

Poisson Structures on Trivial Extension Algebras

D. García-Beltrán^{1†}, J. C. Ruíz-Pantaleón^{2‡} and Yu. Vorobiev^{3§}

[†] CONACyT Research–Fellow, Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Sonora, México

[‡] Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

[§] Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Sonora, México

E-mail: ¹ dennise.garcia@unison.mx, ² jcpanta@im.unam.mx, ³ yurimv@guaymas.uson.mx

Abstract. We present a class of Poisson structures on trivial extension algebras which generalize some known structures induced by Poisson modules and allow us to give an algebraic setting for the so-called infinitesimal Poisson algebras. We show that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between such class of Poisson structures and some data involving (not necessarily flat) contravariant derivatives, and then we give a formulation of this result in terms of Lie algebroids. Some examples coming from Poisson modules and Poisson submanifolds are given.

KEY WORDS: *Poisson algebra, trivial extension algebra, Lie algebroid, contravariant derivative, Poisson module, Poisson submanifold*

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B63, 17B60, 53D17, 16W25

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study Poisson structures on trivial extension algebras of a given Poisson algebra which are compatible with the given Poisson structure in a natural way. Such a class generalizes some known Poisson algebra structures induced by Poisson modules [2, 3, 4, 15]. One of our main motivations is to provide a general algebraic framework for the study of (infinitesimal) Poisson algebras that arise in the problem of constructing first-order approximations of Poisson structures around Poisson submanifolds [12] (see also [11]). We present a general algorithm for the construction of Poisson structures on trivial extension algebras based on the notion of a contravariant derivative [13]. In particular, the Poisson structures induced by Poisson modules are associated to flat contravariant derivatives.

Recall that, the *trivial extension algebra* $P_0 \times P_1$ of a commutative R -algebra P_0 by a P_0 -(bi)module P_1 is the commutative R -algebra consisting of the R -module $P_0 \oplus P_1$ with multiplication defined by

$$(f \oplus \eta) \cdot (g \oplus \xi) := fg \oplus (f\xi + g\eta), \quad f, g \in P_0, \eta, \xi \in P_1. \quad (1.1)$$

Here, by R we denote a commutative ring with unit.

If P_0 admits a Poisson algebra structure, it is well-known that every Poisson module P_1 over P_0 induces a Poisson structure on $P_0 \times P_1$. In this case, the natural projection $P_0 \times P_1 \rightarrow P_0$ is a Poisson morphism. This property is our starting point for constructing a more general class of Poisson structures on trivial extension algebras.

Trivial extension algebras has played an important role in several branches of algebra and geometry. In particular, they arise in the study of the infinitesimal geometry around Poisson submanifolds [12]. Recently, trivial extension algebras were characterized as some algebras on which every derivation is inner [1]. As is known, Poisson modules are closely related with the notion of flat contravariant derivative [2, 3]. This fact was exploited for the study of the semiclassical geometry associated with the quantization of the deformation of vector bundles over Poisson manifolds [2] (see also [4]).

In this paper, for a given Poisson algebra P_0 , we consider Poisson structures on the trivial extension algebra $P_0 \times P_1$ in (1.1) such that the natural projection $P_0 \times P_1 \rightarrow P_0$ is a Poisson morphism, which we call *admissible Poisson structures* (Definition 3.1). We show that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between admissible Poisson structures and data consisting of a (not necessarily flat) contravariant derivative, a Lie bracket on P_1 and a P_1 -valued mapping satisfying some compatibility conditions representing a factorization of the Jacobi identity (Theorem 4.4). Moreover, the data, which we call *Poisson triples* (Definition 4.1), parameterize admissible Poisson structures and the associated objects. In the case when the contravariant derivative is flat and is the only non-trivial data Poisson structures on $P_0 \times P_1$ induced by Poisson modules [2, 3, 4, 15] are recovered. We also show that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between admissible Poisson algebras and Lie algebroids [9, 10] on $\Omega_{P_0}^1 \oplus P_1$ satisfying the condition that the natural projection $\Omega_{P_0}^1 \oplus P_1 \rightarrow \Omega_{P_0}^1$ is a Lie algebroid morphism (Theorem 4.4). Here, $(\Omega_{P_0}^1, [,]_0, \varrho_0)$ is the Lie algebroid of the Poisson algebra P_0 [9, 7].

We describe the Casimir elements and the Poisson and Hamiltonian derivations of admissible Poisson algebras by means of Poisson triples. Furthermore, the space of all Hamiltonian derivations is completely

characterized in Theorem 5.11 and, in particular cases, the space of all Poisson derivations are also given in Corollary 5.15 and Proposition 5.16. These results can be used for the computation of the cohomology of the Poisson algebra [8] on $P_0 \times P_1$ and the generalization of the results obtained in [15]. These general results are also applied to the classes of admissible Poisson algebras associated to the Poisson modules and Poisson submanifolds.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall basic definitions. In section 3, we define admissible Poisson structures on trivial extension algebras and study some properties. In section 4, we show that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between admissible Poisson algebras, Poisson triples and a class of Lie algebroids. Finally, in Section 6, we study admissible Poisson algebras induced by Poisson modules and, in Section 7, the admissible Poisson algebras which arise in the infinitesimal geometry of Poisson submanifolds are described.

2 Preliminaries

Here, we recall some basic facts about Poisson algebras and Lie algebroids structures (for more details, see also [9, 10, 7]).

Poisson Algebras. Throughout this section P denotes an associative and commutative unital algebra, with product \cdot , over a commutative ring R with unit.

Definition 2.1 A Poisson structure on P is a Lie bracket $\{, \} : P \times P \rightarrow P$ compatible with the product by the so called Leibniz rule,

$$\{a, b \cdot c\} = \{a, b\} \cdot c + b \cdot \{a, c\},$$

for all $a, b, c \in P$.

The triple $\mathcal{P} = (P, \cdot, \{, \})$ is called a *Poisson (R -)algebra*. A *Poisson subalgebra* of a Poisson algebra \mathcal{P} is an associative subalgebra S of P which is closed under the Poisson structure, $\{S, S\} \subseteq S$. An associative ideal I of P is called *Poisson ideal* if it is also a Lie ideal with respect to the Poisson structure of \mathcal{P} , $\{P, I\} \subseteq I$.

A *Casimir* element of \mathcal{P} is an element $k \in P$ satisfying $\{k, a\} = 0$, for all $a \in P$. The Poisson subalgebra of such elements is denoted by $\text{Casim}(\mathcal{P})$.

Recall that a *derivation* of the commutative algebra P is an R -endomorphism $D : P \rightarrow P$ that satisfies the Leibniz rule: $D(ab) = D(a)b + aD(b)$, for all $a, b \in P$. We denote the P -module of all derivations of P by $\text{Der}(P)$.

A *derivation of a Poisson algebra* \mathcal{P} , or *Poisson derivation*, is a derivation $D \in \text{Der}(P)$ which is also a derivation of the Poisson structure, $D\{a, b\} = \{Da, b\} + \{a, Db\}$, for all $a, b \in P$. We denote by $\text{Poiss}(\mathcal{P})$ the Lie subalgebra of all derivations of \mathcal{P} .

Given an element $h \in P$, a derivation of the form $D = \text{ad}_h$ is called a *Hamiltonian derivation*, associated to the (Hamiltonian) element h , with respect to the Poisson structure $\{, \}$. Here, $\text{ad}_h : P \rightarrow P$ denotes the adjoint operator of h relative to $\{, \}$ defined by $\text{ad}_h(a) := \{h, a\}$, for all $a \in P$.

Every Hamiltonian derivation is a derivation of the Poisson algebra \mathcal{P} . The converse is not true, in general. By $\text{Ham}(\mathcal{P})$ we denote the Lie ideal of all Hamiltonian derivations of \mathcal{P} , $[\text{Der}(\mathcal{P}), \text{Ham}(\mathcal{P})] \subseteq \text{Ham}(\mathcal{P})$. Here, and in what follows, the bracket

$$[,] : \text{End}_R(P) \times \text{End}_R(P) \longrightarrow \text{End}_R(P) \tag{2.1}$$

will denote the commutator of R -endomorphisms of P defined by $[D_1, D_2] := D_1 \circ D_2 - D_2 \circ D_1$, for all $D_1, D_2 \in \text{End}_R(P)$.

An R -linear map $\phi : (P', \cdot', \{, \}') \mapsto (P, \cdot, \{, \})$ between two Poisson algebras is called a *Poisson morphism* if it is a morphism for both the commutative and Poisson structures,

$$\phi\{a, b\}' = \{\phi(a), \phi(b)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(a \cdot' b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b),$$

for all $a, b \in P$.

Example 2.2. The standard example of a Poisson algebra is given by a Poisson bivector field $\Pi \in \Gamma \wedge^2 \text{TM}$ on a smooth manifold M . In this case, we have that $P = C_M^\infty$ is the commutative algebra of smooth functions on M with pointwise multiplication and $\{f, g\}_\Pi := \Pi(df, dg)$ is the Poisson bracket on M . \triangleleft

Lie Algebroids. Let P be the associative and commutative R -algebra with unit. Let A be a P -module.

Definition 2.3 A Lie algebroid structure on A over P is a tuple $(\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket, \varrho)$, where $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket$ is a Lie bracket on A and $\varrho : A \rightarrow \text{Der}(P)$ is a morphism of P -modules and Lie algebras, called the anchor map,

$$\varrho\llbracket X, Y \rrbracket = \llbracket \varrho(X), \varrho(Y) \rrbracket; \quad (2.2)$$

satisfying the following ‘‘Leibniz rule’’ condition

$$\llbracket X, aY \rrbracket = a\llbracket X, Y \rrbracket + \varrho(X)(a)Y, \quad a \in P, \quad (2.3)$$

for all $X, Y \in A$.

The triple $\mathcal{A} = (A, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket, \varrho)$ is called a *Lie algebroid on A over P* .

Remark 2.4 If $\varrho = 0$, then a Lie algebroid structure on A over P is just a P -linear Lie bracket on A . If $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket = 0$ and $\varrho = 0$, then the triple $(A, 0, 0)$ is said to be the *trivial* Lie algebroid on A .

Remark 2.5 As is well-known, if A is a faithful module, then condition (2.3) implies (2.2) [9].

Remark 2.6 The definition of Lie algebroid, used in this work, is related with to the following concepts: pseudoLie-algebras, (K, R) - or (K, A) -Lie algebras, Elie Cartan spaces and pre-spaces, Lie modules, Lie–Cartan pairs, among others [9].

A *morphism of Lie algebroids*, between two Lie algebroids $(A', \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket', \varrho')$ and $(A, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket, \varrho)$ over the same commutative algebra P , is a morphism of P -modules $\varphi : A' \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\varphi\llbracket X', Y' \rrbracket' = \llbracket \varphi(X'), \varphi(Y') \rrbracket \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho' = \varrho \circ \varphi, \quad (2.4)$$

for all $X', Y' \in A'$.

The Lie Algebroid of a Poisson Algebra. For the associative and commutative R -algebra P with unit 1_P , we denote by Ω_P^1 the P -submodule of $\text{Der}(P)^*$ defined by the kernel of the multiplication $P \otimes_R P \rightarrow P$ and equipped with the R -derivation $d : P \rightarrow \Omega_P^1$ defined as

$$df := 1_P \otimes_R f - f \otimes_R 1_P, \quad f \in P.$$

By construction, it follows that

$$\Omega_P^1 = \text{span}_P\{df \mid f \in P\},$$

(for more details, see [5, 7]). Moreover, given an $\alpha \in \Omega_P^1$, we define $d\alpha$ through the usual formula

$$d\alpha(D_1, D_2) := D_1(\alpha(D_2)) - D_2(\alpha(D_1)) - \alpha([D_1, D_2]), \quad D_1, D_2 \in \text{Der}(P).$$

Now, suppose that $(P, \cdot, \{ \cdot, \cdot \})$ is a Poisson algebra. Extending the mapping $dh \rightarrow \text{ad}_h$ by P -linearity, we get a morphism $\varrho_P : \Omega_P^1 \rightarrow \text{Der}(P)$ uniquely defined by

$$\varrho_P(dh) := \text{ad}_h, \quad h \in P.$$

Then, the triple $(\Omega_P^1, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_P, \varrho_P)$ is a Lie algebroid on Ω_P^1 over P with Lie bracket $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_P$ given by

$$\llbracket \alpha, \beta \rrbracket_P := \mathbf{i}_{\varrho_P(\alpha)}d\beta - \mathbf{i}_{\varrho_P(\beta)}d\alpha + d(\beta(\varrho_P(\alpha))),$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega_P^1$ [8, 7]. Observe that if $\alpha = df$ and $\beta = dg$, for some $f, g \in P$, then $\llbracket df, dg \rrbracket_P = d\{f, g\}$.

Definition 2.7 The triple $(\Omega_P^1, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_P, \varrho_P)$ is called the Lie algebroid of the Poisson algebra $(P, \cdot, \{ \cdot, \cdot \})$.

Example 2.8. Every Poisson manifold (M, Π) induces the Lie algebroid $(A = \Gamma T^*M, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket = \{ \cdot, \cdot \}_{T^*M}, \varrho = \Pi^\sharp)$, called the cotangent Lie algebroid [14, 10, 6]. \triangleleft

Remark 2.9 If Ω_P^1 carries a Lie algebroid structure $(\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket, \varrho)$ over P , then we can define $\{f, g\}_\varrho := \varrho(df)(g)$, for any $f, g \in P$. If the anchor map ϱ is skew-symmetric, $df(\varrho(dg)) = -dg(\varrho(df))$, and the condition $\varrho(d\{f, g\}_\varrho) = \llbracket \varrho(df), \varrho(dg) \rrbracket$ holds, then the bracket $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}_\varrho$ defines a Poisson structure on P . In this case, it is said that the Lie algebroid structure is of *Poisson type* [7].

3 Admissible Poisson Algebras

In this section, we present a natural class of Poisson structures on trivial extension algebras of Poisson algebras.

Suppose we start with

- a Poisson R -algebra $\mathcal{P}_0 = (P_0, \cdot, \{, \}_0)$; and
- a P_0 -(bi)module P_1 ;

where R is a commutative ring with unit.

We denote by

$$P = P_0 \times P_1 \quad (3.1)$$

the trivial extension algebra of the commutative algebra P_0 by P_1 , see (1.1), and by

$$\text{pr}_0 : P \longrightarrow P_0, \quad \text{pr}_1 : P \longrightarrow P_1, \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\iota_0 : P_0 \hookrightarrow P_0 \oplus \{0\}, \quad \iota_1 : P_1 \hookrightarrow \{0\} \oplus P_1, \quad (3.3)$$

the canonical projections and the inclusion maps, respectively.

Definition 3.1 A Poisson structure $\{, \}$ on the trivial extension algebra P is said to be admissible if the projection $\text{pr}_0 : P \rightarrow P_0$ is a Poisson morphism,

$$\text{pr}_0\{f \oplus \eta, g \oplus \xi\} = \{f, g\}_0, \quad (3.4)$$

for all $f \oplus \eta$ and $g \oplus \xi$ in P .

Therefore, an admissible Poisson structure on P is compatible with multiplication (1.1) by the Leibniz rule. The pair $\mathcal{P} = (P_0 \times P_1, \{, \})$ will be called an *admissible Poisson algebra* (APA).

Remark 3.2 By definition of trivial extension algebra, the projection pr_0 is a morphism of commutative algebras: $\text{pr}_0[(f \oplus \eta) \cdot (g \oplus \xi)] = fg = \text{pr}_0(f \oplus \eta) \text{pr}_0(g \oplus \xi)$, for all $f \oplus \eta, g \oplus \xi \in P$.

Example 3.3. Let $(A, \cdot, \{, \}_0)$ be a Poisson algebra and $P_1 \subset A$ a Poisson ideal. If $P_0 = A/P_1$ is the quotient Poisson algebra, then the trivial extension algebra of P_0 by P_1 is an admissible Poisson algebra [3] with Poisson structure defined by

$$\{(f + P_1) \oplus f', (g + P_1) \oplus g'\} := (\{f, g\}_0 + P_1) \oplus (\{f, g'\}_0 - \{g, f'\}_0),$$

for $f, g \in A$ and $f', g' \in P_1$. ◁

Example 3.4. Let $(A, \cdot, \{, \})$ be a Poisson algebra and $P_1 \subset A$ a Poisson ideal such that $P_1^2 = 0$. If there exists a complementary subalgebra $P_0 \subset A$ to P_1 , $A = P_0 \oplus P_1$, then $(A, \cdot, \{, \})$ is an admissible Poisson algebra. ◁

Example 3.5. Let $\mathcal{P}_0 = (P_0, \cdot, \{, \}_0)$ be a Poisson algebra and P_1 the P_0 -module of $(k \times k)$ -matrices with entries in P_0 . Then, the tuple $\mathcal{P} = (P = P_0 \times P_1, \{, \})$ is an admissible Poisson algebra with Poisson structure given by

$$\{f \oplus A, g \oplus B\} = \{f, g\}_0 \oplus (D_{df}B - D_{dg}A + [[A, B]]), \quad (3.5)$$

for $f \oplus A, g \oplus B \in P$. Here, we define

$$(D_{g' df'}B)_{ij} := g'\{f', B_{ij}\}_0, \quad f', g' \in P_0; \quad (3.6)$$

and $[[,]]$ denotes the commutator of matrices. ◁

Moreover, in Sections 6 and 7 we present two important classes of admissible Poisson algebras coming from Poisson modules and the infinitesimal geometry of Poisson submanifolds.

Remark 3.6 An admissible Poisson structure on $P_0 \ltimes P_1$ does not always exist. A necessary condition is that the P_0 -module P_1 admits a contravariant derivative (Theorem 4.4), which is generally not the case [3].

Let $\mathcal{P} = (P, \{, \})$ be an admissible Poisson algebra. Definition 3.1 implies that the admissible Poisson structure of \mathcal{P} can be represented as

$$\{P, P\} = \{P_0, P_0\}_0 \oplus \text{pr}_1\{P, P\}. \quad (3.7)$$

So, it is completely determined by the second factor $\text{pr}_1\{P, P\}$. In particular, we have the following:

Lemma 3.7 *Let \mathcal{P} be an admissible Poisson algebra. The ideal $\{0\} \oplus P_1$ of the commutative algebra P is also a Poisson ideal of \mathcal{P} .*

Therefore, the P_0 -module P_1 inherits from \mathcal{P} a P_0 -linear Lie bracket $[,]_1$ defined by

$$[\eta, \xi]_1 := \text{pr}_1\{\iota_1\eta, \iota_1\xi\}, \quad \eta, \xi \in P_1. \quad (3.8)$$

Hence, taking into account Remark 2.4, we have the following result.

Proposition 3.8 *Every admissible Poisson structure on the trivial extension algebra P induces a Lie algebroid structure on P_1 over P_0 with Lie bracket defined in (3.8) and the zero anchor map.*

In addition to a P_0 -linear Lie bracket on P_1 , every admissible Poisson algebra induces two other data which are presented in the next section.

4 Poisson Triples

Here, we show that admissible Poisson algebras are parameterized by some data that we call Poisson triples involving the notion of (not necessarily flat) contravariant derivative. Moreover, such Poisson algebras are in correspondence with a special class of Lie algebroids.

Let P be the trivial extension algebra of P_0 by P_1 in (3.1) and

$$(\Omega_{P_0}^1, [,]_0 = [,]_{P_0}, \varrho_0 = \varrho_{P_0})$$

the Lie algebroid of the Poisson algebra $\mathcal{P}_0 = (P_0, \cdot, \{, \}_0)$, see Definition 2.7.

Suppose we are given a triple $([,], \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{K})$ consisting of

- a P_0 -linear Lie bracket $[,]$ on P_1 ;
- an R -bilinear mapping $\mathcal{D} : \Omega_{P_0}^1 \times P_1 \rightarrow P_1$, $(\alpha, \eta) \mapsto \mathcal{D}_\alpha\eta$, satisfying

$$\mathcal{D}_{f\alpha}\eta = f\mathcal{D}_\alpha\eta \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_\alpha(f\eta) = f\mathcal{D}_\alpha\eta + \varrho_0(\alpha)(f)\eta, \quad f \in P_0;$$

that is said to be a *contravariant derivative* on P_1 [13]; and

- a P_0 -linear skew-symmetric mapping $\mathcal{K} : \Omega_{P_0}^1 \times \Omega_{P_0}^1 \rightarrow P_1$.

Assume that the triple $([,], \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{K})$ satisfies the following conditions

$$[\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \text{ad}_\eta] = \text{ad}_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha\eta}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\text{ad}_{\mathcal{K}(\alpha, \beta)} = [\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{D}_\beta] - \mathcal{D}_{[\alpha, \beta]_0}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} \mathcal{D}_\alpha \mathcal{K}(\beta, \gamma) + \mathcal{K}(\alpha, [\beta, \gamma]_0) = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in P_0$ and $\eta \in P_1$. Here, $\text{ad}_\eta := [\eta, \cdot]$, the bracket $[,]$ is the commutator of endomorphism (2.1) and \mathfrak{S} denotes the cyclic sum.

Definition 4.1 *A triple $([,], \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{K})$ satisfying (4.1)–(4.3) is said to be a Poisson triple of the trivial extension algebra P .*

Conditions (4.1)–(4.3) can be interpreted as follows: equality (4.1) says that the contravariant derivative \mathcal{D} is a derivation of the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$, that is, $\mathcal{D}[\eta, \xi] = [\mathcal{D}\eta, \xi] + [\eta, \mathcal{D}\xi]$, for all $\eta, \xi \in P_1$. Condition (4.2) states that \mathcal{K} controls the *curvature* of \mathcal{D} ,

$$\text{Curv}^{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha, \beta) := [\mathcal{D}\alpha, \mathcal{D}\beta] - \mathcal{D}_{[\alpha, \beta]_0}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Omega_{P_0}^1; \quad (4.4)$$

in the sense that $\text{Curv}^{\mathcal{D}} = \text{ad}_{\mathcal{K}}$. In particular, the contravariant derivative \mathcal{D} is *flat*, $\text{Curv}^{\mathcal{D}} = 0$, if and only if \mathcal{K} takes values in the center of the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$. Finally, condition (4.3) is a Bianchi identity type for \mathcal{K} , meaning that $\partial^{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{K} = 0$, where $\partial^{\mathcal{D}}$ is the (contravariant) differential induced by \mathcal{D} , $(\partial^{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{K})(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) := \mathfrak{S}_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} \mathcal{D}\alpha \mathcal{K}(\beta, \gamma) + \mathcal{K}(\alpha, [\beta, \gamma]_0)$.

Remark 4.2 The difference $\mathcal{D}\alpha - \mathcal{D}'\alpha$, for two contravariant derivatives \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}' on P_1 , defines a P_0 -linear endomorphism of P_1 for each $\alpha \in \Omega_{P_0}^1$. So, given a P_0 -bilinear map $\Xi : \Omega_{P_0}^1 \times P_1 \rightarrow P_1$, the sum $\mathcal{D} + \Xi$ is a (new) contravariant derivative on P_1 .

Example 4.3. Let $\mathcal{P}_0 = (P_0, \cdot, \{, \}_0)$ be a Poisson algebra and P_1 the P_0 -module of $(k \times k)$ -matrices with entries in P_0 . Then, a Poisson triple of $P_0 \times P_1$ is given by

$$([\cdot, \cdot] = [[\cdot, \cdot]], \mathcal{D} = D, \mathcal{K} = 0), \quad (4.5)$$

where $[[\cdot, \cdot]]$ is the commutator of matrices and D is defined in (3.6). ◁

Now, let us consider the direct sum of the P_0 -modules $\Omega_{P_0}^1$ and P_1 ,

$$A = \Omega_{P_0}^1 \oplus P_1. \quad (4.6)$$

Let $([[\cdot, \cdot]], \varrho)$ be a Lie algebroid structure on A over P_0 . By (2.4), the canonical projection $\text{proj}_0 : A \rightarrow \Omega_{P_0}^1$ is a morphism of Lie algebroids if

$$\text{proj}_0[[\alpha \oplus \eta, \beta \oplus \xi]] = [\alpha, \beta]_0, \quad \alpha \oplus \eta, \beta \oplus \xi \in A; \quad (4.7)$$

and

$$\varrho = \varrho_0 \circ \text{proj}_0. \quad (4.8)$$

So, Lie algebroid structures on A for which proj_0 is a morphism of Lie algebroids are of the form $([[\cdot, \cdot]], \varrho_0 \circ \text{proj}_0)$, for some Lie bracket $[[\cdot, \cdot]]$ on A satisfying (4.7).

We arrive at the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.4 *Let $\mathcal{P}_0 = (P_0, \cdot, \{, \}_0)$ be a Poisson R -algebra and P_1 a P_0 -module. Consider the trivial extension algebra $P = P_0 \times P_1$ of the commutative algebra P_0 by P_1 . Then, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the sets of*

- (i) *the Poisson structures on P satisfying (3.4);*
- (ii) *the Poisson triples of P ;*
- (iii) *the Lie algebroid structures $([[\cdot, \cdot]], \varrho_0 \circ \text{proj}_0)$ on A over P_0 satisfying (4.7).*

As a consequence of this theorem, we conclude that the existence of a contravariant derivative on P_1 is a necessary condition for the trivial extension algebra P to admit an admissible Poisson structure, as mentioned in Remark 3.6.

Example 4.5. Let $\mathcal{P} = (P = P_0 \times P_1, \{, \}_0)$ be the admissible Poisson algebra (3.5) in Example 3.5. The corresponding Poisson triple of P is given in (4.5). The corresponding Lie algebroid structure $([[\cdot, \cdot]], \varrho_0 \circ \text{proj}_0)$ on $\Omega_{P_0}^1 \oplus P_1$ over P_0 is defined by the Lie bracket $[[df \oplus A, dg \oplus B]] := [df, dg]_0 \oplus (D_{df}B - D_{dg}A + [[A, B]])$, for all $f, g \in P_0$ and $A, B \in P_1$. ◁

The rest of this section will be devoted to the proof of Theorem 4.4.

APA and Poisson Triples. First, we show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between admissible Poisson algebras and Poisson triples.

Lemma 4.6 *Each Poisson triple $([\cdot, \cdot], \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{K})$ of the commutative algebra P induces an admissible Poisson structure on P defined by*

$$\{f \oplus \eta, g \oplus \xi\} := \{f, g\}_0 \oplus (\mathcal{D}_{df}\xi - \mathcal{D}_{dg}\eta + [\eta, \xi] + \mathcal{K}(df, dg)), \quad (4.9)$$

for all $f \oplus \eta, g \oplus \xi \in P$.

The proof of this lemma is a direct verification that conditions (4.1)–(4.3) give a factorization of the Jacobi identity for the bracket in (4.9).

Using (4.9), one can show that the converse is also true.

Lemma 4.7 *Each admissible Poisson algebra $\mathcal{P} = (P, \{, \})$ induces a Poisson triple $([\cdot, \cdot]_1, \mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{P}})$ of P , where $[\cdot, \cdot]_1$ is the Lie bracket in (3.8), and $\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{P}}$ are defined by*

$$\mathcal{D}_{df}^{\mathcal{P}}\eta := \text{pr}_1\{\iota_0 f, \iota_1 \eta\}, \quad (4.10)$$

$$\mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{P}}(df, dg) := \text{pr}_1\{\iota_0 f, \iota_0 g\}, \quad (4.11)$$

for all $f, g \in P_0$ and $\eta \in P_1$. Moreover, the Poisson structure $\{, \}$ is parameterized by this Poisson triple in the same way as (4.9). Here, pr_1 is the natural projection in (3.2), and ι_i are the inclusion maps in (3.3), for $i = 0, 1$.

Direct computations show that the Jacobi identity for $\{, \}$ implies that $\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{P}}$ in (4.10) and $\mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{P}}$ in (4.11) satisfies (4.3) and are compatible with $[\cdot, \cdot]_1$ by conditions (4.1) and (4.2). This completes the proof of the correspondence between the items (i) and (ii) in Theorem 4.4.

Now, by (4.11), we derive the following consequence.

Corollary 4.8 *The subalgebra $P_0 \oplus \{0\}$ of P is a Poisson subalgebra of \mathcal{P} if and only if $\mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{P}} = 0$.*

Example 4.9. For the admissible Poisson algebra $\mathcal{P} = (P_0 \times P_1, \{, \})$ in (3.5) the subalgebra $P_0 \oplus \{0\}$ is a Poisson subalgebra of \mathcal{P} since the corresponding Poisson triple (4.5) is such that $\mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{P}} = 0$. \triangleleft

As a consequence of Corollary 4.8, by (4.2) and (4.4), admissible Poisson algebras for which $P_0 \oplus \{0\}$ is a Poisson subalgebra induces flat contravariant derivatives on P_1 . Such a class of admissible Poisson algebras is given, for example, by Poisson modules (Section 6).

Poisson Triples and Lie Algebroids. We show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between Poisson triples and Lie algebroid structures on A over P_0 with Lie bracket satisfying (4.7) and anchor map of the form (4.8).

Lemma 4.10 *Each Poisson triple $([\cdot, \cdot], \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{K})$ of the commutative algebra P induces a Lie algebroid structure on A over P_0 with Lie bracket given by*

$$\llbracket \alpha \oplus \eta, \beta \oplus \xi \rrbracket = [\alpha, \beta]_0 \oplus (\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\xi - \mathcal{D}_{\beta}\eta + [\eta, \xi] + \mathcal{K}(\alpha, \beta)), \quad (4.12)$$

for all $\alpha \oplus \eta, \beta \oplus \xi \in A$, and anchor map $\varrho = \varrho_0 \circ \text{proj}_0$.

Conditions (4.1)–(4.3) for Poisson triples imply that the bracket in (4.12) is a Lie bracket on A which is compatible with the Lie algebra morphism $\varrho_0 \circ \text{proj}_0$ by means of the Leibniz rule (2.3).

By construction, the Lie bracket in (4.12) satisfies condition (4.7). So, for the reciprocal, suppose that $\mathcal{A} = (\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket, \varrho_0 \circ \text{proj}_0)$ is a Lie algebroid structure on A over P_0 satisfying (4.7). Then, the Lie bracket $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket$ of \mathcal{A} can be represented as

$$\llbracket A, A \rrbracket = [\Omega_{P_0}^1, \Omega_{P_0}^1]_0 \oplus \text{proj}_1 \llbracket A, A \rrbracket, \quad (4.13)$$

where $\text{proj}_1 : A \rightarrow P_1$ is the canonical projection. In other words, the Lie bracket $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket$ is completely determined by the second factor $\text{proj}_1 \llbracket A, A \rrbracket$.

From (4.13) we deduce the following:

Lemma 4.11 *The submodule $\{0\} \oplus P_1$ of A is a Lie ideal of the Lie algebroid \mathcal{A} , $\llbracket \mathcal{A}, \text{pr}_1 P_1 \rrbracket \subseteq \text{pr}_1 P_1$. Here, $\text{pr}_1 : P_1 \hookrightarrow \{0\} \oplus P_1$ is the inclusion map.*

Consequently, the P_0 -module P_1 admits a P_0 -linear Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_1^A$ defined by

$$[\eta, \xi]_1^A := \text{proj}_1 \llbracket j_1 \eta, j_1 \xi \rrbracket, \quad \eta, \xi \in P_1. \quad (4.14)$$

Taking into account Remark 2.4 we have the following result.

Proposition 4.12 *Each Lie algebroid structure $(\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket, \varrho_0 \circ \text{proj}_0)$ on A over P_0 satisfying (4.7) induces a Lie algebroid structure on P_1 over P_0 with Lie bracket defined in (4.14) and the zero anchor map.*

Now, we define the following data:

- a P_0 -linear mapping $\mathcal{D}^A : \Omega_{P_0}^1 \rightarrow \text{End}_{P_0}(P_1)$,

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha^A \eta := \text{proj}_1 \llbracket j_0 \alpha, j_1 \eta \rrbracket, \quad \alpha \in \Omega_{P_0}^1, \eta \in P_1; \quad (4.15)$$

- a P_0 -linear skew-symmetric mapping $\mathcal{K}^A : \Omega_{P_0}^1 \times \Omega_{P_0}^1 \rightarrow P_1$,

$$\mathcal{K}^A(\alpha, \beta) := \text{proj}_1 \llbracket j_0 \alpha, j_0 \beta \rrbracket, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Omega_{P_0}^1. \quad (4.16)$$

Here, $j_0 : P_0 \hookrightarrow P_0 \oplus \{0\}$ is the inclusion map.

Lemma 4.13 *The data $([\cdot, \cdot]_1^A, \mathcal{D}^A, \mathcal{K}^A)$ in (4.14)–(4.16) define a Poisson triple of the commutative algebra P .*

This completes the proof of the correspondence between the items (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 4.4.

5 Poisson and Hamiltonian Derivations

In this section, we describe the Casimir elements and the Poisson and Hamiltonian derivations of an admissible Poisson algebra in terms of the corresponding Poisson triple.

Let $\mathcal{P} = (P = P_0 \times P_1, \{, \})$ be an admissible Poisson algebra and $([\cdot, \cdot]_1, \mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{P}})$ the corresponding Poisson triple of P . Recall that $\mathcal{P}_0 = (P_0, \cdot, \{, \})_0$ is a Poisson R -algebra and P_1 is a P_0 -module.

We denote the P_0 -module of P_1 -valued derivations of P_0 by

$$\text{Der}(P_0; P_1) := \{D : P_0 \rightarrow P_1 \mid D(fg) = fDg + gDf, \text{ for all } f, g \in P_0\}.$$

For each $f \oplus \eta \in P$, we define the following element of $\text{Der}(P_0; P_1)$:

$$\mathcal{T}_{f \oplus \eta} \equiv \mathcal{T}_{f \oplus \eta}^{\mathcal{P}} := \mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{P}}(df, d(\cdot)) - \mathcal{D}_{d(\cdot)}^{\mathcal{P}} \eta. \quad (5.1)$$

The kernel of the R -linear morphism $f \oplus \eta \mapsto \mathcal{T}_{f \oplus \eta}$ is denoted by

$$\ker \mathcal{T} = \{f \oplus \eta \in P \mid \mathcal{T}_{f \oplus \eta} = 0\}.$$

Lemma 5.1 *The set $\ker \mathcal{T}$ is a Lie subalgebra of \mathcal{P} , $\{\ker \mathcal{T}, \ker \mathcal{T}\} \subseteq \ker \mathcal{T}$. Moreover, the intersection $(\text{Casim}(\mathcal{P}_0) \oplus P_1) \cap \ker \mathcal{T}$ is a Poisson subalgebra of \mathcal{P} .*

This lemma is a direct consequence of the following useful identity:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\{f \oplus \eta, g \oplus \xi\}} = \mathcal{T}_{f \oplus \eta} \circ \text{ad}_g^0 - \mathcal{T}_{g \oplus \xi} \circ \text{ad}_f^0 + (\mathcal{D}_{df}^{\mathcal{P}} + \text{ad}_\eta) \circ \mathcal{T}_{g \oplus \xi} - (\mathcal{D}_{dg}^{\mathcal{P}} + \text{ad}_\xi) \circ \mathcal{T}_{f \oplus \eta}, \quad (5.2)$$

for all $f \oplus \eta, g \oplus \xi \in P$. Here, and in what follows, ad_f^0 and ad_η stands for the adjoint operators given by $\text{ad}_f^0 = \{f, \cdot\}_0$ and $\text{ad}_\eta = [\eta, \cdot]_1$.

Casimir Elements. Recall that an element $k \oplus \zeta \in P$ is a Casimir element of the admissible Poisson algebra \mathcal{P} if and only if $\{k \oplus \zeta, f \oplus \eta\} = 0$, for all $f \oplus \eta \in P$. This yields the following useful criterion:

- An element $k \oplus \zeta \in P$ is a Casimir element of \mathcal{P} if and only if $k \in \text{Casim}(\mathcal{P}_0)$ and $\{k \oplus \zeta, f \oplus \eta\} \in P_0 \oplus \{0\}$, for all $f \oplus \eta \in P$.

This criterion and formula (4.9) give rise to the following description of the Casimir elements of an admissible Poisson algebra.

Lemma 5.2 *An element $k \oplus \zeta \in P$ is a Casimir element of \mathcal{P} if and only if*

$$k \in \text{Casim}(\mathcal{P}_0), \quad k \oplus \zeta \in \ker \mathcal{T} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_{\text{dk}}^{\mathcal{P}} + \text{ad}_{\zeta} = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

Note that the third condition in (5.3) is well-defined since $\mathcal{D}_{\text{dk}}^{\mathcal{P}} : P_0 \rightarrow P_0$ is a P_0 -linear morphism for every $k \in \text{Casim}(\mathcal{P}_0)$.

We denote the center of the Lie algebra $(P_1, [\cdot, \cdot]_1)$ by

$$Z_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1) := \{\xi \in P_1 \mid [\xi, \cdot]_1 = 0\}.$$

Example 5.3. If \mathcal{P}_0 is of *symplectic type*, that is, $\text{Casim}(\mathcal{P}_0) = R$, then

$$\text{Casim}(\mathcal{P}) = R \oplus (Z_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1) \cap \delta_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1)),$$

with $\delta_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1) := \{\eta \in P_1 \mid \mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{P}}\eta = 0\}$. ◁

Characterization of Poisson Derivations. Let $X : P \rightarrow P$ be an R -linear endomorphism of the commutative algebra P . Then, X admits a unique representation

$$X(f \oplus \eta) = (X_{00}f + X_{01}\eta) \oplus (X_{10}f + X_{11}\eta), \quad \text{for all } f \oplus \eta \in P; \quad (5.4)$$

or, equivalently, one can write

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} X_{00} & X_{01} \\ X_{10} & X_{11} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$X_{00} : P_0 \longrightarrow P_0, \quad X_{01} : P_1 \longrightarrow P_0, \quad X_{10} : P_0 \longrightarrow P_1, \quad X_{11} : P_1 \longrightarrow P_1,$$

are the R -linear morphisms defined by $X_{ij} := \text{pr}_i \circ X \circ \iota_j$, for $i, j = 0, 1$. Here, pr_i are the canonical projections in (3.2) and ι_j are the inclusion maps in (3.3).

Lemma 5.4 *An R -linear endomorphism X of P , given in (5.4), preserves*

(a) *the commutative algebra P_0 if and only if its component X_{10} vanishes,*

$$X(P_0 \oplus \{0\}) \subseteq P_0 \oplus \{0\} \iff X_{10} = 0;$$

(b) *the P_0 -module P_1 if and only if its component X_{01} vanishes,*

$$X(\{0\} \oplus P_1) \subseteq \{0\} \oplus P_1 \iff X_{01} = 0;$$

(c) *both the commutative algebra P_0 and the P_0 -module P_1 if and only if it is of the form*

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} X_{00} & 0 \\ 0 & X_{11} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us introduce the set

$$\varepsilon(P_0) := \{T \in \text{Hom}_{P_0}(P_1, P_0) \mid T(\xi)\eta + T(\eta)\xi = 0, \text{ for all } \eta, \xi \in P_1\}. \quad (5.5)$$

Lemma 5.5 *An R -linear endomorphism $X : P \rightarrow P$, given in (5.4), is a derivation of the commutative algebra P if and only if the morphisms X_{00} , X_{01} , X_{10} and X_{11} satisfy the conditions:*

(i) X_{00} is a derivation of P_0 , $X_{00} \in \text{Der}(P_0)$;

(ii) $X_{01} \in \varepsilon(P_0)$;

(iii) X_{10} is a P_1 -valued derivation of P_0 , $X_{10} \in \text{Der}(P_0; P_1)$; and

(iv) X_{11} is a generalized X_{00} -derivation of P_1 ,

$$X_{11}(f\eta) = fX_{11}\eta + (X_{00}f)\eta; \quad (5.6)$$

for all $f, g \in P_0$ and $\eta \in P_1$.

Proof. By definition, X is a derivation of P if and only if

$$X(\pi_1 \pi_2) = X(\pi_1)\pi_2 + \pi_1 X(\pi_2), \quad (5.7)$$

for any $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in P$. In particular, using (1.1), we get that if $\pi_1 = f \oplus 0$ and $\pi_2 = g \oplus 0$, then condition (5.7) implies the items (i) and (iii). If $\pi_1 = 0 \oplus \eta$ and $\pi_2 = 0 \oplus \xi$, then (5.7) implies (ii). If $\pi_1 = f \oplus 0$ and $\pi_2 = 0 \oplus \eta$, then (5.7) implies (iv). Reciprocally, by straightforward computations, one can show that conditions (i)–(iv) for X imply (5.7). \square

Remark 5.6 The set $\varepsilon(P_0)$ in (5.5) is non-trivial, in general [15].

Now, we derive the following description of Poisson derivations of an admissible Poisson algebra.

Proposition 5.7 *An R -linear endomorphism X of the commutative algebra P satisfying (i)–(iv) of Lemma 5.5 is a derivation of an admissible Poisson algebra $\mathcal{P} = (P, \{, \})$ if and only if*

$$X_{00}\{f, g\}_0 = \{X_{00}f, g\}_0 + \{f, X_{00}g\}_0 - (X_{01} \circ \mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{P}})(df, dg), \quad (5.8)$$

$$X_{11}[\eta, \xi]_1 = [X_{11}\eta, \xi]_1 + [\eta, X_{11}\xi]_1 + \mathcal{D}_{dX_{01}\eta}^{\mathcal{P}}\xi - \mathcal{D}_{dX_{01}\xi}^{\mathcal{P}}\eta, \quad (5.9)$$

$$X_{01}[\eta, \xi]_1 = 0, \quad (5.10)$$

$$X_{01} \circ \mathcal{D}_{df}^{\mathcal{P}} = \text{ad}_f^0 \circ X_{01}, \quad (5.11)$$

$$X_{10}\{f, g\}_0 = \mathcal{T}_{X_{00}f \oplus X_{10}f}(g) - \mathcal{T}_{X_{00}g \oplus X_{10}g}(f) - (X_{11} \circ \mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{P}})(df, dg), \quad (5.12)$$

$$(X_{11} \circ \mathcal{D}_{df}^{\mathcal{P}})(\eta) = (\mathcal{D}_{dX_{00}f}^{\mathcal{P}} + \text{ad}_{X_{10}f})(\eta) - \mathcal{T}_{X_{01}\eta \oplus X_{11}\eta}(f), \quad (5.13)$$

for all $f, g \in P_0$ and $\eta, \xi \in P_1$.

Proof. By definition, X is a derivation of \mathcal{P} if and only if it is a derivation of P and satisfies

$$X\{\pi_1, \pi_2\} = \{X(\pi_1), \pi_2\} + \{\pi_1, X(\pi_2)\}, \quad (5.14)$$

for any $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in P$. In particular, using (4.9), if $\pi_1 = f \oplus 0$ and $\pi_2 = g \oplus 0$, then condition (5.14) implies (5.8) and (5.12). If $\pi_1 = 0 \oplus \eta$ and $\pi_2 = 0 \oplus \xi$, then (5.14) implies (5.9) and (5.10). If $\pi_1 = f \oplus 0$ and $\pi_2 = 0 \oplus \eta$, then (5.14) implies (5.11) and (5.13). Reciprocally, by direct computations, one can show that conditions (5.8)–(5.13) for X imply (5.14). \square

Note that, if the derivation X preserves the P_0 -module P_1 , i.e., $X_{01} = 0$, then conditions (5.8) and (5.9) say that X_{00} must be a derivation of the Poisson algebra \mathcal{P}_0 and X_{11} must be a derivation of the P_0 -linear Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_1$, respectively. This is the case of Hamiltonian derivations. Indeed, a necessary, but in general not sufficient, condition for X to be a Hamiltonian derivation of \mathcal{P} is that its component X_{01} vanishes and the components X_{00} and X_{11} are associated to an element $h \oplus \eta \in P$ (Theorem 5.11). This fact motivates the definition of the following Lie subalgebra of P_1 -preserving derivations of P associated to the admissible Poisson algebra \mathcal{P} :

$\text{Der}_1^{\mathcal{P}}(P) := \{X : P \rightarrow P \mid X_{01} = 0, X_{10} \in \text{Der}(P_0; P_1) \text{ and}$

$$X_{00} = \text{ad}_h^0, X_{11} = \mathcal{D}_{dh}^{\mathcal{P}} + \text{ad}_\eta, \text{ for some } h \oplus \eta \in P\}. \quad (5.15)$$

Note that, for a given $X_{10} \in \text{Der}(P_0; P_1)$, the R -mapping

$$h \oplus \eta \longmapsto X = \begin{pmatrix} \text{ad}_h^0 & 0 \\ X_{10} & \mathcal{D}_{dh}^{\mathcal{P}} + \text{ad}_\eta \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Der}_1^{\mathcal{P}}(P) \quad (5.16)$$

is not injective. Indeed, an element $h' \oplus \eta' \in P$ defines the same derivation X in (5.16) if and only if $k = h' - h \in \text{Casim}(\mathcal{P}_0)$ and η' satisfies the following relation:

$$\text{ad}_{\eta'} = \text{ad}_\eta - \mathcal{D}_{dk}^{\mathcal{P}}. \quad (5.17)$$

Observe that, for a given $k \in \text{Casim}(\mathcal{P}_0)$, one can think (5.17) as a equation for $\eta' \in P_1$. This interpretation rises the question of the solvability of (5.17). For example, if the condition $\text{Casim}(\mathcal{P}_0) = \{f \in P_0 \mid \mathcal{D}_{df}^{\mathcal{P}} = 0\}$ holds (see Example 5.3), then all solutions of (5.17) are of the form $\eta' = \eta + \xi$, for some $\xi \in Z(P_1)$. In general, by conditions (4.1)–(4.3) for Poisson triples, solutions to (5.17) satisfy

$$\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{P}}\eta' = \mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{P}}\eta + \mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{P}}(dk, \cdot) + \chi,$$

for some P_0 -linear mapping $\chi \equiv \chi_{k \oplus \eta}^{\mathcal{P}} : \Omega_{P_0}^1 \rightarrow Z_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1)$. This gives

$$\mathcal{T}_{(h+k) \oplus \eta'} = \mathcal{T}_{h \oplus \eta} - \chi \circ d. \quad (5.18)$$

Proposition 5.8 *Let $\mathcal{P} = (P = P_0 \times P_1, \{, \})$ be an admissible Poisson algebra and $X \in \text{Der}_1^{\mathcal{P}}(P)$ a derivation given in (5.16). Then, X is a derivation of \mathcal{P} if and only if its component X_{10} satisfies the following two conditions*

$$\text{Im}(X_{10} - \mathcal{T}_{h \oplus \eta}) \subseteq Z_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1), \quad (5.19)$$

$$(X_{10} - \mathcal{T}_{h \oplus \eta})\{f, g\}_0 = \mathcal{D}_{df}(X_{10} - \mathcal{T}_{h \oplus \eta})(g) - \mathcal{D}_{dg}(X_{10} - \mathcal{T}_{h \oplus \eta})(f), \quad (5.20)$$

for all $f, g \in P_0$. Conditions (5.19) and (5.20) are independent of the choice of the element $h \oplus \eta$ in (5.16).

Proof. This result follows from Proposition 5.7. Indeed, since $X_{01} = 0$, $X_{00} = \text{ad}_h^0$ and $X_{11} = \mathcal{D}_{dh}^{\mathcal{P}} + \text{ad}_{\eta}$ for some $h \oplus \eta \in P$, conditions (5.8)–(5.11) are automatically satisfied, whereas (5.12) and (5.13) are equivalent to (5.20) and (5.19), respectively. The independence of conditions (5.19) and (5.20) on the choice of the element $h \oplus \eta$ in (5.16) follows from formula (5.18). \square

By definition of \mathcal{T} in (5.1) and (4.2), condition (5.19) is equivalent to the following:

$$\text{Curv}^{\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{P}}}(dh, df) = \text{ad}_{\mathcal{D}_{df}^{\mathcal{P}}\eta + X_{10}f}, \quad f \in P_0.$$

So, if $\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{P}}$ is flat, then condition (5.19) is reduced to the following: $\text{Im}(X_{10} + \mathcal{D}_{d(\cdot)}^{\mathcal{P}}\eta) \subseteq Z_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1)$.

Corollary 5.9 *Let $X \in \text{Der}_1^{\mathcal{P}}(P)$ be a derivation given in (5.16). If*

$$X_{10} = \mathcal{T}_{h \oplus \eta}, \quad (5.21)$$

then X is a Poisson derivation of \mathcal{P} . Moreover, if the center of the Lie algebra $(P_1, [,]_1)$ is trivial, then condition (5.21) is also necessary.

If the center of the Lie algebra $(P_1, [,]_1)$ is non-trivial, then there exist Poisson derivations in $\text{Der}_1^{\mathcal{P}}(P)$ which do not satisfy condition (5.21), as in the following example.

Example 5.10. Let $\mathcal{P}_0 = (P_0, \cdot, \{, \}_0)$ be a Poisson algebra admitting a Poisson derivation W which is not Hamiltonian. For the P_0 -module P_1 of $(k \times k)$ -matrices with entries in P_0 , consider the admissible Poisson algebra $\mathcal{P} = (P = P_0 \times P_1, \{, \})$ in (3.5). In this case, the center of the Lie algebra $(P_1, [,])$ is non-trivial. For a given $h \oplus N \in P$, the derivation $X \in \text{Der}_1^{\mathcal{P}}(P)$ defined by

$$X_{01} = 0, \quad X_{00} = \text{ad}_h^0, \quad X_{10} = W(\cdot)I - D_{d(\cdot)}N, \quad X_{11} = D_{dh} + \text{ad}_N, \quad (5.22)$$

is a Poisson derivation of \mathcal{P} . But $h \oplus N$ does not satisfy condition (5.21) since $\mathcal{T}_{h \oplus N} = -D_{d(\cdot)}N$. Here, $\text{ad}_N = [[N, \cdot]]$ and I is the identity matrix. \triangleleft

Note that Proposition 5.8 says that a derivation in $\text{Der}_1^{\mathcal{P}}(P)$ is not necessarily a Poisson derivation of \mathcal{P} . We denote the Lie subalgebra of all Poisson derivations of \mathcal{P} in $\text{Der}_1^{\mathcal{P}}(P)$ by

$$\text{Poiss}_1(\mathcal{P}) := \text{Der}_1^{\mathcal{P}}(P) \cap \text{Poiss}(\mathcal{P}). \quad (5.23)$$

Characterization of Hamiltonian Derivations. Here we give a description of all Hamiltonian derivations of an admissible Poisson algebra in terms of the corresponding Poisson triple.

Theorem 5.11 *The Lie subalgebra of all Hamiltonian derivations of an admissible Poisson algebra $\mathcal{P} = (P, \{, \})$ is given by*

$$\text{Ham}(\mathcal{P}) = \{X \equiv X_{h \oplus \eta} : P \rightarrow P \mid X_{00} = \text{ad}_h^0, X_{01} = 0, X_{10} = \mathcal{T}_{h \oplus \eta} \text{ and} \\ X_{11} = \mathcal{D}_{dh}^{\mathcal{P}} + \text{ad}_{\eta}, \text{ for some } h \oplus \eta \in P\}. \quad (5.24)$$

Moreover,

- the mapping $h \oplus \eta \mapsto X_{h \oplus \eta}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism, whose kernel consists of all Casimir elements of \mathcal{P} ,

$$\text{Casim}(\mathcal{P}) = \{k \oplus \zeta \in P \mid k \in \text{Casim}(\mathcal{P}_0), k \oplus \zeta \in \ker \mathcal{T} \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_{dk}^{\mathcal{P}} + \text{ad}_{\zeta} = 0\}; \quad (5.25)$$

- the set

$$\text{Ham}_0(\mathcal{P}) := \{X_{h \oplus \eta} \in \text{Ham}(\mathcal{P}) \mid h \oplus \eta \in \ker \mathcal{T}\} \quad (5.26)$$

is a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Ham}(\mathcal{P})$; and

- we have the following natural inclusion:

$$\text{Ham}(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \text{Der}_1^{\mathcal{P}}(P). \quad (5.27)$$

Here, the data $([\cdot, \cdot]_1, \mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{P}})$ is the Poisson triple of P induced by \mathcal{P} , the morphism \mathcal{T} is defined in (5.1) and the Lie subalgebra of derivations $\text{Der}_1^{\mathcal{P}}(P)$ is defined in (5.15).

We give a proof of this theorem in few steps. First, we present some lemmas.

Lemma 5.12 *Every Hamiltonian derivation of \mathcal{P} preserves the P_0 -module P_1 .*

Proof. Let X be a Hamiltonian derivation of \mathcal{P} with Hamiltonian element $h \oplus \eta \in P$. Then, by definition and (5.4), we have that $X_{01}(\xi) = (\text{pr}_0 \circ X \circ \iota_1)(\xi) = \text{pr}_0\{h \oplus \eta, 0 \oplus \xi\} = \{h, 0\}_0 = 0$, for all $\xi \in P_1$. Hence, $X_{01} = 0$ and the claim follows from Lemma 5.4. \square

In other words, a necessary condition for a derivation X of P to be a Hamiltonian derivation of \mathcal{P} is that it is of the form

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} X_{00} & 0 \\ X_{10} & X_{11} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.28)$$

Lemma 5.13 *A derivation X of P given in (5.28) is a Hamiltonian derivation of $\mathcal{P} = (P, \{, \})$ with respect to $\{, \}$ if and only if there exists $h \oplus \eta \in P$ such that*

$$X_{00} = \text{ad}_h^0, \quad X_{10} = \mathcal{T}_{h \oplus \eta} \quad \text{and} \quad X_{11} = \mathcal{D}_{dh}^{\mathcal{P}} + \text{ad}_{\eta}. \quad (5.29)$$

Moreover, a Hamiltonian element of X is just $h \oplus \eta$.

Proof. Suppose that X given in (5.28) is Hamiltonian with respect to $\{, \}$, that is, there exists an element $h \oplus \eta \in P$ such that $X = \{h \oplus \eta, \cdot\}$. Then, using (4.9) and (5.4), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} X_{00}(f) &= (\text{pr}_0 \circ X \circ \iota_0)(f) = \text{pr}_0\{h \oplus \eta, f \oplus 0\} = \{h, f\}_0, \\ X_{10}(f) &= (\text{pr}_1 \circ X \circ \iota_0)(f) = \text{pr}_1\{h \oplus \eta, f \oplus 0\} = \mathcal{K}(dh, df) - \mathcal{D}_{df}\eta, \\ X_{11}(\xi) &= (\text{pr}_1 \circ X \circ \iota_1)(\xi) = \text{pr}_1\{h \oplus \eta, 0 \oplus \xi\} = \mathcal{D}_{dh}\xi + [\eta, \xi], \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in P_0$ and $\xi \in P_1$. This proves that conditions in (5.29) are necessary for X to be a Hamiltonian derivation of \mathcal{P} . By direct computations, one can show that they are also sufficient. \square

By using the description presented in this lemma, given two Hamiltonian derivations $X_1 = X_{h_1 \oplus \eta_1}$ and $X_2 = X_{h_2 \oplus \eta_2}$ of \mathcal{P} , it follows from (5.2) and (5.29) that

$$\begin{aligned} [X_1, X_2]_{00} &= \text{ad}_{\{h_1, h_2\}_0}^0, & [X_1, X_2]_{10} &= \mathcal{T}_{\{h_1 \oplus \eta_1, h_2 \oplus \eta_2\}}, \\ [X_1, X_2]_{11} &= \mathcal{D}_{d\{h_1, h_2\}_0}^{\mathcal{P}} + \text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}_{h_1 \oplus \eta_1} h_2 + (\mathcal{D}_{dh_1}^{\mathcal{P}} + \text{ad}_{\eta_1}) \eta_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

i.e., the commutator $[X_1, X_2]$ of X_1 and X_2 is again a Hamiltonian derivation of \mathcal{P} , with a Hamiltonian element given by

$$\{h_1, h_2\}_0 \oplus (\mathcal{J}_{h_1 \oplus \eta_1} h_2 + (\mathcal{D}_{dh_1}^{\mathcal{P}} + \text{ad}_{\eta_1}) \eta_2) = \{h_1 \oplus \eta_1, h_2 \oplus \eta_2\}. \quad (5.31)$$

Another consequence of Lemma 5.13 is that an obstruction for the associative algebra P_0 to be invariant with respect to all Hamiltonian derivations of \mathcal{P} can be formulated in terms of the kernel of the morphism \mathcal{J} in (5.1).

Lemma 5.14 *A Hamiltonian derivation $X_{h \oplus \eta}$ of \mathcal{P} preserves the algebra P_0 if and only if*

$$h \oplus \eta \in \ker \mathcal{J}.$$

This lemma is consequence of Lemmas 5.4 and 5.13.

Proof of Theorem 5.11. From Lemma 5.13 we have the characterization (5.24) of all Hamiltonian derivations of an admissible Poisson algebra \mathcal{P} . The claim that the mapping $h \oplus \eta \mapsto X_{h \oplus \eta}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism follows from (5.30) and (5.31). So, it is clear that the kernel of this morphism consists of all Casimir elements in (5.25). As a consequence of Lemmas 5.14 and 5.1 and (5.30) the set $\text{Ham}_0(\mathcal{P})$ in (5.26) is a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Ham}(\mathcal{P})$. Finally, the inclusion (5.27) follows from (5.24) and the definition of $\text{Der}_1^{\mathcal{P}}$ in (5.15). \square

Now, it is clear that

$$\text{Ham}(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \text{Poiss}_1(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \text{Der}_1^{\mathcal{P}}(P). \quad (5.32)$$

The following result gives necessary and sufficient conditions for equality.

Corollary 5.15 *We have that $\text{Ham}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{Poiss}_1(\mathcal{P}) = \text{Der}_1^{\mathcal{P}}(P)$ if and only if for every $X \in \text{Der}_1^{\mathcal{P}}(P)$ condition (5.21) holds.*

Under some assumptions, we may have $\text{Ham}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{Poiss}_1(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \text{Der}_1^{\mathcal{P}}(P)$.

Proposition 5.16 *Let \mathcal{P} be an admissible Poisson algebra. If the center of the Lie algebra $(P_1, [,]_1)$ is trivial, then every derivation in $\text{Der}_1^{\mathcal{P}}(P)$ which is a Poisson derivation of \mathcal{P} is necessarily a Hamiltonian derivation of \mathcal{P} .*

Proof. Let $X \in \text{Poiss}_1(\mathcal{P})$ given in (5.16). By Corollary 5.9, if $Z_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1) = \{0\}$, then condition (5.21) holds. Hence, by Theorem 5.11, X is a Hamiltonian derivation of \mathcal{P} . \square

In other words, if $Z_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1) = \{0\}$, then $\text{Poiss}_1(\mathcal{P}) = \text{Ham}(\mathcal{P})$. Otherwise, we may have $\text{Ham}(\mathcal{P}) \subsetneq \text{Poiss}_1(\mathcal{P})$, as Example 5.10 illustrates: the Poisson derivation $X \in \text{Poiss}_1(\mathcal{P})$ defined in (5.22) does not belong to $\text{Ham}(\mathcal{P})$ since the element $h \oplus N$ does not satisfy (5.21).

Remark 5.17 Theorem 5.11, Propositions 5.8, 5.7 and 5.16 and Lemma 5.2 can be used to study the Poisson cohomology of admissible Poisson algebras in some particular cases (see also [15]). For example, by (5.32), it is natural to study the first Poisson cohomology restricted to $\text{Der}_1^{\mathcal{P}}: \text{Poiss}_1(\mathcal{P})/\text{Ham}(\mathcal{P})$.

6 Poisson Modules

Here, we show that Poisson modules give rise to a special class of admissible Poisson algebras.

Recall that a *Poisson module* over a Poisson algebra $\mathcal{P}_0 = (P_0, \cdot, \{, \}_0)$ is a P_0 -module P_1 endowed with an R -linear map $\lambda: P_0 \times P_1 \rightarrow P_1$ satisfying the following conditions [2, 3, 4]:

$$\lambda(g, f\eta) = f\lambda(g, \eta) - \{f, g\}_0\eta, \quad (6.1)$$

$$\lambda(fg, \eta) = f\lambda(g, \eta) + \lambda(f, \eta)g, \quad (6.2)$$

$$\lambda(\{f, g\}_0, \eta) = \lambda(f, \lambda(g, \eta)) - \lambda(g, \lambda(f, \eta)), \quad (6.3)$$

for all $f, g \in P_0$ and $\eta \in P_1$. For simplicity, given a Poisson algebra \mathcal{P}_0 , we will denote by (P_1, λ) a Poisson module over \mathcal{P}_0 associated to λ .

Example 6.1. Every Poisson algebra $(P_0, \cdot, \{, \}_0)$ is a Poisson module over itself, where $P_1 = P_0$ and $\lambda : P_0 \times P_0 \rightarrow P_0$ is defined by $\lambda(f, g) := \{f, g\}_0$, for all $f, g \in P_0$. \triangleleft

Some alternative versions of the following result can be found in [2, 3, 4].

Proposition 6.2 *Every Poisson module (P_1, λ) over a Poisson algebra $(P_0, \cdot, \{, \}_0)$ induces an admissible Poisson structure on the trivial extension algebra $P = P_0 \times P_1$ such that*

- (a) *the subalgebra $P_0 \oplus \{0\}$ of P is a Poisson subalgebra; and*
- (b) *the P_0 -module P_1 has the trivial Lie algebroid structure over P_0 .*

Moreover, the admissible Poisson structure is defined by

$$\{f \oplus \eta, g \oplus \xi\}_\lambda := \{f, g\}_0 \oplus (\lambda(f, \xi) - \lambda(g, \eta)), \quad (6.4)$$

for all $f, g \in P_0$ and $\eta, \xi \in P_1$. The converse is also true.

By direct computations, one can show that conditions (6.1)–(6.3) are equivalent to the Jacobi identity for the bracket in (6.4). Items (a) and (b) of Proposition 6.2 are consequences of the following observation: by Lemma 4.7, the Poisson triple of the commutative algebra P induced by the admissible Poisson algebra $\mathcal{P}_\lambda = (P, \{, \}_\lambda)$ is given by

$$([\cdot, \cdot]_1^\lambda = 0, \mathcal{D}^\lambda, \mathcal{K}^\lambda = 0), \quad (6.5)$$

where the contravariant derivative \mathcal{D}^λ is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_{df}^\lambda \eta := \lambda(f, \eta), \quad f \in P_0, \eta \in P_1. \quad (6.6)$$

So, it is clear that conditions (4.1) and (4.3) are satisfied and (4.2) is equivalent to (6.3), which implies that \mathcal{D}^λ is flat. Taking into account (6.5), from Corollary 4.8 and Proposition 3.8 we deduce that $P_0 \oplus \{0\}$ is a Poisson subalgebra of \mathcal{P}_λ and that P_1 has the trivial Lie algebroid structure over P_0 .

Proposition 6.3 *An admissible Poisson algebra $\mathcal{P} = (P, \{, \}_\lambda)$ is induced by a Poisson module if and only if the corresponding Poisson triple of P is of the form $([\cdot, \cdot]_1^\lambda = 0, \mathcal{D}^\lambda, \mathcal{K}^\lambda = 0)$. In this case, by (4.2), \mathcal{D}^λ is a flat contravariant derivative. Moreover, the Poisson module (P_1, λ) over the Poisson algebra \mathcal{P}_0 is defined by formula (6.6).*

In other words, there exists a one-to-one correspondence between Poisson modules and flat contravariant derivatives defined by the formula (6.6) [2, 3].

Example 6.4. Let $(P_0, \cdot, \{, \}_0)$ be a Poisson R -algebra and consider the P_0 -module $P_1 = \text{End}_R(P_0)$. Then, P_1 is a Poisson module over P_0 with $\lambda : P_0 \times P_1 \rightarrow P_1$ defined by

$$\lambda(f, T) := \text{ad}_f^0 \circ T.$$

Here, $\text{ad}_f^0(g) := \{f, g\}_0$, for $g \in P_0$. The induced admissible Poisson structure on $P_0 \times P_1$ is given by

$$\{f \oplus T, g \oplus S\}_\lambda = \{f, g\}_0 \oplus (\text{ad}_f^0 \circ S - \text{ad}_g^0 \circ T),$$

for $f \oplus T, g \oplus S \in P_0 \times P_1$. \triangleleft

Remark 6.5 By omitting condition (6.3), we get a more general definition of a Poisson module which can be found in [3]. But in this case, the bracket in (6.4) does not satisfy the Jacobi identity.

By Theorem 4.4, Poisson modules also induce a special class of Lie algebroids.

Proposition 6.6 *Every Poisson module (P_1, λ) over \mathcal{P}_0 induces a Lie algebroid $(\Omega_{P_0}^1 \oplus P_1, [\cdot, \cdot]_\lambda, \varrho_\lambda)$ over P_0 , where the Lie bracket is defined by*

$$[df \oplus \eta, dg \oplus \xi]_\lambda := d\{f, g\}_0 \oplus (\lambda(f, \xi) - \lambda(g, \eta))$$

and the anchor map is given by

$$\varrho_\lambda(df \oplus \eta) := \text{ad}_f^0,$$

for all $f, g \in P_0$ and $\eta, \xi \in P_1$.

Example 6.7. The Lie algebroid $(\Omega_{P_0}^1 \oplus P_1, [\cdot, \cdot]_\lambda, \varrho_\lambda)$ induced by the Poisson module in Example 6.4 is given by

$$[df \oplus T, dg \oplus S]_\lambda = d\{f, g\}_0 \oplus (\text{ad}_f^0 \circ S - \text{ad}_g^0 \circ T) \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho_\lambda(df \oplus T) = \text{ad}_f^0,$$

for all $f, g \in P_0$ and $S, T \in \text{End}_R(P_0)$. \triangleleft

7 Poisson Algebras of Poisson Submanifolds

In this section, follow the results of [12], we show that the commutative algebra of fiberwise affine functions on the normal bundle of an embedded Poisson submanifold carries an admissible Poisson structure.

Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ be a vector bundle over a smooth manifold S . Consider the C_S^∞ -module of *fiberwise affine* C^∞ -functions on E ,

$$C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E) := \pi^* C_S^\infty \oplus C_{\text{lin}}^\infty(E),$$

where $C_{\text{lin}}^\infty(E)$ is the C_S^∞ -module of fiberwise linear functions on E .

The Trivial Extension Algebra $C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E)$. As is well-known, the module $C_{\text{lin}}^\infty(E)$ is isomorphic to the C_S^∞ -module ΓE^* of smooth sections of the dual bundle E^* over S . So, we have the following identification:

$$C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E) \simeq C_S^\infty \oplus \Gamma E^*. \quad (7.1)$$

Consequently, $C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E)$ is a commutative algebra isomorphic to the trivial extension algebra of the commutative algebra $P_0 = C_S^\infty$ by the P_0 -module $P_1 = \Gamma E^*$ with multiplication given by

$$(f \oplus \eta) \cdot (g \oplus \xi) = fg \oplus (f\xi + g\eta), \quad (7.2)$$

for all $f, g \in C_S^\infty$ and $\eta, \xi \in \Gamma E^*$.

Observe that the multiplication (7.2) can be also introduced in terms of the linearization procedure on the total space E at the zero section $S \hookrightarrow E$ as follows. Let $\text{Aff} : C_E^\infty \rightarrow C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E)$ be the *linearization mapping* [12] which assigns to each smooth function F on E its affine part $\text{Aff}(F)$ in the Taylor expansion of F at the points of S . Then, for any $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E)$ formula (7.2) reads

$$\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2 = \text{Aff}(\varphi_1 \varphi_2). \quad (7.3)$$

Now, let $V \in \Gamma \text{T}E$ be a linear vector field on E , that is, the Lie derivative $L_V : C_E^\infty \rightarrow C_E^\infty$ leaves invariant the subspace $C_{\text{lin}}^\infty(E)$. Then, V is π -related with a vector field $v \in \Gamma \text{T}S$ on the base S . Moreover, the linear vector field V is tangent to the zero section and its restriction to S is just v . The Lie algebra of all linear vector fields is denoted by $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{lin}}(E)$.

We observe that for every $V \in \mathfrak{X}_{\text{lin}}(E)$, the restriction of the Lie derivative L_V to $C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E)$ gives a derivation of the trivial extension algebra which leaves invariant the decomposition (7.1). Indeed, taking into account (7.3) and the property that the linearization mapping commutes with L_V , we get

$$L_V(\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2) = L_V(\text{Aff}(\varphi_1 \varphi_2)) = \text{Aff}(L_V(\varphi_1 \varphi_2)) = L_V \varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2 + \varphi_1 \cdot L_V \varphi_2,$$

for all $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E)$. It follows that the components of the derivation L_V (see Lemma 5.5) are given by

$$(L_V)_{00} = L_v, \quad (L_V)_{01} = 0, \quad (L_V)_{10} = 0$$

and

$$(L_V)_{11} : C_{\text{lin}}^\infty(E) \rightarrow C_{\text{lin}}^\infty(E)$$

is an automorphism satisfying the condition: $(L_V)_{11}(f\ell) = (L_v f)\ell + f(L_V)_{11}\ell$, for $f \in C_S^\infty$ and $\ell \in C_{\text{lin}}^\infty(E)$.

Now, let $Z \in \Gamma \text{T}E$ be a vector field on E which is tangent to the zero section S . Then, one can associate to Z a natural derivation $Z^{(2)}$ of the trivial extension algebra $C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E)$ which is given by

$$Z^{(2)}\varphi := \text{Aff}(L_Z\varphi), \quad \varphi \in C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E). \quad (7.4)$$

It is clear that $Z_{01}^{(2)} = 0$ and the components $Z_{00}^{(2)}$ and $Z_{11}^{(2)}$ are uniquely determined by the Lie derivative $L_{\text{var}_S Z}$ along the linear vector field $\text{var}_S Z \in \mathfrak{X}_{\text{lin}}(E)$, which is called the *first variation of Z at S* [12]. In general, the component $Z_{10}^{(2)} : C_S^\infty \rightarrow C_{\text{lin}}^\infty(E)$ is non-trivial and measures the deviation of $Z^{(2)}$ from the property to be the restriction to $C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E)$ of a derivation of the commutative algebra C_E^∞ . So, we have the following simple but important criteria [12].

Lemma 7.1 *For the derivation $Z^{(2)}$ in (7.4), the following assertions are equivalent:*

(a) The component $Z_{01}^{(2)}$ vanishes, $Z_{01}^{(2)} = 0$.

(b) The canonical splitting

$$\mathbb{T}_S E = \mathbb{T}S \oplus E$$

is invariant with respect to the differential of the flow of Z .

(c) The derivation $Z^{(2)}$ is the restriction of the Lie derivative along a linear vector field on E .

Compatible Poisson Structures on $C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E)$. Suppose that the base S is equipped with a Poisson tensor ψ . Recall that a contravariant derivative [13] on E^* consists of \mathbb{R} -linear operators $\mathcal{D}_\alpha : \Gamma E^* \rightarrow \Gamma E^*$ which are C_S^∞ -linear in $\alpha \in \Gamma \mathbb{T}^*S$ and satisfy the Leibniz type rule

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f\eta) = f\mathcal{D}_\alpha\eta + (\mathbb{L}_{\psi^\sharp\alpha}f)\eta,$$

for all $f \in C_S^\infty$ and $\eta \in \Gamma E^*$. The curvature $\text{Curv}^{\mathcal{D}}$ of \mathcal{D} is defined as

$$\text{Curv}^{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha, \beta) := \mathcal{D}_\alpha\mathcal{D}_\beta - \mathcal{D}_\beta\mathcal{D}_\alpha - \mathcal{D}_{[\alpha, \beta]_{\mathbb{T}^*S}},$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \mathbb{T}^*S$. Here, $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathbb{T}^*S}$ denotes the Lie bracket for 1-forms on the Poisson manifold (S, ψ) [6].

Suppose we are given a triple $([\cdot, \cdot]_{E^*}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{K})$ consisting of

- a fiberwise Lie algebra structure $[\cdot, \cdot]_{E^*}$ on E^* ;
- a contravariant derivative \mathcal{D} on E^* ; and
- a E^* -valuated bivector field $\mathcal{K} : \Gamma \mathbb{T}^*S \times \Gamma \mathbb{T}^*S \rightarrow \Gamma E^*$ on S .

The data $([\cdot, \cdot]_{E^*}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{K})$ is called a *Poisson triple* of $E \xrightarrow{\pi} (S, \psi)$ if it satisfies the following conditions [12]

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \text{ad}_\eta] &= \text{ad}_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha\eta}, \\ \text{Curv}^{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha, \beta) &= \text{ad}_{\mathcal{K}(\alpha, \beta)}, \\ \sum_{(\alpha, \beta, \delta)} \mathcal{D}_\alpha \mathcal{K}(\beta, \delta) + \mathcal{K}(\alpha, [\beta, \delta]_{\mathbb{T}^*S}) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma \mathbb{T}^*S$ and $\eta \in \Gamma E^*$. Here, $\text{ad}_\eta(\cdot) := [\eta, \cdot]_{E^*}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_0 = (C_S^\infty, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_\psi)$ be the Poisson algebra of (S, ψ) . Applying Lemma 4.6 to $P_0 \oplus P_1 = C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E)$ in (7.2), we get an admissible Poisson structure on $C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E)$ defined by

$$\{f \oplus \eta, g \oplus \xi\}^{\text{aff}} := \psi(df, dg) \oplus (\mathcal{D}_{df}\xi - \mathcal{D}_{dg}\eta + [\eta, \xi]_{E^*} + \mathcal{K}(df, dg)), \quad (7.5)$$

for $f, g \in C_S^\infty$ and $\eta, \xi \in \Gamma E^*$. This yields,

Proposition 7.2 *Suppose that there exists a Poisson triple of $E \xrightarrow{\pi} (S, \psi)$. Then, the commutative algebra of fiberwise affine functions on E admits a compatible Poisson structure defined by the formula (7.5).*

As we now show, for the normal bundle of a Poisson submanifold, a Poisson triple always exists.

The Normal Bundle Case. Suppose that $E = \mathbb{T}_S M / \mathbb{T}S$ is the *normal bundle* of an embedded Poisson submanifold (S, ψ) of a Poisson manifold M . Fix a splitting

$$\mathbb{T}_S M = \mathbb{T}S \oplus \mathcal{L}, \quad (7.6)$$

where $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{T}_S M$ is a subbundle complementary to $\mathbb{T}S$. Here, $\mathbb{T}_S M = \mathbb{T}M|_S$.

Theorem 7.3 *Every subbundle \mathcal{L} in (7.6) induces a Poisson triple $([\cdot, \cdot]_{E^*}, \mathcal{D}^\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{K}^\mathcal{L})$ of $E \rightarrow (S, \psi)$ and the associated admissible Poisson algebra $\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{L} = (C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E), \cdot, \{\cdot, \cdot\}^\mathcal{L})$, where the Lie bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}^\mathcal{L}$ is defined by the formula (7.5). Moreover, the algebra $\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{L}$ is independent of the choice of \mathcal{L} up to isomorphism.*

The proof of this theorem can be found in [12], where $\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{L}$ is called an *infinitesimal Poisson algebra* of the Poisson submanifold (S, ψ) . Alternatively, the infinitesimal Poisson algebra can be defined as follows [11]: since S is embedded, we have that S is a Poisson submanifold of M if and only if the vanishing ideal $I(S) = \{f \in C_M^\infty \mid f|_S = 0\}$ is also an ideal in the Lie algebra $(C_M^\infty, \{, \}_M)$. So, the infinitesimal Poisson algebra $\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{L}$ is naturally identified with the quotient Poisson algebra $C_M^\infty/I^2(S)$.

Example 7.4. Consider the Poisson manifold $M = \mathbb{R}_w^3 \times \mathbb{R}_z^3$ equipped with the Lie–Poisson bracket

$$\{w_i, w_j\} = \epsilon^{ijk} w_k, \quad \{w_i, z_a\} = \epsilon^{iab} z_b, \quad \{z_a, z_b\} = \epsilon^2 \epsilon^{abi} w_i, \quad \epsilon \in \mathbb{R};$$

for $i, j, k, a, b = 1, 2, 3$. Then for every fixed ϵ , there exists the following 3–dimensional Poisson submanifold of M :

$$S = \{(w, z) \in M \mid \epsilon w + z = 0\}.$$

Fixing the transversal \mathcal{L} generated by $\{\partial/\partial z_a\}$, we choose a tubular neighborhood U of S as $U = \mathbb{R}_w^3 \times \mathbb{R}_z^3$ which is equipped with (adapted) coordinates $x = w$ and $y = \epsilon w + z$. Then, the Poisson bivector field ψ on S is determined by $\psi^{ij}(x) = \epsilon^{ijk} x_k$ and the corresponding Poisson triple $([\cdot, \cdot]_{U^*}, \mathcal{D}^\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{K}^\mathcal{L})$ is given by $\lambda_c^{ab} = 2\epsilon \epsilon^{abc}$, $\mathcal{D}_b^{ia} = \epsilon^{iab}$ and $\mathcal{K}_a^{ij} = 0$. In this case, the contravariant derivative $\mathcal{D}^\mathcal{L}$ is flat. From (7.5) it follows that the corresponding admissible Poisson structure on $C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(U)$ is given by

$$\{f \oplus \eta, g \oplus \xi\}^{\text{aff}} = \{f, g\}_{\mathfrak{so}(3)} \oplus \left[\epsilon^{iab} (\xi_a \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} - \eta_a \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}) + \epsilon^{ijk} x_k (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \xi_b}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \eta_b}{\partial x_j}) + 2\epsilon \epsilon^{acb} \eta_a \xi_c \right] dy_b, \quad (7.7)$$

Here, $\eta = \eta_a dy_a$ and $\xi = \xi_a dy_a$. Hence, $(C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(U), \{, \}^{\text{aff}})$ is an admissible Poisson algebra. \triangleleft

Now, we proceed to the problem on the existence of Hamiltonian structures for the derivations of $C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E)$ coming from the linearization of the Hamiltonian vector fields on the Poisson manifold M at the Poisson submanifold $S \subset M$ [12].

Consider the normal bundle $E \rightarrow S$ and fix a transversal subbundle \mathcal{L} in splitting (7.6). Fix an exponential map around S tangent to \mathcal{L} [12], that is, a diffeomorphism \mathbf{e} from the total space E onto a neighborhood of S in M which is identical on S and such that $(d_S \mathbf{e})(E) = \mathcal{L}$.

Pick a function $H \in C_M^\infty$ and denote by Z_H the pull back via \mathbf{e} of the *Hamiltonian vector field* on M associated to H . It is clear that Z_H is tangent to S and hence induces a derivation $Z_H^{(2)}$ of the trivial extension algebra $C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E)$, see (7.4), whose the $(0,0)$ –component and $(1,1)$ –component are determined by the *first variation vector field* $\text{var}_S Z_H$ and the $(1,0)$ –component may be nonzero. Moreover, one can associate to H the infinitesimal element (h, η) of $C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E)$ in (7.1) defined as

$$h = H|_S \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = d_S(\mathbf{e}^* H)|_E. \quad (7.8)$$

Taking into account Theorems 5.11 and 7.3 and Lemma 7.1, we deduce the following result.

Theorem 7.5 *The derivation $Z_H^{(2)}$ is a Hamiltonian derivation of the admissible Poisson algebra $(C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E), \cdot, \{, \}^\mathcal{L})$ of the form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{ad}_h^0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{T}_{h \oplus \eta} & \mathcal{D}_{dh} + \text{ad}_\eta \end{pmatrix},$$

where (h, η) is given by (7.8). Moreover, the following assertions are equivalent:

- The $(1,0)$ –component of $Z_H^{(2)}$ vanishes, $\mathcal{T}_{h \oplus \eta} = 0$.
- The first variation vector field $\text{var}_S Z_H$ defines a Hamiltonian derivation relative to the Poisson structure $\{, \}^\mathcal{L}$ on $C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E)$.
- The transversal \mathcal{L} in splitting (7.6) is invariant relative to the differential of the flow of the Hamiltonian vector field on M associated to H .

Finally, we notice that every vector field tangent to S induces a derivation of the trivial extension algebra $C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E)$. However, not every derivation of $C_{\text{aff}}^\infty(E)$ extends to a vector field on M [11].

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the Mexican National Council of Science and Technology (CONACyT), under research project CB-258302. JCRP thanks CONACyT for a postdoctoral fellowship held during the work on the manuscript.

References

- [1] D. Bennis, B. Fahid, *Derivations and the First Cohomology Group of Trivial Extension Algebras*. Mediterr. J. Math., **14**, (2017)
- [2] H. Bursztyn, *Poisson Vector Bundles, Contravariant Connections and Deformations*. Progr. Theoret. Phys. Suppl., **144**, 026–037 (2001)
- [3] P. Caressa, *Examples of Poisson Modules, I*. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, **52**, 419–452 (2003)
- [4] N. Ciccoli, P. Witkowski, *From Poisson to Quantum Geometry*, (2016)
- [5] M. Dubois-Violette, P.W. Michor, *Dérivations et Calcul Différentiel Non Commutatif. II*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., **319**, 927–931 (1994)
- [6] J.-P. Dufour, N. T. Zung, *Poisson Structures and their Normal Forms*. Birkhäuser Basel, (2005)
- [7] D. García-Beltrán, J. A. Vallejo, Y. Vorobjev, *On Lie Algebroids and Poisson Algebras*. SIGMA, **8**, 001-014 (2012)
- [8] J. Huebschmann, *Poisson Cohomology and Quantization*. J. für die Reine und Angew. Math., **408**, 057–113 (1990)
- [9] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, F. Magri, *Poisson-Nijenhuis Structures*. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Phys. Theor., **53**, 035–081 (1990)
- [10] K. C. H. Mackenzie, *Lie Algebroids and Lie Pseudoalgebras*. Bull. London Math. Soc., **27**, 097–147 (1995)
- [11] I. Mărcuț, *Formal Equivalence of Poisson Structures around Poisson Submanifolds*. Pac. J. Math., **255**, 439–461 (2012)
- [12] J. C. Ruíz-Pantaleón, D. García-Beltrán, Yu. Vorobiev, *Infinitesimal Poisson Algebras and Linearization of Hamiltonian Systems*. Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom., **58**, 415–431 (2020)
- [13] I. Vaisman, *On the Geometric Quantization of Poisson Manifolds*. J. Math. Phys., **32**, 3339-3345 (1991)
- [14] I. Vaisman, *Lectures on the Geometry of Poisson Manifolds*. Birkhäuser Basel, **118**, (1994)
- [15] C. Zhu, H. Li, Y. Li, *Poisson Derivations and the First Poisson Cohomology Group on Trivial Extension Algebras*. Bull. Iran. Math. Soc., **45**, 1339–1352 (2019)