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#### Abstract

It is well-known that differential Painlevé equations can be written in a Hamiltonian form. However, a coordinate form of such representation is far from unique - there are many very different Hamiltonians that result in the same differential Painlevé equation. Recognizing a Painlevé equation, for example when it appears in some applied problem, is known as the Painlevé equivalence problem, and the question that we consider here is the Hamiltonian form of this problem. Making such identification explicit, on the level of coordinate transformations, can be very helpful for an applied problem, since it gives access to the wealth of known results about Painlevé equations, such as the structure of the symmetry group, special solutions for special values of the parameters, and so on. It can also provide an explicit link between different problems that have the same underlying structure. In this paper we describe a systematic procedure for finding changes of coordinates that transform different Hamiltonian representations of a Painlevé equation into some chosen canonical form. Our approach is based on Sakai's geometric theory of Painlevé equations. We explain this procedure in detail for the fourth differential $P_{\text {IV }}$ equation, and also give a brief summary of some known examples for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ cases. It is clear that this approach can easily be adapted to other examples as well, so we expect our paper to be a useful reference for some of the realizations of Okamoto spaces of initial conditions for Painlevé equations.


## 1 Introduction

Painlevé equations play an increasingly important role in a wide range of nonlinear problems in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics [IKSY91, Con99]. It is well-known that Painlevé equations can be written in a Hamiltonian form. However, there are many different Hamiltonian systems that reduce to the same differential Painlevé equation, and the relationship between such different systems are far from obvious. The need for such an identification comes from the fact that both discrete and differential Painlevé equations, as well as related Hamiltonian systems, appear in many interesting applications, but often, when expressed in the coordinates of the problem, they are difficult to recognize. This is sometimes called the Painlevé equivalence problem [Cla19]. Knowing that a certain system is just a well-studied Painlevé equation in disguise, and explicitly identifying parameters of the problem with the standard ones, gives access to a large collection of known facts, such as Bäcklund transformations, special solutions for certain parameter values,
and so on. Often such connections are made on an ad-hoc basis that requires some luck and guesswork. The main message of our paper is that the geometric approach makes any guesswork unnecessary and instead gives us a step-by-step procedure to perform such an identification, when possible. Thus, our objective is both to explain an algorithmic scheme for identifying different Hamiltonian systems related to the same Painlevé equation via an explicit birational change of variables, and to provide the necessary amount of the background material to make this approach accessible. This work is motivated by the recently proposed identification scheme for discrete Painlevé equations [DFS20] and is based on the geometric theory of Painlevé equations initiated in the works of K. Okamoto [Oka79] and further developed in the seminal paper of H. Sakai [Sak01]. In this paper we consider examples of different Hamiltonians for Painlevé equations that are given in the foundational papers by K. Okamoto [Oka80a] (and much earlier in essentially the same form by Malmquist [Mal23]) and M. Jimbo and T. Miwa [JM81], as well as more recent examples by G. Filipuk and H. Żoła̧dek [ZF15] and A. Its and A. Prokhorov [IP18]. In addition, for the $P_{\text {IV }}$ equation there is a very interesting example of a Hamiltonian constructed by T. Kecker [Kec16], so for that reason in this paper we consider in detail the differential $\mathrm{P}_{\text {IV }}$ equation, and then just summarize the data for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$. The $\mathrm{P}_{\text {II }}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\text {III }}$ examples were considered previously in [DFLS21b] and [FLS23].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give examples of different Hamiltonian forms of the $P_{\text {IV }}$ equation and list explicit change of coordinates between them. We also show how to explicitly match the Hamiltonian functions. In Section 3 we carefully review the construction of the space of initial conditions for a Painlevé Hamiltonian system and, following [KNY17], recall some standard facts about the geometry of the standard realization of the resulting $E_{6}^{(1)}$ surface, including the choice of root bases for the surface and symmetry sub-lattices in its Picard lattice. We also discuss the Hamiltonian formalism for time-dependent Hamiltonian functions. In Section 4 we describe an algorithmic procedure on constructing a birational change of coordinates matching two different Hamiltonian systems based on identifying the geometry of the space of initial conditions, and then apply it to different forms of $\mathrm{P}_{\text {IV }}$ equation, providing detailed descriptions of necessary computations. Then in Sections 5 and 6 we give a summary of such matchings for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ respectively. Conclusions and some possible follow-up questions are discussed in Section 7.

## 2 The Fourth Painevé Equation P $_{\text {IV }}$ and its Hamiltonian Forms

Our main example is the differential $\mathrm{P}_{\text {IV }}$ equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV} \alpha, \beta}: \quad \frac{d^{2} w}{d t^{2}}=\frac{1}{2 w}\left(\frac{d w}{d t}\right)^{2}+\frac{3}{2} w^{3}+4 t w^{2}+2\left(t^{2}-\alpha\right) w+\frac{\beta}{w} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ is an independent variable, $w=w(t)$ is a dependent variable, and $\alpha, \beta$ are complex parameters. We begin by showing how this equation appears, in a Hamiltonian form, in a variety of different scenarios, and give some examples of identification based on just the context of the problem.

Its-Prokhorov and Jimbo-Miwa Hamiltonians We begin by considering the Hamiltonian system obtained by A. Its and A. Prokhorov [IP18] as isomonodromic deformations of a $2 \times 2$ linear system with one irregular singular point at $z=\infty$ with the Poincare rank 2 and one Fuchsian singularity at $z=0$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d \Phi}{d z}=A(z ; t) \Phi, \quad A(z ; t)=\frac{A_{-1}(t)}{z}+A_{0}(t)+A_{1}(t) z \\
A_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right], \quad A_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
t & k \\
-\frac{q(4 p-q-2 t)+4 \Theta_{\infty}}{2 k} & -t
\end{array}\right], \quad A_{-1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{q(4 p-q-2 t)}{2} & -k q \\
\frac{q^{2}(4 p-q-2 t)^{2}-16 \Theta_{0}^{2}}{4 k q} & -\frac{q(4 p-q-2 t)}{2}
\end{array}\right], \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $q=q(t), p=p(t), k=k(t)$ and $\Theta_{0}, \Theta_{\infty}$ are some (time independent) parameters (that are formal monodromy exponents at the corresponding singular points). The isomonodromy deformation is then given by the equation

$$
\frac{d \Phi}{d t}=B(z ; t) \Phi, \quad B(z)=B_{1}(t) z+B_{0}(t), \quad B_{1}=A_{1}, \quad B_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & k  \tag{2.3}\\
-\frac{q(4 p-q-2 t)+4 \Theta_{\infty}}{2 k} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The compatibility condition between (2.2) and (2.3) is the zero-curvature equation $A_{t}-B_{z}+[A, B]=0$ that results in the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d q}{d t}=4 p q  \tag{2.4}\\
& \frac{d p}{d t}=-2 p^{2}+3 q^{2} / 8+q t+t^{2} / 2-\Theta_{\infty}+1 / 2-2 \Theta_{0}^{2} / q^{2}  \tag{2.5}\\
& \frac{d k}{d t}=-(q+2 t) k
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (2.4) and (2.5) do not depend on the function $k$ and eliminating $p$ we obtain the fourth Painlevé equation (2.1) for the function $q(t)$ with parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=2 \Theta_{\infty}-1, \quad \beta=-8 \Theta_{0}^{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system of equations (2.4) and (2.5) is a non-autonomous Hamiltonian system with the Its-Prokhorov Hamiltonian $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{IP}}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{IP}}(q, p ; t)$ (corresponding to a symplectic form $\left.\omega^{\mathrm{IP}}\right)$ given by

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\frac{d q}{d t} & =\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{IP}}}{\partial p}, & \text { where } & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{IP}}(q, p ; t) \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}\right)=2 p^{2} q-\frac{q^{3}}{8}-\frac{t q^{2}}{2}+\frac{\left(2 \Theta_{\infty}-1-t^{2}\right) q}{2}+2 \Theta_{\infty} t-\frac{2 \Theta_{0}^{2}}{q},
$$

In a much earlier paper [JM81] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa gave a different parameterization of the coefficient matrices of equations (2.4) - (2.5),

$$
A_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
t & u  \tag{2.8}\\
\frac{2\left(z-\Theta_{0}-\Theta_{\infty}\right)}{u} & -t
\end{array}\right], \quad A_{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-z+\Theta_{0} & -\frac{u y}{2} \\
\frac{2 z\left(z-2 \Theta_{0}\right)}{u y} & z-\Theta_{0}
\end{array}\right], \quad B_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & u \\
\frac{2\left(z-\Theta_{0}-\Theta_{\infty}\right)}{u} & 0
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $z=z(t), y=y(t), u=u(t)$, and $\Theta_{0}$ and $\Theta_{\infty}$ are the same parameters as in [IP18]. The compatibility condition in this case leads to the following system of nonlinear differential equations for the functions $y, z$ and $u$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d y}{d t}=-4 z+y^{2}+2 t y+4 \Theta_{0}  \tag{2.9}\\
& \frac{d z}{d t}=-\frac{2}{y} z^{2}+\left(-y+\frac{4 \Theta_{0}}{y}\right) z+\left(\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}\right) y  \tag{2.10}\\
& \frac{d u}{d t}=-(y-2 t) u
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating the function $z$ from the first two equations (2.9) and (2.10) one can obtain the fourth Painlevé equation for the variable $y$ with the same values of parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ as in (2.6) above. The system (2.9) and (2.10) is also Hamiltonian with the Jimbo-Miwa Hamiltonian $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{JM}}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{JM}}(y, z, t)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlrl}
-\frac{1}{y} \frac{d y}{d t} & =\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{JM}}}{\partial z}, & \text { where } & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{JM}}(y, z ; t) \tag{2.11}
\end{array}=\frac{2}{y} z^{2}-\left(y+2 t+4 \frac{\Theta_{0}}{y}\right) z+\left(\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}\right)(y+2 t),\right.
$$

Note that this time we need to use the logarithmic symplectic structure given by $\omega^{\mathrm{JM}}$; we explain the geometry behind this in Section 4.3.

What is the relationship between the Hamiltonian systems (2.7) and (2.11)? In this case we do not need any elaborate tools to match these two systems exactly. Indeed, comparing the matrix coefficients in (2.2)
and (2.8) we immediately see that $u=k$, parameters $\Theta_{0}$ and $\Theta_{\infty}$ match, and the variables $(q, p)$ and $(y, z)$ are related by the following birational change of variables:

$$
\varphi:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y(q, p)=q  \tag{2.12}\\
z(q, p)=\frac{1}{4}\left(q^{2}-4 p q+2 q t+4 \Theta_{0}\right),
\end{array} \quad \text { and conversely, } \quad \varphi^{-1}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q(x, y)=y \\
p(x, y)=\frac{2 t y+y^{2}-4 z+4 \Theta_{0}}{4 y}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

One can then check directly that this change of variables transforms system (2.7) to system (2.11). However, since the change of variables is time-dependent, we get some additional terms in the expression for the Hamiltonian. Specifically, the relationship between the Hamiltonians is obtained via the pull-back of a certain 2-form on the extended phase space,

$$
\Omega^{\mathrm{IP}}=d p \wedge d q-d \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{IP}} \wedge d t=\varphi^{*}\left(\Omega^{\mathrm{JM}}\right), \quad \Omega^{\mathrm{JM}}=\frac{1}{y} d y \wedge d z-d \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{JM}} \wedge d t
$$

Thus, using (2.12), we see that

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{JM}}(y, z ; t)=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{IP}}(q(y, z, t), p(y, z, t) ; t)-\frac{y}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{IP}}(q, p ; t)=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{JM}}(y(q, p, t), z(q, p, t) ; t)+\frac{q}{2}
$$

This remark is essential and so we explain it in more detail in Section 3.3.
Okamoto Hamiltonian In [IP18, JM81] the fourth Painlevé equation appeared from the monodromy preserving deformation of the linear system (2.2). On the other hand, in the work of K. Okamoto the same equation appeared from the monodromy preserving deformation of a scalar second order linear differential equation, with coefficients depending on $t$, that has certain singularities in the complex plane. This approach leads to a very different Hamiltonian system with a polynomial Hamiltonian [Oka80a, Oka80b, Oka86] given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d f}{d t}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Ok}}}{\partial g}=4 f g-f^{2}-2 t f-2 \kappa_{0}  \tag{2.13}\\
\frac{d g}{d t}=-\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Ok}}}{\partial f}=-2 g^{2}+(2 f+2 t) g-\theta_{\infty}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\text { where } \quad \begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Ok}}(f, g ; t) & =2 f g^{2}-\left(f^{2}+2 t f+2 \kappa_{0}\right) g+\theta_{\infty} f \\
\omega^{\mathrm{Ok}} & =d g \wedge d f .
\end{aligned}
$$

Eliminating the function $g=g(t)$ from these equations we get $\mathrm{P}_{\text {IV }}$ equation (2.1) for the function $f=f(t)$ with parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=1+2 \theta_{\infty}-\kappa_{0}, \quad \beta=-2 \kappa_{0}^{2} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It turns out that the Okamoto space of initial conditions for this system has the simplest geometry, and so this system will be the reference example for the present paper.
Remark 1. We use the recent comprehensive survey paper [KNY17] (see also [Nou04]) as the main reference for our choice of the geometric data.

Filipuk-Żołądek Hamiltonian A different approach to Hamiltonian structures of Painlevé equations that starts directly with the equation itself and not the isomonodromy problem, and that leads to rational Hamiltonians, was suggested by G. Filipuk and H. Żoła̧dek in [ZF15]. The key observation there is that Painlevé equations can be written in the Liénard form

$$
\frac{d^{2} x}{d x^{2}}=A(x, t)\left(\frac{d x}{d t}\right)^{2}+B(x, t) \frac{d x}{d t}+C(x, t)
$$

If we then introduce the new variable $y$ via $\frac{d x}{d t}=D(x, t) y$ (and use the subscript notation for partial derivatives, $A_{x}=\frac{\partial A(x, t)}{\partial x}$, etc.), we observe that this equation transforms into a system that is Hamiltonian
with the Hamiltonian function $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{FZ}}(x, y ; t)$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d x}{d t}=D y=\mathrm{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{FZ}}  \tag{2.15}\\
\frac{d y}{d t}=\left(A D-D_{x}\right) y^{2}+\left(B-\frac{D_{t}}{D}\right) y+\frac{C}{D}=-\mathrm{H}_{x}^{\mathrm{FZ}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

if the following compatibility condition

$$
\mathrm{H}_{x y}^{\mathrm{FZ}}=D_{x} y=2\left(D_{x}-A D\right) y+\left(\frac{D_{t}}{D}-B\right) \quad \text { or, equivalently, } \quad\left\{\begin{array}{c}
(\log D)_{x}=2 A \\
(\log D)_{t}=B
\end{array}\right.
$$

holds, which is true when $2 A_{t}=B$. Then we get the function $D(x, t)$ and the Hamiltonian $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{FZ}}(x, y, t)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{FZ}}(x, y ; t)=D(x, t) \frac{y^{2}}{2}-\int^{x} \frac{C(x, t)}{D(x, t)} d x \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV}}$, we get $A(x, t)=\frac{1}{2 x}, B(x, t)=0$, and $C(x, t)=\frac{3}{2} x^{3}+4 t x^{2}+2\left(t^{2}-\alpha\right) x+\frac{\beta}{x}$, and so the compatibility condition is trivially satisfied and we can take $D(x, t)=\lambda x$ for some $\lambda \neq 0$. Then

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{FZ}}(x, y ; s)=\lambda x \frac{y^{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{x^{3}}{2}+2 t x^{2}+2\left(t^{2}-\alpha\right) x-\frac{\beta}{x}\right) .
$$

In [ZF15] the authors take $\lambda=1$, which gives the Hamiltonian

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{FZ}}}(x, \tilde{y} ; s)=\frac{x \tilde{y}^{2}-x^{3}}{2}-2 s x^{2}-2\left(s^{2}-\alpha\right) x+\frac{\beta}{x}
$$

However, from the geometric point of view, to avoid a normalization coefficient at the symplectic form that corresponds to the standard normalization condition on the root variables, see Section 3.2.2, it is better to take $\lambda=4$, which results in a minor rescaling. The new Hamiltonian then essentially coincides with the Its-Prokhorov Hamiltonian [IP18] (and the choice $\lambda=4$ can be also observed at this point),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{FZ}}(x, y ; s)=(1 / 4) \widetilde{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{FZ}}}(x, 4 y ; s)=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{IP}}\left(x, y ; t ; \Theta_{0}^{2}=-\frac{\beta}{8}, \Theta_{\infty}=\frac{1+\alpha}{2}\right)-t(1+\alpha), \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so we do not consider it further. Essentially the same happens for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$, however, for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ we get something quite different from the other examples, as described in Section 5.4.

Kecker Hamiltonian Finally, in this paper we shall also deal with the Hamiltonian that appeared in [Kec16] and was further studied in [Kec19, Ste18]. This cubic Hamiltonian is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\frac{d x}{d z} & =\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Kek}}}{\partial y}=y^{2}+z x+\tilde{\alpha}, & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Kek}}(x, y ; z) & =\frac{1}{3}\left(x^{3}+y^{3}\right)+z x y+\tilde{\alpha} y+\tilde{\beta} x  \tag{2.18}\\
\frac{d y}{d z} & =-\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Kek}}}{\partial x}=-x^{2}-z y-\tilde{\beta}, & \omega^{\mathrm{Kek}} & =d y \wedge d x
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Now the relationship with the standard $\mathrm{P}_{\text {IV }}$ equation is less straightforward. First, note that now we have $z$ as an independent variable, $x=x(z)$ and $y=y(z)$ are dependent variables, and $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ are (complex) parameters. Let us introduce a new variable $\tilde{w}=\tilde{w}(z):=x(z)+y(z)-z$. Then, as shown in [Kec16, Kec19, Ste18], (2.18) gives the following equation on $\tilde{w}(z)$ :

$$
\frac{d^{2} \tilde{w}}{d z^{2}}=\frac{1}{2 \tilde{w}}\left(\frac{d \tilde{w}}{d z}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{w}^{3}-2 z \tilde{w}^{2}-\frac{\tilde{w}}{w}\left(3 z^{2}+2(\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta})\right)-\frac{(1-\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta})^{2}}{\tilde{w}}
$$

which then reduces to the standard equation (2.1) for parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{\mathfrak{i}}{\sqrt{3}}(\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}), \quad \beta=-\frac{2}{9}(1-\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta})^{2} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

if we put $z=\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} t$ and $\tilde{w}(z)=\frac{3}{2}\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} w(t)$.
Remark 2. We need to mention that not every known example of Hamiltonian systems that reduce to Painlevé equations fits into our approach. As an example, consider the following system studied in [Tak01]

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lrl}
\frac{d q}{d t} & =\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Tak}}}{\partial p}=p, & \text { where }  \tag{2.20}\\
\frac{d p}{d t} & =-\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Tak}}}{\partial q}=-\frac{\partial V(q, t)}{\partial q}, & \omega_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Tak}}(q, p ; t)
\end{array}=\frac{p^{2}}{2}+V(q, t)\right.
$$

and the potential $V(q, t)$ is given by

$$
V(q, t)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^{6}-2 t\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^{4}-2\left(t^{2}-\alpha\right)\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^{2}+\beta\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^{-2}
$$

By taking $w=(q / 2)^{2}$ one can easily show that $w$ satisfies (2.1). Moreover, in [Tak01] it was shown that if one takes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f(q, p)=\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^{2}  \tag{2.21}\\
g(q, p)=\frac{t}{2}+\frac{2 \kappa_{0}}{q^{2}}+\frac{p}{2 q}+\frac{q^{2}}{16}
\end{array}\right.
$$

then (2.13) transforms to (2.20) with $\alpha=1+2 \theta_{\infty}-\kappa_{0}$ and $\beta=-2 \kappa_{0}^{2}$, which is exactly (2.14). Further, the Liouville form giving the canonical transformation is

$$
\theta=g d f-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Ok}} d t=\frac{1}{4}\left(p d q-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Tak}} d t\right)+d \phi(q, p, t)
$$

where $d \phi(q, p, t)$ is an exact form which can be calculated explicitly.
However, the change of variables (2.21) is only algebraic, but not birational. In this case it is impossible to construct the space of initial conditions for the system (2.20) in the sense of [Oka79] by resolving singularities, and so our approach cannot be used. This example is considered in detail from the geometric point of view in [FS22].

We summarize the relationship between different $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV}}$ systems considered in this paper in Figure 1.

### 2.1 Coordinate transformations between different Hamiltonian forms for $\mathbf{P}_{\text {IV }}$

We now give a summary of explicit coordinate transformations and parameter matching for different versions of Hamiltonian representations for the differential Painlevé $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV}}$ equation described above.

## Its-Prokhorov and Jimbo-Miwa systems

Theorem 1. The Its-Prokhorov Hamiltonian system (2.7) and the Okamoto Hamiltonian system (2.13) are related by the following change of variables and parameter correspondence:

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { r l } 
{ q ( f , g , t ) } & { = f , }  \tag{2.22}\\
{ p ( f , g , t ) } & { = g - \frac { f } { 4 } - \frac { t } { 2 } - \frac { \kappa _ { 0 } } { 2 f } , } \\
{ \Theta _ { 0 } } & { = \frac { \kappa _ { 0 } } { 2 } , } \\
{ \Theta _ { \infty } } & { = 1 + \theta _ { \infty } - \frac { \kappa _ { 0 } } { 2 } , }
\end{array} \quad \text { and conversely } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{rl}
f(q, p, t) & =q \\
g(q, p, t) & =p+\frac{q}{4}+\frac{t}{2}+\frac{\Theta_{0}}{q} \\
\kappa_{0} & =2 \Theta_{0} \\
\theta_{\infty} & =-1+\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$



Figure 1: Relationship between different Hamiltonian Systems for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV}}$

The Hamiltonians are then related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{IP}}\left(q, p ; t ; \Theta_{0}, \Theta_{\infty}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Ok}}\left(f(q, p), g(q, p) ; t ; \kappa_{0}, \theta_{\infty}\right)+\frac{q}{2}+2 t\left(\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}\right) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the purely $t$-dependent terms can in fact be ignored.
Proof. The change of variables (2.22) is established in Lemma 8. To relate the Hamiltonians, as explained in Section 3.3 we need to match the 2-forms $\Omega^{\mathrm{Ok}}=\omega^{\mathrm{Ok}}-d \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Ok}} \wedge d t=\omega^{\mathrm{IP}}-d \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{IP}} \wedge d t=\Omega^{\mathrm{IP}}$. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega^{\mathrm{Ok}} & =\omega^{\mathrm{Ok}}-d \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Ok}} \wedge d t=d g \wedge d f-d\left(2 f g^{2}-\left(f^{2}+2 t f+2 \kappa_{0}\right) g+\theta_{\infty} f\right) \wedge d t \\
& =d p \wedge d q+\frac{1}{2} d t \wedge d q-d\left(2 p^{2} q-\frac{q^{3}}{8}-\frac{t q^{2}}{2}-\frac{t^{2} q}{2}+\Theta_{\infty} q-\frac{2 \Theta_{0}^{2}}{q}-q-2 t \Theta_{0}\right) \wedge d t \\
& =\omega^{\mathrm{IP}}-d\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{IP}}-2 t\left(\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}\right)\right) \wedge d t
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar result holds for the Jimbo-Miwa system (2.11).
Theorem 2. The change of coordinates and parameter matching between the Jimbo-Miwa (2.11) and Okamoto (2.13) Hamiltonian systems is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { r l } 
{ y ( f , g , t ) } & { = f , }  \tag{2.24}\\
{ z ( f , g , t ) } & { = \frac { f ^ { 2 } } { 2 } - f g + f t + \kappa _ { 0 } , } \\
{ \Theta _ { 0 } } & { = \frac { \kappa _ { 0 } } { 2 } , } \\
{ \Theta _ { \infty } } & { = 1 + \theta _ { \infty } - \frac { \kappa _ { 0 } } { 2 } , }
\end{array} \quad \text { and conversely } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{rl}
f(y, z, t) & =y \\
g(y, z, t) & =\frac{y}{2}-\frac{z}{y}+t+\frac{2 \Theta_{0}}{y} \\
\kappa_{0} & =2 \Theta_{0} \\
\theta_{\infty} & =-1+\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

The Hamiltonians are then related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{JM}}\left(y, z ; t ; \Theta_{0}, \Theta_{\infty}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Ok}}\left(f(y, z, t), g(y, z, t) ; t ; \kappa_{0}=2 \Theta_{0}, \theta_{\infty}=-1+\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}\right)+y+2 t\left(\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The change of variables (2.24) is established in Lemma 10. To relate the Hamiltonians, note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega^{\mathrm{Ok}} & =\omega^{\mathrm{Ok}}-d \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Ok}} \wedge d t=d g \wedge d f-d\left(2 f g^{2}-\left(f^{2}+2 t f+2 \kappa_{0}\right) g+\theta_{\infty} f\right) \wedge d t \\
& =-\frac{d z}{y} \wedge d y+d t \wedge d y-d\left(\frac{2 z^{2}}{y}-z y-2 t z-\frac{4 \Theta_{0} z}{y}-y+\left(\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}\right) y\right) \wedge d t \\
& =\frac{1}{y} d y \wedge d z+d\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{JM}}-2 t\left(\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}\right)\right) \wedge d t=\omega^{\mathrm{JM}}-d \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{JM}} \wedge d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and note that the $t$-dependent terms can be ignored, as usual.
Note that combining these change of variables immediately gives us the change of variables between the Its-Prokhorov coordinates $(q, p)$ and the Jimbo-Miwa coordinates $(y, z)$ that we already obtained in equation (2.12).

The Kecker system The identification between the Kecker and the Okamoto systems is more complicated. In particular, the geometric normalization of the symplectic form differs from the original normalization of the symplectic form $\omega^{\text {Kek }}$ in (2.18); $\tilde{\omega}^{\mathrm{Kek}}=(1 / 3) \omega^{\mathrm{Kek}}$.

Theorem 3. The Kecker Hamiltonian system (2.18) and the Okamoto Hamiltonian system (2.13) are related by the following change of variables and parameter correspondence:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlrl}
x(f, g, t)= & \frac{(1+\mathfrak{i})}{4(3)^{3 / 4}}(3(\sqrt{3}-\mathfrak{i}) f+12 \mathfrak{i} g+2(\sqrt{3}-3 \mathfrak{i}) t), & &  \tag{2.26}\\
y(f, g), t & =\frac{(1+\mathfrak{i})}{4(3)^{3 / 4}}(3(\sqrt{3}+\mathfrak{i}) f-12 \mathfrak{i} g+2(\sqrt{3}+3 \mathfrak{i}) t), & \tilde{\alpha}=\frac{(\sqrt{3}-3 \mathfrak{i})-6 \mathfrak{i} \theta_{\infty}-3(\sqrt{3}-\mathfrak{i}) \kappa_{0}}{2 \sqrt{3}} \\
z(t)=\left(-\frac{4}{0}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} t, & \tilde{\beta}=\frac{(-\sqrt{3}-3 \mathfrak{i})-6 \mathfrak{i} \theta_{\infty}+3(\sqrt{3}+\mathfrak{i}) \kappa_{0}}{2 \sqrt{3}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and converseley,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlrl}
f(x, y, z) & =\frac{1-\mathfrak{i}}{3^{3 / 4}}(x+y-z)  \tag{2.27}\\
g(x, y, z) & =-\frac{(1+\mathfrak{i})}{4(3)^{3 / 4}}((\sqrt{3}+\mathfrak{i}) x-(\sqrt{3}-\mathfrak{i}) y+2 \mathfrak{i} z), & & \kappa_{0}=\frac{1-\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}}{3} \\
t(z) & =\left(-\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} z, & \theta_{\infty} & =\frac{-2+(\mathfrak{i} \sqrt{3}-1) \tilde{\alpha}+(\mathfrak{i} \sqrt{3}+1) \tilde{\beta}}{6}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The Hamiltonians are then related by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Kek}}(x, y ; z ; \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})=3\left(\left(\frac{-3}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Ok}}\left(f(x, y, z), g(x, y, z) ; t(z) ; \kappa_{0}(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}), \theta_{\infty}(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})\right)\right.  \tag{2.28}\\
&\left.\quad-\frac{1+\mathfrak{i} \sqrt{3}}{6} x+\frac{1-\mathfrak{i} \sqrt{3}}{6} y+\frac{z^{3}}{9}+\frac{1+\mathfrak{i} \sqrt{3}}{6} z \tilde{\alpha}+\frac{1-\mathfrak{i} \sqrt{3}}{6} z \tilde{\beta}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The change of variables (2.26-2.27) is established in Lemma 12. For the Hamiltonians, given the difference in normalizations, we should have

$$
\Omega^{\mathrm{Ok}}=\omega^{\mathrm{Ok}}-d \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Ok}} \wedge d t=\frac{1}{3} \cdot\left(\omega^{\mathrm{Kek}}-d \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Kek}} \wedge d z\right)=\frac{1}{3} \Omega^{\mathrm{Kek}}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega^{\mathrm{Ok}}= & d g \wedge d f-d\left(2 f g^{2}-f^{2} g-2 t f g-2 \kappa_{0} g+\theta_{\infty} f\right) \wedge d t \\
= & -\frac{2 \sqrt{3} d x \wedge d y-\sqrt{3}(1+\mathfrak{i} \sqrt{3}) d x \wedge d z+\sqrt{3}(1-\mathfrak{i} \sqrt{3}) d y \wedge d z}{6 \sqrt{3}} \\
& -d \frac{(1+\mathfrak{i})\left(2 \sqrt{3}\left(x^{3}+y^{3}-z^{3}+3 x y z\right)+3(3 \mathfrak{i}+\sqrt{3}+2 \sqrt{3} \tilde{\beta}) x+3(3 \mathfrak{i}-\sqrt{3}+2 \sqrt{3} \tilde{\alpha}) y\right)}{18\left(3^{3 / 4}\right)} \wedge\left(-\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} d z \\
= & \frac{1}{3}\left(d y \wedge d x+\frac{1+\mathfrak{i} \sqrt{3}}{2} d x \wedge d z-\frac{1-\mathfrak{i} \sqrt{3}}{2} d y \wedge d z\right. \\
& \left.\quad-d\left(x^{3}+y^{3}-z^{3}+\tilde{\beta} x+\frac{1+\mathfrak{i} \sqrt{3}}{2} x+\tilde{\alpha} y+\frac{-1+\mathfrak{i} \sqrt{3}}{2} y\right) \wedge d z\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{3}\left(d y \wedge d x-d \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Kek}}(x, y, z ; \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) \wedge d z\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives us the needed rescaling coefficients, as well as the $x$ and $y$-dependent corrections to the Hamiltonian $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Ok}}\left(f(x, y), g(x, y) ; t(z) ; \kappa_{0}(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}), \theta_{\infty}(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})\right)$. The remaining terms are purely time $z$-dependent and can be ignored.

## 3 Preliminaries

### 3.1 The Okamoto space of initial conditions

Notation. For the Okamoto system we use the following notation: coordinates $(f, g)$, parameters $\kappa_{0}$ and $\theta_{\infty}$; time variable $t$; base points $q_{i}$, exceptional divisors $F_{i}$.

The foundations of the geometric analysis of Painlevé equations were developed by K. Okamoto [Oka79]. To make this paper self-contained, in this section we briefly explain how to construct the space of initial conditions for the Hamiltonian system (2.13). This will also allow us to introduce various notational conventions. This section is closely related to [KNY17, Section 2.6] that we recommend for details.

Recall that the Painlevé property essentially requires that the general solution of an ODE has no movable (i.e., dependent of initial conditions) singularities other than poles. If we think about parameterizing solutions via initial conditions at some time $t_{0}$, we then need to allow infinities as initial conditions, i.e., we need to change from $\mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. Thus, we consider the pair of dependent variables $(f, g)$ as affine coordinates on the complex projective plane $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. We then introduce three more charts $(F, g),(f, G)$, and $(F, G)$, where $F=1 / f$ and $G=1 / g$ are coordinates in the neighborhood of infinity, and via direct substitution we can easily rewrite our system in those charts:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (f, G): \begin{cases}\frac{d f}{d t}=-f^{2}+\frac{4 f}{G}-2 t f-2 \kappa_{0}, \\
\frac{d G}{d t}=\theta_{\infty} G^{2}-2 f G-2 t G+2,\end{cases} \\
& (f, g):\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d F}{d t}=2 \kappa_{0} F^{2}-\frac{4 F}{G}+2 t F+1, \\
\frac{d G}{d t}=\theta_{\infty} G^{2}-\frac{2 G}{F}-2 t G+2, \\
\frac{d f}{d t}=-f^{2}+4 f g-2 t f-2 \kappa_{0}, \\
\frac{d g}{d t}=-2 g^{2}+2 f g+2 t g-\theta_{\infty},
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}(F, g):\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d F}{d t}=2 F^{2} \kappa_{0}-4 F g+2 t F+1, \\
\frac{d g}{d t}=-2 g^{2}+\frac{2 g}{F}+2 t g-\theta_{\infty} .
\end{array},\right.
$$

Consider, for example, our system in the $(F, g)$-chart. Solutions correspond to the flowlines of the vector field $\mathbf{V}(F, g)=\left(2 F^{2} \kappa_{0}-4 F g+2 F t+1\right) \partial_{F}+\left(-2 g^{2}+\frac{2 g}{F}+2 t g-\theta_{\infty}\right) \partial_{g}+\partial_{t}$ that becomes undefined when $F=0$. Rescaling, $F \mathbf{V}(F, g)=\left(2 F^{3} \kappa_{0}-4 F^{2} g+2 F^{2} t+F\right) \partial_{F}+\left(-2 F g^{2}+2 g+2 t F g-\theta_{\infty} F\right) \partial_{g}+F \partial_{t}$, we see that at the points $(F=0, g \neq 0)$ the field becomes $2 g \partial_{g}$, and so this flow is "vertical" (has a zero $\partial_{t^{\prime}}$-component) and
hence such points do not parametrize solutions of the Painlevé equations (that are functions of $t$ ). Thus, we call the curve given by $F=0$ (in this chart) a vertical leaf (or an inaccessible divisor). However, at the point $(0,0)$ the rescaled field also vanishes (and we see the indeterminacy $F / g=0 / 0$ in the original field $\mathbf{V}(F, g)$ ) and so a further adjustment is needed. This indeterminacy is resolved by the standard blowup procedure from algebraic geometry, see, e.g., [Sha13] for details.

In the two-dimensional case the blowup procedure is particularly simple and can be thought of as follows [DFS20]. Geometrically, the blowup procedure "separates" the lines passing through the point $q_{i}$ (the center of the blowup) by "lifting" them according to their "slopes" (see the left picture on Figure 2 for the local illustration of a blowup in the real-variable case). Topologically, for complex surfaces, blowup is a surgery that creates a Riemann sphere "bubble" (projectivized tangent space) $S^{2} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1}$ in place of the center of the blowup $q_{i}$, thus adding a new spherical class to homology (and, via the Poincaré duality, cohomology) of the surface. Algebraically, the blowup procedure is an introduction of two new charts $\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)$ and $\left(U_{i}, V_{i}\right)$ in the neighborhood of the blowup point $q_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$, where the change of variables is given by $x=x_{i}+u_{i}=x_{i}+U_{i} V_{i}$ and $y=y_{i}+u_{i} v_{i}=y_{i}+V_{i}$. This change of variables is a bijection away from $q_{i}$, but the point $q_{i}$ is replaced by the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-line of all possible slopes, called the central fiber or the exceptional divisor of the blowup. We denote this central fiber by $F_{i}$ (and sometimes by $E_{i}$ ), it is given in the blowup charts by local equations $u_{i}=0$ and $V_{i}=0$. For these charts the upper/lower-case naming convention is only for convenience and, in contrast to the naming of affine charts, it does not hold that $U_{i}=1 / u_{i}$. However, it is true that $v_{i}=1 / U_{i}$ - these local coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ represent all possible slopes of lines passing through the point $q_{i}$, and so this variable change "separates" all curves passing through $q_{i}$ based on their slopes. Schematically, it is convenient to illustrate the blowup on a diagram as shown on the right on Figure 2. The notation $L-F_{i}$ denotes the proper transform $\overline{\pi^{-1}\left(L-\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right)}$, that needs to be distinguished from the total transform $\pi^{-1}(L)=\left(L-F_{i}\right)+F_{i}$. Note that, despite the presence of the negative sign, $L-F_{i}$ is an actual geometric curve, i.e., an effective divisor.


$$
\begin{gathered}
x=x_{i}+u_{i}=x_{i}+U_{i} V_{i} \\
y=y_{i}+u_{i} v_{i}=y_{i}+V_{i} \\
\leftarrow--------\overline{x--\overline{x_{i}}} \\
u_{i}=x-x_{i} \quad U_{i}=\frac{y_{i}}{y-y_{i}} \\
v_{i}=\frac{y-y_{i}}{x-x_{i}} \quad V_{i}=y-y_{i} \\
v_{i} U_{i}=1
\end{gathered}
$$



Figure 2: The Blowup Procedure
Thus, blowing up the point $q_{1}(F=0, g=0)$ amounts to introducing coordinate charts $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ and $\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)$ via $F=u_{1}=U_{1} V_{1}, g=u_{1} v_{1}=V_{1}$. Since the change of variables is algebraic, it is easy to rewrite our system in those charts:

$$
\left(u_{1} v_{1}\right): \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d u_{1}}{d t}=-4 u_{1}^{2} v_{1}+2 \kappa_{0} u_{1}^{2}+2 t u_{1}+1, \\
\frac{d v_{1}}{d t}=2 u_{1} v_{1}^{2}+\frac{v_{1}-\theta_{\infty}}{u_{1}}-2 \kappa_{0} u_{1} v_{1}
\end{array} \quad\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right): \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d U_{1}}{d t}=2 \kappa_{0} U_{1}^{2} V_{1}+\frac{\theta_{\infty} U_{1}-1}{V_{1}}-2 U_{1} V_{1} \\
\frac{d V_{1}}{d t}=-2 V_{1}^{2}+\frac{2}{U_{1}}+2 t V_{1}-\theta_{\infty}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Performing the same kind of analysis we see that there is another vertical leaf given by the equations $u_{1}=$ $V_{1}=0$ (that corresponds to the central fiber) that contains a new indeterminate point $q_{2}\left(u_{1}=0, v_{1}=\theta_{\infty}\right)$ (equivalently, $U_{1}=1 / \theta_{\infty}, V_{1}=0$ ); since all new base points appear on exceptional divisors, we omit the coordinates and write them, e.g., as $q_{2}\left(0, \theta_{\infty}\right)$ or $q_{2}\left(1 / \theta_{\infty}, 0\right)$. Introducing the new charts $\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ and $\left(U_{2}, V_{2}\right)$ via $u_{1}=u_{2}=U_{2} V_{2}, v_{1}=\theta_{\infty}+u_{2} v_{2}=\theta_{\infty}+V_{2}$ and repeating this process we see that there are no new base points in these charts and this cascade is resolved.


Figure 3: The Space of Initial Conditions for the $\mathrm{P}_{\text {IV }}$ Okamoto Hamiltonian System (2.13)

We now do the same procedure in the remaining charts to get the following cascades of base points:

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{1}(\infty, 0) & \leftarrow q_{2}\left(0, \theta_{\infty}\right), \quad q_{3}(0, \infty) \leftarrow q_{4}\left(\kappa_{0}, 0\right),  \tag{3.1}\\
q_{5}(\infty, \infty) & \leftarrow q_{6}(0,2) \leftarrow q_{7}(0,-4 t) \leftarrow q_{8}\left(0,4\left(1+2 t^{2}+\theta_{\infty}-\kappa_{0}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 3. Since the blowup points that appear in the cascades are on exceptional divisors, when we write the coordinates of these points as $q_{i}(0, a)$, we work in the $(u, v)$ coordinate system, and points $q_{i}(a, 0)$ are in the $(U, V)$ coordinate system; for points $q_{i}(0,0)$ we would always specify which coordinate system is used.

The resulting configuration of the base points and vertical leaves is shown on Figure 3. The Okamoto space of initial conditions is then the resulting surface with the configuration of vertical leaves removed. For the geometric analysis it is, however, more convenient to consider the compact surface and just realize that points on vertical leaves do not correspond to initial conditions of our equation.

Note that, modulo the coordinates of the base points, we get exactly the standard $E_{6}^{(1)}$ surface as given in [KNY17], see Figure 5. All of the standard geometric data, such as the choice of surface and root bases, for this surface is summarized in Section 3.2 (note that we use the notation $F_{i}$ for exceptional divisors in the Okamoto case, and $E_{i}$ in the KNY case). However, the normalization of points $q_{6}(0,2)$ in the Okamoto case and $p_{6}(0,1)$ in the standard case is slightly different, which results in the need for some scaling adjustments in the variables. Specifically, the Hamiltonian system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{d q}{d \tilde{t}}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{KNY}}}{\partial p}=2 q p-q^{2}-\tilde{t} q-a_{1},  \tag{3.2}\\
\frac{d p}{d \tilde{t}}=-\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{KNY}}}{\partial q}=2 q p-p^{2}+\tilde{t} q-a_{2}, & \text { where }
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{KNY}}(q, p ; \tilde{t})=q p^{2}-q^{2} p-\tilde{t} q p-a_{2} q-a_{1} p\right.
$$

considered in [KNY17, Section 2.6] results in the following form of $\mathrm{P}_{\text {IV }}$ equation:

$$
\frac{d^{2} q}{d \tilde{t}^{2}}=\frac{1}{2 q}\left(\frac{d q}{d \tilde{t}}\right)^{2}+\frac{3}{2} q^{3}+2 \tilde{t} q^{2}+\left(a_{2}-a_{0}+\frac{\tilde{t}^{2}}{2}\right) q-\frac{a_{1}^{2}}{2 q} .
$$

By the rescaling change of variables $\tilde{t}=\sqrt{2} t, q(\tilde{t})=f(t(\tilde{t})) / \sqrt{2}$ this equation can be transformed to the standard form (2.1) with parameters $\alpha=1-a_{1}-2 a_{2}, \beta=-2 a_{1}^{2}$. The parameters $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ here are canonical geometric parameters called the root variables, as explained in Section 3.2.2. For future computations, it is important to relate these parameters to the parameters $\kappa_{0}$ and $\theta_{\infty}$ of the Okamoto Hamiltonian. Comparing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=1-a_{1}-2 a_{2}=1+2 \theta_{\infty}-\kappa_{0}, \quad \beta=-2 a_{1}^{2}=-2 \kappa_{0}^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we immediately see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=\kappa_{0}, \quad a_{2}=-\theta_{\infty}, \quad \text { and also }, \quad a_{0}=1-a_{2}-a_{2}=1+\theta_{\infty}-\kappa_{0} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that we could have also computed the root variables directly from the geometric data in the same way as outlined in Lemma 4 using the symplectic form $\omega^{\mathrm{Ok}}=d g \wedge d f$.

### 3.2 Geometry of the standard $E_{6}^{(1)}$ Surface

In this section we describe the geometry of the model example of $E_{6}^{(1)}$ Sakai surface, following the standard reference [KNY17]. This surface is the Okamoto space of initial conditions for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV}}$. Its symmetry group is the extended affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W}\left(A_{2}^{(1)}\right)$. Here we describe the standard configuration of the blowup points, the choice of the surface and the symmetry root bases in the Picard lattice, and the birational representation of the symmetry group $\widetilde{W}\left(A_{2}^{(1)}\right)$. Recall that each nontrivial family of Sakai surfaces is obtained by blowing up $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ at 8 points lying on the polar divisor of some symplectic form. The Picard lattice of the resulting rational algebraic surface $X$ has rank 10 and is $\operatorname{Pic}(X)=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left\{\mathcal{H}_{1}, \mathcal{H}_{2}, \mathcal{E}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_{8}\right\}$, where $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ stand for the classes of coordinate divisors and $\varepsilon_{i}$ are the exceptional divisors, or the classes of central fibers of the blowup points. The anti-canonical divisor class then is $-K_{X}=2 \mathcal{H}_{1}+2 \mathcal{H}_{2}-\mathcal{E}_{1}-\cdots-\mathcal{E}_{8}$, and surfaces of different types correspond to different configurations of irreducible components of the anti-canonical divisor [Sak01].

### 3.2.1 The point configuration

Consider the following decomposition of the anti-canonical divisor class $\delta=-\mathcal{K}_{x}$ into classes $\delta_{i}$ (surface roots) of the irreducible components $d_{i}$ of the anti-canonical divisor $-K_{x}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta & =\delta_{0}+\delta_{1}+2 \delta_{2}+3 \delta_{3}+2 \delta_{4}+\delta_{5}+2 \delta_{6} \\
& =\left(\varepsilon_{7}-\varepsilon_{6}\right)+\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}\right)+2\left(\mathcal{H}_{q}-\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{5}\right)+3\left(\varepsilon_{5}-\varepsilon_{6}\right)+2\left(\mathcal{H}_{p}-\varepsilon_{3}-\varepsilon_{5}\right)+\left(\varepsilon_{3}-\varepsilon_{4}\right)+2\left(\varepsilon_{6}-\varepsilon_{7}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The intersection configuration of those roots is given by the Dynkin diagram of type $E_{6}^{(1)}$, as shown on Figure 4.


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta_{0}=\mathcal{E}_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{8}, & \delta_{4}=\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{5}, \\
\delta_{1}=\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{2}, & \delta_{5}=\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{4}, \\
\delta_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{5}, & \delta_{6}=\mathcal{E}_{6}-\mathcal{E}_{7} .  \tag{3.5}\\
\delta_{3}=\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{6}, &
\end{array}
$$

Figure 4: The Surface Root Basis for the standard $E_{6}^{(1)}$ Sakai surface
Consider the complex projective plane $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ covered by four coordinate charts $(q, p),(Q, p),(q, P)$, and $(Q, P)$, where $Q=1 / q$ and $P=1 / p$. Using the action of the gauge group $\mathbf{P G L} \mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbf{P G L} \mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ of Möbius transformations, we can, without loss of generality, put the divisors $d_{2}$ and $d_{4}$, where $\delta_{i}=\left[d_{i}\right]$, to be the lines at infinity, $d_{2}=V(Q)=\{q=\infty\}$ and $d_{4}=V(P)=\{p=\infty\}$ and put the base points $p_{1}$, $p_{3}$, and $p_{5}$ to be on the intersection of coordinate lines: $p_{1}(\infty, 0), p_{3}(0, \infty)$, and $p_{5}(\infty, \infty)$. We then get the blowup diagram for the standard example of Sakai surface of type $E_{6}^{(1)}$ as shown on Figure 5.
Remark 4. Here we use the same coordinates ( $q, p$ ) as [KNY17], but these coordinates should not be confused with the different coordinates $(q, p)$ for the Its-Prokhorov Hamiltonian system in (2.7) and Section 4.2.

This point configuration can be parameterized by five parameters $b_{2}, b_{4}, b_{6}, b_{7}$, and $b_{8}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{1}(\infty, 0) & \leftarrow p_{2}\left(\infty, 0 ; q p=b_{2}\right), \quad p_{3}(0, \infty) \leftarrow p_{4}\left(\infty, 0 ; q p=b_{4}\right) \\
p_{5}(\infty, \infty) & \leftarrow p_{6}\left(\infty, \infty ; \frac{q}{p}=b_{6}\right) \leftarrow p_{7}\left(\infty, \infty ; \frac{q}{p}=b_{6} ; \frac{q\left(q-b_{6} p\right)}{p}=b_{7}\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
& \leftarrow p_{8}\left(\infty, \infty ; \frac{q}{p}=b_{6} ; \frac{q\left(q-b_{6} p\right)}{p}=b_{7} ; \frac{q\left(q\left(q-b_{6} p\right)-b_{7} p\right)}{p}=b_{8}\right)
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 5: The standard $E_{6}^{(1)}$ Sakai surface

The residual two-parameter gauge group acts on these configurations via rescaling,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
b_{2} & b_{4} & & f  \tag{3.7}\\
b_{6} & b_{7} & b_{8} & f
\end{array}\right) \sim\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda \mu b_{2} & \lambda \mu b_{4} & \\
\frac{\lambda}{\mu} b_{6} & \frac{\lambda^{2}}{\mu} b_{7} & \frac{\lambda^{3}}{\mu} b_{8} ; & \lambda f \\
\mu g
\end{array}\right), \lambda, \mu \neq 0
$$

and so the true number of parameters is three. A canonical choice of such parameters is known as the root variables, as we explain next.

### 3.2.2 The period map and the root variables

To define the root variables we need to choose a root basis in the symmetry sub-lattice $Q=\Pi\left(R^{\perp}\right) \triangleleft \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ and find a symplectic form $\omega$ whose polar divisor $-K_{X}$ is the configuration of -2 -curves shown on Figure 5 . As usual, we take the same basis as in [KNY17], see Figure 6. A symplectic form $\omega$ with $[(\omega)] \in \mathcal{K}_{x}$ such that $-[(\omega)]=\delta_{0}+\delta_{1}+2 \delta_{2}+3 \delta_{3}+2 \delta_{4}+\delta_{5}+2 \delta_{6}$ can be written in the main affine $(q, p)$-chart as $\omega=k d q \wedge d p$.


$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{0} & =\mathcal{H}_{q}+\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{6}-\mathcal{E}_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{8} \\
\alpha_{1} & =\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{4} \\
\alpha_{2} & =\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{2}  \tag{3.8}\\
\delta & =\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Figure 6: The Symmetry Root Basis for the standard d- $P\left(A_{2}^{(1)}\right)$ case
The period map $\chi: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is first defined on the simple roots $\alpha_{i}$ and then extended to the full symmetry sub-lattice by linearity. The root variables are the values of the period map on the roots $\alpha_{i}, a_{i}:=\chi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ that can be computed as follows, see [Sak01] and [DFS20] for details.

- First, we represent $\alpha_{i}$ as a difference of two effective divisors, $\alpha_{i}=\left[C_{i}^{1}\right]-\left[C_{1}^{0}\right]$;
- second, note that there exists a unique component $d_{k}$ of $-K x$ such that $d_{k} \bullet C_{i}^{1}=d_{k} \bullet C_{i}^{0}=1$, put $P_{i}=d_{k} \cap C_{i}^{0}$ and $Q_{i}=d_{k} \cap C_{i}^{1}$ :

- then

$$
\chi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\chi\left(\left[C_{i}^{1}\right]-\left[C_{i}^{0}\right]\right)=\int_{P_{i}}^{Q_{i}} \frac{1}{2 \pi \mathfrak{i}} \oint_{d_{k}} \omega=\int_{P_{i}}^{Q_{i}} \operatorname{res}_{d_{k}} \omega
$$

where $\omega$ is our symplectic form.
We then get the following result.
Lemma 4. The root variables $a_{i}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=k \frac{b_{7}^{2}-b_{6} b_{8}}{b_{6}^{3}}, \quad a_{1}=-k b_{4}, \quad a_{2}=k b_{2} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to put $k=-1$, so that the symplectic form is the standard symplectic form $\omega=d p \wedge d q$. Using the gauge action (3.7) we can normalize $b_{6}=1$. It is easy to see that under this gauge action each root variable scales by $\lambda \mu$, so we can use the remaining scaling freedom to ensure the normalization condition $\chi(\delta)=a_{0}+a_{1}+a_{2}=1$. Finally, in view of the relation of this example to $P_{\mathrm{IV}}$, we denote $b_{7}:=-t$. This then gives us the point configuration in terms of root variables. Using the notation of $[K N Y 17] p_{12}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon},-a_{2} \varepsilon\right)_{2}$, $p_{34}\left(a_{1} \varepsilon, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$, $p_{5678}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}+t-a_{0} \varepsilon\right)_{4}$, we get exactly the the same parameterization of the base points as in [KNY17, section 8.2.22].

### 3.2.3 The extended affine Weyl symmetry group

For completeness, we also include here the description of the birational representation of the extended affine Weyl symmetry group $\widetilde{W}\left(A_{2}^{(1)}\right)=$ Aut $\left(A_{2}^{(1)}\right) \ltimes W\left(A_{2}^{(1)}\right)$. The computations here follow the same steps as in [KNY17] or in [DFS20] and are omitted, we only state the final result.

The affine Weyl group $W\left(A_{2}^{(1)}\right)$ is defined in terms of generators $w_{i}=w_{\alpha_{i}}$ and relations that are encoded by the affine Dynkin diagram $A_{2}^{(1)}$,

$$
W\left(A_{2}^{(1)}\right)=W\left(\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{0} \\
\alpha_{1} \circ- \\
\overbrace{0}
\end{array}\right)=\left\langle w_{0}, \ldots, w_{2} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc}
w_{i}^{2}=e, & w_{i} \circ w_{j}=w_{j} \circ w_{i} & \text { when } \\
w_{i} \circ w_{i} \circ \alpha_{j} & \alpha_{j} \\
& w_{i}=w_{j} \circ w_{i} \circ w_{j} \text { when } & \circ \\
\alpha_{i} & \alpha_{j}
\end{array}\right.\right\rangle
$$

The natural action of this group on $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is given by reflections in the roots $\alpha_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i}(\mathcal{C})=w_{\alpha_{i}}(\mathcal{C})=\mathcal{C}-2 \frac{\mathcal{C} \bullet \alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{i} \bullet \alpha_{i}} \alpha_{i}=\mathcal{C}+\left(\mathcal{C} \bullet \alpha_{i}\right) \alpha_{i}, \quad \mathcal{C} \in \operatorname{Pic}(X) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be extended to an action on point configurations by elementary birational maps (which lifts to isomorphisms $w_{i}$ on the family of Sakai's surfaces), this is known as a birational representation of $W\left(A_{2}^{(1)}\right)$. The group of Dynkin diagram automorphisms $\operatorname{Aut}\left(D_{4}^{(1)}\right) \simeq \mathbb{D}_{3}$, where $\mathbb{D}_{3}$ is the usual dihedral group. Thus we only describe two transpositions $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ that generate the whole group.

Theorem 5. Reflections $w_{i}$ on $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$ are induced by the elementary birational mappings

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{0}:\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{0} & a_{1} & q \\
a_{2} & t & q
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-a_{0} & a_{0}+a_{1} \\
a_{0}+a_{2} & t
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
q-\frac{a_{0}}{q-p+t} \\
p-\frac{a_{0}}{q-p+t}
\end{array}\right), \\
& w_{1}:\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{0} & a_{1} ; & q \\
a_{2} & t & p
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{0}+a_{1} & -a_{1} & q \\
a_{1}+a_{2} & t
\end{array} \quad p-\frac{a_{1}}{q}\right), \\
& w_{2}:\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{0} & a_{1} ; & q \\
a_{2} & t & p
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{0}+a_{2} & a_{1}+a_{2} \\
-a_{2} & t & q+\frac{a_{2}}{p} \\
p
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the automorphisms $\sigma_{i}$ we choose the following two generators whose induced action on $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$ can be represented as a composition of reflections in roots (but no longer symmetry roots), and that act on the surface and symmetry root bases as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =w_{\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{H}_{p}} w_{\mathcal{E}_{1}-\varepsilon_{3}} w_{\mathcal{E}_{2}-\mathcal{E}_{4}} \sim\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right) \sim\left(\delta_{1} \delta_{5}\right)\left(\delta_{2} \delta_{4}\right), \\
\sigma_{2} & =w_{\mathcal{H}_{q}-\varepsilon_{5}-\varepsilon_{6}} w_{\mathcal{E}_{1}-\varepsilon_{7}} w_{\mathcal{E}_{2}-\mathcal{E}_{8}} \sim\left(\alpha_{0} \alpha_{2}\right) \sim\left(\delta_{0} \delta_{1}\right)\left(\delta_{2} \delta_{6}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The corresponding birational mappings then are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{1}:\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{0} & a_{1} & q \\
a_{2} & t & \\
\hline
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-a_{0} & -a_{2} & -p \\
-a_{1} & t & -q
\end{array}\right), \\
& \sigma_{2}:\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{0} & a_{1} & q \\
a_{2} & t & \\
\hline
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-a_{2} & -a_{1} ; & q \\
-a_{0} & t & q-p+t
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.3 Non-autonomous Hamiltonian systems, symplectic transformations and 2-forms

Suppose we have two Hamiltonian systems, with time-dependent Hamiltonians, and we found a birational change of coordinates that transforms one system into the other. In this section we address the question of how, given this change of coordinates, to find the relationship between the Hamiltonians themselves. The difficulty here stems from the fact that for time-dependent coordinate systems the above change of variables is in general also time-dependent. As a result, the direct change of coordinates in the Hamiltonian for one system does not, as a rule, give the Hamiltonian for another system - some additional terms appear. To understand the nature of these terms we need some facts about non-autonomous Hamiltonian systems that are relevant to the global Hamiltonian structures of Painlevé equations on Okamoto's spaces [ST97, MMT99, Mat97]. This point is explained in detail in [DFS22], so here we only briefly illustrate it, locally, using the Its-Prokhorov Hamiltonian system (2.7) and the Jimbo-Miwa Hamiltonian system (2.11), since the change of coordinates (2.12) from $(q, p) \mapsto(y, z)$ is $t$-dependent, and we can clearly see the additional correction terms.

Consider two copies of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ with coordinates $(x, y)$ and $(X, Y)$ equipped with rational symplectic forms $\omega=F(x, y) d y \wedge d x$ and $\tilde{\omega}=G(X, Y) d Y \wedge d X$, i.e., $F(x, y)$ and $G(X, Y)$ are rational functions of their arguments. Suppose that we have a time-dependent birational change of variables $\varphi$ that we can consider as a birational transformation on the extended phase space $\mathbb{C}^{3}$,

$$
\varphi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \ni(x, y, t) \mapsto(X(x, y, t), Y(x, y, t), t) \in \mathbb{C}^{3}
$$

Then, for each fixed $t$, we have a birational transformation $\varphi_{t}:(x, y) \mapsto(X(x, y ; t), Y(x, y ; t))$ and we say that $\varphi_{t}$ is symplectic with respect to $\omega$ and $\tilde{\omega}$ if, under $\varphi_{t}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=F(x, y) d y \wedge d x=\varphi_{t}^{*}(\tilde{\omega})=G(X(x, y ; t), Y(x, y, ; t)) d_{t} Y \wedge d_{t} X \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi_{t}^{*}$ is the usual pull-back map and $d_{t}$ indicates the exterior derivative on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, so $t$ is treated as a constant in the calculation. We usually omit the pull-back symbol and simply write $\omega=\tilde{\omega}$. Suppose that we now have two time-dependent Hamiltonian functions $H(x, y, t), K(X, Y, t)$. Then we can define 2-forms $\Omega=\omega-d H \wedge d t$ and $\tilde{\Omega}=\tilde{\omega}-d K \wedge d t$ on the two copies of the extended phase space $\mathbb{C}^{3}$. Then, if under the transformation $\varphi$ we have the equality of 2 -forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=F(x, y) d y \wedge d x-d H \wedge d t=G(X, Y) d Y \wedge d X-d K \wedge d t=\tilde{\Omega} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ here is the exterior derivative on the extended phase space $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ and so $t$ is treated as a variable in this calculation, then the Hamiltonian system

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ F ( x , y ) \frac { d x } { d t } = \frac { \partial H } { \partial y } , } \\
{ F ( x , y ) \frac { d y } { d t } = - \frac { \partial H } { \partial x } , }
\end{array} \quad \text { is transformed into } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
G(X, Y) \frac{d X}{d t}=\frac{\partial K}{\partial Y} \\
G(X, Y) \frac{d Y}{d t}=-\frac{\partial K}{\partial X}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

This equality of 2 -forms dictates the correction between the Hamiltonians modulo purely $t$-dependent functions, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(H-K) \wedge d t=G(X, Y)\left(\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial Y}{\partial t} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial x}\right) d y \wedge d t+G(X, Y)\left(\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial Y}{\partial t} \frac{\partial X}{\partial x}\right) d x \wedge d t \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Returning now to our example, note that the change of variables (2.12) is symplectic with respect to the symplectic forms $\omega^{\mathrm{IP}}$ and $\omega^{\mathrm{JM}}$, and so the equality of 2 -forms

$$
\Omega^{\mathrm{IP}}=d p \wedge d q-d \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{IP}} \wedge d t=\frac{1}{y} d y \wedge d z-d \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{JM}} \wedge d t=\Omega^{\mathrm{JM}}
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{IP}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{JM}}\right) \wedge d t=-\frac{1}{2} d y \wedge d t=\frac{1}{2} d q \wedge d t \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we get

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{JM}}(y, z ; t)=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{IP}}(q(y, z, t), p(y, z, t) ; t)-\frac{y}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{IP}}(q, p ; t)=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{JM}}(y(q, p, t), z(q, p, t) ; t)+\frac{q}{2}
$$

Remark 5. Sometimes after such changes of variables in the Hamiltonian there may be additional terms present depending only on $t$ that, as far as the dynamics is concerned, can be simply ignored. It is important to note, however, that if a transformation $\varphi$ is such that $\tilde{\omega}=\left(\varphi_{t}^{-1}\right)^{*}(\omega)$ has explicit dependence on $t$ in the coefficient $F$ or $G$, then the above discussion does not apply and a Hamiltonian structure of a differential system will in general not be preserved by the transformation. This is because, given $H(x, y, t)$, the pair of partial differential equations that must be solved to find $K(X, Y, t)$ satisfying the equality of two-forms (3.12) will in general not be compatible, and the transformed system for $X, Y$ will not be Hamiltonian with respect to $G(X, Y, t) d_{t} X \wedge d_{t} Y$.

## 4 Reducing Hamiltonian Systems to the Canonical Form

In this chapter we construct spaces of initial conditions for each of the Hamiltonian systems described in the Introduction. We then follow the identification procedure, described below, to match the spaces of initial conditions to some reference case, that we take to be the Okamoto Hamiltonian system (2.13), both on the level of the Picard lattice, and on the level of a birational change of coordinates.

### 4.1 The identification procedure

(Step 1) Construct the space of initial conditions for the system. We carefully review such construction in Section 3.1. We need to remark here that this step is potentially more involved than in the discrete case [DFS20], since indeterminacies of the vector field do not necessarily constitute singularities which need to be resolved through blowups. In particular one must identify when a singularity is inaccessible and should be removed along with the vertical leaves rather than blown up, since there is the possibility that a system is indeterminate at a point, but it does not require blowing up since there is not a family of local solutions passing through, but rather a single one. In such cases more detailed inspection of solutions is required along the lines of classical Painlevé analysis, but we do not encounter such examples here - see [DFS22] for an example where this is required.
(Step 2) Determine the surface type, according to Sakai's classification scheme. Recall that almost all surfaces in the Sakai classification scheme are obtained as blowups of $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ at eight base points (the only exception of the $E_{8}^{(1)}$ surface corresponding to $P_{I}$ that is obtained as a blowup of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at nine points, and we do not consider that special case). These base points lie on a biquadratic curve on $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ that is a polar divisor of the symplectic form $\omega$ defining the Hamiltonian structure. The surface type of the system is determined by the configuration of the irreducible components of this
curve. Each such component should have self-intersection index -2 and is associated with a node of an affine Dynkin diagram; nodes are connected when the corresponding components intersect. The type of this Dynkin diagram is called the surface type of the equation. This description assumes that the surfaces $X_{n}$ are minimal, and this may not be the case in general. In that case some of the -1 curves, that should be inaccessible divisors for the system, need to be blown down, as in example in Sections 4.2 and 4.4.
(Step 3) Find a preliminary change of basis of $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$. At this step, we only need to ensure that this change of basis identifies the surface roots (or nodes of the Dynkin diagrams of our surface) with the standard example.
(Step 4) Adjust this change of basis using root variables and parameter matching. The matching obtained in the previous step is far from unique, since we have the whole extended affine Weyl group of Bäcklund transformations acting on the Picard lattice preserving the symmetry sub-lattice. On the level of the equations, Bäcklund transformations act on the parameters of the equation. It may happen that we already know how the parameters should match, e.g., by finding a reduction of our Hamiltonian system to the standard Painlevé equation. This parameter matching would fix the Bäcklund transformation ambiguity, but it may require adjusting the initial change of basis. For that, we compute the canonical parameters for the surface, known as the root variables, using the symmetry roots that we get from the preliminary change of basis in (Step 3), in terms of parameters of the system, and compare it with the one obtained from the root variables of the reference surface using that a priori parameter matching. These two sets of root variables would either match or differ by some symmetry. The importance of the root variables is that they are compatible with the action of the symmetry group, so we can just read off the expression of that symmetry in terms of the generators of the group. Then we need to act by that symmetry transformation on our preliminary choice of basis to ensure that the final change of basis will result in matching Hamiltonian systems on the level of parameters as well. We illustrate this step in the next section, see (4.5).
(Step 5) Find the change of variables reducing the applied problem to the standard example. At this point we have the identification between the two surfaces on the level of the basis change on the Picard lattice, and so next we need to find the actual change of variables that induces that linear change of basis. For that, identify the curves that form the basis for the corresponding coordinate pencils. Those curves then are our projective coordinates, up to a Möbius transformation. To fix the Möbius transformations, use the mapping of coordinate divisors. An important part of this computation is the identification of the parameters between the two systems.

### 4.2 The Its-Prokhorov Hamiltonian system

Notation. For the Its-Prokhorov system we use the following notation: coordinates $(q, p)$, parameters $\Theta_{0}$ and $\Theta_{\infty}$; time variable $s$; base points $y_{i}$, exceptional divisors $K_{i}$.

In this section we construct the space of initial conditions for the Hamiltonian system (2.7). Note that, if we only look at the Hamiltonians, we can not see the correspondence between the Okamoto parameters $\left\{\theta_{\infty}, \kappa_{0}\right\}$ in (2.7) and the Its-Prokhorov parameters $\left\{\Theta_{0}, \Theta_{\infty}\right\}$ in (2.7). However, since both equations reduce to the same standard form (2.1) of $\mathrm{P}_{\text {IV }}$ with

$$
\alpha=2 \Theta_{\infty}-1=1+2 \theta_{\infty}-\kappa_{0}, \quad \beta=-8 \Theta_{0}^{2}=-2 \kappa_{0}^{2}
$$

we see that the relationship between parameters is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{0}^{2}=\frac{\kappa_{0}^{2}}{4}, \quad \Theta_{\infty}=\theta_{\infty}-\frac{\kappa_{0}}{2}+1 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The time variable $t$ does not have to be the same either, so for now we denote it by $s$, but from $\mathrm{P}_{\text {IV }}$ we know that we can take $s=t$.

The space of initial conditions for this system is constructed in the same way as in Section 3.1. However, this time we get ten base points (and so the space of initial conditions is not minimal),


whose configuration is shown on Figure 7 (left). After blowing them up and denoting the exceptional divisors for the blowup points $y_{i}$ by $K_{i}$, we get the configuration of vertical leaves that includes two curves with selfintersection index $-3, K_{1}-K_{2}-K_{3}$ and $K_{4}-K_{5}-K_{8}$. These points lie on the polar divisor of a symplectic form $\omega=k d q \wedge d p$.


Figure 7: The Space of Initial Conditions for the Its-Prokhorov Hamiltonian System (2.7)
To match this space with the space of initial conditions for the Okamoto system shown on Figure 3, we need to blow down two - 1 curves, and we should choose them among the curves intersecting with above -3 curves to reduce the index; to avoid going back we should not choose the exceptional divisors. Thus, we choose the curves $H_{q}-K_{1}$ and $H_{q}-K_{4}$. Note that these curves are also inaccessible divisors, and so blowing them down does not change the space of initial conditions. It is also more convenient instead of blowing down those two curves to blow up two points on the Okamoto surface. Looking at the intersection diagram (3.5) and Figure 3, we see that one point should be on the curve $d_{3}=F_{5}-F_{6}$ corresponding to the central node of the Dynkin diagram $E_{6}^{(1)}$, and the other one should be taken on a divisor corresponding to one of the boundary nodes. At this point we do not have enough information to make the right choice, so we just choose one of them, say $d_{1}=F_{1}-F_{2}$. Using the root variables we can later see whether this choice is correct and make adjustments, if necessary. The same applies to the matching of the remaining two legs of the $E_{6}^{(1)}$ diagram. Thus, we want to find an identification of two bases of the Picard lattice of two non-minimal surfaces

$$
\operatorname{Pic}^{\mathrm{IP}}(X)=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left\{\mathcal{H}_{q}, \mathcal{H}_{p}, \mathcal{K}_{1}, \ldots \mathcal{K}_{10}\right\} \simeq \operatorname{Pic}_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{Ok}}(X)=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left\{\mathcal{H}_{f}, \mathcal{H}_{g}, \mathcal{F}_{1}, \ldots \mathcal{F}_{10}\right\}
$$

that matches the irreducible components of the anti-canonical divisor as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta_{0}=\mathcal{F}_{7}-\mathcal{F}_{8}=\mathcal{K}_{9}-\mathcal{K}_{10}, & \delta_{4}=\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{3}-\mathcal{F}_{5}=\mathcal{K}_{3}-\mathcal{K}_{6}, \\
\delta_{1}=\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{2}-\mathcal{F}_{9}=\mathcal{K}_{1}-\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{3}, & \delta_{5}=\mathcal{F}_{3}-\mathcal{F}_{4}=\mathcal{K}_{6}-\mathcal{K}_{7}, \\
\delta_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{5}=\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{K}_{1}-\mathcal{K}_{4}, & \delta_{6}=\mathcal{F}_{6}-\mathcal{F}_{7}=\mathcal{K}_{8}-\mathcal{K}_{9} .  \tag{4.3}\\
\delta_{3}=\mathcal{F}_{5}-\mathcal{F}_{6}-\mathcal{F}_{10}=\mathcal{K}_{4}-\mathcal{K}_{5}-\mathcal{K}_{8}, &
\end{array}
$$

We summarize such preliminary identification in the following Lemma.

Lemma 6. A preliminary change of basis resulting in the identification (4.3) is given by

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mathcal{H}_{f} & =\mathcal{H}_{p}+2 \mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{K}_{1}-\mathcal{K}_{3}-\mathcal{K}_{4}-\mathcal{K}_{5}, & \mathcal{H}_{q} & =\mathcal{H}_{g}, \\
\mathcal{H}_{g} & =\mathcal{H}_{q}, & \mathcal{H}_{p} & =\mathcal{H}_{f}+2 \mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{5}-\mathcal{F}_{9}-\mathcal{F}_{10}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{1} & =\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{K}_{3}, & \mathcal{K}_{1} & =\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{9}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{2} & =\mathcal{K}_{2}, & \mathcal{K}_{2} & =\mathcal{F}_{2}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{3} & =\mathcal{K}_{6}, & \mathcal{K}_{3}=\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{1}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{4} & =\mathcal{K}_{7}, & \mathcal{K}_{4}=\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{10}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{5} & =\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{K}_{5}, & \mathcal{K}_{5}=\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{5}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{6} & =\mathcal{K}_{8}, & \mathcal{K}_{6}=\mathcal{F}_{3}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{7} & =\mathcal{K}_{9}, & \mathcal{K}_{7}=\mathcal{F}_{4}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{8} & =\mathcal{K}_{10}, & \mathcal{K}_{8}=\mathcal{F}_{6}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{9} & =\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{K}_{1}, & \mathcal{K}_{9}=\mathcal{F}_{7}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{10} & =\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{K}_{4}, & \mathcal{K}_{10}=\mathcal{F}_{8} . \tag{4.4}
\end{array}
$$

The corresponding symmetry roots then become

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{0}=2 \mathcal{H}_{q}+\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{K}_{1}-\mathcal{K}_{3}-\mathcal{K}_{4}-\mathcal{K}_{8}-\mathcal{K}_{9}-\mathcal{K}_{10}, \\
& \alpha_{1}=2 \mathcal{H}_{q}+\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{K}_{1}-\mathcal{K}_{3}-\mathcal{K}_{4}-\mathcal{K}_{5}-\mathcal{K}_{6}-\mathcal{K}_{7}, \\
& \alpha_{2}=\mathcal{K}_{3}-\mathcal{K}_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and using the Period Map for the symplectic form $\omega=k d q \wedge d p$ we get the following root variables:

$$
a_{0}=-k\left(\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}\right), \quad a_{1}=-k\left(1+\Theta_{0}-\Theta_{\infty}\right), \quad a_{2}=2 k \Theta_{0}
$$

Imposing the normalization condition $a_{0}+a_{1}+a_{2}=1$ gives $k=-1$, and so we get back the standard symplectic form $\omega^{\mathrm{IP}}=d p \wedge d q$.

Now we can use the root variables to check whether we got the correct bases identification. We know the correspondence between the Okamoto parameters $\kappa_{0}$ and $\theta_{\infty}$, Painlevé parameters $\alpha, \beta$, and root variables $a_{i}$ from (3.3) and (3.4). We also have the correspondence between the Its-Prokhorov parameters $\Theta_{0}$ and $\Theta_{\infty}$ and Painlevé parameters $\alpha, \beta$ from (2.6), and between $\Theta_{0}, \Theta_{\infty}$ and $\kappa_{0}$ and $\theta_{\infty}$ from (4.1). In particular, we have $\Theta_{0}^{2}=\frac{\kappa_{0}^{2}}{4}$, and so we need to choose the correct sign, which turns out to be a bit delicate, since the Its-Prokhorov system only depends on $\Theta^{2}$. However, the same parameters are also used in the Jimbo-Miwa system (2.11) considered in the next section, and from that parameter matching we see that we need to take $\kappa_{0}=2 \Theta_{0}$. Then we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0}^{\mathrm{IP}}=\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}=1+\theta_{\infty}=a_{0}^{\mathrm{Ok}}+a_{1}^{\mathrm{Ok}}, \\
& a_{1}^{\mathrm{IP}}=1+\Theta_{0}-\Theta_{\infty}=\kappa_{0}-\theta_{\infty}=a_{1}^{\mathrm{Ok}}+a_{2}^{\mathrm{Ok}},  \tag{4.5}\\
& a_{2}^{\mathrm{IP}}=-2 \Theta_{0}=-\kappa_{0}=-a_{1}^{\mathrm{Ok}},
\end{align*}
$$

where we chose $\sqrt{\kappa_{0}^{2}}=-\kappa_{0}$. Thus, we see that our root variables (and hence the bases identification) differ by a composition of a reflection $w_{2}$ and an automorphism $\sigma_{1}$ described in Theorem 5.
Remark 6. We are off by a sign in the action of $\sigma_{1}$ on $a_{i}$, but that's due to some normalization choices and can be ignored at this point.

Acting by $\sigma_{1} \circ w_{2}=w_{\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{H}_{g}} w_{\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{3}} w_{\mathcal{F}_{2}-\mathcal{F}_{4}} w_{\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{2}}$ on the identification (4.4) we arrive at the final bases identification.

Lemma 7. The change of bases for Picard lattices between the Its-Prokhorov and the Okamoto (with two additional blowup points) surfaces is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}_{f} & =\mathcal{H}_{q}, & \mathcal{H}_{q} & =\mathcal{H}_{f}, \\
\mathcal{H}_{g} & =2 \mathcal{H}_{q}+\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{K}_{1}-\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{4}-\mathcal{K}_{5}, & \mathcal{H}_{p} & =2 \mathcal{H}_{f}+\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{3}-\mathcal{F}_{5}-\mathcal{F}_{9}-\mathcal{F}_{10}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{1} & =\mathcal{K}_{6}, & \mathcal{K}_{1} & =\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{9} \\
\mathcal{F}_{2} & =\mathcal{K}_{7}, & \mathcal{K}_{2} & =\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{3}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{3} & =\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{K}_{2}, & \mathcal{K}_{3} & =\mathcal{F}_{4} \\
\mathcal{F}_{4} & =\mathcal{K}_{3}, & \mathcal{K}_{4} & =\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{10}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{5} & =\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{K}_{5}, & \mathcal{K}_{5} & =\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{5}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{6} & =\mathcal{K}_{8}, & \mathcal{K}_{6} & =\mathcal{F}_{1}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{7} & =\mathcal{K}_{9}, & \mathcal{K}_{7} & =\mathcal{F}_{2}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{8} & =\mathcal{K}_{10}, & \mathcal{K}_{8} & =\mathcal{F}_{6}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{9} & =\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{K}_{1}, & \mathcal{K}_{9} & =\mathcal{F}_{7}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{10} & =\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{K}_{4}, & \mathcal{K}_{10} & =\mathcal{F}_{8} .
\end{align*}
$$

This results in the following correspondences between the surface roots (note that we need to move an additional blowup point from the divisor $d_{1}$ to the divisor $d_{5}=F_{3}-F_{4}$ ),

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta_{0}=\mathcal{F}_{7}-\mathcal{F}_{8}=\mathcal{K}_{9}-\mathcal{K}_{10}, & \delta_{4}=\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{3}-\mathcal{F}_{5}=\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{K}_{1}-\mathcal{K}_{4}, \\
\delta_{1}=\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{2}=\mathcal{K}_{6}-\mathcal{K}_{7}, & \delta_{5}=\mathcal{F}_{3}-\mathcal{F}_{4}-\mathcal{F}_{9}=\mathcal{K}_{1}-\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{3}  \tag{4.7}\\
\delta_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{5}=\mathcal{K}_{5}-\mathcal{K}_{6}, & \delta_{6}=\mathcal{F}_{6}-\mathcal{F}_{7}=\mathcal{K}_{8}-\mathcal{K}_{9}
\end{array}
$$

and the symmetry roots,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{0}=\mathcal{H}_{f}+\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{5}-\mathcal{F}_{6}-\mathcal{F}_{7}-\mathcal{F}_{8}=2 \mathcal{H}_{q}+\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{K}_{1}-\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{4}-\mathcal{K}_{8}-\mathcal{K}_{9}-\mathcal{K}_{10} \\
& \alpha_{1}=\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{3}-\mathcal{F}_{4}=\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{3}  \tag{4.8}\\
& \alpha_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{2}=2 \mathcal{H}_{q}+\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{K}_{1}-\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{4}-\mathcal{K}_{5}-\mathcal{K}_{6}-\mathcal{K}_{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The symplectic form is the standard one, $\omega^{\mathrm{IP}}=d p \wedge d q$, and the root variables match,

$$
a_{0}^{\mathrm{IP}}=\Theta_{\infty}-\Theta_{0}=1+\theta_{\infty}-\kappa_{0}=a_{0}^{\mathrm{Ok}}, \quad a_{1}^{\mathrm{IP}}=2 \Theta_{0}=2 \kappa_{0}=a_{1}^{\mathrm{Ok}}, \quad a_{2}^{\mathrm{IP}}=1-\Theta_{0}-\Theta_{\infty}=-\theta_{\infty}=a_{2}^{\mathrm{Ok}}
$$

It remains to find the birational change of variables that corresponds to this change of bases of the Picard lattice. It is given in the following Lemma.

Lemma 8. The change of coordinates and parameter matching between the Its-Prokhorov and Okamoto Hamiltonian systems is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { r l } 
{ q ( f , g , t ) } & { = f , } \\
{ p ( f , g , t ) } & { = g - \frac { f } { 4 } - \frac { t } { 2 } - \frac { \kappa _ { 0 } } { 2 f } , } \\
{ \Theta _ { 0 } } & { = \frac { \kappa _ { 0 } } { 2 } , } \\
{ \Theta _ { \infty } } & { = 1 + \theta _ { \infty } - \frac { \kappa _ { 0 } } { 2 } , }
\end{array} \quad \text { and conversely } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{rl}
f(q, p, t) & =q \\
g(q, p, t) & =p+\frac{q}{4}+\frac{t}{2}+\frac{\Theta_{0}}{q} \\
\kappa_{0} & =2 \Theta_{0} \\
\theta_{\infty} & =-1+\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Proof. The proof here is by now a standard computation, see detailed examples in [DT18, DFS20], but to make this paper self-contained, we briefly outline the argument here as well. From the change of basis we see that the coordinate classes are

$$
\mathcal{H}_{f}=\mathcal{H}_{q}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{g}=2 \mathcal{H}_{q}+\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{K}_{1}-\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{4}-\mathcal{K}_{5} .
$$

Thus, up to a Möbius transformation, the coordinates $f$ and $q$ coinside,

$$
f=\frac{A q+B}{C q+D}
$$

where $A, \ldots D$ are the parameters of the Möbius transformation, defined up to a common multiple, that we still need to find. The $g$-coordinate is more interesting - it is a (projective) coordinate on a pencil of $(2,1)$-curves passing through the points $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{4}$, and $y_{5}$. A generic $(2,1)$-curve, written in the affine $(q, p)$ chart, has the equation

$$
a_{21} q^{2} p+a_{20} q^{2}+a_{11} q p+a_{10} q+a_{01} p+a_{00}=0
$$

and imposing the conditions given by points $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{4}, y_{5}$ reduces this equation to

$$
a_{20}\left(q^{2}+4 q p+4 \Theta_{0}\right)+a_{10} q=0
$$

Thus, equations $q=0$ and $q^{2}+4 q p+4 \Theta_{0}=0$ define two basis curves in this pencil, and so

$$
g(q, p)=\frac{K q+L\left(q^{2}+4 q p+4 \Theta_{0}\right)}{M q+N\left(q^{2}+4 q p+4 \Theta_{0}\right)}
$$

where $K, L, M, N$ are again some paramaters to be determined. Let now $\varphi:(q, p) \rightarrow(f, g)$ be our change of variables, and consider the induced forward mapping on the (unique irreducible) divisors corresponding to classes of $-3,-2$, and -1 curves. For example, $\varphi_{*}\left(\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{K}_{1}-\mathcal{K}_{4}\right)=\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{3}-\mathcal{F}_{5}$ means that the -2 curve $H_{p}-K_{1}-K_{4}$, whose projection down to $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is given in the $(q, P)$-chart by the equation $P=0$ (and parameterized by $q$ ) should map on the -2 curve $H_{g}-F_{3}-F_{5}$ whose projection in the $(f, G)$-chart is given by the equation $G=0$ (and parameterized by $g$ ). Thus,

$$
(f, G)(q, P=0)=\left.\left(\frac{A q+B}{C q+D}, \frac{P\left(M q+N\left(q^{2}+4 \Theta_{0}\right)\right)+4 N q}{P\left(K q+L\left(q^{2}+4 \Theta_{0}\right)\right)+4 L q}\right)\right|_{P=0}=\left(\frac{A q+B}{C q+D}, \frac{N}{L}\right)
$$

implies that $N=0$ (and hence $M \neq 0$ and we can take $M=1$ ). So $g(q, p)=K+L\left(q+4 p+\frac{4 \Theta_{0}}{q}\right)$. Similarly, $\varphi_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{9}-\mathcal{K}_{10}\right)=\mathcal{F}_{7}-\mathcal{F}_{8}$ means that the -2 -curve $K_{9}-K_{10}$, given in the $\left(u_{9}, v_{9}\right)$ chart in the domain by the equation $u_{9}=0$ and parameterized by $v_{9}$, should collapse on the $q_{5} \leftarrow q_{6} \leftarrow q_{7}$ cascade. This results in $C=0, D=1, A=4 L, B=2(K+2 L s-t)$ and so $f(q, p)=2(K+2 L(q+s)-t)$. Similar computations for $\varphi_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{6}-\mathcal{K}_{7}\right)=\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{2}$ imply that $K=2 L s$, and $\varphi_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{1}-\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{3}\right)=\mathcal{F}_{3}-\mathcal{F}_{4}-\mathcal{F}_{9}$ gives $L=t /(4 s)$. Finally, $\varphi_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{10}\right)=\mathcal{K}_{8}$ gives $s= \pm t$, as expected, and we take $s=t$ (we also expect this from the Painlevé equation) to get the final change of variables. The inverse change of variables is then immediate.

### 4.3 The Jimbo-Miwa Hamiltonian system

Notation. For the Jimbo-Miwa system we use the following notation: coordinates $(y, z)$, parameters $\Theta_{0}$ and $\Theta_{\infty}$ (same as in Section 4.2); time variable $s$; base points $x_{i}$, exceptional divisors $M_{i}$.

Consider now the system (2.11). It has eight base points,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}(0,0), \quad x_{2}\left(0,2 \Theta_{0}\right), \quad x_{3}\left(\infty, \Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}\right) \\
& x_{4}(\infty, \infty) \leftarrow x_{5}\left(u_{4}=0, v_{4}=0\right) \leftarrow x_{6}(0,2) \leftarrow x_{7}(0,-4 s) \leftarrow x_{8}\left(0,4\left(-1+2 s^{2}-\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

that lie on the polar divisor of a symplectic form $\omega=k \frac{d y \wedge d z}{y}$. Blowing them up we obtain the space of initial conditions for the Jimbo-Miwa system shown on Figure 14. Proceeding in the same way as in Section 4.3, but omitting the preliminary basis identification, we get the following result.


Figure 8: The Space of Initial Conditions for the Jimbo-Miwa Hamiltonian System (2.11)

Lemma 9. The change of bases for Picard lattices between the Jimbo-Miwa and the Okamoto surfaces is given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{H}_{f}=\mathcal{H}_{y}, & \mathcal{H}_{y}=\mathcal{H}_{f}, \\
\mathcal{H}_{g}=2 \mathcal{H}_{y}+\mathcal{H}_{z}-\mathcal{M}_{2}-\mathcal{M}_{4}-\mathcal{M}_{5}-\mathcal{M}_{6}, & \mathcal{H}_{z}=2 \mathcal{H}_{f}+\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{3}-\mathcal{F}_{5}-\mathcal{F}_{6}-\mathcal{F}_{7}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{1}=\mathcal{M}_{7}, & \mathcal{M}_{1}=\mathcal{F}_{4}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{2}=\mathcal{M}_{8}, & \mathcal{M}_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{3}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{3}=\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{M}_{2}, & \mathcal{M}_{3}=\mathcal{F}_{8}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{4}=\mathcal{M}_{1}, & \mathcal{M}_{4}=\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{7},  \tag{4.9}\\
\mathcal{F}_{5}=\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{M}_{6}, & \mathcal{M}_{5}=\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{6}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{6}=\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{M}_{5}, & \mathcal{M}_{6}=\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{5}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{7}=\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{M}_{4}, & \mathcal{M}_{7}=\mathcal{F}_{1}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{8}=\mathcal{M}_{3}, & \mathcal{M}_{8}=\mathcal{F}_{2} .
\end{array}
$$

This results in the following correspondences between the surface roots,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta_{0}=\mathcal{F}_{7}-\mathcal{F}_{8}=\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{M}_{3}-\mathcal{M}_{4}, & \delta_{4}=\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{3}-\mathcal{F}_{5}=\mathcal{H}_{z}-\mathcal{M}_{4}-\mathcal{M}_{5}, \\
\delta_{1}=\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{2}=\mathcal{M}_{7}-\mathcal{M}_{8}, & \delta_{5}=\mathcal{F}_{3}-\mathcal{F}_{4}=\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{M}_{1}-\mathcal{M}_{2}, \\
\delta_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{5}=\mathcal{M}_{6}-\mathcal{M}_{7}, & \delta_{6}=\mathcal{F}_{6}-\mathcal{F}_{7}=\mathcal{M}_{4}-\mathcal{M}_{5} ;  \tag{4.10}\\
\delta_{3}=\mathcal{F}_{5}-\mathcal{F}_{6}=\mathcal{M}_{5}-\mathcal{M}_{6}, &
\end{array}
$$

and the symmetry roots,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{0}=\mathcal{H}_{f}+\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{5}-\mathcal{F}_{6}-\mathcal{F}_{7}-\mathcal{F}_{8}=\mathcal{H}_{z}-\mathcal{M}_{2}-\mathcal{M}_{3} \\
& \alpha_{1}=\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{3}-\mathcal{F}_{4}=\mathcal{M}_{2}-\mathcal{M}_{1}  \tag{4.11}\\
& \alpha_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{2}=2 \mathcal{H}_{y}+\mathcal{H}_{z}-\mathcal{M}_{2}-\mathcal{M}_{4}-\mathcal{M}_{5}-\mathcal{M}_{6}-\mathcal{M}_{7}-\mathcal{M}_{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The normalization $a_{0}+a_{1}+a_{2}=1$ then results in $k=1$ for the symplectic form, and so we recover the symplectic form $\omega^{\mathrm{JM}}=(1 / y) d y \wedge d z$. The root variables then match the Okamoto (and the Its-Prokhorov) ones,

$$
a_{0}^{\mathrm{JM}}=\Theta_{\infty}-\Theta_{0}=a_{0}^{\mathrm{IP}}=a_{0}^{\mathrm{Ok}}, \quad a_{1}^{\mathrm{JM}}=2 \Theta_{0}=a_{1}^{\mathrm{IP}}=a_{1}^{\mathrm{Ok}}, \quad a_{2}^{\mathrm{JM}}=1-\Theta_{0}-\Theta_{\infty}=a_{1}^{\mathrm{IP}}=a_{2}^{\mathrm{Ok}}
$$

The corresponding birational change of variables is given in the following Lemma.

Lemma 10. The change of coordinates and parameter matching between the Jimbo-Miwa and Okamoto Hamiltonian systems is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { r l } 
{ y ( f , g , t ) } & { = f , } \\
{ z ( f , g , t ) } & { = \frac { f ^ { 2 } } { 2 } - f g + f t + \kappa _ { 0 } , } \\
{ \Theta _ { 0 } } & { = \frac { \kappa _ { 0 } } { 2 } , } \\
{ \Theta _ { \infty } } & { = 1 + \theta _ { \infty } - \frac { \kappa _ { 0 } } { 2 } , }
\end{array} \quad \text { and conversely } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{rl}
f(y, z, t) & =y, \\
g(y, z, t) & =\frac{y}{2}-\frac{z}{y}+t+\frac{2 \Theta_{0}}{y}, \\
\kappa_{0} & =2 \Theta_{0}, \\
\theta_{\infty} & =-1+\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Remark 7. We also note that knowing the configuration of the base points amounts to knowing the correct symplectic structure, from which we can then directly obtain the Hamiltonian, as was observed in [DFLS21a]. Indeed, equations $(2.9-2.10)$ are clearly not Hamiltonian w.r.t. the "standard" symplectic form $d z \wedge d y$. However, using the correct form $\omega^{\mathrm{JM}}=(1 / y) d y \wedge d z$ obtained in Lemma 9, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \mathrm{H}}{\partial z}=-\frac{d y}{y d t}=\frac{4 z}{y}-y-2 t-\frac{4 \Theta_{0}}{y} \\
& \frac{\partial \mathrm{H}}{\partial y}=\frac{d z}{y d t}=-\frac{2 z^{2}}{y^{2}}-z+\frac{4 \Theta_{0} z}{y^{2}}+\left(\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore

$$
\mathrm{H}(y, z ; t)=\frac{2 z^{2}}{y}-y z-2 t z-\frac{4 \Theta_{0} z}{y}+\left(\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}\right) y=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{JM}}(y, z ; t)-\left(\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}\right) t
$$

and so we recovered the Jimbo-Miwa Hamiltonian, up to $t$-dependent terms.

### 4.4 The Kecker Hamiltonian system

Notation. For the Kecker system we use the following notation: coordinates $(x, y)$, parameters $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$; time variable $z$; base points $w_{i}$, exceptional divisors $N_{i}$.

The space of initial conditions for this system is again not minimal and has ten base points, but it looks quite different from the other examples. The base points come in three cascades originating from a single point $w_{1}(\infty, \infty)$, reflecting the cubic nature of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}^{\mathrm{Kek}}(x, y ; z)$. Because of that, it is convenient to introduce the cubic roots of unity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{0}=1, \quad \xi_{1}=e^{\frac{2 \pi \mathfrak{i}}{3}}=\frac{-1+\mathfrak{i} \sqrt{3}}{2}, \quad \xi_{2}=\xi_{1}^{2}=e^{\frac{4 \pi \mathfrak{i}}{3}}=\frac{-1-\mathfrak{i} \sqrt{3}}{2} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the base point cascades are

that lie on the polar divisor of a symplectic form $\omega=k d x \wedge d y$. The space of initial conditions is shown on Figure 9. Note that this time we have a curve $N_{1}-N_{2}-N_{5}-N_{8}$ with self-intersection index -4 , and it is clear that we should blow down two -1 curves intersecting with it, with the obvious choice being the inaccessible divisors $H_{x}-N_{1}$ and $H_{y}-N_{1}$.


Figure 9: The Space of Initial Conditions for the Kecker Hamiltonian System (2.18)

The process here is analogous to the one described in Section 4.2. Instead of blowing down, we add two additional blowup points to the standard $E_{6}^{(1)}$ surface shown on Figure 5. Since the -4 -curve on Figure 9 clearly corresponds to the central node of the surface roots Dynkin diagram, we add those additional points to the divisor $d_{3}=F_{5}-F_{6}$ on Figure 5 and then find the change of basis of the Picard lattices that matches the irreducible components of the anti-canonical divisor, using the root variables and the period map to find the correct identification between the three branches of the $E_{6}^{(1)}$ diagrams. We only state the result.

Lemma 11. The change of bases for Picard lattices between the Kecker and the Okamoto (with two additional blowup points) surfaces is given by

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mathcal{H}_{f} & =\mathcal{H}_{x}+\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{N}_{1}-\mathcal{N}_{2}, & \mathcal{H}_{x} & =\mathcal{H}_{f}+\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{5}-\mathcal{F}_{10} \\
\mathcal{H}_{g} & =\mathcal{H}_{x}+\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{N}_{1}-\mathcal{N}_{5}, & \mathcal{H}_{y}=\mathcal{H}_{f}+\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{5}-\mathcal{F}_{9}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{1} & =\mathcal{N}_{6}, & \mathcal{N}_{1} & =\mathcal{H}_{f}+\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{5}-\mathcal{F}_{9}-\mathcal{F}_{10} \\
\mathcal{F}_{2} & =\mathcal{N}_{7}, & \mathcal{N}_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{5}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{3} & =\mathcal{N}_{3}, & \mathcal{N}_{3}=\mathcal{F}_{3}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{4} & =\mathcal{N}_{4}, & \mathcal{N}_{4}=\mathcal{F}_{4}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{5} & =\mathcal{H}_{x}+\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{N}_{1}-\mathcal{N}_{2}-\mathcal{N}_{5}, & \mathcal{N}_{5}=\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{5},  \tag{4.13}\\
\mathcal{F}_{6} & =\mathcal{N}_{8}, & \mathcal{N}_{6}=\mathcal{F}_{1}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{7} & =\mathcal{N}_{9}, & \mathcal{N}_{7}=\mathcal{F}_{2}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{8} & =\mathcal{N}_{10}, & \mathcal{N}_{8}=\mathcal{F}_{6}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{9} & =\mathcal{H}_{x}-\mathcal{N}_{1}, & \mathcal{N}_{9}=\mathcal{F}_{7}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{10} & =\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{N}_{1}, & \mathcal{N}_{10} & =\mathcal{F}_{8} .
\end{array}
$$

This results in the following correspondences between the surface roots,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta_{0}=\mathcal{F}_{7}-\mathcal{F}_{8}=\mathcal{N}_{9}-\mathcal{N}_{10}, & \delta_{4}=\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{3}-\mathcal{F}_{5}=\mathcal{N}_{2}-\mathcal{N}_{3}, \\
\delta_{1}=\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{2}=\mathcal{N}_{6}-\mathcal{N}_{7}, & \delta_{5}=\mathcal{F}_{3}-\mathcal{F}_{4}=\mathcal{N}_{3}-\mathcal{N}_{4}, \\
\delta_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{5}=\mathcal{N}_{5}-\mathcal{N}_{6}, & \delta_{6}=\mathcal{F}_{6}-\mathcal{F}_{7}=\mathcal{N}_{8}-\mathcal{N}_{9},
\end{array}
$$

and the symmetry roots,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{0}=\mathcal{H}_{f}+\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{5}-\mathcal{F}_{6}-\mathcal{F}_{7}-\mathcal{F}_{8}=\mathcal{H}_{x}+\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{N}_{1}-\mathcal{N}_{8}-\mathcal{N}_{9}-\mathcal{N}_{10} \\
& \alpha_{1}=\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{3}-\mathcal{F}_{4}=\mathcal{H}_{x}+\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{N}_{1}-\mathcal{N}_{2}-\mathcal{N}_{3}-\mathcal{N}_{4}  \tag{4.15}\\
& \alpha_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{x}+\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{N}_{1}-\mathcal{N}_{5}-\mathcal{N}_{6}-\mathcal{N}_{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The symplectic form here is $\tilde{\omega}^{\mathrm{Kek}}=(1 / 3) d y \wedge d x$, and the root variables match,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}^{\mathrm{Kek}}=\frac{\xi_{0}+\tilde{\beta} \xi_{1}-\tilde{\alpha} \xi_{2}}{3}=\frac{1}{3}+\frac{\tilde{\alpha}-\tilde{\beta}}{6}+\frac{\mathfrak{i}(\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta})}{2 \sqrt{3}}=1+\theta_{\infty}-\kappa_{0}=a_{0}^{\mathrm{Ok}} \\
& a_{1}^{\mathrm{Kek}}=\frac{\xi_{0}+\tilde{\beta} \xi_{0}-\tilde{\alpha} \xi_{0}}{3}=\frac{1-\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}}{3}=2 \kappa_{0}=a_{1}^{\mathrm{Ok}} \\
& a_{2}^{\mathrm{Kek}}=\frac{\xi_{0}+\tilde{\beta} \xi_{2}-\tilde{\alpha} \xi_{1}}{3}=\frac{1}{3}+\frac{\tilde{\alpha}-\tilde{\beta}}{6}-\frac{\mathfrak{i}(\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta})}{2 \sqrt{3}}=-\theta_{\infty}=a_{2}^{\mathrm{Ok}}
\end{aligned}
$$

To find the corresponding birational change of variables, we need to keep in mind that we also have to find the relationship between the independent variables that enter geometrically as coordinates of the blowup points, so we include some details in the proof.

Lemma 12. The change of coordinates and parameter matching between the Kecker and Okamoto Hamiltonian systems is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlrl}
x(f, g, t) & =\frac{(1+\mathfrak{i})}{4(3)^{3 / 4}}(3(\sqrt{3}-\mathfrak{i}) f+12 \mathfrak{i} g+2(\sqrt{3}-3 \mathfrak{i}) t), & &  \tag{4.16}\\
y(f, g, t) & =\frac{(1+\mathfrak{i})}{4(3)^{3 / 4}}(3(\sqrt{3}+\mathfrak{i}) f-12 \mathfrak{i} g+2(\sqrt{3}+3 \mathfrak{i}) t), & \tilde{\alpha}=\frac{(\sqrt{3}-3 \mathfrak{i})-6 \mathfrak{i} \theta_{\infty}-3(\sqrt{3}-\mathfrak{i}) \kappa_{0}}{2 \sqrt{3}} \\
z(t) & =\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} t, & \tilde{\beta}=\frac{(-\sqrt{3}-3 \mathfrak{i})-6 \mathfrak{i} \theta_{\infty}+3(\sqrt{3}+\mathfrak{i}) \kappa_{0}}{2 \sqrt{3}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and converseley,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlrl}
f(x, y, z) & =\frac{1-\mathfrak{i}}{3^{3 / 4}}(x+y-z),  \tag{4.17}\\
g(x, y, z) & =-\frac{(1+\mathfrak{i})}{4(3)^{3 / 4}}((\sqrt{3}+\mathfrak{i}) x-(\sqrt{3}-\mathfrak{i}) y+2 \mathfrak{i} z), & \kappa_{0} & =\frac{1-\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}}{3} \\
t(z) & =\left(-\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} z & \theta_{\infty} & =\frac{-2+(\sqrt{3} \mathfrak{i}-1) \tilde{\alpha}+(\sqrt{3} \mathfrak{i}+1) \tilde{\beta}}{6}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Let $\varphi:(q, p) \rightarrow(f, g)$ be the change of variables that induces the above change of bases of the Picard lattice. In the $(x, y)$-chart, the equations of the base curves for the pencil $\left|\mathcal{H}_{f}\right|=\left|\mathcal{H}_{x}+\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{N}_{1}-\mathcal{N}_{2}\right|$ can be taken to be $y+\xi_{0} x$ and 1 , and for the pencil $\left|\mathcal{H}_{g}\right|=\left|\mathcal{H}_{x}+\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{N}_{1}-\mathcal{N}_{5}\right|$ we can take $y+\xi_{2} x$ and 1 . Thus, up to the Möbius transformations, we get

$$
f(x, y)=\frac{A\left(y+\xi_{0} x\right)+B}{C\left(y+\xi_{0} x\right)+D}, \quad g(x, y)=\frac{K\left(y+\xi_{2} x\right)+L}{M\left(y+\xi_{2} x\right)+N}
$$

Using the correspondence between the -4 and -2 curves $\delta_{i}$ given by (4.14) we can evaluate the coefficients $A, \ldots, N$ to get

$$
f(x, y)=\frac{-2 t \xi_{1} \xi_{2}\left(x+\xi_{0}^{2} y-\xi_{0} z\right)}{\xi_{0} z\left(\xi_{0}-\xi_{2}\right)\left(\xi_{1}-\xi_{0}\right)}, \quad g(x, y)=\frac{-2 t \xi_{1} \xi_{2}\left(x+\xi_{0}^{2} y-\xi_{0} z\right)}{\xi_{0} z\left(\xi_{0}-\xi_{2}\right)\left(\xi_{1}-\xi_{0}\right)}
$$

or, after some simplification with $\xi_{i}$,

$$
f(x, y)=\frac{2 t(x+y-z)}{z\left(\xi_{1}-1\right)\left(\xi_{2}-1\right)}, \quad g(x, y)=\frac{-t \xi_{1}\left(x+\xi_{1} y-\xi_{2} z\right)}{z\left(\xi_{1}-1\right)\left(\xi_{2}-1\right)}
$$

Next, from the divisor matching $\varphi_{*}\left(N_{7}\right)=F_{2}, \varphi_{*}\left(N_{4}\right)=F_{4}$, and $\varphi_{*}\left(N_{10}\right)=F_{8}$ we get a correspondence between the coordinates of the blowup points, which in turn gives us the following relationship between
parameters:
$\theta_{\infty}=\frac{2 t^{2}\left(\tilde{\beta}+\xi_{1}-\xi_{2} \tilde{\alpha}\right)}{3 z^{2}\left(\xi_{2}-1\right)}, \quad \kappa_{0}=-\frac{2 t^{2} \xi_{1}(\tilde{\beta}+1-\tilde{\alpha})}{3 z^{2}\left(\xi_{2}-1\right)}, \quad 1+2 t^{2}+\theta_{\infty}-\kappa_{0}=\frac{2 t^{2}\left(3 z^{2}\left(\xi_{2}-1\right)+\tilde{\alpha}-\xi_{1}-\xi_{2} \tilde{\beta}\right)}{3 z^{2}\left(\xi_{2}-1\right)}$.
These equations then give us the required parameter matching, confirming the root variable matching in Lemma 4.13 and the parameter matching in (2.19), as well as the relation between the different time variables $t$ (for the Okamoto System) and $z$ (for the Kecker system), $\sqrt{3} z^{2}=2 \mathfrak{i} t^{2}$, i.e., $z=\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} t$, as expected.

Substituting expressions (4.12) for $\xi_{i}$ then gives (4.17) and (4.16) is established directly by inverting the change of coordinates.

## 5 The Fifth Painlevé Equation $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$

For the standard form of the fifth Painlevé equations we take the one in [Oka87a],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} w}{d t^{2}}=\left(\frac{1}{2 w}+\frac{1}{w-1}\right)\left(\frac{d w}{d t}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{t} \frac{d w}{d t}+\frac{(w-1)^{2}}{t^{2}}\left(\alpha w+\frac{\beta}{w}\right)+\frac{\gamma}{t} w+\delta \frac{w(w+1)}{w-1} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ is an independent (complex) variable, $w(t)$ is the dependent variable, and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$ are some parameters. Following Okamoto [Oka87b] ${ }^{*}$, it is convenient to introduce new parameters $\kappa_{0}, \kappa_{\infty}, \theta$, and $\eta$ that we call Okamoto parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{\kappa_{\infty}^{2}}{2}, \quad \beta=-\frac{\kappa_{0}^{2}}{2}, \quad \gamma=-\eta(\theta+1), \quad \delta=-\frac{1}{2} \eta^{2}, \quad \text { as well as } \kappa=\frac{\left(\kappa_{0}+\theta\right)^{2}-\kappa_{\infty}^{2}}{4} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the parameter notation is coming from a certain isomonodromy problem. Note that for $\delta=0, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ reduces to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}}$ and, excluding this case, it is possible to rescale the variables and put $\eta=-1$. Using these parameters, one possible Hamiltonian for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ is given in [Oka87a],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{Ok}}(f, g ; t)=\frac{1}{t}\left(f(f-1)^{2} g^{2}-\left(\kappa_{0}(f-1)^{2}+\theta f(f-1)+\eta t f\right) g+\kappa(f-1)\right) . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the standard symplectic form $\omega^{\mathrm{Ok}}=d g \wedge d f$, we get the Hamiltonian system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d f}{d t}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{Ok}}}{\partial g}=\frac{1}{t}\left((f-1)^{2}\left(2 f g-\kappa_{0}\right)-f(\theta(f-1)+t \eta)\right)  \tag{5.4}\\
\frac{d g}{d t}=-\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{Ok}}}{\partial f}=-\frac{1}{t}\left(g\left((f-1)\left(3 f g-g-2 \kappa_{0}-\theta\right)-(\theta f+t \eta)\right)+\kappa\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and eliminating the function $g=g(t)$ from these equations we get $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ equation (5.1) for the function $f=f(t)$ with parameters given by (5.2). We take the Okamoto form of the Hamiltonian system for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ to be the reference one. However, from the geometric point of view it is better to consider the Hamiltonian given in [KNY17],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{KNY}}(q, p ; t)=\frac{1}{t}\left(q(q-1) p(p+t)-\left(a_{1}+a_{3}\right) q p+a_{1} p+a_{2} t q\right) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the Okamoto space of initial conditions for the resulting Hamiltonian system w.r.t. the standard symplectic form $\omega^{\mathrm{KNY}}=d p \wedge d q$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d q}{d t}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{KNY}}}{\partial p}=\frac{1}{t}\left(q(q-1)(2 p+t)-a_{1}(q-1)-a_{3} q\right)  \tag{5.6}\\
\frac{d p}{d t}=-\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{KNY}}}{\partial q}=\frac{1}{t}\left(p(p+t)(1-2 q)+\left(a_{1}+a_{3}\right) p-a_{2} t\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^0]coincides with the standard $D_{5}^{(1)}$ Sakai surface, where the parameters $a_{i}$ satisfying the standard normalization condition $a_{0}+a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}=1$ are the root variables. The system (5.6) reduces to the standard Painlevé equation (5.1) for the variable $w(t)=1-\frac{1}{q(t)}$ with the parameter values
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{a_{1}^{2}}{2}, \quad \beta=-\frac{a_{3}^{2}}{2}, \quad \gamma=a_{0}-a_{2}, \quad \delta=-\frac{1}{2} . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

There are a few other Hamiltonians for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ known in the literature. In [JM81] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa gave the Hamiltonian
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{JM}}(y, z ; t)=-\frac{1}{t}\left(z-\frac{1}{y}\left(z+\frac{\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{\infty}}{2}\right)\right)\left(z+\Theta_{0}-y\left(z+\frac{\Theta_{0}-\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{\infty}}{2}\right)\right)-z-\frac{\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}}{2}$.
For this Hamiltonian the symplectic form $\omega^{\mathrm{JM}}$ is logarithmic, $\omega^{\mathrm{JM}}=(1 / y) d y \wedge d z$, and the resulting system is

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\frac{1}{y} \frac{d y}{d t}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{JM}}}{\partial z}=-1+\frac{1}{2 t y}\left((y-1)\left(\Theta_{0}(y-3)-\Theta_{1}(y+1)+\left(\Theta_{\infty}+4 z\right)(y-1)\right)\right)  \tag{5.9}\\
-\frac{1}{y} \frac{d z}{d t}=-\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{JM}}}{\partial y}=-\frac{1}{2 t y^{2}}\left(z\left(\Theta_{0}\left(y^{2}-3\right)-\Theta_{1}\left(y^{2}+1\right)+\left(\Theta_{\infty}+2 z\right)\left(y^{2}-1\right)\right)-\Theta_{0}\left(\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{\infty}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

This system reduces to the standard $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ equation (5.1) for the variable $y(t)$ with the parameter matching

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Theta_{0}-\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{\infty}}{2}\right)^{2}, \quad \beta=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Theta_{0}-\Theta_{1}-\Theta_{\infty}}{2}\right)^{2}, \quad \gamma=1-\Theta_{0}-\Theta_{1}, \quad \delta=-\frac{1}{2} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [ZF15] G. Filipuk and H. Żoła̧dek introduced the Hamiltonian

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{FZ}}}(x, y ; t)=\frac{x(x-1)^{2} y^{2}}{2 t}-\frac{\alpha x}{t}+\frac{\beta}{t x}+\frac{\gamma}{x-1}+\frac{\delta t x}{(x-1)^{2}}
$$

where parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are the same as in (5.1). Rescaling the variables slightly, similar to (2.17), we consider instead the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{FZ}}(x, y ; t)=\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{FZ}}}(x, 2 y ; t)=\frac{x(x-1)^{2} y^{2}}{t}+\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{\alpha x}{t}+\frac{\beta}{t x}+\frac{\gamma}{x-1}+\frac{\delta t x}{(x-1)^{2}}\right) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the standard symplectic form $\omega^{\mathrm{FZ}}=d y \wedge d x$ and the Okamoto parameters (5.2), we get the following system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d x}{d t}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{FZ}}}{\partial y}=\frac{2 x(x-1)^{2} y}{t}  \tag{5.12}\\
\frac{d y}{d t}=-\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{FZ}}}{\partial y}=-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{2(\theta+1) \eta}{(x-1)^{2}}+\frac{\eta^{2} t(x+1)}{(x-1)^{3}}+\frac{\kappa_{0}^{2}}{t x^{2}}+\frac{4\left(3 x^{2}-4 x+1\right) y^{2}-\kappa_{\infty}^{2}}{t}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Finally, the Hamiltonian given in [IP18] (that we write in variables $(f, g)$ instead of the original variables $(q, p)$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{IP}}(f, g ; t)= & \frac{1}{t}\left(f(f-1)^{2} g^{2}+\left(\Theta_{0}+3 \Theta_{1}+\Theta_{\infty}\right) f^{2} g+\left(t-2 \Theta_{\infty}-4 \Theta_{1}\right) f g+\left(\Theta_{\infty}+\Theta_{1}-\Theta_{0}\right) g\right.  \tag{5.13}\\
& \left.+2 \Theta_{1}\left(\Theta_{\infty}+\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{0}\right) f+\Theta_{0}^{2}-\left(\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{\infty}\right)^{2}+\Theta_{1} t\right)
\end{align*}
$$

coincides, up to purely $t$-dependent terms, with the Okamoto Hamiltonian (5.3) under the parameter matching

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{0}=\frac{\kappa_{0}-\kappa_{\infty}-\theta}{4}, \quad \Theta_{1}=-\frac{\kappa_{0}-\kappa_{\infty}+\theta}{4}, \quad \Theta_{\infty}=-\frac{\kappa_{0}+\kappa_{\infty}}{2} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the normalization $\eta=-1$, and so we do not consider it in any detail. Note that here the parameters $\Theta_{i}$ are, up to some simple scaling, the same as in the Jimbo-Miwa case.

In the next sections we give the spaces of initial conditions for each of those Hamiltonian systems and give the change of coordinates reducing them to the standard Okamoto case. These computations are standard and so we only summarize the geometric data. We begin, however, with system (5.6) and the standard $D_{5}^{(1)}$ surface.

### 5.1 The Kajiwara-Noumi-Yamada Hamiltonian system

Notation. For the Kajiwara-Noumi-Yamada system we use the following notation: coordinates ( $q, p$ ), parameters $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ (the root variables); time variable $t$; base points $p_{i}$, exceptional divisors $E_{i}$.


Figure 10: The Space of Initial Conditions for the Kajiwara-Noumi-Yamada Hamiltonian System (standard $D_{5}^{(1)}$ surface)

The Okamoto space of initial conditions for system (5.6) is given on Figure 10. It is the standard realization of the $D_{5}^{(1)}$ Sakai surface and the coordinates of the basepoints are given in terms of root variables satisfying the usual normalization condition $a_{0}+a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}=1$ by

$$
p_{1}(\infty,-t) \leftarrow p_{2}\left(0,-a_{0}\right), \quad p_{3}(\infty, 0) \leftarrow p_{4}\left(0,-a_{2}\right), \quad p_{5}(0, \infty) \leftarrow p_{6}\left(a_{1}, 0\right), \quad p_{7}(1, \infty) \leftarrow p_{8}\left(a_{3}, 0\right)
$$

This is the same parameterization of the point configuration as in section 8.2.18 of [KNY17].
The surface and symmetry root bases for this standard realization of the $D_{5}^{(1)}$ surface are given on Fig. 11 and Fig. 12 respectively. The birational representation of the extended affine Weyl symmetry group $\widetilde{W}\left(D_{5}^{(1)}\right)$ is given in [KNY17] and [HDC20], and we do not reproduce it here.


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta_{0}=\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{2}, & \delta_{3}=\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{7} \\
\delta_{1}=\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{4}, & \delta_{4}=\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{6}  \tag{5.15}\\
\delta_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{3}, & \delta_{5}=\mathcal{E}_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{8}
\end{array}
$$

Figure 11: The Surface Root Basis for the standard $D_{5}^{(1)}$ Sakai surface point configuration

### 5.2 The Okamoto Hamiltonian system

Notation. For the Okamoto system we use the following notation: coordinates $(f, g)$, parameters are the Okamoto parameters (5.2); base points $q_{i}$, exceptional divisors $F_{i}$.


$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{0} & =\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{2}, \quad \alpha_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{4} \\
\alpha_{1} & =\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{6}, \quad \alpha_{3}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{8}  \tag{5.16}\\
\delta & =\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Figure 12: The Symmetry Root Basis for the standard $A_{3}^{(1)}$ symmetry sub-lattice

The space of initial conditions for the system (5.4) is given on Figure 13, where the basepoints are

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{2}\left(0, \frac{\theta+\kappa_{0}-\kappa_{\infty}}{2}\right), & q_{4}(0, \infty) \longleftarrow q_{5}\left(\kappa_{0}, 0\right), \\
q_{1}(\infty, 0) & \leftarrow< \\
& q_{3}\left(0, \frac{\theta+\kappa_{0}+\kappa_{\infty}}{2}\right),
\end{align*} q_{6}(1, \infty) \leftarrow q_{7}\left(u_{6}=0, v_{6}=0\right) \leftarrow q_{8}(t \eta, 0) \longleftarrow q_{9}(t(1+\theta) \eta, 0) .
$$

Note that we have nine basepoints and two -3 curves, so this surface is not minimal and we need to blow down the -1 -curve $H_{f}-F_{1}$.


Figure 13: The Space of Initial Conditions for the Okamoto Hamiltonian System
We then get the following Lemma.
Lemma 13. The change of bases for Picard lattices between the standard Kajiwara-Noumi-Yamada (with an additional blowup point) and the Okamoto surfaces is given by

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mathcal{H}_{q} & =\mathcal{H}_{f}, & \mathcal{H}_{f}=\mathcal{H}_{q}, \\
\mathcal{H}_{p} & =2 \mathcal{H}_{f}+\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{2}-\mathcal{F}_{6}-\mathcal{F}_{7}, & \mathcal{H}_{g} & =2 \mathcal{H}_{q}+\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{4}-\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{9}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{1} & =\mathcal{F}_{8}, & \mathcal{F}_{1}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{9}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{2} & =\mathcal{F}_{9}, & \mathcal{F}_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{5}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{3} & =\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{7}, & \mathcal{F}_{3}=\mathcal{E}_{6}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{4} & =\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{6}, & \mathcal{F}_{4}=\mathcal{E}_{7},  \tag{5.18}\\
\mathcal{E}_{5} & =\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{2}, & \mathcal{F}_{5}=\mathcal{E}_{8}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{6} & =\mathcal{F}_{3}, & \mathcal{F}_{6}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{4}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{7} & =\mathcal{F}_{4}, & \mathcal{F}_{7}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{3}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{8} & =\mathcal{F}_{5}, & \mathcal{F}_{8}=\mathcal{E}_{1}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{9} & =\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{1}, & \mathcal{F}_{9}=\mathcal{E}_{2} .
\end{array}
$$

This results in the following correspondences between the surface roots with additional blowups (so strictly speaking these are no longer roots but classes of curves with indices -2 and -3 ),

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta_{0}=\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{2}=\mathcal{F}_{8}-\mathcal{F}_{9}, & \delta_{3}=\mathcal{F}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{9}=\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{4}-\mathcal{F}_{6}-\mathcal{F}_{7}, \\
\delta_{1}=\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{4}=\mathcal{F}_{6}-\mathcal{F}_{7}, & \delta_{4}=\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{6}-\mathcal{E}_{9}=\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{2}-\mathcal{F}_{3},  \tag{5.19}\\
\delta_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{3}=\mathcal{F}_{7}-\mathcal{F}_{8}, & \delta_{5}=\mathcal{E}_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{8}=\mathcal{F}_{4}-\mathcal{F}_{5} ;
\end{array}
$$

and the symmetry roots,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{0}=\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{2}=2 \mathcal{H}_{f}+\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{2}-\mathcal{F}_{6}-\mathcal{F}_{7}-\mathcal{F}_{8}-\mathcal{F}_{9} \\
& \alpha_{1}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{6}=\mathcal{F}_{2}-\mathcal{F}_{3}  \tag{5.20}\\
& \alpha_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{4}=\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{2} \\
& \alpha_{3}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{8}=\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{4}-\mathcal{F}_{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The symplectic form is the standard one, $\omega^{\mathrm{Ok}}=d g \wedge d f$, and the root variables are

$$
a_{0}=1+\frac{\theta-\kappa_{0}+\kappa_{\infty}}{2}, \quad a_{1}=-\kappa_{\infty}, \quad a_{2}=\frac{-\theta-\kappa_{0}+\kappa_{\infty}}{2}, \quad a_{3}=\kappa_{0}
$$

where the standard normalization $a_{0}+a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}=1$ is equivalent to rescaling $\eta=-1$ in (5.2).
The birational change of variables corresponding to this change of bases of the Picard lattice is given in the following Lemma.

Lemma 14. The change of coordinates and parameter matching between the Kajiwara-Noumi-Yamada and Okamoto Hamiltonian systems is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { r l r l } 
{ q ( f , g , t ) } & { = \frac { 1 } { 1 - f } , }  \tag{5.21}\\
{ p ( f , g , t ) } & { = \frac { ( f - 1 ) ( 2 ( f - 1 ) g - \theta - \kappa _ { 0 } + \kappa _ { \infty } ) } { 2 } , } \\
{ a _ { 0 } } & { = 1 + \frac { \theta - \kappa _ { 0 } + \kappa _ { \infty } } { 2 } , } & { a _ { 1 } = - \kappa _ { \infty } , } \\
{ a _ { 2 } } & { = - \frac { \theta + \kappa _ { 0 } - \kappa _ { \infty } } { 2 } , } & { a _ { 3 } = \kappa _ { 0 } . }
\end{array} \quad \quad a n d \quad \text { (as expected) } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{rlrl}
f(q, p, t) & =1-\frac{1}{q} \quad \\
g(q, p, t) & =q\left(p q+a_{2}\right), \\
\kappa_{0} & =a_{3}, & \kappa_{\infty}=-a_{1} \\
\theta & =-a_{1}-2 a_{2}-a_{3}, & \eta=-1
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Since the change of variables is time-independent, the Hamiltonians match (up to t-dependent terms),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{KNY}}(q, p ; t)=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{Ok}}(f(q, p, t), g(q, p, t) ; t)+a_{2}+\frac{a_{2}\left(1-a_{0}\right)}{t} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.3 The Jimbo-Miwa Hamiltonian System

Notation. For the Jimbo-Miwa system we use the following notation: coordinates $(y, z)$, parameters (5.10), base points $x_{i}$, exceptional divisors $M_{i}$.

The space of initial conditions for the system (5.9) is given on Figure 14, where the basepoints are

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}\left(0,-\Theta_{0}\right), \quad x_{2}\left(0,-\frac{\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{\infty}}{2}\right), \quad x_{3}(\infty, 0), \quad x_{4}\left(\infty,-\frac{\Theta_{0}-\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{\infty}}{2}\right),  \tag{5.23}\\
& x_{5}(1, \infty) \leftarrow x_{6}\left(u_{5}=0, v_{5}=0\right) \leftarrow x_{7}(t, 0) \leftarrow x_{8}\left(t\left(t+\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{1}-1\right), 0\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From the geometric point of view, it is best to match it to the standard $D_{5}^{(1)}$ Sakai surface, which we do next.


Figure 14: The Space of Initial Conditions for the Jimbo-Miwa Hamiltonian System

Lemma 15. The change of bases for Picard lattices between the standard $D_{5}^{(1)}$ Kajiwara-Noumi-Yamada surface and the Jimbo-Miwa space of initial condition is given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{H}_{q}=\mathcal{H}_{y}, & \mathcal{H}_{y}=\mathcal{H}_{q}, \\
\mathcal{H}_{p}=2 \mathcal{H}_{y}+\mathcal{H}_{z}-\mathcal{M}_{2}-\mathcal{M}_{4}-\mathcal{M}_{5}-\mathcal{M}_{6}, & \mathcal{H}_{z}=2 \mathcal{H}_{q}+\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{4}-\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{7}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{1}=\mathcal{M}_{7}, & \mathcal{M}_{1}=\mathcal{E}_{8}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{2}=\mathcal{M}_{8}, & \mathcal{M}_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{7}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{3}=\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{M}_{6}, & \mathcal{M}_{3}=\mathcal{E}_{6}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{4}=\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{M}_{5}, & \mathcal{M}_{4}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{5},  \tag{5.24}\\
\mathcal{E}_{5}=\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{M}_{4}, & \mathcal{M}_{5}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{4}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{6}=\mathcal{M}_{3}, & \mathcal{M}_{6}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{3}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{7}=\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{M}_{2}, & \mathcal{M}_{7}=\mathcal{E}_{1}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{8}=\mathcal{M}_{1}, & \mathcal{M}_{8}=\mathcal{E}_{2} .
\end{array}
$$

This results in the following correspondences between the surface roots,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta_{0}=\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{2}=\mathcal{M}_{7}-\mathcal{M}_{8}, & \delta_{3}=\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{7}=\mathcal{H}_{z}-\mathcal{M}_{5}-\mathcal{M}_{6}, \\
\delta_{1}=\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{4}=\mathcal{M}_{5}-\mathcal{M}_{6}, & \delta_{4}=\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{6}=\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{M}_{3}-\mathcal{M}_{4},  \tag{5.25}\\
\delta_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{3}=\mathcal{M}_{6}-\mathcal{M}_{7}, & \delta_{5}=\mathcal{E}_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{8}=\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{M}_{1}-\mathcal{M}_{2}
\end{array}
$$

and the symmetry roots,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{0}=\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{2}=2 \mathcal{H}_{y}+\mathcal{H}_{z}-\mathcal{M}_{2}-\mathcal{M}_{4}-\mathcal{M}_{5}-\mathcal{M}_{6}-\mathcal{M}_{7}-\mathcal{M}_{8} \\
& \alpha_{1}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{6}=\mathcal{M}_{4}-\mathcal{M}_{3} \\
& \alpha_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{4}=\mathcal{H}_{z}-\mathcal{M}_{2}-\mathcal{M}_{4}  \tag{5.26}\\
& \alpha_{3}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\varepsilon_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{8}=\mathcal{M}_{2}-\mathcal{M}_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

The symplectic form is the logarithmic one, $\omega^{\mathrm{JM}}=(1 / y) d y \wedge d g$, and the root variables are

$$
a_{0}=1-\Theta_{0}, \quad a_{1}=\frac{\Theta_{0}-\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{\infty}}{2}, \quad a_{2}=\Theta_{1}, \quad a_{3}=\frac{\Theta_{0}-\Theta_{1}-\Theta_{\infty}}{2} .
$$

In the next Lemma we give the birational change of variables corresponding to this change of bases of the Picard lattice, as well as the resulting change of variables between the Jimbo-Miwa and the Okamoto systems.

Lemma 16. The change of coordinates and parameter matching between the Kajiwara-Noumi-Yamada and Jimbo-Miwa Hamiltonian systems is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
q(y, z, t) & =\frac{1}{1-y},  \tag{5.27}\\
p(y, z, t) & =-\frac{(y-1)\left(\left(\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{\infty}+2 z\right)(y-1)-\Theta_{1}(y+1)\right)}{2 y}, \\
a_{0} & =1-\Theta_{0}, \quad a_{1}=\frac{\Theta_{0}-\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{\infty}}{2}, \\
a_{2} & =\Theta_{1},
\end{array} \quad a_{3}=\frac{\Theta_{0}-\Theta_{1}-\Theta_{\infty}}{2} . \quad a n d \quad\left\{\begin{aligned}
y(q, p, t) & =1-\frac{1}{q} \\
z(q, p, t) & =-a_{1}-a_{2} q-(q-1) q p \\
\Theta_{0} & =a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3} \\
\Theta_{1} & =a_{2}, \quad \Theta_{\infty}=a_{1}-a_{3}
\end{aligned}\right.\right.
$$

Combining it with the change of variables (5.21), we get the change of variables between the Okamoto (with $\eta=-1$ ) and the Jimbo-Miwa Hamiltonian systems,

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { r l } 
{ y ( f , g , t ) } & { = f , }  \tag{5.28}\\
{ z ( f , g , t ) } & { = \frac { \theta + \kappa _ { 0 } + \kappa _ { \infty } } { 2 } - f g , } \\
{ \Theta _ { 0 } } & { = \frac { \kappa _ { 0 } - \kappa _ { \infty } - \theta } { 2 } , } \\
{ \Theta _ { 1 } } & { = - \frac { \kappa _ { 0 } - \kappa _ { \infty } + \theta } { 2 } , } \\
{ \Theta _ { \infty } } & { = - \kappa _ { 0 } - \kappa _ { \infty } }
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{rl}
f(y, z, t)=y \\
g(y, z, t) & =-\frac{2 z+\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{\infty}}{2 y} \\
\kappa_{0} & =\frac{\Theta_{0}-\Theta_{1}-\Theta_{\infty}}{2}, \\
\kappa_{\infty} & =-\frac{\Theta_{0}-\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{\infty}}{2} \\
\theta & =-\Theta_{0}-\Theta_{1}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Since the change of variables is time-independent, the Hamiltonians match (up to $t$-dependent terms),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{JM}}(y, z ; t)=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{Ok}}(f(y, z, t), g(y, z, t) ; t)+\frac{\Theta_{1}}{2}+\frac{\left(\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{1}\right)^{2}-\Theta_{\infty}^{2}}{4 t} \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.4 The Filipuk-Żoła̧dek Hamiltonian System

Notation. For the Filipuk-Żołądek system we use the following notation: coordinates ( $x, y$ ), parameters (5.2), base points $z_{i}$, exceptional divisors $K_{i}$.

The space of initial conditions for the system (5.12) is given on Figure 15, where the basepoints are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.z_{1}(\infty, 0) \leftarrow z^{z_{2}\left(0, \frac{\kappa_{\infty}}{2}\right),} z_{4}(0, \infty) \leftarrow z_{7}(1, \infty) \leftarrow z_{8}\left(u_{7}=0, v_{7}=0\right) \longleftarrow z_{9}\left(\frac{\kappa_{0}}{2}, 0\right), 0\right) \leftarrow z_{10}\left(\left(\frac{\theta+2) t \eta}{4}, 0\right),\right. \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that we have twelve basepoints and four -3 curves, so this surface is not minimal and we need to blow down some curves. From Figure 15 it is pretty clear that we should blow down the -1 - curves $H_{x}-K_{1}$ and $H_{x}-K_{4}$, as well as the cascade $H_{x}-K_{7}$ and $K_{7}-K_{8}$. As usual, instead we blow up the standard surface at additional points $p_{9}, \ldots p_{12}$.
Lemma 17. The change of bases for Picard lattices between the standard Kajiwara-Noumi-Yamada (with four


Figure 15: The Space of Initial Conditions for the Filipuk-Żoła̧dek Hamiltonian System
additional blowup points) and the Filipuk-ŻZtadek surfaces is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}_{q} & =\mathcal{H}_{x}, & \mathcal{H}_{x}=\mathcal{H}_{q}, \\
\mathcal{H}_{p} & =3 \mathcal{H}_{x}+\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{K}_{1}-\mathcal{K}_{3}-\mathcal{K}_{4}-\mathcal{K}_{6}-\mathcal{K}_{7}-\mathcal{K}_{8}, & \mathcal{H}_{y}=3 \mathcal{H}_{q}+\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{9}-\mathcal{E}_{10}-\mathcal{E}_{11}-\mathcal{E}_{12}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{1} & =\mathcal{K}_{9}, & \mathcal{K}_{1}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{12}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{2} & =\mathcal{K}_{10}, & \mathcal{K}_{2}=\mathcal{E}_{6}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{3} & =\mathcal{K}_{11}, & \mathcal{K}_{3}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{5}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{4} & =\mathcal{K}_{12}, & \mathcal{K}_{4}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{11}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{5} & =\mathcal{H}_{x}-\mathcal{K}_{3}, & \mathcal{K}_{5}=\mathcal{E}_{8}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{6} & =\mathcal{K}_{2}, & \mathcal{K}_{6}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{7}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{7} & =\mathcal{H}_{x}-\mathcal{K}_{6}, & \mathcal{K}_{7}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{10}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{8} & =\mathcal{K}_{5}, & \mathcal{K}_{8}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{9}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{9} & =\mathcal{H}_{x}-\mathcal{K}_{8}, & \mathcal{K}_{9}=\mathcal{E}_{1}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{10} & =\mathcal{H}_{x}-\mathcal{K}_{7}, & \mathcal{K}_{10}=\mathcal{E}_{2}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{11} & =\mathcal{H}_{x}-\mathcal{K}_{4}, & \mathcal{K}_{11}=\mathcal{E}_{3}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{12} & =\mathcal{H}_{x}-\mathcal{K}_{1}, & \mathcal{K}_{12}=\mathcal{E}_{4} .
\end{align*}
$$

This results in the following correspondences between the surface roots with additional blowups (i.e., classes of curves with indices -2 and -3 ),

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta_{0}=\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{2}=\mathcal{K}_{9}-\mathcal{K}_{10}, & \delta_{3}=\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{12}=\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{K}_{4}-\mathcal{K}_{7}-\mathcal{K}_{8} \\
\delta_{1}=\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{4}=\mathcal{K}_{11}-\mathcal{K}_{12}, & \delta_{4}=\varepsilon_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{6}-\varepsilon_{12}=\mathcal{K}_{1}-\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{3}, \\
\delta_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{9}=\mathcal{K}_{8}-\mathcal{K}_{9}-\mathcal{K}_{11}, & \delta_{5}=\varepsilon_{7}-\varepsilon_{8}-\varepsilon_{11}=\mathcal{K}_{4}-\mathcal{K}_{5}-\mathcal{K}_{6}, \tag{5.32}
\end{array}
$$

as well as $\mathcal{E}_{9}-\mathcal{E}_{10}=\mathcal{K}_{7}-\mathcal{K}_{8} ;$ and the symmetry roots,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{0}=\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{2}=3 \mathcal{H}_{x}+\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{K}_{1}-\mathcal{K}_{3}-\mathcal{K}_{4}-\mathcal{K}_{6}-\mathcal{K}_{7}-\mathcal{K}_{8}-\mathcal{K}_{9}-\mathcal{K}_{10} \\
& \alpha_{1}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{6}=\mathcal{K}_{3}-\mathcal{K}_{2} \\
& \alpha_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{4}=3 \mathcal{H}_{x}+\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{K}_{1}-\mathcal{K}_{3}-\mathcal{K}_{4}-\mathcal{K}_{6}-\mathcal{K}_{7}-\mathcal{K}_{8}-\mathcal{K}_{11}-\mathcal{K}_{12},  \tag{5.33}\\
& \alpha_{3}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{8}=\mathcal{K}_{6}-\mathcal{K}_{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The symplectic form is $\omega^{\mathrm{FZ}}=d y \wedge d x$, and the root variables are the same as in the Okamoto case (5.21).

Lemma 18. The change of coordinates and parameter matching between the Kajiwara-Noumi-Yamada and Filipuk-Żotadek (with $\eta=-1$ ) Hamiltonian systems is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ q ( x , y , t ) = \frac { 1 } { 1 - x } , }  \tag{5.34}\\
{ p ( x , y , t ) = ( x - 1 ) ^ { 2 } y + \frac { \eta t x + ( x - 1 ) ( \kappa _ { \infty } x - \kappa _ { 0 } ) } { 2 x } , }
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \left\{\begin{array}{l}
x(q, p, t)=1-\frac{1}{q} \\
y(q, p, t)=q^{2}\left(p-\frac{\eta t}{2}\right)-\frac{a_{3} q^{2}}{2(q-1)}-\frac{a_{1} q}{2}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Combining it with the change of variables (5.21), we get the change of variables between the Okamoto and the Filipuk-Żotadek Hamiltonian systems,

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ x ( f , g , t ) = f , }  \tag{5.35}\\
{ y ( f , g , t ) = g - \frac { 1 } { 2 } ( \frac { \theta } { f - 1 } + \frac { \eta t } { ( f - 1 ) ^ { 2 } } + \frac { \kappa _ { 0 } } { f } ) , }
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
f(x, y, t)=x \\
g(x, y, t)=y+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{x-1}+\frac{\eta t}{(x-1)^{2}}+\frac{\kappa_{0}}{x}\right) .
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Note that the change of variables is now time-dependent, and so there will be a correction term that, from

$$
\begin{aligned}
d g \wedge d f-d \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{Ok}} \wedge d t & =d y \wedge d x-d \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{FZ}} \wedge d t \\
d g \wedge d f & =d y \wedge d x+\frac{\eta}{2(x-1)^{2}} d t \wedge d x=d y \wedge d x+d\left(\frac{\eta}{2(x-1)}\right) \wedge d t
\end{aligned}
$$

should be equal to $-\frac{\eta}{2(x-1)}$. Indeed,

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{FZ}}(x, y ; t)=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{Ok}}(f(x, y, t), g(x, y, t), t)-\frac{\eta}{2(x-1)}+\frac{\eta\left(\theta+\kappa_{0}\right)}{2}+\frac{\theta^{2}-\kappa_{0}^{2}-\kappa_{\infty}^{2}}{4 t}
$$

## 6 The Sixth Painlevé Equation $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$

For the standard form of the sixth Painlevé equations we again take the one in [Oka87a],

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^{2} w}{d t^{2}}= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{w}+\frac{1}{w-1}+\frac{1}{w-t}\right)\left(\frac{d w}{d t}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{t}+\frac{1}{t-1}+\frac{1}{w-t}\right) \frac{d w}{d t}+  \tag{6.1}\\
& \frac{w(w-1)(w-t)}{t^{2}(t-1)^{2}}\left(\alpha+\beta \frac{t}{w^{2}}+\gamma \frac{t-1}{(w-1)^{2}}+\delta \frac{t(t-1)}{(w-t)^{2}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $t$ is an independent (complex) variable, $w(t)$ is the dependent variable, and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$ are some parameters. Following Okamoto [Oka87b], it is convenient to introduce the Okamoto parameters $\kappa_{0}, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{\infty}$, and $\theta$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{\kappa_{\infty}^{2}}{2}, \quad \beta=-\frac{\kappa_{0}^{2}}{2}, \quad \gamma=\frac{\kappa_{1}^{2}}{2}, \quad \delta=\frac{1-\theta^{2}}{2}, \quad \text { as well as } \kappa=\frac{\left(\kappa_{0}+\kappa_{1}+\theta-1\right)^{2}-\kappa_{\infty}^{2}}{4} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the parameter notation is coming from a certain isomonodromy problem. Our reference Hamiltonian for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ is the one in [Oka87a],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{Ok}}(f, g ; t)=\frac{1}{t(t-1)}\left(f(f-1)(f-t) g^{2}-\left(\kappa_{0}(f-1)(f-t)+\kappa_{1} f(f-t)+(\theta-1) f(f-1)\right) g+\kappa(f-t)\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives, w.r.t. the standard symplectic form $\omega^{\mathrm{Ok}}=d g \wedge d f$, the Hamiltonian system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d f}{d t}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{Ok}}}{\partial g}=\frac{1}{t(t-1)}\left((f-1)(f-t)\left(2 f g-\kappa_{0}\right)-\kappa_{1} f(f-t)-(\theta-1) f(f-1)\right)  \tag{6.4}\\
\frac{d g}{d t}=-\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{Ok}}}{\partial f}=-\frac{1}{t(t-1)}\left(\left(\left(3 f^{2}-2(t+1) f+t\right) g-\left(\kappa_{0}+\kappa_{1}\right)(2 f-t)-(\theta-1)(2 f-1)+\kappa_{0}\right) g+\kappa\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Eliminating the function $g=g(t)$ from these equations we get the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ equation (6.1) for the function $f=f(t)$ with parameters given by (6.2). The same Hamiltonian in [KNY17] is written in terms of the root variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=\theta, \quad a_{1}=-\kappa_{\infty}, \quad a_{2}=\frac{1-\theta-\kappa_{0}-\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{\infty}}{2}, \quad a_{3}=\kappa_{1}, \quad a_{4}=\kappa_{0} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the usual normalization condition $a_{0}+a_{1}+2 a_{2}+a_{3}+a_{4}=1$. From the geometric point of view it is better to rewrite everything in the coordinates $(q, p)=(f, f g)^{\dagger}$ since the corresponding space of initial conditions is minimal and corresponds to the standard realization of the $D_{4}^{(1)}$ algebraic surface. Thus, we let the Kajiwara-Noumi-Yamada Hamiltonian be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{KNY}}(f, g ; t)=\frac{(q-1)(q-t) p}{t(t-1)}\left(\frac{p}{q}-\left(\frac{a_{0}-1}{q-t}+\frac{a_{3}}{q-1}+\frac{a_{4}}{q}\right)\right)+\frac{a_{2}\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)(q-t)}{t(t-1)} . \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In these coordinates the symplectic form becomes logarithmic, $\omega^{\mathrm{KNY}}=(1 / q) d p \wedge d q$ and the resulting Hamiltonian system is

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d q}{d t}=q \frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{KNY}}}{\partial p}=\frac{1}{t(t-1)}\left((q-1)(q-t)\left(2 p-a_{4}\right)-\left(a_{0}-1\right) q(q-1)-a_{3} q(q-t)\right)  \tag{6.7}\\
\frac{d p}{d t}=-q \frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{KNY}}}{\partial q}=-\frac{1}{t(t-1)}\left(q\left(p+a_{2}\right)\left(p+a_{1}+a_{2}\right)-\frac{t p}{q}\left(p-a_{4}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

which reduces to the standard Painlevé $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ equation (6.1) with parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{a_{1}^{2}}{2}, \quad \beta=-\frac{a_{4}^{2}}{2}, \quad \gamma=\frac{a_{3}^{2}}{2}, \quad \delta=\frac{1-a_{0}^{2}}{2} . \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Jimbo-Miwa Hamiltonian [JM81]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{JM}}(y, z ; t)=\frac{1}{t(t-1)}\left(y(y-1)(y-t) z^{2}-\left(\Theta_{0}(y-1)(y-t)+\Theta_{1} y(y-t)+\Theta_{t} y(y-1)\right) z+\right.  \tag{6.9}\\
\left.K_{1} K_{2}(y-t)+\Theta_{0} \Theta_{t}(t-1)+\Theta_{1} \Theta_{t} t\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

is, up to purely $t$-dependent terms, the same as the Okamoto Hamiltonian (6.3)

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{JM}}(f, g ; t)=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{Ok}}(f, g ; t)-\Theta_{t}\left(\frac{\Theta_{0}}{t}+\frac{\Theta_{1}}{t-1}\right)
$$

under the parameter identification

$$
\Theta_{0}=\kappa_{0}, \quad \Theta_{1}=\kappa_{1}, \quad \Theta_{t}=\theta-1, \quad \Theta_{\infty}=1-\kappa_{\infty}, \quad \text { and } 4 K_{1} K_{2}=\left(\Theta_{0}+\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{t}\right)^{2}+\left(\Theta_{\infty}-1\right)^{2}
$$

or

$$
\alpha=\frac{\left(\Theta_{\infty}-1\right)^{2}}{2}, \quad \beta=-\frac{\Theta_{0}^{2}}{2}, \quad \gamma=\frac{\Theta_{1}^{2}}{2}, \quad \delta=\frac{1-\left(1+\Theta_{t}\right)^{2}}{2}
$$

and so we do not consider it further.
The Hamiltonian given in [IP18]

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{P}}(x, y ; t)= & y^{2} \frac{x(x-1)(x-t)}{t(t-1)}+y \frac{x(x-1)}{t(t-1)}+\frac{\Theta_{\infty}\left(1-\Theta_{\infty}\right)(x-t)}{t(t-1)}+  \tag{6.10}\\
& \frac{\Theta_{0}^{2}(x-t)}{x t(t-1)}-\frac{\Theta_{1}^{2}(x-t)}{(x-1) t(t-1)}+\frac{\Theta_{t}^{2}\left(t^{2}-x(2 t-1)\right)}{(x-t) t(t-1)}
\end{align*}
$$

${ }^{\dagger}$ For consistency of notation throughout the paper our coordinate labels here are reverse to those in [KNY17]
where $\Theta_{i}$ are some isomonodromy parameters (different from the Jimbo-Miwa parameters above), gives, w.r.t. the standard symplectic form $\omega^{\mathrm{IP}}=d y \wedge d x$, the Hamiltonian system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d x}{d t}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{IP}}}{\partial y}=\frac{x(x-1)}{t(t-1)}+2 y \frac{x(x-1)(x-t)}{t(t-1)}  \tag{6.11}\\
\frac{d y}{d t}=-\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{IP}}}{\partial x}=\frac{y(t y-1)(2 x-1)+y^{2} x(2-3 x)}{t(t-1)}-\frac{\Theta_{0}^{2}}{x^{2}(t-1)}-\frac{\Theta_{t}^{2}}{(t-x)^{2}}+\frac{\Theta_{1}^{2}}{t(x-1)^{2}}-\frac{\Theta_{\infty}\left(\Theta_{\infty}-1\right)}{t(t-1)},
\end{array}\right.
$$

which reduces to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ for $x(t)$ for parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{\left(2 \Theta_{\infty}-1\right)^{2}}{2}, \quad \beta=-2 \Theta_{0}^{2}, \quad \gamma=2 \Theta_{1}^{2}, \quad \delta=\frac{1-4 \Theta_{t}^{2}}{2} . \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matching between the Its-Prokhorov and Okamoto parameters is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{0}=\frac{\kappa_{0}}{2}, \quad \Theta_{1}=\frac{\kappa_{1}}{2}, \quad \Theta_{t}=\frac{\theta}{2}, \quad \Theta_{\infty}=\frac{1-\kappa_{\infty}}{2} \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Closely related to the Its-Prokhorov Hamiltonian is the Filipuk-Żoła̧dek Hamiltonian [ZF15]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{FZ}}}(x, \tilde{y} ; t)=\frac{1}{t(t-1)}\left(\frac{x(x-1)(x-t) \tilde{y}^{2}}{2}-\alpha x+\beta \frac{t}{x}+\gamma \frac{t-1}{x-1}+\delta \frac{t(t-1)}{x-t}\right) \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we again rescale slightly and consider instead

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{FZ}}(x, y ; t)=\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{FZ}}}(x, 2 y ; t)=\frac{1}{t(t-1)}\left(x(x-1)(x-t) y^{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2} x+\frac{\beta}{2} \frac{t}{x}+\frac{\gamma}{2} \frac{t-1}{x-1}+\frac{\delta}{2} \frac{t(t-1)}{x-t}\right) . \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also convenient to rewrite this Hamiltonian in Okamoto parameters (6.2), which gives us the following Hamiltonian system,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d x}{d t}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{FZ}}}{\partial y}=\frac{2 x y(x-1)(x-t)}{t(t-1)}  \tag{6.16}\\
\frac{d y}{d t}=-\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{FZ}}}{\partial x}=-\frac{y^{2}\left(3 x^{2}-2(t+1) x+t\right)}{t(t-1)}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1-\theta^{2}}{(x-t)^{2}}-\frac{\kappa_{0}^{2}}{(t-1) x^{2}}+\frac{\kappa_{1}^{2}}{t(x-1)^{2}}+\frac{\kappa_{\infty}^{2}}{t(t-1)}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Next we construct the Okamoto spaces of initial conditions for each of these systems and use them to find the coordinate identification between them.

### 6.1 The Kajiwara-Noumi-Yamada Hamiltonian system

Notation. For the Kajiwara-Noumi-Yamada system (6.7) we use the following notation: coordinates ( $q, p$ ), parameters $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{4}$ (root variables), base points $p_{i}$, exceptional divisors $E_{i}$.

Its space of initial conditions is the standard geometric realization of the $D_{4}^{(1)}$ surface shown on Figure 16. The configuration of the base points is given in terms of root variables $a_{i}$,

$$
p_{1}\left(\infty,-a_{2}\right), \quad p_{2}\left(\infty,-a_{1}-a_{2}\right), \quad p_{3}(t, \infty) \leftarrow p_{4}\left(t a_{0}, 0\right), \quad p_{5}(0,0), \quad p_{6}\left(0, a_{4}\right), \quad p_{7}(1, \infty) \leftarrow p_{8}\left(a_{3}, 0\right)
$$

The surface and symmetry root bases for this standard realization of the $D_{4}^{(1)}$ surface are given on Fig. 17 and Fig. 18 respectively. The birational representation of the extended affine Weyl symmetry group $\widetilde{W}\left(D_{4}^{(1)}\right)$ is given in [KNY17] and we do not reproduce it here.


Figure 16: The standard realization of the $D_{4}^{(1)}$ Sakai surface


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta_{0}=\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{4}, & \delta_{3}=\mathcal{E}_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{8}, \\
\delta_{1}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{2}, & \delta_{4}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{6},  \tag{6.17}\\
\delta_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{3}-\varepsilon_{7} . &
\end{array}
$$

Figure 17: The Surface Root Basis for the standard $D_{4}^{(1)}$ Sakai surface point configuration


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{0}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{4}, & \alpha_{3}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{8} \\
\alpha_{1}=\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{2}, & \alpha_{4}=\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{6}  \tag{6.18}\\
\alpha_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{5}, &
\end{array}
$$

Figure 18: The Symmetry Root Basis for the standard $D_{4}^{(1)}$ symmetry sub-lattice

### 6.2 The Okamoto Hamiltonian system

Notation. For the Okamoto system (6.4) we use the following notation: coordinates $(f, g)$, parameters are the Okamoto parameters (6.2); base points $q_{i}$, exceptional divisors $F_{i}$.

The space of initial conditions for the system (6.4) is given on Figure 19, where the basepoints are


Note that we have nine basepoints and two -3 curves, so this surface is not minimal and we need to blow down the -1 -curve $H_{f}-F_{9}$. As mentioned before, the change of coordinates is $(q, p)=(f, f g)$, and the corresponding change of bases is given in the following Lemma.


Figure 19: The Space of Initial Conditions for the Okamoto Hamiltonian System

Lemma 19. The change of bases for Picard lattices between the standard Kajiwara-Noumi-Yamada (with an additional blowup point) and the Okamoto surfaces is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{H}_{q}=\mathcal{H}_{f}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{f}=\mathcal{H}_{q}, \\
& \mathcal{H}_{p}=\mathcal{H}_{f}+\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{5}-\mathcal{F}_{9}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{g}=\mathcal{H}_{q}+\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{9},  \tag{6.20}\\
& \mathcal{E}_{i}=\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{i}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{i}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{i}, \quad \text { for } i=5,9 \text {, } \\
& \mathcal{E}_{i}=\mathcal{F}_{i}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{i}=\mathcal{E}_{i}, \quad \text { otherwise } .
\end{align*}
$$

This results in the following correspondences between the surface roots (with an additional blowup) is

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta_{0}=\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{4}=\mathcal{F}_{3}-\mathcal{F}_{4}, & \delta_{3}=\mathcal{E}_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{8}=\mathcal{F}_{7}-\mathcal{F}_{8} \\
\delta_{1}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{2}-\mathcal{E}_{9}=\mathcal{F}_{9}-\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{2}, & \delta_{4}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{6}=\mathcal{F}_{5}-\mathcal{F}_{6}  \tag{6.21}\\
\delta_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{9}=\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{3}-\mathcal{F}_{5}-\mathcal{F}_{7}, &
\end{array}
$$

and the symmetry roots,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{0}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{4}=\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{3}-\mathcal{F}_{4}, & \alpha_{3}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{8}=\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{7}-\mathcal{F}_{8} \\
\alpha_{1}=\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{2}=\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{2}, & \alpha_{4}=\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{6}=\mathcal{H}_{f}-\mathcal{F}_{5}-\mathcal{F}_{6}  \tag{6.22}\\
\alpha_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{5}=\mathcal{H}_{g}-\mathcal{F}_{1}-\mathcal{F}_{9}, &
\end{array}
$$

### 6.3 The Its-Prokhorov Hamiltonian system

Notation. For the Its-Prokhorov system we use the following notation: coordinates $(x, y)$, parameters (6.13), base points $w_{i}$, exceptional divisors $K_{i}$.

The space of initial conditions for the system (6.11) is given on Figure 20, where the basepoints are


Note that we have twelve basepoints and four -3 curves, so this surface is not minimal and we need to blow down some curves. From Figure 20 it is pretty clear that we should blow down the -1- curves $H_{x}-K_{3}$, $H_{x}-K_{5}, H_{x}-K_{7}$ and $H_{x}-K_{9}$. As usual, instead we blow up the standard surface at additional points $p_{9}, \ldots p_{12}$.


Figure 20: The Space of Initial Conditions for the Its-Prokhorov Hamiltonian System

Lemma 20. The change of bases for Picard lattices between the standard Kajiwara-Noumi-Yamada (with four additional blowup points) and the Its-Prokhorov surfaces is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}_{q} & =\mathcal{H}_{x}, & \mathcal{H}_{x}=\mathcal{H}_{q}, \\
\mathcal{H}_{p} & =3 \mathcal{H}_{x}+\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{K}_{3}-\mathcal{K}_{5}-\mathcal{K}_{7}-\mathcal{K}_{9}-\mathcal{K}_{10}-\mathcal{K}_{12}, & \mathcal{H}_{y}=3 \mathcal{H}_{q}+\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{9}-\mathcal{E}_{10}-\mathcal{E}_{11}-\mathcal{E}_{12}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{1} & =\mathcal{K}_{1}, & \mathcal{K}_{1}=\varepsilon_{1}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{2} & =\mathcal{K}_{2}, & \mathcal{K}_{2}=\mathcal{E}_{2}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{3} & =\mathcal{H}_{x}-\mathcal{K}_{10}, & \mathcal{K}_{3}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{10}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{4} & =\mathcal{K}_{4}, & \mathcal{K}_{4}=\mathcal{E}_{4}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{5} & =\mathcal{K}_{11}, & \mathcal{K}_{5}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{11}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{6} & =\mathcal{K}_{6}, & \mathcal{K}_{6}=\mathcal{E}_{6}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{7} & =\mathcal{H}_{x}-\mathcal{K}_{12}, & \mathcal{K}_{7}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{12}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{8} & =\mathcal{K}_{8}, & \mathcal{K}_{8}=\mathcal{E}_{8}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{9} & =\mathcal{H}_{x}-\mathcal{K}_{9}, & \mathcal{K}_{9}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{9}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{10} & =\mathcal{H}_{x}-\mathcal{K}_{3}, & \mathcal{K}_{10}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{3}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{11} & =\mathcal{H}_{x}-\mathcal{K}_{5}, & \mathcal{K}_{11}=\mathcal{E}_{5}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{12} & =\mathcal{H}_{x}-\mathcal{K}_{7}, & \mathcal{K}_{12}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{7}, \tag{6.24}
\end{align*}
$$

This results in the following correspondences between the surface roots with additional blowups (i.e., classes of curves with indices -2 and -3 ),

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta_{0}=\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{4}-\mathcal{E}_{10}=\mathcal{K}_{3}-\mathcal{K}_{4}-\mathcal{K}_{10}, & \delta_{3}=\mathcal{E}_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{8}-\mathcal{E}_{12}=\mathcal{K}_{7}-\mathcal{K}_{8}-\mathcal{K}_{12} \\
\delta_{1}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{2}-\mathcal{E}_{9}=\mathcal{K}_{9}-\mathcal{K}_{1}-\mathcal{K}_{2} & \delta_{4}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{6}-\mathcal{E}_{11}=\mathcal{K}_{5}-\mathcal{K}_{6}-\mathcal{K}_{11} \\
\delta_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{9}=\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{K}_{3}-\mathcal{K}_{5}-\mathcal{K}_{7}, & \tag{6.25}
\end{array}
$$

and the symmetry roots,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{0}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{3}-\mathcal{E}_{4}=\mathcal{K}_{10}-\mathcal{K}_{4}, & \alpha_{3}=\mathcal{H}_{q}-\mathcal{E}_{7}-\mathcal{E}_{8}=\mathcal{K}_{12}-\mathcal{K}_{8} \\
\alpha_{1}=\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{2}=\mathcal{K}_{1}-\mathcal{K}_{2}, & \alpha_{4}=\mathcal{E}_{5}-\mathcal{E}_{6}=\mathcal{K}_{11}-\mathcal{K}_{6}  \tag{6.26}\\
\alpha_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{5}=3 \mathcal{H}_{x}+\mathcal{H}_{y}-\mathcal{K}_{1}-\mathcal{K}_{3}-\mathcal{K}_{5}-\mathcal{K}_{7}-\mathcal{K}_{9}-\mathcal{K}_{10}-\mathcal{K}_{11}-\mathcal{K}_{12},
\end{array}
$$

The symplectic form is the standard one, $\omega^{\mathrm{IP}}=d y \wedge d x$, and the root variables are

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=2 \Theta_{t}, \quad a_{1}=2 \Theta_{\infty}-1, \quad a_{2}=1-\Theta_{0}-\Theta_{1}-\Theta_{t}-\Theta_{\infty}, \quad a_{3}=2 \Theta_{1}, \quad a_{4}=2 \Theta_{0} \tag{6.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this we immediately get the change of coordinates to the standard surface, and to the Okamoto case.

Lemma 21. The change of coordinates and parameter matching between the Kajiwara-Noumi-Yamada and the Its-Prokhorov Hamiltonian systems is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q(x, y, t)=x  \tag{6.28}\\
p(x, y, t)=\Theta_{0}+x\left(y+\frac{\Theta_{1}}{x-1}+\frac{\Theta_{t}}{x-t}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x(q, p, t)=q \\
y(q, p, t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2 p-a_{4}}{q}-\frac{a_{0}}{q-t}-\frac{a_{3}}{q-1}\right)
\end{array}, \$\right. \text {, }
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the parameter correspondence given by (6.27).
Combining it with the change of variables $q=f, p=f g$, we get the change of variables between the Okamoto and the Its-Prokhorov Hamiltonian systems,

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { r l } 
{ x ( f , g , t ) } & { = f , }  \tag{6.29}\\
{ y ( f , g , t ) } & { = g - \frac { \theta } { 2 ( f - t ) } - \frac { \kappa _ { 0 } } { 2 f } - \frac { \kappa _ { 1 } } { 2 ( f - 1 ) } , } \\
{ \Theta _ { 0 } } & { = \frac { \kappa _ { 0 } } { 2 } , \quad \Theta _ { 1 } = \frac { \kappa _ { 1 } } { 2 } , } \\
{ \Theta _ { t } } & { = \frac { \theta } { 2 } , \quad \Theta _ { \infty } = \frac { 1 - \kappa _ { \infty } } { 2 } , }
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{rl}
f(x, y, t) & =x, \\
g(x, y, t) & =y+\frac{\Theta_{0}}{x}+\frac{\Theta_{1}}{x-1}+\frac{\Theta_{t}}{x-t}, \\
\kappa_{0} & =2 \Theta_{0}, \quad \kappa_{1}=2 \Theta_{1}, \\
\theta & =2 \Theta_{t}, \quad \kappa_{\infty}=1-2 \Theta_{\infty}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Since the change of variables is time-dependent, there will be a correction term in the Hamiltoninan (as well as some purely $t$-dependent terms),

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{IP}}(x, y ; t)=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{Ok}}(f(x, y, t), g(x, y, t) ; t)-\frac{\theta}{2(x-t)}+\frac{\theta-1}{2}\left(\frac{\kappa_{1}}{t-1}+\frac{\kappa_{0}}{t}\right)-\frac{\theta}{2} \cdot \frac{2 t-1}{t(t-1)} .
$$

### 6.4 The Filipuk-Żoła̧dek Hamiltonian system

Notation. For the Filipuk-Żoła̧dek system we use the following notation: coordinates ( $x, y$ ), parameters (6.2), base points $z_{i}$, exceptional divisors $K_{i}$.

The space of initial conditions for the system (6.16) is the same as given on Figure 20 for the Its-Prokhorov case, but the coordinates of the basepoints are slightly different:


The change of basis on the level of Picard lattices is the same as in Lemma 20, but the change of coordinates is slightly different and is given in the following Lemma.

Lemma 22. The change of coordinates and parameter matching between the Kajiwara-Noumi-Yamada and the Filipuk-Żotgdek Hamiltonian systems is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ q ( x , y , t ) = x }  \tag{6.31}\\
{ p ( x , y , t ) = x y + \frac { 1 } { 2 } ( \kappa _ { 0 } + \kappa _ { 1 } \frac { x } { x - 1 } + ( \theta - 1 ) \frac { x } { x - t } ) , }
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \left\{\begin{array}{l}
x(q, p, t)=q \\
y(q, p, t)=\frac{p}{q}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a_{0}-1}{q-t}+\frac{a_{3}}{q-1}+\frac{a_{4}}{q}\right),
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

with the parameter correspondence given by (6.5).

Combining it with the change of variables $q=f, p=f g$, we get the change of variables between the Okamoto and the Filipuk-Żotgdek Hamiltonian systems,

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ x ( f , g , t ) = f , }  \tag{6.32}\\
{ y ( f , g , t ) = g - \frac { 1 } { 2 } ( \frac { \kappa _ { 0 } } { f } + \frac { \kappa _ { 1 } } { f - 1 } + \frac { \theta - 1 } { f - t } ) , }
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \left\{\begin{array}{l}
f(x, y, t)=x \\
g(x, y, t)=y+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\kappa_{0}}{x}+\frac{\kappa_{1}}{x-1}+\frac{\theta-1}{x-t}\right) .
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

The Hamiltonians are related by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{FZ}}(x, y ; t)= & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}^{\mathrm{Ok}}(f(x, y, t), g(x, y, t) ; t)-\frac{\theta-1}{2(x-t)} \\
& \quad-\frac{\kappa_{\infty}^{2}}{4(t-1)}+\frac{\left((2 t-1)(\theta-1)-\kappa_{0}+\kappa_{1}\right)\left(\theta-1+\kappa_{0}+\kappa_{1}\right)}{4 t(t-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 8. Note that the coordinates for the Its-Prokhorov and Filipuk-Żoła̧dek systems are related by

$$
x^{\mathrm{FZ}}=x^{\mathrm{IP}}=x, \quad y^{\mathrm{FZ}}=y^{\mathrm{IP}}+\frac{1}{2(x-t)},
$$

with the parameter correspondence the same as in (6.29).

## 7 Conclusion

In this paper we showed that, similar to the discrete case considered earlier in [DFS20], the geometric approach to the theory of Painlevé equations is an effective tool in studying the Painlevé equivalence problem and reducing Painlevé equations, when written in a Hamiltonian form, to some chosen reference Hamiltonian via a birational change of variables. We introduced an essentially algorithmic procedure on how to obtain this change of variables explicitly via the geometry identification between the corresponding spaces of initial conditions. The procedure is illustrated in detail for the systems related to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV}}$, but is very general and can be used for other systems and scalar forms of Painlevé equations as well. One interesting follow up question to consider is to see what additional insights, if any, can the geometric approach provide to the notion of the tau-functions corresponding to these Hamiltonian systems.

## Acknowledgements

AD acknowledges the support of the MIMUW grant and the OPUS 2017/25/B/ST1/00931 grant to visit Warsaw in January 2020 where some of this work was done. AS was supported by a London Mathematical Society Early Career Fellowship and gratefully acknowledges the support of the London Mathematical Society. The authors thank Philip Boalch for some helpful remarks and suggestions.

## References

[Cla19] Peter A. Clarkson, Open problems for Painlevé equations, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 15 (2019), Paper No. 006, 20. MR 3904441
[Con99] Robert Conte (ed.), The Painlevé property, CRM Series in Mathematical Physics, SpringerVerlag, New York, 1999, One century later. MR 1713574
[DFLS21a] Anton Dzhamay, Galina Filipuk, Adam Ligȩza, and Alexander Stokes, Hamiltonian structure for a differential system from a modified Laguerre weight via the geometry of the modified third Painlevé equation, Appl. Math. Lett. 120 (2021), Paper No. 107248, 7. MR 4238928
[DFLS21b] Anton Dzhamay, Galina Filipuk, Adam Ligȩza, and Alexander Stokes, On Hamiltonians related to the second Painlevé equation, Proceedings of the conference Contemporary Mathematics in Kielce 2020, February 24-27 2021, Sciendo, 2021, pp. 73-84.
[DFS20] Anton Dzhamay, Galina Filipuk, and Alexander Stokes, Recurrence coefficients for discrete orthogonal polynomials with hypergeometric weight and discrete Painlevé equations, J. Phys. A 53 (2020), no. 49, 495201, 29. MR 4188771
[DFS22] _ Differential equations for the recurrence coefficients of semiclassical orthogonal polynomials and their relation to the Painlevé equations via the geometric approach, Stud. Appl. Math. 148 (2022), no. 4, 1656-1702. MR 4433344
[DT18] Anton Dzhamay and Tomoyuki Takenawa, On some applications of Sakai's geometric theory of discrete Painlevé equations, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 14 (2018), Paper No. 075, 20. MR 3830210
[FLS23] Galina Filipuk, Adam Ligȩza, and Alexander Stokes, Relations between different Hamiltonian forms of the third Painlevé equation, Recent Trends in Formal and Analytic Solutions of Diff. Equations (Providence, RI) (G. Filipuk, A. Lastra, and S. Michalik, eds.), Contemp. Math., vol. 782, Amer. Math. Soc., November 2023, pp. 37-42.
[FS22] Galina Filipuk and Alexander Stokes, Takasaki's rational fourth Painlevé-Calogero system and geometric regularisability of algebro-Painlevé equations, https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.10515, September 2022.
[HDC20] Jie Hu, Anton Dzhamay, and Yang Chen, Gap probabilities in the Laguerre unitary ensemble and discrete Painlevé equations, J. Phys. A 53 (2020), no. 35, 354003, 18. MR 4137540
[IKSY91] Katsunori Iwasaki, Hironobu Kimura, Shun Shimomura, and Masaaki Yoshida, From Gauss to Painlevé, Aspects of Mathematics, E16, Friedr. Vieweg \& Sohn, Braunschweig, 1991, A modern theory of special functions. MR 1118604
[IP18] A. R. Its and A. Prokhorov, On some Hamiltonian properties of the isomonodromic tau functions, Rev. Math. Phys. 30 (2018), no. 7, 1840008, 38. MR 3833049
[JM81] Michio Jimbo and Tetsuji Miwa, Monodromy preserving deformation of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients. II, Phys. D 2 (1981), no. 3, 407-448. MR 625446
[Kec16] Thomas Kecker, A cubic Hamiltonian system with meromorphic solutions, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory 16 (2016), no. 2, 307-317. MR 3503357
[Kec19] , Space of initial conditions for a cubic Hamiltonian system, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 64 (2019), no. 1, 132-142. MR 3885862
[KNY17] Kenji Kajiwara, Masatoshi Noumi, and Yasuhiko Yamada, Geometric aspects of Painlevé equations, J. Phys. A 50 (2017), no. 7, 073001, 164. MR 3609039
[Mal23] J. Malmquist, Sur les équattions différetielles du second ordre dont l'intégrale générale a ses points critiques fixes, Ark. Mat. Astr. Fys. 17 ((1922-23)), no. 8, 1-89.
[Mat97] Atusi Matumiya, On some Hamiltonian structures of Painlevé systems. III, Kumamoto J. Math. 10 (1997), 45-73. MR 1446392
[MMT99] Tohru Matano, Atushi Matumiya, and Kyoichi Takano, On some Hamiltonian structures of Painlevé systems. II, J. Math. Soc. Japan 51 (1999), no. 4, 843-866. MR 1705251
[Nou04] Masatoshi Noumi, Painlevé equations through symmetry, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 223, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004, Translated from the 2000 Japanese original by the author. MR 2044201
[Oka79] Kazuo Okamoto, Sur les feuilletages associés aux équations du second ordre à points critiques fixes de P. Painlevé, Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) 5 (1979), no. 1, 1-79. MR 614694
[Oka80a] , Polynomial Hamiltonians associated with Painlevé equations. I, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 56 (1980), no. 6, 264-268. MR 581468
[Oka80b] ___, Polynomial Hamiltonians associated with Painlevé equations. II. Differential equations satisfied by polynomial Hamiltonians, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 56 (1980), no. 8, 367-371. MR 596006
[Oka86] , Studies on the Painlevé equations. III. Second and fourth Painlevé equations, $P_{\mathrm{II}}$ and $P_{\text {IV }}$, Math. Ann. 275 (1986), no. 2, 221-255. MR 854008
[Oka87a] , Studies on the Painlevé equations. I. Sixth Painlevé equation $P_{\mathrm{VI}}$, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 146 (1987), 337-381. MR 916698
[Oka87b] , Studies on the Painlevé equations. II. Fifth Painlevé equation $P_{\mathrm{V}}$, Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) 13 (1987), no. 1, 47-76. MR 914314
[Sak01] Hidetaka Sakai, Rational surfaces associated with affine root systems and geometry of the Painlevé equations, Comm. Math. Phys. 220 (2001), no. 1, 165-229. MR 1882403
[Sha13] Igor R. Shafarevich, Basic algebraic geometry 1, Third ed., Springer, Heidelberg, 2013, Varieties in projective space. MR 3100243
[ST97] Tsutomu Shioda and Kyoichi Takano, On some Hamiltonian structures of Painlevé systems. I, Funkcial. Ekvac. 40 (1997), no. 2, 271-291. MR 1480279
[Ste18] Norbert Steinmetz, An old new class of meromorphic functions, J. Anal. Math. 134 (2018), no. 2, 615-641. MR 3771494
[Tak01] Kanehisa Takasaki, Painlevé-Calogero correspondence revisited, J. Math. Phys. 42 (2001), no. 3, 1443-1473. MR 1814699
[ZF15] Henryk Żoła̧dek and Galina Filipuk, Painlevé equations, elliptic integrals and elementary functions, J. Differential Equations 258 (2015), no. 4, 1303-1355. MR 3294349


[^0]:    ${ }^{*}$ Note that there is a sign typo in the form of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ in that paper, it should be $\frac{d^{2} q}{d t^{2}}=\left(\frac{1}{2 q}+\frac{1}{q-1}\right)\left(\frac{d q}{d t}\right)^{2}+\cdots$

