

Axion as a fuzzy-dark-matter candidate: Proofs in different gauges

Jai-chan Hwang^{1,2}, Hyerim Noh³

¹*Department of Astronomy and Atmospheric Sciences,
Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Republic of Korea*

²*Center for Theoretical Physics of the Universe,
Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Daejeon, 34051, Republic of Korea*

³*Theoretical Astrophysics Group, Korea Astronomy and Space Science Institute, Daejeon, Republic of Korea*

(Dated: September 14, 2021)

Axion as a coherently oscillating massive scalar field is known to behave as a zero-pressure irrotational fluid with characteristic quantum stress on a small scale. In relativistic perturbation theory, the case was most conveniently proved in the axion-comoving gauge up to fully nonlinear and exact order. Our basic assumption is that the Compton wavelength is smaller than the horizon scale. Here, we revisit the relativistic proof to the linear order in the other gauge conditions. The comoving gauge, the zero-shear gauge, and the uniform-curvature gauge give *the same* equation for density perturbation known in the non-relativistic quantum mechanical treatment in *all* scales. On the other hand, the quantum stress term is missing in the synchronous gauge, and inconsistency is found in the uniform-expansion gauge. In the absence of quantum stress, the simple density perturbation equations of the axion in the zero-shear gauge and the uniform-curvature gauge were *not* expected. Even in the zero-pressure fluid, the equations in the two gauges coincide with the one in the comoving gauge *only* in the sub-horizon scale. We clarify that our analysis is valid for scales larger than the Compton wavelength, which is negligible compared with the cosmological scale. For comparison, we review the non-relativistic quantum hydrodynamics and present the Schrödinger equation to first-order post-Newtonian expansion in the cosmology context.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Pm, 04.25.Nx, 04.20.-q, 67.55.Fa, 95.35.+d, 98.80.-k, 98.80.Jk

I. INTRODUCTION

A coherently oscillating massive scalar field without interaction is known to behave as a pressureless fluid. An example is an axion where its pseudo nature does not interfere with its cosmological role. Such a scalar field can have a role just after the inflation, with the field oscillating at the bottom of the potential, providing a brief matter-dominated period before the radiation domination. More importantly, it can serve as a cold dark matter. Calling a coherently oscillating phase of the massive scalar field as axion, disregarding the mass range of the original QCD axion [1], might be an overuse of the term [2], but here we will continue to use it.

The massive scalar field, in fact, has characteristic stress with quantum origin. The quantum origin is apparent in the non-relativistic treatment based on the fluid formulation of the Schrödinger equation known as early as in 1926 [3], the same year both the Schrödinger equation and its relativistic version, the Klein-Gordon equation, appeared; for a historical summary, see Section 1 in [4] and [5]. We review the non-relativistic treatment in Sec. II. The quantum stress term with extreme-light mass has recently attracted much attention as the fuzzy dark matter [6] enabling to resolve the small-scale tensions encountered in the conventional cold dark matter scenario while enjoying all the success of the cold dark matter in the large scale; for a recent review, see [7].

The relativistic cosmological perturbation theory is based on Einstein's equation together with the Klein-Gordon equation in the homogeneous and isotropic cosmological background. The relativistic treatment de-

pends on the gauge choice, especially the temporal one often called the hypersurface or slicing condition; the spatial gauge condition is trivial and unique in the homogeneous and isotropic background [8]. Previous analyses used the zero-shear gauge [9], the synchronous gauge [10], the uniform-curvature gauge [11], and the comoving gauge [12]. But the density perturbation equation has appeared only in the comoving gauge [12]. Here, we present the density perturbation equations in these gauge conditions with an addition for the uniform-expansion gauge, and compare the equations with one in the zero-pressure fluid, see Sec. III.

Our relativistic perturbation theory is confined to the linear order in perturbation and ignores the interaction term, see Sec. III. In contrast, the non-relativistic analysis in Sec. II and the post-Newtonian (PN) treatment in the Appendix A are presented in an exact form with full nonlinearity and including the interaction term.

II. NON-RELATIVISTIC QUANTUM HYDRODYNAMICS

From the Schrödinger equation combined with the Poisson's equation, we have

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta\psi + \frac{4\pi\ell_s\hbar^2}{m}|\psi|^2\psi + m\Phi\psi, \quad (1)$$

$$\Delta\Phi = 4\pi Gm|\psi|^2 - \Lambda c^2, \quad (2)$$

where ℓ_s is the s-wave scattering length [13, 14]. Equation (1) with the interaction term is often known as the Gross-Pitaevskii equation [15, 16]. This will be derived in the

Appendix as the non-relativistic ($c \rightarrow \infty$) or zeroth-order PN (0PN), i.e., Newtonian, limit of the Klein-Gordon equation; there, we derive the Schrödinger equation to 1PN order in the context of cosmological background, see Eq. (A7). Φ is the Newtonian gravitational potential with

$$g_{00} = - \left(1 + 2 \frac{\Phi}{c^2} \right), \quad (3)$$

and Λ is the cosmological constant. The Poisson's equation follows from the Einstein equation in the 0PN ($c \rightarrow \infty$) limit, see the Appendix for derivation. Equation (2) can be written as

$$\Delta \Phi = 4\pi G m (|\psi|^2 - |\psi_b|^2), \quad (4)$$

with ψ_b the homogeneous background wave function.

Using the Madelung transformation [3]

$$\psi \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{m}} e^{imu/\hbar}, \quad (5)$$

with ρ and u interpreted as the density and velocity potential, respectively, the imaginary and real parts of the Schrödinger equation give

$$\dot{\rho} = - (\rho u^i)_{,i}, \quad (6)$$

$$\dot{u} + \frac{1}{2} u^i u_{,i} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^2} \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} - \frac{4\pi \ell_s \hbar^2}{m^3} \rho - \Phi. \quad (7)$$

These can be arranged as the continuity and Euler equations, respectively. Together with the Poisson equation, we have

$$\dot{\rho} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^2} \nabla \left(\frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \right) - \frac{4\pi \ell_s \hbar^2}{m^3} \nabla \rho - \nabla \Phi, \quad (9)$$

$$\Delta \Phi = 4\pi G \rho - \Lambda c^2, \quad (10)$$

where we introduce $\mathbf{u} \equiv \nabla u$, thus the flow vector \mathbf{u} is irrotational. The first term in the right-hand-side of Eq. (9) is the characteristic quantum stress appearing in the Euler equation. The fuzzy nature as the dark matter is played by this term which we may call the Madelung term. The second term is an interaction pressure, caused by the nonlinear interaction term. Compared with the Newtonian fluid equation [17]

$$\dot{u}_i + u^j \nabla_j u_i = - \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\nabla_j \Pi_i^j + \nabla_i p \right) - \nabla_i \Phi, \quad (11)$$

with the pressure (isotropic stress) p and the anisotropic stress Π_{ij} (with $\Pi_i^i \equiv 0$), we have [18]

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{ij}^Q &= - \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2} \rho \left(\nabla_i \nabla_j - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \Delta \right) \ln \rho, \\ p^Q &= - \frac{\hbar^2}{12m^2} \rho \Delta \ln \rho + \frac{2\pi \ell_s \hbar^2}{m^3} \rho^2, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

thus the Madelung term has both isotropic and anisotropic stresses, justifying calling it the *quantum stress* as correctly pointed out in [18].

Equations (8)-(10) guarantee that ρ and u defined in Eq. (5) can be identified as the density and velocity potential, respectively. It is important to notice, however, that these identifications apply *only* to the non-relativistic limit [19]. The proper identification of the fluid quantities should be made based on decomposition of the energy-momentum tensor using the four-vector; see Eq. (40), and Eq. (41) to the linear order perturbation in cosmology.

The equivalence between the two systems, the Schrödinger equation in (1) compared with its quantum-fluid formulation in Eqs. (8) and (9), in the absence of the interaction term, was challenged in [20]. These two are *not* equivalent. An important difference appears in the presence of quantized circulation (or vortex, $\nabla \times \mathbf{v}$) in the Schrödinger system, as is well known in superfluids and Bose-Einstein condensates [14–16, 18, 20–26]. The difference is reflected in the simulations based on the Schrödinger formulation, with the interference pattern and quantized vortex [27, 28]. These wave-like features are not available in the simulations based on the fluid formulation [29]; see, however, [30].

A. Cosmological perturbation

In the cosmological context, we introduce spatially homogeneous and isotropic background and perturbation

$$\rho \rightarrow \rho + \delta \rho, \quad \mathbf{u} = H \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{v}, \quad (13)$$

where $H \equiv \dot{a}/a$ with $a(t)$ the cosmic scale factor; we set $\delta \rho \equiv \rho \delta$ and Φ only have a perturbed part. To the background order, Eqs. (8)-(10) give

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H\rho = 0, \quad \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = - \frac{4\pi G}{3} \rho + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3}. \quad (14)$$

These are the Friedmann equations in the absence of pressure. Moving to the comoving coordinate \mathbf{x} where

$$\mathbf{r} \equiv a(t) \mathbf{x}, \quad (15)$$

thus

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{a} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}, \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{\mathbf{x}} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{x} \right) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{\mathbf{x}} - H \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}.$$

Neglecting the subindex \mathbf{x} , Eqs. (8)-(10) become

$$\dot{\delta} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \cdot [(1 + \delta) \mathbf{v}] = 0, \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{v}} + H \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{a} \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^2} \frac{1}{a^3} \nabla \left(\frac{\Delta \sqrt{1 + \delta}}{\sqrt{1 + \delta}} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{4\pi \ell_s \hbar^2}{m^3} \frac{\rho}{a} \nabla \delta - \frac{1}{a} \nabla \Phi, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{\Delta}{a^2} \Phi = 4\pi G \rho \delta. \quad (19)$$

Combining these we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\delta} + 2H\dot{\delta} - 4\pi G\rho\delta &+ \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^2} \frac{\Delta}{a^4} \left(\frac{\Delta\sqrt{1+\delta}}{\sqrt{1+\delta}} \right) - \frac{4\pi\ell_s\hbar^2}{m^3} \rho \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \delta \\ &= \frac{1}{a^2} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) - \frac{1}{a^2} [a\nabla \cdot (\delta\mathbf{v})]. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

These are valid to fully nonlinear order in perturbation. Ignoring the quantum stress and the interaction pressure terms, this equation can be derived to the second order perturbation in relativistic perturbation theory in the comoving gauge, see Eq. (342) in [31]. Newtonian hydrodynamic equations are closed to the second order which is not the case in Einstein's gravity. Thus, all higher order perturbations in Einstein's gravity are pure relativistic corrections, see Section 5 in [32] to the third-order perturbation, and Section 5.1 in [33] to the fully nonlinear and exact order perturbation. These relativistic corrections were derived in the comoving gauge. As we will show in Sec. III C, in other gauges the equations are more involved even in the linear order perturbation.

To the linear order, we have

$$\ddot{\delta} + 2H\dot{\delta} - 4\pi G\rho\delta + \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2} \left(\frac{\Delta}{4a^2} - \frac{4\pi\ell_s}{m} \rho \right) \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \delta = 0. \quad (21)$$

In the absence of the interaction term, this is the density perturbation equation for axion in the non-relativistic limit; our relativistic analysis in the next section will show that *the same* equation is valid in the relativistic analysis in certain gauge conditions, see Eq. (73). Compared with the relativistic analysis, the oscillatory nature of the massive scalar field as an axion is used in the relation between the field (ϕ) and the wave function (ψ), see Eqs. (38) and (54).

B. Cosmological context

In the cosmological context, in the zeroth-order post-Newtonian (0PN) approximation, or equivalently in the non-relativistic limit ($c \rightarrow \infty$), we have the Schrödinger equation and the Poisson equation in expanding medium

$$i\hbar \left(\dot{\psi} + \frac{3}{2}H\psi \right) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \psi + \frac{4\pi\ell_s\hbar^2}{m} |\psi|^2 \psi + m\Phi\psi, \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{\Delta}{a^2} \Phi = 4\pi Gm|\psi|^2 + 3\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \Lambda c^2. \quad (23)$$

Equation (22) is derived in the Appendix where we also present the fully relativistic version and the one valid to 1PN order, see Eq. (A1) and (A7), respectively. These follow from the Klein-Gordon equation using the Klein transformation in Eq. (37). Equation (23) is also derived in the Appendix. In the non-expanding background we

recover Eqs. (1) by setting $a \equiv 1$. Equation (23) can also be written as

$$\frac{\Delta}{a^2} \Phi = 4\pi Gm (|\psi|^2 - |\psi_b|^2), \quad (24)$$

with ψ_b the homogeneous background wave function.

Under the Madelung transformation in Eq. (5), from imaginary and real parts, respectively, we have

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H\rho + \frac{1}{a^2} (\rho u^i)_{,i} = 0, \quad (25)$$

$$\dot{u} + \frac{1}{2a^2} u^i u_{,i} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^2} \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{\Delta\sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} - \frac{4\pi\ell_s\hbar^2}{m^3} \rho - \Phi. \quad (26)$$

Identifying $\mathbf{v} \equiv \frac{1}{a}\nabla u$, we have

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H\rho + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \cdot (\rho\mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{v}} + H\mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{a} \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^2} \frac{1}{a^3} \nabla \left(\frac{\Delta\sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{4\pi\ell_s\hbar^2}{m^3} \frac{1}{a} \nabla \rho - \frac{1}{a} \nabla \Phi, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{\Delta}{a^2} \Phi = 4\pi G\rho + 3\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \Lambda c^2. \quad (29)$$

Using perturbation expansion in Eq. (13), we recover Eq. (14) to the background order, and Eqs. (17)-(19) to the nonlinear perturbations. Subtracting the background, Eq. (29) becomes

$$\frac{\Delta}{a^2} \Phi = 4\pi G(\rho - \rho_b), \quad (30)$$

with ρ_b the homogeneous background density.

The Madelung transformation can be inverted to give

$$\rho = m|\psi|^2, \quad \mathbf{v} = \frac{\hbar}{2ima} \left(\frac{\nabla\psi}{\psi} - \frac{\nabla\psi^*}{\psi^*} \right). \quad (31)$$

Using this we can directly show that Eqs. (27) and (28) are valid by Eq. (22).

III. RELATIVISTIC ANALYSIS

Now, we present the relativistic counterpart of the previous section. Fully relativistic quantum hydrodynamics will be presented in a later occasion [19], and here, we consider only the linear perturbation analysis in cosmology context. Instead of the Schrödinger equation with Newtonian gravity represented by the Poisson's equation, in Eqs. (1) and (2), or Eqs. (22) and (23), now we use the Klein-Gordon equation with Einstein's gravity modified by the cosmological constant

$$\square\phi = V_{,\phi}, \quad (32)$$

$$R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}R + \Lambda g_{ab} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{ab}. \quad (33)$$

Our convention in Lagrangian density is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{c^4}{16\pi G} (R - 2\Lambda) - \frac{1}{2} \phi^{;c} \phi_{;c} - V(\phi). \quad (34)$$

The energy-momentum tensor is

$$T_b^a = \phi^{;a} \phi_{;b} - \left(\frac{1}{2} \phi^{;c} \phi_{;c} + V \right) \delta_b^a. \quad (35)$$

For a massive scalar field with interaction, we have

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} \phi^2 + \frac{2\pi \ell_s m}{\hbar^2} \phi^4. \quad (36)$$

In this section we will consider a massive scalar field *without* interaction.

The Schrödinger equation in Eqs. (1) and (22) follows from the Klein-Gordon equation in (32), as the non-relativistic limit ($c \rightarrow \infty$), under the Klein transformation [34]

$$\phi = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{m}} \psi e^{-imc^2 t/\hbar}. \quad (37)$$

This can be done regarding ϕ as if it is a complex field. As we consider a *real* scalar field ϕ and a complex wave function ψ , however, a more proper way is to expand as

$$\phi = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2m}} \left(\psi e^{-imc^2 t/\hbar} + \psi^* e^{+imc^2 t/\hbar} \right). \quad (38)$$

Both methods give the same answer, see below Eq. (A1) and below Eq. (A9).

A. Cosmological perturbation

We consider a flat Friedmann cosmology supported by a minimally coupled massive scalar field. As the scalar field does not support the vector (transverse) and tensor (transverse-tracefree) type perturbations to the linear order, we consider only the scalar-type perturbation. Our metric convention is [8, 33]

$$\begin{aligned} g_{00} &= -a^2 (1 + 2\alpha), & g_{0i} &= -a\beta_{;i}, \\ g_{ij} &= a^2 [(1 + 2\varphi) \delta_{ij} + 2\gamma_{;ij}], \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where $x^0 = \eta$ with $cdt \equiv a d\eta$, and introduce a spatially gauge-invariant combination $\chi \equiv a(\beta + a\dot{\gamma}/c)$.

The fluid quantities are identified based on the time-like four-vector u_a with $u^a u_a \equiv -1$. In the energy frame, setting the flux four-vector $q_a \equiv 0$, we have [33, 35]

$$T_{ab} = \mu u_a u_b + p (g_{ab} + u_a u_b) + \pi_{ab}, \quad (40)$$

with $\pi_{ab} u^b = 0 = \pi_a^a$.

To the linear order, with $\phi \rightarrow \phi + \delta\phi$ and $u_i \equiv av_i/c$, Eq. (35) gives

$$\begin{aligned} T_0^0 &= -\frac{1}{2c^2} \left(\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{m^2 c^4}{\hbar^2} \phi^2 \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\dot{\phi} \delta\dot{\phi} - \dot{\phi}^2 \alpha + \frac{m^2 c^4}{\hbar^2} \phi \delta\phi \right) \\ &\equiv -\mu - \delta\mu, \\ T_i^0 &= -\frac{1}{ac} \dot{\phi} \delta\phi_{;i} \equiv -\frac{1}{c} (\mu + p) v_{;i}, \\ T_j^i &= \left[\frac{1}{2c^2} \left(\dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{m^2 c^4}{\hbar^2} \phi^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\dot{\phi} \delta\dot{\phi} - \dot{\phi}^2 \alpha - \frac{m^2 c^4}{\hbar^2} \phi \delta\phi \right) \right] \delta_j^i \\ &\equiv (p + \delta p) \delta_j^i + \Pi_j^i, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where we decompose $v_i \equiv -v_{;i}$ for scalar type perturbation [31]. Thus, we read perturbed fluid quantities as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mu &= \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\dot{\phi} \delta\dot{\phi} - \dot{\phi}^2 \alpha + \frac{m^2 c^4}{\hbar^2} \phi \delta\phi \right), \\ \delta p &= \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\dot{\phi} \delta\dot{\phi} - \dot{\phi}^2 \alpha - \frac{m^2 c^4}{\hbar^2} \phi \delta\phi \right), \\ (\mu + p) v &= \frac{1}{a} \dot{\phi} \delta\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

and vanishing anisotropic stress, Π_j^i ; notice that the scalar field does not support the vector and tensor type perturbations.

To the background order we have the Friedmann equations and the equation of motion

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3c^2} \mu + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3}, \quad \dot{H} = -\frac{4\pi G}{c^2} (\mu + p), \quad (43)$$

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{m^2 c^4}{\hbar^2} \phi = 0, \quad (44)$$

with fluid quantities identified in Eq. (41) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \frac{1}{2c^2} \left(\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{m^2 c^4}{\hbar^2} \phi^2 \right), \\ p &= \frac{1}{2c^2} \left(\dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{m^2 c^4}{\hbar^2} \phi^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

To linear order in perturbation, the basic equations for the scalar-type perturbation, without imposing the gauge

conditions, are [8, 31, 33]

$$\kappa \equiv 3H\alpha - 3\dot{\phi} - c\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\chi, \quad (46)$$

$$\frac{4\pi G}{c^2}\delta\mu + H\kappa + c^2\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\varphi = 0, \quad (47)$$

$$\kappa + c\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\chi - \frac{12\pi G}{c^4}a(\mu + p)v = 0, \quad (48)$$

$$\dot{\kappa} + 2H\kappa + \left(3\dot{H} + c^2\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\right)\alpha = \frac{4\pi G}{c^2}(\delta\mu + 3\delta p), \quad (49)$$

$$\varphi + \alpha - \frac{1}{c}(\dot{\chi} + H\chi) = 0, \quad (50)$$

$$\delta\dot{\mu} + 3H(\delta\mu + \delta p) = (\mu + p)\left(\kappa - 3H\alpha + \frac{\Delta}{a}v\right) \quad (51)$$

$$\frac{1}{a^4}[a^4(\mu + p)v]^\cdot = \frac{c^2}{a}[\delta p + (\mu + p)\alpha]. \quad (52)$$

The equation of motion gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\ddot{\phi} + 3H\delta\dot{\phi} - c^2\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\delta\phi + \frac{m^2c^4}{\hbar^2}\delta\phi \\ = \dot{\phi}(\kappa + \dot{\alpha}) + \left(2\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi}\right)\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Using Eqs. (42) and (45), this follows from Eq. (51), and Eq. (52) is identically satisfied.

The above set of perturbation equations is presented without imposing the temporal gauge (hypersurface or slicing) condition, and all variables used are spatially gauge invariant. We have the following temporal gauge conditions: the comoving gauge (CG, $v \equiv 0$), the zero-shear gauge (ZSG, $\chi \equiv 0$), the uniform-curvature gauge (UCG, $\varphi \equiv 0$), the uniform-expansion gauge (UEG, $\kappa \equiv 0$), the uniform-density gauge (UDG, $\delta\mu \equiv 0$), the uniform-field gauge (UFG, $\delta\phi \equiv 0$), and the synchronous gauge (SG, $\alpha \equiv 0$). These include most of the gauges used in the literature [8, 36]. Except for the SG, all the other gauge conditions completely fix the gauge degree of freedom, and each variable in these gauge conditions has a unique gauge-invariant combination of variables. In a single component fluid supported by the scalar field, the UFG coincides with the CG.

B. Axion perturbation

We take an *ansatz* [10]

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(t) + \delta\phi(\mathbf{x}, t) = a^{-3/2}\phi_{+0}[1 + \Phi_+(\mathbf{x}, t)]\sin(mc^2t/\hbar) \\ + a^{-3/2}\phi_{-0}[1 + \Phi_-(\mathbf{x}, t)]\cos(mc^2t/\hbar). \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

This is the same as the Klein transformation in Eq. (37). We will strictly consider *only* the leading order in

$$\frac{\hbar H}{mc^2} = \frac{\lambda_c}{\lambda_H} = 2.13 \times 10^{-11} \frac{h_{100}}{m_{22}} \frac{H}{H_0}, \quad (55)$$

with $\lambda_c \equiv \lambda_c/(2\pi)$ and $\lambda_c \equiv h/(mc)$ the Compton wavelength and $\lambda_H \equiv c/H$ the Hubble horizon scale,

respectively; we set $H \equiv 100h_{100}\text{km/secMpc}$, $m_{22} \equiv mc^2/(10^{-22}\text{eV})$ and the index 0 indicates the present epoch. By taking the time-average over the oscillation, to leading order in $\hbar H/(mc^2)$, the background fluid quantities in Equation (45) give

$$\mu = \frac{m^2c^2}{2\hbar^2a^3}(\phi_{+0}^2 + \phi_{-0}^2), \quad p = 0. \quad (56)$$

Thus, to the background order the rapidly oscillating massive scalar field behaves as a pressureless fluid.

To the perturbed order, Equation (42) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta\mu}{\mu} = \frac{2}{\phi_{+0}^2 + \phi_{-0}^2}(\phi_{+0}^2\Phi_+ + \phi_{-0}^2\Phi_-) - \alpha, \quad \frac{\delta p}{\mu} = -\alpha, \\ v = \frac{\hbar}{am} \frac{\phi_{+0}\phi_{-0}}{\phi_{+0}^2 + \phi_{-0}^2}(\Phi_- - \Phi_+). \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Our task in the following is to derive density perturbation equations in various gauge conditions.

Using the axion fluid quantities in Eqs. (56) and (57), Eqs. (46)-(52) become

$$\kappa = 3H\alpha - 3\dot{\phi} - c\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\chi, \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{4\pi G}{c^2}\delta\mu + H\kappa + c^2\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\varphi = 0, \quad (59)$$

$$\kappa + c\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\chi = \frac{12\pi G}{c^4}a\mu v, \quad (60)$$

$$\dot{\kappa} + 2H\kappa = \frac{4\pi G}{c^2}\delta\mu - c^2\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\alpha, \quad (61)$$

$$\varphi + \alpha = \frac{1}{c}(\dot{\chi} + H\chi), \quad (62)$$

$$\dot{\delta} = \kappa + \frac{\Delta}{a}v, \quad (63)$$

$$\frac{1}{a}(av)^\cdot = 0. \quad (64)$$

We may set $\mu \equiv \rho c^2$. As we remarked below Eq. (12), ρ in this section *differs* from ρ in the previous section. Here, ρ is the relativistic density defined in the energy-momentum tensor, whereas ρ in the previous section is defined in Eq. (5) and identified with the density in the non-relativistic limit, thus it is the rest-mass density.

For $\kappa \neq 0$, thus *excluding* the UEG, from Eqs. (61), (63) and (64) we have

$$\ddot{\delta} + 2H\dot{\delta} - 4\pi G\rho\delta = -c^2\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\alpha. \quad (65)$$

The UEG will be treated separately. In the SG, the right-hand-side of Eq. (65) vanishes, thus *missing* the quantum stress term. Now, the remaining task is to express α in terms of δ in other gauge conditions. This is provided by the equation of motion.

The leading m^2 order terms in Eq. (53) cancel, and to the next leading m -order, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{H} \frac{\phi_{-0}}{\phi_{+0}} \dot{\Phi}_- + \frac{\hbar\Delta}{mHa^2} \Phi_+ = \frac{\phi_{-0}}{\phi_{+0}} \frac{\kappa}{H} + 2 \frac{mc^2}{\hbar H} \alpha, \\ \frac{2}{H} \frac{\phi_{+0}}{\phi_{-0}} \dot{\Phi}_+ - \frac{\hbar\Delta}{mHa^2} \Phi_- = \frac{\phi_{+0}}{\phi_{-0}} \frac{\kappa}{H} - 2 \frac{mc^2}{\hbar H} \alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

where we kept $\frac{\hbar\Delta}{mHa^2}$ -order terms. Equation (57) gives

$$\Phi_- = \Phi_+ + \frac{\phi_{+0}^2 + \phi_{-0}^2}{\phi_{+0}\phi_{-0}} \frac{m}{\hbar} av, \quad \dot{\Phi}_- = \dot{\Phi}_+, \quad (67)$$

where we used Eq. (64) in the third relation. Removing κ in Eq. (66) and using Eq. (57) and (67), we can derive

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\hbar^2\Delta}{4m^2c^2a^2}} \frac{\hbar^2\Delta}{4m^2c^2a^2} \delta, \quad (68)$$

still without imposing the gauge condition; thus it is valid even for the UEG.

Here, we check the consistency of the rest of the equations which we *omitted* the our previous work [12]. Using Eq. (67), Eq. (66) gives

$$\kappa + \frac{\Delta}{a}v = 2\dot{\Phi}_+ = \dot{\delta}, \quad (69)$$

where we used Eq. (63) in the second step. On the other hand, from Eq. (67), we have $\dot{\delta} = 2\dot{\Phi}_+ - \dot{\alpha}$. To have these two relations consistent, we need

$$\frac{\lambda_c^2}{\lambda^2} = \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2c^2} \frac{k^2}{a^2} \ll 1, \quad (70)$$

where $\lambda = 2\pi/k_p \equiv 2\pi a/k$ is the physical scale of the perturbation, respectively; k is the comoving wavenumber with $\Delta \equiv -k^2$. We can show this condition is met in the CG, the UCG and the ZSG. The rest of the equations determine the remaining metric variables and we can show the consistency.

Therefore, our analysis is valid for $\lambda^2 \gg \lambda_c^2$, and we have

$$\alpha = \frac{\hbar^2\Delta}{4m^2c^2a^2} \delta. \quad (71)$$

As we have

$$\frac{\lambda_c}{\lambda} = \frac{\hbar k_p}{mc} = 4.0 \times 10^{-7} \frac{1}{m_{22}} \frac{1\text{Mpc}}{\lambda_0} \frac{a_0}{a}, \quad (72)$$

the Compton wavelength is indeed negligible compared with the cosmologically interesting scales in the proposed fuzzy dark matter scenarios. This estimation reveals that for $m \sim 10^{-22}\text{eV}$, our analysis is *not* valid for scales smaller than $\lambda_c \sim .4\text{pc}$, and $\lambda_c \sim 12\text{cm}$ for a QCD axion with $m \sim 10^{-5}\text{eV}$; of course, under the condition of linear perturbation theory.

In Eq. (71), the SG does not imply $\delta = 0$ as we have $\alpha \sim (\lambda_c/\lambda)^2\delta$. We do not consider the UDG, setting $\delta \equiv 0$ as the gauge condition, as we are interested in the equation for δ .

Therefore, except for the SG where $\alpha = 0$, using Eq. (71), Eq. (65) finally gives

$$\ddot{\delta} + 2H\dot{\delta} - 4\pi G\rho\delta = -\frac{\hbar^2\Delta^2}{4m^2a^4}\delta. \quad (73)$$

This is valid for the CG, the ZSG, and the UCG, and *coincides* with the non-relativistic one in Eq. (21).

By setting the gravity term (the third term in the left-hand-side) equal to the quantum stress we have the Jeans scale as

$$\lambda_J = \frac{2\pi a}{k_J} = 55.7\text{kpc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{h_{100}\sqrt{\Omega_{m0}m_{22}}}} \left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^{3/4} \quad (74)$$

where Ω_m is the density parameter of the matter component.

The UEG sets $\kappa \equiv 0$. Equations (63) and (64) give $(a^2\dot{\delta})' = 0$ which already looks strange. Equation (61) gives

$$4\pi G\rho\delta = c^2 \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \alpha. \quad (75)$$

This is *inconsistent* with the α - δ relation in Eq. (68). Thus, we conclude that the UEG encounters an inconsistency in handling the coherently oscillating massive scalar field.

C. Zero-pressure fluid perturbation

Now, we compare our density perturbation equations in various gauge conditions with the ones known in the zero-pressure fluid. Besides the absence of the quantum stress term, the density perturbation equation in general differs from the simple one in Eq. (73). Only for the CG and the SG we have Eq. (73) without the quantum stress. In the case of the ZSG, the UCG and the UEG, only in the sub-horizon scale with $\frac{\Delta}{a^2H^2} \gg 1$ the equations coincide [37].

In the CG, from Eqs. (49), (51) and (52), we can derive

$$\ddot{\delta}_v + 2H\dot{\delta}_v - 4\pi G\rho\delta_v = \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \frac{\delta p_v}{\rho}, \quad (76)$$

where $\delta_v \equiv \delta + 3Hav/c^2$ is a unique gauge-invariant combination which is the same as δ in the comoving gauge setting $v \equiv 0$. Similarly, we have [see Section VI.B. in [31]]

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\alpha &\equiv \delta + 3H \int^t \alpha dt, & \delta_\chi &\equiv \delta + 3H\chi/c, \\ \delta_\varphi &\equiv \delta + 3\varphi, & \delta_\kappa &\equiv \delta - \frac{3H}{3\dot{H} + c^2\frac{\Delta}{a^2}} \kappa. \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

One exception is the SG where for δ_α , the lower-bound of integration gives remnant gauge mode.

In the other gauge cases, we set $\delta p \equiv 0$. In the SG, from Eqs. (49), (51) and (52), we can derive

$$\ddot{\delta}_\alpha + 2H\dot{\delta}_\alpha - 4\pi G\rho\delta_\alpha = 0. \quad (78)$$

The remnant gauge mode for δ_α happens to behave the same as the decaying solution [38]. In the ZSG, from

Eqs. (46)-(52), we can derive

$$\ddot{\delta}_\chi + 2H\dot{\delta}_\chi - 8\pi G\rho\delta_\chi + \frac{3H^2 + 6\dot{H} + \frac{c^2\Delta}{a^2}}{3H^2 - \left(1 + \frac{c^2\Delta}{12\pi G\rho a^2}\right)\frac{c^2\Delta}{a^2}} \times \left[H\dot{\delta}_\chi + \left(1 + \frac{c^2\Delta}{12\pi G\rho a^2}\right)4\pi G\rho\delta_\chi \right] = 0. \quad (79)$$

In the UCG, from Eqs. (46)-(52), we can derive

$$\ddot{\delta}_\varphi + \frac{3 - 2\frac{c^2\Delta}{12\pi G\rho a^2}}{1 - \frac{c^2\Delta}{12\pi G\rho a^2}}H\dot{\delta}_\varphi + \frac{\frac{c^2\Delta}{12\pi G\rho a^2}}{1 - \frac{c^2\Delta}{12\pi G\rho a^2}}4\pi G\rho\delta_\varphi = 0. \quad (80)$$

In the UEG, from Eqs. (46)-(52), we can derive

$$\ddot{\delta}_\kappa + 2H\dot{\delta}_\kappa - \frac{1}{a^2} \left(\frac{a^2 H}{1 - \frac{c^2\Delta}{12\pi G\rho a^2}} \delta_\kappa \right) + \frac{\frac{c^2\Delta}{12\pi G\rho a^2}}{1 - \frac{c^2\Delta}{12\pi G\rho a^2}}4\pi G\rho\delta_\kappa = 0. \quad (81)$$

This comparison was made in a more general context of including the background curvature in [37]. Our equations include the cosmological constant.

Notice that *only* in the sub-horizon limit with $\frac{c^2\Delta}{a^2 H^2} \gg 1$, Eqs. (79)-(81) coincide with Eq. (76).

IV. DISCUSSION

We presented relativistic derivations of cosmological linear density perturbation equations in different gauges for a coherently oscillating massive scalar field, Section III. We used the Klein-Gordon equation combined with the Einstein equation in a flat cosmological background. The results depend on the gauge choice: the CG, the ZSG, and the UCG give *the same* equation known in the non-relativistic situation. The SG *fails* to recover the quantum stress term, and the UEG leads to *inconsistency*. In the absence of the quantum stress term, the equations coincide with the zero-pressure fluid situation *only* in the CG and the SG; the equations in the ZSG,

the UCG, and the UEG are more involved, see Eqs. (79), (80), and (81).

For comparison, we reviewed the non-relativistic derivation in the context of Schrödinger equation combined with Poisson equation, Section II. Here, the derivation is based on the Madelung transformation of Schrödinger equation which leads to the hydrodynamic equations with a characteristic quantum stress term appearing in Euler equation, see Eq. (9), or Eqs. (11) and (12).

In our way to derive the non-relativistic Schrödinger equation combined with Newtonian gravity in Sec. II, we presented the Schrödinger equation valid to 1PN order in the Appendix. Extension to full 1PN equations of the quantum fluid formulation will be derived and studied on a later occasion [19].

In the relativistic perturbation theory, the non-relativistic nature of the axion was proved to the fully nonlinear and exact order in [39]. The proof was made in the CG. In the zero-pressure fluid, the analyses in the other gauges are forbiddingly complicated as Eqs. (79)-(81) represent even to the linear order. However, in the axion case, as the density perturbation equations coincide exactly in the CG, the ZSG, and the UCG as in Eq. (73), similar proof in the ZSG and the UCG might be feasible to the fully nonlinear order. The differences between the axion and the zero-pressure fluid in the gauges other than the CG are notable. *Only* in the CG, the density perturbation equation of the axion coincides with the one of fluid.

Acknowledgments

J.H. wish to thank Jiajun Zhang for useful discussion on simulations. H.N. was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Korean Government (No. 2018R1A2B6002466 and No. 2021R1F1A1045515). J.H. was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation (NRF) of Korea funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT and future Planning (No. 2018R1A6A1A06024970 and NRF-2019R1A2C1003031).

Appendix A: Schrödinger equation to 1PN order

Under the Klein transformation in Eq. (37), with $\phi^3 \rightarrow |\phi|^2\phi$, the Klein-Gordon equation in Eqs. (32) and (36) gives

$$\square\phi - \frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2}\phi - \frac{8\pi\ell_s m}{\hbar^2}|\phi|^2\phi = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{m}}\psi e^{-imc^2 t/\hbar} \left[\square\psi - \frac{2im}{\hbar}cg^{0c}\psi_{,c} + \frac{im}{\hbar}cg^{ab}\Gamma_{ab}^0\psi - \frac{m^2}{\hbar^2}c^2(g^{00} + 1)\psi - 8\pi\ell_s|\psi|^2\psi \right] = 0. \quad (A1)$$

Using a more proper Klein transformation in Eq. (38) for a real field, ignoring the rapidly oscillating terms arising in the ϕ^3 term, we simply have an additional equation with the complex conjugation of the above equation for ψ . This is the relativistic Schrödinger equation written in terms of the wave function ψ . Together with the fluid quantities properly constructed, this equation can be combined with Einstein's equation. This will be pursued later [19].

The following presentation of the 1PN expression of the Schrödinger equation is, in our knowledge, new. But, here our purpose is only to show the proper derivation of the non-relativistic Schrödinger equation combined with Newtonian gravity in Eqs. (1) and (2), or Eqs. (22) and (23) in the cosmological context. For this pedagogic purpose, we present some details involved in the derivation.

In a spatially flat cosmological background, our metric convention to 1PN order is

$$g_{00} = - \left(1 + 2 \frac{\Phi}{c^2} \right), \quad g_{0i} = -a \frac{P_i}{c^3}, \quad g_{ij} = a^2 \left(1 - 2 \frac{\Psi}{c^2} \right) \delta_{ij}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where the spatial index of P_i is raised and lowered using δ_{ij} and its inverse; index $0 = ct$. In order to properly consider the 1PN expansion, we have to include c^{-4} -order in g_{00} , see Eq. (A6); thus, Φ includes c^{-2} order. The inverse metric and connection, valid to 1PN order, are

$$g^{00} = - \left(1 - 2 \frac{\Phi}{c^2} + 4 \frac{\Phi^2}{c^4} \right), \quad g^{0i} = -\frac{1}{a} \frac{P^i}{c^3}, \quad g^{ij} = \frac{1}{a^2} \left(1 + 2 \frac{\Psi}{c^2} \right) \delta^{ij}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$\Gamma_{00}^0 = \frac{\dot{\Phi}}{c^3} - \frac{1}{c^5} \left(2\Phi\dot{\Phi} + \frac{1}{a} P^i \Phi_{,i} \right), \quad \Gamma_{0i}^0 = \frac{\Phi_{,i}}{c^2} - \frac{1}{c^4} (2\Phi\Phi_{,i} + aHP_i),$$

$$\Gamma_{ij}^0 = \frac{1}{c} a^2 H \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{c^3} a^2 \left[\dot{\Psi} + 2H(\Phi + \Psi) \right] \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{c^3} a P_{(i,j)}, \quad \Gamma_{00}^i = \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{\Phi_{,i}}{c^2} + \frac{1}{c^4} [2\Psi\Phi_{,i} - (aP^i)_{,i}],$$

$$\Gamma_{0j}^i = \frac{1}{c} H \delta_j^i - \frac{\dot{\Psi}}{c^3} \delta_j^i + \frac{1}{c^3} \frac{1}{2a} (P_j^i - P^i_{,j}), \quad \Gamma_{jk}^i = -\frac{1}{c^2} (\Psi_{,k} \delta_j^i + \Psi_{,j} \delta_k^i - \Psi^i_{,j} \delta_{jk}). \quad (\text{A4})$$

These are the same as Eqs. (1)-(5) in [17]. Using these, to 1PN order, Eq. (A1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2im}{\hbar} \left(\dot{\psi} + \frac{3}{2} H \psi \right) + \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \psi - \frac{2m^2}{\hbar^2} \Phi \psi - 8\pi\ell_s |\psi|^2 \psi + \frac{1}{c^2} \left[-\ddot{\psi} - 3H\dot{\psi} + 2\Psi \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \psi + \frac{1}{a^2} (\Phi - \Psi)^i \psi_{,i} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{2im}{\hbar} \left(-2\Phi\dot{\psi} + \frac{1}{a} P^i \psi_{,i} \right) + \frac{im}{\hbar} \left(-\dot{\Phi} - 3\dot{\Psi} - 6H\Phi + \frac{1}{a} P^i_{,i} \right) \psi + \frac{4m^2}{\hbar^2} \Phi^2 \psi \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A5})$$

To the 0PN order ($c \rightarrow \infty$ limit) we recover Eq. (22), and Eq. (1) in a static background. The first Φ term appearing in this equation still contains 1PN order. Using Chandrasekhar's 1PN notation in [40]

$$\Phi = -U + \frac{1}{c^2} (U^2 - 2\Upsilon), \quad \Psi = -V, \quad (\text{A6})$$

with $V = U$ to 0PN order, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2im}{\hbar} \left(\dot{\psi} + \frac{3}{2} H \psi \right) + \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \psi + \frac{2m^2}{\hbar^2} U \psi - 8\pi\ell_s |\psi|^2 \psi + \frac{1}{c^2} \left[-\ddot{\psi} - 3H\dot{\psi} - 2U \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \psi \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{2im}{\hbar} \left(2U\dot{\psi} + \frac{1}{a} P^i \psi_{,i} \right) + \frac{im}{\hbar} \left(4\dot{U} + 6HU + \frac{1}{a} P^i_{,i} \right) \psi + \frac{2m^2}{\hbar^2} (U^2 + 2\Upsilon) \psi \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

To 1PN order, we still have a freedom to impose the temporal gauge (hypersurface or slicing); for various gauge conditions, see Section 6 of [17]. Together with the fluid quantities properly constructed to 1PN order, this can be combined with Einstein's equation expanded to 1PN order [17].

Now we derive the Poisson equation to 0PN order. To 0PN order, we have

$$g_{00} = - \left(1 + 2 \frac{\Phi}{c^2} \right), \quad g_{0i} = 0, \quad g_{ij} = a^2 \delta_{ij}, \quad (\text{A8})$$

and no gauge condition is needed. Under the Klein transformation in Eq. (38), the energy-momentum tensor in Eqs. (35) and (36) gives

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ab} = & \frac{\hbar^2}{m} \left\{ \psi_{,(a} \psi_{,b)}^* + \frac{imc}{\hbar} \left(\psi_{,(a} \delta_b^0 \psi^* - \psi_{,(a}^* \delta_b^0 \psi) \right) + \frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} |\psi|^2 \delta_a^0 \delta_b^0 \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left[\psi^{;c} \psi_{,c}^* + \frac{imc}{\hbar} \left(\psi^{;0} \psi^* - \psi^{*;0} \psi \right) + \frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} |\psi|^2 (g^{00} + 1) + 4\pi\ell_s |\psi|^4 \right] g_{ab} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A9})$$

where we used $ct_{,a} = \delta_a^0$, and ignored the rapidly oscillating terms. This is exact. The same result can be derived using the original Klein transformation in Eq. (37), this time without need for ignoring the oscillating terms, by an appropriate complex conjugation of the energy-momentum tensor, as

$$T_{ab} = \phi_{, (a} \phi_{, b)}^* - \left(\frac{1}{2} \phi^{;c} \phi_{,c}^* + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} |\phi|^2 + \frac{2\pi \ell_s m}{\hbar^2} |\phi|^4 \right) g_{ab}, \quad (\text{A10})$$

which is the same as Eqs. (35) and (36) for our real scalar field.

To OPN (or $c \rightarrow \infty$) limit, we have

$$T_{ab} = mc^2 |\psi|^2 \delta_a^0 \delta_b^0. \quad (\text{A11})$$

Thus,

$$T_{00} = mc^2 |\psi|^2, \quad T_{0i} = 0, \quad T_{ij} = 0. \quad (\text{A12})$$

Equation (A9) shows that *only* in the non-relativistic limit, we can identify $m|\psi|^2$ as the mass-density. Using

$$R_{00} = \frac{1}{c^2} \left(-3 \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \Phi \right), \quad (\text{A13})$$

the 00-component of Einstein's equation

$$R_b^a = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \left(T_b^a - \frac{1}{2} T \delta_b^a \right) + \Lambda, \quad (\text{A14})$$

gives

$$\frac{\Delta}{a^2} \Phi = 4\pi G m |\psi|^2 + 3 \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \Lambda c^2. \quad (\text{A15})$$

This is Eq. (23); in a static background, with $a \equiv 1$, we recover Eq. (2). To the background order, we have

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3} m |\psi_b|^2 + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3}, \quad (\text{A16})$$

thus, in the static background, we have $4\pi G m |\psi_b|^2 = \Lambda c^2$. Subtracting the background order, we have

$$\frac{\Delta}{a^2} \Phi = 4\pi G m (|\psi|^2 - |\psi_b|^2). \quad (\text{A17})$$

This avoids the so-called Jeans swindle, with Poisson's equation applying *only* for inhomogeneous part: i.e., no gravitational potential for the homogeneous background as Jeans has correctly chosen [41].

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>[1] J.E. Kim, Phys. Rep. 150, 1 (1987).
 [2] D.J.E. Marsh, Phys. Rep. 643, 1 (2016).
 [3] E. Madelung, Z. Phys. 40, 332 (1926).
 [4] P.-H. Chavanis and T. Matos, Eur. Phys. J. Plus, 132, 30 (2017).
 [5] P.A.M. Dirac, Sov. Phys. Usp. 22, 648 (1979).
 [6] W. Hu, R. Barkana, and A. Gruzinov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1158 (2000).
 [7] E.G.M. Ferreira, arXiv: 2005.03254 (2020).
 [8] J.M. Bardeen, in L. Fang and A. Zee, eds., <i>Particle Physics and Cosmology</i>, Gordon and Breach, London (1988).
 [9] Y. Nambu and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D 42, 3918 (1990).</p> | <p>[10] B. Ratra, Phys. Rev. D 44, 35 (1991).
 [11] J. Hwang, Phys. Lett. B 401, 241 (1997).
 [12] J. Hwang and H. Noh, Phys. Lett. B 680, 1 (2009).
 [13] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L.P. Pitaevskii, S. Stringari, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71, 463 (1999).
 [14] L. Pitaevskii, S. Stringari, <i>Bose-Einstein condensation and superfluidity</i> (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003).
 [15] E.P. Gross, Nuovo Cimento 20 454 (1961).
 [16] L.P. Pitaevskii, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 40, 646 (1961); Sov. Phys. JETP 13 451 (1961).
 [17] J. Hwang, H. Noh, and D. Puetzfeld, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 03, 010 (2008).
 [18] T. Takabayasi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 8, 143 (1952).</p> |
|---|--|

- [19] J. Hwang and H. Noh, Relativistic quantum hydrodynamics, in preparation (2021).
- [20] T.C. Wallstrom, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 1613 (1994).
- [21] L. Onsager, Nuovo Cimento, Suppl. **6**, 279 (1949).
- [22] R.P. Feynman, in *Progress in Low Temperature Physics*, ed. C.J. Gorter vol. 1, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1955) p. 17.
- [23] E.M. Lifshitz and L.P. Pitaevskii, *Statistical Physics, Part 2 Theory of the Condensed State* (Butterworth-Heinemann Ltd, Oxford, 1980)
- [24] C.J. Pethick and H. Smith, *Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2001).
- [25] M. Tsubota, M. Kobayashi, and H. Takeuchi, Phys. Rep. **522**, 191 (2013).
- [26] C.F. Barenghi, N.G. Parker, *A Primer on Quantum Fluids*, arXiv:1605.09580 (2016)
- [27] P.F. Hopkins, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **489**, 2367 (2019).
- [28] J.C. Niemeyer, Progress Part. Nuclear Phys. **113**, 103787 (2020).
- [29] J. Zhang, H. Liu, M-C. Chi, Frontiers in Astron. Space Sci. **5**, 1 (2019)
- [30] X. Li, L. Hui, G.L. Bryan, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 063509 (2019).
- [31] H. Noh and J. Hwang, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 104011 (2004).
- [32] J. Hwang and H. Noh, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **367**, 1515 (2006).
- [33] J. Hwang and H. Noh, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **433**, 3472 (2013).
- [34] O. Klein, Z. Phys. **41**, 407 (1927).
- [35] G.F.R. Ellis, *General relativity and cosmology, Proceedings of the international summer school of physics Enrico Fermi course 47*, edited by R.K. Sachs (Academic Press, New York, 1971); G.F.R. Ellis,, *Cargese Lectures in Physics*, edited by E. Schatzmann (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1973)
- [36] J.M. Bardeen, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 1882 (1980).
- [37] J. Hwang and H. Noh, Gen. Rel. Grav. **31**, 1131 (1999).
- [38] E.M. Lifshitz, J. Phys. (USSR) **10**, 116 (1946); English transl. reprinted in Gen. Rel. Grav. **49**, 18 (2017).
- [39] J. Hwang, H. Noh, and C-G. Park, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **12**, 016 (2015); Astroph. J. **846**, 1 (2017).
- [40] S. Chandrasekhar, Astroph. J. **142**, 1488 (1965).
- [41] J.H. Jeans, Astroph. J. Philosophical Transactions of Roy. Soc. A **199**, 1 (1902).