

DILATIONS FOR OPERATOR-VALUED QUANTUM MEASURES

DEGUANG HAN, QIANFENG HU, DAVID R. LARSON, AND RUI LIU

ABSTRACT. This paper concerns the dilations of Banach space operator-valued quantum measures. While the recently developed general dilation theory can lead to a projection (idempotent) valued dilation for any quantum measure over the projection lattice for a von Neumann algebra that does not contain type I_2 direct summand, such a dilation does not necessarily guarantee the preservation of countable additivity of the quantum measure. So it remains an open question whether every countably additive $B(X)$ -valued quantum measure can be dilated to a countably additive projection-valued measure. The main purpose of this paper is to prove that such a dilation can be constructed if one of the following two conditions is satisfied: (i) the underlying Banach space $X = \ell_p$ ($1 \leq p < 2$) or it has Schur property, (ii) the quantum measure has bounded p -variation for some $1 \leq p < \infty$. All of these were achieved by establishing a non-commutative version of a minimal dilation theory on the so-called elementary dilation space equipping with an appropriate dilation norm. In particular, the newly introduced p -variation norm on the elementary dilation space allows us to prove that every operator-valued quantum measure with bounded p -variation has a projection-valued quantum measure dilation that preserves the boundedness of the p -variation.

1. INTRODUCTION

A recently developed general dilation theory for operator-valued measures (respectively, for bounded linear maps) tells us that every operator-valued measure can be dilated to a projection valued measure, and every norm-continuous linear map on a Banach algebra can be dilated to norm-continuous homomorphism acting on a Banach space [8]. However, it is generally unknown whether some other types of continuity can also be preserved via dilations. One of the most interesting cases is the preservation of the ultraweak continuity of the linear maps. In terms of operator-valued measures, it means preserving the countable additivity of the dilated measures. In the commutative domain case, this question has been settled for purely atomic measures [8] and for general operator-valued measures [7]. This paper continues this investigation by focusing on quantum measures where the domain is the projection lattice of an arbitrary von Neumann algebra (VN algebra for short).

The quantum measure theory [10, 4] has its origin in mathematical formalism of quantum mechanics and is often viewed as “noncommutative” or “quantum” analogs of classical measure theory. In classical measure theory, the basic concept is a measure on the σ -algebra of subsets. While in quantum measure theory, the measure is acting on the projection

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46G10, 47A20, 46B15, 46B28, 46B45; Secondary 47B38, 46L07.

Deguang Han acknowledges the support from NSF under the grant DMS-1712602. Qianfeng Hu and Rui Liu acknowledge partial support by NSFC grants 11671214, 11971348 and 12071230, and Hundred Young Academic Leaders Program of Nankai University.

lattice of a VN algebra, or equivalently, on the lattice structure of closed subspaces in a Hilbert space. Intuitively, the transition from the σ -algebra to projection lattice includes replacing the disjointedness of subsets by orthogonality of subspaces. But from abstract viewpoint, while a σ -algebra of subsets is a Boolean algebra with respect to set theoretic operations, a projection lattice is not necessarily Boolean. A typical example is the lattice of projections in $B(H)$ which is never distributive (unless H is one dimensional). Consequently, it usually requires a substantially different set of techniques in order to establish a non-commutative dilation theory for quantum measures.

Obviously, every bounded linear map from a VN algebra M to a Banach space X induces a vector-valued quantum measure on the projection lattice $\mathcal{P}(M)$ of M . However, the converse is not necessarily true since there exist counterexamples of scalar-valued measures that fail to extend to a linear functional when M is the algebra of 2×2 matrices. Recall that a VN algebra M is said to be σ -finite if every family of non-zero pairwise orthogonal projections in M is at most countable [14]. In this paper we will be mainly focused on the σ -finite VN algebras without type I_2 direct summand. The celebrated Gleason theorem [10] asserts that every bounded completely additive measure on the projection lattices $\mathcal{P}(H)$, where H is a Hilbert space with $\dim H \geq 3$, extends uniquely to a normal functional on the algebra $B(H)$. Bunce and Wright's generalization to vector measures [2] states that if a VN algebra M has no summand of type I_2 , then every bounded finitely additive measure from $\mathcal{P}(M)$ to Banach space X extends uniquely to a bounded linear operator from M to X . In particular, for a Banach space X , every finitely additive $B(X)$ -valued measure extends to a bounded linear map. By the dilation theorem [8] for bounded linear maps, this linear map has bounded homomorphism dilation. Therefore, every finitely additive operator-valued measure can be dilated to a projection-valued measure.

Since ultraweak continuity (resp. countable additivity) often occurs when dealing with linear maps (resp. measures) on VN algebras, it is nature to ask whether there exists a dilation that preserves such a continuity (resp. additivity). While this question has been answered affirmatively for abelian VN algebras [8, 7], we still don't know if this remains true for arbitrary VN algebras. In this paper we are able to prove that this can be achieved when imposing additional assumptions either on X or on the quantum measure. More precisely, our first main result states that if X is either ℓ_p ($1 \leq p < 2$) or has Schur property, then every ultraweakly-wot continuous bounded linear mapping can be dilated to a ultraweakly-wot continuous Jordan homomorphism. Our second main result involves the concept of operator-valued quantum measures with bounded p -variation. This concept was introduced in [7] for commutative cases, and it was proved that such a measure can be dilated to a projection-valued measure while preserving such a property. We will generalize this concept to operator-valued measures on the projection lattice of arbitrary VN algebras, and prove that every such a measure can be dilated to a projection-valued measure with bounded total p -variation. Since such a measure is always countably additive, this dilation preserves the countable additivity. In summary, the following is one of the main results of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a σ -finite VN algebra without type I_2 direct summand and $U : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow B(X)$ be a countably additive quantum measure, where X is a Banach space. Then U can be dilated to a countably additive projection-valued quantum measure $V : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow B(Z)$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (i) $X = \ell_p$ ($1 \leq p < 2$) or has Schur property.
- (ii) U has bounded p -variation for some $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Outline of the paper: We will recall or introduce in section 2 some necessary definitions and notations about quantum measures. The general dilation theory for operator-valued measures over the classical commutative domain case was mainly based on an elementary dilation theory developed in [7, 8, 9]. In order to prove our main results, we need an analogous of such a theory for quantum measures. So, in section 3, we will first introduce an elementary dilation space. While the projection-valued dilation of a quantum measure is not unique, we prove that every such a dilation naturally induces a projection-valued dilation on the elementary space. The proof of the first part of Theorem 1.1 is then achieved by introducing an appropriate dilation norm on this elementary space. The main results of this section are presented in Theorem 3.5, Proposition 3.6, Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.8. Section 4 is devoted to establishing a dilation theory for quantum measures with bounded p -variations. We first introduce the concept of p -variation for quantum measures, and show that the definition of bounded p -variation coincides with the one defined for operator valued measures in the commutative domain (i.e., abelian VN algebra) case. Then we introduce a p -variation norm on the elementary dilation space, and prove that every quantum measure with bounded p -variation can be dilated to a same type of projection-valued measure on the elementary dilation space equipped with the p -variation norm. This proves the second part of Theorem 1.1.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a Banach space, and M be a VN algebra. We will use the following list of notations throughout the rest of this paper.

- $B(X)$ – the space of all bounded linear operators on X .
- B_M – the closed unit ball of M ; M_{sa} – the set of self-adjoint operators in M ; M_+ – the set of all positive operators in M , I – the identity operator.
- $\mathcal{P}(M)$ denotes the set of all projections in M , that is the set of all self-adjoint idempotents. $\mathcal{P}(M)$ is ordered by order relation $P \leq Q$ if $PQ = P$.
- Supremum and infimum of two projections P and Q will be denoted by $P \vee Q$ and $P \wedge Q$, respectively. We use $P \perp Q$ to denote that projections P and Q are orthogonal.
- M_* is the predual space of M , which is the Banach space of all ultraweakly continuous (*normal*) linear functionals on M .

For a mutually orthogonal family of projections $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{I}}$, we will also use $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{I}} P_\alpha$ to denote $\vee_{\alpha \in \mathbb{I}} P_\alpha$ ($\mathbb{I}$ is the index set and the convergence is in strong operator topology). For operators $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}} \subset M$, we use the notation $A_i \xrightarrow{tot} A$ ($A_i \xrightarrow{wot} A$) for the strong operator topology (weak operator topology, respectively) convergence. As ultraweak topology and weak* topology coincide on M , we will use $A_i \xrightarrow{w*} A$ to denote that A_i converges to A in ultraweak topology of M . We refer to [14, 5, 13, 4] for more backgrounds about operator algebras and noncommutative quantum measure theory, and [8, 7, 11] about the dilation theory of operator-valued measures. Analogous to [2], we will use the following definition for operator-valued quantum measure.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{P}(M)$ be the lattice of projections of a VN algebra M and X be a Banach space. If

$$U : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow B(X)$$

is a mapping such that

$$U(P_1 + P_2) = U(P_1) + U(P_2), \text{ whenever } P_1 \perp P_2,$$

then U is said to be a (finitely additive) $B(X)$ -valued measure on $\mathcal{P}(M)$. We say that U is

- (i) *countably additive (or σ -additive)* if $U(\sum_n P_n) = \sum_n U(P_n)$ for any countable family P_n of mutually orthogonal projections and converges unconditionally with respect to weak operator topology (*wot*) of $B(X)$.
- (ii) *bounded* if $\sup\{\|U(P)\| : P \in \mathcal{P}(M)\} < \infty$ and the supremum is called the norm of U and denoted as $\|U\|$.
- (iii) *projection-valued* if $U(P)$ is a projection (i.e. idempotent) on X for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$.
- (iv) *self-adjoint* if X is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $U(P)^* = U(P)$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ and *positive* if $U(P) \in B(\mathcal{H})_+$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$.

Remark 2.2. We have a few comments about the above definition.

- (i) When dealing with the operator-valued measure U on a σ -finite VN algebra, countable additivity of U is equivalent to being completely additive [14]. Moreover completely additive or countably additive bounded linear maps from M to $B(X)$ are defined in a similar way.
- (ii) The boundedness of the countably additive operator-valued measure on the classical measure space is an essential property [8] in the study of operator-valued measures. However, the quantum measures on the projection lattice are not necessarily bounded. We mention that Dorofeev [3, Theorem 2] establishes some boundedness theorems for quantum measures. In what follows we will always assume that a quantum measure is finitely additive and bounded. If countable additivity or complete additivity is assumed, it will be explicitly stated.
- (iii) If we assume U is a countably additive quantum measure, that is, for any countable collection of mutually orthogonal projections $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of $\mathcal{P}(M)$ with supremum P , then $x^*(\sum_{n=1}^\infty U(P_n)x)$ converges to $x^*(U(P)x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$, i.e., $\sum_{n=1}^\infty U(P_n)x$ weakly unconditional converges to $U(P)x$. The Orlicz-Pettis theorem states that weakly subseries convergence and norm subseries convergence of a series are the same in every Banach space. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{P}(M)$ is a complete lattice, we obtain that weakly countably additive vector measures are countably additive. Thus, the Definition 2.1 for countably additive quantum measure is equivalent to say that U is *strong countably additive* on X , that is,

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty U(P_n)x = U(P)x, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

The ultraweak-topology on $B(\mathcal{H})$ for a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is well-understood. For a Banach space X , we can define the ultraweak-topology on $B(X)$ through the natural embedding $B(X) \hookrightarrow B(X, X^{**})$ and tensor products: Let $X \otimes Y$ be the tensor product of the Banach

space X and Y . The projective norm on $X \otimes Y$ is defined by:

$$\|u\|_{\wedge} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \|y_i\| : u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \right\}$$

We will use $X \otimes_{\wedge} Y$ to denote the tensor product $X \otimes Y$ endowed with the projective norm $\|\cdot\|_{\wedge}$. Its completion will be denoted by $X \widehat{\otimes} Y$. For any Banach spaces X and Y , we have the identification:

$$(X \widehat{\otimes} Y)^* = B(X, Y^*)$$

Thus $B(X, X^{**}) = (X \widehat{\otimes} X^*)^*$. Viewing $X \subset X^{**}$, we define the ultraweak topology on $B(X)$ to be the weak* topology induced by the predual $X \widehat{\otimes} X^*$ by the following: $T_{\alpha} \rightarrow T$ in the ultraweak topology if $F(T_{\alpha}) \rightarrow F(T)$ for any $F(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(Ax_n)$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\| \|x_n\| < \infty$. From the definition it is obvious that if $\sup \{\|T_i\| : i \in I\}$ is bounded, then $\{T_i\}$ is ultraweakly convergent to T if and only if it is convergent to T in the weak operator topology. We will usually use the term *normal* to denote an ultraweakly continuous linear map.

Notation: J is the canonical embedding from $B(X)$ into $B(X, X^{**}) = (X \widehat{\otimes} X^*)^*$. The following lemma is standard and will be used in the proof of Proposition 2.4.

Lemma 2.3. [14] *If ρ is a bounded linear functional on a VN algebra M , then ρ is normal if and only if ρ is completely additive.*

Proposition 2.4. *Let Φ be a bounded linear map from a VN algebra M to $B(X)$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) Φ is completely additive.
- (2) Φ is ultraweakly-wot continuous.
- (3) $J\Phi$ is normal.

Proof. The equivalence (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) is clear by Lemma 2.3, for any $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$, the bounded linear functional $x^*(\Phi(\cdot)x)$ on M is completely additive if and only if $x^*(\Phi(\cdot)x)$ is normal.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) It is sufficient to prove that, for any $u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \otimes x_k^* \in X \widehat{\otimes} X^*$ with $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\| \|x_k^*\| < +\infty$, $(J\Phi(\cdot))(u)$ is a normal functional on M . Let $\phi_k = x_k^*(\Phi(\cdot)x_k)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since Φ is ultraweakly-wot continuous, then $\phi_k \in M_*$ is normal. Besides

$$\|\phi_k\| \leq \|\Phi\| \|x_k\| \|x_k^*\|, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\phi_k\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\Phi\| \|x_k\| \|x_k^*\| < +\infty.$$

then we have $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k \in M_*$ is normal. Meanwhile

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^*(\Phi(\cdot)x_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (J\Phi(\cdot))(x_k \otimes x_k^*) = (J\Phi(\cdot))\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \otimes x_k^*\right) = (J\Phi(\cdot))(u).$$

Thus, $(J\Phi(\cdot))(u)$ is a normal functional on M .

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is obvious, since $x^*(\Phi(\cdot)x) = (J\Phi(\cdot))(x \otimes x^*)$ is normal for any $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$. Thus, the proof is completed. $\square$

3. ELEMENTARY DILATION SPACE AND DILATION OF QUANTUM MEASURES

Definition 3.1. Let U be a $B(X)$ -valued quantum measure on a VN algebra M . If there exist a Banach space Y , bounded linear maps S, T and a quantum measure $V : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow B(Y)$ such that

$$U(P) = SV(P)T$$

then we say that V is a dilation of U and Y is a dilation space. We call V a projection-valued dilation if $V(P)$ is an idempotent on Y for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$.

We remark that the dilation is not unique. In this paper we will be focused on the “elementary dilation space” which was introduced for the commutative domain case in [8]. In order to construct such a dilation space, we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. [2] *Let M be a VN algebra with no direct summand of type I_2 and let X be a Banach space. Then each bounded, finitely additive X -valued measure μ on $\mathcal{P}(M)$ extends uniquely to a bounded linear operator T from M to X with $\|\mu\| \leq \|T\| \leq 4\|\mu\|$.*

Remark 3.3. In fact, the above Lemma 3.2 is Bunce and Wright’s generalization of original Gleason Theorem [10] for vector-valued measure version, called the *Generalized Gleason Theorem*. The proof strategy is to consider extending μ to a bounded map on the linear span $V(M)$ of $\mathcal{P}(M)$. Suppose that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i P_i$ is a finite linear combination of projections $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^n$ in $\mathcal{P}(M)$. Define a map $T : V(M) \rightarrow X$ by setting

$$T\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i P_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mu(P_i).$$

It can be verified that T is well-defined and the value depends only on x , and $\|T\| \leq 4\|\mu\|$. This allows us to extend T uniquely to a bounded operator from M into X . Furthermore, if complete additivity of μ is additionally assumed, then we have that the extended mapping T is normal.

With Lemma 3.2 in hand, now we outline the algebraic structure of our dilation space. Let X be a Banach space and M be a VN algebra without type I_2 direct summand, $\mathcal{P}(M)$ is the projection lattice of M . We use the symbol $\mathcal{L}(M, X)$ to denote the linear space of all vector-valued linear maps from M to X . Let U be a $B(X)$ -valued quantum measure on $\mathcal{P}(M)$. By the generalized Gleason Theorem, U extends uniquely to a bounded linear operator $\overline{U}$ from M to $B(X)$. For any $Q \in B_M$ and $x \in X$, define

$$\overline{U}_{Q,x} : M \rightarrow X, \quad \overline{U}_{Q,x}(R) = \overline{U}(RQ)x, \quad \forall R \in M.$$

Then it is easy to see that $\overline{U}_{Q,x}$ is an X -valued linear map on M , that is, $\overline{U}_{Q,x} \in \mathcal{L}(M, X)$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_U = \text{span} \{\overline{U}_{Q,x} : Q \in B_M, x \in X\} \subset \mathcal{L}(M, X)$. We will refer $\mathcal{M}_U$ as the *elementary dilation space* induced by U . Now, we have the following fundamental linear mappings from the algebraic structure. Define

$$(3.1) \quad S : \mathcal{M}_U \rightarrow X, \quad S(\Phi) = \Phi(I), \quad \forall \Phi \in \mathcal{M}_U.$$

$$(3.2) \quad T : X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_U, \quad T(x) = \overline{U}_{I,x}, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

and let $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset \mathbb{C}$, $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset B_M$, $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset X$ and $\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \bar{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \in \mathcal{M}_U$, define

$$(3.3) \quad V : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}_U), \quad V(P) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \bar{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \bar{U}_{P Q_i, x_i} \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{P}(M).$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}_U)$ denotes the space of all linear maps on $\mathcal{M}_U$. Actually for any $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_U$,

$$(V(P)(\Phi))(R) = \Phi(RP), \quad \forall R \in M$$

which is independent of representations of Φ , thus $V(P)$ is well-defined in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}_U)$. Moreover

$$V(P)V(P) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \bar{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \bar{U}_{P \cdot P Q_i, x_i} = \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \bar{U}_{P Q_i, x_i} = V(P) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \bar{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right).$$

Let $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ such that $P_1 \perp P_2$, then $P_1 + P_2 = P_1 \vee P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} V(P_1 + P_2) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \bar{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \bar{U}_{(P_1 + P_2) Q_i, x_i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \bar{U}_{P_1 Q_i, x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \bar{U}_{P_2 Q_i, x_i} \\ &= (V(P_1) + V(P_2)) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \bar{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $V : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}_U)$ is finitely additive and idempotent valued.

Obviously we have

$$U(P) = S V(P) T, \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$$

Observe that V is only an algebraic dilation of U . So we need to impose a Banach space structure into consideration.

Definition 3.4. Let $\mathcal{M}_U$ be the elementary dilation space induced by U . Assume that $\|\cdot\|_V$ is a norm on $\mathcal{M}_U$, and denote its completion by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_U$. Then the norm $\|\cdot\|_V$ on $\mathcal{M}_U$ is called a *dilation norm* of U if the above linear mappings S, T and $V(P)$ for any $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ all are bounded.

While the dilation space is not unique, the following result establishes the connections between any projection-valued quantum measure dilation space and the elementary dilation space: any given projection-valued dilation space Y can induce a dilation norm on $\mathcal{M}_U$ so that U can be dilated to a projection-valued quantum measure from $\mathcal{P}(M)$ to $B(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_U)$.

Theorem 3.5. Let X be a Banach space and M be a VN algebra without type I_2 direct summand. Let U be a $B(X)$ -valued quantum measure on $\mathcal{P}(M)$ and V be a corresponding projection-valued quantum measure from $\mathcal{P}(M)$ to $B(Y)$, and $S : Y \rightarrow X$, $T : X \rightarrow Y$ are bounded linear operators. Then there exist an induced dilation norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}}$ on $\mathcal{M}_U$ (denote its completion by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U, \mathcal{D}}$), bounded linear maps $S_{\mathcal{D}}, T_{\mathcal{D}}$ and a projection-valued quantum measure $V_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow B(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U, \mathcal{D}})$ such that

$$U(P) = S_{\mathcal{D}} V_{\mathcal{D}}(P) T_{\mathcal{D}}$$

Furthermore, there exists a linear contraction

$$W : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U, \mathcal{D}} \rightarrow Y / \ker S$$

such that $S_{\mathcal{D}} = SW$, $WT_D = qV(1)T$, where q is the quotient map from Y to $Y / \ker S$ by $q(x) = [x]$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, the quantum measure V extends to a bounded linear operator $\overline{V}$ from M to $B(Y)$. Define $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{M}_U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ by

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}} = \sup_{R \in B_M} \left\| \left[\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{V}(RQ_i)Tx_i \right] \right\|_{Y / \ker S}$$

where $N > 0$, $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset \mathbb{C}$, $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset B_M$, $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset X$, $\ker S$ is the kernel of operator S and $\|\cdot\|_{Y / \ker S}$ denotes quotient norm on $Y / \ker S$.

First, we show that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}}$ is well-defined. If we have two representations of $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_U$,

$$\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^M D_j \overline{U}_{Q'_j, y_j},$$

where $M > 0$, $\{D_j\}_{j=1}^M \subset \mathbb{C}$, $\{Q'_j\}_{j=1}^M \subset B_M$, $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^M \subset X$. Applying the generalized Gleason Theorem (see Lemma 3.2), we obtain that $\overline{U}(\cdot) = S\overline{V}(\cdot)T$. Thus for $R \in M$

$$\Phi(R) = S \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{V}(RQ_i)Tx_i \right) = S \left(\sum_{j=1}^M D_j \overline{V}(RQ'_j)Ty_j \right).$$

Thus $\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{D}}$ is independent of the representation of Φ . And

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}} &= \sup_{R \in B_M} \left\| \left[\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{V}(RQ_i)Tx_i \right] \right\|_{Y / \ker S} \\ &\leq \sup_{R \in B_M} \sum_{i=1}^N |C_i| \left\| [\overline{V}(RQ_i)Tx_i] \right\|_{Y / \ker S} \\ &\leq \sup_{R \in B_M} \sum_{i=1}^N |C_i| \left\| \overline{V}(RQ_i)Tx_i \right\|_Y \\ &\leq 4\|V\|\|T\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |C_i| \|x_i\| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Next we divide the rest of the proof into four steps:

(i) $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a norm. Obviously for any $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_U$, $\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{D}} \geq 0$. If $\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{D}} = 0$, suppose $\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i}$, then, $\sup_{R \in B_M} \left\| \left[\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{V}(RQ_i)Tx_i \right] \right\|_{Y / \ker S} = 0$, then for any $R \in B_M$, $\left[\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{V}(RQ_i)Tx_i \right] = 0$ in $Y / \ker S$, so $S \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{V}(RQ_i)Tx_i \right) = 0$, namely, $\Phi(R) = 0$ for any R in B_M . By linearity, it follows that $\Phi = 0$. And it is routine to verify that homogeneity and triangle inequality. Thus we have proved that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a norm on $\mathcal{M}_U$.

(ii) Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,\mathcal{D}}$ be the completion of the normed space $\mathcal{M}_U$. We verify next the boundedness of $S_{\mathcal{D}}, T_{\mathcal{D}}$. Since the linear mapping $S_{\mathcal{D}} : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow X$ is well-defined by Equation (3.1), then we have

$$S_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}(Q_i) x_i,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| S_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i}\right) \right\|_X &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i S \overline{V}(Q_i) T x_i \right\|_X \\ &\leq \|S\| \left\| \left[\sum_{i=1}^N C_i V(P_i) T x_i \right] \right\|_{Y/\ker S} \\ &\leq \|S\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}}. \end{aligned}$$

And the linear mapping $T_{\mathcal{D}} : X \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,\mathcal{D}}$; $T_{\mathcal{D}}(x) = \overline{U}_{I,x}$ is well-defined by Equation (3.2), then we have

$$\|T_{\mathcal{D}}(x)\| = \sup_{R \in B_M} \|\overline{V}(R)Tx\|_{Y/\ker S} \leq \sup_{R \in B_M} \|\overline{V}(R)Tx\|_Y \leq 4\|V\|\|T\|\|x\|.$$

Thus $S_{\mathcal{D}}, T_{\mathcal{D}}$ are both bounded linear operators.

(iii) By Equation (3.3), the mapping $V_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow B(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,\mathcal{D}})$ is finitely additive and idempotent valued.

Moreover for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| V_{\mathcal{D}}(P) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{D}} &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{PQ_i, x_i} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}} \\ &= \sup_{R \in B_M} \left\| \left[\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{V}(RPQ_i) T x_i \right] \right\|_{Y/\ker S} \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for any $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, $\|V_{\mathcal{D}}(P)\| \leq 1$. Thus the map $V_{\mathcal{D}}$ is well-defined and is a projection-valued quantum measure.

(iv) Finally we show that there exists a linear contraction from $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,\mathcal{D}}$ into Y . Define $W : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow Y/\ker S$ by

$$W : \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \rightarrow \left[\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{V}(Q_i) T x_i \right].$$

It is easy to see that W is a well-defined linear contraction. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i}\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}(Q_i) x_i = \sum_{i=1}^N C_i S \overline{V}(Q_i) T x_i \\ &= S\left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{V}(Q_i) T x_i\right) = SW\left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and for any $x \in X$,

$$WT_{\mathcal{D}}x = W(\overline{U}_{I, x}) = [V(I)Tx] = qV(I)Tx$$

Thus we have $S_{\mathcal{D}} = SW$, $WT_{\mathcal{D}} = qV(I)T$. $\square$

In what follows we will focus on introducing some special norms (such a norm will be called a *dilation norm*) on the (algebraic) elementary dilation space $\mathcal{M}_U$ so that its completion provides us the needed projection-valued dilations. Define $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{M}_U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup 0$ by

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right\|_{\mathcal{E}} = \sup_{R \in B_M} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}(RQ_i) x_i \right\|_X$$

where integer $N > 0$, $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset \mathbb{C}$, $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset B_M$, $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset X$.

Assume that $\Psi \in \mathcal{M}_U$ has two different representations, set

$$\Psi = \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^M D_j \overline{U}_{Q'_j, y_j},$$

where $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^N, \{D_j\}_{j=1}^M \subset \mathbb{C}$, $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^N, \{Q'_j\}_{j=1}^M \subset B_M$, $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N, \{y_j\}_{j=1}^M \subset X$. Then for any $R \in M$, we have

$$\Psi(R) = \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}(RQ_i) x_i = \sum_{j=1}^M D_j \overline{U}(RQ'_j) y_j.$$

Then $\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{E}}$ is independent of the representation of Ψ . And

$$\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{E}} = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right\|_{\mathcal{E}} = \sup_{R \in B_M} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}(RQ_i) x_i \right\|_X \leq 4\|U\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |C_i| \|x_i\| \right).$$

Thus $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}}$ is well-defined.

Proposition 3.6. $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a dilation norm on $\mathcal{M}_U$.

Proof. We divide our proof in two steps.

(i) First, we show $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a norm. Obviously $\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{E}} \geq 0$, $\forall \Psi \in \mathcal{M}_U$. If $\|\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i}\|_{\mathcal{E}} = 0$, then for any $R \in B_M$, $\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}(RQ_i) x_i = 0$, i.e., $(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i})(R) = 0$. By linearity, then we have $\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} = 0$.

By a routine calculation, we obtain that for any $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{M}_U$,

$$\|\lambda \Psi\|_{\mathcal{E}} = |\lambda| \|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{E}} \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\Phi + \Psi\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{E}} + \|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{E}}$$

Hence $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}}$ is indeed a norm on $\mathcal{M}_U$.

(ii) Now we show that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a dilation norm of U .

Note that the linear mapping $S_{\mathcal{E}} : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow X$, $S_{\mathcal{E}}(\Phi) = \Phi(I)$ is well-defined by Equation (3.1), and

$$\left\| S_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right) \right\|_X = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}(Q_i) x_i \right\|_X \leq \sup_{R \in B_M} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}(RQ_i) x_i \right\|_X = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right\|_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

Observed that we have verified that $S_{\mathcal{E}}$ is bounded on the dense subspace of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,\mathcal{E}}$. Thus $S_{\mathcal{E}}$ is bounded.

Meanwhile the linear mapping $T_{\mathcal{E}} : X \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,\mathcal{E}}$; $T_{\mathcal{E}}x = \overline{U}_{I,x}$, $\forall x \in X$ is well-defined by Equation (3.2), then we have

$$\|Tx\|_{\mathcal{E}} = \|\overline{U}_{I,x}\|_{\mathcal{E}} = \sup_{R \in B_M} \|\overline{U}(R \cdot I)x\| \leq \sup_{R \in B_M} \|\overline{U}(R)\| \|x\| \leq 4\|U\| \|x\|.$$

Hence $S_{\mathcal{E}}, T_{\mathcal{E}}$ are both bounded such that $\|S_{\mathcal{E}}\| \leq 1$ and $\|T_{\mathcal{E}}\| \leq 4\|U\|$.

Besides, the mapping $V_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow B(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,\mathcal{E}})$ defined by Equation (3.3) is finitely additive and idempotent valued. Moreover, for any $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| V_{\mathcal{E}}(P) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{E}} &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{PQ_i, x_i} \right\|_X \\ &= \sup_{R \in B_M} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}(RPQ_i) T x_i \right\|_X \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right\|_{\mathcal{E}}. \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $V_{\mathcal{E}}(P)$ is bounded on the normed space $\mathcal{M}_U$, and hence bounded on its completion $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,\mathcal{E}}$

Therefore, we have proved that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,\mathcal{E}}$ is a dilation space and $V_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a projection-valued dilation of U . $\square$

Now comes to our first main result on the dilation of countably additive quantum measures. Recall that a Banach space is said to have the Schur property if every weakly convergent sequence converges in norm. (cf. [1])

Theorem 3.7. *Let M be a σ -finite VN algebra without type I_2 direct summand, and assume that a Banach space X has either Schur property or it is the ℓ_p ($1 \leq p < 2$) space. If*

$$U : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow B(X),$$

is a countably additive operator-valued quantum measure, then there exist a Banach space Z , bounded linear operators $S : Z \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow Z$, and a countably additive projection-valued quantum measure $V : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow B(Z)$ such that

$$U(P) = SV(P)T.$$

Proof. Applying similar arguments as in the proof of Proposition 3.6, we define

$$\mathcal{M}_{U,X} = \text{span}\{\overline{U}_{Q,x} \mid Q \in B_M, x \in X\}$$

and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,X}$ be its completion under the norm

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right\| = \sup_{R \in B_M} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}(RQ_i)x_i \right\|_X,$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \in \mathcal{M}_{U,X}$ and $\overline{U}$ is ultraweakly-wot continuous, bounded linear extension of U . Then the following two linear operators

$$S : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,X} \rightarrow X, \quad S(\Phi) = \Phi(I). \quad T : X \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,X}, \quad T(x) = \overline{U}_{I,x}$$

are all bounded. Furthermore

$$V : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow B(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,X}), \quad V(P) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{PQ_i, x_i}, \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{P}(M).$$

is a projection-valued dilation of U .

To prove the countable additivity of V , by Remark 2.2 on the Definition 2.1 about quantum measures, it is equivalent to verify that V is strong countably additive on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,X}$. Since we have proved that V is uniform bounded ($\|V(P)\| \leq 1, \forall P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$) on $\mathcal{M}_{U,X}$, it is enough to verify that V is strong countably additive on $\mathcal{M}_{U,X}$. Indeed, for $x \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,X}$, there exists a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}_{U,X}$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = x$. Then for any countable collection of mutually orthogonal projections $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of $\mathcal{P}(M)$ with supremum P , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| V(P)x - \sum_{n=1}^N V(P_n)x \right\| \\ (3.4) \quad & \leq \|V(P)\| \|x - x_M\| + \left\| V(P)x_M - \sum_{n=1}^N V(P_n)x_M \right\| + \left\| W \left(\sum_{n=1}^N P_n \right) \right\| \|x - x_M\| \\ & \leq 2\|x - x_M\| + \left\| V(P)x_M - \sum_{n=1}^N V(P_n)x_M \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $x_M \in \mathcal{M}_{U,X}$. If we have proved that V is strong countably additive on $\mathcal{M}_{U,X}$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find $M > 0$ and $L > 0$ such that $\|x - x_M\| < \varepsilon$ and $\left\| V(P)x_M - \sum_{n=1}^N V(P_n)x_M \right\| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $N \geq L$, which imply that V is countably additive on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,X}$.

Now we show V is strong countably additive on $\mathcal{M}_{U,X}$. Let $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a countable family of mutually orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{P}(M)$ with supremum P . Then for any $\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \in \mathcal{M}_{U,X}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| V(P) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \bar{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^M V(P_j) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \bar{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right) \right\| \\
&= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \left(\bar{U}_{P Q_i, x_i} - \sum_{j=1}^M \bar{U}_{P_j P_i, x_i} \right) \right\| \\
&= \sup_{R \in B_M} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \left(\bar{U}(R P Q_i) x_i - \sum_{j=1}^M \bar{U}(R P_j Q_i) x_i \right) \right\|_X \\
&= \sup_{R \in B_M} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \left(\bar{U} \left(R \left(\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} P_j \right) Q_i \right) \right) x_i \right\|_X \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^N |C_i| \sup_{R' \in B_M} \left\| \bar{U} \left(\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} (R' P_j Q_i) \right) x_i \right\|_X
\end{aligned}$$

Case I. X has Schur property. Note that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_j \xrightarrow{so^*} P$ implies $\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} P_j \xrightarrow{so^*} 0$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Then for any $R \in B_M$ we have $\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} R P_j Q_i \xrightarrow{wot} 0$. Since the ultraweak topology and the weak operator topology coincide in a bounded set, we have $\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} R P_j Q_i \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$, which implies by the ultraweak-wot continuity of $\bar{U}$ that

$$\bar{U} \left(\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} R P_j Q_i \right) x_i \xrightarrow{w} 0, \quad M \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since X has Schur property, we obtain that

$$\left\| \bar{U} \left(\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} (R P_j Q_i) \right) x_i \right\|_X \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall R \in B_M,$$

which implies the strong countable additivity on $\mathcal{M}_{U,X}$.

Case II. $X = \ell_p$ ($1 \leq p < 2$). Assume to the contrary that $\sup_{R' \in B_M} \left\| \bar{U} \left(\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} R' P_j Q_i \right) x_i \right\|_{\ell_p}$ does not go to 0 as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Then we can find a $\delta > 0$, a sequence of $n_1 \leq m_1 < n_2 \leq m_2 < n_3 \leq m_3 < \dots$ and $\{R'_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty} \subset B_M$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=n_l}^{m_l} \bar{U}(R'_l P'_j Q_i) x_i \right\| \geq \delta, \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{N}$$

Set $P'_l = \sum_{j=n_l}^{m_l} P_j$, and $y_l = \bar{U}(R'_l P'_l Q_i) x_i$. Then we have two claims about the sequence $\{y_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ which will lead to a contradiction.

Claim 1: $\forall (\alpha_l) \in \ell_2$, $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \alpha_l y_l$ converges unconditionally in norm

To verify claim 1, we first show that for any $(\alpha_l) \in \ell_2$, $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \alpha_l R'_l P'_l Q_i$ converges unconditionally in norm, where $R'_l \in B_M$ and $\{P'_l\}$ is also a family of mutually orthogonal

projections. By the characterization of unconditional convergence in [6], this is equivalent to show that $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_l \alpha_l R'_l P'_l Q_i$ converges for every choice of signs $\varepsilon_l = \pm 1$.

As any σ -finite VN algebra can be realized for some Hilbert space H as a VN subalgebra in $B(H)$ [12], Note that for every $N > M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{l=M+1}^N \varepsilon_l \alpha_l R'_l P'_l Q_i \right\| &= \sup_{x \in H, \|x\| \leq 1} \left| \left\langle \sum_{l=M+1}^N \varepsilon_l \alpha_l R'_l P'_l Q_i x, x \right\rangle \right| \\
&\leq \sup_{x \in H, \|x\| \leq 1} \left(\sum_{l=M+1}^N |\alpha_l| \|P'_l Q_i x\| \|R'_l{}^* x\| \right) \\
&\leq \sup_{x \in H, \|x\| \leq 1} \left(\sum_{l=M+1}^N |\alpha_l| \|P'_l Q_i x\| \right) \\
&\leq \sup_{x \in H, \|x\| \leq 1} \left(\sum_{l=M+1}^N |\alpha_l|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{l=M+1}^N \|P'_l Q_i x\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{l=M+1}^N |\alpha_l|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sup_{x \in H, \|x\| \leq 1} \|Q_i x\| \right) \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{l=M+1}^N |\alpha_l|^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(\alpha_l) \in \ell_2$, the above inequality implies that $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \alpha_l R'_l P'_l Q_i$ is unconditionally convergent in norm and hence every subseries converges in the sense that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{l_k} R'_{l_k} P'_{l_k} Q_i$ converges for every increasing sequence $\{l_k\}$. Since $\bar{U}$ is ultraweakly-wot continuous, we get that every subseries of $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \alpha_l \bar{U}(R'_l P'_l Q_i)x_i$ is weakly convergent. Thus, by the Orlicz-Pettis Theorem, we get that $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \alpha_l \bar{U}(R'_l P'_l Q_i)x_i$ converges unconditionally. Therefore we have proved the claim that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_l y_l$ converges unconditionally in norm for any $(\alpha_l) \in \ell_2$.

The second claim is about the subsequence of $\{y_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$. We first introduce some necessary terminology in Banach space theory. We say that sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a *basic sequence* if $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a (Schauder) basis for its closed linear span. Two basic sequences $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are said to be *equivalent* if for every sequence of scalars $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n$ converges if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n y_n$ converges. Given a basic sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and an increasing sequence of positive integer $p_1 < q_1 < p_2 < q_2 \dots$. If $z_k = \sum_{i=p_k}^{q_k} b_i x_i$ is a nonzero vector for each k , then we say $(z_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a *block basic sequence* with respect to $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Claim 2: There exists a subsequence $\{y_{l_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{y_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ that is equivalent to the canonical unit vector basis $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of $\ell_p (1 \leq p < 2)$.

Since $\{P'_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ is a family of mutually orthogonal projections such that $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} P'_l \leq P$, we get that $P'_l \xrightarrow{so} 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. Then for any operator sequence $\{R'_l\} \subset B_M$, we have

$$R'_l P'_l Q_i \xrightarrow{w*} 0, \quad l \rightarrow \infty,$$

and hence

$$y_l = \overline{U}(R'_l P'_l Q_i) x_i \xrightarrow{w} 0, \quad l \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since by (3.5), there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for all l , $\|y_l\| \geq \delta$, let $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{7}$ and S_m denote the m th-partial sum operator with respect to the canonical basis $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of ℓ_p . Note that $y_l \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and S_m is a finite rank operator and hence it is compact operator. First pick $l_1 = 1, m_0 = 0$, and then sequentially choose a sequence $m_1, l_2, m_2, l_3, m_3, \dots$ (where $\{l_k\}, \{m_k\}$ are both increasing sequences) such that

$$\|S_{m_{k-1}} y_{l_k}\| < \delta \beta^k \quad \text{and} \quad \|y_{l_k} - S_{m_k} y_{l_k}\| < \delta \beta^k$$

For each that $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $z_k = S_{m_k} y_{l_k} - S_{m_{k-1}} y_{l_k}$. Then $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is block basic sequence of the basis $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, particularly $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is basic sequence with basis constant less than or equal to 1 (where $\sup_m \|S_m\|$ is called the *basis constant* and the basis constant of ℓ_p is 1 for $1 \leq p < \infty$). Note that for each k , we have

$$\|y_{l_k} - z_k\| < 2\delta \beta^k \quad \text{and} \quad \|z_k\| > \delta - 2\delta \beta = (1 - 2\beta)\delta.$$

Therefore

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\|y_{l_k} - z_k\|}{\|z_k\|} \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{4\delta \beta^k}{(1 - 2\beta)\delta} = \frac{4\beta}{(1 - 2\beta)(1 - \beta)} < 1$$

By the Principle of small perturbations ([1], Theorem 1.3.9), $\{y_{l_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a basic sequence and equivalent to $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$.

Recall that a sequence $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is called *seminormalized* if

$$0 < \inf_n \|w_n\| \leq \sup_n \|w_n\| < \infty,$$

and it is known that any seminormalized block basic sequence $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is equivalent to $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in ℓ_p (see [1]). Since for each k , we have

$$\frac{5}{7}\delta \leq \|z_k\| \leq \|y_{l_k}\| + 2\delta \beta \leq \|4\|U\|x_i\| + \frac{2}{7}\delta,$$

we get that $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is seminormalized block basis of $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and hence it is equivalent to $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. Thus $\{y_{l_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is equivalent to $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ by the definition of equivalence of basic sequences.

Finally as we have seen if (3.5) holds, then we can choose some $(a_{l_k})_{k=1}^\infty \in \ell_2$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{l_k} y_{l_k}$ converges in norm by Claim 1. By the “equivalence” stated in Claim 2, we get that $\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{l_k} e_k$ converges in ℓ_p ($1 \leq p < 2$), which contradicts with the fact that ℓ_p ($1 \leq p < 2$) is a proper subspace of ℓ_2 . Therefore we must have

$$\sup_{R' \in B_M} \left\| \overline{U} \left(\sum_{j=M+1}^\infty (R' P_j Q_i) \right) x_i \right\|_{\ell_p} \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies that

$$V(P) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty V(P_j) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right).$$

Thus V is strongly countably additive on $\mathcal{M}_{U, X}$. □

Recall that a *Jordan homomorphism* $\Phi : M \rightarrow N$ from VN algebras M to an algebra N is a linear map satisfying the condition $\Phi(a^2) = \Phi(a)^2$ for all $a \in M$ (equivalently, $\Phi(ab + ba) = \Phi(a)\Phi(b) + \Phi(b)\Phi(a)$ for all $a, b \in M$).

Theorem 3.8. *Let M be a σ -finite VN algebra without Type I_2 direct summand, and X has Schur property or X is ℓ_p ($1 \leq p < 2$). If $\varphi : M \rightarrow B(X)$ be a ultraweakly-wot continuous bounded linear mapping, then there is a Banach space Z such that φ can be dilated to a ultraweakly-wot continuous Jordan homomorphism ϕ from M to $B(Z)$.*

Proof. Since φ is a ultraweakly-wot bounded linear mapping from M to $B(X)$ and M is σ -finite, by Proposition 2.4, it reduces to a countably additive operator-valued quantum measure $U : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow B(X)$. By Theorem 3.7, there exists a dilation space Z and bounded operators $S : Z \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow Z$, a countably additive quantum measure $V : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow B(Z)$ such that

$$U(P) = SV(P)T$$

and V is a projection-valued dilation of U .

By the generalized Gleason theorem (see Lemma 3.2), we can extend V uniquely to a bounded linear mapping ϕ from M to $B(Z)$. Furthermore, ϕ is countably additive on $\mathcal{P}(M)$. Applying Proposition 2.4 again, we get that ϕ is ultraweakly-wot continuous. It remains to show that ϕ is a Jordan homomorphism.

Since V is projection-valued, we know that ϕ is idempotent on $\mathcal{P}(M)$ (i.e. $\phi(P)^2 = \phi(P)$ for any $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$). Let $P_\alpha, P_\beta \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. If $P_\alpha \perp P_\beta$, then $P_\alpha + P_\beta = P_\alpha \vee P_\beta \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. Since ϕ is idempotent on $\mathcal{P}(M)$, we get $\phi(P_\alpha \vee P_\beta) = (\phi(P_\alpha \vee P_\beta))^2$. By linearity, we have

$$\phi(P_\alpha) + \phi(P_\beta) = \phi(P_\alpha)^2 + \phi(P_\beta)^2 + \phi(P_\alpha)\phi(P_\beta) + \phi(P_\beta)\phi(P_\alpha).$$

Thus

$$\phi(P_\alpha)\phi(P_\beta) + \phi(P_\beta)\phi(P_\alpha) = 0.$$

Now let $x = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i P_i \in M$, where $P_1, \dots, P_N \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ are mutually orthogonal and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $x = x^*$. Upon computing $\phi(x^2)$ we see that

$$\phi(x^2) = \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^2 P_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^2 \phi(P_i)$$

$$\phi(x)^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \phi(P_i)\right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^2 \phi(P_i) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \lambda_i \lambda_j (\phi(P_i)\phi(P_j) + \phi(P_j)\phi(P_i)) = \phi(x^2)$$

Since any self-adjoint element $a \in M_{sa}$ can be approximated by a finite real linear combination of mutually orthogonal projection, then we get $\phi(a^2) = \phi(a)^2, \forall a \in M_{sa}$.

For any two projections $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. Then $y = P_1 + P_2 \in M_{sa}$, and so $\phi(y^2) = \phi(y)^2$, which implies that

$$\phi(P_1)\phi(P_2) + \phi(P_2)\phi(P_1) = \phi(P_1 P_2 + P_2 P_1).$$

Therefore, for any $z = \sum_{j=1}^M \kappa_j P_j$ with $\{\kappa_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq M} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\{P_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq M} \subset \mathcal{P}(M)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(z^2) &= \sum_{j=1}^M \kappa_j^2 \phi(P_j) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq M} \kappa_i \kappa_j \phi(P_i P_j + P_j P_i) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^M \kappa_j^2 \phi(P_j)^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq M} \kappa_i \kappa_j (\phi(P_1) \phi(P_2) + \phi(P_2) \phi(P_1)) \\ &= \phi(z)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since the set of finite linear combinations of projections in M is norm dense in M , we obtain that ϕ is a Jordan homomorphism. $\square$

4. QUANTUM MEASURES WITH BOUNDED p -VARIATION

Let $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, X)$ be the linear space of vector measures on Σ with values in X , where Σ is a σ -algebra of subset of a set Ω . Let μ be a vector measure, then the *variation* of μ can be defined as in the scalar case, even we can define the p -variation of μ by

$$|\mu|_p(E) = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|\mu(E_i)\|^p \right)^{1/p} : \{E_1, \dots, E_n\} \text{ a disjoint partition of } E \right\}.$$

The vector measure μ on Σ is said to have bounded p -variation (or bounded variation for $p = 1$) if $|\mu|_p(E)$ is finite for every $E \in \Sigma$, or equivalent, if $|\mu|_p(\Omega)$ is finite.

Now let $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(\Sigma, B(X))$ be an operator-valued measure. For each $x \in X$, $\varphi_x : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ by $\varphi_x(E) = \varphi(E)x$ is a vector measure. Then the p -variation [7] for the operator-valued measure φ on the classical measure space (Ω, Σ) is defined by

$$|\varphi|_p(E) = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} |\varphi_x|_p(E), \quad \forall E \in \Sigma.$$

To define a p -variation for quantum measures, we need to replace Σ by $\mathcal{P}(M)$ and a partitions of Ω by an appropriate partitions of orthogonal projections via the following orthogonal representations of finite trees.

We start by reviewing the concept of the **tree**. Taking the set of natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$, define the set

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{N}^n = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) | n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \text{ and } a_k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall 1 \leq k \leq n\}$$

with partially order by taking $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq (a'_1, \dots, a'_p)$ provided $p \geq n$ and $a_k = a'_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. And a finite tree T on $\mathbb{N}$ will be a finite subset of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{N}^n$ with the property that a predecessor of a member of T belongs also to T , that is, $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in T$ whenever $(a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}) \in T$.

For a finite tree T , we call the elements of T the **nodes** of T . Let $t \in T$. We say that t is a **terminal** of T if $s = t$ whenever $s \in T$ and $t \leq s$. Moreover, we say T_1 is **subtree** of T if T_1 is a tree and $T_1 \subset T$. We will use $\mathbf{Ter}(T)$ to denote the set of all terminals of T and $\mathcal{T}$ denotes the set of all the finite trees.

A simple observation is that given a finite tree T , then there exist a positive integer L such that $T \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^L \mathbb{N}^n$ and $T \cap (\bigcup_{n=1}^{L-M} \mathbb{N}^n)$ is subtree of T for all integer $1 \leq M \leq L-1$. Besides, the set of terminals $\mathbf{Ter}(T)$ of T determines the structure of the whole finite tree T , as if a terminal $(a_1, a_2 \dots a_l)$ is fixed, then we know that for each $1 \leq k \leq l$, $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ is the node of T . Of course, $\mathbf{Ter}(T)$ is a finite set as well.

Definition 4.1. Given a finite tree T with nodes $\{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)\}$. An *orthogonal representation* of T ($\mathbf{OR}(T)$) is a map

$$\mathcal{P} : T \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(M), \quad (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \mapsto \mathcal{P}((a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)) \text{ (denoted by } P_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)})$$

such that for any two distinct nodes $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k^i)$ and $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k^j)$ which differ only in the k -th coordinate, we have

$$P_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k^i)} \perp P_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k^j)}.$$

Now we give the definition of the p -variation for operator-valued quantum measure.

Definition 4.2. Let M be a VN algebra without type I_2 direct summand, and $U : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow B(X)$ be a quantum measure. For every $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, the p -variation of U on P is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} |U|_p(P) &= \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} |U_x|_p(P) \\ &= \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in \mathbf{OR}(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \left\| \overline{U}(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \dots P_{(a_1)} P) x \right\|^p \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{U}$ is the extension of U and the “sum” is over all the branches of projections like $P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \dots P_{(a_1)}$ whose first coordinate indexed by $\mathbf{Ter}(T)$ (the set of terminals of finite tree T) and the “sup” is taken over all family of finite trees and their orthogonal representations.

Obviously if $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ and $P_1 \leq P_2$, then $|U|_p(P_1) \leq |U|_p(P_2)$. The operator-valued quantum measure U is said to have bounded p -variation (or bounded variation for $p = 1$) if $|U|_p(P)$ is finite for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, or equivalent, if $|U|_p(I)$ is finite, denoted by $\|U\|_p V$. By the Uniform Boundedness Principle, we know that $B(X)$ -valued quantum measure U has bounded p -variation if and only if for every $x \in X$, the vector (quantum) measure U_x has bounded p -variation.

If M is an abelian VN algebra, then we can write $M = L^\infty(K, \nu)$, where (K, ν) is locally compact space with Radon measure ν . Thus $\mathcal{P}(M)$ can be identified with the structure of measurable subsets of K . For any operator-valued quantum measure U , setting

$$\mu(E) = U(P), \quad \text{if } P = \chi_E \in \mathcal{P}(M)$$

defines an operator-valued measure on the σ -algebra of measurable subsets of K . Next we show that our definition of p -variation in this case agrees with the one defined in [7].

Proposition 4.3. Let $M = L^\infty(K, \nu)$ be an abelian VN algebra and $U \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}(M), B(X))$ be a quantum measure. Then the p -variation of U is the same as the p -variation of induced

operator-valued measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Sigma, B(X))$, i.e., $|U|_p(P) = |\mu|_p(E)$ for any $P = \chi_E \in \mathcal{P}(M)$.

Proof. After identifying $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ with χ_E , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |U|_p(P) &= \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \left\| \overline{U}(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \dots P_{(a_1)} P)x \right\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \left\| \overline{U}(\chi_{E_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)}} \chi_{E_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})}} \dots \chi_{E_{(a_1)}} \chi_E)x \right\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \left\| \overline{U}(\chi_{E_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} \cap E_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \cap \dots \cap E_{(a_1)} \cap E})x \right\|^p \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

Note that for a given finite tree T and any two of its terminals: $(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1}, a_l)$ and $(a'_1, \dots, a'_{m-1}, a'_m)$, we set

$$F_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1}, a_l)} = E_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1}, a_l)} \cap E_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \cap \dots \cap E_{(a_1)} \cap E$$

and

$$F_{(a'_1, \dots, a'_{m-1}, a'_m)} = E_{(a'_1, \dots, a'_{m-1}, a'_m)} \cap E_{(a'_1, \dots, a'_{m-1})} \cap \dots \cap E_{(a'_1)} \cap E.$$

as two terminals are different, then they will split at r -th coordinate for some $1 \leq r \leq \min\{l, m\}$, to be more specific, r is the smallest integer such that $a_r \neq a'_r$, then by the definition of orthogonal representation, we have $P_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)} \perp P_{(a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_r)}$, that is, $E_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)} \cap E_{(a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_r)} = \emptyset$, meanwhile

$$F_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1}, a_l)} \subset E_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)} \quad F_{(a'_1, \dots, a'_{m-1}, a'_m)} \subset E_{(a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_r)}$$

thus $\{F_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l)}\}_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)}$ is mutually disjoint subset of E . While for each finite tree T and one of its orthogonal representations corresponds to a partition of E . For simplicity, we write $(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1}, a_l) = \alpha$ and $\Lambda = \mathbf{Ter}(T)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |U|_p(P) &= \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \left\| \overline{U}(\chi_{F_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l)}})x \right\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \left\| \mu(F_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l)})x \right\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \left\| \mu(F_\alpha)x \right\|^p \right)^{1/p} : \{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \text{ is a finite disjoint partition of } E \right\} \\ &= |\mu|_p(E) \end{aligned}$$

□

Next we present a couple of examples with bounded p -variations.

Example 4.4. Recall that an operator space is a closed space of $B(H)$ [11, 12]. Let ϕ be a linear map between operator spaces $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$. For any $n \geq 1$, define $\phi_n : M_n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathcal{B})$ by

$$\phi_n((a_{i,j})) = (\phi(a_{i,j})), \text{ where } a_{i,j} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

The map ϕ is called completely bounded (c.b. in short) if $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|\phi_n\|_{M_n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathcal{B})} < \infty$ and its completely bounded norm is defined by $\|\phi\|_{cb} = \sup_{n \geq 1} \|\phi_n\|_{M_n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathcal{B})}$. We say that ϕ is completely positive (c.p. in short) if $\phi_n((a_{i,j})) \geq 0$ whenever $(a_{i,j}) \geq 0$ in $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ for any $n \geq 1$.

Let K, H be Hilbert spaces and $M \subset B(K)$ be a VN algebra. Suppose $\Psi : M \rightarrow B(H)$ is a normal completely bounded map and let $\psi = \Psi|_{\mathcal{P}(M)}$. Then ψ is an operator-valued quantum measure with bounded 2-variation.

Proof. By Stinespring's dilation theorem and the factorization property for c.b. maps [11, 12, ?], we know that there exist a Hilbert space $\hat{H}$, a normal $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : B(K) \rightarrow B(\hat{H})$ and linear operators $V_1 : H \rightarrow \hat{H}, V_2 : H \rightarrow \hat{H}$ with $\|V_1\| \|V_2\| = \|\Psi\|_{cb}$ such that $\Psi(u) = V_2^* \pi(u) V_1$ for all $u \in M$. Moreover, by the definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi|_2(P) &= \sup_{\|x\|_H \leq 1} \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \|\Psi(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \dots P_{(a_1)} P) x\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \sup_{\|x\|_H \leq 1} \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \|V_2^* \pi(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \dots P_{(a_1)} P) V_1 x\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|V_2^*\| \sup_{\|x\|_H \leq 1} \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \|\pi(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)}) \dots \pi(P_{(a_1)}) \pi(P) V_1 x\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Let T be any given a finite tree which is subset of $\bigcup_{n=1}^L \mathbb{N}^n$ and $\mathcal{P}$ be its orthogonal representation. For non-terminal nodes $(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ and let $E_{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}$ be the set of all nodes whose predecessor is $(a_1, \dots, a_r)$. If the nodes $(a_1, \dots, a_r, a_{r+1}^i), (a_1, \dots, a_r, a_{r+1}^j)$ are in $E_{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}$, by the definition of orthogonal representation of T ($OR(T)$), then $P_{(a_1, \dots, a_r, a_{r+1}^i)} \perp P_{(a_1, \dots, a_r, a_{r+1}^j)}$. Furthermore, since π is a $*$ -homomorphism, we have that for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, $\pi(P)$ is also a projection, and $\pi(P_1) \perp \pi(P_2)$ whenever $P_1 \perp P_2$. Thus the elements of the set

$$\{\pi(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_r, a_{r+1}^i)})\}_{(a_1, \dots, a_r, a_{r+1}^i) \in E_{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}}$$

are mutually orthogonal projections in $B(\hat{H})$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_r, a_{r+1}^i) \in E_{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}} \left\| \pi(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_r, a_{r+1}^i)}) \pi(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}) \dots \pi(P_{(a_1)}) \pi(P) V_1 x \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \left\| \pi(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}) \dots \pi(P_{(a_1)}) \pi(P) V_1 x \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \left\| \pi(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)}) \pi(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})}) \dots \pi(P_{(a_1)}) \pi(P) V_1 x \right\|^2 \\
& \leq \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T \cap (\bigcup_{n=1}^{L-1} \mathbb{N}^n))} \left\| \pi(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_m)}) \pi(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{m-1})}) \dots \pi(P_{(a_1)}) \pi(P) V_1 x \right\|^2 \\
& \leq \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T \cap (\bigcup_{n=1}^{L-2} \mathbb{N}^n))} \left\| \pi(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}) \pi(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})}) \dots \pi(P_{(a_1)}) \pi(P) V_1 x \right\|^2 \\
& \quad \dots \dots \dots \\
& \leq \sum_{(a_1) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T \cap \mathbb{N})} \left\| \pi(P_{(a_1)}) \pi(P) V_1 x \right\|^2 \\
& \leq \left\| \pi(P) V_1 x \right\|^2 \\
& \leq \|V_1 x\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

where $T \cap (\bigcup_{n=1}^{L-M} \mathbb{N}^n)$ is a subtree of T , and the sum is taken over all the terminals $\mathbf{Ter}(T \cap (\bigcup_{n=1}^{L-M} \mathbb{N}^n))$ of finite tree $T \cap (\bigcup_{n=1}^{L-M} \mathbb{N}^n)$ for $1 \leq M \leq L-1$. Finally, we obtain

$$|\psi|_2(P) \leq \sup_{\|x\|_H \leq 1} \|V_2^* \| \|V_1 x\| = \|V_2^* \| \|V_1\| = \|\Psi\|_{cb}, \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{P}(M),$$

and hence ψ has bounded 2-variation. $\square$

In addition, there is a concrete example in non-commutative L_p -spaces [13].

Example 4.5. Let M be a VN algebra, and assume that τ is a normal semi-finite faithful trace on M . Then the pair (M, τ) is called a *noncommutative measure space*. Set $S_+(M) = \{x \in M_+ : \tau(s(x)) < \infty\}$, where the support $s(x)$ is defined as the minimal projection such that $s(x)x s(x) = x$. Let $S(M) = \text{span}\{S_+(M)\}$ and define $\|x\|_p = \tau(|x|^p)^{1/p}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then the noncommutative L^p space is defined as

$$L^p(M, \tau) = \overline{S(M) \cdot \|\cdot\|_p}$$

Now assume that M is a VN algebra with normal, finite, faithful trace τ , and let the linear mapping $\Phi : M \rightarrow B(L^p(M, \tau))$ be given by $\Phi(x) = L_x, \forall x \in M$, where $L_x(y) = xy, \forall y \in L^p(M, \tau)$. Then its restriction on $\mathcal{P}(M)$, denoted by ϕ , is a projection-valued quantum measure with bounded p -variation for every $p \geq 2$.

Proof. As non-commutative Höder inequality implies that for any $x \in S(M)$, and $a, b \in M$, we have $\|axb\|_p \leq \|a\| \|x\|_p \|b\|$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and thus $\|L_x\| \leq \|x\|$. Then clearly, Φ is a bounded unital homomorphism, and so its restriction on $\mathcal{P}(M)$ is a projection-valued quantum measure.

Moreover, it is true that if $p \geq 2$ and $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$ are mutually orthogonal projections in $S(M)$, then

$$(4.1) \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|P_i y\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \|y\|_p, \quad y \in S(M)$$

By the definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_x|_p(P) &= \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \left\| \Phi(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \dots P_{(a_1^1)} P)x \right\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \left\| P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \dots P_{(a_1^1)} P x \right\|^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Given a finite tree $T \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^L \mathbb{N}^n$. By a similar argument, for some non-terminal node $(a_1, \dots, a_r)$, let the set $E_{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}$ denote all the nodes whose predecessor is $(a_1, \dots, a_r)$. By the definition of $OR(T)$, for any two distinct nodes $(a_1, \dots, a_r, a_{r+1}^i), (a_1, \dots, a_r, a_{r+1}^j)$ in $E_{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}$, we have

$$P_{(a_1, \dots, a_r, a_{r+1}^i)} \perp P_{(a_1, \dots, a_r, a_{r+1}^j)}.$$

that is, $\{P_{(a_1, \dots, a_r, a_{r+1}^i)}\}$ is the set of mutual orthogonal projections. By applying the above inequality (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_r, a_{r+1}^i) \in E_{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}} \left\| P_{(a_1, \dots, a_r, a_{r+1}^i)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_r)} \dots P_{(a_1)} P x \right\|^p \\ \leq \left\| P_{(a_1, \dots, a_r)} \dots P_{(a_1)} P x \right\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \left\| P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \dots P_{(a_1)} P x \right\|^p \\ & \leq \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T \cap (\bigcup_{n=1}^{L-1} \mathbb{N}^n))} \left\| P_{(a_1, \dots, a_m)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{m-1})} \dots P_{(a_1)} P x \right\|^p \\ & \leq \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T \cap (\bigcup_{n=1}^{L-2} \mathbb{N}^n))} \left\| P_{(a_1, \dots, a_r)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})} \dots P_{(a_1)} P x \right\|^p \\ & \quad \dots \dots \dots \\ & \leq \sum_{(a_1) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T \cap \mathbb{N})} \left\| P_{(a_1)} P x \right\|^p \\ & \leq \|P x\|^p \\ & \leq \|x\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

where for any $1 \leq M \leq L-1$, $\mathbf{Ter}(T \cap (\bigcup_{n=1}^{L-M} \mathbb{N}^n))$ denotes all the terminals of subtree $T \cap (\bigcup_{n=1}^{L-M} \mathbb{N}^n)$. Therefore, we get $|\phi_x|_p(P) \leq \|x\|_p$ ($\forall P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$), which implies that ϕ is a projection-valued quantum measure with bounded p -variation with $\|\phi\|_{pV} \leq 1$. $\square$

Proposition 4.6. *Let M be a VN algebra without type I_2 direct summand and $V : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow B(Y)$ be an operator-valued quantum measure with bounded p -variation. Assume that $S : Y \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ are bounded linear maps. Then the compressed quantum measure*

$U(\cdot) = SV(\cdot)T : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow B(X)$ has bounded p -variation and moreover

$$|U|_p(P) \leq \|S\| \|V|_p(P) \|T\|$$

for any $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$.

Proof. Given any $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. Let $\bar{U}, \bar{V}$ be the extensions of U and V respectively. Clearly we have $\bar{U} = S\bar{V}(\cdot)T$. By the definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |U|_p(P) &= \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} |U_x|_p(P) \\ &= \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \|\bar{U}(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \dots P_{(a_1)} P)x\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \|S\bar{V}(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \dots P_{(a_1)} P)Tx\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \|S\| \cdot \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \|\bar{V}(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \dots P_{(a_1)} P)Tx\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \|S\| \cdot \left(\sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} |V|_p(P) \|Tx\| \right) \\ &= \|S\| \|V|_p(P) \|T\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we prove our main result of this section.

Theorem 4.7. *Let M be a VN algebra without type I_2 direct summand and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then every operator-valued quantum measure U with bounded p -variation has a dilation to a projection-valued quantum measure V with contractive p -variation.*

Proof. We first define a p -variation norm on the elementary dilation space. Let $\bar{U}$ be the bounded linear extension of U and let $\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \bar{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \in \mathcal{M}_U$. Define $\|\cdot\|_{pV} : \mathcal{M}_U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup 0$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi\|_{pV} &= \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \|\Phi(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \dots P_{(a_1)})\|_X^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \bar{U}(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \dots P_{(a_1)} Q_i) x_i \right\|_X^p \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

the “sum” is over the set of terminals $\mathbf{Ter}(T)$ of finite tree T and the “sup” is taken over all family of finite trees $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and their orthogonal representations $\mathcal{P} \in OR(T)$.

By definition, the value $\|\Phi\|_{pV}$ is independent of the representations of the operator Φ . First note that

$$\|\Phi\|_{pV} \leq \sum_{i=1}^N |C_i| \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \left\| \overline{U}(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \dots P_{(a_1)} Q_i) x_i \right\|_X^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Recall that every norm-one element can be expressed as a linear combination of four positive elements with the norm at most one, and moreover, if $x \in M$ with $0 \leq x \leq I$, then there is a sequence of projections $\{P_n\}$ such that $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n/2^n$ [?]. So we can write $Q_i = \sum_{l=1}^4 i^l Q_{i,l}$, where i denotes the complex unit and $Q_{i,l} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{i,l,k}/2^k$. In what follows we will also use following Minkowski inequality: for any $a_{kj} \geq 0$,

$$(4.2) \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{kj} \right)^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{kj}^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Now we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi\|_{pV} \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^N |C_i| \cdot 4 \max_{1 \leq l \leq 4} \left(\sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \left\| \overline{U}(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} \dots P_{(a_1)} Q_{i,l}) x_i \right\|_X^p \right)^{1/p} \right) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^N |C_i| \cdot 4 \max_{1 \leq l \leq 4} \left(\sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \left\| \overline{U}(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} \dots P_{(a_1)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} P_{i,l,k} \right) x_i \right\|_X^p \right)^{1/p} \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^N |C_i| \cdot 4 \max_{1 \leq l \leq 4} \left(\sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \left\| \overline{U}(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} \dots P_{(a_1)} P_{i,l,k}) x_i \right\|_X \right)^p \right)^{1/p} \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^N |C_i| \cdot 4 \max_{1 \leq l \leq 4} \left(\sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \left\| \overline{U}(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} \dots P_{(a_1)} P_{i,l,k}) x_i \right\|_X^p \right)^{1/p} \right) \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^N |C_i| \cdot 4 \max_{1 \leq l \leq 4} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \|U\|_{pV} \|x_i\| \right) \\ & \leq 4 \|U\|_{pV} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |C_i| \|x_i\| \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|\cdot\|_{pV}$ is finite and well-defined. Let $\Psi \in \mathcal{M}_U$, if $\|\Psi\|_{pV} = 0$, then by taking $T = \{(0)\}$ we get $\sup_{P_{(0)} \in \mathcal{P}(M)} \|\Psi(P_{(0)})\|_X = 0$ and hence $\Psi(P) = 0$ for any $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. By the linearity of Ψ and the set of finite linear combinations of projections in M is dense in M , we have $\Psi = 0$. The homogeneity and triangle inequality can be easily verified by some simple computations. Thus $\|\cdot\|_{pV}$ defines a norm on $\mathcal{M}_U$, and we will denote its completion by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,pV}$.

Recall that the linear map $S : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,pV} \rightarrow X$, $T : X \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,pV}$ are well-defined by Equations (3.1), (3.2). Furthermore,

$$\left\| S \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right) \right\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}(Q_i) x_i \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right\|_{pV}$$

and

$$\|Tx\| = \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \left\| \overline{U}(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \dots P_{(a_1)}) x \right\|_X^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \|U\|_{pV} \|x\|.$$

Hence S and T are bounded linear operators with $\|S\| \leq 1$ and $\|T\| \leq \|U\|_{pV}$.

The mapping $V : \mathcal{P}(M) \rightarrow B(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,pV})$ defined by Equation (3.3) is finitely additive and idempotent valued, and for every P in $\mathcal{P}(M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| V(P) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right) \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{PQ_i, x_i} \right\|_{pV} \\ &= \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \left(\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \dots P_{(a_1)}) PQ_i x_i \right\|_X^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right\|_{pV} \end{aligned}$$

Thus V is a projection-valued quantum measure. By Generalized Gleason Theroem, we extend V uniquely to a bounded map $\overline{V}$ from M to $B(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,pV})$.

Finally, we prove that V has bounded p -variation. Let $y \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,pV}$, then there exists a sequence of $y_m \in \mathcal{M}_U$ converging to y . Let y_m be represented as $y_m = \sum_{i=1}^{N_m} C_i^m \overline{U}_{Q_i^m, x_i^m}$ where N_m is positive integer related to m and $\{C_i^m\} \subset \mathbb{C}$, $\{Q_i^m\} \subset B_M$, $\{x_i^m\} \subset X$.

Given a finite tree T_1 with nodes $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$ and let $\mathcal{P}_1$ be an orthogonal representation of T_1 . Setting $\mathcal{P}_1((a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)) = P_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T_1)} \left\| \overline{V}(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \dots P_{(a_1)}) y \right\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,pV}}^p \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T_1)} \left\| \overline{V}(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \dots P_{(a_1)}) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_m} C_i^m \overline{U}_{Q_i^m, x_i^m} \right) \right\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,pV}}^p \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T_1)} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{N_m} C_i^m \overline{U}_{P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})} \dots P_{(a_1)} Q_i^m, x_i^m} \right\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U,pV}}^p, \end{aligned}$$

where sum is taken is over all the terminals in $\mathbf{Ter}(T_1)$. For a specific terminal $(a_1, \dots, a_l)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{N_m} C_i^m \overline{U}_{P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} \dots P_{(a_1)} Q_i^m x_i^m} \right\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U, pV}}^p \\ &= \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} \in OR(T)} \sum_{(b_1, \dots, b_m) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T)} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i^m \overline{U}_{(P_{(b_1, \dots, b_m)} \dots P_{(b_1)} P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} \dots P_{(a_1)} Q_i^m x_i^m)} \right\|_X^p \end{aligned}$$

For any finite tree T with terminal set $\mathbf{Ter}(T) = \{(b_1, \dots, b_m)\}$ and an orthogonal representation

$$\{P_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}\}_{(b_1, \dots, b_m) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T), 1 \leq k \leq m},$$

we define T_2 to be a finite tree whose terminal set is $\{(a_1, \dots, a_l, b_1, \dots, b_m)\}$. Then T_1 is a subtree of T_2 . Define mapping $\mathcal{P}_2 : T_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(M)$ as

$$\mathcal{P}_2|_{T_1} = \mathcal{P}_1, \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_2((a_1, \dots, a_l, b_1, \dots, b_k)) = P_{(b_1, \dots, b_k)}.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{P}_2$ is an orthogonal representation of T_2 .

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T_1)} \left\| \overline{V}(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} \dots P_{(a_1)}) y \right\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{U, pV}}^p \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{T_2 \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P}_2 \in OR(T_2)} \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l, b_1, \dots, b_m) \in \mathbf{Ter}(T_2)} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{N_m} C_i^m \overline{U}_{(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l, b_1, \dots, b_m)} \dots P_{(a_1)} Q_i^m x_i^m)} \right\|_X^p \end{aligned}$$

where the “sup” is taken over all finite tree T_2 containing T_1 as a subtree and all the orthogonal representation $\mathcal{P}_2$ of T_2 with $\mathcal{P}_1$ as its restriction to subtree T_1 .

Therefore we obtain

$$\|V_y\|_p^p(I) \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{N_m} C_i^m \overline{U}_{Q_i^m x_i^m} \right\|_{pV}^p = \|y\|_{pV}^p$$

and hence V has contractive p -variation. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (ii). Let $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a countable family of mutually orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{P}(M)$ with superma P and let $\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \in \mathcal{M}_U$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| V(P) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^M V(P_j) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N C_i \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right) \right\|_{pV} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \left(V(P) \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} - \sum_{j=1}^M V(P_j) \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right) \right\|_{pV} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N |C_i| \left\| V \left(\sum_{j=M+1}^\infty P_j \right) \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right\|_{pV} \end{aligned}$$

We need to prove that

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \left\| V \left(\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} P_j \right) \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right\|_{pV} = 0$$

We need the following two facts.

Fact 1. If $P_\alpha, P_\beta \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, $P_\alpha \leq P_\beta$, then for any $\overline{U}_{Q, x} \in \mathcal{M}_U$,

$$\|V(P_\alpha) \overline{U}_{Q, x}\|_{pV} \leq \|V(P_\beta) \overline{U}_{Q, x}\|_{pV},$$

and thus the sequence $\left\| V \left(\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} P_j \right) \overline{U}_{P_i, x_i} \right\|_{pV}$ is decreasing.

Fact 2. If $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ and $P_1 \perp P_2 = 0$, then $|V_y|^p(P_1) + |V_y|^p(P_2) \leq |V_y|^p(P_1 + P_2)$.

Indeed, let $T_1 (\subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{L_1} \mathbb{N}^n)$ be a finite tree with the node set $\{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)\}$ and an orthogonal representation $\{P_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)}\}$, and $T_2 (\subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{M_1} \mathbb{N}^n)$ be another finite tree with nodes $\{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k)\}$ and an orthogonal representation $\{P_{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k)}\}$. Define the set

$$T \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\max\{L_1, M_1\}+1} \mathbb{N}^n$$

whose elements are in the set $\{(0), (1), (0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r), (1, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k)\}$. Then T is a finite tree, and if we define $\mathcal{P} : T \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(M)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}((0)) &= P_1, & \mathcal{P}((0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)) &= P_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)} \\ \mathcal{P}((1)) &= P_2, & \mathcal{P}((1, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k)) &= P_{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k)} \end{aligned}$$

which is an orthogonal representation of T . For convenience, we write $\mathcal{P}((c_1, \dots, c_i)) = P_{(c_1, \dots, c_i)}$ whenever $(c_1, \dots, c_i) \in T$.

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \text{Ter}(T_1)} \left\| \overline{V}(P_{(a_1, \dots, a_l)} \dots P_{(a_1)} P_1) y \right\|^p + \sum_{(b_1, \dots, b_m) \in \text{Ter}(T_2)} \left\| \overline{V}(P_{(b_1, \dots, b_m)} \dots P_{(b_1)} P_2) y \right\|^p \\ &= \sum_{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \text{Ter}(T)} \left\| \overline{V}(P_{(c_1, \dots, c_n)} \dots P_{(c_1)} (P_1 + P_2)) y \right\|^p, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the definition of p -variation, we get $|V_y|^p(P_1) + |V_y|^p(P_2) \leq |V_y|^p(P_1 + P_2)$.

Now we continue our proof. Assume to the contrary that (4.3) does not hold. Then we can find some $\delta > 0$ and a sequence of $n_1 \leq m_1 < n_2 \leq m_2 < n_3 \leq m_3 \dots$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad \left\| V \left(\sum_{j=n_k}^{m_k} P_j \right) \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right\|_{pV} \geq \delta, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Let $P'_k = \sum_{j=n_k}^{m_k} P_j$. Then $\{P'_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a family mutually orthogonal projections. Define $P' = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P'_k$. Then for any given positive integer K , $\sum_{k=1}^K P'_k \leq P'$. Moreover, according to our basic facts, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \|V(P'_k) \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i}\|_{pV}^p \leq \left\| V \left(\sum_{k=1}^K P'_k \right) \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i} \right\|_{pV}^p \leq \|V(P') \overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i}\|_{pV}^p.$$

On the other hand, by the boundedness of V , we have

$$\|V(P')\overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i}\|_{pV}^p \leq \|\overline{U}_{Q_i, x_i}\|_{pV}^p \leq 4\|U\|_{pV}\|x_i\|.$$

Combining this with inequality (4.4) we get

$$4\|U\|_{pV}\|x_i\| \geq K\delta^p, \quad \forall K \in \mathbb{N}^+$$

which leads to a contradiction. Therefore V is countably additive on the dense subspace $\mathcal{M}_U$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{U, pV}$, and so V is countably additive on $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{U, pV}$ by approximation technique similar to the Equation (3.4) in Theorem 3.7.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to express appreciation to Guixiang Hong, Chi-Keung Ng and Quanhua Xu for many helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Albiac, N. J. Kalton, Topics in Banach space theory, vol 233, Springer, 2016.
- [2] L. J. Bunce, J. D. M. Wright, The Mackey-Gleason problem for vector measures on projections in von Neumann algebras, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 49(1994) 133-149.
- [3] S. Dorofeev, On the problem of boundedness of a signed measure on projections of a von Neumann algebra, J. Funct. Anal, 103(1992), 209-216.
- [4] J. Hamhalter, Quantum Measure Theory, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 2003.
- [5] J. Hamhalter, Noncommutative phenomena in measure theory on operator algebras, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste. 34 (2002), 19-43 .
- [6] C. Heil, A basis theory primer: expanded edition, Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.
- [7] D. Han, D. R. Larson, R. Liu, Dilations of operator-valued measures with bounded p -variations and framings on Banach spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 274(2018), 1466 -1490.
- [8] D. Han, D. R. Larson, B. Liu, R. Liu, Operator-valued measures, dilations and the theory of frames, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 229 (1075) (2014).
- [9] D. Han, D. R. Larson, B. Liu, R. Liu, Dilations for systems of imprimitivity acting on Banach spaces, J. Funct. Anal., 266 (2014), 6914-6937.
- [10] A. M. Gleason, Measures on the closed subspaces of a Hilbert space, Springer Netherlands, 1975.
- [11] V. Paulsen, Completely bounded maps and operator algebras, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [12] G. Pisier, Tensor Products of C*-algebras and Operator Spaces: The Connes-Kirchberg Problem, vol 96, Cambridge University Press, 2020.
- [13] G. Pisier, Q. Xu, Non-Commutative L_p -Spaces, Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces, vol2, 1459-1517. Elsevier Science B.V., 2003.
- [14] M. Takesaki, Theory of Operator Algebra I, Springer-Verlag, 2002.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA, ORLANDO, USA
Email address: `deguang.han@ucf.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN, CHINA
Email address: `qianfenghu@mail.nankai.edu.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, USA
Email address: `larson@math.tamu.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCICENS AND LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN, CHINA
Email address: `ruiliu@nankai.edu.cn`