

ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS OF THE STRESS CONCENTRATION BETWEEN TWO ADJACENT STIFF INCLUSIONS IN ALL DIMENSIONS

ZHIWEN ZHAO AND XIA HAO

ABSTRACT. In the region between two closely located stiff inclusions, the stress, which is the gradient of a solution to the Lamé system with partially infinite coefficients, may become arbitrarily large as the distance between interfacial boundaries of inclusions tends to zero. The primary aim of this paper is to give a sharp description in terms of the asymptotic behavior of the stress concentration, as the distance between interfacial boundaries of inclusions goes to zero. For that purpose we capture all the blow-up factor matrices, whose elements comprise of some certain integrals of the solutions to the case when two inclusions are touching. Then we are able to establish the asymptotic formulas of the stress concentration in the presence of two close-to-touching m -convex inclusions in all dimensions. Furthermore, an example of curvilinear squares with rounded-off angles is also presented for future application in numerical computations and simulations.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider a mathematical model of a high-contrast fiber-reinforced composite material which consists of a matrix and densely packed inclusions. The problem is described by elliptic systems, especially the Lamé systems. The most important quantities from an engineering point of view is the stress, which is the gradient of a solution to the Lamé systems. If two inclusions are nearly touching, then the stress field may concentrate highly in the thin gap between them. Over the past twenty years, much effort has been dedicated to quantitative understanding of such high concentration. In this paper, we continue the investigation on this topic and aim to establish the asymptotic formulas of the stress concentration in the presence of m -convex inclusions in all dimensions. Moreover, we also give more precise characterization for this high concentration in the presence of two closely located curvilinear squares with rounded-off angles in two dimensions. This type of axisymmetric inclusions is related to the “Vigdergauz microstructure” in the shape optimization of fibers, which was extensively studied in previous work [21, 24, 25, 47].

Our initial interest on the study of high stress concentration arising from composite material was motivated by the great work of Babuška et al. [7], where numerical computation of damage and fracture in linear composite systems was investigated. They observed numerically that the gradient of a solution to certain homogeneous isotropic linear systems of elasticity remains bounded regardless of the distance between inclusions. Subsequently, Li and Nirenberg proved this in [42] for general second-order elliptic systems including systems of elasticity with piecewise smooth coefficients, where stronger $C^{1,\alpha}$ estimates were established. We also

refer to [15, 19, 43] for scalar divergence form second-order elliptic equations. It is worthwhile to emphasize that the equations under consideration in [19] are non-homogeneous. Kim and Lim [32] recently used the single and double layer potentials with image line charges to establish an asymptotic expansion of the potential function for core-shell geometry with circular boundaries in two dimensions. Calo, Efendiev and Galvis [16] studied both high- and low-conductivity inclusions and established an asymptotic formula of a solution to elliptic equations with respect to the contrast k of sufficiently small or large quantity.

For the purpose of making clear the stress concentration of composite materials, we always first consider its simplified conductivity model of electrostatics and analyze the singular behavior of the electric field, which is the gradient of a solution to the Laplace equation. Denote by ε the distance between interfacial boundaries of inclusions. In the case of 2-convex inclusions, it has been proved that the generic blow-up rates of the electric field are $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$ in two dimensions [4, 5, 8, 12, 33, 48, 49], $|\varepsilon \ln \varepsilon|^{-1}$ in three dimensions [12, 13, 34, 41], and ε^{-1} in dimensions greater than three [12], respectively. We would like to point out that Bao, Li and Yin [12] also studied the generalized m -convex inclusions, especially when $m > 2$. Besides these gradient estimates, there has been a lot of literature, starting from [27], on the asymptotic behavior of the concentrated field. In two dimensions, Kang et al. [27] gave a complete characterization in terms of the singularities of the electric field for two neighbouring disks. Subsequently, Ammari et al. [3] utilized the method of disks osculating to convex domains to extend their results to the case of arbitrary 2-convex inclusions. In three dimensions, Kang et al. [28] established a precise characterization for the electric field in the presence of two spherical conductors. Kang, Lee and Yun [29] captured a stress concentration factor for the generalized m -convex inclusions as the distance between two inclusions tends to zero. Li, Li and Yang [38] presented a precise calculation of the energy and obtained a sharp characterization for the concentrated field with two adjacent 2-convex inclusions in dimensions two and three. Li [35] then extended the asymptotic results to the case of m -convex inclusions and captured a unified blow-up factor different from that in [38]. The subsequent work [50] completely solved the optimality of the blow-up rate for two adjacent m -convex inclusions in all dimensions. Bonnetier and Triki [14] obtained the asymptotics of the eigenvalues for the Poincaré variational problem in the presence of two closely located inclusions as the distance between these two inclusions tends to zero. For the nonlinear equation, see [17, 18, 22, 23].

In recent years, there has also made significant progress on the study of singular behavior of the stress concentration in the context of the full elasticity. Due to the fact that some techniques such as the maximum principle used in the scalar equations cannot apply to linear systems of elasticity, a delicate iterate technique with respect to the energy was built in [37] to overcome these difficulties. Li, Li, Bao and Yin [37] showed that the gradients for solutions to a class of elliptic systems with the same boundary data on the upper and bottom boundaries of the narrow region possess the exponentially decaying property. Bao et al. [10, 11] then utilized the iterate technique to establish the pointwise upper bound estimates on the gradient and captured the stress blow-up rate for two close-to-touching 2-convex inclusions. Subsequently, Li [36] demonstrated the optimality of the blow-up rate in dimensions two and three by finding a unified stress concentration factor to construct a lower bound of the gradient. Li and Xu [39] further improved the

results in [36] to be precise asymptotic formulas. However, the stress concentration factors, which are vital to the establishments of the lower bounds and asymptotic expansions on the gradients, are captured in [36, 39] only when the domain and the boundary data satisfy some special symmetric conditions. Subsequently, Miao and Zhao [45] got ride of those strict symmetric conditions by accurately constructing the unified stress concentration factors for the generalized m -convex inclusions in all dimensions and then established the optimal upper and lower bounds on the gradient. Their results gave a perfect answer for the optimality of the stress blow-up rate in any dimension. In addition, we refer to [9, 40, 46] for the corresponding boundary estimates.

Kang and Yu [30] recently constructed singular functions for the two-dimensional Lamé systems and then gave a complete characterization in terms of the singular behavior of the gradient. These singular functions were used to study the effective property of the composite in their subsequent work [31]. Specifically, they utilized the primal-dual variational principle and the singular functions to rigorously prove the Flaherty-Keller formula for the effective elastic properties, where the Flaherty-Keller formula was previously investigated in [20]. It is worth mentioning that Ando, Kang and Miyanishi [6] recently studied the Neumann-Poincaré type operator associated with the Lamé system and proved that its eigenvalues converge at a polynomial rate if the boundary of the domain is smooth and at an exponential rate on real analytic boundaries, respectively.

In this paper, we make use of all the systems of equations in linear decomposition to capture all the blow-up factor matrices in any dimension and then establish the precise asymptotic expressions of the concentrated field for two adjacent m -convex inclusions in all dimensions. This is different from that in [36, 39, 45], which pursued to use a family of unified blow-up factors to characterize the singular behavior of the stress concentration.

Finally, we present an overview of the rest of this paper. In Section 2, we give a mathematical formulation of the problem and list the main results, including Theorems 2.1, 2.5 and 2.6. In Section 3, we review some preliminary facts on linear decomposition and construction of the auxiliary function. Section 4 is dedicated to the proofs of Theorems 2.1, 2.5 and 2.6. Example 5.1 is presented in Section 5.

2. PROBLEM SETTING AND MAIN RESULTS

2.1. Mathematical formulation of the problem. To formulate our problem and state the main results in a precise manner, we first describe our domain and introduce some notations. Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \geq 2$) be a bounded domain with $C^{2,\alpha}$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) boundary. Assume that there is a pair of $C^{2,\alpha}$ -subdomains D_1^* and D_2 inside D , such that these two subdomains touch only at one point and they are far away from the external boundary ∂D . That is, by a translation and rotation of the coordinates, if necessary,

$$\partial D_1^* \cap \partial D_2 = \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and

$$D_1^* \subset \{(x', x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid x_d > 0\}, \quad D_2 \subset \{(x', x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid x_d < 0\}.$$

Throughout the paper, we use superscript prime to denote $(d-1)$ -dimensional domains and variables, such as B' and x' . Via a translation, we set

$$D_1^\varepsilon := D_1^* + (0', \varepsilon),$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a sufficiently small constant. For ease of notation, we drop superscripts and denote

$$D_1 := D_1^\varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega := D \setminus \overline{D_1 \cup D_2}.$$

Let Ω and $D_1 \cup D_2$ be occupied, respectively, by two different isotropic and homogeneous elastic materials with different Lamé constants (λ, μ) and (λ_1, μ_1) . The elasticity tensors \mathbb{C}^0 and \mathbb{C}^1 corresponding to Ω and $D_1 \cup D_2$ are expressed, respectively, as

$$C_{ijkl}^0 = \lambda \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \mu (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}),$$

and

$$C_{ijkl}^1 = \lambda_1 \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \mu_1 (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}),$$

where $i, j, k, l = 1, 2, \dots, d$ and δ_{ij} represents the kronecker symbol: $\delta_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$, $\delta_{ij} = 1$ for $i = j$. Denote the elastic displacement field by $u = (u^1, u^2, \dots, u^d)^T : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$. Let χ_Ω be the characteristic function of $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Given a boundary data $\varphi = (\varphi^1, \varphi^2, \dots, \varphi^d)^T$, we consider the Dirichlet problem for the Lamé system with piecewise constant coefficients

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot ((\chi_\Omega \mathbb{C}^0 + \chi_{D_1 \cup D_2} \mathbb{C}^1) e(u)) = 0, & \text{in } D, \\ u = \varphi, & \text{on } \partial D, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $e(u) = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T)$ is the elastic strain. It is well known that there is a unique variational solution $u \in H^1(D; \mathbb{R}^d)$ of problem (2.1) for $\varphi \in H^1(D; \mathbb{R}^d)$, under the strong ellipticity condition

$$\mu > 0, \quad d\lambda + 2\mu > 0, \quad \mu_1 > 0, \quad d\lambda_1 + 2\mu_1 > 0,$$

and ∇u is piecewise Hölder continuous, see [10, 42].

Let

$$\Psi := \{\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d) \mid \nabla \psi + (\nabla \psi)^T = 0\} \quad (2.2)$$

be the linear space of rigid displacement in \mathbb{R}^d . It is known that

$$\{e_i, x_k e_j - x_j e_k \mid 1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j < k \leq d\} \quad (2.3)$$

is a basis of Ψ , with $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ representing the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^d . We rewrite them as $\{\psi_\alpha \mid \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}\}$. For the convenience of computations, we adopt the following order with respect to ψ_α : for $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d$, $\psi_\alpha = e_\alpha$; for $\alpha = d+1, \dots, 2d-1$, $\psi_\alpha = x_d e_{\alpha-d} - x_{\alpha-d} e_d$; for $\alpha = 2d, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ ($d \geq 3$), there exist two indices $1 \leq i_\alpha < j_\alpha < d$ such that $\psi_\alpha = (0, \dots, 0, x_{j_\alpha}, 0, \dots, 0, -x_{i_\alpha}, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Fix λ and μ and let u_{λ_1, μ_1} be the solution of (2.1). As shown in the Appendix of [10], we know that

$$u_{\lambda_1, \mu_1} \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } H^1(D; \mathbb{R}^d), \quad \text{as } \min\{\mu_1, d\lambda_1 + 2\mu_1\} \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $u \in H^1(D; \mathbb{R}^d)$ solves

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} u := \nabla \cdot (\mathbb{C}^0 e(u)) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_+ = u|_-, & \text{on } \partial D_i, i = 1, 2, \\ e(u) = 0, & \text{in } D_i, i = 1, 2, \\ \int_{\partial D_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_0} \Big|_+ \cdot \psi_\alpha = 0, & i = 1, 2, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}, \\ u = \varphi, & \text{on } \partial D. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

The co-normal derivative $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_0} \Big|_+$ is defined by

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_0} \Big|_+ := (\mathbb{C}^0 e(u)) \nu = \lambda (\nabla \cdot u) \nu + \mu (\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T) \nu,$$

and ν represents the unit outer normal of ∂D_i , $i = 1, 2$. Here and throughout this paper the subscript \pm shows the limit from outside and inside the domain, respectively. We refer to [10] for the existence, uniqueness and regularity of weak solutions to (2.4). Furthermore, the H^1 weak solution u of problem (2.4) has been proved to be in $C^1(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^d) \cap C^1(\bar{D}_1 \cup \bar{D}_2; \mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $C^{2, \alpha}$ -domains.

We further assume that there exists a positive constant R , independent of ε , such that ∂D_1 and ∂D_2 near the origin can be represented, respectively, by the graphs of $x_d = \varepsilon + h_1(x')$ and $x_d = h_2(x')$, and h_i , $i = 1, 2$, verify that for $m \geq 2$,

(H1) $h_1(x') - h_2(x') = \tau |x'|^m + O(|x'|^{m+\sigma})$, if $x' \in B'_{2R}$,

(H2) $|\nabla_{x'}^j h_i(x')| \leq \kappa_1 |x'|^{m-j}$, if $x' \in B'_{2R}$, $i, j = 1, 2$,

(H3) $\|h_1\|_{C^{2, \alpha}(B'_{2R})} + \|h_2\|_{C^{2, \alpha}(B'_{2R})} \leq \kappa_2$,

where τ , σ and κ_i , $i = 1, 2$, are four positive constants independent of ε . Furthermore, we suppose that for $x' \in B'_R$, $h_1(x') - h_2(x')$ is even with respect to x_i , $i = 1, \dots, d-1$. For $z' \in B'_R$ and $0 < t \leq 2R$, denote the thin gap by

$$\Omega_t(z') := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid h_2(x') < x_d < \varepsilon + h_1(x'), |x' - z'| < t\}.$$

We adopt the abbreviated notation Ω_t for $\Omega_t(0')$ and denote its top and bottom boundaries by

$\Gamma_t^+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid x_d = \varepsilon + h_1(x'), |x'| < r\}$, $\Gamma_t^- := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid x_d = h_2(x'), |x'| < r\}$, respectively.

We first introduce a Keller-type scalar auxiliary function $\bar{v} \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\bar{v} = 1$ on ∂D_1 , $\bar{v} = 0$ on $\partial D_2 \cup \partial D$,

$$\bar{v}(x', x_d) := \frac{x_d - h_2(x')}{\varepsilon + h_1(x') - h_2(x')}, \text{ in } \Omega_{2R}, \quad \text{and } \|\bar{v}\|_{C^2(\Omega \setminus \Omega_R)} \leq C. \quad (2.5)$$

Define a family of vector-valued auxiliary functions as follows: for $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$,

$$\bar{u}_1^\alpha = \psi_\alpha \bar{v} + \mathcal{F}_\alpha, \quad \text{in } \Omega_{2R}, \quad (2.6)$$

where ψ_α is defined in (2.3) and

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha = \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\mu} f(\bar{v}) \psi_\alpha^d \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \partial_{x_i} \delta e_i + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\lambda + 2\mu} f(\bar{v}) \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \psi_\alpha^i \partial_{x_i} \delta e_d, \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\delta(x') := \varepsilon + h_1(x') - h_2(x'), \quad f(\bar{v}) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\bar{v} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{8}. \quad (2.8)$$

We here remark that the correction terms \mathcal{F}_α , $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d$, were captured in the previous work [39].

2.2. Main results. To state the main results of this paper, we first fix some notations. Denote

$$\Gamma\left[\frac{d+i-1}{m}\right] := \begin{cases} \Gamma(1 - \frac{d+i-1}{m})\Gamma(\frac{d+i-1}{m}), & m > d+i-1, \\ 1, & m = d+i-1, \end{cases}$$

where $\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{+\infty} t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt$, $s > 0$ is the Gamma function. Introduce the definite constants as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}_0 = \frac{(d-1)\omega_{d-1}\Gamma[\frac{d-1}{m}]}{m\tau^{\frac{d-1}{m}}}, \quad \mathcal{M}_2 = \frac{\omega_{d-1}\Gamma[\frac{d+1}{m}]}{m\tau^{\frac{d+1}{m}}}, \quad (2.9)$$

where ω_{d-1} represents the volume of $(d-1)$ -dimensional unit ball and τ is defined in condition **(H1)**. Let

$$(\mathcal{L}_2^1, \mathcal{L}_2^2, \mathcal{L}_2^3) = (\mu, \lambda + 2\mu, \lambda + 2\mu), \quad d = 2, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{L}_d^1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_d^{d-1}, \mathcal{L}_d^d, \dots, \mathcal{L}_d^{2d-1}, \mathcal{L}_d^{2d}, \dots, \mathcal{L}_d^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}}) \\ & = (\mu, \dots, \mu, \lambda + 2\mu, \dots, \lambda + 2\mu, 2\mu, \dots, 2\mu), \quad d \geq 3. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

In addition, assume that for some constant $\kappa_3 > 0$,

$$\kappa_3 \leq \mu, d\lambda + 2\mu \leq \frac{1}{\kappa_3}. \quad (2.12)$$

As for the blow-up rate indices, we denote, for $i = 0, 2$,

$$\rho_i(d, m; \varepsilon) := \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{\frac{d+i-1}{m}-1}, & m > d+i-1, \\ |\ln \varepsilon|, & m = d+i-1, \\ 1, & m < d+i-1. \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

Let $\Omega^* := D \setminus \overline{D_1^* \cup D_2}$. For $i, j = 1, 2$, $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, we define

$$a_{ij}^{*\alpha\beta} = \int_{\Omega^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_i^{*\alpha}), e(v_j^{*\beta})) dx, \quad b_i^{*\alpha} = - \int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial v_i^{*\alpha}}{\partial \nu_0} \Big|_+ \cdot \varphi,$$

where $\varphi \in C^2(\partial D; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is a given function and $v_i^{*\alpha} \in C^2(\Omega^*; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $i = 1, 2$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, respectively, solve

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} v_1^{*\alpha} = 0, & \text{in } \Omega^*, \\ v_1^{*\alpha} = \psi^\alpha, & \text{on } \partial D_1^* \setminus \{0\}, \\ v_1^{*\alpha} = 0, & \text{on } \partial D_2 \cup \partial D, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} v_2^{*\alpha} = 0, & \text{in } \Omega^*, \\ v_2^{*\alpha} = \psi^\alpha, & \text{on } \partial D_2, \\ v_2^{*\alpha} = 0, & \text{on } (\partial D_1^* \setminus \{0\}) \cup \partial D. \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

We here would like to point out that the definition of $a_{ij}^{*\alpha\beta}$ is valid only in some cases, see Lemma 4.6 below for further details. Define the blow-up factor matrices as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}^* &= (a_{11}^{*\alpha\beta})_{\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \times \frac{d(d+1)}{2}}, \quad \mathbb{B}^* = \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{*\alpha\beta} \right)_{\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \times \frac{d(d+1)}{2}}, \\ \mathbb{C}^* &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{*\alpha\beta} \right)_{\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \times \frac{d(d+1)}{2}}, \quad \mathbb{D}^* = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}^{*\alpha\beta} \right)_{\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \times \frac{d(d+1)}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

and for $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$,

$$\mathbb{F}^{*\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{*\alpha} & \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{*\alpha 1} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{*\alpha \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{*1} & \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}^{*11} & \cdots & \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}^{*1 \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} & \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2} 1} & \cdots & \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.16)$$

For the order of the rest terms, we use

$$\varepsilon_0(d, m; \sigma) := \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{\sigma}{m}, \frac{m-d-1}{m}\}}, & m > d-1 + \sigma, \sigma \geq 2, \\ \varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{m-d-1}{m}\}}, & d+1 < m \leq d-1 + \sigma, \sigma > 2, \\ |\ln \varepsilon|^{-1}, & m = d+1, \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

$$\varepsilon_2(d, m; \sigma) := \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{\sigma}{m}\}}, & m > d+1 + \sigma, \\ \max\{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}, \varepsilon^{\frac{\sigma}{m}} |\ln \varepsilon|\}, & m = d+1 + \sigma, \\ \varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{m-d-1}{m}\}}, & d+1 < m < d+1 + \sigma, \\ |\ln \varepsilon|^{-1}, & m = d+1. \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

In what following we use, unless otherwise stated, C to represent various positive constants whose values may vary from line to line and which depend only on $m, d, \tau, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, R$ and an upper bound of the $C^{2,\alpha}$ norms of $\partial D_1, \partial D_2$ and ∂D , but not on ε . Let $O(1)$ be some quantity satisfying $|O(1)| \leq C$. Observe that by utilizing the standard elliptic theory (see Agmon et al. [1, 2]), we know

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \setminus \Omega_R)} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{C^2(\partial D)}.$$

Then it suffices to investigate the asymptotic behavior of ∇u in the narrow region Ω_R .

To begin with, we state the asymptotic result in the case of $m \geq d+1$ as follows:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $D_1, D_2 \subset D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \geq 2$) be defined as above, conditions (H1)–(H3) hold, and $\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha} \neq 0$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$. Let $u \in H^1(D; \mathbb{R}^d) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ be the solution of (2.4). Then for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \Omega_R$, if $m \geq d+1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^d \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*} \frac{1 + O(\varepsilon_0(d, m; \sigma))}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0 \rho_0(d, m; \varepsilon)} \nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha=d+1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*} \frac{1 + O(\varepsilon_2(d, m; \sigma))}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_2 \rho_2(d, m; \varepsilon)} \nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha + O(1) \|\varphi\|_{C^0(\partial D)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the explicit auxiliary functions \bar{u}_1^α , $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ are defined in (2.6), the constants \mathcal{M}_i , $i = 0, 2$, are defined in (2.9), the Lamé constants \mathcal{L}_d^α are defined by (2.10)–(2.11), $\rho_i(d, m; \varepsilon)$, $i = 0, 2$ are defined in (2.13), the blow-up factor matrices \mathbb{D}^* and $\mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, are defined by (2.15)–(2.16), the rest terms $\varepsilon_i(d, m; \sigma)$, $i = 0, 2$, are defined in (2.17)–(2.18).

Remark 2.2. From the results in Theorems 2.1, 2.5, 2.6 and Corollary 2.7, we give a complete description for the singularities of the gradient and meanwhile answer all the optimality of the blow-up rates for two adjacent m -convex inclusions

in all dimensions. This improves and makes complete the gradient estimates and asymptotics in the previous work [10, 11, 26, 36, 39, 45]. By contrast with the gradient estimates, the main advantage of the precise asymptotic expansions lies in exhibiting all the higher and lower order singular terms in a unified expression.

Remark 2.3. In view of decomposition (3.6), we see from the asymptotic expressions in Theorems 2.1–2.6 that the singularity of ∇u consists of $\sum_{\alpha=1}^d (C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha) \nabla v_1^\alpha$ and $\sum_{\alpha=d+1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} (C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha) \nabla v_1^\alpha$. Observe that for $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, the major singularity of $\nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha$ is determined by $\partial_{x_d} \bar{u}_1^\alpha = \psi_\alpha \delta^{-1} + O(1) |\psi_\alpha| \delta^{-\frac{1}{m}}$. Then the first part $\sum_{\alpha=1}^d (C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha) \nabla v_1^\alpha$ blows up at the rate of $(\varepsilon \rho_0(d, m; \varepsilon))^{-1}$ in $(d-1)$ -dimensional sphere $\{|x'| \leq \sqrt[m]{\varepsilon}\} \cap \Omega$, while the second part $\sum_{\alpha=d+1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} (C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha) \nabla v_1^\alpha$ blows up at the rate of $(\varepsilon^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \rho_2(d, m; \varepsilon))^{-1}$ on the cylinder surface $\{|x'| = \sqrt[m]{\varepsilon}\} \cap \Omega$. Then we conclude that if $m > d$, then the maximal blow-up rate of ∇u lies in the second part; if $m = d$, then the maximal blow-up rate attains at these two parts simultaneously; if $m < d$, then the maximal blow-up rate is determined by the first part.

Remark 2.4. The hypothetical condition $\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha} \neq 0$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ in Theorem 2.1 implies that $\varphi \not\equiv 0$ on ∂D . Otherwise, if $\varphi \equiv 0$ on ∂D , then $b_i^\alpha = -\int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial v_i^\alpha}{\partial \nu_0} |_{+} \cdot \varphi = 0$ such that $\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha} = 0$, which is a contradiction. We also would like to point out that it is difficult to theoretically demonstrate $\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha} \neq 0$ for any given boundary data mainly due to their dissymmetrical structure.

Define

$$\mathbb{A}_0^* = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^{*d+1, d+1} & \cdots & a_{11}^{*d+1, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{11}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2}, d+1} & \cdots & a_{11}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2}, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{B}_0^* = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{*d+1, 1} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{*d+1, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2}, 1} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2}, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbb{C}_0^* = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{*1, d+1} & \cdots & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{*1, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2}, d+1} & \cdots & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2}, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote

$$\mathbb{F}_0^* = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{A}_0^* & \mathbb{B}_0^* \\ \mathbb{C}_0^* & \mathbb{D}^* \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.19)$$

On one hand, for $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d$, we denote

$$\mathbb{A}_1^{*\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{*\alpha} & a_{11}^{*\alpha d+1} & \dots & a_{11}^{*\alpha \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ b_1^{*d+1} & a_{11}^{*d+1 d+1} & \dots & a_{11}^{*d+1 \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_1^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} & a_{11}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2} d+1} & \dots & a_{11}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbb{B}_1^{*\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{*\alpha 1} & \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{*\alpha 2} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{*\alpha \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{*d+1 1} & \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{*d+1 2} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{*d+1 \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2} 1} & \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2} 2} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbb{C}_1^{*\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{*1} & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{*1 d+1} & \dots & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{*1 \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{*2} & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{*2 d+1} & \dots & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{*2 \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2} d+1} & \dots & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Write

$$\mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{A}_1^{*\alpha} & \mathbb{B}_1^{*\alpha} \\ \mathbb{C}_1^{*\alpha} & \mathbb{D}^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d. \quad (2.20)$$

On the other hand, for $\alpha = d+1, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, we replace the elements of α -th column in the matrices \mathbb{A}_0^* and \mathbb{C}_0^* by column vector $\left(b_1^{*d+1}, \dots, b_1^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2}}\right)^T$ and $\left(\sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{*1}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2}}\right)^T$, respectively. Then we denote these two new matrices by $\mathbb{A}_2^{*\alpha}$ and $\mathbb{C}_2^{*\alpha}$ as follows:

$$\mathbb{A}_2^{*\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^{*d+1 d+1} & \dots & b_1^{d+1} & \dots & a_{11}^{*d+1 \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{11}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2} d+1} & \dots & b_1^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} & \dots & a_{11}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathbb{C}_2^{*\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{*1} d+1 & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{*1} & \cdots & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{*1} \frac{d(d+1)}{2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{* \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} d+1 & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{* \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} & \cdots & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{* \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \frac{d(d+1)}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define

$$\mathbb{F}_2^{*\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{A}_2^{*\alpha} & \mathbb{B}_0^{*\alpha} \\ \mathbb{C}_2^{*\alpha} & \mathbb{D}^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha = d+1, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}. \quad (2.21)$$

For the remaining terms, define

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_0(d, m; \sigma) := \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{\sigma}{m}, \frac{d+1-m}{12m}\}}, & d-1+\sigma < m < d+1, \sigma < 2, \\ \max\{\varepsilon^{\frac{\sigma}{m}}, |\ln \varepsilon|, \varepsilon^{\frac{d+1-m}{12m}}\}, & m = d-1+\sigma, \sigma < 2, \\ \varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{m-d+1}{m}, \frac{d+1-m}{12m}\}}, & d-1 < m < d-1+\sigma, \sigma \leq 2, \\ |\ln \varepsilon|^{-1}, & m = d-1, \end{cases} \quad (2.22)$$

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_2(d, m; \sigma) := \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{m-d+1}{m}, \frac{d+1-m}{12m}\}}, & d-1 < m < d+1, \\ |\ln \varepsilon|^{-1}, & m = d-1. \end{cases} \quad (2.23)$$

Second, in the case of $d-1 \leq m < d+1$, we obtain the following asymptotic expansion.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $D_1, D_2 \subset D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \geq 2$) be defined as above, conditions (H1)–(H3) hold, $\det \mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha} \neq 0$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d$, and $\det \mathbb{F}_2^{*\alpha} \neq 0$, $\alpha = d+1, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$. Let $u \in H^1(D; \mathbb{R}^d) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ be the solution of (2.4). Then for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \Omega_R$, if $d-1 \leq m < d+1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^d \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*} \frac{1 + O(\bar{\varepsilon}_0(d, m; \sigma))}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0 \rho_0(d, m; \varepsilon)} \nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha=d+1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_2^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*} (1 + O(\bar{\varepsilon}_2(d, m; \sigma))) \nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha + O(1) \|\varphi\|_{C^0(\partial D)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the explicit auxiliary functions \bar{u}_1^α , $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ are defined in (2.6), the constant \mathcal{M}_0 is defined in (2.9), the Lamé constants \mathcal{L}_d^α are defined by (2.10)–(2.11), $\rho_i(d, m; \varepsilon)$, $i = 0, 2$ are defined in (2.13), the blow-up factor matrices \mathbb{F}_0^* , $\mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d$, $\mathbb{F}_2^{*\alpha}$, $\alpha = d+1, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ are defined by (2.19)–(2.21), the rest terms $\bar{\varepsilon}_i(d, m; \sigma)$, $i = 0, 2$, are defined in (2.22)–(2.23).

For $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, we replace the elements of α -th column in the matrices \mathbb{A}^* and \mathbb{C}^* by column vectors $\left(b_1^{*1}, \dots, b_1^{* \frac{d(d+1)}{2}}\right)^T$ and $\left(\sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{*1}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{* \frac{d(d+1)}{2}}\right)^T$,

respectively, and then denote these two new matrices by $\mathbb{A}_3^{*\alpha}$ and $\mathbb{C}_3^{*\alpha}$ as follows:

$$\mathbb{A}_3^{*\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^{*11} & \cdots & b_1^{*1} & \cdots & a_{11}^{*1 \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{11}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2} 1} & \cdots & b_1^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} & \cdots & a_{11}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathbb{C}_3^{*\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{*11} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{*1} & \cdots & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{*1 \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2} 1} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} & \cdots & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{*\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define

$$\mathbb{F}_3^{*\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{A}_3^{*\alpha} & \mathbb{B}^* \\ \mathbb{C}_3^{*\alpha} & \mathbb{D}^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}, \quad \mathbb{F} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{A}^* & \mathbb{B}^* \\ \mathbb{C}^* & \mathbb{D}^* \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.24)$$

Then, the asymptotic result corresponding to the case of $m < d - 1$ is listed as follows:

Theorem 2.6. *Let $D_1, D_2 \subset D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \geq 2$) be defined as above, conditions (H1)–(H3) hold, and $\det \mathbb{F}_3^{*\alpha} \neq 0$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$. Let $u \in H^1(D; \mathbb{R}^d) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ be the solution of (2.4). Then for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \Omega_R$, if $m < d - 1$,*

$$\nabla u = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_3^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}^*} (1 + O(\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d-1-m}{12m}\}})) \nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha + O(1) \|\varphi\|_{C^0(\partial D)},$$

where the explicit auxiliary functions \bar{u}_1^α , $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ are defined in (2.6), the blow-up factor matrices \mathbb{F}^* and $\mathbb{F}_3^{*\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, are defined by (2.24).

For the inclusions of arbitrary m -convex shape satisfying

$$\tau_1 |x'|^m \leq (h_1 - h_2)(x') \leq \tau_2 |x'|^m, \quad \text{for } |x'| \leq 2R, \quad \tau_i > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (2.25)$$

by applying the proofs of Theorems 2.1, 2.5 and 2.6 with a minor modification, we obtain the optimal pointwise upper and lower bounds on the gradients as follows:

Corollary 2.7. *Let $D_1, D_2 \subset D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \geq 2$) be defined as above, conditions (2.25) and (H2)–(H3) hold. Let $u \in H^1(D; \mathbb{R}^d) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ be the solution of (2.4). Then for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$,*

(i) *if $m > d$, there exists some integer $d+1 \leq \alpha_0 \leq \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ such that $\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha_0} \neq 0$, then for some $1 \leq i_{\alpha_0} \leq d - 1$ and $x \in \{x' | x_{i_{\alpha_0}} = \sqrt[m]{\varepsilon}, x_i = 0, i \neq i_{\alpha_0}, 1 \leq i \leq$*

$d-1\} \cap \Omega$,

$$|\nabla u| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\max_{d+1 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \tau_2^{\frac{d+1}{m}} |\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha|^{-1} |\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}|}{(1+\tau_1) |\det \mathbb{D}^*|} \frac{C \varepsilon^{\frac{1-m}{m}}}{\rho_2(d, m; \varepsilon)}, & m \geq d+1, \\ \frac{\max_{d+1 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} |\det \mathbb{F}_2^{*\alpha}|}{(1+\tau_1) |\det \mathbb{F}_0^*|} \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{\frac{m-1}{m}}}, & d < m < d+1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$|\nabla u| \geq \begin{cases} \frac{\tau_1^{\frac{d+1}{m}} |\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha_0}|}{(1+\tau_2) |\mathcal{L}_d^{\alpha_0}| |\det \mathbb{D}^*|} \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{1-m}{m}}}{C \rho_2(d, m; \varepsilon)}, & m \geq d+1, \\ \frac{|\det \mathbb{F}_2^{*\alpha_0}|}{(1+\tau_2) |\det \mathbb{F}_0^*|} \frac{1}{C \varepsilon^{\frac{m-1}{m}}}, & d < m < d+1; \end{cases}$$

(ii) if $m \leq d$, there exists some integer $1 \leq \alpha_0 \leq d$ such that $\det \mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha_0} \neq 0$, then for $x \in \{|x'| = 0\} \cap \Omega$,

$$|\nabla u| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\max_{1 \leq \alpha \leq d} \tau_2^{\frac{d-1}{m}} |\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha|^{-1} |\det \mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha}|}{|\det \mathbb{F}_0^*|} \frac{C}{\varepsilon \rho_0(d, m; \varepsilon)}, & d-1 \leq m \leq d, \\ \frac{\max_{1 \leq \alpha \leq d} |\det \mathbb{F}_3^{*\alpha}|}{|\det \mathbb{F}^*|} \frac{C}{\varepsilon}, & m < d-1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$|\nabla u| \geq \begin{cases} \frac{\tau_1^{\frac{d-1}{m}} |\det \mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha_0}|}{|\mathcal{L}_d^{\alpha_0}| |\det \mathbb{F}_0^*|} \frac{1}{C \varepsilon \rho_0(d, m; \varepsilon)}, & d-1 \leq m \leq d, \\ \frac{|\det \mathbb{F}_3^{*\alpha_0}|}{|\det \mathbb{F}^*|} \frac{1}{C \varepsilon}, & m < d-1, \end{cases}$$

where the blow-up factor matrices \mathbb{D}^* , \mathbb{F}^* , \mathbb{F}_0^* , $\mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d$, and $\mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}$, \mathbb{F}_2^{*3} , $\alpha = d+1, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, are defined by (2.15)–(2.16), (2.19)–(2.21) and (2.24), respectively.

Remark 2.8. It is worth emphasizing that the optimality of the gradient blow-up rate in all dimensions under condition (2.25) was first proved in [46], where a family of unified blow-up factors were used to establish the optimal gradient estimates.

3. PRELIMINARY

3.1. Properties of the tensor \mathbb{C}^0 . We first make note of some properties of the tensor \mathbb{C}^0 . For the isotropic elastic material, let

$$\mathbb{C}^0 := (C_{ijkl}^0) = (\lambda \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \mu (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk})), \quad \mu > 0, \quad d\lambda + 2\mu > 0.$$

Observe that the components C_{ijkl}^0 have the following symmetry property:

$$C_{ijkl}^0 = C_{klij}^0 = C_{klji}^0, \quad i, j, k, l = 1, 2, \dots, d. \quad (3.1)$$

For every pair of $d \times d$ matrices $\mathbb{A} = (a_{ij})$ and $\mathbb{B} = (b_{ij})$, write

$$(\mathbb{C}^0 \mathbb{A})_{ij} = \sum_{k,l=1}^n C_{ijkl}^0 a_{kl}, \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) \equiv \mathbb{A} : \mathbb{B} = \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij} b_{ij}.$$

Therefore,

$$(\mathbb{C}^0 \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) = (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{C}^0 \mathbb{B}).$$

From (3.1), we see that \mathbb{C}^0 verifies the ellipticity condition, that is, for every $d \times d$ real symmetric matrix $\xi = (\xi_{ij})$,

$$\min\{2\mu, d\lambda + 2\mu\}|\xi|^2 \leq (\mathbb{C}^0\xi, \xi) \leq \max\{2\mu, d\lambda + 2\mu\}|\xi|^2, \quad (3.2)$$

where $|\xi|^2 = \sum_{ij} \xi_{ij}^2$. In particular,

$$\min\{2\mu, d\lambda + 2\mu\}|\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T|^2 \leq (\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T), (\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^T)).$$

In addition, we know that for any open set O and $u, v \in C^2(O; \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\int_O (\mathbb{C}^0 e(u), e(v)) dx = - \int_O (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} u) \cdot v + \int_{\partial O} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_0} \Big|_+ \cdot v. \quad (3.3)$$

3.2. Solution split. As shown in [10, 11], we carry out a linear decomposition for the solution $u(x)$ of (2.4) as follows:

$$u(x) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} C_1^\alpha v_1^\alpha(x) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} C_2^\alpha v_2^\alpha(x) + v_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (3.4)$$

where the constants C_i^α , $i = 1, 2$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ can be determined by the fourth line of (2.4), v_0 and $v_i^\alpha \in C^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $i = 1, 2$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, respectively, solve

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} v_0 = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v_0 = 0, & \text{on } \partial D_1 \cup \partial D_2, \\ v_0 = \varphi, & \text{on } \partial D, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} v_i^\alpha = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v_i^\alpha = \psi^\alpha, & \text{on } \partial D_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ v_i^\alpha = 0, & \text{on } \partial D_j \cup \partial D, \quad j \neq i. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

From (3.4), we have

$$\nabla u = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} (C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha) \nabla v_1^\alpha + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} C_2^\alpha \nabla (v_1^\alpha + v_2^\alpha) + \nabla v_0. \quad (3.6)$$

Using (3.6), we decompose ∇u into two parts as follows: one of them is the singular part $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} (C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha) \nabla v_1^\alpha$ and appears blow-up; the other is the regular part $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} C_2^\alpha \nabla (v_1^\alpha + v_2^\alpha) + \nabla v_0$ and decays exponentially near the origin. We give precise statements for these results in the following sections.

3.3. A general boundary value problem. To investigate the asymptotic behavior of ∇v_1^α , $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, we begin with considering the following general boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} v := \nabla \cdot (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v)) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v = \psi(x), & \text{on } \partial D_1, \\ v = \phi(x), & \text{on } \partial D_2, \\ v = 0, & \text{on } \partial D, \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

where $\psi \in C^2(\partial D_1; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\phi \in C^2(\partial D_2; \mathbb{R}^d)$ are two given vector-valued functions.

Introduce a vector-valued auxiliary function as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{v} = & \psi(x', \varepsilon + h_1(x'))\bar{v} + \phi(x', h_2(x'))(1 - \bar{v}) \\ & + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\mu} f(\bar{v})(\psi^d(x', \varepsilon + h_1(x')) - \phi^d(x', h_2(x')))) \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \partial_{x_i} \delta e_i \\ & + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\lambda + 2\mu} f(\bar{v}) \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \partial_{x_i} \delta (\psi^i(x', \varepsilon + h_1(x')) - \phi^i(x', h_2(x')))) e_d,\end{aligned}\quad (3.8)$$

where \bar{v} is defined by (2.5), δ and $f(\bar{v})$ are defined in (2.8). This auxiliary function was previously captured in Theorem 3.1 of [46]. For the residual part, denote

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_\delta(\psi, \phi) = & |\psi(x', \varepsilon + h_1(x')) - \phi(x', h_2(x'))| \delta^{\frac{m-2}{m}} + \delta(\|\psi\|_{C^2(\partial D_1)} + \|\phi\|_{C^2(\partial D_2)}) \\ & + |\nabla_{x'}(\psi(x', \varepsilon + h_1(x')) - \phi(x', h_2(x')))|.\end{aligned}\quad (3.9)$$

Proposition 3.1 (Theorem 3.1 of [46]). *Assume as above. Let $v \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ be a weak solution of (3.7). Then for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \Omega_R$,*

$$\nabla v = \nabla \tilde{v} + O(1)\mathcal{R}_\delta(\psi, \phi),$$

where δ is defined in (2.8), the leading term \tilde{v} is defined by (3.8), the residual part $\mathcal{R}_\delta(\psi, \phi)$ is defined by (3.9).

From Proposition 3.1, we see that $\nabla \tilde{v}$ completely describe the singularity of the gradient ∇v of a solution to a general boundary value problem (3.7). For the convenience of readability, we also leave the proof of Proposition 3.1 in the Appendix.

4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 2.1, 2.5 AND 2.6

For $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, we define

$$\bar{u}_2^\alpha = \psi_\alpha(1 - \bar{v}) - \mathcal{F}_\alpha, \quad (4.1)$$

where the correction terms \mathcal{F}_α , $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ are given in (2.7). Then applying Proposition 3.1 with $\psi = \psi_\alpha$, $\phi = 0$ or $\psi = 0$, $\phi = \psi_\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, we have

Corollary 4.1. *Assume as above. Let $v_i^\alpha \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $i = 1, 2$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ be a weak solution of (3.5). Then, for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \Omega_R$,*

$$\nabla v_i^\alpha = \nabla \bar{u}_i^\alpha + O(1) \begin{cases} \delta^{\frac{m-2}{m}}, & \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d, \\ 1, & \alpha = d+1, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}, \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

where δ is defined in (2.8), the main terms \bar{u}_i^α , $i = 1, 2$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ are defined in (2.6) and (4.1).

As seen in Theorem 1.1 of [37], the gradients of solutions to a class of elliptic systems with the same boundary data on the upper and bottom boundaries of the narrow regions will appear no blow-up and possess the exponentially decaying property. A direct application of Theorem 1.1 in [37] yields that

Corollary 4.2. *Assume as above. Let $v_i^{*\alpha}$ and v_i^α , $i = 1, 2$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ be the solutions of (3.5), respectively. Then, we have*

$$|\nabla v_0| + \left| \sum_{i=1}^2 \nabla v_i^\alpha \right| \leq C \delta^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2C\delta^{1-1/m}}}, \quad \text{in } \Omega_R,$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^2 \nabla v_i^{*\alpha} \right| \leq C |x'|^{-\frac{md}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2C|x'|^{m-1}}}, \quad \text{in } \Omega_R^*,$$

where the constant C depends on $m, d, \lambda, \mu, \tau, \kappa_1, \kappa_2$, but not on ε .

The proof of this corollary is a slight modification of Theorem 1.1 in [37] and thus omitted here.

We now state a result in terms of the boundedness of C_i^α , $i = 1, 2$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$. Its proof was given in Lemma 4.1 of [10].

Lemma 4.3. *Let C_i^α , $i = 1, 2$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ be defined in (3.4). Then*

$$|C_i^\alpha| \leq C, \quad i = 1, 2, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2},$$

where C is a positive constant independent of ε .

On the other hand, with regard to the asymptotic expansions of $C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, we obtain the following results with their proofs given in Section 4.1.

Theorem 4.4. *Let C_i^α , $i = 1, 2$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ be defined in (3.4). Then for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$,*

(i) *if $m \geq d+1$, for $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d$,*

$$C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha = \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*} \frac{1 + O(\varepsilon_0(d, m; \sigma))}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0 \rho_0(d, m; \varepsilon)},$$

and for $\alpha = d+1, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$,

$$C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha = \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*} \frac{1 + O(\varepsilon_2(d, m; \sigma))}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_2 \rho_2(d, m; \varepsilon)},$$

where the constants \mathcal{M}_i , $i = 0, 2$, are defined in (2.9), the Lamé constants \mathcal{L}_d^α are defined by (2.10)–(2.11), $\rho_i(d, m; \varepsilon)$, $i = 0, 2$ are defined in (2.13), the blow-up factor matrices \mathbb{D}^ and $\mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, are defined by (2.15)–(2.16), the rest terms $\varepsilon_i(d, m; \sigma)$, $i = 0, 2$, are defined in (2.17)–(2.18).*

(ii) *if $d-1 \leq m < d+1$, for $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d$,*

$$C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha = \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*} \frac{1 + O(\bar{\varepsilon}_0(d, m; \sigma))}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0 \rho_0(d, m; \varepsilon)},$$

and for $\alpha = d+1, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$,

$$C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha = \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_2^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*} (1 + O(\bar{\varepsilon}_2(d, m; \sigma))),$$

where the blow-up factor matrices \mathbb{F}_0^ , $\mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d$, $\mathbb{F}_2^{*\alpha}$, $\alpha = d+1, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ are defined by (2.19)–(2.21), the rest terms $\bar{\varepsilon}_i(d, m; \sigma)$, $i = 0, 2$, are defined in (2.22)–(2.23).*

(iii) if $m < d - 1$, for $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$,

$$C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha = \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_3^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}^*} (1 + O(\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d-1-m}{12m}\}})),$$

where the blow-up factor matrices \mathbb{F}^* and $\mathbb{F}_3^{*\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, are defined by (2.24).

Combining the aforementioned results, we are ready to prove Theorems 2.1, 2.5 and 2.6.

Proofs of Theorems 2.1, 2.5 and 2.6. To begin with, it follows from Corollary 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 that

$$\left| \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} C_2^\alpha \nabla(v_1^\alpha + v_2^\alpha) + \nabla v_0 \right| \leq C \delta^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2C\delta^{1-1/m}}}, \quad \text{in } \Omega_R.$$

This, together with decomposition (3.6), Corollary 4.1 and Theorem 4.4, yields that (i) for $m \geq d + 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^d \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*} \frac{1 + O(\varepsilon_0(d, m; \sigma))}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0 \rho_0(d, m; \varepsilon)} (\nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha + O(\delta^{\frac{m-2}{m}})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha=d+1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*} \frac{1 + O(\varepsilon_2(d, m; \sigma))}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_2 \rho_2(d, m; \varepsilon)} (\nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha + O(1)) + O(1) \delta^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2C\delta^{1-1/m}}} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^d \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*} \frac{1 + O(\varepsilon_0(d, m; \sigma))}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0 \rho_0(d, m; \varepsilon)} \nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha=d+1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*} \frac{1 + O(\varepsilon_2(d, m; \sigma))}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_2 \rho_2(d, m; \varepsilon)} \nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha + O(1) \|\varphi\|_{C^0(\partial D)}; \end{aligned}$$

(ii) for $d - 1 \leq m < d + 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^d \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*} \frac{1 + O(\bar{\varepsilon}_0(d, m; \sigma))}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0 \rho_0(d, m; \varepsilon)} (\nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha + O(\delta^{\frac{m-2}{m}})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha=d+1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_2^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*} (1 + O(\bar{\varepsilon}_2(d, m; \sigma))) (\nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha + O(1)) + O(1) \delta^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2C\delta^{1-1/m}}} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^d \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*} \frac{1 + O(\bar{\varepsilon}_0(d, m; \sigma))}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0 \rho_0(d, m; \varepsilon)} \nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha=d+1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_2^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*} (1 + O(\bar{\varepsilon}_2(d, m; \sigma))) \nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha + O(1) \|\varphi\|_{C^0(\partial D)}; \end{aligned}$$

(iii) for $m < d - 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^d \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_3^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}^*} (1 + O(\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d-1-m}{12m}\}})) (\nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha + O(\delta^{\frac{m-2}{m}})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha=d+1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_3^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}^*} (1 + O(\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d-1-m}{12m}\}})) (\nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha + O(1)) + O(1) \delta^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2C\delta^{1-1/m}}} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_3^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}^*} (1 + O(\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d-1-m}{12m}\}})) \nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha + O(1) \|\varphi\|_{C^0(\partial D)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, Theorems 2.1, 2.5 and 2.6 hold. \square

4.1. Proof of Theorem 4.4. For $i, j = 1, 2$ and $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, write

$$a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} := - \int_{\partial D_j} \frac{\partial v_i^\alpha}{\partial \nu_0} \Big|_+ \cdot \psi_\beta, \quad b_j^\beta := - \int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial v_j^\beta}{\partial \nu_0} \Big|_+ \cdot \varphi.$$

In view of the fourth line of (2.4), we obtain that for $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$,

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} (C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha) a_{11}^{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} C_2^\alpha \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{\alpha\beta} = b_1^\beta, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} (C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha) a_{12}^{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} C_2^\alpha \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i2}^{\alpha\beta} = b_2^\beta. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

By adding the first line of (4.3) to the second line, we have

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} (C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha) a_{11}^{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} C_2^\alpha \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{\alpha\beta} = b_1^\beta, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} (C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha) \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} C_2^\alpha \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^\beta. \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

For the purpose of calculating the difference of $C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, we make use of all the systems of equations in (4.4), which is essentially different from the idea adopted in [36, 39].

For brevity, write

$$\begin{aligned} X^1 &= (C_1^1 - C_2^1, \dots, C_1^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} - C_2^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}})^T, \quad X^2 = (C_2^1, \dots, C_2^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}})^T, \\ Y^1 &= (b_1^1, \dots, b_1^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}})^T, \quad Y^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \right)^T, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A} &= (a_{11}^{\alpha\beta})_{\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \times \frac{d(d+1)}{2}}, \quad \mathbb{B} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{\alpha\beta} \right)_{\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \times \frac{d(d+1)}{2}}, \\ \mathbb{C} &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{\alpha\beta} \right)_{\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \times \frac{d(d+1)}{2}}, \quad \mathbb{D} = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \right)_{\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \times \frac{d(d+1)}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (4.4) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{A} & \mathbb{B} \\ \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X^1 \\ X^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Y^1 \\ Y^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.5)$$

The main objective in the following is to solve $X^1 = (C_1^1 - C_2^1, \dots, C_1^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} - C_2^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}})^T$ by using systems of equations (4.5). This is different from that in [45], where Miao and Zhao utilized (4.5) to calculate $X^2 = (C_2^1, \dots, C_2^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}})^T$ for the purpose of constructing a family of unified blow-up factors. In addition, in view of the symmetry of $a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = a_{ji}^{\beta\alpha}$, we know that $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{B}^T$.

Lemma 4.5. *Assume as above. Then for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$b_i^\beta = b_i^{*\beta} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad i = 1, 2, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}, \quad (4.6)$$

which yields that

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^\beta = \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{*\beta} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Proof. We only give the proof of (4.6) in the case of $i = 1$, since the case of $i = 2$ can be treated in the exactly same way. For $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$,

$$b_1^\beta - b_1^{*\beta} = - \int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial(v_1^\beta - v_1^{*\beta})}{\partial \nu_0} \Big|_+ \cdot \varphi,$$

where $v_1^{*\beta}$ and v_1^β solve (2.14) and (3.5), respectively. For $0 < t \leq 2R$, denote $\Omega_t^* := \Omega^* \cap \{|x'| < t\}$. For $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, we introduce a family of auxiliary functions as follows:

$$\bar{u}_1^{*\beta} = \psi_\beta \bar{v}^* + \mathcal{F}_\beta^*, \quad \mathcal{F}_\beta^* = \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\mu} f(\bar{v}^*) \psi_\beta^d \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \partial_{x_i} \delta e_i + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\lambda + 2\mu} f(\bar{v}^*) \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \psi_\beta^i \partial_{x_i} \delta e_d,$$

where \bar{v}^* satisfies that $\bar{v}^* = 1$ on $\partial D_1^* \setminus \{0\}$, $\bar{v}^* = 0$ on $\partial D_2 \cup \partial D$, and

$$\bar{v}^*(x', x_d) = \frac{x_d - h_2(x')}{h_1(x') - h_2(x')}, \quad \text{in } \Omega_{2R}^*, \quad \|\bar{v}^*\|_{C^2(\Omega^* \setminus \Omega_R^*)} \leq C.$$

It follows from (H1)–(H2) that for $x \in \Omega_R^*$, $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$,

$$|\nabla_{x'}(\bar{u}_1^\beta - \bar{u}_1^{*\beta})| \leq \frac{C}{|x'|}, \quad |\partial_{x_d}(\bar{u}_1^\beta - \bar{u}_1^{*\beta})| \leq \frac{C\varepsilon}{|x'|^m(\varepsilon + |x'|^m)}. \quad (4.7)$$

Applying Corollary 4.1 to $v_1^{*\beta}$, we deduce that for $x \in \Omega_R^*$, $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$,

$$|\nabla_{x'} v_1^{*\beta}| \leq \frac{C}{|x'|}, \quad |\partial_{x_d} v_1^{*\beta}| \leq \frac{C}{|x'|^m}, \quad |\nabla(v_1^{*\beta} - \bar{u}_1^{*\beta})| \leq C. \quad (4.8)$$

For $0 < t < R$, denote

$$\mathcal{C}_t := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid 2 \min_{|x'| \leq t} h_2(x') \leq x_d \leq \varepsilon + 2 \max_{|x'| \leq t} h_1(x'), \quad |x'| < t \right\}.$$

Note that for $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, $v_1^\beta - v_1^{*\beta}$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}(v_1^\beta - v_1^{*\beta}) = 0, & \text{in } D \setminus (\overline{D_1 \cup D_1^* \cup D_2}), \\ v_1^\beta - v_1^{*\beta} = \psi_\beta - v_1^{*\beta}, & \text{on } \partial D_1 \setminus D_1^*, \\ v_1^\beta - v_1^{*\beta} = v_1^\beta - \psi_\beta, & \text{on } \partial D_1^* \setminus (D_1 \cup \{0\}), \\ v_1^\beta - v_1^{*\beta} = 0, & \text{on } \partial D_2 \cup \partial D. \end{cases}$$

To begin with, using the standard boundary and interior estimates of elliptic systems, it follows that for $x \in \partial D_1 \setminus D_1^*$,

$$|(v_1^\beta - v_1^{*\beta})(x', x_d)| = |v_1^{*\beta}(x', x_d - \varepsilon) - v_1^{*\beta}(x', x_d)| \leq C\varepsilon. \quad (4.9)$$

From (4.2), we conclude that for $x \in \partial D_1^* \setminus (D_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon^\gamma})$, $0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$|(v_1^\beta - v_1^{*\beta})(x', x_d)| = |v_1^\beta(x', x_d) - v_1^\beta(x', x_d + \varepsilon)| \leq C\varepsilon^{1-m\gamma}. \quad (4.10)$$

Combining (4.2) and (4.7)–(4.8), we deduce that for $x \in \Omega_R^* \cap \{|x'| = \varepsilon^\gamma\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{x_d}(v_1^\beta - v_1^{*\beta})| &\leq |\partial_{x_d}(v_1^\beta - \bar{u}_1^\beta)| + |\partial_{x_d}(\bar{u}_1^\beta - \bar{u}_1^{*\beta})| + |\partial_{x_d}(v_1^{*\beta} - \bar{u}_1^{*\beta})| \\ &\leq C\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2m\gamma-1}} + 1\right), \end{aligned}$$

which, together with $v_1^\beta - v_1^{*\beta} = 0$ on ∂D_2 , reads that

$$\begin{aligned} |(v_1^\beta - v_1^{*\beta})(x', x_d)| &= |(v_1^\beta - v_1^{*\beta})(x', x_d) - (v_1^\beta - v_1^{*\beta})(x', h_2(x'))| \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon^{1-m\gamma} + \varepsilon^{m\gamma}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Choose $\gamma = \frac{1}{2m}$. Combining with (4.9)–(4.11), we get

$$|v_1^\beta - v_1^{*\beta}| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{on } \partial(D \setminus (\overline{D_1 \cup D_1^* \cup D_2 \cup \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2m}}}))).$$

Then it follows from the maximum principle for the Lamé system in [44] that

$$|v_1^\beta - v_1^{*\beta}| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{in } D \setminus (\overline{D_1 \cup D_1^* \cup D_2 \cup \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2m}}}}), \quad (4.12)$$

which, in combination with the standard boundary estimates, yields that

$$|\nabla(v_1^\beta - v_1^{*\beta})| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{on } \partial D.$$

Hence

$$|b_1^\beta - b_1^{*\beta}| \leq \left| \int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial(v_1^\beta - v_1^{*\beta})}{\partial \nu_0} \Big|_+ \cdot \varphi \right| \leq C\|\varphi\|_{C^0(\partial D)}\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

□

For $i, j = 1, 2$ and $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, in view of the definition of $a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$, it follows from (3.3) that

$$a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = \int_{\Omega} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_i^\alpha), e(v_j^\beta)) dx.$$

For $i = 0, 2$, we define

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_i(d, m; \sigma) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{\frac{\sigma}{m}}, & m > d + i - 1 + \sigma, \\ \varepsilon^{\frac{\sigma}{m}} |\ln \varepsilon|, & m = d + i - 1 + \sigma, \\ \varepsilon^{1 - \frac{d+i-1}{m}}, & d + i - 1 < m < d + i - 1 + \sigma, \\ |\ln \varepsilon|^{-1}, & m = d + i - 1, \\ \varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d+i-1-m}{12m}\}}, & m < d + i - 1. \end{cases} \quad (4.13)$$

Lemma 4.6. *Assume as above. Then, for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$,*

(i) *for $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d$, then*

$$a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0 \rho_0(d, m; \varepsilon)(1 + O(\hat{\varepsilon}_0(d, m; \sigma))), & m \geq d - 1, \\ a_{11}^{*\alpha\alpha} + O(\hat{\varepsilon}_0(d, m; \sigma)), & m < d - 1; \end{cases} \quad (4.14)$$

(ii) *for $\alpha = d + 1, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, then*

$$a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_2 \rho_2(d, m; \varepsilon)(1 + O(\hat{\varepsilon}_2(d, m; \sigma))), & m \geq d + 1, \\ a_{11}^{*\alpha\alpha} + O(\hat{\varepsilon}_2(d, m; \sigma)), & m < d + 1; \end{cases} \quad (4.15)$$

(iii) *if $d = 2$, for $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \alpha \neq \beta$, then*

$$a_{11}^{12} = a_{11}^{21} = O(1) |\ln \varepsilon|, \quad (4.16)$$

and if $d \geq 3$, for $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, d, \alpha \neq \beta$, then

$$a_{11}^{\alpha\beta} = a_{11}^{\beta\alpha} = a_{11}^{*\alpha\beta} + O(1) \varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d-2}{12m}\}}, \quad (4.17)$$

and if $d \geq 2$, for $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d, \beta = d + 1, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, then

$$a_{11}^{\alpha\beta} = a_{11}^{\beta\alpha} = a_{11}^{*\alpha\beta} + O(1) \varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d-1}{12m}\}}, \quad (4.18)$$

and if $d \geq 3$, for $\alpha, \beta = d + 1, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}, \alpha \neq \beta$, then

$$a_{11}^{\alpha\beta} = a_{11}^{\beta\alpha} = a_{11}^{*\alpha\beta} + O(1) \varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d}{12m}\}}; \quad (4.19)$$

(iv) *for $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{*\alpha\beta} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}), \quad \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{*\alpha\beta} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}), \quad (4.20)$$

and

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}^{*\alpha\beta} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}), \quad (4.21)$$

where $\hat{\varepsilon}_i(d, m; \sigma)$, $i = 0, 2$ are defined by (4.13).

Proof. Since the proofs of (4.16)–(4.21) are entirely contained in Lemma 2.3 of [45], it is sufficient to give a precise computation for the principal diagonal elements as in (4.14)–(4.15).

Step 1. Proof of (4.14). Pick $\bar{\gamma} = \frac{1}{12m}$. For $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d$, we first decompose $a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha}$ into three parts as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha} &= \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_R} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^\alpha), e(v_1^\alpha)) + \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon\bar{\gamma}}} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^\alpha), e(v_1^\alpha)) + \int_{\Omega_R \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon\bar{\gamma}}} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^\alpha), e(v_1^\alpha)) \\ &=: \text{I}_1 + \text{II}_1 + \text{III}_1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

For $\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}} \leq |z'| \leq R$, we utilize a change of variable

$$\begin{cases} x' - z' = |z'|^m y', \\ x_d = |z'|^m y_d, \end{cases}$$

to rescale $\Omega_{|z'|+|z'|^m} \setminus \Omega_{|z'|}$ and $\Omega_{|z'|+|z'|^m}^* \setminus \Omega_{|z'|}^*$ into two nearly unit-size squares (or cylinders) Q_1 and Q_1^* , respectively. Let

$$V_1^\alpha(y) = v_1^\alpha(z' + |z'|^m y', |z'|^m y_d), \quad \text{in } Q_1,$$

and

$$V_1^{*\alpha}(y) = v_1^{*\alpha}(z' + |z'|^m y', |z'|^m y_d), \quad \text{in } Q_1^*.$$

Due to the fact that $0 < V_1^\alpha, V_1^{*\alpha} < 1$, it follows from the standard elliptic estimate that

$$|\nabla^2 V_1^\alpha| \leq C, \quad \text{in } Q_1, \quad \text{and } |\nabla^2 V_1^{*\alpha}| \leq C, \quad \text{in } Q_1^*.$$

Applying an interpolation with (4.12), we obtain

$$|\nabla(V_1^\alpha - V_1^{*\alpha})| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{2})} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Then rescaling it back to $v_1^\alpha - v_1^{*\alpha}$ and in view of $\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}} \leq |z'| \leq R$, we have

$$|\nabla(v_1^\alpha - v_1^{*\alpha})(x)| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}|z'|^{-m} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{6}}, \quad x \in \Omega_{|z'|+|z'|^m}^* \setminus \Omega_{|z'|}^*.$$

That is, for $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d$,

$$|\nabla(v_1^\alpha - v_1^{*\alpha})| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{6}}, \quad \text{in } D \setminus (\overline{D_1 \cup D_1^* \cup D_2 \cup \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}}). \quad (4.23)$$

For the first term I_1 in (4.22), since $|\nabla v_1^\alpha|$ is bounded in $(D_1^* \setminus (D_1 \cup \Omega_R)) \cup (D_1 \setminus D_1^*)$ and the volume of $D_1^* \setminus (D_1 \cup \Omega_R)$ and $D_1 \setminus D_1^*$ is of order $O(\varepsilon)$, we deduce from (4.23) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{D \setminus (D_1 \cup D_1^* \cup D_2 \cup \Omega_R)} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^\alpha), e(v_1^\alpha)) + O(1)\varepsilon \\ &= \int_{D \setminus (D_1 \cup D_1^* \cup D_2 \cup \Omega_R)} ((\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^{*\alpha}), e(v_1^{*\alpha})) + 2(\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^\alpha - v_1^{*\alpha}), e(v_1^{*\alpha}))) \\ &\quad + \int_{D \setminus (D_1 \cup D_1^* \cup D_2 \cup \Omega_R)} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^\alpha - v_1^{*\alpha}), e(v_1^\alpha - v_1^{*\alpha})) + O(1)\varepsilon \\ &= \int_{\Omega^* \setminus \Omega_R^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^{*\alpha}), e(v_1^{*\alpha})) + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{6}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

In view of the definitions of \bar{u}_1^α and \mathbb{C}^0 , it follows from a direct calculation that for $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(\bar{u}_1^\alpha), e(\bar{u}_1^\alpha)) &= 2(\mathbb{C}^0 e(\psi_\alpha \bar{v}), e(\mathcal{F}_\alpha)) + (\mathbb{C}^0 e(\mathcal{F}_\alpha), e(\mathcal{F}_\alpha)) \\ &\quad + (\lambda + \mu)(\partial_{x_\alpha} \bar{v})^2 + \mu \sum_{i=1}^d (\partial_{x_i} \bar{v})^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the correction term \mathcal{F}_α is defined by (2.7). This, together with Corollary 4.1, yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{II}_1 &= \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(\bar{u}_1^\alpha), e(\bar{u}_1^\alpha)) + 2 \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^\alpha - \bar{u}_1^\alpha), e(\bar{u}_1^\alpha)) \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^\alpha - \bar{u}_1^\alpha), e(v_1^\alpha - \bar{u}_1^\alpha)) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \int_{|x'| < \varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}} \frac{dx'}{\varepsilon + h_1(x') - h_2(x')} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{d-1}{12m}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

where \mathcal{L}_d^α is defined in (2.10)–(2.11).

For the last term III in (4.22), we further split it into three parts as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{III}_1^1 &= \int_{(\Omega_R \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}) \setminus (\Omega_R^* \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}^*)} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^\alpha), e(v_1^\alpha)), \\ \text{III}_1^2 &= \int_{\Omega_R^* \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^\alpha - v_1^{*\alpha}), e(v_1^\alpha - v_1^{*\alpha})) + 2 \int_{\Omega_R^* \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^\alpha - v_1^{*\alpha}), e(v_1^{*\alpha})), \\ \text{III}_1^3 &= \int_{\Omega_R^* \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^{*\alpha}), e(v_1^{*\alpha})). \end{aligned}$$

Based on the fact that the thickness of $(\Omega_R \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}) \setminus (\Omega_R^* \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}^*)$ is ε , it follows from (4.2) that

$$|\text{III}_1^1| \leq C\varepsilon \int_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}} < |x'| < R} \frac{dx'}{|x'|^{2m}} \leq C \begin{cases} \varepsilon, & m < \frac{d-1}{2}, \\ \varepsilon |\ln \varepsilon|, & m = \frac{d-1}{2}, \\ \varepsilon^{\frac{10m+d-1}{12m}}, & m > \frac{d-1}{2}. \end{cases} \quad (4.26)$$

From (4.8) and (4.23), we get

$$|\text{III}_1^2| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{6}}. \quad (4.27)$$

As for III_1^3 , it follows from (4.8) again that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{III}_1^3 &= \int_{\Omega_R^* \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(\bar{u}_1^{*\alpha}), e(\bar{u}_1^{*\alpha})) + 2 \int_{\Omega_R^* \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^{*\alpha} - \bar{u}_1^{*\alpha}), e(\bar{u}_1^{*\alpha})) \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega_R^* \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^{*\alpha} - \bar{u}_1^{*\alpha}), e(v_1^{*\alpha} - \bar{u}_1^{*\alpha})) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \int_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}} < |x'| < R} \frac{dx'}{h_1 - h_2} + M_d^{*\alpha} - \int_{\Omega^* \setminus \Omega_R^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^{*\alpha}), e(v_1^{*\alpha})) + O(1)\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d-1}{12m}\}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_d^{*\alpha} &= \int_{\Omega^* \setminus \Omega_R^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(\bar{u}_1^{*\alpha}), e(\bar{u}_1^{*\alpha})) + \int_{\Omega_R^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^{*\alpha} - \bar{u}_1^{*\alpha}), e(v_1^{*\alpha} - \bar{u}_1^{*\alpha})) \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega_R^*} [2(\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^{*\alpha} - \bar{u}_1^{*\alpha}), e(\bar{u}_1^{*\alpha})) + 2(\mathbb{C}^0 e(\psi_\alpha \bar{v}^*), e(\mathcal{F}_\alpha^*)) + (\mathbb{C}^0 e(\mathcal{F}_\alpha^*), e(\mathcal{F}_\alpha^*))] \\ &\quad + \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega_R^*} (\lambda + \mu)(\partial_{x_\alpha} \bar{v}^*)^2 + \mu \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (\partial_{x_i} \bar{v}^*)^2, & \alpha = 1, \dots, d-1, \\ \int_{\Omega_R^*} \mu \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (\partial_{x_i} \bar{v}^*)^2, & \alpha = d. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

This, in combination with (4.24)–(4.27), yields that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha} = & \mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \left(\int_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}} < |x'| < R} \frac{dx'}{h_1(x') - h_2(x')} + \int_{|x'| < \varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}} \frac{dx'}{\varepsilon + h_1(x') - h_2(x')} \right) \\ & + M_d^{*\alpha} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d-1}{12m}\}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

On one hand, for $m \geq d-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x'| < R} \frac{dx'}{\varepsilon + h_1 - h_2} + \int_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}} < |x'| < R} \frac{\varepsilon dx'}{(h_1 - h_2)(\varepsilon + h_1 - h_2)} \\ = & \int_{|x'| < R} \frac{1}{\varepsilon + \tau|x'|^m} + \int_{|x'| < R} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon + h_1 - h_2} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon + \tau|x'|^m} \right) + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{10m+d-1}{12m}} \\ = & (d-1)\omega_{d-1} \int_0^R \frac{s^{d-2}}{\varepsilon + \tau s^m} + O(1) \int_0^R \frac{s^{d+\beta-2}}{\varepsilon + \tau s^m} \\ = & \mathcal{M}_0 \rho_0(d, m; \varepsilon)(1 + O(\hat{\varepsilon}_0(d, m; \sigma))). \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

On the other hand, for $m < d-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x'| < R} \frac{dx'}{h_1 - h_2} - \int_{|x'| < \varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}} \frac{\varepsilon dx'}{(h_1 - h_2)(\varepsilon + h_1 - h_2)} \\ = & \int_{\Omega_R^*} |\partial_{x_d} \bar{u}_1^{*\alpha}|^2 + O(1)\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d-1-m}{12m}\}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

Consequently, combining with (4.29)–(4.31), we deduce that (4.14) holds.

Step 2. Proof of (4.15). Observe that for every $d+1 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, there exist two indices $1 \leq i_\alpha < j_\alpha \leq d$ such that $\psi_\alpha = (0, \dots, 0, x_{j_\alpha}, 0, \dots, 0, -x_{i_\alpha}, 0, \dots, 0)$. In particular, if $d+1 \leq \alpha \leq 2d-1$, we have $i_\alpha = \alpha - d$, $j_\alpha = d$ and then $\psi_\alpha = (0, \dots, 0, x_d, 0, \dots, 0, -x_{\alpha-d})$. Similarly as in (4.22), for $\alpha = d+1, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, we decompose $a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha}$ into three parts as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I}_2 &= \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_R} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^\alpha), e(v_1^\alpha)), \\ \text{II}_2 &= \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^\alpha), e(v_1^\alpha)), \\ \text{III}_2 &= \int_{\Omega_R \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^\alpha), e(v_1^\alpha)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\gamma} = \frac{1}{12m}$. Similarly as before, it follows from (4.12), the rescale argument, the interpolation inequality and the standard elliptic estimates that for $\alpha = d+1, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$,

$$|\nabla(v_1^\alpha - v_1^{*\alpha})| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{6}}, \quad \text{in } D \setminus (\overline{D_1 \cup D_1^* \cup D_2 \cup \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}}). \quad (4.32)$$

By the same argument as in (4.24), it follows from (4.32) that

$$\text{I}_2 = \int_{\Omega^* \setminus \Omega_R^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^{*\alpha}), e(v_1^{*\alpha})) + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{6}}. \quad (4.33)$$

For $\alpha = d + 1, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, a straightforward computation gives that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(\bar{u}_1^\alpha), e(\bar{u}_1^\alpha)) &= \mu(x_{i_\alpha}^2 + x_{j_\alpha}^2) \sum_{k=1}^d (\partial_{x_k} \bar{v})^2 + (\lambda + \mu)(x_{j_\alpha} \partial_{x_{i_\alpha}} \bar{v} - x_{i_\alpha} \partial_{x_{j_\alpha}} \bar{v})^2 \\ &\quad + 2(\mathbb{C}^0 e(\psi_\alpha \bar{v}), e(\mathcal{F}_\alpha)) + (\mathbb{C}^0 e(\mathcal{F}_\alpha), e(\mathcal{F}_\alpha)), \end{aligned}$$

which, in combination with Theorem 4.1, reads that

$$\Pi_2 = \frac{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha}{d-1} \int_{|x'| < \varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}} \frac{|x'|^2}{\varepsilon + h_1(x') - h_2(x')} dx' + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{d-1}{12m}}, \quad (4.34)$$

where the correction term \mathcal{F}_α is defined by (2.7) and \mathcal{L}_d^α is defined in (2.10)–(2.11).

For the third term III_2 , similarly as above, we further decompose it as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{III}_2 &= \int_{(\Omega_R \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}) \setminus (\Omega_R^* \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}^*)} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^\alpha), e(v_1^\alpha)) + \int_{\Omega_R^* \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^\alpha - v_1^{*\alpha}), e(v_1^\alpha - v_1^{*\alpha})) \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\Omega_R^* \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^\alpha - v_1^{*\alpha}), e(v_1^{*\alpha})) + \int_{\Omega_R^* \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(\bar{u}_1^{*\alpha}), e(\bar{u}_1^{*\alpha})) \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\Omega_R^* \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^{*\alpha} - \bar{u}_1^{*\alpha}), e(\bar{u}_1^{*\alpha})) + \int_{\Omega_R^* \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^{*\alpha} - \bar{u}_1^{*\alpha}), e(v_1^{*\alpha} - \bar{u}_1^{*\alpha})). \end{aligned}$$

Due to the fact that the thickness of $(\Omega_R \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}) \setminus (\Omega_R^* \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}}^*)$ is ε , it follows from (4.8) and (4.32) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{III}_2 &= \frac{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha}{d-1} \int_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}} < |x'| < R} \frac{|x'|^2}{h_1(x') - h_2(x')} dx' - \int_{\Omega^* \setminus \Omega_R^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^{*\alpha}), e(v_1^{*\alpha})) \\ &\quad + M_d^{*\alpha} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d-1}{12m}\}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_d^{*\alpha} &= \int_{\Omega_R^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(\bar{u}_1^{*\alpha}), e(\bar{u}_1^{*\alpha})) + \int_{\Omega_R^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^{*\alpha} - \bar{u}_1^{*\alpha}), e(v_1^{*\alpha} - \bar{u}_1^{*\alpha})) \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega_R^*} [2(\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^{*\alpha} - \bar{u}_1^{*\alpha}), e(\bar{u}_1^{*\alpha})) + 2(\mathbb{C}^0 e(\psi_\alpha \bar{v}^*), e(\mathcal{F}_\alpha^*)) + (\mathbb{C}^0 e(\mathcal{F}_\alpha^*), e(\mathcal{F}_\alpha^*))] \\ &\quad + \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega_R^*} \left[\mu(x_{\alpha-d}^2 + x_d^2) \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} (\partial_{x_k} \bar{v}^*)^2 + \mu(x_d \partial_{x_d} \bar{v}^*)^2 + (\lambda + \mu)(x_d \partial_{x_{\alpha-d}} \bar{v}^*)^2 \right. \\ \quad \left. - 2(\lambda + \mu)x_{\alpha-d} x_d \partial_{x_{\alpha-d}} \bar{v}^* \partial_{x_d} \bar{v}^* \right], & \alpha = d+1, \dots, 2d-1, \\ \int_{\Omega_R^*} \left[\mu(x_{i_\alpha}^2 + x_{j_\alpha}^2) \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} (\partial_{x_k} \bar{v}^*)^2 \right. \\ \quad \left. + (\lambda + \mu)(x_{j_\alpha} \partial_{x_{i_\alpha}} \bar{v}^* - x_{i_\alpha} \partial_{x_{j_\alpha}} \bar{v}^*)^2 \right], & \alpha = 2d, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}, d \geq 3. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then combining (4.33)–(4.35), we obtain that

(i) if $m \geq d+1$, then for $\alpha = d+1, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha} &= \frac{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha}{d-1} \left(\int_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}} < |x'| < R} \frac{|x'|^2}{h_1(x') - h_2(x')} + \int_{|x'| < \varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}} \frac{|x'|^2}{\varepsilon + h_1(x') - h_2(x')} \right) \\ &\quad + M_d^{*\alpha} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d-1}{12m}\}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}} < |x'| < R} \frac{|x'|^2}{h_1 - h_2} + \int_{|x'| < \varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}} \frac{|x'|^2}{\varepsilon + h_1 - h_2} \\
&= \int_{|x'| < R} \frac{|x'|^2}{\varepsilon + \tau|x'|^m} + \int_{|x'| < R} \left(\frac{|x'|^2}{\varepsilon + h_1 - h_2} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon + \tau|x'|^m} \right) \\
&\quad + \int_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}} < |x'| < R} \frac{\varepsilon|x'|^2}{(h_1 - h_2)(\varepsilon + h_1 - h_2)} \\
&= (d-1)\omega_{d-1} \int_0^R \frac{s^d}{\varepsilon + \tau s^m} + O(1) \int_0^R \frac{s^{d+\beta}}{\varepsilon + \tau s^m} \\
&= (d-1)\mathcal{M}_2\rho_2(d, m; \varepsilon)(1 + O(\hat{\varepsilon}_2(d, m; \sigma))),
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha} = \mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_2\rho_2(d, m; \varepsilon)(1 + O(\hat{\varepsilon}_2(d, m; \sigma)));$$

(ii) if $m < d+1$, on one hand, for $\alpha = d+1, \dots, 2d-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha} &= \mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \left(\int_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}} < |x'| < R} \frac{x_{i_\alpha}^2}{h_1 - h_2} + \int_{|x'| < \varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}} \frac{x_{i_\alpha}^2}{\varepsilon + h_1 - h_2} \right) \\
&\quad + M_d^{*\alpha} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d-1}{12m}\}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}} < |x'| < R} \frac{x_{i_\alpha}^2}{h_1 - h_2} + \int_{|x'| < \varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}} \frac{x_{i_\alpha}^2}{\varepsilon + h_1 - h_2} \\
&= \int_{|x'| < R} \frac{x_{i_\alpha}^2}{h_1 - h_2} - \int_{|x'| < \varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}} \frac{\varepsilon x_{i_\alpha}^2}{(h_1 - h_2)(\varepsilon + h_1 - h_2)} \\
&= \int_{\Omega_R^*} |x_{i_\alpha} \partial_{x_d} \bar{v}^*|^2 + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{d+1-m}{12m}},
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha} = a_{11}^{*\alpha\alpha} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d+1-m}{12m}\}}.$$

On the other hand, for $\alpha = 2d, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, $d \geq 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha} &= \frac{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha}{2} \left(\int_{\varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}} < |x'| < R} \frac{x_{i_\alpha}^2 + x_{j_\alpha}^2}{h_1 - h_2} + \int_{|x'| < \varepsilon^{\bar{\gamma}}} \frac{x_{i_\alpha}^2 + x_{j_\alpha}^2}{\varepsilon + h_1 - h_2} \right) \\
&\quad + M_d^{*\alpha} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d-1}{12m}\}} \\
&= \frac{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha}{2} \int_{|x'| < R} \frac{x_{i_\alpha}^2 + x_{j_\alpha}^2}{h_1 - h_2} + M_d^{*\alpha} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d+1-m}{12m}\}} \\
&= \frac{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega_R^*} (x_{i_\alpha}^2 + x_{j_\alpha}^2) |\partial_{x_d} \bar{v}^*|^2 + M_d^{*\alpha} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d+1-m}{12m}\}} \\
&= a_{11}^{*\alpha\alpha} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d+1-m}{12m}\}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we complete the proof of (4.15). \square

Before proving Theorem 4.4, we first state a result on the linear space of rigid displacement Ψ . Its proof can be seen in Lemma 6.1 of [11].

Lemma 4.7. *Let ξ be an element of Ψ , defined by (2.2) with $d \geq 2$. If ξ vanishes at d distinct points $\bar{x}_1, i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, which do not lie on a $(d-1)$ -dimensional plane, then $\xi = 0$.*

At this point we are ready to give the proof of Theorem 4.4.

Proof of Theorem 4.4. We next divide into three cases to prove Theorem 4.4.

(i) If $m \geq d+1$, for $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, we denote

$$\mathbb{F}^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} b_1^\alpha & \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{\alpha 1} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{\alpha \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^1 & \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}^{11} & \cdots & \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}^{1 \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} & \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2} 1} & \cdots & \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying Lemma 4.5, we obtain

$$\det \mathbb{F}^\alpha = \det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}), \quad \det \mathbb{D} = \det \mathbb{D}^* + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}).$$

We point out that the matrix \mathbb{D}^* is positive definite and thus $\det \mathbb{D}^* > 0$. In fact, for $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}})^T \neq 0$, it follows from ellipticity condition (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^T \mathbb{D}^* \xi &= \int_{\Omega^*} \left(\mathbb{C}^0 e \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \xi_\alpha (v_1^{*\alpha} + v_2^{*\alpha}) \right), e \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \xi_\beta (v_1^{*\beta} + v_2^{*\beta}) \right) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{C} \int_{\Omega^*} \left| e \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \xi_\alpha (v_1^{*\alpha} + v_2^{*\alpha}) \right) \right|^2 > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

In the last inequality, we utilized the fact that $e \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \xi_\alpha (v_1^{*\alpha} + v_2^{*\alpha}) \right)$ is not identically zero. Otherwise, if $e \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \xi_\alpha (v_1^{*\alpha} + v_2^{*\alpha}) \right) = 0$, then there exist some constants a_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ such that $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \xi_\alpha (v_1^{*\alpha} + v_2^{*\alpha}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} a_i \psi_i$, where $\{\psi_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}\}$ is a basis of Ψ defined in (2.3). Since $\{\psi_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}\}$ is linear independent and $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \xi_\alpha (v_1^{*\alpha} + v_2^{*\alpha}) = 0$ on ∂D , it follows from Lemma 4.7 that $a_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$. Then in light of $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \xi_\alpha (v_1^{*\alpha} + v_2^{*\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \xi_\alpha \psi_\alpha = 0$ on ∂D_1^* , we deduce from the linear independence of $\{\psi_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}\}$ that $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}})^T = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^\alpha}{\det \mathbb{D}} &= \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\det \mathbb{D}^* - \det \mathbb{D}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*}} + \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^\alpha - \det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{D}} \\ &= \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*} (1 + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}})). \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

Using (4.14)–(4.15), we obtain that for $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d$, if $m \geq d - 1$,

$$\frac{1}{a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha}} = \frac{\rho_0^{-1}(d, m; \varepsilon)}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0 - \rho_0^{-1}(d, m; \varepsilon) a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha}}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0}} = \frac{1 + O(\hat{\varepsilon}_0(d, m; \sigma))}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0 \rho_0(d, m; \varepsilon)}, \quad (4.40)$$

and for $\alpha = d + 1, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, if $m \geq d + 1$,

$$\frac{1}{a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha}} = \frac{\rho_2^{-1}(d, m; \varepsilon)}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_2 - \rho_2^{-1}(d, m; \varepsilon) a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha}}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_2}} = \frac{1 + O(\hat{\varepsilon}_2(d, m; \sigma))}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_2 \rho_2(d, m; \varepsilon)}. \quad (4.41)$$

In view of (4.5) and (4.39)–(4.41), it follows from Cramer's rule that

$$\begin{aligned} C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha &= \frac{\prod_{i \neq \alpha}^{d(d+1)/2} a_{11}^{ii} \det \mathbb{F}^\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^{d(d+1)/2} a_{11}^{ii} \det \mathbb{D}} (1 + O(\rho_2^{-1}(d, m; \varepsilon))) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*} \frac{1 + O(\varepsilon_0(d, m; \sigma))}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0 \rho_0(d, m; \varepsilon)}, & \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d, \\ \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*} \frac{1 + O(\varepsilon_2(d, m; \sigma))}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_2 \rho_2(d, m; \varepsilon)}, & \alpha = d + 1, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $d - 1 \leq m < d + 1$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^{d+1, d+1} & \dots & a_{11}^{d+1, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{11}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}, d+1} & \dots & a_{11}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{B}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{d+1, 1} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{d+1, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}, 1} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbb{C}_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{1, d+1} & \dots & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{1, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}, d+1} & \dots & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\mathbb{F}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{A}_0 & \mathbb{B}_0 \\ \mathbb{C}_0 & \mathbb{D} \end{pmatrix}.$$

On one hand, for $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d$, we denote

$$\mathbb{A}_1^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} b_1^\alpha & a_{11}^{\alpha, d+1} & \dots & a_{11}^{\alpha, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ b_1^{d+1} & a_{11}^{d+1, d+1} & \dots & a_{11}^{d+1, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_1^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} & a_{11}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}, d+1} & \dots & a_{11}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbb{B}_1^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{\alpha 1} & \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{\alpha 2} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{\alpha \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{d+1 1} & \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{d+1 2} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{d+1 \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2} 1} & \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2} 2} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{i1}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbb{C}_1^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^1 & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{1 d+1} & \cdots & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{1 \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^2 & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{2 d+1} & \cdots & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{2 \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2} d+1} & \cdots & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Write

$$\mathbb{F}_1^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{A}_1^\alpha & \mathbb{B}_1^\alpha \\ \mathbb{C}_1^\alpha & \mathbb{D} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d.$$

Then using Lemma 4.5 and (4.15), we derive

$$\det \mathbb{F}_1^\alpha = \det \mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{d+1-m}{12m}}), \quad \det \mathbb{F}_0 = \det \mathbb{F}_0^* + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{d+1-m}{12m}}),$$

which yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_1^\alpha}{\det \mathbb{F}_0} &= \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_0^* - \det \mathbb{F}_0}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*}} + \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_1^\alpha - \det \mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0} \\ &= \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*} (1 + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{d+1-m}{12m}})). \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

Similar to the matrix \mathbb{D}^* in (4.38), we obtain that $\det \mathbb{F}_0^* \neq 0$. Denote

$$\rho_d(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} |\ln \varepsilon|, & d = 2, \\ 1, & d \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Then combining (4.5), (4.40) and (4.42), it follows from Cramer's rule that for $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, d$,

$$\begin{aligned} C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha &= \frac{\prod_{i \neq \alpha}^d a_{11}^{ii} \det \mathbb{F}_1^\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^d a_{11}^{ii} \det \mathbb{F}_0} (1 + O(\rho_0^{-1}(d, m; \varepsilon) \rho_d(\varepsilon))) \\ &= \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*} \frac{1 + O(\bar{\varepsilon}_0(d, m; \sigma))}{\mathcal{L}_d^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0 \rho_0(d, m; \varepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $\alpha = d+1, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$, we replace the elements of α -th column in the matrix \mathbb{A}_0 by column vector $(b_1^{d+1}, \dots, b_1^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}})^T$ and then denote

this new matrix by \mathbb{A}_2^α as follows:

$$\mathbb{A}_2^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^{d+1} & \dots & b_1^{d+1} & \dots & a_{11}^{d+1} \frac{d(d+1)}{2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{11}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} & \dots & b_1^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} & \dots & a_{11}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \frac{d(d+1)}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly as before, by replacing the elements of α -th column in the matrix \mathbb{C}_0 by column vector $\left(\sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \right)^T$, we get the new matrix \mathbb{C}_2^α as follows:

$$\mathbb{C}_2^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{d+1} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^1 & \dots & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{d+1} \frac{d(d+1)}{2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} & \dots & \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} & \dots & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \frac{d(d+1)}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define

$$\mathbb{F}_2^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{A}_2^\alpha & \mathbb{B}_0^\alpha \\ \mathbb{C}_2^\alpha & \mathbb{D} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using Lemma 4.5 and (4.15) again, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_2^\alpha}{\det \mathbb{F}_0} &= \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_2^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_0^* - \det \mathbb{F}_0}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*}} + \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_2^\alpha - \det \mathbb{F}_2^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0} \\ &= \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_2^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*} (1 + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{d+1-m}{12m}})). \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

This, together with (4.5) and Cramer's rule, leads to that for $\alpha = d+1, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$,

$$C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha = \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_2^\alpha}{\det \mathbb{F}_0} (1 + O(\rho_0^{-1}(d, m; \varepsilon))) = \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_2^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*} (1 + O(\bar{\varepsilon}_2(d, m; \sigma))).$$

(iii) If $m < d-1$, we replace the elements of α -th column in the matrices \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{C} by column vectors $\left(b_1^1, \dots, b_1^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \right)^T$ and $\left(\sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \right)^T$, respectively, and then denote these two new matrices by \mathbb{A}_3^α and \mathbb{C}_3^α as follows:

$$\mathbb{A}_3^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^{11} & \dots & b_1^1 & \dots & a_{11}^1 \frac{d(d+1)}{2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{11}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} & \dots & b_1^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} & \dots & a_{11}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \frac{d(d+1)}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathbb{C}_3^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{11} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^1 & \cdots & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{1 \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2} 1} & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}} & \cdots & \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \frac{d(d+1)}{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define

$$\mathbb{F}_3^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{A}_3^\alpha & \mathbb{B} \\ \mathbb{C}_3^\alpha & \mathbb{D} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}, \quad \mathbb{F} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{A} & \mathbb{B} \\ \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{D} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then utilizing Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6, we arrive at

$$\det \mathbb{F}_3^\alpha = \det \mathbb{F}_3^{*\alpha} + O(\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d-1-m}{12m}\}}), \quad \det \mathbb{F} = \det \mathbb{F}^* + O(\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d-1-m}{12m}\}}).$$

Using the same argument as in (4.38), we deduce that $\det \mathbb{F}^* \neq 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_3^\alpha}{\det \mathbb{F}} &= \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_3^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}^*} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^* - \det \mathbb{F}}{\det \mathbb{F}^*}} + \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_3^\alpha - \det \mathbb{F}_3^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}} \\ &= \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_3^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}^*} (1 + O(\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d-1-m}{12m}\}})), \end{aligned}$$

which, in combination with (4.5) and Cramer's rule, reads that for $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$,

$$C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha = \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_3^\alpha}{\det \mathbb{F}} = \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_3^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}^*} (1 + O(\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{d-1-m}{12m}\}})).$$

The proof is complete. □

5. AN EXAMPLE OF TWO ADJACENT CURVILINEAR SQUARES WITH ROUNDED-OFF ANGLES




FIGURE 1. Curvilinear squares with rounded-off angles, $m = 4$

From the results in [21, 26], we know that the stress concentration will weaken as the convexity index m tends to infinity, which implies that the fiber shapes of curvilinear squares with rounded-off angles (see Figure 1) are superior to circular fibers in the practical design of composite materials. Therefore, it is essential to give a sharp characterization for the gradient in terms of this explicit regular shapes from the view of the shape design of materials. Assume that there exist two positive constants r_1 and r_2 , independent of ε , such that ∂D_1 and ∂D_2 can be expressed as

$$|x_1|^m + |x_2 - \varepsilon - r_1|^m = r_1^m \quad \text{and} \quad |x_1|^m + |x_2 + r_2|^m = r_2^m, \quad (5.1)$$

respectively. Making use of Taylor expansion, we get

$$h_1(x_1) - h_2(x_1) = \tau_0 |x_1|^m + O(|x_1|^{2m}), \quad \text{in } \Omega_{r_0}, \quad (5.2)$$

where $\tau_0 = \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{1}{r_1^{m-1}} + \frac{1}{r_2^{m-1}} \right)$ and $0 < r_0 < \frac{1}{2} \min\{r_1, r_2\}$, r_0 is a small constant independent of ε . Then, we obtain

Example 5.1. Assume as above, condition (5.1) holds. Let $u \in H^1(D; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap C^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$ be the solution of (2.4). Then for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \Omega_{r_0}$,

(i) for $m > 3$, if $\det \mathbb{D}^* \neq 0$ and $\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha} \neq 0$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u = & \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*} \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{m-1}{m}}}{\mathcal{L}_2^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0} \frac{1 + O(\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{m-3}{m}\}})}{1 + \mathcal{G}_m^{*\alpha} \varepsilon^{\frac{m-1}{m}}} \nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha \\ & + \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^{*3}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*} \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{m-3}{m}}}{\mathcal{L}_2^3 \mathcal{M}_2} \frac{1 + O(\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{12m-35}{12m}, \frac{m-3}{m}\}})}{1 + \mathcal{G}_m^{*3} \varepsilon^{\frac{m-3}{m}}} \nabla \bar{u}_1^3 + O(1) \|\varphi\|_{C^0(\partial D)}; \end{aligned}$$

(ii) for $m = 3$, if $\det \mathbb{D}^* \neq 0$ and $\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha} \neq 0$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u = & \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*} \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{3}}}{\mathcal{L}_2^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0} \frac{1 + O(|\ln \varepsilon|^{-1})}{1 + \mathcal{G}_3^{*\alpha} \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{3}}} \nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha \\ & + \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^{*3}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*} \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_2^3 \mathcal{M}_2} \frac{1 + O(|\ln \varepsilon|^{-1})}{|\ln \varepsilon| + \mathcal{G}_3^{*3}} \nabla \bar{u}_1^3 + O(1) \|\varphi\|_{C^0(\partial D)}; \end{aligned}$$

(iii) for $2 \leq m < 3$, if $\det \mathbb{F}_0^* \neq 0$, $\det \mathbb{F}_2^{*3} \neq 0$, and $\det \mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha} \neq 0$, $\alpha = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u = & \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*} \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{m-1}{m}}}{\mathcal{L}_2^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0} \frac{1 + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{3-m}{12m}})}{1 + \mathcal{G}_m^{*\alpha} \varepsilon^{\frac{m-1}{m}}} \nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha \\ & + \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_2^{*3}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*} (1 + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{3-m}{12m}})) \nabla \bar{u}_1^3 + O(1) \|\varphi\|_{C^0(\partial D)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the explicit auxiliary functions \bar{u}_1^α , $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ are defined in (2.6) in the case of $d = 2$, the constants \mathcal{M}_i , $i = 0, 2$, are defined by (2.9) with $d = 2$, the Lamé constants \mathcal{L}_2^α , $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ are defined by (2.10), the blow-up factor matrices \mathbb{D}^* , \mathbb{F}_0^* , $\mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$, $\mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, 2$, and \mathbb{F}_2^{*3} are defined by (2.15)–(2.16) and (2.19)–(2.21) in the case of $d = 2$, the geometry constants $\mathcal{G}_m^{*\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ are defined by (5.7) below.

Remark 5.2. For two nearly touching curvilinear squares with rounded-off angles, we obtain a more precise asymptotic formula of the stress concentration than that in Theorems 2.1 and 2.5 in virtue of the geometry constants $\mathcal{G}_m^{*\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$. Moreover, the values of these geometry constants depend only on $m, \lambda, \mu, r_1, r_2$, but not on the distance parameter ε and the length parameter r_0 of the narrow

region. This is vitally important for numerical calculations and simulations in future investigation. Observe that when $m = 2$, these two curvilinear squares become disks and the dependence on the radius is exhibited in the form of the sum of curvature of these two disks. This dependence was previously revealed in [27, 38, 50] for the perfect conductivity problem, which is regarded as the scalar model of the elasticity problem.

Remark 5.3. For the purpose of revealing the dependence on the relative principal curvature of the inclusions, we also give an example in terms of the strictly inclusions in dimension three as follows:

$$h_1(x') - h_2(x') = \kappa_1 x_1^2 + \kappa_2 x_2^2, \quad x' \in B'_{2R},$$

where κ_i , $i = 1, 2$ are two positive constants independent of ε . Then applying the proofs of Theorem 2.5 and Example 5.1 with a slight modification, we obtain that for $x \in \Omega_R$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u = & \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*} \frac{\sqrt{\kappa_1 \kappa_2} (1 + O(|\ln \varepsilon|^{-1}))}{\pi \mathcal{L}_3^\alpha (|\ln \varepsilon| + \mathcal{G}_{\kappa_1, \kappa_2}^{*\alpha})} \nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha \\ & + \sum_{\alpha=4}^6 \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_2^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*} (1 + O(|\ln \varepsilon|^{-1})) \nabla \bar{u}_1^\alpha + O(1) \|\varphi\|_{C^0(\partial D)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}_{\kappa_1, \kappa_2}^{*\alpha} = 2 \ln R - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \ln(\kappa_1^{-1} \cos^2 \theta + \kappa_2^{-1} \sin^2 \theta) d\theta + \frac{\sqrt{\kappa_1 \kappa_2}}{\pi \mathcal{L}_3^\alpha} \mathcal{M}_3^{*\alpha}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3,$$

with $\mathcal{M}_3^{*\alpha}$ defined by (4.28) in the case of $d = 3$, the Lamé constants \mathcal{L}_3^α are defined by (2.11) with $d = 3$, the blow-up factor matrices \mathbb{F}_0^* , $\mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$, $\mathbb{F}_2^{*\alpha}$, $\alpha = 4, 5, 6$ are defined by (2.19)–(2.21) with $d = 3$. We here would like to emphasize that for two strictly convex inclusions, Li, Li and Yang [38] were the first to present the precise calculation of the energy and then establish the asymptotic expansions of the concentrated field for the perfect conductivity problem. For the asymptotic results corresponding to more generalized m -convex inclusions with different principal curvatures, see Theorem 3.3 in [45].

Lemma 5.4. Assume as in Example 5.1. Then, for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$,

(i) for $\alpha = 1, 2$,

$$a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha} = \mathcal{L}_2^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0 \rho_0(2, m; \varepsilon) + \mathcal{K}_m^{*\alpha} + O(1) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}};$$

(ii) for $\alpha = 3$,

$$a_{11}^{33} = \begin{cases} a_{11}^{*33} + O(1) \varepsilon^{\frac{3-m}{12m}}, & 2 \leq m < 3, \\ \mathcal{L}_2^3 \mathcal{M}_2 \rho_2(2, m; \varepsilon) + \mathcal{K}_m^{*3} + O(1) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}}, & m \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

where \mathcal{M}_i , $i = 0, 2$ are defined by (2.9) in the case of $d = 2$, $\mathcal{K}_m^{*\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ are defined in (5.4) and (5.6) below.

Proof. Step 1. Similar to (4.29), we obtain that for $\alpha = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha} = & \mathcal{L}_2^\alpha \left(\int_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}} < |x_1| < r_0} \frac{dx_1}{h_1(x_1) - h_2(x_1)} + \int_{|x_1| < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}}} \frac{dx_1}{\varepsilon + h_1(x_1) - h_2(x_1)} \right) \\ & + M_2^{*\alpha} + O(1) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M_2^{*\alpha} &= \int_{\Omega^* \setminus \Omega_{r_0}^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(\bar{u}_1^{*\alpha}), e(\bar{u}_1^{*\alpha})) + \int_{\Omega_{r_0}^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^{*\alpha} - \bar{u}_1^{*\alpha}), e(v_1^{*\alpha} - \bar{u}_1^{*\alpha})) \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega_{r_0}^*} 2[(\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^{*\alpha} - \bar{u}_1^{*\alpha}), e(\bar{u}_1^{*\alpha})) + (\mathbb{C}^0 e(\psi_\alpha \bar{v}^*), e(\mathcal{F}_\alpha^*))] \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega_{r_0}^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(\mathcal{F}_\alpha^*), e(\mathcal{F}_\alpha^*)) + \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega_{r_0}^*} (\lambda + \mu)(\partial_{x_1} \bar{v}^*)^2 + \mu(\partial_{x_1} \bar{v}^*)^2, & \alpha = 1, \\ \int_{\Omega_{r_0}^*} \mu(\partial_{x_1} \bar{v}^*)^2, & \alpha = 2. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

First, it follows from (5.2) that

$$\int_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}} < |x_1| < r_0} \left(\frac{1}{h_1 - h_2} - \frac{1}{\tau_0 |x_1|^m} \right) = \int_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}} < |x_1| < r_0} O(1) = C_1^* + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}},$$

where C_1^* depends on m, τ_0, r_0 , but not on ε . Then

$$\int_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}} < |x_1| < r_0} \frac{dx_1}{h_1 - h_2} = \int_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}} < |x_1| < r_0} \frac{dx_1}{\tau_0 |x_1|^m} + C_1^* + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}}.$$

Likewise, we have

$$\int_{|x_1| < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}}} \frac{dx_1}{\varepsilon + h_1 - h_2} = \int_{|x_1| < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}}} \frac{dx_1}{\varepsilon + \tau_0 |x_1|^m} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}}.$$

Then $a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha}$ becomes

$$a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha} = \mathcal{L}_2^\alpha \left(\int_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}} < |x_1| < r_0} \frac{dx_1}{\tau_0 |x_1|^m} + \int_{|x_1| < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}}} \frac{dx_1}{\varepsilon + \tau_0 |x_1|^m} \right) + \widetilde{M}_2^{*\alpha} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}},$$

where $\widetilde{M}_2^{*\alpha} = M_2^{*\alpha} + \mathcal{L}_2^\alpha C_1^*$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}} < |x_1| < r_0} \frac{dx_1}{\tau_0 |x_1|^m} + \int_{|x_1| < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}}} \frac{dx_1}{\varepsilon + \tau_0 |x_1|^m} \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon + \tau_0 |x_1|^m} - \int_{|x_1| > r_0} \frac{1}{\tau_0 |x_1|^m} + \int_{|x_1| > \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}}} \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau_0 |x_1|^m (\varepsilon + \tau_0 |x_1|^m)} \\
&= \frac{2\Gamma[\frac{1}{m}]}{m\tau_0^{\frac{1}{m}}} \rho_0(2, m; \varepsilon) - \frac{2r_0^{1-m}}{\tau_0(m-1)} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{10m+1}{12m}},
\end{aligned}$$

then we obtain that for $\alpha = 1, 2$,

$$a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha} = \mathcal{L}_2^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0 \rho_0(2, m; \varepsilon) + \mathcal{K}_m^{*\alpha} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}}, \quad (5.3)$$

where \mathcal{M}_0 is defined by (2.9) with $d = 2$, and

$$\mathcal{K}_m^{*\alpha} = \widetilde{M}_2^{*\alpha} - \frac{2r_0^{1-m} \mathcal{L}_2^\alpha}{\tau_0(m-1)}. \quad (5.4)$$

Step 2. Analogous to (4.36)–(4.37), we deduce that for $\alpha = 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{11}^{33} &= \mathcal{L}_2^3 \left(\int_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}} < |x_1| < r_0} \frac{x_1^2}{h_1(x_1) - h_2(x_1)} + \int_{|x_1| < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}}} \frac{x_1^2}{\varepsilon + h_1(x_1) - h_2(x_1)} \right) \\
&\quad + M_2^{*3} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M_2^{*3} &= \int_{\Omega^* \setminus \Omega_{r_0}^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(\bar{u}_1^{*3}), e(\bar{u}_1^{*3})) + \int_{\Omega_{r_0}^*} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^{*3} - \bar{u}_1^{*3}), e(v_1^{*3} - \bar{u}_1^{*3})) \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega_{r_0}^*} [2(\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_1^{*3} - \bar{u}_1^{*3}), e(\bar{u}_1^{*3})) + 2(\mathbb{C}^0 e(\psi_3 \bar{v}^*), e(\mathcal{F}_3^*)) + (\mathbb{C}^0 e(\mathcal{F}_3^*), e(\mathcal{F}_3^*))] \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega_{r_0}^*} [\mu(x_1^2 + x_2^2)(\partial_{x_1} \bar{v})^2 + \mu(x_2 \partial_{x_2} \bar{v})^2 + (\lambda + \mu)(x_2 \partial_{x_1} \bar{v})^2 \\
&\quad \quad - 2(\lambda + \mu)x_1 x_2 \partial_{x_1} \bar{v} \partial_{x_2} \bar{v}].
\end{aligned}$$

On one hand, if $2 \leq m < 3$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{11}^{33} &= \mathcal{L}_2^3 \left(\int_{|x_1| < r_0} \frac{x_1^2}{h_1 - h_2} - \int_{|x_1| < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}}} \frac{\varepsilon x_1^2}{(h_1 - h_2)(\varepsilon + h_1 - h_2)} \right) \\
&\quad + M_2^{*3} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}} \\
&= \mathcal{L}_2^3 \int_{\Omega_R^*} |x_1 \partial_{x_2} \bar{v}^*|^2 + M_2^{*3} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{3-m}{12m}} \\
&= a_{11}^{*33} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{3-m}{12m}}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $m \geq 3$, using (5.2), we obtain

$$\int_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}} < |x_1| < r_0} \left(\frac{x_1^2}{h_1 - h_2} - \frac{x_1^2}{\tau_0 |x_1|^m} \right) dx_1 = \int_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}} < |x_1| < r_0} O(x_1^2) dx_1 = C_2^* + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4m}},$$

where C_2^* depends on m, τ_0, r_0 , but not on ε . Then

$$\int_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}} < |x_1| < r_0} \frac{x_1^2}{h_1 - h_2} = \int_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}} < |x_1| < r_0} \frac{x_1^2}{\tau_0 |x_1|^m} + C_2^* + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}},$$

Similarly, we have

$$\int_{|x_1| < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}}} \frac{x_1^2}{\varepsilon + h_1 - h_2} = \int_{|x_1| < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}}} \frac{x_1^2}{\varepsilon + \tau_0 |x_1|^m} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4m}}.$$

Then a_{11}^{33} becomes

$$a_{11}^{33} = \mathcal{L}_2^3 \left(\int_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}} < |x_1| < r_0} \frac{x_1^2}{\tau_0 |x_1|^m} + \int_{|x_1| < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}}} \frac{x_1^2}{\varepsilon + \tau_0 |x_1|^m} \right) + \widetilde{M}_2^{*3} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}},$$

where $\widetilde{M}_2^{*3} = M_2^{*3} + \mathcal{L}_2^3 C_2^*$. A direct calculation yields that for $m = 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{36}} < |x_1| < r_0} \frac{x_1^2}{\tau_0 |x_1|^3} + \int_{|x_1| < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{36}}} \frac{x_1^2}{\varepsilon + \tau_0 |x_1|^3} \\
&= \int_{|x_1| < r_0} \frac{x_1^2}{\varepsilon + \tau_0 |x_1|^3} + \int_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{36}} < |x_1| < r_0} \frac{\varepsilon x_1^2}{\tau_0 |x_1|^3 (\varepsilon + \tau_0 |x_1|^3)} \\
&= \frac{2}{3\tau_0} |\ln \varepsilon| + \frac{2(\ln \tau_0 + 3 \ln r_0)}{3\tau_0} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{11}{12}},
\end{aligned}$$

and, for $m > 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}} < |x_1| < r_0} \frac{x_1^2}{\tau_0 |x_1|^m} + \int_{|x_1| < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}}} \frac{x_1^2}{\varepsilon + \tau_0 |x_1|^m} \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{x_1^2}{\varepsilon + \tau_0 |x_1|^m} - \int_{|x_1| > r_0} \frac{x_1^2}{\tau_0 |x_1|^m} + \int_{|x_1| > \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}}} \frac{\varepsilon x_1^2}{\tau_0 |x_1|^m (\varepsilon + \tau_0 |x_1|^m)} \\
&= \frac{2\Gamma[\frac{3}{m}]}{m\tau_0^{\frac{3}{m}}} \rho_2(2, m; \varepsilon) - \frac{2r_0^{3-m}}{\tau_0(m-3)} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{10m+3}{12m}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain that for $m \geq 3$,

$$a_{11}^{33} = \mathcal{L}_2^3 \mathcal{M}_2 \rho_2(2, m; \varepsilon) + \mathcal{K}_m^{*3} + O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}}, \quad (5.5)$$

where \mathcal{M}_2 is defined by (2.9) in the case of $d = 2$, and

$$\mathcal{K}_m^{*3} = \begin{cases} \frac{2(\ln \tau_0 + 3 \ln r_0) \mathcal{L}_2^3}{3\tau_0} + \widetilde{M}_2^{*3}, & m = 3, \\ \frac{2r_0^{3-m} \mathcal{L}_2^3}{\tau_0(3-m)} + \widetilde{M}_2^{*3}, & m > 3. \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

Step 3. We now claim that the constant $\mathcal{K}_m^{*\alpha}$ captured in (5.4) and (5.6) is independent of the length parameter r_0 of the narrow region. If not, suppose that there exist $\mathcal{K}_m^{*\alpha}(r_1^*)$ and $\mathcal{K}_m^{*\alpha}(r_2^*)$, $r_i^* > 0$, $i = 1, 2$, $r_1^* \neq r_2^*$, both independent of ε , such that (5.3) and (5.5) hold. Then, we arrive at

$$\mathcal{K}_m^{*\alpha}(r_1^*) - \mathcal{K}_m^{*\alpha}(r_2^*) = O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}},$$

which means that $\mathcal{K}_m^{*\alpha}(r_1^*) = \mathcal{K}_m^{*\alpha}(r_2^*)$. □

Proof of Example 5.1. Denote

$$\mathcal{G}_m^{*\alpha} = \frac{\mathcal{K}_m^{*\alpha}}{\mathcal{L}_2^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0}, \text{ for } \alpha = 1, 2, m \geq 2, \quad \mathcal{G}_m^{*3} = \frac{\mathcal{K}_m^{*3}}{\mathcal{L}_2^3 \mathcal{M}_2}, \text{ for } m \geq 3. \quad (5.7)$$

Similarly as in (4.39)–(4.41), it follows from Lemma 5.4 that for $\alpha = 1, 2$, if $m \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha}} &= \frac{\rho_0^{-1}(2, m; \varepsilon)}{\mathcal{L}_2^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\mathcal{L}_2^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0 - \rho_0^{-1}(2, m; \varepsilon) a_{11}^{\alpha\alpha}}{\mathcal{L}_2^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0}} \\
&= \frac{\rho_0^{-1}(2, m; \varepsilon)}{\mathcal{L}_2^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0} \frac{1}{1 + \mathcal{G}_m^{*\alpha} \rho_0^{-1}(2, m; \varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}} \rho_0^{-1}(2, m; \varepsilon))} \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{m-1}{m}}}{\mathcal{L}_2^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0} \frac{1 + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{12m-11}{12m}})}{1 + \mathcal{G}_m^{*\alpha} \varepsilon^{\frac{m-1}{m}}}, \quad (5.8)
\end{aligned}$$

and for $\alpha = 3$, if $m \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{a_{11}^{33}} &= \frac{\rho_2^{-1}(2, m; \varepsilon)}{\mathcal{L}_2^3 \mathcal{M}_2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\mathcal{L}_2^3 \mathcal{M}_2 - \rho_2^{-1}(2, m; \varepsilon) a_{11}^{33}}{\mathcal{L}_2^3 \mathcal{M}_2}} \\
&= \frac{\rho_2^{-1}(2, m; \varepsilon)}{\mathcal{L}_2^3 \mathcal{M}_2} \frac{1}{1 + \mathcal{G}_m^{*3} \rho_2^{-1}(2, m; \varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}} \rho_2^{-1}(2, m; \varepsilon))} \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_2^3 \mathcal{M}_2} \frac{1 + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{12m}} |\ln \varepsilon|)}{1 + \mathcal{G}_m^{*3}}, & m = 3, \\ \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{m-3}{m}}}{\mathcal{L}_2^3 \mathcal{M}_2} \frac{1 + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{12m-35}{12m}})}{1 + \mathcal{G}_m^{*3} \varepsilon^{\frac{m-3}{m}}}, & m > 3. \end{cases} \quad (5.9)
\end{aligned}$$

On one hand, for $m \geq 3$, combining (4.5), (4.39) and (5.8)–(5.9), it follows from Cramer's rule that

$$C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha = \frac{\prod_{i \neq \alpha}^3 a_{11}^{ii} \det \mathbb{F}^\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^2 a_{11}^{ii} \det \mathbb{D}} (1 + O(\rho_2^{-1}(2, m; \varepsilon)))$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*} \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{3}}}{\mathcal{L}_2^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0} \frac{1+O(|\ln \varepsilon|^{-1})}{1+\mathcal{G}_3^{*\alpha} \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{3}}}, & \alpha = 1, 2, m = 3, \\ \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*} \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{m-1}{m}}}{\mathcal{L}_2^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0} \frac{1+O(\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{m-3}{m}\}})}{1+\mathcal{G}_m^{*\alpha} \varepsilon^{\frac{m-1}{m}}}, & \alpha = 1, 2, m > 3, \\ \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^{*3}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*} \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_2^3 \mathcal{M}_2} \frac{1+O(|\ln \varepsilon|^{-1})}{|\ln \varepsilon| + \mathcal{G}_3^{*3}}, & \alpha = 3, m = 3, \\ \frac{\det \mathbb{F}^{*3}}{\det \mathbb{D}^*} \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{m-3}{m}}}{\mathcal{L}_2^3 \mathcal{M}_2} \frac{1+O(\varepsilon^{\min\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{12m-35}{12m}, \frac{m-3}{m}\}})}{1+\mathcal{G}_m^{*3} \varepsilon^{\frac{m-3}{m}}}, & \alpha = 3, m > 3. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, for $2 \leq m < 3$, utilizing (4.5), (4.42) and (5.8), we see from Cramer's rule that for $\alpha = 1, 2$,

$$C_1^\alpha - C_2^\alpha = \frac{\prod_{i \neq \alpha}^2 a_{11}^{ii} \det \mathbb{F}_1^\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^2 a_{11}^{ii} \det \mathbb{F}_0} (1 + O(\rho_0^{-1}(2, m; \varepsilon) |\ln \varepsilon|))$$

$$= \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_1^{*\alpha}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*} \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{m-1}{m}}}{\mathcal{L}_2^\alpha \mathcal{M}_0} \frac{1 + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{3-m}{12m}})}{1 + \mathcal{G}_m^{*\alpha} \varepsilon^{\frac{m-1}{m}}},$$

and for $\alpha = 3$, in view of (4.43), we have

$$C_1^3 - C_2^3 = \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_2^3}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^3} (1 + O(\rho_0^{-1}(2, m; \varepsilon))) = \frac{\det \mathbb{F}_2^{*3}}{\det \mathbb{F}_0^*} (1 + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{3-m}{12m}})).$$

Therefore, it follows from (3.6), Corollaries 4.1–4.2, Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 4.4 that Example 5.1 holds. \square

6. APPENDIX: THE PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.1

In the following, we will apply the iterate technique created in [37] to prove Proposition 3.1. Without loss of generality, we let $\phi = 0$ on ∂D_2 in (3.7) for the convenience of presentation. Note that the solution of (3.7) can be split into

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^d v_i,$$

where $v_i = (v_i^1, v_i^2, \dots, v_i^d)^T$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, with $v_i^j = 0$ for $j \neq i$, and v_i solves the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} v_i := \nabla \cdot (\mathbb{C}^0 e(v_i)) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v_i = (0, \dots, 0, \psi^i, 0, \dots, 0)^T, & \text{on } \partial D_1, \\ v_i = 0, & \text{on } \partial D. \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

Then

$$\nabla v = \sum_{i=1}^d \nabla v_i.$$

For $i = 1, \dots, d-1$, $x \in \Omega_{2R}$, we denote

$$\tilde{v}_i = \psi^i(x', \varepsilon + h_1(x')) \bar{v} e_i + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\lambda + 2\mu} f(\bar{v}) \psi^i(x', \varepsilon + h_1(x')) \partial_{x_i} \delta e_d,$$

and

$$\tilde{v}_d = \psi^d(x', \varepsilon + h_1(x')) \bar{v} e_d + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\mu} f(\bar{v}) \psi^d(x', \varepsilon + h_1(x')) \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \partial_{x_i} \delta e_i.$$

Then

$$\tilde{v} = \sum_{i=1}^d \tilde{v}_i,$$

where \tilde{v} is defined in (3.8) with $\phi = 0$ on ∂D_2 . A direct calculation gives that for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, $x \in \Omega_{2R}$,

$$|\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \tilde{v}_i| \leq \frac{C |\psi^i(x', \varepsilon + h_1(x'))|}{\delta^{2/m}} + \frac{C |\nabla_{x'} \psi^i(x', \varepsilon + h_1(x'))|}{\delta} + C \|\psi^i\|_{C^2(\partial D_1)}. \quad (6.2)$$

For $x \in \Omega_{2R}$, denote

$$w_i := v_i - \tilde{v}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, d.$$

Then w_i satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} w_i = -\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \tilde{v}_i, & \text{in } \Omega_{2R}, \\ w_i = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_{2R}^\pm. \end{cases} \quad (6.3)$$

Step 1. Let $v_i \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ be a weak solution of (6.1). Then, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$,

$$\int_{\Omega_{2R}} |\nabla w_i|^2 dx \leq C \|\psi^i\|_{C^2(\partial D_1)}^2. \quad (6.4)$$

First of all, we extend $\psi \in C^2(\partial D_1; \mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\psi \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ so that for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, $\|\psi^i\|_{C^2(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_R)} \leq C \|\psi^i\|_{C^2(\partial D_1)}$. Construct a cutoff function $\rho \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfying that $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$, $|\nabla \rho| \leq C$ in $\bar{\Omega}$, and

$$\rho = 1 \text{ in } \Omega_{\frac{3}{2}R}, \quad \rho = 0 \text{ in } \bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_{2R}. \quad (6.5)$$

For $x \in \Omega$, define

$$\hat{v}_i(x) = (0, \dots, 0, [\rho(x) \psi^i(x', \varepsilon + h_1(x')) + (1 - \rho(x)) \psi^i(x)] \bar{v}(x), 0, \dots, 0)^T.$$

Then we see

$$\hat{v}_i(x) = (0, \dots, 0, \psi^i(x', \varepsilon + h_1(x')) \bar{v}(x), 0, \dots, 0)^T, \quad \text{in } \Omega_R,$$

and in view of (2.5) and (6.5),

$$\|\hat{v}_i\|_{C^2(\Omega \setminus \Omega_R)} \leq C \|\psi^i\|_{C^2(\partial D_1)}. \quad (6.6)$$

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, denote $\hat{w}_i := v_i - \hat{v}_i$ in Ω . Therefore, \hat{w}_i solves

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \hat{w}_i = -\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \hat{v}_i, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \hat{w}_i = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (6.7)$$

A straightforward computation yields that for $j = 1, \dots, d-1$, $x \in \Omega_R$,

$$|\partial_{x_j} \hat{v}_i| \leq C |\psi^i(x', \varepsilon + h_1(x'))| \delta^{-1/m} + \|\psi^i\|_{C^1(\partial D_1)}, \quad (6.8)$$

$$|\partial_{x_d} \hat{v}_i| = |\psi^i(x', \varepsilon + h_1(x'))| \delta^{-1}, \quad \partial_{x_d x_d} \hat{v}_i = 0. \quad (6.9)$$

Multiplying equation (6.7) by \hat{w}_i , it follows from integration by parts that

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(\hat{w}_i), e(\hat{w}_i)) dx = \int_{\Omega} \hat{w}_i (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \hat{v}_i) dx. \quad (6.10)$$

Using the Poincaré inequality, we have

$$\|\hat{w}_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \setminus \Omega_R)} \leq C \|\nabla \hat{w}_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \setminus \Omega_R)}, \quad (6.11)$$

while, in light of the Sobolev trace embedding theorem,

$$\int_{\substack{|x'|=R, \\ h_2(x') < x_d < \varepsilon + h_1(x')}} |\hat{w}_i| dx \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_R} |\nabla \hat{w}_i|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (6.12)$$

where the constant C is independent of ε . Utilizing (6.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla_{x'} \hat{v}_i|^2 dx &\leq C \int_{|x'| < R} \delta(x') \left(\frac{|\psi^i(x', \varepsilon + h_1(x'))|^2}{\delta^{2/m}} + \|\psi^i\|_{C^1(\partial D_1)}^2 \right) dx' \\ &\leq C \|\psi^i\|_{C^1(\partial D_1)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

Combining (3.2), (6.6), (6.10)–(6.11) and the first Korn's inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \hat{w}_i|^2 dx &\leq 2 \int_{\Omega} |e(\hat{w}_i)|^2 dx \\ &\leq C \left| \int_{\Omega_R} \hat{w}_i (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \hat{v}_i) dx \right| + C \left| \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_R} \hat{w}_i (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \hat{v}_i) dx \right| \\ &\leq C \left| \int_{\Omega_R} \hat{w}_i (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \hat{v}_i) dx \right| + C \|\psi^i\|_{C^2(\partial D_1)} \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_R} |\hat{w}_i| dx \\ &\leq C \left| \int_{\Omega_R} \hat{w}_i (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \hat{v}_i) dx \right| + C \|\psi^i\|_{C^2(\partial D_1)} \|\nabla \hat{w}_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \setminus \Omega_R)}, \end{aligned}$$

while, in light of (6.9) and (6.12)–(6.13), it follows from integration by parts that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega_R} \hat{w}_i (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \hat{v}_i) dx \right| &\leq C \sum_{k+j < 2d} \left| \int_{\Omega_R} \hat{w}_i \partial_{x_k x_j} \hat{v}_i dx \right| \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla \hat{w}_i| |\nabla_{x'} \hat{v}_i| dx + \int_{\substack{|x'|=R, \\ h_2(x') < x_d < \varepsilon + h_1(x')}} C |\nabla_{x'} \hat{v}_i| |\hat{w}_i| dx \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \hat{w}_i\|_{L^2(\Omega_R)} \|\nabla_{x'} \hat{v}_i\|_{L^2(\Omega_R)} + C \|\psi^i\|_{C^1(\partial D_1)} \|\nabla \hat{w}_i\|_{L^2(\Omega \setminus \Omega_R)} \\ &\leq C \|\psi^i\|_{C^1(\partial D_1)} \|\nabla \hat{w}_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\nabla \hat{w}_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|\psi^i\|_{C^2(\partial D_1)}.$$

Since

$$w_i = \hat{w}_i - \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda+\mu}{\lambda+2\mu} f(\bar{v}) \psi^i(x', \varepsilon + h_1(x')) \partial_{x_i} \delta e_d, & i = 1, \dots, d-1, \\ \frac{\lambda+\mu}{\mu} f(\bar{v}) \psi^d(x', \varepsilon + h_1(x')) \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \partial_{x_i} \delta e_i, & i = d, \end{cases} \quad \text{in } \Omega_{2R},$$

we obtain that (6.4) holds.

Step 2. Proof of

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\delta(z')} |\nabla w_i|^2 dx &\leq C \delta^d (|\psi^i(z', \varepsilon + h_1(z'))|^2 \delta^{2-4/m} + |\nabla_{x'} \psi^i(z', \varepsilon + h_1(z'))|^2) \\ &\quad + C \delta^{d+2} \|\psi^i\|_{C^2(\partial D_1)}^2, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, d. \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

For $0 < t < s < R$ and $|z'| \leq R$, pick a smooth cutoff function $\eta \in C^2(\Omega_{2R})$ such that $\eta(x') = 1$ if $|x' - z'| < t$, $\eta(x') = 0$ if $|x' - z'| > s$, $0 \leq \eta(x') \leq 1$ if $t \leq |x' - z'| \leq s$, and $|\nabla_{x'} \eta(x')| \leq \frac{2}{s-t}$. Multiplying equation (6.3) by $w_i \eta^2$ and utilizing integration by parts, we deduce

$$\int_{\Omega_s(z')} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(w_i), e(w_i \eta^2)) dx = \int_{\Omega_s(z')} w_i \eta^2 (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \tilde{v}_i) dx. \quad (6.15)$$

On one hand, by using (2.12), (3.2) and the first Korn's inequality, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_s(z')} (\mathbb{C}^0 e(w_i), e(w_i \eta^2)) dx \geq \frac{1}{C} \int_{\Omega_s(z')} |\eta \nabla w_i|^2 - C \int_{\Omega_s(z')} |\nabla \eta|^2 |w_i|^2. \quad (6.16)$$

On the other hand, for the right hand side of (6.15), it follows from Hölder inequality and Cauchy inequality that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_s(z')} w_i \eta^2 (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \tilde{v}_i) dx \right| \leq \frac{C}{(s-t)^2} \int_{\Omega_s(z')} |w_i|^2 dx + C(s-t)^2 \int_{\Omega_s(z')} |\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \tilde{v}_i|^2 dx.$$

This, together with (6.15)–(6.16), yields the iteration formula as follows:

$$\int_{\Omega_t(z')} |\nabla w_i|^2 dx \leq \frac{C}{(s-t)^2} \int_{\Omega_s(z')} |w_i|^2 dx + C(s-t)^2 \int_{\Omega_s(z')} |\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \tilde{v}_i|^2 dx.$$

For $|z'| \leq R$, $\delta < s \leq \vartheta(\tau, \kappa_1) \delta^{1/m}$, $\vartheta(\tau, \kappa_1) = \frac{1}{2^{m+2} \kappa_1 \max\{1, \tau^{1/m-1}\}}$, it follows from conditions **(H1)** and **(H2)** that for $(x', x_d) \in \Omega_s(z')$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta(x') - \delta(z')| &\leq |h_1(x') - h_1(z')| + |h_2(x') - h_2(z')| \\ &\leq (|\nabla_{x'} h_1(x'_{\theta_1})| + |\nabla_{x'} h_2(x'_{\theta_2})|) |x' - z'| \\ &\leq \kappa_1 |x' - z'| (|x'_{\theta_1}|^{m-1} + |x'_{\theta_2}|^{m-1}) \\ &\leq 2^{m-1} \kappa_1 s (s^{m-1} + |z'|^{m-1}) \\ &\leq \frac{\delta(z')}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

Then, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \delta(z') \leq \delta(x') \leq \frac{3}{2} \delta(z'), \quad \text{in } \Omega_s(z'). \quad (6.18)$$

Utilizing (6.2) and (6.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_s(z')} |\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \tilde{v}_i|^2 &\leq C \delta^{-1} s^{d-1} (|\psi^i(z', \varepsilon + h_1(z'))|^2 \delta^{2-4/m} + |\nabla_{x'} \psi^i(z', \varepsilon + h_1(z'))|^2) \\ &\quad + C \delta^{-1} s^{d+1} \|\psi^i\|_{C^2(\partial D_1)}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (6.19)$$

while, in the light of $w_i = 0$ on Γ_R^- ,

$$\int_{\Omega_s(z')} |w_i|^2 \leq C\delta^2 \int_{\Omega_s(z')} |\nabla w_i|^2. \quad (6.20)$$

Define

$$F(t) := \int_{\Omega_t(z')} |\nabla w_i|^2.$$

Then it follows from (6.19)–(6.20) that

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &\leq \left(\frac{c\delta}{s-t} \right)^2 F(s) + C(s-t)^2 s^{d+1} \delta^{-1} \|\psi^i\|_{C^2(\partial D_1)}^2 \\ &\quad + C(s-t)^2 \delta^{-1} s^{d-1} (|\psi^i(z', \varepsilon + h_1(z'))|^2 \delta^{2-4/m} + |\nabla_{x'} \psi^i(z', \varepsilon + h_1(z'))|^2). \end{aligned}$$

This, in combination with $s = t_{j+1}$, $t = t_j$, $t_j = \delta + 2cj\delta$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \left\lceil \frac{\vartheta(\tau, \kappa_1)}{4c\delta^{1-1/m}} \right\rceil + 1$, yields that

$$\begin{aligned} F(t_j) &\leq \frac{1}{4} F(t_{j+1}) + C(j+1)^{d+1} \delta^{d+2} \|\psi^i\|_{C^2(\partial D_1)}^2 \\ &\quad + C(j+1)^{d-1} \delta^d (|\psi^i(z', \varepsilon + h_1(z'))|^2 \delta^{2-4/m} + |\nabla_{x'} (\psi^i(z', \varepsilon + h_1(z')))|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, it follows from $\left\lceil \frac{\vartheta(\tau, \kappa_1)}{4c\delta^{1-1/m}} \right\rceil + 1$ iterations and (6.4) that for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$F(t_0) \leq C\delta^d (|\psi^i(z', \varepsilon + h_1(z'))|^2 \delta^{2-4/m} + |\nabla_{x'} \psi^i(z', \varepsilon + h_1(z'))|^2 + \delta^2 \|\psi^i\|_{C^2(\partial D_1)}^2).$$

Step 3. Proof of

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla w_i(z)| &\leq C(|\psi^i(z', \varepsilon + h_1(z'))| \delta^{1-2/m} + |\nabla_{x'} (\psi^i(z', \varepsilon + h_1(z')))|) \\ &\quad + C\delta \|\psi^i\|_{C^2(\partial D_1)}, \quad \text{for } z \in \Omega_R. \end{aligned} \quad (6.21)$$

To begin with, we make a change of variables in the small narrow region $\Omega_\delta(z')$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x' - z' = \delta y', \\ x_d = \delta y_d. \end{cases}$$

This transformation rescales $\Omega_\delta(z')$ into Q_1 , where, for $0 < r \leq 1$,

$$Q_r = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \frac{1}{\delta} h_2(\delta y' + z') < y_d < \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} + \frac{1}{\delta} h_1(\delta y' + z'), |y'| < r \right\}.$$

We denote the top and bottom boundaries of Q_r by

$$\widehat{\Gamma}_r^+ = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid y_d = \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} + \frac{1}{\delta} h_1(\delta y' + z'), |y'| < r \right\},$$

and

$$\widehat{\Gamma}_r^- = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid y_d = \frac{1}{\delta} h_2(\delta y' + z'), |y'| < r \right\},$$

respectively. Similar to (6.17), we obtain that for $x \in \Omega_\delta(z')$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta(x') - \delta(z')| &\leq 2^{m-1} \kappa_1 \delta (\delta^{m-1} + |z'|^{m-1}) \\ &\leq 2^{m+1} \kappa_1 \max\{1, \tau^{1/m-1}\} \delta^{2-1/m}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\left| \frac{\delta(x')}{\delta(z')} - 1 \right| \leq 2^{m+2} \max\{\tau^{1-1/m}, 1\} \kappa_1 R^{m-1},$$

which, together with the fact that R is a small positive constant, reads that Q_1 is of nearly unit size as far as applications of Sobolev embedding theorems and classical L^p estimates for elliptic systems are concerned.

Denote

$$W_i(y', y_d) := w_i(\delta y' + z', \delta y_d), \quad \tilde{V}_i(y', y_d) := \tilde{v}_i(\delta y' + z', \delta y_d).$$

Then $W_i(y)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} W_i = -\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \tilde{V}_i, & \text{in } Q_1, \\ W_i = 0, & \text{on } \hat{\Gamma}_1^\pm. \end{cases}$$

In view of $W_i = 0$ on $\hat{\Gamma}_1^\pm$, it follows from the Poincaré inequality that

$$\|W_i\|_{H^1(Q_1)} \leq C \|\nabla W_i\|_{L^2(Q_1)}.$$

By making use of the Sobolev embedding theorem and classical $W^{2,p}$ estimates for elliptic systems, we deduce that for some $p > d$,

$$\|\nabla W_i\|_{L^\infty(Q_{1/2})} \leq C \|W_i\|_{W^{2,p}(Q_{1/2})} \leq C (\|\nabla W_i\|_{L^2(Q_1)} + \|\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \tilde{V}_i\|_{L^\infty(Q_1)}).$$

Then back to w_i and \tilde{v}_i , we get

$$\|\nabla w_i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{\delta/2}(z'))} \leq \frac{C}{\delta} \left(\delta^{1-\frac{d}{2}} \|\nabla w_i\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta(z'))} + \delta^2 \|\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \tilde{v}_i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\delta(z'))} \right). \quad (6.22)$$

From (6.2) and (6.14), it follows that for $z' \in B'_R$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{-\frac{d}{2}} \|\nabla w_i\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta(z'))} &\leq C (|\psi^i(z', \varepsilon + h_1(z'))| \delta^{1-2/m} + |\nabla_{x'} \psi^i(z', \varepsilon + h_1(z'))|) \\ &\quad + C \delta \|\psi^i\|_{C^2(\partial D_1)}, \\ \delta \|\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \tilde{v}_i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\delta(z'))} &\leq C (|\psi^i(z', \varepsilon + h_1(z'))| \delta^{1-2/m} + |\nabla_{x'} \psi^i(z', \varepsilon + h_1(z'))|) \\ &\quad + C \delta \|\psi^i\|_{C^2(\partial D_1)}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (6.22), leads to that (6.21) holds. That is, the proof of Proposition 3.1 is accomplished.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Agmon, A. Douglis, and L. Nirenberg, Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions. I, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 12 (1959), pp. 623-727.
- [2] S. Agmon, A. Douglis, and L. Nirenberg, Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions. II, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 17 (1964), pp. 35-92.
- [3] H. Ammari, G. Ciraolo, H. Kang, H. Lee, and K. Yun, Spectral analysis of the Neumann-Poincaré operator and characterization of the stress concentration in anti-plane elasticity, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 208 (2013), pp. 275-304.
- [4] H. Ammari, H. Kang, H. Lee, J. Lee, and M. Lim, Optimal estimates for the electrical field in two dimensions, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 88 (2007), pp. 307-324.
- [5] H. Ammari, H. Kang, and M. Lim, Gradient estimates to the conductivity problem, *Math. Ann.*, 332 (2005), pp. 277-286.
- [6] K. Ando, H. Kang, and Y. Miyanishi, Convergence rate for eigenvalues of the elastic Neumann-Poincaré operator in two dimensions, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 140 (9) (2020), pp. 211-229.

- [7] I. Babuška, B. Andersson, P. Smith, and K. Levin, Damage analysis of fiber composites. I. Statistical analysis on fiber scale, *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.*, 172 (1999), pp. 27-77.
- [8] B. Budiansky and G.F. Carrier, High shear stresses in stiff fiber composites, *J. App. Mech.*, 51 (1984), pp. 733-735.
- [9] J.G. Bao, H.J. Ju and H.G. Li, Optimal boundary gradient estimates for Lamé systems with partially infinite coefficients, *Adv. Math.*, 314 (2017), pp. 583-629.
- [10] J.G. Bao, H.G. Li and Y.Y. Li, Gradient estimates for solutions of the Lamé system with partially infinite coefficients, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 215 (2015), pp. 307-351.
- [11] J.G. Bao, H.G. Li and Y.Y. Li, Gradient estimates for solutions of the Lamé system with partially infinite coefficients in dimensions greater than two, *Adv. Math.*, 305 (2017), pp. 298-338.
- [12] E.S. Bao, Y.Y. Li and B. Yin, Gradient estimates for the perfect conductivity problem, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 193 (2009), pp. 195-226.
- [13] E.S. Bao, Y.Y. Li and B. Yin, Gradient estimates for the perfect and insulated conductivity problems with multiple inclusions, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 35 (2010), pp. 1982-2006.
- [14] E. Bonnetier and F. Triki, On the spectrum of the Poincaré variational problem for two close-to-touching inclusions in 2D, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 209 (2013), pp. 541-567.
- [15] E. Bonnetier and M. Vogelius, An elliptic regularity result for a composite medium with “touching” fibers of circular cross-section, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 31 (2000), pp. 651-677.
- [16] V. M. Calo, Y. Efendiev, and J. Galvis, Asymptotic expansions for high-contrast elliptic equations, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 24 (3) (2014), pp. 465-494.
- [17] G. Ciraolo and A. Sciammetta, Gradient estimates for the perfect conductivity problem in anisotropic media, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 127 (2019), pp. 268-298.
- [18] G. Ciraolo and A. Sciammetta, Stress concentration for closely located inclusions in nonlinear perfect conductivity problems. *J. Differential Equations*, 266 (2019), pp. 6149-6178.
- [19] H.J. Dong and H.G. Li, Optimal estimates for the conductivity problem by Green’s function method, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 231 (3) (2019), pp. 1427-1453.
- [20] J.E. Flaherty and J.B. Keller, Elastic behavior of composite media, *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.*, 26 (1973), pp. 565-580.
- [21] Y. Gorb and L. Berlyand, Asymptotics of the effective conductivity of composites with closely spaced inclusions of optimal shape, *Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math.*, 58 (1) (2005), pp. 84-106.
- [22] Y. Gorb and A. Novikov, Blow-up of solutions to a p -Laplace equation, *Multiscale Model. Simul. Vol.*, 10 (2012), pp. 727-743.
- [23] Y. Gorb, Singular behavior of electric field of high-contrast concentrated composites, *Multiscale Model. Simul.*, 13 (2015), pp. 1312-1326.
- [24] Y. Grabovsky and R.V. Kohn, Microstructures minimizing the energy of a two phase elastic composite in two space dimensions. II. The Vigdergauz microstructure, *J. Mech. Phys. Solids*, 43 (6) (1995), pp. 949-972.
- [25] Y. Grabovsky, Bounds and extremal microstructures for two-component composites: a unified treatment based on the translation method, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, 452 (1947) (1996), pp. 919-944.
- [26] Y.Y. Hou, H.J. Ju and H.G. Li, The convexity of inclusions and gradient’s concentration for Lamé systems with partially infinite coefficients, *arXiv:1802.01412*.
- [27] H. Kang, M. Lim and K. Yun, Asymptotics and computation of the solution to the conductivity equation in the presence of adjacent inclusions with extreme conductivities, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 99 (9) (2013), pp. 234-249.
- [28] H. Kang, M. Lim and K. Yun, Characterization of the electric field concentration between two adjacent spherical perfect conductors, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 74 (2014), pp. 125-146.
- [29] H. Kang, H. Lee and K. Yun, Optimal estimates and asymptotics for the stress concentration between closely located stiff inclusions, *Math. Ann.*, 363 (3-4) (2015), pp. 1281-1306.
- [30] H. Kang and S. Yu, Quantitative characterization of stress concentration in the presence of closely spaced hard inclusions in two-dimensional linear elasticity, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 232 (2019), pp. 121-196.
- [31] H. Kang and S. Yu, A proof of the Flaherty-Keller formula on the effective property of densely packed elastic composites, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 59 (1) (2020), Paper No. 22.

- [32] J. Kim and M. Lim, Electric field concentration in the presence of an inclusion with eccentric core-shell geometry, *Math. Ann.*, 373 (1-2) (2019), pp. 517-551.
- [33] J.B. Keller, Stresses in narrow regions, *Trans. ASME J. Appl. Mech.*, 60 (1993), pp. 1054-1056.
- [34] J. Lekner, Electrostatics of two charged conducting spheres, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, 468 (2145) (2012), pp. 2829-2848.
- [35] H.G. Li, Asymptotics for the electric field concentration in the perfect conductivity problem, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 52 (4) (2020), pp. 3350-3375.
- [36] H.G. Li, Lower bounds of gradient's blow-up for the Lamé system with partially infinite coefficients, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 149 (2020), pp. 98-134.
- [37] H.G. Li, Y.Y. Li, E.S. Bao and B. Yin, Derivative estimates of solutions of elliptic systems in narrow regions, *Quart. Appl. Math.*, 72 (3) (2014), pp. 589-596.
- [38] H.G. Li, Y.Y. Li, and Z.L. Yang, Asymptotics of the gradient of solutions to the perfect conductivity problem, *Multiscale Model. Simul.*, 17 (3) (2019), pp. 899-925.
- [39] H.G. Li and L.J. Xu, Asymptotics of the stress concentration in high-contrast elastic composites, *arXiv:2004.06310v2*.
- [40] H.G. Li and Z.W. Zhao, Boundary blow-up analysis of gradient estimates for Lamé systems in the presence of m -convex hard inclusions, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 52 (4) (2020), pp. 3777-3817.
- [41] M. Lim and K. Yun, Blow-up of electric fields between closely spaced spherical perfect conductors, *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.*, 34 (2009), pp. 1287-1315.
- [42] Y.Y. Li and L. Nirenberg, Estimates for elliptic system from composite material, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 56 (2003), pp. 892-925.
- [43] Y.Y. Li and M. Vogelius, Gradient estimates for solutions to divergence form elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 153 (2000), pp. 91-151.
- [44] V.G. Maz'ya, A.B. Movchan, and M.J. Nieves, Uniform asymptotic formulae for Green's tensors in elastic singularly perturbed domains, *Asymptot. Anal.*, 52 (2007), pp. 173-206.
- [45] C.X. Miao and Z.W. Zhao, Stress concentration factors for the Lamé system arising from composites, *arXiv:2109.04271*.
- [46] C.X. Miao and Z.W. Zhao, Singular analysis of the stress concentration in the narrow regions between the inclusions and the matrix boundary, *arXiv:2109.04394*.
- [47] S.B. Vigdergauz, Effective elastic parameters of a plate with a regular system of equal-strength holes, *Inzhenernyi Zhurnal. Mekhanika Tverdogo Tela: MMT*, 21 (1986), pp. 165-169.
- [48] K. Yun, Estimates for electric fields blown up between closely adjacent conductors with arbitrary shape, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 67 (2007), pp. 714-730.
- [49] K. Yun, Optimal bound on high stresses occurring between stiff fibers with arbitrary shaped cross-sections, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 350 (1) (2009), pp. 306-312.
- [50] Z.W. Zhao and X. Hao, Asymptotics for the concentrated field between closely located hard inclusions in all dimensions, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* 20 (2021) 2379-2398.

(Z.W. Zhao) BEIJING COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE RESEARCH CENTER, BEIJING 100193, CHINA.
Email address: `zwzhao365@163.com`

(X. Hao) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100875, CHINA.
Email address: `xiahao0915@163.com`