ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF THE STEADY PRANDTL EQUATION

YUE WANG AND ZHIFEI ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We study the asymptotic behavior of the Oleinik's solution to the steady Prandtl equation when the outer flow U(x) = 1. Serrin proved that the Oleinik's solution converges to the famous Blasius solution \bar{u} in L_y^{∞} sense as $x \to +\infty$. The explicit decay estimates of $u - \bar{u}$ and its derivatives were proved by Iyer[ARMA 237(2020)] when the initial data is a small localized perturbation of the Blasius profile. In this paper, we prove the explicit decay estimate of $||u(x, y) - \bar{u}(x, y)||_{L_y^{\infty}}$ for general initial data with exponential decay. We also prove the decay estimates of its derivatives when the data has an additional concave assumption. Our proof is based on the maximum principle technique. The key ingredient is to find a series of barrier functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

We study the steady Prandtl equation

(1.1)
$$\begin{cases} u\partial_x u + v\partial_y u - \partial_y^2 u = \frac{dp}{dx}, \quad (x,y) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+, \\ \partial_x u + \partial_y v = 0, \\ u|_{x=0} = u_0(y), \quad u|_{y=0} = v|_{y=0} = 0, \\ \lim_{y \to +\infty} u(x,y) = U(x). \end{cases}$$

Here (u, v) is the velocity and the outer flow (U(x), p(x)) satisfies the Bernoulli's law:

$$U(x)U'(x) + p'(x) = 0.$$

In this paper, we consider the case when the gradient of pressure p'(x) = 0. Thus, the outer flow U(x) is a constant in this case. For simplicity, we take $U \equiv 1$.

Let us introduce the Von Mises transformation (x, ψ) defined by

(1.2)
$$x = x, \ \psi = \psi(x, y) = \int_0^y u(x, y') dy'.$$

Introduce the new unknown $w(x, \psi) = u(x, y)^2$. A direct calculation shows that

(1.3)
$$2\partial_y u = \partial_\psi w, \quad 2\partial_y^2 u = \sqrt{w}\partial_\psi^2 w.$$

Hence, the Prandtl system is reduced to a parabolic type equation (view x as time direction):

(1.4)
$$\partial_x w = \sqrt{w} \partial_y^2 u$$

along with the boundary conditions

(1.5)
$$w(x,0) = 0, \quad w(0,\psi) = w_0(\psi),$$
$$w(x,\psi) \to 1 \quad \text{as } \psi \to +\infty.$$

Based on the Von Mises transformation and maximum principle technique, Oleinik proved the following existence and uniqueness result of classical solution(see Theorem 2.1.1 in [8]).

Date: February 10, 2022.

Theorem 1.1. (Oleinik) If $\frac{dp}{dx} = 0$ and the initial data u_0 satisfies

(1.6)
$$u_0(y) \in C_b^{2,\alpha}([0,+\infty))(\alpha > 0), \quad u_0(0) = 0, \ u'_0(0) > 0, \\ u_0(y) > 0 \ for \ y \in (0,+\infty), \ u''_0(y) = O(y^2),$$

then the steady Prandtl equation (1.1) admits a global-in-x solution $u_0 \in C^1(\mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+)$ with the following properties: for any X > 0,

- 1. *u* is bounded and continuous in $[0, X] \times \mathbf{R}_+$;
- 2. u(x, y) > 0 for y > 0;
- 3. u_y, u_{yy} are bounded and continuous in $[0, X] \times \mathbf{R}_+$;
- 4. v, v_y, u_x are locally bounded and continuous in $[0, X] \times \mathbf{R}_+$.

In fact, Theorem 2.1.1 in [8] showed that in the case of favorable pressure gradient $p'(x) \leq 0$, the global-in-x solutions exist; while in the case of adverse pressure gradient p'(x) > 0, only local-in-x solutions exist.

Recently, in the case of favorable pressure gradient, Guo and Iyer [4] proved the higher regularity of the solution through the energy method, and the authors proved the global-in-x C^{∞} regularity up to the boundary y = 0 using the maximum principle technique [11]. In the case of adverse pressure gradient, Dalibard and Masmoudi [1] as well as Shen and the authors [10] justified the physical phenomenon of boundary layer separation. Let us mention some related works [12, 2, 7] for the unsteady Prandtl equation.

In this paper, we are concerned with the asymptotic behavior of Oleinik's solution when the outer flow U(x) = 1. In this case, (1.1) admits a family of self-similar Blasius solutions:

(1.7)
$$[\bar{u}, \bar{v}] = \left[f'(\zeta), \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x+x_0}} \{\zeta f'(\zeta) - f(\zeta)\}\right],$$

where $\zeta = \frac{y}{\sqrt{x+x_0}}$ with $x_0 > 0$ as a free parameter. See section 2.1 for the properties of $f(\zeta)$. For simplicity, we always take $x_0 = 1$.

Serrin [9] proved the following asymptotic behavior of the Oeinik's solution.

Theorem 1.2. (Serrin) Let u be a global Oleinik's solution to (1.1) with U(x) = 1. Then the asymptotic behavior holds

$$||u(x,y) - \bar{u}(x,y)||_{L^{\infty}_{u}} \to 0 \quad as \quad x \to +\infty.$$

Recently, Iyer [5] proved the explicit decay estimates of modulated substraction ϕ and its derivatives in the Von Mises coordinates when the initial data is a small localized perturbation of the Blasius profile by using the energy method, where

(1.8)
$$\phi(x,\psi) = w(x,\psi) - \bar{w}(x,\psi), \quad \bar{w} = \bar{u}^2.$$

These estimates play a crucial role in validating the Prandtl's boundary layer theory [3, 6].

The aim of this paper is to study the asymptotic behavior of the Oleinik's solution for general initial data. The first main result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.3. Let u be a global Oleinik's solution to (1.1) with U(x) = 1. Under the additional decay assumption

(1.9)
$$|u_0(y) - 1| \le C_4 e^{-y^2 C_5}$$

for some positive constants C_4, C_5 with $C_5 > C_1$ where C_1 is the constant in (2.2), there exist positive constants C and c so that for any $(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+$,

$$|u(x,y) - \bar{u}(x,y)| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}} \ln(x+e) e^{-c\frac{y^2}{x+1}}.$$

Remark 1.4. The decay rate should be optimal in the sense explained on Page 6 in [6].

For the decay estimates of high order derivatives of u, we additionally require the initial data to be concave.

Theorem 1.5. Let u be a global Oleinik's solution to (1.1) with U(x) = 1. Under the assumptions (1.9) and

(1.10)
$$C_6 e^{-y^2 C_7} \le \partial_y^2 u_0(y) \le 0$$

for some positive constants C_6, C_7 with $C_7 > C_1$ where C_1 is the constant in (2.2), there exist positive constants C, c and N so that for any $(x, y) \in (N, +\infty) \times \mathbf{R}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{C}{x+1}e^{-c\frac{y^2}{x+1}} \le \partial_y^2 u(x,y) \le 0, \\ &|\partial_y(u(x,y) - \bar{u}(x,y))| \le \frac{C}{(x+1)^{\frac{3}{4}}}\ln(x+e)e^{-c\frac{y^2}{x+1}}, \\ &|\partial_x u(x,y)| \le \frac{C}{x+1}e^{-c\frac{y^2}{x+1}}, \quad |\partial_{xy} u(x,y)| \le \frac{C}{(x+1)^{\frac{3}{4}}}e^{-c\frac{y^2}{x+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.6. These decay estimates mean that u has similar behaviors with the Blasius solution in the large time. It remains unknown whether the concave condition could be removed.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.5 is based on decay estimates for ϕ and w under the Von Mises coordinates.

Theorem 1.7. Under the assumptions in Theorem 1.5, there exist positive constants C and c so that for any $(x, \psi) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(x,\psi)| &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}} e^{-c\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}}, \quad |\partial^2_{\psi}\phi(x,\psi)| \leq \frac{C}{x+1} e^{-c\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}}, \\ |\partial_x\phi(x,\psi)| &\leq \frac{C}{x+1} e^{-c\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}}, \quad |\partial_{\psi}\phi(x,\psi)| \leq \frac{C}{(x+1)^{\frac{3}{4}}} e^{-c\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.8. Under the assumptions in Theorem 1.5, there exist positive constants C, c and N so that for any $(x, \psi) \in (N, +\infty) \times \mathbf{R}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{\psi x} w(x,\psi)| &\leq \frac{C}{(x+1)^{\frac{3}{4}}} e^{-c\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}}, \\ |\partial_x^2 w(x,\psi)| + |\partial_x \partial_{\psi}^2 w(x,\psi)| &\leq \frac{C}{(x+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-c\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.7 and Theorem 1.8 used the maximum principle technique. The key ingredient is to find a series of barrier functions (with ridges), whose constructions depend on the structure of Blasius profile. In fact, we provide the pointwise estimates including the decay rate with respect to ψ near $\psi = 0$, which is crucial when we derive the decay estimates under the Euler coordinates from the results obtained under the Von Mises coordinates.

2. Blasius profile and Von Mises coordinates

2.1. Blasius profile. The Blasius profile $f(\zeta)$ satisfies

1

(2.1)

$$\frac{1}{2}ff'' + f''' = 0, \quad f(0) = f'(0) = 0,$$

$$f'(\zeta) \to 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta} \to 1 \quad \text{as } \zeta \to +\infty,$$

$$0 \le f'(\zeta) \le 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f''(\zeta) \ge 0 \quad \text{for } \zeta \ge 0$$

$$0 < f''(0) = b_0, \quad f'''(\zeta) < 0 \quad \text{for } \zeta > 0.$$

There exist positive constants C_1, C_2 so that

(2.2)
$$1 - f'(\zeta) \sim \zeta^{-1} e^{-\zeta^2 C_1 - C_2 \zeta}, \quad f''(\zeta) \sim \zeta (1 - f') \sim e^{-\zeta^2 C_1 - C_2 \zeta},$$

as $\zeta \to +\infty.$

Lemma 2.1. It holds that

$$f^{(3)}(0) = 0, \quad f^{(4)}(0) = 0, \quad f^{(5)}(0) < 0.$$

Proof. By $\frac{1}{2}ff'' + f''' = 0$, we have $f^{(3)}(0) = 0$ and

(2.3)
$$\frac{\frac{1}{2}f'f'' + \frac{1}{2}ff^{(3)} + f^{(4)} = 0,}{\frac{1}{2}(f'')^2 + \frac{1}{2}f'f^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2}ff^{(4)} + \frac{1}{2}f'f^{(3)} + f^{(5)} = 0.}$$

By (2.1) and evaluating at $\zeta = 0$, the result follows.

2.2. A comparison lemma.

Lemma 2.2. There exist positive constants c < 1 and C > 1 depending on w_0 such that

(2.4)
$$c\bar{w} \le w \le C\bar{w} \quad in \quad \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+$$

Proof. Since $u_0(0) = 0$ and $u'_0(0) > 0$, by (1.3),

$$w_0(0) = 0, \quad \partial_{\psi} w(0, \psi) \sim 1 \quad \psi \text{ near } 0,$$

which gives

$$\bar{w}(0,\psi) \sim \psi \sim w(0,\psi) \quad \psi \text{ near } 0$$

Thanks to $u_0(y) > 0$ and $\bar{u}(0, y) > 0$ for y > 0, we have $\bar{w} > 0$ and w > 0 for $\psi > 0$. Moreover, $w(x, \psi)$, $\bar{w}(x, \psi) \to 1$ as $\psi \to +\infty$. Hence, away from 0, both $w_0(\psi)$ and $\bar{w}(0, \psi)$ have positive minimum and maximum. Then there exist some positive constants c < 1 and 1 < C so that

(2.5)
$$cw_0(\psi) \le \bar{w}(0,\psi) \le Cw_0(\psi).$$

Take c and C to be the constants in (2.5). For any positive constant b, we have

$$\partial_x (w - b\bar{w}) - \sqrt{w} \partial_{\psi}^2 (w - b\bar{w}) = (\sqrt{b}\sqrt{w} - b\sqrt{\bar{w}}) \partial_{\psi}^2 \bar{w} + (b - \sqrt{b})\sqrt{w} \partial_{\psi}^2 \bar{w}$$

where we note $\partial_{\psi}^2 \bar{w} < 0$ for $\psi > 0$.

We first prove that $w - C\bar{w} \leq 0$. Otherwise, since $w - C\bar{w} = 0$ on $\psi = 0$, (2.4) holds on x = 0 due to (2.5), and $w - C\bar{w} \to 1 - C < 0$ as $\psi \to +\infty$, a positive maximum is obtained at some point $(x_0, \psi_0) \in (0, x_0] \times (0, +\infty)$ with $(w - C\bar{w})(x_0, \psi_0) > 0$. This implies

$$(\sqrt{w} - \sqrt{C}\sqrt{\bar{w}})(x_0, \psi_0) > 0.$$

On the other hand, at (x_0, ψ_0) ,

$$\partial_x (w - C\bar{w}) - \sqrt{w} \partial_\psi^2 (w - C\bar{w}) = \sqrt{C} (\sqrt{w} - \sqrt{C}\sqrt{\bar{w}}) \partial_\psi^2 \bar{w} + (C - \sqrt{C})\sqrt{w} \partial_\psi^2 \bar{w} < 0,$$

which contradicts to the property of maximum point. Hence, $w - C\bar{w} \leq 0$.

The proof of $w - c\bar{w} \ge 0$ is similar. At the negative minimum point, $\sqrt{w} < \sqrt{c}\sqrt{\bar{w}}$ and there holds

$$\partial_x (w - c\bar{w}) - \sqrt{w} \partial_\psi^2 (w - c\bar{w}) = (\sqrt{c}\sqrt{w} - c\sqrt{\bar{w}}) \partial_\psi^2 \bar{w} + (c - \sqrt{c})\sqrt{w} \partial_\psi^2 \bar{w} > 0$$

which also leads to a contradiction.

2.3. Von Mises coordinates. By (1.2), we introduce the notation

(2.6)
$$y(\psi;u) = \int_0^\psi \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}(x,\psi')} d\psi'.$$

In particular, $y(\psi; \bar{u}) = \int_0^{\psi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{w}}(x,\psi')} d\psi'$, corresponds to the Blasius profile. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that there exist positive constants c and C such that

(2.7)
$$cy(\psi; \bar{u}) \le y(\psi; u) \le Cy(\psi; \bar{u}).$$

In what follows, we always denote

(2.8)
$$h = \frac{\psi}{\sqrt{x+1}}, \quad \zeta = \frac{y(\psi; \bar{u})}{\sqrt{x+1}}.$$

We infer from (1.2) that for $y = y(\psi; \bar{u})$,

$$\psi = \int_0^y \bar{u}(x, y') dy' = \sqrt{x+1} \int_0^{\zeta} f'(\zeta) d\zeta = \sqrt{x+1} f(\zeta)$$

which gives

(2.9)
$$h = \frac{\psi}{\sqrt{x+1}} = f(\zeta)$$

Since $f'' \ge 0$, f''(0) > 0 and f'(0) = 0, it holds that $f'(\zeta) > 0$ for $\zeta > 0$, and thus f is strictly increasing. Hence, $\zeta \stackrel{one \ to \ one}{\longleftrightarrow} h$. By (2.1), there exists a large positive constant M such that

(2.10)
$$\frac{1}{2}\zeta \le \frac{\psi}{\sqrt{x+1}} = f(\zeta) \le 2\zeta$$

when $\zeta \geq M$ or $h \geq M$.

Since $f'(\zeta) \sim \zeta$, $f(\zeta) \sim \zeta^2$ for ζ near 0 due to (2.1), it holds that for any a > 0,

(2.11)
$$c_a h \le \bar{w}(x, \psi) \le C_a h \quad \text{for } h \le a$$

Recall $\bar{w} = \bar{u}^2 = f'(\zeta)^2$. By (1.3) and (1.4), we have the following relations which will be frequently used:

(2.12)
$$-\partial_x \bar{w} = \frac{1}{x+1} f f'', \quad \partial_\psi \bar{w} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{x+1}} f''.$$

From (2.12), (2.1) and (2.2), it holds hat

(2.13)
$$-\partial_x \bar{w} \ge c \frac{1}{x+1} \zeta^2 \quad \text{for} \quad \zeta \le 1, \\ -\partial_x \bar{w} \ge c \frac{1}{x+1} \zeta f'' \quad \text{for} \quad \zeta > 1.$$

From Lemma 2.1, (2.1) and (2.12), it is easy to see that

5

Lemma 2.3. For any fixed $x \in [0, +\infty)$, $-\partial_x \bar{w}$ is increasing with respect to ψ and $-\partial_x \bar{w}$ is positive for $\psi > 0$.

If we use (\tilde{x}, ψ) to denote the Von Mises variables to avoid confusion for a while, then it holds that

(2.14)
$$\partial_{\tilde{x}} = \partial_x - \frac{\int_0^y \bar{u}_x(x, y') dy'}{\bar{u}} \partial_y.$$

2.4. Some properties of w. From Theorem 2.1.14, Lemma 2.1.9 and Lemma 2.1.12 in [8] and Lemma 3.1 in [1], we know that

1.
$$\partial_x w(x,0) = 0.$$

2. $\lim_{\psi \to +\infty} \partial_{\psi}^2 w(x,\psi) = 0$, which implies

(2.15)
$$\lim_{\psi \to +\infty} \partial_x w(x, \psi) = 0.$$

3. For any $\bar{x} > 0$, there exist $y_0 > 0, m > 0$ such that

$$\partial_y u(x,y) \ge m$$
 in $[0,\bar{x}] \times [0,y_0]$.

4. For any $\bar{x} > 0$, there exist positive constants ψ_1 and M such that

(2.16)
$$|\partial_x w| \le M \psi^{1-\beta} \quad \text{in } [0, \bar{x}] \times [0, \psi_1],$$

where $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ (see page 25 in [8]).

3. Convergence to the Blasius solution

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. Throughout this section, we assume that u is an Oleinik's solution with the initial data satisfying (1.9). We denote

$$Lv = \partial_x v - \sqrt{w} \partial_{\psi}^2 v.$$

3.1. The perturbation equation. We denote

$$\phi(x,\psi) = w(x,\psi) - \bar{w}(x,\psi).$$

A straight calculation gives

(3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_x \phi - \sqrt{w} \partial_{\psi}^2 \phi + A \phi &= 0, \\
&A(x, \psi) = -\frac{\partial_{\psi}^2 \bar{w}}{\sqrt{\bar{w}} + \sqrt{w}} (x, \psi) = -\frac{\partial_x \bar{w}}{\sqrt{\bar{w}} (\sqrt{\bar{w}} + \sqrt{w})} (x, \psi) \\
&= -2 \frac{\bar{u}_{yy}|_{(x,y) = (x, y(\psi; \bar{u}))}}{\bar{u}|_{(x,y) = (x, y(\psi; \bar{u}))} (\bar{u}|_{(x,y) = (x, y(\psi; \bar{u}))} + u|_{(x,y) = (x, y(\psi; u))})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us derive some useful properties of A.

Lemma 3.1. It holds that for any $(x, \psi) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+$,

$$|A(x,\psi)| \le \frac{C}{x+1},$$

and for any $k_0 \in (0, +\infty)$, there exists a positive constant λ_{k_0} such that

$$A(x,\psi) > \frac{\lambda_{k_0}}{x+1} \quad for \quad \zeta = \frac{y(\psi;\bar{u})}{\sqrt{x+1}} \le k_0,$$

which implies that A > 0.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$u(x, y(\psi; u)) = \sqrt{w}(x, \psi) \sim \sqrt{\bar{w}}(x, \psi) = \bar{u}(x, y(\psi; \bar{u})).$$

Then we infer from (3.1) that

(3.2)
$$\frac{c}{x+1} \frac{-f'''(\zeta)}{(f'(\zeta))^2} \le A \le \frac{C}{x+1} \frac{-f'''(\zeta)}{(f'(\zeta))^2}.$$

where $\zeta = \frac{y(\psi;\bar{u})}{\sqrt{x+1}}$. Due to (2.1), we have

$$\frac{-f'''(\zeta)}{(f'(\zeta))^2} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}ff''(\zeta)}{(f'(\zeta))^2} = \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{as } \zeta \to 0.$$

Then our result follows from (2.1) and (2.2).

Remark 3.2. Since A > 0, the term $A\phi$ could be viewed as a damping term. Then it is natural to expect that ϕ will converge to zero in the large time.

3.2. Preliminary decay estimates.

Lemma 3.3. There exist a large positive constant C and a small positive constant ε such that

$$|\phi(x,\psi)| \le Ce^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon}$$
 in $\mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+$.

Proof. Thanks to

$$\psi(0,y) = \int_0^y u(0,y')dy' = \int_0^y u_0(y')dy'$$

and $u_0(y) \to 1$ as $y \to +\infty$, there exists a large positive constant N such that at x = 0,

(3.3)
$$\frac{1}{2}y(\psi;u) \le \psi \le 2y(\psi;u) \quad \text{for } \psi > N$$

Hence, by (1.9) and (3.3), we get

(3.4)
$$|\sqrt{w_0}(\psi) - 1| \le C \partial_{\psi} \bar{w}(0, \psi) \quad \text{for } \psi > N,$$

where we used the fact that

(3.5)
$$\partial_{\psi}\bar{w}(x,\psi) = 2\partial_{y}\bar{u}(x,y(\psi;\bar{u})) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{x+1}}f''\Big(\frac{y(\psi;\bar{u})}{\sqrt{x+1}}\Big),$$
$$\partial_{\psi}\bar{w} \sim C_{0}\frac{2}{\sqrt{x+1}}e^{-\zeta^{2}C_{1}-C_{2}\zeta} \quad \text{as } \zeta \to +\infty.$$

On the other hand, by (2.1), for $\psi > N$,

$$0 \le 1 - \sqrt{\bar{w}}(0,\psi) = 1 - f'(\zeta) \le Cf''(\zeta) = \frac{C}{2}\partial_{\psi}\bar{w}(0,\psi).$$

For $\psi \leq N$, there exists a positive constant a_0 such that $\partial_{\psi} \bar{w}(0, \psi) > a_0$. This along with (3.4) ensures that for $\psi \geq 0$,

(3.6)
$$|w_0(\psi) - \bar{w}(0,\psi)| \le C \partial_{\psi} \bar{w}(0,\psi).$$

Now we claim that $0 \leq C e^{-\frac{\psi^{-}}{x+1}\varepsilon} \pm \phi$. Otherwise, since

$$\phi(x,0) = 0, \quad \phi \to 0 \text{ as } \psi \to \infty,$$

and $|\phi(0,\psi)| < Ce^{-\psi^2 \varepsilon}$ for a small positive ε due to (3.5) and (3.6), a negative minimum is obtained at some point $(x_0,\psi_0) \in (0,x_0] \times (0,+\infty)$ with $\left(Ce^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon} \pm \phi\right)(x_0,\psi_0) < 0$.

On the other hand, $L(\pm \phi) + A(\pm \phi) = 0$ and

$$Le^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon} = e^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon} \Big[\varepsilon\frac{\psi^2}{(x+1)^2} - \sqrt{w}\Big(-\varepsilon\frac{2}{x+1} + \varepsilon^2\frac{4\psi^2}{(x+1)^2}\Big)\Big] > 0,$$

by Lemma 2.2 and taking ε small enough. Therefore, at (x_0, ψ_0) ,

$$L(Ce^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon}) + A(Ce^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon}) + L(\pm\phi) + A(\pm\phi) > 0,$$

due to $A \ge 0$. However, by the property of negative minimum point, at (x_0, ψ_0) ,

$$L(Ce^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon} \pm \phi) + A(Ce^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon} \pm \phi) \le 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

Lemma 3.4. There exists a positive constant C and a small positive constant λ such that

$$|\phi(x,\psi)| < C(x+1)^{-\lambda}$$
 in $\mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+$.

Proof. Let

$$g(x,\psi) = C(x+1)^{-\lambda} \begin{cases} h^{\frac{1}{2}}M^{\frac{1}{2}}, & h \le \frac{1}{M}, \\ 1, & \frac{1}{M} \le h \le h_0, \\ \frac{1}{h^{2+2\lambda}}h_0^{2+2\lambda}, & h \ge h_0, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ is a positive constant to be determined.

First of all, it holds that

$$(g \pm \phi)(x, 0) = 0, \quad g \pm \phi \to 0 \quad \text{as } h \to +\infty,$$

and by (3.6) and (2.11),

$$g \pm \phi \ge 0$$
 on $x = 0$

by taking C large.

Now we claim that $g \pm \phi \ge 0$. Otherwise, by the initial and boundary conditions, a negative minimum is obtained at some point $(x_0, \psi_0) \in (0, x_0] \times (0, +\infty)$ with $(g \pm \phi)(x_0, \psi_0) < 0$. In the following, we work in the domain $(0, x_0] \times (0, +\infty)$.

In $\{h > h_0\}$, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$L\frac{(x+1)^{-\lambda+1+\lambda}}{\psi^{2+2\lambda}} = \frac{1}{\psi^{2+2\lambda}} \Big(1 - \sqrt{w}(2+2\lambda)(3+2\lambda)\frac{x+1}{\psi^2}) \Big) > 0$$

by taking h_0 large independent of $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Hence, the minimum cannot be achieved in $\{h > h_0\}$.

By Lemma 3.1, there exists a positive constant λ_0 such that

$$A > \frac{\lambda_0}{x+1}$$
 for $h \le h_0$

Now we take $\lambda = \lambda_0$. In $\{\frac{1}{M} < h < h_0\},\$

$$L(x+1)^{-\lambda} + A(x+1)^{-\lambda} \ge -\lambda(x+1)^{-\lambda-1} + A(x+1)^{-\lambda} > 0$$

Hence, the minimum cannot be achieved in $\{\frac{1}{M} < h < h_0\}$.

In $\{h < \frac{1}{M}\}$, by Lemma 2.2 and (2.9),

$$L\left[(x+1)^{-\lambda-\frac{1}{4}}\psi^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] = (x+1)^{-\lambda-\frac{1}{4}-1}\psi^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(-\lambda-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{w}\frac{x+1}{\psi^{2}}\right) > 0$$

by taking M large. Indeed, by Lemma 2.2, it holds

$$\sqrt{w}(x,\psi) \ge c\sqrt{\bar{w}}(x,\psi),$$

which along with (2.11) gives

$$\sqrt{w}(x,\psi) \ge c \frac{\psi^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(x+1)^{\frac{1}{4}}}, \quad h \le 1.$$

Therefore, for M large, the minimum cannot be achieved in $\{h < \frac{1}{M}\}$.

On the other hand, the minimum cannot be achieved at lines $h = \frac{1}{M}$ and $h = h_0$ since they are ridges with respect to h for any fixed x. In summary, there is no negative minimum point (x_0, ψ_0) in the interior.

Remark 3.5. Servin [9] constructed barrier functions with ridges and for readers' convenience, here is a brief description. If $\varphi(s) \in C^1((a,c) \cup (c,b)) \cap C((a,b))$ and $\varphi'_{-}(c) > \varphi'_{+}(c)$, then we call "x = c" a ridge. The following figures are four examples.



FIGURE 1. Ridges

3.3. Decay estimates under Von Mises coordinates.

Proposition 3.6. For any fixed $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exist positive constants C_B , B and N large and a small positive constant $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$|\phi(x,\psi)| \le g(x,\psi)e^{-B(x+1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}}$$
 in $\mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+$

where

$$g(x,\psi) = C_B \begin{cases} N^{1-\alpha}(x+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}\psi^{1-\alpha}, & h < \frac{1}{N}, \\ \frac{1}{b_0}\partial_{\psi}\bar{w}, & h \ge \frac{1}{N}, \end{cases}$$

with $b_0 = 2f''(\zeta_0)$ and $f(\zeta_0) = \frac{1}{N}$.

Remark 3.7. At $h = \frac{1}{N}$, $\partial_{\psi} \bar{w}(x, \psi) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{x+1}} f''(\zeta_0)$. Hence, g is continuous at $h = \frac{1}{N}$.

Proof. Note $e^{-B} \leq e^{-B(x+1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} \leq 1$. Take $C_B \in (e^B, +\infty)$ to be a large constant such that by (3.6), (2.5) and (2.11),

$$(ge^{-B(x+1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} \pm \phi)(0,\psi) \ge 0.$$

On the other hand, by (1.5), (3.5) and (2.11), we have

$$(ge^{-B(x+1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} \pm \phi)(x,0) = 0,$$

$$ge^{-B(x+1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} \pm \phi \to 0 \quad \text{as } h \to \infty$$

We claim that $ge^{-B(x+1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} \pm \phi \ge 0$. Otherwise, by the initial and boundary conditions above, a negative minimum is obtained at some point $(x_0, \psi_0) \in (0, x_0] \times (0, +\infty)$ with $(ge^{-B(x+1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} \pm \phi)(x_0, \psi_0) < 0$. In the following, we work in the domain $(0, x_0] \times (0, +\infty)$. By Lemma 2.2 and (2.11), we have

(3.7)
$$C \ge \sqrt{w} \ge c \frac{\psi^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(x+1)^{\frac{1}{4}}}, \quad h \le \frac{1}{2}$$

By (3.7), in $\{h < \frac{1}{N}\}$, we have

$$Lg = C_B N^{1-\alpha} (x+1)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1-\alpha}{2} - 1} \psi^{1-\alpha} \left(-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1-\alpha}{2} + (1-\alpha)\alpha \sqrt{w} \frac{x+1}{\psi^2} \right) > 0,$$

by taking N large. Note N is independent of choice of B. Then in $\{h < \frac{1}{N}\},\$

$$L(ge^{-B(x+1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}}) = (Lg)e^{-B(x+1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} + ge^{-B(x+1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}}B\frac{\lambda}{2}(x+1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}-1} > 0.$$

Hence, the minimum point (x_0, ψ_0) cannot be in $\{h < \frac{1}{N}\}$.

Consider the case of $\{h > \frac{1}{N}\}$. We first derive the equation for Lg + Ag. Since $g = \frac{C_B}{b_0} \partial_{\psi} \bar{w}$ for $h > \frac{1}{N}$, a straight calculation gives

$$\partial_x g - \sqrt{\bar{w}} \partial_\psi^2 g - \frac{\partial_\psi^2 \bar{w}}{2\sqrt{\bar{w}}} g = 0.$$

For the third term, we have

$$-\frac{\partial_{\psi}^2 \bar{w}}{2\sqrt{\bar{w}}} = -2\frac{\bar{u}_{yy}|_{(x,y(\psi;\bar{u}))}}{\bar{u}|_{(x,y(\psi;\bar{u}))}(\bar{u}|_{(x,y(\psi;\bar{u}))} + u|_{(x,y(\psi;u))})}\frac{\bar{u}|_{(x,y(\psi;\bar{u}))}(\bar{u}|_{(x,y(\psi;\bar{u}))} + u|_{(x,y(\psi;u))})}{2\bar{u}^2|_{(x,y(\psi;\bar{u}))}}$$
$$= A\Big(1 + \frac{\phi}{2\sqrt{\bar{w}}(\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{\bar{w}})}\Big).$$

Hence, we obtain

(3.8)
$$\frac{\partial_x g - \sqrt{w} \partial_{\psi}^2 g + Ag}{\sqrt{w}} \left(-A \frac{\phi}{2\sqrt{w}(\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{w})} g - Ag - \partial_x g \right) - A \frac{\phi}{2\sqrt{w}(\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{w})} g.$$

Now we use the properties of $f(\zeta)$ to estimate $\partial_x g$. By (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\tilde{x}}g|_{(x,\psi)} &= \frac{2C_B}{b_0} \Big[\partial_x (\frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}}f'') + \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}} \frac{-\int_0^y \bar{u}_x dy'}{\bar{u}} \partial_y f'' \Big]|_{\zeta = \frac{y(\psi;\bar{u})}{\sqrt{x+1}}} \\ &= \frac{2C_B}{b_0} \Big[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{x+1})^3} f'' - \frac{1}{2} \frac{y}{(\sqrt{x+1})^4} f''' \end{aligned}$$

$$+\frac{1}{(\sqrt{x+1})^2}\frac{-\int_0^y -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\bar{y}}{(\sqrt{x+1})^3}f''d\bar{y}}{\bar{u}}f'''\Big]|_{\zeta=\frac{y(\psi;\bar{u})}{\sqrt{x+1}}}$$

For the last term,

$$\int_0^y \frac{\bar{y}}{(\sqrt{x+1})^3} f'' d\bar{y} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}} \int_0^\zeta \zeta f'' d\zeta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}} (\zeta f'(\zeta) - f(\zeta)).$$

Hence, by $f''' = -\frac{1}{2}ff''$,

$$\partial_{\tilde{x}}g = -\frac{1}{2(x+1)}g + \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{x+1}\zeta f(\zeta)g - \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{x+1}\frac{\zeta f'(\zeta) - f(\zeta)}{\bar{u}}f(\zeta)g\big|_{\zeta = \frac{y(\psi;\bar{u})}{\sqrt{x+1}}}$$

which along with (3.8) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x g &- \sqrt{w} \partial_\psi^2 g + Ag \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{w} - \sqrt{\bar{w}}}{\sqrt{\bar{w}}} \Big(-A\frac{\sqrt{w} - \sqrt{\bar{w}}}{2\sqrt{\bar{w}}}g - Ag \Big) - A\frac{\sqrt{w} - \sqrt{\bar{w}}}{2\sqrt{\bar{w}}}g \\ &- \frac{\sqrt{w} - \sqrt{\bar{w}}}{\sqrt{\bar{w}}} \Big(-\frac{1}{2(x+1)}g + \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{x+1}\zeta f(\zeta)g - \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{x+1}\frac{\zeta f'(\zeta) - f(\zeta)}{\bar{u}}f(\zeta)g \Big)|_{(x,y) = (x,y(\psi;\bar{u}))}.\end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate Lg + Ag. Thanks to $\sqrt{\bar{w}} \ge c_0$ in $\{h > \frac{1}{N}\}$ for some positive constant c_0 depending on N, we infer that

$$|Lg + Ag| \le C|\sqrt{w} - \sqrt{\bar{w}}|\frac{1}{x+1}g(1+\zeta^2+\zeta)|_{(x,y)=(x,y(\psi;\bar{u}))}$$

Since $|\sqrt{w} - \sqrt{\bar{w}}| < C(x+1)^{-\lambda}$ and $|\sqrt{w} - \sqrt{\bar{w}}| < Ce^{-\zeta^2 c}$ by Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we estimate Lg + Ag in two different regions. If $\zeta > (x + 1)^{\frac{\lambda}{16}}$, we have

$$|Lg + Ag| \le Ce^{-\zeta^2 c} \frac{1}{x+1} g(1+\zeta^2+\zeta) \le C \frac{1}{x+1} g\zeta^{-16} \le gC(x+1)^{-\lambda-1}.$$

If $\zeta \leq (x+1)^{\frac{\lambda}{16}}$, we have

$$|Lg + Ag| \le C(x+1)^{-\lambda} \frac{1}{x+1} g(1 + (x+1)^{\frac{\lambda}{8}}) \le Cg(x+1)^{-\frac{7}{8}\lambda - 1}.$$

Finally, we conclude that

$$L(ge^{-B(x+1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}}) + Age^{-B(x+1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}}$$

= $(Lg + Ag)e^{-B(x+1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} + ge^{-B(x+1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}}B\frac{\lambda}{2}(x+1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}-1}$
 $\ge ge^{-B(x+1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}}(-C(x+1)^{-\frac{7}{8}\lambda-1} + B\frac{\lambda}{2}(x+1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}-1}) > 0$

by taking B large. Hence, in $\{h > \frac{1}{N}\}$,

$$L(ge^{-B(x+1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} \pm \phi) + A(ge^{-B(x+1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} \pm \phi) > 0.$$

Then the minimum point (x_0, ψ_0) cannot be in $\{h > \frac{1}{N}\}$.

On the other hand, the minimum cannot be achieved at the line $\{h = \frac{1}{N}\}$ by (2.9) and $f''' \leq 0$, since the graph here is a ridge with respect to h for any fixed x. Therefore, there is no negative minimum point (x_0, ψ_0) in the interior. 3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let us first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.8. There exist positive constants c and C such that

$$\left| u(x,y) - \bar{u} \left(x, \int_0^{\int_0^y u(x,y')dy'} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{w}}(x,\psi')} d\psi' \right) \right| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}} e^{-c\frac{y^2}{x+1}} \quad in \quad \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+.$$

Proof. For any fixed $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+$, let $\psi_0 = \int_0^{y_0} u(x_0, y') dy'$. Then we have

$$u(x_0, y_0) = \sqrt{w}(x_0, \psi_0), \quad \bar{u}\left(x_0, \int_0^{\int_0^{y_0} u(x_0, y')dy'} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{w}}(x_0, \psi')}d\psi'\right) = \sqrt{\bar{w}}(x_0, \psi_0).$$

Since $cy(\psi; \bar{u}) \leq y(\psi; u) \leq Cy(\psi; \bar{u})$, it suffices to show that

$$\left|\sqrt{w}(x,\psi) - \sqrt{\bar{w}}(x,\psi)\right| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}} e^{-c\frac{(y(\psi;u))^2}{x+1}} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+.$$

By (3.5) and Proposition 3.6, we have

$$|\phi(x,\psi)| \le C \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}} h^{\frac{3}{4}} \text{ for } h < 1,$$

 $|\phi(x,\psi)| \le C \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}} e^{-c\zeta^2} \text{ for } h \ge 1.$

Note that in $\{h \leq 1\}$, $\sqrt{w}(x, \psi) \sim \sqrt{\overline{w}}(x, \psi) \sim h^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and in $\{h \geq 1\}$, $\sqrt{w} \sim \sqrt{\overline{w}} \geq a_0$ for some positive constant a_0 . Then we derive our result from $\sqrt{w} - \sqrt{\overline{w}} = \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{\overline{w}}}$.

Now we prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x,y) - \bar{u}(x,y)| &\leq |u(x,y) - \bar{u}(x,\bar{y})| + |\bar{u}(x,\bar{y}) - \bar{u}(x,y)| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}} e^{-c\frac{y^2}{x+1}} + |\partial_{\bar{y}}\bar{u}(x,\hat{y})| |\bar{y} - y|. \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{y} = \int_0^{\int_0^y u(x,y')dy'} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{w}}(x,\psi')} d\psi'$ and \hat{y} is between \bar{y} and y. Thanks to $\int_0^y u(x,y')dy' = \int_0^{\bar{y}} \bar{u}(x,y')dy'$, we get by Lemma 2.2 that

 $c\bar{y} \leq y \leq C\bar{y}$

for some positive constants $c \in (0, 1)$ and C. Due to $f'' \leq 0$, we have

$$\partial_y \bar{u}(x,\hat{y})|\bar{y}-y| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}} f''\Big(\frac{c\bar{y}}{\sqrt{x+1}}\Big)|\bar{y}-y|.$$

Since $0 \le f''(s) \le Ce^{-cs^2}$, we only need to show that

(3.9)
$$|\bar{y} - y| \le C + C \ln(x+1) + \frac{Cy}{\sqrt{x+1}}.$$

Fix any x > 0. Take ψ_1 such that $\psi_1 = \sqrt{x+1}f(1)$.

$$|y-\bar{y}| \le \Big| \int_0^{\psi_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}(x,\psi)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{w}}(x,\psi)} d\psi \Big| + \Big| \int_{\psi_1}^{\psi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}(x,\psi)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{w}}(x,\psi)} d\psi \Big|,$$

where the second integral should be omitted if $\psi \leq \psi_1$. For the first term, on the one hand,

$$\int_{0}^{\psi_{1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{w}}} d\psi \le \int_{0}^{\psi_{1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{w}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}}} d\psi$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}} \int_{0}^{\psi_{1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}(\sqrt{\bar{w}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}})} d\psi$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}} \int_{0}^{\psi_{1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{w}}(\sqrt{\bar{w}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}})} d\psi$$

$$\leq C \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\bar{u} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}}} d\zeta \leq C \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{b\zeta + \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}}} d\zeta$$

$$\leq C + C \ln \sqrt{x+1},$$

where we used $f''(\zeta) \ge b > 0, \ \zeta \le 1$, Lemma 2.2, $d\psi = \sqrt{x+1}f'(\zeta)d\zeta$ implying $\frac{d\psi}{\sqrt{x+1}\sqrt{\bar{w}}} = d\zeta$; on the other hand,

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{\psi_{1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}} d\psi &\leq \int_{0}^{\psi_{1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{w} + \frac{5C}{\sqrt{x+1}}} d\psi \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}} \int_{0}^{\psi_{1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}(\sqrt{w} + \frac{5C}{\sqrt{x+1}})} d\psi \\ &\leq C \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{u + \frac{5C}{\sqrt{x+1}}} d\zeta \\ &\leq C \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{b\zeta - \frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}} + \frac{5C}{\sqrt{x+1}}} d\zeta \\ &\leq C + C \ln \sqrt{x+1}. \end{split}$$

For $\psi \ge \psi_1$, since $C \ge \sqrt{w} \ge c\sqrt{\bar{w}} \ge cf'(1) > 0$, we get by Lemma 3.8 that

$$\left|\int_{\psi_1}^{\psi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{w}}} d\psi\right| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}} (\psi - \psi_1) \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}} \int_{\psi_1}^{\psi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}} d\psi \le \frac{Cy}{\sqrt{x+1}}.$$
ws (3.9).

This shows (3.9).

4. Decay estimates of $\partial_x \phi$ and $\partial_{\psi}^2 \phi$

In the following sections, we study the Oleinik's solution u with the initial data satisfying the assumptions in Theorem 1.5.

4.1. Concavity of u.

Lemma 4.1. Let u be an Oleinik's solution with u_0 satisfying $\partial_y^2 u_0 \leq 0$. Then it holds that $\partial_y^2 u \leq 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+.$

Proof. Let

$$v = \partial_x w = \sqrt{w} \partial_y^2 w.$$

 $g = \partial_x w = \sqrt{w} \sigma_{\overline{\psi}} w.$ By (1.3), we have $g(x, \psi) = \sqrt{w} \partial_{\psi}^2 w(x, \psi) = 2 \partial_y^2 u(x, y)$. Thus, we only need to show that

$$g \le 0$$
 in $[0, +\infty) imes \mathbf{R}_+$.

Otherwise, assume that $\sup_{[0,+\infty)\times \mathbf{R}_+} g > \epsilon_0$ for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$. We define

$$x_1 = \inf\left\{x' \in [0, +\infty) | \exists \psi_{x'} \in \mathbf{R}_+ \text{ so that } g(x', \psi_{x'}) \ge \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}\right\}$$

Due to $\partial_y^2 u_0 \leq 0, \ g|_{x=0} \leq 0$. Hence, $x_1 \in (0, +\infty)$.

In the following, we only consider g in $[0, x_1] \times \mathbf{R}_+$. It is easy to see that

$$\partial_x g - \frac{g^2}{2w} - \sqrt{w} \partial_{\psi}^2 g = 0.$$

Using (1.3), a straight calculation yields

$$\sqrt{w}\partial_{\psi}g(x,\psi) = 2u\frac{1}{u}\partial_{y}^{3}u(x,y) = 2\partial_{y}^{3}u(x,y).$$

By $|\partial_y^3 u| \leq C$ (See [11] for the bound of $|\partial_y^3 u|$ or see Remark 5.5 in [10]), (2.15) and $g_+|_{\psi=0} = 0$ due to (2.16), we have

$$\sqrt{w}g_+\partial_{\psi}g \to 0$$
, as $\psi \to 0$ and as $\psi \to +\infty$,
 $\frac{\partial_{\psi}w}{2\sqrt{w}}g_+^2 \to 0$ as $\psi \to 0$ and as $\psi \to +\infty$.

Then we get by integration by parts that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}} \sqrt{w} g_{+} \partial_{\psi}^{2} g d\psi = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}} \partial_{\psi} (\sqrt{w}) \partial_{\psi} (g_{+})^{2} d\psi - \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}} \sqrt{w} (\partial_{\psi} g_{+})^{2} d\psi$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}}\partial_{\psi}(\sqrt{w})\partial_{\psi}(g_{+})^{2}d\psi = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}}\partial_{\psi}^{2}(\sqrt{w})(g_{+})^{2}d\psi.$$

In $[0, x_1] \times \mathbf{R}_+$, we have

$$\partial_{\psi}^{2}(\sqrt{w}) = \frac{g}{2w} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\partial_{\psi}w)^{2}}{w^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad \frac{g_{+}^{2}}{2w}g \le \frac{\epsilon_{0}}{4} \frac{(g_{+})^{2}}{w}.$$

Then we have

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dx}\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}}(g_{+})^{2}d\psi + \frac{1}{8}\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}}\frac{(\partial_{\psi}w)^{2}}{w^{\frac{3}{2}}}(g_{+})^{2}d\psi + \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}}\sqrt{w}(\partial_{\psi}g_{+})^{2}d\psi \le C\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}}\frac{(g_{+})^{2}}{w}d\psi,$$

where C depends on x_1 .

By (1.3), there exist some positive constants c, m and M so that

$$M > \partial_{\psi} w(x, \psi) > m \quad x \in [0, x_1], \ \psi \in [0, c].$$

For any fixed large K, by $w|_{\psi=0} = 0$, there exists a small positive constant $\psi_0 < c$ such that for $x \in [0, x_1], \psi \in [0, \psi_0]$,

$$\frac{(\partial_{\psi} w)^2}{w^{\frac{3}{2}}} \geq \frac{m^2}{(M)^{\frac{3}{2}} \psi^{\frac{3}{2}}} \geq \frac{K}{m \psi} \geq \frac{K}{w}.$$

On the other hand, by (2.9) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$w \ge c\bar{w} \ge \tilde{c}_1 > 0$$
 on $[\psi_0, +\infty)$.

Then we have

$$C \int_{0}^{\psi_{0}} \frac{(g_{+})^{2}}{w} d\psi \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}} \frac{(\partial_{\psi}w)^{2}}{w^{\frac{3}{2}}} (g_{+})^{2} d\psi,$$
$$C \int_{\psi_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{(g_{+})^{2}}{w} d\psi \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}} (g_{+})^{2} d\psi,$$

which along with (4.1) give

(4.2)
$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dx}\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}}(g_{+})^{2}d\psi \leq C_{x_{1}}\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}}(g_{+})^{2}d\psi.$$

Since $g_+ = 0$ on $\{x = 0\} \times \mathbf{R}_+$, by Gronwall's inequality, we have $g_+ = 0$ in $[0, x_1] \times \mathbf{R}_+$, which is a contradiction to the definition of x_1 , and thus the proof is completed.

Remark 4.2. The proof is similar to Proposition 5.4 in [10]. However, a key difference is that we do not require the monotonicity. In particular, we do not require $\partial_y u_0 \ge 0$ in \mathbf{R}_+ .

4.2. Decay estimate of ϕ in ψ . To obtain a decay estimate of $\partial_x \phi$, we first prove a better decay estimate of ϕ with respect to ψ near 0, but at the expense of decay rate with respect to x.

Lemma 4.3. There exist positive constants C, M and a small positive constant α such that

$$|\phi(x,\psi)| \leq Cg$$
 in $\mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+$

where

$$g = C(x+1)^{-\alpha} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b_1} \bar{w}, & h < \frac{1}{M}, \\ 1, & h \ge \frac{1}{M}, \end{cases}$$

with $b_1 = f'^2(\zeta_0)$ and $\zeta_0 = f^{-1}(\frac{1}{M})$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, taking C large, we have $\pm \phi \leq g$ on x = 0 and $g = 0 = \phi$ on $\psi = 0$. By Lemma 3.4, $|\phi| < C(x+1)^{-\alpha}$ for some small $\alpha > 0$. Hence, there is no negative minimum of $g \pm \phi$ in $\{h \geq \frac{1}{M}\}$.

Thanks to $\partial_x \bar{w} - \sqrt{\bar{w}} \partial_{\psi}^2 \bar{w} = 0$, we have

$$\partial_x \bar{w} - \sqrt{w} \partial_\psi^2 \bar{w} = -(\sqrt{w} - \sqrt{\bar{w}}) \partial_\psi^2 \bar{w} = -\frac{\partial_x \bar{w}}{\sqrt{\bar{w}}(\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{\bar{w}})} \phi = A\phi,$$

which gives

$$\partial_x \bar{w} - \sqrt{w} \partial_{\psi}^2 \bar{w} + A \bar{w} = A(\bar{w} + \phi) = Aw.$$

Then we get

$$(\partial_x - \sqrt{w}\partial_{\psi}^2)((x+1)^{-\alpha}\bar{w}C) + A(x+1)^{-\alpha}\bar{w}C = (x+1)^{-\alpha}AwC - \alpha(x+1)^{-\alpha-1}\bar{w}C.$$

By (3.1), there exists a positive constant λ_0 such that $A \geq \frac{\lambda_0}{x+1}$ for $h \leq 1$. Therefore, taking α small enough, we obtain

$$(\partial_x - \sqrt{w}\partial_{\psi}^2)(g \pm \phi) + A(g \pm \phi) > 0, \ 0 < h < \frac{1}{M}.$$

Hence, there is no negative minimum of $g \pm \phi$ in $\{0 < h < \frac{1}{M}\}$.

Since $\partial_{\psi}\bar{w} > 0$, $h < \frac{2}{M}$, there is a ridge of g at $h = \frac{1}{M}$ and thus no negative minimum is achieved at $h = \frac{1}{M}$. In summary, $g \pm \phi \ge 0$.

4.3. The equation of $\partial_x \phi = \phi^1$. Taking one x derivative of (3.1), we get

(4.3)
$$\partial_x \phi^1 - \sqrt{w} \partial_\psi^2 \phi^1 + A \phi^1 - \frac{w_x}{2w} (\phi^1 + A \phi) + \phi \partial_x A = 0.$$

Now we simplify this equation. For this, we use $\partial_{\tilde{x}}$ to denote the derivative in Von Mises coordinates (\tilde{x}, ψ) and ∂_x for derivatives in Euler coordinates (x, y) in case of confusion. By (3.1) and (2.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\tilde{x}}A = &\partial_{\tilde{x}} \Big(-\frac{\partial_{\tilde{x}}\bar{w}}{\sqrt{\bar{w}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{w}} + \sqrt{w}} \Big) = \partial_{\tilde{x}} \Big(-\frac{\partial_{\tilde{x}}\bar{w}}{\sqrt{\bar{w}}} \Big) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{w}} + \sqrt{w}} + \frac{\partial_{\tilde{x}}\bar{w}}{\sqrt{\bar{w}}} \frac{\frac{w_{\tilde{x}}}{2\sqrt{\bar{w}}} + \frac{w_{\tilde{x}}}{2\sqrt{\bar{w}}}}{(\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{\bar{w}})^2} \\ = &\frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{w}} + \sqrt{w}} \Big[\partial_x \Big(-2\frac{\bar{u}_{yy}}{\bar{u}} \Big) - \frac{\int_0^y \bar{u}_x(x, y') dy'}{\bar{u}} \partial_y \Big(-2\frac{\bar{u}_{yy}}{\bar{u}} \Big) \Big] |_{(x,y)=(x,y(\psi;\bar{u}))} \\ &+ \frac{w_{\tilde{x}}}{2\sqrt{w}\sqrt{\bar{w}}} \frac{\partial_{\tilde{x}}\bar{w}}{(\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{\bar{w}})^2} + \frac{\bar{w}_{\tilde{x}}}{2\bar{w}} \frac{\partial_{\tilde{x}}\bar{w}}{(\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{\bar{w}})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote

$$D = \partial_x \left(\frac{\bar{u}_{yy}}{\bar{u}}\right) - \frac{\int_0^y \bar{u}_x(x, y') dy'}{\bar{u}} \partial_y \left(\frac{\bar{u}_{yy}}{\bar{u}}\right).$$

A direct calculation gives

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{y} \bar{u}_{x}(x,y')dy' &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{x+1})^{3}} \int_{0}^{y} f''(\frac{\tilde{y}}{\sqrt{x+1}})\tilde{y}d\tilde{y} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}} \int_{0}^{\zeta} \zeta f''(\zeta)d\zeta \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}} (f'(\zeta)\zeta - f(\zeta)), \\ &\frac{\bar{u}_{yy}}{\bar{u}} = \frac{f^{(3)}}{(x+1)f'}, \end{split}$$

and then

(4.4)
$$\frac{-\int_0^y \bar{u}_x dy'}{\bar{u}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}} \left(\zeta - \frac{f}{f'}\right).$$

Thus, we have

$$D = \frac{1}{x+1} \left(\frac{f^{(4)}}{f'} - \frac{f''f^{(3)}}{(f')^2} \right) \left(-\frac{y}{2(\sqrt{x+1})^3} \right) - \frac{f^{(3)}}{(x+1)^2 f'} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(x+1)^2} \left(\zeta - \frac{f}{f'} \right) \left(\frac{f^{(4)}}{f'} - \frac{f''f^{(3)}}{(f')^2} \right) \\ = \frac{1}{(x+1)^2} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{f}{f'} \right) \left(\frac{f^{(4)}}{f'} - \frac{f''f^{(3)}}{(f')^2} \right) - \frac{f^{(3)}}{(x+1)^2 f'}.$$

Further, by (2.3), we have

$$\frac{f^{(4)}}{f'} - \frac{f''f^{(3)}}{(f')^2} = -\frac{1}{f'}(\frac{1}{2}f'f'' - \frac{1}{4}f^2f'') + \frac{1}{2}\frac{(f'')^2f}{(f')^2}.$$

This shows that

$$D = \frac{1}{(x+1)^2} \Big[\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{f}{f'} \Big) \Big(-\frac{1}{f'} \Big(\frac{1}{2}f'f'' - \frac{1}{4}f^2f'' \Big) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{(f'')^2f}{(f')^2} \Big) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{ff''}{f'} \Big].$$

By (2.1) and (2.2), for any fixed large L,

(4.5)
$$D = \frac{1}{(x+1)^2} O(\zeta) \text{ for } \zeta \le L, \quad D = \frac{1}{(x+1)^2} O(f''\zeta^3) \text{ for } \zeta > L,$$

and thus,

(4.6)
$$\frac{D}{f'} = \frac{1}{(x+1)^2} O(1) \text{ for } \zeta \le L, \quad \frac{D}{f'} = \frac{1}{(x+1)^2} O(f''\zeta^3) \text{ for } \zeta > L.$$

By Proposition 3.6, we get

(4.7)
$$|\phi| \le C(x+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} f''(\zeta)|_{\zeta = \frac{y(\psi;\bar{u})}{\sqrt{x+1}}} \quad \text{for } h \ge \frac{1}{M},$$

and by Lemma 4.3, for a small positive constant α ,

(4.8)
$$|\phi| = \frac{\bar{w}}{(x+1)^{\alpha}}O(1) \quad \text{for } h < \frac{1}{M},$$

(4.9)
$$\frac{\phi}{(\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{\bar{w}})^2} = \frac{1}{(x+1)^{\alpha}}O(1) \quad \text{for } h < \frac{1}{M}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

(4.10)
$$A\phi \sim -\partial_x \bar{w} \frac{\phi}{(\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{\bar{w}})^2}.$$

Summing up, we conclude that

$$\partial_x \phi^1 - \sqrt{w} \partial_\psi^2 \phi^1 + A \phi^1 - \frac{w_x}{2w} \phi^1 = \frac{w_x}{w} O(A\phi) + O(A^2\phi) + \phi \frac{D}{f'} O(1).$$

By (4.7)-(4.10), we have

$$|A\phi| \le C \frac{-\partial_x \bar{w}}{(x+1)^{\alpha}} \le C \frac{1}{(x+1)^{1+\alpha}} h \quad \text{for } h < \frac{1}{M},$$
$$|A\phi| \le C(x+1)^{-\frac{3}{2}} f(f'')^2 \le C(x+1)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \zeta(f'')^2 \quad \text{for } h \ge \frac{1}{M},$$

where we also used $-\partial_x \bar{w} = \frac{ff''}{x+1}$, $h = f(\zeta)$. By (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8), we get

$$\phi \frac{D}{f'} = \frac{\phi}{f'^2} \frac{D}{f'} (f')^2 = \frac{1}{(x+1)^{2+\alpha}} O(\zeta^2) \quad \text{for } \zeta \le L,$$

$$\phi \frac{D}{f'} = (x+1)^{-\frac{5}{2}} O((f'')^2 \zeta^3) \quad \text{for } \zeta > L.$$

Finally, we arrive at

(4.11)
$$\partial_x \phi^1 - \sqrt{w} \partial_\psi^2 \phi^1 + A \phi^1 - \frac{w_x}{2w} \phi^1 = \frac{w_x}{w} (D_4) + D_5,$$

where

$$D_4 = \frac{1}{(x+1)^{1+\alpha}} O(\zeta^2) \quad \text{for } \zeta \le L, \quad D_4 = O(\zeta(f'')^2)(x+1)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \quad \text{for } \zeta > L,$$

$$D_5 = \frac{1}{(x+1)^{2+\alpha}} O(\zeta^2) \quad \text{for } \zeta \le L, \quad D_5 = (x+1)^{-\frac{5}{2}} O((f'')^2 \zeta^3) \quad \text{for } \zeta > L.$$

4.4. The equation of $\partial_x \bar{w}$. Since $-\partial_x \bar{w}$ is useful in constructing barrier functions, here we derive an equation for $\partial_x \bar{w}$. Taking one x derivative to $\partial_x \bar{w} = \sqrt{\bar{w}} \partial_{\psi}^2 \bar{w} = 2 \partial_y^2 \bar{u}$, we obtain

(4.12)
$$\partial_x(\partial_x\bar{w}) - \sqrt{\bar{w}}\partial_\psi^2(\partial_x\bar{w}) = \frac{(\partial_x\bar{w})^2}{2\bar{w}}.$$

Thanks to

$$-\frac{\partial_x \bar{w}}{2\bar{w}} = A \frac{\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{\bar{w}}}{2\sqrt{\bar{w}}} = A(1 + \frac{\phi}{2(\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{\bar{w}})\sqrt{\bar{w}}}),$$

it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x(\partial_x \bar{w}) &- \sqrt{w} \partial_\psi^2(\partial_x \bar{w}) + \partial_x \bar{w} A \Big(1 + \frac{\phi}{2(\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{\bar{w}})\sqrt{\bar{w}}} \Big) \\ &= -(\sqrt{w} - \sqrt{\bar{w}}) \partial_\psi^2(\partial_x \bar{w}) \\ &= -\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{\bar{w}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{w}}} \Big(\partial_x(\partial_x \bar{w}) - \frac{(\partial_x \bar{w})^2}{2\bar{w}} \Big) \\ &= -\frac{\phi}{(\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{\bar{w}})\sqrt{\bar{w}}} \Big[\partial_x(\partial_x \bar{w}) + \partial_x \bar{w} A \Big(1 + \frac{\phi}{2(\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{\bar{w}})\sqrt{\bar{w}}} \Big) \Big] \end{aligned}$$

Due to $\partial_{\tilde{x}}(\frac{\partial_{\tilde{x}}\bar{w}}{2}) = \partial_{\tilde{x}}(\partial_{y}^{2}\bar{u})$, we get by (4.4) and (2.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{x}}(\partial_{y}^{2}\bar{u}) &= \partial_{x}\partial_{y}^{2}\bar{u} - \frac{\int_{0}^{y}\bar{u}_{x}dy'}{\bar{u}}\partial_{y}\partial_{y}^{2}\bar{u} \\ &= -\frac{1}{(x+1)^{2}}f^{(3)} + \frac{1}{x+1}\Big(-\frac{y}{2(\sqrt{x+1})^{3}}\Big)f^{(4)} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}}\Big(\zeta - \frac{f}{f'}\Big)\frac{1}{(\sqrt{x+1})^{3}}f^{(4)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{(x+1)^{2}}f^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}}\Big(-\frac{f}{f'}\Big)\frac{1}{(\sqrt{x+1})^{3}}f^{(4)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\partial_y^2 \bar{u} = \frac{1}{x+1} f'''$. Therefore, by the properties of f and (2.3), for large L

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\partial_{\tilde{x}}\left(\frac{\partial_{\tilde{x}}\bar{w}}{2}\right)\right| &\leq \frac{C}{(x+1)^2}\zeta^2 \quad \text{for } \zeta \leq L,\\ \left|\partial_{\tilde{x}}\left(\frac{\partial_{\tilde{x}}\bar{w}}{2}\right)\right| &\leq \frac{C}{(x+1)^2}f''\zeta^3 \quad \text{for } \zeta > L. \end{aligned}$$

We denote $g_2 = \partial_x \bar{w}$ and $D_1 = \partial_x (\partial_x \bar{w})$. Then we find

$$\partial_x g_2 - \sqrt{w} \partial_{\psi}^2 g_2 + g_2 A = -\frac{\phi}{(\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{\bar{w}})\sqrt{\bar{w}}} \Big[D_1 + \partial_x \bar{w} A \Big(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\phi}{2(\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{\bar{w}})\sqrt{\bar{w}}}\Big) \Big]$$
$$= -\frac{\phi}{(\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{\bar{w}})\sqrt{\bar{w}}} (D_1 + D_2).$$

By (4.7) and (4.8), for small positive $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$,

(4.13)
$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\phi}{(\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{\bar{w}})\sqrt{\bar{w}}} \end{vmatrix} \leq \frac{C}{(x+1)^{\alpha}} \quad \text{for } \zeta \leq L, \\ \left| \frac{\phi}{(\sqrt{w} + \sqrt{\bar{w}})\sqrt{\bar{w}}} \right| \leq \frac{Cf''}{\sqrt{x+1}} \quad \text{for } \zeta > L.$$

Thanks to
$$-\partial_x \bar{w}A|_{(x,\psi)} \sim \frac{(ff''(\zeta))^2}{(x+1)^2} \frac{1}{(f'(\zeta))^2}$$
, by (4.13), we have
 $D_2 = \frac{1}{(x+1)^2} O(\zeta^2) = \frac{1}{(x+1)^2} O(h) \text{ for } \zeta \leq L,$
 $D_2 = \frac{1}{(x+1)^2} O((f'')^2 \zeta^2) \text{ for } \zeta > L.$

Let $D_3 = D_1 + D_2$, which satisfies

(4.14)
$$D_{3} = \frac{1}{(x+1)^{2}}O(\zeta^{2}) = \frac{1}{(x+1)^{2}}O(h) \quad \text{for } \zeta \leq L,$$
$$D_{3} = \frac{1}{(x+1)^{2}}O(f''\zeta^{3}) \quad \text{for } \zeta > L.$$

4.5. Construction of a barrier function. Let $g = (-g_2)e^{-K(x+1)^{-\epsilon}}$. Note g > 0 for $\psi > 0$. By (4.13) and (4.14), we get

$$\partial_x g - \sqrt{w} \partial_\psi^2 g + Ag \ge \epsilon K(x+1)^{-\epsilon-1} (-\partial_x \bar{w}) e^{-K(x+1)^{-\epsilon}} + e^{-K(x+1)^{-\epsilon}} D_6.$$

where

$$D_{6} = \frac{1}{(x+1)^{2+\alpha}} O(\zeta^{2}) = \frac{1}{(x+1)^{2+\alpha}} O(h) \quad \text{for } \zeta \le L,$$
$$D_{6} = \frac{1}{(x+1)^{\frac{5}{2}}} O((f'')^{2} \zeta^{3}) \quad \text{for } \zeta > L.$$

Thanks to $-\partial_x \bar{w} = \frac{1}{x+1} f f''$, we have

(4.15)
$$-\partial_x \bar{w} \sim \frac{1}{x+1} \zeta^2, \ \zeta \le L, \ -\partial_x \bar{w} \sim \frac{1}{x+1} \zeta f'', \ \zeta > L.$$

Then we infer that

(4.16)
$$\partial_x g - \sqrt{w} \partial_{\psi}^2 g + Ag \ge \frac{1}{2} \epsilon K (x+1)^{-\epsilon-1} (-\partial_x \bar{w}) e^{-K(x+1)^{-\epsilon}},$$

by taking $\epsilon < \alpha$ and K large such that ϵK is large.

4.6. Decay estimate of $\partial_x \phi$.

Proposition 4.4. There exist positive constants C_K , K and ϵ such that

$$|\partial_x \phi(x,\psi)| \le C_K g \quad in \quad \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+,$$

where $g = (-\partial_x \bar{w})e^{-K(x+1)^{-\epsilon}}$.

Proof. By the assumption, $\partial_y u_0(0) > 0$ and $\partial_y^2 u_0(y) = O(y^2)$ near y = 0. Then near $\psi = 0$, $|\partial_x w|_{x=0}| \le C\psi$. On $\{x = 0\}$, we have

(4.17)
$$\partial_x \bar{w} = -\frac{1}{x+1} f f'' < 0 \quad \text{for } \psi > 0,$$

(4.18)
$$\partial_x \bar{w} = -\frac{1}{x+1} f f'' \sim \psi \quad \psi \text{ near } 0$$

Hence, near $\psi = 0$,

(4.19)
$$|\partial_x \phi|_{x=0}| \le C\psi \le C(-\partial_x \bar{w}|_{x=0}).$$

By (1.10), (2.2) and (2.7), we have

(4.20) $|\partial_x \phi|_{x=0}| \le -C \partial_x \bar{w}|_{x=0} \quad \text{as } \psi \to +\infty.$

Summing (4.17), (4.19) and (4.20), we deduce that

$$(4.21) |\partial_x \phi|_{x=0}| \le C(-\partial_x \bar{w}|_{x=0}).$$

Note $e^{-K} \leq e^{-K(x+1)^{-\epsilon}} \leq 1$. By (4.21), we may take C_K large so that $C_K g \pm \phi^1(0, \psi) \geq 0$, and $C_K g \pm \phi^1(x, 0) = 0$ and $C_K g \pm \phi^1 \to 0$ as $h \to \infty$. Now we claim $C_K g \pm \phi^1 \geq 0$. Otherwise, a negative minimum is obtained at some point $(x_0, \psi_0) \in (0, x_0] \times (0, +\infty)$. Let us first point out that $-\frac{w_x}{2w} \geq 0$. By (4.11) and (4.16), in $\{0 < h \leq \frac{1}{M}\}$, taking $\epsilon < \alpha$ small, $K \gg \frac{1}{\epsilon}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x (C_K g \pm \phi^1) &- \sqrt{w} \partial_{\psi}^2 (C_K g \pm \phi^1) \\ &+ A (C_K g \pm \phi^1) - \frac{w_x}{2w} (C_K g \pm \phi^1) \\ &> -\frac{w_x}{2w} \Big[C_K g + \frac{1}{(x+1)^{1+\alpha}} O(\zeta^2) \Big] \\ &+ C_K \frac{1}{2} \epsilon K (x+1)^{-\epsilon-1} (-\partial_x \bar{w}) e^{-K} + \frac{1}{(x+1)^{2+\alpha}} O(\zeta^2). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.15), taking C_K large so that $C_K \ge Ce^K$, we have

$$\partial_x (C_K g \pm \phi^1) - \sqrt{w} \partial_\psi^2 (C_K g \pm \phi^1) + A(C_K g \pm \phi^1) - \frac{w_x}{2w} (C_K g \pm \phi^1) > 0.$$

Therefore, the negative minimum point (x_0, ψ_0) cannot be in $\{0 < h \leq \frac{1}{M}\}$.

By (4.11) and (4.16), in $\{h \ge \frac{1}{M}\}$, taking $\epsilon < \alpha$ small, $K \gg \frac{1}{\epsilon}$,

(4.22)
$$\partial_x (C_K g \pm \phi^1) - \sqrt{w} \partial_{\psi}^2 (C_K g \pm \phi^1) \\ + A(C_K g \pm \phi^1) - \frac{w_x}{2w} (C_K g \pm \phi^1) \\ > -\frac{w_x}{2w} \Big[C_K e^{-K} (-\partial_x \bar{w}) + O(\zeta (f'')^2) (x+1)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \Big] \\ + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon K(x+1)^{-\epsilon-1} C_K e^{-K} (-\partial_x \bar{w}) + (x+1)^{-\frac{5}{2}} O((f'')^2 \zeta^3).$$

By (4.15), taking C_K large so that $C_K \ge Ce^K$, we also have

$$\partial_x (C_K g \pm \phi^1) - \sqrt{w} \partial_\psi^2 (C_K g \pm \phi^1) + A (C_K g \pm \phi^1) - \frac{w_x}{2w} (C_K g \pm \phi^1) > 0.$$

Therefore, the negative minimum point (x_0, ψ_0) cannot be in $\{h > \frac{1}{M}\}$. Therefore, there is no negative minimum point (x_0, ψ_0) in the interior.

4.7. Proof of Theorem 1.7. By (2.10) and Proposition 3.6, we get

(4.23)
$$|\phi(x,\psi)| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}} e^{-C_1 \frac{(y(\psi;\bar{u}))^2}{x+1}} \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}} e^{-c\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, (2.11) and Proposition 4.4, we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{w}}\partial_x\phi(x,\psi)\right| \le \left|\frac{C}{\sqrt{\bar{w}}}\partial_x\phi(x,\psi)\right|$$
$$\le \frac{C}{x+1}e^{-C_1\frac{(y(\psi;\bar{u}))^2}{x+1}} \le \frac{C}{x+1}e^{-c\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, (2.11) and Proposition 3.6, we have

$$\left|\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{w}}(x,\psi)\right| \le C \left|\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{\bar{w}}}(x,\psi)\right| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}} e^{-c\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}}.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\left|A\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{w}}(x,\psi)\right| \le \frac{1}{(x+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}e^{-c\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}}$$

Then we infer from (3.1) that

(4.24)
$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{\psi}^{2}\phi| &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}}(|\partial_{x}\phi| + |A\phi|) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{x+1}e^{-c\frac{\psi^{2}}{x+1}} + \frac{1}{(x+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}e^{-c\frac{\psi^{2}}{x+1}} \leq \frac{C}{x+1}e^{-c\frac{\psi^{2}}{x+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove that

(4.25)
$$|\partial_{\psi}\phi| \le \frac{C}{(x+1)^{\frac{3}{4}}} e^{-c\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}}.$$

For any fixed $(x, \psi) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+$, take

$$\hat{\psi} = \psi + (x+1)^{\frac{1}{4}} > \psi.$$

Set $\sigma_x = (x+1)^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Then $-\psi + \hat{\psi} = \sigma_x$. By the mean value property, there exists a point $\psi_1 \in (\psi, \hat{\psi})$ such that

$$\partial_{\psi}\phi(x,\psi_1) = rac{\phi(x,\hat{\psi}) - \phi(x,\psi)}{\sigma_x}$$

Since $\hat{\psi} > \psi$, by (4.23),

$$|\partial_{\psi}\phi(x,\psi_1)| \le \frac{2\frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}}e^{-c\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}}}{\sigma_x}$$

Then since $\psi_1 > \psi$, by (4.24),

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{\psi}\phi(x,\psi)| &\leq |\partial_{\psi}\phi(x,\psi) - \partial_{\psi}\phi(x,\psi_1)| + |\partial_{\psi}\phi(x,\psi_1)| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{x+1}e^{-c\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}}\sigma_x + \frac{\frac{2C}{\sqrt{x+1}}e^{-c\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}}}{\sigma_x} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{(x+1)^{\frac{3}{4}}}e^{-c\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since ψ is arbitrarily chosen, we obtain the desired result.

5. DECAY ESTIMATES OF HIGH ORDER DERIVATIVES OF wIn this section, we prove Theorem 1.8.

5.1. Comparison lemma on $\partial_{\psi} w$.

Lemma 5.1. For any $h_1 > 0$, there exists $x_1 > 0$ depending on h_1 such that

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\psi}\bar{w} \le \partial_{\psi}w \le \frac{3}{2}\partial_{\psi}\bar{w} \quad for \ h \in [0, h_1], \ x \in [x_1, +\infty).$$

Moreover, $\partial_{\psi} w \geq 0$ in $\mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+$.

Proof. By (3.2), we have

$$|A(x,\psi)| \le \frac{C}{x+1} \frac{-f'''(\zeta)}{(f'(\zeta))^2} \le \frac{C}{x+1} \frac{ff''(\zeta)}{(f'(\zeta))^2}$$

Hence, by (3.1), Lemma 2.2, Proposition 3.6, Proposition 4.4, (2.12) and the properties of f, we deduce that for $h \in [0, h_1]$,

(5.1)
$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{\psi}^{2}\phi| &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}}(|\partial_{x}\phi| + |A\phi|) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{f'(\zeta)}\Big((-\partial_{x}\bar{w}) + \frac{1}{x+1}\frac{ff''(\zeta)}{(f'(\zeta))^{2}}\partial_{\psi}\bar{w}\Big) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{f'(\zeta)}\Big(\frac{f(\zeta)}{\sqrt{x+1}}\partial_{\psi}\bar{w} + \frac{1}{x+1}\frac{ff''(\zeta)}{(f'(\zeta))^{2}}\partial_{\psi}\bar{w}\Big) \\ &\leq \frac{C_{h_{1}}}{\sqrt{x+1}}\partial_{\psi}\bar{w}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_{h_1} is a positive constant depending on h_1 .

For any fixed $(x, \psi) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+$, take

$$\hat{\psi} = \psi + (x+1)^{\frac{1}{4}} > \psi.$$

Set $\sigma_x = (x+1)^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Then $-\psi + \hat{\psi} = \sigma_x$. By the mean value property, there exists a point $\psi_1 \in (\psi, \hat{\psi})$ such that

$$\partial_{\psi}\phi(x,\psi_1) = rac{\phi(x,\hat{\psi}) - \phi(x,\psi)}{\sigma_x}$$

Note, by (2.12), $\sqrt{x+1}\partial_{\psi}\bar{w}|_{(x,\psi)} = 2f''|_{\zeta=\frac{y(\psi;\bar{u})}{\sqrt{x+1}}}$ is decreasing in h. Due to $\hat{\psi} > \psi$, we get by Proposition 3.6 that

$$|\partial_{\psi}\phi(x,\psi_1)| \le \frac{C\partial_{\psi}\bar{w}(x,\psi)}{\sigma_x}$$

Due to $\psi_1 > \psi$, we get by (5.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{\psi}\phi(x,\psi)| &\leq |\partial_{\psi}\phi(x,\psi) - \partial_{\psi}\phi(x,\psi_1)| + |\partial_{\psi}\phi(x,\psi_1)| \\ &\leq \sigma_x \frac{C_{h_1}}{\sqrt{x+1}} \partial_{\psi}\bar{w}(x,\psi) + \frac{C\partial_{\psi}\bar{w}(x,\psi)}{\sigma_x}. \end{aligned}$$

Then for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, we can fix x_1 large depending on h_1 and ϵ , such that

$$(1-\epsilon)\partial_{\psi}\bar{w} \le \partial_{\psi}w \le (1+\epsilon)\partial_{\psi}\bar{w}, \text{ for } h \in [0,h_1] \ x \in [x_1,+\infty).$$

Since ψ is arbitrarily chosen, we obtain the desired result by taking $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$.

Since $\partial_y^2 u(x, y) \leq 0$ and the positivity of u, $\partial_y u$ cannot take a negative value at some point. Then $\partial_{\psi} w \geq 0$ due to $2\partial_y u = \partial_{\psi} w$. 5.2. Uniform estimate of $\partial_x^2 \phi$ at a fixed time. Since there is no requirement on higher derivatives of u_0 , we take some positive constant x_1 as a new initial time and discuss the data on $x = x_1$. Note, by [11], w is smooth in $[x_1, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty)$.

Lemma 5.2. Under the assumption in Theorem 1.3, for any $x_1 \in (3, +\infty)$, there exists a constant c independent of x_1 and two constants B_{x_1} and N_1 depending on x_1 such that

(5.2)
$$|\partial_x^2 \phi(x_1, \psi)| \le B_{x_1} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N_1} e^{-\frac{N_1^2}{x_1+1}c} \psi, & \psi \in [0, N_1), \\ e^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x_1+1}c}, & \psi \in [N_1, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\phi^{(2)} = \partial_x^2 \phi$. In $D = [x_1 - 2, x_1 + 2] \times [0, +\infty)$, by [11],

(5.3)
$$|\phi^{(2)}| \le |\partial_x^2 w| + |\partial_x^2 \bar{w}| \le C_{x_1} \psi.$$

Hence, we only need to consider in $D_1 = [x_1 - 2, x_1 + 2] \times [N_1, +\infty)$ for $N_1 > 3$ large enough determined later.

Firstly, by (4.23), for some positive constants c_0 and C independent of x_1 ,

(5.4)
$$|\phi| \le Ce^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}c_0}, \quad \psi \in [\sqrt{x_1+2}, +\infty), \ x \in [x_1-2, x_1+2].$$

Take N_1 big enough such that

$$N_1 > \sqrt{x_1 + 2} + 2.$$

For any $\psi_1 \in [N_1, +\infty)$, $(x_2, \psi_2) \in [x_1 - 1, x_1 + 1] \times [\psi_1 - 1, \psi_1 + 1]$, we consider

$$\partial_x(a_0\phi) - \sqrt{w}\partial_\psi^2(a_0\phi) + A(a_0\phi) = 0, \quad A = -\frac{\partial_x\bar{w}}{\sqrt{\bar{w}}(\sqrt{\bar{w}} + \sqrt{w})}$$

in $[x_2 - 1, x_2 + 1] \times [\psi_2 - 1, \psi_2 + 1]$, where $a_0 = e^{\frac{\psi_2^2}{x_2 + 1}c}$ with $c = \frac{1}{8}c_0$ independent of x_1 . By (5.4), we have

(5.5)
$$|a_0\phi| \le |e^{\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}c_0}\phi| \le C,$$

where C is independent of x_1 .

Thanks to $w(x, \psi) \to 1$ and $\bar{w}(x, \psi) \to 1$ as $\psi \to +\infty$, for N_1 big depending on x_1 , we have

(5.6)
$$\frac{1}{2} \le \bar{w}, w \le \frac{3}{2} \quad in \quad D_1.$$

Moreover, by [11], we know that any derivative of w has uniform upper and lower bounds C_{x_1} and $-C_{x_1}$ respectively depending on x_1 in D_1 . Then by Schauder estimates in $[x_2 - 1, x_2 + 1] \times [\psi_2 - 1, \psi_2 + 1]$ and (5.5), we have

$$|a_0\phi|_{C^{1,\alpha}([x_2-\frac{1}{2},x_2+\frac{1}{2}]\times[\psi_2-\frac{1}{2},\psi_2+\frac{1}{2}])} \le C_{x_1}$$

where C_{x_1} depends on x_1 and then

(5.7)
$$|a_0\phi^{(1)}|_{C^{\alpha}([x_2-\frac{1}{2},x_2+\frac{1}{2}]\times[\psi_2-\frac{1}{2},\psi_2+\frac{1}{2}])} \le C_{x_1}.$$

Next we consider the equation of $g = a_0 \phi^{(1)}$:

$$\partial_x g - \sqrt{w} \partial_{\psi}^2 g + Ag - \frac{w_x}{2w} g + \partial_x A\phi a_0 - \frac{w_x}{2w} A(\phi a_0) = 0$$

in $[x_2 - \frac{1}{2}, x_2 + \frac{1}{2}] \times [\psi_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \psi_2 + \frac{1}{2}]$. As before, by the uniform positive upper and lower bounds of w and \overline{w} , the bounds of derivatives of w depending on x_1 and (5.7), we have

$$|g|_{C^{1,\alpha}([x_2-\frac{1}{4},x_2+\frac{1}{4}]\times[\psi_2-\frac{1}{4},\psi_2+\frac{1}{4}],)} \le C_{x_1}$$

which gives

$$|\phi^{(2)}| \le C_{x_1} e^{-\frac{\psi_2^2}{x_2+1}c}$$
 in $[x_2 - \frac{1}{4}, x_2 + \frac{1}{4}] \times [\psi_2 - \frac{1}{4}, \psi_2 + \frac{1}{4}].$

In particular, at (x_2, ψ_2) , we have

$$|\phi^{(2)}| \le C_{x_1} e^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}c}.$$

Since (x_2, ψ_2) is an arbitrary point in $[x_1 - 1, x_1 + 1] \times [\psi_1 - 1, \psi_1 + 1]$ and ψ_1 is an arbitrary value in $[N_1, +\infty)$, we conclude that

(5.8)
$$|\phi^{(2)}| \le C_{x_1} e^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}c} \quad in \quad [x_1 - 1, x_1 + 1] \times [N_1, +\infty).$$

By restricting (5.8) to $x = x_1$, we have the desired result.

5.3. Decay estimate of $\partial_x^2 w$. In this subsection, we discuss under the assumptions in Theorem 1.8. Note, by [11], for any X > 0, we can take any order derivative of w in $(0, X) \times (0, +\infty)$.

Proposition 5.3. There exist large positive constants x_1, h_1, B and small positive constant ε such that

9

(5.9)
$$|\partial_x^2 w(x,\psi)| \le B(e^{-(x+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}\partial_\psi w + g) \quad in \quad [x_1,+\infty) \times [0,+\infty),$$

where

$$g(x,\psi) = \frac{1}{(x+1)^2} \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le h < h_1 - \frac{3}{2}\pi, \\ \cos(h-h_1), & h_1 - \frac{3}{2}\pi \le h < h_1 \\ e^{h_1^2 \varepsilon} e^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon}, & h \ge h_1. \end{cases}$$

In particular, for any positive constant h_2 , there exist positive constants x_2 and B_2 such that

(5.10)
$$|\partial_x^2 w(x,\psi)| \le \frac{B_2}{\sqrt{x+1}} \quad in \ h \in [0,h_2], \ x \in [x_2,+\infty)$$

Proof. We first determine h_1 and ε which are independent of x_1 . Let

$$L_0 v = \partial_x v - \sqrt{w} \partial_\psi^2 v,$$

and $h_1 \in (100, +\infty), \varepsilon \in (0, c)$ where c is the constant independent of x_1 in Lemma 5.2.

By Lemma 2.2, $w \leq C$ with C independent of h_1 and x_1 . Taking ε to be a small positive constant independent of h_1 and x_1 , we get

$$L_0\Big(\frac{1}{(x+1)^2}e^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon}\Big) = \frac{1}{(x+1)^2}e^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon}\Big[-\frac{2}{x+1} + \varepsilon\frac{\psi^2}{(x+1)^2} - \sqrt{w}\Big(-\varepsilon\frac{2}{x+1} + \varepsilon^2\frac{4\psi^2}{(x+1)^2}\Big)\Big]$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{(x+1)^2}e^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon}\Big(-\frac{2}{x+1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{\psi^2}{(x+1)^2}\Big).$$

This shows that for $h \ge h_1$,

$$L_0\Big(\frac{1}{(x+1)^2}e^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon}\Big) \ge \frac{1}{(x+1)^2}e^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon}\Big(-\frac{2}{x+1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{h_1^2}{x+1}\Big).$$

Fix h_1 large independent of x_1 such that $\frac{\varepsilon h_1^2}{2} > 3$ and thus,

(5.11)
$$L_0 g > 0 \text{ for } h > h_1.$$

Next we will determine x_1 large depending on h_1 and B large depending on x_1 . For any $X > x_1$, we work in $[x_1, X] \times [0, +\infty)$. By Lemma 5.2, we have

(5.12)
$$\partial_x^2 w = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \psi = 0, \quad \partial_x^2 w \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \psi \to +\infty,$$

and for x_1 and B large enough,

$$|\partial_x^2 w| \le B\left(e^{-(x+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}\partial_\psi w + g\right) \quad \text{on} \quad x = x_1.$$

Indeed, by Lemma 2.2 and (2.12), for some positive constants c_{h_1} and x_1 depending on h_1 , it holds that for $h \in [0, h_1]$, $x \in [x_1, +\infty)$,

(5.13)
$$\partial_{\psi}w(x,\psi) \ge \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\psi}\bar{w}(x,\psi) \\\ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}} f''|_{\zeta = \frac{y(\psi;\bar{u})}{\sqrt{x+1}}} \ge \frac{c_{h_1}}{\sqrt{x+1}}.$$

Since $|g| \leq \frac{1}{(x+1)^2}$ and

(5.14)
$$e^{-1} \le e^{-(x+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \le 1,$$

we deduce that for x_1 large depending on h_1 , for some small positive constant c depending on h_1 , it holds

$$e^{-(x+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}\partial_{\psi}w + g \ge \frac{c}{\sqrt{x+1}}, \quad h \in [0,h_1], \ x \in [x_1,+\infty).$$

Then taking B large depending on x_1 and h_1 , we get by Lemma 5.2 that

(5.15)
$$|\partial_x^2 w| \le B(e^{-(x+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \partial_\psi w + g)$$
 on $x = x_1$.

Now we work in the interior domain $(x_1, X] \times (0, +\infty)$. We derive the equations of $F = \partial_x^2 w$ and $\varphi + g$, where $\varphi = e^{-(x+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \partial_{\psi} w$. Direct calculations show that

$$\partial_x F - \sqrt{w} \partial_{\psi}^2 F - \frac{3\partial_x w}{2w} F = -\frac{3(\partial_x w)^3}{4w^2}.$$

Let

$$L_1 v = \partial_x v - \sqrt{w} \partial_\psi^2 v - \frac{3\partial_x w}{2w} v.$$

Note $-\frac{3\partial_x w}{2w} \ge 0$. We infer that

(5.16)
$$L_1(-F) \ge -\frac{3\partial_x w}{2w} \Big(-\frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{2w} \Big).$$

From Proposition 4.4, Lemma 2.2 and (2.11), we deduce that for some positive constants c and C independent of x_1 ,

(5.17)
$$\frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{2w} \le \frac{C}{(x+1)^2} \begin{cases} h, & h \le 1, \\ 1, & 1 < h \le 2, \\ e^{-c\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}}, & 2 < h. \end{cases}$$

By a direct calculation, we have

$$\partial_x(\partial_\psi w) - \sqrt{w}\partial_\psi^2(\partial_\psi w) - \frac{\partial_x w}{2w}\partial_\psi w = 0.$$

Hence, for $\varphi = e^{-(x+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \partial_{\psi} w$, by (5.14), we get

(5.18)
$$L_1 \varphi \ge -\frac{\partial_x w}{w} e^{-1} \partial_\psi w + \frac{\frac{1}{2} e^{-1}}{(x+1)^{\frac{1}{2}+1}} \partial_\psi w \ge 0.$$

Then by (5.11), (5.17) and (5.18), for B > C and $h \in (h_1, +\infty)$,

$$L_1(B(\varphi+g)-F) > -\frac{3\partial_x w}{2w} \left(B\frac{1}{(x+1)^2} e^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon} - \frac{C}{(x+1)^2} e^{-c\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}} \right) \ge 0$$

and thus,

$$L_1(B(\varphi+g)-F) > 0, \quad h > h_1,$$

where we used $\varepsilon \in (0, c)$.

For $h \in (0, h_1 - \frac{3}{2}\pi)$, by (5.13) and (5.18),

$$L_1(B(\varphi+g)) > -\frac{3\partial_x w}{2w} \frac{2B}{3e} \frac{c_{h_1}}{\sqrt{x+1}},$$

where we have used $\partial_{\psi} w > 0$ for $h \in (0, h_1)$. Hence, by (5.17), for *B* large depending on h_1 , it holds in $(0, h_1 - \frac{3}{2}\pi)$ that

$$L_1(B(\varphi+g)-F) > 0.$$

Next, for $h \in (h_1 - \frac{3}{2}\pi, h_1)$,

$$L_{1g} = L_{1} \left(\frac{1}{(x+1)^{2}} \cos\left(\frac{\psi}{\sqrt{x+1}} - h_{1}\right) \right) \ge -\frac{C_{h_{1}}}{(x+1)^{3}} - \frac{3\partial_{x}w}{2w} \left(-\frac{1}{(x+1)^{2}}\right)$$
$$\ge -\frac{C_{h_{1}}}{(x+1)^{3}}$$

where C_{h_1} is a positive constant depending on h_1 .

Hence, for $h \in (h_1 - \frac{3}{2}\pi, h_1)$, by (5.13) and (5.18), we have

$$L_1(\varphi+g) \ge -\frac{\partial_x w}{w} e^{-1} \partial_\psi w + \frac{c_{h_1}}{(x+1)^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{C_{h_1}}{(x+1)^3}$$

Hence, for x_1 large depending on h_1 , by (5.13), we have

$$L_1(B(\varphi+g)) > -\frac{3\partial_x w}{2w} \frac{2B}{3e} \frac{c_{h_1}}{\sqrt{x+1}}, \quad h \in (h_1 - \frac{3}{2}\pi, h_1), \ x \in [x_1, +\infty).$$

Therefore, by (5.17), for B large depending on h_1 , we have

$$L_1(B(\varphi+g)-F) > 0, \quad h \in (h_1 - \frac{3}{2}\pi, h_1), \ x \in [x_1, +\infty).$$

In summary, due to the sign of $L_1(Bg-F)$ in $0 < h < h_1 - \frac{3}{2}\pi$, $h_1 - \frac{3}{2}\pi < h < h_1$ and $h > h_1$, $-F + B(\varphi + g)$ cannot achieve a negative minimum in $[x_1, X] \times [0, +\infty) \setminus \{h = h_1 - \frac{3}{2}\pi, h_1\}$. Moreover, since $h = h_1 - \frac{3}{2}\pi$ and $h = h_1$ are two ridges of g, a minimum of $-F + B(\varphi + g)$ cannot be achieved at $h = h_1 - \frac{3}{2}\pi$ and $h = h_1$. Then we conclude $-F + B(\varphi + g) \ge 0$.

Similarly, we can prove $F + B(\varphi + g) \ge 0$ by following the computations above and using (5.17).

Proposition 5.4. For any $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{8})$, there exist large positive constants B, h_1, x_1 and small positive constants h_0, ε such that

(5.19)
$$|\partial_x^2 w(x,\psi)| \le Bg \quad in \quad [x_1,+\infty) \times [0,+\infty),$$

where

$$g(x,\psi) = \frac{1}{(x+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \begin{cases} h^{1-\alpha}, & h \le h_0, \\ h_0^{1-\alpha}, & h_0 \le h \le h_1 \\ h_0^{1-\alpha} e^{h_1^2 \varepsilon} e^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon}, & h \ge h_1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For any X such that $X > x_1$, we work in $[x_1, X] \times [0, +\infty)$. We first determine $h_0 \in (0, 1)$ and h_1 . By (2.11), we have

$$c \frac{\psi^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(x+1)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \le \sqrt{w} \le C \frac{\psi^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(x+1)^{\frac{1}{4}}}, \quad h \le 1,$$

for some constants c and C independent of x_1 . Hence,

$$L_0\left(\frac{\psi^{1-\alpha}}{(x+1)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}}\right) = \frac{\psi^{1-\alpha}}{(x+1)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}} \left[-\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{1}{x+1} + \sqrt{w}\alpha(1-\alpha)\psi^{-2}\right]$$
$$\geq \frac{\psi^{-1-\alpha}}{(x+1)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}} \left[-h^2 + ch^{\frac{1}{2}}\alpha(1-\alpha)\right].$$

Hence, we fix h_0 small depending on α such that

 $L_0 g > 0, \quad 0 < h < h_0.$

Therefore, for B > C where C is the constant in (5.17), we get

(5.20)
$$L_1(Bg) > -\frac{3\partial_x w}{2w} \left(\frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{2w}\right), \quad 0 < h < h_0.$$

Next we determine $\varepsilon \in (0, c)$ where c is the constant in Lemma 5.2 and then we determine h_1 . Since $w \leq C$ for some positive constant C independent of h_1 and x_1 , taking ε to be a small positive constant independent of h_1 and x_1 , we have

$$L_0\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}}e^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}}e^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{x+1} + \varepsilon\frac{\psi^2}{(x+1)^2} - \sqrt{w}\left(-\varepsilon\frac{2}{x+1} + \varepsilon^2\frac{4\psi^2}{(x+1)^2}\right)\right]$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}}e^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{x+1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{\psi^2}{(x+1)^2}\right).$$

This implies that for $h \ge h_1$,

$$L_0(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}}e^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon}) \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}}e^{-\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}\varepsilon}(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{x+1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{h_1^2}{x+1}).$$

Fix h_1 large independent of x_1 such that $\frac{\varepsilon h_1^2}{2} > 1$, and thus, $L_0g > 0$ for $h_1 < h$. Then by (5.17), for *B* large depending on α and h_0 , we have

(5.21)
$$L_1(Bg) > -\frac{3\partial_x w}{2w} \left(\frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{2w}\right), \quad h > h_1$$

By (5.10), taking x_1 large depending on h_1 and B large depending on h_1 and $h_0^{1-\alpha}$, we have

$$|\partial_x^2 w| \le Bg, \quad h \in [h_0, h_1], \ x \in [x_1, +\infty).$$

,

Finally, we consider the initial and the boundary data. By Lemma 5.2, we have

(5.22)
$$\partial_x^2 w = 0$$
 on $\psi = 0$, $\partial_x^2 w \to 0$ as $\psi \to +\infty$, $|\partial_x^2 w| \le Cg$ on $x = x_1$,

where C is a positive constant depending on α , h_1, x_1, h_0 and ε . Take $B \ge C$. Then $|\partial_x^2 w| \le Bg$ on $x = x_1$.

Summing up, we have $L_1(Bg + F) > 0$ in $[x_1, X] \times [0, +\infty) \setminus \{h = h_0, h = h_1\}$, and thus $\partial_x^2 w + Bg$ cannot achieve a negative minimum in $[x_1, X] \times [0, +\infty) \setminus \{h = h_0, h = h_1\}$. Moreover, since $h = h_0, h = h_1$ are two ridges, a minimum of Bg + F cannot be achieved on $h = h_0$ and $h = h_1$. Then we conclude $Bg + F \ge 0$.

Similarly, we can prove $Bg - F \ge 0$.

Remark 5.5. (1) Due to the change of the structure of the equation, a key difficulty in this subsection is how to derive good terms without using the good term A as before. (2) It is necessary to distinguish which terms depend on x_1 .

5.4. Proof of Theorem 1.8. First of all, we infer from Proposition 5.4 that

$$|\partial_x^2 w| \le \frac{C}{(x+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-c\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}}$$

Note that

$$\partial_x \partial_\psi^2 w = \partial_x \left(\frac{\partial_x w}{\sqrt{w}}\right) = \frac{\partial_x^2 w}{\sqrt{w}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\partial_x w)^2}{w^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

Then by Lemma 2.2, (2.11), Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 5.4, we get

$$|\partial_x \partial_{\psi}^2 w| \le \frac{C}{(x+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-c\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}}, \quad (x,\psi) \in (N,+\infty) \times (0,+\infty).$$

Following the argument in section 4.7 but taking $\sigma_x = (x+1)^{-\frac{1}{4}}$, we can show that

$$|\partial_{\psi x}w| \le \frac{C}{(x+1)^{\frac{3}{4}}} e^{-c\frac{\psi^2}{x+1}}, \quad (x,\psi) \in (N,+\infty) \times (0,+\infty).$$

6. Decay estimates of high order derivatives of u

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.5, which is a direct consequence of the following Proposition 6.1, Proposition 6.2 and Proposition 6.3.

6.1. Decay estimates of $\partial_y u$ and $\partial_y^2 u$.

Proposition 6.1. There exist positive constants c and C such that for any $(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+$,

(6.1)
$$-\frac{C}{x+1}e^{-c\frac{y^2}{x+1}} \le \partial_y^2 u(x,y) \le 0,$$

and

$$|\partial_y(u(x,y) - \bar{u}(x,y))| \le \frac{C}{(x+1)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \ln(x+e) e^{-c\frac{y^2}{x+1}}.$$

Proof. By (1.3), we have

$$2\partial_y^2 u(x,y) = \sqrt{w}\partial_\psi^2 w(x,\psi(x,y)) = \partial_x w(x,\psi(x,y)),$$

where $\psi(x,y) = \int_0^y u(x,y')dy'$. It follows from Proposition 4.4 that $0 > \partial_x w|_{(x,y')} > C \partial_x \bar{w}|_{(x,y_0)}$

$$\begin{split} 0 &\geq \partial_x w|_{(x,\psi)} \geq C \partial_x w|_{(x,\psi)} \\ &\geq -\frac{C}{x+1} f f''|_{\zeta = \frac{y(\psi;\bar{u})}{\sqrt{x+1}}} \geq -\frac{C}{x+1} e^{-C_1 \zeta^2}|_{\zeta = \frac{y(\psi;\bar{u})}{\sqrt{x+1}}} \\ &\geq -\frac{C}{x+1} e^{-c \frac{(y(\psi;u))^2}{x+1}}, \end{split}$$

where we used (2.7). Hence, we have (6.1).

For any fixed $(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+$, take

$$\hat{y} = y + (x+1)^{\frac{1}{4}} > y.$$

Set $\sigma_x = (x+1)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and $\varphi(x,y) = u(x,y) - \bar{u}(x,y)$. Then $-y + \hat{y} = \sigma_x$. By the mean value property, there exists a point $y_1 \in (y, \hat{y})$ such that

$$\partial_y \varphi(x, y_1) = rac{\varphi(x, \hat{y}) - \varphi(x, y)}{\sigma_x}$$

Since $\hat{y} > y$, we get by Theorem 1.3 that

$$\left|\partial_y \varphi(x, y_1)\right| \le \frac{2\frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}}e^{-c\frac{y^2}{x+1}}}{\sigma_x}\ln(e+x).$$

Then by $y_1 > y$ and (6.1), we deduce that

In (0.1), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_y \varphi(x,y)| &\leq |\partial_y \varphi(x,y) - \partial_y \varphi(x,y_1)| + |\partial_y \varphi(x,y_1)| \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{x+1} e^{-c \frac{y^2}{x+1}} \sigma_x + \frac{2 \frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}} e^{-c \frac{y^2}{x+1}}}{\sigma_x} \ln(x+e) \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{(x+1)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \ln(x+e) e^{-c \frac{y^2}{x+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since y is arbitrarily chosen, we obtain the desired result.

6.2. Decay estimate of $\partial_x u$.

Proposition 6.2. There exist positive constants c and C such that for any $(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+$,

$$|\partial_x(u(x,y) - \bar{u}(x,y))| \le \frac{C}{x+1}e^{-c\frac{y^2}{x+1}}$$

Proof. We use ∂_x to denote the derivative in Euler coordinates (x, y) and $\partial_{\tilde{x}}$ to denote the derivative in Von Mises coordinates (\tilde{x}, ψ) . We denote

$$h(x,y) = w(x,\psi_2(x,y)) - \bar{w}(x,\psi_2(x,y)), \quad g(x,y) = \bar{w}(x,\psi_2(x,y)),$$

where $\psi_2(x, y) = \int_0^y u(x, y') dy'$. Here we note, by the composition, the independent variables of both $w(x, \psi_2(x, y))$ and $\bar{w}(x, \psi_2(x, y))$ are x and y. By the definition of $\psi_2(x, y)$, we have

$$w(x, \psi_2(x, y)) = u(x, y)^2,$$

and thus,

$$\partial_x(u^2 - \bar{u}^2) = \partial_x h + \partial_x(g - \bar{u}^2).$$

By a straight computation, we have

$$\partial_x h|_{(x,y)} = (\partial_{\tilde{x}} w - \partial_{\tilde{x}} \bar{w})|_{(x,\psi)=(x,\psi_2(x,y))} + \partial_x \psi_2|_{(x,y)} (\partial_{\psi} w - \partial_{\psi} \bar{w})|_{(x,\psi)=(x,\psi_2(x,y))}, \\ \partial_x g|_{(x,y)} = \partial_{\tilde{x}} \bar{w}|_{(x,\psi)=(x,\psi_2(x,y))} + \partial_x \psi_2|_{(x,y)} \partial_{\psi} \bar{w}|_{(x,\psi)=(x,\psi_2(x,y))},$$

and

$$\partial_x (u^2 - \bar{u}^2) = 2u\partial_x u - 2\bar{u}\partial_x \bar{u} = 2u\partial_x (u - \bar{u}) + 2(u - \bar{u})\partial_x \bar{u}$$

Then we obtain

(6.2)

$$\partial_{x}(u-\bar{u})|_{(x,y)} = \frac{1}{2u|_{(x,y)}} \Big[\partial_{\bar{x}}\phi|_{(x,\psi)=(x,\psi_{2}(x,y))} + \partial_{x}\psi_{2}|_{(x,y)}\partial_{\psi}\phi|_{(x,\psi)=(x,\psi_{2}(x,y))} \\
+ \partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{w}|_{(x,\psi)=(x,\psi_{2}(x,y))} + \partial_{x}\psi_{2}|_{(x,y)}\partial_{\psi}\bar{w}|_{(x,\psi)=(x,\psi_{2}(x,y))} \Big] \\
- \frac{\bar{u}}{u}\partial_{x}\bar{u}|_{(x,y)} - \frac{1}{u}(u-\bar{u})\partial_{x}\bar{u}|_{(x,y)}.$$

Now we estimate each term on the right hand side of (6.2). First of all, we show that for some positive constants c_9 and C_9 ,

(6.3)
$$c_9\bar{u}(x,y) \le u(x,y) \le C_9\bar{u}(x,y).$$

Since $cy(\psi; \bar{u}) \leq y(\psi; u) \leq Cy(\psi; \bar{u})$ and $y(\psi_2(x, y); u) = y$, we get by Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} u(x,y) &= \sqrt{w(x,\psi_2(x,y))} \\ &\leq C\sqrt{\bar{w}}(x,\psi_2(x,y)) = C\bar{u}(x,y(\psi_2(x,y);\bar{u})) \\ &\leq C\bar{u}(x,Cy(\psi_2(x,y);u)) = C\bar{u}(x,Cy) \leq C_9\bar{u}(x,y), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$u(x,y) = \sqrt{w}(x,\psi_2(x,y))$$

$$\geq c\sqrt{\bar{w}}(x,\psi_2(x,y)) = c\bar{u}(x,y(\psi_2(x,y);\bar{u}))$$

$$\geq c\bar{u}(x,cy(\psi_2(x,y);u)) = c\bar{u}(x,cy) \geq c_9\bar{u}(x,y)$$

This proves (6.3).

From (6.3) and $\bar{u}(x,y) = f'(\frac{y}{\sqrt{x+1}})$, we infer that

$$\frac{1}{u(x,y)}|\partial_x \bar{u}(x,y)| \le \frac{C}{x+1}e^{-c\frac{y^2}{x+1}}.$$

By Proposition 4.4, we have

(6.4)

$$\frac{1}{u(x,y)} |\partial_{\tilde{x}} \phi|_{(x,\psi)=(x,\psi_2(x,y))}| \leq \frac{C}{f'} \frac{ff''}{x+1} |_{(x,y)=(x,y(\psi_2(x,y);\bar{u}))} \\
\leq \frac{C}{x+1} e^{-C_1 \frac{\left(y(\psi_2(x,y);\bar{u})\right)^2}{x+1}} \\
\leq \frac{C}{x+1} e^{-c \frac{\left(y(\psi_2(x,y);u)\right)^2}{x+1}} = \frac{C}{x+1} e^{-c \frac{y^2}{x+1}}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, $d\psi = \sqrt{x+1}f'(\zeta)d\zeta$ and Proposition 4.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x \psi_2(x,y)| &= \left| \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{w} \int_0^{\psi_2(x,y)} w^{-\frac{3}{2}} \partial_{\tilde{x}} w d\psi \right| \\ &\leq C \left| \sqrt{\bar{w}} \int_0^{\psi_2(x,y)} \bar{w}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \partial_{\tilde{x}} \bar{w} d\psi \right| \\ &\leq C \sqrt{x+1} f' \int_0^{\zeta} (f')^{-2} \frac{1}{x+1} f f'' d\tilde{\zeta}|_{\zeta = \frac{y(\psi_2(x,y);\tilde{u})}{\sqrt{x+1}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}} e^{-c\frac{(y(\psi_2(x,y);\bar{u}))^2}{x+1}} \\ \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}} e^{-c\frac{(y(\psi_2(x,y);u))^2}{x+1}} = \frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}} e^{-c\frac{y^2}{x+1}}.$$

By (4.25) and (2.10), we get

$$|\partial_{\psi}\phi|_{(x,\psi)=(x,\psi_2(x,y))}| \leq \frac{C}{(x+1)^{\frac{3}{4}}}e^{-c\frac{(y(\psi_2(x,y);\bar{u}))^2}{x+1}} \leq \frac{C}{(x+1)^{\frac{3}{4}}}e^{-c\frac{y^2}{x+1}}.$$

Summing up the estimates above and using (2.12), we can conclude our result.

6.3. Decay estimate of $\partial_x \partial_y u$.

Proposition 6.3. There exist positive constants c, C and N such that for any $(x, y) \in (N, +\infty) \times \mathbf{R}_+$,

$$|\partial_{xy}u(x,y)| \le \frac{C}{(x+1)^{\frac{3}{4}}}e^{-c\frac{y^2}{x+1}}$$

Proof. We use ∂_x to denote the derivative in Euler coordinates (x, y) and $\partial_{\tilde{x}}$ to denote the derivative in Von Mises coordinates (\tilde{x}, ψ) . By (1.3) and (1.4), we get

$$\partial_x (2\partial_y u) = \partial_{\psi \tilde{x}} w + \partial_x \psi \partial_{\psi}^2 w = \partial_{\psi \tilde{x}} w + \partial_x \psi \frac{\partial_x w}{\sqrt{w}}$$
$$\partial_x \psi = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{w} \int_0^\psi w^{-\frac{3}{2}} \partial_{\tilde{x}} w d\psi'.$$

From Lemma 2.2, Proposition 4.4 and (2.11), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x \psi(x,y)| &\leq C \Big| \int_0^{\sqrt{x+1}} w^{-\frac{3}{2}} \partial_{\tilde{x}} w d\psi + \int_{\sqrt{x+1}}^{+\infty} w^{-\frac{3}{2}} \partial_{\tilde{x}} w d\psi \Big| \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+1}} \int_0^1 h^{-\frac{3}{2}} h dh + \frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}} \int_1^{+\infty} e^{-ch^2} dh \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{x+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.12) and $d\psi = \sqrt{x+1}dh$. Then our result follows from Theorem 1.8 and Proposition 4.4.

Acknowledgments

Y. Wang is supported by NSFC under Grant 12001383. Z. Zhang is partially supported by NSF of China under Grant 12171010.

References

- A. Dalibard and N. Masmoudi, Separation for the stationary Prandtl equation, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci., 130 (2019), 187-297.
- [2] W. E and B. Engquist, Blowup of solutions of the unsteady Prandtl's equation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 50(1997), 1287-1293.
- [3] Y. Guo and S. Iyer, Validity of steady Prandtl layer expansions, arXiv:1805.05891.
- [4] Y. Guo and S. Iyer, Regularity and expansion for steady Prandtl equations, Comm. Math. Phys., 382 (2021), 1403-1447.
- [5] S. Iyer, On global-in-x stability of Blasius profiles, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 237(2020), 951-998.

- S. Iyer and N. Masmoudi, Global-in-x stability of Steady Prandtl Expansions for 2D Navier-Stokes Flows, arXiv:2008.12347v1.
- [7] I. Kukavica, V. Vicol and F. Wang, The van Dommelen and shen singularity in the Prandtl equitons, Adv. Math., 307(2017), 288-311.
- [8] O. A. Oleinik and V. N. Samokhin, Mathematical models in boundary layer theory, Applied Mathematics and Mathematical Computation 15 Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, Fla., 1999.
- [9] J. Serrin, Asymptotic behavior of velocity profiles in the Prandtl boundary layer theory, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A, 299(1967), 491-507.
- [10] W. Shen, Y. Wang and Z. Zhang, Boundary Layer Separation and Local behavior for the steady Prandtl equation, Adv. Math., 389 (2021), Paper No. 107896.
- [11] Y. Wang and Z. Zhang, Global C[∞] regularity of the steady Prandtl equation with favorable pressure gradient, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anihpc.2021.02.007.
- [12] Z. Xin and L. Zhang, On the global existence of solutions to the Prandtl system, Adv. Math., 181(2004), 88-133.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CAPITAL NORMAL UNIVERSITY,100048, BEIJING, CHINA *Email address*: yuewang370pku.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY, 100871, BEIJING, CHINA *Email address*: zfzhang@math.pku.edu.cn