

# Unimodular Accelerated Expansion.

Enrique Álvarez and Jesús Anero.

Departamento de Física Teórica and Instituto de Física Teórica,  
IFT-UAM/CSIC,  
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Cantoblanco, 28049, Madrid, Spain

*E-mail:* [enrique.alvarez@uam.es](mailto:enrique.alvarez@uam.es), [jesusanero@gmail.com](mailto:jesusanero@gmail.com)

## Abstract

Exponential expansion in Unimodular Gravity is possible even in the absence of a constant potential; *id est* for free fields. This is at variance with the case in General Relativity.

# Contents

1	Introduction.	3
2	Scalar field.	5
3	Conclusions.	6
4	Acknowledgements	7
A	Unimodular gauge versus comoving gauge	8

# 1 Introduction.

The Friedmann-Lemaître metric in the unimodular gauge of General Relativity (GR) (which is the only admissible metric in Unimodular Gravity (UG) cf. for example [1]) reads

$$ds^2 = b(t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} dt^2 - b(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j \quad (1)$$

where  $b(t)$  is a function of time <sup>1</sup> to be determined through Einstein's equations.

There is a difference between UG and GR, even in the unimodular gauge for the latter, namely, that the UG equations of motion (EoM) include only the *traceless* part of Einstein's equations. To be specific, the equation of motion for UG [3] reads

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} R g_{\mu\nu} = 2\kappa^2 \left( T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} T g_{\mu\nu} \right) \quad (5)$$

it has been pointed out that in order for this equation to be compatible with the contracted form of Bianchi's identity

$$\nabla^\mu R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\nu R \quad (6)$$

then, it is necessary that

$$\nabla_\mu (R + 2\kappa^2 T) = 0. \quad (7)$$

which is equivalent to

$$R + 2\kappa^2 T = C = 4\Lambda \quad (8)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>This is of course fully equivalent to the usual formulation in comoving coordinates [2] where the metric reads

$$ds^2 = d\tau^2 - a(\tau)^2 \sum \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j \quad (2)$$

in these coordinates the vector field

$$u \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \quad (3)$$

is geodesic, and is tangent to the flow lines of the cosmic fluid whose energy-momentum tensor reads

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p) u_\mu u_\nu - p g_{\mu\nu} \quad (4)$$

with our conventions. This is to be compared with GR, where the trace of Einstein's equations yields

$$\frac{n-2}{2}R + 2\kappa^2 T = n\Lambda \quad (9)$$

While all this is true, the equations of motion for UG (5) are enough by themselves to determine the metric; Bianchi's identities, as implicit by their name are identically fulfilled by any spacetime metric, and any energy-momentum tensor derived from a lagrangian is also covariantly conserved as well.

What happens is that not all components of Einstein's equations are linearly independent; there are nontrivial linear combinations of them that are zero. Bianchi's identities precisely are telling us what are those linear combinations in detail. The equation of motion for UG, namely the traceless part of Einstein's equations, are all linearly independent.

This is related to the fact [4] that it is enough with three gauge symmetries in order to reduce the degrees of freedom from 5 (corresponding to a massive spin 2 particle) down to 2 (corresponding to a massless particle). This suggests that 4 gauge symmetries, as in the Fierz-Pauli theory, namely the four independent components of a vector field  $\xi^\mu$ , is an overkill, and that is enough with the three independent components of a transverse vector field,  $\partial_\mu \xi_T^\mu = 0$ , precisely the generator of the UG symmetry group.

In this paper, we will show that there are solutions with exponential expansion even for a free scalar, without a constant piece in the potential.

Thinking about it, it looks reasonable to think that given the fact that UG does not see the (constant piece of the) potential, (cf. [5]) it is only natural that there should exist solutions including a free scalar field that would correspond to a constant potential in comoving coordinates; that is, accelerated expansion.

## 2 Scalar field.

Consider a scalar field minimally coupled to the gravitational field. In unimodular coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - V(\phi) \right\} = \\ &= \int d^4x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( b^{3/2} \dot{\phi}^2 - b^{-1/2} (\partial\phi)^2 \right) - V(\phi) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where  $\dot{\phi} \equiv \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}$ . The EoM for the scalar field reads,

$$\square\phi + V'(\phi) = 0 \quad (11)$$

in other words

$$\partial_0 \left( b^{3/2} \dot{\phi} \right) - b^{-1/2} \sum \partial_i^2 \phi + V'(\phi) = 0 \quad (12)$$

a solution that respects the isometries of the space cannot depend on the space coordinates, i.e.

$$\partial_i \phi = 0 \quad (13)$$

and the canonical energy-momentum tensor is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{can} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial^\mu \phi)} \partial_\nu \phi - \mathcal{L} g_{\mu\nu} \quad (14)$$

The UG EoM corresponding to this source read

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{3}{8} \frac{\ddot{b}}{b} + \frac{3}{32} \frac{\dot{b}^2}{b^2} &= \frac{3}{2} \kappa^2 \dot{\phi}^2 \\ \left( \frac{\dot{b}^2}{32} - \frac{b\ddot{b}}{8} \right) \delta_{ij} &= \kappa^2 \frac{b^2}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 \delta_{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

It is sometimes argued that those equations must be supplemented with the trace (8), but this is unnecessary, as we have already pointed out.

Let us find a general solution for a free field. The EoM of the scalar field (12), implies

$$b^{3/2} \dot{\phi} = C \quad (16)$$

solving for  $\ddot{b}$  the second equation (15), we get

$$\frac{b\dot{b}^2}{4} - b^2\ddot{b} = 4\kappa^2 C^2 \quad (17)$$

which is an identity for the first equation. The general solution reads

$$b(t) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{-256\kappa^2 C^2 + 27C_1^2(t + C_2)^2}{6C_1} \right]^{2/3} \quad (18)$$

using (16) we obtain the correspondent scalar field

$$\phi(t) = -\frac{1}{\kappa\sqrt{3}} \operatorname{arctanh} \left[ \frac{3\sqrt{3}C_1(t + C_2)}{16\kappa C} \right] + C_3 \quad (19)$$

### 3 Conclusions.

Consider the particular case  $C = C_2 = 0$ . This means that the initial conditions at time  $t = 0$  are such that the unimodular scale factor vanishes

$$b(0) = 0 \quad (20)$$

under this unremarkable initial conditions the solution reduces to

$$b(t) = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{9C_1}{2} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} t^{\frac{4}{3}} \quad (21)$$

Now, in unimodular coordinates, the maximally symmetric, constant curvature de Sitter spacetime reads

$$ds^2 = \left( \frac{dt}{3Ht} \right)^2 - (3Ht)^{2/3} \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j \quad (22)$$

that is, precisely

$$b(t) \sim t^{\frac{4}{3}} \quad (23)$$

the constant scalar spacetime curvature corresponding to (22) is negative with our conventions

$$R = -12H^2 \quad (24)$$

To summarize, we have shown that with boundary conditions as above (20) the solution for gravity sourced by a free scalar field corresponds to an exponential expansion with Hubble parameter

$$H = \frac{\sqrt{C_1}}{4} = \frac{\dot{b}}{4b^{1/4}} \quad (25)$$

It can be pointed out that those boundary conditions are not exotic whatsoever and they seem very natural. As we have already pointed out in the Introduction, this is a clear illustration of the blindness of UG to the (constant piece of) the trace of the energy-momentum tensor.

Given the fact that astronomical observations favor an accelerated expansion of the universe (cf. [6]), our result provides a different theoretical perspective about the physical origin of the cosmic acceleration. It would be interesting to work this out in more detail, and we plan to do so.

## 4 Acknowledgements

One of us (EA) is grateful to Robert Brandenberger for the warm hospitality extended to him at McGill University in Montreal, where this work was finished. We acknowledge partial financial support by the Spanish MINECO through the Centro de excelencia Severo Ochoa Program under grant SEV-2016-0597, by the Spanish “Agencia Estatal de Investigación” (AEI) and the EU “Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional” (FEDER) through the project PID2019-108892RB-I00/AEI/10.13039/501100011033. All authors acknowledge the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 860881-HIDDeN. VS acknowledges support from the UK STFC via Grant ST/L000504/1.

## A Unimodular gauge versus comoving gauge

- In order to relate unimodular and comoving gauges just define a new time coordinate,

$$b^{-3/4} dt = d\tau \quad (26)$$

and a new scale factor through

$$b(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} = a(\tau)^2 \quad (27)$$

For example, if

$$b(t) \sim t^{\frac{4}{5}} \quad (28)$$

which corresponds to a radiation dominated Friedmann-Lemaître model, we get

$$t \sim \tau^{\frac{5}{2}} \quad (29)$$

and

$$a(\tau) \sim \tau^{1/2} \quad (30)$$

Finally, when  $b(t) \sim t^{\frac{4}{3}}$ ,

$$\frac{dt}{t} = H d\tau \quad (31)$$

$$\frac{t}{t_0} = e^{H\tau} \quad (32)$$

corresponds to exponential expansion in comoving coordinates.

- The perfect fluid variables must be identified in comoving coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \equiv T_{00} &= \frac{\phi_\tau^2}{2} + \frac{(\partial\phi)^2}{2a^2} + V(\phi) \\ pa^2\delta_{ij} = T_{ij} &= \partial_i\phi\partial_j\phi + \frac{a^2}{2} \left( \phi_\tau^2 - \frac{(\partial\phi)^2}{a^2} - 2V(\phi) \right) \delta_{ij} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where  $\phi_\tau \equiv \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\tau}$ . In order for this energy-momentum tensor to represent a perfect fluid, it is necessary that

$$\partial_i\phi\partial_j\phi = \frac{1}{3}(\partial\phi)^2\delta_{ij} \quad (34)$$

(which are automatic when  $\partial_i\phi = 0$ ).



It is plain that under those conditions,

$$T = \rho - 3p = -\dot{\phi}_\tau^2 + \frac{(\partial\phi)^2}{a^2} + 4V \quad (35)$$

- In the comoving (synchronous) gauge

$$\begin{aligned} R_{00} &= -3\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \\ R_{ij} &= (2\dot{a}^2 + a\ddot{a}) \delta_{ij} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

so that

$$R = -6\frac{\dot{a}^2 + a\ddot{a}}{a^2} \quad (37)$$

Einstein's equation in comoving coordinates read

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3\dot{a}^2}{a^2} &= \kappa^2 \left[ \dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{(\partial_k\phi)^2}{a^2} + 2V(\phi) \right] \\ -\left[ \dot{a}^2 + 2a\ddot{a} \right] \delta_{ij} &= 2\kappa^2 \left[ \partial_i\phi\partial_j\phi + \frac{a^2}{2} \left( \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{(\partial_k\phi)^2}{a^2} - 2V(\phi) \right) \delta_{ij} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

## References

- [1] E. Álvarez, S. González-Martín, M. Herrero-Valea and C. P. Martín, “Unimodular Gravity Redux,” *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) no.6, 061502 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.061502 [arXiv:1505.00022 [hep-th]].
- [2] S. Weinberg, “Cosmology,” Published in: Oxford, UK: Oxford Univ. Pr. (2008) 593 p
- [3] E. Álvarez, S. González-Martín and C. P. Martín, “Note on the gauge symmetries of unimodular gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) no.12, 123018 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.123018 [arXiv:1604.07263 [hep-th]].
- [4] J. J. van der Bij, H. van Dam and Y. J. Ng, “The Exchange of Massless Spin Two Particles,” *Physica A* **116** (1982), 307-320 doi:10.1016/0378-4371(82)90247-3  
E. Alvarez, “Can one tell Einstein’s unimodular theory from Einstein’s general relativity?,” *JHEP* **03** (2005), 002 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/03/002 [arXiv:hep-th/0501146 [hep-th]].  
E. Alvarez and A. F. Faedo, “Unimodular cosmology and the weight of energy,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007), 064013 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.76.064013 [arXiv:hep-th/0702184 [hep-th]].
- [5] E. Alvarez, “Windows on Quantum Gravity,” [arXiv:2005.09466 [hep-th]]. *Fortschritte der Physik* 2020-10-06 — journal-article DOI: 10.1002/prop.202000080
- [6] S. Perlmutter *et al.* [Supernova Cosmology Project], “Measurements of  $\Omega$  and  $\Lambda$  from 42 high redshift supernovae,” *Astrophys. J.* **517** (1999), 565-586 doi:10.1086/307221 [arXiv:astro-ph/9812133 [astro-ph]].  
A. G. Riess *et al.* [Supernova Search Team], “Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant,” *Astron. J.* **116** (1998), 1009-1038 doi:10.1086/300499 [arXiv:astro-ph/9805201 [astro-ph]].