

GERSHGORIN DISKS FOR MULTIPLE AND CLOSE EIGENVALUES

ABSTRACT. We show that multiple eigenvalues, or eigenvalues close to each other, are in a reduced Gershgorin disk.

1. INTRODUCTION

Gershgorin's classic result [7] has been a main tool to estimate the eigenvalues of an $n \times n$ (complex) matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{ij=1}^n$ for the last 90 years. It says that if λ is an eigenvalue of A , then there is $i \in [n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$|a_{ii} - \lambda| \leq \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|.$$

In other words λ lies in the *Gershgorin disk* $D(a_{ii}, R_i)$ in the complex plane for some $i \in [n]$, where a_{ii} is the centre, and $R_i = \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$ is the radius of the disk, that is, lies in $\bigcup_{i=1}^n D(a_{ii}, R_i)$. Equivalently, every eigenvalue λ of A satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad \lambda \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n D(a_{ii}, R_i).$$

In particular, if

$$|a_{ii}| > \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}| \text{ for every } i \in [n],$$

then $0 \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n D(a_{ii}, R_i)$ and so the matrix is not singular, it has full rank. Such matrices are called *strictly diagonally dominant* matrices.

Under some special conditions the Gershgorin bound has recently been improved by Bárány and Solymosi [3] followed by Hall and Marsli [8]. Assume A is an $n \times n$ real matrix and let $b_{i1} \geq \dots \geq b_{in}$ be the rearrangement of the numbers $a_{i1}, \dots, a_{ii-1}, 0, a_{ii+1}, \dots, a_{in}$ in non-increasing order. Define

$$r_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} b_{ij} - \sum_{j=\frac{n+3}{2}}^n b_{ij} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd, and} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} b_{ij} - \sum_{j=\frac{n}{2}+1}^n b_{ij} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

We note that r_i is the minimum of the function $t \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^n |b_{ij} - t|$ and that the minimum is taken on the median, t_i , of the numbers b_{i1}, \dots, b_{in} . As is easy to see $t_i = b_{ik}$ with $k = (n+1)/2$ if n is odd, and when n is even t_i can be any number on the interval $[b_{in/2}, b_{i(n+2)/2}]$.

The following result is implicit in [3]; see the equations after the proof of Theorem 2 there. A detailed proof appears in Hall and Marsli [8].

Theorem 1.1. *Assume λ is an eigenvalue of the real matrix A whose geometric multiplicity is at least 2. Then λ lies in smaller Gershgorin disk $D(a_{ii}, r_i)$ for some $i \in [n]$.*

The aim of this paper is to give a stronger version of Theorem 1.1 and extend it to complex matrices. This will be carried out by a simple argument using strictly diagonally dominant matrices. Moreover we extend this theorem by replacing the smaller Gershgorin disks by slightly larger disks when the underlying matrix is normal, and when it is almost symmetric.

2. SMALLER GERSHGORIN DISKS FOR MULTIPLE EIGENVALUES

One of the classical applications of Gershgorin's theorem is to show that strictly diagonally dominant matrices have full rank, that is, zero is not an eigenvalue because no Gershgorin disk contains the origin. Bounding the rank is important in linear algebra, such bounds have various applications in different parts of mathematics. There are nice examples of applications to combinatorics in Alon's classical papers [2, 1] that provide rank bounds for real matrices where the diagonal elements are larger than other entries in their row, but not large enough for a direct application of Gershgorin's theorem. We begin with special case of the zero eigenvalue.

Let A be a complex (or real) $n \times n$ matrix where the off-diagonal entries in row i are almost equal to c_i (say), that is, $|a_{ij} - c_i| \leq \varepsilon$ when $i \neq j$ but the diagonal entry has larger norm. Such matrices can be written as the sum of two matrices, $A = C + D_\varepsilon$, where every entry in row i of C is c_i and in D_ε the diagonal entries are $a_{ii} - c_i$ and the off-diagonal ones are small "errors" that have norm at most ε . As $\text{rank}(A) \geq \text{rank}(D_\varepsilon) - 1$ trivially, if for instance $|a_{ii} - c_i| > (n - 1)\varepsilon$ for every $i \in [n]$, then D_ε is strictly diagonally dominant so $\text{rank}(A) \geq n - 1$. A more precise version of this argument gives the following result.

Lemma 2.1. *Let A be an $n \times n$ complex (or real) matrix. If there are complex (or real) numbers c_i satisfying the inequality*

$$(2.1) \quad |a_{ii} - c_i| > \sum_{i \neq j} |a_{ij} - c_i|,$$

for all $i \in [n]$, then $\text{rank}(A) \geq n - 1$. □

Remark 2.1. Assume that A is a real matrix and let t_i be the median of the entries in row i with a_{ii} replaced by 0. If the inequalities (2.1) $i \in [n]$ hold with $c_i = t_i$, then we arrive at Theorem 1.1 in the special case of zero eigenvalue. This states, with the notation of

Theorem 1.1, that $\text{rank}(A) \geq n - 1$, that is, zero is an eigenvalue of multiplicity at most one, if $|a_{ii}| > r_i$ for all $i \in [n]$. We remark further that setting $c_i = 0$ gives back Gershgorin's circle theorem, because then C is the zero matrix that has rank zero. Choosing the optimal c_i often reduces the radius of the Gershgorin disk for eigenvalues with multiplicity at least two. The $n \times n$ all one matrix, J_n , shows that higher multiplicity might not guarantee a smaller radius in Theorem 1.1 because the zero eigenvalue of J_n has multiplicity $n - 1$ in J_n and still, it lies on the boundary of the disk with radius $r_i = 1$ with center $a_{ii} = 1$.

Direct application of Lemma 2.1 to the matrix $A - \lambda I$ gives the following extension of Theorem 1.1. The result is stronger than Theorem 1.1 because it applies to complex matrices as well, and because no assumption is needed on the geometric multiplicity of λ . Using algebraic multiplicity makes the result more usable as we illustrate it in Theorem 3.1 below.

Theorem 2.2. *Let A be an $n \times n$ complex (or real) matrix and λ be a complex (or real) number. If there are complex (or real) numbers c_i satisfying the inequality*

$$(2.2) \quad |a_{ii} - c_i - \lambda| > \sum_{i \neq j} |a_{ij} - c_i|,$$

for all $i \in [n]$. Then $\text{rank}(A - \lambda I) \geq n - 1$. □

Fix the numbers c_i satisfying (2.2) (if they exist), and define $\rho_i = \sum_{i \neq j} |a_{ij} - c_i|$ for $i \in [n]$. Theorem 2.2 states then, in a form analogous to (1.1), that if λ is an eigenvalue of A with multiplicity at least two, then $\lambda \in \bigcup_1^n D(a_{ii} - c_i, \rho_i)$. Note that in this formulation there is no need for any condition on geometric multiplicity.

For the application of Theorem 2.2 one has to decide if suitable c_i values exist or not. This is easy for real matrices because then one has to check for every $i \in [n]$ whether there is some $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|a_{ii} - t| > \sum_{i \neq j} |a_{ij} - t|$, which is the same as deciding whether the maximum of the function $t \rightarrow |a_{ii} - t| - \sum_{i \neq j} |a_{ij} - t|$ is positive or not. This function is piecewise linear and is differentiable at every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ except $t = a_{ij}$, $j \in [n]$. For instance when n is odd, the derivative of the function $t \rightarrow \sum_{i \neq j} |a_{ij} - t|$ is zero on 'median' interval $[a_{ij_1}, a_{ij_2}]$ of the $n - 1$ numbers a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in} (a_{ii} is missing), and at least 2 for $t < a_{ij_1}$ and at most -2 for $t > a_{ij_2}$. Consequently the maximum in question is taken on a_{ij_1} if $a_{ii} > a_{ij_2}$, on a_{ij_2} if $a_{ii} < a_{ij_1}$, and on a_{ii} if $a_{ij_1} < a_{ii} < a_{ij_2}$, and it is simple to check if this maximum is positive or not. A similar analysis works for even n .

Finding c_i for complex entries is a different task. We have to decide if the function $t \rightarrow |a_{ii} - t|$ is below the function $t \rightarrow \sum_{i \neq j} |a_{ij} - t|$ for

all complex numbers t . One can check if this holds when $t = a_{ij}$ for all $j \in [n]$. At every other $t \in \mathbb{C}$ both functions are differentiable so one could, in principle, decide if the maximum of the function

$$|a_{ii} - t| - \sum_{i \neq j} |a_{ij} - t|$$

is positive for every $i \in [n]$ or not.

Remark 2.3. One can go one step further. We can write $A = D_\varepsilon + C + E$ where every entry in row i of the matrix C is c_i and every entry in column j of the matrix E is e_j . The i, j entry in D_ε is then $a_{ij} - c_i - e_j$. Again, if D_ε is diagonally dominated, then $\text{rank}(A) \geq d - 2$.

3. AN EXAMPLE

We show a geometric application of Lemma 2.1 which is similar to an example of Alon in [2]. The unit ℓ_1 -ball in \mathbb{R}^d is the convex hull of the $2d$ points $\pm e_i$, i.e., the collection of $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\|x\|_1 \leq 1$. Here e_i denotes the vector with a 1 in the i th coordinate and 0's elsewhere. The Euclidean distance between e_i and $-e_i$ is 2 (for all i), and the distance between two other vertices is exactly $\sqrt{2}$. What happens if we relax the distance constraints a bit? What is the maximum number of point pairs, (p_i, q_i) in \mathbb{R}^d , to be denoted by k , such that $(p_i - q_i)^2 = 4$ for every $i \in [k]$ and for every pair $i, j \in [k]$, $i \neq j$ we have

$$|(p_i - q_j)^2 - 2| \leq \varepsilon, |(p_i - p_j)^2 - 2| \leq \varepsilon, |(q_i - q_j)^2 - 2| \leq \varepsilon.$$

We show that there is a $c > 0$ such that if $\varepsilon \leq c/d$ then such metric "almost octahedron" has at most $d + 2$ diagonal pairs.

Theorem 3.1. *If $\varepsilon < 2/(3d + 4)$, then $k \leq d + 2$.*

Proof. Assume that $k > d + 2$ and consider the first $d + 3$ pairs (p_i, q_i) . The $(d + 2) \times (d + 2)$ matrix M is defined by the dot products $m_{ij} = (p_i - p_1) \cdot (q_j - p_1)$, for all $2 \leq i, j \leq d + 3$. The rank of M is at most d . The identity $(p_i - p_1)^2 + (q_j - p_1)^2 - (p_i - q_j)^2 = 2(p_i - p_1) \cdot (q_j - p_1)$ (the cosine theorem in trigonometry) and the conditions imply that

$$(2 - \varepsilon) + (2 - \varepsilon) - (2 + \varepsilon) \leq 2(p_i - p_1) \cdot (q_j - p_1) \leq (2 + \varepsilon) + (2 + \varepsilon) - (2 - \varepsilon).$$

Consequently

$$|m_{ij} - 1| \leq \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon \text{ for } i \neq j \text{ and } |m_{ii} - 1| \geq 1 - \varepsilon \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq d + 3.$$

We check that Lemma 2.1 applies now with $c_i = 1$ for all $i = 2, 3, \dots, d + 3$. Indeed $|m_{ii} - c_i| \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ and $\sum_{j \neq i} |m_{ij} - c_i| \leq (d + 1)\frac{3}{2}\varepsilon$ when $i \neq j$. Thus the inequality $|m_{ii} - c_i| > \sum_{j \neq i} |m_{ij} - c_i|$ follows from the condition $\varepsilon < 2/(3d + 4)$ via a simple computation. By Lemma 2.1, $\text{rank}(M) \geq d + 2 - 1 = d + 1$, contradicting the fact that $\text{rank}(M) \leq d$. \square

The following construction shows that the result in Theorem 3.1 is close to being sharp. Let us choose a d such that there is a Hadamard matrix, H_n of order $n = d + 2$. The row vectors of H_n and $-H_n$ form a scaled and rotated ℓ_1 ball in \mathbb{R}^n . The pointset we are going to consider in \mathbb{R}^d consists of the projection of the $2n$ row vectors $\pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}H_n$ by deleting the last two coordinates of every row. The squared distance between non-antipodal points is between $2 - 4/d$ and $2 + 4/d$ while the distance between the $d + 2$ antipodal points is exactly 2.

4. NORMAL MATRICES

Here we show that if two eigenvalues of a real and normal matrix are close, then both of them lie in a smaller Gershgorin disk. A matrix is normal if its eigenvectors belonging to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal. For instance, a symmetric and real matrix is always normal.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume λ and μ are distinct eigenvalues of a real and normal $n \times n$ matrix A , $n \geq 3$. Then both λ and μ lie in the disk $D(a_{ii}, \rho_i)$ for some $i \in [n]$ where $\rho_i = r_i + \sqrt{n}|\lambda - \mu|$.*

Proof. Let A be a normal, real, $n \times n$, matrix. Let $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ resp. $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ be the eigenvectors corresponding to λ and μ , the coordinates $v_i, w_j \in \mathbb{C}$. As $n \geq 3$ there are $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha v_i + \beta w_i = 0,$$

and the largest coordinate is one, i.e. $\alpha v_i + \beta w_i = 1$, and $|\alpha v_j + \beta w_j| \leq 1$ for any $j \in [n]$.

Let $t = \alpha v + \beta w$. Then $At = \mu \alpha v + \lambda \beta w$ and

$$a_{i1}t_1 + a_{i2}t_2 \dots + a_{ii} + \dots + a_{in}t_n = \mu \alpha v_i + \lambda \beta w_i = \mu + (\lambda - \mu)\beta w_i$$

because $t_i = 1$. Set $S = a_{i1}t_1 + a_{i2}t_2 \dots + a_{ii} + \dots + a_{in}t_n - a_{ii}$. Then

$$|\mu - a_{ii}| \leq |S| + |\lambda - \mu||\beta w_i|.$$

Bounding S comes from Theorem 2 in [3] and, in a slightly more general form, from Lemma 2.4 of [8] in the form of the following fact.

Lemma 4.2. $|S| \leq r_i$.

The proof is the same as in [3] and we present it at the end of this section.

We bound the error term $|\beta w_i|$ using that A is normal. The two eigenvectors are orthogonal, so we have

$$n \geq |t|^2 = |\alpha v|^2 + |\beta w|^2,$$

which implies that $|\beta w_i| \leq \sqrt{n}$. □

The **proof** of Lemma 4.2 is simple.

$$\begin{aligned} S &= a_{i1}t_1 + \dots + a_{ii-1}t_{i-1} + 0t_i + a_{ii+1}t_{i+1} + \dots + a_{in}t_n \\ &= (a_{i1} - x)t_1 + \dots + (a_{ii-1} - x)t_{i-1} + \\ &\quad + (0 - x)t_i + (a_{ii+1} - x)t_{i+1} + \dots + (a_{in} - x)t_n \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ because $\sum t_i = 0$. As $|t_i| \leq 1$ for all $i \in [n]$ this implies that

$$|S| \leq |a_{i1} - x| + \dots + |a_{ii-1} - x| + |0 - x| + |a_{ii+1} - x| + \dots + |a_{in} - x|.$$

The function $x \rightarrow |a_{i1} - x| + \dots + |a_{in} - x|$ is the sum of convex functions so is convex itself. The proof is finished by establishing that its minimum is reached on the median of the numbers

$$a_{i1}, \dots, a_{ii-1}, 0, a_{ii+1}, \dots, a_{in}$$

and equals r_i . □

5. ALMOST SYMMETRIC MATRICES

This section extends the previous results to almost symmetric real matrices.

There are different ways to define almost symmetric matrices. They appear in various contexts in applied linear algebra. For example in [4] and [5] a nearly symmetric matrix is defined as a matrix in which the overwhelming majority of entries are symmetric about its diagonal. In other examples an almost symmetric matrix M is given as $M = S + E$ where S is a symmetric matrix and E is a small error or “noise”. We can’t repeat the arguments on Theorem 4.1 because even small changes can make the eigenvectors far from being orthogonal, like in the example below.

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \varepsilon \\ 0 & 1 + \varepsilon \end{bmatrix}$$

We will not give a formal definition of what an almost symmetric matrix is. Instead we introduce a parameter, $\Delta(A)$, measuring the symmetry of a matrix A :

$$\Delta(A) = \max_{i \in [n]} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{ij} - a_{ji}) \right|.$$

In this definition the pairs a_{ij} and a_{ji} can be far from each other, the parameter $\Delta(A)$ is the maximum difference between the sum of the entries in row i and that of the entries in column i . For instance $\Delta(A) = 0$ for a doubly stochastic matrix A .

With this new matrix parameter we generalize Theorem 4.1 to arbitrary real matrices. We are going to use the well-known fact that an eigenvector of a real matrix A which belongs to the eigenvalue λ is

orthogonal to any eigenvector of A^T which belongs to a different eigenvalue μ . We include the simple argument for the sake of completeness.

$$vA \circ w = vAw^T = (vAw^T)^T = wA^T v^T = wA^T \circ v,$$

so

$$\lambda v \circ w = \mu w \circ v, \quad (\lambda - \mu)v \circ w = 0.$$

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that $A = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ is a real matrix and $n \geq 3$. If λ and μ are two distinct eigenvalues of A , then there is $i \in [n]$ such that both λ and μ lie in the disk $D(a_{ii}, \rho_i)$ where $\rho_i = r_i + \sqrt{n}(\Delta + |\lambda - \mu|)$ where r_i is the same as before.*

Proof. Let v be the eigenvector of λ in A and w be the eigenvector of μ in A^T . The two vectors are orthogonal, so they are linearly independent. Then there are $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the coordinates of $\alpha v + \beta w$ add up to zero:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha u_i + \beta w_i = 0,$$

and the largest norm coordinate (indexed by $i \in [n]$) is one. We assume again that $\alpha v_i + \beta w_i = 1$ and so $|\alpha v_j + \beta w_j| \leq 1$ for all $j \in [n]$. With these notations we have the system of equations

$$A\alpha v + A^T\beta w = \lambda\alpha v + \mu\beta w = \lambda(\alpha v + \beta w) + (\mu - \lambda)\beta w,$$

Let us consider the i th row

$$\alpha v_1 a_{1i} + \beta w_1 a_{1i} + \dots + a_{ii} + \dots + \alpha v_j a_{ji} + \beta w_j a_{ij} + \dots = \lambda + (\mu - \lambda)\beta w_i.$$

From this we have

$$|\lambda - a_{ii}| \leq \left| \sum_{j \neq i} (\alpha v_j + \beta w_j) a_{ji} - \beta \sum_{j=1}^n w_j (a_{ji} - a_{ij}) - (\mu - \lambda)\beta w_i \right|$$

Using the bound $|\beta w_i| \leq \sqrt{n}$ from the previous proof we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda - a_{ii}| &\leq \left| \sum_{j \neq i} (\alpha v_j + \beta w_j) a_{ji} - \beta \sum_{j=1}^n w_j (a_{ji} - a_{ij}) - (\mu - \lambda)\beta w_i \right| \leq \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{j \neq i} (\alpha v_j + \beta w_j) a_{ji} \right| + \sqrt{n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{ji} - a_{ij}) \right| + \sqrt{n} |\mu - \lambda| \leq \\ &\leq r_i + \sqrt{n} (\Delta(A) + |\mu - \lambda|). \end{aligned}$$

Here the estimate $\left| \sum_{j \neq i} (\alpha v_j + \beta w_j) a_{ji} \right| \leq r_i$ is the same as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. \square

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Research of both authors was supported by a Hungarian National Research Grant KKP grant no. 133819. Research of IB was supported in part by Hungarian National Research grants no. 131529, 131696. Research of JS was supported in part by an NSERC Discovery grant and OTKA K grant no.119528.

REFERENCES

- [1] Noga Alon, Problems and results in extremal combinatorics I, *Discrete Mathematics*, Volume 273, Issues 13, 2003, 31–53.
- [2] Noga Alon, Perturbed identity matrices have high rank: Proof and applications, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, Vol. 18. Issue 1-2. 2009, 3–15.
- [3] Bárány I., Solymosi J. (2017) Gershgorin Disks for Multiple Eigenvalues of Non-negative Matrices. In: Loeb M., Nešetřil J., Thomas R. (eds) *A Journey Through Discrete Mathematics*. Springer, Cham.
- [4] A.H. Berliner and R. A. Brualdi, Nearly symmetric-indecomposable matrices, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, Volume 63, 2015, 1468–1484.
- [5] Hong Zheng, Sheng Guo Cheng, Quan Sheng Liu, A decomposition procedure for nearly symmetric matrices with applications to some nonlinear problems, *Mechanics Research Communication*, Volume 37, 2010, 78–84.
- [6] Lee DeVille, Optimizing Gershgorin for symmetric matrices, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, Volume 577, 2019, 360–383,
- [7] S. Gershgorin, Über die Abgrenzung der Eigenwerte einer Matrix. *Izv. Akad. Nauk. USSR Otd. Fiz.-Mat. Nauk* 6 (1931), 749–754.
- [8] F. J. Hall and R. Marsli, On the location of eigenvalues of real matrices, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 32(2017), 357–364.