

EIGENVALUES OF THE STURM-LIOUVILLE PROBLEM WITH A FROZEN ARGUMENT ON TIME SCALES

ZEYNEP DURNA AND A. SINAN OZKAN

ABSTRACT. In this study, we consider a boundary value problem generated by the Sturm-Liouville problem with a frozen argument and with non-separated boundary conditions on a time scale. Firstly, we present some solutions and characteristic function of the problem on an arbitrary bounded time scale. Secondly, we prove some properties of eigenvalues and obtain a formulation for the eigenvalues-number on a finite time scale. Finally, we give an asymptotic formula for eigenvalues of the problem on another special time scale: $\mathbb{T} = [\alpha, \delta_1] \cup [\delta_2, \beta]$.

1. Introduction

A Sturm-Liouville equation with a frozen argument has the form

$$-y''(t) + q(t)y(a) = \lambda y(t),$$

where $q(t)$ is the potential function, a is the frozen argument and λ is the complex spectral parameter. The spectral analysis of boundary value problems generated with this equation is studied in several publications [3], [11], [12], [20], [27] and references therein. This kind problems are related strongly to non-local boundary value problems and appear in various applications [4], [8], [25] and [32].

A Sturm-Liouville equation with a frozen argument on a time scale \mathbb{T} can be given as

$$(1) \quad -y^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + q(t)y(a) = \lambda y^\sigma(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa^2}$$

where $y^{\Delta\Delta}$ and σ denote the second order Δ -derivative of y and forward jump operator on \mathbb{T} , respectively, $q(t)$ is a real-valued continuous function, $a \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa := \mathbb{T} \setminus (\rho(\sup \mathbb{T}), \sup \mathbb{T}]$, $y^\sigma(t) = y(\sigma(t))$ and $\mathbb{T}^{\kappa^2} = (\mathbb{T}^\kappa)^\kappa$.

Spectral properties the classical Sturm-Liouville problem on time scales were given in various publications (see e.g. [1], [2], [5], [6], [13]-[19], [21]-[24], [28]-[31] and references therein). However, there is no any publication about the Sturm-Liouville equation with a frozen argument on a time scale.

In the present paper, we consider a boundary value problem which is generated by equation (1) and the following boundary conditions

$$(2) \quad U(y) \quad : \quad = a_{11}y(\alpha) + a_{12}y^\Delta(\alpha) + a_{21}y(\beta) + a_{22}y^\Delta(\beta)$$

$$(3) \quad V(y) \quad : \quad = b_{11}y(\alpha) + b_{12}y^\Delta(\alpha) + b_{21}y(\beta) + b_{22}y^\Delta(\beta)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 45C05, 34N05, 34B24, 34C10.

Key words and phrases. Dynamic equations on time scales or measure chains, Eigenvalue problems, Sturm-Liouville theory, frozen argument.

where $\alpha = \inf \mathbb{T}$, $\beta = \rho(\sup \mathbb{T})$, $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i, j = 1, 2$. We aim to give some properties of some solutions and eigenvalues of (1)-(3) for two different cases of \mathbb{T}

For the basic notation and terminology of time scales theory, we recommend to see [7], [9], [10] and [26].

2. Preliminaries

Let $S(t, \lambda)$ and $C(t, \lambda)$ be the solutions of (1) under the initial conditions

$$(4) \quad S(a, \lambda) = 0, S^\Delta(a, \lambda) = 1,$$

$$(5) \quad C(a, \lambda) = 1, C^\Delta(a, \lambda) = 0,$$

respectively. Clearly, $S(t, \lambda)$ and $C(t, \lambda)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\Delta\Delta}(t, \lambda) + \lambda S^\sigma(t, \lambda) &= 0 \\ C^{\Delta\Delta}(t, \lambda) + \lambda C^\sigma(t, \lambda) &= q(t), \end{aligned}$$

respectively and so these functions and their Δ -derivatives are entire on λ for each fixed t (see [28]).

Lemma 1. *Let $\varphi(t, \lambda)$ be the solution of (1) under the initial conditions $\varphi(a, \lambda) = \delta_1$, $\varphi^\Delta(a, \lambda) = \delta_2$ for given numbers δ_1, δ_2 . Then $\varphi(t, \lambda) = \delta_1 C(t, \lambda) + \delta_2 S(t, \lambda)$ is valid on \mathbb{T} .*

Proof. It is clear that the function $y(t, \lambda) = \delta_1 C(t, \lambda) + \delta_2 S(t, \lambda)$ is the solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} y^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + \lambda y^\sigma(t) &= q(t)\delta_1 \\ y(a, \lambda) &= \delta_1 \\ y^\Delta(a, \lambda) &= \delta_2. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain by taking into account uniqueness of the solution of an initial value problem that $y(t, \lambda) = \varphi(t, \lambda)$. \square

Consider the function

$$(6) \quad \Delta(\lambda) : \det \begin{pmatrix} U(C) & V(C) \\ U(S) & V(S) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is obvious $\Delta(\lambda)$ is also entire.

Theorem 1. *The zeros of the function $\Delta(\lambda)$ coincide with the eigenvalues of the problem (1)-(3).*

Proof. Let λ_0 be an eigenvalue and $y(t, \lambda_0) = \delta_1 C(t, \lambda_0) + \delta_2 S(t, \lambda_0)$ is the corresponding eigenfunction, then $y(t, \lambda_0)$ satisfies (2) and (3). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 U(C(t, \lambda_0)) + \delta_2 U(S(t, \lambda_0)) &= 0, \\ \delta_1 V(C(t, \lambda_0)) + \delta_2 V(S(t, \lambda_0)) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $y(t, \lambda_0) \neq 0$ iff the coefficients-determinant of the above system vanishes, i.e., $\Delta(\lambda_0) = 0$. \square

Since $\Delta(\lambda)$ is an entire function, eigenvalues of the problem (1)-(3) are discrete.

3. Eigenvalues of (1)-(3) on a finite time scale

Let \mathbb{T} be a finite time scale such that there are m (or r) many elements which are larger (or smaller) than a in \mathbb{T} . Assume $m \geq 1$, $r \geq 0$ and $r + m \geq 2$. It is clear that the number of elements of \mathbb{T} is $n = m + r + 1$. We can write \mathbb{T} as follows

$$\mathbb{T} = \{\rho^r(a), \rho^{r-1}(a), \dots, \rho^2(a), \rho(a), a, \sigma(a), \sigma^2(a), \dots, \sigma^{m-1}(a), \sigma^m(a)\},$$

where $\sigma^j = \sigma^{j-1} \circ \sigma$, $\rho^j = \rho^{j-1} \circ \rho$ for $j \geq 2$, $\rho^r(a) = \alpha$ and $\sigma^{m-1}(a) = \beta$.

Lemma 2. *i) If $r \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$, the following equalities hold for all λ*

$$S(\alpha, \lambda) = (-1)^r \mu^\rho(a) \left[\mu^{\rho^2}(a) \mu^{\rho^3}(a) \dots \mu^{\rho^r}(a) \right]^2 \lambda^{r-1} + O(\lambda^{r-2})$$

$$S^\sigma(\alpha, \lambda) = (-1)^{r-1} \mu^\rho(a) \left[\mu^{\rho^2}(a) \mu^{\rho^3}(a) \dots \mu^{\rho^{r-1}}(a) \right]^2 \lambda^{r-2} + O(\lambda^{r-3})$$

$$S(\beta, \lambda) = S^{\sigma^{m-1}}(a, \lambda) = (-1)^m \left[\mu(a) \mu^\sigma(a) \dots \mu^{\sigma^{m-3}}(a) \right]^2 \lambda^{m-2} \mu^{\sigma^{m-2}}(a) + O(\lambda^{m-3})$$

$$S^\sigma(\beta, \lambda) = S^m(a, \lambda) = (-1)^{m+1} \left[\mu(a) \mu^\sigma(a) \dots \mu^{\sigma^{m-2}}(a) \right]^2 \lambda^{m-1} \mu^{\sigma^{m-1}}(a) + O(\lambda^{m-2})$$

$$C(\alpha, \lambda) = (-1)^r \left[\mu^\rho(a) \mu^{\rho^2}(a) \dots \mu^{\rho^r}(a) \right]^2 \lambda^r + O(\lambda^{r-1})$$

$$C^\sigma(\alpha, \lambda) = (-1)^{r-1} \left[\mu^\rho(a) \mu^{\rho^2}(a) \dots \mu^{\rho^{r-1}}(a) \right]^2 \lambda^{r-1} + O(\lambda^{r-2})$$

$$C(\beta, \lambda) = C^{\sigma^{m-1}}(a, \lambda) = (-1)^m \mu(a) \left[\mu^\sigma(a) \mu^{\sigma^2}(a) \dots \mu^{\sigma^{m-3}}(a) \right]^2 \mu^{\sigma^{m-2}}(a) \lambda^{m-2} + O(\lambda^{m-3})$$

$$C^\sigma(\beta, \lambda) = C^m(a, \lambda) = (-1)^{m+1} \mu(a) \left[\mu^\sigma(a) \mu^{\sigma^2}(a) \dots \mu^{\sigma^{m-2}}(a) \right]^2 \mu^{\sigma^{m-1}}(a) \lambda^{m-1} + O(\lambda^{m-2}),$$

where $O(\lambda^l)$ denotes polynomials whose degrees are l .

ii) If $r \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ or $m \in \{0, 1\}$, degrees of all above functions are vanish.

Proof. It is clear from $f^\sigma(t) = f(t) + \mu(t)f^\Delta(t)$ that $S^\sigma(a, \lambda) = \mu(a)$ and $C^\sigma(a, \lambda) = 1$. On the other hand, since $S(t, \lambda)$ and $C(t, \lambda)$ satisfy (1) then the following equalities hold for each $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$ and for all λ .

$$(7) \quad S^{\sigma^2}(t, \lambda) = \left(1 + \frac{\mu(t)}{\mu^\sigma(t)} - \lambda \mu(t) \mu^\sigma(t) - \lambda \mu^2(t) \mu^\sigma(t) \right) S^\sigma(t, \lambda) - \mu^\sigma(t) S(t, \lambda)$$

$$(8) \quad C^{\sigma^2}(t, \lambda) = \left(-\mu(t) \mu^\sigma(t) \lambda + \frac{\mu(t) + \mu^\sigma(t)}{\mu(t)} \right) C^\sigma(t, \lambda) + \mu(t) \mu^\sigma(t) q(t)$$

It can be calculated from (7) and (8) that

$$(9) \quad S^{\sigma^j}(a, \lambda) = (-1)^{j+1} \left(\mu(a) \mu^\sigma(a) \dots \mu^{\sigma^{j-2}}(a) \right)^2 \mu^{\sigma^{j-1}}(a) \lambda^{j-1} + O(\lambda^{j-2})$$

$$(10) \quad S^{\rho^j}(a, \lambda) = (-1)^j \mu^\rho(a) \left(\mu^{\rho^2}(a) \mu^{\rho^3}(a) \dots \mu^{\rho^j}(a) \right)^2 \lambda^{j-1} + O(\lambda^{j-2})$$

$$(11) \quad C^{\sigma^k}(a, \lambda) = (-1)^{k+1} \mu(a) \left(\mu^\sigma(a) \mu^{\sigma^2}(a) \dots \mu^{\sigma^{k-2}}(a) \right)^2 \mu^{\sigma^{k-1}}(a) \lambda^{k-1} + O(\lambda^{k-2})$$

$$(12) \quad C^{\rho^k}(a, \lambda) = (-1)^k \left(\mu^\rho(a) \mu^{\rho^2}(a) \dots \mu^{\rho^k}(a) \right)^2 \lambda^k + O(\lambda^{k-1})$$

for $j = 2, 3, \dots, m$ and $k = 2, 3, \dots, r$. Using (9)-(12) and taking into account $\alpha = \rho^r(a)$ and $\beta = \sigma^{m-1}(\alpha)$ we have our desired relations. \square

Corollary 1. $\deg C(\alpha, \lambda) S^\sigma(\beta, \lambda) = \begin{cases} r + m - 1, & r > 0 \text{ and } m > 1 \\ 1, & \text{the other cases} \end{cases}$,

Lemma 3. *The following equalities hold for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.*

$$\begin{aligned} S^\sigma(\alpha, \lambda) C(\alpha, \lambda) - S(\alpha, \lambda) C^\sigma(\alpha, \lambda) &= A \lambda^\delta + O(\lambda^{\delta-1}) \\ S^\sigma(\beta, \lambda) C(\beta, \lambda) - S(\beta, \lambda) C^\sigma(\beta, \lambda) &= B \lambda^\gamma + O(\lambda^{\gamma-1}) \end{aligned}$$

where $A = (-1)^r \mu(\alpha) \mu^\rho(a) \left[\mu^{\rho^2}(a) \dots \mu^{\rho^{r-1}}(a) \right]^2 \mu^{\rho^r}(a) q(\alpha)$,

$B = (-1)^{m-1} \mu(\beta) \left[\mu(a) \mu^\sigma(a) \dots \mu^{\sigma^{m-2}}(a) \right]^2 q(\rho(\beta))$,

$$\delta = \begin{cases} r - 2, & r \geq 3 \\ 0, & r < 3 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \begin{cases} m - 2, & m \geq 3 \\ 0, & m < 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Consider the function

$$(13) \quad \varphi(t, \lambda) := \frac{1}{\mu(t)} [S^\sigma(t, \lambda) C(t, \lambda) - S(t, \lambda) C^\sigma(t, \lambda)]$$

It is clear that

$$\varphi(t, \lambda) := [S^\Delta(t, \lambda) C(t, \lambda) - S(t, \lambda) C^\Delta(t, \lambda)] = W[C(t, \lambda), S(t, \lambda)]$$

and it is the solution of initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^\Delta(t) &= -q(t) S^\sigma(t, \lambda) \\ \varphi(a) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can obtain the following relations

$$(14) \quad \varphi^\sigma(t, \lambda) = \varphi(t, \lambda) - \mu(t) q(t) S^\sigma(t, \lambda),$$

$$(15) \quad \varphi^\rho(t, \lambda) = \varphi(t, \lambda) + \mu^\rho(t) q(\rho(t)) S(t, \lambda).$$

By using (9), (10), (14) and (15), the proof is completed. \square

Corollary 2. *i) $\deg(S^\sigma(\alpha, \lambda)C(\alpha, \lambda) - S(\alpha, \lambda)C^\sigma(\alpha, \lambda)) < \deg C(\alpha, \lambda)S^\sigma(\beta, \lambda)$,*

ii) $\deg(S^\sigma(\beta, \lambda)C(\beta, \lambda) - S(\beta, \lambda)C^\sigma(\beta, \lambda)) < \deg C(\alpha, \lambda)S^\sigma(\beta, \lambda)$.

The next theorem gives the number of eigenvalues of the problem (1)-(3) on \mathbb{T} . Recall $n = m + r + 1$ denotes the number of elements of \mathbb{T} and put $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}\mu(\alpha) - a_{12} & b_{11}\mu(\alpha) - b_{12} \\ a_{22} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix}$.

Theorem 2. *If $\det A \neq 0$, the problem (1)-(3) has exactly $n - 2$ many eigenvalues with multiplications, otherwise the eigenvalues-number of (1)-(3) is least than $n - 2$.*

Proof. Since \mathbb{T} is finite, $\Delta(\lambda)$ is a polynomial and its degree gives the number eigenvalues of the problem. It can be calculated from (6)-(14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{\mu(\alpha)\mu(\beta)} \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}\mu(\alpha) - a_{12} & b_{11}\mu(\alpha) - b_{12} \\ a_{22} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} C(\alpha, \lambda)S^\sigma(\beta, \lambda) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{\mu(\alpha)} \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ b_{11} & b_{12} \end{pmatrix} (S^\sigma(\alpha, \lambda)C(\alpha, \lambda) - S(\alpha, \lambda)C^\sigma(\alpha, \lambda)) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{\mu(\beta)} \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} (S^\sigma(\beta, \lambda)C(\beta, \lambda) - S(\beta, \lambda)C^\sigma(\beta, \lambda)) + O(\lambda^{n+m-2}). \end{aligned}$$

According to Corollary 1 and Corollary 2, if $\det A \neq 0$, $\deg \Delta(\lambda) = \deg C(\alpha, \lambda)S^\sigma(\beta, \lambda) = m + r - 1 = n - 2$. \square

Corollary 3. *i) The eigenvalues-number of (1)-(3) depends only on the elements-number of \mathbb{T} and the coefficients of the boundary conditions (2) and (3). On the other hand, it does not depend on $q(t)$ and a (neither value nor location of a on \mathbb{T}).
ii) If $\det A \neq 0$, the eigenvalues-number of (1)-(3) and the elements-number of \mathbb{T} determine uniquely each other.*

Example 1 (Separated boundary conditions). *Let us consider the time scale $\mathbb{T} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$ and the following boundary value problem which appears some applications.*

$$(16) \quad -y^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + q(t)y(a) = \lambda y(t+1), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa^2} = \{0, 1, \dots, n-3\}$$

$$(17) \quad y^\Delta(0) + hy(0) = 0$$

$$(18) \quad y^\Delta(n-2) + Hy(n-2) = 0,$$

where $0 \leq a \leq n - 2$ and $h, H \in \mathbb{R}$. According to Theorem 2, if $h \neq 1$, the eigenvalues-number of this problem is exactly $n - 2$, otherwise less than $n - 2$.

Now, we want to give a theorem which includes some informations about the eigenvalues of (16)-(18).

Theorem 3. Let $Q = Q_1 + Q_2$,

$$Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 - \frac{1}{1-h} & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1-H \end{pmatrix}_{(n-2) \times (n-2)}$$

and $Q_2 = (q_{ij})_{(n-2) \times (n-2)}$, where $q_{ij} = \begin{cases} q(i-1), & j = a \\ 0, & j \neq a \end{cases}$ and $h \in \mathbb{R} - \{1\}$.

The problem (16)-(18) and the matrix Q have the same eigenvalues.

Proof. Let $y(t)$ be an eigenfunction of (16)-(18). Since $y^\Delta(t) = y(t+1) - y(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$ and $y^{\Delta\Delta}(t) = y(t+2) - 2y(t+1) + y(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa^2}$, we can write (16)-(18) as follows

$$(19) \quad y(t+2) - 2y(t+1) + y(t) = q(t)y(a) - \lambda y(t+1), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa^2},$$

$$(20) \quad y(1) = (h-1)y(0),$$

$$(21) \quad y(n) = (1-H)y(n-1).$$

We obtain from (19)-(21) a linear system whose coefficients-matrix is $\lambda I - Q$. Therefore it is concluded that the boundary value problem (16)-(18) and the matrix Q have the same eigenvalues. \square

Remark 1. The result in the Theorem 3 can be generalized easily to (1)-(3) on the general discrete time scale.

Remark 2. As is known, all eigenvalues of the classical Sturm-Liouville problem with separated boundary conditions on time scales are real and algebraically simple [2]. However, the Sturm-Liouville problem with the frozen argument may have non-real or non-simple eigenvalues even if it is equipped with separated boundary conditions.

We end this section with two examples. The problem in the first example has non-real or non-simple eigenvalues, unlike, all eigenvalues of the latter problem are real and simple.

Example 2. Consider the following problem on $\mathbb{T} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$.

$$L_1 : \begin{cases} -y^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + q_1(t)y(3) = \lambda y^\sigma(t), \quad t \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \\ y^\Delta(0) + \frac{1}{2}y(0) = 0 \\ y^\Delta(4) + y(4) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $q_1(t) = \begin{cases} -3 & t=0 \\ 10 & t=1 \\ -5 & t=2 \\ 1 & t=3 \end{cases}$. According to Theorem 3, eigenvalues of L_1 coincide

with eigenvalues of the matrix $Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -3 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and they are $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$, $\lambda_3 = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}i\sqrt{7}$, $\lambda_4 = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}i\sqrt{7}$.

Example 3. Consider the following problem on $\mathbb{T} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$.

$$L_2 : \begin{cases} -y^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + q_2(t)y(4) = \lambda y^\sigma(t), & t \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \\ y^\Delta(0) = 0 \\ y^\Delta(4) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $q_2(t) = t$.

Clearly, eigenvalues of L_2 coincide with eigenvalues of $Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$

and they are $\lambda_1 = 2 + \sqrt{3}$, $\lambda_2 = 2 - \sqrt{3}$, $\lambda_3 = 3$, $\lambda_4 = 2$.

4. Eigenvalues of (1)-(3) on the time scale $\mathbb{T} = [\alpha, \delta_1] \cup [\delta_2, \beta]$

In this section, we investigate eigenvalues of the problem (1)-(3) on another special time scale: $\mathbb{T} = [\alpha, \delta_1] \cup [\delta_2, \beta]$, where $\alpha < a < \delta_1 < \delta_2 < \beta$. We assume that $a \in (\alpha, \delta_1)$. The similar results can be obtained in the case when $a \in (\delta_2, \beta)$.

The following relations are valid on $[\alpha, \delta_1]$ (see [11]).

$$\begin{aligned} S(t, \lambda) &= \frac{\sin \sqrt{\lambda}(t-a)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \\ C(t, \lambda) &= \cos \sqrt{\lambda}(t-a) + \int_a^t \frac{\sin \sqrt{\lambda}(t-\xi)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} q(\xi) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

The following asymptotic relations for the solutions $S(t, \lambda)$ and $C(t, \lambda)$ can be proved by using a method similar to one in [29].

(22)

$$S(t, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin \sqrt{\lambda}(t-a)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, & t \in [\alpha, \delta_1], \\ \delta^2 \sqrt{\lambda} \cos \sqrt{\lambda}(\delta_1 - a) \sin \sqrt{\lambda}(\delta_2 - t) + O(\exp |\tau|(\delta + a - t)), & t \in [\delta_2, \beta], \end{cases}$$

(23)

$$S^\Delta(t, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \cos \sqrt{\lambda}(t-a), & t \in [\alpha, \delta_1], \\ -\delta^2 \lambda \cos \sqrt{\lambda}(\delta_1 - a) \cos \sqrt{\lambda}(\delta_2 - t) + O(\sqrt{\lambda} \exp |\tau|(\delta + a - t)), & t \in [\delta_2, \beta], \end{cases}$$

$$(24) \quad C(t, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \cos \sqrt{\lambda} (t - a) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \exp |\tau| |t - a|\right), & t \in [\alpha, \delta_1], \\ -\delta^2 \lambda \sin \sqrt{\lambda} (\delta_1 - a) \sin \sqrt{\lambda} (\delta_2 - t) + O\left(\sqrt{\lambda} \exp |\tau| (\delta + a - t)\right), & t \in [\delta_2, \beta], \end{cases}$$

$$(25) \quad C^\Delta(t, \lambda) = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{\lambda} \sin \sqrt{\lambda} (t - a) + O(\exp |\tau| |t - a|), & t \in [\alpha, \delta_1], \\ \delta^2 \lambda^{3/2} \sin \sqrt{\lambda} (\delta_1 - a) \cos \sqrt{\lambda} (\delta_2 - t) + O(\lambda \exp |\tau| (\delta + a - t)), & t \in [\delta_2, \beta], \end{cases}$$

where $\delta = \delta_2 - \delta_1$, $\tau = \text{Im} \sqrt{\lambda}$ and O denotes Landau's symbol.

Lemma 4. *The following equalities hold for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}$.*

$$C^\Delta(t, \lambda)S(t, \lambda) - C(t, \lambda)S^\Delta(t, \lambda) = O(\exp |\tau| (\beta - \alpha - \delta))$$

Proof. It is clear the function

$$\varphi(t, \lambda) := C^\Delta(t, \lambda)S(t, \lambda) - C(t, \lambda)S^\Delta(t, \lambda)$$

satisfies initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^\Delta(t) &= q(t)S^\sigma(t, \lambda), \quad t \in [\alpha, \delta_1] \\ \varphi(a) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^\Delta(t) &= q(t)S^\sigma(t, \lambda), \quad t \in [\delta_2, \beta] \\ \varphi(\delta_2) &= \varphi(\delta_1) + \delta q(\delta_1)S(\delta_2, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get proof by using (22). \square

Theorem 4. *i) The problem (1)-(3) on $\mathbb{T} = [\alpha, \delta_1] \cup [\delta_2, \beta]$ has countable many eigenvalues such as $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 0}$.*

ii) The numbers $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ are real for sufficiently large n .

iii) If $a_{22}b_{12} - a_{12}b_{22} \neq 0$ and $\beta - \delta_2 = \delta_1 - \alpha$, the following asymptotic formula holds for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$(26) \quad \sqrt{\lambda_n} = \frac{(n-1)\pi}{2(\beta - \delta_2)} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

Proof. The proof of (i) is obvious, since $\Delta(\lambda)$ is entire on λ .

By calculating directly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\lambda) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} U(C) & V(C) \\ U(S) & V(S) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (a_{22}b_{12} - a_{12}b_{22}) [C^\Delta(\beta, \lambda)S^\Delta(\alpha, \lambda) - C^\Delta(\alpha, \lambda)S^\Delta(\beta, \lambda)] + \\ &\quad + (a_{22}b_{21} - a_{21}b_{22}) [C^\Delta(\beta, \lambda)S(\beta, \lambda) - C(\beta, \lambda)S^\Delta(\beta, \lambda)] + \\ &\quad + (a_{12}b_{11} - a_{11}b_{12}) [C^\Delta(\alpha, \lambda)S(\alpha, \lambda) - C(\alpha, \lambda)S^\Delta(\alpha, \lambda)] \\ &\quad + O(\lambda \exp |\tau| (\beta - \alpha - \delta)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (22)-(25) and Lemma 4 that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\lambda) &= (a_{22}b_{12} - a_{12}b_{22})\delta^2\lambda^{3/2}\sin\sqrt{\lambda}(\delta_1 - \alpha)\cos\sqrt{\lambda}(\beta - \delta_2) \\ &\quad + O(\lambda\exp|\tau|(\beta - \alpha - \delta))\end{aligned}$$

is valid for $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$. Since $a_{22}b_{12} - a_{12}b_{22} \neq 0$ and $\beta - \delta_2 = \delta_1 - \alpha$, the numbers $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ are roots of

$$(27) \quad \lambda^2 \frac{\sin 2\sqrt{\lambda}(\beta - \delta_2)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + O(\lambda\exp 2|\tau|(\beta - \delta_2)) = 0.$$

Now, we consider the region

$$G_n := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda = \rho^2, |\rho| < \frac{n\pi}{2(\beta - \delta_2)} + \varepsilon\}$$

where ε is sufficiently small number. There exist some positive constants C_ε such that, $\left| \lambda^2 \frac{\sin 2\sqrt{\lambda}(\beta - \delta_2)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right| \geq C_\varepsilon |\lambda|^{3/2} \exp 2|\tau|(\beta - \delta_2)$ for sufficiently large $\lambda \in \partial G_n$.

Thus, we establish the proof of (ii). On the other hand by using Rouché's theorem to (27) on G_n , we can show clearly that (26) holds for sufficiently large n . \square

Remark 3. Since $\mu(\alpha) = 0$ in the considered time scale, the term $a_{22}b_{12} - a_{12}b_{22}$ is not another than $\det A$ in section 3.

Acknowledgement 1. This work does not have any conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adalar, İ., Ozkan, A.S.: An interior inverse Sturm–Liouville problem on a time scale, Analysis and Mathematical Physics, 10, 1-9, (2020)
- [2] Agarwal, R.P., Bohner, M., Wong, P.J.Y.: Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problems on time scales. Appl. Math. Comput. 99, 153–166 (1999)
- [3] Albeverio S., Hryniv, R.O., Nizhink, L.P.: Inverse Spectral Problems for non-local Sturm Liouville operators, 2007-523-535, (1975)
- [4] Albeverio S., Nizhnik, L.: Schrödinger operators with nonlocal point interactions J. Math. Anal. Appl., 332(2), 884-895, (2007)
- [5] Amster, P., De Nápoli, P., Pinasco, J.P.: Eigenvalue distribution of second-order dynamic equations on time scales considered as fractals. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 343, 573–584 (2008)
- [6] Amster, P., De Nápoli, P., Pinasco, J.P.: Detailed asymptotic of eigenvalues on time scales, J. Differ. Equ. Appl. 15 pp. 225–231 (2009)
- [7] Atkinson, F.: Discrete and Continuous Boundary Problems. Academic Press, New York (1964)
- [8] Berezin, F.A. and Faddeev, L.D.: Remarks on Schrödinger equation Sov. Math.—Dokl. 137, 1011–4, (1961)
- [9] Bohner, M., Peterson, A.: Dynamic Equations on Time Scales. Birkhäuser, Boston, MA (2001)
- [10] Bohner, M., Peterson, A.: Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales. Birkhäuser, Boston, MA (2003)
- [11] Bondarenko, N.P., Buterin, S.A., Vasiliev, S.V.: An inverse problem for Sturm–Liouville operators with frozen argument, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 472(1), 1028-1041, (2019)
- [12] Buterin, S., Kuznetsova, M. On the inverse problem for Sturm–Liouville-type operators with frozen argument: rational case, Comp. Appl. Math., 39(5), (2020)
- [13] Davidson, F.A., Rynne, B.P.: Global bifurcation on time scales. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 267, 345–360 (2002)
- [14] Davidson, F.A., Rynne, B.P.: Self-adjoint boundary value problems on time scales. Electron. J. Differ. Equ. 175, 1–10 (2007)
- [15] Davidson, F.A., Rynne, B.P.: Eigenfunction expansions in L^2 spaces for boundary value problems on time-scales. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 335, 1038–1051 (2007)

- [16] Erbe, L., Hilger, S.: Sturmian theory on measure chains. *Differ. Equ. Dyn. Syst.* 1, 223–244 (1993)
- [17] Erbe, L., Peterson, A.: Eigenvalue conditions and positive solutions. *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* 6, 165–191 (2000)
- [18] Guseinov, G.S.: Eigenfunction expansions for a Sturm-Liouville problem on time scales. *Int. J. Differ. Equ.* 2, 93–104.(2007)
- [19] Guseinov, G.S.: An expansion theorem for a Sturm-Liouville operator on semi-unbounded time scales. *Adv. Dyn. Syst. Appl.* 3, 147–160.(2008)
- [20] Hu, Y.T., Bondarenko, N.P., Yang, C.F.: Traces and inverse nodal problem for Sturm-Liouville operators with frozen argument, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 102, 106096, (2020)
- [21] Hilscher, R.S., Zemanek, P.: Weyl-Titchmarsh theory for time scale symplectic systems on half line. *Abstr. Appl. Anal. Art. ID 738520*, 41 pp.(2011)
- [22] Huseynov, A.: Limit point and limit circle cases for dynamic equations on time scales. *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* 39, 379–392 (2010)
- [23] Huseynov, A., Bairamov, E.: On expansions in eigenfunctions for second order dynamic equations on time scales. *Nonlinear Dyn. Syst. Theory* 9, 7–88 (2009)
- [24] Kong, Q.: Sturm-Liouville problems on time scales with separated boundary conditions. *Results Math.* 52, 111–121 (2008)
- [25] Krall, A.M. The development of general differential and general differential-boundary systems Rocky Mount. *J. Math.*, 5, 493–542, (1975)
- [26] Lakshmikantham, V., Sivasundaram, S., Kaymakçalan B.: *Dynamic Systems on Measure Chains*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, (1996)
- [27] Nizhink, L.P.: Inverse Eigenvalue Problems for non-local Sturm Liouville Problems, *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology*, 15(1), 41–47, (2009)
- [28] Ozkan, A.S.: Sturm-Liouville operator with parameter-dependent boundary conditions on time scales. *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, 212, 1–10, (2017)
- [29] Ozkan, A.S., Adalar, I.: Half-inverse Sturm-Liouville problem on a time scale. *Inverse Probl.* 36, 025015, (2020)
- [30] Rynne, B.P.: L_2 spaces and boundary value problems on time-scales. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 328, 1217–1236 (2007)
- [31] Sun, S., Bohner, M., Chen, S.: Weyl-Titchmarsh theory for Hamiltonian dynamic systems. *Abstr. Appl. Anal. Art. ID 514760*, 18 pp.(2010)
- [32] Wentzell, A.D.: On boundary conditions for multidimensional diffusion processes *Teor. Veroyatnost. Primenen.* 4 172–85 (1959) (in Russian)

Wentzell A D On boundary conditions for multidimensional diffusion processes *Theory Probab.* 4 164–77 (1959) (Engl. Transl.)

CUMHURİYET UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 58140, SIVAS, TURKEY

Email address: zeynepdurna14@gmail.com

CUMHURİYET UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 58140, SIVAS, TURKEY

Email address: sozkan@cumhuriyet.edu.tr