

A FEW REMARKS ON NON-BAIRE SETS IN CATEGORY BASES

Sanjib Basu, Atasi Deb Ray, and Abhit Chandra Pramanik

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we first establish some equivalent formulations of non-Baire sets in category bases. We then introduce the notion of an uniform non-Baire family of sets and show that there is an uniform non-Baire family inducing a decomposition of the whole space. This phenomenon is then interpreted in the context of the famous Banach-Mazur game.

PRELIMINARIES AND RESULTS

The idea of category base is a generalization of both measure and topology and its main objective is to present measure and Baire category(topology) and also some other aspects of point set classification within a common framework. It was introduced by J.C.Morgan II in the mid seventies of the last century and has developed since then through a series of papers [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], etc. To start with, we recall some basic definitions and theorems which may be found in the above references and also in the monograph [6].

DEFINITION 1. A category base is a pair (X, \mathcal{C}) where X is a non-empty set and \mathcal{C} is a family of subsets of X , called regions satisfying the following set of axioms:

- (1) Every point of X belongs to some region; i.e., $X = \bigcup \mathcal{C}$.
- (2) Let A be a region and \mathcal{D} be a non-empty family of disjoint regions having cardinality less than the cardinality of \mathcal{C} .
 - i) If $A \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{D})$ contains a region, then there is a region $D \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $A \cap D$ contains a region.
 - ii) If $A \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{D})$ contains no region, then there is a region $B \subseteq A$ that is disjoint from every region in \mathcal{D} .

Several examples of category bases can be found in [6].

DEFINITION 2. In a category base (X, \mathcal{C}) , a set is called singular if every region contains a subregion which is disjoint from the set. Any

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03E20, 28A05, 54E52, 91A44.

Key words and phrases. Category base; non-Baire sets; (\star) -property; point-meager base; Baire base; completely non-Baire; test region; uniform non-Baire family; Banach-Mazur game.

The third author thanks the CSIR, New Delhi – 110001, India, for financial support.

set which can be expressed as countable union of singular sets is called meager. Otherwise, it is called abundant.

If countable sets are meager, then the category base is point-meager base and a category base whose regions are abundant sets is a Baire base.

DEFINITION 3. In a category base (X, \mathcal{C}) , a set S is called Baire if in every region, there is a subregion in which either S or its complement $X-S$ is meager.

THEOREM 4. *The intersection of two regions either contains a region or is a singular sets.*

THEOREM 5. *(The Fundamental Theorem) Every abundant set in a category base is abundant everywhere in some region. This means that for any abundant set A , there exists a region C in every subregion D of which A is abundant.*

In [6], one can find several examples corresponding to Definition 2 and Definition 3.

From Definition 3, it follows that a set is non-Baire if there is a region (which is not necessarily unique) in every subregion of which both the set and its complement are abundant. In this paper, we identify this special region as a test region corresponding to the non-Baire set.

Below we establish two alternative characterizations for non-Baire sets in a category base. We define

DEFINITION 6. A set A in a category base (X, \mathcal{C}) as having the (\star) -property if there exists a region C such that for every subregion D of C and every set E which essentially contains D , $A \cap E$ is non-Baire. (A set E contains D essentially means that $D-E$ is meager [6])

THEOREM 7. *In any category base, a set is non-Baire if and only if it satisfies the (\star) -property.*

PROOF. Suppose A is a non-Baire set in a category base (X, \mathcal{C}) and C be a test region for A . Let D be any subregion of C . It suffices to show that D can be considered as a test region for $A \cap P$ where P is a subset of X which essentially contains D . To prove our contention, we choose any subregion E of D and note that in E both $A \cap P$ and its complement are abundant. This is so because in E both $E \cap A \cap P$ which can be expressed as $E \cap A - A \cap (E - P)$ and its complement which is $(E - A) \cup (A \cap (E - P))$ are abundant and E being a subregion of D is also a subregion of C .

Conversely, if A is a Baire set then every region C contains a subregion D in which either A or its complement is meager. If $D \cap A$ is meager, choose $D - A$ as our set E which essentially contains D although $A \cap E = \emptyset$ is a Baire set. If $D - A$ is meager, choose $D \cap A$ as our set E which essentially contains D but $A \cap E = D - A$ is meager and hence Baire.

This proves the theorem. \square

DEFINITION 8. In a category base (X, \mathcal{C}) , a set A is called completely non-Baire in a region D if for every Baire set B such that $B \cap D$ is abundant, both $A \cap B$ and $(D - A) \cap B$ are abundant.

The above definition is somewhat analogous to the notion of a completely I-nonmeasurable set, given in [8].

THEOREM 9. *In any Baire base, a set is non-Baire if and only if it is completely non-Baire in some region.*

PROOF. Let A be a non-Baire set in a Baire base (X, \mathcal{C}) . Then according to the definition, there is a region D in \mathcal{C} in every subregion of which both A and its complement $(X - A)$ are abundant. Let B be any Baire set such that $B \cap D$ is abundant. Since by Theorem 4, every region is here a Baire set, we may assume that $B \subseteq D$. From the Fundamental theorem, there is a subregion C of D which is essentially contained in B . Consequently, both $B \cap A$ and $B \cap (D - A)$ are abundant.

Conversely, suppose A is completely non-Baire in a region D . Since by hypothesis every region is an abundant Baire set, both A and its complement are abundant in every subregion of D . Hence A is non-Baire. \square

Next we introduce

DEFINITION 10. A family \mathcal{F} of non-Baire sets is a uniform non-Baire family in a category base (X, \mathcal{C}) if there is a region in \mathcal{C} which can act as a common test region for all members of \mathcal{F} .

With reference to this definition, we prove the following decomposition theorem.

THEOREM 11. *If (X, \mathcal{C}) is a point-meager, Baire base where \mathcal{C} satisfies CCC (countable chain condition) and every region has cardinality \aleph_1 , there exists an uniform non-Baire family which induces a decomposition of X .*

PROOF. Let S be a non-Baire set in (X, \mathcal{C}) and $T = X - S$. The existence of S is ensured by Theorem 6, Ch 2, Sec II, [6]. Then there is a region D in every subregion of which both S and T are abundant. Now by Grzegorek's unification of Sierpinski's theorems [1], (see also

Theorem 16, Ch 2, Sec II, [6]) both S and T can be decomposed into families $\{S_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \Omega}$ and $\{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \Omega}$ (Ω is the first ordinal of cardinality \aleph_1) of mutually disjoint sets such that each S_α (resp. T_α) is abundant in every region in which S (resp. T) is abundant. Since $X - S \subseteq X - S_\alpha$ (resp. $X - T \subseteq X - T_\alpha$), so every S_α and its complement (resp. T_α and its complement) are abundant in every subregion of D proving that S_α (resp. T_α) are both non-Baire sets for all $\alpha < \Omega$ and D can act as a common test region for the entire family $\{S_\alpha, T_\alpha : \alpha < \Omega\}$. Moreover, this family constitutes a partition of X . Thus we find the existence of an uniform non-Baire family inducing a decomposition of X . \square

A generalization of the classical Banach-Mazur game [7] was presented in [3] by Morgan through the introduction of the notion of \mathcal{M} -family of sets, which was defined in the following manner :

DEFINITION 12. A family \mathcal{C} of subsets of a non-empty set X is called an \mathcal{M} -family if it satisfies the following set of axioms :

- (1) The intersection of any descending sequence of \mathcal{C} -sets is non-empty.
- (2) Suppose x is a point in X , then
 - i) there is a \mathcal{C} -set containing x , i.e., $X = \bigcup \mathcal{C}$.
 - ii) for each \mathcal{C} -set A , there is a \mathcal{C} -set $B \subseteq A$ such that $x \notin B$.
- (3) Let A be a \mathcal{C} -set and \mathcal{D} be a nonempty family of disjoint \mathcal{C} -sets having cardinality less than the cardinality of \mathcal{C} .
 - i) If $A \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{D})$ contains a \mathcal{C} -set, then there is a \mathcal{D} -set D such that $A \cap D$ contains a \mathcal{C} -set.
 - ii) If $A \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{D})$ contains no \mathcal{C} -set, then there is a \mathcal{C} -set $B \subseteq A$ which is disjoint from every set in \mathcal{D} .

Evidently, any \mathcal{M} -family is a special case of a category base, so the definitions of meager, abundant and Baire sets are as usual. Moreover, any \mathcal{M} -family is point-meager and every member of it is an abundant set which follows directly from the axioms (1) and (2) in the above Definition.

If \mathcal{C} is a non-empty family of subsets of a non-empty set X and S is a subset of X , then as described in [3], the generalized Banach-Mazur game $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{C})$ is played as follows : Two players I and II, alternatively choose sets from \mathcal{C} to define a descending sequence of sets, player I selecting the sets in the sequence with odd indices and player II selecting the sets with even indices. If the intersection of the constructed sequence has atleast one point (which obviously exists if \mathcal{C} is an \mathcal{M} -family) in S , then player I wins; otherwise player II wins. In the game $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{C})$, let us denote player I, player II by using symbols $\langle S \rangle$, $\langle X - S \rangle$ respectively.

Now suppose $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $S \cap C \neq \emptyset \neq (X - S) \cap C$. Then we can describe the game $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{C}|_C)$ in a manner similar as above where $\mathcal{C}|_C = \{E \in \mathcal{C} : E \subseteq C\}$. As a consequence of the Theorem 2 [3], Theorem 11 may be interpreted in terms of the generalized Banach-Mazur game in the following manner :

THEOREM 13. *Let \mathcal{C} be an \mathcal{M} -family satisfying CCC (countable chain condition) and every \mathcal{C} -set has cardinality \aleph_1 . Then there exist a \mathcal{C} -set D and a partition $X = \bigcup_{\alpha < \Omega} X_\alpha$ such that under the condition that there exists a sequence $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^\infty (h_n : \mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C})$ satisfying*

- (1) *for every \mathcal{C} -set A , $h_n(A) \subseteq A$;*
- (2) *for every sequence $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of \mathcal{C} -sets, if $\{h_n(A)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is descending, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty h_n(A)$ contains only one point;*

no player $\langle X_\alpha \rangle$ ($\alpha < \Omega$) can have a winning strategy in the game $\Gamma(X_\alpha, \mathcal{C}|_D)$.

References

- [1] E.Grzegorek, *On a paper of Karel Prikry concerning Ulam's problem on families of measures*, Colloq. Math. 42,1979,197-208.
- [2] J.C.MorganII, *Baire category from an abstract viewpoint*, Fund. Math. 94(1976).
- [3], *Infinite games and singular sets*, Colloq. Math. 29,1974,7-17.
- [4], *On zero-one law*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, Vol 62, No.2, Feb1977, 353-358.
- [5], *On product bases*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 99, No.1 (1982), 105-126.
- [6], *Point set theory*, Marcel Dekker, Inc, 1990.
- [7] J.C.Oxtoby, *Measure and Category*, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [8] R.Ralowski & S.Zeberski, *Completely nonmeasurable unions*, Cent. Eur. J. Math, 8(4), 2010, 683-687.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BETHUNE COLLEGE, 181 BIDHAN SARANI
Email address: sanjibbasu08@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA,
35, BALLYGUNGE CIRCULAR ROAD, KOLKATA 700019, WEST BENGAL,
INDIA

Email address: debrayatasi@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA,
35, BALLYGUNGE CIRCULAR ROAD, KOLKATA 700019, WEST BENGAL,
INDIA

Email address: abhit.pramanik@gmail.com