

Effective gravitational action for 2D massive fermions

Adel BILAL¹, Corinne de LACROIX¹ and Harold ERBIN^{2,3,4}

¹*Laboratoire de Physique de l'École Normale Supérieure
PSL University, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Université de Paris
24 rue Lhomond, F-75231 Paris Cedex 05, France*

²*Center for Theoretical Physics, MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

³*NSF AI Institute for Artificial Intelligence and Fundamental Interactions*

⁴*Université Paris Saclay, CEA, LIST, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France*

Abstract

We work out the effective gravitational action for 2D massive Euclidean fermions in a small mass expansion. Besides the leading Liouville action, the order m^2 gravitational action contains a piece characteristic of the Mabuchi action, much as for 2D massive scalars, but also several non-local terms involving the Green's functions and Green's functions at coinciding points on the manifold.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The Dirac operator	3
2.1	Flat space : γ -matrices and Dirac operator	3
2.2	Curved space : γ -matrices, Dirac operator and spinorial Laplacian	4
2.3	The example of the flat torus	6
2.4	Conformal changes	8
3	The gravitational action	9
4	Green's functions, heat kernels and zeta-function	10
4.1	Definitions and basic relations	10
4.1.1	Local zeta-functions	10
4.1.2	Local heat kernels	11
4.1.3	Green's functions	13
4.2	Perturbation theory	14
4.3	Singularity structure of the local zeta-functions and the Green's functions	16
4.3.1	The flat torus	16
4.3.2	General statements	19
4.3.3	Singularities of the Green's functions	20
4.4	Renormalised Green's functions	20
5	The variation of the gravitational action	23
5.1	Expression in terms of S_ζ or S_R	23
5.2	Conformal variations of the Green's function $S(x, y)$ and $S_R(x)$	24
5.3	The gravitational action on the sphere	26
6	Discussion and outlook	29

1 Introduction

The effective gravitational action for a given matter system coupled to gravity is defined in terms of the matter partition functions $Z_{\text{mat}}[g]$ computed in a fixed metric g on a given manifold \mathcal{M} as

$$\exp \left(- S_{\text{grav}}[g, \hat{g}] \right) = \frac{Z_{\text{mat}}[g]}{Z_{\text{mat}}[\hat{g}]} . \quad (1.1)$$

By this definition, the effective gravitational action necessarily depends on two different metrics. We may consider \hat{g} as a reference metric, and in particular in two dimensions we may assume that g and \hat{g} are related by a conformal factor as $g = e^{2\sigma} \hat{g}$. The definition (1.1) implies that S_{grav} satisfies a cocycle identity

$$S_{\text{grav}}[g_1, g_2] + S_{\text{grav}}[g_2, g_3] = S_{\text{grav}}[g_1, g_3] . \quad (1.2)$$

The best-known example is the effective gravitational action for conformal matter coupled to 2D gravity which is the Liouville action [1]

$$S_L[g, \hat{g}] \equiv S_L[\hat{g}, \sigma] = \int d^2x \sqrt{\hat{g}} (\sigma \Delta_0 \sigma + R_0 \sigma), \quad g = e^{2\sigma} \hat{g} , \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$S_{\text{grav}}[g, \hat{g}] = -\frac{c}{24\pi} S_L[g, \hat{g}] , \quad (1.4)$$

c being the central charge of the conformal matter system. Another, even simpler example of a gravitational action satisfying this cocycle identity is the “cosmological constant action”

$$S_c[g, \hat{g}] = \mu_0 \int d^2x (\sqrt{g} - \sqrt{\hat{g}}) = \mu_0 (A - A_0) . \quad (1.5)$$

In general, this action must also be present as a counterterm to renormalize the divergences that are present in (1.1), in addition to S_L .

Two-dimensional gravitational actions other than the Liouville or cosmological constant actions can be constructed and have been studied in the mathematical literature, like the Mabuchi and Aubin-Yau actions [2, 3]. These latter functionals involve not only the conformal factor σ but also the Kähler potential ϕ and do admit generalizations to higher-dimensional Kähler manifolds. In the mathematical literature they appear in relation with the characterization of constant scalar curvature metrics [3]. Their rôles as two-dimensional gravitational actions in the sense of (1.1) have been highlighted in [4]. In particular, ref. [4] has initiated the study of the metric dependence of the partition function of non-conformal matter like a massive scalar field and shown that the gravitational action defined by (1.1) contains these Mabuchi and Aubin-Yau actions as first-order corrections (first order in $m^2 A$ where m is the mass and A the area of the Riemann surface) to the Liouville action. The partition function of quantum gravity at fixed area, with a gravitational action being a combination of the Liouville and Mabuchi actions, has been studied at one loop in [5] and at two and three loops in [6]. The effective gravitational action¹ for such massive scalar fields including higher-order corrections in m^2 has been studied in [7], and on manifolds with boundaries in [8]. A rigorous mathematical construction of the

¹Note that at present we call $S_{\text{grav}}[g, \hat{g}]$ what was called $S_{\text{grav}}[\hat{g}, g]$ in refs [4, 6, 7, 8].

functional integral based on the coupling of the Liouville and Mabuchi actions has since been obtained in ref [9] by means of probabilistic tools. Further properties of the Mabuchi action were studied in [10, 11].

References [4, 7, 8] all studied the effective gravitational action of a two-dimensional massive scalar field, and a natural question to ask was what happens for two-dimensional massive fermions. As we will briefly discuss below, the most interesting case corresponds to a massive “Majorana” fermion.² While this seemed to be a simple generalisation of the case of the scalar field, it actually turned out to be technically quite more involved. It is the purpose of the present paper to study this effective gravitational action for massive fermions. Much as for the scalar case, the renormalised Green’s functions at coinciding points play an important role. At present it will be useful to study both, the Green’s function of the massive Dirac operator D and the Green’s function of the squared operator D^2 . The study of these operators and the associated (local) zeta-functions and (local) heat kernels will occupy a major part of these notes. The order m^2 terms of the effective gravitational action can then be expressed as an integral over the manifold of these Green’s functions at coinciding points. A detailed knowledge of their zero-modes (for zero mass) is required to perform the small-mass expansion correctly. In this paper we will then restrict to spherical topology where the Dirac operator has no zero-mode (even for zero mass) and we can reliably obtain the small mass expansion up to and including the order m^2 terms. Besides the leading Liouville term, at order m^2 we get a cosmological constant action, and a local $\int \sqrt{g} \sigma e^{2\sigma}$ term characteristic of the Mabuchi action, as well as some further non-local terms involving the Green’s functions. Despite some effort, we could not express the latter in terms of purely local quantities like the conformal factor σ and the Kähler potential. Similar non-local terms also appeared in the scalar case at order m^4 and it seems that in the present fermionic case they already are unavoidable at order m^2 . We plan to return to the case of general topology with a detailed account of the role of the zero-modes in a future publication.

This paper is organised as follows. In the next section we introduce the relevant differential operators : massive Dirac operator D , its square D^2 , and the scalar and spinorial Laplacians. We discuss their eigenvalue problems (with a specific look at the example of the torus) and how the eigenvalues transform under conformal changes of the metric. In section 3 we define the gravitational action precisely in terms of these eigenvalues and how it is related to the corresponding zeta-functions. In section 4 we embark on a detailed study of the different Green’s functions, local zeta-functions and local heat kernels, and how they vary under conformal changes of the metric. So far, all our discussion is valid for all 2D topologies. All this is put together in section 5 to obtain the effective gravitational action. The first two subsection are still for general topology, but then we specify to spherical topology to be able to do the small m -expansion and identify the order m^2 contributions to the effective action, and in particular display the Mabuchi-type action.

²This is a 2D fermion with a Majorana-type mass term. Classically, at the level of the Dirac equation, one can impose a Majorana condition, but the eigenfunctions of the corresponding (purely imaginary) Dirac operator D necessarily are complex. One might argue whether it is then appropriate to talk about Majorana fermions in the quantum theory. However, we can obtain the partition function in terms of the eigenvalues of the (real) squared operator D^2 whose eigenfunctions can all be chosen to be real.

2 The Dirac operator

2.1 Flat space : γ -matrices and Dirac operator

In D=2 Euclidean dimensions, $\mu = 1, 2$, we choose two hermitian γ -matrices. We can choose them to be both real, i.e. σ_x and σ_z . Thus

$$\gamma^1 = \sigma_x, \quad \gamma^2 = \sigma_z. \quad (2.1)$$

The chirality matrix then is

$$\gamma_* = i\gamma^1\gamma^2 = \sigma_y \quad \Rightarrow \quad \gamma_*^\dagger = \gamma_* \quad , \quad \gamma_*^T = \gamma_*^* = -\gamma_* \quad , \quad (2.2)$$

and, of course, γ_* anticommutes with γ^μ . The generator of “Lorentz” transformations, i.e. of SO(2) is $\frac{i}{4}[\gamma^1, \gamma^2] = \gamma_*$ and the representation of a finite rotation by an angle α on a spinor ψ is given by the real matrix $D(\alpha) = e^{i\alpha\gamma_*/2}$. One easily shows that ψ^* , $\gamma_*\psi$ and $\not{\partial}\psi$ all transform³ exactly as ψ . Hence it makes sense to impose the Majorana condition $\psi^* = \psi$.

For anticommuting Majorana spinors a mass term like $\int \psi^\dagger m \psi = \int m \psi^T \psi$ vanishes. We can, however, introduce a non-vanishing, real mass-term as $\int \psi^\dagger m \gamma_* \psi$, so that the action reads

$$S = \int \psi^\dagger (i\not{\partial} + m\gamma_*) \psi. \quad (2.3)$$

The corresponding Dirac operator

$$D = i\not{\partial} + m\gamma_* \quad (2.4)$$

is hermitian and squares to $D^2 = -\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + m^2$ which incorporates the correct Euclidean continuation of the mass-shell condition $p_\mu p^\mu + m^2 = 0$. For (complex) Dirac spinors one might also contemplate an ordinary mass term with action $S = \int \psi^\dagger (i\not{\partial} + m) \psi$. However, the square of the corresponding Dirac operator $(i\not{\partial} + m)^2 = -\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + m^2 + 2im\not{\partial}$ does *not* correspond to anything simple or physical. (It is $(i\not{\partial} - m)(i\not{\partial} + m)$ that instead gives the mass-shell condition.) For this reason, we will focus on the action (2.3) and corresponding Dirac operator (2.4).

Note that the Dirac operator (2.4) is a purely imaginary hermitian differential operator and hence $(i\not{\partial} + m\gamma_*)\psi = 0$ admits real solutions ψ . However, the corresponding eigenvalue problem

$$(i\not{\partial} + m\gamma_*)\psi_n = \lambda_n \psi_n \quad (2.5)$$

clearly cannot admit real solutions ψ_n (since λ_n are real) and one must take the ψ_n to be complex. Taking the complex conjugate of (2.5), we see that ψ_n^* also is an eigenfunction but with eigenvalue $-\lambda_n$. If we let

$$\psi_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\chi_n + i\phi_n), \quad (2.6)$$

then taking the real and imaginary part of (2.5) one gets

$$(i\not{\partial} + m\gamma_*)\chi_n = i\lambda_n \phi_n \quad , \quad (i\not{\partial} + m\gamma_*)\phi_n = -i\lambda_n \chi_n, \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$(i\not{\partial} + m\gamma_*)^2 \chi_n = \lambda_n^2 \chi_n \quad , \quad (i\not{\partial} + m\gamma_*)^2 \phi_n = \lambda_n^2 \phi_n. \quad (2.8)$$

³The precise statement for $\not{\partial}\psi$ is, of course : if $\psi'(x') = D(\alpha)\psi(x)$ then $\gamma^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^\mu} \psi'(x') = D(\alpha) \gamma^\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \psi(x)$.

2.2 Curved space : γ -matrices, Dirac operator and spinorial Laplacian

The above Dirac-matrices (to be denoted γ^a) and Dirac operator are those in flat space. In curved space we have $\gamma^\mu = E_a^\mu \gamma^a$ and the spinorial covariant derivative is

$$\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu - \frac{i}{2} \omega_\mu^{ab} \frac{i}{4} [\gamma^a, \gamma^b] = \partial_\mu + \frac{1}{4} \omega_\mu^{ab} \gamma^{ab} = \partial_\mu - \frac{i}{2} \omega_\mu^{12} \gamma_*. \quad (2.9)$$

We let

$$\omega_\mu \equiv 2\omega_\mu^{12} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu - \frac{i}{4} \omega_\mu \gamma_*. \quad (2.10)$$

More generally when acting on a spinorial object that also carries vector indices, one has $\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu - \frac{i}{4} \omega_\mu \gamma_* + \Gamma_\mu$. To avoid confusion we call ∇_μ^{sp} the spinor covariant derivative defined in (2.9), (2.10) :

$$\nabla_\mu^{\text{sp}} = \partial_\mu - \frac{i}{4} \omega_\mu \gamma_*. \quad (2.11)$$

Note that since $\gamma_* = \sigma_y$ is purely imaginary, ∇_μ^{sp} is a real (anti-hermitian) differential operator. Next we define

$$\nabla = \gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu^{\text{sp}} = \gamma^a E_a^\mu \nabla_\mu^{\text{sp}}. \quad (2.12)$$

It is important to note that $\nabla\psi$ transforms as ψ and hence $\nabla\nabla\psi = \gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu^{\text{sp}} \gamma^\nu \nabla_\nu^{\text{sp}} \psi$. However, this is *not* $\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \nabla_\mu^{\text{sp}} \nabla_\nu^{\text{sp}} \psi$. Instead one has $\nabla_\mu \gamma^a = \omega_\mu^{ab} \gamma^b$ and $\nabla_\mu \gamma^\nu = E_a^\nu \nabla_\mu \gamma^a = E_a^\nu \omega_\mu^{ab} \gamma^b = -\gamma^a \omega_\mu^{ab} E_b^\nu$. But using $0 = \nabla_\mu E_a^\nu = \partial_\mu E_a^\nu + \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\nu E_a^\rho + \omega_{\mu\rho}^a E_b^\rho$ we get consistently

$$\nabla_\mu \gamma^\nu = \partial_\mu \gamma^\nu + \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\nu \gamma^\rho. \quad (2.13)$$

One can then consistently show that $\nabla_\mu \nabla\psi = \nabla_\mu^{\text{sp}} \nabla\psi$ and

$$\nabla\nabla\psi \equiv (\gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu)(\gamma^\nu \nabla_\nu)\psi = \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \psi = \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \left(\nabla_\mu^{\text{sp}} \nabla_\nu^{\text{sp}} - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho \nabla_\rho^{\text{sp}} \right) \psi. \quad (2.14)$$

This is as if $\nabla_\mu \gamma^\nu$ vanishes, but as we have seen above this is not the case. What one really has is

$$[\nabla_\mu, \gamma^\nu] = 0 \quad , \text{ i.e. } \quad \nabla_\mu(\gamma^\nu \dots) = \gamma^\nu \nabla_\mu \dots \quad (2.15)$$

Next, using $\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu = g^{\mu\nu} + \gamma^{\mu\nu}$ and $\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \psi - \nabla_\nu \nabla_\mu \psi = \frac{1}{4} R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \gamma^{ab} \psi$ we get

$$\nabla\nabla\psi = g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \psi + \frac{1}{8} \gamma^{cd} R_{cd}^{ab} \gamma^{ab} \psi. \quad (2.16)$$

In two dimensions $\gamma^{cd} R_{cd}^{ab} \gamma^{ab}$ simplifies to $-2\mathcal{R}$ where \mathcal{R} is the scalar Ricci curvature. Thus

$$\nabla\nabla\psi = g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \psi - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{R} \psi = (\Delta_{\text{sp}} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{R}) \psi, \quad (2.17)$$

where we have introduced the spinorial Laplacian :

$$\Delta_{\text{sp}} \psi = g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \psi = g^{\mu\nu} \left(\nabla_\mu^{\text{sp}} \nabla_\nu^{\text{sp}} - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho \nabla_\rho^{\text{sp}} \right) \psi. \quad (2.18)$$

Note that ∇_μ^{sp} is a 2×2 -matrix differential operator, and so is this spinorial Laplacian. More precisely, we have a piece proportional to the identity matrix and involving the scalar Laplacian, and a piece proportional to γ_* :

$$\Delta_{\text{sp}}\psi = \left(\Delta_{\text{scalar}} - \frac{1}{16}\omega^\mu\omega_\mu\right)\psi - \frac{i}{4}\gamma_*\left((\nabla_\mu\omega^\mu) + 2\omega^\mu\partial_\mu\right)\psi . \quad (2.19)$$

Finally, we define the purely imaginary, hermitian Dirac operator as the curved-space generalisation of (2.4) :

$$D = i\nabla + m\gamma_* . \quad (2.20)$$

Then

$$D^2 = -\nabla\nabla + m^2 = -\Delta_{\text{sp}} + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{R} + m^2 . \quad (2.21)$$

Again, as in flat space, the eigenvalue problem of D

$$D\psi_n \equiv (i\nabla + m\gamma_*)\psi_n = \lambda_n\psi_n , \quad (2.22)$$

($n \geq 0$) cannot admit real solutions, and ψ_n^* is eigenfunction with eigenvalue $-\lambda_n$:

$$D\psi_n^* = -\lambda_n\psi_n^* . \quad (2.23)$$

For all $\lambda_n \neq 0$, within any couple (ψ_n, ψ_n^*) we decide to call ψ_n the eigenfunction with the positive eigenvalue. With this convention we always have

$$\lambda_n \geq 0 . \quad (2.24)$$

Again we let

$$\psi_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\chi_n + i\phi_n) , \quad (2.25)$$

and get

$$D\chi_n = i\lambda_n\phi_n \quad , \quad D\phi_n = -i\lambda_n\chi_n , \quad (2.26)$$

while, of course

$$D^2\chi_n = \Lambda_n\chi_n \quad , \quad D^2\phi_n = \Lambda_n\phi_n \quad , \quad D^2\psi_n = \Lambda_n\psi_n \quad , \quad \Lambda_n = \lambda_n^2 . \quad (2.27)$$

The natural inner product is

$$(\Psi_1, \Psi_2) = \int d^2x \sqrt{g} \Psi_1^\dagger \Psi_2 . \quad (2.28)$$

With respect to this inner product $i\nabla$ and D are hermitian operators and hence the ψ_n corresponding to different λ_n are orthogonal. Similarly, for the ψ_n^* . Also all ψ_n^* are orthogonal to all ψ_k (as long as $\lambda_n \neq 0$). This, together with the normalisation conditions is equivalent to

$$(\chi_n, \chi_k) = \delta_{nk} \quad , \quad (\phi_n, \phi_k) = \delta_{nk} \quad , \quad (\chi_n, \phi_k) = 0 \quad (\lambda_n \neq 0) . \quad (2.29)$$

Remarks : Let us make a few obvious remarks. Note that the eigenfunctions of D (the ψ_n and ψ_n^*) are automatically eigenfunctions of D^2 , but the converse is not necessarily true as is exemplified by the χ_n and ϕ_n . What is true is that within any eigenspace of D^2 with eigenvalue $\Lambda_n = \lambda_n^2$ one can find linear combinations (corresponding precisely to the ψ_n and ψ_n^*) that are eigenfunctions of D with eigenvalues $+\lambda_n$ and $-\lambda_n$.

Since ∇_μ^{sp} commutes with γ_* , it is clear that ∇ anticommutes with γ_* . Then, for $m \neq 0$, D has no simple (anti)commutation relation with γ_* and we cannot have eigenfunctions of D of definite chirality (i.e. being also eigenfunctions of γ_*). For $m = 0$, D anticommutes with γ_* , so that $\gamma_*\psi_n$ is eigenfunction of D with eigenvalue $-\lambda_n$. Thus for $\lambda_n \neq 0$, ψ_n and $\gamma_*\psi_n$ necessarily are orthogonal. For $\lambda_n = 0$, however, one can always choose a basis of definite chirality eigenfunctions.⁴ As is well known, the difference of positive and negative chirality zero-modes of D is called its index.

For D^2 the situation is simpler : it is clear from (2.19) and (2.21) that D^2 commutes with γ_* , and one can then take the eigenfunctions of D^2 to have definite chirality. From the discussion of the previous paragraph it is then clear that in general these definite chirality eigenfunctions of D^2 are *not* eigenfunctions of D .

Finally, one has

$$\lambda_n^2 - m^2 = (\psi_n, (D^2 - m^2)\psi_n) = (\psi_n, (i\nabla)^2\psi_n) = (i\nabla\psi_n, i\nabla\psi_n) \geq 0 . \quad (2.30)$$

We see that $\lambda_n^2 > m^2$, unless $i\nabla\psi_n = 0$ in which case $\lambda_n^2 = m^2$. In any case, for $m \neq 0$ we have $\lambda_n^2 > 0$ and there are no zero-modes of D . Similarly, we have

$$(\psi_n, (-\Delta_{\text{sp}})\psi_n) = - \int d^2x \sqrt{g} \psi_n^* g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \psi_n = \int d^2x \sqrt{g} (\nabla_\mu^{\text{sp}} \psi_n)^* g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu^{\text{sp}} \psi_n \equiv a^2 \geq 0 . \quad (2.31)$$

It follows from (2.21) that if we choose a constant curvature metric $\mathcal{R} = \bar{\mathcal{R}}$, we have

$$\lambda_n^2 - m^2 = a^2 + \frac{1}{4}\bar{\mathcal{R}} , \quad (2.32)$$

so that on the sphere with $\mathcal{R} > 0$ we have $\lambda_n^2 > m^2$ for all n , i.e. there are no zero-modes even for $m = 0$.

2.3 The example of the flat torus

It is useful to have at least one specific example of fermionic eigenfunctions. The simplest case is the flat torus with each of its periods being 2π . Of course, being flat, we will not be able to appreciate any effects of curvature. As is well known, for fermions we may consider different spin structures, i.e. periodic or anti-periodic boundary conditions around one or the other circle of the torus, leading to four different spin structures. Here we will only investigate the doubly periodic boundary conditions, the other spin structures can be treated in complete analogy. Note that it is only for the doubly periodic boundary conditions that the zero-modes are present.

⁴If $\psi_{0,i}$ already has definite chirality, nothing is to be done. If not, then $(1 \pm \gamma_*)\psi_{0,i}/2$ are both non-vanishing and have definite chirality ± 1 .

The relevant Dirac operator then is the one given in (2.22), i.e.

$$D = i\cancel{\partial} + m\gamma_* = i\sigma_x\partial_1 + i\sigma_z\partial_2 + \sigma_y m = i \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2 & \partial_1 - m \\ \partial_1 + m & -\partial_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.33)$$

We have $D^2 = -(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2) + m^2$ so that $D^2\psi_n = \lambda_n^2\psi_n$ yields

$$\lambda_n^2 \equiv \lambda_{\vec{n}}^2 = n_1^2 + n_2^2 + m^2 \quad , \quad \psi_n \sim e^{in_1x^1 + in_2x^2}. \quad (2.34)$$

The complex eigenfunctions of the Dirac operator D then are

$$\psi_{\vec{n}}(x^1, x^2) = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} e^{in_1x^1 + in_2x^2}, \quad (2.35)$$

where a and b of course also depend on the integers n_1 and n_2 but not on x^1 and x^2 . We denote by $\lambda_{\vec{n}}$ the positive square root of $\lambda_{\vec{n}}^2 : \lambda_{\vec{n}} = +\sqrt{n_1^2 + n_2^2 + m^2}$. Then $D\psi_n = \lambda_n\psi_n$ gives

$$-(n_1 + im)b = (\lambda_{\vec{n}} + n_2)a \quad , \quad -(n_1 - im)a = (\lambda_{\vec{n}} - n_2)b. \quad (2.36)$$

This is easily solved, up to a common normalisation, which we choose such that $\int d^2x \psi_{\vec{n}}^\dagger \psi_{\vec{n}} = 1$:

$$\psi_{\vec{n}}(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{2\lambda_{\vec{n}}(\lambda_{\vec{n}} + n_2)}} \begin{pmatrix} n_1 + im \\ -\lambda_{\vec{n}} - n_2 \end{pmatrix} e^{in_1x^1 + in_2x^2}. \quad (2.37)$$

Of course, since $\lambda_{\vec{n}}$ only depends on $n_1^2 + n_2^2$, $\psi_{\vec{n}}$ and $\psi_{-\vec{n}}$ have the same eigenvalue $\lambda_{\vec{n}}$. One also easily checks that

$$\psi_{\vec{n}}^* = \psi_{-\vec{n}}|_{\lambda_{\vec{n}} \rightarrow -\lambda_{\vec{n}}}, \quad (2.38)$$

which confirms that $\psi_{\vec{n}}^*$ is indeed an eigenfunction of D with eigenvalue $-\lambda_{\vec{n}}$. Thus the complete set of eigenfunctions is the set of all $\psi_{\vec{n}}$ and all $\psi_{\vec{n}}^*$. Note the degeneracy of the eigenvalues. For non-zero n_1 and n_2 , $\pm n_1$ and $\pm n_2$ all yield the same $\lambda_{\vec{n}}$, and thus the eigenvalues of D are (at least) four-fold degenerate. (In the present example where both circles have the same radius, there is a further degeneracy under the exchange of n_1 and n_2 .) Since for D^2 , ψ_n and ψ_n^* correspond to the same eigenvalue $\Lambda_n = \lambda_n^2$, generically the eigenvalues of D^2 are (at least) eight-fold degenerate.

Note that for $m \neq 0$ there are no zero-modes, while for $m = 0$ the zero-modes correspond to $n_1 = n_2 = 0$. However, the form of (2.37) is not directly useful as it is indeterminate in this case. We may instead first take to $n_1 = n_2 = 0$ and then consider the limit $m \rightarrow 0$ which gives the two zero-modes

$$\psi_{\vec{0}} = \frac{-1}{2\pi\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \psi_{\vec{0}}^* = \frac{-1}{2\pi\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.39)$$

Note that they happen to be eigenmodes of γ_* :

$$\gamma_*\psi_{\vec{0}} = \psi_{\vec{0}} \quad , \quad \gamma_*\psi_{\vec{0}}^* = -\psi_{\vec{0}}. \quad (2.40)$$

For the other spin structures, the n corresponding to a circle with anti-periodic boundary conditions are half-integer and, obviously, then there are no zero-modes even for $m = 0$.

2.4 Conformal changes

We will consider conformal changes between a “reference” metric / vielbein and a conformally rescaled metric / vielbein, related as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\sigma} \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} \quad , \quad e_\mu^a = e^\sigma \hat{e}_\mu^a \quad , \quad E_a^\mu = e^{-\sigma} \hat{E}_a^\mu \quad , \quad (2.41)$$

where the conformal factor σ depends on the space-coordinates. It follows from $de^a + \omega^{ab}e^b = 0$ ($e^a = e_\mu^a dx^\mu$, $\omega^{ab} = \omega_\mu^{ab} dx^\mu$) that

$$\omega_\mu^{ab} = \hat{\omega}_\mu^{ab} + (\hat{e}_\mu^a \hat{E}^{b\lambda} - \hat{e}_\mu^b \hat{E}^{a\lambda}) \partial_\lambda \sigma \quad . \quad (2.42)$$

This yields

$$\nabla_\mu^{\text{sp}} = \hat{\nabla}_\mu^{\text{sp}} - \frac{i}{2} (\hat{e}_\mu^1 \hat{E}^{2\lambda} - \hat{e}_\mu^2 \hat{E}^{1\lambda}) \partial_\lambda \sigma \quad . \quad (2.43)$$

Using $\gamma^\mu = E_a^\mu \gamma^a = e^{-\sigma} \hat{\gamma}^\mu$, it is then a straightforward exercise to show

$$\nabla = e^{-\sigma} \left(\hat{\nabla} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\gamma}^\lambda \partial_\lambda \sigma \right) = e^{-3\sigma/2} \hat{\nabla} e^{\sigma/2} \quad . \quad (2.44)$$

For an infinitesimal variation $\delta\sigma$ of the conformal factor this yields

$$\delta \nabla = -\delta\sigma \nabla + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\lambda \partial_\lambda \delta\sigma \quad \Rightarrow \quad \delta D = -\delta\sigma (D - m\gamma_*) + \frac{i}{2} \gamma^\lambda \partial_\lambda \delta\sigma \quad . \quad (2.45)$$

Next, for the Christoffel symbols one has

$$g^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho = e^{-2\sigma} \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\rho \quad . \quad (2.46)$$

To work out the variation of D^2 one can then either use (2.44) or (2.43) together with (2.18) and (2.46). In any case,

$$D^2 - m^2 = e^{-2\sigma} \left(D^2 - m^2 + \hat{\gamma}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \sigma \hat{\nabla}_\nu^{\text{sp}} - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\Delta}_{\text{scalar}} \sigma) + \frac{1}{2} \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu \sigma) (\partial_\nu \sigma) \right) \quad , \quad (2.47)$$

or for an infinitesimal variation of σ :

$$\delta D^2 = -2\delta\sigma (D^2 - m^2) + (\partial_\mu \delta\sigma) \gamma^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu^{\text{sp}} - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\Delta}_{\text{scalar}} \delta\sigma) \quad . \quad (2.48)$$

3 The gravitational action

We define the matter partition function for fermionic matter with action $S = \int \psi^\dagger (i\nabla + m\gamma_*)\psi$ on a two-dimensional manifold with metric g as

$$Z_{\text{mat}}[g] = \int \mathcal{D}\Psi \exp \left(- \int d^2x \sqrt{g} \Psi^\dagger D_g \Psi \right) , \quad (3.1)$$

where we wrote D_g to insist that this is the Dirac operator D for the metric g (and corresponding vielbein e and spin connection ω). One expands Ψ on a complete set of eigenmodes of D :

$$\Psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \left(\sum_n (b_n \chi_n(x) + c_n \phi_n(x)) + \sum_i d_i \psi_{0,i}(x) \right) , \quad (3.2)$$

where the first sum does not include the zero modes $\psi_{0,i}$. The eigenfunctions χ_n , ϕ_n and $\psi_{0,i}$ are real, commuting functions, orthonormalized as discussed above. μ is an arbitrary mass scale we introduce so that the anticommuting coefficients b_n , c_n , d_i are dimensionless.⁵ It follows that

$$\int d^2x \sqrt{g} \Psi^\dagger D_g \Psi = 2i \sum_n \frac{\lambda_n}{\mu} c_n b_n , \quad (3.3)$$

where of course only the non-zero modes contribute. The functional integral measure is defined in terms of grassmann integrals over these coefficients b_n and c_n , so that

$$Z_{\text{mat}}[g] = \int \prod_n db_n dc_n \exp \left(-2i \sum_n \frac{\lambda_n}{\mu} c_n b_n \right) = \mathcal{N} \prod_n \frac{\lambda_n}{\mu} . \quad (3.4)$$

(again, the product is only over all strictly positive eigenvalues λ_n).

The gravitational action was defined by (1.1), i.e. $S_{\text{grav}}[g, \hat{g}] = -\ln \frac{Z_{\text{mat}}[g]}{Z_{\text{mat}}[\hat{g}]}$, so that

$$S_{\text{grav}}[g, \hat{g}] = -\ln \prod_n \frac{\lambda_n[g]}{\mu} + \ln \prod_n \frac{\lambda_n[\hat{g}]}{\mu} . \quad (3.5)$$

We may also rewrite this in terms of the determinant of D^2 . The operator D^2 has eigenvalues λ_n^2 for the χ_n and λ_n^2 for the ϕ_n , so that

$$\text{Det}' D^2 = \left(\prod_n \lambda_n^2 \right)^2 , \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$S_{\text{grav}}[g, \hat{g}] = -\frac{1}{4} \ln \text{Det}' D^2[g] + \frac{1}{4} \ln \text{Det}' D^2[\hat{g}] . \quad (3.7)$$

All these determinants and products of eigenvalues are, of course, ill-defined and have to be regularised. We will use the standard tool of regularisation via the corresponding zeta-functions. The zeta-function of the operator D^2 is

$$\zeta(s) = 2 \sum_n (\lambda_n^2)^{-s} , \quad (3.8)$$

⁵Indeed, from the normalisation condition of the eigenmodes one sees that the χ_n and ϕ_n have engineering dimension one, i.e. $\chi_n \sim \phi_n \sim \mu$, and since Ψ must have dimension $\frac{1}{2}$ so that the action $\int d^2x \sqrt{g} \Psi^\dagger D_g \Psi$ is dimensionless, we see from (3.2) that b_n , c_n , d_i are indeed dimensionless.

since every eigenvalue $\lambda_n^2 > 0$ occurs once with eigenfunction χ_n and once with ϕ_n . Also, the sum obviously does not include any zero eigenvalue in case there are zero-modes. Standard manipulations give for the derivative

$$\zeta'(0) = -2 \sum_n \ln \lambda_n^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad -2 \ln \prod_n \frac{\lambda_n^2}{\mu^2} = \zeta'(0) + \ln \mu^2 \zeta(0) , \quad (3.9)$$

It follows that the (regularised) gravitational action is

$$S_{\text{grav}}[g, \hat{g}] = \frac{1}{4} \left(\zeta'_g(0) + \ln \mu^2 \zeta_g(0) \right) - \frac{1}{4} \left(\zeta'_{\hat{g}}(0) + \ln \mu^2 \zeta_{\hat{g}}(0) \right) . \quad (3.10)$$

We want to determine this gravitational action for $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = e^{2\sigma(x)}(\hat{g})_{\mu\nu}(x)$. Our strategy will be to first determine δS_{grav} for infinitesimal $\delta\sigma$ and then “integrate” this variation to obtain $S_{\text{grav}}[g, \hat{g}]$. Obviously, the variation of the gravitational action is given in terms of the variation of the zeta-function $\zeta(s)$ around $s = 0$. To obtain this, we need to study the variations of the eigenvalues λ_n under a corresponding variation of the Dirac operator D . This leads us to the study of the Green’s functions, local zeta functions and local heat kernels and their variations which is the subject of the next section.

4 Green’s functions, heat kernels and zeta-function

4.1 Definitions and basic relations

Throughout this section we assume that $m \neq 0$ so that there are no zero-modes of D . (We could also include the case $m = 0$ if the manifold is a sphere.) Recall that we had defined ψ_n and ψ_n^* (and hence χ_n and ϕ_n) such that $\lambda_n \geq 0$. Thus throughout this section we can assume $\lambda_n > 0$.

To begin with, note that

$$\sum_n (\chi_n(x) \chi_n^\dagger(y) + \phi_n(x) \phi_n^\dagger(y)) = \sum_n (\psi_n(x) \psi_n^\dagger(y) + \psi_n^*(x) (\psi_n^*)^\dagger(y)) = \frac{\delta(x-y)}{\sqrt{g}} \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} . \quad (4.1)$$

4.1.1 Local zeta-functions

Next, we define two local zeta-functions $\zeta_+(s, x, y)$ and $\zeta_-(s, x, y)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_+(s, x, y) &= \sum_n \lambda_n^{-2s} (\chi_n(x) \chi_n^\dagger(y) + \phi_n(x) \phi_n^\dagger(y)) , \\ \zeta_-(s, x, y) &= \sum_n \lambda_n^{-2s} (\chi_n(x) \phi_n^\dagger(y) - \phi_n(x) \chi_n^\dagger(y)) , \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where $x = (x^1, x^2)$ and $y = (y^1, y^2)$ denote points on the manifold. Note that these local zeta-functions are real 2×2 -matrices. They can also be rewritten in terms of the ψ_n and ψ_n^* as

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_+(s, x, y) &= \sum_n \lambda_n^{-2s} (\psi_n(x) \psi_n^\dagger(y) + \psi_n^*(x) (\psi_n^*)^\dagger(y)) , \\ \zeta_-(s, x, y) &= i \sum_n \lambda_n^{-2s} (\psi_n(x) \psi_n^\dagger(y) - \psi_n^*(x) (\psi_n^*)^\dagger(y)) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Obviously, $\zeta_+^\dagger(s, x, y) = \zeta_+(s, y, x)$ and $\zeta_-^\dagger(s, x, y) = -\zeta_-(s, y, x)$.

The convergence properties of these zeta-functions depend essentially on the large- n behaviour of the eigenvalues λ_n^2 . The latter, in turn, is dictated by the leading 2-derivative term in D^2 which, by (2.19), is the same as the one of the scalar Laplacian, and which is the same as in flat space. It follows that, as usual, these zeta-functions are convergent expressions for $\Re s > 1$ and are otherwise defined by analytical continuation.

Denoting the Dirac trace by tr_D , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^2x \sqrt{g} \text{tr}_D \zeta_+(s, x, x) &= \sum_n \lambda_n^{-2s} \int d^2x \sqrt{g} (\chi_n^\dagger(x) \chi_n(x) + \phi_n^\dagger(x) \phi_n(x)) = 2 \sum_n \lambda_n^{-2s} \equiv \zeta(s) , \\ \int d^2x \sqrt{g} \text{tr}_D \zeta_-(s, x, x) &= \sum_n \lambda_n^{-2s} \int d^2x \sqrt{g} (\phi_n^\dagger(x) \chi_n(x) - \chi_n^\dagger(x) \phi_n(x)) = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Note (again) that $2 \sum_n \lambda_n^{-2s}$ can be interpreted as $\sum \Lambda_n^{-s}$ where Λ_n is either λ_n^2 or $(-\lambda_n)^2$, so that $\zeta(s)$ is actually the zeta function of D^2 .

4.1.2 Local heat kernels

We similarly define local heat kernels that are again 2×2 -matrices :

$$\begin{aligned} K_+(t, x, y) &= \sum_n e^{-\lambda_n^2 t} (\chi_n(x) \chi_n^\dagger(y) + \phi_n(x) \phi_n^\dagger(y)) = \sum_n e^{-\lambda_n^2 t} (\psi_n(x) \psi_n^\dagger(y) + \psi_n^*(x) (\psi_n^*)^\dagger(y)) , \\ K_-(t, x, y) &= \sum_n e^{-\lambda_n^2 t} (\chi_n(x) \phi_n^\dagger(y) - \phi_n(x) \chi_n^\dagger(y)) = i \sum_n e^{-\lambda_n^2 t} (\psi_n(x) \psi_n^\dagger(y) - \psi_n^*(x) (\psi_n^*)^\dagger(y)) , \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

as well as

$$\mathcal{K}(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{2} K_+(t, x, y) - \frac{i}{2} K_-(t, x, y) = \sum_n e^{-\lambda_n^2 t} \psi_n(x) \psi_n^\dagger(y) . \quad (4.6)$$

Note that K_\pm are real functions and thus constitute the real and imaginary parts of \mathcal{K} . All three, K_+ , K_- and \mathcal{K} satisfy

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} + D_x^2 \right) K_\pm(t, x, y) = 0 , \quad (4.7)$$

where the subscript x on D^2 indicates that the derivatives are with respect to x .

It is important to note that K_+ contains the full sum of all eigenfunctions of D , namely the ψ_n and the ψ_n^* . Thus if one used a different basis for these eigenfunctions one would get the same K_+ . Moreover, the ψ_n and the ψ_n^* appear symmetrically. We can write $K_+(t, x, y) = \langle x | \sum_{\lambda_n^2} e^{-\lambda_n^2 t} P(\lambda_n^2) | y \rangle$ where $P(\lambda_n^2)$ is the projector on the eigenspace of D^2 with eigenvalue λ_n^2 . This makes clear that one could use any basis of eigenfunctions of D^2 . This also means that one should be able to obtain K_+ uniquely by solving the heat equation (4.7) for the operator D^2 with the appropriately prescribed short-distance singularity. However, this is not true for the imaginary part K_- of \mathcal{K} . We see from (4.5) that the definition of K_- is not simply a sum over all eigenfunctions of D , but that we made a certain distinction between the eigenfunctions with $\lambda_n > 0$ and those with $\lambda_n < 0$. Clearly, the

operator D^2 does not make this distinction, and hence, one cannot simply get the K_- by solving (4.7). However, one can write K_- in terms of an auxiliary quantity we call $L(t, x, y)$ as

$$K_-(t, x, y) = D_x L(t, x, y) , \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L(t, x, y) &= i \sum_n \frac{e^{-\lambda_n^2 t}}{\lambda_n} (\chi_n(x) \chi_n^\dagger(y) + \phi_n(x) \phi_n^\dagger(y)) = i \sum_n \frac{e^{-\Lambda_n t}}{\sqrt{\Lambda_n}} (\psi_n(x) \psi_n^\dagger(y) + \psi_n^*(x) (\psi_n^*)^\dagger(y)) \\ &= 2i \Re \sum_n \frac{e^{-\Lambda_n t}}{\sqrt{\Lambda_n}} \psi_n(x) \psi_n^\dagger(y) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

We see that L can now be constructed from the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of D^2 only. Another property of K_- concerns its matrix structure at coinciding points :

$$K_-(t, x, x) \sim \gamma_* . \quad (4.10)$$

Indeed, if we call a_n and b_n the two complex components of $\psi_n(x)$, then $\psi_n(x) \psi_n^\dagger(x) - \psi_n^*(x) (\psi_n^*)^\dagger(x) = 2 \operatorname{Im}(b_n a_n^*) \gamma_*$.

The zeta-functions can be related to the heat kernels as usual by

$$\zeta_\pm(s, x, y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty dt t^{s-1} K_\pm(t, x, y) . \quad (4.11)$$

Since K vanishes exponentially for large t , any divergences of the integral occur from the region $t \rightarrow 0$. Thus any singularities (poles) of the zeta-functions are related to the small- t behaviour of the heat kernel. Furthermore, since $\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}$ vanishes at $s = 0, -1, -2, \dots$, the finite values of the zeta-functions at $s = 0, -1, -2, \dots$ are also determined by the divergences of the integral due to the small- t behaviour of K . In turn, for K_+ this small- t behaviour can be determined in an asymptotic expansion from the differential equation (4.7), with the leading behaviour being the same as in flat space, and the subleading terms being given in terms of the local curvature and derivatives of the curvature. Of particular interest will be the expansion at coinciding points $x = y$. In particular, one can show that⁶

$$\operatorname{tr}_D K_+(t, x, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi t} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(x)}{12} + m^2 \right) t + \mathcal{O}(t^2) \right) . \quad (4.12)$$

This determines

$$\operatorname{tr}_D \zeta_+(0, x, x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(x)}{12} + m^2 \right) . \quad (4.13)$$

As far as the matrix structure of $\zeta_-(s, x, x)$ is concerned, it follows from (4.10) that

$$\zeta_-(s, x, x) \sim \gamma_* . \quad (4.14)$$

⁶A simple way to understand this result is to note that the heat kernel for the scalar Laplacian is $\frac{1}{4\pi t} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{R}}{6} t + \dots \right)$, that the trace gives a factor 2, that the spinor Laplacian gives the same result to this order, and that the additional $\frac{\mathcal{R}}{4} + m^2$ in D^2 simply gives an extra $e^{-(\mathcal{R}/4 + m^2)t} \simeq 1 - (\mathcal{R}/4 + m^2)t$, to this order.

4.1.3 Green's functions

The Green's function $S(x, y)$ of the Dirac operator D is a 2×2 -matrix solution of

$$D_x S(x, y) = \frac{\delta(x - y)}{\sqrt{g}} \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} , \quad (4.15)$$

while we denote G the (also 2×2 -matrix) Green's function of D^2 :

$$D_x^2 G(x, y) = \frac{\delta(x - y)}{\sqrt{g}} \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} . \quad (4.16)$$

In terms of the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(x, y) &= -i \sum_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} (\chi_n(x) \phi_n^\dagger(y) - \phi_n(x) \chi_n^\dagger(y)) , \\ G(x, y) &= \sum_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n^2} (\chi_n(x) \chi_n^\dagger(y) + \phi_n(x) \phi_n^\dagger(y)) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

They are indeed solutions of (4.15), resp. (4.16) as one sees using the completeness relation (4.1). It trivially follows from either (4.15) and (4.16), or from (4.17), that

$$S(x, y) = D_x G(x, y) . \quad (4.18)$$

Comparing with (4.2), one sees that⁷

$$S(x, y) = -i \zeta_-(\frac{1}{2}, x, y) , \quad G(x, y) = \zeta_+(1, x, y) . \quad (4.19)$$

It follows from the orthonormality of the χ_n and ϕ_n that

$$\int d^2 z \sqrt{g(z)} S(x, z) S(z, y) = G(x, y) . \quad (4.20)$$

(This also follows from (4.18) and (4.15) upon integrating by parts.)

As is clear from (2.21) and (2.19), the matrix structure of D^2 is $\mathbf{1}(\dots) + \gamma_*(\dots)$, implying that G must have the same structure⁸ :

$$G(x, y) = G_0(x, y) \mathbf{1} + G_*(x, y) \gamma_* . \quad (4.21)$$

⁷Note that this is consistent with the relations $S = DG$ (4.18) and $K_- = DL$ (4.8). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} S(x, y) &= -i \zeta_-(\frac{1}{2}, x, y) = \frac{-i}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_o^\infty dt t^{-1/2} K_-(t, x, y) = \frac{-i}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_o^\infty dt t^{-1/2} D_x L(t, x, y) \\ &= D_x \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \sum_n \int_o^\infty dt t^{-1/2} \frac{e^{-\Lambda_n t}}{\sqrt{\Lambda_n}} (\psi_n(x) \psi_n^\dagger(y) + \psi_n^*(x) (\psi_n^*)^\dagger(y)) = D_x \sum_n \frac{1}{\Lambda_n} (\psi_n(x) \psi_n^\dagger(y) + \psi_n^*(x) (\psi_n^*)^\dagger(y)) \\ &= D_x G(x, y) . \end{aligned}$$

⁸Note that G is related to ζ_+ and K_+ for which these arguments are correct, contrary to what was the case for K_- .

The matrix structure of S is somewhat less trivial, in particular for non-vanishing mass. We have

$$D = i\sigma_x \mathcal{D}_1 + i\sigma_z \mathcal{D}_2 + m\sigma_y , \quad (4.22)$$

(with $\mathcal{D}_1 = E_1^\mu \partial_\mu - \frac{1}{4} E_2^\mu \omega_\mu$ and $\mathcal{D}_2 = E_2^\mu \partial_\mu + \frac{1}{4} E_1^\mu \omega_\mu$). We write

$$S = \sigma_x S_1 + \sigma_z S_2 + \sigma_y S_* + \mathbf{1} S_0 . \quad (4.23)$$

Then (4.15) yields a system of 4 equations:

$$\mathcal{D}_1 S_2 - \mathcal{D}_2 S_1 + m S_0 = 0 \quad , \quad i\mathcal{D}_1 S_1 + i\mathcal{D}_2 S_2 + m S_* = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{g}} , \quad (4.24)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_1 S_* - \mathcal{D}_2 S_0 + im S_1 = 0 \quad , \quad \mathcal{D}_2 S_* + \mathcal{D}_1 S_0 + im S_2 = 0 . \quad (4.25)$$

For $m = 0$ the equations of the first line decouple from those of the second line. We may then set $S_* = S_0 = 0$, so that only S_1 and S_2 are non-vanishing.⁹ In particular, we have

$$\text{for } m = 0 \quad : \quad \text{tr}_D S(x, y) = \text{tr}_D \gamma_* S(x, y) = 0 , \quad (4.26)$$

where tr_D denotes the Dirac trace. Maybe more useful, for general m , is to combine (4.18) and (4.21) which yields

$$S(x, y) = (i\nabla_x G_0(x, y) + m G_*(x, y)) + \gamma_* (-i\nabla_x G_*(x, y) + m G_0(x, y)) . \quad (4.27)$$

It follows from this relation that

$$\text{tr}_D \gamma_* S(x, y) = m \text{tr}_D G(x, y) . \quad (4.28)$$

4.2 Perturbation theory

We want to study how the eigenvalues λ_n (or λ_n^2) change under conformal rescalings of the metric. The variation of the Dirac operator D (or of D^2) has been obtained in sect. 2.4, see eqs (2.45) or (2.48).

Under $D \rightarrow D + \delta D$ we have $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_n + \delta \lambda_n$, as well as $\chi_n \rightarrow \chi_n + \delta \chi_n$ and $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi_n + \delta \phi_n$:

$$(D + \delta D)(\chi_n + \delta \chi_n) = i(\lambda_n + \delta \lambda_n)(\phi_n + \delta \phi_n) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \delta D \chi_n + D \delta \chi_n = i\delta \lambda_n \phi_n + i\lambda_n \delta \phi_n . \quad (4.29)$$

Taking the inner product with ϕ_n and using the hermiticity of D one gets

$$\delta \lambda_n = -i(\phi_n, \delta D \chi_n) + \lambda_n \left((\chi_n, \delta \chi_n) - (\phi_n, \delta \phi_n) \right) . \quad (4.30)$$

Note that χ_n and ϕ_n are normalised with the metric g , while $\chi_n + \delta \chi_n$ and $\phi_n + \delta \phi_n$ are normalised with $e^{\delta \sigma} g$. This implies

$$(\chi_n, \delta \chi_n) = - \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \delta \sigma(x) \chi_n^\dagger(x) \chi_n(x) , \quad (4.31)$$

⁹This is consistent with the relation $S = DG$ which yields $S_* = mG_0$ and $S_0 = mG_*$, as well as $S_1 = i\mathcal{D}_1 G_0 + \mathcal{D}_2 G_*$ and $S_2 = -\mathcal{D}_1 G_* + i\mathcal{D}_2 G_0$.

and similarly for $(\phi_n, \delta\phi_n)$. Using also $\delta D = i\delta\mathbb{V}$, can then rewrite (4.30) as

$$\delta\lambda_n = (\phi_n, \delta\mathbb{V}\chi_n) + \lambda_n \int \delta\sigma (\phi_n^\dagger \phi_n - \chi_n^\dagger \chi_n) . \quad (4.32)$$

(Obviously $\int \dots$ stands for $\int d^2x \sqrt{g} \dots$) Next, using (2.45) for $\delta\mathbb{V}$, and integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_n, \delta\mathbb{V}\chi_n) &= - \int \delta\sigma \phi_n^\dagger \mathbb{V}\chi_n + \frac{1}{2} \int \partial_\lambda \delta\sigma \phi_n^\dagger \gamma^\lambda \chi_n = - \int \delta\sigma \left(\phi_n^\dagger \mathbb{V}\chi_n + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{V}\phi_n)^\dagger \chi_n + \frac{1}{2} \phi_n^\dagger \mathbb{V}\chi_n \right) \\ &= - \int \delta\sigma \left(-i\phi_n^\dagger (D - m\gamma_*) \chi_n + \frac{i}{2} ((D - m\gamma_*)\phi_n)^\dagger \chi_n - \frac{i}{2} \phi_n^\dagger (D - m\gamma_*) \chi_n \right) \\ &= - \int \delta\sigma \left(\frac{3}{2} \lambda_n \phi_n^\dagger \phi_n - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_n \chi_n^\dagger \chi_n + im\phi_n^\dagger \gamma_* \chi_n \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

so that finally

$$\delta\lambda_n = - \int \delta\sigma \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{2} (\phi_n^\dagger \phi_n + \chi_n^\dagger \chi_n) + im\phi_n^\dagger \gamma_* \chi_n \right) . \quad (4.34)$$

This allows us to express the variation of $\zeta(s)$ as

$$\delta\zeta(s) = 2 \sum_n \delta\lambda_n^{-2s} = -4s \sum_n \frac{\delta\lambda_n}{\lambda_n^{2s+1}} = 2s \int \delta\sigma \sum_n \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n^{2s}} (\phi_n^\dagger \phi_n + \chi_n^\dagger \chi_n) + 2im \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{2s+1}} \phi_n^\dagger \gamma_* \chi_n \right) . \quad (4.35)$$

Since ϕ_n , χ_n and $i\gamma_*$ are real, we have $\phi_n^\dagger i\gamma_* \chi_n = (\phi_n^\dagger i\gamma_* \chi_n)^\dagger = \chi_n^\dagger (-i)\gamma_* \phi_n$, and we can rewrite $\delta\zeta(s)$ in terms of the local zeta-functions $\zeta_+(s, x, x)$ and $\zeta_-(s, x, x)$ as

$$\delta\zeta(s) = 2s \int \delta\sigma \left(\text{tr}_D \zeta_+(s, x, x) + im \text{tr}_D \gamma_* \zeta_-(s + \frac{1}{2}, x, x) \right) . \quad (4.36)$$

For the derivative we obviously get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\zeta'(s) &= 2 \int \delta\sigma \left(\text{tr}_D \zeta_+(s, x, x) + im \text{tr}_D \gamma_* \zeta_-(s + \frac{1}{2}, x, x) \right) \\ &\quad + 2s \int \delta\sigma \left(\text{tr}_D \zeta'_+(s, x, x) + m \text{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta'_-(s + \frac{1}{2}, x, x) \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

We want to evaluate both ζ and ζ' at $s = 0$. Now $\zeta_+(0, x, x)$ is regular (cf e.g. (4.13), and subsection 4.3) and¹⁰ so is $\zeta'_+(0, x, x)$. Thus

$$\delta\zeta(0) = 2m \int \delta\sigma \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s \text{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-(s + \frac{1}{2}, x, x) , \quad (4.38)$$

$$\delta\zeta'(0) = 2 \int \delta\sigma \text{tr}_D \zeta_+(0, x, x) + 2m \int \delta\sigma \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(\text{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-(s + \frac{1}{2}, x, x) + s \text{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta'_-(s + \frac{1}{2}, x, x) \right) . \quad (4.39)$$

¹⁰If a meromorphic function is regular at a given point, then its derivative necessarily is also regular at this point.

4.3 Singularity structure of the local zeta-functions and the Green's functions

As usual we first need to establish the small- t (and hence also short-distance) behaviours of the heat kernels $K_{\pm}(t, x, y)$, from which the singularity structure of the $\zeta_{\pm}(s, x, x)$ can be deduced. Before trying to make general statements, it is useful to look at the very simple example off the flat torus discussed in sect. 2.3.

4.3.1 The flat torus

From the explicit form of the normalised eigenfunctions $\psi_{\vec{n}}$ of D on the flat torus given in (2.37) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(t, x, y) &= \sum_{n_1, n_2} e^{-\lambda_{\vec{n}}^2 t} \psi_{\vec{n}}(x) \psi_{\vec{n}}^{\dagger}(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \sum_{n_1, n_2} e^{-(n_1^2 + n_2^2 + m^2)t} e^{in_1(x^1 - y^1) + in_2(x^2 - y^2)} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\vec{n}}} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{\vec{n}} - n_2 & -n_1 - im \\ -n_1 + im & \lambda_{\vec{n}} + n_2 \end{pmatrix} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

Note that in the real part the terms odd under $n_1 \rightarrow -n_1$ or $n_2 \rightarrow -n_2$ drop out of the sum :

$$\begin{aligned} K_+(t, x, y) &= \mathcal{K}(t, x, y) + \mathcal{K}^*(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{n_1, n_2} e^{-(n_1^2 + n_2^2 + m^2)t} e^{in_1(x^1 - y^1) + in_2(x^2 - y^2)} \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} e^{-m^2 t} \theta_3\left(\nu = \frac{x^1 - y^1}{2\pi} \middle| \tau = i \frac{t}{\pi}\right) \theta_3\left(\nu = \frac{x^2 - y^2}{2\pi} \middle| \tau = i \frac{t}{\pi}\right) \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

The well-known modular transformation of the Jacobi theta-function θ_3

$$\theta_3(\nu|\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-i\tau}} e^{-i\pi\nu^2/\tau} \theta_3\left(\frac{\nu}{\tau} \middle| -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) \quad (4.42)$$

allows us to immediately get the small- t behaviour of $K_+(t, x, y)$ as

$$K_+(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi t} \exp\left(-\frac{(x^1 - y^1)^2 + (x^2 - y^2)^2}{4t}\right) e^{-m^2 t} \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\pi^2/t})\right) . \quad (4.43)$$

The leading piece coincides, of course, with the well-known answer on \mathbf{R}^2 .

On the other hand, the imaginary part of $\mathcal{K}(t, x, y)$ contains pieces proportional to σ_x , σ_z and $\gamma_* = \sigma_y$. As noted above, this imaginary part K_- cannot be obtained from the knowledge of D^2 , but one needs to know the eigenfunctions of D . However, as also noted, K_- is given by $K_-(t, x, y) = D_x L(t, x, y)$ where the quantity L defined in (4.9) is much simpler. At present it is given by $2i$ times the real part of the sum (4.40) with an extra factor $\frac{1}{\lambda_{\vec{n}}}$ inserted. Then again the terms odd under $n_1 \rightarrow n_1$ or $n_2 \rightarrow -n_2$ drop out of the sum and we get

$$L(t, x, y) = \frac{i}{4\pi^2} e^{-m^2 t} \mathcal{L}(t, x - y) \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} , \quad \mathcal{L}(t, z) = \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\vec{n}}} e^{-(n_1^2 + n_2^2)t} e^{in_1 z^1 + in_2 z^2} . \quad (4.44)$$

Below, we will explicitly evaluate this sum for small t .

Mostly, we only need the heat kernels at coinciding points, in which case again all terms that are odd under $n_1 \rightarrow -n_1$ or $n_2 \rightarrow -n_2$ drop out, in the real and in the imaginary parts :

$$\mathcal{K}(t, x, x) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \sum_{n_1, n_2} e^{-(n_1^2 + n_2^2 + m^2)t} \left(\mathbf{1} + \frac{m}{\lambda_{\vec{n}}} \gamma_* \right) . \quad (4.45)$$

The sum multiplying $\sim \mathbf{1}$ was just computed exactly and can be read from (4.43). A more generic way to obtain the small- t behaviour is by noting that it is determined by the large eigenvalues, and for large eigenvalues the sums can be replaced by integrals :

$$\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \sum_{n_1, n_2} e^{-(n_1^2 + n_2^2 + m^2)t} \simeq \frac{e^{-m^2 t}}{8\pi^2} \int dn_1 dn_2 e^{-(n_1^2 + n_2^2)t} = \frac{e^{-m^2 t}}{8\pi^2} \frac{\pi}{t} = \frac{e^{-m^2 t}}{8\pi t} , \quad (4.46)$$

which correctly reproduces the leading small- t behaviour. Similarly, for the sum multiplying γ_* in (4.45) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \sum_{n_1, n_2} e^{-(n_1^2 + n_2^2 + m^2)t} \frac{m}{\lambda_{\vec{n}}} &\simeq \frac{m}{8\pi^2} \int dn_1 dn_2 \frac{e^{-(n_1^2 + n_2^2 + m^2)t}}{\sqrt{n_1^2 + n_2^2 + m^2}} = \frac{m}{8\pi} \int_0^\infty d\xi \frac{e^{-(\xi + m^2)t}}{\sqrt{\xi + m^2}} \\ &= \frac{m}{8\pi} \int_{m^2}^\infty d\xi \frac{e^{-\xi t}}{\sqrt{\xi}} = \frac{m}{8\pi\sqrt{t}} \int_{m^2 t}^\infty d\xi \frac{e^{-\xi}}{\sqrt{\xi}} = \frac{m}{4\pi\sqrt{t}} \int_{m^2 t}^\infty dz e^{-z^2} = \frac{m}{8\sqrt{\pi t}} (1 + \mathcal{O}(m\sqrt{t})) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.47)$$

Thus, we find for the flat torus

$$\mathcal{K}(t, x, x) \simeq \frac{1}{8\pi t} \mathbf{1} + \frac{m}{8\sqrt{\pi t}} \gamma_* + \dots , \quad (4.48)$$

where the unwritten terms $+\dots$ are finite as $t \rightarrow 0$. We identify

$$K_+(t, x, x) = \frac{1}{4\pi t} \mathbf{1} + \dots , \quad K_-(t, x, x) = \frac{m}{4\sqrt{\pi t}} i\gamma_* + \dots . \quad (4.49)$$

As discussed above, the small- t behaviours of $K_\pm(t, x, x)$ translate into possible poles of the corresponding local zeta-functions $\zeta_\pm(s, x, x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_+(s, x, x) &\sim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mathbf{1}}{4\pi(s-1)} + \text{finite} , \quad \zeta_+(0, x, x) = \text{finite} \times \mathbf{1} , \\ \zeta_-(s, x, x) &\sim_{s \rightarrow 1/2} \frac{mi\gamma_*}{4\pi(s-\frac{1}{2})} + \text{finite} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.50)$$

Let us come back to the evaluation of the small- t asymptotics of $K_-(t, x, y)$. To do so we look at the small- t asymptotics of $L(t, x, y)$. We let $x^i - y^i = z^i \equiv z_i$ and write $|z| = \sqrt{z_1^2 + z_2^2}$. For small t , the sum $\mathcal{L}(t, z)$ is again dominated by the large eigenvalues and we replace the sum over n_1, n_2 by an integral :

$$\mathcal{L}(t, z) \simeq \int dn_1 dn_2 \frac{1}{\lambda_{\vec{n}}} e^{-(n_1^2 + n_2^2)t} e^{in_1 z^1 + in_2 z^2} . \quad (4.51)$$

First, if $|z| = 0$, we get for small t (cf (4.46))

$$\mathcal{L}(t, z = 0) \simeq \int dn_1 dn_2 \frac{e^{-t(n_1^2 + n_2^2)}}{\sqrt{n_1^2 + n_2^2}} = 2\pi \int_0^\infty dn n \frac{e^{-tn^2}}{n} = \pi \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}}. \quad (4.52)$$

More generally, we have in terms of the Bessel function of the first kind J_0

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(t, z) &\simeq \int_0^\infty dn \frac{n}{\lambda_{\vec{n}}} e^{-n^2 t} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta e^{in|z|\cos\theta} = 2\pi \int_0^\infty dn \frac{n}{\lambda_{\vec{n}}} e^{-n^2 t} J_0(n|z|) \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^\infty d\xi \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + m^2 t}} e^{-\xi^2} J_0\left(\xi \frac{|z|}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \simeq \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^\infty d\xi e^{-\xi^2} J_0\left(\xi \frac{|z|}{\sqrt{t}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.53)$$

For $z = 0$ one just gets back $\pi\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}}$. So let us assume now that $z \neq 0$. The last integral can be found e.g. in Erdelyi et al. (Bateman manuscript project, higher transcendental function, vol 2, sect. 7.7.3, eq 23) so that

$$\mathcal{L}(t, z) \simeq \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{\sqrt{t}} e^{-|z|^2/(8t)} I_0\left(\frac{|z|^2}{8t}\right), \quad |z| \neq 0, \quad (4.54)$$

where I_0 is the modified Bessel function of the first kind. Its asymptotic for large argument is $I_0(a) \sim \frac{e^a}{\sqrt{2\pi a}}$ so that finally

$$\mathcal{L}(t, z) \simeq \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{\sqrt{t}} \sqrt{\frac{4t}{\pi|z|^2}} = \frac{2\pi}{|z|}, \quad |z| \neq 0. \quad (4.55)$$

Alternatively, one can perform the two integrations in the reverse order. Then (within the same approximation $\frac{n}{\lambda_{\vec{n}}} \simeq 1 + \mathcal{O}(m^2 t)$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(t, z) &\simeq \int_0^\infty dn e^{-n^2 t} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta e^{in|z|\cos\theta} = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^\infty dn e^{-n^2 t} e^{in|z|\cos\theta} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{-\infty}^\infty dn e^{-n^2 t} e^{in|z|\cos\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta e^{-|z|^2 \cos^2 \theta / (4t)} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dn e^{-t(n - i|z|\cos\theta/(2t))^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta e^{-|z|^2 \cos^2 \theta / (4t)} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta e^{-|z|^2 \sin^2 \theta / (4t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.56)$$

This is valid whether $|z|$ vanishes or not. If $|z| = 0$, the θ -integral is simply 2π and one gets back (4.52). If $|z| \neq 0$ and $t \rightarrow 0$, the θ -integral is dominated by the two saddle-points where $\sin \theta$ vanishes:

$$\mathcal{L}(t, z) \simeq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\theta e^{-|z|^2 \theta^2 / (4t)} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\theta e^{-|z|^2 \theta^2 / (4t)} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi t}{|z|^2}} = \frac{2\pi}{|z|}, \quad |z| \neq 0, \quad (4.57)$$

in agreement with (4.55). However, we need an expression that still allows us to take both limits, $|z| \rightarrow 0$ and $t \rightarrow 0$. Note that the first expression in (4.57) indeed still is valid whether $|z| = 0$ or not. Thus

$$\mathcal{L}(t, z) \simeq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\theta e^{-|z|^2 \theta^2 / (4t)}, \quad |z| = 0 \text{ or } |z| \neq 0. \quad (4.58)$$

We conclude

$$L(t, x, y) \simeq_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{i}{4\pi\sqrt{\pi t}} \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\theta e^{-\ell^2(x, y) \theta^2 / (4t)}, \quad (4.59)$$

where at present $\ell^2(x, y) = |x - y|^2$.

4.3.2 General statements

It must be possible to make general statements about the leading small- t behaviour of K_+ based on the general form of D^2 , just as in the bosonic case where the leading term in the asymptotic expansion of $K(t, x, y)$ always is $\frac{1}{4\pi t} \exp(-\ell^2(x, y)/(4t))$ due to the 2-derivative part of the Laplacian being always $g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu$. (Here $\ell(x, y)$ is the geodesic distance between x and y .) Indeed, as discussed above, we may obtain $K_+(t, x, y)$ solely from the differential equation (4.7), but not $K_-(t, x, y)$.

For $K_+(t, x, y)$ we expect, just as for $G(x, y)$, a piece $\sim \mathbf{1}$ and a piece $\sim \gamma_*$. The leading small- t singularity will be contained in the piece $\sim \mathbf{1}$ and is universal, so that:

$$\mathrm{tr}_D K_+(t, x, y) \sim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi t} e^{-\ell^2(x, y)/(4t)} , \quad (4.60)$$

as well as at coinciding points $x = y$

$$\mathrm{tr}_D K_+(t, x, x) \sim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi t} (1 + a_1(x)t + a_2(x)t^2 + \dots) . \quad (4.61)$$

For $K_-(t, x, y) = D_x L(t, x, y)$ we expect that the leading small- t singularity of L is again generic and hence given by (4.59), so that

$$K_-(t, x, y) \sim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{i}{4\pi\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\theta (i\nabla_x + m\gamma_*) e^{-\ell^2(x, y)\theta^2/(4t)} . \quad (4.62)$$

It follows that

$$\mathrm{tr}_D i\gamma_* K_-(t, x, y) \sim_{t \rightarrow 0} -\frac{m}{2\pi\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\theta e^{-\ell^2(x, y)\theta^2/(4t)} , \quad (4.63)$$

and at coinciding points

$$\mathrm{tr}_D i\gamma_* K_-(t, x, x) \sim_{t \rightarrow 0} -\frac{m}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} (1 + b_1(x)t + b_2(x)t^2 + \dots) . \quad (4.64)$$

The zeta-functions ζ_\pm are then obtained by the integral transform (4.11) and the small t -asymptotics of the K_\pm translate into possible singularities of the ζ_\pm . Below we will study the singularities of the $\zeta_\pm(s, x, y)$ for $x \neq y$. Here we just note that at coinciding points one has

$$\mathrm{tr}_D \zeta_+(s, x, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi(s-1)} + \mathrm{tr}_D \zeta_+^{\mathrm{reg}}(s, x, x) + C_+ + \mathcal{O}(s-1) , \quad (4.65)$$

while $\mathrm{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-(s, x, x)$ has poles at $s = \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, \dots$. In particular, for $s \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$\mathrm{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-(s, x, x) \sim_{s \rightarrow 1/2} -\frac{m}{2\pi(s - \frac{1}{2})} + \mathrm{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-^{\mathrm{reg}}(\frac{1}{2}, x, x) + C_- , \quad (4.66)$$

The exact values of the constants C_\pm depend on the exact definitions of ζ_\pm^{reg} given below. It follows

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(\mathrm{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-(s + \frac{1}{2}, x, x) + s \mathrm{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-'(s + \frac{1}{2}, x, x) \right) = \mathrm{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-^{\mathrm{reg}}(\frac{1}{2}, x, x) + C_- . \quad (4.67)$$

4.3.3 Singularities of the Green's functions

The short-distance singularity of the Green's function $G(x, y)$ is dictated by the term with the most derivatives in D^2 , which is the $-g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu$ in $-\Delta_{\text{spinor}}$. Thus the short-distance singularity is the same as in the bosonic case, except for the additional identity matrix :

$$G(x, y) \sim_{x \rightarrow y} -\frac{1}{4\pi} \ln \mu^2 \ell^2(x, y) \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} + \text{regular} . \quad (4.68)$$

The fermionic Green's function $S(x, y)$ is related to $G(x, y)$ by $S(x, y) = D_x G(x, y)$ and it follows that the short-distance singularity of S is given by

$$S(x, y) \sim_{x \rightarrow y} -\frac{1}{4\pi} (i\nabla_x + m\gamma_*) \ln \mu^2 \ell^2(x, y) \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} + \text{regular} . \quad (4.69)$$

There is a leading singularity $\sim -\frac{i}{4\pi} \frac{\not{\partial} \ell^2}{\ell^2}$ as well as subleading singularities $\sim \ln \mu^2 \ell^2$.

4.4 Renormalised Green's functions

For the Green's function G of D^2 , we may define a regularized Green's function $G^{\text{reg}}(x, y)$ by subtracting the short-distance singularity (4.68)

$$G^{\text{reg}}(x, y) = G(x, y) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \ln \mu^2 \ell^2(x, y) \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} . \quad (4.70)$$

The so-called renormalized Green's function at coinciding points G_{R} then is simply defined as

$$G_{\text{R}}(y) = \lim_{x \rightarrow y} G^{\text{reg}}(x, y) . \quad (4.71)$$

In complete analogy, we define $S^{\text{reg}}(x, y)$ and $S_{\text{R}}(y)$:

$$S^{\text{reg}}(x, y) = S(x, y) + \frac{1}{4\pi} (i\nabla_x + m\gamma_*) \ln \mu^2 \ell^2(x, y) \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} \quad (4.72)$$

and

$$S_{\text{R}}(y) = \lim_{x \rightarrow y} S^{\text{reg}}(x, y) . \quad (4.73)$$

In particular, multiplying (4.72) with γ_* and taking the trace yields

$$\text{tr}_D \gamma_* S^{\text{reg}}(x, y) = \text{tr}_D \gamma_* S(x, y) + \frac{m}{2\pi} \ln \mu^2 \ell^2(x, y) . \quad (4.74)$$

We now want to study how these regularised Green's functions are related to regularised zeta-functions. For G the story is much the same as in the purely bosonic case. Since the leading small- t behaviour of the heat-kernel $K_+(t, x, y)$ is $\frac{1}{4\pi t} e^{-\ell^2(x, y)/(4t)} \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2}$, we define a regularised zeta-function as

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_+^{\text{reg}}(s, x, y) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{1/\mu^2}^{\infty} dt t^{s-1} K_+(t, x, y) = \zeta_+(s, x, y) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{1/\mu^2} dt t^{s-1} \frac{e^{-\ell^2(x, y)/(4t)}}{4\pi t} \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} \\ &= \zeta_+(s, x, y) - \frac{(\mu^2)^{1-s}}{4\pi \Gamma(s)} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{du}{u} u^{1-s} e^{-\mu^2 u \ell^2(x, y)/4} \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} \\ &= \zeta_+(s, x, y) - \frac{(\mu^2)^{1-s}}{4\pi \Gamma(s)} E_s\left(\frac{\mu^2 \ell^2(x, y)}{4}\right) \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} , \end{aligned} \quad (4.75)$$

where E_s is the exponential integral function defined as

$$E_s(x) = \int_1^\infty du u^{-s} e^{-xu} . \quad (4.76)$$

Its asymptotic expansions are well known and, in particular, for $s = 1$ and $x \rightarrow y$, i.e. $\ell(x, y) \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$E_1\left(\frac{\mu^2 \ell^2}{4}\right) \sim_{\ell \rightarrow 0} -\gamma - \ln \frac{\mu^2 \ell^2}{4} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2 \ell^2) , \quad (4.77)$$

Thus, possible singularities in (4.75) can occur for $s \rightarrow 1$ and/or $x \rightarrow y$ and come from the region of the integral where $t \rightarrow 0$. Because we cut off this region, this ζ_+^{reg} clearly must be free of singularities. Indeed, if we first set $s = 1$ and then let $x \rightarrow y$, using $E_1(z) = -\gamma - \ln z + \mathcal{O}(z)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_+^{\text{reg}}(1, x, y) &= \zeta_+(1, x, y) - \frac{1}{4\pi} E_1\left(\frac{\mu^2 \ell^2(x, y)}{4}\right) \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} = G(x, y) - \frac{1}{4\pi} E_1\left(\frac{\mu^2 \ell^2(x, y)}{4}\right) \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} \\ &\sim_{x \rightarrow y} G(x, y) + \left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \ln \frac{\mu^2 \ell^2(x, y)}{4} + \frac{\gamma}{4\pi}\right) \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2 \ell^2) \\ &= G_R(y) + \frac{\gamma - \ln 4}{4\pi} \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2 \ell^2) , \end{aligned} \quad (4.78)$$

i.e.

$$G_R(x) = \zeta_+^{\text{reg}}(1, x, x) - \frac{\gamma - \ln 4}{4\pi} \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} . \quad (4.79)$$

On the other hand, if we first let $x = y$, we get instead (for $\Re s > 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_+^{\text{reg}}(s, x, x) &= \zeta_+(s, x, x) - \frac{(\mu^2)^{1-s}}{4\pi\Gamma(s)} \int_0^1 \frac{dv}{v} v^{s-1} \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} = \zeta_+(s, x, x) - \frac{(\mu^2)^{1-s}}{4\pi\Gamma(s)} \frac{\mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2}}{s-1} \\ &\sim_{s \rightarrow 1} \zeta_+(s, x, x) - \frac{\mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2}}{(4\pi)(s-1)} - \frac{C_+}{2} \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} , \end{aligned} \quad (4.80)$$

where

$$C_+ = \frac{1}{2\pi} (\gamma - \ln \mu^2) \quad (4.81)$$

One defines $G_\zeta(x)$ as

$$G_\zeta(x) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \left((\mu^2)^{s-1} \zeta_+(s, x, x) - \frac{\mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2}}{(4\pi)(s-1)} \right) , \quad (4.82)$$

so that

$$G_\zeta(x) = \zeta_+^{\text{reg}}(1, x, x) + \frac{\gamma}{4\pi} \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} . \quad (4.83)$$

Comparing (4.79) and (4.83) we find

$$G_\zeta(x) = G_R(x) + \frac{\gamma - \ln 2}{2\pi} \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} . \quad (4.84)$$

Similarly, the Green's function $S(x, y)$ of the Dirac operator D equals $-i\zeta_-(\frac{1}{2}, x, y)$. Thus, obviously the latter is singular as $x \rightarrow y$. On the other hand we may consider $\zeta_-(s, x, x)$ which is regular

as long as $s \neq \frac{1}{2}$ but which has a pole at $s = \frac{1}{2}$. As for G and ζ_+ , we now want to define a regularized $\zeta_-^{\text{reg}}(s, x, y)$ by removing the singular part, so that it is regular at $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and $x = y$:

$$\zeta_-^{\text{reg}}(s, x, y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{1/\mu^2}^{\infty} dt t^{s-1} K_-(t, x, y) = \zeta_-(s, x, y) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{1/\mu^2} dt t^{s-1} k_-^{\text{small}}(t, x, y) , \quad (4.85)$$

where $k_-^{\text{small}}(t, x, y)$ is meant to be just the leading small- t asymptotics of $K_-(t, x, y)$. Upon multiplying with $i\gamma_*$, taking the trace and using (4.63) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-^{\text{reg}}(s, x, y) &= \text{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-(s, x, y) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \frac{m}{2\pi^{3/2}} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\theta \int_0^{1/\mu^2} dt t^{s-3/2} e^{-\ell^2 \theta^2 / (4t)} \\ &= \text{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-(s, x, y) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \frac{m\mu^{1-2s}}{2\pi^{3/2}} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\theta \int_1^{\infty} du u^{-1/2-s} e^{-\mu^2 \ell^2 \theta^2 u / 4} \\ &= \text{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-(s, x, y) + \frac{m\mu^{1-2s}}{2\pi^{3/2}\Gamma(s)} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} E_{s+1/2} \left(\frac{\mu^2 \ell^2}{4} \theta^2 \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (4.86)$$

where E_s was defined in (4.76). We can now set $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and let $x \rightarrow y$, i.e. $\ell(x, y) \rightarrow 0$. Using again the asymptotics (4.77)(with $\ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2 \theta^2$), and doing the θ -integral, we get (recall $\zeta_-(\frac{1}{2}, x, y) = iS(x, y)$)

$$\text{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-^{\text{reg}}\left(\frac{1}{2}, x, y\right) = -\text{tr}_D \gamma_* S(x, y) - \frac{m}{2\pi} \left(\gamma + \ln \mu^2 \ell^2(x, y) + 2 \ln \frac{\pi}{4} - 2 \right) . \quad (4.87)$$

If we now let $x \rightarrow y$ and use (4.74) and (4.73) we find

$$-\text{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-^{\text{reg}}\left(\frac{1}{2}, x, x\right) = \text{tr}_D \gamma_* S_R(x) + \frac{m}{2\pi} \left(\gamma + 2 \ln \frac{\pi}{4} - 2 \right) . \quad (4.88)$$

If instead in the first line of (4.86) we first let $x = y$ and then $s \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$, we get¹¹

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-^{\text{reg}}(s, x, x) &= \text{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-(s, x, x) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \frac{m}{2\pi^{1/2}} \frac{\mu^{1-2s}}{s - \frac{1}{2}} \\ &\sim_{s \rightarrow 1/2} \text{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-(s, x, x) + \frac{m}{2\pi(s - \frac{1}{2})} - C_- , \end{aligned} \quad (4.89)$$

where

$$C_- = \frac{m}{2\pi} \left(\ln \mu^2 - \ln 4 - \gamma \right) . \quad (4.90)$$

In analogy with $G_\zeta(x)$ we could try to define $S_\zeta(x)$ in terms of $\zeta_-(s, x, x)$ by subtracting its pole at $s = \frac{1}{2}$. Since we only have given the relations for the traces with γ_* we restrict to this case:

$$\text{tr}_D \gamma_* S_\zeta(x) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}} \left(-(\mu^2)^{s-1/2} \text{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-(s, x, x) - \frac{m}{2\pi(s - \frac{1}{2})} \right) , \quad (4.91)$$

so that

$$\text{tr}_D \gamma_* S_\zeta(x) = -\text{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-^{\text{reg}}\left(\frac{1}{2}, x, x\right) + \frac{m}{2\pi} (\gamma + \ln 4) . \quad (4.92)$$

Comparing (4.92) and (4.88) we conclude

$$\text{tr}_D \gamma_* S_\zeta(x) = \text{tr}_D \gamma_* S_R(x) + \frac{m}{\pi} \left(\gamma + \ln \frac{\pi}{2} - 1 \right) . \quad (4.93)$$

¹¹We have $\Gamma(s) = \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \left(1 + \psi(\frac{1}{2})(s - \frac{1}{2}) \right)$ with $\psi(\frac{1}{2}) = -2 \ln 2 - \gamma$. Thus $\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(1 + (2 \ln 2 + \gamma)(s - \frac{1}{2}) \right)$.

5 The variation of the gravitational action

5.1 Expression in terms of S_ζ or S_R

Recall our formula (3.10) for the gravitational action in terms of $\zeta(0)$ and $\zeta'(0)$. Their variations under infinitesimal conformal rescalings with $\delta\sigma(x)$ have been worked out above and are given in (4.38) and (4.39). Combining (4.38) with (4.66) we get (writing again explicitly the $\sqrt{g} = e^{2\sigma}\sqrt{\bar{g}}$ and using $A = \int \sqrt{g}$)

$$\delta\zeta(0) = -\frac{m^2}{\pi} \int \sqrt{g}\delta\sigma = -\frac{m^2}{2\pi} \delta \int \sqrt{g} = -\frac{m^2}{2\pi} \delta A, \quad (5.1)$$

while combining (4.39) with (4.13) and (4.67) we get

$$\delta\zeta'(0) = - \int \sqrt{g}\delta\sigma \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}}{12\pi} + \frac{m^2}{\pi} \right) + 2m \int \sqrt{g}\delta\sigma \left(\text{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-^{\text{reg}}(\frac{1}{2}, x, x) + C_- \right). \quad (5.2)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_{\text{grav}} &= \frac{1}{4} \delta\zeta'(0) + \frac{1}{4} \ln \mu^2 \delta\zeta(0) \\ &= -\frac{m^2}{8\pi} (1 + \gamma + 2 \ln 2) \delta A - \frac{1}{48\pi} \int \sqrt{g}\delta\sigma \mathcal{R} + \frac{m}{2} \int \sqrt{g}\delta\sigma \text{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-^{\text{reg}}(\frac{1}{2}, x, x). \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Now, the terms $\sim \delta A$ are just the variation of a cosmological constant term and

$$\int \sqrt{g}\delta\sigma \mathcal{R} = \delta S_{\text{Liouville}} \quad (5.4)$$

is the variation of the Liouville action. Note that the coefficient of $\delta S_{\text{Liouville}}$ in δS_{grav} is exactly $\frac{1}{2}$ the one that occurs in the gravitational action of a bosonic scalar matter field. It is interesting to trace back how this coefficient occurs. For the bosonic scalar field and scalar Laplacian, the heat kernel coefficient a_1 is $\frac{\mathcal{R}}{6}$. At present, a_1 is $\frac{\mathcal{R}}{6} - \frac{\mathcal{R}}{4} = -\frac{\mathcal{R}}{12}$. But because we are dealing with fermions, the gravitational action has an overall minus sign, so that in the end one gets a $+\frac{\mathcal{R}}{12}$, i.e. exactly one half the bosonic result.¹² We conclude that

$$\delta \left(S_{\text{grav}} + \frac{1}{48\pi} S_{\text{Liouville}} + \frac{m^2}{8\pi} (1 + \gamma + 2 \ln 2) A \right) = \frac{m}{2} \int \sqrt{g} \delta\sigma \text{tr}_D i\gamma_* \zeta_-^{\text{reg}}(\frac{1}{2}, x, x). \quad (5.5)$$

We may use (4.92) to re-express this variation as

$$\delta \left(S_{\text{grav}} + \frac{1}{48\pi} S_{\text{Liouville}} + \frac{m^2}{8\pi} A \right) = -\frac{m}{2} \int \sqrt{g} \delta\sigma \text{tr}_D \gamma_* S_\zeta(x), \quad (5.6)$$

or instead using (4.88) as

$$\delta \left(S_{\text{grav}} + \frac{1}{48\pi} S_{\text{Liouville}} + \frac{m^2}{8\pi} (2\gamma + 2 \ln \frac{\pi}{2} - 1) A \right) = -\frac{m}{2} \int \sqrt{g} \delta\sigma \text{tr}_D \gamma_* S_R(x), \quad (5.7)$$

It remains to characterise the right hand side of any of these last three equations and express it as a total variation of some appropriate quantity. We will focus on the last form which contains S_R .

¹²Of course, we also had the $\frac{1}{4}$ multiplying the $\delta\zeta'(0)$ and $\delta\zeta(0)$ instead of a $\frac{1}{2}$ in the bosonic case. But this extra $\frac{1}{2}$ is offset by a factor 2 coming from the Dirac traces.

5.2 Conformal variations of the Green's function $S(x, y)$ and $S_R(x)$

Recall that $S(x, y)$ is the solution of $D_x S(x, y) \equiv (i\hat{\nabla}_x + m\gamma_*)S(x, y) = \delta(x - y)/\sqrt{g}$, see eq. (4.15). We want to determine the variation of S under a conformal rescaling. Consider two metrics g and \hat{g} related by $g = e^{2\sigma}\hat{g}$, cf (2.41). Then, of course $\sqrt{g} = e^{2\sigma}\sqrt{\hat{g}}$ and from (2.44), $\hat{\nabla} = e^{-3\sigma/2}\hat{\nabla}e^{\sigma/2}$. Thus

$$(i\hat{\nabla}_x + m\gamma_*)S_{\hat{g}}(x, y) = \frac{\delta(x - y)}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}} \quad , \quad (ie^{-3\sigma(x)/2}\hat{\nabla}e^{\sigma(x)/2} + m\gamma_*)S_g(x, y) = \frac{\delta(x - y)}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}}e^{-3\sigma(x)/2}e^{-\sigma(y)/2} . \quad (5.8)$$

We see that, for $m = 0$, one simply has $S_g(x, y) = e^{-\sigma(x)/2}S_{\hat{g}}(x, y)e^{-\sigma(y)/2}$. This motivates us to define

$$\overline{S}_g(x, y) = e^{\sigma(x)/2}S_g(x, y)e^{\sigma(y)/2} , \quad (5.9)$$

so that the statement for $m = 0$ simply is $\overline{S}_g = \overline{S}_{\hat{g}}$. For non-vanishing m we may rewrite the second equation (5.8) in terms of \overline{S} as

$$i\hat{\nabla}\overline{S}_g(x, y) + m\gamma_*e^{\sigma(x)}\overline{S}_g(x, y) = \frac{\delta(x - y)}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}} . \quad (5.10)$$

We let $\sigma = \delta\sigma$ be infinitesimal and let $\overline{S}_g = \overline{S}_{\hat{g}} + \delta\overline{S}$ so that $(i\hat{\nabla} + m\gamma_*)\delta\overline{S}(x, y) = -m\gamma_*\delta\sigma(x)\overline{S}_{\hat{g}}(x, y)$. But we may now consider \hat{g} as g and simply rewrite this as (relabelling $x \rightarrow z$)

$$D_z\delta\overline{S}(z, y) \equiv (i\hat{\nabla} + m\gamma_*)\delta\overline{S}(z, y) = -m\gamma_*\delta\sigma(z)\overline{S}(z, y) = -m\gamma_*\delta\sigma(z)S(z, y) , \quad (5.11)$$

where in the last step we used that $\delta\sigma$ is infinitesimal. We then multiply with $S(x, z)\sqrt{g(z)}$ from the left, integrate over z and get, using the hermiticity of D_z ,

$$\delta\overline{S}(x, y) = -m \int d^2z \sqrt{g} S(x, z) \gamma_* \delta\sigma(z) S(z, y) , \quad (5.12)$$

or

$$\delta S(x, y) + \frac{1}{2}(\delta\sigma(x) + \delta\sigma(y))S(x, y) = -m \int d^2z \sqrt{g} S(x, z) \gamma_* \delta\sigma(z) S(z, y) , \quad (5.13)$$

Since S_R is obtained from S by subtracting the short-distance singularity S^{sing} , we need the conformal transformation of the latter:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S^{\text{sing}}(x, y) &= \delta \left(-\frac{1}{4\pi} (i\hat{\nabla}_x + m\gamma_*) \ln \mu^2 \ell^2(x, y) \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left(i\delta\hat{\nabla}_x \ln \mu^2 \ell^2(x, y) + (i\hat{\nabla}_x + m\gamma_*) \frac{\delta \ell^2(x, y)}{\ell^2(x, y)} \right) \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} . \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

We will only need the trace of this expression multiplied by γ_* , so that only the term $\sim m\gamma_*$ survives:

$$\text{tr}_D \gamma_* \delta S^{\text{sing}}(x, y) = -\frac{m}{2\pi} \frac{\delta \ell^2(x, y)}{\ell^2(x, y)} = -\frac{m}{2\pi} (\delta\sigma(x) + \delta\sigma(y)) . \quad (5.15)$$

Obviously then,

$$\text{tr}_D \gamma_* \left(\delta S^{\text{sing}}(x, y) + \frac{1}{2}(\delta\sigma(x) + \delta\sigma(y))S^{\text{sing}}(x, y) \right) = -\frac{m}{4\pi} \left(2 + \ln \mu^2 \ell^2(x, y) \right) (\delta\sigma(x) + \delta\sigma(y)) . \quad (5.16)$$

Subtracting (5.16) from the trace with γ_* of (5.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_D \gamma_* \left(\delta S^{\text{reg}}(x, y) + \frac{1}{2} (\delta\sigma(x) + \delta\sigma(y)) S^{\text{reg}}(x, y) \right) &= -m \int d^2z \sqrt{g} \text{tr}_D \gamma_* S(x, z) \gamma_* \delta\sigma(z) S(z, y) \\ &\quad + \frac{m}{4\pi} \left(2 + \ln \mu^2 \ell^2(x, y) \right) (\delta\sigma(x) + \delta\sigma(y)) . \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

One can check that the singularity of the integral in the first line is logarithmic as $x \rightarrow y$, and it is exactly cancelled by the one of the second line. In terms of S_R this can be restated as

$$e^{-\sigma(y)} \delta \text{tr}_D \gamma_* \left(e^{\sigma(y)} S_R(y) \right) = \text{tr}_D \gamma_* \left(\delta S_R(y) + \delta\sigma(y) S_R(y) \right) = \frac{m}{\pi} \delta\sigma(y) - m F[y, \delta\sigma] , \quad (5.18)$$

where we defined

$$F[y, \delta\sigma] = \lim_{x \rightarrow y} \left(\int d^2z \sqrt{g} \delta\sigma(z) \text{tr}_D \gamma_* S(x, z) \gamma_* S(z, y) - \frac{\delta\sigma(y)}{2\pi} \ln \mu^2 \ell^2(x, y) \right) . \quad (5.19)$$

We may then rewrite the integral on the right-hand side of (5.7) as

$$\int \sqrt{g} \delta\sigma \text{tr}_D \gamma_* S_R = \int \sqrt{\hat{g}} \delta(e^\sigma) e^\sigma \text{tr}_D \gamma_* S_R = \delta \int \sqrt{g} \text{tr}_D \gamma_* S_R - \int \sqrt{g} e^{-\sigma} \delta(e^\sigma \text{tr}_D \gamma_* S_R) , \quad (5.20)$$

where the integrand in last term is then given by (5.18). Thus (5.7) becomes

$$\delta \left(S_{\text{grav}} + \frac{1}{48\pi} S_{\text{Liouville}} + \frac{m^2}{8\pi} (2\gamma + 2 \ln \frac{\pi}{2} - 3) A + \frac{m}{2} \int \sqrt{g} \text{tr}_D \gamma_* S_R \right) = -\frac{m^2}{2} \int \sqrt{g} F[y, \delta\sigma] . \quad (5.21)$$

Recall from (4.28) that $\text{tr}_D \gamma_* S(x, y) = m \text{tr}_D G(x, y)$. This same relation obviously is also valid for the corresponding singular parts that need to be subtracted to get S^{reg} and G^{reg} . Hence also $\text{tr}_D \gamma_* S^{\text{reg}}(x, y) = m \text{tr}_D G^{\text{reg}}(x, y)$, and in the coincidence limit :

$$\text{tr}_D \gamma_* S_R = m \text{tr}_D G_R . \quad (5.22)$$

We may thus rewrite (5.21) as

$$\delta \left(S_{\text{grav}} + \frac{1}{48\pi} S_{\text{Liouville}} + \frac{m^2}{8\pi} (2\gamma + 2 \ln \frac{\pi}{2} - 3) A + \frac{m^2}{2} \int \sqrt{g} \text{tr}_D G_R \right) = -\frac{m^2}{2} \int \sqrt{g} F[y, \delta\sigma] . \quad (5.23)$$

Let us then study the properties of $F[y, \delta\sigma]$. Recall $S = (i\nabla + m\gamma_*)G$ and, from (4.21), $G = G_0 \mathbf{1} + G_* \gamma_*$. It follows that $\gamma_* S \gamma_* = (-i\nabla + m\gamma_*)G$, and taking also into account $\text{tr}_D \gamma_* = \text{tr}_D \gamma_* \nabla = \text{tr}_D \nabla = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_D \gamma_* S(x, z) \gamma_* S(z, y) &= -\text{tr}_D i\nabla_x G(x, z) i\nabla_z G(z, y) + m^2 \text{tr}_D G(x, z) G(z, y) \\ &= -\text{tr}_D (i\nabla_x + m\gamma_*) G(x, z) (i\nabla_z + m\gamma_*) G(z, y) + 2m^2 \text{tr}_D G(x, z) G(z, y) \\ &= -\text{tr}_D S(x, z) S(z, y) + 2m^2 \text{tr}_D G(x, z) G(z, y) , \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

so that we can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} F[y, \delta\sigma] &= -\lim_{x \rightarrow y} \left(\int d^2z \sqrt{g} \delta\sigma(z) \text{tr}_D S(x, z) S(z, y) + \frac{\delta\sigma(y)}{2\pi} \ln \mu^2 \ell^2(x, y) \right) \\ &\quad + 2m^2 \int d^2z \sqrt{g} \delta\sigma(z) \text{tr}_D G(y, z) G(z, y) , \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

where the integral of the last line is of course regular, so that we could set $x = y$. It will be useful to define a similar quantity without the $\delta\sigma$ as

$$H[y] = -\lim_{x \rightarrow y} \left(\int d^2z \sqrt{g} \operatorname{tr}_D S(x, z) S(z, y) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \mu^2 \ell^2(x, y) \right) + 2m^2 \int d^2z \sqrt{g} \operatorname{tr}_D G(y, z) G(z, y) . \quad (5.26)$$

Using (4.20) we see that the term inside the bracket is just $\operatorname{tr}_D G^{\text{reg}}(x, y)$ and thus

$$H[y] = -\operatorname{tr}_D G_R(y) + 2m^2 \operatorname{tr}_D G_2(y, y) , \quad (5.27)$$

where $G_2(x, y) = \zeta_+(2, x, y)$. We want to express F in terms of the variation of H , to leading order in a small m -expansion.

5.3 The gravitational action on the sphere

In this subsection, we will restrict ourselves to the case where $i\nabla$ has no zero-modes, so that all eigenvalues λ_n are strictly positive, even for $m = 0$. This is the case, in particular, for spherical topology, but also for the torus with a spin structure involving at least one anti-periodic boundary condition. The important thing is that in all these cases there is no zero-mode piece in the Green's functions one would need to remove in the $m \rightarrow 0$ limit and, hence, in a small mass expansion, we may consider all Green's function to be of order m^0 . This would not be the case in the presence of zero-modes of $i\nabla$ where S would have a piece $\sim \frac{1}{m}$ and G a piece $\sim \frac{1}{m^2}$. Thus in our previous equation for F and H we may already drop the terms $\sim m^2 GG$, and we rewrite

$$e^{\sigma(y)} H(y) = -\lim_{x \rightarrow y} \left(\int d^2z \sqrt{\hat{g}} e^{\sigma(z)} \operatorname{tr}_D \bar{S}(x, z) \bar{S}(z, y) + \frac{e^{\sigma(y)}}{2\pi} \ln \mu^2 \ell^2(x, y) \right) + \mathcal{O}(m^2) , \quad (5.28)$$

where \bar{S} defined in (5.9) was such that $\delta \bar{S} = \mathcal{O}(m)$, cf (5.12). It follows immediately that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \left(e^{\sigma(y)} H(y) \right) &= -\lim_{x \rightarrow y} \left(\int d^2z \sqrt{\hat{g}} e^{\sigma(z)} \delta\sigma(z) \operatorname{tr}_D \bar{S}(x, z) \bar{S}(z, y) + \frac{e^{\sigma(y)}}{2\pi} \delta\sigma(y) \ln \mu^2 \ell^2(x, y) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{e^{\sigma(y)}}{\pi} \delta\sigma(y) + \mathcal{O}(m) \\ &= -e^{\sigma(y)} \lim_{x \rightarrow y} \left(\int d^2z \sqrt{g} \delta\sigma(z) \operatorname{tr}_D S(x, z) S(z, y) + \frac{\delta\sigma(y)}{2\pi} \ln \mu^2 \ell^2(x, y) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{e^{\sigma(y)}}{\pi} \delta\sigma(y) + \mathcal{O}(m) \\ &= e^{\sigma(y)} \left(F[y, \delta\sigma] - \frac{\delta\sigma(y)}{\pi} \right) + \mathcal{O}(m) . \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int \sqrt{g} F + \mathcal{O}(m) &= \int \sqrt{\hat{g}} e^{\sigma} \delta(e^{\sigma} H) + \frac{\delta A}{2\pi} = \int \sqrt{\hat{g}} e^{2\sigma} (\delta\sigma H + \delta H) + \frac{\delta A}{2\pi} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int \sqrt{\hat{g}} \left(\delta(e^{2\sigma} H) + e^{2\sigma} \delta H \right) + \frac{\delta A}{2\pi} = \frac{1}{2} \delta \int \sqrt{g} H + \frac{1}{2} \int \sqrt{g} \delta H + \frac{\delta A}{2\pi} . \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

Now to lowest order in m , by (5.27) we have simply $H = -\text{tr}_D G_R$ and thus

$$\int \sqrt{g} F = -\frac{1}{2} \delta \int \sqrt{g} \text{tr}_D G_R - \frac{1}{2} \int \sqrt{g} \delta \text{tr}_D G_R + \frac{\delta A}{2\pi} + \mathcal{O}(m) . \quad (5.31)$$

Inserting this into eq. (5.23) for the gravitational action we get

$$\delta \left(S_{\text{grav}} + \frac{1}{48\pi} S_{\text{Liouville}} + \frac{m^2}{8\pi} (2\gamma + 2 \ln \frac{\pi}{2} - 1) A + \frac{m^2}{4} \int \sqrt{g} \text{tr}_D G_R \right) = \frac{m^2}{4} \int \sqrt{g} \delta \text{tr}_D G_R + \mathcal{O}(m^3) . \quad (5.32)$$

By (4.84) we may replace $G_R = G_\zeta + \frac{1}{2\pi} (\ln 2 - \gamma) \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2}$ so that

$$\delta \left(S_{\text{grav}} + \frac{1}{48\pi} S_{\text{Liouville}} + \frac{m^2}{8\pi} (2 \ln \pi - 1) A + \frac{m^2}{4} \int \sqrt{g} \text{tr}_D G_\zeta \right) = \frac{m^2}{4} \int \sqrt{g} \delta \text{tr}_D G_\zeta + \mathcal{O}(m^3) . \quad (5.33)$$

Finally, we need $\delta \text{tr}_D G_\zeta = \delta \text{tr}_D G_R$, up to terms of order m . It follows from (4.71) and (4.70) that

$$\delta G_R(y) = \lim_{x \rightarrow y} \left(\delta G(x, y) + \frac{\mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2}}{4\pi} \frac{\delta \ell^2(x, y)}{\ell^2(x, y)} \right) = \lim_{x \rightarrow y} \delta G(x, y) + \frac{\mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2}}{2\pi} \delta \sigma(y) , \quad (5.34)$$

which shows that $\delta G(x, y)$ must have a finite limit as $x \rightarrow y$. To compute $\delta G(x, y)$ it is easiest to express G as $\int SS$ and use that $\delta \bar{S} = \mathcal{O}(m)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta G(x, y) &= \delta \int d^2 z \sqrt{g(z)} S(x, z) S(z, y) = \delta \int d^2 z \sqrt{\hat{g}(z)} e^{\sigma(z) - \sigma(x)/2 - \sigma(y)/2} \bar{S}(x, z) \bar{S}(z, y) \\ &= \int d^2 z \sqrt{\hat{g}(z)} e^{\sigma(z) - \sigma(x)/2 - \sigma(y)/2} \bar{S}(x, z) \bar{S}(z, y) \left(\delta \sigma(z) - \frac{\delta \sigma(x) + \delta \sigma(y)}{2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(m) . \end{aligned} \quad (5.35)$$

If we let $x \rightarrow y$, integrate over y and take the trace we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d^2 y \sqrt{g(y)} \delta \text{tr}_D G(y, y) \\ &= \int d^2 y \sqrt{\hat{g}(y)} \int d^2 z \sqrt{\hat{g}(z)} e^{\sigma(z) + \sigma(y)} \text{tr}_D \bar{S}(y, z) \bar{S}(z, y) (\delta \sigma(z) - \delta \sigma(y)) + \mathcal{O}(m) \\ &= 0 + \mathcal{O}(m) . \end{aligned} \quad (5.36)$$

Note that it is only after taking the trace that the integrand is odd under exchange of y and z . Thus

$$\int d^2 y \sqrt{g(y)} \delta \text{tr}_D G_\zeta(y) = \int d^2 y \sqrt{g(y)} \delta \text{tr}_D G_R(y) = \frac{\delta A}{2\pi} . \quad (5.37)$$

Inserting this into (5.32) or (5.33) yields

$$\delta \left(S_{\text{grav}} + \frac{1}{48\pi} S_{\text{Liouville}} + \frac{m^2}{4\pi} (\ln \pi - 1) A + \frac{m^2}{4} \int \sqrt{g} \text{tr}_D G_\zeta \right) = \mathcal{O}(m^3) , \quad (5.38)$$

which we can immediately integrate to get

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{grav}}[g, \hat{g}] &= -\frac{1}{48\pi} S_{\text{Liouville}}[g, \hat{g}] + \frac{m^2}{4\pi} (1 - \ln \pi) (A - A_0) \\ &\quad - \frac{m^2}{4} \int \sqrt{g} \text{tr}_D G_\zeta[g] + \frac{m^2}{4} \int \sqrt{\hat{g}} \text{tr}_D G_\zeta[\hat{g}] + \mathcal{O}(m^3) . \end{aligned} \quad (5.39)$$

We see that the order m^2 contribution to the effective gravitational action is entirely determined by the integral over the sphere of the Green's function at coinciding points, G_ζ or G_R .

This result for the gravitational action looks very similar to the result one gets in the bosonic case for a massive scalar field. However, the Liouville action has an extra factor $+\frac{1}{2}$ that arose from a combination of a minus sign from the fermionic determinant and another factor $-\frac{1}{2}$ as explained above. Of course, this $\frac{1}{2}$ is just the central charge of the fermionic CFT. The term $\sim m^2$ is again the integral of G_ζ , but now with an additional minus sign (fermions !), as well as $\frac{1}{2}$ and the Dirac trace. But most importantly, G_ζ is the regularised Green's function of D^2 which is not simply the scalar Laplacian as one had for the scalar field.

To go even further and determine $G_\zeta[g]$ in terms of $G_\zeta[\hat{g}]$, or equivalently $G_R[g]$ in terms of $G_R[\hat{g}]$, we could try to “integrate” the infinitesimal conformal variation (5.35). Above, in (5.36) we could take advantage of symmetry arguments which we are lacking at present. Note that from (5.22) we also have the relation

$$m^2 \operatorname{tr}_D G_\zeta = m^2 \operatorname{tr}_D G_R + \frac{m^2}{\pi}(\gamma - \ln 2) = m \operatorname{tr}_D \gamma_* S_R + \frac{m^2}{\pi}(\gamma - \ln 2) . \quad (5.40)$$

So instead of working with the variation of $\operatorname{tr}_D G_\zeta$ (to order m^0) we can look at the variation of $\operatorname{tr}_D \gamma_* S_R$ (to order m). But this will lead us back to the same type of expression as (5.35).

Instead we will use directly the equality of G and $\int SS$ and use again that \bar{S} is independent of the conformal factor, up to terms of order m (we write $G_g(x, y)$ instead of $G[g](x, y)$, and similarly for S) :

$$\begin{aligned} G_g(x, y) &= \int d^2 z \sqrt{g(z)} S_g(x, z) S_g(z, y) = \int d^2 z \sqrt{\hat{g}(z)} e^{\sigma(z) - \sigma(x)/2 - \sigma(y)/2} \bar{S}_g(x, z) \bar{S}_g(z, y) \\ &= \int d^2 z \sqrt{\hat{g}(z)} e^{\sigma(z) - \sigma(x)/2 - \sigma(y)/2} \bar{S}_{\hat{g}}(x, z) \bar{S}_{\hat{g}}(z, y) + \mathcal{O}(m) , \end{aligned} \quad (5.41)$$

so that ($\bar{S}_{\hat{g}} = S_{\hat{g}}$)

$$G_g(x, y) - G_{\hat{g}}(x, y) = \int d^2 z \sqrt{\hat{g}(z)} \left(e^{\sigma(z) - \sigma(x)/2 - \sigma(y)/2} - 1 \right) S_{\hat{g}}(x, z) S_{\hat{g}}(z, y) + \mathcal{O}(m) . \quad (5.42)$$

Note that since $\delta G(x, y)$ has a finite limit as $x \rightarrow y$, the same must be true for this finite difference. As in (5.34) we let $x \rightarrow y$ and add the piece $\sim \sigma$ and take the trace to get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}_D G_\zeta[g](y) - \operatorname{tr}_D G_\zeta[\hat{g}](y) &= \operatorname{tr}_D G_R[g](y) - \operatorname{tr}_D G_R[\hat{g}](y) \\ &= \int d^2 z \sqrt{\hat{g}(z)} \left(e^{\sigma(z) - \sigma(y)} - 1 \right) \operatorname{tr}_D S_{\hat{g}}(y, z) S_{\hat{g}}(z, y) + \frac{\sigma(y)}{\pi} + \mathcal{O}(m) . \end{aligned} \quad (5.43)$$

Finally, we get for the terms multiplying $-m^2/4$ in the second line of the gravitational action (5.39)

$$\begin{aligned} \int \sqrt{g} \operatorname{tr}_D G_\zeta[g] - \int \sqrt{\hat{g}} \operatorname{tr}_D G_\zeta[\hat{g}] &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int \sqrt{\hat{g}} e^{2\sigma} \sigma + \int \sqrt{\hat{g}} (e^{2\sigma} - 1) \operatorname{tr}_D G_\zeta[\hat{g}] \\ &\quad + \int d^2 y \sqrt{\hat{g}(y)} \int d^2 z \sqrt{\hat{g}(z)} \left(e^{\sigma(y) + \sigma(z)} - e^{2\sigma(y)} \right) \operatorname{tr}_D S_{\hat{g}}(y, z) S_{\hat{g}}(z, y) , \end{aligned} \quad (5.44)$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{grav}}[g, \hat{g}] &= -\frac{1}{48\pi} S_{\text{Liouville}}[g, \hat{g}] + \frac{m^2}{4\pi} (1 - \ln \pi) (A - A_0) - \frac{m^2}{4\pi} \int \sqrt{\hat{g}} e^{2\sigma} \sigma \\
&\quad - \frac{m^2}{4} \int \sqrt{\hat{g}} (e^{2\sigma} - 1) \text{tr}_D G_\zeta[\hat{g}] \\
&\quad - \frac{m^2}{4} \int d^2 y \sqrt{\hat{g}(y)} \int d^2 z \sqrt{\hat{g}(z)} \left(e^{\sigma(y) + \sigma(z)} - e^{2\sigma(y)} \right) \text{tr}_D S_{\hat{g}}(y, z) S_{\hat{g}}(z, y). \quad (5.45)
\end{aligned}$$

The last term of the first line is the characteristic term $e^{2\sigma} \sigma$ of the Mabuchi action

$$S_M[g, \hat{g}] = \frac{4}{A} \int \sqrt{\hat{g}} e^{2\sigma} \sigma + \dots, \quad (5.46)$$

Obviously, this term is local, contrary to the other terms that are also present in (5.44) and constitute the second and third lines in (5.45), and that are non-local. Despite some effort, we have not been able to reduce these terms to purely local expressions in terms of the conformal factor σ or the Kähler potential only. Such non-local terms involving the Green's functions on the manifold also are present in the effective gravitational action for massive scalars at higher orders in m , starting at m^4 . It seems that in the present case of massive Majorana fermions, such non-local terms are already present at order m^2 . It is interesting to note, that the Mabuchi action appears at present with a coefficient $-\frac{m^2 A}{16\pi}$ while in the case of the massive scalar field one exactly obtained the opposite coefficient $+\frac{m^2 A}{16\pi}$.

6 Discussion and outlook

In these notes, we have studied to some extent the effective gravitational action for massive fermions in two dimensions. The appropriate mass term was a Majorana type mass term $\int \psi^\dagger m \gamma_* \psi$, and the spectral analysis we performed was based on the Dirac operator $D = i\nabla + m\gamma_*$ whose eigenfunctions necessarily are complex. What might have looked as a simple generalisation of the massive scalar case, actually turned out to be technically quite involved. We performed a detailed study of the corresponding Green's functions, local zeta-functions and local heat kernels of the Dirac operator D and of its square D^2 . In particular we studied the variations of these quantities under infinitesimal conformal rescalings of the metric, and then tried to “integrate” these infinitesimal variations to get the finite effective gravitational action $S[g, \hat{g}]$. Our result was valid for non-vanishing mass in which case there is no zero-mode which otherwise we would need to exclude from the Green's functions and zeta-functions. However, to get a proper small-mass expansion of the effective gravitational action one needs to deal with quantities that have a well-defined limit as $m \rightarrow 0$. One should thus properly take into account the zero-modes of the massless Dirac operator $i\nabla$ and define Green's functions, zeta-functions and heat kernels with these zero-modes subtracted. Of course the number and properties of the zero-modes crucially depend on the topology of the manifold. We will come back to this question in a separate publication. Here, in our last section, we restricted ourselves to spherical topology, where $i\nabla$ has no zero mode and we could directly perform the small-mass expansion of all our quantities. At order m^0 , the resulting effective gravitational action displays the well-known Liouville action, correctly with a coefficient $\frac{1}{2}$ times the one for a single scalar field, as well as a cosmological constant action

proportional to the area A of the manifold. At order m^2 we found a local contribution involving the $\int \sqrt{g} \sigma e^{2\sigma}$ term characteristic of the Mabuchi action. This term appeared with the same coefficient as for a massive scalar field, but with the opposite sign, as one might perhaps have expected. But we also found, at this order m^2 , several non-local terms involving the Green's functions and Green's functions at coinciding points on the manifold. Such non-local terms showed up in the scalar case only at order m^4 , but it seems that in the fermionic case they are already unavoidable at order m^2 .

Finally, let us note that the gravitational action for a massive Majorana fermion has also been studied in a different approach long ago [12]. There, following the DDK approach [13], the theory of a Majorana fermion with the mass term being gravitationally dressed by the Liouville field has been explored to some extent. It would be interesting to pursue this approach further and relate it to ours. We hope to come back to this in the future.

Acknowledgements

H.E. is funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement no 891169.

References

- [1] A.M. Polyakov, *Quantum geometry of bosonic strings*, Phys. Lett. **B103**, 207 (1981).
- [2] T. Mabuchi, *K-energy maps integrating Futaki invariants*, Tôhoku Math. J. **38** (1986) 575–593.
T. Mabuchi, *Some symplectic geometry on compact Kähler manifolds*, Osaka J. Math. **24** (1987) 227–252.
S. Semmes, *Complex Monge-Ampère and symplectic manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **114** no. 3 (1992) 495–550.
- [3] D.H. Phong, J. Sturm, *Lectures on stability and constant scalar curvature*, arXiv:0801.4179 [math.DG] (2008).
- [4] F. Ferrari, S. Klevtsov and S. Zelditch, *Gravitational actions in two dimensions and the Mabuchi functional*, Nucl. Phys. **B859**, 341 (2012), arXiv:1112.1352 [hep-th].
- [5] A. Bilal, F. Ferrari and S. Klevtsov, *2D quantum gravity at one loop with Liouville and Mabuchi actions*, Nucl. Phys. **B880** (2014) 203, arXiv:1310.1951 [hep-th].
- [6] A. Bilal and L. Leduc, *Liouville and Mabuchi quantum gravity at two and three loops*, unpublished.
- [7] A. Bilal and L. Leduc, *2D quantum gravity on compact Riemann surfaces with non-conformal matter*, JHEP 01 (2017) 089, arXiv:1606.01901 [hep-th].
- [8] A. Bilal and C. de Lacroix, *2D gravitational Mabuchi action on Riemann surfaces with boundaries*, JHEP 11 (2017) 154, arXiv:1703.10541 [hep-th].
- [9] H. Lacoïn, R. Rhodes and V. Vergas, *Path intergral for quantum Mabuchi K-energy*, arXiv:1807.01758

- [10] C. de Lacroix, H Erbin and E.E. Svanes, *Mabuchi spectrum from the minisuperspace*, Phys.Lett **B758** (2016) 186, arXiv:1511.06150
- [11] C. de Lacroix, H Erbin and E.E. Svanes, *Minisuperspace computation of the Mabuchi spectrum*, Class. Quant. Grav. 35 (2018) 18, 185011, arXiv:1704.05855 [hep-th].
- [12] N. Seiberg. *Notes on Quantum Liouville Theory and Quantum Gravity*, Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement 102 (1990) 319. doi: 10.1143/PTPS.102.319.
- [13] F. David. *Conformal Field Theories Coupled to 2-D Gravity in the Conformal Gauge*, Mod Phys Lett A3 (1988) 1651, doi: 10.1142/S0217732388001975.
J. Distler and H. Kawai. *Conformal Field Theory and 2D Quantum Gravity*, Nucl Phys B 321 (1989), 509, doi: 10.1016/0550-3213(89)90354-4.