4r + \psi_\epsilon.$$

Let  $U_{\epsilon,\tau} = \{x \in U_\epsilon \mid \rho_{\partial U_\epsilon}(x) > \tau\}$  for any small  $\tau > 0$ . Let  $\eta_\tau = 1$  on  $U_{\epsilon,\tau}$ ,  $\eta_\tau = 1 - \frac{1}{\tau} \rho_{\partial U_\epsilon}$  on  $U_\epsilon \setminus U_{\epsilon,\tau}$ , and  $\eta_\tau = 0$  outside  $U_\epsilon$ . For any  $\delta \in (0, 1]$ , by the definition of  $L$  in (3.6) one has

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{U_\epsilon} |\nabla \mathbf{b}_\epsilon - \nabla b_{z,\epsilon}|^2 &= - \int_{U_\epsilon} \langle \nabla(\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon}), \nabla b_{z,\epsilon} \rangle + \int_{U_\epsilon} \operatorname{div}_N((\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon}) \nabla \mathbf{b}_\epsilon) \\ &= - \int_{U_\epsilon} \langle \nabla(\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon}), \nabla b_{z,\epsilon} \rangle = - \int_{U_\epsilon} \langle \nabla(\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon}), \nabla b_z \rangle + \int_{U_\epsilon} \langle \nabla(\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon}), \nabla(b_z - b_{z,\epsilon}) \rangle \\ &\leq - \int_{U_\epsilon} \langle \nabla(\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon}), \nabla b_z \rangle + \delta \int_{U_\epsilon} |\nabla(\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon})|^2 + \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{U_\epsilon} |\nabla(b_z - b_{z,\epsilon})|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(4.7) \quad (1 - \delta) \int_{U_\epsilon} |\nabla \mathbf{b}_\epsilon - \nabla b_{z,\epsilon}|^2 \leq - \int_{U_\epsilon} \langle \nabla(\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon}), \nabla b_z \rangle + \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{U_\epsilon} |\nabla(b_z - b_{z,\epsilon})|^2.$$

By the definition of the operator  $L$  in (3.6),

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &- \int_{U_\epsilon} \eta_\tau \langle \nabla(\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon}), \nabla b_z \rangle \\ &= - \int_{U_\epsilon} \langle \nabla(\eta_\tau(\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon})), \nabla b_z \rangle + \int_{U_\epsilon} (\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon}) \langle \nabla \eta_\tau, \nabla b_z \rangle \\ &\leq \int_{U_\epsilon} \eta_\tau (\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon}) \Theta - L(\eta_\tau(\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon})) + \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{U_\epsilon \setminus U_{\epsilon,\tau}} |\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon}|. \end{aligned}$$

From Corollary 3.3 and (4.5),

$$(4.9) \quad -L(\eta_\tau(\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon})) \leq \sup_{U_\epsilon} |\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon}| \mu_*(U_\epsilon) \leq (4r + \psi_\epsilon) \mu_*(U_\epsilon).$$

Since  $\mathbf{b}_\epsilon \in C^\infty(\overline{U}_\epsilon)$  and  $\mathbf{b}_\epsilon = b_{z,\epsilon}$  on  $\partial U_\epsilon$ , then

$$(4.10) \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \sup_{U_\epsilon \setminus U_{\epsilon,\tau}} |\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon}| = 0,$$

and

$$(4.11) \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{U_\epsilon \setminus U_{\epsilon,\tau}} |\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon}| \leq \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \sup_{U_\epsilon \setminus U_{\epsilon,\tau}} |\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon}| \frac{\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(U_\epsilon \setminus U_{\epsilon,\tau})}{\tau} = 0.$$

Letting  $\tau \rightarrow 0$  in (4.8)(4.9), combining with (4.5)(4.11) we have

$$(4.12) \quad - \int_{U_\epsilon} \langle \nabla(\mathbf{b}_\epsilon - b_{z,\epsilon}), \nabla b_z \rangle \leq (4r + \psi_\epsilon) \int_{U_\epsilon} |\Theta| + (4r + \psi_\epsilon) \mu_*(U_\epsilon).$$

Combining (4.7), we get

$$(4.13) \quad (1 - \delta) \int_{U_\epsilon} |\nabla \mathbf{b}_\epsilon - \nabla b_{z,\epsilon}|^2 \leq (4r + \psi_\epsilon) \int_{U_\epsilon} |\Theta| + (4r + \psi_\epsilon) \mu_*(U_\epsilon) + \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{U_\epsilon} |\nabla(b_z - b_{z,\epsilon})|^2.$$

By the maximum principle and the definition of  $\mathbf{b}_\epsilon$ , there is a sequence  $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$  such that  $\mathbf{b}_{\epsilon_i}$  converges to a harmonic function  $\mathbf{b}$  on  $B_{2r}(z)$  with  $|\mathbf{b}| \leq 2r$  on  $B_{2r}(z)$ . In particular,  $\mathbf{b}_{\epsilon_i}$  converges to  $\mathbf{b}$  uniformly on any compact subset of  $B_{2r}(z)$ . Hence  $|\nabla \mathbf{b}_{\epsilon_i} - \nabla \mathbf{b}| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on  $\overline{B_r(z)}$ . From (4.4) and (4.13) with  $\epsilon = \epsilon_i$ , letting  $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$  we get

$$(4.14) \quad (1 - \delta) \int_{B_r(z)} |\nabla \mathbf{b} - \nabla b_z|^2 \leq 4r \int_{B_{2r}(z)} |\Theta| + 4r \mu_*(B_{2r}(z)).$$

By the definition of  $\mu^*$  in (4.1), we complete the proof by letting  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  in the above inequality.  $\square$

From the Cheng-Yau gradient estimate [12] and (4.3), for the harmonic function  $\mathbf{b}$  in Lemma 4.1

$$(4.15) \quad \sup_{B_{3r/2}(z)} |\nabla \mathbf{b}| \leq \frac{c_{n,\kappa r}}{2r} \sup_{B_{2r}(z)} |\mathbf{b}| \leq c_{n,\kappa r},$$

where  $c_{n,\kappa r}$  is a general constant depending on  $n, \kappa r$ . Let 'Hess' denote the Hessian matrix for  $C^2$ -functions on  $N$ . Combining the Bochner formula

$$(4.16) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta_N |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 = |\text{Hess}_{\mathbf{b}}|^2 + \text{Ric}_N(\nabla \mathbf{b}, \nabla \mathbf{b}) \geq |\text{Hess}_{\mathbf{b}}|^2 - n\kappa^2 |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2,$$

we get the following Hessian estimate (see Lemma 1.12 in [13])

$$(4.17) \quad \int_{B_r(z)} |\text{Hess}_{\mathbf{b}}|^2 \leq \frac{c_{n,\kappa r}}{r^2} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z)).$$

Let  $\Lambda_r > 0$  be a constant (depending on  $r$ ) such that

$$(4.18) \quad 4\mathcal{H}^n(B_{2r}(z) \cap \Sigma) + 2 \int_{B_{2r}(z)} |\Theta| \leq \frac{\Lambda_r}{r} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_{2r}(z)).$$

From (3.41)(4.18), we have

$$(4.19) \quad \mu^*(B_{2r}(z)) = \int_{B_{2r}(z)} |\Theta| + \mu_*(B_{2r}(z)) \leq \left( \frac{c_{n,\kappa r}}{r} + \frac{\Lambda_r}{r} \right) \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_{2r}(z)).$$

Inspired by Lemma 1.23 in [13], we can deduce the following estimates.

**Lemma 4.2.** *For any  $\delta > 0$ , there is a constant  $\theta_* = \theta_{n,\kappa r,\Lambda_r,\delta}$  depending on  $n, \kappa r, \Lambda_r, \delta$  such that for each  $0 < \theta \leq \theta_*$  and  $B_{4r}(z) \subset B_R(p)$ , there exist finitely many balls  $B_{\theta r}(p_i) \subset B_r(z)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N_*$ , and harmonic functions  $\mathbf{b}_i$  satisfying*

$$(4.20) \quad \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(\cup_{1 \leq i \leq N_*} B_{\theta r}(p_i)) \geq (1 - \delta) \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z)),$$

$$(4.21) \quad \int_{B_{2\theta r}(p_i)} |\nabla \mathbf{b}_i - \nabla \rho_\Sigma|^2 \leq \delta,$$

and

$$(4.22) \quad \int_{B_{2\theta r}(p_i)} |\text{Hess}_{\mathbf{b}_i}|^2 \leq \frac{\delta}{\theta^2 r^2}.$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 1.19 in [13] and (4.19), for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there are constants  $\beta_\epsilon \geq 1$ ,  $\theta_\epsilon \leq 1$  and an integer  $N_\epsilon \geq 1$  depending on  $n, \kappa r, \Lambda_r, \epsilon$ , and a constant  $\lambda_* > 0$  depending only on  $n, \kappa r$  such that for each  $0 < \theta \leq \theta_\epsilon$ , there exist finitely many balls  $B_{\theta r}(y_i) \subset B_r(z)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N_\epsilon$  with

$$(4.23) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N_\epsilon} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_{\theta r}(y_i)) \leq \lambda_* \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z)),$$

$$(4.24) \quad \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(\cup_{1 \leq i \leq N_\epsilon} B_{\theta r}(y_i)) \geq (1 - \epsilon) \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z)),$$

and

$$(4.25) \quad \mu^*(B_{4\theta r}(y_i)) \leq \frac{\beta_\epsilon}{r} (c_{n,\kappa r} + \Lambda_r) \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_{2\theta r}(y_i))$$

for each  $i = 1, \dots, N_\epsilon$ . From Lemma 4.1 and (4.25), there is a harmonic function  $\mathbf{b}_i$  on  $B_{4\theta r}(y_i)$  with  $|\mathbf{b}_i| \leq 4\theta r$  on  $B_{4\theta r}(y_i)$  such that

$$(4.26) \quad \int_{B_{2\theta r}(y_i)} |\nabla \mathbf{b}_i - \nabla \rho_\Sigma|^2 \leq 8\theta r \mu^*(B_{4\theta r}(y_i)) \leq 8\theta \beta_\epsilon (c_{n,\kappa r} + \Lambda_r) \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_{2\theta r}(y_i)).$$

From (4.17), we have

$$(4.27) \quad \int_{B_{2\theta r}(y_i)} |\text{Hess}_{\mathbf{b}_i}|^2 \leq \frac{c_{n,\kappa r}}{\theta^2 r^2}.$$

For any fixed  $i \in \{1, \dots, N_\epsilon\}$  and  $\theta \in (0, \theta_\epsilon]$ , we use Lemma 1.19 in [13] again for (4.26)(4.27) as follows. For each  $0 < \theta' \leq \theta_\epsilon$  there exist finitely many balls  $B_{\theta' \theta r}(x_{i,j}) \subset B_{\theta r}(y_i)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, N_\epsilon$  with

$$(4.28) \quad \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(\cup_{1 \leq j \leq N_\epsilon} B_{\theta' \theta r}(x_{i,j})) \geq (1 - \epsilon) \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_{\theta r}(y_i)),$$

$$(4.29) \quad \int_{B_{2\theta' \theta r}(x_{i,j})} |\nabla \mathbf{b}_i - \nabla \rho_\Sigma|^2 \leq 8\theta \beta_\epsilon^2 (c_{n,\kappa r} + \Lambda_r),$$

and

$$(4.30) \quad \int_{B_{2\theta' \theta r}(x_{i,j})} |\text{Hess}_{\mathbf{b}_i}|^2 \leq \frac{c_{n,\kappa r} \beta_\epsilon}{\theta^2 r^2}.$$

Noting

$$(4.31) \quad \begin{aligned} & \cup_{1 \leq i \leq N_\epsilon} B_{\theta r}(y_i) \setminus \cup_{1 \leq i, j \leq N_\epsilon} B_{\theta' \theta r}(x_{i,j}) \\ &= \cup_{1 \leq i \leq N_\epsilon} (B_{\theta r}(y_i) \cap (\cap_{1 \leq k, j \leq N_\epsilon} (B_r(z) \setminus B_{\theta' \theta r}(x_{k,j})))) \\ &\subset \cup_{1 \leq i \leq N_\epsilon} (B_{\theta r}(y_i) \cap (\cap_{1 \leq j \leq N_\epsilon} (B_r(z) \setminus B_{\theta' \theta r}(x_{i,j})))) \\ &= \cup_{1 \leq i \leq N_\epsilon} (B_{\theta r}(y_i) \setminus \cup_{1 \leq j \leq N_\epsilon} B_{\theta' \theta r}(x_{i,j})). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.23)(4.24)(4.28), we have

$$(4.32) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z)) - \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(\cup_{1 \leq i, j \leq N_\epsilon} B_{\theta' \theta r}(x_{i,j})) \\ &\leq \epsilon \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z)) + \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(\cup_{1 \leq i \leq N_\epsilon} B_{\theta r}(y_i)) - \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(\cup_{1 \leq i, j \leq N_\epsilon} B_{\theta' \theta r}(x_{i,j})) \\ &\leq \epsilon \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z)) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N_\epsilon} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_{\theta r}(y_i) \setminus \cup_{1 \leq j \leq N_\epsilon} B_{\theta' \theta r}(x_{i,j})) \\ &\leq \epsilon \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z)) + \epsilon \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N_\epsilon} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_{\theta r}(y_i)) \leq (1 + \lambda_*) \epsilon \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\delta = (1 + \lambda_*)\epsilon$ ,  $\theta$  be a positive constant  $\leq \min \left\{ \theta_\epsilon, \frac{1}{8} \delta \beta_\epsilon^{-2} (c_{n,\kappa r} + \Lambda_r)^{-1} \right\}$ , and  $\theta'$  be a positive constant  $\leq \min \left\{ \theta_\epsilon, \sqrt{\delta c_{n,\kappa r}^{-1} \beta_\epsilon^{-1}} \right\}$ , then from (4.29)(4.30) it follows that

$$(4.33) \quad \int_{B_{2\theta' \theta r}(x_{i,j})} |\nabla \mathbf{b}_i - \nabla \rho_\Sigma|^2 \leq \delta,$$

and

$$(4.34) \quad \int_{B_{2\theta' \theta r}(x_{i,j})} |\text{Hess}_{\mathbf{b}_i}|^2 \leq \frac{\delta}{\theta^2 (\theta')^2 r^2}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $q$  be a fixed point in  $B_R(p)$ , for any point  $x \in B_R(p)$  let  $\gamma_{x,q}$  denote a normalized minimizing geodesic in  $B_{2R}(p)$  with  $\gamma_{x,q}(0) = x$ ,  $\gamma_{x,q}(d(q, x)) = q$  and  $|\dot{\gamma}_{x,q}| = 1$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $B_{2r}(z) \subset B_R(p)$ ,  $q$  be a point in  $B_R(p)$  with  $d(q, z) \geq 2\theta r$  for  $0 < \theta < 1$ . If  $u$  is a smooth function on  $B_{2\theta r}(z)$ , then for any  $t \in (0, \theta r)$  we have*

$$(4.35) \quad \int_{x \in B_{\theta r}(z)} \left| (\rho_\Sigma \circ \gamma_{x,q})'(t) - \frac{\rho_\Sigma(\gamma_{x,q}(\theta r)) - \rho_\Sigma(x)}{\theta r} \right| \\ \leq c_{n,\kappa r} \int_{B_{2\theta r}(z)} (|\nabla(\rho_\Sigma - u)| + \theta r |\text{Hess}_u|),$$

where  $c_{n,\kappa r}$  is a general positive constant depending on  $n, \kappa r$ .

*Proof.* For any  $L^1$ -function  $f \geq 0$  on  $B_{2r}(z) \subset B_R(p)$ ,  $q \in B_R(p)$ ,  $d(q, z) \geq 2\theta r$ ,  $0 < \theta < 1$ ,  $0 < t < \theta r$ , we claim

$$(4.36) \quad \int_{x \in B_{\theta r}(z)} f(\gamma_{x,q}(t)) \leq c_{n,\kappa r} \int_{B_{\theta r+t}(z)} f.$$

Let us prove (4.36) using the Laplacian comparison theorem (see also [24] for instance). For any fixed  $q \in B_R(p)$ , let  $\mathcal{C}_q$  denote the cut locus of the distance function from  $q$ . Let

$$U_{q,z,t,\theta r} = \{\gamma_{x,q}(t) \mid x \in \mathcal{C}_q \cap B_{\theta r}(z)\}.$$

Then for each  $y \in U_{q,z,t,\theta r}$ , there is a unique  $x \in \mathcal{C}_q \cap B_{\theta r}(z)$  with  $y = \gamma_{x,q}(t)$ . Let  $dl^2 + g_{\alpha\beta}(l, \vartheta) d\vartheta_\alpha d\vartheta_\beta$  denote the metric of  $N$  in the polar coordinate w.r.t.  $q$  outside  $\mathcal{C}_q$ , where  $\vartheta = (\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_n)$  satisfies  $|\vartheta| = 1$ . Let  $J(l, \vartheta) = \sqrt{\det(g_{\alpha\beta}(l, \vartheta))}$ . By the Laplacian comparison theorem, we have

$$J(l+t, \vartheta) \leq c_{n,\kappa r} J(l, \vartheta) \quad \text{for any } 0 < t < \theta r \leq l,$$

where  $c_{n,\kappa r}$  is a positive constant depending on  $n, \kappa r$ . Hence,

$$(4.37) \quad \int_{x \in B_{\theta r}(z)} f(\gamma_{x,q}(t)) = \int_{\partial B_1(0^{n+1})} \left( \int_{2\theta r}^\infty f(\exp_q((l' - t)\vartheta)) \chi_{B_{\theta r}(z)} J(l', \vartheta) dl' \right) d\vartheta \\ = \int_{\partial B_1(0^{n+1})} \left( \int_{\theta r}^\infty f(\exp_q(l\vartheta)) \chi_{U_{q,z,t,\theta r}} J(l+t, \vartheta) dl \right) d\vartheta \\ \leq c_{n,\kappa r} \int_{\partial B_1(0^{n+1})} \left( \int_0^\infty f(\exp_q(l\vartheta)) \chi_{U_{q,z,t,\theta r}} J(l, \vartheta) dl \right) d\vartheta = c_{n,\kappa r} \int_{U_{q,z,t,\theta r}} f.$$

Combining  $U_{q,z,t,\theta r} \subset B_{\theta r+t}(z)$ , we get the claim (4.36).

From the proof of Lemma 1.14 in [13], for any  $t \in (0, \theta r)$ ,  $d(q, z) \geq 2\theta r$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.38) \quad & \int_{x \in B_{\theta r}(z)} \left| (\rho_\Sigma \circ \gamma_{x,q})'(t) - \frac{\rho_\Sigma(\gamma_{x,q}(\theta r)) - \rho_\Sigma(x)}{\theta r} \right| \\
& \leq \int_{x \in B_{\theta r}(z)} |((\rho_\Sigma - u) \circ \gamma_{x,q})'(t)| + \int_{x \in B_{\theta r}(z)} \left| \int_0^{\theta r} \frac{((\rho_\Sigma - u) \circ \gamma_{x,q})'(t) dt}{\theta r} \right| \\
& \quad + \int_{x \in B_{\theta r}(z)} \left| (u \circ \gamma_{x,q})'(t) - \frac{u(\gamma_{x,q}(\theta r)) - u(x)}{\theta r} \right| \\
& \leq 2 \sup_{t \in (0, \theta r)} \int_{x \in B_{\theta r}(z)} |\nabla(\rho_\Sigma - u)|(\gamma_{x,q}(t)) + 2 \int_{x \in B_{\theta r}(z)} \left( \int_0^{\theta r} |(u \circ \gamma_{x,q})''(t)| dt \right) \\
& \leq 2 \sup_{t \in (0, \theta r)} \int_{x \in B_{\theta r}(z)} |\nabla(\rho_\Sigma - u)|(\gamma_{x,q}(t)) + 2\theta r \sup_{t \in (0, \theta r)} \int_{x \in B_{\theta r}(z)} |\text{Hess}_u|(\gamma_{x,q}(t)).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.36), we complete the proof.  $\square$

Now let us prove an angle estimate for the distance function  $\rho_\Sigma$  from  $\Sigma$  as follows.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $N$  be an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with  $\text{Ric}_N \geq -n\kappa^2$  on  $B_{R+R'}(p)$  for some constants  $\kappa \geq 0$  and  $R' \geq R > 0$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the support of an  $n$ -rectifiable varifold in  $B_{2R}(p)$  with bounded mean curvature satisfying (3.4). Let  $\Lambda_r$  be the constant defined in (4.18). For any  $q \in B_R(p)$ ,  $B_{2r}(z) \subset B_R(p)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a constant  $\theta_*$  depending on  $n, \kappa R, \Lambda_r, \epsilon$  such that*

$$\int_{x \in B_r(z)} \left| (\rho_\Sigma \circ \gamma_{x,q})'(t) - \frac{\rho_\Sigma(\gamma_{x,q}(\theta r)) - \rho_\Sigma(x)}{\theta r} \right| < 2 \frac{\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z) \cap B_{3\theta r}(q))}{\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z))} + \epsilon$$

for any  $0 < \theta \leq \theta_*$  and  $0 < t \leq \theta r$ .

*Proof.* The idea of the proof comes from Colding (see Proposition 1.32 in [13]). From Lemma 4.2, for any  $\delta > 0$  there is a constant  $\theta_* = \theta_{n, \kappa r, \Lambda_r, \delta}$  depending on  $n, \kappa r, \Lambda_r, \delta$  such that for each  $0 < \theta \leq \theta_*$ , there exist finitely many balls  $B_{\theta r}(p_i) \subset B_r(z)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N_*$  and harmonic functions  $\mathbf{b}_i$  with

$$(4.39) \quad \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(\cup_{1 \leq i \leq N_*} B_{\theta r}(p_i)) \geq (1 - \delta) \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z)),$$

$$(4.40) \quad \int_{B_{2\theta r}(p_i)} |\nabla \mathbf{b}_i - \nabla \rho_\Sigma|^2 \leq \delta,$$

and

$$(4.41) \quad \int_{B_{2\theta r}(p_i)} |\text{Hess}_{\mathbf{b}_i}|^2 \leq \frac{\delta}{\theta^2 r^2}.$$

By covering lemma (see [30] for instance), we can assume that  $B_{\theta r/5}(p_i) \cap B_{\theta r/5}(p_j) = \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$ . From Lemma 4.3, Cauchy inequality and (4.40)(4.41), for  $0 < t \leq \theta r$  and

$d(q, p_i) \geq 2\theta r$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.42) \quad & \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_{2\theta r}(p_i))} \int_{x \in B_{\theta r}(p_i)} \left| (\rho_\Sigma \circ \gamma_{x,q})'(t) - \frac{\rho_\Sigma(\gamma_{x,q}(\theta r)) - \rho_\Sigma(x)}{\theta r} \right| \\
& \leq c_{n,\kappa r} \int_{B_{2\theta r}(p_i)} (|\nabla(\rho_\Sigma - \mathbf{b}_i)| + \theta r |\text{Hess}_{\mathbf{b}_i}|) \\
& \leq c_{n,\kappa r} \left( \left( \int_{B_{2\theta r}(p_i)} |\nabla(\rho_\Sigma - \mathbf{b}_i)|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \theta r \left( \int_{B_{2\theta r}(p_i)} |\text{Hess}_{\mathbf{b}_i}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) \\
& \leq c_{n,\kappa r} (\sqrt{\delta} + \sqrt{\delta}),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $c_{n,\kappa r}$  is a general constant depending on  $n, \kappa r$ . We define an index set  $\mathcal{I}$  by

$$\mathcal{I} = \{1 \leq i \leq N_* \mid d(q, p_i) \geq 2\theta r\}.$$

From (4.39)(4.42), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.43) \quad & \int_{x \in B_r(z)} \left| (\rho_\Sigma \circ \gamma_{x,q})'(t) - \frac{\rho_\Sigma(\gamma_{x,q}(\theta r)) - \rho_\Sigma(x)}{\theta r} \right| \\
& \leq 2\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z) \cap B_{3\theta r}(q)) + 2\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z) \setminus \cup_{1 \leq i \leq N_*} B_{\theta r}(p_i)) \\
& \quad + \int_{x \in \cup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} B_{\theta r}(p_i)} \left| (\rho_\Sigma \circ \gamma_{x,q})'(t) - \frac{\rho_\Sigma(\gamma_{x,q}(\theta r)) - \rho_\Sigma(x)}{\theta r} \right| \\
& \leq 2\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z) \cap B_{3\theta r}(q)) + 2\delta \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z)) \\
& \quad + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \int_{x \in B_{\theta r}(p_i)} \left| (\rho_\Sigma \circ \gamma_{x,q})'(t) - \frac{\rho_\Sigma(\gamma_{x,q}(\theta r)) - \rho_\Sigma(x)}{\theta r} \right| \\
& \leq 2\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z) \cap B_{3\theta r}(q)) + 2\delta \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z)) + 2c_{n,\kappa r} \sqrt{\delta} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_{2\theta r}(p_i)).
\end{aligned}$$

With Bishop-Gromov comparison theorem,

$$(4.44) \quad \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_{2\theta r}(p_i)) \leq c_{n,\kappa r} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_{\theta r/5}(p_i)) \leq c_{n,\kappa r} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z)),$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

## 5. LIMITS OF THE DISTANCE FUNCTIONS FROM MINIMAL HYPERSURFACES

Let  $N_i$  be a sequence of  $(n+1)$ -dimensional complete Riemannian manifolds with  $\text{Ric}_{N_i} \geq -n\kappa^2$  on  $B_{R+R'}(p_i) \subset N_i$  for some constants  $\kappa \geq 0$  and  $R' \geq R > 0$ . Suppose that  $B_{R+R'}(p_i)$  converges to a metric ball  $B_{R+R'}(p_\infty)$  in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense. Namely, for each integer  $i \geq 1$ , there is a sequence of  $\epsilon_i$ -Gromov-Hausdorff approximations  $\Phi_i : \overline{B_{R+R'}(p_i)} \rightarrow \overline{B_{R+R'}(p_\infty)}$  for some sequence  $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $\nu$  be a unique Radon measure on  $B_{R+R'}(p_\infty)$  defined as (2.3). For any  $x, q \in B_R(p_\infty)$ , let  $\gamma_{x,q}$  denote a minimal geodesic segment from  $x$  to  $q$  in  $B_{2R}(p_\infty)$  with  $|\dot{\gamma}_{x,q}| = 1$ ,  $\gamma_{x,q}(0) = x$  and  $\gamma_{x,q}(\rho_q(x)) = q$ . For simplicity, let  $\rho_q$  denote the distance function  $d(q, \cdot)$  for each  $q \in B_R(p_\infty)$  or  $q \in B_R(p_i)$ .

For each integer  $i \geq 1$ , let  $f_i$  be a Lipschitz function on  $B_R(p_i)$  satisfying

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{B_R(p_i)} (|f_i| + \text{Lip} f_i) < \infty.$$

For a function  $f_\infty$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$ , we say  $f_i \rightarrow f_\infty$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$  if  $f_i(x_i) \rightarrow f_\infty(x)$  for any  $x \in B_R(p_\infty)$  and any sequence  $x_i \in B_R(p_i)$  with  $x_i \rightarrow x$ . In particular,  $f_\infty$  is Lipschitz



with  $\mathbf{Lip} f_\infty \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Lip} f_i$ . According to Honda [25], we further say  $df_i \rightarrow df_\infty$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$  if for every  $\delta > 0$ , every  $x_\infty \in B_R(p_\infty)$ , every  $z_\infty \in B_R(p_\infty)$ , every sequence  $B_R(p_i) \ni x_i \rightarrow x_\infty$ , and every sequence  $B_R(p_i) \ni z_i \rightarrow z_\infty$  there exists a constant  $r > 0$  such that

$$(5.1) \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{B_t(x_i)} \langle df_i, d\rho_{z_i} \rangle - \int_{B_t(x_\infty)} \langle df_\infty, d\rho_{z_\infty} \rangle d\nu \right| < \delta$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_t(x_i)} |df_i|^2 \leq \int_{B_t(x_\infty)} |df_\infty|^2 d\nu + \delta$$

for any  $t \in (0, r]$ . We denote  $(f_i, df_i) \rightarrow (f_\infty, df_\infty)$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$  if both  $f_i \rightarrow f_\infty$  and  $df_i \rightarrow df_\infty$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$ . Moreover, Honda gave a more general definition beyond the Lipschitz condition [25].

Let  $\phi_\infty$  be a Lipschitz function on  $B_R(p_\infty)$ . From Lemma 10.7 in [7] by Cheeger, there is a sequence of Lipschitz functions  $\phi_i$  on  $B_R(p_i)$  such that  $\phi_i \rightarrow \phi_\infty$  with  $\mathbf{Lip} \phi_i \leq \mathbf{Lip} \phi_\infty$ . Furthermore, from Theorem 4.2 in [25],  $\phi_i$  can be chosen so that  $(\phi_i, d\phi_i) \rightarrow (\phi_\infty, d\phi_\infty)$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$ . In Theorem 1.1 of [25], Honda proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** *For each  $B_t(x_\infty) \subset B_R(p_\infty)$ , each sequence  $B_R(p_i) \ni x_i \rightarrow x_\infty$ , let  $f_i$  be a Lipschitz function on  $B_R(p_i)$  with  $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Lip} f_i < \infty$  such that  $(f_i, df_i) \rightarrow (f_\infty, df_\infty)$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$  for some Lipschitz function  $f_\infty$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$ . Then there holds*

$$(5.3) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_t(x_i)} \langle df_i, d\phi_i \rangle = \int_{B_t(x_\infty)} \langle df_\infty, d\phi_\infty \rangle d\nu.$$

Let  $M_i$  be a closed set in  $B_{R+R'}(p_i)$  for each  $i$ . Suppose that  $\Phi_i(M_i)$  converges to a closed set  $M_\infty$  in  $\overline{B_{R+R'}(p_\infty)}$  in the Hausdorff sense. Let  $\rho_{M_\infty}$  denote the distance function from  $M_\infty$  on  $\overline{B_R(p_\infty)}$ , i.e.,  $\rho_{M_\infty}(x) = \inf_{y \in M_\infty} d(x, y)$ . From Lemma 7.2 in the Appendix I,  $\rho_{M_i} \rightarrow \rho_{M_\infty}$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$ . Moreover, the convergence is uniform in the following sense. For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an  $\epsilon$ -net  $\{y_1, \dots, y_{m_\epsilon}\}$  such that  $B_R(p_\infty) \subset \cup_{j=1}^{m_\epsilon} B_\epsilon(y_j)$ . For each  $j$ , let  $y_{i,j} \in B_R(p_i)$  be a sequence converging to  $y_j$ . Then there is an integer  $i_\epsilon > 0$  such that  $d(y_j, \Phi_i(y_{i,j})) < \epsilon$  and  $|\rho_{M_i}(y_{i,j}) - \rho_{M_\infty}(y_j)| < \epsilon$  for all  $i \geq i_\epsilon$  and  $j = 1, \dots, m_\epsilon$ . For a point  $x \in B_R(p_\infty)$ , there is an integer  $j$  satisfying  $x \in B_\epsilon(y_j)$ . From  $\mathbf{Lip} \rho_{M_i}, \mathbf{Lip} \rho_{M_\infty} \leq 1$  and the definition of  $\Phi_i$ , we have

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} |\rho_{M_i}(x_i) - \rho_{M_\infty}(x)| &\leq |\rho_{M_i}(x_i) - \rho_{M_i}(y_{i,j})| + |\rho_{M_i}(y_{i,j}) - \rho_{M_\infty}(x)| \\ &\leq d(x_i, y_{i,j}) + |\rho_{M_i}(y_{i,j}) - \rho_{M_\infty}(y_j)| + |\rho_{M_\infty}(y_j) - \rho_{M_\infty}(x)| \\ &\leq d(\Phi_i(x_i), \Phi_i(y_{i,j})) + 2\epsilon_i + \epsilon + d(y_j, x) \\ &\leq d(\Phi_i(x_i), x) + d(x, y_j) + d(y_j, \Phi_i(y_{i,j})) + 2\epsilon_i + 2\epsilon \\ &\leq d(x, \Phi_i(x_i)) + 2\epsilon_i + 4\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for each  $i \geq i_\delta$ .

We further assume that  $M_i$  is the support of a rectifiable stationary  $n$ -varifold in  $B_{R+R'}(p_i)$  for each integer  $i \geq 1$  such that  $M_i \cap B_{R'}(p_i) \neq \emptyset$  and

$$(5.5) \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(M_i \cap B_R(p_i))}{\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_R(p_i))} \leq \Lambda$$

for some constant  $\Lambda > 0$ . Then from the Laplacian comparison for  $\rho_{M_i}$  by Heintze-Karcher [23], we obtain

$$(5.6) \quad \Delta_{N_i} \rho_{M_i} \leq n\kappa \tanh(\kappa \rho_{M_i}) \quad \text{on } B_R(p_i) \setminus M_i$$

in the distribution sense (see also Lemma 7.1 in [16]), where  $\Delta_{N_i}$  denotes the Laplacian of  $N_i$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** *For each Lipschitz function  $\phi$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$ , there is a sequence of Lipschitz functions  $\phi_i$  on  $B_R(p_i)$  satisfying  $(\phi_i, d\phi_i) \rightarrow (\phi, d\phi)$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$  and  $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Lip} \phi_i \leq \mathbf{Lip} \phi$  such that*

$$(5.7) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(p_i)} \langle d\rho_{M_i}, d\phi_i \rangle = \int_{B_R(p_\infty)} \langle d\rho_{M_\infty}, d\phi \rangle d\nu.$$

If we further suppose that  $\phi$  has compact support in  $B_R(p_\infty) \setminus M_\infty$ , then we can require that the function  $\phi_i$  has compact support in  $B_R(p_i) \setminus M_i$  for each  $i$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\nu$  be the normalized measure obtained from  $B_R(p_i)$  defined in (2.3). For any fixed  $q \in B_R(p_\infty)$ , there is a subset  $Z_q$  in the set of restricted cut points of  $q$  in  $B_R(p_\infty)$  (see [9]) such that  $\nu(B_R(p_\infty) \setminus Z_q) = 0$ , and two Lipschitz functions  $\rho_{M_\infty}, \rho_q$  are differentiable on  $Z_q$ . We define a bounded measurable function  $F(x, t) = F_t(x)$  for every  $t \in [0, d(x, q)]$  and every  $x \in B_R(p_\infty)$  with  $|F_t| \leq 2$  a.e. by

$$(5.8) \quad F_t(x) = \langle d\rho_{M_\infty}, d\rho_q \rangle(x) - t^{-1}(\rho_{M_\infty}(\gamma_{x,q}(t)) - \rho_{M_\infty}(x)).$$

From Theorem 3.3 of [25], we get  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} F_t(x) = 0$  for each  $x \in Z_q$ . From Lusin's theorem and Egoroff's theorem, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , any  $B_{2r}(z) \subset B_R(p_\infty)$ , there exist a constant  $0 < \theta < \min\{\epsilon, r\}$ , and a Borel subset  $Z_q$  with  $Z_q \subset Z_\epsilon \subset B_r(z)$  and  $\nu(B_r(z) \setminus Z_\epsilon) < \epsilon\nu(B_r(z))$ , such that  $|F_t(x)| < \epsilon$  for every  $t \in (0, \theta]$  and every  $x \in Z_\epsilon$  with  $\rho_q(x) \geq 2\theta$ . Then for each  $t \in (0, \theta]$ , we have

$$(5.9) \quad \int_{B_r(z)} |F_t| d\nu \leq \int_{Z_\epsilon} |F_t| d\nu + 2\nu(B_r(z) \setminus Z_\epsilon) \leq \epsilon\nu(Z_\epsilon) + 2\epsilon\nu(B_r(z)) \leq 3\epsilon\nu(B_r(z)).$$

Let  $q_i \in B_R(p_i)$  with  $q_i \rightarrow q$ , and  $z_i \in B_R(p_i)$  with  $z_i \rightarrow z$ . From Theorem 4.4, there is a constant  $\theta_* = \theta_{n, \kappa R, \Lambda, \epsilon}$  depending on  $n, \kappa R, \Lambda, \epsilon$  such that

$$(5.10) \quad \int_{x_i \in B_r(z_i)} \left| \langle d\rho_{M_i}, d\rho_{q_i} \rangle(x_i) - \frac{\rho_{M_i}(\gamma_{x_i, q_i}(t)) - \rho_{M_i}(x_i)}{t} \right| < 2 \frac{\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z_i) \cap B_{3t}(q_i))}{\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z_i))} + \epsilon$$

for any  $0 < t \leq \theta_* r$ .

From (5.4), there is an integer  $i_t$  depending on  $t$  such that for any  $x \in B_r(z)$  and  $i \geq i_t$

$$(5.11) \quad |\rho_{M_i}(x_i) - \rho_{M_\infty}(x)| < d(x, \Phi_i(x_i)) + \frac{t\epsilon}{4}.$$

For the suitable small  $t > 0$ ,  $x \in B_r(z) \cap Z_q$  and  $i \geq i_t$ , we have

$$(5.12) \quad |\rho_{M_i}(\gamma_{x_i, q_i}(t)) - \rho_{M_\infty}(\gamma_{x, q}(t))| < d(\gamma_{x, q}(t), \Phi_i(\gamma_{x_i, q_i}(t))) + \frac{t\epsilon}{4} < d(x, \Phi_i(x_i)) + \frac{t\epsilon}{2}.$$

With the property of Radon measure  $\nu$  defined in (2.3), we have

$$(5.13) \quad \left| \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_R(z_i))} \int_{x_i \in B_r(z_i)} (\rho_{M_i}(\gamma_{x_i, q_i}(t)) - \rho_{M_i}(x_i)) - \int_{x \in B_r(z)} (\rho_{M_\infty}(\gamma_{x, q}(t)) - \rho_{M_\infty}(x)) d\nu \right| < t\epsilon$$

for all  $i \geq i_t$ . Hence, for the suitable small  $\theta_* = \theta_{n,\kappa R,\Lambda,\epsilon} > 0$ , from (2.3) again and (5.13) there is an integer  $i'_t \geq i_t$  depending on  $t$  such that

$$(5.14) \quad \left| \int_{x_i \in B_r(z_i)} (\rho_{M_i}(\gamma_{x_i, q_i}(t)) - \rho_{M_i}(x_i)) - \int_{x \in B_r(z)} (\rho_{M_\infty}(\gamma_{x, q}(t)) - \rho_{M_\infty}(x)) d\nu \right| < t\epsilon$$

for each  $t \in (0, \min\{\theta, \theta_* r\})$  and each  $i \geq i'_t$ . Combining (5.9)(5.10)(5.14), for  $i \geq i'_t$  we have

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{B_r(z_i)} \langle d\rho_{M_i}, d\rho_{q_i} \rangle - \int_{B_r(z)} \langle d\rho_{M_\infty}, d\rho_q \rangle d\nu \right| \\ & \leq \int_{x_i \in B_r(z_i)} \left| \langle d\rho_{M_i}, d\rho_{q_i} \rangle(x_i) - \frac{\rho_{M_i}(\gamma_{x_i, q_i}(t)) - \rho_{M_i}(x_i)}{t} \right| + \int_{B_r(z)} |F_t| d\nu \\ & + \frac{1}{t} \left| \int_{x_i \in B_r(z_i)} (\rho_{M_i}(\gamma_{x_i, q_i}(t)) - \rho_{M_i}(x_i)) - \int_{x \in B_r(z)} (\rho_{M_\infty}(\gamma_{x, q}(t)) - \rho_{M_\infty}(x)) d\nu \right| \\ & \leq 2 \frac{\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z_i) \cap B_{3t}(q_i))}{\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(z_i))} + \epsilon + 3\epsilon + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

With (2.3), letting  $i \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality implies

$$(5.16) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{B_r(z_i)} \langle d\rho_{M_i}, d\rho_{q_i} \rangle - \int_{B_r(z)} \langle d\rho_{M_\infty}, d\rho_q \rangle d\nu \right| \leq 2 \frac{\nu(B_r(z) \cap B_{3t}(q))}{\nu(B_r(z))} + 5\epsilon.$$

From Bishop-Gromov volume comparison, we clearly have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \nu(B_{3t}(q)) = 0$ . Since  $t, \epsilon$  can be chosen arbitrarily small, from (5.16) it follows that

$$(5.17) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r(z_i)} \langle d\rho_{M_i}, d\rho_{q_i} \rangle = \int_{B_r(z)} \langle d\rho_{M_\infty}, d\rho_q \rangle d\nu.$$

Combining (5.4)(5.17), we get  $(\rho_{M_i}, d\rho_{M_i}) \rightarrow (\rho_{M_\infty}, d\rho_{M_\infty})$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$ . For each Lipschitz function  $\phi$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$ , from Lemma 10.7 in [7] and Theorem 4.2 in [25], there is a sequence of Lipschitz functions  $\phi_i$  on  $B_R(p_i)$  satisfying  $(\phi_i, d\phi_i) \rightarrow (\phi, d\phi)$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$  and  $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Lip} \phi_i \leq \mathbf{Lip} \phi$ . From Theorem 5.1, we get

$$(5.18) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(p_i)} \langle d\rho_{M_i}, d\phi_i \rangle = \int_{B_R(p_\infty)} \langle d\rho_{M_\infty}, d\phi \rangle d\nu.$$

We further suppose that  $\phi$  has the compact support in  $B_R(p_\infty) \setminus M_\infty$ . Then there is a small constant  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\text{spt} \phi \cap B_{2\delta}(M_\infty) = \emptyset$ . From  $(\phi_i, d\phi_i) \rightarrow (\phi, d\phi)$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$ , we have

$$(5.19) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{B_R(p_i) \cap B_{3\delta/2}(M_i)} |\phi_i| + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_R(p_i))} \int_{B_R(p_i) \cap B_\delta(M_i)} |d\phi_i| \right) = 0.$$

Let  $\eta_{i,\delta}$  be a Lipschitz function on  $B_R(p_i)$  defined by  $\eta_{i,\delta} = \frac{1}{\delta} \rho_{M_i}$  on  $B_\delta(M_i) \cap B_R(p_i)$ , and  $\eta_{i,\delta} = 1$  on  $B_R(p_i) \setminus B_\delta(M_i)$ . Set  $\phi_i^* = \eta_{i,\delta} \phi_i$ , then  $\phi_i^* \in \text{Lip}_0(B_R(p_i) \setminus M_i)$ .  $\phi_i \rightarrow \phi$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$  and  $\text{spt} \phi \cap B_{2\delta}(M_\infty) = \emptyset$  imply  $\phi_i^* \rightarrow \phi$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$ . Moreover, with (5.19) it follows that

$$(5.20) \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Lip} \phi_i^* \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Lip} \phi_i \leq \mathbf{Lip} \phi.$$

From

$$(5.21) \quad |d\phi_i^* - d\phi_i| = |(\eta_{i,\delta} - 1)d\phi_i + \phi_i d\eta_{i,\delta}| \leq \chi_{B_R(p_i) \cap B_\delta(M_i)} \left( |d\phi_i| + \frac{1}{\delta} |\phi_i| \right),$$

and (5.19), we get

(5.22)

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(p_i)} |d\phi_i^* - d\phi_i| \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_R(p_i))} \int_{B_R(p_i) \cap B_\delta(M_i)} \left( |d\phi_i| + \frac{1}{\delta} |\phi_i| \right) = 0.$$

So we get  $(\phi_i^*, d\phi_i^*) \rightarrow (\phi, d\phi)$  on  $B_R(p_\infty)$ . With (5.20), we complete the proof.  $\square$

From (5.4)(5.6) and Theorem 5.2, we immediately have the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.3.** *Let  $\phi$  be a nonnegative Lipschitz function on  $B_R(p_\infty)$  with compact support in  $B_R(p_\infty) \setminus M_\infty$ , then*

$$(5.23) \quad \int_{B_R(p_\infty)} \langle d\rho_{M_\infty}, d\phi \rangle d\nu \geq -n\kappa \int_{B_R(p_\infty)} \phi \tanh(\kappa\rho_{M_\infty}) d\nu.$$

## 6. LIMITING CONES FROM MINIMAL HYPERSURFACES

Let  $R_i \geq 0$  be a sequence with  $R_i \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $B_{R_i}(p_i)$  be a sequence of  $(n+1)$ -dimensional smooth geodesic balls with Ricci curvature  $\geq -nR_i^{-2}$  such that  $(B_{R_i}(p_i), p_i)$  converges to a metric cone  $(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{o})$  in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense. Suppose  $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_1(p_i)) > 0$ , and  $\mathbf{C}$  splits off a Euclidean factor  $\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  isometrically for some integer  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Then the measure  $\nu$  defined in (2.3) is just a multiple of the Hausdorff measure  $\mathcal{H}^{n+1}$  and the volume convergence

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_1(p_\infty)) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_1(p_i))$$

holds from Colding [13], Cheeger-Colding [9]. Moreover, there is a  $k$ -dimensional compact metric space  $X$  such that  $\mathbf{C} = CX \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ , where  $CX$  is a metric cone with the vertex  $\mathbf{o}$  of the cross section  $X$ .

Let  $B_r(\mathbf{o})$  be the ball of radius  $r$  and centered at  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ , and  $B_r(q)$  be the ball of radius  $r$  and centered at  $q \in CX$  in  $CX$ . For any  $p_1, p_2 \in CX \times \{z\} \subset \mathbf{C}$  for some  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ , any minimizing geodesic joining two points  $p_1, p_2$  must live in  $CX \times \{z\}$ . From Cheeger-Colding [8],  $\partial B_1(\mathbf{o})$  is connected with the diameter  $\leq \pi$  (see also Abresch-Gromoll theorem [1]), which implies that  $X$  is connected and the diameter of  $X \leq \pi$ . From Cheeger-Colding [8, 9],  $\mathbf{C}$  is a volume cone, i.e.,

$$(6.2) \quad R^{-n-1} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_R(\mathbf{o})) = r^{-n-1} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_r(\mathbf{o})) \quad \text{for any } 0 < r < R.$$

Since  $\mathbf{C}$  splits off a Euclidean factor  $\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  isometrically, then the co-area formula

$$(6.3) \quad \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_R(\mathbf{o})) = \int_{B_R(0^{n-k})} \mathcal{H}^{k+1} \left( B_{\sqrt{R^2 - |x|^2}}(o) \right) dx$$

holds, which follows that

$$(6.4) \quad R^{-k-1} \mathcal{H}^{k+1}(B_R(o)) = r^{-k-1} \mathcal{H}^{k+1}(B_r(o)) \quad \text{for any } 0 < r < R.$$

For any compact set  $K \subset CX$  and any  $t > 0$ , let  $tK$  denote a subset in  $CX$  obtained by scaling of  $K$  with the factor  $t$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^{k+1}(tK) = t^{k+1} \mathcal{H}^{k+1}(K)$ . In particular,  $tX = \partial B_t(o)$ . With covering technique and Bishop-Gromov volume comparison, the cone  $CX$  implies the co-area formula (see Proposition 7.6 in [26] for instance)

$$(6.5) \quad \mathcal{H}^{k+1}(K) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}^k(\partial B_t(o) \cap K) dt.$$

For any  $\xi, \eta \in X$ ,  $t, \tau > 0$ ,  $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ , we denote  $t\xi, \tau\eta \in CX$ ,  $(t\xi, y) \in CX \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ ,  $(\tau\eta, z) \in CX \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  for convenience. Let  $d_X(\cdot, \cdot)$ ,  $d_{CX}(\cdot, \cdot)$ ,  $d_{\mathbf{C}}(\cdot, \cdot)$  denote the distance functions on both of  $X$ ,  $CX$  and  $\mathbf{C}$ , respectively. Then

$$(6.6) \quad d_{CX}(t\xi, \tau\eta)^2 = t^2 + \tau^2 - 2t\tau \cos d_X(\xi, \eta),$$

and

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} d_{\mathbf{C}}((t\xi, y), (\tau\eta, z)) &= d_{CX}(t\xi, \tau\eta)^2 + |y - z|^2 \\ &= t^2 + \tau^2 - 2t\tau \cos d_X(\xi, \eta) + |y - z|^2. \end{aligned}$$

For any point  $x \in X$  and  $r, t > 0$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_r(tx)$  denote the metric ball in  $tX = \partial B_t(o)$  with the radius  $r$  and centered at  $tx$ . With Bishop-Gromov volume comparison, there is a constant  $c_k \geq 1$  depending only on  $k$  such that (see (8.4) in the Appendix II or Proposition 7.9 in [26] by Honda for instance)

$$(6.8) \quad \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_R(x)) \leq c_k \frac{R^k}{r^k} \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_r(x)) \quad \text{for each } 0 < r \leq R \leq 1.$$

Let  $\zeta$  be a Lipschitz function on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ , and  $f$  be a Lipschitz function on  $CX$ . We have introduced the differential  $d(f\zeta)$  in §2. Obviously,  $d(f\zeta)$  gives the differential of  $f$  on  $CX$ , where the differential of  $f$ , denoted by  $df$ , is a  $\mathcal{H}^{k+1}$ -a.e. well-defined  $L_\infty$  section of  $T^*(CX)$ . Moreover, there is a Borel set  $V_* \subset CX$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^{k+1}(CX \setminus V_*) = 0$  and the differential  $df : V_* \rightarrow T^*(CX)$  satisfies  $d(f\zeta) = \zeta df + f d\zeta$  and  $\text{Lip}(f\zeta) = |d(f\zeta)|$  on  $V_*$ .

Let  $\phi$  be a Lipschitz function on  $X$ , and  $\lambda$  be a Lipschitz function on  $[0, \infty)$ . Now we suppose

$$f(t\xi) = \lambda(t)\phi(\xi) \quad \text{for each } t\xi \in CX.$$

Let us define the differential of  $\phi$  on the cross section  $X$  using  $df$ . More precisely, we can use  $d\phi$  denoting the differential of  $\phi$ , which is a  $\mathcal{H}^k$ -a.e. well-defined  $L_\infty$  section of  $T^*X$ . There are Borel sets  $V_X \subset X$ ,  $\Lambda_X \subset [0, \infty)$  with  $V \triangleq \{t\xi \in CX \mid t \in \Lambda_X, \xi \in V_X\} \subset V_*$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^k(X \setminus V_X) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^1([0, \infty) \setminus \Lambda_X) = 0$  and the differential  $df : V \rightarrow T^*(CX)$  satisfies  $df = \lambda d\phi + \phi \lambda' dt$  and  $\text{Lip } f = |df|$  on  $V$ . From (8.9) in the Appendix II, for every  $t\xi \in V$  we have

$$(6.9) \quad (\text{Lip } f)^2(t\xi) = \frac{\lambda^2(t)}{t^2} (\text{Lip } \phi)^2(\xi) + (\lambda'(t))^2 \phi^2(\xi) = \frac{\lambda^2(t)}{t^2} |d\phi|^2(\xi) + (\lambda'(t))^2 \phi^2(\xi).$$

Let  $\tilde{\phi}$  be a Lipschitz function on  $X$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda}$  be a Lipschitz function on  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $\tilde{f}(t\xi) = \tilde{\lambda}(t)\tilde{\phi}(\xi)$  for each  $t\xi \in CX$ . Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{CX}$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X$  denote the pointwise inner products on  $CX$  and  $X$ , respectively. From (2.4) and (6.9), we have

$$(6.10) \quad \langle df, d\tilde{f} \rangle_{CX} = \frac{\lambda \tilde{\lambda}}{t^2} \langle d\phi, d\tilde{\phi} \rangle_X + \lambda' \tilde{\lambda}' \phi \tilde{\phi} \quad \mathcal{H}^{k+1} - a.e. \text{ on } CX.$$

With (2.4)(6.7)(6.10), it follows that

$$(6.11) \quad |d(f\zeta)|^2 = \zeta^2 |df|^2 + f^2 |d\zeta|^2 = \frac{\lambda^2 \zeta^2}{t^2} |d\phi|^2 + (\lambda')^2 \zeta^2 \phi^2 + \lambda^2 \phi^2 |d\zeta|^2$$

$\mathcal{H}^{n+1}$ -a.e. on  $\mathbf{C}$ . Let  $\tilde{\zeta}$  be a Lipschitz function on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ . Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbf{C}}$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n-k}}$  denote the pointwise inner products on  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ , respectively. From (6.10)(6.11), we have

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle d(f\zeta), d(\tilde{f}\tilde{\zeta}) \rangle_{\mathbf{C}} &= \zeta \tilde{\zeta} \langle df, d\tilde{f} \rangle_{CX} + f \tilde{f} \langle d\zeta, d\tilde{\zeta} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n-k}} \\ &= \frac{\lambda \tilde{\lambda} \zeta \tilde{\zeta}}{t^2} \langle d\phi, d\tilde{\phi} \rangle_X + \lambda' \tilde{\lambda}' \phi \tilde{\phi} \zeta \tilde{\zeta} + \lambda \tilde{\lambda} \phi \tilde{\phi} \langle d\zeta, d\tilde{\zeta} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n-k}} \quad \mathcal{H}^{n+1} - a.e. \text{ on } \mathbf{C}. \end{aligned}$$

From the Poincaré inequality (2.5), up to a choice of the constant  $c_k$ , we can obtain (see (8.12) in the Appendix II for instance)

$$(6.13) \quad \int_{\mathcal{B}_r(x)} \left| \phi - \fint_{\mathcal{B}_r(x)} \phi \right|^q \leq c_k r^q \int_{\mathcal{B}_r(x)} |d\phi|^q$$

for any  $q \geq 1$ , any Lipschitz function  $\phi$  on  $X$ . From Theorem 1 in [22], the inequality (6.13) holds for any  $q \geq 1$  and  $0 < r \leq \text{diam} X$  up to a choice of the constant  $c_k$ .

**Remark 6.1.** From Theorem 6.10 in [4] by Ambrosio-Gigli-Savaré and Theorem 3.22 in [19] by Erbar-Kuwada-Sturm,  $(CX, d_{CX}, \mathcal{H}^{k+1})$  is a metric measure space satisfying  $\text{RCD}^*(0, k+1)$ . In [27], Ketterer proved that  $X$  satisfies  $\text{RCD}^*(k-1, k)$ . From Theorem 6.2 in [5] by Bacher-Sturm, the generalized Bishop-Gromov volume growth inequality holds:

$$(6.14) \quad \frac{\mathcal{H}^k(B_r(x))}{\mathcal{H}^k(B_R(x))} \geq \frac{\int_0^r \sin^k(t\sqrt{l/k})dt}{\int_0^R \sin^k(t\sqrt{l/k})dt}$$

for any  $0 < r < R \leq \pi\sqrt{k/l}$  with  $l \in (0, k-1]$ . Letting  $l \rightarrow 0$  in (6.14) implies the constant  $c_k = 1$  in (6.8). Moreover, Rajala [28] proved that  $X$  supports the Poincaré inequality (6.13) for  $q = 1$ .

With (6.8)(6.13), there holds the Sobolev inequality on  $X$  for Lipschitz functions (see Theorem 5.1 and line 5, page 84 both in [22] by Hajlasz-Koskela for instance). In particular, for each Lipschitz function  $\phi$  on  $X$  with compact support in  $\mathcal{B}_r(x) \subset X$  we have

$$(6.15) \quad \left( \fint_{\mathcal{B}_r(x)} |\phi|^{\frac{k}{k-1}} \right)^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \leq c'_k r \fint_{\mathcal{B}_r(x)} |d\phi|,$$

where  $c'_k$  is a constant depending only on  $k$  and  $\mathcal{H}^k(X)$ . With the famous De Giorgi-Nash-Moser iteration, we get the following mean value inequality on metric balls in  $X$  (refer to Theorem 3.2 in [15]).

**Lemma 6.2.** Let  $\phi$  be a nonnegative Lipschitz function on  $\mathcal{B}_{2r}(x) \subset X$  satisfying

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}_{2r}(x)} \langle d\phi, d\eta \rangle_X \geq 0$$

for any nonnegative Lipschitz function  $\eta$  with support in  $\mathcal{B}_{2r}(x)$ . Then  $\phi$  satisfies the mean value inequality as follows:

$$(6.16) \quad \fint_{\mathcal{B}_r(x)} \phi^{k_*} \leq c_k^* \phi^{k_*}(x),$$

where  $k_* \in (0, 1]$ ,  $c_k^* > 1$  are constants depending only on  $k$  and  $\mathcal{H}^k(X)$ .

For each integer  $i \geq 1$ , let  $M_i$  be the support of rectifiable stationary  $n$ -varifold in  $B_{R_i}(p_i)$  with  $p_i \in M_i$  such that

$$(6.17) \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(M_i \cap B_2(p_i))}{\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_2(p_i))} < \infty.$$

Suppose that  $M_i$  converges in the induced Hausdorff sense to a metric cone  $\mathbf{C}' \subset \mathbf{C}$  with the vertex at  $\mathbf{o}$ . Namely, there is an  $\epsilon_i$ -Gromov-Hausdorff approximation  $\Phi_i : B_{r_i}(p_i) \rightarrow B_{r_i}(\mathbf{o})$  with  $\Phi_i(p_i) = \mathbf{o}$  for some sequences  $r_i \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$  such that  $\Phi_i(M_i \cap B_{r_i}(p_i))$  converges in the Hausdorff sense to  $\mathbf{C}' \cap B_r(\mathbf{o})$  for any  $r > 0$ . Note that  $\mathbf{C}'$  may depend on the choice of  $\Phi_i$ . We further suppose that  $\mathbf{C}'$  splits off  $\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  isometrically and  $\mathbf{C}' =$

$CY \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ , where  $CY \subset CX$  is a metric cone with the vertex at  $o$  and the cross section  $Y \subset X$ .

Suppose that  $\Lambda$  is a positive constant such that

$$\mathcal{H}^n(M_i \cap B_2(p_i)) \leq \Lambda \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_2(p_i)) \quad \text{for each } i \geq 1.$$

Recalling that  $B_{R_i}(p_i)$  has Ricci curvature  $\geq -nR_i^{-2}$ . Then from Lemma 3.1 in [16],

$$\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_t(M_i) \cap B_s(x_i)) \leq \frac{2t}{1 - nR_i^{-1}t} \mathcal{H}^n(M_i \cap B_{t+s}(x_i)) \leq \frac{2\Lambda t}{1 - nR_i^{-1}t} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_2(p_i))$$

for each  $0 < t \leq \min\{\frac{R_i}{n}, s\}$  and  $s + t < 2 - d(p_i, x_i)$ . With Lemma 4.1 in [16], we get

$$(6.18) \quad \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_t(\mathbf{C}') \cap B_s(x)) \leq 2\Lambda t \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_2(o))$$

for each  $x \in B_2(o)$ , each  $0 < t \leq s$  with  $s + t \leq 2 - d(o, \xi)$ . Combining the co-area formulas (6.3)(6.5), there is a constant  $\Lambda_n \geq 2\Lambda$  depending only on  $n, \Lambda$  such that

$$(6.19) \quad \mathcal{H}^k(B_t(Y)) \leq \Lambda_n t \mathcal{H}^k(X) \quad \text{for any } t > 0.$$

Let  $\rho_{\mathbf{C}'} = d(\cdot, \mathbf{C}')$  be the distance function from  $\mathbf{C}'$  on  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $\rho_{CY} = d(\cdot, CY)$  be the distance function from  $CY$  on  $CX$ ,  $\rho_Y = d(\cdot, Y)$  be the distance function from  $Y$  on  $X$ . From (6.6) and the diameter of  $X \leq \pi$ , for any point  $x \in X$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$

$$(6.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_{\mathbf{C}'}(tx, z) &= \inf_{\tau y \in CY, z' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k}} \sqrt{d_{CY}(tx, \tau y)^2 + |z - z'|^2} = \inf_{\tau y \in CY} d_{CY}(tx, \tau y) \\ &= \inf_{\tau y \in CY} \sqrt{t^2 + \tau^2 - 2t\tau \cos d(x, y)} = t \inf_{y \in Y} \sin d(x, y) = t \sin \rho_Y(x). \end{aligned}$$

We will use  $k$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure as the volume element of integrations on  $X$ ,  $(k+1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure for  $CX$ , and  $(n+1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure for  $\mathbf{C}$ . For convenience, we always omit the volume elements if there is no confusions.

**Lemma 6.3.** *For any nonnegative Lipschitz function  $\phi$  on  $X$  with compact support in  $X \setminus Y$ , we have*

$$(6.21) \quad \int_X \langle d \sin \rho_Y, d\phi \rangle_X \geq k \int_X \phi \sin \rho_Y.$$

Moreover, for any nonnegative Lipschitz function  $\psi$  with compact support in  $\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y) \setminus Y$ , we have

$$(6.22) \quad \int_X \langle d\rho_Y, d\psi \rangle_X \geq (k-1) \int_X \psi \tan \rho_Y.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\eta$  be a nonnegative Lipschitz function on  $\mathbb{R}$  with compact support in  $[0, \infty)$ . Let  $\zeta$  be a nonnegative Lipschitz function on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  with compact support and  $\sup_{\mathbb{R}^{n-k}} \zeta > 0$ . For any nonnegative Lipschitz function  $\phi$  on  $X$  with compact support in  $X \setminus Y$ , we define a function  $f$  on  $CX$  by

$$f(tx, z) = \phi(x)\eta(t)\zeta(z) \quad \text{for each } (tx, z) \in CX \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}.$$

From (6.12)(6.20), we have

$$(6.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle d\rho_{\mathbf{C}'}, df \rangle_{\mathbf{C}}(tx, z) &= \langle d(t \sin \rho_Y(x)), d(\phi(x)\eta(t)\zeta(z)) \rangle_{\mathbf{C}} \\ &= \eta'(t)\phi(x)\zeta(z) \sin \rho_Y(x) + \frac{\eta(t)}{t} \zeta(z) \langle d \sin \rho_Y(x), d\phi(x) \rangle_X \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{H}^{n+1}$ -a.e. on  $\mathbf{C}$ . From (5.23), we get

$$(6.24) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\mathbf{C}} \langle d\rho_{\mathbf{C}'}, df \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-k}} \left( \int_0^\infty t^k \left( \int_{x \in X} \langle d\rho_{\mathbf{C}'}, df \rangle_{\mathbf{C}}(tx, z) \right) dt \right) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-k}} \zeta(z) dz \int_0^\infty t^k \eta'(t) dt \int_X \phi \sin \rho_Y + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-k}} \zeta(z) dz \int_0^\infty t^{k-1} \eta(t) dt \int_X \langle d \sin \rho_Y, d\phi \rangle_X. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\eta$  has compact support, then

$$(6.25) \quad \int_0^\infty t^k \eta'(t) dt = \int_0^\infty t^k d\eta(t) = -k \int_0^\infty t^{k-1} \eta(t) dt.$$

Noting  $0 < \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-k}} \zeta(z) dz < \infty$ . Substituting the above equality into (6.24) gives (6.21).

Let  $\psi$  be a nonnegative Lipschitz function on  $X$  with compact support in  $\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y) \setminus Y$ . From (6.21) with  $\phi = \psi / \cos \rho_Y$ , we have

$$(6.26) \quad \begin{aligned} k \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y)} \psi \tan \rho_Y &\leq \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y)} \langle d \sin \rho_Y, d(\psi / \cos \rho_Y) \rangle_X \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y)} \langle d\rho_Y, d\psi \rangle_X + \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y)} \psi \cos \rho_Y \langle d\rho_Y, d(1/\cos \rho_Y) \rangle_X \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y)} \langle d\rho_Y, d\psi \rangle_X + \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y)} \psi \tan \rho_Y \langle d\rho_Y, d\rho_Y \rangle_X, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (6.22) since  $\langle d\rho_Y, d\rho_Y \rangle_X = 1$   $\mathcal{H}^k$ -a.e. on  $X$ .  $\square$

With Lemma 6.3, we have a basic property for  $Y$  as follows.

**Proposition 6.4.**  $X \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y)}$ . Moreover,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \mathcal{H}^k(X \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\pi/2-t}(Y)) = 0$  for  $k \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $t_1, \dots, t_4$  be constants satisfying  $0 < t_1 < t_2 \leq t_3 < t_4 \leq \pi/2$ , and  $\varphi$  be a Lipschitz function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  with support in  $[t_1, t_4]$  satisfying  $\varphi(t) = \frac{t-t_1}{t_2-t_1}$  on  $[t_1, t_2]$ ,  $\varphi(t) = 1$  on  $[t_2, t_3]$ , and  $\varphi(t) = \frac{t_4-t}{t_4-t_3}$  on  $[t_3, t_4]$ . Denote  $\psi = \varphi \circ \rho_Y$ . Then  $d\psi = \varphi' d\rho_Y$   $\mathcal{H}^k$ -a.e. on  $X$ . From (6.22), we have

$$(6.27) \quad \begin{aligned} (k-1) \int_X \psi \tan \rho_Y &\leq \int_X \varphi' \langle d\rho_Y, d\rho_Y \rangle_X \\ &= \frac{1}{t_2-t_1} \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_{t_2}(Y) \setminus \mathcal{B}_{t_1}(Y)) - \frac{1}{t_4-t_3} \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_{t_4}(Y) \setminus \mathcal{B}_{t_3}(Y)). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$(6.28) \quad \frac{1}{t_4-t_3} \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_{t_4}(Y) \setminus \mathcal{B}_{t_3}(Y)) \leq \frac{1}{t_2-t_1} \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_{t_2}(Y) \setminus \mathcal{B}_{t_1}(Y)).$$

Combining (6.19), it follows that

$$(6.29) \quad \frac{1}{t_4-t_3} \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_{t_4}(Y) \setminus \mathcal{B}_{t_3}(Y)) \leq \frac{1}{t_2} \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_{t_2}(Y)) \leq \Lambda_n \mathcal{H}^k(X).$$

For any fixed constants  $0 < t < \pi/2 < s < \pi$ , let  $\tilde{\varphi}$  be a Lipschitz function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  with support in  $[t, s]$  satisfying  $\tilde{\varphi}(r) = \frac{r-t}{\pi/2-t}$  on  $[t, \pi/2]$ ,  $\tilde{\varphi}(r) = \frac{s-r}{s-\pi/2}$  on  $[\pi/2, s]$ . We set



$\tilde{\psi} = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \rho_Y$ , then from (6.21)

$$(6.30) \quad \begin{aligned} k \int_{\mathcal{B}_s(Y) \setminus \mathcal{B}_t(Y)} \tilde{\psi} \sin \rho_Y &\leq k \int_X \tilde{\psi} \sin \rho_Y \leq \int_X \tilde{\varphi}' \langle d \sin \rho_Y, d \rho_Y \rangle_X \\ &\leq \frac{\cos t}{\pi/2 - t} \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y) \setminus \mathcal{B}_t(Y)) - \frac{1}{s - \pi/2} \int_{\mathcal{B}_s(Y) \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y)} \cos \rho_Y. \end{aligned}$$

With (6.29), letting  $t \rightarrow \pi/2$  in the above inequality implies  $\mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_s(Y) \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y)) = 0$  for any  $\pi/2 < s < \pi$ , which infers

$$X \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y)}.$$

For each  $0 \leq t < s \leq \pi/2$ , we denote  $A(t, s) = \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2-t}(Y) \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\pi/2-s}(Y))$ . For every  $t \in (0, \pi/4)$ , from (6.28) it follows that

$$(6.31) \quad A(t, 3t/2) \geq \frac{1}{2} A(0, t).$$

Let  $t_1 = \pi/2 - 2t$ ,  $t_2 = t_3 = \pi/2 - t$ ,  $t_4 = \pi/2$ . Let  $\varphi_t$  be a Lipschitz function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  with support in  $[t_1, t_4]$  satisfying  $\varphi_t(r) = \frac{r-t_1}{t}$  on  $[t_1, t_2]$ , and  $\varphi_t(r) = \frac{t_4-r}{t}$  on  $[t_3, t_4]$ . From (6.27) and (6.31), for  $k \geq 2$  we have

$$(6.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t} A(t, 2t) &\geq \frac{1}{t} A(0, t) + \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y) \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\pi/2-2t}(Y)} \varphi_t \circ \rho_Y \tan \rho_Y \\ &\geq \frac{1}{t} A(0, t) + \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2-t}(Y) \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\pi/2-3t/2}(Y)} \frac{\rho_Y - \pi/2 + 2t}{t} \tan \rho_Y \\ &\geq \frac{1}{t} A(0, t) + \frac{1}{2} \cot(3t/2) A(t, 3t/2) \geq \frac{1}{t} A(0, t) + \frac{1}{4} \cot(3t/2) A(0, t). \end{aligned}$$

There is a constant  $t_0 > 0$  so that  $\cot(3t/2) \geq \frac{1}{2t}$  for all  $0 < t \leq t_0$ . From (6.32), we have

$$(6.33) \quad A(0, 2t) = A(t, 2t) + A(0, t) \geq A(0, t) + \frac{1}{8} A(0, t) + A(0, t) = \frac{17}{8} A(0, t).$$

Hence

$$(6.34) \quad \frac{A(0, t)}{t} \leq \frac{16}{17} \frac{A(0, 2t)}{2t} \leq \dots \leq \left(\frac{16}{17}\right)^m \frac{A(0, 2^m t)}{2^m t}$$

for all  $2^m t \leq t_0$  with the integer  $m \geq 1$ , which implies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{A(0, t)}{t} = 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 6.5.** The integer  $k$  in (6.21) and the integer  $k - 1$  in (6.22) are both sharp. Suppose that  $X$  is a  $k$ -dimensional unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^k$  with the standard metric,  $Y$  is the equator in  $\mathbb{S}^k$ , i.e.,  $Y = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{S}^k \mid x_{k+1} = 0\}$ . Then  $\rho_Y(x) = \arcsin |x_{k+1}|$  and  $\pi/2 - \rho_Y(x) = \arccos |x_{k+1}|$  for any  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{S}^k$ . Let  $p_{\pm} = (0, \dots, 0, \pm 1) \in \mathbb{S}^k$ . Then  $\pi/2 - \rho_Y = \rho_{p_+}$  on  $\mathbb{S}_+^k = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{S}^k \mid x_{k+1} > 0\}$ . By Laplacian formula,  $\Delta_X \rho_{p_+} = (k - 1) \cot \rho_{p_+}$  on  $\mathbb{S}_+^k \setminus \{p_+\}$ , which implies  $\Delta_X \rho_Y = -(k - 1) \tan \rho_Y$  on  $\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y) \setminus Y$ . Then

$$\Delta_X \sin \rho_Y = \cos \rho_Y \Delta_X \rho_Y - \sin \rho_Y = -k \sin \rho_Y \quad \text{on } \mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y) \setminus Y.$$

Moreover,  $X = \mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y) \cup \{p_+\} \cup \{p_-\}$ , and  $\mathcal{H}^k(X \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\pi/2-t}(Y)) = 2\mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_t(p_+)) = 2k\omega_k \int_0^t \sin^{k-1} s ds$ . Then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \mathcal{H}^k(X \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\pi/2-t}(Y)) = 0$  if and only if  $k > 1$ . Hence the integer  $k \geq 2$  is sharp in Proposition 6.4.

Now let us prove a Frankel property on the metric space  $X$  in the following sense.

**Theorem 6.6.** *For  $k \geq 2$ ,  $Y$  is connected in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let us prove it by contradiction. Suppose that there are two non-empty closed sets  $Y_1, Y_2 \subset Z$  with  $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$  and  $Y_1 \cap Y_2 = \emptyset$ . Let us deduce the contradiction. There are points  $y_1 \in Y_1, y_2 \in Y_2$  such that

$$(6.35) \quad d_X(y_1, y_2) = \inf_{y \in Y_1, y' \in Y_2} d_X(y, y') > 0.$$

Let  $l_{y_1 y_2}$  denote the shortest normalized geodesic connecting  $y_1, y_2$ . Let  $w$  be a point in  $l_{y_1 y_2}$  such that

$$(6.36) \quad d_X(w, y_1) = d_X(w, y_2) = \frac{1}{2} d_X(y_1, y_2).$$

For any  $x \in X \setminus Y$ , the triangle inequality implies

$$(6.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \inf_{y \in Y_1} d_X(x, y) + \inf_{y' \in Y_2} d_X(x, y') &\geq \inf_{y \in Y_1, y' \in Y_2} d_X(y, y') = d_X(y_1, y_2) \\ &= d_X(w, y_1) + d_X(w, y_2) \geq \inf_{y \in Y_1} d_X(w, y) + \inf_{y' \in Y_2} d_X(w, y'). \end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$(6.38) \quad \rho_{Y_1}(x) + \rho_{Y_2}(x) \geq \rho_{Y_1}(w) + \rho_{Y_2}(w) \quad \text{for any } x \in X \setminus Y.$$

From  $\text{diam} X \leq \pi$ , let  $\theta$  be a positive constant denoted by

$$\theta = d_X(y_1, y_2) \leq \pi.$$

Let us prove  $\theta < \pi$  by contradiction. Assume  $\theta = \pi$ . Let  $S_t = X \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\pi/2-t}(Y)$ . Then  $X = \mathcal{B}_{\pi/2-t}(Y_1) \cup \overline{S_t} \cup \mathcal{B}_{\pi/2-t}(Y_2)$ . Let  $f_t$  be a Lipschitz function on  $X$  defined by

$$f_t = \begin{cases} -\mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2-t}(Y_1)) & \text{on } \mathcal{B}_{\pi/2-t}(Y_2) \\ \frac{\rho_{Y_2}-\pi/2}{t} \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2-t}(Y_1)) & \text{on } \mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y_2) \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\pi/2-t}(Y_2) \\ 0 & \text{on } X \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y) \\ \frac{\pi/2-\rho_{Y_1}}{t} \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2-t}(Y_2)) & \text{on } \mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y_1) \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\pi/2-t}(Y_1) \\ \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2-t}(Y_2)) & \text{on } \mathcal{B}_{\pi/2-t}(Y_1). \end{cases}$$

Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \mathcal{H}^k(S_t) = 0$  from Proposition 6.4, we have

$$(6.39) \quad \begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_X f_t \right| &\leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{S_t} |f_t| \leq \mathcal{H}^k(X) \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}^k(S_t) = 0, \\ \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_X |df_t| &\leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{S_t} \frac{\mathcal{H}^k(X)}{t} \leq \mathcal{H}^k(X) \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^k(S_t)}{t} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6.40) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_X \left| f_t - \int_X f_t \right| = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_X |f_t| = 2\mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y_1))\mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_{\pi/2}(Y_2)).$$

From Poincaré inequality (6.13), the inequalities (6.39)(6.40) can not hold simultaneously for the suitable small  $t > 0$ . So we have  $\theta < \pi$ .

Let  $\delta = \frac{1}{4} \min\{\theta/2, 1 - \sin(\theta/2)\} > 0$ . By the definition of  $M_i$ , there are a sequence  $\alpha_i \in (0, \delta)$  with  $\alpha_i \rightarrow 0$ , two sequences of closed subsets  $M'_i, M''_i$  of  $M_i \setminus B_{\alpha_i}(p_i)$  so that  $\Phi_i(M'_i)$  converges to  $CY_1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  and  $\Phi_i(M''_i)$  converges to  $CY_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Let

$\bar{w} = (1w, 0^{n-k}) \in CX \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} = \mathbf{C}$ , and  $w_i \in \partial B_1(p_i)$  with  $w_i \rightarrow \bar{w}$ , then from (6.20) it follows that

$$(6.41) \quad B_{\sin(\theta/2)+3\delta}(w_i) \cap B_{\alpha_i}(p_i) = \emptyset \quad \text{for the suitable large } i.$$

Hence, by the definition of  $M_i$  again, both of  $M'_i \cap B_{\sin(\theta/2)+3\delta}(w_i)$  and  $M''_i \cap B_{\sin(\theta/2)+3\delta}(w_i)$  can be written as the supports of rectifiable stationary  $n$ -varifolds in  $B_{\sin(\theta/2)+3\delta}(w_i)$ . By the definition of  $\theta$ , clearly  $M'_i \cap B_{\sin(\theta/2)+\delta}(w_i) \neq \emptyset$  and  $M''_i \cap B_{\sin(\theta/2)+\delta}(w_i) \neq \emptyset$  for suitable large  $i$ . Since  $\delta \leq \theta/8$ , then  $\sin(\theta/2) > \theta/\pi > 2\delta$ . Combining Theorem 5.2 and (5.23), we get

$$(6.42) \quad \int_{B_{2\delta}(\bar{w})} \langle d\rho_{CY_1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}}, d\psi \rangle \geq 0$$

for every nonnegative Lipschitz function  $\psi$  on  $B_{2\delta}(\bar{w})$  with compact support in  $B_{2\delta}(\bar{w})$ . With the proof of Lemma 6.3, we deduce

$$(6.43) \quad \int_{\mathcal{B}_\delta(w)} \langle d\rho_{Y_1}, d\phi \rangle_X \geq (k-1) \int_{\mathcal{B}_\delta(w)} \phi \tan \rho_{Y_1}$$

for any nonnegative Lipschitz function  $\phi$  with compact support in  $\mathcal{B}_\delta(w)$ . Analogously, we have

$$(6.44) \quad \int_{\mathcal{B}_\delta(w)} \langle d\rho_{Y_2}, d\phi \rangle_X \geq (k-1) \int_{\mathcal{B}_\delta(w)} \phi \tan \rho_{Y_2}.$$

Adding (6.43)(6.44), we get

$$(6.45) \quad \int_{\mathcal{B}_\delta(w)} \langle d(\rho_{Y_1} + \rho_{Y_2}), d\phi \rangle_X \geq (k-1) \int_{\mathcal{B}_\delta(w)} \phi (\tan \rho_{Y_1} + \tan \rho_{Y_2}).$$

Let  $\varphi(x) = \rho_{Y_1}(x) + \rho_{Y_2}(x) - \rho_{Y_1}(w) - \rho_{Y_2}(w)$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{B}_\delta(w)$ , then  $\varphi(w) = 0$  and  $\varphi \geq 0$  on  $\mathcal{B}_\delta(w)$  from (6.38). From (6.45), it follows that

$$(6.46) \quad \int_{\mathcal{B}_\delta(w)} \langle d\varphi, d\phi \rangle_X \geq 0$$

for any nonnegative Lipschitz function  $\phi$  with compact support in  $\mathcal{B}_\delta(w)$ . From Lemma 6.2 and  $\varphi(w) = 0$ , we get  $\varphi \equiv 0$  on  $\mathcal{B}_{\delta/2}(w)$ . However, this contradicts to (6.45). We complete the proof.  $\square$

## 7. APPENDIX I

For each integer  $i \geq 1$ , let  $(X_i, d_i)$  be a sequence of compact metric spaces. Let  $(X_\infty, d_\infty)$  be a compact metric space such that there is a sequence of  $\epsilon_i$ -Gromov-Hausdorff approximations  $\Phi_i : X_i \rightarrow X_\infty$  for some sequence  $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ . For each  $i$ , let  $f_i$  be a function on  $X_i$ . For a function  $f$  on  $X_\infty$ , we say  $f_i \rightarrow f$  if  $f_i(x_i) \rightarrow f(x)$  for any  $x \in X_\infty$  and any sequence  $x_i \in X_i$  with  $x_i \rightarrow x$ . We further assume that all the  $f_i$  are Lipschitz with  $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\sup_{X_i} |f_i| + \mathbf{Lip} f_i) < \infty$ .

**Lemma 7.1.** *There are a subsequence  $i' \rightarrow \infty$  and a Lipschitz function  $f_\infty$  on  $X_\infty$  with  $\mathbf{Lip} f_\infty \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Lip} f_i$  such that  $f_{i'} \rightarrow f_\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\delta_k > 0$  be a sequence of numbers with  $\delta_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . For each  $k$ , let  $\{y_{k,j}\}_{j=1}^{m_k}$  be a finite  $\delta_k$ -net of  $X_\infty$ . For each  $j \in \{1, \dots, m_1\}$ , let  $x_{i,j} \in X_i$  be a sequence converging to  $y_{1,j}$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Then there is a subsequence  $\{i_i\}$  of  $\{i\}$  such that  $f_{i_i}(x_{i_i,j})$  converges to a number  $t_{1,j} \in \mathbb{R}$ . For each  $j \in \{1, \dots, m_2\}$  and for any sequence

$x_{1,i,j} \in X_{1,i,j}$  converging as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  to  $y_{2,j}$ , there is a subsequence  $\{2_i\}$  of  $\{1_i\}$  such that  $f_{2_i}(x_{2_i,j})$  converges to a number  $t_{2,j} \in \mathbb{R}$ . We continue the procedure, and get a sequence of functions  $\{f_{k_i}\}_{i,k \geq 1}$  such that  $\{(k+1)_i\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{k_i\}$ , and for any  $y_{k,j}$  and  $X_{k_i} \ni x_{k_i,j} \rightarrow y_{k,j}$ ,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_{k_i}(x_{k_i,j})$  converges to  $t_{k,j} \in \mathbb{R}$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

From  $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Lip} f_i < \infty$ , for  $y_{k,j} = y_{k',j'}$  there holds  $t_{k,j} = t_{k',j'}$ . Now we define a function  $f_\infty$  on  $\cup_{k \geq 1} \cup_{1 \leq j \leq m_k} \{y_{k,j}\}$  by letting  $f_\infty(y_{k,j}) = t_{k,j}$ . From  $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Lip} f_i < \infty$ , for any sequence  $X_{k_i} \ni x'_{k_i,j} \rightarrow y_{k,j}$  we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_{k_i}(x'_{k_i,j}) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_{k_i}(x_{k_i,j}) = f_\infty(y_{k,j}).$$

Moreover, for any  $y, y' \in \cup_{k \geq 1} \cup_{1 \leq j \leq N_k} \{y_{k,j}\}$  it follows that

$$|f_\infty(y) - f_\infty(y')| \leq \left( \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Lip} f_i \right) d_\infty(y, y').$$

For any  $y \in X_\infty$ , there is a sequence  $\{y_i\} \subset \cup_{k \geq 1} \cup_{1 \leq j \leq N_k} \{y_{k,j}\}$  with  $y_i \rightarrow y$ , and we define  $f_\infty(y) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_\infty(y_i)$ . From the above inequality, the definition of the function  $f_\infty(y)$  is independent of the particular choice of  $y_i$ . Then  $\mathbf{Lip} f_\infty \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Lip} f_i$ ,  $f_\infty(y) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_{i_i}(y'_i)$  for any  $y'_i \in X_{i_i}$  with  $y'_i \rightarrow y$ .  $\square$

For each integer  $i \geq 1$ , let  $E_i$  be a closed set in  $X_i$ . Suppose that  $\Phi_i(E_i)$  converges in the Hausdorff sense to a closed set  $E_\infty$  in  $X_\infty$ . From the triangle inequality, one has

$$(7.1) \quad |\rho_{E_\infty}(x) - \rho_{E_\infty}(y)| \leq d_\infty(x, y) \quad \text{for any } x, y \in X_\infty.$$

In other words,  $\rho_{E_\infty}$  has the Lipschitz constant  $\mathbf{Lip} \rho_{E_\infty} \leq 1$  on  $X_\infty$ .

**Lemma 7.2.** *For any point  $x \in X_\infty$  and any sequence  $x_i \in X_i$  with  $x_i \rightarrow x$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\rho_{E_i}(x_i) \rightarrow \rho_{E_\infty}(x)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is routine. For each  $x \in X_\infty$ , there is a point  $y \in E_\infty$  so that  $d_\infty(x, y) = \rho_{E_\infty}(x)$ . Let  $y_i \in E_i$  with  $y_i \rightarrow y$ . Then for any sequence  $x_i \in X_i$  with  $x_i \rightarrow x$ , there holds

$$(7.2) \quad \rho_{E_\infty}(x) = d_\infty(x, y) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d_i(x_i, y_i) \geq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{E_i}(x_i).$$

On the other hand, there is a point  $z_i \in E_i$  so that  $\rho_{E_i}(x_i) = d_i(x_i, z_i)$ . Suppose there is a sequence  $i' \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{E_i}(x_i) = \lim_{i' \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{E_{i'}}(x_{i'})$ . Then there is a subsequence  $i''$  of  $i'$  such that  $z_{i''} \rightarrow z \in E_\infty$ . Hence

$$(7.3) \quad \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{E_i}(x_i) = \lim_{i' \rightarrow \infty} d_{i'}(x_{i'}, z_{i'}) = \lim_{i'' \rightarrow \infty} d_{i''}(x_{i''}, z_{i''}) = d_\infty(x, z) \geq \rho_{E_\infty}(x).$$

Combining (7.2)(7.3), we complete the proof.  $\square$

## 8. APPENDIX II

Let  $R_i \geq 0$  be a sequence with  $R_i \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $B_{R_i}(p_i)$  be a sequence of  $(n+1)$ -dimensional smooth geodesic balls with Ricci curvature  $\geq -nR_i^{-2}$  such that  $(B_{R_i}(p_i), p_i)$  converges to a metric cone  $(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{o})$  in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense. Suppose  $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(B_1(p_i)) > 0$ , and for some integer  $1 \leq k \leq n$  there is a  $k$ -dimensional compact metric space  $X$  such that  $\mathbf{C} = CX \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ , where  $CX$  is a metric cone with the vertex  $\mathbf{o}$  of the cross section  $X$ .

For any point  $x \in X$  and  $r, t > 0$ , let  $B_r(tx)$  be the ball of radius  $r$  and centered at  $tx \in CX$  in  $CX$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_r(tx)$  denote the metric ball in  $tX = \partial B_t(o)$  with the radius  $r$  and centered at  $tx$ , and

$$\mathcal{C}_r(x) \triangleq \{t\xi \in CX \mid \xi \in \mathcal{B}_r(x), |t-1| < r/2\}.$$

For  $|t-1| < \frac{r}{2}$  and  $0 < r \leq 1$ , with Cauchy inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} t^2 + 1 - 2t \cos(tr) &= (t-1)^2 + 4t \sin^2(tr/2) \geq (t-1)^2 + \frac{4}{\pi^2} t^3 r^2 r^2 \left( (t-1)^2 + \frac{2t^2}{\pi^2} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{r^2}{9} \left( (t-1)^2 + t^2 + 8(t-1)^2 + \frac{t^2}{8} \right) \geq \frac{r^2}{9} ((t-1)^2 + t^2 + 2t(t-1)) = \frac{r^2}{9}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (6.6) we have  $B_{r/3}(x) \subset \mathcal{C}_r(x)$  for all  $r \in (0, 1]$ . Moreover, for  $|t-1| < \frac{r}{2}$  and  $0 < r \leq 1$

$$(8.1) \quad (t-1)^2 + 4t \sin^2(tr/2) \leq (t-1)^2 + t^3 r^2 \leq \frac{r^2}{4} + \left(1 + \frac{r}{2}\right)^3 r^2 \leq 4r^2,$$

which implies  $\mathcal{C}_r(x) \subset B_{2r}(x)$  for all  $r \in (0, 1]$ . In all, we have

$$(8.2) \quad B_{r/3}(x) \subset \mathcal{C}_r(x) \subset B_{2r}(x) \quad \text{for all } r \in (0, 1].$$

For each  $r \in (0, 1)$ , from the co-area formula (6.5)

$$\begin{aligned} (8.3) \quad \mathcal{H}^{k+1}(\mathcal{C}_r(x)) &= \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}^k(\partial B_t(o) \cap \mathcal{C}_r(x)) dt = \int_{1-r/2}^{1+r/2} \mathcal{H}^k(t\mathcal{B}_r(x)) dt \\ &= \int_{1-r/2}^{1+r/2} t^k \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_r(x)) dt = \frac{1}{k+1} \left( \left(1 + \frac{r}{2}\right)^{k+1} - \left(1 - \frac{r}{2}\right)^{k+1} \right) \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_r(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (8.2)(8.3) and Bishop-Gromov volume comparison, there is a constant  $c_k \geq 1$  depending only on  $k$  such that

$$(8.4) \quad \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_R(x)) \leq c_k \frac{R^k}{r^k} \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_r(x)) \quad \text{for each } 0 < r \leq R \leq 1.$$

Let  $\phi$  be a Lipschitz function on  $X$ , and  $\lambda$  be a Lipschitz function on  $[0, \infty)$ . Put

$$f(t\xi) = \lambda(t)\phi(\xi) \quad \text{for each } t\xi \in CX \text{ with } \xi \in X.$$

From (2.2) and (6.6), we have

$$(8.5) \quad \text{Lip } \phi(\xi) = \limsup_{\eta \rightarrow \xi, \eta \neq \xi} \frac{|\phi(\xi) - \phi(\eta)|}{d_X(\xi, \eta)}.$$

and

$$(8.6) \quad (\text{Lip } f)^2(t\xi) = \limsup_{\tau\eta \rightarrow t\xi, \tau\eta \neq t\xi} \frac{|f(t\xi) - f(\tau\eta)|^2}{d_{CX}(t\xi, \tau\eta)^2} = \limsup_{\tau \rightarrow t, \eta \rightarrow \xi, \tau\eta \neq t\xi} \frac{|\lambda(t)\phi(\xi) - \lambda(\tau)\phi(\eta)|^2}{t^2 + \tau^2 - 2t\tau \cos d_X(\xi, \eta)}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (8.7) \quad |\lambda(t)\phi(\xi) - \lambda(\tau)\phi(\eta)|^2 &= |\lambda(t)\phi(\xi) - \lambda(\tau)\phi(\xi)|^2 + |\lambda(\tau)\phi(\xi) - \lambda(\tau)\phi(\eta)|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\left(\lambda(t)\phi(\xi) - \lambda(\tau)\phi(\xi)\right)\left(\lambda(\tau)\phi(\xi) - \lambda(\tau)\phi(\eta)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\tau - t = \sin \theta \sqrt{(\tau - t)^2 + t\tau d_X(\xi, \eta)^2}$ , and  $\sqrt{t\tau} d_X(\xi, \eta) = \cos \theta \sqrt{(\tau - t)^2 + t\tau d_X(\xi, \eta)^2}$  for  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ . Note that  $t^2 + \tau^2 - 2t\tau \cos d_X(\xi, \eta) = (t - \tau)^2 + t\tau d_X(\xi, \eta)^2 + t\tau O(d_X(\xi, \eta)^3)$ .

For the fixed  $\theta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8.8) \quad & \frac{|\lambda(t) - \lambda(\tau)|^2 |\phi|^2(\xi) + |\phi(\xi) - \phi(\eta)|^2 \lambda^2(\tau)}{t^2 + \tau^2 - 2t\tau \cos d_X(\xi, \eta)} + 2 \frac{(\lambda(t) - \lambda(\tau))(\phi(\xi) - \phi(\eta))\phi(\xi)\lambda(\tau)}{t^2 + \tau^2 - 2t\tau \cos d_X(\xi, \eta)} \\
 & \rightarrow (\lambda'(t))^2 \phi^2(\xi) \sin^2 \theta + \frac{\lambda^2(t)}{t^2} \cos^2 \theta (\text{Lip } \phi)^2(\xi) + 2 \frac{\lambda(t)\lambda'(t)}{t} \phi(\xi) \sin \theta \cos \theta \text{Lip } \phi(\xi) \\
 & = \left( \lambda'(t)\phi(\xi) \sin \theta + \frac{\lambda(t)}{t} \cos \theta \text{Lip } \phi(\xi) \right)^2 \quad \text{as } (\tau - t)^2 + t\tau d_X(\xi, \eta)^2 \rightarrow 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (8.6)-(8.8), for every  $t\xi \in V$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8.9) \quad & (\text{Lip } f)^2(t\xi) = \sup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \left( \lambda'(t)\phi(\xi) \sin \theta + \frac{\lambda(t)}{t} \cos \theta \text{Lip } \phi(\xi) \right)^2 \\
 & = \frac{\lambda^2(t)}{t^2} (\text{Lip } \phi)^2(\xi) + (\lambda'(t))^2 \phi^2(\xi) = \frac{\lambda^2(t)}{t^2} |d\phi|^2(\xi) + (\lambda'(t))^2 \phi^2(\xi),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $d\phi$  is the differential of  $\phi$  on  $X$  defined below (6.8).

From the Poincaré inequality (2.5) and the proof of Theorem 1 in [22], it follows that

$$(8.10) \quad \int_{\mathcal{C}_r(x)} \left| f - \fint_{\mathcal{C}_r(x)} f \right|^q \leq c_k r^q \int_{\mathcal{C}_r(x)} |df|^q$$

for any  $x \in X$ ,  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,  $q \geq 1$ , where  $c_k$  is a general constant depending only on  $k$ . From the co-area formula (6.5), if  $f(t\xi) = \phi(\xi)$  for each  $t\xi \in tX$  with  $\xi \in X$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8.11) \quad & \fint_{\mathcal{C}_r(x)} f = \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^{k+1}(\mathcal{C}_r(x))} \int_{1-\frac{r}{2}}^{1+\frac{r}{2}} \left( \int_{t\mathcal{B}_r(x)} f \right) dt \\
 & = \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^{k+1}(\mathcal{C}_r(x))} \int_{1-\frac{r}{2}}^{1+\frac{r}{2}} \left( t^k \int_{\mathcal{B}_r(x)} \phi \right) dt = \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{B}_r(x))} \int_{\mathcal{B}_r(x)} \phi = \fint_{\mathcal{B}_r(x)} \phi.
 \end{aligned}$$

With (8.9), substituting (8.11) into (8.10) gives

$$(8.12) \quad \int_{\mathcal{B}_r(x)} \left| \phi - \fint_{\mathcal{B}_r(x)} \phi \right|^q \leq c_k r^q \int_{\mathcal{B}_r(x)} |d\phi|^q$$

for any  $q \geq 1$ .

## REFERENCES

1. Uwe Abresch and Detlef Gromoll, On complete manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature, J. AMS **3** (1990), 355-374.
2. W. Allard, On the first variation of a varifold, Ann. Math. **95** (1972), 417-491.
3. L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco, D. Pallara, Functions of Bounded Variation and Free Discontinuity Problems, Clarendon Press, Oxford (2000).
4. L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, G. Savaré, Metric measure spaces with Riemannian Ricci curvature bounded from below, Duke Math. J. **163**(7) (2014), 1405-1490.
5. Kathrin Bacher and Karl-Theodor Sturm, Localization and tensorization properties of the curvature-dimension condition for metric measure spaces, J. Funct. Anal., **259**(1) (2010), 28-56.
6. E. Bombieri, E. Giusti, Harnack's inequality for elliptic differential equations on minimal surfaces, Invent. Math. **15** (1972), 24-46.
7. J. Cheeger, Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric measure spaces, Geom. Funct. Anal. **9** (1999), 428-517.
8. Jeff Cheeger and Tobias H. Colding, Lower Bounds on Ricci Curvature and the Almost Rigidity of Warped Products, Ann. Math. **144** (1996), 189-237.

9. Jeff Cheeger, Tobias H. Colding, On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. I, J. Differential Geom. **46** (1997), no. 3, 406-480.
10. Jeff Cheeger, Tobias H. Colding, On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. II, J. Differential Geom. **54** (2000), no. 1, 15-35.
11. Jeff Cheeger, Tobias H. Colding, On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. III, J. Differential Geom. **54** (2000), no. 1, 37-74.
12. S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau, Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **28**(1975), 333-354.
13. Tobias H. Colding, Ricci curvature and volume convergence, Ann. Math. **145** (1997), 477-501.
14. Tobias H. Colding, Aaron Naber, Sharp Hölder continuity of tangent cones for spaces with a lower Ricci curvature bound and applications, Ann. of Math. **(2)176** (2012), no. 2, 1173-1229.
15. Qi Ding, Liouville type theorems and Hessian estimates for special Lagrangian equations, arXiv:1912.00604.
16. Qi Ding, Area-minimizing hypersurfaces in manifolds of Ricci curvature bounded below, arXiv:2107.11074.
17. Qi Ding, Poincaré inequality on minimal graphs over manifolds and applications, preprint.
18. Qi Ding, J.Jost and Y.L.Xin, Existence and non-existence of area-minimizing hypersurfaces in manifolds of non-negative Ricci curvature, Amer. J. Math., **138** (2016), no.2., 287-327.
19. Matthias Erbar, Kazumasa Kuwada, Karl-Theodor Sturm, On the equivalence of the entropic curvature-dimension condition and Bochner's inequality on metric measure spaces, Invent. math. **201** (2015), 993-1071.
20. E. Giusti, Minimal surfaces and functions of bounded variation, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., 1984.
21. T. Frankel, On the fundamental group of a compact minimal submanifold, Ann. of Math. **(2)83** (1966), 68-73.
22. P. Hajlasz, and P. Koskela, Sobolev met Poincaré, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. **145** (2000).
23. Ernst Heintze, Hermann Karcher, A general comparison theorem with applications to volume estimates for submanifolds, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **11** (1978), no. 4, 451-470.
24. S. Honda, Ricci curvature and almost spherical multi-suspension, Tohoku Math. J. **61** (2009), 499-522.
25. S. Honda, Ricci curvature and convergence of Lipschitz functions, Commun. Anal. Geom. **19** (2011), 79-158.
26. Shouhei Honda, Harmonic functions on asymptotic cones with Euclidean volume growth, J. Math. Soc. Japan **67**(1) (2015), 69-126.
27. Christian Ketterer, Cones over metric measure spaces and the maximal diameter theorem, J. Math. Pures Appl. **(9)103** (2015), no. 5, 1228-1275.
28. Tapio Rajala, Interpolated measures with bounded density in metric spaces satisfying the curvature-dimension conditions of Sturm, J. Funct. Anal. **263**(4) (2012), 896-924, .
29. Leon Simon, Lectures on Geometric Measure Theory, Proceedings of the center for mathematical analysis Australian national university, Vol. 3, 1983.
30. Elias M. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 1970 xiv+290 pp.
31. N. Wickramasekera, A sharp strong maximum principle and a sharp unique continuation theorem for singular minimal hypersurfaces, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **51** (2014), no. 3-4, 799-812.

SHANGHAI CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200438, CHINA

*Email address:* dingqi@fudan.edu.cn