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#### Abstract

This note is devoted to several results about frequency localized functions and associated Bernstein inequalities for higher order operators. In particular, we construct some counterexamples for the frequency-localized Bernstein inequalities for higher order Laplacians. We show also that the heat semi-group associated to powers larger than one of the laplacian does not satisfy the strict maximum principle in general. Finally, in a suitable range we provide several positive results.
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## 1. Introduction

This note is devoted to several results about frequency localized functions and associated Bernstein inequalities for higher order operators. We consider a class of fractional Laplacian operators acting on frequency localized functions on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ or the periodic torus. To fix the notation, we use the following convention for Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}^{d}, d \geq 1$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{i \xi \cdot x} d \xi  \tag{1.1}\\
& \widehat{f}(\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(x) e^{-i \xi \cdot x} d x \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

For $s>0$, we define the fractional Laplacian operator $\Lambda^{s}=(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}$ via the Fourier transform:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\Lambda^{s} f}(\xi)=|\xi|^{s} \widehat{f}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In yet other words $\Lambda^{s}$ corresponds to the Fourier multiplier $|\xi|^{s}$. Note that for $s=2$ we have $-\Lambda^{s}=\Delta$, i.e. the usual Laplacian operator. For $0<s \leq 2$, it is known (cf. [2], [7], [11] and the references therein) that the following frequency-localized Bernstein-type inequality hold: for $1<p<\infty$ and any band-limited $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{f}) \subset\left\{\xi: \gamma_{1} \leq|\xi| \leq \gamma_{2}\right\} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

there are constants $A_{1}>0, A_{2}>0$ depending only on $\left(d, p, s, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}\|f\|_{p}^{p} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\Lambda^{s} f\right)|f|^{p-2} f d x \leq A_{1}\|f\|_{p}^{p}=A_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|f|^{p} d x \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for $p=2$, the above inequality is trivial thanks to the usual Plancherel theorem. The main point of 1.5 is that it continues to hold for $p \neq 2$ where the Fourier support of the associated functions have nontrivial overlapping interactions.

By a scaling argument, if $h \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ has frequency localized into $\{|\xi| \sim N\}$ where $N \gg 1$, then it follows from (1.5) that (below $0<s \leq 2$ and $1<p<\infty$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \Lambda^{s} h|h|^{p-2} h d x \geq \mathrm{const} \cdot N^{s}\|h\|_{p}^{p} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such powerful estimates have important applications in the regularity theory of fluid dynamics equations (cf. [11]). For example, consider the dissipative two-dimensional surface quasigeostrophic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \theta=-\Lambda^{s} \theta+\Lambda^{-1} \nabla^{\perp} \theta \cdot \nabla \theta \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<s \leq 2$. Applying the Littlewood-Paley project $P_{j}$ which is localized to $\left\{|\xi| \sim 2^{j}\right\}$ and calculating the $L^{p}$ norm of $P_{j} \theta$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{p} \partial_{t}\left(\left\|P_{j} \theta\right\|_{p}^{p}\right) & =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\Lambda^{s} P_{j} \theta\right)\left|P_{j} \theta\right|^{p-2} P_{j} \theta d x+\text { Nonlinear terms }  \tag{1.8}\\
& \leq- \text { const } \cdot 2^{j s}\left\|P_{j} \theta\right\|_{p}^{p}+\text { Nonlinear terms, } \quad \text { (by Bernstein). } \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

From this and using additional (nontrivial) commutator estimates, one can deduce fine regularity results in various critical and subcritical Besov spaces (see recent [8] for an optimal Gevrey regularity result and the references therein for earlier results). On the other hand, it has been long speculated ${ }^{1}$ whether the above Bernstein inequalities also hold for higher order Laplacian operators $\Lambda^{s}$ for $s>2$. The purpose of this note is to demonstrate some counterexamples around these higher operators $\Lambda^{s}$. Our main results are the following.

- Biharmonic operator. See Theorem 2.1. We show via an explicit construction the failure of Bernstein inequalities for the biharmonic operator $\Delta^{2}$ with $p=4$.
- Lack of positivity for higher order $e^{-\Lambda^{s}} \delta_{0}, s>2$. See Theorem 3.1. We give two proofs to show the general lack of positivity for the higher order heat operators. Some sharp asymptotic decay at spatial infinity is also shown.
- Counterexamples for Bernstein for $s>2, p \in\left(1, p_{0}\right)$ or $p \in\left(p_{1}, \infty\right)$ for some $p_{0}<2<p_{1}$. See Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3. For general operators $\Lambda^{s}$ with $s>2$, we show generic failure of Bernstein inequalities for $p=1+$ or $p=\infty-$.
- Some periodic Bernstein inequalities for $\Lambda^{s}, 0<s \leq 2$. See Theorem 4.1 and Theorem4.2, By using a nontrivial complex interpolation argument together with some concentration inequality, we prove a family of Bernstein inequalities for mean-zero periodic functions for all $p \in(1, \infty)$. We also show frequency-localized versions in Theorem 4.2,
- A Liouville theorem for $\Lambda^{s}, s>0$. See Theorem 5.1. We prove a rigidity type for the ancient solutions to a fractional heat equation.
The rest of this note is organized according to the above summary.

[^0]Notation. For any two positive quantities $X$ and $Y$, we write $X \lesssim Y$ or $X=O(Y)$ if $X \leq C Y$ for some unimportant constant $C>0$. We write $X \ll Y$ if $X \leq c Y$ for some sufficiently small constant $c>0$. The needed smallness is clear from the context. We write $f \in L^{p}(\Omega, Y)$ if $f: \Omega \rightarrow Y$ and is in $L^{p}$. For example $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ means $f$ is $\mathbb{R}^{2}$-valued and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{2}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|f|^{2} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(f_{1}^{2}+f_{2}^{2}\right) d x<\infty, \quad \text { here } f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a complex number $z=a+b i$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote $\operatorname{Re}(z)=a$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z)=b$.
We denote the usual sign function $\operatorname{sgn}(x)=1$ for $x>0,-1$ for $x<0$ and 0 if $x=0$.
We use the Japanese bracket notation $\langle x\rangle=\sqrt{1+|x|^{2}}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, d \geq 1$.

## 2. Failure of Bernstein inequalities

Theorem 2.1. Let the dimension $d \geq 1$. There exists a sequence of Schwartz functions $f_{j}$ : $\mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with frequency localized around $N_{j} \rightarrow \infty$, such that

$$
-C_{2}<\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \Delta^{2} f_{j} f_{j}^{3} d x}{N_{j}^{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f_{j}^{4} d x}<-C_{1}<0
$$

In the above $C_{1}>0, C_{2}>0$ are constants depending only on d. More precisely, the frequency support of $f_{j}$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(\widehat{f}_{j}\right) \subset\left\{\xi: \alpha_{1} N_{j}<|\xi|<\alpha_{2} N_{j}\right\}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}>0, \alpha_{2}>0$ are constants depending only on $d$.
Remark 2.1. By a perturbative argument, one can also show counterexamples for $\Lambda^{s},|s-4| \ll 1$.
Lemma 2.1. Consider $f_{1}(x)=\log \left(1+x^{2}\right), x \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote $f_{1}^{(4)}(x)=\frac{d^{4}}{d x^{4}}\left(f_{1}(x)\right)$. Then

$$
I_{1}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{1}^{(4)}(x) f_{1}(x)^{3} d x<0
$$

Remark 2.2. One can take for example $f_{2}(x)=x+e^{-x^{2}}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int f_{2}^{(4)}(x)\left(f_{2}(x)\right)^{3} d x \approx-2.47784<0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

However the issue with $f_{2}$ is that it is not amenable to localization. Namely if we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left(f_{2}(x) \phi\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)\right)^{(4)}\left(f_{2}(x) \phi\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)\right)^{3} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a bump function $\phi$ and $R$ large, then the main order term is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left(x \phi\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)\right)^{(4)}\left(x \phi\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)\right)^{3} d x=R \int(x \phi(x))^{(4)}(x \phi(x))^{3} d x \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which may not take a favorable sign. This subtle issue disappears for the function $f_{1}(x)=$ $\log \left(1+x^{2}\right)$ due to its mild growth at spatial infinity.
Remark 2.3. Interestingly, if we work with $\frac{d^{8}}{d x^{8}}$ instead of $\frac{d^{4}}{d x^{4}}$, then we have for $f_{2}(x)=x+e^{-x^{2}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int f_{2}^{(8)}(x)\left(f_{2}(x)\right)^{3} d x \approx-219.804<0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

One may then take $f_{2, R}(x)=f_{2}(x) \phi(x / R)$ for $R$ sufficiently large to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int f_{2, R}^{(8)}(x)\left(f_{2, R}(x)\right)^{3} d x<0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be used to construct frequency localized counterexamples for $\Lambda^{8}=\left(-\partial_{x x}\right)^{4}$.

Remark 2.4. To obtain $I_{1}<0$, we can also adopt a more numerical approach in lieu of exact contour integral computation. To this end, denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=f_{1}^{(4)}(x) f_{1}(x)^{3}=-12 \frac{\left(1-6 x^{2}+x^{4}\right)\left(\log \left(1+x^{2}\right)\right)^{3}}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{4}} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

A schematic drawing of $g(x)$ for $x \in[0,0.5]$ and $x \in[0,10]$ can be found in the figures below. By


Figure 1. The plot of $g(x)$ for $0 \leq x \leq 0.4$ and $0 \leq x \leq 10$
examining the polynomial $1-6 x^{2}+x^{4}$ in the definition of $g(x)$, it is easy to check that $g(x)>0$ for $x \in(\sqrt{2}-1, \sqrt{2}+1)$ and $g(x)<0$ for $x<\sqrt{2}-1$ or $x>\sqrt{2}+1$. In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}<2 \int_{0 \leq x \leq 10} g(x) d x \approx-1.65835 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. To ease the notation we write $f_{1}$ as $f$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} d x$ as $\int$. By successive integration by parts, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & =\int f^{\prime \prime}\left(f^{3}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\int f^{\prime \prime}\left(3 f^{2} f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =3 \int f^{2}\left(f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+\int 6 f^{\prime \prime}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2} f \\
& =3 \int f^{2}\left(f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}-2 \int\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $f^{\prime}(x)=2 x /\left(1+x^{2}\right)$. By a contour integral computation, it is not difficult to check that

$$
2 \int\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{4}=2 \pi
$$

On the other hand (see Appendix A), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \int f^{2}\left(f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}=-\frac{29}{6} \pi+\pi^{3}+(\log 4)(-7+\log 64) \pi \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
I_{1}=-\frac{41}{6} \pi+\pi^{3}+(\log 4)(-7+\log 64) \pi \approx-2.83
$$

We now complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. We proceed in several steps.
Step 1. We first construct $f_{j}$ in the one dimensional case. To ease the notation we shall denote

$$
I(f)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x}^{4} f f^{3} d x
$$

We choose $f_{1}$ as in Lemma 2.1. Clearly

$$
I\left(f_{1}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x}^{4} f_{1} f_{1}^{3} d x \approx-2.83<0
$$

Define for $R \geq 2$

$$
f_{R}(x)=f_{1}(x) \phi(x / R)=\log \left(1+x^{2}\right) \phi(x / R)
$$

where $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is such that $\phi(z)=1$ for $|z| \leq 1$ and $\phi(z)=0$ for $|z| \geq 2$. By taking $R$ sufficiently large, it is not difficult to check that $I\left(f_{R}\right)<0$. As a matter of fact $I\left(f_{R}\right) \rightarrow I\left(f_{1}\right)$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. We now fix $R=R_{0}$ such that $I_{R_{0}}<0$. Clearly $f_{R_{0}} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

Next we take $\epsilon>0$ and define $h_{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
\widehat{h_{\epsilon}}(\xi)=\phi(\epsilon \xi)\left(1-\phi\left(\frac{\xi}{\epsilon}\right)\right) \widehat{f_{R_{0}}}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Clearly $I\left(h_{\epsilon}\right) \rightarrow I\left(f_{R_{0}}\right)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus we can fix $\epsilon_{0}>0$ sufficiently small such that $I\left(h_{\epsilon_{0}}\right)<0$.
Finally we define $f_{j} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
\widehat{f_{j}}(\xi)=N_{j}^{-\frac{3}{4}} \widehat{h_{\epsilon_{0}}}\left(\frac{\xi}{N_{j}}\right)
$$

On the real side, we have

$$
f_{j}(x)=N_{j}^{\frac{1}{4}} h_{\epsilon_{0}}\left(N_{j} x\right)
$$

Apparently $\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{4}=\left\|h_{\epsilon_{0}}\right\|_{4}$ for all $j$. Clearly $f_{j}$ satisfies the desired constraints in dimension $d=1$.

Step 2. Higher dimensions. With no loss we consider dimension $d=2$. The case for $d \geq 3$ is similar and omitted. Define

$$
f_{j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=N_{j}^{\frac{1}{4}} h_{\epsilon_{0}}\left(N_{j} x_{1}\right) \psi\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

where $h_{\epsilon_{0}}$ was specified in Step 1 , and $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is chosen to have frequency localized to $\frac{1}{2} \leq$ $|\xi| \leq 1$. Clearly

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \Delta^{2} f_{j} f_{j}^{3} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \partial_{x_{1}}^{4} f_{j} f_{j}^{3} d x+\text { l.o.t. }
$$

The desired conclusion follows easily.
Consider $s>2$, and fix any $p \neq 2$. A general question is whether one can find smooth frequency localized $f$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} f|f|^{p-2} f d x<0
$$

All these have deep connections with the lack of positivity of the fundamental solution for higher order heat propagators. In the next section we investigate somewhat more general situation concerning $\Lambda^{s}, s>2$.

## 3. LACK OF POSITIVITY FOR $e^{-\Lambda^{s}} \delta_{0}, s>2$

Lemma 3.1 ([14]). Let $\alpha>0$. Define

$$
F_{\alpha}(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t^{\alpha}} \cos x t d t, \quad x>0
$$

Then

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{\alpha+1} F_{\alpha}(x)=\Gamma(\alpha+1) \sin \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}
$$



Figure 2. Contours $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$

Proof. We briefly recall the argument of Polya as follows. First by using partial integration one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\alpha+1} F_{\alpha}(x) & =x^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty}(\sin x t) e^{-t^{\alpha}} d\left(t^{\alpha}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \sin u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} e^{-x^{-\alpha} u} d u \quad\left(u=t^{\alpha} x^{\alpha}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Im}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i u u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}-x^{-\alpha} u} d u\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now first deform the contour $\Gamma_{0}=[0, \infty)$ (see Figure 2) to $\Gamma_{1}=\left\{u=r e^{i \theta_{0}}: 0 \leq r<\infty\right\}$ for $0<\theta_{0} \ll 1$, one has ${ }^{2}$

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{\alpha+1} F_{\alpha}(x)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\int_{\Gamma_{1}} e^{i u \frac{1}{\alpha}} d u\right)
$$

One can then deform the latter integral from $\Gamma_{1}$ to $\Gamma_{2}=\left\{u=r e^{i \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}}: 0 \leq r<\infty\right\}$ to obtain

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\int_{\Gamma_{1}} e^{i u \frac{1}{\alpha}} d u\right)=\sin \left(\frac{\pi \alpha}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} d r=\Gamma(\alpha+1) \sin \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}
$$

Remark 3.1. We shall need to use the standard Bessel functions: for $\nu>0$ and $z=\rho e^{i \theta}$ with $-\pi<\theta \leq \pi$,

$$
J_{\nu}(z)=C_{\nu} z^{\nu} \int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} e^{i s z} d s
$$

where $C_{\nu}>0$ depends only on $\nu$. In particular we recall the usual formula for Bessel functions (cf. pp. 11 of [3]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d z}\left(J_{\nu+1}(z) z^{\nu+1}\right)=J_{\nu}(z) z^{\nu+1} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also recall (cf. pp. 168 of [12] and pp. 149 of [10]): for $\operatorname{Re}\left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right)>0,-\frac{1}{2} \pi<\arg (z)<\frac{3}{2} \pi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\nu}^{(1)}(z)=\left(\frac{2}{\pi z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right)} e^{i\left(z-\frac{1}{2} \nu \pi-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+\frac{i u}{2 z}\right)^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} d u \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2 ([1]). Consider $d \geq 2$. Let $\alpha>0$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\alpha}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-|\xi|^{\alpha}} e^{i x \cdot \xi} d \xi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}|x|^{d+\alpha} F_{\alpha}(x)=C_{d, \alpha} \sin \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{d, \alpha}>0$ depends only on (d, $\left.\alpha\right)$.


Figure 3. Contours $\Gamma_{3}$ and $\Gamma_{4}$

Proof. To simplify the notation we shall denote by $C$ a positive constant depending only on ( $d$, $\alpha$ ) which may vary from line to line. Denote $r=|x|$ and $t=|\xi|$. By passing to hyper-spherical coordinates, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{d+\alpha} F_{\alpha}(x) & =C r^{d+\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t^{\alpha}} t^{d-1} \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \cos (r t s) d s d t \\
& =C r^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-r^{-\alpha} t^{\alpha}} t^{d-1} \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \cos (t s) d s d t \quad(r t \rightarrow t)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is not difficult to check that (see (3.19), or one can verify directly the computation)

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(t^{d} \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \cos (t s) d s\right)=C t^{d-1} \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \cos (t s) d s
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{d+\alpha} F_{\alpha}(x) & =C \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-r^{-\alpha} t^{\alpha}} t^{d+\alpha-1} \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \cos (t s) d s d t \\
& =C \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-r^{-\alpha} t^{\alpha}} t^{\frac{d}{2}+\alpha-1} J_{\frac{d}{2}}(t) d t=C \operatorname{Re}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-r^{-\alpha} t^{\alpha}} t^{\frac{d}{2}+\alpha-1} H_{\frac{d}{2}}^{(1)}(t) d t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.20), it suffices for us to examine (below $0<\theta_{0} \ll 1$ is a fixed angle)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Re}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon t^{\alpha}} t^{\frac{d}{2}+\alpha-1}\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{i t-i \frac{d+1}{4} \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s} s^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\left(1+\frac{i s}{2 t}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} d s\right) d t\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Re}\left(\int_{\Gamma_{3}} z^{\frac{d}{2}+\alpha-\frac{3}{2}} e^{i z-i \frac{d+1}{4} \pi}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s} s^{\frac{d-1}{2}}\left(1+\frac{i s}{2 z}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} d s\right) d z\right) \quad\left(\Gamma_{3}:\left\{z=r e^{i \theta_{0}}: 0 \leq r<\infty\right\}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Re}\left(\int_{\Gamma_{4}} z^{\frac{d}{2}+\alpha-\frac{3}{2}} e^{i z-i \frac{d+1}{4} \pi}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s} s^{\frac{d-1}{2}}\left(1+\frac{i s}{2 z}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} d s\right) d z\right) \quad\left(\Gamma_{4}:\{z=\rho i: 0 \leq \rho<\infty\}\right) \\
= & \left(\sin \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho^{\frac{d}{2}+\alpha-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\rho}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s} s^{\frac{d-1}{2}}\left(1+\frac{s}{2 \rho}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} d s\right) d \rho .
\end{aligned}
$$

The desired result clearly follows.

Theorem 3.1 (Lack of positivity for the propagator $e^{-\Lambda^{s}}$ when $s>2$ ). Define for $s>0$,

$$
K_{s}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\mid \xi \xi^{s}} e^{i \xi \cdot x} d \xi
$$

If $s>2$, then

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}} K_{s}(x)<0 .
$$

More generally define

$$
K_{s, d}(x)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-|\xi|^{s}} e^{i \xi \cdot x} d \xi .
$$

If $s>2$, then

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} K_{s, d}(x)<0 .
$$

Proof. We first consider the 1D case. By Lemma 3.1, it is not difficult to check that $K_{s}(x)<0$ for $2<s<4$. We claim that for any $s \geq 4$, we must have inf $K_{s}(x)<0$. Assume this is not true and for some $s_{0} \geq 4$, it holds that $K_{s_{0}}(\cdot)$ is always nonnegative. By using the usual subordination principle, for any $\beta \in(0,1), t>0$, it holds that

$$
e^{-t^{\beta}}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} d \mu_{\beta}(\lambda),
$$

where $d \mu_{\beta}$ is a positive measure. Taking $\beta_{0} \in(0,1)$ sufficiently small, we have

$$
e^{-|\xi|^{s_{0} \beta_{0}}}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda|\xi|^{s_{0}}} d \mu_{\beta_{0}}(\lambda),
$$

where $s_{0} \beta_{0} \in(2,4)$. But then it follows that $K_{s_{0} \beta_{0}}$ must be nonnegative. This is clearly a contradiction. This finishes the proof for the 1D case. The higher dimensional case is similar by using Lemma 3.2 ,

We now give yet another proof of Theorem 3.1 based on a contradiction argument. We first recall the usual Bochner theorem: namely if $\overline{F(\xi)}=\mathbb{E} e^{-i \xi \cdot x}(\mathbb{E}(\cdot)$ denotes taking expectation with respect to some probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ), then $F(\cdot)$ must be a positive definite function. In particular we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(\xi)| \leq|F(0)|, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this we now give an alternative proof of Theorem 3.1.
$2^{\text {nd }}$ proof of Theorem 3.1. We argue by contradiction. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-|\xi|^{s}}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(x) e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is nonnegative for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. We shall deduce a contradiction.
By using Fourier transform it is not difficult to check that $|\cdot|^{2} f(\cdot) \in L_{x}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. In particular we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{F}(\xi)=-\Delta_{\xi}\left(e^{-|\xi|^{s}}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(x)|x|^{2} e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\tilde{F}(\cdot)$ is continuous and positive definite. Thus we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\tilde{F}(\xi)| \leq|\tilde{F}(0)|, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

However since $s>2$, it is easy to check that $\tilde{F}(0)=0$ which clearly gives a contradiction!
We now draw some consequences of the previous theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Let the dimension $d \geq 1$. Let $s>2$. There exists $p_{0}>2$ depending only on ( $s$, d) such that for any $p \in\left[p_{0}, \infty\right)$, we can find $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\Lambda^{s} f\right)|f|^{p-2} f d x \leq-C_{p, d, s}<0 \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{p, d, s}>0$ depends only on $(p, d, s)$.
Furthermore for the same $p \in\left[p_{0}, \infty\right)$, there exists a sequence of Schwartz functions $f_{j}: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ with frequency localized around $N_{j} \rightarrow \infty$, such that

$$
-D_{2}<\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \Lambda^{s} f_{j}\left|f_{j}\right|^{p-2} f_{j} d x}{N_{j}^{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|f_{j}\right|^{p} d x}<-D_{1}<0
$$

In the above $D_{1}>0, D_{2}>0$ are constants depending on ( $p, d, s$ ). More precisely, the frequency support of $f_{j}$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(\widehat{f}_{j}\right) \subset\left\{\xi: \alpha_{1} N_{j}<|\xi|<\alpha_{2} N_{j}\right\}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}>0, \alpha_{2}>0$ are constants depending on ( $p, d, s$ ).
Proof. It suffices for us to prove (3.27). The frequency localized version follows from similar arguments as in Theorem 2.1. Fix $s>2$ and denote $K=e^{-\Lambda^{s}} \delta_{0}$ as the kernel function corresponding to $e^{-\Lambda^{s}}$. By Theorem 3.1, we clearly have $\|K\|_{L_{x}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}>1$. By using the spatial decay of $K$, we have for some $L_{0}$ sufficiently large

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} K(y) \operatorname{sgn}(K(y)) \chi_{|y| \leq L_{0}} d y>1 \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

By suitably mollifying the function $\operatorname{sgn}(K(y)) \chi_{|y| \leq L_{0}}$, we obtain for some $\psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with $\|\psi\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} K(y) \psi(y) d y>1 \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for some $\beta_{1}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-\Lambda^{s}} \psi\right\|_{\infty} \geq\left(1+2 \beta_{1}\right)\|\psi\|_{\infty} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty}\|\psi\|_{p}=\|\psi\|_{\infty}$ and $\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty}\left\|e^{-\Lambda^{s}} \psi\right\|_{p}=\left\|e^{-\Lambda^{s}} \psi\right\|_{\infty}$, we can find $p_{0}$ sufficiently large such that for all $p \in\left[p_{0}, \infty\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-\Lambda^{s}} \psi\right\|_{p} \geq\left(1+\beta_{1}\right)\|\psi\|_{p} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\psi_{1}=\psi /\|\psi\|_{p}$. Clearly $\left\|\psi_{1}\right\|_{p}=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left\|e^{-t \Lambda^{s}} \psi_{1}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right) d t=\left\|e^{-\Lambda^{s}} \psi_{1}\right\|_{p}^{p}-1 \geq\left(1+\beta_{1}\right)^{p}-1=: \tilde{c}_{1}>0 \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for some $t_{0} \in(0,1)$, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left\|e^{-t \Lambda^{s}} \psi_{1}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)\right|_{t=t_{0}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{c}_{1}>0 \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $\psi_{2}=e^{-t_{0} \Lambda^{s}} \psi_{1}$. For some constant $\tilde{c}_{2}>0$, we clearly have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \Lambda^{s} \psi_{2}\left|\psi_{2}\right|^{p-2} \psi_{2} d x \geq \tilde{c}_{2}>0 \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that for some $\psi_{3} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and some $\tilde{c}_{3}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \Lambda^{s} \psi_{3}\left|\psi_{3}\right|^{p-2} \psi_{3} d x \geq \tilde{c}_{3}>0 \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (3.27) is proved.

Theorem 3.3. Let the dimension $d \geq 1$. Let $s>2$. There exists $1<p_{1}<2$ depending only on $(s, d)$ such that for any $p \in\left(1, p_{1}\right]$, we can find $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\Lambda^{s} f\right)|f|^{p-2} f d x \leq-C_{p, d, s}<0 \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{p, d, s}>0$ depends only on ( $p, d, s$ ).
Furthermore for the same $p \in\left(1, p_{1}\right]$, there exists a sequence of Schwartz functions $f_{j}: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with frequency localized around $N_{j} \rightarrow \infty$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-D_{2}<\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \Lambda^{s} f_{j}\left|f_{j}\right|^{p-2} f_{j} d x}{N_{j}^{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|f_{j}\right|^{p} d x}<-D_{1}<0 \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above $D_{1}>0, D_{2}>0$ are constants depending on ( $p, d, s$ ). More precisely, the frequency support of $f_{j}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp}\left(\widehat{f}_{j}\right) \subset\left\{\xi: \alpha_{1} N_{j}<|\xi|<\alpha_{2} N_{j}\right\} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}>0, \alpha_{2}>0$ are constants depending on ( $p, d, s$ ).
Proof. We only need to show (3.36). The idea is to use the construction in Theorem 3.2 and duality. Denote $T=e^{-\Lambda^{s}}$. Let $p_{0}>2$ be the same as in Theorem 3.2 . Denote $p_{1}=p_{0} /\left(p_{0}-1\right)$. For $p \in\left(1, p_{1}\right]$, denote $p^{\prime}=p /(p-1) \in\left[p_{0}, \infty\right)$. By using the proof of Theorem 3.2, we can find $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with $\|f\|_{p^{\prime}}=1$ such that for some constant $\gamma_{1}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T f\|_{p^{\prime}} \geq 1+2 \gamma_{1} \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T f\|_{p^{\prime}}=\sup _{\|\psi\|_{p}=1}\langle\psi, T f\rangle \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can find $\psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with $\|\psi\|_{p}=1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\gamma_{1} \leq\langle\psi, T f\rangle=\langle T \psi, f\rangle \leq\|T \psi\|_{p}\|f\|_{p^{\prime}}=\|T \psi\|_{p} \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can then use the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left\|e^{-t \Lambda^{s}} \psi\right\|_{p}^{p}\right) d t=\left\|e^{-\Lambda^{s}} \psi\right\|_{p}^{p}-1 \geq\left(1+\gamma_{1}\right)^{p}-1 \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

to obtain (3.36).
Remark 3.2. The previous theorems show the failure also for $s>2$ of the Strook-Varopoulos inequality.
Remark 3.3. In [6], Lieb considered maximizers for the problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{f} \frac{\|\mathcal{G} f\|_{q}}{\|f\|_{p}} \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is an integral operator with Gaussian kernel $G$, and $1<p, q<\infty$. For degenerate and centered Gaussian kernel $G$ (see equation (1.3) in [6]) the supremum can be shown to be taken over centered Gaussian functions. In particular if we consider the problem ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{f} \frac{\left\|e^{\Delta} f\right\|_{p}}{\|f\|_{p}} \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p \in(1, \infty)$, then it is clear that one may take $f_{n}=e^{t_{n} \Delta} \delta_{0}$, with $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in order to saturate the optimal operator norm bound 1. On the other hand, for general signed kernel $G$

[^2]an intriguing problem is to classify the maximizers or the maximizing sequence. These type of results will improve our understanding of the Bernstein-type inequalities.

## 4. BERNSTEIN INEQUALITY FOR THE PERIODIC CASE

In this section we show some positive results for the fractional Laplacian operator $\Lambda^{s}, 0<s \leq 2$ on the periodic torus. Let $\mathbb{T}^{d}=\mathbb{R}^{d} / \mathbb{Z}^{d}=\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]^{d}$.

For any integrable $f: \mathbb{T}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, denote

$$
\langle f\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} f(x) d x
$$

We use the following convention for Fourier transform on $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{f}(k)=\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} f(x) e^{-2 \pi i k \cdot x} d x, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}  \tag{4.45}\\
& f(x)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \widehat{f}(k) e^{2 \pi i k \cdot x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^{d} \tag{4.46}
\end{align*}
$$

The fractional laplacian operator $\Lambda^{s}=(-\Delta)^{s / 2}, s>0$ on $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\Lambda^{s} f}(k)=|k|^{s} \widehat{f}(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

In yet other words it corresponds to the Fourier multiplier $|k|^{s}$. Note that $\widehat{f}(0)=\langle f\rangle$.
Theorem 4.1 (Bernstein inequality on the torus). Let $0<s \leq 2$ and consider $\Lambda^{s}$ on $\mathbb{T}^{d}=$ $\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]^{d}, d \geq 1$. Let $1<p<\infty$. For any smooth $f: \mathbb{T}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\langle f\rangle=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-t \Lambda^{s}} f\right\|_{p} \leq e^{-c_{p, s, d} t}\|f\|_{p}, \quad \forall t>0 \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $c_{p, s, d}>0$ depends only on ( $p, s, d$ ). Consequently for any smooth $f$ with $\langle f\rangle=0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}}\left(\Lambda^{s} f\right)|f|^{p-2} f d x \geq \tilde{c}_{p, s, d}\|f\|_{p}^{p} \tag{4.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{c}_{p, s, d}>0$ depends only on ( $p, s, d$ ).
Remark 4.1. Similar results hold if $f$ is complex-valued or vector-valued. For example if $f: \mathbb{T}^{d} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{d_{1}}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} f d x=0$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left|e^{-t \Lambda^{s} f}\right|\right\|_{p} \leq e^{-c t}\||f|\|_{p} \tag{4.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|f|=\sqrt{f_{1}^{2}+\cdots+f_{d_{1}}^{2}}$. For complex-valued $f, 4.49$ should be replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}}\left(\Lambda^{s} f\right)|f|^{p-2} f^{*} d x \geq \tilde{c}_{p, s, d}\|f\|_{p}^{p} \tag{4.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{*}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $f$.
Remark 4.2. We briefly explain the heuristics as follows. Consider the case $s=2$, i.e. the usual Laplacian $\Delta=-\Lambda^{2}$. Clearly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|e^{t \Delta} f\right\|_{2} \leq e^{-c t}\|f\|_{2}, \quad \forall f \text { with }\langle f\rangle=0 \\
& \left\|e^{t \Delta} f\right\|_{\infty} \leq\|f\|_{\infty} \\
& \left\|e^{t \Delta} f\right\|_{1} \leq\|f\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

By formally interpolating the above two inequalities, it is natural to expect that for any $p \in$ $(1, \infty)$,

$$
\left\|e^{t \Delta} f\right\|_{p} \leq e^{-c_{p} t}\|f\|_{p}, \quad \forall f \text { with }\langle f\rangle=0
$$

where $c_{p}>0$. However due to the presence of the constraint $\langle f\rangle=0$, this requires some nontrivial interpolation of Riesz-Thorin type. The technical difficulty is that the usual RieszThorin interpolation employs a nonlinear functor which in general does not preserve the condition $\langle f\rangle=0$. Nevertheless in Theorem 4.1 we overcome this difficulty by proving some nontrivial concentration-type inequalities.

Lemma 4.1 (Strong Phragman-Lindelof estimate). Suppose $h$ is an analytic function on the strip $0<\operatorname{Re}(z)<1$ and is continuous up to the boundary. Assume for some constant $\alpha<\pi$ and constant $A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(z)| \leq e^{A e^{a|\operatorname{Re}(z)|}}, \quad \forall z \text { in the closed strip } \tag{4.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any $0<\theta<1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(\theta)| \leq \exp \left(\frac{\sin \pi \theta}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\log |h(i y)|}{\cosh \pi y-\cos \pi \theta}+\frac{\log |h(1+i y)|}{\cosh \pi y+\cos \pi \theta}\right) d y\right) \tag{4.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. See for example Chapter 5.4 of [16].
Lemma 4.2 (Small mean implies short-time decay). Let $s>0$ and consider the torus $\mathbb{T}^{d}, d \geq 1$. Suppose $f: \mathbb{T}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $f \in L^{2}$. If $\frac{1}{\|f\|_{2}}\left|\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} f d x\right| \leq \lambda<1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-t \Lambda^{s}} f\right\|_{2} \leq e^{-\alpha_{1} t}\|f\|_{2}, \quad \forall 0<t<t_{0} \tag{4.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\alpha_{1}>0, t_{0}>0$ are constants depending only on $(s, d, \lambda)$.
Proof. With no loss we assume $\|f\|_{2}=1$. Denote $\langle f\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} f d x$. Clearly

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|e^{-t \Lambda^{s}} f\right\|_{2}^{2} & \leq e^{-c t}\|f-\langle f\rangle\|_{2}^{2}+|\langle f\rangle|^{2}  \tag{4.55}\\
& \leq e^{-c t}\left(\|f\|_{2}^{2}-|\langle f\rangle|^{2}\right)+|\langle f\rangle|^{2}  \tag{4.56}\\
& \leq e^{-c t}+\left(1-e^{-c t}\right) \lambda^{2} \leq e^{-c_{1} t}, \quad \text { for } 0<t \ll 1 \tag{4.57}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We shall present the proof for the simplest case $s=2, d=1$ and $2<p<$ $\infty$. It is not difficult to adapt the proof to the most general situations.

It suffices for us to prove 4.48) for $0<t \leq t_{0}$ where $t_{0}>0$ can be taken as a small constant depending on $(s, d, p)$. This is because for $t>t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|e^{-t \Lambda^{s}} f\right\|_{p} & =\left\|e^{-t_{0} \Lambda^{s}} e^{-\left(t-t_{0}\right) \Lambda^{s}} f\right\|_{p}  \tag{4.58}\\
& \leq e^{-c t_{0}}\left\|e^{-\left(t-t_{0}\right) \Lambda^{s}} f\right\|_{p}, \quad\left(\text { since }\left\langle e^{-\left(t-t_{0}\right) \Lambda^{s}} f\right\rangle=0\right) \tag{4.59}
\end{align*}
$$

One can then iterate the estimates to get the decay for all $t>0$.
Let $\frac{1}{p}=\frac{1-\theta}{2}, 0<\theta<1$. Take simple real-valued functions $f, g$ with $\langle f\rangle=0,\|f\|_{p}=1$, $\|g\|_{p^{\prime}}=1$ (here $\left.p^{\prime}=p /(p-1)\right)$. Consider

$$
\left.h(s)=\left.\left\langle e^{t \Delta}\left(|f|^{p\left(\frac{1-s}{2}+\frac{s}{\infty}\right)} \operatorname{sgn}(f)\right),\right| g\right|^{p^{\prime}\left(\frac{1-s}{2}+\frac{s}{1}\right)} \operatorname{sgn}(g)\right\rangle,
$$

where $\left\langle f_{1}, f_{2}\right\rangle:=\int_{\mathbb{T}} \bar{f}_{1} f_{2} d x$. Here $\bar{f}_{1}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $f_{1}$.
(Here we recall the usual Riesz-Thorin setup: namely in going from $L^{p_{0}} \rightarrow L^{q_{0}}, L^{p_{1}} \rightarrow L^{q_{1}}$ to $L^{p} \rightarrow L^{q}$, one needs to employ the general interpolation formula for simple functions $f$ and $g$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{z}=|f|^{p\left(\frac{1-z}{p_{0}}+\frac{z}{p_{1}}\right)} \operatorname{sgn}(f), \\
& g_{z}=|g|^{q\left(\frac{1-z}{q_{0}^{\prime}}+\frac{z}{q_{1}^{\prime}}\right)} \operatorname{sgn}(g)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q_{j}^{\prime}$ are conjugates of $q_{j}$. Our case corresponds to $p_{0}=q_{0}=2, p_{1}=q_{1}=\infty$.)
We verify the interpolation as follows.

- The case $\operatorname{Re}(s)=1$. Clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(s)| \leq\left\|e^{t \Delta}\left(|f|^{\frac{p i \operatorname{Im}(s)}{2}} \operatorname{sgn}(f)\right)\right\|_{\infty}\left\||g|^{p^{\prime}}\right\|_{1} \leq\|g\|_{p^{\prime}}^{p^{\prime}}=1 \tag{4.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The case $\operatorname{Re}(s)=0$, i.e. $s=i y, y \in \mathbb{R}$. First for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, we clearly have

$$
\begin{align*}
|h(i y)| & \leq\left\|e^{t \Delta}\left(|f|^{\frac{1-i y}{2}} \operatorname{sgn}(f)\right)\right\|_{2}\left\||g|^{p^{\prime}\left(\frac{1-i y}{2}+i y\right)} \operatorname{sgn}(y)\right\|_{2}  \tag{4.61}\\
& \leq\left\||f|^{\frac{p}{2}}\right\|_{2}\left\||g|^{\frac{1}{2} p^{\prime}}\right\|_{2} \leq 1 \tag{4.62}
\end{align*}
$$

It remains for us to show that for $|y| \leq 1$ and $0<t \leq t_{0}$ (for some small $t_{0}>0$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(i y)| \leq e^{-c t} \tag{4.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c>0$ is some constant. If this holds, we can just use Strong Phragman-Lindelof Theorem to conclude the interpolation argument. Indeed by using (4.53), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(\theta)| \leq \exp \left(\frac{\sin \pi \theta}{2} \int_{|y| \leq 1} \frac{-c t}{\cosh \pi y-\cos \theta} d y\right) \leq e^{-\tilde{c} t} \tag{4.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{c}>0$ is a constant.

- It remains for us to verify 4.63 . By Lemma 4.2, it suffices for us to establish for $|y| \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\left(|f|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1-i y}{2}} \operatorname{sgn}(f)\right)\right| \leq \lambda<1 \tag{4.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda>0$ is some constant. Here and below we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} h=\int_{\mathbb{T}} h d x . \tag{4.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that $\|f\|_{p}=1$ and $\langle f\rangle=0=\mathbb{E} f$.
The proof of 4.65 follows from the following steps.

- If $\mathbb{E}|f| \leq \lambda_{1}<1$, then by using the interpolation $\|f\|_{\frac{p}{2}} \leq\|f\|_{p}^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}\|f\|_{1}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$, we have

$$
\left|\mathbb{E}\left(|f|^{\frac{p}{2}}\right)\right| \leq \lambda_{1}^{\frac{1}{p-1} \cdot \frac{p}{2}}<1
$$

This clearly implies (4.65). Therefore we can assume $\lambda_{1}<\mathbb{E}|f| \leq 1$ and $\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 1-$. Since $\|f\|_{2} \leq\|f\|_{p} \leq 1$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}||f|-1|^{2} \leq 2-2 \mathbb{E}|f| \leq 2\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right)=: \delta_{1} \ll 1
$$

We shall view $\delta_{1}$ as a tunable parameter which can be taken sufficiently small.

- By using the inequality $\left|x^{\frac{p}{2}}-1\right| \lesssim|x-1|\langle x\rangle^{\frac{p-2}{2}}$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left||f|^{\frac{p}{2}}-1\right| \leq \delta_{2}=O\left(\delta_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \ll 1
$$

- We now take $\eta>0$ whose smallness will be specified momentarily. Clearly

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\left(|f|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1-i y}{2}} \operatorname{sgn}(f)\right)\right| & \leq\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\left(|f|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1-i y}{2}} \operatorname{sgn}(f)\right) \chi_{|f| \geq \eta}\right|+\eta^{\frac{p}{2}}  \tag{4.67}\\
& \leq\left.\mathbb{E}| | f\right|^{\frac{p}{2}}-1|+\mathbb{E}| e^{-i \frac{p}{2} y \log |f|}-1\left|\chi_{|f| \geq \eta}+|\mathbb{E} \operatorname{sgn}(f)|+\eta^{\frac{p}{2}}\right.  \tag{4.68}\\
& \leq\left.\mathbb{E}| | f\right|^{\frac{p}{2}}-1\left|+\frac{p}{2} \mathbb{E}\right| \log |f|\left|\chi_{|f| \geq \eta}+|\mathbb{E} \operatorname{sgn}(f)|+\eta^{\frac{p}{2}}\right. \tag{4.69}
\end{align*}
$$

- Observe that for $x \geq \eta$ ( $\eta<1$ will be taken sufficiently small), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\log x|=|\log x-\log 1| \leq \frac{1}{\eta}|x-1| \tag{4.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}|\log | f\left|\left|\chi_{|f| \geq \eta} \leq \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbb{E}\right|\right| f|-1| \tag{4.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, observe (below we use the crucial property that $\mathbb{E} f=\mathbb{E}|f| \operatorname{sgn}(f)=0$ )

$$
|\mathbb{E} \operatorname{sgn}(f)|=|\mathbb{E} \operatorname{sgn} f(|f|-1)| \leq \mathbb{E}| | f|-1| .
$$

- Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\left(|f|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1-i y}{2}} \operatorname{sgn}(f)\right)\right| & \left.\leq\left.\mathbb{E}| | f\right|^{\frac{p}{2}}-1\left|+\left(\frac{p}{2 \eta}+1\right) \mathbb{E}\right||f|-1 \right\rvert\,+\eta^{\frac{p}{2}}  \tag{4.72}\\
& \leq O\left(\delta_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \cdot\left(1+\frac{p}{2 \eta}\right)+\eta^{\frac{p}{2}} . \tag{4.73}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $\eta=\delta_{1}^{\frac{1}{4}}$ with $\delta_{1}$ sufficiently small clearly yields the result.

In what follows, we shall explain a somewhat more simplified approach to the proof of Theorem 4.1.

We begin with a simple yet powerful lemma.
Lemma 4.3. Let $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{d}$ or the periodic torus $\mathbb{T}^{d}$. Suppose $K \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ is nonnegative with unit $L^{1}$ mass. For any $p \in[2, \infty)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|K * f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq\left\|K *\left(|f|^{\frac{p}{2}}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{\frac{2}{p}} . \tag{4.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $*$ denotes the usual convolution, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
(K * f)(x)=\int K(x-y) f(y) d y . \tag{4.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p \in(1,2]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|K * f\|_{p} \leq\left\|K *\left(|f|^{\frac{p}{2}}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \cdot\|f\|_{p}^{2-p} . \tag{4.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Observe that for each fixed $x, K(x-y) d y$ can be viewed as a probability measure. Thus if $p \in[2, \infty)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int|f(y)| K(x-y) d y \leq\left(\int|f(y)|^{\frac{p}{2}} K(x-y) d y\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \tag{4.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

This yields the first inequality. Now for $p \in(1,2)$, by using the inequality $\|g\|_{\frac{2}{p}} \leq\|g\|_{1}^{p-1}\|g\|_{2}^{2-p}$ with $g=|f|^{\frac{2}{p}}$ and $d \mu=K(x-y) d y$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int|f(y)| K(x-y) d y \leq\left(\int|f(y)|^{\frac{p}{2}} K(x-y) d y\right)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}\left(\int|f(y)|^{p} K(x-y) d y\right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \tag{4.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|K * f\|_{p} \leq\left\|K *\left(|f|^{\frac{p}{2}}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \cdot\|f\|_{p}^{2-p} \tag{4.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now sketch a different proof of Theorem 4.1 for the Laplacian case (i.e. $-\Lambda^{2}=\Delta$ ) as follows. With no loss we consider the case $\mathbb{T}^{d}=\mathbb{T}$ and $p \in(2, \infty)$. Take $f$ with mean zero and $\|f\|_{p}=1$. Discuss two cases.

- Case 1: $\|f\|_{\frac{p}{2}+1} \ll 1$. Clearly then $\|f\|_{\frac{p}{2}} \ll 1$. By Lemma 4.3. we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{t \Delta} f\right\|_{p} \leq\left\|e^{t \Delta}\left(|f|^{\frac{p}{2}}\right)\right\|_{2}^{\frac{2}{p}} \tag{4.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 4.2, since $\mathbb{E}|f|^{\frac{p}{2}} \ll 1$ and $\left|\left||f|^{\frac{p}{2}} \|_{2}=1\right.\right.$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{t \Delta}\left(|f|^{\frac{p}{2}}\right)\right\|_{2} \leq\left. e^{-c t}\| \| f\right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \|_{2}=e^{-c t}, \quad 0<t \leq t_{0} . \tag{4.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

This clearly implies the desired estimate $\left\|e^{t \Delta} f\right\|_{p} \leq e^{-\tilde{c} t}\|f\|_{p}$ for $0<t \leq t_{0}$. Note that this part of the argument can be adapted to $e^{-t \Lambda^{s}}$ for $0<s<2$.

- Case 2: $\|f\|_{\frac{p}{2}+1} \gtrsim 1$. Note that

$$
\left.\left.\langle | f\right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \operatorname{sgn}(f), f\right\rangle=\int|f|^{\frac{p}{2}+1} d x \gtrsim 1
$$

Since $f$ is spectrally localized to $|k| \geq 1$, it follows that (below $P_{k}$ is the Fourier projection to all modes $|k| \geq 1$ )

$$
\left\|P_{|k| \geq 1}\left(|f|^{\frac{p}{2}} \operatorname{sgn}(f)\right)\right\|_{2}\|f\|_{2} \gtrsim 1 .
$$

On the torus, we obviously have $\|f\|_{2} \leq\|f\|_{p}=1$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{|k| \geq 1}\left(|f|^{\frac{p}{2}} \operatorname{sgn}(f)\right)\right\|_{2} \gtrsim 1 \tag{4.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

In yet other words, the $L^{2}$-mass of $|f|^{\frac{p}{2}} \operatorname{sgn}(f)$ must have a nontrivial portion in $|k| \geq 1$. Now observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int(-\Delta f)|f|^{p-2} f d x & =\mathrm{const} \int|\nabla f|^{2}|f|^{p-2} d x  \tag{4.83}\\
& =\mathrm{const} \int\left|\nabla\left(|f|^{\frac{p}{2}} \operatorname{sgn}(f)\right)\right|^{2} d x  \tag{4.84}\\
& \gtrsim\left\|P_{|k| \geq 1}\left(|f|^{\frac{p}{2}} \operatorname{sgn}(f)\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \gtrsim 1=\|f\|_{p}^{p} \tag{4.85}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the desired inequality follows.
Next we shall state and prove a frequency localized Bernstein inequality on the torus. Let $\psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ be such that $\psi(\xi)=1$ for $|\xi| \leq 1$ and $\psi(\xi)=0$ for $|\xi| \geq 1.01$. For integer $N \geq 2$ and $f: \mathbb{T}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{P_{N} f}(k)=\widehat{f}(k)\left(\psi\left(\frac{k}{2 N}\right)-\psi\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)\right) \tag{4.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

In yet other words, $P_{N}$ is a smooth frequency projection to $\{|k| \sim N\}$. Here on the torus we use the convention

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{f}(k) & =\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} f(x) e^{-i 2 \pi x \cdot k} d x  \tag{4.87}\\
f(x) & =\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \widehat{f}(k) e^{2 \pi i k \cdot x} \tag{4.88}
\end{align*}
$$

We need the following lemma from Kato [9]. The inequality stated therein ${ }^{4}$ is for the whole space. We adapt it here for the torus with essentially the same proof.

Lemma 4.4 (Kato [9]). Let $1<p<\infty$. Assume $\phi \in W^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_{1}}\right)$, where $d \geq 1$, $d_{1} \geq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.-\left.\langle | \phi\right|^{p-2} \phi, \Delta \phi\right\rangle \geq \min \{1, p-1\} \int_{\phi \neq 0}|\nabla \phi|^{2}|\phi|^{p-2} d x \tag{4.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here for $f, g: \mathbb{T}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_{1}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f, g\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{d_{1}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} f_{j} g_{j} d x \tag{4.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]Proof. We briefly recall Kato's proof. For $p>2$, we use the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.-\left.\langle | \phi\right|^{p-2} \phi, \Delta \phi\right\rangle & =\int|\phi|^{p-2}\left|\partial_{k} \phi_{j}\right|^{2}+(p-2)|\phi|^{p-2} \frac{\phi_{l} \phi_{j}}{|\phi|^{2}} \partial_{k} \phi_{l} \partial_{k} \phi_{j}  \tag{4.91}\\
& \geq \int|\phi|^{p-2}\left|\partial_{k} \phi_{j}\right|^{2} \tag{4.92}
\end{align*}
$$

For $1<p<2$, we use

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.-\left.\langle | \phi\right|^{p-2} \phi, \Delta \phi\right\rangle=-\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\langle\left(|\phi|^{2}+\epsilon\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \phi, \Delta \phi\right\rangle \tag{4.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $\phi_{\epsilon}=\sqrt{|\phi|^{2}+\epsilon}$. Then (note below $p-2<0$ and $\frac{\phi_{l} \phi_{l}}{\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}}$ is bounded by 1 in matrix norm)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.-\left.\langle | \phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{p-2} \phi, \Delta \phi\right\rangle & =\int\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{p-2}\left|\partial_{k} \phi_{j}\right|^{2}+(p-2)\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\phi_{l} \phi_{j}}{\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}} \partial_{k} \phi_{l} \partial_{k} \phi_{j}  \tag{4.94}\\
& \geq(p-1) \int\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{p-2}\left|\partial_{k} \phi_{j}\right|^{2} \geq(p-1) \int_{\phi \neq 0}\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{p-2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} \tag{4.95}
\end{align*}
$$

The result follows from dominated convergence (for the LHS) and monotone convergence (for the RHS).

Theorem 4.2 (Bernstein inequality on the torus, frequency localized version). Let $0<s \leq 2$ and consider $\Lambda^{s}$ on $\mathbb{T}^{d}=\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]^{d}$, $d \geq 1$. Let $1<p<\infty$. For any smooth $f: \mathbb{T}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any integer $N \geq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-t \Lambda^{s}} P_{N} f\right\|_{p} \leq e^{-c_{p, s, d} N^{s} t}\left\|P_{N} f\right\|_{p}, \quad \forall t>0 \tag{4.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $c_{p, s, d}>0$ depends only on ( $p, s, d, \psi$ ) (Recall $\psi$ is the same cut-off function used in the definition of the operator $P_{N}$ ). Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}}\left(\Lambda^{s} P_{N} f\right)\left|P_{N} f\right|^{p-2} P_{N} f d x \geq \tilde{c}_{p, s, d} N^{s}\left\|P_{N} f\right\|_{p}^{p} \tag{4.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{c}_{p, s, d}>0$ depends only on $(p, s, d, \psi)$.
Remark 4.3. See [7] for a proof using a nontrivial perturbation of the Lévy semigroup near low frequencies.

Proof. We follow [2]. For the Laplacian case, the idea is based on an ingenious partial integration trick dating back to Danchin [4] ( $p$ being even integers), Planchon [13] ( $p>2$ ) and Danchin [5] $(1<p<2)$.

To simplify the notation we shall write $f=P_{N} f$ keeping in mind that $f$ is frequency-localized. We shall write $\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} d x$ simply as $\int$.

Step 1. Laplacian case. We first show

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int \Delta f|f|^{p-2} f \gtrsim N^{2}\|f\|_{p}^{p} \tag{4.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first deal with the case $p>2$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|f\|_{p}^{p} & =\int f^{2}|f|^{p-2}=\int\left(\nabla \cdot \nabla \Delta^{-1} f\right) f|f|^{p-2}  \tag{4.99}\\
& \lesssim \int\left|\nabla \Delta^{-1} f\right||\nabla f||f|^{p-2}  \tag{4.100}\\
& \leq C_{\epsilon} N^{-2} \int|\nabla f|^{2}|f|^{p-2}+\epsilon N^{2} \int\left|\nabla \Delta^{-1} f\right|^{2}|f|^{p-2}  \tag{4.101}\\
& \leq C_{\epsilon} N^{-2} \int|\nabla f|^{2}|f|^{p-2}+\epsilon \cdot \text { Const }\|f\|_{p}^{p} \tag{4.102}
\end{align*}
$$

Choosing $\epsilon$ to be sufficiently small then yields the result for $p>2$. For $1<p<2$, we use

$$
\begin{align*}
N^{p}\|f\|_{p}^{p} & \lesssim\|\nabla f\|_{p}^{p}=\int_{f \neq 0}\left(|\nabla f|^{2}|f|^{p-2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(|f|^{p}\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} d x  \tag{4.103}\\
& \lesssim\left(\int_{f \neq 0}|\nabla f|^{2}|f|^{p-2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(\int|f|^{p}\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} . \tag{4.104}
\end{align*}
$$

The desired result then follows from Lemma 4.4.
Step 2: The estimate (4.96) in the case $s=2$ follows from Step 1 by examining $\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left\|e^{-t \Lambda^{s}} f\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)$ and an energy estimate. The general case $0<s<2$ follows from subordination. The estimate (4.97) follows from differentiating at $t=0$.

## 5. Liouville theorem for general fractional Laplacian operators

We now consider the fractional heat equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u=-\Lambda^{s} u, \quad(t, x) \in(-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} . \tag{5.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Lambda^{s}=(-\Delta)^{s / 2}$ is the fractional Laplacian of order $s$, and we assume $s>0$. Note that for $0<s \leq 2$ the corresponding semigroup has positivity but this is no longer the case for $s>2$, i.e. the higher order Laplacians.
Theorem 5.1. Suppose $u$ is an ancient solution to (5.105) satisfying

$$
|u(t, x)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{a}}, \quad \forall(t, x) \in(-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}
$$

where $0<a<d$ and $C>0$ are constants. Then $u$ must be identically zero.
Proof. Take any $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and consider $u_{\phi}=\phi * u$. By splitting into $|y| \leq 1$ and $|y|>1$ respectively, it is not difficult to check that $u_{\phi}$ is smooth and $u_{\phi} \in L^{p}$ for any $\frac{d}{a}<p<\infty$. Fix any $t_{0} \in(-\infty, 0]$. We then have $u_{\phi}\left(t_{0}\right)=e^{-\left(t_{0}-t\right) \Lambda^{s}} u_{\phi}(t)$ for any $t<t_{0}$. By sending $t$ to $-\infty$ and invoking the usual decay estimates (for the kernel $e^{-\tau \Lambda^{s}}$ ), i.e.

$$
\left\|u_{\phi}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \lesssim\left(t_{0}-t\right)^{-\frac{d}{s} \cdot \frac{1}{p-1}}\left\|u_{\phi}(t)\right\|_{p} \lesssim\left(t_{0}-t\right)^{-\frac{d}{s} \cdot \frac{1}{p-1}}
$$

we obtain $\left\|u_{\phi}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{\infty}=0$. Thus $u_{\phi}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ for any $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}$. This implies that $u$ must be identically zero.

Remark 5.1. The hypothesis that $|u| \lesssim|x|^{-a}$ can be replaced by the more general condition that

$$
\sup _{t}\|u(t)\|_{L^{p_{1}}+L^{p_{2}}}<\infty
$$

for some $1 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}<\infty$.
Remark 5.2. The rigidity result Theorem 5.1 plays an important role in e.g. the infinite-time blow up problem for the half-harmonic map flow from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ [15].

## Appendix A. Computation of the contour integral

In this appendix we show (2.18). Recall that $f(x)=\log \left(1+x^{2}\right), f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{2 x}{1+x^{2}}, f^{\prime \prime}(x)=2 \frac{1-x^{2}}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{2}}$ and we need to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \int f^{2}\left(f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}=12 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\log \left(1+x^{2}\right)\right)^{2} \frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{4}} d x=-\frac{29}{6} \pi+\pi^{3}+(\log 4)(-7+\log 64) \pi \tag{A.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this we need to compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{j}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\log \left(1+x^{2}\right)\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{j}} d x, \quad j=1, \cdots, 4 . \tag{A.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall proceed in several steps.
Step 1. Preliminary reduction. Observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{n+1} & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\log \left(1+x^{2}\right)\right)^{2} \frac{1+x^{2}-x^{2}}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{n+1}} d x  \tag{A.108}\\
& =I_{n}+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\log \left(1+x^{2}\right)\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{x}{2 n} \frac{d}{d x}\left(\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{-n}\right) d x  \tag{A.109}\\
& =\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n}\right) I_{n}-\frac{2}{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2}}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{n+1}} \log \left(1+x^{2}\right) d x  \tag{A.110}\\
& =\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n}\right) I_{n}-\frac{2}{n}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{-n} \log \left(1+x^{2}\right) d x-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{-n-1} \log \left(1+x^{2}\right) d x\right) \tag{A.111}
\end{align*}
$$

By using the above iterative relation, to compute $I_{n}$ for all $n$, it suffices for us to compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\log \left(1+x^{2}\right)\right)^{2} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x \tag{A.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{-n} \log \left(1+x^{2}\right) d x, \quad n=1, \cdots, 4 \tag{A.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2. Computation of $I_{1}$. We shall perform a contour integral computation.
For $z=\rho e^{i \theta}$ with $-\pi \leq \theta<\pi$, we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log z=\log \rho+i \theta \tag{A.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

In yet other words we use the standard principal branch of the multi-valued function $\log z$ with argument in $[-\pi, \pi)$. By a slight abuse of notation, we shall write $\log z \operatorname{simply}$ as $\log z$.

Denote $g(z)=\left(1+z^{2}\right)^{-1}$. Note that $g$ has poles at $z= \pm i$. First we observe that
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$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(\log (x+i))^{2} g(x) d x=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_{A}}(\log (z+i))^{2} g(z) d z=2 \pi i \operatorname{Res}\left((\log (z+i))^{2} g(z) ; i\right)$.
By using our choice of the branch cut for the logarithm function, we have for $x>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log (x+i)=\frac{1}{2} \log \left(x^{2}+1\right)+i \theta_{x}, \quad \theta_{x}=\frac{\pi}{2}-\arctan x  \tag{A.116}\\
& \log (-x+i)=\frac{1}{2} \log \left(x^{2}+1\right)+i\left(\pi-\theta_{x}\right) \tag{A.117}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus A.115 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{1}{2}\left(\log \left(1+x^{2}\right)\right)^{2}}{1+x^{2}} d x-\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_{x}^{2}+\left(\pi-\theta_{x}\right)^{2}}{1+x^{2}} d x=\operatorname{Re}\left(2 \pi i \operatorname{Res}\left((\log (z+i))^{2} g(z) ; i\right)\right) \tag{A.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\log \left(1+x^{2}\right)\right)^{2}}{1+x^{2}} d x=4 \frac{\pi^{3}}{3}+4 \operatorname{Re}\left(2 \pi i \operatorname{Res}\left((\log (z+i))^{2} g(z) ; i\right)\right) \tag{A.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Res}\left((\log (z+i))^{2} g(z) ; i\right)=\frac{1}{8} i(\pi-2 i \log 2)^{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=\frac{1}{3} \pi^{3}+4 \pi(\log 2)^{2} \tag{A.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3. Computation of $F_{n}$. This is analogous to the previous step. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\log (x+i)}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{n}} d x=2 \pi i \operatorname{Res}\left(\log (z+i)\left(1+z^{2}\right)^{-n} ; i\right) \tag{A.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

This yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \log \left(x^{2}+1\right)+i \theta_{x}}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{n}} d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \log \left(x^{2}+1\right)+i\left(\pi-\theta_{x}\right)}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{n}} d x=2 \pi i \operatorname{Res}\left(\log (z+i)\left(1+z^{2}\right)^{-n} ; i\right) \tag{A.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}=2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\log \left(x^{2}+1\right)}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{n}} d x=4 \pi \operatorname{Re}\left(i \operatorname{Res}\left(\log (z+i)\left(1+z^{2}\right)^{-n} ; i\right)\right) \tag{A.123}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain for $n=1, \cdots, 4$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}=\pi \log 4, \quad F_{2}=\pi\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\log 2\right)  \tag{A.124}\\
& F_{3}=\pi\left(-\frac{7}{16}+\frac{3}{4} \log 2\right) ; \quad F_{4}=\pi\left(-\frac{37}{96}+\frac{5}{8} \log 2\right) \tag{A.125}
\end{align*}
$$

Step 4. Verification of A.106. Clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { LHS of }(\mathrm{A} .106)=12 I_{2}-48 I_{3}+48 I_{4} . \tag{A.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Step 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n+1}=\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n}\right) I_{n}-\frac{2}{n}\left(F_{n}-F_{n+1}\right) \tag{A.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}=\frac{1}{2} I_{1}-2\left(F_{1}-F_{2}\right)=-\pi+\frac{1}{6} \pi^{3}+\frac{1}{2} \pi(-2+\log 4) \log 4 \tag{A.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{3}=\frac{1}{16} \pi\left(-11+2 \pi^{2}+(\log 16)(-7+\log 64)\right)  \tag{A.129}\\
& I_{4}=\frac{1}{288} \pi\left(-155+30 \pi^{2}+6(\log 4)(-37+15 \log 4)\right) \tag{A.130}
\end{align*}
$$

The identity A.106 then follows easily.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We would like to thank Professor Jiahong Wu for raising this intriguing question.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This step is necessary since the integrand contains $e^{-x^{-\alpha} u}=e^{-x^{-\alpha}(r \cos \theta+i r \sin \theta)}$ for $u=r e^{i \theta}$, and $r \cos \theta$ may become negative if $\theta$ goes from 0 to $\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}$ especially when $\alpha>1$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Note that the kernel corresponding to $e^{\Delta}$ is $K(x, y)=(4 \pi)^{-d} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4}}$ which is degenerate in the language of [6].

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Note that there is a minor typo in the definition of $Q_{p}$ in formula (2.2), pp 55 of 9 : the lower limit for the integration therein should be $\phi(x) \neq 0$ instead of $\partial \phi(x) \neq 0$.

