arXiv:2109.06904v1 [gr-qc] 14 Sep 2021

Global dynamics and evolution for the Szekeres system with nonzero cosmological constant term

Andronikos Paliathanasis[1,2,*] and Genly Leon[3,†]

[1]*Institute of Systems Science, Durban University of Technology, Durban 4000, South Africa*

[2]*Instituto de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas,*

Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia 5090000, Chile

[3]*Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Católica del Norte,*

Avda. Angamos 0610, Casilla 1280 Antofagasta, Chile.

(Dated: September 16, 2021)

The Szekeres system with cosmological constant term describes the evolution of the kinematic quantities for Einstein field equations in $\mathbb{R}^4$. In this study, we investigate the behavior of trajectories in the presence of cosmological constant. It has been shown that the Szekeres system is a Hamiltonian dynamical system. It admits at least two conservation laws, h and I_0 which indicate the integrability of the Hamiltonian system. We solve the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, and we reduce the Szekeres system from $\mathbb{R}^4$ to an equivalent system defined in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Global dynamics are studied where we find that there exists an attractor in the finite regime only for positive valued cosmological constant and $I_0 < 2.08$. Otherwise, trajectories reach infinity. For $I_0 > 0$ the origin of trajectories in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is also at infinity. Finally, we investigate the evolution of physical properties by using dimensionless variables different from that of Hubble-normalization conducing to a dynamical system in $\mathbb{R}^5$. We see that the attractor at the finite regime in $\mathbb{R}^5$ is related with the de Sitter universe for a positive cosmological constant.

PACS numbers:

Keywords: Szekeres system; global dynamics; Poincare variables; stability; cosmological constant

*Electronic address: anpaliat@phys.uoa.gr

†Electronic address: genly.leon@ucn.cl

1. INTRODUCTION

A Szekeres system is a system of algebraic-differential equations given by [1]

$$\dot{\rho}_D + \theta\rho_D = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$\dot{E} + 3E\sigma + \theta E + \frac{1}{2}\rho_D\sigma = 0, \tag{2}$$

$$\dot{\theta} + \frac{\theta^2}{3} + 6\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_D - 2\Lambda\right) = 0, \tag{3}$$

$$\dot{\sigma} - \sigma^2 + \frac{2}{3}\theta\sigma + E = 0, \tag{4}$$

with algebraic constraint equation

$$\frac{\theta^2}{3} - 3\sigma^2 + \frac{{}^{(3)}R}{2} - \Lambda = \rho_D. \tag{5}$$

Equations (1)- (5) are the diagonal Einstein field equations $G_{\mu\nu} - \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}$ for a gravitational model where the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ is that of a pressureless inhomogeneous fluid, Λ is the cosmological constant, and $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor for the background space

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + e^{2a(t,x,y,z)}dx^2 + e^{2b(t,z,y,z)}(dy^2 + dz^2). \tag{6}$$

Scalars $a\left(t,x,y,z\right)$ and $b\left(t,x,y,z\right)$ satisfy the field equations (1)- (5), where ${}^{(3)}R$ is the spatial curvature for the three dimensional hypersurface of the line element (6), θ and σ are the kinematic quantities known as expansion rate and shear. For the comoving observer $u^\mu = \delta^\mu_t$, $u^\mu u_\mu = -1$ they are defined as $\theta = \left(\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial t}\right) + 2\left(\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial t}\right)$ and $\sigma^2 = \frac{2}{3}\left(\left(\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial t}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial t}\right)\right)^2$. Function $\rho_D = \rho\left(t,x,y,z\right)$ describes the inhomogeneous energy density for the pressureless fluid, and $E = E\left(t,x,y,z\right)$ is the electric component of the Weyl tensor $E^\mu_\nu = Ee^\mu_\nu$. The dot in equations (1)- (2) remarks derivative with respect to the time parameter. Equation (1) is the continuous equation for the pressureless fluid, $T^{\mu\nu}_{\ ;\nu} = 0$, while the rest of the equations are the four diagonal Einstein field equation. Moreover, nondiagonal components of the Einstein field equations are the propagation equations [5] $h^\nu_\mu\sigma^\alpha_{\nu;\alpha} = \frac{2}{3}h^\nu_\mu\theta_{,\nu}$, $h^\nu_\mu E^\alpha_{\nu;\alpha} = \frac{1}{3}h^\nu_\mu\rho_{;\nu}$ where $h_{\mu\nu}$ is the projection tensor defined as $h_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - u_\mu u_\nu$.

The above gravitational model with the zero-valued cosmological term was investigated by Szekeres in [2]. It was found that the spacetime (6) describes inhomogeneous Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (-like) universes with one scale factor which satisfies the Friedmann equations, or inhomogeneous anisotropic Kantowski-Sachs (-like) with two scale factors. These spacetimes were one of the first families of cosmological inhomogeneous exact

solutions in the literature. The case with a nonzero cosmological constant term investigated [3, 4] where it was found that because of the cosmological constant inflationary solutions exist. The main characteristic, by the construction of the Szekeres system is that their magnetic part of the Weyl tensor is zero, and there is not any pressure term, which means that there is no information propagation through gravitational waves or sound. Consequently, Szekeres spacetimes belong to the family of Silent universes [6]. For other extensions of the Szekeres system, we refer the reader in [7–9]. Inhomogeneous exact solutions are of special interest in cosmological studies (for a discussion we refer the reader to [10–17]).

Because of its importance in physical applications, the Szekeres system has been widely investigated in the literature. With the use of Darboux polynomials and Jacobi's last multiplier method, the integrability of the Szekeres system with zero cosmological constant terms was found in [18]. Specifically, three time-independent conservation laws where derived which were used to reduce the four-dimensional Szekeres system into a two-dimensional system. Moreover, the analytic solution for the reduced two-dimensional system is presented in [19]. Furthermore, in [20] a Lagrangian function was derived for the Szekeres system, which means that the system (1)- (2) follows from a variational principle. The corresponding conservation laws were derived according to Noether's theorem. In addition, it was found that the Szekeres system possesses the Painlevé property [21]. Because the Lagrangian function of the Szekeres system is a point-like Lagrangian, in [22] it was used to quantize for the first time the Szekeres system. The probability function was derived, while it was found that the singular asymptotic solution is preferred by the quantization, on the other hand, the quantum potentiality of the Szekeres system by Lie symmetries [23] was the subject of study in [24]. Moreover, the conservation laws for the Szekeres system with a nonzero cosmological constant term were derived recently in [25].

In [26, 27], Libre et al, performed a detailed analysis on the dynamics of the Szekeres system with a zero cosmological constant in the Poincare disk. It was found that the orbits come from the infinity of $\mathbb{R}^4$ and go to infinity. In this study, we extend this analysis by considering a nonzero cosmological constant term. As we shall see, for $\Lambda > 0$, it is possible to have an attractor at the finite regime, which can be related to the de Sitter universe provided by the field equations. The plan of the paper is as follows.

In Section 2 we review previous results on the derivation of the Lagrangian function which describes the dynamical system (1) and (2). Moreover, we present the conservation laws, and

we solve the Hamilton-Jacobi equation to reduce the four-dimensional system into a system of two first-order differential equations. The dynamics for the reduced two-dimensional system are investigated in Sections 3 and 4. For the completeness of our analysis, we study the stationary points and the evolution of the trajectories in the finite variables as also at the infinity by using the variables of the Poincare disk.

Furthermore, to understand the properties of the physical variables during the evolution of the cosmological history, we define new dimensionless variables, like that of the Hubble-normalization [28]. In the new variables, the dynamical system is defined in $\mathbb{R}^5$. Every stationary point on $\mathbb{R}^5$ corresponds to a specific epoch of the cosmological history, which is explicitly described by an analytic solution. From the stability properties of the stationary points, we can infer information about the evolution of the model. Such analysis has been applied in various gravitational models in the past, with interesting results [29–38]. For the Szekeres system without the cosmological constant term, this analysis was performed in [6]. However, in [6] the Hubble-normalization approach was applied, which means that in their study, the expansion rate has been limited to be only positive $\theta > 0$, or negative $\theta < 0$. However, given θ can change its sign, which means that static spacetimes with $\theta = 0$ are possible, then we require new dimensionless variables [39] to avoid the blowing up of solutions as θ passes through zero. In Appendix A we present the analysis for the case with $\Lambda = 0$, and we recover previously published results [26]. In Section 5, we present a detailed analysis of the asymptotic solutions for field equations in dimensionless variables different from that of the Hubble normalization. We find that θ can change the sign in two stationary points which describe the Minkowski universe and the closed Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (-like) spacetime. Finally, in Section 6, we summarize our results and draw our conclusions.

2. HAMILTONIAN SYSTEM

Solving equations (1) and (2) for θ and σ (assuming $\rho_D(6E + \rho_D) \neq 0$) we obtain

$$\theta = -\frac{\dot{\rho_D}}{\rho_D}, \tag{7}$$

$$\sigma = \frac{2(E\dot{\rho_D} - \rho_D\dot{E})}{\rho_D(6E + \rho_D)}. \tag{8}$$

Substituting expressions (7) and (8) in equations (3) and (4), we obtain the second order differential equations

$$\ddot{\rho_D} = \rho\left(\frac{24(\rho\dot{E} - E\dot{\rho_D})^2}{\rho^2(6E+\rho)^2} + \frac{1}{2}(\rho - 2\Lambda) + \frac{4(\dot{\rho_D})^2}{3\rho^2}\right), \tag{9}$$

$$\ddot{E} = \frac{1}{3\rho(6E+\rho)^2}\Big[3E\rho(6E+\rho)^2(3E-\Lambda+\rho) + 12\rho(12E+\rho)(\dot{E})^2 - 4E(9E+\rho)(\dot{\rho_D})^2 + 8\rho(9E+\rho)\dot{E}\dot{\rho_D}\Big]. \tag{10}$$

Using the parametrization (we assume $x \neq y, y \neq 0$)

$$\rho_D(x,y) = \frac{6}{y^2(y-x)},\ E(x,y) = -\frac{x}{y^3(y-x)}, \tag{11}$$

where the inverse transformation is [20]

$$x(\rho_D, E) = -\frac{6^{4/3}E}{\rho_D(6E+\rho_D)^{1/3}},\ y(\rho_D, E) = \frac{6^{1/3}}{(6E+\rho_D)^{1/3}}, \tag{12}$$

and replacing in equations (9) and (10) we end with the system of two-second order differential equations [25]

$$\ddot{x} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}x - \frac{2x}{y^3} = 0, \tag{13}$$

$$\ddot{y} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}y + \frac{1}{y^2} = 0. \tag{14}$$

Equation (14) can be integrated as $I_0 = \dot{y}^2 - \frac{\Lambda}{3}y^2 - \frac{2}{y}$, which is a conservation law for the Szekeres system. In addition, for the system (13), (14) we can easily solve the inverse problem and construct a Lagrangian function where under the variation will produce the equations of motions.

Indeed, the point-like Lagrangian which describes equations (13) and (14) is the two-dimensional Lagrangian

$$L(x, \dot{x}, y, \dot{y}) = \dot{x}\dot{y} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}xy - \frac{x}{y^2}. \tag{15}$$

Hence, we define the momentum $p_x = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}}$ and $p_y = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{y}}$, i.e. $p_x = \dot{y}$ and $p_y = \dot{x}$, such that the Hamiltonian function $h = p_x\dot{x} + p_y\dot{y} - L$, to be

$$h = \dot{x}\dot{y} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}xy + \frac{x}{y^2}. \tag{16}$$

while the Hamilton's equations read

$$\dot{x} = p_y\ \ ,\ \dot{y} = p_x, \tag{17}$$

$$\dot{p}_y = \frac{\Lambda}{3}x + \frac{2x}{y}, \quad \dot{p}_x = \frac{\Lambda}{3}y - \frac{1}{y^2}. \tag{18}$$

Because the Szekeres system is autonomous, the Hamiltonian function is a conservation law which gives the energy of the system, that is, $\frac{dh}{dt} = 0$.

In the original variables the conservation laws become

$$I_0 = \frac{2^{2/3}\left(-3\Lambda - 18\rho_D^3 E - 3\rho_D^4 + 24\theta\sigma + 16\theta^2 + 9\sigma^2\right)}{3\sqrt[3]{3}\rho_D^2(\rho_D + 6E)^{2/3}} \tag{19}$$

$$h = \frac{2^{2/3}\left(3\rho_D\sigma(4\theta + 3\sigma) + E\left(6\Lambda - 3\rho_D^4 + 42\theta\sigma - 8\theta^2 + 36\sigma^2\right) - 18\rho_D^3 E^2\right)}{\sqrt[3]{3}\rho_D^2(\rho_D + 6E)^{2/3}}. \tag{20}$$

At this point we want to present another set of variables which are useful to recognize the Hamiltonian formulation of the Szekeres system. We do the change of variables $\rho = \exp(\varrho)$, $E = \frac{1}{6}\exp(\varrho)(\exp(-Z) - 1)$, and consider the new variables ϱ and Z. Therefore, it follows $\theta = -\dot{\varrho}$ and $\sigma = \dot{Z}$. Hence, the following Lagrangian function is a solution of the inverse problem for the Szekeres system given by the Lagrange function

$$L\left(\varrho, \dot{\varrho}, Z, \dot{Z}\right) = e^{-Z-\frac{2}{3}\varrho}\left(3\dot{Z} - \dot{\varrho}\right)\left(6\dot{Z} + \dot{\varrho}\right) - \frac{3}{2}e^{-4Z+\frac{1}{3}\varrho}\left(3e^{3Z} - 1\right). \tag{21}$$

and its variation reproduces equations (1) and (2). Therefore, the four-dimensional Szekeres system is an integrable Hamiltonian system.

2.1. Hamilton-Jacobi equation

From (16) we write the time-independent Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right)\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial y}\right) - \frac{\Lambda}{3}xy + \frac{x}{y^2} = h, \tag{22}$$

while the conservation law I_0 reads

$$\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right)^2 - \frac{\Lambda}{3}y^2 - \frac{2}{y} = I_0. \tag{23}$$

By integration we have

$$S(x, y) = C_1(y) \pm \frac{x\sqrt{3I_0 y + \Lambda y^3 + 6}}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{y}}, \tag{24}$$

where

$$\frac{C_1'(y)\sqrt{3I_0 y + \Lambda y^3 + 6}}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{y}} = \pm h. \tag{25}$$

Therefore, the Action reads

$$S\left(x,y\right)=\pm\int\frac{\sqrt{3}h\sqrt{y}}{\sqrt{3I_0y+\Lambda y^3+6}}dy\pm\frac{x\sqrt{3I_0y+\Lambda y^3+6}}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{y}}. \tag{26}$$

Consequently, the field equations are reduced to the following two-dimensional system by using the Hamilton-Jacobi equations $\dot{x}=\frac{\partial S}{\partial y},\ \dot{y}=\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}$, that is,

$$\dot{x}=\pm\frac{\sqrt{3}\left(\Lambda xy^3-3x+3hy^2\right)}{3y\sqrt{y\left(6+3I_0y+\Lambda y^3\right)}}, \tag{27}$$

$$\dot{y}=\pm\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3y}\sqrt{y\left(6+3I_0y+\Lambda y^3\right)}. \tag{28}$$

For the analysis in section 3 we choose the branch $\epsilon=-1$.

2.2. Special case: vacuum ($\rho_D\equiv 0, E\neq 0$)

For vacuum ($\rho_D\equiv 0$) equation (1) is trivially satisfied and defining $\Theta=3\sigma+\theta$ equations (2), (3), (4) and (5) become

$$\dot{E}+\Theta E=0, \tag{29}$$

$$\dot{\Theta}+\frac{\Theta^2}{3}-\Lambda+3E=0, \tag{30}$$

$$\dot{\sigma}+\frac{2\Theta\sigma}{3}-3\sigma^2+E=0, \tag{31}$$

with algebraic constraint equation

$$\frac{\Theta^2}{3}-2\Theta\sigma+\frac{^{(3)}R}{2}-\Lambda=0. \tag{32}$$

Solving (30) for Θ, defining F such that $\sigma=-\dot{F}/F$, and defining

$$E=\frac{1}{Y^3},\quad F=\sqrt[3]{\frac{X}{Y}}, \tag{33}$$

the system (30)-(31) transforms to

$$\ddot{X}-\frac{\Lambda}{3}X-\frac{2X}{Y^3}=0, \tag{34}$$

$$\ddot{Y}-\frac{\Lambda}{3}Y+\frac{1}{Y^2}=0. \tag{35}$$

The previous analysis follows by setting $(x,y)=(X,Y)$.

2.3. Special case: $(6E + \rho_D) \equiv 0$

In the special case $E = -\rho_D/6$ equations (1) and (2) are the same. Equations (2), (3) and (4) become

$$\dot{\rho_D} + \theta\rho_D = 0 \tag{36}$$

$$\dot{\theta} + \frac{\theta^2}{3} + \frac{\rho_D}{2} + 6\sigma^2 - \Lambda = 0, \tag{37}$$

$$\dot{\sigma} + \frac{2\theta\sigma}{3} - \frac{\rho_D}{6} - \sigma^2 = 0, \tag{38}$$

with algebraic constraint equation (5).

Solving (36) for θ, defining F such that $\sigma = -\dot{F}/F$, and defining

$$\rho_D = -\frac{1}{2Y(X+Y)^2}, \quad F = \sqrt[3]{\frac{Y}{X+Y}}, \tag{39}$$

the system (37)-(38) transforms to

$$\ddot{X} - \frac{\Lambda X}{3} + \frac{1}{4(X+Y)^2} = 0, \tag{40}$$

$$\ddot{Y} - \frac{\Lambda Y}{3} - \frac{1}{4(X+Y)^2} = 0. \tag{41}$$

Defining

$$x = X + Y, \quad y = Y \tag{42}$$

we obtain

$$\ddot{x} - \frac{\Lambda x}{3} = 0, \tag{43}$$

$$\ddot{y} - \frac{\Lambda y}{3} - \frac{1}{4x^2} = 0. \tag{44}$$

Equation (43) can be integrated as $I_0 = \dot{x}^2 - \frac{\Lambda}{3}x^2$.

The point-like Lagrangian which describes equations (43) and (44) is the two-dimensional Lagrangian

$$L\left(x, \dot{x}, y, \dot{y}\right) = \dot{x}\dot{y} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}xy - \frac{1}{4x}. \tag{45}$$

Hence we define the momentum $p_x = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}}$ and $p_y = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{y}}$, i.e. $p_x = \dot{y}$ and $p_y = \dot{x}$, such that the Hamiltonian function $h = p_x\dot{x} + p_y\dot{y} - L$, to be

$$h = \dot{x}\dot{y} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}xy + \frac{1}{4x}. \tag{46}$$

while the Hamilton's equations read

$$\dot{x} = p_y \quad , \quad \dot{y} = p_x, \tag{47}$$

$$\dot{p}_y = \frac{\Lambda}{3}x, \quad \dot{p}_x = \frac{\Lambda}{3}y + \frac{1}{4x^2}. \tag{48}$$

Because the Szekeres system is autonomous, the Hamiltonian function is a conservation law, the energy of the system, that is, $\frac{dh}{dt} = 0$, i.e., h is a constant.

Therefore, the four-dimensional Szekeres system is an integrable Hamiltonian system. From (46) we write the time-independent Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right)\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial y}\right) - \frac{\Lambda}{3}xy + \frac{1}{4x} = h. \tag{49}$$

while the conservation law I_0 reads

$$\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial y}\right)^2 - \frac{\Lambda}{3}x^2 = I_0. \tag{50}$$

Therefore, the action reads

$$S(x,y) = C_1(x) \pm \frac{y\sqrt{3I_0 + \Lambda x^2}}{\sqrt{3}}, \tag{51}$$

$$C_1(x) = \pm\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{4\sqrt{3}h\ln\left(\sqrt{\Lambda}\sqrt{3I_0+\Lambda x^2}+\Lambda x\right)}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} + \frac{\ln\left(\sqrt{3}\sqrt{I_0}\sqrt{3I_0+\Lambda x^2}+3I_0\right)-\ln(x)}{\sqrt{I_0}}\right). \tag{52}$$

Consequently, the field equations are reduced to the following two-dimensional system by using the Hamilton-Jacobi equations $\dot{x} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial y}$, $\dot{y} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial x}$, that is,

$$\dot{x} = \pm\frac{\sqrt{3I_0 + \Lambda x^2}}{\sqrt{3}}, \tag{53}$$

$$\dot{y} = \pm\frac{(12hx + 4\Lambda xy - 3)}{4\sqrt{9I_0 + 3\Lambda x^2}}. \tag{54}$$

We choose the branch $\epsilon = -1$ and assume $3I_0 + \Lambda x^2 \geq 0$. That is, the physical cases are $\Lambda > 0$, $x^2 \geq -\frac{3I_0}{\Lambda}$ or $\Lambda < 0$, $I_0 > 0$, $x^2 \leq -\frac{3I_0}{\Lambda}$. In the second case, the variable x is bounded.

3. STABILITY ANALYSIS AT THE FINITE REGIME

3.1. Case $(6E + \rho_D) \neq 0$

The reduced two-dimensional Szekeres system in the finite regime is described by the following system of two first-order ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{dx}{d\bar{t}} = f\left(x, y; h, I_0\right), \tag{55}$$

$$\frac{dy}{d\bar{t}} = g\left(x, y; h, I_0\right), \tag{56}$$

with

$$f\left(x, y; h, I_0\right) = -\sqrt{3}\left(x\left(y^3 - 3\right) + 3hy^2\right), \tag{57}$$

$$g\left(x, y; h, I_0\right) = -\sqrt{3}y\left(\Lambda y^3 + 3I_0 y + 6\right), \tag{58}$$

where we have selected the new independent variable $dt = \frac{\sqrt{y(6+3I_0 y+\Lambda y^3)}}{3y} d\bar{t}$.

The stationary points $P = \left(x\left(P\right), y\left(P\right)\right)$ for the dynamical system (55), (56) are given by the roots of the algebraic equations $f\left(x, y, h, I_0\right) = 0$ and $g\left(x, y; h, I_0\right) = 0$. Hence, for a nonzero cosmological constant Λ and nonzero value for the conservation law I_0, the stationary points are derived

$$P_0 = (0, 0),$$

$$P_1 = \left(\frac{3hy\left(P_1\right)^2}{\Lambda y\left(P_1\right)^3 - 3}, \left(\sqrt{\Lambda^3\left(I_0^3 + 9\Lambda\right)} - 3\Lambda^2\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\left(\frac{1}{\Lambda} - \frac{I_0}{\left(\sqrt{\Lambda^3\left(I_0^3 + 9\Lambda\right)} - 3\Lambda^2\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}}\right)\right),$$

$$P_2 = \left(\frac{3hy\left(P_2\right)^2}{\Lambda y\left(P_2\right)^3 - 3}, \frac{I_0\left(\Lambda + i\sqrt{3}\Lambda\right) + \left(-1 + i\sqrt{3}\right)\left(\sqrt{\Lambda^3\left(I_0^3 + 9\Lambda\right)} - 3\Lambda^2\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}}{2\Lambda\left(\sqrt{\Lambda^3\left(I_0^3 + 9\Lambda\right)} - 3\Lambda^2\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}\right),$$

and

$$P_3 = \left(\frac{3hy\left(P_3\right)^2}{\Lambda y\left(P_3\right)^3 - 3}, \frac{I_0\left(\Lambda - i\sqrt{3}\Lambda\right) - \left(1 + \sqrt{3}\right)\left(\sqrt{\Lambda^3\left(I_0^3 + 9\Lambda\right)} - 3\Lambda^2\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}}{2\Lambda\left(\sqrt{\Lambda^3\left(I_0^3 + 9\Lambda\right)} - 3\Lambda^2\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}\right).$$

Stationary point P_0 is always real. However, that is not always true for points P_1, P_2 and P_3. Thus for various values of the free variables $\{h, I_0\}$, the number of stationary points can be two or four. We proceed by assuming that the cosmological constant is positive, say $\Lambda = 1$, or negative, e.g., $\Lambda = -1$.

3.1.1. *Positive* Λ

Let us assume now that $\Lambda = 1$. Then, the stationary points read

$$P_0 = (0,0)\,,$$

$$P_1 = \left(\frac{3hy\left(P_1\right)^2}{\Lambda y\left(P_1\right)^3 - 3}, \left(\sqrt{\left(I_0^3+9\right)} - 3^2\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(1 - \frac{I_0}{\left(\sqrt{\left(I_0^3+9\right)} - 3^2\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}}\right)\right),$$

$$P_2 = \left(\frac{3hy\left(P_2\right)^2}{\Lambda y\left(P_2\right)^3 - 3}, \frac{I_0\left(1+i\sqrt{3}\right) + \left(-1+i\sqrt{3}\right)\left(\sqrt{\left(I_0^3+9\right)} - 3^2\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}}{2\left(\sqrt{\left(I_0^3+9\Lambda\right)} - 3^2\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}\right),$$

and

$$P_3 = \left(\frac{3hy\left(P_3\right)^2}{\Lambda y\left(P_3\right)^3 - 3}, \frac{I_0\left(1-i\sqrt{3}\right) - \left(1+\sqrt{3}\right)\left(\sqrt{\left(I_0^3+9\right)} - 3\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}}{2\left(\sqrt{\left(I_0^3+9\Lambda\right)} - 3^2\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}\right).$$

Consequently, for I_0 we find three intervals $\mathcal{A} = (-\infty, -2.08)$, $\mathcal{B} = (-2.08, 0)$ and $\mathcal{C} = (0, +\infty)$. Thus, in the interval $\mathcal{A}$, points P_1, P_2, P_3 are real, that is $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\} \in \mathbb{R}$. For $I_0 \in \mathcal{B}$, $P_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ while $\{P_1, P_3\} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Im\left(P_1\right)\Im\left(P_3\right) \neq 0$, were we use the operator $\Im(z)$ for the imaginary part of $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Finally, for $I_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ the only real point is P_1, while $\{P_2, P_3\} \in \mathbb{C}$. So, for values of the integration constant in the interval $\mathcal{A}$, equations (55) and (56) admit four real stationary points $\{P_0, P_1, P_2, P_3\}$ while for $I_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ or $I_0 \in \mathcal{C}$, the stationary points are two, that is, the set of points $\{P_0, P_2\}$ and $\{P_0, P_1\}$, respectively.

In Fig. 1 we present the imaginary and real parts for the variables $y\left(P_1\right)$, $y\left(P_2\right)$ and $y\left(P_3\right)$. Because y should be positive, then the only physically accepted points in the finite regime are P_0 for arbitrary I_0 and P_1, P_3 for $I_0 < -2.08$.

To understand the stability properties of the stationary points, we linearize the system (55), (56) around $P + \delta P$. We derive the eigenvalues of the two-dimensional matrix, namely $e_1\left(P\right)$ and $e_2\left(P\right)$, that we use to investigate the stability of the point.

For point P_0 the eigenvalues are $e_1\left(P_0\right) = -6\sqrt{3}$ and $e_2\left(P_0\right) = 3\sqrt{3}$ where we infer that the P_0 is a saddle point. For the stationary point P_1 the eigenvalues of the linearized system are $e_1\left(P_1\right) = 3\sqrt{3}e\left(I_0\right)$ and $e_1\left(P_1\right) = 6\sqrt{3}e\left(I_0\right)$ with $e\left(I_0\right) = \left(3 + \frac{I_0\left(\left(\sqrt{\left(I_0^3+9\right)}-3\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} - I_0\right)}{\left(\sqrt{\left(I_0^3+9\right)}-3\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}\right)$. Thus, for $I_0 < -2.08$ we derive $\Re\left(e\left(I_0\right)\right) < 0$ from where we infer that P_1 is an attractor.




FIG. 1: Imaginary (solid lines) and real parts (dashed lines) for the variables $y\,(P_1)$, $y\,(P_2)$ and $y\,(P_3)$ are represented. We observe that points $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $I_0 < -2.08$. Moreover, when $-2.08 < I_0 < 0$, only P_2 is real, while for $I_0 > 0$, P_1 is a real point. By definition $y > 0$, hence, points P_1 and P_3 are physically accepted points for $I_0 < -2.08$. Finally, for $I_0 > -2.08$ no stationary points in the finite regime are physically accepted.

We use the operator $\Re(z)$ for the real part of $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Finally, for point P_3 we find that the two eigenvalues have always positive real part for $I_0 < -2.08$, which means that P_3 is always a source.

In Fig. 2 we present the phase-space portraits for the dynamical system (55), (56) in the finite regime for various values of the free variables I_0 and h. We observe that for $I_0 < -2.08$, point P_1 is the unique attractor while for $I_0 > -2.08$ there is not any attractor in the finite regime and the unique stationary point is the saddle point P_0. The value of parameter h changes only the location of the stationary point on the $x-$direction and does not change the stability of the stationary points.

3.1.2. Negative Λ

In Fig. 3 we present the real and imaginary parts of the three stationary points P_1, P_2 and P_3 for $\Lambda = -1$. We have three intervals $\mathcal{A}_{(-1)} = (-\infty, 0)$, $\mathcal{B}_{(-1)} = (0, 2.08)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(-1)} = (2.08, +\infty)$ for the values of I_0. Thus, for $I_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{(-1)}$, $P_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\{P_2, P_3\} \in \mathbb{C}$. When $I_0 \in \mathcal{B}_{(-1)}$, $P_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\{P_1, P_3\} \in \mathbb{C}$. Finally, when $I_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{(-1)}$, $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\} \in \mathbb{R}$. However, because y is positive defined, it follows that for $I_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{(-1)}$, the stationary points are two, $\{P_0, P_1\}$ while for $I_0 \in \mathcal{B}_{(-1)} \cup \mathcal{C}_{(-1)}$ there are two physical accepted stationary points, namely




FIG. 2: Phase-space portraits for the dynamical system (55), (56) in the $x-y$ plane, for different values of the free parameters I_0 and h and positive cosmological constant, $\Lambda = 1$. For $I_0 = -3$ we observe that the unique attractor is point P_1 while for $I_0 = 1$ there is not any attractor in the finite regime, and the unique stationary point is the saddle point P_0.

$\{P_0, P_2\}$.

We investigate the stability properties for the stationary points, and we find that P_0 is always a saddle point, while the stationary point P_1 for $I_0 < 0$, and P_2, for $I_0 > 0$, have positive eigenvalues when they are physically accepted. Consequently, attractors do not exist




FIG. 3: Imaginary (solid lines) and real parts (dashed lines) for the variables $y\,(P_1)$, $y\,(P_2)$ and $y\,(P_3)$ are represented. We observe that points $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $I_0 > -2.08$. Moreover, when $0 < I_0 < 2.08$, only P_2 is real, while for $I_0 < 0$, P_1 is a real point. Due to $y > 0$, hence, points P_1 and P_2 are physically accepted points for $I_0 < 0$ and $I_0 > 0$, respectively.

in the finite regime for a negative cosmological constant. Phase-space portraits of equations (55), (56) for the variables (x, y) and for $\Lambda = -1$ are presented in Fig. 4, from where we observe no attractor exists in the finite regime.

We repeat the calculations for $I_0 = 0$ and $\Lambda = 1$. We find that there is not any physically accepted stationary point except the saddle point P_0, while for negative cosmological constant, i.e. $\Lambda = -1$, there exist two physically accepted stationary points $\{P_0, P_1\}$. Point P_0 is a saddle point, while P_1 is a source.

3.2. Stability analysis at the finite regime $(6E + \rho_D) = 0$

The reduced two-dimensional Szekeres system in the finite regime is described by the following system of two first-order ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{dx}{d\bar{t}} = f\,(x, y; h, I_0)\,, \tag{59}$$

$$\frac{dy}{d\bar{t}} = g\,(x, y; h, I_0)\,, \tag{60}$$

with

$$f\,(x, y; h, I_0) = -3I_0 - \Lambda x^2 \tag{61}$$

$$g\,(x, y; h, I_0) = -3hx - \Lambda xy + \frac{3}{4}, \tag{62}$$




FIG. 4: Phase-space portraits for the dynamical system (55) and (56) in the $x-y$ plane, for different values of the free parameters I_0 and h and negative cosmological constant, $\Lambda=-1$. For $I_0=-3$ and $I_0=1$ we observe that P_1 and P_2 points are sources, respectively, while P_0 is a saddle point. Thus, attractors do not exist in the finite regime for negative Λ.

where we have selected the new independent variable $dt=\sqrt{3}\sqrt{3I_0+\Lambda x^2}d\bar{t}$. However, by definition in order $\rho_D>0$, it follows that $y<0$.

The stationary points $Q=(x(Q),y(Q))$ for the dynamical system (59), (60) at the

finite regime are

$$Q_{\pm}=\left(\pm\sqrt{-\frac{3I_0}{\Lambda}},-\frac{3h}{\Lambda}\pm\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{-\frac{3}{I_0\Lambda}}\right).$$

From hypothesis $3I_0+\Lambda x^2\geq 0$ we have the physical cases $\Lambda>0,\ x^2\geq-\frac{3I_0}{\Lambda}$ or $\Lambda<0,\ I_0>0,\ x^2\leq-\frac{3I_0}{\Lambda}$. In the first case $Q_{\pm}$ exist for $I_0<0$ and the allowed region is $x^2\geq-\frac{3I_0}{\Lambda}, y<0$. In the second case, the variable x is bounded and $Q_{\pm}$ always exist and the allowed region is $x^2\leq-\frac{3I_0}{\Lambda}, y<0$. Easily from the linearized system around the stationary points we derive the eigenvalues $e_1\left(Q_{\pm}\right)=\pm\sqrt{-3\Lambda I_0}$ and $e_1\left(Q_{\pm}\right)=\pm2\sqrt{-3\Lambda I_0}$, which means that point Q_- is always a source and Q_+ is a sink.

When $I_0\geq 0,\Lambda\geq 0$ there are no stationary points at the finite region.

The choice $I_0<0,\Lambda<0$ give differential equations with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$. Therefore, the choice of these parameters is forbidden.

4. COMPACTIFICATION

4.1. Poincare disk: $(6E+\rho_D)\neq 0$

To investigate the dynamics at the infinity regime, we define the new compactified variables $x=\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}\cos\Phi$ and $y=\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}\sin\Phi$, in which $\Phi\in[0,\pi]$ and $\rho\in[0,1]$. As x,y take infinite values we have $\rho\to 1$. In the set of variables $\{\rho,\Phi\}$ the field equations (55) and (56) read

$$\rho'=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\rho\left(2\rho\cos^2\Phi\left(\cos\Phi\left(\left(3I_0-\Lambda\right)\rho^2-3I_0\right)-2h\sin\Phi\left(1-\rho^2\right)\right)-3\left(1-\rho^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(1+3\cos\left(2\Phi\right)\right)\right), \tag{63}$$

$$\Phi'=3\sqrt{3}\cos\Phi\left(\cos\Phi\left(I_0\sin\Phi-h\cos\Phi\right)\rho+3\sin\Phi\sqrt{1-\rho^2}\right), \tag{64}$$

where $\Phi'=\frac{d\Phi}{d\tau},\ dt=\sqrt{1-\rho^2}d\tau$.

For values of ρ near to one, equations (63) and (64) become

$$\rho'=-\sqrt{3}\Lambda\cos^3\Phi\ \equiv F\left(\Phi\right), \tag{65}$$

$$\Phi'=3\sqrt{3}\cos^2\Phi\left(I_0\sin\Phi-h\cos\Phi\right)\equiv G\left(\Phi\right). \tag{66}$$

We continue our analysis by investigating the stationary points of equation (66). From (65) we know that when $F\left(\Phi\right)>0$, that is, $\rho'\geq 0$, the trajectories will still be at infinity,

while for $F(\Phi)<0$, that is, $\rho'<0$, this means that ρ decreases, that is we move far from the infinite regime. We observe that for $\Lambda<0$, $F(\Phi)>0$, that is, the infinity regime attracts the trajectories. It is an interesting result because as we found before for negative cosmological constant there are not attractors at the finite regime.

The stationary points of equation (66) are $\Phi=\Phi_0$ such that $G(\Phi_0)=0$, that is, $\Phi_0^1=\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\Phi_0^2=\arctan\frac{h}{I_0}$ for $I_0\neq 0$. Thus, by definition $\frac{h}{I_0}\geq 0$. In the special case $h=0$, it can be found a third stationary point $\Phi_0^3=\pi$. Moreover, we calculate $F(\Phi_0^1)=0$, while $F(\Phi_0^2)=-\sqrt{3}\Lambda\left(1+\left(\frac{h}{I_0}\right)^2\right)^{-3}$.

We continue with the study of (66).

We define the potential function $V_G(\Phi)=\int G(\Phi)\,d\Phi$, that is,

$$V_G(\Phi)=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}\left(4I_0\cos^2\Phi+h\left(9\sin\Phi+\sin 3\Phi\right)\right). \tag{67}$$

For Φ_0^1 we derive $V_G(\Phi_0^1)=-2\sqrt{3}h$, which can be easily checked that it is not a minimum of the potential. Furthermore, $\frac{dG(\Phi)}{d\Phi}$ changes sign around Φ_0^1, which means that point Φ_0^1 is a saddle point. However, because $\frac{dG(\Phi)}{d\Phi}|_{\Phi\to\Phi_0^1}=0$, we can easily define a stable manifold. In Fig. 5 we present the qualitative analysis for the potential V_G and its two derivatives for specific values of the free variables $\{I_0,h\}$. We find that for $I_0<0$, Φ_0^1 is minimum of the potential $V_G(\Phi)$ in the interval $\left[0,\frac{\pi}{2}\right]$. While, for $I_0>0$, Φ_0^1 is minimum of the potential $V_G(\Phi)$ in the interval $\left[\frac{\pi}{2},0\right]$. Thus, for $I_0<0$, Φ_0^1 is an attractor in $\left[0,\frac{\pi}{2}\right]$, i.e. $x>0$. While, for $I_0>0$, Φ_0^1 is an attractor for initial conditions in the interval $\Phi\in\left[\frac{\pi}{2},0\right]$, i.e. $x<0$.

As far as point Φ_0^2 is concerned, we observe that $\frac{dG(\Phi)}{d\Phi}|_{\Phi\to\Phi_0^2}=\frac{3\sqrt{3}I_0}{\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{h}{I_0}\right)^2}}$, which means that the point is physically accepted and it is an attractor in the surface with $\rho=1$, when $I_0<0$, $h<0$. On the other hand, for $h=0$, it follows that Φ_0^2 is an attractor when $I_0>0$, while for $I_0<0$ the attractor is $h<0$.

In Figs. 6 and 7 we present the phase-space diagrams of the field equations in the compactified variables (ρ,Φ) for various values of the free variables. The main results are summarized in the following proposition.

Proposition: For Szekeres system (55), (56) with a positive cosmological constant, that is, $\Lambda=1$, the trajectories can be originated at the finite or infinite regime with attractor point at the finite regime given by P_1 if $I_0<-2.08$ When $I_0>-2.08$, the trajectories reach the infinity regime. On the contrary, for negative cosmological constant, $\Lambda=-1$, the trajectories may start at the finite or infinity regime and end at the infinity regime.




FIG. 5: Qualitative evolution of potential function $V_G(\Phi)$ and its derivatives $\frac{dV_G}{d\Phi}$ and $\frac{d^2V_G}{d\Phi^2}$ in the interval $[0, \pi]$.

In order to understand better the evolution of the trajectories, in Figs. 8 and 9 we present the phase space portrait in the compactified variables (X, Y) which are defined as $x = \frac{X}{\sqrt{1-X^2-Y^2}}$ and $y = \frac{Y}{\sqrt{1-X^2-Y^2}}$ in which $|X| \leq 1$ and $0 \leq Y \leq 1$.




FIG. 6: Phase-space portraits for the dynamical system (63), (64) in the compactified variables (ρ, Φ) for different values of the free parameters I_0 and h and positive cosmological constant, $\Lambda = 1$.

4.2. Poincare disk: $(6E + \rho_D) = 0$

The reduced two-dimensional Szekeres system in the finite regime is described by the system of two first-order ordinary differential equations (59) and (60) with $f(x, y; h, I_0)$ defined by (61) and $g(x, y; h, I_0)$ defined by (62) where we have selected the new independent variable $dt = \sqrt{3}\sqrt{3I_0 + \Lambda x^2}d\bar{t}$.




FIG. 7: Phase-space portraits for the dynamical system (63), (64) in the compactified variables (ρ, Φ) for different values of the free parameters I_0 and h and negative cosmological constant, $\Lambda = -1$.

Recall,

1. From hypothesis $3I_0 + \Lambda x^2 \geq 0$ we have the physical cases:

   (a) $\Lambda > 0,\ x^2 \geq -\frac{3I_0}{\Lambda},\ y < 0$

   (b) $\Lambda < 0,\ I_0 > 0,\ x^2 \leq -\frac{3I_0}{\Lambda},\ y < 0.$




FIG. 8: Phase-space portraits for Szekeres system associated to (55) and (56) in the compactified variables (X, Y) for different values of the free parameters I_0 and h and positive cosmological constant, $\Lambda = 1$.

2. When $I_0 \geq 0, \Lambda \geq 0$ there are no stationary points at the finite region.

3. The choice $I_0 < 0, \Lambda < 0$ give differential equations with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$. Therefore, the choice of these parameters is forbidden.




FIG. 9: Phase-space portraits for Szekeres system associated to (55) and (56) in the compactified variables (X, Y) for different values of the free parameters I_0 and h and negative cosmological constant, $\Lambda = -1$.

4.2.1. Case a.1: $\Lambda > 0,\ I_0 > 0,\ y < 0$

In this case, the phase-plane is the lower half-plane

$$H_- := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, x \in \mathbb{R}, y \leq 0\}, \tag{68}$$

where we have attached the boundary set $y = 0$. There are not stationary points in H_-. To investigate the dynamics at the infinity regime, we define the new compactified variables $x = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}\cos\Phi$ and $y = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}\sin\Phi$, in which $\Phi \in [-\pi, \pi]$ and $\rho \in [0, 1]$. Since the physical region is the lower half plane $y \leq 0$, we have the physical interval $\Phi \in [-\pi, 0]$. As x, y take infinite values we have $\rho \to 1$. In the set of variables $\{\rho, \Phi\}$ the field equations (59) and (60) with $f(x, y; h, I_0)$ defined by (61) and $g(x, y; h, I_0)$ defined by (62) become

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\rho}{d\bar{t}} &= 3h\rho\left(\rho^2-1\right)\sin(\Phi)\cos(\Phi)\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{1-\rho^2}\left(-4\cos(\Phi)\left(\rho^2(\Lambda-3I_0)+3I_0\right)-3\left(\rho^2-1\right)\sin(\Phi)\right), \qquad (69)\\ \frac{d\Phi}{d\bar{t}} &= -3h\cos^2(\Phi)+\frac{3\sqrt{1-\rho^2}(4I_0\sin(\Phi)+\cos(\Phi))}{4\rho}. \qquad (70)\end{aligned}$$

The leading terms as $\rho \to 1^-$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\rho}{d\bar{t}} &= -\sqrt{2}\Lambda\cos(\Phi)\sqrt{1-\rho}-6h\cos(\Phi)\sin(\Phi)(1-\rho)+O\left((1-\rho)^{3/2}\right), \qquad (71)\\ \frac{d\Phi}{d\bar{t}} &= -3h\cos^2(\Phi)+\frac{3\sqrt{1-\rho^2}(4I_0\sin(\Phi)+\cos(\Phi))}{4\rho}+O\left((1-\rho)^{3/2}\right). \qquad (72)\end{aligned}$$

The points at infinity satisfy $\cos(\Phi) = 0$ for $h \neq 0$.

In terms of the Poincaré variables

$$X = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^2+y^2}}, \quad Y = \frac{y}{\sqrt{1+x^2+y^2}}, \quad X \in [-1, 1], \quad Y \in [-1, 1] \qquad (73)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dX}{d\bar{t}} &= 3hX^2Y+\sqrt{1-X^2-Y^2}\left(3I_0\left(X^2-1\right)-\frac{1}{4}X(4\Lambda X+3Y)\right), \qquad (74)\\ \frac{dY}{d\bar{t}} &= -3hX\left(1-Y^2\right)+\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{1-X^2-Y^2}(Y(12I_0X-4\Lambda X-3Y)+3). \qquad (75)\end{aligned}$$

The physical region is $X^2 + Y^2 \leq 1, Y \leq 0$.

In Fig. 10 the phase-space portraits for Szekeres system associated to (74) and (75) is presented in the compactified variables (X, Y) for positive values of the free parameters I_0 and Λ and $h = 1$ corresponding to *Case a.1.*

In Fig. 11 the phase-space portraits for Szekeres system associated to (74) and (75) is presented in the compactified variables (X, Y) for positive values of the free parameters I_0 and Λ and $h = -1$ corresponding to *Case a.1.*




FIG. 10: Phase-space portraits for Szekeres system associated to (74) and (75) in the compactified variables (X, Y) for positive values of the free parameters I_0 and Λ and $h = 1$ corresponding to *Case a.1.*

4.2.2. Case a.2: $\Lambda > 0,\ I_0 \leq 0,\ x^2 \geq -\frac{3I_0}{\Lambda},\ y < 0$

The dynamics is given also by (69)-(70) in terms of (ρ, Φ) or by (74)-(75) in terms of (X, Y). The points at infinity satisfy $\cos(\Phi) = 0$ for $h \neq 0$, but also we have the stationary




FIG. 11: Phase-space portraits for Szekeres system associated to (74) and (75) in the compactified variables (X, Y) for positive values of the free parameters I_0 and Λ and $h = -1$ corresponding to *Case a.1.*

points at the finite region $Q_{\pm}$.

In Fig. 12 the phase-space portraits for Szekeres system associated to (74) and (75) is showed in the compactified variables (X, Y) for $I_0 \leq 0$ and $\Lambda > 0$ and $h = 1$ corresponding to *Case a.2.* The gray region is the forbidden region $x^2 \leq -\frac{3I_0}{\Lambda}$.




FIG. 12: Phase-space portraits for Szekeres system associated to (74) and (75) in the compactified variables (X, Y) for $I_0 \leq 0$ and $\Lambda > 0$ and $h = 1$ corresponding to *Case a.2*. The gray region is the forbidden region $x^2 \leq -\frac{3I_0}{\Lambda}$.

In Fig. 13 the phase-space portraits for Szekeres system associated to (74) and (75) is showed in the compactified variables (X, Y) for $I_0 \leq 0$ and $\Lambda > 0$ and $h = -1$ corresponding to *Case a.2*. The gray region is the forbidden region $x^2 \leq -\frac{3I_0}{\Lambda}$.




FIG. 13: Phase-space portraits for Szekeres system associated to (74) and (75) in the compactified variables (X, Y) for $I_0 \leq 0$ and $\Lambda > 0$ and $h = -1$ corresponding to *Case a.2.* The gray region is the forbidden region $x^2 < -\frac{3I_0}{\Lambda}$.

4.2.3. Case b: $\Lambda < 0,\ I_0 > 0,\ x^2 \leq -\frac{3I_0}{\Lambda},\ y < 0.$

In this example the variable x is bounded. Since $y < 0$ we propose the bounded variable

$$Y = \frac{y}{y-1} \tag{76}$$

when $y \to -\infty$, $Y \to 1$.

The dynamical system becomes

$$\frac{dx}{d\bar{t}} = -3I_0 - \Lambda x^2, \tag{77}$$

$$\frac{dY}{d\bar{t}} = \frac{3}{4}(Y-1)^2(4hx-1) + \Lambda x Y(Y-1). \tag{78}$$

The stationary points at infinity are

$$I_- : (x, Y) = \left(-\sqrt{3}\sqrt{-\frac{I_0}{\Lambda}}, 1\right)$$

and

$$I_+ : (x, Y) = \left(\sqrt{3}\sqrt{-\frac{I_0}{\Lambda}}, 1\right)$$

with eigenvalues $\left\{2\sqrt{3}\Lambda\sqrt{-\frac{I_0}{\Lambda}}, -\sqrt{3}\Lambda\sqrt{-\frac{I_0}{\Lambda}}\right\}$ and $\left\{-2\sqrt{3}\Lambda\sqrt{-\frac{I_0}{\Lambda}}, \sqrt{3}\Lambda\sqrt{-\frac{I_0}{\Lambda}}\right\}$, respectively. Hence, they are saddles because $\Lambda < 0$ and $I_0 > 0$.

For $\Lambda < 0$, the points at the finite region are

$$Q_- : (x, y) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{-I_0\Lambda}}{\Lambda}, \frac{144h^2I_0 + 48hI_0\Lambda + \Lambda\left(4\sqrt{3}\sqrt{-I_0\Lambda} + 3\right)}{16I_0(3h+\Lambda)^2 + 3\Lambda}\right)$$

and

$$Q_+ : (x, y) = \left(-\frac{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{-I_0\Lambda}}{\Lambda}, \frac{144h^2I_0 + 48hI_0\Lambda + \Lambda\left(3 - 4\sqrt{3}\sqrt{-I_0\Lambda}\right)}{16I_0(3h+\Lambda)^2 + 3\Lambda}\right).$$

The eigenvalues of $Q_\pm$ are $\left\{\pm 2\sqrt{3}\sqrt{-I_0\Lambda}, \pm\sqrt{3}\sqrt{-I_0\Lambda}\right\}$, respectively, which means that point Q_- is always a source and Q_+ is a sink.

In Fig. 14 some phase-space portraits for the dynamical system (77)- (78) are presented.

5. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR

In this section, we investigate the evolution of the kinematic and dynamical variables for the field equations (1)- (5) by using dimensionless variables. Such an approach has been widely studied in literature and can give us important information about the physical behavior of the exact solutions at stationary points. The dynamics of the Szekeres system in the θ-normalization, known also as Hubble normalization, were studied by [6] for the case with zero-valued cosmological constant. Recently, in [25] the case of a nonzero cosmological constant was considered. However, in the θ-normalization, the expansion rate cannot change




FIG. 14: Phase-space portraits for the dynamical system (77)- (78).

sign, that is, it cannot take the value $\theta = 0$. But, from the analysis in [2] and [3] we know that because a family of solutions describes Kantowski-Sachs universes, the expansion rate can change sign.

Thus, in this work we define new dimensionless variables, different from that of the θ-normalization, say,

$$\omega_D = \frac{3\rho_D}{(1+\theta^2)},\ \Sigma = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{1+\theta^2}},\ \alpha = \frac{E}{1+\theta^2}, \tag{79}$$

$$\omega_\Lambda = \frac{\Lambda}{1+\theta^2},\ \eta = \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{1+\theta^2}},\ \omega_R = \frac{{}^{(3)}R}{(1+\theta^2)}. \tag{80}$$

Therefore, the field equations read

$$\omega_D' = \frac{1}{3}\eta\omega_D\left(2\eta^2 - 3 + 36\Sigma^2 + \omega_D - 6\omega_\Lambda\right), \tag{81}$$

$$\Sigma' = \frac{1}{6}\left(\Sigma\left(2\eta^3 + 6\Sigma + \eta\left(36\Sigma^2 - 4 + \omega_D - 6\omega_\Lambda\right)\right) - 6\alpha\right), \tag{82}$$

$$\alpha' = \frac{1}{6}\left(2\alpha\left(2\eta^3 - 9\Sigma + \eta\left(36\Sigma^2 - 3 + \omega_D - 6\omega_\Lambda\right)\right) - \omega_D\Sigma\right), \tag{83}$$

$$\omega_\Lambda' = \frac{1}{3}\eta\omega_\Lambda\left(2\eta^2 + 36\Sigma^2 + \omega_D - 6\omega_\Lambda\right), \tag{84}$$

$$\eta' = \frac{1}{6}\left(\eta^2 - 1\right)\left(2\eta^2 + 36\Sigma^2 + \omega_D - 6\omega_\Lambda\right), \tag{85}$$

with algebraic constraint

$$\eta^2 - 9\Sigma^2 - \omega_D + \frac{3}{2}\omega_R - 3\omega_\Lambda = 0, \tag{86}$$

where we have defined the new derivative $f' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\theta^2}}\dot{f}$.

Every stationary point of the dynamical system (81)-(85) with constraint (86) describes an asymptotic solution for the inhomogeneous background space (6). The physical properties of the background spaces follow from the values of the anisotropic parameter Σ and of the curvature scalar ω_R. Indeed, for a stationary point with $\Sigma = 0$ the background space is isotropic, which means that the asymptotic solution at the point will belong to the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (-like) family of solutions, while for $\Sigma \neq 0$ to the Bianchi I, Kantowski-Sachs or to the Bianchi III (-like) universes depend on the sign of the curvature scalar. Moreover, $\eta > 0$ remarks $\theta > 0$ which correspond to an expanding universe. While $\eta < 0$, i.e. $\theta < 0$, corresponds to a shrinking universe. Finally, $\eta = 0$ describes static spacetimes with $\theta = 0$.

Additionally, we study the stability properties of the stationary points. This information is essential because we extract important information about the evolution of the solution near the stationary points, as we can also construct the evolution of the cosmological history provided by the specific model.

The stability of stationary points for the system (81)-(85) with constraint (86) for the following set of points $P = (\omega_D, \Sigma, \alpha, \omega_\Lambda, \eta, \omega_R)$ is classified. We give the value of ω_R, for stability analysis coordinates $(\omega_D, \Sigma, \alpha, \omega_\Lambda, \eta)$ are considered. Points $A_1^{\pm} = (1, 0, 0, 0, \pm 1, 0)$ describe singular solutions where only the pressureless matter fluid dominates. The background space is isotropic with zero valued spatial curvature, which means that it is reduced to the spatially flat Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker background space. Points

TABLE I: The stationary points for the system (81)-(85) with constraint (86)

Point	$(\omega_D, \Sigma, \alpha, \omega_\Lambda, \eta, \omega_R)$	**Spacetime**	**Eigenvalues**	**Stability**
$A_1^{\pm}$	$(1,0,0,0,\pm1,0)$	Flat FLRW	$\pm1,\pm1,\mp\frac{1}{2},\pm\frac{1}{3},\pm\frac{1}{3}$	Saddle points
$A_2^{\pm}$	$\left(0,0,0,0,\pm1,-\frac{2}{3}\right)$	Milne	$\pm\frac{2}{3},\pm\frac{2}{3},\mp\frac{1}{3},\mp\frac{1}{3},\mp\frac{1}{3}$	Saddle points
$A_3^{\pm}$	$\left(0,\pm\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{9},0,\pm1,0\right)$	Kasner	$\pm2,\pm2,\pm\frac{5}{3},\pm1,\pm\frac{2}{3}$	A_3^+ source
				A_3^- attractor
$A_4^{\pm}$	$\left(0,\mp\frac{1}{12},\frac{1}{32},0,\pm1,-\frac{5}{8}\right)$	Kantowski-Sachs	$\pm\frac{3}{4},\pm\frac{3}{4},\mp\frac{5}{8},\mp\frac{1}{4},\pm\frac{1}{4}$	Saddle points
$A_5^{\pm}$	$\left(0,\pm\frac{1}{3},0,0,\pm1,0\right)$	Kasner	$\pm2,\pm2,\pm2,\pm1,\pm1$	A_5^+ source
				A_5^- attractor
$A_6^{\pm}$	$\left(0,\pm\frac{1}{6},0,0,\pm1,-\frac{1}{2}\right)$	Kantowski-Sachs	$\pm1,\pm1,\mp\frac{1}{2},\pm\frac{1}{2},0$	Saddle points
$A_7^{\pm}$	$\left(-3,\mp\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{6},\pm1,0\right)$	Not physically		
		acceptable		
B_1	$\left(0,0,0,\frac{{\eta_0}^2}{3},\eta_0,0\right)$	de Sitter	$-\eta_0,-\eta_0,-\frac{2}{3}\eta_0,-\frac{2}{3}\eta_0,0$	CMT
B_2	$\left(0,-\frac{\eta_0}{3},\frac{{\eta_0}^2}{3},{\eta_0}^2,\eta_0,2{\eta_0}^2\right)$	Bianchi III	$-2\eta_0,-\eta_0,-\eta_0,\eta_0,0$	Saddle point
B_3	$\left(0,\frac{2}{3}\eta_0,0,3{\eta_0}^2,\eta_0,8{\eta_0}^2\right)$	Bianchi III	$-3\eta_0,-2\eta_0,-\eta_0,2\eta_0,0$	Saddle point
C_1	$\left(\omega_D,0,0,\frac{\omega_D}{6},0,\omega_D\right)$	Closed FLRW	$-\sqrt{\frac{\omega_D}{6}},-\sqrt{\frac{\omega_D}{6}},\sqrt{\frac{\omega_D}{6}},\sqrt{\frac{\omega_D}{6}},0$	Saddle point
C_2	$(0,0,0,0,0,0)$	Minkowski	$0,0,0,0,0$	Unstable

$A_2^{\pm} = \left(0,0,0,0,\pm1,-\frac{2}{3}\right)$ provide asymptotic solutions in an isotropic background space with negative curvature. Indeed, the exact solutions at the points are the (inhomogeneous) Milne universes. The asymptotic solutions at the stationary points $A_3^{\pm} = \left(0,\pm\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{9},0,\pm1,0\right)$ correspond to anisotropic universes with zero valued spatial curvature, that is, they describe (inhomogeneous) Bianchi I vacuum solutions, i.e. Kasner (-like) universes. Moreover, the background space at the stationary points $A_4^{\pm} = \left(0,\mp\frac{1}{12},\frac{1}{32},0,\pm1,-\frac{5}{8}\right)$ is anisotropic with negative valued spatial curvature, thus, the solution is that of the vacuum Kantowski-Sachs (-like) spacetime. Furthermore, inhomogeneous Kasner solutions are described also by the stationary points $A_5^{\pm} = \left(0,\pm\frac{1}{3},0,0,\pm1,0\right)$. The two of stationary points $A_6^{\pm} = \left(0,\pm\frac{1}{6},0,0,\pm1,-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ describe vacuum Kantowski-Sachs spacetimes. Moreover, the two stationary points $A_7^{\pm} = \left(-3,\mp\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{6},\pm1,0\right)$ are not physically accepted because they provide negative energy density for the pressureless fluid source ρ_D.

These stationary points satisfy $\eta^2 = 1$ which indicates that θ reaches infinite. In-

deed the asymptotic solutions described by the stationary points $A_I^{\pm}$, $I = 1, 2...7$, correspond to singular solutions in which $\theta \propto t^{-1}$. There exist the additional stationary points with ${\eta_0}^2 \neq 1$ which provide an exponential expansion rate $\theta \propto e^{H_0 t}$. There are curves of stationary points, $B_1 = \left(0, 0, 0, \frac{{\eta_0}^2}{3}, \eta_0, 0\right)$, $B_2 = \left(0, -\frac{\eta_0}{3}, \frac{{\eta_0}^2}{3}, {\eta_0}^2, \eta_0, 2{\eta_0}^2\right)$ and $B_3 = \left(0, \frac{2}{3}\eta_0, 0, 3{\eta_0}^2, \eta_0, 8{\eta_0}^2\right)$. The curve of stationary points B_1 describes the asymptotic solution for a spatially flat Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) (-like) spacetime dominated by the cosmological constant, that is, it describes de Sitter universe. One the other hand, the curves of stationary points B_2 and B_3 describe Bianchi III (-like) spacetimes.

Finally, there exist two lines of stationary points with $\eta = 0$, they are $C_1 = \left(\omega_D, 0, 0, \frac{\omega_D}{6}, 0, \omega_D\right)$ and $C_2 = (-18\Sigma^2, \Sigma, \Sigma^2, 3\Sigma^2, 0, 0)$. The asymptotic solution at the line of stationary points C_1 describes an isotropic universe with positive spatially curvature, that is, it describes the closed Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (-like) spacetime and exists only for positive cosmological constant. Furthermore, points over line C_2 are physically accepted only when $\Sigma = 0$, and in such case we have the physical stationary point $C_2 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. Therefore, C_2 describes the Minkowski solution. It is very interesting that there are two stationary points in which the expansion rate θ changes sign, and the trajectories for the field equations can go from the region which describes an expanding universe, $\theta > 0$, to the region which the universe shrinks, i.e. $\theta < 0$. In Table I, the above discussion is summarized. Additionally, the eigenvalues around the stationary points for the linearized system (81)- (85) are presented. From the eigenvalues, we infer that points $A_1^{\pm}$, $A_2^{\pm}$, $A_4^{\pm}$, B_2, B_3 and C_1 are always saddle points. Meanwhile, Points A_3^{+}, A_5^{+} are sources describing unstable solutions, while A_3^{-} and A_5^{-} are attractors. The line of stationary points B_1 has five negative eigenvalues and a zero eigenvalue. Thus, it has a 4D stable manifold and a 1D center manifold, which can be determined by applying the center manifold theorem (CMT). As far as to the line of stationary points C_2 is concerned the five eigenvalues are all zero. However, from the phase-space diagrams presented below it follows that the point C_2 always describes the unstable Minkowski universe.

In Figs. 15, 16, 17 and 18 we present phase-space portraits for the field equations (81)-(85) in the two-dimensional planes (ω_D, Σ), (ω_D, α), $(\omega_D, \omega_\Lambda)$, (Σ, α) for $\eta = 1$ (Fig. 15), $\eta = -1$ (Fig. 16) and $\eta = 0$ (Fig. 17). In Fig. 18 the phase-space portraits are in the two-dimensional planes (η, Σ), (η, α), (η, ω_Λ) and (η, ω_D).




FIG. 15: Phase-space portraits for the dynamical system (81)- (85) in the two-dimensional planes (ω_D, Σ) , (ω_D, α), $(\omega_D, \omega_\Lambda)$, (Σ, α) for $\eta = 1$.




FIG. 16: Phase-space portraits for the dynamical system (81)- (85) in the two-dimensional planes (ω_D, Σ) , (ω_D, α), $(\omega_D, \omega_\Lambda)$, (Σ, α) for $\eta = -1$.




FIG. 17: Phase-space portraits for the dynamical system (81)- (85) in the two-dimensional plane (Σ, α) for $\eta = 0$.

The set of stationary points B_1 can be parametrized as the curve $s(\eta) = \left(0, 0, 0, \frac{\eta^2}{3}, \eta, 0\right)$. Fixing a point of B_1 with $\eta = \eta_0$ the eigensystem at the stationary point fixed is given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & -\eta_0 & -\eta_0 & -\frac{2\eta_0}{3} & -\frac{2\eta_0}{3} \\ \left(0,0,0,\frac{2\eta_0}{3},1\right) & \left(-\frac{2\eta_0}{\eta_0^2-1},0,0,\frac{2\eta_0^3}{3\left(\eta_0^2-1\right)},1\right) & \left(0,\frac{3}{\eta_0},1,0,0\right) & \left(0,0,0,\frac{2\eta_0^3}{3\left(\eta_0^2-1\right)},1\right) & (0,1,0,0,0) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Since the eivenvector associated to the zero eigenvalue, $\left(0, 0, 0, \frac{2\eta_0}{3}, 1\right)$ is parallel to the tangent vector $v = \frac{ds(\eta)}{d\eta}|_{\eta=\eta_0} = \left(0, 0, 0, \frac{2\eta_0}{3}, 1\right)$ at the given point with coordinates $\left(0, 0, 0, \frac{{\eta_0}^2}{3}, \eta_0, 0\right)$ in the curve B_1. Then, the line of stationary points B_1 is normally hyperbolic. A set of non-isolated stationary points is said to be normally hyperbolic if the only eigenvalues with zero real parts are those whose corresponding eigenvectors are tangent to the set. Since by definition any point on a set of non-isolated stationary points will have at least one eigenvalue which is zero, all points in the set are non-hyperbolic. However, a set that is normally hyperbolic can be completely classified as per its stability by considering the signs of eigenvalues in the remaining directions (i.e., for a curve, in the remaining $n - 1$ directions) (see [40], pp. 36).

When these arguments are applied to B_1, it follows that the line of stationary points is stable.

Another way to see this is using the CMT.




FIG. 18: Phase-space portraits for the dynamical system (81)- (85) in the two-dimensional planes (η, Σ) , (η, α), (η, ω_Λ) and (η, ω_D) .

Defining the similarity matrix

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{2\eta_0}{\eta_0^2-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{\eta_0} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{2\eta_0}{3} & \frac{2\eta_0^3}{3\left(\eta_0^2-1\right)} & 0 & \frac{2\eta_0^3}{3\left(\eta_0^2-1\right)} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{87}$$

and the linear transformation

$$\mathbf{X} := (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = S^{-1} \cdot \left(\omega_D, \Sigma, \alpha, \omega_\Lambda - \frac{\eta_0^2}{3}, \eta - \eta_0\right) \tag{88}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\omega_D, \Sigma, \alpha, \omega_\Lambda, \eta) = \\ &\left(-\frac{2\eta_0 x_2}{\eta_0^2-1}, \frac{3x_3}{\eta_0} + x_5, x_3, \frac{\eta_0\left(\eta_0^3 - \eta_0 + 2\eta_0^2(x_1+x_2+x_4) - 2x_1\right)}{3\left(\eta_0^2-1\right)}, \eta_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_4\right). \end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

This transformation translates the point with coordinates $\left(0, 0, 0, \frac{{\eta_0}^2}{3}, \eta_0, 0\right)$ at the curve B_1 to the origin. The new system can be symbolically written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1' \\ x_2' \\ x_3' \\ x_4' \\ x_5' \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\eta_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\eta_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{2\eta_0}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{2\eta_0}{3} \end{pmatrix}}_{=\mathbf{J}} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} g_1(\mathbf{X}) \\ g_2(\mathbf{X}) \\ g_3(\mathbf{X}) \\ g_4(\mathbf{X}) \\ g_5(\mathbf{X}) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{90}$$

or

$$\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{J}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}) \tag{91}$$

where the vector $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X})$ contains the nonlinear terms. Hence, the local center manifold of the origin is given locally by the graph

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\{\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^5 : x_2 = h_2(x_1), x_3 = h_3(x_1), x_4 = h_4(x_1), x_5 = h_5(x_1), \\ h_2'(0) = h_3'(0) = h_4'(0) = h_5'(0) = 0, \\ h_2(0) = h_3(0) = h_4(0) = h_5(0) = 0, |x_1| < \delta\Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

for δ small enough. From the invariance of the center manifold of the origin for the flow of the dynamical systems it follows that h_i satisfy the set of differential equations

$$\eta_0 h_2(x_1) - g_2(x_1, h_2(x_1), h_3(x_1), h_4(x_1), h_5(x_1)) + h_2'(x_1) g_1(x_1, h_2(x_1), h_3(x_1), h_4(x_1), h_5(x_1)) = 0, \quad (93a)$$

$$\eta_0 h_3(x_1) - g_3(x_1, h_2(x_1), h_3(x_1), h_4(x_1), h_5(x_1)) + h_3'(x_1) g_1(x_1, h_2(x_1), h_3(x_1), h_4(x_1), h_5(x_1)) = 0, \quad (93b)$$

$$\frac{2}{3}\eta_0 h_4(x_1) - g_4(x_1, h_2(x_1), h_3(x_1), h_4(x_1), h_5(x_1)) + h_4'(x_1) g_1(x_1, h_2(x_1), h_3(x_1), h_4(x_1), h_5(x_1)) = 0, \quad (93c)$$

$$\frac{2}{3}\eta_0 h_5(x_1) - g_5(x_1, h_2(x_1), h_3(x_1), h_4(x_1), h_5(x_1)) + h_5'(x_1) g_1(x_1, h_2(x_1), h_3(x_1), h_4(x_1), h_5(x_1)) = 0. \quad (93d)$$

Substituting the ansatz

$$h_i(x_1) = \sum_{k=2}^{N-1} a_{ik} x_1^k + \mathcal{O}(x_1^N), i = 2, 3, 4, 5, \quad (94)$$

in eqs. (93) and comparing coefficients of the same powers in x_1 we obtain $a_{22} = 0, a_{23} = 0, a_{24} = 0, a_{25} = 0, a_{26} = 0, a_{27} = 0, a_{28} = 0, a_{29} = 0, a_{32} = 0, a_{33} = 0, a_{34} = 0, a_{35} = 0, a_{36} = 0, a_{37} = 0, a_{38} = 0, a_{39} = 0, a_{42} = \frac{\eta_0^2-1}{2\eta_0}, a_{43} = \frac{\left(\eta_0^2-1\right)^2}{2\eta_0^2}, a_{44} = \frac{5\left(\eta_0^2-1\right)^3}{8\eta_0^3}, a_{45} = \frac{7\left(\eta_0^2-1\right)^4}{8\eta_0^4}, a_{46} = \frac{21\left(\eta_0^2-1\right)^5}{16\eta_0^5}, a_{47} = \frac{33\left(\eta_0^2-1\right)^6}{16\eta_0^6}, a_{48} = \frac{429\left(\eta_0^2-1\right)^7}{128\eta_0^7}, a_{49} = \frac{715\left(\eta_0^2-1\right)^8}{128\eta_0^8}, a_{52} = 0, a_{53} = 0, a_{54} = 0, a_{55} = 0, a_{56} = 0, a_{57} = 0, a_{58} = 0, a_{59} = 0$ for $N = 10$. This is our induction start. Assuming $a_{2k} = a_{3k} = a_{5k} = 0, \forall k, 2 \leq k \leq N-1, N \geq 10$ and equating to zero the coefficients of all orders of x_i^k up to order $N+1$, we obtain $a_{2N} = a_{3N} = a_{5N} = 0$. That is, assuming $h_i(x_1) = a_{iN} x_1^N + \mathcal{O}(x_1^{N+1}), i = 2, 3, 5$, we obtain from (93a), (93b) and (93d) (and by neglecting the terms $\mathcal{O}(x_1^{N+1})$) that

$$a_{2N} P(x_1, h_4(x_1)) = 0, a_{3N} P(x_1, h_4(x_1)) = 0, \quad (95)$$

$$a_{3N} Q(x_1, h_4(x_1)) + a_{5N} R(x_1, h_4(x_1)) = 0, \quad (96)$$

where

$P(x_1, h_4) = \eta_0^2 \left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right) N h_4^4 + \eta_0 h_4^2 \left(\left(\eta_0^2 - 3\right) x_1 \left(3\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right) N + 2\right) - 2\eta_0 \left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right) N\right) + h_4^3 \left(\eta_0 \left(\eta_0^4 - 4\eta_0^2 + 1\right) N + 2\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right) x_1 \left(\left(2\eta_0^2 - 1\right) N + 1\right)\right) + x_1 h_4 \left(-2\eta_0^4 N + \eta_0^2 (6N - 7) + 3\right) - 3\eta_0 \left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right) x_1,$

$Q(x_1, h_4) = \left(-3\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right) x_1 h_4^3 - 3\eta_0 \left(\eta_0^2 - 3\right) x_1 h_4^2 + \left(9\eta_0^2 - 3\right) x_1 h_4\right),$

$R(x_1, h_4) = \eta_0^2 h_4^2 \left(\left(\eta_0^2 - 3\right) x_1 \left(3\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right) N + 1\right) - 2\eta_0 \left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right) N\right) + \eta_0 h_4^3 \left(\eta_0 \left(\eta_0^4 - 4\eta_0^2 + 1\right) N + \left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right) x_1 \left(\left(4\eta_0^2 - 2\right) N + 1\right)\right) + \eta_0^3 \left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right) N h_4^4 + h_4 \left(2\eta_0 x_1 - 2\eta_0^3 x_1 \left(\left(\eta_0^2 - 3\right) N + 2\right)\right) - 2\eta_0^2 \left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right) x_1.$

On the other hand, the function

$$h_4(x_1) = -x_1 + \frac{\eta_0 - \sqrt{\eta_0\left(\eta_0 - 2\eta_0^2 x_1 + 2x_1\right)}}{\eta_0^2 - 1} \tag{97}$$

satisfies $h_4(0) = h_4'(0)$ and its series expansions around $x_1 = 0$ has exactly the first eight coefficients $a_{42}, \ldots a_{49}$ deduced at the inducting start. Substituting (97) in (95) and (96) we obtain $P(x_1, h_4(x_1)) \neq 0, Q(x_1, h_4(x_1)) \neq 0$ and $R(x_1, h_4(x_1)) \neq 0$ for $x_1 \neq 0$ and $0 < \eta_0 < 1$, which implies $a_{2N} = a_{3N} = a_{5N} = 0$. Using induction over N we obtain the exact solution

$$h_2(x_1) \equiv 0, h_3(x_1) \equiv 0, h_4(x_1) = -x_1 + \frac{\eta_0 - \sqrt{\eta_0\left(\eta_0 - 2\eta_0^2 x_1 + 2x_1\right)}}{\eta_0^2 - 1}, h_5(x_1) \equiv 0. \tag{98}$$

That is, the local center manifold of the origin is

$$\left\{\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^5 : x_2 = 0, x_3 = 0, x_4 = -x_1 + \frac{\eta_0 - \sqrt{\eta_0\left(\eta_0 - 2\eta_0^2 x_1 + 2x_1\right)}}{\eta_0^2 - 1}, x_5 = 0, |x_1| < \delta\right\} \tag{99}$$

and $x_1' = 0$ at the center manifold. In the original variables the center manifold is

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\{ (\omega_D, \Sigma, \alpha, \omega_\Lambda, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^5 : \omega_D = 0, \Sigma = 0, \alpha = 0,& \\ \omega_\Lambda = \frac{\eta_0\left(\eta_0^5 + \eta_0 - 2\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right)x_1 - 2\eta_0^{5/2}\sqrt{\eta_0 - 2\eta_0^2 x_1 + 2x_1}\right)}{3\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right)^2},& \\ \eta = \frac{\eta_0^3 - \sqrt{\eta_0\left(\eta_0 - 2\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right)x_1\right)}}{\eta_0^2 - 1}, |x_1| \leq \delta\Big\}.&\end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

In our approximation we have

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_\Lambda = \frac{\eta_0^2}{3} + \frac{2\eta_0 x_1}{3} + \frac{\eta_0^2 x_1^2}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\eta_0\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right)x_1^3 + \frac{5}{12}\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right)^2 x_1^4 + \frac{7\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right)^3 x_1^5}{12\eta_0} \\ + \frac{7\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right)^4 x_1^6}{8\eta_0^2} + \frac{11\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right)^5 x_1^7}{8\eta_0^3} + \frac{143\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right)^6 x_1^8}{64\eta_0^4} + \frac{715\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right)^7 x_1^9}{192\eta_0^5} + O\left(x_1^{10}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\eta = \eta_0 + x_1 + \frac{\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right)x_1^2}{2\eta_0} + \frac{\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right)^2 x_1^3}{2\eta_0^2} + \frac{5\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right)^3 x_1^4}{8\eta_0^3} + \frac{7\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right)^4 x_1^5}{8\eta_0^4} \\ + \frac{21\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right)^5 x_1^6}{16\eta_0^5} + \frac{33\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right)^6 x_1^7}{16\eta_0^6} + \frac{429\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right)^7 x_1^8}{128\eta_0^7} + \frac{715\left(\eta_0^2 - 1\right)^8 x_1^9}{128\eta_0^8} + O\left(x_1^{10}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

Using these results we obtain that the center manifold is contained in the plane (ω_Λ, η) whose dynamics is given by

$$\omega_\Lambda' = \frac{2}{3}\eta\omega_\Lambda\left(\eta^2 - 3\omega_\Lambda\right), \tag{103}$$

$$\eta' = \frac{1}{3}\left(\eta^2 - 1\right)\left(\eta^2 - 3\omega_\Lambda\right), \tag{104}$$




FIG. 19: Phase portrait of the dynamical system (103) - (104) in the two-dimensional plane (ω_Λ, η) where the stability of the de Sitter line of points $B_1 : \eta^2 - 3\omega_\Lambda = 0$ (represented by a solid line) it is shown.

with constraint

$$-\eta^2 + 3\omega_\Lambda - \frac{3\omega_R}{2} = 0. \tag{105}$$

Then, the dynamics of the center manifold of B_1 can be inferred from the dynamics of the system (103) - (104). The stability of the center manifold is illustrated in Fig. 19 where a phase portrait of the dynamical system (103) - (104) in the two-dimensional plane (ω_Λ, η) is displayed. Then, the stability of the de Sitter line of points $B_1 : \eta^2 - 3\omega_\Lambda = 0$ it is shown. That is, we show that the attractor at the finite regime in $\mathbb{R}^5$ is related with the de Sitter universe for a positive cosmological constant and positive η (positive H).

6. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we investigated the global dynamics for the Szekeres system with a nonzero cosmological constant term. The Szekeres system has consisted of an algebraic equation and four first-order ordinary differential equations defined in the $\mathbb{R}^4$. The system of ordinary differential equations is autonomous and admits a point-like Lagrangian. Consequently, a Hamiltonian function exists and a sufficient number of conservation laws from where infer

the integrability properties of the Szekeres system. By applying the Hamilton-Jacobi theory, we can reduce the Szekeres system into a system of two first-order ordinary differential equations.

Hence in the $\mathbb{R}^2$ space, we investigate the global dynamics for the evolution of the Szekeres system in the finite and infinity regime. We find that various values of the conservation laws, that is, of the free variables the evolution of the Szekeres system differs. Moreover, we investigate the dynamics on specific surfaces of special interests.

Finally, for completeness of our analysis, we study the evolution of the physical variables of the Szekeres system with the nonzero cosmological constant term, by using dimensionless variables different from that of the Hubble-normalization. In the new variables the stationary points of the Szekeres system determined while the stability properties are provided.

In the presence of the cosmological constant, the evolution of the Szekeres system is different from that which was studied before when $\Lambda = 0$. We see that for $\Lambda > 0$, there can exist an attractor in the finite regime which describes the de Sitter universe.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by Agencia Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo- ANID through the program FONDECYT Iniciación grant no. 11180126 and by Vicerrectoría de Investigación y Desarrollo Tecnológico at Universidad Católica del Norte. Ellen de Los Milagros Fernández Flores is acknowledged for proofreading this manuscript and for improving the English.

Appendix A: Szekeres system with $\Lambda = 0$

In this Appendix we discuss about the global dynamics for Szekeres system with $\Lambda = 0$. Such analysis has been published before for other set of variables in [26]. However, for the completeness of our analysis we summarize the main results in the following lines. The dynamical system (55), (56) for $\Lambda = 0$ reads

$$\dot{x} = -\sqrt{3}y\left(3I_0 y + 6\right), \tag{A1}$$

$$\dot{y} = -\sqrt{3}\left(x\left(y^3 - 3\right) + 3hy^2\right). \tag{A2}$$




FIG. 20: Phase-space portraits for Szekeres associated to system (A1), (A2) for different values of the free parameters I_0 and h and zero value for the cosmological constant, i.e. $\Lambda = 0$. Figures of the first row are in the local variables (x, y), while figures of the second row are for the compactified variabels (X, Y).

The latter dynamical system in the finite regime admits the stationary points $P_0^{(\Lambda=0)} = (0, 0)$ and $P_1^{(\Lambda=0)} = \left(\frac{4h}{I_0^2}, -\frac{2}{I_0}\right)$ which exist for $I_0 \neq 0$ and it is physically accepted when $I_0 < 0$, because $y \geq 0$. The eigenvalues of the linearized system around point $P_0^{(\Lambda=0)}$ are $-6\sqrt{3}$ and $3\sqrt{3}$, which means that the point is saddle. Similarly, for point $P_1^{(\Lambda=0)}$ we derive the

eigenvalues $6\sqrt{3}$ and $3\sqrt{3}$ which means that $P_1^{(\Lambda=0)}$ is a source. Thus, do not exists stationary point at the finite regime.

As far as the analysis at infinity is concerned, we can easily conclude from the results of Section 4 that results for $\Lambda < 0$ and $I_0 < 0$ apply also when $\Lambda = 0$ and $I_0 < 0$. Therefore, the trajectories can end at infinity, while they are originated at infinity or the source point $P_1^{(\Lambda=0)}$.

In Fig. 20 phase-space portraits for the Szekeres system with zero cosmological constant terms for different values of the free variables are presented. We observe that the trajectories of the dynamical systems end at infinity.

---

[1] A. Krasiński, *Inhomogeneous Cosmological Models,* Cambridge U.P., Cambridge, (1997)

[2] P. Szekeres, A class of inhomogeneous cosmological models, Commun. Math. Phys. 41, 55 (1975) 10.1007/BF01608547

[3] J.D. Barrow and J. Stein-Schabes, Inhomogeneous cosmologies with cosmological constant, Phys. Lett. A 103, 315 (1984) 10.1016/0375-9601(84)90467-5

[4] G.M. Covarrubias, A class of Szekeres space-times with cosmological constant, Astroph. Sp. Sci. 103, 401 (1984) 10.1007/BF00653757

[5] R. Maartens, W. Lesame and G.F.R. Ellis, Consistency of dust solutions with div H=0, Phys.Rev. D **55,** 5219 (1997) 10.1103/PhysRevD.55.5219

[6] M. Bruni, S. Matarrese and P. Ornella, Dynamics of Silent Universes, Astroph. J. 445, 958 (1995) 10.1086/175755

[7] D.A. Szafron, Inhomogeneous cosmologies: New exact solutions and their evolution, J. Math. Phys. 18, 1673 (1977) 10.1063/1.523468

[8] S.W. Goode and J. Wainwright, Characterization of locally rotationally symmetric space-times, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 18, 315 (1986) 10.1007/BF00765890

[9] J.A.S. Lima and J. Tiommo, Inhomogeneous two-fluid cosmologies, Gen. Relat. Gravit. 20, 1019 (1988) 10.1007/BF00759023

[10] K. Bolejko, M.-N. Célérier and A. Krasiński, Inhomogeneous cosmological models: exact solutions and their applications, Class. Quantum Grav. 28, 164002 (2011) 10.1088/0264-9381/28/16/164002

[11] C. Saulder, S. Mieske, E. van Kampen and W.W. Zeilinger, Hubble flow variations as a test for inhomogeneous cosmology, A&A 622, A83 (2019) 10.1051/0004-6361/201629174

[12] C. Clarkson and M. Regis, The cosmic microwave background in an inhomogeneous universe, JCAP 02, 013 (2011) 10.1088/1475-7516/2011/02/013

[13] K. Bolejko, The Szekeres Swiss Cheese model and the CMB observations, Gen. Rel. Gravit. 41, 1737 (2009) 10.1007/s10714-008-0746-x

[14] K. Bolejko and M. Korzyński, Inhomogeneous cosmology and backreaction: Current status and future prospects, IJMPD 26, 1730011 (2017) 10.1142/S0218271817300117

[15] K. Bolejko and M.-N. Célérier, Szekeres Swiss-cheese model and supernova observations, Phys. Rev. D 82, 103510 (2010) 10.1103/PhysRevD.82.103510

[16] M. Ishak and A. Peel, Growth of structure in the Szekeres class-II inhomogeneous cosmological models and the matter-dominated era, Phys. Rev. D 85, 083502 (2012) 10.1103/PhysRevD.85.083502

[17] D. Vrba and O. Svitek, Modelling inhomogeneity in Szekeres spacetime, Gen. Relativ. Grav. 46, 1808 (2014) 10.1007/s10714-014-1808-x

[18] A. Gierzkiewicz and Z.A. Golda, On integrability of the Szekeres system. I, Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics 23, 494 (2016) 10.1080/14029251.2016.1237199

[19] A. Gierzkiewicz and Z.A. Golda, A complete set of integrals and solutions to the Szekeres system, Phys. Lett. A 382, 2085 (2018) 10.1016/j.physleta.2018.05.038

[20] A. Paliathanasis and P.G.L. Leach, Symmetries and Singularities of the Szekeres System, Phys. Lett. A 381, 1277 (2017) 10.1016/j.physleta.2017.02.009

[21] A. Ramani, B. Dorizzi, B. Grammaticos and T. Bountis, Integrability and the Painlevé property for low-dimensional systems, J. Math. Phys. 25, 878 (1984) 10.1063/1.526240

[22] A. Paliathanasis, A. Zampeli, T. Christodoulakis and M.T. Mustafa, Quantization of the Szekeres System, Class. Quantum Grav. 35, 125005 (20108) 10.1088/1361-6382/aac227

[23] P.G.L Leach, Lie symmetries and Noether symmetries, Applicable Analysis and Discrete Mathematics 6, 238-246 (2012) www.jstor.org/stable/43666171

[24] A. Paliathanasis, Quantum potentiality in Inhomogeneous Cosmology, Universe 7, 52 (2021) 10.3390/universe7030052

[25] A. Zampeli and A. Paliathanasis, Quantization of inhomogeneous spacetimes with cosmological constant term, Class. Quantum Grav. 38, 165012 (2021) 10.1088/1361-6382/ac1209

[26] J. Libre and C. Valls, On the dynamics of the Szekeres system, Phys. Lett. A 383, 301 (2019) 10.1016/j.physleta.2018.10.050

[27] J. Libre and C. Valls, Dynamics of the Szekeres system, J. Math. Phys 62, 082502 (2021) 10.1063/5.0054051

[28] E.J. Copeland, A.R. Liddle and D. Wands, Exponential potentials and cosmological scaling solutions, Phys. Rev. D 57, 4686 (1998) 10.1103/PhysRevD.57.4686

[29] A.A. Coley and R.J. van den Hoogen, The Dynamics of multiscalar field cosmological models and assisted inflation, Phys. Rev. D 62, 023517 10.1103/PhysRevD.62.023517

[30] A.P. Billyard, A.A. Coley, J.E. Lidsey and U.S. Nilsson, Dynamics of M theory cosmology, Phys. Rev. D 61, 043504 (2000) 10.1103/PhysRevD.61.043504

[31] A.A. Coley, Dynamical systems and cosmology, Astroph. Space Sci. Libr. 291 (2003) 10.1007/978-94-017-0327-7

[32] G. Leon and E.N. Saridakis, Dynamical analysis of generalized Galileon cosmology, JCAP 03, 025 (2013) 10.1088/1475-7516/2013/03/025

[33] G.A Rave-Franco, C. Escamilla-Rivera and J.L. Said, Dynamical complexity of the teleparallel gravity cosmology, Phys. Rev. D 103, 084017 (2021) 10.1103/PhysRevD.103.084017

[34] R.G. Landim, Cosmological perturbations and dynamical analysis for interacting quintessence, EPJC 79, 889 (2019) 10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7418-8

[35] M. Karčiauskas, Dynamical Analysis of Anisotropic Inflation, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 31, 1640002 (2016) 10.1142/S0217732316400022

[36] G. Leon and E.N. Saridakis, Dynamical behavior in mimetic F(R) gravity, JCAP 04, 031 (2015) 10.1088/1475-7516/2015/04/031

[37] A. Suroso and F.P. Zen, Cosmological model with nonminimal derivative coupling of scalar fields in five dimensions, Gen. Rel. Gravit. 45, 799 (2013) 10.1007/s10714-013-1500-6

[38] A. Paliathanasis and G. Leon, Asymptotic behavior of N-fields Chiral Cosmology, EPJC 80, 847 (2020) 10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8423-7

[39] A. Giacomini, S. Jamal, G. Leon, A. Paliathanasis and J. Saavedra, Phys. Rev. D 95, 124060 (2017) 10.1103/PhysRevD.95.064031

[40] B. Aulbach, Continuous and Discrete Dynamics near Manifolds of Equilibria (Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 1058, Springer, 1984)