# MAHLER MEASURE NUMEROLOGY 
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To David Boyd, an oustanding conductor of the Mahler measures, on the ocassion of his 80th birthday


#### Abstract

We discuss some (conjectural) evaluations of $L$-values attached to elliptic curves of conductors $15,21,24$ and 32 as 'hypergeometric periods'. These numerical observations are motivated by the Mahler measures of three-variable polynomials.


The (logarithmic) Mahler measure

$$
\mathrm{m}\left(P\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi i)^{k}} \int \cdots \int_{\left|x_{1}\right|=\cdots=\left|x_{k}\right|=1} \log \left|P\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)\right| \frac{\mathrm{d} x_{1}}{x_{1}} \cdots \frac{\mathrm{~d} x_{k}}{x_{k}}
$$

of an $k$-variable (Laurent) polynomial $P\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right]$ is a quite unique attractor of numerous problems in mathematics [3]. One of big problems (well open even in the case $k=1$ !) is the range of the Mahler measures attached to polynomials with integer coefficients [1]. A particular aspect of this problem is a remarkable connection of such Mahler measures to the $L$-values of algebraic varieties, usually related to the zero loci of the underlying polynomials $P\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$. Research in this direction originated in the 1990s: the works of Deninger [4], Boyd [2] and Rodriguez Villegas [8] set up in depth the story for the case of two-variable polynomials $P(x, y)$ such that $P(x, y)=0$ defines an elliptic curve. The papers all feature the family of two-variable Mahler measures

$$
\mu(k)=\mathrm{m}(k+(x+1 / x)(y+1 / y))
$$

which is indeed quite special. Illustrative examples for the range $0<k<4$ include

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu(1)=L^{\prime}\left(E_{15 a 8}, 0\right), \quad \mu(\sqrt{2})=4 L^{\prime}\left(E_{56 a 1}, 0\right), \quad \mu(2)=L^{\prime}\left(E_{24 a 4}, 0\right), \\
& \mu(2 \sqrt{2})=L^{\prime}\left(E_{32 a 1}, 0\right), \quad \mu(3)=\frac{1}{2} L^{\prime}\left(E_{21 a 4}, 0\right), \quad \mu(2 \sqrt{3}) \stackrel{?}{=} 2 L^{\prime}\left(E_{72 a 1}, 0\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E_{N x M}$ refers to the elliptic curve labeled in accordance with [5] (the label corresponds to a Weierstrass form of the curve $k+(x+1 / x)(y+1 / y)=0$ which is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ whenever $\left.k^{2} \in \mathbb{Q} \backslash\{0,16\}\right)$. Notice that for this range

$$
\mu(k)=\frac{k}{4} \cdot{ }_{3} F_{2}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} & \frac{k^{2}}{1,}, \frac{3}{2}
\end{array}\right)=\int_{0}^{k / 4}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} & x^{2}  \tag{1}\\
1
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{d} x
$$

so that the Mahler measure evaluations can be translated into equalities of the $L$-values and the values of hypergeometric functions.

[^0]The $L$-values of conductor 15,21 and 24 elliptic curves also show up, conjecturally(!), in the three-variable Mahler measures ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{m}(1+x+y+x y+z) \stackrel{?}{=}-2 L^{\prime}\left(E_{15},-1\right)=\frac{15^{2}}{4 \pi^{4}} L\left(E_{15}, 3\right)=0.4839979734 \ldots \\
& \mathrm{~m}\left((1+x)^{2}+y+z\right) \stackrel{?}{=}-L^{\prime}\left(E_{24},-1\right)=\frac{72}{\pi^{4}} L\left(E_{24}, 3\right)=0.7025655062 \ldots \\
& \mathrm{~m}(1+x+y-x y+z) \stackrel{?}{=}-\frac{5}{4} L^{\prime}\left(E_{21},-1\right)=\frac{5 \cdot 21^{2}}{32 \pi^{4}} L\left(E_{21}, 3\right)=0.6242499823 \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

The Mahler measures in all these cases are reduced to hypergeometric integrals 3, Section 6.3] (see also [9]), and there are also hypergeometric expressions available for $L^{\prime}\left(E_{32},-1\right)[10]$. Here we highlight the corresponding formulae for the $L$-values, dropping off the intermediate Mahler measures, and give some additional formulae that are not linked to known conjectures on the Mahler measures but reflect similarities with the two-variable expression (1).

Conductor $15(k=1)$ : The expectation in this case is

$$
\begin{align*}
-L^{\prime}\left(E_{15},-1\right) & \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{1 / 4}^{1}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right. \\
1 & \left.1-x^{2}\right) \log (4 x) \mathrm{d} x  \tag{2}\\
& =-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1 / 4}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right. \\
1 & \left.1-x^{2}\right) \log (4 x) \mathrm{d} x
\end{align*}
$$

Notice the induced evaluation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \left.\frac{1}{2} \right\rvert\, 1-x^{2}\right) \log (4 x) \mathrm{d} x=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

established in [9. There is a complimentary observation of H. Cohen (2018) in this case, not related to a Mahler measure:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\prime}\left(E_{15},-2\right) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{12}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{1 / 4}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \left.\frac{1}{2} \right\rvert\, x^{2}\right) \log ^{2}(4 x) \mathrm{d} x \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conductor $21(k=3)$ : Here we expect

$$
\begin{equation*}
-5 L^{\prime}\left(E_{21},-1\right) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{1 / 4}^{2}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \left.\frac{1}{2} \right\rvert\, 1-(1-x)^{2}\right) \log (4 x) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The argument $2 x-x^{2}$ of the hypergeometric integrand in (5) ranges between $1-$ $k^{2} / 16$ and 1 when $\frac{1}{4}<x<1$ and then between 1 and 0 when $1<x<2$. Thus, taking into account the evaluation ${ }^{2}$

$$
\frac{7 \zeta(3)}{\pi^{2}}=-28 \zeta^{\prime}(-2)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} & \left.1-x^{2}\right) \log (4(1+x)) \mathrm{d} x \tag{6}
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^1]for the second part (see also (3)), our main expectation is
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{5}{2} L^{\prime}\left(E_{21},-1\right)+28 \zeta^{\prime}(-2) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{3 / 4}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \left.\frac{1}{2} \right\rvert\, 1-x^{2}\right) \log (4(1-x)) \mathrm{d} x \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Conductor $24(k=2)$ : We know in this case [9] that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
-L^{\prime}\left(E_{24},-1\right) & \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\pi \sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{n} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{3}{4}\right)}{n!\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{5}{4}\right)(2 n+1)^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n}  \tag{8}\\
& =\frac{8 \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{2}}{\pi^{5 / 2}}{ }_{5} F_{4}\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \left.\frac{5}{4} \right\rvert\, \frac{1}{4}\right)+\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{2}}{54 \pi^{5 / 2}}{ }_{5} F_{4}\left(\frac{\frac{3}{4}}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{\frac{7}{4}}{4}, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{5}{4}\right.
\end{array} \frac{\left.\frac{5}{4}\right)}{4}\right) .
$$

However there is an identification of $L^{\prime}\left(E_{24},-1\right)$ similar to (22) and (17) but not related to a Mahler measure:

$$
-2 L^{\prime}\left(E_{24},-1\right)+28 \zeta^{\prime}(-2) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 / 4}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} & \left.1-x^{2}\right) \log \left(\frac{1-x}{x}\right) \mathrm{d} x .  \tag{9}\\
1
\end{array}\right.
$$

In a different direction, here is a striking connection of (8) with other $L$-values of the same elliptic curve ${ }^{3}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{\prime}\left(E_{24}, 0\right) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{n} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{3}{4}\right)}{n!\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{5}{4}\right)(2 n+1)}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n}  \tag{10}\\
& L\left(E_{24}, 1\right)=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4 \sqrt{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{n} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{3}{4}\right)}{n!\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{5}{4}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

These two evaluations can be compared with

$$
\begin{align*}
L^{\prime}\left(E_{24}, 0\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{n}^{2}}{n!^{2}(2 n+1)}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{n}  \tag{12}\\
L\left(E_{24}, 1\right) & =\frac{\pi}{8} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{n}^{2}}{n!^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{4}\right)^{n} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first one comes out of the formula for $\mu(2)$ interpreted through (1).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{3} \text { The proof of (11) we are aware of is somewhat tricky. One starts with } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{n} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{3}{4}\right)}{n!\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{5}{4}\right)} z^{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{n}}{n!} z^{n} \int_{0}^{1} t^{n+1 / 2}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{\left(1-t^{2}\right)(1-z t)}} \mathrm{d} t \\
\quad=\sqrt{2}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2} u^{2}\right) \sqrt{\left(1-u^{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{2}(1+z) u^{2}\right)}}-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\sqrt{\left(1-u^{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{2}(1+z) u^{2}\right)}}\right)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

to arrive, when $z=\frac{1}{2}$, at

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2} u^{2}\right) \sqrt{\left(1-u^{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{3}{4} u^{2}\right)}}=\frac{3}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\sqrt{\left(1-u^{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{3}{4} u^{2}\right)}},
$$

and this allows one to conclude with (11) from (13). There seems to be no simple relation like this for $z=-\frac{1}{2}$ (and for other values of $z$ from the real interval $|z|<1$ ). This fact makes it difficult to lift the finding to (10).

Conductor $32(k=2 \sqrt{2})$ : Here we have the following [10]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
-L^{\prime}\left(E_{32},-1\right)=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{2}}{6 \sqrt{2} \pi^{5 / 2}}{ }_{4} F_{3}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c}
1,1,1, \left.\frac{1}{2} \right\rvert\, \\
\frac{7}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}
\end{array} \right\rvert\,\right)+\frac{4 \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{2}}{\sqrt{2} \pi^{5 / 2}}{ }_{4} F_{3}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c}
1,1,1, \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{5}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, 1\right) \\
+\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{2}}{2 \sqrt{2} \pi^{5 / 2}}{ }_{4} F_{3}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c}
1,1,1, \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, 1\right) . \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Conjecturally we find out the following representation resembling (2), (7) and (9):

$$
-L^{\prime}\left(E_{32},-1\right) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \sqrt{2} / 4}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} & \left.1-x^{2}\right) \log \left(\frac{1-x^{2}}{x^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} x . . . . ~ \tag{15}
\end{array}\right.
$$
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