arXiv:2109.05647v3 [math.AP] 11 Oct 2021

FROM FRACTIONAL LANE–EMDEN–SERRIN EQUATION—EXISTENCE, MULTIPLICITY AND LOCAL BEHAVIORS VIA CLASSICAL ODE—TO FRACTIONAL YAMABE METRICS WITH SINGULARITY OF "MAXIMAL" DIMENSION

HARDY CHAN AND AZAHARA DELATORRE

Abstract. We construct solutions for the fractional Yamabe problem in the n-dimensional Euclidean space which are singular along a smooth submanifold of dimension $(n-2s)/2$ and lead to complete metrics. Here $s \in (0,1)$ is the fractional exponent and we restrict to $s = 1/2$ for parity reasons. We perform a direct integral asymptotic analysis with global geometric weights, crucially amalgamating the stability and semilinearity of the fractional Yamabe equation, generalizing our previous construction for the local case. This result completes the missing case in a previous work we did in collaboration with Ao, Fontelos, González and Wei, where complete singular metrics of positive dimensions less than $(n-2s)/2$ were constructed. Moreover the dimension $(n-2s)/2$ is maximal for the conformal factor to be a distributional solution. Even so, we conjecture that there exist complete metrics with prescribed singularities of strictly higher dimensions.

We also provide a rather comprehensive study of the model problem—the fractional Lane–Emden equation posed in the punctured ball with Serrin's critical exponent and homogeneous Dirichlet exterior condition, for all $s \in (0,1)$. First, we construct the profile, show that it is radially symmetric, and build multiple solutions in a bounded domain with any prescribed closed singular set. Secondly, we prove a Liouville-type result, asserting the non-existence of exterior solutions of the model equation without any assumption on its asymptotic behavior. This shows the necessity of imposing the Dirichlet condition. Finally, we develop new methods to prove that the singular behavior of the profile is unique. In sharp contrast to known techniques in the literature, our method is based on the striking connection between the non-local equation and its associated first order ODE. A local continuation argument is then utilized. This gives an alternative proof to the recent work of Wei and Wu based on adapting the classical proof in the extension, and it seems to be the first time that a genuinely local ODE in one dimension is used for the study of a non-local elliptic problem.

1. Introduction

This work is devoted, as the ultimate goal, to the construction of solutions for the so-called singular fractional Yamabe problem in conformal geometry,

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^s v = v^{\frac{n+2s}{n-2s}} \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma^k,$$

which is singular along a smooth submanifold Σ of dimension $k = \frac{n-2s}{2}$. The singular behavior near Σ is governed by the fractional Lane–Emden equation with Serrin-critical exponent[1] (or Lane–Emden–Serrin equation for short) in codimension k (i.e. in dimension $N = n - k = \frac{n+2s}{2}$),

$$(-\Delta)^s u = u^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} \qquad \text{in } B_1 \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N,$$

on which we perform a comprehensive study on the qualitative properties of its solutions. Our results are presented in a wide point of view. They are listed in Section 3.

We hope that the present work serves as a bridge between the classical singular Yamabe problem and a new phenomenon peculiar to nonlocality. On the one hand, with Theorem 3.4, we complete the analogy ($k \le \frac{n-2s}{2}$) with the classical case. On the other hand, we aim to open up a new research direction by posing, in Conjecture 1.3, the precise question of constructing complete Yamabe metrics with *very high* dimensional singularities ($k > \frac{n-2s}{2}$).

[1] In 1965, J. Serrin classified isolated singularities of quasilinear equations [139]. In the setting of Lane–Emden equation, his result corresponds to that non-removable singularities behaves like the fundamental solution for $p \in (1, \frac{N}{N-2})$, as proved by P.-L. Lions [109].

1.1. **Local singular behavior for classical Lane–Emden equations.** The Lane–Emden equation

$$-\Delta u = u^p \qquad \text{in } B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$$

has been studied for long time [41][2] as a model for stellar structure in astrophysics. Central to the mathematical understanding of solutions is the possible formation of isolated singularities. For distributional solutions, they start to exist at the Serrin-critical exponent $p = \frac{N}{N-2}$ and are well-understood up to and including the Sobolev-critical exponent $p = \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ due to the combined work of Lions, Gidas, Spruck, Caffarelli and Aviles [16, 32, 82, 109] in the 1980's, namely[3]

$$u(x) \asymp \begin{cases} |x|^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} & \text{for } p \in (\frac{N}{N-2}, \frac{N+2}{N-2}], \\ |x|^{-(N-2)}(\log \frac{1}{|x|})^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} & \text{for } p = \frac{N}{N-2}, \end{cases}$$

as $x \to 0$. (See also [18] where a Hardy potential is present, and [17, 63] where isolated boundary singularities are investigated.) In order to motivate the forthcoming discussions, we observe that the Emden–Fowler transformation (restricted to the radial case for simplicity)

$$u(r) = r^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} v(t), \qquad t = -\log r \to +\infty,$$

turns the Lane–Emden equation into an autonomous ODE

$$-v_{tt} - a_p v_t + b_p v = v^p,$$

with constant coefficients

$$a_p = -\left(N - 2 - \frac{4}{p-1}\right) \geq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad p \leq \frac{N+2}{N-2},$$

$$b_p = \frac{2}{p-1}\left(N - 2 - \frac{2}{p-1}\right) \geq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad p \geq \frac{N}{N-2},$$

so that detailed structures of the solution can be revealed via a phase-plane analysis. The criticality of the Serrin exponent is seen from the fact $b_{\frac{N}{N-2}} = 0$. Then, asymptotically v is no longer the constant $b_p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$, but determined by the ODE

$$-a_{\frac{N}{N-2}} v_t = v^{\frac{N}{N-2}}. \tag{1.1}$$

For more details, we refer to the concise book [126].

1.2. **Local singular behavior for fractional Lane–Emden equations.** We now focus on the nonlocal counterpart

$$(-\Delta)^s u = u^p \qquad \text{in } B_1 \setminus \{0\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Here $s \in (0,1)$ and the fractional Laplacian is the integro-differential operator defined by the principal value integral

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = C_{N,s}\text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dy, \qquad C_{N,s} = \frac{2^{2s}\Gamma(\frac{N+2s}{2})}{\Gamma(2-s)\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}} s(1-s).$$

In this setting, the fractional Emden–Fowler transformation (i.e. $u(r) = r^{-\frac{2s}{p-1}} v(-\log r)$) was first used by DelaTorre, Del Pino, González and Wei [59] for the Sobolev-critical exponent. Subsequently, the theory has been developed conformal-geometrically for Sobolev-subcritical and Serrin-supercritical exponents (i.e. $p \in (\frac{N}{N-2s}, \frac{N+2s}{N-2s})$) by Ao, Chan, DelaTorre, Fontelos, González, and Wei [11]. Indeed, the transformed equation can be written (for each spherical mode m, which includes the radial case when $m = 0$) as

$$\text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{K}_m(t-\bar{t})[v(t) - v(\bar{t})]\, d\bar{t} + b_{s,p} v(t) = v(t)^p, \tag{1.3}$$

[2]The original version of the referenced book was published even earlier, in 1939.

[3]Here the singularity is placed at the origin, by the translation invariance of the equation.

where the kernel $\tilde{K}_m$ has the singularity like the one-dimensional fractional Laplacian and decays exponentially at infinity. The left hand side is a conjugation of (conformal to) the fractional Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Analogous to the local case $s = 1$, we have that

$$b_{s,p} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } p \geq \frac{N}{N-2s},$$

and equality holds exactly when $p = \frac{N}{N-2s}$ (see Corollary B.2 and Lemma B.3). Local singular behaviors are already known for Serrin-supercritical exponents [34, 149]. In the Serrin-critical case, as one of the main contributions of the present paper, we are able to show (in Section 10) that the asymptotic behavior of v, which solves the integro-differential equation (1.3), is still driven by an ODE

$$-a_{s,\frac{N}{N-2s}} v_t = v^{\frac{N}{N-2s}},$$

which has exactly the form (1.1). This is surprising and it seems to be the first instance where a nonlocal equation is related directly to a scalar first order ODE, unlike in the Caffarelli–Silvestre extension [33] or the infinite coupled second order ODE system introduced in [11, 12]. From this formulation we deduce a complete classification of local behavior of the fractional Lane–Emden–Serrin equation (Theorem 3.8), alongside the recent independent work of Wei and Wu [146] who adapted the classical work [15, 16] to the fractional case using the extension.

The proof of the asymptotic ODE is based on the integral formulation with the exact Green formula. Under the Emden–Fowler transformation, (the indefinite integral of) a radial solution is shown to satisfy an ordinary differential inequality (Lemma 10.4). This already leads to the sharp upper bound (Proposition 10.5), regardless of the removability of the singularity. From the upper bound and the Harnack inequality, one is able to replace the integral kernel by a Heaviside function (up to an additive error), which precisely gives rise to the asymptotic differential equation (10.4). From this point, a *local* continuity argument is then applicable to show that the singular lower bound propagates (Proposition 10.8). Thus near a singularity the error is indeed small, and one readily deduces the exact behavior (Proposition 10.9).

In the non-radial case, the argument in terms of the spherical average is a bit more involved, but the spirit and procedure remain completely the same.

Remark 1.1. The formulation in (1.3) is convenient to study regularity of solutions and obtain maximum principles for our equation. The idea behind is a conformal transformation. Indeed, instead of working with the usual fractional Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^N$, we use the (conjugated) conformal fractional Laplacian on the cylinder, which has a simpler expression in polar coordinates. This appeared first in DelaTorre's PhD and gave rise to a series of papers where a whole theory is developed using the relation between Scattering Theory and pseudo-differential operators on the boundary of a conformally compact Einstein manifold. [10–12, 59, 60].

1.3. **Existence for Lane–Emden equations.** The existence theory for singular solutions of the local equations is rather trivial in the local and radial case, when the equation is reduced to an ODE. In 1983, Aviles [15] raised the question of an ODE-free construction for the profile, in order to cover inhomogeneous nonlinearities. This is answered only recently by the authors [38] using gluing methods.

For more sophisticated constructions, the profile needs to have a small tail (in particular, not scaling-invariant). They can be found using ODE, or when ODE is unavailable, by non-trivial PDE techniques such as stable minimal solutions [8] and bifurcation theory [11]. (See also [9] for a related construction.) In Theorem 3.1, we provide an alternative approach and build a model solution, extending [38] to the nonlocal setting. While our approach has been inspired by Mazzeo and Pacard [116], a significant simplification has been possible for the Serrin-critical equation due to stability of the singular solution.

Once having a fast-decay profile, solutions with more complicated geometry can be constructed. In particular, Pacard [123] employed variational methods to build solutions of the Lane–Emden–Serrin equation with any prescribed closed singularity in a bounded domain. This was later extended to Serrin-supercritical stable exponents by Chen and Lin [44]. In Theorem 3.3, revisiting the variational approach, we generalize some results of [123] to the fractional problem with simplified proofs.

For regular solutions, on the other hand, the existence theory is extremely rich and is beyond the scope of this work. Typical tools such as variational and gluing methods are maturing.

1.4. **Symmetry.** In the PDE aspect, the history of achieving symmetry can be traced back to 1956, when Alexandrov [6] proved that spheres are the only compact, connected, embedded hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in a Euclidean space. The method is now known as the Alexandrov reflection principle and Serrin [140] used it to show that balls are the only domain on which overdetermined problems are solvable. The transfer of symmetry from the domain to the solution of a semilinear elliptic equation was initiated by Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg [81], who introduced the powerful method of moving plane. For nonlocal equations, while this can be applied in the extension [21], the technical assumption $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$ cannot be relaxed. The full range was covered only when the moving plane method was generalized to integral equations by Chen, Li and Ou [47] and then also to integro-differential equations by Chen, Li and Li [46]. In Proposition 3.5, we provide a short proof of the radial symmetry of the profile constructed in Theorem 3.1, based on the narrow region maximum principle for anti-symmetric functions developed in [46]. Note that this result is essentially contained [64][4].

1.5. **Non-existence of global solutions.** Sharp classification results of possibly sign-changing solutions with some stability[5] or radial symmetry of the Lane–Emden equation on possibly unbounded domains have been obtained by Farina [74]. See also the recent extension of Dupaigne and Farina [67] on locally stable solutions which is built on the groundbreaking estimates due to Cabré, Figalli, Ros-Oton and Serra [31]. A central idea in [74] is to combine the stability condition and the nonlinear equation in such an optimal way that the undifferentiated test function is reabsorbed, leading to an integral decay estimate of stable solutions over expanding domains. (This also works for the Liouville equation that has an exponential nonlinearity [75] and it has a deep consequence to stable phase transitions [144].)

Sufficiently rich conformal invariance of the domain allows classification, as commonly applied to regular solutions in the whole space. This idea is well illustrated in [46] for the constancy of solutions of the Sobolev-subcritical[6] fractional Lane–Emden equation: the Kelvin transform about an arbitrary center has a decay at infinity that allows the moving plane to start, eventually leading to radial symmetry about *that* center. This argument would only work in domains invariant under both translation and inversion, and is closely related to the method of moving spheres [19, 49, 145] introduced independently by Li and Zhu [108] and Chipot, Shafrir and Fila [53] (The latter authors refer to it as "the shrinking sphere method").

Solutions in less symmetric domains that are not necessarily stable in any sense are much harder to classify. For the particular Serrin-critical exponent, Dancer, Du and Guo [56] proved that non-negative exterior solutions of the Lane–Emden–Serrin equation must be trivial. Here the classification is possible for another reason: for the particular Serrin-critical exponent, model (power type) solutions have a logarithmic correction and there is no consistent way to assign the power of this logarithm at infinity. Thus, the equation only needs to be satisfied in an exterior domain. Indeed, the proof of [56, Theorem 2.3] is based on an ODE asymptotic analysis. In Theorem 3.6, we generalize this result to the fractional setting using an integral asymptotic analysis.

1.6. **Prescribing curvature problem.** Given a Riemannian manifold (M, g), the classical Kazdan–Warner problem [98–100] in differential geometry is to find a new metric conformal to g which curvature coincides with a given function. If (M, g) is the round sphere, this is the intensely studied Nirenberg problem. If the curvature is chosen to be constant (on any Riemannian manifold), it corresponds to the so-called uniformization problem. In dimension 2, it is well known that any Riemann surface admits a Riemannian metric of constant (Gaussian) curvature. This was already conjectured in 1882–1883 by

[4]One would need to modify the statement of [64, Theorem 1.2] to include the case $\gamma = 0$ (the proof is the same) and combine it with [64, Theorem 1.4] with $\mu = 0$.

[5]i.e. solutions that are stable or have finite Morse index. The latter condition is equivalent to stability outside a compact set.

[6]An easy proof in the Serrin-subcritical (or critical) regime [59, 80] is to use the equation (without any stability) in the spirit of [74] described in the last paragraph.

Klein and Poincaré, and the first proofs (several other proofs and generalizations can be found) are due to Poincaré and Koebe in 1907. Indeed, we can assert that every simply connected Riemann surface is conformally equivalent to one of three Riemann surfaces: the open unit disk, the complex plane, or the Riemann sphere. When we are in higher dimension, we can find different generalizations of the Gaussian curvature. The better known are the scalar and Q-curvature. We will discuss some curvatures which are included in a 1-parameter family and extend both of them.

1.7. **Classical Yamabe problem and related works.** The classical problem posed by Yamabe in a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ without boundary concerns finding a conformal metric with constant scalar curvature (Yamabe metric), as nicely surveyed in [105]. We will always restrict to the case of positive curvature[7], but there is a whole parallel study for the case of negative curvatures. In 1984, a general existence theory of (minimizing) solutions was fully established thanks to the works of Yamabe, Trudinger, Aubin and Schoen.

The standard sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$, as the model manifold, is of great importance in several aspects.

- The Yamabe problem can be solved on M when its Yamabe functional[8] on M is strictly smaller than that on $\mathbb{S}^n$. Thus the Yamabe problem can be solved by constructing suitable test functions.
- The round metric is the unique solution of the Yamabe problem on $\mathbb{S}^n$, up to scalar multiples and conformal diffeomorphisms [120]. Thus, all solutions are minimizing. This gives the sharp Sobolev inequality on $\mathbb{R}^n$ via the stereographic projection. The extremal functions are known as bubbles.
- These extremals concentrate along a dilation limit, leading to the loss of compactness on $\mathbb{S}^n$.
- The scalar curvature of $\mathbb{S}^n$ is strictly positive and so the conformal Laplacian satisfies a robust maximum principle. This implies a strong upper bound on the dimension of singularity of a complete Yamabe metric on $\mathbb{S}^n$ [130].
- A (spectrally) explicit formula for higher order conformal Laplacians can be written on $\mathbb{S}^n$ [22, 23]. Similarly, for the conformal fractional Laplacian, a singular integral formula is, to our best knowledge, only known among compact manifolds on $\mathbb{S}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ [124]. (Here the kernel involves the *extrinsic* distance). This allows investigation without appealing to the extension problem in one higher dimension.

After the resolution of the classical Yamabe problem, several programs have been initiated in order to gain a deeper understanding.

Multiplicity: In 1989, Schoen found rich classes of high energy solutions with high Morse index [132]. Shortly afterwards, Pollack proved generic non-uniqueness of arbitrary large degree [125].

Compactness: Given the rich structure of the *full* set of Yamabe metrics on manifolds different from the round sphere, in a course given in 1988, Schoen raised the question of its C^2-compactness. This turned out to be implied by the positive mass theorem and the high-order vanishing of the Weyl tensor. The latter is shown by Khuri, Marques and Schoen [101] to hold precisely when $n \leq 24$ after many works [65, 106, 107, 111, 132–134]. For $n \geq 25$, compact fails due to Brendle and Marques [26, 28].

Yamabe flow: In 1989, Hamilton [91] introduced the negative gradient flow of the scalar curvature and conjectured that any initial metric converges to a Yamabe metric along the volume-preserving flow. This has been proved true by Brendle in dimensions $3 \leq n \leq 5$ unconditionally [24] and in higher dimensions under restrictions on the conformal class [25]. (See also [54, 135, 150].) Some recent advances concern the rate of convergence [37] and the flow on non-compact manifolds [136–138].

Manifolds with boundary: In the early 1990's, Escobar extended the Yamabe problem to manifolds with boundary, asking for a metric conformal to a given one that has constant scalar curvature and boundary mean curvature [69–72]. Two particular cases are when one of the curvatures is zero. The minimal boundary case (boundary Yamabe problem) [70] was closed by Mayer and Ndiaye in 2017 [114] (building on [27]). In the scalar-flat case (*the* Escobar problem) [69], existence results have been proved by Marques [112, 113] and Almaraz [7] but the program is still ongoing.

[7] Except in the special scalar-flat case in the Escobar problem

[8] Infimum of the quotient between squares of $W^{1,2}$-seminorm and $L^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}$-norm over smooth functions on the manifold

The existence for the remaining case (Han–Li conjecture)[9] [71, 72], was further studied by Han and Li [92, 93], and an affirmative answer to most open subcases has been given by Chen, Ruan and Sun [52]. The problem of prescribing non-constant scalar and mean boundary curvatures have also been considered and some recent results are avalaible (see for example [3, 58] or [51, 55] where negative curvatures are considered).

Singularities: In 1988, the profound study of Schoen and Yau [130] on the singularities of complete Yamabe metrics showed upper bounds on their Hausdorff dimension, which depend on the geometry in general, and equal $\frac{n-2}{2}$ in the sphere. For the latter case, a construction of solutions with isolated singularities was first given by Schoen in the same year [131]. Solutions which are singular along submanifolds of positive dimension k have been built by Pacard [121] ($k = \frac{n-2}{2}$) as well as Mazzeo and Pacard [116] ($k \in (0, \frac{n-2}{2})$). See also [117] and our recent work [38] for alternative constructions, and [94] for an equivariant, anisotropic setting.

Moreover, in the same work [130], Schoen and Yau conjectured that any distributional solution to the associated PDE-problem would provide a complete metric for the Yamabe problem. This has been disproved by Pacard [122] in ambient dimensions $n = 4, 6$ and Chen and Lin [44] for $n \geq 9$.

The moduli space of singular Yamabe metrics with isolated singularities is known to be a real analytic variety, locally near a smooth point[10]. This is due to Mazzeo, Pollack and Uhlenbeck [118].

The singular Yamabe problem can be also considered as the Yamabe problem posed on a non-compact manifold. [119].

1.8. **Conformal fractional Laplacians and associated curvatures.** Lately non-local problems have captured a lot of attention. In [43] it was observed that scattering operators of asymptotically hyperbolic metrics [89] (see also [76, 115]) and Dirichlet-to-Neumann operators of uniformly degenerate elliptic boundary value problems could be suitably identified. (We mention [35, 36] for the higher order case.) This provides a definition of a one-parameter family of the conformal fractional Laplacian P_s which, in this setting, allows to localize the problem in the spirit of the so-called Caffarelli–Silvestre extension. Correspondingly, a one-parameter family of intrinsic curvatures $Q_s = P_s(1)$ with good conformal properties are defined (see [43, 85]). The parameter s ranges over $(0, \frac{n}{2})$ but some values, including $s \in \mathbb{N}$, represent poles of the scattering operator and need to be treated separately (see [89] for details). We emphasize that Q_s are non-local quantities when $s \notin \mathbb{N}$. Note that Q_1 is the scalar curvature, Q_2 is the Q-curvature [42] and $Q_{1/2}$ is related to the mean curvature.

The value of s plays an important role here. For $s > 1$, the lack of a maximum principle causes extra difficulties but it has been partially addressed in [13, 90]. We will restrict to the case $s \in (0, 1)$, where it is important to point out that, with this definition, for $s \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ we need to assume that our manifold has zero mean curvature. (This assumption is not needed if the background metric is Poincaré–Einstein.) As for the case $s = \frac{1}{2}$, an extra mean curvature term appears in the Dirichelt-to-Neumann map [84]. Such extension, together with a formal limit in the spirit of [36, 42], is useful to deal with prescribed non-local curvature problems of higher order, such as concentration in the $Q_{3/2}$-curvature equation in the (odd) dimension 3 [61].

1.9. **Fractional Yamabe problem.** The fractional or non-local Yamabe problem for $s \in (0, 1)$ is then posed in parallel to the classical one: finding a conformal metric with constant Q_s. It was first considered by González and Qing [87] and its resolution follows from a variational approach, as in the classical case for the scalar curvature. Thus, to prove solvability it is enough to find a good test function. Under some geometric (and dimensional) assumptions, this was done in [87], [88] and [102] by testing with the bubble[11] and a possible correction. Further existence results are obtained in [102] testing with the (global) Green function, assuming the validity of the fractional positive mass conjecture. Proving the positive mass

[9] That is, existence of conformal metric with positive constant scalar curvature and non-zero constant mean curvature.

[10] That is, a solution whose associated linearization has no L^2 null space.

[11] Here the bubble (or fractional bubble) is the generalization of the classical one into the fractional setting, i.e., $\left(|x|^2 + 1\right)^{-\frac{n-2s}{2}}$, up to conformal invariance (translation or dilation) and multiplication by a constant.

theorem for the Green function of the conformal fractional Laplacian seems to be a extremely difficult question and it is still open to the best of our knowledge.

As in the local case, some interesting and related problems such as the compactness of the set of solutions [103, 104] or the fractional Yamabe flow [40, 57, 97] have also been stuided.

Expressed in the extension, the fractional Yamabe problem with $s = \frac{1}{2}$ is deeply related to the Escobar problem. Although the equations appear almost identical, the metric in the extension can be freely chosen only in the Escobar problem and not in the $\frac{1}{2}$-Yamabe problem. See [102, Remark 1.2 (1)] and [84, 85].

1.10. **Singular fractional Yamabe problem on Euclidean spaces.** Now we restrict our attention to the ambient manifold $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $s \in (0, 1)$. As in the local case, it is known [48, 95] that the only regular solution in the whole Euclidean space are the (fractional) bubbles which represent the spherical metrics and are extremals of the fractional Sobolev inequality. Allowing the presence of singularities in this fractional case turned out to be an interesting problem not only in conformal geometry (giving examples of fractional Yamabe metrics of non-compact background manifolds), but also from the analytic point of view. Indeed, in order to solve the PDE associated to the geometric problem, new methods have been developed and they are useful for the study of a large class of non-local elliptic PDEs [12].

1.10.1. *Very singular incomplete metrics.* The nonlocal analogue of the conjecture of Schoen and Yau fails as in the local case [44, 122], as disproved by Ao, Chan, González and Wei [8] for suitable parameters (n, s) including $n \geq 9$, $s \sim 1^-$, see [8, Theorem 1.4]. Incomplete metrics blowing up on whole $\mathbb{R}^n$ are constructed based on new fast-decay solutions in the stability regime and variational methods. This opened up the way to the resolution of the singular fractional Yamabe problem when the singular set Σ^k is a smooth submanifold (and satisfies the dimensional condition (1.4) below).

1.10.2. *Dimensional restriction for complete metrics.* In the geometric problem, one asks that the solution of the Yamabe PDE still yield a complete metric, in the presence of a singularity of positive dimension k. González, Mazzeo and Sire [86] found that k necessarily verifies

$$\Gamma\left(\tfrac{n-2k+2s}{4}\right) \Big/ \Gamma\left(\tfrac{n-2k-2s}{4}\right) \geq 0, \tag{1.4}$$

which is satisfied, in particular, when $k \leq \frac{n-2s}{2}$. In fact, (1.4) is equivalent to the condition

$$k \in \left(-\infty, \frac{n-2s}{2}\right] \cup \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n+2s}{2} + 4j, \frac{n-2s}{2} + 2 + 4j\right) \cup \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n+2s}{2} + 2 + 4j, \frac{n-2s}{2} + 4 + 4j\right].$$

Note that the finite intervals in the above union have length $2 - 2s$ and so they degenerate as $s \to 1^-$.

Remark 1.2. This condition was originally obtained by checking the (strict) positivity of the fractional curvature Q_s when the conformal factor is a pure power of the distance r to the model singular set (i.e. $\mathbb{R}^k$), namely $r^{-\frac{n-2s}{2}}$ in the notation of this paper. Thus, the condition (1.4) is stated in [86] as a strict inequality. However, since polyhomogeneous functions of r are allowed, the positivity of Q_s can still be achieved for the critical dimension with the help of a logarithmic factor (see [38, 121] and Theorem 3.4). For this reason, we believe that is would be appropriate to restate the condition (1.4) with a non-strict inequality.

1.10.3. *State-of-the-art of construction of complete singular metrics.* We will distinguish between four cases for the dimension k of the singular set Σ^k: (i) $k = 0$ (isolated points), $(ii)(a)$ $k \in (0, \frac{n-2s}{2})$, $(ii)(b)$ $k = \frac{n-2s}{2}$, $(ii)(c)$ $k > \frac{n-2s}{2}$.

It is a folklore that the Yamabe metrics constructed in our previous works [10, 59] (see also [60]) for $k = 0$ and [11] for $k \in (0, \frac{n-2s}{2})$, as well as in Theorem 3.4 for $k = \frac{n-2s}{2}$, are all complete. For the readers' convenience, we give a proof in Lemma A.1.

We emphasize that the last case $(ii)(c)$ represents a completely new phenomenon which is absent in the classical singular Yamabe problem.

(i) *Isolated singularities, $k = 0$.* The first work on this line is due to DelaTorre and González who treated the problem for an isolated singularity as a non-local ODE in [60] and later, in collaboration with

del Pino and Wei, using variational methods in [59]. When the singular set is a finite number of points a non-local gluing method involving a countably infinite dimensional reduction was used by Ao, DelaTorre, González and Wei in [10]. A key point in this construction is to choose the proper approximate solution, namely a sum of half Dancer solutions centered at each singular point. In contrast to the local case [117], where only finitely many Delaunay parameters are perturbed, the solution in [10] is obtained by choosing the parameters for *each bubble* in the half bubble towers.

(*ii*) *Higher dimensional singularities, $k > 0$.* From the analytic point of view, the fractional Yamabe problem on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with singular set Σ asks to find a smooth solution $v > 0$ of the integro-differential equation

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^s v = v^{\frac{n+2s}{n-2s}} \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma,$$

which blows up on Σ. When the singular set Σ is a smooth submanifold, the blow-up limit in a tubular neighborhood solves the model problem with $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^k$, which reduces to

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N})^s u = u^{\frac{N+k+2s}{N+k-2s}} \qquad \text{in } B_1 \setminus \{0\}\,,$$

where $N := n - k$. This second equation is much easier to deal with as the exponent p, which is Sobolev-critical with respect to the ambient dimension n, becomes Sobolev-subcritical with respect to the reduced dimension N.

(*ii*)(*a*) *The case $k \in (0, \frac{n-2s}{2})$: the birth of nonlocal ODE theory.* The complete argument to construct such singular solutions was not covered until the work of Ao, Chan, DelaTorre, Fontelos, González and Wei in [11]. Let us recall that in the local counterpart, Mazzeo and Pacard [116] utilized gluing methods to build singular solutions along submanifolds in the whole Sobolev-subcritical regime. More precisely, by blowing up to the model problem in a product space where the dimension can be reduced, the linearized operator is shown to be bijective between suitable weighted Hölder spaces. This is the approach that we took and extended in [11]. Since ODE methods were not available, we developed, by means of conformal geometry, scattering theory, non-Euclidean harmonic analysis and complex analysis, a nonlocal ODE theory for the fractional Hardy–Schrödinger operator that yields precise asymptotic information just like in a second order ODE. This is surveyed in [12].

(*ii*)(*b*) *The case $k = \frac{n-2s}{2}$: new methods for the local and non-local problems.* The methods developed in [11, 12] could not cover the singular submanifold of critical dimension $k = \frac{n-2s}{2}$ due to the limitations of the techniques used there, where homogeneity was crucial. In the recent paper, [38], we provided an alternative construction to the one given by Pacard [121] in the local case $s = 1$, $k = \frac{n-2}{2}$. Our techniques there involved a careful gluing in weighted L^∞ spaces that handles multiple occurrences of criticality, without the need of derivative estimates. Instead we exploited the semilinearity and the stability of the linearized operator in any dimension. Here we generalize the strategy of [38] to the non-local setting by constructing singular solutions that are singular on a submanifold of dimension $k = (n-1)/2$, for an odd integer $n \geq 3$, in the case $s = 1/2$. We point out that even if the needed logarithmic correction prevents [11] from applying to the Serrin-critical case, the spirit of the proof of Theorem 3.1 remains valid, and it provides a construction of solutions with curved singularities (i.e. of the fractional singular Yamabe problem; see the exposition in Section 1.7) once reapplied with suitable global weighted L^∞ spaces. This result, stated in Theorem 3.4, is our main geometric goal.

(*ii*)(*c*) *The case $k > \frac{n-2s}{2}$: maximality and non-maximality of the critical dimension.* Whether the singularity dimension $\frac{n-2s}{2}$ is maximal depends on the precise notion of solution of the Yamabe equation. Standard bootstrap regularity shows the local boundedness of *distributional* solutions of $(-\Delta)^s u = u^p$ when the exponent $p = \frac{n+2s}{n-2s}$ is Serrin-subcritical with respect to the dimension $N = n-k$. Since $k = \frac{n-2s}{2}$ corresponds to $p = \frac{N}{N-2s}$, no *distributional* solutions with higher dimensional singularity exist.

Drastically different from the classical case, the Gamma quotient condition (1.4), as introduced in [86], can be satisfied for $k > \frac{n-2s}{2}$. (When $s = 1$, (1.4) reduces to the simple inequality $k \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$.) It seems to be a highly non-trivial task to build (non-distributional) solutions singular on manifolds of such high

dimensions. Nonetheless, writing out (1.4) explicitly, we conjecture that they exist for the following parameters.

Conjecture 1.3. *Suppose $n \geq 3$, $s \in (0,1)$, $k \in (\frac{n+2s}{2}, n)$ satisfy either*

(1) $\frac{n+2s}{2} + 4j < k < \frac{n-2s}{2} + 2 + 4j$, *or*
(2) $\frac{n+2s}{2} + 2 + 4j < k \leq \frac{n-2s}{2} + 4 + 4j$,

for some $j = 0, 1, \dots$ Then for any smooth compact k-dimensional submanifold Σ^k without boundary in $\mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a complete Yamabe metric that is singular on Σ^k.

1.11. **Remark on the title.** In our previous work [38], we announced that the analogous construction of solutions to the Yamabe problem with a prescribed singular set of maximal dimension $(n-2)/2$ could be extend to the fractional setting. This was to appear in a paper entitled "Singular solutions for a critical fractional Yamabe problem." Nevertheless, after the paper [38] was published, we decided to include further results in order to improve the quality of this work. The announced construction is done in Section 6.

1.12. **Added comment.** A few days after the first draft of the present work appeared online, H. Chen announced a preprint [45] where he provides yet another (other than [146]) alternate and independent proof of (a slightly weaker form of) Theorem 3.8, by means of fine comparisons together with direct while technical computations on $\mathbb{R}^N$ in Cartesian coordinates.

2. Setting

2.1. **Notations.** Here we group together different symbols that will be used along the paper. In general, the notation will be introduced the first time that it is used, but for the convenience of the reader we decided to include this list. Let $a, b, c, C, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, x be a Euclidean vector, f, g be real-valued functions.

- Constants are universal when they depend only on the dimensions N, k, n, the fractional parameter $s \in (0,1)$, the ambient domain Ω, and the singularity Σ. Generic universal constants are denoted by C (big constants) and c (small constants). Additional or emphasized dependence is indicated by a parenthesis.
- $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1+|x|^2}$ is the Japanese bracket.
- $(a \wedge b) := \min\{a, b\}$, $(a \vee b) := \max\{a, b\}$,
- $(a)_+ := \max\{a, 0\}$ is the positive part of a.
- $f \lesssim g$ means that there exists a constant C such that $f \leq Cg$. Dependence on the implicit constant is indicated by a subscript, for example $f \lesssim_\beta g$ means $f \leq C(\beta) g$.
- $f \gtrsim g$ means $g \lesssim f$; $f \asymp g$ means both $f \lesssim g$ and $g \lesssim f$.

2.2. **Standard function spaces.** Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded smooth domain or the full space. We denote the standard Lebesgue spaces by $L^p(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and those weighted algebraically at infinity by

$$L^p_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ v \in L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|v(x)|^p}{\langle x \rangle^{N+\alpha}} \, dx < +\infty \right\}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We are mostly interested in taking $(p, \alpha) = (\frac{N}{N-2s}, -N)$ and $(p, \alpha) = (1, 2s)$. A slight modification of the latter choice accommodates the Poisson formula in the unit ball,

$$\tilde{L}^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ v \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|v(x)|}{\langle x \rangle^N \, (|x|^2 - 1)^s} \, dx < +\infty \right\}.$$

The space $C^\alpha(\Omega)$ contains standard Hölder continuous functions when $\alpha > 0$ is a non-integer and α-fold continuously differentiable functions if $\alpha = 0, 1, \dots$. We write

$$C^{2s+}(\Omega) = \bigcup_{\alpha > 0} C^{2s+\alpha}(\Omega).$$

For $s \in (0,1)$, the Sobolev–Slobodeckij space $H^s(\Omega)$ and the Lions–Magenes space $H^s_{00}(\Omega)$ are endowed with the norms

$$\|v\|^2_{H^s(\Omega)} := \int_\Omega v^2\,dx + \iint_{\Omega\times\Omega} \frac{(v(x)-v(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dx\,dy < +\infty,$$

$$\|v\|^2_{H^s_{00}(\Omega)} := \int_\Omega v^2\,dx + \int_\Omega \frac{v^2}{\operatorname{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)^{2s}}\,dx + \iint_{\Omega\times\Omega} \frac{(v(x)-v(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dx\,dy < +\infty.$$

Write $H^s_0(\Omega)$ as the closure of $C^\infty_c(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^s(\Omega)}$. In a bounded smooth domain we have the inclusions[12] (see for instance [20, Section 8.10])

$$\begin{cases} H^s_{00}(\Omega) = H^s_0(\Omega) = H^s(\Omega) & \text{for } s \in (0,\frac12),\\ H^{\frac12}_{00}(\Omega) \subsetneq H^{\frac12}_0(\Omega) = H^{\frac12}(\Omega) & \text{for } s = \frac12,\\ H^s_{00}(\Omega) = H^s_0(\Omega) \subsetneq H^s(\Omega) & \text{for } s \in (\frac12,1). \end{cases}$$

Observe also that $H^s_{00}(\Omega)$ contain precisely those functions whose zero extension lies in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

2.3. **Green and Poisson formulae.** Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. The solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = f & \text{in } \Omega,\\ u = g & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Omega, \end{cases}$$

is given by

$$u(x) = \int_\Omega \mathbb{G}_\Omega(x,y) f(y)\,dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Omega} \mathbb{P}_\Omega(x,y) g(y)\,dy =: \mathcal{G}_\Omega[f](x) + \mathcal{P}_\Omega[g](x),$$

where $\mathbb{G}_\Omega$ and $\mathbb{P}_\Omega$ are the Green and Poisson kernels in Ω and f, g are suitable data such that the right hand side is well-defined. For the unit ball and its exterior, these kernels are given explicitly. When $\Omega = B_1$, the Green and Poisson kernels are given respectively by [30]:

$$\mathbb{G}_{B_1}(x,y) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}{2^{2s}\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}\Gamma(s)^2} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2s}} \int_0^{\frac{(1-|x|^2)(1-|y|^2)}{|x-y|^2}} \frac{\tau^{s-1}}{(\tau+1)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\,d\tau, \qquad x,y \in B_1, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{B_1}(x,y) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})\sin(\pi s)}{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}+1}} \left(\frac{1-|x|^2}{|y|^2-1}\right)^s \frac{1}{|x-y|^N}, \qquad x \in B_1,\ y \in \mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1.$$

When $\Omega = B_1^c = \mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1$, one obtains the corresponding kernels by taking the Kelvin transformation [14]. In fact,

$$\mathbb{G}_{B_1^c}(x,y) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}{2^{2s}\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}\Gamma(s)^2} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2s}} \int_0^{\frac{(|x|^2-1)(|y|^2-1)}{|x-y|^2}} \frac{\tau^{s-1}}{(\tau+1)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\,d\tau, \qquad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1,$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{B_1^c}(x,y) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})\sin(\pi s)}{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}+1}} \left(\frac{|x|^2-1}{1-|y|^2}\right)^s \frac{1}{|x-y|^N}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1,\ y \in B_1.$$

Note that the Green kernel in a general smooth bounded domain satisfies the two-sided estimate

$$\mathbb{G}_\Omega(x,y) \asymp \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2s}} \left(1 \wedge \frac{\operatorname{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)\operatorname{dist}(y,\partial\Omega)}{|x-y|^2}\right)^s.$$

[12] The two strict inclusions are exemplified by the constant function 1.

2.4. **Notions of solution.** We discuss several notions of solution of the fractional Lane–Emden–Serrin equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u = u^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} \qquad \text{in } B_1 \setminus \{0\}\,. \tag{2.2}$$

Definition 2.1. We say that:

(1) $u \in C^{2s+}(B_1 \setminus \{0\}) \cap L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a *classical solution* if (2.2) is satisfied everywhere in $B_1 \setminus \{0\}$.
(2) $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a *variational solution* (or *weak solution*) of (2.2) if

$$\frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \iint_{(\mathbb{R}^N\times\mathbb{R}^N)\setminus(B_1^c\times B_1^c)} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))(\zeta(x)-\zeta(y))}{|x-y|^{n+2s}}\,dx\,dy = \int_{B_1} u^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\zeta\,dx, \qquad \forall \zeta \in H^s_{00}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

(3) $u \in L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(B_1) \cap L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a *distributional solution* (or *very weak solution*) of (2.2) if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(-\Delta)^s\zeta\,dx = \int_{B_1} u^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\zeta\,dx, \qquad \forall \zeta \in C^{2s+}(B_1),\ \zeta|_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1} \equiv 0.$$

(4) $u \in L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(B_1) \cap \tilde{L}^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a *weak-dual solution* if

$$\int_{B_1} u\psi\,dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1} u(-\Delta)^s\mathcal{G}[\psi]\,dx = \int_{B_1} u^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\mathcal{G}[\psi]\,dx, \qquad \forall \psi \in L^\infty(B_1).$$

(5) $u \in L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(B_1) \cap \tilde{L}^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a *Green-Poisson solution* if

$$u = \mathcal{G}_{B_1}[u^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}] + \mathcal{P}_{B_1}[u] \qquad \text{a.e. in } B_1,$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{B_1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{B_1}$ are the Green and Poisson operators defined above.

It is not hard to see that these definitions are equivalent: whenever two of them make sense for a solution simultaneously, one definition implies the other. Indeed, going down from (2) to (4) one simply enlarges the space of test functions and the reverse direction holds in view of the density of one function space in another.

Remark 2.2. Some remarks concerning Definition 2.1 are in order.

- In (1), the regularity and decay are the minimal requirement for the pointwise evaluation of the fractional Laplacian.
- In (2), that the solution is satisfied only (weakly) in B_1 is seen from the fact that $\zeta|_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1} \equiv 0$. The left hand side is finite by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, while the right hand side is finite since the Serrin exponent is Sobolev-subcritical. Moreover, it is commonly written in the literature (such as [77, 141]) that the test function lies in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and is compactly supported in Ω. But these functions are precisely those in the Lions–Magenes space [110].
- In (3), a straightforward computation reveals that $(-\Delta)^s\zeta \lesssim \langle x\rangle^{-N-2s}$ for $\zeta \in C_c^{2s+}(\Omega)$. Hence the left hand side is finite (by approximation).
- In (4), the integrability of the second term on the left hand side near the unit sphere follows from either the explicit Poisson kernel or the estimate in the general domain [1, Equation (36)], $|(-\Delta)^s\mathbb{G}_{B_1}(x,y)| \lesssim \operatorname{dist}(y,\partial\Omega)^{-s}$ for $x \in B_1$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1$.

3. Main results

One of the main contributions in this paper, which is of independent interest, is the study of the Yamabe equation close to the singularity, which is essentially reduced to the Lane–Emden equation with Serrin-critical exponent and homogeneous exterior Dirichlet condition.

3.1. **Existence of profile.** Our first result is the existence and construction of a family of radially symmetric singular solutions of the nonlocal semilinear equation

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = u^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} & \text{in } B_1 \setminus \{0\}, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

With a slight abuse of notations we write $u(x) = u(r)$ for $r = |x|$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $s \in (0,1)$, $N > 2s$. There exists $\varepsilon_1 \in (0,1)$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$, (3.1) has a positive solution $u_\varepsilon \in L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(B_1) \cap C^\infty(B_1 \setminus \{0\}) \cap C^s(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ such that as $r \to 0^+$,*

$$u_\varepsilon(r) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{r^{N-2s}(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}} \left[c_0 + c_1 \dfrac{\log\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}} + O\left(\dfrac{|\log \varepsilon|^{-\gamma}}{(\log \frac{1}{r})^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \right] & \text{as } r \to 0^+, \\ O\Big(|\log \varepsilon|^{-\gamma} (1 - r^2)^s \Big) & \text{as } r \to 1^-. \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma = \min\left\{ \frac{N-2s}{2s}, \frac{1}{2} \right\}$ and the constants $c_i = c_i(N,s)$ are defined in (5.1)–(5.2).

The log-polyhomogeneous correction was first observed by L. Véron [143, Lemme 3.3] in 1981 when $s = 1$.

Our proof, as given in Section 5, is based on fine asymptotic expansions using the integro-differential operator, combined with fixed point arguments. As in our previous work [38], we employ gluing methods in weighted L^∞ spaces to avoid unnecessary derivative estimates.

As mentioned before, this will give rise to an approximate solution for the fractional Yamabe problem that is singular along a submanifold of dimension $k = \frac{n-2s}{2}$, which is an integer only when $s = 1/2$. For its geometric importance, we specialize to this case.

Corollary 3.2. *There exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ small enough such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$ there exists a positive radial solution u_ε to the equation*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} u = u^{\frac{N}{N-1}} & \text{in } B_1 \setminus \{0\}, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1, \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

which is singular at the origin and smooth on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, as $r \to 0^+$ it has the asymptotic expansion

$$u_\varepsilon(r) = \frac{c_0 + o(1)}{r^{N-1}(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^{N-1}}, \tag{3.3}$$

where c_0 is the constant given in (5.1), and this expression "can be differentiated".[13]

3.2. **Arbitrary closed singularity.** Following the ideas of Pacard [123] for the local case and the generalization to the nonlocal case of the work Chen and Lin [44] done by Ao, Chan, González and Wei [8], we can find multiple singular solutions with any prescribed singularity as a closed subset in the domain.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded domain and $\Sigma \subset \Omega$ be a closed subset. Then there exist two distinct sequences $u_\ell^{(1)}$, $u_\ell^{(2)}$ of positive very weak solutions of*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = u^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases}$$

such that $u_\ell(x) \to +\infty$ as $x \to \Sigma$. Moreover, $u_\ell^{(1)} \to 0$ in $L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)$ and $u_\ell^{(2)}$ converges to a non-trivial regular solution in $L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)$.

[13] The expression remains valid asymptotically as $r \to 0^+$ when one takes derivatives of both sides in r as if $o(1)$ is a constant.

The proof is given in Section 7. Following the ideas of the above mentioned works, we will use variational arguments, but some minor modifications will be made to simplify the procedure. We point out here, that this method has been used to construct complete Yamabe metrics [121] as well as (incomplete) metrics that are singular in the whole space [8, 44, 122]. In particular, by following the same steps, one easily generalizes the main result of [122] to the fractional case, complementing [8, Theorem 1.4].

3.3. **Yamabe metric with high dimensional singularity.** As mentioned before, In the previous work [11], the non-zero dimensional case was treated, but due to the limitations of the techniques used there, where homogeneity was crucial, the particular dimension $k = \frac{n-2s}{2}$ was not covered. In a recent paper [38], we provided an alternative construction to the one given by Pacard [121] in the local case when $k = \frac{n-2}{2}$. Our techniques there involved a careful gluing in weighted L^∞ spaces that handles multiple occurrences of criticality, without the need of derivative estimates. Instead we exploited the semilinearity and the stability of the linearized operator in any dimension. Here we will generalize the strategy to the non-local setting by constructing singular solutions that are singular on a submanifold of dimension $k = (n-1)/2$, for an odd integer $n \geq 3$, in the case $s = 1/2$. Note that the solutions are asymptotically (as we approach the singularity) radially symmetric by the result of Jin, de Quieroz, Sire and Xiong [96, Theorem 1.2].

Theorem 3.4. *Let $n \geq 3$ be an odd integer, $k = \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a k-dimensional smooth compact submanifold without boundary. Then there exists a smooth positive solution of*

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} u = u^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma,$$

which grows like $\dfrac{c_0}{d_\Sigma^{N-1}(\log \frac{1}{d_\Sigma})^{N-1}}$, near Σ and decays like $d_\Sigma^{-(n-1)}$ at infinity. Here d_Σ denotes the distance function to Σ and c_0 is given in (5.1). Moreover, the associated Yamabe metric is complete.

Equivalently, by considering the harmonic extension of the conformal factor, the upper-half space $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, |dz|^2)$ admits a scalar-flat Escobar metric (conformal metric with zero scalar curvature and constant mean curvature on the boundary) which is singular along a $\frac{n-1}{2}$-submanifold Σ of $\partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ = \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \\ \partial_\nu u = u^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}} & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \setminus \Sigma. \end{cases}$$

The proof is given in Section 6. Notice that the approximate solution obtained from Corollary 3.2 induces an initial error which is sufficiently small in terms of both size and order of growth. Indeed, the error comes from the geometry of the singularity and is algebraic instead of logarithmic. The difficulty lies in the computation of the fractional Laplacian and Riesz potential in Fermi coordinates. Once this is understood, we construct a barrier which leads to the linear theory. Finally the theorem is proved again using a standard fixed point argument, as in Section 5.

3.4. **Radial symmetry.** The above construction of solutions is done without imposing any radial symmetry, but by applying the direct method of moving plane [46, 64], we can show that the solutions must indeed be radially symmetric. In particular, we provide the following result for the equation posed in the punctured ball.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $u > 0$ be a solution of*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = u^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} & \text{in } B_1 \setminus \{0\}, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1. \end{cases}$$

Then $u = u(r)$ is radially symmetric and $u'(r) < 0$ for $r \in (0, 1)$.

While the proof is essentially the same as those in [46, 64], we give a unified argument in Section 8 regardless of the removability of the singularity of u at 0.

3.5. **Non-existence of exterior solutions.** Let us turn to the study of general solutions. We focus on the Liouville-type result and the local asymptotic expansion. As in the local case, (3.1) does not possess non-trivial solutions in the whole punctured space.

Theorem 3.6 (Liouville theorem for exterior solutions, integral)**.** *Suppose* $u \in L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}_{-2s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *satisfies the integral inequality*

$$\begin{cases} u(x) \geq \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1} \frac{u(y)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}}{|x-y|^{N-2s}}\, dy & \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1, \\ u \geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

and the Harnack inequality

$$\sup_{B_{2R}\setminus B_{R/2}} u \leq C \inf_{B_{2R}\setminus B_{R/2}} u, \qquad \forall R > 4. \tag{3.5}$$

Then $u \equiv 0$ *in* $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1$.

Corresponding to this result for the integral formulation, we have the one for the PDE:

Theorem 3.7 (Liouville theorem for exterior solutions, integro-differential)**.** *Suppose* $u \in L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}_{-2s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *is a distributional solution of*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = u^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1, \\ u \geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Then $u \equiv 0$ *in* $\mathbb{R}^N$.

While this is not the first Liouville result for our equation, it strengthens previous ones. First, it is known [46, 47, 95] that *entire* solutions to the fractional Lane-Emden equation with $0 < p < \frac{N+2s}{N-2s}$ are trivial. For the particular exponent $\frac{N}{N-2s}$, we classify non-negative solutions of (3.6) that are possibly *singular*. Secondly, Barrios and Quaas remarked in [19, Remark 2.2] that solutions of (9.2) that are *locally bounded* around the origin do not exist. In Theorem 3.7, no boundedness nor *any* growth condition is assumed. Besides, our equation only needs to be satisfied in an *exterior* domain.

The proof is given in Section 9. It is based on an asymptotic analysis (on u) at infinity, where no consistent behavior is possible. Heuristically, one would expect that the solution is polyhomogeneous as in (4.3), while no such function would satisfy (3.6), as seen by the formal computation (justified in Proposition 4.4)

$$(-\Delta)^s \frac{(\log r)^\nu}{r^{N-2s}} \sim \nu\kappa_1 \frac{(\log r)^{\nu-1}}{r^N}$$

with $\kappa_1 > 0$—note that when compared to Proposition 4.3 this has an opposite sign! Indeed, no $\nu > 0$ would solve $\nu - 1 = \frac{N}{N-2s}\nu$.

We point out that a key tool in the proof of these results is to use the Kelvin transform of our function satisfying (3.4) and the corresponding integral inequality it satisfies. This is given in Lemma 9.1.

3.6. **Classification of local behavior.** Finally we show the exact local behavior near the isolated singularity. This study was done for the whole range $1 \leq p \leq \frac{N+2s}{N-2s}$ in different works by Caffarelli, Jin, Sire and Xiong, Chen and Quaas, and Yang and Zou in [34, 50, 148, 149]. (See also the work of Jin, de Quieroz, Sire and Xiong [96] for the study of local behaviors for near higher dimensional singularities.) Recently we have learned about the work of Wei and Wu [146] based on adapting the local case with the Serrin exponent done by Aviles in [16] to the nonlocal setting by using the extension. Here we provide an alternative proof which allows to cover equations with more general integro-differential operators[14]. We believe that our method is of independent interest, since we transform a nonlocal problem into the study

[14]Even though the one we use in Section 9 and Section 10 are based on the extension, the same can be achieved by using a purely nonlocal Harnack inequality, e.g. [45, Proposition 3.2].

of a local first order ODE (10.4) in one dimension. This seems to be the first time this *modus operandi* is used in nonlocal problems.

Theorem 3.8 (Exact local behavior)**.** *Let $u \in C^2(B_1 \setminus \{0\})$ be a solution (not necessarily radial) of*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = u^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} & \text{in } B_1 \setminus \{0\}, \\ u = g & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } B_1 \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

for exterior data g with finite Poisson integral, namely

$$\|g\|_{\tilde{L}^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1} \frac{|g(y)|}{(|y|^2-1)^s |y|^N}\, dy < +\infty.$$

Then, either u has a removable singularity at the origin, or

$$u(r) = \frac{c_0 + o(1)}{r^{N-2s} (\log \frac{1}{r})^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}} \qquad \text{as } r \to 0^+,$$

where c_0 is given in (5.1).

Our proof has a different flavor from [16] and is given in Section 10. Recall (from Section 1.1) that when $s = 1$, the Emden–Fowler transformation v of the solution u satisfies the ODE

$$-a_{\frac{N}{N-2}} \partial_t v = v^{\frac{N}{N-2}},$$

asymptotically as $t = -\log r \to +\infty$, for $a_{\frac{N}{N-2}} > 0$. Surprisingly, by exploiting the integral equation associated to (3.7) under the Emden–Fowler transformation, the local behavior in the fractional setting is also revealed to be driven by an ODE of the same form, namely (10.4).

Remark 3.9. It is clear that the result remains valid for general smooth domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ in place of the unit ball, although exact formulae of the Green and Poisson kernels are used. Indeed, if 0 is an interior point of Ω, then 0 is the center of some ball contained in Ω. By a rescaling, one recovers the setting of Theorem 3.8.

Remark 3.10. We emphasize that the scalar ODE (10.4) is valid only *asymptotically*. Indeed, a nonlocal equation cannot be equivalent to a local one. A related observation is made in the recent independent work of Chen [45]: in the Harnack inequality [45, Proposition 3.2], with a nonlocal error $\|u\|_{L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ which is *asymptotically* absorbed near a singularity.

Remark 3.11. In dimension $N = 1$, the half Laplacian can be factorized as the composition of the Hilbert transformation H and the ordinary first derivative. Since $H^2 = -\mathrm{Id}$, inverting H leads to the equivalence

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} u = f(x,u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad u' = -H(f(x,u)) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}.$$

This can be considered as a global analogue of (10.4). We thank Enno Lenzmann for pointing this out to us during the conference "Calculus of Variations and PDEs: recent developments and future directions" at ETH Zürich in June 2021.

4. Computations in radial coordinates

In this section we will establish the following three results. The first one concerns radial functions in general. Applying this to functions with polyhomogeneous and log-polyhomogeneous behaviors yields the second and third.

4.1. **The fractional Laplacian and the Riesz potential.** Following the ideas of [59] a conjugation of the fractional Laplacian can be rewritten as an integro-differential operator with a well-behaved convolution kernel. In fact, this also applies to the Riesz potential. For $N \geq 3$ and $s \in (0,1)$, define[15]

$$K_{N,s}(\rho) = C_{N,s}\mathcal{H}^{N-2}(\mathbb{S}^{N-2})\rho^{2s-1}\int_0^\pi \frac{\sin^{N-2}\theta}{(1+\rho^2-2\rho\cos\theta)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}}\,d\theta,$$

$$K_{N,-s}(\rho) = C_{N,-s}\mathcal{H}^{N-2}(\mathbb{S}^{N-2})\rho^{-1}\int_0^\pi \frac{\sin^{N-2}\theta}{(1+\rho^2-2\rho\cos\theta)^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}\,d\theta.$$

The constants (for both $s \in (0,1)$ and $s \in (-1,0)$) are given by

$$C_{N,s} = \frac{2^{2s}\Gamma(\frac{N+2s}{2})}{|\Gamma(-s)|\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}} = 2^{2s}\pi^{-\frac{N}{2}}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{N+2s}{2})}{\Gamma(2-s)}s(1-s), \qquad \mathcal{H}^{N-2}(\mathbb{S}^{N-2}) = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{N-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{N-1}{2})}.$$

Proposition 4.1. *The following hold.*

(1) *(Asymptotic behaviors) Let $s \in (0,1)$. Then we can assert that*

$$K_{N,s}(\rho) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2^{2s+1}\Gamma(\frac{N+2s}{2})}{|\Gamma(-s)|\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}(1+o(1))\rho^{2s-1} & \text{as } \rho \to 0^+, \\ \dfrac{2^{2s}\Gamma(\frac{1+2s}{2})}{|\Gamma(-s)|\sqrt{\pi}}(1+o(1))\dfrac{1}{|\rho-1|^{1+2s}} & \text{as } \rho \to 1, \\ \dfrac{2^{1+2s}\Gamma(\frac{N+2s}{2})}{|\Gamma(-s)|\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}(1+o(1))\dfrac{1}{\rho^{N+1}}, & \text{as } \rho \to +\infty. \end{cases}$$

Moreover,

$$K_{N,-s}(\rho) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2^{1-2s}\Gamma(\frac{N-2s}{2})}{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}(1+o(1))\dfrac{1}{\rho}, & \text{as } \rho \to 0^+, \\ \dfrac{2^{1-2s}\Gamma(\frac{N-2s}{2})}{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}(1+o(1))\dfrac{1}{\rho^{N+1-2s}}, & \text{as } \rho \to +\infty. \end{cases}$$

As $\rho \to 1$ only a weaker two-sided estimate holds in the case $s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$:

$$K_{N,-s}(\rho) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2^{-2s}\Gamma(\frac{1-2s}{2})}{\Gamma(s)\sqrt{\pi}}(1+o(1))\dfrac{1}{|\rho-1|^{1-2s}}, & \text{as } \rho \to 1, \ \text{for } s \in \left(0, \dfrac{1}{2}\right), \\ \asymp \log\dfrac{1}{|\rho-1|} & \text{as } \rho \to 1, \ \text{for } s = \dfrac{1}{2}, \\ \asymp |\rho-1|^{2s-1} & \text{as } \rho \to 1, \ \text{for } s \in \left(\dfrac{1}{2}, 1\right) \end{cases}$$

(2) *(Fractional Emden–Fowler transformation) If $v \in C^{2s+\alpha}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ ($\alpha > 0$) is radially symmetric, then*

$$r^N(-\Delta)^s\left(\frac{v(r)}{r^{N-2s}}\right) = \text{P.V.}\int_0^\infty K_{N,s}(\rho)(v(r)-v(r\rho))\,d\rho.$$

Here the principal value integral is defined as

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}\int_{1+\varepsilon}^\infty K_{N,s}(\rho)\left((v(r)-v(r\rho)) - \rho^{N-2s}\left(v\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)-v(r)\right)\right)\,d\rho.$$

In particular,

$$\left|r^N(-\Delta)^s\left(\frac{v(r)}{r^{N-2s}}\right)\right| \lesssim |v(r)| + r|v'(r)| + r^2|v''(r)| + \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} v(r\rho)\,d\rho + \int_2^\infty \frac{v(r\rho)}{\rho^{N+1}}\,d\rho. \tag{4.1}$$

The term $r^2|v''(r)|$ can be omitted when $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

[15] $\mathcal{H}^d(\Omega)$ denotes the d-dimensional Hausdorff measure of Ω.

(3) *(Riesz potential in polar coordinates) If* $f \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}) \cap \left(|x|^{-N} L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\right)$ *for some* $p \in (1, \frac{N}{2s})$, *then*

$$r^{N-2s}(-\Delta)^{-s}\left(\frac{f(r)}{r^N}\right) = \int_0^\infty K_{N,-s}(\rho) f(r\rho)\, d\rho.$$

Moreover, this gives the unique solution of

$$(-\Delta)^s\left(\frac{v(r)}{r^{N-2s}}\right) = \frac{f(r)}{r^N} \qquad a.e. \quad in\ \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Proof. The computations of non-local operators on radially symmetric functions are well-known to the experts. For the readers' convenience, we provide the details in Appendix B and direct the interested readers to the corresponding results there.

(1) The asymptotic behaviour of the kernels follow from the almost explicit representation formulae in Lemma B.1. Indeed, by substituting the value of the constant we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K_{N,s}(\rho) &= 2^{N-1} C_{N,s} \mathcal{H}^{N-2}(\mathbb{S}^{N-2}) \frac{\rho^{(2s)_+ - 1}}{|\rho - 1|^{N+2s}} F\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\rho}}{|\rho-1|}\right) \\ &= \frac{2^{N+2s}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+2s}{2}\right)}{|\Gamma(-s)|\Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right)} \frac{\rho^{(2s)_+ - 1}}{|\rho - 1|^{N+2s}} F\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\rho}}{|\rho-1|}\right). \end{aligned}$$

(2) This is the result is proved in Lemma B.3.

(3) The uniqueness follows from [73, Corollary 1.4]. The expression follows directly by Lemma B.1 and Lemma B.5. □

Remark 4.2. Note that for $s \in (0,1)$, the kernel $K_{N,s}(\rho)$ has been computed in [11, Section 4.1] (see also [59, Lemma 2.5]) in the variable $t = -\log r$. Indeed, we can write

$$e^{-Nt}(-\Delta)^s\left(e^{(N-2s)t} v(e^{-t})\right) = \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{K}_{N,s}(\tau)(v(t) - v(t+\tau))\, d\tau$$

where

$$\tilde{K}_{N,s}(\tau) = c e^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}\tau} e^{-\frac{N+2s}{2}|\tau|}\ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\frac{N+2s}{2\tau}, 1+s, \frac{N}{2}; e^{-2|\tau|}\right) \asymp \begin{cases} |\tau|^{-1-2s} & \text{as } \tau \to 0, \\ e^{2s\tau} & \text{as } \tau \to -\infty, \\ e^{-N\tau} & \text{as } \tau \to +\infty. \end{cases}$$

Here ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ denotes the Gaussian hypergeometric function.

This exact expression only holds for radial functions (zeroth spherical mode). Similar asymptotic behaviors are known for higher modes.

4.2. **Explicit computations.** Due to the criticality of the problem we introduce log-polyhomogeneous functions singular at the origin, and we compute explicit asymptotic behaviors under the action of the fractional Laplacian (or Riesz potential) in order to obtain fine barriers.

In this work, we will fix $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, [0,1])$ to be a radial cut-off function with $\chi = 1$ in $B_{1/4}$ and $\chi = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{1/2}$. For $\mu, \nu, \vartheta \geq 0$, define the (log-)polyhomogeneous functions

$$\phi^\vartheta_{\mu,\nu}(r;\varepsilon) = \frac{\chi(r)(\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^\vartheta}{r^\mu (\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^\nu}, \qquad \varepsilon \in (0,1], r > 0. \tag{4.2}$$

$$\tilde{\phi}^\nu_\mu(r) = \left(1 - \chi\left(\frac{r}{4}\right)\right)\frac{(\log r)^\nu}{r^\mu}, \qquad r > 0. \tag{4.3}$$

We emphasize that ϑ is an index as superscript in $\phi^\vartheta_{\mu,\nu}$. When $\vartheta = 0$ we simply write $\phi_{\mu,\nu}$.

Define the constants

$$\kappa_i = \kappa_i(N,s) := \int_0^\infty K_{N,s}(\rho)\left(\log\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^i d\rho. \quad i \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.4}$$

Morally, near the origin, the fractional Laplacian also decreases the exponent of the logarithm by one, at the power of the fundamental solution (i.e. $N-2s$). Indeed, by putting $v(r)=(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^{-\nu}$ in (2) of Proposition 4.1, a binomial expansion yields

$$\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu}-\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}+\log\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^{-\nu}=\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu}\left(1-\left(1+\frac{\log\frac{1}{\rho}}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}\right)^{-\nu}\right)$$
$$\sim\nu\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu-1}\log\frac{1}{\rho}.$$

This goes in parallel with the local computations, for instance

$$-\Delta\phi_{N-2,\nu}=(N-2)\nu\phi_{N,\nu+1}-\nu(\nu+1)\phi_{N,\nu+2}.$$

With the log log-correction, we can do a similar expansion, namely

$$\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^{\nu}}-\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r\rho}}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r\rho})^{\nu}}=\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^{\nu}}-\frac{\log(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}+\log\frac{1}{\rho})}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}+\log\frac{1}{\rho})^{\nu}}$$
$$=\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^{\nu}}-\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}+\log\left(1+\frac{\log\frac{1}{\rho}}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}\right)}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^{\nu}\left(1+\frac{\log\frac{1}{\rho}}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}\right)^{\nu}}$$
$$\sim\frac{\nu\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}-1}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^{\nu+1}},$$

corresponding exactly to the local formula

$$-\Delta\phi^1_{N-2,\nu}=(N-2)\left(\nu\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}-1\right)\phi_{N,\nu+1}+O(\phi^1_{N,\nu+2}).$$

Proposition 4.3 (Explicit computations at the origin)**.** *Let $s\in(0,1)$. There exists a constant $C>0$ such that for any $\nu>\frac{N-2s}{N}$, $\varepsilon\in(0,1/4]$ and $r>0$,*

$$\left|(-\Delta)^s\phi_{N-2s,\nu}-\left(\nu\kappa_1\phi_{N,\nu+1}-\frac{\nu(\nu+1)\kappa_2}{2}\phi_{N,\nu+2}\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{r<1/8\}}\right|$$
$$\leq C\left(\phi_{N,\nu+3}\mathbf{1}_{\{r<1/8\}}+\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-\nu}\frac{1}{r^{N+2s}}\mathbf{1}_{\{r\geq1/8\}}\right),$$

$$\left|(-\Delta)^s\phi^1_{N-2s,\nu}-\left(\nu\kappa_1\phi^1_{N,\nu+1}-\kappa_1\phi_{N,\nu+1}\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{r<1/8\}}\right|\leq C\left(\phi^1_{N,\nu+2}\mathbf{1}_{\{r<1/8\}}+\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{r^{N+2s}\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\nu}}\mathbf{1}_{\{r\geq1/8\}}\right).$$

Proof. Thanks to (2) in Proposition 4.1 we can assert that the following equalities hold,

$$I:=r^N(-\Delta)^s(\phi_{N-2s,\nu}(r;\varepsilon))=\text{P.V.}\int_0^\infty K_{N,s}(\rho)\left(\chi(r)\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu}-\chi(r\rho)\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r\rho}\right)^{-\nu}\right)d\rho.$$

$$I^1:=r^N(-\Delta)^s(\phi^1_{N-2s,\nu}(r;\varepsilon))=\text{P.V.}\int_0^\infty K_{N,s}(\rho)\left(\chi(r)\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{\nu}}-\chi(r\rho)\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon\rho r}}{\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon\rho r}\right)^{\nu}}\right)d\rho.$$

In order to compute each of the above integrals, we need to split in different cases depending on the value of r.

First, for the polyhomogeneous function we proceed as follows

Case 1: $r \in (0, \frac{1}{8}]$**.** Here $\chi(r) = 1$ and $\frac{1}{4r} \geq 2$. Moreover, since $\chi(r\rho) = 1$ for $\rho \leq \frac{1}{4r}$ and $\chi(r\rho) = 0$ for $\rho \geq \frac{1}{2r}$, we have $I = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int_0^{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}} K_{N,s}(\rho) \left(\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r} \right)^{-\nu} - \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r \rho} \right)^{-\nu} \right) d\rho \\
I_2 &= \text{P.V.} \int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}^{\frac{1}{4r}} K_{N,s}(\rho) \left(\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r} \right)^{-\nu} - \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r} + \log \frac{1}{\rho} \right)^{-\nu} \right) d\rho \\
I_3 &= \int_{\frac{1}{4r}}^{\frac{1}{2r}} K_{N,s}(\rho) \left(\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r} \right)^{-\nu} - \chi(r\rho) \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r \rho} \right)^{-\nu} \right) d\rho \\
I_4 &= \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r} \right)^{-\nu} \int_{\frac{1}{2r}}^{\infty} K_{N,s}(\rho)\, d\rho.
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I_1| &\leq C \int_0^{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}} \rho^{2s-1} \left(\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r} \right)^{-\nu} + \left(\log \frac{1}{(\varepsilon r)^{3/2}} \right)^{-\nu} \right) d\rho \leq C(\varepsilon r)^s \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r} \right)^{-\nu} \\
|I_3| &\leq C \int_{\frac{1}{4r}}^{\frac{1}{2r}} \rho^{-(N+1)} \left(\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r} \right)^{-\nu} + \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right)^{-\nu} \right) d\rho \leq C r^N \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r} \right)^{-\nu} \\
|I_4| &\leq C \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r} \right)^{-\nu} \int_{\frac{1}{2r}}^{\infty} \rho^{-(N+1)}\, d\rho \leq C r^N \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r} \right)^{-\nu}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we only need to compute I_2. There are two possibilities

- If $r \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{16}$ we have $I_2 = \text{P.V.} \int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}} - \int_{\frac{1}{4r}}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}}$, where the second term can easily be bounded as I_3 above.
- If $r < \frac{\varepsilon}{16}$ we have $I_2 = \text{P.V.} \int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}} + \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}}^{\frac{1}{4r}}$, and the second term is bounded by:

$$
\left| \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}}^{\frac{1}{4r}} \rho^{-(N+1)} \left(\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r} \right)^{-\nu} - \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r} + \log \frac{1}{\rho} \right)^{-\nu} \right) d\rho \right| \leq C(\varepsilon r)^{N/2} \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r} \right)^{-\nu}.
$$

Thus, in both cases, it remains to compute the first term. Since $\sqrt{\varepsilon r} < \rho < 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon r}$ implies $-\frac{1}{2}\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r} < \log\frac{1}{\rho} < \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}$, one may use the binomial expansion to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 =&\text{P.V.} \int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}} K_{N,s}(\rho)\left(\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu} - \left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}+\log\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^{-\nu}\right) d\rho \\
=&\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu}\int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}} K_{N,s}(\rho)\left(1-\left(1+\frac{\log\frac{1}{\rho}}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}\right)^{-\nu}\right) d\rho \\
=&\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu}\int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}} K_{N,s}(\rho)\left(\nu\frac{\log\frac{1}{\rho}}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}} - \frac{\nu(\nu+1)}{2}\frac{(\log\frac{1}{\rho})^2}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^2} + O\left(\frac{(\log\frac{1}{\rho})^3}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^3}\right)\right) d\rho \\
=&\nu\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu-1}\int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}} K_{N,s}(\rho)\log\frac{1}{\rho}\,d\rho - \frac{\nu(\nu+1)}{2}\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu-2}\int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}} K_{N,s}(\rho)\left(\log\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^2 d\rho \\
&+ O\left(\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu-3}\right) \\
=&\nu\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu-1}\left[\int_0^{\infty} K_{N,s}(\rho)\log\frac{1}{\rho}\,d\rho - \int_0^{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}} K_{N,s}(\rho)\log\frac{1}{\rho}\,d\rho - \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}}^{\infty} K_{N,s}(\rho)\log\frac{1}{\rho}\,d\rho\right] \\
&- \frac{\nu(\nu+1)}{2}\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu-2}\left[\kappa_2(s) - \int_0^{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}} K_{N,s}(\rho)\left(\log\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^2 d\rho - \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}}^{\infty} K_{N,s}(\rho)\left(\log\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^2 d\rho\right] \\
&+ O\left(\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu-3}\right) \\
=&\kappa_1(s)\nu\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu-1} - \frac{\nu(\nu+1)}{2}\kappa_2(s)\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu-2} \\
&+ O\left(\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu-3} + (\varepsilon r)^s\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu} + (\varepsilon r)^{N/2}\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu}\right) \\
=&\kappa_1(s)\nu\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu-1} - \frac{\nu(\nu+1)}{2}\kappa_2(s)\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu-2} + O\left(\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu-3}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $K_{N,s}(\rho) \asymp \rho^{2s-1}$ as $\rho \to 0^+$ and $K_{N,s}(\rho) \asymp r^{-N-1}$ as $\rho \to +\infty$, and integration by parts in order to determine the asymptotic behavior of the integral of polyhomogeneous functions.

Case 2: $r \in (\frac{1}{8}, 1]$**.** Here we have that $w(r) = \chi(r)(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^{-\nu}$ is smooth, with

$$\begin{aligned}
&w(r) + |rw'(r)| + |w''(r)| + \int_0^{\frac{1}{4}} w(r\rho)\,d\rho + \int_1^{\infty}\frac{w(r\rho)}{\rho^{N+1}}\,d\rho \\
\le\, & C\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-\nu} + C\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu} \le C\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-\nu}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by (4.1) for $s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ or a similar consideration for $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|I| \le C\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-\nu}.$$

Case 3: $r \in (1, \infty)$**.** Here $\chi(r) = 0$, and $\chi(r\rho) > 0$ only when $\rho < \frac{1}{2r} \le \frac{1}{2}$. We have

$$I = -\int_0^{\frac{1}{2r}} K_{N,s}(\rho)\chi(r\rho)\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r\rho}\right)^{-\nu} d\rho$$

Then we can estimate, using the change of variable $\tilde{\rho} = r\rho$,

$$|I| \le C \int_0^{\frac{1}{2r}} \rho^{2s-1} \chi(r\rho) \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r \rho}\right)^{-\nu} d\rho \le \frac{C}{r^{2s}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\rho}^{2s-1} \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon \tilde{\rho}}\right)^{-\nu} d\tilde{\rho} \le \frac{C}{r^{2s}} \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-\nu}.$$

Summarizing, we have

$$(-\Delta)^s(\phi_{N-2s,\nu}(r;\varepsilon)) = \begin{cases} r^{-N}\Bigg[\kappa_1(s)\nu \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu-1} - \frac{\nu(\nu+1)}{2}\kappa_2(s) \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu-2} \\ \qquad +O\left(\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{-\nu-3}\right)\Bigg] & \text{if} \quad r \in (0, \frac{1}{8}], \\ O\left(\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-\nu} r^{-N-2s}\right) & \text{if} \quad r \in (\frac{1}{8}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Now we need to make this computations for the case of log-polyhomogeneous functions.

$$I^1 = \text{P.V.} \int_0^\infty K_{N,s}(\rho) \left(\chi(r) \frac{\log\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^\nu} - \chi(r\rho) \frac{\log\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon \rho r}}{\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon \rho r}\right)^\nu}\right) d\rho.$$

Using the fact that

$$\frac{\log\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r \rho}}{(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r \rho})^\nu} = \frac{\log(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r} + \log \frac{1}{\rho})}{(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r} + \log \frac{1}{\rho})^\nu} = \frac{\log\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r} + \log\left(1 + \frac{\log \frac{1}{\rho}}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}\right)}{(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^\nu \left(1 + \frac{\log \frac{1}{\rho}}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}\right)^\nu}$$

and Taylor expansion in the region $|\log \frac{1}{\rho}| < \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(r) \frac{\log\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^\nu} - \chi(r\rho) \frac{\log\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon \rho r}}{\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon \rho r}\right)^\nu} = & \frac{\left(\log\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)}{(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^\nu} \left[\chi(r) - \chi(r\rho)\left(1 + \nu \frac{\log \frac{1}{\rho}}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}} + O\left(\left(\frac{\log \frac{1}{\rho}}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}\right)^2\right)\right)\right] \\ & - \chi(r\rho)\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}\right)^\nu \frac{\log \frac{1}{\rho}}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log \frac{1}{\rho}}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Case 1: $r \in (0, \frac{1}{8}]$**.** Here $\chi(r) = 1$ and $\frac{1}{4r} \ge 2$. Moreover, since $\chi(r\rho) = 1$ for $\rho \le \frac{1}{4r}$ and $\chi(r\rho) = 0$ for $\rho \ge \frac{1}{2r}$, we have $I^1 = I_1^1 + I_2^1 + I_3^1 + I_4^1$ where

$$|I_1^1| = \left| \int_0^{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}} K_{N,s}(\rho) \left(\frac{\log\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^\nu} - \frac{\log\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon \rho r}}{\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon \rho r}\right)^\nu}\right) d\rho \right| \le C(\varepsilon r)^s \frac{\log\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^\nu}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3^1| &= \left| \int_{\frac{1}{4r}}^{\frac{1}{2r}} K_{N,s}(\rho) \left[\frac{\log\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^\nu} - \chi(r\rho) \frac{\log\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r \rho}}{(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r \rho})^\nu}\right] d\rho \right| \le C \int_{\frac{1}{4r}}^{\frac{1}{2r}} \rho^{-(N+1)} \left[\frac{\log\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^\nu} + \frac{\log\log \frac{4}{\varepsilon}}{(\log \frac{4}{\varepsilon})^\nu}\right] d\rho \\ &\le C r^N \frac{\log\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^\nu} \end{aligned}$$

$$|I_4^1| = \left| \int_{\frac{1}{2r}}^\infty K_{N,s}(\rho) \frac{\log\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^\nu} d\rho \right| \le C r^N \frac{\log\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^\nu},$$

while the main term I_2^1 satisfies:

- If $r \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{16}$, $I_2 = \text{P.V.} \int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}} - \int_{\frac{1}{4r}}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}}$, where the second term is bounded as I_3^1 above.

- If $r < \frac{\varepsilon}{16}$ we have $I_2 = \text{P.V.} \int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}} + \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}}^{\frac{1}{4r}}$, where

$$\int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}}^{\frac{1}{4r}} \rho^{-(N+1)} \left(\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^\nu} - \frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r} + \log\log\frac{1}{\rho}}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r} + \log\frac{1}{\rho})^\nu} \right) d\rho \le C(\varepsilon r)^{N/2} \frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^\nu}.$$

Thus, in both cases, it remains to bound the first term.

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^1 = \text{P.V.} \int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}} K_{N,s}(\rho) \Bigg[& \frac{(\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^\nu} \left[1 - \left(1 + \nu \frac{\log\frac{1}{\rho}}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}} + O\left(\left(\frac{\log\frac{1}{\rho}}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}} \right)^2 \right) \right) \right] \\ & - \left(\frac{1}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}} \right)^\nu \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log\frac{1}{\rho}}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}} \right) \right) \frac{\log\frac{1}{\rho}}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log\frac{1}{\rho}}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}} \right) \right) \Bigg] d\rho \\ = {} & \frac{\nu\kappa_1(s)\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^{\nu+1}} + O\left(\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^{\nu+2}} \right) - \frac{\kappa_1(s)}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^{\nu+1}} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^{\nu+2}} \right) \\ & - \frac{\nu\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r} - 1}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^{\nu+1}} \left(\int_0^{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}} + \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon r}}}^\infty \right) \left(K_{N,s}(\rho) \log\frac{1}{\rho} \right) d\rho \\ = {} & \kappa_1(s) \frac{\nu\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r} - 1}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^{\nu+1}} + O\left(\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^{\nu+2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $r \in (\frac{1}{8}, 1]$**.** Here we have that $w(r) = \chi(r)\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^\nu}$ is smooth and, in particular, C^2 with

$$w(r) + \int_0^{\frac{1}{4}} w(r\rho)\, d\rho + |rw'(r)| + |w''(r)| + \int_1^\infty \frac{w(r\rho)}{\rho^{N+1}}\, d\rho \le C\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon})^\nu}.$$

Then, by (4.1),

$$|I| = O\left(\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon})^\nu} \right).$$

Case 3: $r \in (1, \infty)$**.** Here $\chi(r) = 0$, and $\chi(r\rho) > 0$ only when $\rho < \frac{1}{2r} \le \frac{1}{2}$. We have

$$I^1 = -\int_0^{\frac{1}{2r}} K_{N,s}(\rho)\chi(r\rho) \frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r\rho}}{\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r\rho}\right)^\nu} d\rho$$

Then we can estimate, using the change of variable $\tilde{\rho} = r\rho$,

$$|I^1| \le C\int_0^{\frac{1}{2r}} \rho^{2s-1}\chi(r\rho) \frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r\rho}}{\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r\rho}\right)^\nu} d\rho \le \frac{C}{r^{2s}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\rho}^{2s-1} \frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon\tilde{\rho}}}{\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon\tilde{\rho}}\right)^\nu} d\tilde{\rho} \le \frac{C}{r^{2s}} \frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^\nu}.$$

Since the second and third cases can be combined, we conclude that

$$(-\Delta)^s \left(\phi^1_{N-2s,\nu}(r;\varepsilon) \right) = \begin{cases} r^{-N} \left[\kappa_1(s) \frac{\nu\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r} - 1}{\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{\nu+1}} + O\left(\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}\right)^{\nu+2}} \right) \right] & \text{if} \quad r \in (0, \frac{1}{8}], \\ O\left(r^{-N-2s} \frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^\nu} \right) & \text{if} \quad r \in (\frac{1}{8}, \infty), \end{cases}$$

as desired. □

Proposition 4.4 (Explicit computations at infinity)**.** *Let $s \in (0,1)$. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\nu > 0$, $r > 0$,*

$$(-\Delta)^s \tilde{\phi}^\nu_{N-2s}(r) = \left(\nu\kappa_1 \tilde{\phi}^{\nu-1}_N(r) + O\left(\tilde{\phi}^{\nu-2}_N(r) \right) \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{r>4\}} + O\left(r^{-N} \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{r\le 4\}}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, we have

$$r^N(-\Delta)^s\tilde{\phi}^\nu_{N-2s} = \int_0^\infty K_{N,s}(\rho)\left(\left(1-\chi\left(\frac{r}{4}\right)\right)(\log r)^\nu - \left(1-\chi\left(\frac{r\rho}{4}\right)\right)\left(\log(r\rho)\right)^\nu\right)\,d\rho.$$

When $r>4$, we split

$$r^N(-\Delta)^s\tilde{\phi}^\nu_{N-2s} = I_1 + I_2$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_{\frac{2}{r}}^\infty K_{N,s}(\rho)\left((\log r)^\nu - (\log r+\log\rho)^\nu\right)\,d\rho,$$
$$I_2 = \int_0^{\frac{2}{r}} K_{N,s}(\rho)\left((\log r)^\nu - \left(1-\chi\left(\frac{r\rho}{4}\right)\right)\left(\log(r\rho)\right)^\nu\right)\,d\rho.$$

For I_1 we single out the region $\rho\in[1/\sqrt{r},\sqrt{r}]$ where a binomial expansion is possible, namely $I_1 = I_{1,1}+I_{1,2}+I_{1,3}$ with

$$I_{1,1} = \int_{\frac{2}{r}}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}} K_{N,s}(\rho)\left((\log r)^\nu - (\log r+\log\rho)^\nu\right)\,d\rho,$$
$$I_{1,2} = \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}}^{\sqrt{r}} K_{N,s}(\rho)\left((\log r)^\nu - (\log r+\log\rho)^\nu\right)\,d\rho,$$
$$I_{1,3} = \int_{\sqrt{r}}^\infty K_{N,s}(\rho)\left((\log r)^\nu - (\log r+\log\rho)^\nu\right)\,d\rho.$$

The main term is

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,2} &= (\log r)^\nu\int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}}^{\sqrt{r}} K_{N,s}(\rho)\left(1-\left(1+\frac{\log\rho}{\log r}\right)^\nu\right)\,d\rho \\ &= (\log r)^\nu\int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}}^{\sqrt{r}} K_{N,s}(\rho)\left(-\nu\frac{\log\rho}{\log r}+O\left(\frac{(\log\rho)^2}{(\log r)^2}\right)\right)\,d\rho \\ &= \nu\kappa_1(\log r)^{\nu-1}+O\left((\log r)^{\nu-2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

(Note that the error for extending the integral from $[\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}},\sqrt{r}]$ to $[0,\infty)$ is controlled by $r^{-s}+r^{-\frac{N}{2}}\ll(\log r)^{-1}$.) The remaining terms are bounded by

$$|I_{1,1}| \lesssim \int_{\frac{2}{r}}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}}\rho^{2s-1}(\log r)^\nu\,d\rho \lesssim r^{-s}(\log r)^\nu,$$
$$|I_{1,3}| \lesssim \int_{\sqrt{r}}^\infty \rho^{-N-1}(\log r)^\nu\,d\rho \lesssim r^{-\frac{N}{2}}(\log r)^\nu,$$
$$|I_2| \lesssim \int_0^{\frac12}\rho^{2s-1}(\log r)^\nu\,d\rho + \int_{\frac1r}^{\frac2r}\rho^{2s-1}(\log 2)^\mu\,d\rho \le C.$$

For $r\le 4$, using Remark B.4, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left|r^N(-\Delta)^s\tilde{\phi}^\nu_{N-2s}\right| &\lesssim \int_0^{\frac12}\rho^{2s-1}\,d\rho + \int_{\frac12}^2 \frac{\|(1-\chi(r/4))(\log r)^\nu\|_{C^2([\frac{r}{2},2r])}\,|\rho-1|^2}{|\rho-1|^{1+2s}}\,d\rho + \int_2^\infty \rho^{-N-1}(\log\rho)^\nu\,d\rho \\ &\le C. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

5. Construction of a singular radial solution

5.1. **General strategy.** Let

$$c_0 = c_0(N,s) = \left(\frac{N-2s}{2s}\kappa_1(s)\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}} > 0 \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$c_1 = c_1(N,s) = -\frac{(N-2s)N}{4s^2}\frac{\kappa_2(N,s)}{\kappa_1(N,s)}c_0(N,s) < 0, \tag{5.2}$$

where $\kappa_i(s)$ are given in (4.4). Define the *Ansatz*

$$\bar{u}_\varepsilon(r) = c_0\phi_{N-2s,\frac{N-2s}{2s}}(r;\varepsilon) + c_1\phi^1_{N-2s,\frac{N}{2s}}(r;\varepsilon),$$

where $\phi^\vartheta_{\mu,\nu}$ is defined in (4.2). Note that the involved constants and functions will also depend on the parameter s, although it is suppressed from the notation for simplicity. We will point it out only in the cases where the value of s makes a difference. Coming back to our approximate solution $\bar{u}_\varepsilon(r)$, we remark that the second term is crucial to improve the decay of the error in order that a linear theory can be developed by a direct barrier and continuation argument. Moreover, the correction has to involve a $\log\log$-term; otherwise the improvement would cancel.

Since we do not know *a priori* the sign of the perturbation, we consider instead[16]

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = |u|^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} & \text{in } B_1\setminus\{0\}, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1. \end{cases}$$

Looking for a true solution $u_\varepsilon = \bar{u}_\varepsilon + \varphi$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon\varphi = -\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon + \mathcal{N}[\varphi] & \text{in } B_1\setminus\{0\}, \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1, \end{cases}$$

where we denote the error by

$$\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon = (-\Delta)^s\bar{u}_\varepsilon - \bar{u}_\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{N-2s}},$$

the linearized operator by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon\varphi &= (-\Delta)^s\varphi - \frac{N}{N-2s}(\bar{u}_\varepsilon)^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}\varphi \\ &= (-\Delta)^s\varphi - \frac{N}{2s}\kappa_1\frac{\chi^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}(r)}{r^{2s}\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}\left(1+O\left(\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}\right)\right)\varphi, \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

and the nonlinear term by

$$\mathcal{N}[\varphi] = |\bar{u}_\varepsilon+\varphi|^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - (\bar{u}_\varepsilon)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - \frac{N}{N-2s}(\bar{u}_\varepsilon)^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}\varphi. \tag{5.4}$$

By studying the mapping properties of the linearized operator $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon$, we will justify the fixed-point formulation

$$\varphi = -\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon + \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\mathcal{N}[\varphi],$$

and solve it by the implicit function theorem. More precisely, for $\mu \geq N-2s$, $\nu > \frac{N-2s}{2s}$, $\alpha\in[0,1]$, $\bar{C}_1 > 0$ (to be chosen sufficiently large), we define the weights

$$w_{\mu,\nu;\alpha}(r) := \phi_{\mu,\nu}(r;1/4) + (\bar{C}_1)^{(\operatorname{sign}\alpha)_+}(1-|x|^2)_+^\alpha. \tag{5.5}$$

Hereafter we denote the positive part of a real number $a\in\mathbb{R}$ as $a_+ = \max\{a,0\}$. Note also that $(\operatorname{sign}\alpha)_+ = 0$ for $\alpha\leq 0$ and $(\operatorname{sign}\alpha)_+ = 1$ for $\alpha > 0$. This weight includes the following cases:

- $w_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s};0}$, which represents the size of the error $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$ (Proposition 5.1);

[16] Indeed, any solution is s-superharmonic and this will imply positivity.

- $w_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s};s}$, which represents the order of the perturbation φ (see Proposition 5.4; the numerology following from Proposition 4.3, at least near the singularity);
- $w_{N-2s,\frac{N+s/2}{2s};\alpha_1}$ (where $\alpha_1 \in (0,s)$), a $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon$-superharmonic function which is more singular than $w_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s};s}$ (needed for the application of the L^2-maximum principle).

We define the corresponding norms for $L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(B_1\setminus\{0\})$-functions by

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mu,\nu;\alpha} := \left\|w^{-1}_{\mu,\nu;\alpha}\varphi\right\|_{L^\infty(B_1\setminus\{0\})}.$$

Thus the error $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$ is contained in[17]

$$Y := \left\{ f \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(B_1\setminus\{0\}) : \|f\|_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s};0} \le 2\bar{C}_2|\log\varepsilon|^{-\left(\frac12\wedge\frac{N-2s}{2s}\right)} \right\},$$

for some $\bar{C}_2 > 0$ (given in Proposition 5.1). We will search for φ in the Banach space

$$X := \left\{ \varphi \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(B_1\setminus\{0\}) : \|\varphi\|_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s};s} \le 2\bar{C}_2\bar{C}_3|\log\varepsilon|^{-\left(\frac12\wedge\frac{N-2s}{2s}\right)} \right\}, \tag{5.6}$$

where $\bar{C}_3 > 0$ is a large constant to be fixed. (In fact, $\bar{C}_3 = (\bar{c}_2)^{-1}$ with $\bar{c}_2$ given in Proposition 5.3.) Define also the spaces

$$\widetilde{Y} := \left\{ g \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(B_1\setminus\{0\}) : \|g\|_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s};0} < +\infty \right\},$$

$$\widetilde{X} := \left\{ v \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(B_1\setminus\{0\}) : \|v\|_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s};s} < +\infty \right\}.$$

We will show that $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1} : \widetilde{Y} \to \widetilde{X}$ is a (uniformly-in-ε) bounded operator. Using $w_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s};s}$ itself as a barrier, we prove an *a priori* estimate (Proposition 5.4). Then the existence of the inverse operator follows from the method of continuity (Proposition 5.6). Finally, we will apply the contraction mapping principle in X (Proposition 5.7).

Although these function spaces contain non-radial functions, the solutions we construct are indeed radial by the method of moving plane (Proposition 3.5).

5.2. **Error estimate.**

Proposition 5.1 (Error estimate)**.** *There exists a universal constant $\bar{C}_2$ such that for all $r \in (0,1)$,*

$$|\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(r)| \le \bar{C}_2|\log\varepsilon|^{-\left(\frac12\wedge\frac{N-2s}{2s}\right)} w_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s};0}(r).$$

Proof. Directly by the computations done in Proposition 4.3 we can assert that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-\Delta)^s\bar{u}_\varepsilon \\
&= c_0\left[\left(\tfrac{N-2s}{2s}\kappa_1\phi_{N,\frac{N}{2s}} - \tfrac{(N-2s)N}{4s^2}\kappa_2\phi_{N,\frac{N+2s}{2s}} + O(\phi_{N,\frac{N+4s}{2s}})\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{r<\frac18\}} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^{N+2s}(\log\frac1\varepsilon)^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}}\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{r\ge\frac18\}}\right] \\
&\quad + c_1\left[\left(\tfrac{N}{2s}\kappa_1\phi^1_{N,\frac{N+2s}{2s}} - \kappa_1\phi_{N,\frac{N+2s}{2s}} + O(\phi_{N,\frac{N+4s}{2s}})\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{r<\frac18\}} + O\left(\frac{\log\log\frac1\varepsilon}{r^{N+2s}(\log\frac1\varepsilon)^{\frac{N}{2s}}}\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{r\ge\frac18\}}\right] \\
&= \left(c_0\tfrac{N-2s}{2s}\kappa_1\phi_{N,\frac{N}{2s}} + c_1\tfrac{N}{2s}\kappa_1\phi^1_{N,\frac{N+2s}{2s}} + O(\phi^1_{N,\frac{N+4s}{2s}})\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{r<\frac18\}} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^{N+2s}(\log\frac1\varepsilon)^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}}\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{r\ge\frac18\}},
\end{aligned}$$

[17] $(a\wedge b) := \min\{a,b\}$.

where the $\phi_{N,\frac{N}{2s}}$ term vanishes due to the choice that $c_0\frac{(N-2s)N}{4s^2}\kappa_2 + c_1\kappa_1 = 0$. Moreover, since $c_0^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} = c_0\frac{N-2s}{2s}\kappa_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{u}_\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} &= c_0^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\chi^{\frac{1}{N-2s}}\phi_{N,\frac{N}{2s}}\left(1+\frac{c_1}{c_0}\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\\ &= c_0\frac{N-2s}{2s}\kappa_1\chi^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}\phi_{N,\frac{N}{2s}}\left(1+\frac{N}{N-2s}\frac{c_1}{c_0}\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}+O\left(\frac{(\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^2}{(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})^2}\right)\right)\\ &= \chi^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}\left[c_0\frac{N-2s}{2s}\kappa_1\phi_{N,\frac{N}{2s}} + c_1\frac{N}{2s}\kappa_1\phi^1_{N,\frac{N+2s}{2s}} + O(\phi^2_{N,\frac{N+2s}{2s}})\right].\end{aligned}$$

As $\chi = 1$ for $r\in(0,\frac{1}{8})$, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon| = \left|(-\Delta)^s\bar{u}_\varepsilon - \bar{u}_\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\right| &\lesssim \phi^2_{N,\frac{N+4s}{2s}}(r;\varepsilon)\mathbf{1}_{\{r<\frac{1}{8}\}} + \frac{1}{r^{N+2s}(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}}\mathbf{1}_{\{r\geq\frac{1}{8}\}}\\ &\lesssim |\log\varepsilon|^{-(\frac{1}{2}\wedge\frac{N-2s}{2s})}\left(\phi_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s}}(r;1/4)\mathbf{1}_{\{r<\frac{1}{8}\}} + \frac{1}{r^{N+2s}}\mathbf{1}_{\{r\geq\frac{1}{8}\}}\right).\end{aligned}$$ □

5.3. **Linear theory.** We consider the linear problem

$$\begin{cases}\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon\varphi = f, & \text{in } B_1\setminus\{0\}\\ \varphi = 0, & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1.\end{cases}\tag{5.7}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon\varphi$ is the linearized operator as defined in (5.3).

Powers of the torsion function $(1-|x|^2)_+^s$ are among those few functions whose fractional Laplacians can be expressed explicitly in terms of Gauss hypergeometric functions (see Appendix D for useful formulae). They serve as ideal candidates as barriers for boundary regularity and have been used extensively in the community, for instance [129, Section 3]. (Note that a generalization is obtained in [4].)

The following formula is crucial in our construction. Similar explicit computations can be found in [79, Theorem 5.2] and [4, Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3] (for the 1-dimensional case).

Hereafter $\mathrm{B}(\cdot,\cdot)$ denotes the Euler Beta function, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{B}(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1}\,dt = \frac{\Gamma(x)\,\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}.$$

Theorem (Dyda, [66, Theorem 1]). *For $N\geq 1$, $s\in(0,1)$ and $\alpha>-1$, there holds*

$$(-\Delta)^s(1-|x|^2)_+^\alpha = \frac{C_{N,s}\pi^{N/2}|\mathrm{B}(\alpha+1,-s)|}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}\ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\frac{N+2s}{2}, s-\alpha, \frac{N}{2}; |x|^2\right), \qquad \forall x \text{ in } B_1,\tag{5.8}$$

where ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ denotes the hypergeometric function.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $N\geq 2$, $s\in(0,1)$ and $\alpha\in(0,s]\setminus\{2s-1\}$, then there exists a constant $c = c(N,s,\alpha)>0$ such that*

$$(-\Delta)^s(1-|x|^2)_+^\alpha \geq c + c(s-\alpha)(1-|x|^2)^{-(2s-\alpha)}, \qquad \forall x\in B_1.$$

Proof. When $\alpha = s$, the right hand side of (5.8) reduces to a positive constant. For $s-\alpha>0$, by truncating the hypergeometric series at the first term (see Lemma D.1),

$$(-\Delta)^s(1-|x|^2)_+^{\frac{s}{2}} \geq c>0 \quad \text{in } B_1.$$

Moreover, by (D.2),

$$\begin{aligned}&(-\Delta)^s(1-|x|^2)_+^\alpha\\ &= \frac{C_{N,s}\pi^{N/2}|\mathrm{B}(\alpha+1,-s)|}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}\left[\frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})\Gamma(-(2s-\alpha))}{\Gamma(-s)\Gamma(\frac{N-2s}{2}+\alpha)}\ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\frac{N+2s}{2}, s-\alpha; 2s-\alpha+1; 1-|x|^2\right)\right.\\ &\qquad\left.+\,(1-|x|^2)^{-(2s-\alpha)}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})\Gamma(2s-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\frac{N+2s}{2})\Gamma(s-\alpha)}\ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(-s, \frac{N-2s}{2}+\alpha; 1-2s+\alpha; 1-|x|^2\right)\right].\end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha\in(0,s)$ such that $2s-\alpha\neq 1$, while the first term can be negative for s, we know from (D.1) that for $|x|$ close to 1 the second term blows up to $+\infty$ and dominates. This completes the proof. □

Proposition 5.3 (Super-solutions)**.** *Consider the weights $w_{\mu,\nu;\alpha}>0$ defined in (5.5). Fix any $\alpha_1\in(0,s)\setminus\{2s-1\}$. Then there exists a universal constant $\bar c_2=\bar c_2(N,s)>0$ such that for $\bar C_1>0$ large enough, $\varepsilon>0$ small enough and $r\in(0,1)$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon w_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s};s} &\geq \bar c_2 w_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s};0},\\ \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon w_{N-2s,\frac{N+s/2}{2s};\alpha_1} &\geq \bar c_2 w_{N,\frac{N+5s/2}{2s};-(2s-\alpha_1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\nu>\frac{N}{2s}$. Using Proposition 4.3 and Lemma 5.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon w_{N-2s,\nu;s} &\geq \left(\nu\kappa_1\phi_{N,\nu+1}-C\phi_{N,\nu+2}\mathbf{1}_{\{r<1/8\}}-C\mathbf{1}_{\{r\geq 1/8\}}\right)+\bar C_1 c,\\ \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon w_{N-2s,\nu;\alpha_1} &\geq \left(\nu\kappa_1\phi_{N,\nu+1}-C\phi_{N,\nu+2}\mathbf{1}_{\{r<1/8\}}-C\mathbf{1}_{\{r\geq 1/8\}}\right)+\bar C_1 c(1-r^2)^{-(2s-\alpha_1)},\end{aligned}$$

for any $r\in(0,1)$. Provided that $\bar C_1$ is large, the negative terms can be absorbed. This yields the result. □

Proposition 5.4 (A priori estimate)**.** *Let $\varphi\in\widetilde{X}$ be a solution*

$$\begin{cases}\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon\varphi = f & \text{in } B_1\setminus\{0\},\\ \varphi = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1,\end{cases}\tag{5.9}$$

for $f\in\widetilde{Y}$. Then,

$$\|\varphi\|_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s};s}\leq(\bar c_2)^{-1}\|f\|_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s};0},$$

where $\bar c_2$ is as in Proposition 5.3.

Proof. For any $\delta>0$, define

$$\tilde w^\delta := (\bar c_2)^{-1}\left(\|f\|_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s};0}\,w_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s};s}+\delta w_{N-2s,\frac{N+s/2}{2s};\alpha_1}\right),\qquad \text{in } B_1\setminus\{0\}.$$

Then by Proposition 5.3,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon\tilde w^\delta &\geq \|f\|_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s};0}\,w_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s};0}+\delta w_{N,\frac{N+5s/2}{2s};-(2s-\alpha_1)}\\ &\geq -|f|+\delta w_{N,\frac{N+5s/2}{2s};-(2s-\alpha_1)},\qquad \text{in } B_1\setminus\{0\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(\tilde w^\delta\pm\varphi)>0\qquad\text{in } B_1\setminus\{0\}.$$

Moreover, since $\|\varphi\|_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s};s}<+\infty$, φ is asymptotically controlled by $w_{N-2s,\frac{N+s/2}{2s};\alpha_1}$ as $r\to 0^+$ and as $r\to 1^-$. In other words, for each $\delta>0$ there exists $\tilde r=\tilde r\big(\delta,\|\varphi\|_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s};s}\big)\in(0,\frac12)$ such that

$$\tilde w^\delta\pm\varphi>0\quad\text{in } (B_{\tilde r}\setminus\{0\})\cup(B_1\setminus B_{1-\tilde r}).$$

By the maximum principle Lemma C.2 for very weak solutions, we conclude that

$$\tilde w^\delta\pm\varphi\geq 0\qquad\text{in } B_1\setminus\{0\}.$$

Taking $\delta \to 0^+$, we have

$$\|\varphi\|_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s};s} \leq (\bar{c}_2)^{-1} \|f\|_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s};0},$$

as desired. □

Recalling the definition of the Green function associated to the fractional Laplacian on the ball given by (2.1), we can assert that

$$\mathbb{G}(x,y) \asymp \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2s}} \left(1 \wedge \frac{(1-|x|)^s}{|x-y|^s}\right) \left(1 \wedge \frac{(1-|y|)^s}{|x-y|^s}\right) \lesssim \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2s}} \wedge \frac{(1-|x|)^s}{|x-y|^{N-s}}.$$

See, for instance, [39] or [30, Theorem 3.1], where the explicit formula is obtained.

Proposition 5.5. *The Green operator restricted to*

$$\mathcal{G} : \widetilde{Y} \to \widetilde{X}$$

is bounded.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\mathcal{G}\left(w_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s};0}\right) \lesssim w_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s};s}$. First we observe that by the trivial inequality $a \vee b \leq a+b,\ \forall a,b \geq 0$ applied to the definition of $w_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s};0}$ given by (5.5),

$$\mathcal{G}\left(w_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s};0}\right)(x) \lesssim \int_{B_1} \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2s}} \wedge \frac{(1-|x|)^s}{|x-y|^{N-s}}\right) \left(\phi_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s}}(|y|;\tfrac{1}{4}) + 1\right) dy$$

Then, a direct computation using Proposition 4.1 shows that for $r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(-\Delta)^{-s}\left(\phi_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s}}(\cdot;\tfrac{1}{4}) + \mathbf{1}_{B_1}\right)(r) &\lesssim \frac{1}{r^{N-2s}} \int_0^\infty K_{N,-s}(\rho) \left(\frac{1}{(\log\frac{1}{r\rho})^{\frac{N+3s}{2s}}} + (r\rho)^N\right) \mathbf{1}_{\{r\rho<1\}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{r^{N-2s}} \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{(\log\frac{1}{r} + \log\frac{1}{\rho})^{\frac{N+3s}{2s}}} d\left(-\log\frac{1}{\rho}\right) + 1\right) \\
&\lesssim \phi_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s}} + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$(-\Delta)^{-\frac{s}{2}}\left(\phi_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s}}(\cdot;\tfrac{1}{4}) + \mathbf{1}_{B_1}\right)(r) \lesssim r^{-(N-s)} + 1.$$

Hence the following two inequalities hold,

$$\mathcal{G}\left(w_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s};0}\right)(x) \lesssim \int_{B_1} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2s}} \left(\phi_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s}}(|y|;\tfrac{1}{4}) + 1\right) dy \lesssim \phi_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s}}(|x|;\tfrac{1}{4}) + 1$$

$$\mathcal{G}\left(w_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s};0}\right)(x) \lesssim (1-|x|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{B_1} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-s}} \left(\phi_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s}}(|y|;\tfrac{1}{4}) + 1\right) dy \lesssim (1-|x|)^s \left(|x|^{-(N-s)} + 1\right).$$

Note that these estimates hold in the whole unit ball and are sharp in the respective regions: first one near the origin and the second near the boundary. Taking the minimum and recalling (5.5), the result follows. Indeed,

$$\mathcal{G}\left(w_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s};0}\right)(x) \lesssim \left(\phi_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s}}(|x|;\tfrac{1}{4}) + 1\right) \wedge \left((1-|x|)^s |x|^{-(N-s)} + (1-|x|)^s\right) \lesssim w_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s};s}.$$ □

Proposition 5.6 (Existence and uniqueness)**.** *For any $f \in \widetilde{Y}$, there exists a unique solution $\varphi \in \widetilde{X}$ of*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon \varphi = f & \text{in } B_1 \setminus \{0\}, \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1, \end{cases}$$

with

$$\|\varphi\|_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s};s} \leq (\bar{c}_2)^{-1} \|f\|_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s};0}.$$

In particular, the operator $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon : Y \to X$ has a uniformly bounded inverse with its operator norm bounded by

$$\|\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\| \leq (\bar{c}_2)^{-1}, \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0,1),$$

$\bar{c}_2$ is the constant given in Proposition 5.3.

Proof. We prove the existence and uniqueness of solution using the method of continuity [38, Proposition 3.11] (for a general setting see [83, Theorem 5.2]). Indeed, we interpolate between $(-\Delta)^s$ and $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon$ linearly, i.e. for any $\lambda \in [0,1]$, we define

$$\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^\lambda := (-\Delta)^s - \lambda \frac{N}{N-2s}(\bar{u}_\varepsilon)^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}.$$

We just need to show that $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^\lambda$ has a bounded inverse for all $\lambda \in [0,1]$. That is, the operator $\widetilde{Y} \to \widetilde{X}$ is well defined and bounded. We can prove this claim by induction, increasing λ by a fixed amount and iterating as follows:

First, let $\lambda = 0$ so we have $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^0 = (-\Delta)^s$. Using the Green Representation, from Proposition 5.5 we know that $\mathcal{G} : \widetilde{Y} \to \widetilde{X}$ is well defined and it is a bounded operator. Then, the assertion is true for $\lambda = 0$.

Now, by induction hypothesis, we suppose that $(\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^\lambda)^{-1} : \widetilde{Y} \to \widetilde{X}$ exists, then for any $\delta \in (0, 1-\lambda]$, the equation

$$\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{\lambda+\delta}\varphi = \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^\lambda \varphi - \delta \frac{N}{2s}\kappa_1 \frac{\chi^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}(\varepsilon r)}{r^{2s}(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}\right)\right)\varphi = f$$

can be rewritten (in its fixed-point form) as

$$\varphi = (\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^\lambda)^{-1} f + \delta \frac{N}{2s}\kappa_1 (\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^\lambda)^{-1}\left(\frac{\chi^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}(\varepsilon r)}{r^{2s}(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}\right)\right)\varphi\right). \tag{5.10}$$

Note that the multiplication operator by $\left(\frac{\chi^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}(\varepsilon r)}{r^{2s}(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r})}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}\right)\right)\right)$ maps $\widetilde{Y} \to \widetilde{X}$ and is bounded. Then, in view of Proposition 5.4, for δ universally small the right hand side of (5.10) defines a contraction, showing that $(\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{\lambda+\delta})^{-1}$ exists (which again has the same bound by Proposition 5.4). The invertibility of $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon$ follows after δ^{-1} iterations. □

5.4. **The nonlinear equation.** We are now in a position to solve the equation

$$\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon \varphi = -\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon + \mathcal{N}[\varphi], \qquad \text{in } B_1, \tag{5.11}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$ is the error (given in Proposition 5.1) made by approximating with u_ε and the nonlinear term $\mathcal{N}[\varphi]$ is defined in (5.4). The non-linear equation (5.11), in the fixed point form, reads

$$\varphi = G_\varepsilon[\varphi] := \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}(-\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon + \mathcal{N}[\varphi]),$$

where the solution operator $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1} : Y \to X$ exists and is uniformly bounded in view of Proposition 5.6.

Proposition 5.7 (Contraction)**.** *For $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ (with smallness depending only on N and s), $G_\varepsilon : X \to X$ is a contraction on X as defined in (5.6).*

Proof. We compute, using mean value theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{N}[\varphi] - \mathcal{N}[\tilde{\varphi}]\right| &= \left||\bar{u}_\varepsilon + \varphi|^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - |\bar{u}_\varepsilon + \tilde{\varphi}|^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - \frac{N}{N-2s}(\bar{u}_\varepsilon)^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}(\varphi - \tilde{\varphi})\right| \\
&\le \frac{N}{N-2s}\int_0^1 \left||\bar{u}_\varepsilon + (1-t)\varphi - t\tilde{\varphi}|^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}-1}(\bar{u}_\varepsilon + (1-t)\varphi - t\tilde{\varphi}) - (\bar{u}_\varepsilon)^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}\right| dt \cdot |\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}| \\
&\le \frac{2sN}{(N-2s)^2}\int_0^1\int_0^1 |\bar{u}_\varepsilon + \tau(1-t)\varphi - \tau t\tilde{\varphi}|^{\frac{N}{N-2s}-2}\, dt\, d\tau \cdot (|\varphi| + |\tilde{\varphi}|)\,|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}| \\
&\le C\left(w_{N-2s,\frac{N-2s}{2s};s}\right)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}-2}\left(2\bar{C}_2\bar{C}_3|\log\varepsilon|^{-(\frac{1}{2}\wedge\frac{N-2s}{2s})}\right)\left(w_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s};s}\right)^2 \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s};s} \\
&\le C\big(N,s,\bar{C}_2,\bar{C}_3\big)|\log\varepsilon|^{-(\frac{1}{2}\wedge\frac{N-2s}{2s})} w_{N,\frac{N+6s}{2s};\frac{N}{N-2s}s}\, \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s};s} \\
&\le C\big(N,s,\bar{C}_1,\bar{C}_2,\bar{C}_3\big)|\log\varepsilon|^{-(\frac{1}{2}\wedge\frac{N-2s}{2s})-\frac{3}{2}} w_{N,\frac{N+3s}{2s};0}\, \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s};s}.
\end{aligned}$$

As a result of Proposition 5.6,

$$\left|G_\varepsilon[\varphi] - G_\varepsilon[\tilde{\varphi}]\right| \le C\big(N, s, \bar{C}_1, \bar{C}_2, \bar{C}_3\big) |\log \varepsilon|^{-(\frac{1}{2}\wedge\frac{N-2s}{2s})-\frac{3}{2}} w_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s};s} \left\|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\right\|_{N-2s,\frac{N+s}{2s};s},$$

showing that G_ε is contractive for $\varepsilon \ll 1$. Putting $\tilde{\varphi} = 0$ also shows G_ε maps X to X, as desired. □

5.5. **Local regularity.**

Lemma 5.8 (Ros-Oton–Serra [128, Corollary 2.4 and 2.5])**.** *If $(-\Delta)^s w = h$ in B_1 then for non-negative non-integer $\beta \ge 0$, $\beta + 2s$,*

$$\|w\|_{C^\beta(\overline{B_{1/2}})} \lesssim \|w\|_{L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|w\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + \|h\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}.$$

$$\|w\|_{C^{\beta+2s}(\overline{B_{1/2}})} \lesssim \|w\|_{L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|w\|_{C^\beta(\overline{B_1})} + \|h\|_{C^\beta(\overline{B_1})}.$$

where $L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^N) := \{w \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : \ (1+|x|)^{-N-2s} w \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$.

We have the following *a priori* estimate.

Lemma 5.9 (*A priori* Hölder estimates)**.** *Suppose $u \in C^2(B_1 \setminus \{0\}) \cap C^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ is a solution of*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = u^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} & \text{in } B_1 \setminus \{0\}, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1, \end{cases}$$

such that

$$u \le \phi_{N-2s,\frac{N-2s}{2s}} \quad \text{in } B_{1/4}$$

Then $u \in C^\infty(B_1 \setminus \{0\})$. Moreover, for any $\beta > 0$ and $x \in B_{1/8} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$[u]_{C^\beta(B_{|x|/4}(x))} \lesssim_\beta \phi_{N-2s+\beta,\frac{N-2s}{2s}}(x).$$

Proof. Fix $x \in B_{1/8} \setminus \{0\}$. Let

$$v(y) = \left(\frac{|x|}{2}\right)^{N-2s} u\left(x + \frac{|x|}{2} y\right).$$

Then v satisfies the equation

$$(-\Delta)^s v = v^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} \qquad \text{in } B_1,$$

and the bounds

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + \|v\|_{L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \lesssim \frac{1}{(\log \frac{1}{|x|})^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}}.$$

Indeed, while the L^∞ control is trivial, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v\|_{L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^N)} &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1+|y|)^{-N-2s}|x|^{N-2s}u\left(x+\frac{|x|}{2}y\right)\,dy\\
&\lesssim \int_{|y|\le 1}\frac{1}{(\log\frac{1}{|x|})^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}}\,dy + \int_{|y|\ge 1}|y|^{-N-2s}|x|^{N-2s}u\left(x+\frac{|x|}{2}y\right)\,dy\\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{(\log\frac{1}{|x|})^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}} + \int_{|z-x|\ge\frac{|x|}{2}}\left(1+\frac{|z-x|}{|x|}\right)^{-N-2s}|x|^{-2s}u(z)\,dz\\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{(\log\frac{1}{|x|})^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}} + \left(\int_{|z|<2|x|}+\int_{2|x|\le|z|<e^{-1}}+\int_{e^{-1}\le|z|<1}\right)\left(1+\frac{|z-x|}{|x|}\right)^{-N-2s}|x|^{-2s}u(z)\,dz\\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{(\log\frac{1}{|x|})^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}} + \int_0^{2|x|}|x|^{-2s}\frac{\rho^{N-1}}{\rho^{N-2s}(\log\frac{1}{\rho})^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}}\,d\rho\\
&\quad + \int_{2|x|}^{e^{-1}}\left(\frac{\rho}{|x|}\right)^{-N-2s}|x|^{-2s}\frac{\rho^{N-1}}{\rho^{N-2s}(\log\frac{1}{\rho})^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}}\,d\rho + \int_{e^{-1}}^1\left(\frac{\rho}{|x|}\right)^{-N-2s}|x|^{-2s}\rho^{N-1}\,d\rho\\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{(\log\frac{1}{|x|})^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}} + \int_0^2\frac{d\tilde\rho}{(\log\frac{1}{\tilde\rho|x|})^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}} + |x|^N\int_{2|x|}^{e^{-1}}\frac{1}{\rho^{N+1}(\log\frac{1}{\rho})^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}}\,d\rho + |x|^N\int_{e^{-1}}^1\rho^{-2s-1}\,d\rho\\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{(\log\frac{1}{|x|})^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 5.8, we have that for any $\beta\in(0,2s)$,

$$\|v\|_{C^\beta(B_{1/2})}\lesssim\frac{1}{(\log\frac{1}{|x|})^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}}.$$

Going back to u, we obtain the desired estimate. Bootstrapping by Lemma 5.8 (recall that $\frac{N}{N-2s}>1$) and using a covering argument, we have for any $\beta>0$,

$$\|v\|_{C^\beta(B_{1/2})}\le\frac{C(N,s,\beta)}{(\log\frac{1}{|x|})^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}}.$$

Rescaling back to u, the bound on the C^β semi-norm of v implies the desired estimate. □

5.6. **Proof of Theorem 3.1.** The previous Proposition 5.7 assures that for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough there exists a fixed point φ solving (5.11). This is equivalent to the existence of a function $u_\varepsilon=\bar u_\varepsilon+\varphi$ which is singular at $r=0$ and solves

$$\begin{cases}(-\Delta)^s u=|u|^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} & \text{in } B_1\setminus\{0\},\\ u=0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1.\end{cases}$$

By construction, the function u_ε is positive close to the origin and by the s-superharmonicity, we conclude that the solution is positive. The smoothness away from the origin follows from Lemma 5.9.

6. Singular Yamabe metrics

This section is devoted to the construction of a solution for the fractional Yamabe problem which is singular along a smooth submanifold of dimension $k=\frac{n-2s}{2}$. For parity reasons (the dimension needs to be an integer value), we restrict in this Section to $s=1/2$ (remember we have $s\in(0,1)$), n odd and $k=(n-1)/2$.

6.1. **Singular Yamabe problem.** In this section we will provide a smooth solution $v > 0$ of the integro-differential equation

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^s v = v^{\frac{n+2s}{n-2s}} \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma,$$

which blows up on Σ, a smooth submanifold of dimension $\frac{n-1}{2}$. This is equivalent to solving the Σ-singular Yamabe problem, since the metric given by

$$g_v := v^{\frac{4}{n-2s}} |dz|^2, \tag{6.1}$$

where $|dz|^2$ represents the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$, has constant fractional curvature and it is singular exactly on Σ. Indeed, it is well known, that given two conformal metrics g and $g_v = v^{\frac{4}{n-2s}} g$, the conformal fractional Laplacian satisfies the conformal property

$$P_s^{g_v} f = v^{-\frac{n+2s}{n-2s}} P_s^g (vf). \tag{6.2}$$

With our definition of fractional curvature ($Q_s^g := P_s^g(1)$), imposing that $Q_s^{g_v}$ is constant for g_v as in (6.1) let us rewrite (6.2) as

$$P_s^{|dz|^2} v := (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^s v = v^{\frac{n+2s}{n-2s}} \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

6.2. **Singularity on a submanifold.** Let us assume, without lose of generality, that our singularity satisfies $\Sigma \subset B_1^n(0)$. We write the ambient dimension as $n = k + N$, where k and N are respectively the dimensions of the submanifold Σ and of the normal space $N_y\Sigma$ at any point $y \in \Sigma$. The Fermi coordinates are well-defined on some tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{T}_{4\tau}$ of $\Sigma^k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of width $4\tau > 0$. In fact, any point $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\operatorname{dist}(z, \Sigma) < 4\tau$ can be written as

$$z = y + \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j \nu_j(y), \tag{6.3}$$

where $y \in \Sigma^k$ and $(\nu_1(y), \dots, \nu_j(y))$ is a basis for the normal space $N_y\Sigma$ at y, and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ are the coordinates on $N_y\Sigma$. Using polar coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^N$, we set

$$r = |x| \in [0, 4\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega = \frac{x}{|x|} \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}. \tag{6.4}$$

Thus (6.3) and (6.4) define a diffeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : (0, 4\tau) \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1} \times \Sigma^k &\to \mathcal{T}_{4\tau} \setminus \Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n \\ \Phi(r, \omega, y) &= y + \sum_{j=1}^{N} r\omega_j \nu_j(y). \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

By decreasing τ if necessary, we assume that τ is so small that the conclusion of Proposition 6.3 holds. The associated metric $g(r, \omega, y)$ is well-known (see [78, 116, 119]), given by

$$(g_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & O(r) \\ 0 & r^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}, i'j'}(\omega) + O(r^4) & O(r^2) \\ O(r) & O(r^2) & g_{\Sigma, i''j''}(y) + O(r). \end{pmatrix},$$

where $O(r^\ell)$, $\ell = 1, 2, 4$ are uniformly small as $r \searrow 0$, together with all derivatives with respect to the vector fields $r\partial_r$, $\partial_{\omega_{i'}}$, $\partial_{y_{i''}}$. (Here $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, $i', j' = 1, \dots, N-1$, $i'', j'' = 1, \dots, k$.)

6.3. **General strategy.** Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$ and u_ε be the function given by Corollary 3.2. We extend it to form the *Ansatz* in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma$ by simply gluing it to zero away from Σ, namely

$$\bar{v}_\varepsilon(r, \omega, y) := \bar{v}_\varepsilon(r) = u_\varepsilon(r)\chi_\tau(r), \quad \chi_\tau(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } r \le \tau, \\ 0, & \text{if } r \ge 2\tau. \end{cases} \tag{6.6}$$

with $\tau|D\chi_\tau| + \tau^2|D^2\chi_\tau| \le C$, where $r \in (0, 4\tau)$, $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$, $y \in \Sigma^k$. We look for a perturbation ψ so that $v_\varepsilon = \bar{v}_\varepsilon + \psi$ solves

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{\frac{1}{2}} v = |v|^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}} \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma. \tag{6.7}$$

This means that ψ solves the linearized equation

$$\mathscr{L}_\varepsilon \psi = -\mathscr{E}_\varepsilon + \mathscr{N}[\psi] \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma, \tag{6.8}$$

where

$$\mathscr{L}_\varepsilon \psi := (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi - \frac{N}{N-1} (\bar{v}_\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{N-1}} \psi, \tag{6.9}$$

$$\mathscr{E}_\varepsilon := (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{v}_\varepsilon - \bar{v}_\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{N-1}}, \tag{6.10}$$

$$\mathscr{N}[\psi] := |\bar{v}_\varepsilon + \psi|^{\frac{N}{N-1}} - (\bar{v}_\varepsilon)^{\frac{N}{N-1}} - \frac{N}{N-1} (\bar{v}_\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{N-1}} \psi. \tag{6.11}$$

Different from the construction of radial solutions, we emphasize that the equation (6.8) is to be solved in the *whole space*. Nonetheless, we define the weights in the spirit of that in Section 5. For $\mu \in [N-1-\frac{1}{N+1}, N)$ and $\nu \in [n-1, n+1]$, define

$$\tilde{\omega}_{\mu;\nu}(z) := d_\Sigma(z)^{-\mu} \mathbf{1}_{\{z \in \mathcal{T}_{3\tau} \setminus \Sigma\}} + d_\Sigma(z)^{-\nu} \mathbf{1}_{\{z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{T}_{3\tau}\}}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma.$$

By translating the axes if necessary, we assume that $0 \in \Sigma$. This implies that, in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{T}_{3\tau}$, $d_\Sigma(z)$ is comparable to $|z|$ (see Lemma 6.1), and $\tilde{\omega}_{\mu;\nu}(z)$ is comparable to

$$\omega_{\mu;\nu}(z) := d_\Sigma(z)^{-\mu} \mathbf{1}_{\{z \in \mathcal{T}_{3\tau} \setminus \Sigma\}} + |z|^{-\nu} \mathbf{1}_{\{z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{T}_{3\tau}\}}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma. \tag{6.12}$$

This will be convenient in the subsequent computations. We collect the important choices of the parameters:

- $\omega_{N-\frac{1}{N+1};n+1}$ controls the error $\mathscr{E}_\varepsilon$ (Lemma 6.4);
- $\omega_{N-1-\frac{1}{N+1};n-1}$ represents the order of the perturbation ψ (Proposition 6.8);
- $\omega_{N-1-\frac{1}{2N};n-1-\frac{1}{2N}}$ is an $\mathscr{L}_\varepsilon$-superharmonic function which is more singular than $\omega_{N-1-\frac{1}{N+1};n-1}$ near the singularity, as required by the maximum principle.

We denote the corresponding norms for $L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma)$-functions by

$$\|v\|_{\mu;\nu} := \left\| \omega^{-1}_{\mu;\nu} v \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma)}.$$

Then the error $\mathscr{E}_\varepsilon$ lies in the space

$$\mathscr{Y} := \left\{ g \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma) : \|g\|_{N-\frac{1}{N+1};n+1} \le 2\tilde{C}_1 |\log \varepsilon|^{-(N-1)} \right\},$$

for some $\tilde{C}_1 > 0$ (given in Lemma 6.4). We search for ψ in the Banach space

$$\mathscr{X} := \left\{ \psi \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma) : \|\psi\|_{N-1-\frac{1}{N+1};n-1} \le 2\tilde{C}_1\tilde{C}_2 |\log \varepsilon|^{-(N-1)} \right\}, \tag{6.13}$$

where $\tilde{C}_2 > 0$ is determined by the operator norm of $\mathscr{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}$ in Proposition 6.8, which we will show to be uniform bounded from $\widetilde{\mathscr{Y}}$ to $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$, with

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{Y}} := \left\{ g \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma) : \|g\|_{N-\frac{1}{N+1};n+1} < +\infty \right\},$$

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{X}} := \left\{ \psi \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma) : \|\psi\|_{N-1-\frac{1}{N+1};n-1} < +\infty \right\}.$$

Once the linear theory is established, we apply the contraction mapping principle in $\mathscr{X}$ (Proposition 6.9).

We conclude this subsection by a comparison of distances.

Lemma 6.1. *For $z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{T}_{3\tau}$,*

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \wedge \frac{3\tau}{2 \operatorname{diam} \Sigma} \right) |z| \le d_\Sigma(z) \le |z|.$$

For $z \in \mathcal{T}_{4\tau} \setminus \mathcal{T}_{3\tau}$,

$$3\tau \le |z| \le 2 \operatorname{diam} \Sigma.$$

6.4. **Computations in Fermi coordinates.** In the following we will assume $0 < \tau \ll 1$ fixed small (universally, in Proposition 6.3) and we will use the notation $B_r^N \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $B_r^k \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ $B_r^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ to denote the ball of radius $r > 0$ and centred at zero in the Euclidean spaces of dimensions N, k and n.

Proposition 6.2. *Suppose $v \in C^{1,1}_{\mathrm{loc}}(B^N_{2\tau} \setminus \{0\})$ is supported on $B^N_{2\tau} \setminus \{0\}$. In the Fermi coordinates*

$$z = y + \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i \nu_i(y),$$

consider a $C^{1,1}_{\mathrm{loc}}$ function $\bar{v} : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\bar{v}(z) = \begin{cases} v(x) & \text{for } z \in \mathcal{T}_{2\tau} \setminus \Sigma, \\ 0 & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{T}_{2\tau}. \end{cases}$$

Then we have for any $z \in \mathcal{T}_{3\tau}$,

$$\begin{aligned}(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^s \bar{v}(z) = (1 + O(|x|))(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N})^s v(x) \\ + O\left(\tau^{-(n+2s)} |x|^{-1+\frac{2-2s}{N+1}} \left(|v(x)| + |x| \left\| Dv \right\|_{L^\infty(B_{|x|/2}(x))} + \left\| v \right\|_{L^1(B_{2\tau})} \right) \right).\end{aligned}$$

and for $z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{T}_{3\tau}$,

$$|(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^s \bar{v}(z)| \leq \frac{C_{n,s} \left\| v \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{T}_{2\tau} \setminus \Sigma)}}{(\mathrm{dist}_\Sigma(z))^{n+2s}}.$$

Proof. We denote the dummy variables with a bar. By choosing the coordinates we can suppose that $y \in \Sigma$ coincides with $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Denote

$$\rho = \sqrt{|x - \bar{x}|^2 + |\bar{y}|^2}.$$

Recall that

$$\frac{1}{|z - \bar{z}|^{n+2s}} \, d\bar{z} = \frac{1 + O(|\bar{x}|) + O(|x - \bar{x}|) + O(|\bar{y}|)}{\rho^{n+2s}} \, d\bar{x} \, d\bar{y} = \frac{1 + O(|x|) + O(\rho)}{\rho^{n+2s}} \, d\bar{x} \, d\bar{y}. \tag{6.14}$$

Then, using the facts that

$$C_{n,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-N}} \frac{d\bar{y}}{(|x - \bar{x}|^2 + |\bar{y}|^2)^{\frac{n+2s}{2}}} = C_{N,s} \frac{1}{|x - \bar{x}|^{N+2s}},$$

$$C_{n,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-N}} \frac{d\bar{y}}{(|x - \bar{x}|^2 + |\bar{y}|^2)^{\frac{n-1+2s}{2}}} \leq C \frac{1}{|x - \bar{x}|^{N-1+2s}},$$

we compute for $z = (x, 0) \in \mathcal{T}_{3\tau}$, i.e. when $|x| = r < 3\tau$

$$\begin{aligned}(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^s \bar{v}(z) &= C_{n,s} \iint_{\substack{|\bar{x}| \leq 4\tau \\ |\bar{y}| \leq \tau}} \frac{v(x) - v(\bar{x})}{\rho^{n+2s}} \left(1 + O(|x|) + O(\rho)\right) \, d\bar{x} \, d\bar{y} \\ &\quad + C_{n,s} \iint_{\substack{|\bar{x}| \leq 4\tau \\ \tau < |\bar{y}| \leq \mathrm{diam}\, \Sigma}} \frac{v(x) - v(\bar{x})}{\rho^{n+2s}} \left(1 + O(|x|) + O(\rho)\right) \, d\bar{x} \, d\bar{y} \\ &\quad + C_{n,s} \int_{\bar{z} \notin \mathcal{T}_{4\tau}} \frac{v(z) - 0}{|z - \bar{z}|^{n+2s}} \, d\bar{z} \\ &= (1 + O(|x|)) C_{N,s} \int_{|\bar{x}| \leq 4\tau} \frac{v(x) - v(\bar{x})}{|x - \bar{x}|^{N+2s}} \, d\bar{x} \\ &\quad + O(1) \int_{|\bar{x}| \leq 4\tau} \frac{|v(x) - v(\bar{x})|}{|x - \bar{x}|^{N-1+2s}} \, d\bar{x} + O(\tau^{-(n+2s)}) \int_{|\bar{x}| \leq 4\tau} \left(|v(x)| + |v(\bar{x})|\right) \, d\bar{x} \\ &\quad + O(\tau^{-(n+2s)}) |v(x)|.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the error contributions include terms from both the first and second lines in the first equality. The lower bound $\tau^{-(n+2s)}$ of the singular kernel is used whenever possible. Now we estimate the associated singular integral of order $2s-1$: for a parameter $\beta \in [0,1]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|\bar{x}|\le 4\tau} \frac{|v(x)-v(\bar{x})|}{|x-\bar{x}|^{N-1+2s}}\,d\bar{x} &\le \int_{\substack{|\bar{x}|\le 4\tau\\ |x-\bar{x}|\le |x|^\beta}} \frac{\|Dv\|_{L^\infty(B_{|x|^\beta}(x))}}{|x-\bar{x}|^{N-(2-2s)}}\,d\bar{x} + |x|^{-(N-1+2s)\beta}\int_{\substack{|\bar{x}|\le 4\tau\\ |x-\bar{x}|>|x|^\beta}} (|v(x)|+|v(\bar{x})|)\,d\bar{x}\\ &\le C|x|^{(2-2s)\beta}\,\|Dv\|_{L^\infty(B_{|x|^\beta}(x))} + |x|^{-(N-1+2s)\beta}\left(C\tau^{N-1}|v(x)| + \|v\|_{L^1(B_{2\tau})}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Optimizing β (note the -1 homogeneity of the gradient) gives $(2-2s)\beta - 1 = -(N-1+2s)\beta$, *i.e.* $\beta = \frac{1}{N+1}$. Note that this absorbs the remaining error terms, and the proof is now complete for the inner regime.

Finally, we conclude by showing that if $z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{T}_{3\tau}$, then

$$|(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^s \bar{v}(z)| \le \frac{C}{(\mathrm{dist}_\Sigma(z))^{n+2s}}\int_{\mathcal{T}_{2\tau}} |-v(\bar{z})|\,d\bar{z} \le \frac{C\,\|v\|_{L^1(\mathcal{T}_{2\tau}\setminus\Sigma)}}{(\mathrm{dist}_\Sigma(z))^{n+2s}}. \qquad \square$$

Proposition 6.3. *Suppose $v \in C^{1,1}_{\mathrm{loc}}(B^N_{3\tau}\setminus\{0\})$ is non-negative and supported on $B^N_{3\tau}\setminus\{0\}$. In the Fermi coordinates*

$$z = y + \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \nu_i(y),$$

consider the L^∞_{loc} function $\bar{v}: \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\bar{v}(z) = \begin{cases} v(x) & \text{for } z \in \mathcal{T}_{3\tau}\setminus\Sigma,\\ 0 & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{T}_{3\tau}.\end{cases}$$

Assume that $\tau > 0$ is so small that

$$C(N,k,s,\Sigma)\tau \le \frac{\tilde{c}_1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau \le \frac{1}{4}\,\mathrm{diam}\,\Sigma. \tag{6.15}$$

where $C(N,k,s,\Sigma)$ is a universal constant that controls the error in (6.14) and $\tilde{c}_1$ is given in (6.16). Then there exist universal constants $C > c > 0$ (independent of τ) such that for any $z \in \mathcal{T}_{4\tau}$,

$$c(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N})^{-s}v(x) \le (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{-s}\bar{v}(z) \le C(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N})^{-s}v(x) + C\tau^{-(n-2s)}\,\|v\|_{L^1(B_{3\tau}\setminus\Sigma)},$$

and for $z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{T}_{4\tau}$,

$$c\frac{\|v\|_{L^1(\mathcal{T}_{3\tau}\setminus\Sigma)}}{(\mathrm{dist}_\Sigma(z))^{n-2s}} \le (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{-s}\bar{v}(z) \le C\frac{\|v\|_{L^1(\mathcal{T}_{3\tau}\setminus\Sigma)}}{(\mathrm{dist}_\Sigma(z))^{n-2s}}.$$

Proof. We proceed similarly as in Proposition 6.2. In fact, the decay in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{T}_{4\tau}$ follows by exactly the same argument. Suppose $z \in \mathcal{T}_{4\tau}$. In the same notation (with s replaced by $-s$), using the fact that $n-2s = k+(N-2s) > k$ for $N \ge 2$, we have for $|\bar{x}| \le 3\tau$,

$$\int_{|\bar{y}|\le\tau} \frac{1}{(|x-\bar{x}|^2+|\bar{y}|^2)^{\frac{n-2s}{2}}}\,d\bar{y} = \frac{1}{|x-\bar{x}|^{N-2s}}\int_{|\tilde{y}|\le\frac{\tau}{|x-\bar{x}|}} \frac{1}{(1+|\tilde{y}|^2)^{\frac{n-2s}{2}}}\,d\tilde{y} \ge \frac{\tilde{c}_1}{|x-\bar{x}|^{N-2s}},$$

where the constant $\tilde{c}_1 = \tilde{c}_1(N,k,s) > 0$ does not depend on τ and can be taken universally as

$$\tilde{c}_1 = \int_{B^k_{1/7}} \frac{1}{(1+|\tilde{y}|^2)^{\frac{n-2s}{2}}}\,d\tilde{y}, \tag{6.16}$$

since $|x-\bar{x}| \le 7\tau$. Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned}(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{-s}\bar{v}(z) &= C_{n,-s}\iint_{\substack{|\bar{x}|\le 3\tau\\ |\bar{y}|\le\tau}} \frac{v(\bar{x})}{\rho^{n-2s}}\left(1+O(|x|)+O(\rho)\right)\,d\bar{x}\,d\bar{y}\\ &\quad + C_{n,-s}\iint_{\substack{|\bar{x}|\le 3\tau\\ \tau<|\bar{y}|\le \operatorname{diam}\Sigma}} \frac{v(\bar{x})}{\rho^{n-2s}}\left(1+O(|x|)+O(\rho)\right)\,d\bar{x}\,d\bar{y}\\ &= \int_{|\bar{x}|\le 3\tau}\frac{v(\bar{x})}{|x-\bar{x}|^{N-2s}}\left[C_{N,-s}\int_{|\tilde{y}|\le\frac{\tau}{|x-\bar{x}|}}\frac{d\tilde{y}}{(1+|\tilde{y}|^2)^{\frac{n-2s}{2}}}+O(\tau)\right]d\bar{x}\\ &\quad + O(\tau^{-(n-2s)})\int_{|\bar{x}|\le 3\tau} v(\bar{x})\,d\bar{x}.\end{aligned}$$

Since the square bracket is positive for small τ, and the second term is non-negative, the proof is complete. □

6.5. **Error estimates.**

Lemma 6.4. *The error* (6.10) *made by approximating the solution of* (6.7) *with* (6.6) *satisfies*

$$|\mathscr{E}_\varepsilon(z)| \lesssim \tau^{-(n+2)}|\log\varepsilon|^{-(N-1)}\left(\frac{1}{(\operatorname{dist}_\Sigma(z))^{N-\frac{1}{N+1}}}\mathbf{1}_{\{z\in\mathcal{T}_{3\tau}\setminus\Sigma\}}+\frac{1}{(\operatorname{dist}_\Sigma(z))^{n+1}}\mathbf{1}_{\{z\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\mathcal{T}_{3\tau}\}}\right).$$

Equivalently, there exists a constant $\tilde{C}_1=\tilde{C}_1(N,s,k,\Sigma,\tau)>0$ *such that*

$$|\mathscr{E}_\varepsilon(z)| \le \tilde{C}_1|\log\varepsilon|^{-(N-1)}\omega_{N-\frac{1}{N+1};n+1}(z),$$

where $\omega_{N-\frac{1}{N+1};n+1}$ *is defined in* (6.12).

Proof. For $\bar{v}_\varepsilon$ defined as in (6.6), thanks to Proposition 6.2 and the product rule[18], we know that for any $z=(r,\omega,y)\in\mathcal{T}_{3\tau}\setminus\Sigma$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{E}_\varepsilon(z) &= (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{\frac12}\bar{v}_\varepsilon(r,\omega,y)-\bar{v}_\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{N-1}}(r,\omega,y)\\ &= (1+O(r))(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N})^{\frac12}\bar{v}_\varepsilon(r)-\bar{v}_\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{N-1}}(r)\\ &\quad + O\left(\tau^{-(n+1)}r^{-(1-\frac{1}{N+1})}\left(|\bar{v}_\varepsilon(r)|+r\,\|D\bar{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{r/2}(re_1))}+\|\bar{v}_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(B_{2\tau}\setminus\Sigma)}\right)\right)\\ &= (1+O(r))\left(\chi_\tau(r)(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N})^{\frac12}u_\varepsilon(r)+u_\varepsilon(r)(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N})^{\frac12}\chi_\tau(r)-2\left\langle u_\varepsilon,\chi_\tau\right\rangle(r)\right)-(u_\varepsilon(r)\chi_\tau(r))^{\frac{N}{N-1}}\\ &\quad + O\left(\tau^{-(n+1)}r^{-(1-\frac{1}{N+1})}\left(|u_\varepsilon(r)\chi_\tau(r)|+r\,\|\partial_r u_\varepsilon\|_{C^1([\frac{r}{2},\frac{3r}{2}])}\,\|\partial_r\chi_\tau\|_{C^1([\frac{r}{2},\frac{3r}{2}])}+\left\|u_\varepsilon(r)r^{N-1}\right\|_{L^1(0,2\tau)}\right)\right),\end{aligned}$$

in which we estimate using Lemma 5.9, for $r\in(0,3\tau)$,

$$|(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N})^{\frac12}\chi_\tau(r)|\lesssim\tau^{-1},$$

$$|\left\langle u_\varepsilon,\chi_\tau\right\rangle(r)| \lesssim \begin{cases}\displaystyle\int_{\frac{\tau}{2}}^\infty\frac{(u(r)+u(\bar{r}))(1-\chi_\tau(\bar{r}))}{\bar{r}^{N+1}}\bar{r}^{N-1}\,d\bar{r}\lesssim\tau^{-1}|\log\varepsilon|^{-(N-1)}r^{-(N-1)}, & \text{for } r\in(0,\tfrac{\tau}{4}],\\ \displaystyle\int_0^\tau\frac{(u(r)+u(\bar{r}))|0-\chi_\tau(\bar{r})|}{r^{N+1}}\bar{r}^{N-1}\,d\bar{r}\lesssim\tau^{-N}|\log\varepsilon|^{-(N-1)}, & \text{for } r\in[2\tau,3\tau),\\ \displaystyle\int_{\frac{\tau}{8}<|\bar{x}|<4\tau}\frac{\|Du_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_{|x-\bar{x}|}(x))}\,\|D\chi_\tau\|_{L^\infty(B_{|x-\bar{x}|}(x))}}{|x-\bar{x}|^{N-1}}\,d\bar{x}\\ \qquad +\tau^{-2}|\log\varepsilon|^{-(N-1)}r^{-(N-1)}+\tau^{-N}|\log\varepsilon|^{-(N-1)}\\ \qquad\lesssim\tau^{-N}|\log\varepsilon|^{-(N-1)}, & \text{for } r\in(\tfrac{\tau}{4},2\tau).\end{cases}$$

[18] $(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N})^s(uv)=v(-\Delta)^s u+u(-\Delta)^s v-2\left\langle u,v\right\rangle(x)$, where $2\left\langle u,v\right\rangle(x)=C_{N,s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{(u(x)-u(\tilde{x}))(v(x)-v(\tilde{x}))}{|x-\tilde{x}|^{N+2s}}\,d\tilde{x}$.

Thus the nonlocal cut-off errors are controlled by

$$|u_\varepsilon(r)(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N})^{\frac{1}{2}}\chi_\tau(r)| + 2|\langle u_\varepsilon, \chi_\tau\rangle(r)| \lesssim \tau^{-1}|\log\varepsilon|^{-(N-1)}r^{-(N-1)}, \qquad \text{for } r\in(0,3\tau).$$

Using Lemma 5.9 again, we have

$$u_\varepsilon(r)^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \lesssim |\log\varepsilon|^{-N}r^{-N}$$

and the remaining geometric errors are bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} |u_\varepsilon\chi_\tau(r)| &\lesssim |\log\varepsilon|^{-(N-1)}r^{-(N-1)},\\ r\,\|\partial_r u_\varepsilon\|_{C^1([\frac{r}{2},\frac{3r}{2}])}\,\|\partial_r\chi_\tau\|_{C^1([\frac{r}{2},\frac{3r}{2}])} &\lesssim \tau^{-1}|\log\varepsilon|^{-(N-1)}r^{-(N-1)},\\ \left\|u_\varepsilon(r)r^{N-1}\right\|_{L^1(0,2\tau)} &\lesssim \tau|\log\varepsilon|^{-(N-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathscr{E}_\varepsilon(z)| &\lesssim \left(\chi_\tau(r) - \tau_\tau(r)^{\frac{N}{N-1}} + O(r)\right)|\log\varepsilon|^{-N}r^{-N} + \tau^{-1}|\log\varepsilon|^{-(N-1)}r^{-(N-1)}\\ &\quad + \tau^{-(n+2)}|\log\varepsilon|^{-(N-1)}r^{-(N-\frac{1}{N+1})}\\ &\lesssim \tau^{-(n+2)}|\log\varepsilon|^{-(N-1)}r^{-(N-\frac{1}{N+1})}, \end{aligned}$$

for $z\in\mathcal{T}_{3\tau}\setminus\Sigma$. On the other hand, for $z\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\mathcal{T}_{3\tau}$, it is immediate from Proposition 6.2 that

$$|\mathscr{E}_\varepsilon(z)| \lesssim \tau|\log\varepsilon|^{-(N-1)}\mathrm{dist}_\Sigma(z)^{-(n+1)}. \qquad \square$$

6.6. **Linear theory.** The error given by Lemma 6.4 suggests that one should work on spaces weighted by powers without logarithmic corrections, indicating that the potential term in the linearized operator (6.9), namely

$$\mathscr{L}_\varepsilon = (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{N}{N-1}(\bar{v}_\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{N-1}} = (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{\frac{1}{2}} - (N\kappa_1+o(1))\frac{\chi_\tau(r)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}}{r\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}, \tag{6.17}$$

is small near the singularity. In fact, the spatial cut-off entails that $\mathscr{L}_\varepsilon = (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ away from Σ. Consequently, $\mathscr{L}_\varepsilon$ is globally well approximated by the fractional Laplacian. We expect that its inverse is almost the Riesz potential in $\mathbb{R}^n$, which is indeed the case. If it is, we do not expect, what about We will see that, as expected, its inverse is almost the Riesz potential in $\mathbb{R}^n$

Since the linearized operator satisfies maximum principle, it suffices to construct suitable barriers. We are interested in functions of the form $(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\omega_{\mu;\nu}$ where $\omega_{\mu;\nu}\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $p\in(1,n)$. By Proposition 4.1 (with N replaced by n), this is equivalent to $\frac{n}{\nu}<p<\frac{N}{\mu}$. In particular, this is true for the two pairs $(\mu,\nu)=(N-\frac{1}{N+1},n+1),(N-\frac{1}{2N};n-\frac{1}{2N})$. We remark that the shift of the exponents μ and ν by 1 is due to the fractional integration of order 1, and the resulting parameter in the ν-slot is at most $n-1$ because of the convolution with the fundamental solution.

Lemma 6.5. *We have*

$$\tau^{\frac{1}{N+1}}\omega_{N-1-\frac{1}{N+1};n-1}(z) \lesssim (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\omega_{N-\frac{1}{N+1};n+1}(z) \lesssim \tau^{-(2n-\frac{1}{N+1})}\omega_{N-1-\frac{1}{N+1};n-1}(z),$$

$$\tau^{\frac{1}{2N}}\omega_{N-1-\frac{1}{2N};n-1-\frac{1}{2N}}(z) \lesssim (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\omega_{N-\frac{1}{2N};n-\frac{1}{2N}}(z) \lesssim \tau^{-(2n-\frac{1}{2N})}\omega_{N-1-\frac{1}{2N};n-1-\frac{1}{2N}}(z),$$

Here the weights $\omega_{\mu;\nu}$ are defined in (6.12).

Proof. We compute the two terms separately in

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\omega_{\mu;\nu}(z) = (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(d_\Sigma(z)^{-\mu}\mathbf{1}_{\{z\in\mathcal{T}_{3\tau}\setminus\Sigma\}}\right) + (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(|z|^{-\nu}\mathbf{1}_{\{z\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\mathcal{T}_{3\tau}\}}\right).$$

By Proposition 6.3, Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 6.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(d_\Sigma(z)^{-\mu}\mathbf{1}_{\{z\in\mathcal{T}_{3\tau}\setminus\Sigma\}}\right)\\
&\qquad\lesssim \begin{cases} (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(r^{-\mu}\mathbf{1}_{\{0<r<3\tau\}}\right)+\tau^{-(n-1)}\left\|r^{N-1-\mu}\right\|_{L^1(0,3\tau)} & \text{for } z\in\mathcal{T}_{4\tau\setminus\Sigma},\\ \left\|r^{N-1-\mu}\right\|_{L^1(0,3\tau)}d_\Sigma(z)^{-(n-1)}, & \text{for } z\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\mathcal{T}_{4\tau}\end{cases}\\
&\qquad\lesssim \begin{cases} r^{-(\mu-1)}+\tau^{-(n-N+\mu-1)} & \text{for } z\in\mathcal{T}_{4\tau\setminus\Sigma},\\ \tau^{N-\mu}(\tau|z|)^{-(n-1)} & \text{for } z\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\mathcal{T}_{4\tau}.\end{cases}\\
&\qquad\lesssim \tau^{-(n+k+\mu)}\omega_{\mu-1;n-1},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(d_\Sigma(z)^{-\mu}\mathbf{1}_{\{z\in\mathcal{T}_{3\tau}\setminus\Sigma\}}\right)&\gtrsim \begin{cases} (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(r^{-\mu}\mathbf{1}_{\{0<r<3\tau\}}\right) & \text{for } z\in\mathcal{T}_{4\tau\setminus\Sigma},\\ \left\|r^{N-1-\mu}\right\|_{L^1(0,3\tau)}d_\Sigma(z)^{-(n-1)} & \text{for } z\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\mathcal{T}_{4\tau},\end{cases}\\
&\gtrsim \begin{cases} r^{-(\mu-1)} & \text{for } z\in\mathcal{T}_{4\tau\setminus\Sigma},\\ \tau^{N-\mu}|z|^{-(n-1)} & \text{for } z\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\mathcal{T}_{4\tau}.\end{cases}\\
&\gtrsim \tau^{N-\mu}\omega_{\mu-1;n-1},
\end{aligned}$$

Next, using Proposition 4.1,

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(|z|^{-(n+1)}\mathbf{1}_{\{z\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\mathcal{T}_{3\tau}\}}\right)\lesssim |z|^{-(n-1)}\int_0^\infty K_{n,-\frac{1}{2}}(\rho)(|z|\rho)^{-1}\mathbf{1}_{\{|z|\rho\geq 3\tau\}}\,d\rho\lesssim \tau^{-1}|z|^{-(n-1)},$$

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(|z|^{-(n+1)}\mathbf{1}_{\{z\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\mathcal{T}_{3\tau}\}}\right)\gtrsim |z|^{-(n-1)}\int_0^\infty K_{n,-\frac{1}{2}}(\rho)(|z|\rho)^{-1}\mathbf{1}_{\{|z|\rho\geq 2\operatorname{diam}\Sigma\}}\,d\rho\gtrsim |z|^{-(n-1)},$$

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(|z|^{-(n-\frac{1}{2N})}\mathbf{1}_{\{z\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\mathcal{T}_{3\tau}\}}\right)\lesssim |z|^{-(n-1)}\int_0^\infty K_{n,-\frac{1}{2}}(\rho)(|z|\rho)^{\frac{1}{2N}}\mathbf{1}_{\{|z|\rho\geq 3\tau\}}\,d\rho\lesssim |z|^{-(n-1-\frac{1}{2N})},$$

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(|z|^{-(n-\frac{1}{2N})}\mathbf{1}_{\{z\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\mathcal{T}_{3\tau}\}}\right)\gtrsim |z|^{-(n-1)}\int_0^\infty K_{n,-\frac{1}{2}}(\rho)(|z|\rho)^{\frac{1}{2N}}\mathbf{1}_{\{|z|\rho\geq 2\operatorname{diam}\Sigma\}}\,d\rho\gtrsim |z|^{-(n-1-\frac{1}{2N})}.$$

This proves the result. □

Next we show the $\mathscr{L}$-superharmonicity of the two weights given by the Riesz potential, namely

$$\omega^{(1)}:=(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\omega_{N-\frac{1}{N+1};n+1}\asymp\omega_{N-1-\frac{1}{N+1};n-1}, \tag{6.18}$$

$$\omega^{(2)}:=(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\omega_{N-\frac{1}{2N};n-\frac{1}{2N}}\asymp\omega_{N-1-\frac{1}{2N};n-1-\frac{1}{2N}}, \tag{6.19}$$

where the constants of comparability are universal and depend only on N,s,k,Σ,τ.

In the following, we sometimes write $\mathscr{L}$ for $\mathscr{L}_\varepsilon$ for simplicity.

Lemma 6.6 (Barriers)**.** *Let $\mathscr{L}$ be as in (6.17), $\omega^{(i)}$ $(i=1,2)$ be as in (6.18)–(6.19). Then*

$$\mathscr{L}\omega^{(1)}\geq\frac{1}{2}\omega_{N-\frac{1}{N+1};n+1},$$

$$\mathscr{L}\omega^{(2)}\gtrsim\omega_{N-\frac{1}{2N};n-\frac{1}{2N}}.$$

Proof. Since $\omega^{(i)}\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $p\sim 1^+$ $(i=1,2)$, $(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ uniquely inverts $(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus

$$\mathscr{L}\omega^{(1)}\geq\omega_{N-\frac{1}{N+1};n+1}-\frac{C\chi_\tau(r)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}}{r\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon r}}\omega_{N-1-\frac{1}{N+1};n-1}\geq\frac{1}{2}\omega_{N-\frac{1}{N+1};n+1},$$

as the potential term is supported in $\mathcal{T}_{2\tau}$ and is $o(r^{-1})$ as $\varepsilon\to 0^+$. The estimate for $\omega^{(2)}$ is similar. □

Lemma 6.7 (*A priori* estimates). *If $\psi \in \widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$ solves*

$$\mathscr{L}\psi = g \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma$$

for $g \in \widetilde{\mathscr{Y}}$, then there exists $\tilde{C}_2 = \tilde{C}_2(N, s, k, \Sigma, \tau) > 0$ such that

$$\|\psi\|_{N-1-\frac{1}{N+1};n-1} \le \tilde{C}_2 \|g\|_{N-\frac{1}{N+1};n+1}. \tag{6.20}$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\mathscr{L}\left(\tilde{C}_2 \|g\|_{N-\frac{1}{N+1};n+1}\, \omega^{(1)} + \delta\omega^{(2)} \pm \psi\right) \ge 0$$

in any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma$ (note that the constant $\tilde{C}_2$ accounts for the ratio between $\omega^{(1)}$ and $\omega_{N-1-\frac{1}{N+1};n-1}$) and the function in the bracket is strictly positive close to Σ and near ∞. Thus Lemma C.2 yields the result. □

Proposition 6.8 (Linear theory). *If $g \in \widetilde{\mathscr{Y}}$, then there exists a unique $\psi \in \widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$ such that*

$$\mathscr{L}\psi = g \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma.$$

Moreover, the estimate (6.20) holds. In particular, $\mathscr{L}^{-1}$ maps $\mathscr{Y}$ to $\mathscr{X}$.

Proof. Note that $(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{-\frac{1}{2}} : \widetilde{Y} \to \widetilde{X}$ by Lemma 6.5. By the standard method of continuity, the invertibility of

$$\mathscr{L}_\lambda^{-1} := (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda \frac{N}{N-1}(\bar{v}_\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$$

is continued from $\lambda = 0$ to $\lambda = 1$, since the potential is also a continuous linear operator from $\widetilde{\mathscr{Y}}$ to $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$. □

6.7. **The nonlinear fixed point argument.** Using Proposition 6.8, we can write

$$\mathscr{G}_\varepsilon[\psi] = \mathscr{L}^{-1}(-\mathscr{E}_\varepsilon + \mathscr{N}[\psi]).$$

Then the linearized equation (6.8) is equivalent to $\psi = \mathscr{G}_\varepsilon[\psi]$.

Proposition 6.9 (Contraction). *For $\varepsilon \ll 1$, $\mathscr{G}_\varepsilon$ is a contraction on $\mathscr{X}$, as defined in (6.13).*

Proof. By Lemma 6.4 and Proposition 6.8,

$$\left\|\mathscr{L}^{-1}(\mathscr{E}_\varepsilon)\right\|_{N-1-\frac{1}{N+1};n-1} \le \tilde{C}_1\tilde{C}_2 |\log\varepsilon|^{-(N-1)}.$$

Given $\psi, \tilde{\psi} \in \mathscr{X}$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\mathscr{N}[\psi] - \mathscr{N}[\tilde{\psi}]\right| \\
&= \left|\,|\bar{v}_\varepsilon + \psi|^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}} - |\bar{v}_\varepsilon + \tilde{\psi}|^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}} - \frac{n+1}{n-1}(\bar{v}_\varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{n-1}}(\psi - \tilde{\psi})\right| \\
&\le \frac{n+1}{n-1}\int_0^1 \left|\left|\bar{v}_\varepsilon + (1-t)\psi - t\tilde{\psi}\right|^{\frac{2}{n-1}-1}\left(\bar{v}_\varepsilon + (1-t)\psi - t\tilde{\psi}\right) - (\bar{v}_\varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{n-1}}\right| dt \cdot \left|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\right| \\
&\le \frac{2(n+1)}{(n-1)^2}\int_0^1\int_0^1 \left|\bar{v}_\varepsilon + \tau(1-t)\psi - \tau t\tilde{\psi}\right|^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}-2} dt\, d\tau \cdot \left(|\psi| + |\tilde{\psi}|\right)\left|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\right| \\
&\le C(N, s, k, \Sigma, \tau, \tilde{C}_1, \tilde{C}_2)\left(|\log\varepsilon|^{-(N-1)}\omega_{N-1;n-1}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}-2} \\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot \left(|\log\varepsilon|^{-(N-1)}\omega_{N-1-\frac{1}{N+1};n-1}\right)^2 \left\|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\right\|_{N-1-\frac{1}{N+1};n-1} \\
&\le C(N, s, k, \Sigma, \tau, \tilde{C}_1, \tilde{C}_2)|\log\varepsilon|^{-N}\omega_{N-\frac{2}{N+1};n+1}\left\|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\right\|_{N-1-\frac{1}{N+1};n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\omega_{N-\frac{2}{N+1};n+1} \leq \omega_{N-\frac{1}{N+1};n+1}$. Thus, Proposition 6.8 yields

$$\left|\mathscr{G}_\varepsilon[\psi] - \mathscr{G}_\varepsilon[\tilde{\psi}]\right| \leq C(N,s,k,\Sigma,\tau,\tilde{C}_1,\tilde{C}_2)|\log\varepsilon|^{-N}\omega_{N-1-\frac{1}{N+1};n-1}\left\|\psi-\tilde{\psi}\right\|_{N-1-\frac{1}{N+1};n-1}.$$

Therefore[19], $\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon$ is a contraction on $\mathscr{X}$. □

6.8. **Proof of Theorem 3.4.**

Proof. Using Proposition 6.9, there exists a unique solution $\psi \in \mathscr{X}$ of (6.8), i.e. a solution $v = \bar{v}_\varepsilon + \psi$ of (6.7) which is positive near Σ, $\frac{1}{2}$-superharmonic on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma$ and vanishes at infinity. Thus, global non-positive minima are excluded, and so $v > 0$. This concludes the proof. □

7. Multiple solutions with prescribed singularity

In this section, we study the nonlinear nonlocal equation with prescribed singularity. We follow the previous work [8, 44, 123], simplifying some arguments by studying pointwise bounded perturbations.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded domain and $\Sigma \subset \Omega$ be a closed subset. Then there exist two distinct sequences $u_\ell^{(1)}$, $u_\ell^{(2)}$ of positive very weak solutions of*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = |u|^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{7.1}$$

such that $u_\ell(x) \to +\infty$ as $x \to \Sigma$. Moreover, $u_\ell^{(1)} \to 0$ in $L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)$ and $u_\ell^{(2)}$ converges to a non-trivial regular solution in $L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)$.

For simplicity of notations, we will suppress most dependence on s. In this section, we denote by $\bar{u}_\varepsilon$ ($\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_1)$) the solution of

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = u^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} & \text{in } B_1, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1, \end{cases}$$

given by Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 7.2. *There exists a positive constant $0 < \bar{r}_0 < 1$, such as $\bar{u}_\varepsilon$ satisfies*

$$\frac{c_0}{2} \leq \bar{u}_\varepsilon(x)|x|^{N-2s}\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon|x|}\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}} \leq 2c_0 \qquad \text{in } B_{\bar{r}_0}\setminus\{0\},$$

where c_0 is the constant given by (5.1). Moreover,

$$|D\bar{u}_\varepsilon(x)| \leq C|x|^{-(N-2s+1)}\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon|x|}\right)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} \qquad \text{in } B_{\bar{r}_0}\setminus\{0\}.$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 5.9. □

7.1. **Quasi-solutions.** We want to construct approximate solutions for

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = u^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{7.2}$$

Definition 7.3. A pair of functions $(\bar{u},\bar{f}) \in L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega) \times L^\infty(\Omega)$ is called *quasi-solution* of (7.2) if

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s \bar{u} = \bar{u}^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} + \bar{f} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \bar{u} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \end{cases}$$

[19] First put $\tilde{\psi} = 0$ to show $\mathscr{G}_\varepsilon : \mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{X}$.

Moreover, for $\eta > 0$, we will say that the pair $(\bar{u}, \bar{f})$ satisfies the property of η-smallness if the following holds,

$$\|\bar{u}\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)} < \eta \quad \text{and} \quad \|\bar{f}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \eta. \tag{7.3}$$

We remark that as opposed to [8,44,123], $\bar{f}$ lies in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, a smaller space than the minimal $L^{\frac{2N}{N+2s}}(\Omega)$. This will imply that the perturbation is also essentially bounded, simplifying a significant part of the arguments.

Lemma 7.4 (Isolated singularities)**.** *Let $\eta > 0$ and a sequence of points $\{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_k\} \subset \Omega$ be given. Then, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a quasi-solution $(\bar{u}_k, \bar{f}_k)$ of (7.2) satisfying η-smallness. Moreover, $\bar{u}_k$ is regular in $\Omega \setminus \{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_k\}$ and close to each singular point $\{\bar{x}_i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ it behaves like*

$$\bar{u}_k(x) = \frac{c_0 + o(1)}{|x - x_i|^{N-2s} (\log \frac{1}{|x-x_i|})^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}},$$

as $|x - x_i| \to 0$, where c_0 is given by (5.1).

Proof. We will prove the existence of $(\bar{u}_k, \bar{f}_k)$ by induction on k. First we observe that for $k = 0$, it is enough to consider the trivial pair $(0, 0)$. Then, we assume the existence of a quasi-solution $(\bar{u}_{k-1}, \bar{f}_{k-1}) \in L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega) \times L^\infty(\Omega)$ for (7.2) satisfying the conclusion of the lemma with the $(k-1)$ points $\{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{k-1}\} \not\ni \bar{x}_k$.

As before, we let $\chi(x) = \chi(|x|)$ be a smooth cut-off function such that $\chi(t) = 1$ for $0 \le t \le \frac{1}{2}$ and $\chi(t) = 0$ for $t \ge 1$. For $r > 0$, we denote $\chi_r(x) = \chi(\frac{x}{r})$. Let

$$r_k = \frac{1}{4} \min\{\operatorname{dist}(\bar{x}_k, \partial\Omega), |\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_j|, 1 \le j \le k-1\}, \tag{7.4}$$

so that there is no point $\{\bar{x}_i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ contained in the support of $\chi_{r_k}(x - x_k)$, and

$$\varepsilon_k \in (0, \varepsilon_0); \quad \varepsilon_k r_k \le \bar{r}_0, \tag{7.5}$$

where $\bar{r}_0$ is given in Lemma 7.2, and define inductively $\bar{u}_0 = 0$ (so $\bar{f}_0 = 0$), and for $k \ge 1$,

$$\bar{u}_k := \bar{u}_{k-1} + \chi_{r_k}(x - \bar{x}_k)\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_k}(x - \bar{x}_k) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \chi_{r_j}(x - \bar{x}_j)\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_j}(x - \bar{x}_j), \tag{7.6}$$

where ε_k satisfying (7.5) is to be chosen later. For simplicity, denote $\chi_k := \chi_{r_k}$ and assume $\bar{x}_k = 0$. Then

$$\|\bar{u}_k\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)} \le \|\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_k}\chi_k\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)} + \|\bar{u}_{k-1}\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)} \le \|\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_k}\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(B_{\varepsilon_k r_k})} + \|\bar{u}_{k-1}\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)}.$$

Using the induction hypothesis and Lemma 7.2

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{u}_k\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)} &\le C \int_{B_{\varepsilon_k r_k}} |x|^{2s-N} \left(\log \frac{1}{|x|}\right)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} dx + \|\bar{u}_{k-1}\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)} \\
&\le C(\varepsilon_k r_k)^{2s} \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k r_k}\right)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} + \|\bar{u}_{k-1}\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)} < \eta.
\end{aligned}$$

by choosing ε_k small enough. Now we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{f}_k &:= (-\Delta)^s \bar{u}_k - \bar{u}_k^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} \\
&= \bar{f}_{k-1} + \bar{u}_{k-1}^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - \bar{u}_k^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} + (-\Delta)^s \left(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_k}(x)\chi_k(x)\right) \\
&= \bar{f}_{k-1} - \left(\bar{u}_k^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - \bar{u}_{k-1}^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - \chi_k^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} (\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_k})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\right) \\
&\quad + \left[(\chi_k - \chi_k^{\frac{N}{N-2s}})(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_k})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} + \bar{u}_{\varepsilon_k}(x)(-\Delta)^s \chi_k(x) - C_{N,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_k}(x) - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon_k}(y))(\chi_k(x) - \chi_k(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy\right] \\
&=: f_{k-1} - g_1 + [g_2 + g_3 + g_4].
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $(\bar{u}_{k-1}, \bar{f}_{k-1})$ is a quasi-solution for (7.2) and $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_k}(x)$ is solution for (7.2) and the product rule[20]. Since we are assuming that $\|f_{k-1}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \eta$, we only need to control $\|g_i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$.

Since χ_k has been chosen so that $\bar{u}_{k-1}$ and $\chi_k \bar{u}^s_{\varepsilon_k}$ have disjoint support (note (7.4)), we directly have

$$g_1 = (\bar{u}_{k-1} + \chi_k \bar{u}_{\varepsilon_k})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - \bar{u}_{k-1}^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - \chi_k^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} (\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_k})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} = 0. \tag{7.7}$$

Moreover,

$$\|g_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \|(\chi_k - \chi_k^{\frac{N}{N-2s}})(u_{\varepsilon_k})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{C}{r_k^N \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k r_k}\right)^{\frac{N}{2s}}}, \tag{7.8}$$

which is as small as we want for ε_k small enough.

Finally, we need to control the last two terms g_3 and g_4. We follow similar steps as for g_2, so first we control the sum pointwise:

$$|(g_3 + g_4)(x)| \lesssim \int_\Omega \frac{u_{\varepsilon_k}(y)|\chi_k(x) - \chi_k(y)|}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dy.$$

Since the singularity of the kernel is not present when χ_k is a constant, we have that for $|x| \leq r_k/4$,

$$|(g_3 + g_4)(x)| \leq C \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{r_k}{2}}(0)} \frac{u_{\varepsilon_k}(y)(1 - \chi_k(y))}{|y|^{N+2s}}\, dy \leq \frac{C}{r_k^N \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k r_k}\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}}.$$

When $|x| \geq 2r_k$,

$$|(g_3 + g_4)(x)| \leq C \int_{B_{r_k}(0)} \frac{u_{\varepsilon_k}(y) - \chi_k(y)|}{|x|^{N+2s}}\, dy \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{N+2s}} \int_0^{r_k} \frac{r^{N-1} dr}{r^{N-2s}\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k r}\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}} \leq \frac{C r_k^{2s}}{|x|^{N+2s}\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k r_k}\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}}.$$

Note that we do not use the bound $|x|^{-(N+2s)} \leq (2r_k)^{-(N+2s)}$ in order to obtain the optimal power of r_k.[21] When $\frac{r_k}{4} \leq |x| \leq 2r_k$, we estimate separately. First,

$$\|g_3\|_{L^\infty(B_{2r_k} \setminus B_{r_k/4})} \leq \frac{C}{r_k^{N-2s} (\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k r_k})^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}}.$$

Next, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
|g_4(x)| &\lesssim \left(\int_{B_{r_k/8}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{4r_k}} + \int_{B_{4r_k} \setminus B_{r_k/8}} \right) \frac{|u_{\varepsilon_k}(x) - u_{\varepsilon_k}(y)||\chi_k(x) - \chi_k(y)|}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dy \\
&\lesssim \int_{B_{r_k/8}} \frac{u_{\varepsilon_k}(\frac{r_k}{4}) + u_{\varepsilon_k}(y)}{|x|^{N+2s}}\, dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{4r_k}} \frac{u_{\varepsilon_k}(\frac{r_k}{4}) + u_{\varepsilon_k}(4r_k)}{|y|^{N+2s}}\, dy \\
&\quad + \int_{r_k/8}^{4r_k} \frac{\|Du_{\varepsilon_k}\|_{L^\infty(B_{4r_k})} \|D\chi_k\|_{L^\infty(B_{4r_k})} r^2 r^{N-1}}{r^{N+2s}}\, dr \\
&\lesssim \frac{C}{r_k^N (\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k r_k})^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the behavior of Du_{ε_k} around the origin given by Lemma 7.2. This together with (7.7) and (7.8) let us conclude that

$$\|f_k\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \|f_{k-1}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|g_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|g_3 + g_4\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \eta,$$

which proves (7.3) for $\bar{u}_k$ and $\bar{f}_k$. □

[20] $(-\Delta)^s(uv)(x) = u(-\Delta)^s v(x) + v(-\Delta)^s u(x) - C_{N,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x-y|^{n+2s}}\, dy.$

[21] This is not essential, though.

We now construct, via a limiting argument, a quasi-solution that is singular on any prescribed subset in the domain. Recall that $\bar{u}$ is *singular* at x_0 if $\bar{u} \notin L^\infty(U)$ for any neighborhood $U \ni x_0$.

Lemma 7.5 (General singularity)**.** *Given $\eta > 0$ and any closed subset $\Sigma \subset \Omega$, there exists a quasi-solution $(\bar{u}, \bar{f})$ satisfying η-smallness such that $\bar{u}$ is singular exactly on Σ.*

Proof. Let $\Sigma' := \{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_k, \dots\}$ be a countable dense subset of Σ. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\eta > 0$, by Lemma 7.4, there exist quasi-solutions $(\bar{u}_k, \bar{f}_k)$ satisfying

$$\|\bar{u}_{k+1} - \bar{u}_k\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)} \le \frac{\eta}{2^k}, \qquad \|\bar{f}_{k+1} - \bar{f}_k\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \le \frac{\eta}{2^k}.$$

In particular, as $k \to +\infty$, $\bar{u}_k$ converges to some $\bar{u}$ in $L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)$ and $\bar{f}_k$ to some $\bar{f}$ in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. By construction, $\bar{u} \in L^\infty(\Omega \setminus \Sigma)$ since Σ is closed.

We verify that $\bar{u}$ is singular exactly on Σ. Indeed, any point in $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma'$ has a neighborhood that intersects Σ' where $\bar{u}$ is unbounded. □

7.2. **The variational setting.** Let $(\bar{u}, \bar{f}) \in L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega) \times L^\infty(\Omega)$ be a quasi-solution. We look for a solution $u = \bar{u} + \phi$, where ϕ is less singular than $\bar{u}$ around the points $\{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_k\}$, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s \phi = |\bar{u} + \phi|^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - \bar{u}^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - \bar{f} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \bar{u} + \phi > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \phi = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{7.9}$$

A natural associated energy functional is given by

$$E(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \|\phi\|^2_{H^s_0(\Omega)} - \int_\Omega F(\bar{u}, \phi)\, dx + \int_\Omega f\phi\, dx, \tag{7.10}$$

where

$$\|\phi\|^2_{H^s_0(\Omega)} = \frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{(\phi(x) - \phi(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy + C_{N,s} \int_\Omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} \frac{(\phi(x) - \phi(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy$$

is the contribution of the H^s energy of ϕ inside Ω and[22]

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, \tau) &= \frac{N-2s}{2(N-s)} \left(|t+\tau|^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(t+\tau) - t^{\frac{2(N-s)}{N-2s}} - \frac{2(N-s)}{N-2s} t^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} \tau \right) \\ &= \frac{N}{N-2s} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma_1 (t + \sigma_1\sigma_2\tau)^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}\, d\sigma_2\, d\sigma_1 \cdot \tau^2. \end{aligned}$$

For $\phi, \psi \in H^s_0(\Omega)$, we denote the inner product on $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(\phi(x) - \phi(y))(\psi(x) - \psi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dx\, dy,$$

so that $\langle \phi, \phi \rangle = \|\phi\|^2_{H^s_0(\Omega)}$.

Lemma 7.6. *$E \in C^1\big(H^s_0(\Omega); \mathbb{R}\big)$ is well-defined with corresponding Euler–Lagrange equation*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s \phi = |\bar{u} + \phi|^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - \bar{u}^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - \bar{f} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \phi = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{7.11}$$

Moreover, if $(\bar{u}, \bar{f})$ satisfies η-smallness, then there exists a constant $C_* = C_*(N, s, \Omega) > 0$ such that

$$E(\phi) \ge \frac{1}{2} \|\phi\|^2_{H^s_0(\Omega)} - C_* \|\phi\|^{2 + \frac{2s}{N-2s}}_{H^s_0(\Omega)} - C_* \eta \|\phi\|_{H^s_0(\Omega)}. \tag{7.12}$$

[22] For the last equality below, we have used the integral mean value theorem twice, applied to the power functions $x \mapsto x^{\frac{2(N-s)}{N-2s}}$ and $x \mapsto x^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}$.

Proof. Since (7.10) is the natural energy functional associated to (7.11), we only need to check that the functional is well defined.

Using Hölder's inequality and the facts that $\bar{u} \in L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)$ and $\bar{f} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \subset L^{\frac{2N}{N+2s}}(\Omega)$, we have for any $\phi \in H_0^s(\Omega) \subset L^{\frac{2N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)$,

$$\left| \int_\Omega \bar{f}\phi \, dx \right| \leq \|\bar{f}\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N+2s}}(\Omega)} \|\phi\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)} \tag{7.13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_\Omega F(\bar{u}, \phi) \, dx \right| &\lesssim \int_\Omega \left(|\bar{u}|^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}} |\phi|^2 + |\phi|^{\frac{2(N-s)}{N-2s}} \right) dx \\ &\lesssim \|\bar{u}\|^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)} \|\phi\|^2_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)} + |\Omega|^{\frac{s}{N}} \|\phi\|^{\frac{2(N-s)}{N-2s}}_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.14}$$

The last part follows directly by these two inequalities, Young's inequality and the embedding $H_0^s(\Omega) \subset L^{\frac{2N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)$, assuming that $(\bar{u}, \bar{f})$ satisfies η-smallness.

□

Proposition 7.7. *E satisfies the Palais–Smale (P.S.) condition*[23].

Proof. First, we observe that $E \in C^1(H_0^s(\Omega); \mathbb{R})$ and we calculate

$$E'(\phi_j)[\psi] = \langle \phi_j, \psi \rangle - \int_\Omega \left(|\bar{u} + \phi_j|^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - \bar{u}^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} \right) \psi \, dx + \int_\Omega \bar{f}\psi \, dx, \qquad \forall \psi \in H_0^s(\Omega). \tag{7.15}$$

Hereafter $E'[\phi_j]\psi$ denotes the pairing between $(H_0^s(\Omega))^*$ and $H_0^s(\Omega)$. Next, we assume that there is a sequence $\{\phi_j\} \subset H_0^s(\Omega)$ such that

$$E(\phi_j) \to C \quad \text{and} \quad E'(\phi_j) \to 0 \quad \text{in } H_0^s(\Omega) \qquad \text{as } j \to \infty. \tag{7.16}$$

In order to prove that there exists a strongly convergent subsequence of $\{\phi_j\}$, we follow two main steps.

Step 1: If ϕ_j is bounded in $H_0^s(\Omega)$ then ϕ_j is Cauchy.
By (7.15) for ϕ_i and ϕ_j, both taking $\psi = \phi_i - \phi_j$, we clearly observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \big(E'(\phi_i) - E'(\phi_j)\big)[\phi_i - \phi_j] &= \|\phi_i - \phi_j\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} - \int_\Omega (|\bar{u} + \phi_i|^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - |\bar{u} + \phi_j|^{\frac{N}{N-2s}})(\phi_i - \phi_j) \, dx \\ &\geq \|\phi_i - \phi_j\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} - C \int_\Omega (|\bar{u}| + |\phi_j| + |\phi_i|)^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}} |\phi_i - \phi_j|^2 \, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{7.17}$$

Since, using the hypothesis (7.16), we can assert that $\big(E'(\phi_i) - E'(\phi_j)\big)[\phi_i - \phi_j] = o(1)\|\phi_i - \phi_j\|_{H^s}$, we can conclude using Hölder inequality[24] and compact Sobolev embedding that

$$\|\phi_i - \phi_j\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \to 0 \text{ as } i, j \to \infty.$$

Step 2: ϕ_j is bounded in $H_0^s(\Omega)$.
Using the definition of E given in (7.10) and (7.15), a simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &E(\phi_j) - \frac{N-2s}{2(N-s)} E'(\phi_j)[\phi_j] \\ &\quad = \frac{s}{2(N-s)} \|\phi_j\|^2_{H^s} - \frac{N-2s}{2(N-s)} \int_\Omega \left[|\bar{u} + \phi_j|^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} \bar{u} - \bar{u}^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}} - \frac{N}{N-2s} \bar{u}^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} \phi_j \right] dx \\ &\qquad + \frac{N}{2(N-s)} \int_\Omega \bar{f}\phi_j \, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{7.18}$$

[23] That is, any sequence $\{\phi_j\} \subset H_0^s(\Omega)$ such that $\{E(\phi_j)\}$ is bounded and $E'(\phi_j) \to 0$ in $H_0^s(\Omega)$ as $j \to \infty$, has a strongly convergent subsequence in $H_0^s(\Omega)$.

[24] Note that $\int |\phi_i|^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}} |\phi_i - \phi_j|^2 \, dx \leq \left(\int_\Omega |\phi_i|^{\frac{2s}{N-2s} q'} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \left(\int_\Omega |\phi_i - \phi_j|^{2q} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ with $2q < \frac{2N}{N-2s}$.

Now we observe that by the assumptions (7.16), we can assert that

$$|E(\phi_j)| \le C \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{N-2s}{2(N-s)} E'(\phi_j)[\phi_j] = o(1)\|\phi_j\|_{H^s}.$$

In the case $N \ge 4s$, there holds (via mean value theorem)

$$\left| |\bar u + \phi_j|^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} \bar u - \bar u^{\frac{2(N-s)}{N-2s}} - \frac{N}{N-2s} \bar u^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} \phi_j \right| \le \frac{Ns}{(N-2s)^2} \bar u^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}} \phi_j^2.$$

Thus, since $(\bar u, \bar f)$ satisfy η-smallness, (7.18) implies that for any $\eta \in \left(0, (\frac{N-2s}{2N})^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}\right]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_j\|_{H^s}^2 &\le \frac{2(N-s)}{s} \left| C + o(1)\|\phi_j\|_{H^s} + \frac{Ns}{2(N-s)(N-2s)} \int_\Omega \bar u^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}} \phi_j^2 - \frac{N}{2(N-s)} \int_\Omega \bar f \phi_j \, dx \right| \\ &\le C \left[1 + o(1)\|\phi_j\|_{H^s} + \left\|\bar f\right\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N+2s}}(\Omega)} \|\phi_j\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)} \right] + \frac{N}{N-2s} \|\bar u\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}} \|\phi_j\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\le C \left[1 + o(1)\|\phi_j\|_{H^s} + \eta \|\phi_j\|_{H^s} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \|\phi_j\|_{H^s}^2 . \end{aligned} \tag{7.19}$$

For the remaining case $N < 4s$, we proceed in a similar way, and since $\langle \phi_j^+, \phi_j^- \rangle \le 0$[25] we bound $\|\phi_j\|_{H^s} = \sqrt{\|\phi_j^+\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\phi_j^-\|_{H^s}^2 - 2\langle \phi_+, \phi_- \rangle}$ where $\phi_j =: \phi_j^+ - \phi_j^-$. Indeed, using (7.15) with $\psi = \phi_j^-$,

$$E'(\phi_j)[\phi_j^-] = \left\langle \phi_j^+, \phi_j^- \right\rangle - \left\|\phi_j^-\right\|_{H^s}^2 - \int_\Omega \left(|\bar u + \phi_j|^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - \bar u^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} \right) \phi_j^- \, dx + \int_\Omega \bar f \phi_j^- \, dx.$$

By mean value theorem and monotonicity of the power function (note that the support is in $\{\phi_j < 0\}$),

$$- \int_\Omega \left(|\bar u + \phi_j|^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - \bar u^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} \right) \phi_j^- \, dx \le \frac{N}{N-2s} \int_\Omega \bar u^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}} (\phi_j^-)^2 \, dx \le C\eta^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}} \left\|\phi_j^-\right\|_{H^s(\Omega)}^2 .$$

This implies

$$\left\|\phi_j^-\right\|_{H^s}^2 + \left| \left\langle \phi_j^+, \phi_j^- \right\rangle \right| \le 2\eta + o(1) \qquad \text{as } j \to +\infty.$$

On the other hand, taking the difference $2E(\phi_j^+) - E'(\phi_j)[\phi_j^+]$ and using the (global) algebraic inequality

$$(1+x)^p x - x - \frac{2}{p+1} \Big((1+x)^{p+1} - 1 - (p+1)x \Big) \ge \frac{p-1}{p+1} x^{p+1}, \qquad \text{for all } x \ge 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{s}{N-s} \int_\Omega (\phi_j^+)^{\frac{2(N-s)}{N-2s}} \, dx &\le -E'(\phi_j)[\phi_j^+] + \left\langle \phi_j, \phi_j^+ \right\rangle + \int_\Omega f \phi_j^+ \, dx + 2E(\phi_j^+) - \left\langle \phi_j^+, \phi_j^+ \right\rangle - 2 \int_\Omega f \phi_j^+ \, dx \\ &\le C + (\eta + o(1)) \left\|\phi_j^+\right\|_{H^s} . \end{aligned}$$

Using again (7.15) with $\psi = \phi_j^+$, we bound

$$\left\|\phi_j^+\right\|_{H^s}^2 - \left\langle \phi_j^-, \phi_j^+ \right\rangle \le C + (2\eta + o(1)) \left\|\phi_j^+\right\|_{H^s} + \eta^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}} \left\|\phi_j^+\right\|_{H^s}^2 ,$$

which implies $\left\|\phi_j^+\right\|_{H^s} \le C$. □

[25] Note that in [8] this product is mistakenly written as equal to 0. Nevertheless, the same argument works when we replace it with the $\le$ sign.

7.3. **Regularity and compactness.**

Lemma 7.8 (Regularity). *Let $(\bar{u}, \bar{f}) \in L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega) \times L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfy η-smallness, and $\phi \in H^s_0(\Omega)$ be a solution of (7.11). Then*

$$\phi \in L^{\infty}(\Omega).$$

Moreover, $|\phi|^q \in H^s_0(\Omega)$ for all $q \in (\frac{1}{2}, +\infty)$.

Proof. Testing (7.11) against ϕ^{2q-1} and using Stroock–Varopoulos inequality[26],

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |\phi|^{2q\frac{N}{N-2s}}\,dx \lesssim \int_\Omega |\phi|^q(-\Delta)^s\left(|\phi|^q\right)\,dx &\lesssim \int_\Omega |\phi|^{2q-1}\left(\bar{u}^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}|\phi| + |\phi|^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} + |\bar{f}|\right)\,dx\\ &\lesssim \eta^{\frac{2s}{N}}\left(\int_\Omega |\phi|^{2q\frac{N}{N-2s}}\,dx\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{N}}\\ &\quad + \int_\Omega |\phi|^{2q-1+\frac{N}{N-2s}}\,dx + \eta\int_\Omega |\phi|^{2q-1}\,dx.\end{aligned}$$

As long as $2q\frac{N}{N-2s} > 2q - 1 + \frac{N}{N-2s}$, i.e. $q > \frac{1}{2}$, Young's inequality implies

$$\||\phi|^q\|_{H^s} \le C(N, s, \Omega, \eta).$$

We conclude that $\phi \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ by Sobolev embedding and passing to the limit $q \to +\infty$. □

Lemma 7.9 (Compactness). *Let $(\bar{u}_k, \bar{f}_k) \in L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega) \times L^{\frac{2N}{N+2s}}(\Omega)$ and ϕ_k be a solution of (7.11) corresponding to $(\bar{u}_k, \bar{f}_k)$. Suppose that*

$$\bar{u}_k \to \bar{u} \text{ in } L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega), \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{f}_k \to \bar{f} \text{ in } L^{\frac{2N}{N+2s}}(\Omega).$$

Then $\phi_k \to \phi$ strongly in $H^s_0(\Omega)$ and ϕ satisfies (7.11) with $(\bar{u}, \bar{f})$.

Proof. By Lemma 7.8, along a subsequence, $\phi_k \rightharpoonup \phi$ weakly in $H^s_0(\Omega)$ and $\phi_k \to \phi$ strongly in $L^q(\Omega)$ for any $q \in [1, \frac{2N}{N-2s})$. The latter convergence implies that ϕ is a very weak solution of (7.11). Now, using (7.11) for ϕ_k and ϕ, we see that $\|\phi_k\|_{H^s} \to \|\phi\|_{H^s}$ provided that $F(\bar{u}_k, \phi_k) \to F(\bar{u}, \phi)$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{N+2s}}$. This is indeed true since

$$(\bar{u}_k + \phi_k)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - \bar{u}_k^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - \left((\bar{u}+\phi)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - \bar{u}^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\right) = \frac{N}{N-2s}\int_0^1 \left[(\bar{u}_k + t\phi_k)^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}\phi_k - (\bar{u}+t\phi)^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}\phi\right]\,dt$$

converges to 0 in $L^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\Omega)$ due to dominated convergence. □

7.4. **Existence and multiplicity.** We show existence of two solutions given a quasi-solution, and then prove Theorem 7.1.

Proposition 7.10 (Existence). *Suppose $(\bar{u}, \bar{f})$ is a quasi-solution satisfying η-smallness. If $\eta \ll 1$, then there exists $0 < \rho \ll 1$ such that for any $\rho' \in (0, \rho/2]$, there exist two solutions $\phi^{(i)}$ $(i = 1, 2)$ of (7.11) such that $\bar{u} + \phi^{(i)} \ge 0$ in Ω, and*

$$\left\|\phi^{(2)}\right\|_{H^s} \le \rho' < \rho \le \left\|\phi^{(1)}\right\|_{H^s} \le 2\rho.$$

Proof. **Step 1: Mountain-Pass solution.** Taking the quasi-solution $(\bar{u}, \bar{f})$ as in Lemma 7.4 which satisfies η-smallness, (7.12) entails the existence of $\rho, \theta, \eta > 0$ (we could take $\rho \ge 2C_*\eta$ small and $\theta = \rho^2/8$) such that

$$E(\phi) \ge \theta > 0 \qquad \forall \phi \in H^s_0(\Omega) \text{ with } \rho \le \|\phi\|_{H^s_0(\Omega)} \le 2\rho.$$

Moreover, we observe that:

- $E \in C^1(H^s_0(\Omega); \mathbb{R})$;
- $E(0) = 0$;
- $E(t\phi_1) \to -\infty$ as $t \to +\infty$, for some $\phi_1 \in H^s_0(\Omega)$;

[26] For $q > \frac{1}{2}$, $\int_\Omega \phi^{2q-1}(-\Delta)^s\phi\,dx \ge \frac{2q-1}{q^2}\int_\Omega |\phi|^q(-\Delta)^s\left(|\phi|^q\right)\,dx$. This can be proved using integration by parts in the extension. Note that equality holds for $s = 1$.

- E satisfies the Palais–Smale condition by Proposition 7.7.

Hence, by Mountain-Pass Lemma (see [2]), there exists a solution ϕ of (7.11) with $E(\phi) \geq \theta > 0$.

Step 2: Direct minimization. Take any $\rho' \in (0, \rho]$. Solving the minimization problem

$$\min_{\|\phi\|_{H^s} \leq \rho'} E(\phi),$$

we obtain a second solution ϕ of (7.11) satisfying $E(\phi) \leq E(0) = 0$.

Step 3: Singularity and positivity and singularity of u. By Lemma 7.8, $u = \bar{u} + \phi$ is singular exactly on Σ and is positive near Σ. Then s-superharmonicity implies $u > 0$ in Ω whenever $u \not\equiv 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 7.1. **Step 1: Existence and multiplicity.** By Lemma 7.5, for any $\eta > 0$ there exists a quasi-solution $(\bar{u}, \bar{f})$ satisfying η-smallness such that $\bar{u}$ is singular exactly on Σ. Taking $\eta = \frac{1}{\ell} \ll 1$, by Proposition 7.10, there exist $0 < \rho \ll 1$, an arbitrary sequence $\rho_\ell \in (0, \rho/2]$, and two sequences of solutions $\phi_\ell^{(1)}$, $\phi_\ell^{(2)}$ of (7.11) such that

$$\left\|\phi_\ell^{(2)}\right\|_{H_0^s(\Omega)} \leq \rho_\ell < \rho \leq \left\|\phi_\ell^{(1)}\right\|_{H_0^s(\Omega)} \leq 2\rho.$$

The gap in H^s-norm shows that $\phi_\ell^{(1)} \neq \phi_\ell^{(2)}$.

Step 2: Limiting behavior. Taking $\rho_\ell \to 0^+$ as $\ell \to +\infty$ in particular, we have

$$\left\|\phi_\ell^{(2)}\right\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)} \lesssim \left\|\phi_\ell^{(2)}\right\|_{H^s(\Omega)} \to 0 \qquad \text{as } \ell \to +\infty.$$

Next, recall that

$$\|\bar{u}_\ell\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{\ell} \to 0 \qquad \text{as } \ell \to +\infty.$$

Then from Lemma 7.9, we have that as $\ell \to +\infty$, along a subsequence

$$\phi_\ell^{(1)} \to \phi_\infty^{(1)} \quad \text{ in } H_0^s(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega), \quad \text{ with } \quad \rho \leq \left\|\phi_\infty^{(1)}\right\|_{H_0^s(\Omega)} \leq 2\rho,$$

where $\phi_1^{(1)}$ is a non-trivial solution of (7.1) that is regular due to Lemma 7.8. Summarizing, we obtain two sequences of solutions

$$u_\ell^{(1)} = \bar{u}_\ell + \phi_\ell^{(1)} \to \phi_\infty^{(1)} \quad \text{ and } \quad u_\ell^{(2)} = \bar{u}_\ell + \phi_\ell^{(2)} \to 0,$$

with convergence in $L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(\Omega)$, as desired. □

8. Radial symmetry

Recall the following narrow region maximum principle for anti-symmetric functions due to Chen–Li–Li [46, Theorem 2.3]. For convenience, we state a slight modification whose proof is exactly the same.

Theorem 8.1 (Chen–Li–Li [46]). *Let $H_\lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ $(\lambda \in \mathbb{R})$ be the half space $\{x_1 < \lambda\}$ up to a null set,*

$$\mathcal{H}^N\big(\{x_1 < \lambda\} \setminus H_\lambda\big) = 0,$$

and

$$\Omega \subset H_\lambda \cap \{\lambda - l < x_1 < \lambda\}$$

be a narrow (i.e. $l > 0$ small enough) region in H_λ. Denote the reflection of a point $x = (x_1, x') \in H_\lambda$ along $\{x_1 = \lambda\}$ by

$$x^\lambda = (2\lambda - x_1, x').$$

Let $b : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be bounded from below by $b_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, there exists $l_0 = l_0(b_0) \in (0, 1)$ such that for any $l \in (0, l_0)$ and $w \in C^{1,1}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega) \cap L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap LSC(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s w + b(x) w \geq 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w \geq 0 & \text{in } H_\lambda \setminus \Omega, \\ w(x^\lambda) = -w(x) & \text{in } H_\lambda, \end{cases} \tag{8.1}$$

there holds

$$w \geq 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Indeed, one exploits the anti-symmetry and compares, at the point $x_0 \in \bar{\Omega}$ where ω attains a negative minimum (if it exists), the value $(-\Delta)^s w$ with w times the integral

$$\int_{H_\lambda} \frac{2}{|x^0 - y^\lambda|^{N+2s}}\, dy \geq \int_{H_\lambda} \frac{2}{\big(l + |(\lambda, (x^0)') - y^\lambda|\big)^{N+2s}}\, dy = c l^{-2s},$$

thus contradicting the equation.

Now we give a unified proof of the symmetry result.

Proof of Proposition 3.5. For $\lambda \in [-1, 0]$ write

$$H_\lambda = \{x_1 < \lambda\} \setminus (\{0\} \cup \{0^\lambda\}),$$
$$u_\lambda(x) := u(x_\lambda), \qquad w_\lambda(x) := u_\lambda(x) - u(x) = -w_\lambda(x_\lambda) \quad \forall x \in H_\lambda.$$

We aim to show that

$$\Lambda = \sup\{\mu \in [-1, 0] : \forall \lambda \in [-1, \mu],\ w_\lambda \geq 0 \text{ in } H_\lambda \cap B_1\} = 0.$$

Step 1: Start the moving plane, i.e. $\Lambda > -1$. We need to show that for $\lambda \in (-1, -1/2)$ close to -1, $w_\lambda \geq 0$. For this, we verify (8.1) in $\Omega = H_\lambda \cap B_1 \cap \{w_\lambda < 0\}$. If $\Omega \neq \varnothing$, then in Ω we have

$$(-\Delta)^s w_\lambda = -b(x) w_\lambda, \quad b(x) = -\frac{N}{N-2s} \int_0^1 (\tau u_\lambda(x) + (1-\tau) u(x))^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}\, d\tau \geq -C u(x)^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}.$$

Since $u \in C^s(\overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\})$, $b(x)$ is bounded from below in Ω with λ close to -1. Moreover, by the exterior Dirichlet condition, $w_\lambda \geq 0$ whenever $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1$ (where $u = 0$). Now Theorem 8.1 applies to imply $w_\lambda \geq 0$ in Ω, contradicting the definition of Ω. Hence $\Omega = \varnothing$ and $\Lambda > -1$.

Step 2: Move the plane maximally, i.e. $\Lambda = 0$. If $\Lambda < 0$, then we want to find a $\delta > 0$ such that $w_\lambda \geq 0$ for any $\lambda \in [\Lambda, \Lambda + \delta)$. Given any $0 < \delta \ll |\Lambda|$, for $\lambda \in [\Lambda, \Lambda + \delta)$ we have exactly as in **Step 1** that

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s w_\lambda + b(x) w_\lambda = 0 & \text{in } \Omega := \{\Lambda \leq x_1 < \lambda\} \cap H_\lambda \cap B_1 \cap \{w_\lambda < 0\}, \\ w_\lambda \geq 0 & \text{in } H_\lambda \setminus \Omega, \\ w_\lambda(x_\lambda) = -w_\lambda(x) & \text{in } H_\lambda, \end{cases}$$

where $b(x) \geq -C u(x)^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}$. (This degenerating bound indicates that moving the plane beyond $\Lambda = 0$ is impossible.) The only difference is that the bound of $u(x)$ depends on the position of Λ on the x_1-axis, but which can still be controlled when $\delta = \delta\big(\Lambda, \sup_{B_1 \setminus B_{|\Lambda|/2}} u\big) > 0$ is chosen sufficiently small. Note that the possible singularity of u_λ around 0^λ does not play a role, as $w_\lambda \geq 0$ there automatically. Therefore, Theorem 8.1 applies to give $w_\lambda \geq 0$ in Ω, contradicting the assumption that $\Lambda < 0$. □

9. Liouville theorem

In this section we prove Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.7.

9.1. **Integral formulation.** The Kelvin transform v of a (non-negative) solution u of (3.6) is such that

$$v(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{N-2s}} u\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right), \qquad (-\Delta)^s v(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{N+2s}} u\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right). \tag{9.1}$$

Then v solves the equation

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s v = |x|^{-2s} v^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} & \text{in } B_1 \setminus \{0\}, \\ v \geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases} \tag{9.2}$$

and hence the integral inequality

$$v(x) \geq \int_{B_1} \mathbb{G}_{B_1}(x, y) v(y)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\, dy \geq c \int_{B_1} \frac{|y|^{-2s} v(y)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}}{|x-y|^{N-2s}}\, dy, \qquad \forall x \in B_1 \setminus \{0\}.$$

In fact, starting from the integral inequality (3.4) in the exterior, one can directly apply the Kelvin transform:

Lemma 9.1 (Kelvin transform of integral inequality)**.** *Let $u \in L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}_{-2s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a function satisfying*

$$u(x) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1} \frac{u(y)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}}{|x-y|^{N-2s}}\, dy \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1. \tag{9.3}$$

Then, its Kelvin transform v given by (9.1) satisfies

$$v(x) \geq \int_{B_1} \frac{|y|^{-2s} v(y)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}}{|x-y|^{N-2s}}\, dy, \qquad \forall x \in B_1 \setminus \{0\}. \tag{9.4}$$

Proof. Since the Kelvin transform is self-inverse, $u(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{N-2s}} v\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right)$ and the inequality (9.3) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|x|^{N-2s}} v\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{N-2s}} v\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right)\right)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}}{|x-y|^{N-2s}}\, dy \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1} \frac{|z|^N v(z)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}}{\left|x - \frac{z}{|z|^2}\right|^{N-2s}} \frac{1}{|z|^{2N}}\, dz \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1} \frac{|z|^{N-2s} v(z)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}}{|x|^{N-2s}\left|\frac{x}{|x|^2} - z\right|^{N-2s}} \frac{1}{|z|^N}\, dz, \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1, \end{aligned} \tag{9.5}$$

where we have used the change of variable $z = \frac{y}{|y|^2}$ which leads to $y = \frac{z}{|z|^2}$ and the equality $\left|x - \frac{z}{|z|^2}\right| = \frac{1}{|z|}|x|\left|\frac{x}{|x|^2} - z\right|$ [27] in the second and third inequalities. Under the inversion $x \mapsto \frac{x}{|x|^2}$, (9.4) follows. □

Lemma 9.2 (Harnack inequality for Kelvin transform)**.** *Assume (3.5) and (9.1). Then*

$$\sup_{B_{2r} \setminus B_{r/2}} v \leq C \inf_{B_{2r} \setminus B_{R/2}} v, \qquad \forall r \in (0, 1/4). \tag{9.6}$$

Proof. This is immediate from the hypotheses. □

Proof of Theorem 3.6. We work with the Kelvin transform v and use (9.4) in each of the following steps.

Step 1: Lower bound. For any $x \in B_{1/4} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$v(x) \geq \int_{B_1 \setminus B_{1/2}} \frac{|y|^{-2s} v(y)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}}{|x-y|^{N-2s}}\, dy \geq c \|v\|^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(B_1 \setminus B_{1/2})}.$$

Step 2: Upper bound. For any $x \in B_{1/4} \setminus \{0\}$, using the Harnack inequality (9.6),

$$v(x) \geq \int_{B_{|x|/2}(x)} \frac{|y|^{-2s} v(y)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}}{|x-y|^{N-2s}}\, dy \geq c \int_{B_{|x|/2}(x)} \frac{|x|^{-2s} v(x)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}}{|x|^{N-2s}}\, dy \geq c v(x)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}.$$

Rearranging yields $v(x) \leq C$. Note that here the constants C, c depend only (explicitly) on N and s.

Step 3: Conclusion. For any $x \in B_{1/16} \setminus \{0\}$, using **Step 1**,

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) &\geq \int_{B_{1/4} \setminus B_{2|x|}} \frac{|y|^{-2s} v(y)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}}{|x-y|^{N-2s}}\, dy \geq c \|v\|^{(\frac{N}{N-2s})^2}_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(B_1 \setminus B_{1/2})} \int_{B_{1/4} \setminus B_{2|x|}} \frac{|y|^{-2s}}{|y|^{N-2s}}\, dy \\ &\geq c \|v\|^{(\frac{N}{N-2s})^2}_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(B_1 \setminus B_{1/2})} \log \frac{1}{8|x|}. \end{aligned}$$

[27] $|z|^2 \left|x - \frac{z}{|z|^2}\right|^2 = |x|^2 \left|\frac{x}{|x|^2} - z\right|^2$

Using **Step 2**,

$$\|v\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(B_1\setminus B_{1/2})} \le \left(\frac{C}{\log\frac{1}{8|x|}}\right)^{(\frac{N-2s}{N})^2} \to 0 \qquad \text{as } |x|\to 0.$$

We conclude that $v\equiv 0$ in $B_1\setminus B_{1/2}$, i.e. $u\equiv 0$ on $B_2\setminus B_1$. A similar argument on v in $B_1\setminus B_r$ for each $r\in(0,1/2)$ shows that $u\equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1$. □

9.2. **Integro-differential formulation.** Generalizing [148, Proposition 3.1], we know that the following Harnack inequality holds for non-negative solutions of (3.6) on annuli.

Lemma 9.3 (Harnack inequality)**.** *Let u be a non-negative solution for (3.6). Then for any $R>4$,*

$$\sup_{B_{2R}\setminus B_{R/2}} u \le C \inf_{B_{2R}\setminus B_{R/2}} u, \tag{9.7}$$

where C depends only on n and s.

Proof of Theorem 3.7. Since u is a Green-Poisson solution and the term $\mathcal{P}_{B_1}[u]\ge 0$, (3.4) holds up to a constant (which depends only on N and s). Moreover, (9.3) implies (3.5). Therefore, one concludes by repeating the proof of Theorem 3.6 (with different constants). □

10. Classification of local behavior

In this section we prove Theorem 3.8. The novelty is to transform the one-dimensional nonlocal equation into a *first order ODE* in the asymptotic regime, via the corresponding integral equation. This seems to be new in the "non-local community", although its root lies in the theory of delay differential equations. This method is robust for nonlocal operators whose Green's kernel is comparable to Riesz potential in the interior. We first present the arguments for (radial solutions of) the homogeneous Dirichlet problem. Then we indicate the necessary modifications in the general case.

10.1. **Integral Emden–Fowler transformation.** Let u solve the homogeneous Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = u^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} & \text{in } B_1\setminus\{0\},\\ u=0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1. \end{cases}$$

Note that using the method of moving plane as in [46,64], we know from Proposition 3.5 that u is radially symmetric. For $x\in B_1\setminus\{0\}$, define

$$u(x) =: \frac{v(t)}{|x|^{N-2s}}, \qquad t=-\log|x|.$$

Note that from $u\in L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(B_1)$ we know that $v\in L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}([0,+\infty))$.

Lemma 10.1. *For any $t\ge 0$, we can rewrite*

$$v(t)=\int_0^\infty\int_0^\pi F\left(\frac{(1-e^{-2t})(e^{2t}-e^{2(t-\bar t)})}{1+e^{2(t-\bar t)}-2e^{t-\bar t}\cos\theta}\right)\frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-2}(\mathbb{S}^{N-2})\sin^{N-2}\theta\,d\theta}{\left(1+e^{2(t-\bar t)}-2e^{t-\bar t}\cos\theta\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}v(\bar t)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\,d\bar t, \tag{10.1}$$

where

$$F(R)=\frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}{2^{2s}\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}\Gamma(s)^2}\int_0^R\frac{\tau^{s-1}}{(\tau+1)^{\frac{N}{2}}}\,d\tau.$$

Proof. Thanks to the results in [30], we can write

$$u(x)=\int_{B_1}F\left(\frac{(1-|x|^2)(1-|\bar x|^2)}{|x-\bar x|^2}\right)\frac{u(\bar x)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}}{|x-\bar x|^{N-2s}}\,d\bar x.$$

Using polar coordinates and writing $|x|=r$, $|\bar x|=\bar r$,

$$v(-\log r)=\int_0^1\int_0^\pi F\left(\frac{(1-r^2)\left(r^{-2}-(\frac{\bar r}{r})^2\right)}{1+\left(\frac{\bar r}{r}\right)^2-2\frac{\bar r}{r}\cos\theta}\right)\frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-2}(\mathbb{S}^{N-2})\sin^{N-2}\theta}{\left(1+\left(\frac{\bar r}{r}\right)^2-2\frac{\bar r}{r}\cos\theta\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}v(-\log\bar r)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\,\frac{d\bar r}{\bar r}.$$

Changing variables to $r = e^{-t}$, $\bar{r} = e^{-\bar{t}}$ yields the result. □

10.2. **Upper bound.** We start with a restatement of the Harnack's inequality given in Lemma 9.3.

Lemma 10.2. *For any $t \geq 2$,*

$$\sup_{[t-1,t+1]} v \leq C \inf_{[t-1,t+1]} v.$$

We control the contribution in the region close to the boundary $t = 0$ by an exponentially small error.

Lemma 10.3. *For any $t \geq 4$,*

$$v(t) = \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}(t-\bar{t}) v(\bar{t})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\, d\bar{t} + O(e^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}t}),$$

where the error $O(e^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}t})$ is non-negative and

$$\mathcal{K}(t-\bar{t}) = F(+\infty) \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-2}(\mathbb{S}^{N-2}) \sin^{N-2}\theta\, d\theta}{\left(1 + e^{2(t-\bar{t})} - 2e^{t-\bar{t}}\cos\theta\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}} \asymp \begin{cases} e^{-(N-2s)(t-\bar{t})} & \text{for } t-\bar{t} \leq -1, \\ |t-\bar{t}|^{-(1-2s)} & \text{for } |t-\bar{t}| \leq 1, \\ 1 & \text{for } t-\bar{t} \geq 1. \end{cases} \tag{10.2}$$

Proof. Consider (10.1). Since F is increasing, for any $\bar{t} \geq \frac{t}{2} \geq 2$ and $\theta \in [0,\pi]$,

$$0 \leq F(+\infty) - F\left(\frac{(1-e^{-2t})(e^{2t} - e^{2(t-\bar{t})})}{1 + e^{2(t-\bar{t})} - 2e^{t-\bar{t}}\cos\theta}\right) \leq F(+\infty) - F(ce^t) \leq C\int_{ce^t}^{\infty} \tau^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}-1}\, d\tau \leq Ce^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}t}.$$

Since $v \in L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}([0,+\infty))$, the exponential error can be moved outside of the integral in (10.1). □

We now replace the kernel by one that is smooth at the origin, using its integrability.

Lemma 10.4. *For any $t \geq 4$,*

$$v(t) \asymp \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\infty} e^{-(N-2s)(t-\bar{t})_+} v(\bar{t})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\, d\bar{t} + O\big(e^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}t}\big),$$

where the error $O(e^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}t})$ is non-negative.

Proof. In view of (10.2), it suffices to show that

$$\int_{t-1}^{t+1} \mathcal{K}(t-\bar{t}) v(\bar{t})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\, d\bar{t} \asymp \int_{t-1}^{t+1} v(\bar{t})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\, d\bar{t}.$$

But this is immediate due to Lemma 10.2. □

Proposition 10.5. *For any $t \geq 8$,*

$$v(t) \leq Ct^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}}.$$

Proof. Using Lemma 10.4, for any $t \geq 4$,

$$v(t) \gtrsim \int_t^{\infty} v(\bar{t})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\, d\bar{t}.$$

Now the right hand side, which we denote by $V(t)$, solves the first order differential inequality

$$[-V'(t)]^{\frac{N-2s}{N}} \geq cV(t), \qquad 0 < V(4) < +\infty,$$

which implies

$$V(t) \leq Ct^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}}.$$

But then by Lemma 10.3,

$$v(t) \lesssim V\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) + e^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}t} \lesssim t^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}}.$$

□

10.3. **Precise asymptotic equation.** Now we approximate the kernel by a constant at infinity.

Lemma 10.6. *Let $M \gg 1$ be fixed large. For any $\bar{t} \geq t + M\log t$ and $t \geq 8$,*

$$\mathcal{K}(t-\bar{t}) = \kappa + O(t^{-M}),$$

where[28]

$$\kappa = K(-\infty) = F(+\infty)\mathcal{H}^{N-2}(\mathbb{S}^{N-2})\int_0^\pi \sin^{N-2}\theta\, d\theta > 0. \tag{10.3}$$

Proof. One simply notes that, for $t - \bar{t} \leq -M\log t$,

$$\mathcal{K}(t-\bar{t}) = F(+\infty)\mathcal{H}^{N-2}(\mathbb{S}^{N-2})\int_0^\pi \frac{\sin^{N-2}\theta\, d\theta}{\left(1+O(t^{-M})\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}. \qquad \square$$

Using the upper bound, we arrive at the equation reducible to a first order ODE that describes v precisely.

Lemma 10.7. *For any $t \geq 8$,*

$$v(t) = \kappa\int_t^\infty v(\bar{t})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\, d\bar{t} + O\left(t^{-\frac{N}{2s}}\log t\right), \tag{10.4}$$

where κ is given in (10.3).

Proof. Let $M > 0$ be a constant to be chosen later. Using Proposition 10.5 and Lemma 10.6, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) &= O\big(e^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}t}\big) + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t-M\log t} O\big(e^{-(N-2s)M\log t}\big)v(\bar{t})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\, d\bar{t} \\ &\quad + \int_{t-M\log t}^{t+M\log t} O\left(\|\mathcal{K}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}\setminus[-1,1])} + \|\mathcal{K}\|_{L^1([-1,1])}\right)v(\bar{t})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\, d\bar{t} + \int_{t+M\log t}^\infty \left(\kappa + O(t^{-M})\right)v(\bar{t})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\, d\bar{t} \\ &= O(t^{-(N-2s)M}) + O(Mt^{-\frac{N}{2s}}\log t) + \kappa\int_{t+M\log t}^\infty v(\bar{t})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\, d\bar{t} + O(t^{-M}). \end{aligned}$$

Since Proposition 10.5 also implies

$$\int_t^{t+M\log t} v(\bar{t})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\, d\bar{t} \leq CMt^{-\frac{N}{2s}}\log t,$$

we can "put this term back" as long as we fix M so large that $(N-2s)M > \frac{N}{s}$ and $M > \frac{N}{s}$. $\square$

10.4. **Lower bound near singularity.**

Proposition 10.8. *Suppose*

$$\ell = \limsup_{t\to+\infty} t^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}v(t) > 0. \tag{10.5}$$

Then

$$\liminf_{t\to+\infty} t^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}v(t) \geq c,$$

where $c > 0$ is a universal constant (in particular independent of ℓ).

Proof. Recall that $V(t) > 0$. By Lemma 10.7, we have

$$\limsup_{t\to+\infty} t^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}V(t) = \frac{\ell}{\kappa} > 0.$$

and

$$-V'(t) \leq \kappa^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}V(t)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\left(1 + C_1V(t)^{-1}t^{\frac{N}{2s}}\log t\right)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}.$$

[28]Note that this can be used to deduce an explicit expression for the constants appearing in (5.1) and (4.4).

By Lemma 10.7 and Lemma 10.2, there exists t_1 large such that

$$\inf_{t\in[t_1-1,t_1+1]} t^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}V(t) \geq \frac{\ell}{2C_2\kappa} \quad \text{and} \quad 2C_1C_2\kappa\ell^{-1}t_1^{-1}\log t_1 \leq 1.$$

For $t \in [t_1, t_1+1]$,

$$\frac{N-2s}{2s}\big(V^{-\frac{2s}{N-2s}}\big)'(t) \leq (2\kappa)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}.$$

$$V^{-\frac{2s}{N-2s}}(t) \leq V^{-\frac{2s}{N-2s}}(t_1) + \frac{2s}{N-2s}(2\kappa)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}$$

(10.6)

$$V^{-1}(t) \leq \left(\left(\frac{\ell}{2C_2\kappa}\right)^{-\frac{2s}{N-2s}} t_1 + \frac{2s}{N-2s}(2\kappa)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}} \leq 2^{\frac{N}{2s}}C_2\kappa\ell^{-1}t^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}} + \left(\frac{2s}{N-2s}\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}(2\kappa)^{\frac{N}{2s}}$$

By enlarging t_1 if necessary, we conclude that

$$C_1V(t)^{-1}t^{-\frac{N}{2s}}\log t \leq 1, \qquad \forall t \in [t_1, t_1+1].$$

Repeating the above argument yields that

$$C_1V(t)^{-1}t^{-\frac{N}{2s}}\log t \leq 1, \qquad \forall t \geq t_1.$$

In particular, (10.6) holds for all $t \geq t_1$, as desired. □

10.5. **Exact behavior around singularity.**

Proposition 10.9. *Suppose*

$$\ell = \liminf_{t\to+\infty} t^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}v(t) > 0. \tag{10.7}$$

Then, there exists $t_2 \geq 8$ such that as $t \to +\infty$,

$$v(t) = \left(\frac{N-2s}{2s\kappa}\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}} t^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}}\left(1 + O\left(\ell^{-\frac{N}{N-2s}}t^{-1}\log t\right) + O\left(t^{-1}\|v\|^{-\frac{2sN}{(N-2s)^2}}_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}([t_2,\infty))}\right)\right),$$

where the constant $\kappa > 0$ is given in (10.3).

Remark 10.10. Because of the gap between $t^{-1}\log t$ and $o(1)$, we could relax our assumption to

$$\ell = \liminf_{t\to+\infty} t^q v(t) > 0, \quad \text{for some} \quad q \in \left[\frac{N-2s}{2s}, \frac{(N-2s)(N+2s)}{2sN}\right).$$

Proof of Proposition 10.9. As in the proof of Proposition 10.5, Lemma 10.7 implies that the quantity $V(t) = \int_t^\infty v^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}$ satisfies, for any $t \geq 8$,

$$[-V'(t)]^{\frac{N-2s}{N}} = \kappa V(t) + O\left(t^{-\frac{N}{2s}}\log t\right),$$

$$-V'(t) = \kappa^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}V(t)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\left(1 + O\left(V(t)^{-1}t^{-\frac{N}{2s}}\log t\right)\right)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}},$$

The condition (10.7) implies that for any $t \geq t_2$ (t_2 sufficiently large),

$$V(t) \geq \frac{\ell^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}}{2}\int_t^\infty (\bar{t})^{-\frac{N}{2s}}\,d\bar{t} \geq \frac{s\ell^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}}{N-2s}t^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{N-2s}{2s}\big(V^{-\frac{2s}{N-2s}}\big)'(t) = \kappa^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\left(1 + O\left(\ell^{-\frac{N}{N-2s}}t^{-1}\log t\right)\right)$$

$$V(t)^{-\frac{2s}{N-2s}} - V(t_2)^{-\frac{2s}{N-2s}} = \frac{2s}{N-2s}\kappa^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}t\left(1 + O\left(\ell^{-\frac{N}{N-2s}}t^{-1}\log t\right)\right)$$

Since $V(t_2) = \|v\|^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}([t_2,\infty))}$, rearranging yields the result. □

10.6. **Removability of singularity.**

Lemma 10.11. *If u solves (3.1) and*

$$\lim_{r\to 0} r^{N-2s}\left(\log\frac{1}{r}\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}} u(r) = 0, \tag{10.8}$$

then the singularity of u at 0 is removable.

Proof. The assumption (10.8) implies that for any $\eta > 0$ there exists $r_1 > 0$ such that

$$u(r)^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}} \le \frac{\eta}{r^{2s}\log\frac{1}{r}} \qquad \text{for } r \in (0, r_1).$$

By Proposition 4.3, for $\alpha \in (0, N-2s)$ and $\beta \in (0, \frac{N-2s}{2s} - \frac{N-2s}{N})$ fixed, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}&\left((-\Delta)^s - u^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}\right)\left(C_1 r^{-\alpha} + \delta\phi_{N-2s,\frac{N-2s}{2s}-\beta} - u\right)\\ &\ge cr^{-\alpha-2s} - C\eta r^{-\alpha-2s}\left(\log\frac{1}{r}\right)^{-1} + c\delta\phi_{N,\frac{N}{2s}-\beta} - C\delta\eta\phi_{N,\frac{N}{2s}-\beta} \ge 0.\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 10.5, for any $\delta > 0$ there exists $r_2 = r_2(\delta, \|u\|_{N-2s,\frac{N-2s}{2s}}) > 0$ such that $u \le \delta\phi_{N-2s,\frac{N-2s}{2s}-\beta}$ for $r \in (0, r_2]$. If we fix $C_1 = C_1(\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1\setminus B_{r_1})})$ large enough, then $u \le C_1 r^{-\alpha}$ for $r \in [r_1, 1]$. We have just verified that

$$\begin{cases}\left((-\Delta)^s - u^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}\right)\left(C_1 r^{-\alpha} + \delta\phi_{N-2s,\frac{N-2s}{2s}-\beta} - u\right) \ge 0 & \text{for } r \in (r_2, r_1),\\ C_1 r^{-\alpha} + \delta\phi_{N-2s,\frac{N-2s}{2s}-\beta} - u \ge 0 & \text{for } r \in (0, r_2]\cup[r_1, +\infty).\end{cases}$$

Since $(-\Delta)^s - u^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}}$ satisfies the maximum principle in any annulus in B_{r_1} according to fractional Hardy's inequality, we deduce that

$$u(r) \le C_1 r^{-\alpha} + \delta\phi_{N-2s,\frac{N-2s}{2s}-\beta}, \qquad \text{for } r \in (r_2, r_1).$$

Taking $\delta \to 0^+$, we obtain

$$u(r) \le C_1 r^{-\alpha}, \qquad \text{for } r \in (0, r_1).$$

Now that $u \in L^p(B_1)$ for $p > \frac{N}{N-2s}$, from [95, Proposition 2.6] we conclude that 0 is a removable singularity. □

10.7. **Proof of Theorem 3.8 with homogeneous Dirichlet condition.**

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.5, Proposition 10.8, Proposition 10.9 and Lemma 10.11. □

10.8. **Proof of Theorem 3.8 for radial solutions with inhomogeneous Dirichlet condition.**

Proof. As the exterior datum g is allowed to take negative values, the error term appearing in Lemma 10.3 will not be necessarily signed. Fortunately, g only induces via the Poisson formula a bounded contribution for u in $B_{1/2}\setminus\{0\}$, which becomes an exponentially small error under the Emden–Fowler transformation. We consider three cases.

a) If $0 < \limsup t^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}} v(t) < +\infty$, then there exists $t_1 > 0$ such that

$$v(t) \lesssim t^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}}, \quad t \ge t_1.$$

That is, we have the upper bound. Now we can proceed to get the precise asymptotic behavior (the error in Lemma 10.7 is unsigned), lower bound and exact behavior.

b) When $\limsup t^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}} v(t) = 0$, Lemma 10.11 shows that the singularity of u at 0 (or of v at $+\infty$) is removable.

c) The remaining case is $\limsup t^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}} v(t) = +\infty$; we will show that this is not possible.
We know from Lemma 10.4 that

$$v(t) \geq c \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\infty} e^{-(N-2s)(t-\bar{t})_+} v(\bar{t})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\, d\bar{t} - Ce^{-(N-2s)t},$$

where $C = \|g\|_{\tilde{L}^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$. Under the assumption, which clearly implies

$$v(t_k)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} \geq M_k t_k^{-\frac{N}{2s}} \tag{10.9}$$

for $M_k \to +\infty$ and $t_k \to +\infty$. Take k large enough and let $t \in [\frac{t_k}{2}, 2t_k]$. We can assert that

$$Ce^{-(N-2s)t} \leq Ce^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}t_k} \leq t_k^{-\frac{N}{2s}} \leq M_k^{-1} v(t_k)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}},$$

using the trivial inequality $e^{-at} \leq C(a,b)t^{-b}$, for $a, b > 0$.[29]
Thus, since $[t_k - 1, t_k + 1] \subset \left[\frac{t}{2}, +\infty\right)$, we observe that Lemma 10.2 implies for $t \in \left[\frac{3t_k}{4}, \frac{5t_k}{4}\right]$,

$$\begin{aligned} Ce^{-(N-2s)t} &\leq M_k^{-1} \int_{t_k-1}^{t_k+1} v(t_k)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\, d\bar{t} \leq CM_k^{-1} \int_{t_k-1}^{t_k+1} v(\bar{t})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\, d\bar{t} \\ &\leq CM_k^{-1} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\infty} e^{-(N-2s)(t-\bar{t})_+} v(\bar{t})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\, d\bar{t}, \end{aligned}$$

$$v(t) \geq (c - CM_k^{-1}) \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\infty} e^{-(N-2s)(t-\bar{t})_+} v(\bar{t})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\, d\bar{t} \geq c \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\infty} e^{-(N-2s)(t-\bar{t})_+} v(\bar{t})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}\, d\bar{t},$$

for a smaller c provided k is large. The same ODE argument as in Proposition 10.5 applied on interval $\left[\frac{3t_k}{4}, \frac{7t_k}{8}\right]$ yields

$$V\left(\frac{7t_k}{8}\right)^{-\frac{2s}{N-2s}} - V\left(\frac{3t_k}{4}\right)^{-\frac{2s}{N-2s}} \geq ct_k.$$

In particular, using Lemma 10.4 again we have

$$v(t_k) \leq CV\left(\frac{7t_k}{8}\right) + O\big(e^{-\frac{N-2s}{8}t_k}\big) \leq Ct_k^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}},$$

a contradiction to (10.9). □

10.9. **Proof of Theorem 3.8 in the general case.** We use an spherical average argument, showing an almost equality in Jensen's inequality with the power function.

Proof. Recall the Poisson formula

$$u_g(x) = C(N,s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1} \left(\frac{1-|x|^2}{|y|^2-1}\right)^s \frac{g(y)}{|x-y|^N}\, dy.$$

For $|x| < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$|u_g(x)| \lesssim \|g\|_{\tilde{L}^1_{2s}}.$$

The integral equation for v reads

$$\begin{aligned} v(t,\omega) = &\int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} F\left(\frac{(e^{2t}-1)(1-e^{-2\bar{t}})}{1+e^{2(t-\bar{t})} - 2e^{t-\bar{t}}\omega\cdot\bar{\omega}}\right) \frac{v(\bar{t},\bar{\omega})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}}{\left(1+e^{2(t-\bar{t})} - 2e^{t-\bar{t}}\omega\cdot\bar{\omega}\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}\, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}_{\bar{\omega}}\, d\bar{t} \\ &+ e^{-(N-2s)t} u_g(e^{-t},\omega). \end{aligned}$$

[29] It is possible to have an additional smallness of an exponential decay in t_k. However, we will not need it and we decided not to introduce extra notations.

For $t \gg 1$ and $|u_g| \le C$ (which holds when $\|g\|_{\tilde{L}^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1)} < +\infty$),

$$v(t,\omega) = \int_{t/2}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} F(+\infty) \frac{v(\bar{t},\bar{\omega})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}}{\left(1 + e^{2(t-\bar{t})} - 2e^{t-\bar{t}}\omega\cdot\bar{\omega}\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}} \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}_{\bar{\omega}} \, d\bar{t} + O\left(e^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}t}\right).$$

We aim to show the upper bound. As in Lemma 10.4, using Harnack's inequality (Lemma 10.2),

$$v(t,\omega) \asymp \int_{t/2}^{\infty} e^{-(N-2s)(t-\bar{t})_+} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} v(\bar{t},\bar{\omega})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}_{\bar{\omega}} \, d\bar{t} + O\left(e^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}t}\right).$$

Denote the spherical average of $v(t,\omega)$ by

$$\overline{v}(t) = \fint_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} v(t,\omega) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}_{\omega}.$$

Jensen's inequality implies

$$v(t,\omega) \gtrsim \int_{t/2}^{\infty} e^{-(N-2s)(t-\bar{t})_+} \overline{v}(\bar{t})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} \, d\bar{t} + O\left(e^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}t}\right).$$

Taking spherical average,

$$\overline{v}(t) \gtrsim \int_{t/2}^{\infty} e^{-(N-2s)(t-\bar{t})_+} \overline{v}(\bar{t})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} \, d\bar{t} + O\left(e^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}t}\right).$$

Using part c) from above, we get

$$\limsup_{t\to+\infty} t^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}} \overline{v}(t) < +\infty.$$

The upper bound of $v(t,\omega)$ follows from Harnack inequality. Then we get precise equation using the splitting with $t \pm M\log t$,

$$v(t,\omega) = \kappa V(t) + \mathcal{R}(t,\omega), \tag{10.10}$$

where κ is as in (10.3),[30]

$$V(t) := \int_t^{\infty} \fint_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} v(\bar{t},\bar{\omega})^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}_{\bar{\omega}} \, d\bar{t}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad |\mathcal{R}(t,\omega)| \lesssim t^{-\frac{N}{2s}} \log t.$$

The two operations of taking spherical average and raising to power $\frac{N}{N-2s}$ almost commute by (10.10):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \le \overline{v^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}}(t) - \overline{v}^{\frac{N}{N-2s}}(t) &= \fint_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \left[\left(\kappa V(t) + \mathcal{R}(t,\omega)\right)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} - \left(\kappa V(t) + \overline{\mathcal{R}}(t)\right)^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} \right] d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}_{\omega} \\ &\lesssim \left(V(t)^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}} + \sup_{\omega\in\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\mathcal{R}(t,\omega)|^{\frac{2s}{N-2s}} \right) \sup_{\omega\in\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\mathcal{R}(t,\omega)| \\ &\lesssim t^{-\frac{N}{2s}-1} \log t. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (10.10) becomes

$$v(t,\omega) = \kappa \int_t^{\infty} \overline{v}^{\frac{N}{N-2s}} \, d\bar{t} + O\left(t^{-\frac{N}{2s}} \log t\right). \tag{10.11}$$

Taking spherical average again, as in the radial case we have $\limsup_{t\to+\infty} t^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}} \overline{v}(t) \in (0,+\infty)$ implies the exact behavior of $\overline{v}(t)$, and hence of $v(t,\omega)$ in view of (10.11). □

[30] The V defined in the next equation is consistent with the one in the radial case.

Appendix A. Completeness of singular metrics

Lemma A.1 (Completeness)**.** *Let $s \in (0,1]$. Denote the Euclidean metric in $\mathbb{R}^n$ by $|dz|^2$. For $0 < u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma)$, consider the metric $g_u = u^{\frac{4}{n-2s}}|dz|^2$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma$ and write $r := \operatorname{dist}(\cdot, \Sigma)$.*

1. *If $u \asymp r^{-\frac{n-2s}{2}}$, then g_u is complete.*
2. *If $u \asymp r^{-\frac{n-2s}{2}}(\log \frac{1}{r})^{-\frac{n-2s}{4s}}$, then g_u is complete for $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$.*

Proof. It is enough to check that the geodesics emanating from Σ have infinite length. Recall that given a curve $\gamma : (0,1) \to (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma, g_u)$, its length is given by

$$\operatorname{length}(\gamma) = \int_0^1 \sqrt{g_u|_{\gamma(r)}(\gamma'(r), \gamma'(r))}\, dr.$$

For any $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ and $y \in \Sigma$ fixed, take the unit-speed curve defined in Fermi coordinates

$$\gamma(r) = (r\omega, y), \qquad r \in (0,1).$$

1. We have $g_u \asymp r^{-2}|dz|^2$, $\operatorname{length}(\gamma) \gtrsim \int_0^1 \sqrt{r^{-2}}\, dr = +\infty$.
2. In this case $g_u \asymp r^{-2}(\log \frac{1}{r})^{-\frac{1}{s}}|dz|^2$, so for $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $\operatorname{length}(\gamma) \gtrsim \int_0^1 \sqrt{(r \log \frac{1}{r})^{-2}}\, dr = +\infty$. □

Appendix B. Some explicit formulae for radial functions

For $s \in (-1,1) \setminus \{0\}$, define

$$F(t) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_0^1 \frac{\sigma^{N-2}(1-\sigma^2)^{\frac{N-3}{2}}}{(1+t^2\sigma^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}}\, d\sigma = t^{1-N}\int_0^t \frac{\tau^{N-2}}{(1+\tau^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}}\left(1-\frac{\tau^2}{t^2}\right)^{\frac{N-3}{2}} d\tau, & \text{for } t > 0 \\ \displaystyle\int_0^1 \sigma^{N-2}(1-\sigma^2)^{\frac{N-3}{2}}\, d\sigma, & \text{for } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma B.1. *For $s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 1) \setminus \{0\}$, the auxiliary function $F(t)$ is decreasing from $F(0)$ to 0 and has the asymptotic expansions*

$$F(t) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_0^1 \sigma^{N-2}(1-\sigma^2)^{\frac{N-3}{2}}\, d\sigma + O(t^2) & \text{as } t \to 0, \\ \displaystyle\frac{1}{t^{N-1}}\left[\int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^{N-2}}{(1+\tau^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}}\, d\tau + O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\int_1^t \tau^{-2s}\, d\tau\right)\right] & \text{as } t \to \infty. \end{cases}$$

which is equivalent to [31]

$$F(t) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\frac{\sqrt{\pi}2^{-(N-1)}\Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)} + O(t^2), & \text{as } t \to 0, \\ \displaystyle\frac{1}{t^{N-1}}\left[\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+2s}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right)}{2\Gamma\left(\frac{N+2s}{2}\right)} + O\left(\frac{1-t^{1-2s}}{(1-2s)t^2}\right)\right], & \text{as } t \to \infty. \end{cases}$$

For $s \in (-1, -\frac{1}{2}]$, the same asymptotic expansion holds as $t \to 0$, while as $t \to +\infty$,

$$F(t) \asymp t^{1-N}\int_1^t \tau^{-2s-2}\, d\tau.$$

Moreover, the integral kernel

$$K_{N,s}(\rho) = C_{N,s}\mathcal{H}^{N-2}(\mathbb{S}^{N-2})\rho^{(2s)_+ - 1}\int_0^\pi \frac{\sin^{N-2}\theta}{(1+\rho^2-2\rho\cos\theta)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}}\, d\theta,$$

satisfies the following properties.

[31] The quotient $\frac{1-t^{1-2s}}{1-2s}$ is understood as a limit, i.e. $\log t$, when $s = 1/2$.

(1) *For* $s \in (0,1)$,

$$K_{N,s}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) = \rho^{N+2-2s} K_{N,s}(\rho).$$

(2) *For* $s \in (-1,1)\setminus\{0\}$,

$$K_{N,s}(\rho) = 2^{N-1} C_{N,s} \mathcal{H}^{N-2}(\mathbb{S}^{N-2}) \frac{\rho^{(2s)_+ - 1}}{|\rho-1|^{N+2s}} F\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\rho}}{|\rho-1|}\right).$$

Proof. The asymptotic expansions for F can be easily derived using the first expression as $t \to 0$ and the second expansion as $t \to \infty$. We prove the properties of $K(\rho)$ below.

(1) It follows directly by factoring out ρ^{N+2s}.

(2) We write $t = \frac{2\sqrt{\rho}}{|\rho-1|}$,

$$1 + \rho^2 - 2\rho\cos\theta = (\rho-1)^2 + 2\rho(1-\cos\theta) = |\rho-1|^2\left(1 + t^2 \sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}\right),$$

as well as

$$\sin^{N-2}\theta\, d\theta = \left(2\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{N-2} \cos^{N-3}\frac{\theta}{2} \cdot \cos\frac{\theta}{2}\, d\theta.$$

Changing variable to $\sigma = \sin(\frac{\theta}{2})$, we have $\cos(\frac{\theta}{2})\, d\theta = 2\, d\sigma$, $2\sin\frac{\theta}{2} = 2\sigma$, $\cos\frac{\theta}{2} = \sqrt{1-\sigma^2}$ and we obtain the first expression for $K(\rho)$ in terms of $F(t)$. The other expression follows by putting $\tau = t\sigma$. □

Note that here we take $s \in (0,1)$ to unify the notation, but if the opposite is not indicated, we will use $s > 0$ and $-s$ to indicate the negative values.

Corollary B.2 (Vanishing of the zeroth order term)**.** *Let* $s \in (0,1)$*. For any bounded function* $f(\rho)$ *which is* $C^{2s+\alpha}$ *at* $\rho = 1$*,*

$$\int_0^1 K_{N,s}(\rho) f(\rho)\, d\rho = \int_1^\infty K_{N,s}(\rho) f(\rho^{-1}) \rho^{N-2s}\, d\rho.$$

In particular, by taking $f(\rho) = \rho^{N-2s} - 1$*, one obtains that*

$$\int_0^\infty K_{N,s}(\rho)(\rho^{N-2s} - 1)\, d\rho = 0.$$

Lemma B.3 (Fractional Emden–Fowler transformation)**.** *If* $v(r)$ *is a radial function, then at each point where* v *is* $C^{2s+\alpha}$ *(*$\alpha > 0$*), there holds*

$$r^N(-\Delta)^s\left(\frac{v(r)}{r^{N-2s}}\right) = \text{P.V.} \int_0^\infty K_{N,s}(\rho)(v(r) - v(r\rho))\, d\rho.$$

The principal value is not needed when $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$*.*

Remark B.4. Here P.V. denotes the Cauchy principal value in the sense

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{P.V.} \int_0^\infty K_{N,s}(\rho)(v(r) - v(r\rho))\, d\rho \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{1+\varepsilon}^\infty \left(K_{N,s}(\rho)(v(r) - v(r\rho)) + \frac{1}{\rho^2} K_{N,s}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)\left(v(r) - v\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)\right)\right) d\rho \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{1+\varepsilon}^\infty K_{N,s}(\rho)\left((v(r) - v(r\rho)) - \rho^{N-2s}\left(v\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right) - v(r)\right)\right) d\rho.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, when $s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $v \in C^{2s+\alpha}$ at r[32] (where $\alpha > 0$), using Taylor expansion close to $\rho = 1$, we have

$$(v(r) - v(r\rho)) - \rho^{N-2s}\left(v\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right) - v(r)\right) = rv'(r)(\rho-1)(\rho^{N-1-2s}-1) + O\left(\left[v'\right]_{C^{1,2s-1+\alpha}([r^-,r^+])} r^{2s+\alpha}|\rho-1|^{2s+\alpha}\right),$$

and hence the singular integral is finite in view of Lemma B.1 (2). Moreover, an upper bound is given by

$$\left|\text{P.V.}\int_0^\infty K_{N,s}(\rho)(v(r)-v(r\rho))\,d\rho\right| \lesssim v(r) + rv'(r) + r^{2s+\alpha}\left[v'\right]_{C^{2s-1+\alpha}([\frac{r}{2},2r])} + \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} v(r\rho)\,d\rho + \int_2^\infty \frac{v(r\rho)}{\rho^{N+2s}}\,d\rho.$$

Proof of Lemma B.3. Using polar coordinates with $r = |x|$ and $\bar{r} = |y|$ (where y is the dummy variable in $\mathbb{R}^N$),

$$\begin{aligned} r^N(-\Delta)^s\left(\frac{v(r)}{r^{N-2s}}\right) &= C_{N,s}r^N\int_0^\infty\int_0^\pi \frac{r^{-(N-2s)}v(r) - (\bar{r})^{-(N-2s)}v(\bar{r})}{(r^2+\bar{r}^2-2r\bar{r}\cos\theta)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}}\mathcal{H}^{N-2}(\mathbb{S}^{N-2})\sin^{N-2}\theta\,d\theta\,\bar{r}^{N-1}\,d\bar{r} \\ &= C_{N,s}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\pi \frac{(\frac{\bar{r}}{r})^{N-2s}v(r) - v(\bar{r})}{\left(1+(\frac{\bar{r}}{r})^2-2\frac{\bar{r}}{r}\cos\theta\right)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}}\mathcal{H}^{N-2}(\mathbb{S}^{N-2})\sin^{N-2}\theta\,d\theta\left(\frac{\bar{r}}{r}\right)^{2s}\frac{d\bar{r}}{\bar{r}} \end{aligned}$$

Putting $\bar{r} = r\rho$,

$$r^N(-\Delta)^s\left(\frac{v(r)}{r^{N-2s}}\right) = \int_0^\infty K_{N,s}(\rho)\left(\rho^{N-2s}v(r) - v(r\rho)\right)\,d\rho.$$

Using Corollary B.2, the result follows. □

Lemma B.5 (Riesz potential in polar coordinates)**.** *Suppose $|\cdot|^{-N}f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $p \in (1, \frac{N}{2s})$, and*

$$(-\Delta)^s\left(\frac{v(r)}{r^{N-2s}}\right) = \frac{f(r)}{r^N} \qquad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then v is uniquely given by the Riesz potential

$$v(r) = r^{N-2s}(-\Delta)^{-s}\left(\frac{f(r)}{r^N}\right) = \int_0^\infty K_{N,-s}(\rho)f(r\rho)\,d\rho.$$

Proof. Since $|\cdot|^{-N}f \in L^p$ with $p > 1$, the uniqueness is ensured by [73, Corollary 1.4]. Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned} r^{N-2s}(-\Delta)^{-s}\left(\frac{f(r)}{r^N}\right) &= C_{N,-s}r^{N-2s}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\pi \frac{\tilde{\rho}^{-N}f(\tilde{\rho})}{(r^2+\tilde{\rho}^2-2r\tilde{\rho}\cos\theta)^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}\mathcal{H}^{N-2}(\mathbb{S}^{N-2})\sin^{N-2}\theta\,d\theta\,\tilde{\rho}^{N-1}\,d\tilde{\rho} \\ &= C_{N,-s}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\pi \frac{f(r\rho)}{(1+\rho^2-2\rho\cos\theta)^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}\mathcal{H}^{N-2}(\mathbb{S}^{N-2})\sin^{N-2}\theta\,d\theta\,\frac{d\rho}{\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Appendix C. Maximum principles

Let $P = (-\Delta)^s - V(x)$ be a fractional Schrödinger operator defined on a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. We assume that

$$0 \le V(x) \le \frac{\eta}{|x|^{2s}}, \quad \eta < \Lambda_{N,s} = 2^{2s}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+2s}{4}\right)^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-2s}{4}\right)^2}.$$

[32] here $r_\pm$ are numbers close enough to r such that, on the interval $[r_-, r_+]$, $C^{2s+\alpha}$ regularity holds for v.

Recall that $\Lambda_{N,s}$ is the optimal constant for the fractional Hardy inequality. In particular, P is a positive operator in the sense that it has a positive first Dirichlet eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(P) > 0$,

$$\int vPv\,dx \geq \lambda_1 \int v^2\,dx \geq 0, \qquad \forall v \in H^s(\Omega),\ v \equiv 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \tag{C.1}$$

Lemma C.1 (Maximum principle for variational solutions)**.** *Let P be as above. Suppose $u \in H^s(\Omega)$ solve*

$$\begin{cases} Pu = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = g & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{C.2}$$

with $f, g \geq 0$, then $u \geq 0$ in Ω.

Proof. We split $u = u_+ - u_-$. Observe that $u_- = g_- = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$. By testing the equation against u_-, since $\lambda_1 > 0$, we obtain

$$0 \leq f = \int_\Omega u_- Pu\,dx = \int_\Omega u_-(Pu_+ - Pu_-)\,dx \leq -\lambda_1 \int_\Omega u_-^2\,dx \leq 0.$$

Thus $u_- \equiv 0$ a.e., so $u \geq 0$ in Ω. □

The equation (C.2) can be understood in the weak-dual sense (see e.g. [1]), namely

$$\int_\Omega u\zeta - Vu\mathcal{G}(\zeta)\,dx = \int_\Omega f\mathcal{G}(\zeta)\,dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\Omega} g(-\Delta)^s\mathcal{G}(\zeta)\,dx, \qquad \forall \zeta \in C_c^\infty(\Omega). \tag{C.3}$$

We observe that for $\zeta \geq 0$ in Ω, we have that $\mathcal{G}(\zeta) \geq 0$ in Ω and $\mathcal{G}(\zeta) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$. This implies that

$$(-\Delta)^s\mathcal{G}(\zeta) \leq 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \quad \text{for} \quad \zeta \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \tag{C.4}$$

Lemma C.2 (Maximum principle for weak-dual solutions)**.** *Let Ω and $P = (-\Delta)^s - V(x)$ be as in Lemma C.1. Suppose, in the weak-dual sense,*

$$\begin{cases} Pu = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = g & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases}$$

with $f, g \geq 0$. Then, provided that η is sufficiently small, we have $u \geq 0$ in Ω.

Remark C.3. When $V \equiv \lambda$ is a constant below the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of $(-\Delta)^s$, this is contained in [39, Section 6].

For its proof we need the following dual version of fractional Hardy inequality. Although our proof does not produce the best constant, it is enough for our purpose.

Lemma C.4 (Dual fractional Hardy inequality)**.** *For any $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$,*

$$\int_\Omega \frac{1}{r^{2s}}\psi\mathcal{G}(\psi)\,dx \leq C\int_\Omega \psi^2\,dx.$$

Proof. By the fractional Hardy inequality [147] applied to $(-\Delta)^{2s}$ of twice the order, which holds for $N > 2s$,

$$\int_\Omega \frac{\varphi^2}{r^{4s}}\,dx \leq C(N,2s)\int_\Omega |(-\Delta)^s\varphi|^2\,dx, \qquad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

By approximation, this also holds for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}\big(C_c^\infty(\Omega)\big)$. Putting $\varphi = \mathcal{G}(\psi)$,

$$\int_\Omega \frac{\mathcal{G}(\psi)^2}{r^{4s}}\,dx \leq C(N,s)\int_\Omega \psi^2\,dx, \qquad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

Now, by Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,

$$\int_\Omega \frac{1}{r^{2s}}\psi\mathcal{G}(\psi)\,dx \leq \left(\int_\Omega \psi^2\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_\Omega \frac{\mathcal{G}(\psi)^2}{r^{4s}}\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(N,s)\int_\Omega \psi^2\,dx.$$

□

Proof of Lemma C.2. Decompose $u = u_+ - u_-$. Take $\zeta = u_- \geq 0$. By (C.3) and (C.4),

$$-\int_\Omega u_-^2\,dx - \int_\Omega V u_+ \mathcal{G}(u_-)\,dx + \int_\Omega V u_- \mathcal{G}(u_-) \geq 0.$$

Note that the second term is non-positive. The last term can be bounded using Lemma C.4, giving

$$-(1 - C\eta)\int_\Omega u_-^2\,dx \geq 0.$$

This completes the proof. □

Appendix D. Some known results on special functions

Lemma D.1. [5, 142] *Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$. The hypergeometric function is defined for $|z| < 1$ by the power series*

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1(a,b;c;z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n}\frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\Gamma(a+n)\Gamma(b+n)}{\Gamma(c+n)}\frac{z^n}{n!}.$$

It is undefined (or infinite) if c equals a non-positive integer. Some properties are

i. *The hypergeometric function evaluated at $z = 0$ satisfies*

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1(a+j, b-j; c; 0) = 1;\ \ j = \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \tag{D.1}$$

ii. *If $|arg(1-z)| < \pi$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2\mathrm{F}_1(a,b;c;z) &= \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}\ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(a,b;a+b-c+1;1-z\right) \\ &+(1-z)^{c-a-b}\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a+b-c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}\ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1(c-a,c-b;c-a-b+1;1-z). \end{aligned} \tag{D.2}$$

iii. *The hypergeometric function is symmetric with respect to first and second arguments, i.e*

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1(a,b;c;z) = {}_2\mathrm{F}_1(b,a;c;z). \tag{D.3}$$

iv. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The m-derivative of the hypergeometric function is given by*

$$\tfrac{d^m}{dz^m}\left[(1-z)^{a+m-1}\ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1(a,b;c;z)\right] = \tfrac{(-1)^m (a)_m (c-b)_m}{(c)_m}(1-z)^{a-1}\ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1(a+m,b;c+m;z). \tag{D.4}$$

v. *If $c > b > 0$, then by using the meromorphic extension of the hypergeometric function we have for $|z| < 1$*

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1(a,b;c;z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)}\int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}(1-tz)^{-a}\,dt. \tag{D.5}$$

Lemma D.2. *For $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} x, \operatorname{Re} y > 0$, the Beta function defined by*

$$B(x,y) = \int_0^1 \sigma^{x-1}(1-\sigma)^{y-1}\,d\sigma = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)},$$

has the integral representations

$$B(x,y) = 2\int_0^1 \sigma^{2x-1}(1-\sigma^2)^{y-1}\,d\sigma = 2\int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^{2x-1}}{(1+\tau^2)^{x+y}}\,d\tau.$$

Acknowledgements

H. C. has received funding from the European Research Council under the Grant Agreement No 721675. A. DelaTorre is currently supported by the following grants: Juan de la Cierva incorporación 2018 with ref. IJC2018-036320-I and PGC2018-096422-B-100 from the Spanish Government. She has also been supported by Spanish government grants MTM2014-52402-C3-1-P and MTM2017-85757-P. This work is supported in part by the IMAG–Maria de Maeztu grant CEX2020-001105-M / AEI / 10.13039/501100011033.

We are indebted to Alessio Figalli, María del Mar González, Enno Lenzmann, Yannick Sire and Juncheng Wei for insightful comments.

References

[1] N. Abatangelo. Large s-harmonic functions and boundary blow-up solutions for the fractional Laplacian. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 35 (2015), no. 12, 5555–5607.

[2] A. Ambrosetti and P. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications. *Journal of Functional Analysis.* (1973). 14 (4): 349-–381.

[3] A. Ambrosetti, Y. Y. Li, A. Malchiodi. On the Yamabe problem and the scalar curvature problems under boundary conditions. Math. Ann. 322 (2002), no. 4, 667–699.

[4] N. Abatangelo, S. Jarohs and A. Saldaña Fractional Laplacians on ellipsoids. Preprint.

[5] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun. *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, volume 55 of *National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series*. For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1964.

[6] A. D. Alexandrov. Uniqueness theorems for surfaces in the large, I (in Russian), Vestnik Leningrad Univ. 11 (1956), 5–17.

[7] S. d. M. Almaraz. An existence theorem of conformal scalar-flat metrics on manifolds with boundary. Pacific J. Math. 248 (2010), no. 1, 1–22.

[8] W. Ao, H. Chan, M. d. M. González, J. Wei. Existence of positive weak solutions for fractional Lane-Emden equations with prescribed singular sets. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 57 (2018), no. 6, Art. 149, 25 pp.

[9] W. Ao, H. Chan, M. d. M. González, J. Wei. Bound state solutions for the supercritical fractional Schrödinger equation. Accepted by Nonlinear Analysis.

[10] W. Ao, A. DelaTorre, M. d. M. González and J. Wei. A gluing approach for the fractional Yamabe problem with prescribed isolated singularities. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelle journal)*, 2020 (763), 25-78.

[11] W. Ao, H. Chan, A. DelaTorre, M. A. Fontelos, M. d. M. González, J. Wei. On higher-dimensional singularities for the fractional Yamabe problem: A nonlocal Mazzeo–Pacard program. Duke Math. J. 168 (2019), no. 17, 3297–3411.

[12] W. Ao, H. Chan, A. DelaTorre, M. A. Fontelos, M. d. M. González, J. Wei. ODE-methods in non-local equations. Journal of Mathematical Study, Vol. 53, No. 4, pp. 370-401,(2020).

[13] W. Ao, M. d. M. González, A. Hyder, J. Wei. Removability of singularities and superharmonicity for some fractional Laplacian equations. Preprint, arXiv:2001.11683.

[14] W. Ao, C. Liu, L. Wang. Fast and slow decay solutions for supercritical fractional elliptic problems in exterior domains. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics, First View, pp. 1–26. Published online (2021). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/prm.2020.91.

[15] P. Aviles. On isolated singularities in some nonlinear partial differential equations. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 32 (1983), no. 5, 773–791.

[16] P. Aviles. Local behavior of solutions of some elliptic equations. Comm. Math. Phys. 108 (1987), no. 2, 177–192.

[17] M.-F. Bidaut-Véron, A. C. Ponce, L. Véron. Isolated boundary singularities of semilinear elliptic equations. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 40 (2011), no. 1-2, 183–221.

[18] M.-F. Bidaut-Véron, L. Véron. Nonlinear elliptic equations on compact Riemannian manifolds and asymptotics of Emden equations. Invent. Math. 106 (1991), no. 3, 489–539.

[19] B. Barrios, A. Quaas. The sharp exponent in the study of the nonlocal Hénon equation in $\mathbb{R}^N$: a Liouville theorem and an existence result. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 59 (2020), no. 4, Paper No. 114, 22 pp.

[20] P. K. Bhattacharyya. Distributions: generalized functions with applications in Sobolev spaces. De Gruyter, 2012.

[21] C. Brändle, E. Colorado, A. de Pablo, U. Sánchez. A concave-convex elliptic problem involving the fractional Laplacian. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 143 (2013), no. 1, 39–71.

[22] T. P. Branson. Sharp inequalities, the functional determinant, and the complementary series. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 10, 347 (1995) 3671—3742.

[23] T. P. Branson. Spectral theory of invariant operators, sharp inequalities, and representation theory. In The Proceedings of the 16th Winter School "Geometry and Physics" (Srní, 1996), 46 (1997), 29–54.

[24] S. Brendle. Convergence of the Yamabe flow for arbitrary initial energy. J. Differential Geom. 69 (2005), no. 2, 217–278.

[25] S. Brendle. Convergence of the Yamabe flow in dimension 6 and higher. Invent. Math. 170 (2007), no. 3, 541—576.

[26] S. Brendle. Blow-up phenomena for the Yamabe equation. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (2008), no. 4, 951–979.

[27] S. Brendle, S. S. Chen. An existence theorem for the Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 16 (2014), no. 5, 991–1016.

[28] S. Brendle, F. C. Marques. Blow-up phenomena for the Yamabe equation. II. J. Differential Geom. 81 (2009), no. 2, 225–250.

[29] H. Brezis, T. Cazenave, Y. Martel, A. Ramiandrisoa. Blow up for $u_t - \Delta u = g(u)$ revisited. Adv. Differential Equations 1 (1996), no. 1, 73–90.

[30] C. Bucur. Some observations on the Green function for the ball in the fractional Laplace framework. Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 15 (2016), no. 2, 657–699.

[31] X. Cabré, A. Figalli, X. Ros-Oton, J. Serra. Stable solutions to semilinear elliptic equations are smooth up to dimension 9. Acta Math. 224(2): 187-252 (June 2020).

[32] L. A. Caffarelli, B. Gidas, J. Spruck. Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 42 (1989), no. 3, 271–297.

[33] L. Caffarelli, L. Silvestre. An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 32 (2007), no. 7-9, 1245–1260.
[34] L. Caffarelli, Ti. Jin, Y.Sire, J. Xiong Local Analysis of Solutions of Fractional Semi-Linear Elliptic Equations with Isolated Singularities. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 213 (2014) 245–268.
[35] J. S. Case. Sharp weighted Sobolev trace inequalities and fractional powers of the Laplacian. J. Funct. Anal. 279 (2020), no. 4, 108567, 33 pp.
[36] J. Case, S.-Y. A. Chang. On fractional GJMS operators. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 69 (2016), no. 6, 1017–1061.
[37] A. Carlotto, O. Chodosh, Y. A. Rubinstein. Slowly converging Yamabe flows. Geom. Topol. 19 (2015), no. 3, 1523–1568.
[38] H. Chan, A. DelaTorre. An analytic construction of singular solutions related to a critical Yamabe problem. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, Vol. 45, Issue 11, (2020).
[39] H. Chan, D. Gómez-Castro, J. L. Vázquez. Blow-up phenomena in nonlocal eigenvalue problems: when theories of L^1 and L^2 meet. J. Funct. Anal. 280 (2021), no. 7, 108845, 68 pp.
[40] H. Chan, Y. Sire, L. Sun. Convergence of the fractional Yamabe flow for a class of initial data. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) Vol. XXI (2020), 1703–1740.
[41] S. Chandrasekhar. An introduction to the study of stellar structure. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, N. Y. 1957. ii+509 pp.
[42] S.-Y. A. Chang. Conformal Geometry on four manifolds-Noether Lecture Proc. Int. Cong. of Math – 2018 Rio de Janeiro, Vol. 1 (119–146)
[43] S.-Y. A. Chang and M. d. M. González. Fractional Laplacian in conformal geometry. Adv. Math., 226(2):1410–1432, 2011.
[44] C.-C. Chen, C.-S. Lin. Existence of positive weak solutions with a prescribed singular set of semilinear elliptic equations. J. Geom. Anal. 9 (1999), no. 2, 221–246.
[45] H. Chen. On isolated singularities for fractional Lane-Emden equation in the Serrin's critical case. Preprint, arXiv:2109.07085.
[46] W. Chen, C. Li, Y. Li. A direct method of moving planes for the fractional Laplacian. Adv. Math. 308 (2017), 404–437.
[47] W. Chen, C. Li, B. Ou. Qualitative properties of solutions for an integral equation. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 12 (2005), no. 2, 347–354.
[48] W. Chen, C. Li, B. Ou. Classification of solutions for an integral equation. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 59 (2006), no. 3, 330–343.
[49] W. Chen, Y. Li, R. Zhang. A direct method of moving spheres on fractional order equations. J. Funct. Anal. 272 (2017), no. 10, 4131–4157.
[50] H. Chen, A. Quaas. Classification of isolated singularities of nonnegative solutions to fractional semi-linear elliptic equations and the existence results. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 97 (2018) 196—221.
[51] X. Chen, P. T. Ho, L. Sun. Prescribed scalar curvature plus mean curvature flows in compact manifolds with boundary of negative conformal invariant. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 53 (2018), no. 1, 121–150.
[52] X. Chen, Y. Ruan, L. Sun. The Han-Li conjecture in constant scalar curvature and constant boundary mean curvature problem on compact manifolds. Adv. Math. 358 (2019), 106854, 56 pp.
[53] M. Chipot, I. Shafrir, M. Fila. On the solutions to some elliptic equations with nonlinear Neumann boundary conditions. Adv. Differential Equations 1 (1996), no. 1, 91–110.
[54] B. Chow. The Yamabe flow on locally conformally flat manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 45 (1992), no. 8, 1003–1014.
[55] S. Cruz-Blázquez, A. Malchiodi, D. Ruiz. Conformal metrics with prescribed scalar and mean curvature. Preprint, arxiv:2105.04185v2.
[56] E. N. Dancer, Y. Du, Yihong, Z. Guo. Finite Morse index solutions of an elliptic equation with supercritical exponent. J. Differential Equations 250 (2011), no. 8, 3281–3310.
[57] P. Daskalopoulos, Y. Sire, J. L. Vazquez. Weak and smooth solutions for a fractional Yamabe flow: The case of general compact and locally conformally flat manifolds. Communications in Partial Differential Equations (2019), 42(9), 1481-1496.
[58] Z. Djadli, A. Malchiodi, M. O. Ahmedou, Prescribing Scalar and Boundary Mean Curvature on the Three Dimensional Half Sphere, The Journal of Geometric Analysis 13, no. 2, 2003.
[59] A. DelaTorre, M. del Pino, M. d. M. Gonzalez and J. C. Wei. Delaunay-type singular solutions for the fractional Yamabe problem. *Mathematische Annalen 369*, (2017), 1-2, 597–626.
[60] A. DelaTorre and M. González. Isolated singularities for a semilinear equation for the fractional Laplacian arising in conformal geometry. *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, 34 (2018), 4, 1645–1678.
[61] A. DelaTorre, M. d. M González, A. Hyder, L. Martinazzi. Concentration phenomena for the fractional Q-curvature equation in dimension 3. Journal of the London Mathematical Society, Vol. 2, No. 0, 1–29 (2021). DOI: 10.1112/jlms.12437
[62] M. del Pino, M. Kowalczyk, J. Wei. On De Giorgi's conjecture in dimension $N \geq 9$. Ann. of Math. (2) 174 (2011), no. 3, 1485–1569.
[63] M. del Pino, M. Musso, F. Pacard. Boundary singularities for weak solutions of semilinear elliptic problems. (English summary) J. Funct. Anal. 253 (2007), no. 1, 241–272.
[64] M. Dou. A direct method of moving planes for fractional Laplacian equations in the unit ball. Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 15 (2016), no. 5, 1797–1807.

[65] O. Druet. Compactness for Yamabe metrics in low dimensions. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2004, no. 23, 1143–1191.
[66] B. Dyda. Fractional calculus for power functions and eigenvalues of the fractional Laplacian. *Fractional Calculus & Applied analysis* (15), 4 (2012).
[67] L. Dupaigne, A. Farina. Regularity and symmetry for semilinear elliptic equations in bounded domains. Preprint, arXiv:2102.12157.
[68] L. Dupaigne and G. Nedev. Semilinear elliptic PDE's with a singular potential. Adv. Differential Equations 7 (2002), no. 8, 973–1002.
[69] J. Escobar, Conformal deformation of a riemannian metric to a scalar flat metric with constant mean curvature on the boundary, Ann. of Math 136 (1) (1992) 1–50.
[70] J. Escobar, The yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary, J. Differential Geom 35 (1) (1992) 21–84.
[71] J. Escobar, Uniqueness theorems on conformal deformation of metrics, Sobolev inequalities, and an eigenvalue estimate, Comm. Pure Appl. Math 43 (1990) 857– 883.
[72] J. Escobar, Conformal deformation of a riemannian metric to a constant scalar curvature metric with constant mean curvature on the boundary, Indiana Univ. Math. J 45 (4) (1996) 917–943.
[73] Fall, Mouhamed Moustapha. Entire s-harmonic functions are affine. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 144 (2016), no. 6, 2587—2592.
[74] A. Farina. On the classification of solutions of the Lane-Emden equation on unbounded domains of $\mathbb{R}^N$. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 87 (2007), no. 5, 537–561.
[75] A. Farina. Stable solutions of $-\Delta u = e^u$ on $\mathbb{R}^N$. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 345 (2007), no. 2, 63–66.
[76] C. Fefferman, C. R. Graham. The ambient metric. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 178. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012. x+113 pp. ISBN: 978-0-691-15313-1.
[77] M. Felsinger, M. Kassmann, P. Voigt. The Dirichlet problem for nonlocal operators. Math. Z. 279 (2015), no. 3–4, 779–809.
[78] D. Finn, R. McOwen. Singularities and asymptotics for the equation $\Delta_g u - u^q = Su$. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 42 (1993), no. 4, 1487–1523.
[79] R. K. Getoor. First passage times for symmetric stable processes in space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1961), 75—90.
[80] B. Gidas. Symmetry properties and isolated singularities of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations, Nonlinear partial differential equations in engineering and applied science, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 54, Dekker, New York, 1980, pp. 255–273.
[81] B. Gidas, W. M. Ni, L. Nirenberg. Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle. Comm. Math. Phys. 68 (1979), no. 3, 209–243.
[82] B. Gidas, J. Spruck. Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 34 (1981), no. 4, 525–598.
[83] D. Gilbarg, N.S. Trudinger. Elliptic partial differential equations of second order. Second edition. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 224. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983. xiii+513 pp. ISBN: 3-540-13025-X
[84] C. Guillarmou, L. Guillopé. The determinant of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for surfaces with boundary. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2007, no. 22, Art. ID rnm099, 26 pp.
[85] M. d. M. González. Recent progress on the fractional Laplacian in conformal geometry. Recent developments in nonlocal theory, 236–273, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2018.
[86] M. d. M. González, R. Mazzeo, Y. Sire. Singular solutions of fractional order conformal Laplacians. J. Geom. Anal. 22 (2012), no. 3, 845–863.
[87] M. d. M. González, J. Qing. Fractional conformal Laplacians and fractional Yamabe problems. Analysis and Partial Differential Equations. 6 (2010)
[88] M. d. M. González, M. Wang. Further Results on the Fractional Yamabe Problem: The Umbilic Case. The Journal of Geometric Analysis 28 (2015): 22–60.
[89] C. R. Graham, M. Zworski. Scattering matrix in conformal geometry. Invent. Math. 152 (2003), no. 1, 89–118.
[90] M. J. Gursky, A. Malchiodi. A strong maximum principle for the Paneitz operator and a non-local flow for the Q-curvature. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 17 (2015), no. 9, 2137—2173.
[91] R. S. Hamilton. Lecture notes on heat equations in geometry. Honolulu, Hawaii (1989).
[92] Z. C. Han, Y. Y. Li, The yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary: existence and compactness results, Duke Math. J. 99 (3) (1999) 489–542.
[93] Z. C. Han, Y. Y. Li, The existence of conformal metrics with constant scalar cur- vature and constant boundary mean curvature, Comm. Anal. Geom. 8 (4) (2000) 809–869.
[94] A. Hyder, A. Pistoia, Y. Sire. Singular Yamabe metrics by equivariant reduction. Preprint, arXiv:2005.08963.
[95] T. Jin, Y. Li, J. Xiong. On a fractional Nirenberg problem, part I: blow up analysis and compactness of solutions. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 16 (2014), 1111–1171.
[96] T. Jin, O. S. de Queiroz, Y. Sire, J. Xiong. On local vbehavior of singular positive solutions to nonlocal elliptic equations. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 56 (2017), no. 1, Paper No. 9, 25 pp.
[97] T. Jin, J. Xiong. A fractional Yamabe flow and some applications. J. reine angew. Math. 696 (2014), 187–223

[98] J. L. Kazdan, F. W. Warner. Existence and conformal deformation of metrics with prescribed Gaussian and scalar curvatures. Ann. of Math. (2) 101 (1975), 317–331.
[99] J. L. Kazdan, F. W. Warner. Curvature functions for open 2-manifolds. Ann. of Math. (2) 99 (1974), 203–219.
[100] J. L. Kazdan, F. W. Warner. A direct approach to the determination of Gaussian and scalar curvature functions. Invent. Math. 28 (1975), 227–230.
[101] M. A. Khuri, F. C. Marques, R. M. Schoen. A compactness theorem for the Yamabe problem. J. Differential Geom. 81 (2009), no. 1, 143–196.
[102] S. Kim, M. Musso, J. Wei. Existence theorems of the fractional Yamabe problem. Analysis & PDE, 11(1), (2018) 75–113.
[103] S. Kim, M. Musso, J. Wei. A non-compactness result on the fractional Yamabe problem in large dimensions. Journal of Functional Analysis, (2012) 273 (12), 3759–3830.
[104] S. Kim, M. Musso, J. Wei. A compactness theorem of the fractional Yamabe problem. Part I: the non-umbilic conformal infinity. Journal of the European Mathematical Society, (2021) 23 , no 9, 3017–3073.
[105] J. M. Lee, T. H. Parker The Yamabe Problem Bulletin (New Series) of the American Mathematicahl Society, Vol. 17, Number 1, July 1987.
[106] Y. Y. Li, L. Zhang. Compactness of solutions to the Yamabe problem. II. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 24 (2005), no. 2, 185–237.
[107] Y. Y. Li, L. Zhang. Compactness of solutions to the Yamabe problem. III. J. Funct. Anal. 245 (2007), no. 2, 438–474.
[108] Y. Y. Li, M. Zhu. Uniqueness theorems through the method of moving spheres. Duke Math. J. 80 (1995), 383–418.
[109] P.-L. Lions. Isolated singularities in semilinear problems. J. Differential Equations 38 (1980), no. 3, 441–450.
[110] J.-L. Lions, E. Magenes, Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications. Vol. 1. (French) Travaux et Recherches Mathématiques, No. 17 Dunod, Paris 1968 xx+372 pp.
[111] F. C. Marques. A priori estimates for the Yamabe problem in the non-locally conformally flat case. J. Differential Geom. 71 (2005), no. 2, 315–346.
[112] F. C. Marques. Existence results for the Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 54 (2005), no. 6, 1599–1620.
[113] F. C. Marques. Conformal deformations to scalar-flat metrics with constant mean curvature on the boundary. Comm. Anal. Geom. 15 (2007), no. 2, 381—405.
[114] M. Mayer, C.-B. Ndiaye, Barycenter technique and the Riemann mapping problem of Cherrier-Escobar. J. Differential Geom. 107 (2017), no. 3, 519–560.
[115] R. R. Mazzeo, R. B. Melrose. Meromorphic extension of the resolvent on complete spaces with asymptotically constant negative curvature. J. Funct. Anal. 75 (1987), no. 2, 260–310.
[116] R. Mazzeo, F. Pacard. A construction of singular solutions for a semilinear elliptic equation using asymptotic analysis. J. Differential Geom. 44 (1996), no. 2, 331–370.
[117] R. Mazzeo, F. Pacard. Constant scalar curvature metrics with isolated singularities. Duke Math. J. 99 (1999), no. 3, 353–418.
[118] R. Mazzeo, D. Pollack and K. Uhlenbeck Moduli spaces of singular Yamabe metrics. Journal of the American Mathematical Society, 9 (1996), no 2.
[119] R. Mazzeo, N. Smale. Conformally flat metrics of constant positive scalar curvature on subdomains of the sphere. J. Differential Geom. 34 (1991), 581–621.
[120] M. Obata. The conjectures on conformal transformations of Riemannian manifolds. J. Differential Geometry 6 (1971/72), 247–258.
[121] F. Pacard. The Yamabe problem on subdomains of even dimensional spheres. Topological Methods in Nonlinear Anal. 6, (1995), 137–150.
[122] F. Pacard. Solutions with high-dimensional singular set, to a conformally invariant elliptic equation in $\mathbb{R}^4$ and in $\mathbb{R}^6$. Comm. Math. Phys. 159 (1994), no. 2, 423–432.
[123] F. Pacard. Existence and convergence of positive weak solutions of $-\Delta u = u^{\frac{n}{n-2}}$ in bounded domains of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$. Comm. Calc. Var. 1 (1993), 243–265.
[124] P. Pavlov, S. Samko. A description of spaces $L_p^\alpha(S_{n-1})$ in terms of spherical hypersingular integrals (Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 276 (1984), no. 3, 546–550; translation in Soviet Math. Dokl. 29 (1984), no. 3, 549–553.
[125] D. Pollack. Nonuniqueness and high energy solutions for a conformally invariant scalar equation. Comm. Anal. Geom. 1 (1993), no. 3–4, 347–414.
[126] P. Quittner, P. Souplet. Superlinear parabolic problems. Blow-up, global existence and steady states. Second edition of [MR2346798]. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher. [Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basel Textbooks] Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2019. xvi+725 pp. ISBN: 978-3-030-18220-5; 978-3-030-18222-9
[127] X. Ros-Oton. Nonlocal Equations in Bounded Domains: A Survey. Publ. Mat. 60 (2016), no. 1, 3–26. https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.pm/1450818481
[128] X. Ros-Oton, J. Serra. The Dirichlet problem for the fractional Laplacian: regularity up to the boundary. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 101 (2014), no. 3, 275–302.
[129] X. Ros-Oton, Xavier, J. Serra. Boundary regularity for fully nonlinear integro-differential equations. Duke Math. J. 165 (2016), no. 11, 2079–2154.

[130] R. Schoen, S.T. Yau. Conformally flat manifolds, Kleinian groups and scalar curvature, Invent. Math. 92 (1988) 47–72.
[131] R. Schoen. The existence of weak solutions with prescribed singular behavior for a conformally invariant scalar equation. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1988), no. 3, 317–392.
[132] R. M. Schoen. Variational theory for the total scalar curvature functional for Riemannian metrics and related topics. Topics in calculus of variations (Montecatini Terme, 1987), 120–154, Lecture Notes in Math., 1365, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
[133] R. M. Schoen. On the number of constant scalar curvature metrics in a conformal class. Differential geometry, 311–320, Pitman Monogr. Surveys Pure Appl. Math., 52, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1991.
[134] R. Schoen, D. Zhang. Prescribed scalar curvature on the n-sphere. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 4 (1996), no. 1, 1–25.
[135] H. Schwetlick, M. Struwe. Convergence of the Yamabe flow for "large" energies. J. Reine Angew. Math. 562 (2003), 59–100.
[136] M. B. Schulz. Instantaneously complete Yamabe flow on hyperbolic space. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 58 (2019), no. 6, Paper No. 190, 30 pp.
[137] M. B. Schulz. Unconditional existence of conformally hyperbolic Yamabe flows. Anal. PDE 13 (2020), no. 5, 1579–1590.
[138] M. B. Schulz. Yamabe flow on non-compact manifolds with unbounded initial curvature. J. Geom. Anal. 30 (2020), no. 4, 4178–4192.
[139] J. Serrin. Isolated singularities of solutions of quasi-linear equations. Acta Math. 113 (1965), 219–240.
[140] J. Serrin. A symmetry problem in potential theory, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 43 (1971), 304–318.
[141] R. Servadei, E. Valdinoci. Variational methods for non-local operators of elliptic type. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 33 (2013), no. 5, 2105–2137.
[142] S. Y. Slavyanov, W. Lay. *Special functions*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000.
[143] L. Véron. Comportement asymptotique des solutions d'équations elliptiques semi-linéaires dans $\mathbb{R}^n$. (French) Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 127 (1981), 25—50.
[144] K. Wang, J. Wei. Second order estimate on transition layers. Adv. Math. 358 (2019), 106856, 85 pp.
[145] W. Wang, K. Li, L. Hong. Nonexistence of positive solutions of $-\Delta u = K(x)u^p$ in R^n. Appl. Math. Lett. 18 (2005), no. 3, 345–351.
[146] J. Wei, K. Wu. Local behaviour of solutions to a fractional equation with isolated behavior of solutions to a fractional equation with isolated singularity and critical Serrin exponent. Preprint, 2021. Available online at
`https://secure.math.ubc.ca/~jcwei/LocalBehaviorofFraction-2021-04-21.pdf`
[147] D. Yafaev. Sharp constants in the Hardy-Rellich inequalities. J. Funct. Anal. 168 (1999), no. 1, 121–144.
[148] H. Yang and W. Zou. On isolated singularities of fractional semi-linear elliptic equations. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire. 38 (2021), no. 2, 403-420
[149] H. Yang and W. Zou. Exact asymptotic behavior of singular positive solutions of fractional semi-linear elliptic equations. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 147 (2019), no. 7, 2999–3009.
[150] R. Ye. Global existence and convergence of Yamabe flow. J. Differential Geom. 39 (1994), no. 1, 35–50.

Email address, H. Chan: `hardy.chan@math.ethz.ch`

(H. Chan) Department of Mathematics, ETH Zürich

Email address, A. DelaTorre: `adelatorre@ugr.es`

(A. DelaTorre) Dpto. de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada