

A GUTZWILLER TRACE FORMULA FOR DIRAC OPERATORS ON A STATIONARY SPACETIME

ONIRBAN ISLAM

ABSTRACT. A Duistermaat-Guillemin-Gutzwiller trace formula for Dirac-type operators on a globally hyperbolic spatially compact stationary spacetime is achieved by generalising the recent construction by A. Strohmaier and S. Zelditch [Adv. Math. **376**, 107434 (2021)] to a vector bundle setting. We have analysed the spectrum of the Lie derivative with respect to a global timelike Killing vector field on the solution space of the Dirac equation and found that it consists of discrete real eigenvalues. The distributional trace of the time evolution operator has singularities at the periods of induced Killing flow on the space of lightlike geodesics. This gives rise to the Weyl law asymptotic at the vanishing period.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Δ be the Laplacian (in Geometers' convention) on a $d-1$ -dimensional closed Riemannian manifold (Σ, h) . It is well-known that its spectrum $\text{Spec } \Delta$ comprises discrete eigenvalues λ_n^2 and the counting function $N_\Delta(\lambda) := \#\{n \in \mathbb{N} | \lambda_n \leq \lambda\}$ satisfies the celebrated Weyl law [24]

$$N_\Delta(\lambda) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{d-1} \text{vol}(\mathbb{B}^{d-1}) \text{vol}(\Sigma), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1)$$

where $\text{vol}(\mathbb{B}^{d-1})$ is the volume of the unit ball \mathbb{B}^{d-1} in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} . The counting measure $dN_\Delta/d\lambda$ is related to $\text{Tr } U_t(\sqrt{\Delta})$ via the distributional Fourier transform, where

$$U_t(\sqrt{\Delta}) := e^{-it\sqrt{\Delta}}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (2)$$

makes sense as a distribution on \mathbb{R} . Thus, the information contained in $U_t(\sqrt{\Delta})$ can be proficiently transferred to the spectral function utilising Tauberian arguments to derive the Weyl law. Additionally, $U_t(\sqrt{\Delta})$ is the solution map to the Cauchy problem of the half-wave operator $-i\partial_t + \sqrt{\Delta}$ and it satisfies the group property. Moreover, the singularity analysis of $\text{Tr } U_t(\sqrt{\Delta})$ at $t = 0$ gives rise to the half-wave trace invariants and its singular support is contained in the set of periods of periodic geodesics γ on Σ . Furthermore, $\text{Tr } U_t(\sqrt{\Delta})$ admits a singularity expansion around the non-zero periods $t = T \neq 0$ (under some technical assumptions) [15, 19] and its leading-order term has been expressed by means of the fundamental periods and eigenvalues of the Poincaré maps of γ 's by Duistermaat and Guillemin [19, Thm. 4.5]. It is therefore evident that the spectral invariant $\text{Tr } U_t(\sqrt{\Delta})$ serves as an efficient generating function to study spectral geometry.

Sandoval has generalised the Duistermaat-Guillemin trace formula for Dirac-type operators \hat{D} on a hermitian vector bundle $E \rightarrow \Sigma$ over a closed Riemannian manifold (Σ, h) by studying

$$U_t(\hat{D}) := e^{-it\hat{D}}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3)$$

Arguably, \hat{D} is the most fundamental first-order differential operator in geometric analysis and it differs from a Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ on E in that its spectrum $\text{Spec } \hat{D}$ is unbounded from both below and above whereas the $\text{Spec } \Delta$ is bounded from below (semibounded). Sandoval's investigation results in a straightforward generalisation of the Weyl law [44, (5)] and Dirac-wave trace invariants [44, Thm. 2.2]. But, genuine bundle features show up in terms of the holonomy group in the singularity analysis of $\text{Tr } U_{T \neq 0}(\hat{D})$ [44, Thm. 2.8]. However, there is an ad-hoc choice regarding the connection used to deduce the holonomy group as elucidated below.

As a matter of fact, the Weitzenböck connection $\hat{\nabla}$ (see Remark 2.1) determined by \hat{D} does not automatically induce a Clifford connection albeit such a connection always exists and the corresponding operator is usually called a *compatible Dirac-type operators* \tilde{D} . They differ only by a smooth term $W := \hat{D} - \tilde{D} \in C^\infty(\Sigma; \text{End } E)$. In order to compute the principal symbol $\sigma_{U_t(\hat{D})}$ of $U_t(\hat{D})$, Sandoval has used the parallel transporter $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ with respect to the Weitzenböck connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ of \tilde{D} instead of $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ corresponding to $\hat{\nabla}$ [44, Prop. 5.3]. Moreover, she employed the trivialisation characterised by the vanishing subprincipal symbol $\sigma_{\tilde{D}^2}^{\text{sub}}$ of \tilde{D}^2 [44, Cor. 5.11]. As a consequence, $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ appearing in the expression of $\sigma_{U_t(\hat{D})}$ is somewhat expedient and the splitting of $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ in terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ and the average value¹ of W along the geodesic $[0, t] \ni s \mapsto \gamma(s) \in \mathbb{S}^* \Sigma$ is rather ad-hoc.

The purpose of this article is two-fold. Firstly, we have relaxed all the aforementioned ad-hoc considerations to compute $\sigma_{U_t(\hat{D})}$. Next, one notices that $U_t(\hat{D})$ solves the initial value problem

$$(-i \partial_t + \hat{D})u = 0, \quad u(t_0) = k \quad (4)$$

on the d -dimensional ultrastatic spacetime $(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma, dt^2 - h)$ (see Section 2.1) admitting the time-like Killing vector field $Z := \partial_t$ so that the external parameter t in $U_t(\hat{D})$ can be considered as the canonical global time-coordinate on \mathbb{R} . But, $-i \partial_t + \hat{D}$ does not respect general relativistic covariance and so it seems more natural to instead work with the Lorentzian Dirac-type operator D . Thus the article is devoted to investigate a Lorentzian generalisation of Sandoval's trace formula.

This Riemannian to Lorentzian transition is conceptually non-trivial because the solution map to the Cauchy problem of the Dirac equation

$$Du = 0, \quad u|_\Sigma = k \quad (5)$$

on a globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifold is no longer of the form (3) as the Dirac-Hamiltonian (the Lorentzian counterpart of \hat{D}) becomes time dependent. In order to contemplate what should be looked for in a non-ultrastatic setting, we revisit the ultrastatic scenario from a relativistic viewpoint. One reckons that the solutions of (4) are of the form

$$\psi_n^\pm := e^{-it\lambda_n^\pm} \phi_n^\pm \quad (6)$$

where ϕ_n^\pm are the eigensections of \hat{D} corresponding to the eigenvalues λ_n^\pm . But

$$Z\psi_n^\pm = -i\lambda_n^\pm \psi_n^\pm \quad (7)$$

and consequently,

$$\text{Tr}_{L^2(\Sigma; E)} U_t(\hat{D}) := \sum_{\lambda_n^\pm \in \text{Spec } \hat{D}} e^{-it\lambda_n^\pm} = \text{Tr}_{\ker(-i\partial_t + \hat{D})} e^{tZ}. \quad (8)$$

¹See [44, (16)] for the precise expression.

In other words, the *trace of the Dirac-wave group* $U_t(\hat{D})$ on a closed Riemannian manifold (Cauchy hypersurface) is equivalent to the trace of the flow e^{tZ} induced by the timelike Killing vector field Z of an ultrastatic spacetime acting on the kernel $\ker(-i\partial_t + \hat{D})$ of the half-wave operator $-i\partial_t + \hat{D}$. This is essentially a straightforward generalisation of the observation originally due to Strohmaier and Zelditch [45, Sec. 10.1] in the context of a scalar wave operator.

It is, thus, evident that a timelike Killing flow Ξ plays a pivotal role and the most general class of spacetimes admitting such a flow is known as the stationary spacetime (M, g, Ξ) . Physically speaking, these spacetimes admit a canonical flow of time, but unlike the ultrastatic case, there is no preferred time coordinate. They are interesting because a couple of exact solutions to Einstein equation: the Schwarzschild and the Kerr black holes, belong to this class. We demand the global hyperbolicity condition on (M, g) to ensure a well-posed Cauchy problem for D . Therefore, the object of our study is the distributional trace $\text{Tr } U_t$ of the *time evolution operator* U_t on a compact (to guarantee discrete eigenvalues) Cauchy hypersurface Σ without boundary. In addition to the conceptual issues, our computational techniques are different from those used by Sandoval, primarily due to the fact that the Dirac Hamiltonian H_D (see (40)) on a stationary spacetime is not of Dirac-type in contrast to \hat{D} . Furthermore, we have computed σ_{U_t} using the Weitzenböck connection induced by D in order to avoid the aforementioned expedient choice in Sandoval's work, as explained in the comment after the statement of Theorem 1.7.

As a quintessential relativistic operator, it furthermore allows studying the semiclassical limit of quantum field theoretic observables interacting with classical gravity². Whilst spin- or spin^c-Dirac operators are desirable from a physics point of view; we prefer to work on more general Dirac-type operators because they do not enforce any topological restrictions on M^3 unlike the spin⁴-Dirac operators. Furthermore, some higher-spin operators are of Dirac-type.

We would like to close the introduction by mentioning that this is not the only literature on Lorentzian trace formulae rather the first step towards this direction has been taken up for the d'Alembertian on a spatially compact globally hyperbolic stationary spacetime by Strohmaier and Zelditch [45]. Therefore, this article can be viewed as the Lorentzian generalisation of Sandoval's work, propounding the framework of Strohmaier-Zelditch into a bundle setting.

1.1. Primary results. Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle over a $d \geq 2$ dimensional spatially compact globally hyperbolic stationary spacetime (M, g, Ξ) . That is, the spacetime manifold M is homeomorphic to the product manifold $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ where $\Sigma \subset M$ is a spacelike Cauchy hypersurface and (M, g) admits a *complete* timelike Killing vector field Z whose flow is denoted by $\mathbb{R} \times M \ni (s, x) \mapsto \Xi(s, x) =: \Xi_s(x) \in M$.

Recall, the Lorentzian volume element on M paves way the natural identification between a section and a half-density-valued section of E . We will always work with the latter yet suppress the canonical half-density bundle notationally just for brevity. The Dirac-type operator D acting on (half-density-valued-)smooth sections of E is a first-order linear differential operator

²We do not require Einstein's field equations to be satisfied.

³The global existence of a Lorentz metric depends on the topology of M . In particular, such a metric exists in all non-compact manifolds and compact manifolds with vanishing Euler characteristics.

⁴A spin-structure always exists locally, but its global existence depends on some higher orientability property of the base manifold. For instance, spin^c (resp. spin) structure exists if and only if the third integral (resp. the second) Stiefel-Whitney class of M vanish.

whose principal symbol σ_D satisfies the Clifford relation

$$\sigma_D(x, \xi)^2 = g_x^{-1}(\xi, \xi) \mathbb{1}_{\text{End } E_x}, \quad \forall (x, \xi) \in C^\infty(M; T^*M), \quad (9)$$

where g^{-1} represents the spacetime metric on the cotangent bundle T^*M and $\mathbb{1}_{\text{End } E}$ is the (1-density-valued) identity endomorphism on E .

Assumption 1.1. We consider a Dirac operator subjected to the following assumptions:

- (a) $E \rightarrow M$ is endowed with a sesquilinear form $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ invariant under the Killing flow Ξ_s^* such that D is symmetric;
- (b) Given an arbitrary but fixed future-directed unit normal covector field (\cdot, ζ) on M along Σ ,

$$\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle := (\sigma_D(\cdot, \zeta) \cdot | \cdot) \quad (10)$$

is a fibrewise hermitian form on the bundle of Clifford modules $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot | \cdot), \sigma_D)$;

- (c) D commutes with the induced Killing flow Ξ_s^* on E for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

We note that the Clifford module bundle $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot | \cdot), \sigma_D)$ is naturally furnished with the unique Weitzenböck connection ∇ (see Section 2.2) induced by D and g . This connection induces a fibrewise canonical isomorphism $\hat{\Xi}_s : E_x \rightarrow (\Xi_s^* E)_{\Xi_{-s}(x)}$ along the integral curve $\Xi_s(\cdot)$ of Z . In other words, the following diagram commutes fibrewise:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Xi_s^* E & \xrightarrow{\hat{\Xi}_{-s}} & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{\Xi_s} & M \end{array}$$

FIGURE 1. Fibrewise lift $\hat{\Xi}_{-s}$ of spacetime isometry Ξ_s .

Let \mathcal{L}_Z be the Lie derivative on E (given by (64)) and one sets

$$L := -i \mathcal{L}_Z : C^\infty(M; E) \rightarrow C^\infty(M; E) \quad (11)$$

so that the induced Killing flow on $C^\infty(M; E)$ is expressed as $\Xi_t^* = e^{itL}$. By Assumption 1.1 (c),

$$LD = DL. \quad (12)$$

Thus, on $\ker D$, the eigensections ψ_n of L are the joint eigensections:

$$D\psi_n = 0, \quad L\psi_n = \lambda_n \psi_n. \quad (13)$$

We equip $\ker D$ with the hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ to have the Hilbert space $(\ker D, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$.

Theorem 1.2. Under Assumption 1.1, the spectrum of L (11) on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} := (\ker D, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ is purely discrete and comprises infinitely many real eigenvalues that grow polynomially and accumulate at $\pm\infty$.

Thus, we can restrict our attention entirely to smooth sections $C^\infty(M; E)$ of E owing to the elliptic regularity and $\text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}} e^{itL}$ makes sense as a distribution with the identification; cf. (8)

$$\text{Tr } U_t = \text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \Xi_t^*, \quad (14)$$

where U_t is the time evolution operator of the Dirac equation (5).

Since the classical dynamics is governed by the principal symbol σ_D of D , its characteristic set $\text{Char } D := \{(x_0, \xi^0) \in T^*M | \xi^0 \neq 0, \sigma_D(x_0, \xi^0) = 0\}$ can be viewed as a classical limit of

$\ker D$ as per se geometric quantisation. Thus our classical phase space is the lightcone bundle $T_0^*M \rightarrow M$ over (M, g, Ξ) where the Hamiltonian

$$H_g : C^\infty(M; T^*M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (x, \xi) \mapsto H_g(x, \xi) := \frac{1}{2}g_x^{-1}(\xi, \xi) \quad (15)$$

induced by g^{-1} vanishes and the reduced phase space is the conic symplectic manifold \mathcal{N} of scaled-lightlike geodesic strips [39] (see also [31]). Being a globally hyperbolic spacetime, M does not admit any closed timelike geodesic. Therefore, the notion of Lorentzian analogue of periodic trajectories is defined by means of the isometry Ξ_t induced (reduced) symplectic flow $\Xi_t^\mathcal{N}$ on \mathcal{N} , whose Hamiltonian is given by [45, Lem. 1.1]

$$H : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \gamma \mapsto H(\gamma) := g\left(\frac{dc}{ds}, Z\right), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (16)$$

where $\mathbb{R} \ni s \mapsto c(s) \in M$ is any lightlike geodesic on M and the value $g(\frac{dc}{ds}, Z)$ is independent of the cotangent lift γ of c . This Hamiltonian is positive as Z is timelike. For any $E \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we denote the constant E -energy surface by

$$\mathcal{N}_E := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{N} \mid H(\gamma) = E\}, \quad (17)$$

and then the set of periods resp. periodic lightlike geodesic strips of $\Xi_s^\mathcal{N}$ are given by (see e.g. [45, (6)])

$$\mathcal{P} := \{T \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid \exists \gamma \in \mathcal{N} : \Xi_T^\mathcal{N}(\gamma) = \gamma\}, \quad (18a)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_T := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{N} \mid \Xi_T^\mathcal{N}(\gamma) = \gamma\}. \quad (18b)$$

Recall, the set of all lengths of periodic geodesics on a manifold counted with multiplicities is called the length spectrum of the manifold. Our first finding states that $\text{Tr } U_t$ determines the Lorentzian length spectrum of \mathcal{N} in the sense described below.

Proposition 1.3. *Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle over a spatially compact globally hyperbolic stationary spacetime (M, g, Ξ) , endowed with a sesquilinear form $(\cdot|\cdot)$ and D a Dirac-type operator on E whose principal symbol is σ_D so that $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot|\cdot), \sigma_D)$ is a bundle of Clifford modules over (M, g, Ξ) . If U_t is the time evolution operator of D then under Assumption 1.1, $\text{Tr } U_t$ is a distribution on \mathbb{R} and its singular support*

$$\text{singsupp } \text{Tr } U_t \subset \{0\} \cup \mathcal{P}, \quad (19)$$

where \mathcal{P} is the set of periods of the induced Killing flow $\Xi_T^\mathcal{N}$ on the manifold of scaled-lightlike geodesic strips \mathcal{N} , given by (18a).

Lagrangian distributions [20, 25] (see also the treatise [27]) offer the elegant characterisation of $\text{Tr } U_t$, as stated below.

Theorem 1.4. *As in the set-up of Proposition 1.3, $\text{Tr } U_T$ is the Lagrangian distribution $I^{d-7/4}(\mathbb{R}, \Lambda_T)$ where $d := \dim M$ and the Lagrangian manifold Λ_T is given by*

$$\Lambda_T := \{(T, \tau) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_- \mid \exists \gamma \in \mathcal{N} : \Xi_T^\mathcal{N}(\gamma) = \gamma, \tau = -H(\gamma)\}, \quad (20)$$

where the Hamiltonian H on \mathcal{N} is given by (16). Furthermore,

$$(\text{Tr } U_0)(t) = u_0(t) + v_0(t), \quad (21)$$

where v_0 is a distribution on \mathbb{R} which is smooth in the vicinity of $t = 0$ and u_0 is a Lagrangian distribution admitting the following singularity expansion around $t = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(t) &\sim r(d-1) \frac{\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}_{H \leq 1})}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \mu_{d-1}(t) + c_2 \mu_{d-2}(t) + \dots, \\ r &:= \text{rk } E \int_{\Sigma} g_x^{-1}(\eta, \zeta) \, \text{d}\mathbf{v}_h(x), \\ \mu_{d-k}(t) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} e^{-it\tau} \tau^{d-1-k} \, \text{d}\tau, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Here, μ_{d-k} is a distribution on \mathbb{R} given by the preceding oscillatory integral, η is any lightlike covector on M and ζ as in Assumption 1.1, both restricted to a Cauchy hypersurface Σ of M , c_2 is some constant (Dirac-wave trace invariant), and $\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}_{H \leq 1})$ is the volume of $\mathcal{N}_{H \leq 1}$.

We remark that (M, g, Ξ) can be considered as a (globally hyperbolic) standard stationary spacetime as Z is complete [12, Thm. 2.3]. Since there exists a coordinate neighbourhood $(U, (t, x^i))$ for any spacetime point on which $Z = \partial_t$, we can choose t as the Killing-time coordinate so that the spacetime metric does not depend on t . More precisely, on U the spacetime metric splits non-uniquely as

$$g := \beta^2 \, \text{d}t^2 - h_{ij}(\text{d}x^i + \alpha^i \, \text{d}t)(\text{d}x^j + \alpha^j \, \text{d}t), \quad i, j = 2, \dots, d, \quad (23)$$

where h is a Riemannian metric on the (compact) Cauchy hypersurface Σ , α is the shift vector field and β is the lapse function. Both α and β are independent of t but depend on Σ . In the preceding form of the spacetime metric, the volume of $\mathcal{N}_{H \leq 1}$ is given by [45, (15)]

$$\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}_{H \leq 1}) = \text{vol}(\mathbb{B}^{d-1}) \int_{\Sigma} \beta(x) (\beta^2(x) - h_x(\alpha, \alpha))^{-d/2} \, \text{d}\mathbf{v}_h(x), \quad (24)$$

where $\text{vol}(\mathbb{B}^{d-1})$ is the volume of the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^{d-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$.

Since L has discrete eigenvalues, let us introduce its eigenvalue counting function⁵

$$\mathbf{N}(\lambda) := \#\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid 0 \leq \lambda_n \leq \lambda\}. \quad (25)$$

Corollary 1.5 (Weyl law). *As in the terminologies of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4, the Weyl eigenvalue counting function of L has the asymptotics*

$$\mathbf{N}(\lambda) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{d-1} r \, \text{vol}(\mathcal{N}_{H \leq 1}) + O(\lambda^{d-2}), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty. \quad (26)$$

In order to describe $\text{Tr } U_T$ for the non-trivial periods $T \neq 0$, we require the following concepts from the theory of pseudodifferential operators. Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a smooth complex vector bundle over a manifold M and P a pseudodifferential operator of order at most $m \in \mathbb{R}$ acting on compactly supported sections of E valued in the half-density bundle $\Omega^{1/2} \rightarrow M$ which will be put down just for notational simplicity. P is said to be non-characteristic at some element (x, ξ) in the punctured cotangent bundle \dot{T}^*M of M if $\tilde{p} \circ \sigma_P - 1$ belongs to the symbol class of order -1 in a conic neighbourhood of (x, ξ) for some symbol \tilde{p} of order $-m$. The set of all characteristic points of P is denoted by $\text{Char } P$ (see e.g. [26, Def. 18.1.25]). We remark that the subprincipal σ_P^{sub} of P is an invariantly defined $\text{Hom}(E, E)$ -valued homogeneous function on \dot{T}^*M [20, (5.2.8)] (see also [26, Thm. 18.1.33]).

⁵One can define it with negative eigenvalues as well.

Definition 1.6. Let $(E, F \rightarrow M; \nabla^E, \nabla^F)$ be two smooth complex vector bundles over a smooth manifold M , equipped with their connections ∇^E, ∇^F , and P a pseudodifferential operator from half-density-valued compactly supported smooth sections of E to half-density-valued smooth sections of F . A connection $\nabla^{E \otimes F}$ on the tensor product bundle $E \otimes F \rightarrow M$ will be called P -compatible if and only if [28]

$$\Gamma((d_x \pi)X_p)(x, \xi) = i \sigma_P^{\text{sub}}(x, \xi), \quad \forall (x, \xi) \in \text{Char } P, \quad (27)$$

where σ_P^{sub} resp. $\text{Char } P$ are the subprincipal symbol resp. the characteristic set of P , Γ is the connection 1-form of $\nabla^{E \otimes F}$ and $\pi : \dot{T}^*M \rightarrow M$ is the punctured cotangent bundle. In other words, $\nabla^{E \otimes F}$ induces the covariant derivative

$$\nabla_{X_p}^{\pi^* \text{Hom}(E, F)} = X_p + \Gamma((d\pi)X_p) \quad (28)$$

on the bundle $\pi^* \text{Hom}(E, F) \rightarrow \dot{T}^*M$ along the Hamiltonian vector field X_p generated by the principal symbol p of P .

As mentioned above, the Clifford module bundle $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot|\cdot), \sigma_D)$ is naturally furnished with the unique Weitzenböck connection ∇ (see Section 2.2). Let $\nabla_{X_g}^{\pi^* \text{Hom}(E, E)}$ be the D^2 -compatible covariant derivative with respect to the geodesic vector field X_g on $\pi^* \text{Hom}(E, E) \rightarrow \dot{T}^*M$. By $\text{Hol} := C_{\text{Hol}}^\infty(\dot{T}^*M; \pi^* \text{Hom}(E, E))$ we will denote the set of all sections of $\pi^* \text{Hom}(E, E)$ invariant under the holonomy group of the parallel transporter \mathcal{T} with respect to $\nabla_{X_g}^{\pi^* \text{Hom}(E, E)}$.

We suppose that P_γ is the linearised Poincaré map of a periodic geodesic γ (see e.g. [1, Sec. 7.1], [45]). Then γ is called non-degenerate if 1 is not an eigenvalue of P_γ .

With all these devices, the precise characterisation of $\text{Tr } U_{T \neq 0}$ can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.7. *As in the set-ups of Proposition 1.3 and Theorem 1.4: if the periods T are discrete and the set \mathcal{P}_T (18b) of periodic lightlike geodesic strips of $\Xi_T^\mathcal{N}$ is a finite union of non-degenerate periodic orbits γ then*

$$(\text{Tr } U_T)(t) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_T} u_\gamma(t) + v_T(t), \quad (29)$$

where v_T is a distribution on \mathbb{R} that is smooth in the vicinity of $t = T$ and $u_\gamma(t)$'s are Lagrangian distributions having singularities at $t = T_\gamma$ with the asymptotic expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow T_\gamma} (t - T_\gamma) u_\gamma(t) &\sim \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathfrak{F}_{T_\gamma}} \text{tr} \left(\sigma_D(\gamma) \mathcal{T}_{\Xi_T^\mathcal{N}(\gamma)} \sigma_D(x, \zeta) \right) \frac{e^{-i\pi \mathbf{m}(\gamma)/2} |dT_\gamma|}{\sqrt{|\det(I - P_\gamma)|}} \nu + \dots, \\ \nu &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} e^{-i(t-T)\tau} \left(\frac{\tau}{2\pi} \right)^{d-2} d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where $\mathbf{m}(\gamma)$ resp. P_γ are the Maslov index resp. the Poincaré map of γ , \mathcal{T}_γ is an element of the holonomy group Hol_γ with respect to the D^2 -compatible Weitzenböck connection at base point $\gamma \in \mathcal{N}$ whose projection on M is x , and

$$\mathfrak{F}_T := \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{N} \mid \tau = -H(\gamma), \Xi_T^\mathcal{N}(\gamma) = \gamma \} \quad (31)$$

is the fixed point set of $\Xi_T^\mathcal{N}$.

At this point we would like to comment on the issue of parallel transporter raised at the beginning of this article and reproduce Sandoval's work [44, Thm. 2.8] as a special case of this theorem. We set $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$ in (23) so that the Dirac equation (5) on the ultrastatic spacetime becomes

$$-i\partial_t u = H_D u, \quad H_D := c(dt)(\hat{D} - U), \quad (32)$$

where H_D is the Dirac Hamiltonian, c is the Clifford multiplication (see (54)) and U is the potential (see (56)) allowed by the most general Dirac-type operator. Sandoval plumped for $U = 0$ and used the parallel transporter $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ corresponding to \tilde{D} instead of \hat{D} and hence \tilde{T} together with a suitable average of $W := \hat{D} - \tilde{D}$ showed up under the tr in (30) in her result. However, no such artificial choices are necessary in our formulation at all, rather \mathcal{T} naturally induces the parallel transport $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ with respect to \hat{D} for the preceding choices.

In the future, several generalisations have been planned. For instance, we intend to address the spectral asymptotics on stationary black holes and explore the semi-classical regime. We also wish to extend the study for Hodge-d'Alambertians and connect with interesting applications on relativistic quantum chaos on curved spacetimes.

1.2. Convention. Throughout the article, a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ means a smooth complex vector bundle over a Hausdorff, second countable $d \in \mathbb{N}$ -dimensional smooth manifold M . We use the notation \dot{E} to symbolise the zero-section removed part of E . Occasionally, E is endowed with a non-degenerate sesquilinear form $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ which is assumed to be anti-linear in its first argument. By a hermitian form $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ on E we mean a positive-definite $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$. A Lorentzian manifold and its special case - a globally hyperbolic manifold, both are denoted by (M, g) with metric signature $+-\dots-$, and $d := \dim M \geq 2$. Unless mentioned otherwise, (Σ, ι) represents an immersed (resp. embedded) submanifold of a manifold M (resp. a globally hyperbolic manifold (M, g)). Then E_Σ resp. $T^*M_\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ represent the pullback bundles of E resp. the cotangent bundle T^*M via the immersion ι .

Let $\Omega^{1/2} \rightarrow M$ be the bundle of half-densities over M . We denote the vector spaces of smooth and compactly supported smooth half-densities on E by $C^\infty(M; E \otimes \Omega^{1/2})$ and $C_c^\infty(M; E \otimes \Omega^{1/2})$, and endow with the Fréchet space and the inductive limit topologies, respectively. The vector spaces of distributional half-densities $\mathcal{D}'(M; E \otimes \Omega^{1/2}) := (C_c^\infty(M; E^* \otimes \Omega^{1/2}))'$ and compactly supported distributional half-densities $\mathcal{E}'(M; E \otimes \Omega^{1/2}) := (C^\infty(M; E^* \otimes \Omega^{1/2}))'$ on E are defined by the topological duals of $C_c^\infty(M; E^* \otimes \Omega^{1/2})$, $C^\infty(M; E^* \otimes \Omega^{1/2})$, which are equipped with the weak $*$ -topologies induced by the topologies of $C_c^\infty(M; E^* \otimes \Omega^{1/2})$ resp. $C^\infty(M; E^* \otimes \Omega^{1/2})$, where $E^* \rightarrow M$ is the dual bundle of E . Unless stated otherwise, we have notationally suppressed $\Omega^{1/2}$ on a generic manifold M for brevity, whereas, on a Lorentzian manifold (M, g) we use the natural Lorentzian volume element dv_g to identify $C^\infty(M; E) = C^\infty(M; E \otimes \Omega^{1/2})$, $\mathcal{D}'(M; E) = \mathcal{D}'(M; E \otimes \Omega^{1/2})$. Additionally, one defines the space $C_{\text{sc}}^\infty(M; E)$ of spatially compact smooth sections of E as the set of all $u \in C^\infty(M; E)$ for which there exists a compact subset K of M such that $\text{supp } u \subset J(K)$ where $J(K) := J^+(K) \cup J^-(K)$ and $J^\pm(K)$ are the causal future(past) of K ; as a vector space $C_{\text{sc}}^\infty(M; E) \subset C^\infty(M; E)$ [3, Not. 3.4.5]. Echoing this spirit $C^\infty(M)$ denotes the set of all complex-valued smooth functions on M .

The principal symbol of a normally hyperbolic operator \square on E is given by

$$\sigma_\square(x, \xi) := g_x^{-1}(\xi, \xi) \mathbb{1}_{\text{End } E_x}, \quad \forall (x, \xi) \in C^\infty(M, T^*M). \quad (33)$$

In other words, in any coordinate frame $(\partial/\partial x^i)$ on a chart $(U, (x^i))$ of M , after bundle trivialisations:

$$\square|_U = -g^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + \text{lower order terms}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, d \quad (34)$$

where Einstein's summation convention has been used.

The Hamiltonian (15) of the geodesic flow Φ_s is defined with a $1/2$ prefactor so that the relativistic Hamiltonian flow is identical to the geodesic flow as in [45, Rem. 7.2].

In this article, only the polyhomogeneous symbol class $S^m(\cdot)$ has been used and we follow the convention⁶ as in Hörmander's treatises [26, 27] for Fourier integral operators. Thus, a Fourier integral operator $A : C_c^\infty(N; F) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(M; E)$ of order at most $m \in \mathbb{R}$ associated with a homogeneous canonical relation (see e.g. [26, Def. 21.2.12]) $C \subset \dot{T}^*M \times \dot{T}^*N$ which is closed in $\dot{T}^*(M \times N)$, is a continuous linear operator whose Schwartz kernel A is an element in the space $I^m(M \times N, C'; \text{Hom}(F, E))$ of Lagrangian distributions (see e.g. [27, Def. 25.2.1]), where $F \rightarrow N$ is a smooth complex vector bundle over a smooth manifold N . Locally this means that $A = (A_k^r)_{\text{rk } E \times \text{rk } F}$ can be identified with a matrix of scalar Lagrangian distributions A_k^r on respective Euclidean spaces and modulo smoothing kernels each A_k^r is of the form (see e.g. [27, Prop. 25.1.5'])

$$A_k^r = (2\pi)^{-(\dim M + \dim N + 2n - 2e)/4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\theta e^{i\varphi(x, y; \theta)} a_k^r(x, y; \theta), \quad (35)$$

where φ is a clean phase function (see e.g. [26, Def. 21.2.15]) with excess e and a_k^r is a symbol of order $m + (\dim M + \dim N - 2n - 2e)/4$ having support in the interior of a sufficiently small conic neighbourhood of the fibre-critical manifold \mathcal{C} of φ contained in the domain of definition of φ . As per se the chosen symbol class, each a_k^r can be expressed by the asymptotic series (see e.g. [26, Prop. 18.1.3, Def. 18.1.5])

$$a_k^r \sim a_k^r + \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} a_{k;l}^r, \quad (36)$$

for large θ , where a_k^r is a function of $(x, y; \theta)$ whose degree of homogeneity is the same as that of a_k^r and the degrees of $a_{k;l}^r$'s are dropped by a factor of l 's from the top-degree when $|\theta| \geq 1$. In the $|\theta| < 1$ regime, one multiplies the preceding expression by a cutoff function vanishing identically near $\theta = 0$ and which becomes identity whenever $|\theta| \geq 1$. The principal symbol σ_A of A is locally given by the matrix of elements (see e.g. [27, Prop. 25.1.5])

$$\sigma_{A_k^r}(x, \xi; y, \eta) = a_k^r \frac{|\text{d}\xi|^{1/2} |\text{d}\eta|^{1/2}}{\sqrt{|\det(\text{Hess } \varphi)|}} e^{i\pi \text{sgn}(\text{Hess } \varphi)/4}, \quad (37)$$

when φ is non-degenerate and here Hess denotes the Hessian; for a clean phase function the expression is a bit complicated and available in [19] (see also [27, (25.1.5')]). In the context of principal symbols, we have used \equiv to mean modulo the Keller-Maslov contribution $e^{i\pi \text{sgn}(\text{Hess } \varphi)/4}$, i.e.,

$$\sigma_{A_k^r}(x, \xi; y, \eta) \equiv a_k^r \frac{\sqrt{|\text{d}\xi| |\text{d}\eta|}}{\sqrt{|\det(\text{Hess } \varphi)|}}. \quad (38)$$

⁶In particular, our convention is identical to that used by Strohmaier-Zelditch [45, Sec. 7] but slightly different from Duistermaat-Guillemin [19, Sec. 7] and Sandoval [44, Sec. 2.1].

The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(u)$ of any $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$ is

$$\mathcal{F}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot \theta} u dx \quad \text{and} \quad u = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix \cdot \theta} \mathcal{F}(u) d\theta, \quad (39)$$

whenever $\mathcal{F}(u)$ is integrable and here \cdot is either the Euclidean or the Minkowski inner product depending on the context.

We have used the symbols $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\pm}$ for the (anti-)commutator brackets.

1.3. Proof strategy and novelty. We divide the description in several steps to give a panorama view.

1.3.1. U_t as a Fourier integral operator. This pivotal idea was originally due to Duistermaat and Guillemin [19] who worked it out (modulo smoothing operators) in the context of scalar half-wave operators on an ultrastatic spacetime. We, however, have not followed their approach directly, instead have expressed U_t in terms of the Killing flow Ξ_t^* and the causal propagator (see (67)) G of D by propounding Strohmaier and Zelditch's work on d'Alembertian [45]. More precisely, there exists unique advanced and retarded Green's operators for D owing to the global hyperbolicity of (M, g) and hence G together with the restriction operator ι_{Σ}^* (see Appendix B) pave the way to construct the Cauchy restriction operator \mathcal{R} as described in Section 2.6. By Assumption 1.1 (c), the time flow determines the time evolution of Cauchy data. The combination of these facts allows us to express U_t as a Lagrangian distribution as inscribed in Lemma 5.3. The preceding notions are depicted schematically in Figure 2. In order to describe U_t as a Fourier integral operator one is required to obtain such a description of G and ι_{Σ}^* . Both are well-known for the scalar case and the bundle generalisation is straightforward for the latter. But the former demands an intricate treatment due to possible bundle curvature, which has been spelled out in Lemma 2.3. In fact, this is precisely from where the expedient choice (as discussed in the introduction) in Sandoval's work stems. We have computed $\sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$ in Lemma 2.3 deploying the D^2 -compatible Weitzenböck connection in an intrinsically geometric fashion. As a consequence, it solves the relevant leading order transport equation concisely from where the holonomy group shows up elegantly. Furthermore, it closes the ad-hoc consideration in Sandoval's analysis as explained after Theorem 1.7.




FIGURE 2. The time evolution map U_t in terms of the causal propagator G and the induced Killing flow Ξ_t^* . Here, \mathcal{R} is the Cauchy restriction map and ι_Σ^* is the restriction map.

In contemporary of this work, Capoferri and Murro [13, Thm. 1.1] have obtained an oscillatory integral representation of U_t (modulo smoothing operators) for the reduced massless Dirac equation (in the sense of Definition 3.5 of their paper) on a 4-dimensional spatially compact globally hyperbolic spin-spacetime using the global phase function approach [32] of Fourier integral operators. An antecedent of this analysis can be traced back to Capoferri and Vassiliev [14] who have constructed $U_t(\tilde{D})$ (3) (modulo smoothing operators) as a summation of two invariantly defined oscillatory integrals, global in space and in time, with distinguished complex-valued phase functions, when \tilde{D} is a massless spin-Dirac operator on a 3-dimensional closed Riemannian manifold.

It is worthwhile to mention that one cannot deploy the algorithm used in [13, 14] in a straightforward way to derive the Fourier integral description of U_t (Lemma 5.3) in the present setting. This is primarily because the Dirac Hamiltonian

$$H_D := -\frac{i}{\beta^2 - \|\alpha\|_h^2 - h_{ij}\alpha^i c(dt)c(dx^j)} \left(c(dt)(h_{ij}c(dx^i)\nabla^j + U) + h_{ij}\alpha^i \nabla^j \right) \quad (40)$$

on a standard stationary spacetime is not of Dirac-type (albeit it is a first-order elliptic operator) which is one of the key assumptions of the hindmost literatures.

1.3.2. *Principal symbol of $\text{Tr } U_t$.* If $\mathcal{U}_t(x, y) := U_t(x, y) dt \otimes \sqrt{|dv_h(x)|} \otimes \sqrt{|dv_h(y)|}$ denotes the Schwartz kernel of U_t then one considers the smoothed-out operator U_ρ

$$U_\rho := \int_{\mathbb{R}} U_t \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\rho) dt, \quad (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\rho)(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\text{Spec } L} e^{it\lambda} \rho(\lambda) d\lambda \quad (41)$$

where ρ is a Schwartz function on \mathbb{R} such that $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\rho)$ is compact. Let $U_\rho(x, x)$ represents the embedding of $U_\rho(x, y)$ to the diagonal in $\Sigma \times \Sigma$. The distributional trace $\text{Tr } U_t$ is then obtained by

$$\text{Tr } U_\rho := \int_{\Sigma} \text{tr}(U_\rho(x, x)) dv_h(x), \quad (42)$$

where $\text{tr} : \text{End } E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the endomorphism trace.

In order to compute $\sigma_{\text{Tr } U_t}$ we employ the bundle generalisation of Duistermaat and Guillemin's [19] idea, due to Sandoval [44]. One notices that the mapping $\mathcal{U}_t(x, y) \mapsto U_t(x, x) dv_h(x)$ can be viewed as the pullback

$$\Delta^* : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \times \Sigma; \Omega_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \Omega_{\Sigma \times \Sigma}^{1/2} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_\Sigma, E_\Sigma)) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma; \Omega_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \Omega_\Sigma \otimes \text{End } E_\Sigma) \quad (43)$$

of \mathcal{U}_t via the diagonal embedding

$$\Delta : \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \times \Sigma. \quad (44)$$

It follows that Δ^* is a Fourier integral operator of order $(d-1)/4$ associated to the canonical relation $C_{\Delta^*} := \{(t, \tau; x, \xi + \eta; t, \tau; x, \xi; x, \eta) \in \dot{T}^*\mathbb{R} \times T^*M_\Sigma \times \dot{T}^*\mathbb{R} \times \dot{T}^*M_\Sigma \times \dot{T}^*M_\Sigma\}$ [19, (1.20)]. Hence, for a fixed t , $\text{tr}(\Delta^*\mathcal{U}_t)$ is density on Σ which can be integrated. The integration over Σ is the pushforward

$$\pi_* : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma; \Omega_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \Omega_\Sigma) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}), \quad \text{tr}(\Delta^*\mathcal{U}_t) \mapsto \pi_*(\text{tr}(\Delta^*\mathcal{U}_t)) \quad (45)$$

of the Cartesian projection

$$\pi : \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (46)$$

which is also a Fourier integral operator of order $1/2 - (d-1)/4$ associated to the canonical relation $C_{\pi_*} := \{(t, \tau; t, \tau; x, 0) \in \dot{T}^*\mathbb{R} \times \dot{T}^*\mathbb{R} \times T^*M_\Sigma\}$ [19, (1.22)]. Therefore

$$\mathrm{Tr} U_t = \pi_* \circ \mathrm{tr}(\Delta^* \mathcal{U}_t). \quad (47)$$

Alternatively, one can also integrate $\Delta^* \mathcal{U}_t$ over Σ so that $\pi_*(\Delta^* \mathcal{U}_t)$ is a $\mathrm{End} E_\Sigma$ -valued density on \mathbb{R} by reckoning $\pi_* : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma; \Omega_\mathbb{R} \otimes \Omega_\Sigma \otimes \mathrm{End} E_\Sigma) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \Omega_\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathrm{End} E_\Sigma)$. Then taking the endomorphism trace one arrives at

$$\mathrm{Tr} U_t = \mathrm{tr}(\pi_* \circ \Delta^* \mathcal{U}_t). \quad (48)$$

Hence, in this sense

$$\pi_* \circ \mathrm{tr} \circ \Delta^* = \mathrm{tr} \circ \pi_* \circ \Delta^* \quad (49)$$

and one utilises the clean intersection (as detailed in Appendix A) between $\pi_* \circ \Delta^*$ and \mathcal{U}_t to compute the principal symbol of $\mathrm{Tr} U_t$.

1.3.3. Spectral theory. Albeit finite energy solutions of Dirac equation do not live in L^2 sections of E , $\ker D$ can be naturally given a hermitian structure by equipping with a Killing flow invariant hermitian form $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ owing to Assumption 1.1 (b). It is noteworthy that the non-definiteness of $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is a characteristic feature of any Lorentzian spin-manifold: a positive-definite $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ invariant under the spin group only exists for the Riemannian case.

1.3.4. Weyl law. There are a number of approaches to deriving the Weyl law (see e.g. the review [29]). Amongst those, we will use the Fourier-Tauberian argument [24] (see also, e.g. [41, App. B], [40] and references therein). The key idea is to relate the Weyl counting function with $\mathrm{Tr} U_t$ via the distributional Fourier transform

$$\frac{dN}{d\lambda} = \mathcal{F}_{t \rightarrow \lambda}^{-1}(\mathrm{Tr} U_t) \quad (50)$$

and compute the right hand side using the express for $\mathrm{Tr} U_0$.

1.4. Organisation of the paper. We end this section with a literature review of pertinent results. Section 2 provides the geometric setup of this article where we have briefly collected the rudimentary backgrounds on globally hyperbolic stationary spacetimes and on Dirac-type operators together with their causal propagators. Derivation of the trace formula for U_t resp. the spectral theory of L have been presented in Section 3 resp. in Section 4. The announced results on singularity analysis of $\mathrm{Tr} U_t$ have been proven in Section 5 where we have computed $\sigma_{\mathrm{Tr} U_T}$ for trivial $T = 0$ and non-trivial $T \neq 0$ periodic orbits. The key technical tool of this computation is the composition of Fourier integral operators which has been reviewed in Appendix A for the convenience of readers. To maintain a smooth flow of the main text, we have placed the restriction map on a vector bundle in Appendix B.

1.5. Literature. The field of asymptotic (semiclassical) trace formulae stems from the study of Green's operator for a Schrödinger operator in the limit of vanishing Planck's constant by Gutzwiller [23]. This seminal work is not entirely rigorous; see for instance, the expositions [38, 50] for a scrutinised discussion of his original idea. A number of mathematically diligent proofs (see e.g. [35, Thm. 3] and the expository articles [50, 51] with references therein) have been reported since then. Amongst these, Chazarain [15, 16] (for Laplace-Beltrami operator on a closed Riemannian manifold) and Duistermaat-Guillemin [19] (for a scalar, elliptic, selfadjoint and positive first-order pseudodifferential operator P on a compact manifold without boundary) deployed global Fourier integral operators to derive the complete singularity

structure of the wave-trace. We refer to the monographs [27, Chap. XXIX], [41, Chap. 1], [22, Chap. 11] for details.

Bolte and Keppeler [9, 10] have generalised Gutzwiller's work for spin Dirac operators on Minkowski spacetime (see also the elucidating articles [7, 8] and references therein for chronological developments). In contemporary to their reports, mathematically rigorous analysis has been reported by Sandoval [44] promoting the Duistermaat-Guillemin framework for Dirac-type operators on a closed Riemannian manifold. Her result on Dirac-wave-trace invariants at the trivial period is closely related to the evaluation of the residues of the eta-invariant by Branson and Gilkey [11] and the behaviour of eigenfunctions in the high energy limit by Jakobson and Strohmaier [30]. In the particular case of a massless Dirac operator on a closed 3-dimensional Riemannian spin manifold, Capoferri and Vassiliev [14] have computed the third local Weyl coefficients by advancing the framework by Chervova *et al.* [17] (see also the review by Avetisyan *et al.* [2]) for asymptotic spectral analysis of a general elliptic first-order system; see [17, Sec. 11] for a bibliographic overview. An in-depth investigation of different spectral coefficients of a selfadjoint Laplace-type operator on a hermitian vector bundle over a closed Riemannian manifold has been performed by Li and Strohmaier [33]. In particular, they have obtained the relevant coefficients for Dirac-type operators with a more general bundle endomorphism than in Sandoval [44] (identity endomorphism) and in Branson-Gilkey [11] (scalar endomorphism), and bridged the results by Chervova *et al.* [17] (and their follow up works as mentioned in [33]) with the known heat-trace invariants.

The primary and common ingredient of [9, 10, 14, 44] is to determine the time evolution operator (modulo smoothing operators) by solving the transport equations order by order. Bolte-Keppeler have also identified terms responsible for spin-magnetic and spin-orbit interactions in the semiclassical expression. However, they have finally considered a regularised truncated time evolution operator by introducing an energy localisation to deal with the continuous spectrum of Dirac Hamiltonian arising due to the non-compact Minkowski spacetime. Such restrictions were absent in the rest of the hindmost references as they considered closed Riemannian manifolds and utilised the full power of Fourier integral operator theory in contrast to Bolte-Keppeler who have worked with oscillatory integrals. A novel feature in the lines of research by Vassiliev and his collaborators [2, 17] is the second term of the Weyl law (see the references cited in these papers for earlier works). Analogous results have been achieved by Li-Strohmaier [33] employing a different spectral analysis. Specifically, the global phase function approach [32] of Fourier integral operators has been deployed in [14] to construct (modulo smoothing operators) the solution operator of a massless spin-Dirac operator on a 3-dimensional closed Riemannian manifold. They have also provided a closed formula for the principal symbol and an algorithm for computing the subprincipal symbol of this operator.

A general relativistic generalisation of Duistermaat-Guillemin-Gutzwiller trace formula has been initiated by Strohmaier and Zelditch [45, 46] (see also the review [47]) who have studied the d'Alembertian on a globally hyperbolic spatially compact stationary spacetime. Their crucial step was to set up a relativistic description of the classical and the quantum dynamics and advance the celebrated Duistermaat-Guillemin [19] framework accordingly. In particular, they have expressed the time evolution operator by means of the causal propagator of d'Alembertian and Killing flow, and computed its principal symbol by utilising the symbolic calculus of Fourier integral operators based on Duistermaat and Hörmander's [20] classic work of distinguished parametrices. Subsequently, they employed Guillemin's symplectic residue [21] approach at trivial period and tailored the Duistermaat-Guillemin computation for the non-trivial periods. Consequently, the Weyl law in the space of lightlike geodesic strips

has been reported by them using the standard Fourier-Tauberian argument. Apart from the current report, their work has been generalised in a bundle setting for a d'Alembertian on a globally hyperbolic stationary Kaluza-Klein spacetime by McCormick [34] who utilised some technical results of this article.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is indebted to Alexander Strohmaier for suggesting and supervising this problem as a part of his PhD project at the University of Leeds where the work was carried out and supported by the Leeds International Doctoral Studentship. It is a pleasure to thank Benjamin Sharp for commenting on the presentation of the manuscript and Yan-Long Fang and Christian Bär for fruitful discussions. I would like to acknowledge the anonymous referees for their scrutinisation and invaluable suggestions.

2. THE SETUP

2.1. Globally hyperbolic stationary spacetime. Let (M, g) be a $d \geq 2$ dimensional globally hyperbolic spacetime. This means that this spacetime is isometrically diffeomorphic to the product manifold

$$(M, g) \cong (\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma_t, \beta dt^2 - h_t), \quad (51)$$

where $\beta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma, \mathbb{R}_{>0})$ is the lapse function, $t \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma, \mathbb{R})$ is the natural projection, each level set $\Sigma_t := \{x \in M \mid t(x) = t\}$ of a global Cauchy temporal function t is a Cauchy hypersurface, and $(h_t)_t$ is a 1-parameter family of Riemannian matrices on Σ_t that varies smoothly with t .

A stationary spacetime (M, g, Ξ) , per se, is an oriented and time-oriented Lorentzian manifold (M, g) admitting a global timelike Killing flow $\Xi : \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow M$. This flow is physically interpreted as the flow of time and it allows a canonical yet non-unique global (resp. local) splitting of the spacetime manifold M (resp. metric g). Not all stationary spacetimes are globally hyperbolic and we restrict to only those which are. The survey article [43] and the original references cited therein is referred for different equivalent characterisations of global hyperbolicity and the necessary and sufficient conditions for a stationary spacetime to be globally hyperbolic.

Note, on a generic $d > 2$ -dimensional (standard) stationary spacetime the Killing vector field $(\partial_t =)Z$ is not orthogonal to Σ_t because the corresponding 1-form Z^\flat does not satisfy the hypersurface-orthogonality condition: $Z^\flat \wedge dZ^\flat \neq 0$ (i.e., the orthogonal geometric distribution of Z is non-involutive). Physically this means that the neighbouring orbits of Z can twist around each other. In $d = 2$ this cannot happen, i.e., every Killing vector field is at least locally hypersurface-orthogonal. If one imposes the condition that Σ_t is orthogonal to the orbits of the spacetime isometry, then (M, g, Ξ) is called a static spacetime and one has a canonical non-unique global time-coordinate t . In this case the shift vector field α vanishes identically so that there is no $dt dx^i$ -type cross terms in (23) and the Riemannian metric h becomes independent of time. Additionally, if we demand that Z has a constant norm, then a static spacetime is called an ultrastatic spacetime. This enforces the lapse function β to be the identity function.

2.2. Dirac-type operators. Suppose that $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot, \cdot))$ is a vector bundle over a spacetime (M, g) , endowed with a sesquilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) and that D is a Dirac-type operator on E ,

symmetric with respect to $(\cdot|\cdot)$. Therefore, D^2 is a normally hyperbolic operator and by the polarisation identity (see e.g. [4, Rem. 2.19])

$$[\sigma_D(x, \xi), \sigma_D(x, \eta)]_+ = 2g_x^{-1}(\xi, \eta) \mathbb{1}_{\text{End } E_x}, \quad \forall (x, \xi), (x, \eta) \in C^\infty(M; T^*M). \quad (52)$$

Moreover, σ_D defines a Clifford action of T^*M on E by

$$\sigma_D(df) := i[D, f]_-, \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(M), \quad (53)$$

which turns $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot|\cdot))$ into a bundle of Clifford modules $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot|\cdot), \sigma_D)$ over (M, g) . Furthermore, (53) gives the Leibniz rule for D and the Clifford mapping (52) defines the (pointwise) Clifford multiplication

$$c((x, \xi) \otimes u) := \sigma_D(x, \xi)(u), \quad \forall (x, \xi) \in C^\infty(M; T^*M), \forall u \in C^\infty(M; E). \quad (54)$$

By the Weitzenböck formula (see e.g. [6, Prop. 3.1]), given a Dirac-type operator D on any vector bundle $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot|\cdot))$, there exists a unique $(\cdot|\cdot)$ -compatible connection ∇ on E , called the Weitzenböck connection, and a unique potential $V \in C^\infty(M; \text{End } E)$ such that

$$D^2 = -\text{tr}_g((\nabla^{\text{LC}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_E + \mathbb{1}_{T^*M} \otimes \nabla) \circ \nabla) + V, \quad (55)$$

where $\text{tr}_g : C^\infty(M; T^*M \otimes T^*M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ denotes the metric trace: $\text{tr}_g((x, \xi) \otimes (x, \eta)) := g_x^{-1}(\xi, \eta)$ and ∇^{LC} is the Levi-Civita connection on M . The most general Dirac-type operator on a Clifford module $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot|\cdot), \sigma_D)$ then has the form

$$D = -i c \circ \nabla + U, \quad (56)$$

where the potential term $U \in C^\infty(M; \text{End } E)$ is defined by the hindmost equation. The preceding assumption entails that U and $-i \nabla_X$ are symmetric with respect to $(\cdot|\cdot)$ provided that X is divergence free.

The Weitzenböck connection ∇ induces a connection $\nabla^{\text{Hom}(E, E)}$ on the homomorphism bundle $\text{Hom}(E, E) \rightarrow M$ over the spacetime, which is not, in general, a Clifford connection as can be seen readily by taking covariant derivative of (52) with respect to this connection and properties of the Levi-Civita covariant derivative. In particular, locally one can always choose an orthonormal frame $\{e_i\}$ and its coframe $\{\varepsilon^i\}$ on M , and express $D = -i \not{\nabla} + U$, where we have use the Feynman-slash notation: $\not{\nabla} := \sigma_D(\varepsilon^i) \nabla_{e_i}$, i.e., ∇ is composed with Clifford multiplication and then traced over. A bit lengthy yet straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} D^2 &= -\text{tr}_g((\nabla^{\text{LC}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_E + \mathbb{1}_{T^*M} \otimes \nabla) \circ \nabla) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R} + U^2 - i \not{\nabla}(U) \\ &\quad - \left(\not{\nabla}^{\text{Hom}(E, E)}(\sigma_D(\varepsilon^j)) + \not{\Gamma}^j + i U \sigma_D(\varepsilon^j) + i \sigma_D(\varepsilon^j) U \right) \nabla_{e_j}, \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

where \mathcal{R} , Γ and $-\mathcal{R}/2 := -\sigma_D(X^\flat) \sigma_D(Y^\flat) \mathcal{R}_{X, Y}/2$ are the curvature, connection 1-form and Weitzenböck curvature of ∇ , respectively. Since ∇ is a Weitzenböck connection, comparing with (55) we equate the coefficients of ∇_{e_j} in the foregoing equation of D^2 to zero to obtain the covariant derivative of σ_D :

$$\not{\nabla}^{\text{Hom}(E, E)}(\sigma_D(\varepsilon^j)) = -\not{\Gamma} - [U, \sigma_D(\varepsilon^j)]_+, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, d. \quad (58)$$

Remark 2.1. A Clifford connection $\tilde{\nabla}^{\text{Hom}(E, E)}$, characterised by

$$[\tilde{\nabla}_X^{\text{Hom}(E, E)}, \sigma_D(x, \eta)]_- = \sigma_D(x, \nabla_X^{\text{LC}} \eta), \quad \forall (x, X) \in C^\infty(M; TM), \forall (x, \eta) \in C^\infty(M; T^*M), \quad (59)$$

always exists and then the Clifford module bundle $(E \rightarrow M, (\cdot|\cdot), \sigma_D, \tilde{\nabla})$ is called the Dirac module bundle where the most general Dirac-type operator has the form

$$\tilde{D} := -i \, c \circ \tilde{\nabla} + \tilde{U} \quad (60)$$

for all $\tilde{U} \in C^\infty(M; \text{End } E)$ such that (cf. (58))

$$[\tilde{U}, \sigma_D(\cdot)]_- = 0. \quad (61)$$

The operator \tilde{D} has the same principal symbol as D so that they differ only by a smooth term. Since $\tilde{\nabla}$ is compatible with the Clifford multiplication (54), \tilde{D} is sometimes called compatible Dirac-type operator.

Note, the spin connections induced by the Levi-Civita connection are example of a Clifford connection and the corresponding massive spin-Dirac (see e.g. [4, Exm. 2.21]) and twisted spin-Dirac operators (see e.g. [4, Exm. 2.22]) are indeed compatible Dirac-type operators where \tilde{U} models the mass (in the appropriate limit) term.

The condition (58) and the Remark 2.1 are originally due to Branson and Gilkey [11, Lem. 2.3] who have worked on Riemannian setting and considered $\tilde{U} \equiv 0$.

2.3. Lie derivative on $C^\infty(M; E)$. On a stationary spacetime (M, g, Ξ) , the cotangent lift $T^*\Xi_s$ of the spacetime isometry Ξ_s naturally induces a \mathbb{R} action on T^*M : $T^*\Xi(s; y, \eta) := (T_x^*\Xi_s)\eta$.

Furthermore, if $\hat{\Xi}$ denotes the fibrewise canonical isomorphism $(\Xi^*E)_{(s,x)} \cong E_{\Xi_s(x)}$ then the pullback $\Xi^* : C_c^\infty(M; E) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times M; \Xi^*E)$ via the morphism $(\Xi, \hat{\Xi})$ is a Fourier integral operator whose Schwartz kernel is given by (see e.g. [18, (2.4.4), (2.4.22)])

$$\Xi \in I^{-1/4}(\mathbb{R} \times M \times M, \Gamma'; \text{Hom}(E, \Xi^*E)), \quad (62a)$$

$$\Gamma := \{(s, \tau; x, \xi; y, -\eta) \in \dot{T}^*\mathbb{R} \times \dot{T}^*M \times \dot{T}^*M \mid \tau = -\xi(Z), (x, \xi) = (T_x^*\Xi_s)(y, \eta)\}, \quad (62b)$$

$$\sigma_\Xi := (2\pi)^{1/4} \mathbb{1}_{\text{Hom}(E, \Xi^*E)} \sqrt{|\text{dv}_\Gamma|} \otimes \mathbb{1}, \quad (62c)$$

where dv_Γ is the volume form on the homogeneous canonical relation $\Gamma \subset \dot{T}^*(\mathbb{R} \times M) \times \dot{T}^*M$ and $\mathbb{1}$ is a section of the Keller-Maslov bundle $\mathbb{L}_\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ over Γ , constructed as below. One observes that Γ is the graph of $T^*\Xi_s$ and at $s = 0$, Γ is essentially the conormal bundle $\Gamma_0 := \{(0, \tau)\} \times (\Delta \dot{T}^*M)'$. Since \dot{T}^*M is a symplectic manifold, it admits the global volume induced by the canonical symplectic form on \dot{T}^*M . Then dv_{Γ_0} is obtained via the pullback of the projector $\text{Pr} : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \dot{T}^*M$, which is invariant under the flow Ψ_s of the Hamiltonian vector field generated by the extended Hamiltonian $\tau + \xi(Z)$ and given by $\text{dv}_\Gamma = \text{ds} \otimes \text{dx} \wedge \text{d}\xi$ in the parametrisation (62b). To construct \mathbb{L}_Γ we re-use the fact that Ψ_s sweeps out $\{(0, \tau)\} \times (\Delta \dot{T}^*M)'$ to Γ and hence \mathbb{L}_Γ is constructed by parallelly transporting the sections of \mathbb{L}_0 along the orbits of Ψ_s where \mathbb{L}_0 the Keller-Maslov bundle over Γ_0 consists of global constant section (see e.g. [35, Sec. 4], [22, Sec. 5.13]).

More generally, Ξ^* can be extended to a sequentially continuous linear map on $I^m(M, \mathcal{L}; E)$ by

$$\Xi^* : I^m(M, \mathcal{L}; E) \rightarrow I^{m-1/4}(\mathbb{R} \times M, \Gamma' \circ \mathcal{L}; \Xi^*E), \quad \sigma_{\Xi^*u} \equiv \sigma_\Xi \diamond \sigma_u \quad (63)$$

for any $u \in I^m(M, \mathcal{L}; E)$. The composition \diamond of principal symbols is presented in details in Appendix A and the equation of σ_{Ξ^*u} is in the sense of modulo Keller-Maslov part.

The induced Killing flow Ξ_s^* paves the way to define the Lie derivative \mathcal{L}_Z on E with respect to the Killing vector field Z :

$$\mathcal{L}_Z u := \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} \Xi_s^* u. \quad (64)$$

Note, \mathcal{L}_Z is essentially a generalisation of the Lichnerowicz spinor Lie derivative for stationary spacetimes when one does not necessarily have a spin-structure.

2.4. Classical dynamics. The primary tenet of the semiclassical analysis is to connect the relativistic trace formula with its classical dynamics. In non-relativistic mechanics, the cotangent bundle $T^*\Sigma$ models the classical phase space, whereas the Hilbert-space quantum dynamics takes place in $L^2(\Sigma)$. The naive expectation of using this pair or the pair $(T^*M, L^2(M; E))$ does not work because the former depends on the choice of Cauchy hypersurface $\Sigma \subset M$ and for the latter pair, the (Killing flow invariant) sesquilinear form $(\cdot | \cdot)$ on E (Assumption 1.1 (a)) does not induce any L^2 -norm. One can, of course, choose an arbitrary hermitian form in order to have a L^2 space on E , but then this L^2 -space will depend on the particular choice of the hermitian form as M is non-compact. In pursuance of defining the correct classical dynamics, one notes that $\text{Char } D$ is the lightcone bundle \dot{T}_0^*M . Since $\text{Char } D$ and $\text{Char } \square$ are identical, the classical dynamics in this case coincides with that in the Strohmaier-Zelditch trace formula [45] and hence we adopt their formulae. The metric-Hamiltonian H_g is a homogeneous function of degree 2 in the cotangent fibres. Referring to this as the dilation, let \mathbb{E} be the Euler vector field which is the generator of this action. On \dot{T}_0^*M , clearly H_g vanishes and we have $[\mathbb{E}, X_{g/2}]_- = X_{g/2}$, where $X_{g/2}$ is the Hamiltonian vector field of H_g . Then the Hamiltonian reduction of \dot{T}_0^*M is the space of scaled-lightlike geodesic strips \mathcal{N} . That is, if (M, g) is geodesically complete then \mathcal{N} is the quotient of \dot{T}_0^*M by the \mathbb{R} -group action generated by $X_{g/2}$. Similarly, by taking the quotient of \mathcal{N} by the \mathbb{R}_+ -group action generated by \mathbb{E} we obtain the space of unparametrised-lightlike geodesic strips $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$. If (M, g) is a spatially compact globally hyperbolic spacetime then $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is a *conic compact contact manifold* whose symplectisation is the conic symplectic manifold \mathcal{N} induced from the conic contact manifold \dot{T}_0^*M [39, pp. 10-12], [31, Thm. 2.1].

We remark that \mathcal{N} is defined *invariantly* and [45, Prop. 2.1]

$$\varsigma : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \dot{T}^*\Sigma \quad (65)$$

is a homogeneous symplectomorphism. Furthermore, the geodesic flow on any spatially compact globally hyperbolic spacetime (M, g) does not necessarily have to be complete.

Recall that on a standard stationary spacetime, a geodesic $c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ can be expressed as $c(s) = (t(s), c_\Sigma(s))$ and then a periodic one with period T means

$$c_\Sigma(s + T) = c_\Sigma(s), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (66)$$

The cotangent lift γ of such periodic curves c on \mathcal{N} is vital for the Duistermaat-Guillemin-Gutzwiller trace formula. As evident, these are periodic lightlike geodesic strips γ of length T . We note that the set of T -periodic curves γ on \mathcal{N} is precisely the length spectrum of (Σ, h) for an ultrastatic spacetime. For details, see, for instance [5, 42] and the earlier references cited therein.

2.5. Green's operators. Since D^2 is a normally hyperbolic operator, it admits unique advanced F^{adv} and retarded F^{ret} Green's operators on a globally hyperbolic spacetime (M, g)

(see e.g. [3, Cor. 3.4.3]). Therefore, there exist unique advanced $G^{\text{adv}} := DF^{\text{adv}}$ and retarded $G^{\text{ret}} := DF^{\text{ret}}$ Green's operators for D on (M, g) [37, Thm. 1]. Their antisymmetric combination

$$G := G^{\text{ret}} - G^{\text{adv}} : C_c^\infty(M; E) \rightarrow C_{\text{sc}}^\infty(M; E) \quad (67)$$

defines the Pauli-Jordan-Lichnerowicz operator, also known as the *causal propagator*.

We have assumed that D is symmetric with respect to the sesquilinear form $(\cdot | \cdot)$ on E . Hence, it follows that

$$(G^{\text{adv,ret}} u | v) = (u | G^{\text{ret,adv}} v), \quad (Gu | v) = -(u | Gv), \quad \forall u, v \in C_c^\infty(M; E), \quad (68)$$

due to the fact that $(F^{\text{adv,ret}} u | v) = (u | F^{\text{ret,adv}} v)$ (see e.g. [3, Lem. 3.4.4]).

It is well-known that the causal propagator $F := F^{\text{ret}} - F^{\text{adv}}$ for D^2 is a Fourier integral operator, i.e., its Schwartz kernel \mathbf{F} is a Lagrangian distribution (see e.g. [28, Rem. 2.15]):

$$\mathbf{F} \in I^{-3/2}(M \times M, C'; \text{Hom}(E, E)), \quad (69a)$$

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{F}} = \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{2\pi w} \sqrt{|\text{dv}_C|} \otimes \mathbb{1}, \quad (69b)$$

$$\text{Char } \mathbf{F} \cap C = \emptyset. \quad (69c)$$

Here dv_C is the natural density on the geodesic relation

$$C := \{(x, \xi; y, \eta) \in \dot{T}_0^*M \times \dot{T}_0^*M \mid \exists! s \in \mathbb{R} : (x, \xi) = \Phi_s(y, \eta)\}, \quad (70)$$

where Φ is the geodesic flow on the cotangent bundle T^*M restricted to the lightcone bundle \dot{T}_0^*M . The construction of dv_C is originally due to Duistermaat-Hörmander [20, p. 230] for a generic manifold which simplifies considerably for a globally hyperbolic spacetime (M, g) as reported by Strohmaier-Zelditch [45, (52), Rem. 7.1]. By definition, for each $(x, \xi; y, \eta) \in C$ there is a unique $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(x, \xi) = \Phi_s(y, \eta)$ so that C can be identified with an open subset of $\mathbb{R} \times \dot{T}_0^*M$, where $s \in \mathbb{R}$ is the flow parameter. Recall that the Hamiltonian H_g -reduction of \dot{T}_0^*M is the conic symplectic manifold \mathcal{N} of scaled-lightlike geodesic strips [39, pp. 10-12], [31, Thm. 2.1]. Denoting by \tilde{s} , the dilation parameter on \dot{T}_0^*M , the natural half-density on C is given by

$$\sqrt{|\text{dv}_C|} := \sqrt{|\text{ds}|} \otimes \sqrt{|\text{d}\tilde{s}|} \otimes \sqrt{|\text{dv}_{\mathcal{N}}|}. \quad (71)$$

Note, this density differs from that by Duistermaat-Hörmander by a factor of 2 because they used the Hamiltonian flow of g^{-1} to parametrise \mathcal{N} , in contrast to the flow of the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{g/2}$ generated by H_g . Moreover,

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_g} \text{dv}_C = 0. \quad (72)$$

The densities on forward (backward) geodesic relations

$$C^\pm := \{(x, \xi; y, \eta) \in \dot{T}_0^*M \times \dot{T}_0^*M \mid \exists! s \in \mathbb{R}_\pm : (x, \xi) = \Phi_s(y, \eta)\} \quad (73)$$

follow from the fact that $\dot{T}_0^*M = \dot{T}_{0,+}^*M \sqcup \dot{T}_{0,-}^*M$ in $d \geq 3$. When $d = 2$ then \dot{T}_0^*M has 4 connected components and those can be incorporated similarly. In (69b), $\mathbb{1}$ is a section of the Keller-Maslov bundle $\mathbb{L}_C \rightarrow C$, and w is the unique element of $C^\infty(C; (\pi^* \text{Hom}(E, E))|_C)$ that is diagonally the identity endomorphism and off-diagonally covariantly constant

$$\nabla_{X_{g/2}}^{\pi^* \text{Hom}(E, E)} w = 0 \quad (74)$$

with respect to the D^2 -compatible Weitzenböck covariant derivative $\nabla_{X_{g/2}}^{\pi^* \text{Hom}(E, E)}$ (Definition 1.6) along the geodesic vector field $X_{g/2}$.

Remark 2.2. By Definition 1.6, X_g acts on $C^\infty(T^*M; \pi^*\text{Hom}(E, E))$. Thus (74) must be interpreted in the sense of the induced Hamiltonian vector field on C by the vector field $(X_g, 0)$ on $(T^*M \times T^*M)$ [20, Rem. 1, p. 216] (see also [27, Rem. 1, p. 69]).

By construction, $G = DF$. Then the preceding information entail that G is a Fourier integral operator associated with the canonical relation C .

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(E \rightarrow M, \sigma_D)$ resp. $\pi : \dot{T}^*M \rightarrow M$ be a bundle of Clifford modules resp. the punctured cotangent bundle over a globally hyperbolic spacetime (M, g) and D a Dirac-type operator on E whose principal symbol is denoted by σ_D . The Schwartz kernel G of the causal propagator of D is then*

$$G \in I^{-1/2}(M \times M, C'; \text{Hom}(E, E)), \quad (75a)$$

$$\sigma_G = \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_D \circ w \sqrt{|\text{dv}_C|} \otimes \mathbb{1}, \quad (75b)$$

where dv_C is the natural volume form on the geodesic relation C , $\mathbb{1}$ is a section of the Keller-Maslov bundle $\mathbb{L}_C \rightarrow C$ (as constructed in [20, pp. 231-232]), and w is the unique element of $C^\infty(C; (\pi^*\text{Hom}(E, E))|_C)$ that is diagonally the identity endomorphism and off-diagonally covariantly constant

$$\nabla_{X_{g/2}}^{\pi^*\text{Hom}(E, E)} w = 0 \quad (76)$$

with respect to the D^2 -compatible Weitzenböck covariant derivative (Definition 1.6) $\nabla_{X_{g/2}}^{\pi^*\text{Hom}(E, E)}$ along the geodesic vector field $X_{g/2}$ (Remark 2.2).

If $(E \rightarrow M, \sigma_D, \tilde{\nabla})$ is a Dirac module bundle with the corresponding Dirac-type operator \tilde{D} then (76) holds with the replacement of $\nabla^{\pi^*\text{Hom}(E, E)}$ by the \tilde{D}^2 -compatible connection $\tilde{\nabla}^{\pi^*\text{Hom}(E, E)}$ induced by the Clifford connection $\tilde{\nabla}$.

2.6. Cauchy problem. Since D^2 is a normally hyperbolic operator and (M, g) is a globally hyperbolic spacetime, the Cauchy problem for D is well-posed [37, Thm. 2]. In other words, for an arbitrary but fixed Cauchy hypersurface $\Sigma_t \subset M$, the mapping

$$\mathcal{R}_t : \ker D \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\Sigma_t; E_{\Sigma_t}), \quad u \mapsto \mathcal{R}_t(u) := u|_{\Sigma_t}, \quad \text{supp } u \subset J(\text{supp}(u|_{\Sigma_t})) \quad (77)$$

is a homeomorphism, where J is as defined in Section 1.2. Employing this topological isomorphism and Assumption 1.1 (b), $\ker D$ can be equipped with a (positive definite) hermitian inner product (see e.g. [4, Lem. 3.17]):

$$\langle u|v \rangle := \int_{\Sigma} \left(\mathfrak{c}((x, \zeta) \otimes u|_{\Sigma}) | v|_{\Sigma} \right)_x \text{dv}_h(x) \quad (78)$$

where dv_h is the Riemannian volume element on (Σ, h) and (\cdot, ζ) as in Assumption 1.1 (b). By global hyperbolicity (Section 2.1) of (M, g) , there exists a (non-unique) global Cauchy temporal function \mathbf{t} such that each Cauchy hypersurface $\Sigma_t := \mathbf{t}^{-1}(t)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a level set of \mathbf{t} . Then $\zeta := d\mathbf{t}/\|d\mathbf{t}\|$ is a unit normal covector field on M along Σ_t and we choose the time-orientation employing the global timelike (Killing) vector field Z such that ζ is future-directed. On a static spacetime, we can choose the Killing covector field $(\partial_t)^\flat$ (up to normalisation) for ζ .

We remark that $\langle u|v \rangle$ is independent of chosen Cauchy hypersurface Σ due to the Green-Stokes formula. Thus, $(E \rightarrow M, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ is a hermitian vector bundle where the hermitian form $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$, of course depends on (\cdot, ζ) but is independent of chosen Cauchy hypersurface.

The preceding equation entails that, given an initial data $k \in C_c^\infty(\Sigma; E_\Sigma)$, any smooth solution u of the Dirac equation can be expressed as

$$(u|v) = (-i G \circ (\iota_\Sigma^*)^{-1} \mathbf{c}(\zeta \otimes k)|v), \quad (79)$$

where $\iota_\Sigma^* : C_c^\infty(M; E) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\Sigma; E_\Sigma)$ is the restriction operator (discussed elaborately in Appendix B). All the maps in the exact complex

$$0 \rightarrow C_c^\infty(M; E) \xrightarrow{D} C_c^\infty(M; E) \xrightarrow{G} C_{\text{sc}}^\infty(M; E) \xrightarrow{D} C_{\text{sc}}^\infty(M; E) \quad (80)$$

are sequentially continuous as a consequence of D being a local operator and the following exact complex being sequentially continuous (see e.g. [3, Prop. 3.4.8])

$$0 \rightarrow C_c^\infty(M; E) \xrightarrow{D^2} C_c^\infty(M; E) \xrightarrow{F} C_{\text{sc}}^\infty(M; E) \xrightarrow{D^2} C_{\text{sc}}^\infty(M; E) \quad (81)$$

where F resp. G are causal propagators for D^2 resp. D .

2.7. Cauchy evolution map. This mapping is defined by

$$U_{t',t} := \mathcal{R}_t \circ (\mathcal{R}_{t'})^{-1} : C_c^\infty(\Sigma_{t'}; E_{\Sigma_{t'}}) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\Sigma_t; E_{\Sigma_t}), \quad (82)$$

which is a homeomorphism and extends to a unitary operator (denoted by the same symbol) on the space of square integrable sections on Cauchy hypersurfaces, i.e.,

$$U_{t',t} : L^2(\Sigma_{t'}; E_{\Sigma_{t'}}) \rightarrow L^2(\Sigma_t; E_{\Sigma_t}) \quad (83)$$

is an isometry.

3. TRACE FORMULA

In this section we will work on the set-up in Section 1.1. That is, $\Sigma \subset M$ is an embedded submanifold and $\iota_\Sigma : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$ is proper. Consequently, $\iota_\Sigma^* : C_c^\infty(M; E) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\Sigma; E_\Sigma) = C^\infty(\Sigma; E_\Sigma)$.

Theorem 3.1. *As in the terminologies of Theorem 1.4, let G be the Schwartz kernel of the causal propagator for D . The smoothed-out time evolution operator U_ρ given by (41), is a trace-class operator on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} := (\ker D, (78))$ and its trace is given by*

$$\text{Tr } U_\rho = -i \int_\Sigma \text{tr} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Xi_{-t}^* \circ G \circ (\iota_\Sigma^*)^{-1} \sigma_D(\cdot, \zeta))(x, y) (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \rho)(t) dt|_{x=y} dv_h(x) \quad (84)$$

where $\Xi_t^* : C_c^\infty(M; E) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(M; E)$ is the induced Killing flow and $\iota_\Sigma^* : C_c^\infty(M; E) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\Sigma; E_\Sigma)$ is the restriction operator. In this article, $\Xi_{-t}^* G$ is meant to be the pullback of $G(\cdot, \cdot)$ in the first argument via Ξ_{-t} .

Proof. By Assumption 1.1, the hermitian form (78) and the retarded (resp. advanced) G^{ret} (resp. G^{adv}) propagators are preserved under the action of Ξ_t^* . Hence G is preserved as well. In other words, if $u \in \ker D$ having initial data on some Σ then $\Xi_t^* u \in \ker D$ having Cauchy data on some Σ_t , which means that the time flow Ξ_t induces time evolution of Cauchy data. Let us now choose an arbitrary but fixed Σ . This picks a global time coordinate t on M and then the generator of the Killing flow Ξ_t is given by ∂_t . Thus, U_t is identified with the evolution of Cauchy data via the induced Killing flow (see Figure 2 for a schematic illustration):

$$U_t = \mathcal{R} \circ \Xi_{-t}^* \circ \mathcal{R}^{-1}. \quad (85)$$

We read off $\mathcal{R}^{-1} = -i G \circ (\iota_\Sigma^*)^{-1} \sigma_D(\cdot, \zeta)$ from (79) and observe that the twisted wavefront set (70) of G contains only lightlike covectors. Therefore, integration over t results a smooth Schwartz kernel $U_\rho(x, y)$ and the expression of $\text{Tr } U_\rho$ entails from (42). \square

4. SPECTRAL THEORY OF L ON $\ker D$

Recall that, by Stone's theorem, every strongly continuous one parameter unitary group $\{U_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} has a unique generator A , i.e., $U_t = e^{-itA}$. If

$$U_\rho := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itA} (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\rho)(t) dt \quad (86)$$

is a compact operator for any Schwartz function ρ on \mathbb{R} such that $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\rho)$ is compact, then the spectrum of A is discrete and consists of eigenvalues λ_n of finite algebraic multiplicities m_n . Moreover, whenever U_ρ is trace-class then

$$\text{Tr } U_\rho = \sum_n m_n \rho(\lambda_n). \quad (87)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since D commutes with induced Killing flow Ξ_t^* for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and U_t is the time evolution operator of D , the discreteness of $\text{Spec } L$ and the polynomial growth of eigenvalues λ_n follow from the fact that $U_\rho := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(itL) (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\rho)(t) dt$ is a trace-class operator for any Schwartz function ρ on \mathbb{R} such that $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\rho)$ is compact. All λ_n are real as a consequence of the selfadjointness of L on $\ker D$. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4 AND 1.7

In order to implement the strategy outlined in Section 1.3, we recall that that

$$\pi_* \circ \Delta^* : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \times \Sigma; \text{Hom}(E_\Sigma, E_\Sigma)) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \text{End } E_\Sigma) \quad (88)$$

is a zero-order Fourier integral operator whose Schwartz kernel K is that of an identity map [44, Lem. 5.2] (see also [19, Lem. 6.2, 6.3]):

$$K \in I^0(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \times \Sigma, C_{\pi_* \circ \Delta^*}; \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(E_\Sigma, E_\Sigma), \text{End } E_\Sigma)), \quad (89a)$$

$$C_{\pi_* \circ \Delta^*} = (\Delta(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma))^{\perp*}, \quad (89b)$$

$$\sigma_K = \Pi^*(|dt \wedge d\tau \wedge dx \wedge d\xi|^{1/2}) \mathbb{1}. \quad (89c)$$

Here the canonical relation $C_{\pi_* \circ \Delta^*} := \{(t, \tau; t, \tau; x, \xi; x, -\xi) \in \dot{T}^*\mathbb{R} \times \dot{T}^*\mathbb{R} \times \dot{T}^*\Sigma \times \dot{T}^*\Sigma\}$ of $\pi_* \circ \Delta^*$ has been identified with the conormal bundle $(\Delta(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma))^{\perp*}$ to the diagonal in $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$, Π is the projector $C_{\pi_* \circ \Delta^*} \ni (t, \tau; x, \xi; x, -\xi; t, -\tau) \mapsto (t, \tau; x, \xi) \in \dot{T}^*\mathbb{R} \times \dot{T}^*\Sigma$, and the Keller-Maslov bundle $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow (\Delta(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma))^{\perp*}$ is trivial.

Theorem 3.1 implies that the trace $\text{Tr } U_t$ exists as a distribution in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ and it can be re-expressed as:

$$\text{Tr } U_t = \int_{\Sigma} \text{tr}((\Xi_{-t}^* \mathbf{G})(x, y) \sigma_D(y, \zeta))|_{x=y} dv_h(x). \quad (90)$$

Since the geodesic relation is disjoint with the conormal bundle $\Sigma^{\perp*}$, restriction of $\Xi_{-t}^* \mathbf{G}$ is well-defined. Then applying (48), the preceding equation can be written as

$$\text{Tr } U_t = \text{tr} \left(\pi_* \circ \Delta^* \circ (\iota_x^* \boxtimes \iota_y^*)((\Xi_{-t}^* \mathbf{G})(x, y) \sigma_D(y, \zeta)) \right) \quad (91)$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $x, y \in M$. Hence, our task is to compute the principal symbol of

$$\mathbf{G}_t := (\iota_x^* \boxtimes \iota_y^*)((\Xi_{-t}^* \mathbf{G})(x, y)). \quad (92)$$

We have worked out ι_Σ^* in Appendix B, so let us begin with by describing $\Xi_{-t}^* \mathbf{G}$ as a Lagrangian distribution. To begin with one notes that w appearing in the expression of $\sigma_{\mathbf{G}}$ satisfies the differential equation [20, Thm. 6.6.1]

$$(X_{g^\pm/2} \pm i\sigma_{D^2, \pm}^{\text{sub}})w = 0, \quad (93)$$

by Lemma 2.3 and Definition 1.6, where g^\pm resp. $\sigma_{\square, \pm}^{\text{sub}}$ are the lifts of g^{-1} resp. $\sigma_{\square}^{\text{sub}}$ to $\dot{T}^*M \times \dot{T}^*M$ via the projections on the first resp. second copies of \dot{T}^*M . This means that $i(2\pi)^{3/4}\sigma_D \circ w\sqrt{|dt|} \otimes \sqrt{|dv_C|}/2$ is the principal symbol of $\Xi^* \mathbf{G}$ on each C^+ and C^- . Employing (62), (63), Lemma 2.3 and Appendix A.4, it is then straightforward to obtain

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that $(E \rightarrow M, \sigma_D)$ is a bundle of Clifford modules over a globally hyperbolic stationary spacetime (M, g, Ξ) and that \mathbf{G} is the Schwartz kernel of the causal propagator of any Dirac-type operator D on E . Then the pullback of \mathbf{G} by the Killing flow $(\Xi, \hat{\Xi})$,*

$$\Xi^* \mathbf{G} \in I^{-3/4}(\mathbb{R} \times M \times M, \Gamma' \circ C; \text{Hom}(E, \Xi^* E)), \quad (94a)$$

$$\Gamma' \circ C = \{(t, -\xi(Z); x, \xi; y, \eta) \in \dot{T}^*\mathbb{R} \times \dot{T}_0^*M \times \dot{T}_0^*M \mid (x, \xi) = T^*\Xi_t \circ \Phi_s(y, \eta)\}, \quad (94b)$$

$$\sigma_{\Xi^* \mathbf{G}} \equiv i(2\pi)^{3/4}\sigma_D \circ w(T^*\Xi_t \circ \Phi_s(y, \eta), (y, \eta)) |dt|^{1/2} \otimes |dv_C(T^*\Xi_t \circ \Phi_s(y, \eta), (y, \eta))|^{1/2}, \quad (94c)$$

where the principal symbol is modulo Keller-Maslov part, Z is the infinitesimal generator of Ξ and all other symbols are as defined in Lemma 2.3 and (62b).

Next, we compute the restriction of $\Xi^* \mathbf{G}$ on $\Sigma_x \times \Sigma_y$ by an application of Appendix B.

Lemma 5.2. *As in the terminologies of Lemma 5.1, let Σ be a Cauchy hypersurface of M . Then the distribution (92)*

$$\mathbf{G}_t \in I^{-1/4}(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \times \Sigma, \mathcal{C}_t; \text{Hom}(E_\Sigma, (\Xi^* E)_\Sigma)), \quad (95a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_t := \{ & ((t, -\xi(Z)), (x, \xi)|_{T\Sigma}, (y, \eta)|_{T\Sigma}) \in \dot{T}^*\mathbb{R} \times T^*M_\Sigma \times T^*M_\Sigma \mid \\ & (x, \xi) = T^*\Xi_t \circ \Phi_s(y, \eta)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (95b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mathbf{G}_t} \equiv & i(2\pi)^{1/4}\sigma_D \circ w(T^*\Xi_t \circ \Phi_s(y, \eta)|_{T\Sigma}, (y, \eta)|_{T\Sigma}) \\ & |dt|^{1/2} \otimes |dv_C(T^*\Xi_t \circ \Phi_s(y, \eta)|_{T\Sigma}, (y, \eta)|_{T\Sigma})|^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (95c)$$

where the principal symbol is modulo the Keller-Maslov part.

Proof. The first two assertions are immediate from (134a), (134b), (94a) and (94b). To compute the principal symbol one notes that $dv_{\dot{T}_0^*M}(y, \eta)$ induces a volume element $dv_{\dot{T}_0^*M_\Sigma}(y, \eta) := dv_{\dot{T}_0^*M}/dy^1$ on $\dot{T}_0^*M_\Sigma$ when Σ is parametrised by $y^1 = \text{cst}$ and then $dv_{\dot{T}_0^*M_\Sigma}(y, \eta) = d\eta_1 \wedge dy' \wedge d\eta'$ in the adapted coordinates $(y^1 = \text{cst}, y', \eta_1, \eta')$ on T^*M . Finally, the claim follows from (134c), (94c), (134c) and transporting (y, η) to (x, ξ) by the geodesic flow and the Killing flow. \square

If the composition $C'_{\pi^* \Delta^*} \circ \mathcal{C}_t$ is clean then we can compute $\text{Tr } U_t$ by the standard composition of Fourier integral operators. But, in general,

$$C'_{\pi^* \Delta^*} \circ \mathcal{C}_t = \{(t, \tau) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \mid \tau = -\xi(Z), (x, \xi)|_{T\Sigma} = (T^*\Xi_{-t} \circ \Phi_t(y, \eta))|_{T\Sigma}\} \quad (96)$$

may not be clean because (see Appendix (118), (121) and (122) for the symbol \star and further details) the fibres of $C'_{\pi^* \Delta^*} \star \mathcal{C}_t \rightarrow C'_{\pi^* \Delta^*} \circ \mathcal{C}_t$ can be identified with the fibres over $\tau \in \dot{T}_t^*\mathbb{R}$, i.e., the set $\{\mathfrak{F}_t\}$ (31) of periodic geodesics, where we have used (16) and (65). Then, even

if $\{\mathfrak{F}_t\}$ happen to be manifolds, the chances of $\dim \mathfrak{F}_t$ is a constant for all t is very low; for instance, if all the orbits of $\Xi_t^{\mathcal{N}}$ are periodic with the same period $t = T$, then the composition is clean (see e.g. [35, p. 289]). We remark that this, however, is not an issue for the trivial period ($T = 0$) and the assumptions made on classical dynamics in Theorem 1.7 ensures a clean intersection in the case of non-trivial periods ($T \neq 0$).

Let us record for the future computations that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim M = d, \dim T^*M = 2d, \dim \dot{T}_0^*M = 2d - 1, \dim \mathcal{N} = 2d - 2, \dim \tilde{\mathcal{N}} = 2d - 3, \\ \dim \Sigma = d - 1, \dim T^*\Sigma = 2d - 2 = \dim \dot{T}_0^*M_\Sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

5.1. Principal symbol at $T = 0$. We begin with the trivial periodic orbits where a big singularity is expected as U_t reduces to an identity operator in this situation. To describe $\sigma_{\text{Tr } U_0}$ it is useful to have the notion of the symplectic residue [21, Def. 6.1], introduced by Guillemin to derive Weyl's law in the context of Weyl algebra quantising a conic symplectic manifold. By construction (cf. (16)), H^{1-d} is a homogeneous function of degree $1-d$. Then its symplectic residue is defined by $\text{res } H^{1-d} := \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}} \vartheta_{H^{1-d}}$, where ϑ is the interior multiplication of the symplectic volume form $\text{dv}_{\mathcal{N}}$ on \mathcal{N} by the Euler vector field (Section 2.4) on it and $\vartheta_{H^{1-d}}$ is the pull-back of $H^{1-d}\vartheta$ on \mathcal{N} . Homogeneity of H entails (cf. [21, Proof of Lemma 6.3])

$$\text{res } H^{1-d} = (d-1) \text{vol}(\mathcal{N}_{H \leq 1}). \quad (98)$$

On a standard stationary spacetime $\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}_{H \leq 1})$ has been computed by Strohmaier-Zelditch [45, (15)] and it is given by (24).

Lemma 5.3. *As in the terminologies of Theorem 3.1 (and hence Theorem 1.4 as well), $U_t(x, y) \in I^{-1/4}(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \times \Sigma, \mathcal{C}_t; \text{Hom}(E_\Sigma, (\Xi^*E)_\Sigma))$ associated with the canonical relation \mathcal{C}_t (95b) and, modulo the Keller-Maslov part, its principal symbol is given by*

$$\sigma_{U_t}(x, \xi; y, \eta) \equiv \sigma_{G_t}(x, \xi; y, \eta) \sigma_D(y, \eta), \quad (99)$$

where σ_{G_t} is given by (95c).

Furthermore, $\text{Tr } U_t$ is a Lagrangian distribution on \mathbb{R} of order $d - 7/4$ associated with the Lagrangian submanifold Λ_T and its principal symbol at $T = 0$ is given by

$$\sigma_{\text{Tr } U_0}(\tau) \equiv r \frac{d-1}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \text{vol}(\mathcal{N}_{H \leq 1}) |\tau|^{d-2} \sqrt{|\text{d}\tau|}. \quad (100)$$

Proof. The first assertion simply follows from $U_t(x, y) = G_t(x, y) \sigma_D(y, \zeta)$. We then use identification of \mathcal{N} with $\dot{T}_0^*M_\Sigma$ so that $|\text{dv}_{\mathcal{N}}|$ is identified with $|\text{dv}_{\dot{T}_0^*M_\Sigma}|$. If \mathbf{E} is a regular value of H then $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{E}}$ is a codimension one $X_H|_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{E}}}$ invariant embedded submanifold of \mathcal{N} , which inherits a natural volume form $\text{dv}_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{E}}}$ from $\text{dv}_{\mathcal{N}}$, invariant under the action of $X_H|_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{E}}}$ [1, Thm. 3.4.12]:

$$\text{dv}_{\mathcal{N}} = \text{dv}_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{E}}} \wedge \frac{\text{d}H}{\|\text{grad} H\|} \Leftrightarrow \text{dv}_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{E}}}(\dots) = \text{dv}_{\mathcal{N}} \left(\frac{\text{grad} H}{\|\text{grad} H\|}, \dots \right). \quad (101)$$

One observes that at $T = 0$, $T^*\Xi_0(\Phi_0(y, \eta)) = (y, \eta)$ and $C = \Delta \dot{T}_0^*M$ so that $\mathcal{C}'_0 = \{(0, -\xi(Z))\} \times (\Delta \dot{T}_0^*M)|_{T\Sigma \times T\Sigma}$ and $|\text{dv}_C(y, \eta; y, \eta)|_{T\Sigma \times T\Sigma}^{1/2} = |\text{dv}_{\mathcal{N}}(y, \eta)|$. The excess of $\mathcal{C}'_{\pi_* \Delta^*} \circ \mathcal{C}_0$ is (see Appendix (120)) $e = \dim \mathfrak{F}_0 = 2d - 3$. Hence, by the composition of Lagrangian distributions, $\text{Tr } U_0 \in I^{d-7/4}(\mathbb{R}, \Lambda_T)$. One observes [19, (6.6)] that $\varphi(t, \tau)$ defined by $(t-T)\tau$ resp. 0 for $\tau < 0$ resp. $\tau \geq 0$ is a phase function for Λ_T . Obviously, φ is not smooth around $\tau = 0$ but the required modification will only reflect by some smooth terms in the

oscillatory integral described below, which we suppress notationally for brevity. Any element of this Lagrangian distribution can be written as scalar multiples c_1, c_2, \dots of an oscillatory integral of the form

$$(\mathrm{Tr} U_0)(t) = (2\pi)^{-3/4} (2\pi)^{d-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} e^{-it\tau} (c_1 \tau^{d-2} + c_2 \tau^{d-3} + \dots) d\tau \quad (102)$$

because $\sigma_{\mathrm{Tr} U_0}$ must be of order $d-2$ as entailed by the order of $\mathrm{Tr} U_0$.

Computation of $\sigma_{\mathrm{Tr} U_0}$ involves the following four steps as detailed in Section A.4. Briefly speaking, first one obtains the tensor product $\sigma_k \otimes \sigma_{U_0}$ followed by intersecting with the diagonal. Then we integrate over \mathfrak{F}_0 and take tr of the hindmost quantity. Putting all these together and comparing with the last expression of $\mathrm{Tr} U_0$ we obtain

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{Tr} U_0}(0, \tau) = r \frac{\mathrm{res}(H^{1-d})}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} |\tau|^{d-2} \sqrt{|\mathrm{d}\tau|}, \quad (103)$$

where we have used Lemma 5.2, 2.3 and the identity

$$\mathrm{tr}(\sigma_D(y, \eta) \sigma_D(y, \zeta)) = g_y^{-1}(\eta, \zeta) \mathrm{rk}(E) \quad (104)$$

using the cyclicity of trace and (52). \square

5.2. Principal symbol at $t = T \in \mathcal{P}$. This is the scenario corresponding to the non-trivial periodic orbits when $C_{\pi_* \Delta^*} \circ \mathcal{C}_T$ is clean or equivalently the set of fixed points \mathfrak{F}_T is clean. Recall, the fixed point sets of $\Xi_T^{\mathcal{N}}$ are the union of periodic orbits γ of $\Xi_T^{\mathcal{N}}$ which depends substantially on manifold (M, g) and we are only concerned about non-degenerate orbits as briefly defined below (details are available in [19, Sec. 4]). Let σ is the symplectic form on T^*M . The induced Killing flow $\Xi_s^{\mathcal{N}}$ preserves the level sets $\{H(c) = \tau\}$ since $\Xi_s^{\mathcal{N}}$ is the Hamiltonian H (16) flow on \mathcal{N} . Then its restriction to $\mathcal{V} := T_c\{H = \mathbf{E}\}$ for any \mathbf{E} satisfies $\sigma|_{\mathcal{V}}(X_H, \cdot) = 0$ and by the *non-degeneracy* [45, p. 44] of γ , it is meant that the *nullspace* of $\sigma|_{\mathcal{V}}$ is spanned by X_H . This condition implies that $\ker(I - (\mathrm{d}_\gamma \Xi_s^{\mathcal{V}})) = \mathbb{R}X_H$ and $\mathrm{img}(I - (\mathrm{d}_\gamma \Xi_s^{\mathcal{V}})) = \mathcal{V}/\mathbb{R}X_H$, where $\mathrm{d}_\gamma \Xi_s^{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and $\ker(I - \mathrm{d}_\gamma \Xi_s^{\mathcal{N}})$ is to be understood as the kernel of $I - \mathrm{d}_\gamma \Xi_s^{\mathcal{N}}$ on \mathcal{V} . In this setting, the linearised Poincaré map P_γ is defined as

$$I - P_\gamma : \mathcal{V}/\ker(I - \mathrm{d}_\gamma \Xi_s^{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}/\ker(I - \mathrm{d}_\gamma \Xi_s^{\mathcal{N}}) \quad (105)$$

the linear symplectic quotient map induced by $I - \mathrm{d}_\gamma \Xi_s^{\mathcal{N}}$.

Lemma 5.4. *As in the terminologies of Theorem 1.7, the principal symbol of $\mathrm{Tr} U_t$ at $t = T \in \mathcal{P}$ is*

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{Tr} U_T}(\tau) = \frac{\tau^{d-2}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \int_{\mathfrak{F}_T} \mathrm{tr}(\sigma_D(\gamma) \mathcal{T}_{\Xi_T^{\mathcal{N}}(\gamma)} \sigma_D(x, \zeta)) \frac{e^{-i\pi \mathbf{m}(\gamma)/2} |\mathrm{d}T| \otimes \sqrt{|\mathrm{d}\tau|}}{\sqrt{|\det(I - P_\gamma)|}}. \quad (106)$$

Proof. We begin with the fact that non-degenerate γ belongs to a 2-dimensional cylinder, transversally intersecting the energy hypersurfaces [1, p. 576] which implies that one can use $\tau = -H(\gamma)$ as a coordinate for fibre over (T, τ) . Then the half-density valued density at (T, τ) is given by [19, pp. 60 - 61] (see also, e.g. [22, Thm. 6.1.1])

$$|\mathrm{d}T| \otimes \sqrt{\frac{|\mathrm{d}\tau|}{|\det(I - P_\gamma)|}}, \quad (107)$$

where $|\mathrm{d}T|$ is the density on γ induced by the flow.

To compute $\sigma_{\text{Tr } U_T}$ we use identifications $\mathcal{N} \equiv \dot{T}_0^* M_\Sigma, |\text{dv}_{\mathcal{N}}| \equiv |\text{dv}_{\dot{T}_0^* M_\Sigma}|$ once again. For $T \neq 0$, C is no more given by $\Delta \dot{T}_0^* M$ and so we read off the off-diagonal expression of σ_C from Lemma 2.3 to obtain

$$\sigma_{U_T}(x, \xi; y, \eta) \equiv (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{4}} i \sigma_D(x, \xi) w(x, \xi; y, \eta) |\text{dt}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes |\text{dv}_C(x, \xi; y, \eta)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (108)$$

modulo the Keller-Maslov contribution, where $(x, \xi) \equiv T^* \Xi_t \circ \Phi_s(y^\lambda, \eta^\lambda)|_{T\Sigma}$, $(y, \eta) \equiv (y^\lambda, \eta^\lambda)|_{T\Sigma}$ for $(y^\lambda, \eta^\lambda) \in \dot{T}_0^* M$. As before, $\sigma_{\text{Tr } U_T}$ is computed employing (48) by performing the four steps used in Lemma 5.3. These yield the claimed expression, modulo the contribution coming from the Keller-Maslov line bundle $\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \Lambda_T$.

Thus, we are left with the computation of the \mathbb{M} -part which has been calculated in [19, (6.16)] (see also [36, Sec. 3]). For completeness, we briefly outline the main steps. First, one constructs the Keller-Maslov bundle $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_T$ from $\mathbb{L}_C, \mathbb{L}_\Gamma$ and \mathbb{L}_Λ following the procedure detailed in Appendix A.3. Let φ resp. ϕ be generating functions of $C_{\pi^* \Delta^*}$ resp. \mathcal{C}_T . Then $\psi := \varphi + \phi$ locally generates Λ_T . Suppose that we partition $[0, 1]$ as $0 = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_N = 1$ such that $\{\mathcal{L}_\alpha\}_{\alpha=0, \dots, N}$ is a conic covering of Λ_T around $\gamma(s_\alpha)$ and that $\{\psi_\alpha\}$ are the corresponding generating functions. Then, utilising ψ in the formula, for example, in [35, (5)] one obtains the Maslov index. \square

6. PROOF OF WEYL LAW

As shown in Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.7 that $\text{Tr } U_t$ has singularities at $t = 0, T$. Our aim is to only cut the $t = 0$ singularity. In order to do so, one introduces a Schwartz function $\chi(\lambda)$ on \mathbb{R} such that (see e.g. [52, Thm. 7.5]) (i) $\chi(\lambda) > 0$ for all λ , (ii) $(\mathcal{F}\chi)(0) \equiv 1$, (iii) $(\mathcal{F}\chi)(t) \equiv (\mathcal{F}\chi)(-t)$, (iv) $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}\chi_{\lambda \rightarrow t}\chi) \subset (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. Then employing expression of $\text{Tr } U_0$ from Theorem 1.4 and the aforementioned properties of χ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{t \rightarrow \lambda}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{\cdot \rightarrow t}(\chi) \text{Tr } U_t) &\approx \int d\tau \delta(\tau - \lambda) r \frac{\text{res}(H^{1-d})}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \tau^{d-2} + \dots \\ &= r(d-1) \frac{\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}_{H \leq 1})}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \lambda^{d-2} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

where $f \approx g$ means that $f/g \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ for any functions $f(t), g(t)$. That means that

$$(\chi * \text{dN})(\lambda) = r(d-1) \frac{\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}_{H \leq 1})}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \lambda^{d-2} + O(\lambda^{d-3}), \quad (110)$$

where $*$ denotes the convolution. Employing

$$(\chi * \text{N})(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} (\chi * \text{dN})(\mu) d\mu = r \frac{\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}_{H \leq 1})}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \lambda^{d-1} + O(\lambda^{d-2}). \quad (111)$$

The Weyl law entails from

$$\text{N}(\lambda) = (\text{N} * \chi)(\lambda) - \int (\text{N}(\lambda - \mu) - \text{N}(\lambda)) \chi(\mu) d\mu \quad (112)$$

together with the facts $\text{N}(\lambda - \mu) - \text{N}(\lambda) \lesssim \langle \mu \rangle^{d-1} \langle \lambda \rangle^{d-2}$ and $\int \chi(\mu) d\mu = 1$.

APPENDIX A. COMPOSITION OF BUNDLE VALUED DENSITIES ON CANONICAL RELATIONS

In this appendix we accumulate some well-known facts about half-densities on a canonical relation and their composition, as used extensively in the principal symbol computation. Recall, the punctured cotangent bundle \dot{T}^*M over a manifold M is a conic symplectic manifold, as it naturally carries the canonical symplectic form σ_M which is given by $\sigma_M = dx^i \wedge d\xi_i$ in any homogeneous symplectic coordinates (x^i, ξ_i) for \dot{T}^*M . If N is a manifold, not necessarily of the same dimension as M , then $(\dot{T}^*M \times \dot{T}^*N, \sigma^\pm := \text{pr}_M^* \sigma_M \pm \text{pr}_N^* \sigma_N)$ are conic symplectic manifolds, where $\text{pr}_M, \text{pr}_N : M \times N \rightarrow M, N$ are the Cartesian projectors. Throughout the article, only the symplectic form σ^- has been used, i.e., by a canonical relation $C \subset \dot{T}^*M \times \dot{T}^*N$ from N to M , it is meant that C is a Lagrangian submanifold of $\dot{T}^*M \times \dot{T}^*N$ with respect to σ^- , or equivalently, C' is a canonical relation with respect to σ^+ . If C is conic then it is called a homogeneous canonical relation [25, Def. 4.1.2] (see also [26, Def. 21.2.12]).

A.1. Half-density bundle over a canonical relation. Any homogeneous canonical relation $C \subset \dot{T}^*(M \times N) \cong \dot{T}^*M \times \dot{T}^*N$ can always be locally parametrised by a non-degenerate phase function $\varphi(x, y; \theta)$, defined locally on $M \times N \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $n := \dim M + \dim N$ [25, Thm. 3.1.3] (see also, e.g. [26, Thm. 21.2.16, 21.2.18], [49, pp. 418-419]). Let

$$\mathcal{C} := (\text{grad}_\theta \varphi)^{-1}(0) \quad (113)$$

be the fibre-critical manifold of φ . Then C is locally obtained by the homogeneous immersion [25, p. 134] (see also, e.g. [35])

$$j : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow C, (x, y; \theta) \mapsto j(x, y; \theta) := (x, d_x \varphi; y, d_y \varphi). \quad (114)$$

Since the map [25, p. 143, Prop. 4.1.3] (for details, see e.g. [18, (4.1.1)], [49, p. 440])

$$M \times N \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (x, y; \theta) \mapsto \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta_1}(x, y; \theta), \dots, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta_n}(x, y; \theta) \right) \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (115)$$

is surjective, \mathcal{C} is endowed with the measure $dv_{\mathcal{C}} := \delta(\text{grad}_\theta \varphi)$ defined by taking the quotient of the measure on $M \times N \times \mathbb{R}^n$ by the pullback of the delta-distribution under the mapping (115). Thereby,

$$dv_C := ((dj)^{-1})^* dv_{\mathcal{C}} \quad (116)$$

gives a natural measure on C and hence one has the bundle $\Omega^{1/2}C \rightarrow C$ of half-densities over C whose local sections are $\sqrt{|dv_C|}$.

The situation becomes much simpler when the canonical relation Γ is the graph of a symplectomorphism from \dot{T}^*N to \dot{T}^*M . In this case Γ is a symplectic manifold with respect to the symplectic form $\sigma_\Gamma := \text{pr}_M^* \sigma_M = \text{pr}_N^* \sigma_N$ (see e.g. [27, p. 25]) and we have the corresponding Liouville volume form

$$dv_\Gamma := \frac{(-1)^{d(d-1)/2}}{d!} \sigma_\Gamma^d. \quad (117)$$

A.2. Composition of half-densities. Let $C \subset \dot{T}^*(M \times O)$ and $A \subset \dot{T}^*(O \times N)$ be closed conic canonical relations, where O is a manifold whose dimension is not necessarily equal to that of either M or N . In order to guarantee that the composition $A \circ B$ of two Fourier integral operators A resp. B associated with C resp. A is a Fourier integral operator, it is sufficient (see e.g. [27, Thm. 25.2.3]; an exhaustive list of original references is available in [28, Sec. 2.1.1])

to assume that $C \circ \Lambda$ is clean, proper⁷ and connected⁸ (for details of these terminologies, see, for instance, the monographs [26, App. C.3, Thm. 21.2.14, Prop. 21.2.19], [49, pp. 457-458]). Our aim is to define a half-density on $C \circ \Lambda$, given half-densities on C and Λ . To do so, it is convenient to introduce the notation

$$C \star \Lambda := (C \times \Lambda) \bigcap (\dot{T}^*M \times \Delta(\dot{T}^*O) \times \dot{T}^*N) \quad (118)$$

and the Cartesian projector $\Pi : \dot{T}^*M \times \Delta(\dot{T}^*O) \times \dot{T}^*N \rightarrow \dot{T}^*M \times \dot{T}^*N$, so that the clean composition between C and Λ is given by

$$C \circ \Lambda = \Pi(C \star \Lambda), \quad (119a)$$

$$= \{(x, \xi; y, \eta) \in \dot{T}^*M \times \dot{T}^*N \mid \exists (z, \zeta) \in \dot{T}^*O : (x, \xi; z, \zeta) \in C, (z, \zeta; y, \eta) \in \Lambda\}. \quad (119b)$$

The excess e of $C \circ \Lambda$ is (see e.g. [22, Thm. 4.2.2])

$$e = \dim \mathfrak{F}, \quad (120)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F} &:= \{\mathfrak{F}_{(x, \xi; y, \eta)} \mid (x, \xi; y, \eta) \in C \circ \Lambda\}, \\ \mathfrak{F}_{(x, \xi; y, \eta)} &:= \{(x, \xi; z, \zeta; z, \zeta; y, \eta) \in C \star \Lambda \mid \Pi(x, \xi; z, \zeta; z, \zeta; y, \eta) = (x, \xi; y, \eta) \in C \circ \Lambda\} \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

is the set of compact connected fibres $\mathfrak{F}_{(x, \xi; y, \eta)}$ of the smooth fibration

$$\Pi : C \star \Lambda \rightarrow C \circ_e \Lambda. \quad (122)$$

In terms of generating functions, if $\varphi(x, z; \theta)$ and $\phi(z, y; \vartheta)$ are non-degenerate phase functions for C and Λ in open conic neighbourhoods of $(x_0, z_0; \theta^0) \in M \times O \times \mathbb{R}^{n_M}$ and $(z_0, y_0; \vartheta^0) \in O \times N \times \mathbb{R}^{n_N}$ such that $(x_0, z_0; \theta^0) \in \mathcal{C}_\varphi$ and $(z_0, y_0; \vartheta^0) \in \mathcal{C}_\phi$, respectively, with $d_z \varphi(x_0, z_0; \theta^0) + d_z \phi(z_0, y_0; \vartheta^0) = 0$, then $\psi(x, z, y; \theta, \vartheta) := \varphi(x, z; \theta) + \phi(z, y; \vartheta)$ is a clean phase function with excess e parametrising $C \circ_e \Lambda$. In addition, if ψ is non-degenerate in $(z'; \theta', \vartheta')$ then the e variables $(z''; \theta'', \vartheta'')$ parametrise \mathfrak{F} (see e.g. [26, Prop. 21.2.19]).

In order to achieve the desired composition formula, one employs the isomorphism [19, Lem. 5.2] (see also, e.g. [22, Thm. 7.1.1, (7.4)], [26, Thm. 21.6.7])

$$\Omega_{(x, \xi; z, \zeta)}^{1/2} C \otimes \Omega_{(z, \zeta; y, \eta)}^{1/2} \Lambda \cong \Omega_{(x, \xi; z, \zeta; y, \eta)}^{1/2} \mathfrak{F} \otimes \Omega_{(x, \xi; y, \eta)}^{1/2} (C \circ \Lambda), \quad (123)$$

where \mathfrak{F} is the set of fibres $\mathfrak{F}_{(x, \xi; y, \eta)}$ of the fibration Π . This entails that by taking tensor product between half-densities on C and Λ followed by intersecting with the diagonal gives a half-density on \mathfrak{F} times a half-density on $C \circ \Lambda$, and therefore, integrating over the fibre $\mathfrak{F}_{(x, \xi; y, \eta)}$ we achieve the desired composition of half-densities on canonical relations [19, p. 64]:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot \diamond \cdot &: C^\infty(C; \Omega^{1/2} C) \times C^\infty(\Lambda; \Omega^{1/2} \Lambda) \rightarrow C^\infty(C \circ \Lambda; \Omega^{1/2}(C \circ \Lambda)), \\ (\mu, \nu) &\mapsto \mu \diamond \nu(x, \xi; y, \eta) := \int_{\mathfrak{F}_{(x, \xi; y, \eta)}} (\mu \otimes \nu)(\Pi^{-1}(x, \xi; y, \eta)). \end{aligned} \quad (124)$$

⁷This ensures that $C \circ \Lambda$ is closed in $\dot{T}^*(M \times N)$.

⁸This implies no self-intersection

If $e = 0$ then the isomorphism (123) reduces to $\Omega^{1/2}C \otimes \Omega^{1/2}\Lambda \cong \Omega^{1/2}(C \circ \Lambda)$ [25, p. 179] (see also, e.g. [22, (7.3)]) and hence (124) simply becomes the pointwise evaluation (see e.g. [18, Thm. 4.2.2])

$$\mu \diamond \nu(x, \xi; y, \eta) = \sum_{(z, \zeta) \mid (x, \xi; z, \zeta) \in C, (z, \zeta; y, \eta) \in \Lambda} \mu(x, \xi; z, \zeta) \nu(z, \zeta; y, \eta). \quad (125)$$

We call the pairs $(x, \xi), (y, \eta)$ (resp. (z, ζ)) in the preceding equation as the output (rep. input) variables of the composition following the terminology from [45, Lem. 8.4].

A.3. Composition of Keller-Maslov bundle valued halfdensities. The principal symbol of a scalar Lagrangian distribution associated with the canonical relation C is the Keller-Maslov bundle $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow C$ -valued density on C (see e.g. [27, Sec. 25.1] and the original references cited therein). Hence, we are compelled to extend the composition (124) on sections of $\mathbb{L} \otimes \Omega^{1/2}C$. The first step, thus is to construct the Keller-Maslov bundle $\mathbb{M} \rightarrow C \circ \Lambda$ given $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow C$ and $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \Lambda$. This is achieved by employing the phase function φ, ϕ, ψ for $\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{T}, \mathbb{M}$, respectively [25, Sec. 3.3]; for details, see e.g. the monographs [49, pp. 408-412], [22, pp. 132-138]. In particular, \mathbb{L} is an associated complex-line bundle with structure group $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ that is trivial as a vector bundle. Its defining sections are $\{l_i := \exp(i\pi \text{Hess}(\varphi_i)/4)\}$ with $l_i = c_{ij}l_j$ for some constant $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|c_{ij}| = 1$, where $\text{Hess} \varphi$ denotes the Hessian of φ (see e.g. [22, Sec. 5.13] for details).

Next, one employs the isomorphism [19, (5.7)] (see also, e.g. [22, (5.29)])

$$\mathbb{L} \boxtimes \mathbb{T} := \Pi_C^* \mathbb{L} \otimes \Pi_\Lambda^* \mathbb{T} \cong \Pi^* \mathbb{M} \quad (126)$$

where $\Pi_C, \Pi_\Lambda : C \star \Lambda \rightarrow C, \Lambda$ are the projections, in order to make the identification

$$(\Pi^* \mathfrak{m})(x, \xi; z, \zeta; y, \eta) = (\Pi_C^* \mathfrak{l} \otimes \Pi_\Lambda^* \mathfrak{t})(x, \xi; z, \zeta; y, \eta) \quad (127)$$

for any given sections \mathfrak{l} resp. \mathfrak{t} of \mathbb{L} resp. \mathbb{T} with a section \mathfrak{m} of \mathbb{M} . The desired extension of (124) is then

$$\begin{aligned} C^\infty(C; \mathbb{L} \otimes \Omega^{\frac{1}{2}}C) \times C^\infty(\Lambda; \mathbb{T} \otimes \Omega^{\frac{1}{2}}\Lambda) &\rightarrow C^\infty(C \circ \Lambda; \mathbb{M} \otimes \Omega^{\frac{1}{2}}(C \circ \Lambda)), \\ (\mathfrak{l} \otimes \mu, \mathfrak{t} \otimes \nu) &\mapsto \mathfrak{m} \otimes (\mu \diamond \nu). \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

A.4. Composition of vector bundle valued half-densities. Finally, we consider any vector bundles $E \rightarrow M, G \rightarrow O, F \rightarrow N$ and so $\text{Hom}(G, E) \rightarrow M \times O$ is a homomorphism bundle, forbye, $\widetilde{\text{Hom}}(G, E) \rightarrow C$ represents the pullback of $\text{Hom}(G, E)$ to $\mathbb{T}^*(M \times O)$ followed by restriction to C . One then extends (128) by tensoring with $\widetilde{\text{Hom}}(G, E), \widetilde{\text{Hom}}(F, G), \widetilde{\text{Hom}}(F, E)$, respectively to achieve our final composition rule [27, (25.2.10)]

$$\begin{aligned} C^\infty(C; \mathbb{L} \otimes \Omega^{1/2}C \otimes \widetilde{\text{Hom}}(G, E)) \times C^\infty(\Lambda; \mathbb{T} \otimes \Omega^{1/2}\Lambda \otimes \widetilde{\text{Hom}}(F, G)) \\ \rightarrow C^\infty(C \circ \Lambda; \mathbb{M} \otimes \Omega^{1/2}(C \circ \Lambda) \otimes \widetilde{\text{Hom}}(F, E)), \\ (\mathfrak{l} \otimes a, \mathfrak{t} \otimes b) \mapsto \mathfrak{m} \otimes (a \diamond b), \\ (a \diamond b)(x, \xi; y, \eta) := \int_{\mathfrak{F}(x, \xi; y, \eta)} (a \otimes b)(\Pi^{-1}(x, \xi; y, \eta)). \end{aligned} \quad (129)$$

As before, the hindmost expression reduces to standard composition of homomorphisms when $e = 0$:

$$(a \diamond b)(x, \xi; y, \eta) = \sum_{(z, \zeta) \mid (x, \xi; z, \zeta) \in C, (z, \zeta; y, \eta) \in \Lambda} a(x, \xi; z, \zeta) (b(z, \zeta; y, \eta)). \quad (130)$$

APPENDIX B. RESTRICTION MAP ON A VECTOR BUNDLE

Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle over a manifold M and $\iota_\Sigma : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$ an immersed submanifold of codimension $\text{codim } \Sigma := \dim M - \dim \Sigma$. Given a fibrewise isomorphism $\hat{\iota}_\Sigma : E_{\iota_\Sigma(x')} \cong (\iota_\Sigma^* E)_{x'}$, the restriction map

$$\iota_\Sigma^* : C_c^\infty(M; E) \rightarrow C^\infty(\Sigma; E_\Sigma) \quad (131)$$

is defined by the pullback of the morphism $(\iota_\Sigma, \hat{\iota}_\Sigma)$. This result is well-known for scalar case [18, Sec. 5.1] and translates in a straightforward way for vector bundles yet briefly presented here for the sake of completeness.

It is well-known that Σ admits an adapted atlas, i.e., for each $x' \in \Sigma$, there exist charts (V, ρ) resp. (U, κ) for Σ at x' resp. for M at $\iota_\Sigma(x')$ such that $\iota_\Sigma(V) \subset U$ and $\kappa \circ \iota_\Sigma \circ \rho^{-1}(x') = (0, \dots, 0, x^{\text{codim } \Sigma+1}, \dots, x^d)$ is a chart for Σ . After trivialising E , it follows that the Schwartz kernel R_Σ of ι_Σ^* is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_\Sigma(x', y) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\varphi} \frac{d\eta}{(2\pi)^d}, \\ \varphi &:= (x^i - y^i)\eta_i - y^j\eta_j, i = \text{codim } \Sigma + 1, \dots, d; j = 1, \dots, \text{codim } \Sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (132)$$

The phase function φ is linear and non-degenerate whose fibre-critical set is $\mathcal{C} = \{(x', y; \eta) \in \Sigma \times M \times \dot{\mathbb{R}}^d | \iota(x') = y\}$. Thus, R_Σ is a Lagrangian distribution of order $\text{codim } \Sigma/4$ associated with the canonical relation $\Lambda'_\Sigma := \{(x', \xi'; x, \xi) \in T^*M_\Sigma \times \dot{T}^*M | x = \iota(x'), \xi' = \xi|_{T_{x'}M_\Sigma}\}$ (see [18, (2.4.4), (2.4.22), (5.1.2)] for scalar version).

One discerns that $\Lambda_\Sigma \subset T^*M_\Sigma \times \dot{T}^*M$ is not a homogeneous canonical relation because it is not guaranteed that restriction of all elements in \dot{T}^*M to Σ is non-zero. Geometrically speaking, the complication arises due to the elements of the conormal bundle $\Sigma^{\perp*} \subset T^*M$. To circumvent this difficulty, one temporarily introduces a cutoff function χ on $\Sigma^{\perp*}$ and sets [48, Sec. 5.2]

$$R_\chi(x', y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\varphi} (1 - \chi(y, \eta)) \frac{d\eta}{(2\pi)^d} \quad (133)$$

so that such elements do not occur in the support of $1 - \chi$. Then the respective operator ι_χ^* is a homogeneous Fourier integral operator of order $\text{codim } \Sigma/4$ associated with the homogeneous canonical relation $\Lambda_\chi \subset \dot{T}^*M_\Sigma \times \dot{T}^*M$. Putting altogether:

$$R_\chi \in I^{\text{codim } \Sigma/4}(\Sigma \times M, \Lambda'_\chi; \text{Hom}(E, E_\Sigma)), \quad (134a)$$

$$\Lambda'_\chi := \{(x', \xi'; x, \xi) \in \dot{T}^*M_\Sigma \times \dot{T}^*M | x = \iota(x'), \xi' = \xi|_{T_{x'}M_\Sigma}\}, \quad (134b)$$

$$\sigma_{R_\chi}|_{\text{supp}(1-\chi)}(x', \xi'; x, -\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{\text{codim } \Sigma}{4}} \mathbb{1}_{\text{Hom}(E, E_\Sigma)} |dv_\Lambda(x', \xi'; x, -\xi)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \mathbb{1}, \quad (134c)$$

where $|dv_\Lambda|$ is the natural 1-density on Λ_χ and $\mathbb{1}$ is a section of the Keller-Maslov bundle $\mathbb{L}_\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$. The canonical symplectic form σ on T^*M is given by $\sigma = dx^i \wedge d\xi_i + dx^j \wedge d\xi_j$ in the adapted coordinates and so $dv_\Lambda = dx' \wedge d\xi$ is the induced density on Λ_Σ . The bundle \mathbb{L}_Λ comprises global constant sections constructed from φ .

Equivalently, one can say that ι_Σ^* is not a homogeneous Fourier integral operator due to plausible occurrence of elements in $\Sigma^{\perp*}$ in its canonical relation and the cutoff above can be emulated by composing with a $P \in \Psi\text{DO}^0(M; E)$ with $\text{WF } P \cap \dot{\Sigma}^{\perp*} = \emptyset$. The principal symbol of R_Σ is then $(2\pi)^{-\text{codim } \Sigma/4} \mathbb{1} |dv_\Lambda|^{1/2} \otimes \mathbb{1}$ over $\text{WF } P$ (see e.g. [45, Lem. 8.3] for the scalar version).

Any distribution on E can be restricted to E_Σ when its wavefront set is disjoint with Σ^\perp . In particular, if \mathcal{L} is such that the wavefront set of every $u \in I^m(M, \mathcal{L}; E)$ is disjoint from the conormal bundle Σ^\perp of $\Sigma \subset M$ then the restriction operator can be extended to a sequentially continuous linear operator

$$\iota_\chi^* : I^m(M, \mathcal{L}; E) \rightarrow I^{m+\frac{\text{codim } \Sigma}{4}}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L}|_{T\Sigma}; E_\Sigma), \quad \sigma_{\iota_\chi^* u}(x', \xi') \equiv (2\pi)^{-\frac{\text{codim } \Sigma}{4}} \sigma_u(x', \xi'). \quad (135)$$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Abraham and J. E. Marsden, *Foundations of Mechanics*, 2nd, Vol. 364, AMS Chelsea Publishing, USA, 1978; AMS reprint 2008.
- [2] Z. Avetisyan, Y.-L. Fang, and D. Vassiliev, *Spectral asymptotics for first order systems*, J. Spectr. Theory **6** (2016), no. 4, 695 – 715, available at [arXiv:1512.06281\[math.SP\]](#).
- [3] C. Bär, N. Ginoux, and F. Pfäffle, *Wave Equations on Lorentzian Manifolds and Quantization*, ESI Lectures in Mathematics and Physics, European Mathematical Society, Germany, 2007.
- [4] C. Bär and N. Ginoux, *Classical and Quantum Fields on Lorentzian Manifolds*, Global Differential Geometry (C. Bär, J. Lohkamp, and M. Schwarz, eds.), Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012, pp. 359 – 400, available at [arXiv:1104.1158\[math-ph\]](#).
- [5] R. Bartolo, *Periodic trajectories on stationary Lorentzian manifolds*, Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl. **43** (2001), no. 7, 883–903.
- [6] H. Baum and I. Kath, *Normally hyperbolic operators, the Huygens property and conformal geometry*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **14** (1996), no. 4, 315 – 371.
- [7] J. Bolte, *Semiclassical Expectation Values for Relativistic Particles with Spin 1/2*, Found. Phys. **31** (2001), no. 2, 423 – 444, available at [arXiv:0009052\[nlin.CD\]](#).
- [8] J. Bolte and R. Glaser, *Zitterbewegung and semiclassical observables for the Dirac equation*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37** (2004), no. 24, 6359 – 6373, available at [arXiv:0402154\[quant-ph\]](#).
- [9] J. Bolte and S. Keppeler, *Semiclassical Time Evolution and Trace Formula for Relativistic Spin-1/2 Particles*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998), 1987 – 1991, available at [arXiv:9805041\[quant-ph\]](#).
- [10] ———, *A Semiclassical Approach to the Dirac Equation*, Ann. Phys. **274** (1999), no. 1, 125 – 162, available at [arXiv:9811025\[quant-ph\]](#).
- [11] T. P. Branson and P. B. Gilkey, *Residues of the eta function for an operator of Dirac type*, J. Funct. Anal. **108** (1992), no. 1, 47 – 87.
- [12] A.M. Candela, J.L. Flores, and M. Sánchez, *Global hyperbolicity and Palais–Smale condition for action functionals in stationary spacetimes*, Adv. Math. **218** (2008), no. 2, 515–536, available at [arXiv:0610175\[math.DG\]](#).
- [13] M. Capoferri and S. Murro, *Global and microlocal aspects of Dirac operators: propagators and Hadamard states* (2022), available at [arXiv:2201.12104\[math.AP\]](#).
- [14] M. Capoferri and D. Vassiliev, *Global propagator for the massless Dirac operator and spectral asymptotics*, arXiv:2004.06351[math.AP] (2020).
- [15] J. Chazarain, *Formule de Poisson pour les variétés riemanniennes*, Invent. math. **24** (1974), no. 1, 65–82.
- [16] ———, *Spectre d’Un hamiltonien quantique et mecanique classique*, Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. **5** (1980), no. 6, 595 – 644.
- [17] O. Chervova, R. Downes, and D. Vassiliev, *The spectral function of a first order elliptic system*, J. Spectr. Theory **3** (2013), no. 3, 317 – 360, available at [arXiv:1208.6015\[math.SP\]](#).
- [18] J. J. Duistermaat, *Fourier Integral Operators*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser, New York, 2011.
- [19] J. J. Duistermaat and V. W. Guillemin, *The spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic bicharacteristics*, Invent. math. **29** (1975), no. 1, 39 – 79.
- [20] J. J. Duistermaat and L. Hörmander, *Fourier integral operators. II*, Acta Math. **128** (1972), 183 – 269.
- [21] V. Guillemin, *A new proof of Weyl’s formula on the asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues*, Adv. Math. **55** (1985), no. 2, 131 – 160.
- [22] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Semi-Classical Analysis*, International Press of Boston, Inc., US, 2013.
- [23] M. C. Gutzwiller, *Periodic Orbits and Classical Quantization Conditions*, J. Math. Phys. **12** (1971), no. 3, 343 – 358.
- [24] L. Hörmander, *The spectral function of an elliptic operator*, Acta Math. **121** (1968), no. 1, 193 – 218.
- [25] ———, *Fourier integral operators. I*, Acta Math. **127** (1971), 79 – 183.

- [26] ———, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators III: Pseudo-Differential Operators*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Germany, 2007.
- [27] ———, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators IV: Fourier Integral Operators*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Germany, 2009.
- [28] O. Islam and A. Strohmaier, *On microlocalization and the construction of Feynman propagators for normally hyperbolic operators* (2020), available at [arXiv:2012.09767\[math.AP\]](#).
- [29] V. Ivrii, *100 years of Weyl's law*, Bull. Math. Sci. **6** (2016), 379 – 452, available at [arXiv:1608.03963\[math.SP\]](#).
- [30] D. Jakobson and A. Strohmaier, *High Energy Limits of Laplace-Type and Dirac-Type EigenFunctions and Frame Flows*, Commun. Math. Phys. **270** (2007), no. 3, 813 – 833, available at [arXiv:0607616v1\[math.SP\]](#).
- [31] B. Khesin and S. Tabachnikov, *Pseudo-Riemannian geodesics and billiards*, Adv. Math. **221** (2009), no. 4, 1364 – 1396, available at [arXiv:math/0608620\[math.DG\]](#).
- [32] A. Laptev, Y. Safarov, and D. Vassiliev, *On global representation of lagrangian distributions and solutions of hyperbolic equations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **47** (1994), no. 11, 1411 – 1456.
- [33] L. Li and A. Strohmaier, *The local counting function of operators of Dirac and Laplace type*, J. Geom. Phys. **104** (2016), 204 – 228, available at [arXiv:1509.00198\[math.SP\]](#).
- [34] A. McCormick, *A Trace Formula on Stationary Kaluza-Klein Spacetimes* (2022), available at [arXiv:2203.16729\[math-ph\]](#).
- [35] E. Meinrenken, *Semiclassical principal symbols and Gutzwiller's trace formula*, Rep. Math. Phys. **31** (1992), no. 3, 279 – 295.
- [36] ———, *Trace formulas and the Conley-Zehnder index*, J. Geom. Phys. **13** (1994), no. 1, 1 – 15.
- [37] R. Mühlhoff, *Cauchy Problem and Green's Functions for First Order Differential Operators and Algebraic Quantization*, J. Math. Phys. **52** (2011), 022303, available at [arXiv:1001.4091\[math-ph\]](#).
- [38] P. Muratore-Ginanneschi, *Path integration over closed loops and Gutzwiller's trace formula*, Phys. Rep. **383** (2003), no. 5, 299 – 397, available at [arXiv:0210047\[nlin-cd\]](#).
- [39] R. Penrose, *On the nature of quantum geometry*, Magic Without Magic (J. R. Klauder, ed.), W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1972.
- [40] Y. Safarov, *Fourier Tauberian Theorems and Applications*, J. Funct. Anal. **185** (2001), no. 1, 111 – 128, available at [arXiv:0003014\[math.SP\]](#).
- [41] Y. Safarov and D. Vassiliev, *The Asymptotic Distribution of Eigenvalues of Partial Differential Operators*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 155, American Mathematical Society, USA, 1997.
- [42] M. Sánchez, *Timelike periodic trajectories in spatially compact Lorentz manifolds*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), no. 10, 3057–3066.
- [43] ———, *Recent Progress on the Notion of Global Hyperbolicity*, Advances in Lorentzian Geometry: Proceedings of the Lorentzian Geometry Conference in Berlin (M. Plaue, A. Rendall, and M. Scherfner, eds.), AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 49, American Mathematical Society and International Press, USA, 2011, pp. 105 – 124, available at [arXiv:0712.1933\[gr-qc\]](#).
- [44] M. R. Sandoval, *Wavetrace asymptotics for operators of Dirac type*, Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. **24** (1999), no. 9-10, 1903-1944.
- [45] A. Strohmaier and S. Zelditch, *A Gutzwiller trace formula for stationary space-times*, Adv. Math. **376** (2021), 107434, available at [arXiv:1808.08425\[math.AP\]](#).
- [46] ———, *Semi-classical mass asymptotics on stationary spacetimes*, Indag. Math. **32** (2021), no. 1, 323–363, available at [arXiv:2002.01055\[math-ph\]](#).
- [47] ———, *Spectral asymptotics on stationary space-times*, Rev. Math. Phys. **33** (2021), no. 01, 2060007.
- [48] J. A. Toth and S. Zelditch, *Quantum Ergodic Restriction Theorems: Manifolds Without Boundary*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **23** (2013), 715 – 775, available at [arXiv:1104.4531\[math.SP\]](#).
- [49] J.-F. Treves, *Introduction to Pseudodifferential and Fourier Integral Operators: Fourier Integral Operators*, University Series in Mathematics, vol. 2, Plenum Press, New York, 1980; Second Printing 1982.
- [50] A. Uribe, *Trace Formulae*, First Summer School in Analysis and Mathematical Physics: Quantization, the Segal-Bargmann Transform and Semiclassical Analysis (Cuernavaca Morelos, Mexico) (S. Pérez-Esteva and C. Villegas-Blas, eds.), Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 260, American Mathematical Society, 2000, pp. 61 – 90.
- [51] Y. Colin de Verdière, *Spectrum of the Laplace operator and periodic geodesics: thirty years after*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **57** (2007), no. 7, 2429–2463.

- [52] J. Wunsch, *Microlocal analysis and evolution equations: Lecture Notes from the 2008 CMI/ETH Summer School* (D. Ellwood, I. Rodnianski, G. Staffilani, and J. Wunsch, eds.), Clay Mathematics Proceedings, vol. 17, American Mathematical Society, 2013.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS, LEEDS LS2 9JT, UK

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT POTSDAM, 14476 POTSDAM, GERMANY

Email address: `onirban.islam@math.uni-potsdam.de`