

BORDERLINE GRADIENT CONTINUITY FOR FRACTIONAL HEAT TYPE OPERATORS

VEDANSH ARYA* AND DHARMENDRA KUMAR**

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish gradient continuity for solutions to

$$(\partial_t - \operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla u))^s = f, \quad s \in (1/2, 1),$$

when f belongs to the scaling critical function space $L(\frac{n+2}{2s-1}, 1)$. Our main results Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 can be seen as a nonlocal generalization of a well known result of Stein in the context of fractional heat type operators and sharpens some of the previous gradient continuity results which deals with f in subcritical spaces. Our proof is based on an appropriate adaptation of compactness arguments, which has its roots in a fundamental work of Caffarelli in [13].

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and the statement of the main result	1
2. Notations and Preliminaries	5
3. Proof of Main Theorem	7
4. Appendix	21
References	23

1. INTRODUCTION AND THE STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULT

In this article we prove gradient continuity for the following nonlocal operators $(\partial_t - \operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla))^s$ which are modelled on the fractional heat operator $(\partial_t - \Delta)^s$ with critical scalar perturbations. To provide some context to our work, we note that the study of the fractional heat operator $(\partial_t - \Delta)^s$ was first proposed in M. Riesz' visionary papers [40] and [41]. This nonlocal operator represents a basic model of the *continuous time random walk* (CTRW) introduced by Montroll and Weiss in [36]. We recall that a CTRW is a generalization of a random walk where the wandering particle

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35R11, 35B65, 35K65, 35R09.

Key words and phrases. Extension problem, Fractional heat operator, Master equations, Borderline gradient continuity.

*vedansh@tifrbng.res.in,**dharmendra2020@tifrbng.res.in.

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Centre For Applicable Mathematics, Bangalore-560065, India.

waits for a random time between jumps. It is a stochastic jump process with arbitrary distributions of jump lengths and waiting times. In [35], Klafter and Metzler describe such processes by means of the nonlocal equation both in space and time

$$\eta(t, x) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Psi(\tau, z) \eta(t - \tau, x - z) dz d\tau,$$

which is an example of a *master equation*. Such equations were introduced in 1973 by Kenkre, Montroll and Shlesinger in [34], and they are presently receiving increasing attention by mathematicians also thanks to the work [17] of Caffarelli and Silvestre in which the authors establish the Hölder continuity of viscosity solutions of generalized master equations

$$(1.1) \quad Lu(t, x) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(t, x; \tau, z) [u(t, x) - u(t - \tau, x - z)] dz d\tau = 0.$$

On the kernel K they assume that there exist $0 < s < 1$ and $\beta > 2s$ such that for $0 < c_1 < c_2$ one can find $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$ for which for a.e. $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ one has

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} K(t, x; \tau, z) \geq \frac{\lambda}{|z|^{n+2s+\beta}} & \text{when } c_1|z|^\beta \leq \tau \leq c_2|z|^\beta, \\ K(t, x; \tau, z) \leq \frac{\Lambda}{|z|^{n+2s+\beta} + \tau^{n/\beta+1+2s/\beta}}. \end{cases}$$

The pseudo-differential operator $(\partial_t - \Delta)^s$ which is defined via the Bochner's subordination principle in the following way (see for instance [5], [44], [8]),

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta)^s u(t, x) &= \frac{s}{\Gamma(1-s)} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau^{-s-1} G(\tau, z) [u(t, x) - u(t - \tau, x - z)] dz d\tau, \\ &= \frac{s}{\Gamma(1-s)} \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (t - \tau)^{-s-1} G(t - \tau, x - z) [u(t, x) - u(\tau, z)] dz d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where $G(\tau, z) = (4\pi\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}$ is the standard heat kernel and $\Gamma(z)$ indicates Euler gamma function, is seen to be a special case of the master equation. We mention that recently Nyström and Sande [38] and Stinga and Torrea [44] have independently adapted to the fractional heat operator the celebrated extension procedure of Caffarelli and Silvestre in [16] which can be described as follows.

Given $s \in (0, 1)$ we introduce the parameter

$$a = 1 - 2s \in (-1, 1),$$

and indicate with $U = U(t, X)$ the solution to the following *extension problem*

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} y^a \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}_X (y^a \nabla_X U), & (t, X) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ U(t, x, 0) = u(t, x), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Using an appropriate Poisson representation of the extension problem it was proved in [38] and [44], see also Section 3 in [8] for details, that one has in $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$

$$(1.5) \quad -\frac{2^{2s-1}\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(1-s)} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} y^a \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(t, x, y) = (\partial_t - \Delta)^s u(t, x).$$

Such an extension problem has been generalized for fractional powers of variable coefficient operators such as $(\partial_t - \operatorname{div}((A(x)\nabla)))^s$ in [11] and [12]. We also refer to [9] for a generalization of the extension problem in the subelliptic situation. We would like to mention that the study of the fractional heat type operators as well as the related extension problem has received a lot of attention in recent times, see for instance [1], [3], [4], [8], [6], [7], [12], [21], [32], [31]. We would also like to mention that extension problem is prototype of the equations with general A_2 weight studied by Chiarenza and Serapioni in [19].

Now we will state our main result: Consider the following problem

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} y^a \partial_t U - \operatorname{div}(y^a B(x) \nabla U) = 0 & \text{in } Q_1^* \\ -y^a U_y|_{y=0} = f & \text{on } Q_1, \end{cases}$$

where $a = 1 - 2s$; for $1/2 < s < 1$,

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and A is a uniformly elliptic matrix with Dini modulus of continuity ω_A and f belongs to the scaling critical function space $L(\frac{n+2}{2s-1}, 1)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let U be a solution of (1.6) in Q_1^* . Then there exist modulus of continuity K depending on ellipticity, ω_A , n , s and f such that for all $(t_1, X_1), (t_2, X_2) \in Q_{1/2}^*$*

$$(1.7) \quad |\nabla U(t_1, X_1) - \nabla U(t_2, X_2)| \leq CK(|(t_1, X_1) - (t_2, X_2)|)$$

and

$$|U(t_1, X) - U(t_2, X)| \leq CK(\sqrt{|t_1 - t_2|})\sqrt{|t_1 - t_2|},$$

where $C^2 = \int_{Q_1} U(t, x, 0)^2 dt dx + \int_{Q_1^*} U(t, X)^2 dt dX$.

In view of the extension problem for $(\partial_t - \operatorname{div}(B(x)\nabla))^s$ in [12], we obtain consequently that the following regularity result holds for the nonlocal fractional heat type problem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $s \in (1/2, 1)$ and let u solve $(\partial_t - \operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla u))^s = f$ where $A(x)$ is uniformly elliptic with Dini coefficients and $f \in L(\frac{n+2}{2s-1}, 1)$. Then $\nabla_x u$ is continuous.*

Now to put our results in the right historical perspective, we note that in 1981, E. Stein in his work [43] showed the following “limiting” case of Sobolev embedding theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $L(n, 1)$ denote the standard Lorentz space, then the following implication holds:*

$$\nabla v \in L(n, 1) \implies v \text{ is continuous.}$$

The Lorentz space $L(n, 1)$ appearing in Theorem 1.3 consists of those measurable functions g satisfying the condition

$$\int_0^\infty |\{x : g(x) > t\}|^{1/n} dt < \infty.$$

Theorem 1.3 can be regarded as the limiting case of Sobolev-Morrey embedding that asserts

$$\nabla v \in L^{n+\varepsilon} \implies v \in C^{0, \frac{\varepsilon}{n+\varepsilon}}.$$

Note that indeed $L^{n+\varepsilon} \subset L(n, 1) \subset L^n$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ with all the inclusions being strict. Now Theorem 1.3 coupled with the standard Calderon-Zygmund theory has the following interesting consequence.

Theorem 1.4. *If $\Delta u \in L(n, 1)$ then this implies ∇u is continuous.*

Similar result holds in the parabolic situation for more general variable coefficient operators when $f \in L(n+2, 1)$. The analogue of Theorem 1.4 for general nonlinear and possibly degenerate elliptic and parabolic equations has become accessible not so long ago through a rather sophisticated and powerful nonlinear potential theory (see for instance [23, 26, 28] and the references therein). The first breakthrough in this direction came up in the work of Kuusi and Mingione in [27] where they showed that the analogue of Theorem 1.4 holds for operators modelled after the variational p -laplacian. Such a result was subsequently generalized to p -laplacian type systems by the same authors in [29].

Since then, there has been several generalizations of Theorem 1.4 to operators with other kinds of nonlinearities and in context of fully nonlinear elliptic equations, the analogue of Theorem 1.4 has been established by Daskalopoulos-Kuusi-Mingione in [20]. We also refer to [2] for the corresponding boundary regularity result and also to [10] for a similar result in the context of the game theoretic normalized p -Laplacian operator. Our main result Theorem 1.2 can thus be thought of as a nonlocal generalization of the Stein’s theorem in the sense that $s \rightarrow 1$, it exactly reproduces the result Theorem 1.3 for time independent f . Moreover, Theorem 1.2 is also seen to be the limiting case of Theorem 1.2 ii) in [12] which instead deals gradient Hölder continuity in case of subcritical scalar

perturbations when $f \in L^p$ for $p > \frac{n+2}{2s-1}$. As the reader will see, our proof is based on a somewhat delicate adaptation of the Caffarelli style compactness arguments in [2] to the degenerate Neumann problem in (1.6).

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce some basic notations and gather some preliminary results. In section 3, we prove our main result. Finally in the appendix, we give a self contained proof of a basic existence result that is used in our approximation Lemma 3.1.

Acknowledgment: We are thankful to Agnid Banerjee for various helpful discussions and suggestions.

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

We will denote generic point of thick space $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ by $(t, X) = (t, x, y)$. In general we will identify thin space $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\}$ by $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and its generic point will be denoted by (t, x) . We will define cubes and balls in thin and thick space as following:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\rho(x_0, t_0) &= (t_0 - \rho^2, t_0 + \rho^2) \times \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - x_0\| < \rho\}; \\ Q_\rho^*(x_0, t_0, y_0) &= (t_0 - \rho^2, t_0 + \rho^2) \times \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - x_0\| < \rho\} \times (y_0, y_0 + \rho); \\ \mathbf{Q}_\rho(x_0, t_0, y_0) &= (t_0 - \rho^2, t_0 + \rho^2) \times \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - x_0\| < \rho\} \times (y_0 - \rho, y_0 + \rho). \end{aligned}$$

Similary we define B_r , B_r^* and \mathbf{B}_r . For (t_1, X_1) and (t_2, X_2) ,

$$|(t_1, X_1) - (t_2, X_2)| := \max\{\sqrt{|t_1 - t_2|}, \|X_1 - X_2\|\},$$

where $\|X_1 - X_2\|$ denotes Euclidean norm. We denote $Q_\rho(0, 0)$ by Q_ρ etc.. For $((t_1, t_2) \times \Omega) \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $\partial_p((t_1, t_2) \times \Omega)$ will denote the parabolic boundary of $(t_1, t_2) \times \Omega$ and defined as:

$$\partial_p((t_1, t_2) \times \Omega) = (t_1, t_2) \times \Omega \cup [t_1, t_2] \times \partial\Omega.$$

We will denote $L^2(Q_1^*)$ with measure $y^a dt dX$ by $L_a^2(Q_1^*)$. Similary we define $H_a^1(Q_1^*)$ or $H_{0,a}^1(Q_1^*)$. Sometimes we will denote $C([-1, 1]; L_a^2(B_1^*))$ by $C(-1, 1; L_a^2(B_1^*))$ and other spaces in similar fashion. Let $F = F(t, x) = (F_1, \dots, F_n, F_{n+1})$ be an \mathbb{R}^{n+1} -valued vector field defined on Q_1^* such that

$$F_{n+1} = 0, \quad |F| \in L^2(Q_1^*) \quad \text{and} \quad f = f(t, x) \in L^2(Q_1).$$

Now consider the following local problem

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} y^a \partial_t U - \operatorname{div}(y^a B(x) \nabla U) = -\operatorname{div}(y^a F) & \text{in } Q_1^* \\ -y^a U_y|_{y=0} = f & \text{on } Q_1. \end{cases}$$

We say that $U \in C([-1, 1]; L_a^2(B_1^*)) \cap L^2([-1, 1]; H_a^1(B_1^*))$ is a weak solution to (2.1) if for every $-1 < t_1 < t_2 < 1$

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{B_1^*} y^a U \phi|_{t=t_1}^{t=t_2} dX - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_1^*} y^a U \partial_t \phi dt dX + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_1^*} y^a (B(x) \nabla U) \cdot \nabla \phi dt dX$$

$$(2.3) \quad = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_1} f(t, x) \phi(t, x, 0) dt dX + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_1^*} y^a F \cdot \nabla \phi dt dX$$

holds for all $\phi \in H^1([-1, 1]; L_a^2(B_1^*)) \cap L^2([-1, 1]; H_a^1(B_1^*))$ such that $\phi = 0$ on $\partial_p Q_1^* \setminus (Q_1 \times \{0\})$.

Before proceeding further, we make the following discursive remark.

Remark 2.1. We say a constant to be universal if it depends only on ellipticity, n , s , $L(\frac{n+2}{2s-1}, 1)$ norm of f and the fact that $\int_0^1 \frac{\omega_A(s)}{s} ds < \infty$.

We will need the following lemmas from [12].

Lemma 2.2. Assume that U is a weak solution to (2.1) with F as described above. Then, for each $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(Q_1 \times [0, 1])$ and for each $t_1, t_2 \in (-1, 1)$ with $t_1 < t_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t_1 < t < t_2} \int_{B_1^*} y^a U^2 \varphi^2 dX + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_1^*} y^a \varphi^2 |\nabla U|^2 dX dt \\ \leq C \left[\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_1^*} y^a (|\partial_t(\varphi^2)| + |\nabla \varphi|^2) U^2 + |F|^2 \varphi^2 dX dt \right. \\ \left. + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_1} (\varphi(t, x, 0))^2 |U(t, x, 0)| |f(t, x)| dx dt \right] \\ + \int_{B_1^*} y^a U^2(t_1, X) \varphi^2(t_1, X) dX, \end{aligned}$$

where $C = C(n, s, \text{ellipticity}) > 0$.

Lemma 2.3. Let W be a weak solution to

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} y^a \partial_t W = \text{div}(y^a \nabla W) & \text{in } Q_1^* \\ -y^a W_y|_{y=0} = 0 & \text{on } Q_1. \end{cases}$$

Then we have the following estimates:

(i) For $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, multi-index α and $Q_r(t_0, x_0) \subset Q_1$, we have

$$\sup_{Q_{\frac{r}{2}}(t_0, x_0) \times [0, \frac{r}{2}]} \left| \partial_t^k D_x^\alpha W \right| \leq \frac{C(n, s)}{r^{k+|\alpha|}} \text{osc}_{Q_r(t_0, x_0) \times [0, r]} W.$$

(ii) For $Q_r(t_0, x_0) \subset Q_1$,

$$\max_{Q_{\frac{r}{2}}(t_0, x_0) \times [0, \frac{r}{2}]} |W| \leq C(r, n, s) \|W\|_{L^2(Q_r(t_0, x_0) \times [0, r], y^a)}.$$

(iii) For all $0 \leq y < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\sup_{(t,x) \in Q_{\frac{1}{2}}} |W_y(t, x, y)| \leq C(n, s) \|W\|_{L^2(Q_1^*, y^a)} y.$$

3. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

Our proof is based on an appropriate adaptation of compactness arguments, which has its roots in a fundamental work of Caffarelli in [13]. We will begin by proving the relevant compactness lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let U be a weak solution of (2.1) with*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{Q_1} U(t, x, 0)^2 dt dx + \int_{Q_1^*} y^a U^2 dt dX \leq 1$$

Then, given any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta = \delta(\epsilon, n, s, \text{ellipticity}) > 0$ such that if

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{Q_1} f^2 dt dx \leq \delta^2, \quad \int_{Q_1^*} y^a |F|^2 dt dX \leq \delta^2, \quad \text{and } \omega_A(1) \leq \delta^2,$$

then there exists V which solves weakly

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(y^a \nabla V) = y^a \partial_t V & \text{in } (-3/4, 3/4) \times B_{1/2}^* \\ \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^a V_y = 0 & \text{on } (-3/4, 3/4) \times B_{1/2} \times \{0\} \end{cases}$$

such that

$$\int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^a |U - V|^2 dt dX < \epsilon^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{Q_{1/2}} |U - V|^2 dt dx < \epsilon^2.$$

Proof. Let V be the solution of the following problem:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(y^a \nabla V) = y^a \partial_t V & \text{in } (-1/2, 3/4) \times B_{1/2}^* \\ V = U & \text{on } \partial_p((-1/2, 3/4) \times B_{1/2}^*) \setminus \{(-1/2, 3/4) \times B_{1/2} \times \{0\}\} \\ \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^a V_y = 0 & \text{on } (-1/2, 3/4) \times B_{1/2} \times \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

Note that we have the existence and uniqueness of the above problem by Theorem 4.1. Now using the regularity of V (Lemma 2.3) and the properties of Steklov average, we have, the Steklov average of V , i.e., $V_h(t, x, y) = \int_t^{t+h} V(\tau, x, y) d\tau$, $h < 1/4$, solves

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(y^a \nabla V_h) = y^a \partial_t V_h & \text{in } Q_{1/2}^* \\ V_h = U_h & \text{on } \partial_p Q_{1/2}^* \setminus \{Q_{1/2} \cup \{t = -1/2\}\} \\ \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^a (V_h)_y = 0 & \text{on } Q_{1/2}. \end{cases}$$

Now, multiplying (3.4) by $(U_h - V_h)$ (U_h is Steklov average of U) and integrate by parts to get

$$(3.5) \quad - \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha \nabla V_h \nabla (U_h - V_h) dt dX - \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha \partial_t V_h (U_h - V_h) dt dX = 0.$$

By standard arguments, we know U can be replaced by U_h in (2.2). Also V_h solves (3.4) so we can put $\phi = (U_h - V_h)\eta(t)$ in (2.2), where $\eta(t)$ is chosen such that $\eta(t) = 1$ in $(-1/2, 1/2)$ and compactly supported in $(-5/8, 5/8)$. Hence, after integrating by parts with respect to t we get

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha \partial_t U_h (U_h - V_h) dt dX + \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha B(x) \nabla U_h \nabla (U_h - V_h) dt dX = \int_{Q_{1/2}} f_h (U_h - V_h) dt dx \\ + \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha F_h \nabla (U_h - V_h) dt dX.$$

On adding (3.5) and (3.6), we get

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha \partial_t (U_h - V_h) (U_h - V_h) dX dt + \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha (B(x) - I) \nabla U_h \nabla (U_h - V_h) dX dt \\ + \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha |\nabla (U_h - V_h)|^2 dX dt = \int_{Q_{1/2}} f_h (U_h - V_h) dx dt + \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha F_h \nabla (U_h - V_h) dX dt.$$

Using Fundamental theorem of calculus in t variable and Young's inequality with ε we get,

$$(3.8) \quad I_h(0) + \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha |\nabla (U_h - V_h)|^2 dX dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha |\nabla (U_h - V_h)|^2 dX dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha |B(x) - I|^2 |\nabla U_h|^2 dX dt \\ + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \int_{Q_{1/2}} f_h^2 dx dt + \varepsilon \int_{Q_{1/2}} (U_h - V_h)^2 dx dt \\ + \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha F_h^2 dX dt + \frac{1}{4} \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha |\nabla (U_h - V_h)|^2 dX dt,$$

where $I_h(0) = \int_{B_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha (U_h - V_h)(0) dX$. We rewrite above equation as

$$(3.9) \quad I_h(0) + \frac{1}{4} \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha |\nabla (U_h - V_h)|^2 dX dt \leq \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha |B(x) - I|^2 |\nabla U_h|^2 dX dt + \varepsilon \int_{Q_{1/2}} (U_h - V_h)^2 dx dt \\ (3.10) \quad + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \int_{Q_{1/2}} f_h^2 dx dt + \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha F_h^2 dX dt$$

Note that we can make third and fourth term small by taking δ small enough. For the first term, take φ compactly supported in $Q_{3/4}^*$ such that $\varphi = 1$ in $Q_{1/2}^*$ and use Lemma 2.2 to get

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha |B(x) - I|^2 |\nabla U_h|^2 dX dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{\omega_A(1)}{2} \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha |\nabla U_h|^2 dX dt \\
&\leq C \frac{\omega_A(1)}{2} \left[\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha (U_h^2 + F_h^2) dX dt + \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{B_1} |U_h(t, x, 0)| |f_h(t, x)| dx dt \right] \\
&\leq C \frac{\omega_A(1)}{2} \left[\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha (U_h^2 + F_h^2) dX dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{B_1} |U_h(t, x, 0)|^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{B_1} |f_h(t, x)|^2 dx dt \right] \\
&\leq C \frac{\omega_A(1)}{2} \left[\int_{Q_1^*} y^\alpha (U^2 + F^2) dX dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_1} |U(t, x, 0)|^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_1} |f(t, x)|^2 dx dt \right] \\
&\leq C \omega_A(1) (1 + \delta^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where $C = C(n, s, \text{ellipticity}) > 0$. Also by trace theorem [37], we have

$$(3.11) \quad \int_{Q_{1/2}} (U_h - V_h)^2 dx dt \leq C_T \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha (U_h - V_h)^2 dX dt + C_T \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha |\nabla(U_h - V_h)|^2 dX dt$$

where $C_T(n, s)$ is constant from trace theorem. Hence, (3.9) becomes

$$(3.12) \quad I_h(0) + \frac{1}{4} \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha |\nabla(U_h - V_h)|^2 dX dt \leq C \omega_A(1) (1 + \delta^2) + \varepsilon C_T \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha (U_h - V_h)^2 dX dt$$

$$(3.13) \quad + \varepsilon C_T \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha |\nabla(U_h - V_h)|^2 dX dt + \frac{\delta^2}{4\varepsilon} + \delta^2.$$

Also, for each time label we will apply Poincare inequality [24] to get

$$I_h(0) + ((1/4 - \varepsilon C_T) C_P - \varepsilon C_T) \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha (U_h - V_h)^2 dX dt \leq C \omega_A(1) (1 + \delta^2) + \frac{\delta^2}{4\varepsilon} + \delta^2$$

where $C_P(n, s)$ is constant in Poincare inequality.

Now, first choose $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough such that $(1/4 - \varepsilon C_T) C_P - \varepsilon C_T > 0$ than choose δ to get

$$I_h(0) + \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^\alpha (U_h - V_h)^2 dX dt \leq \varepsilon^2.$$

Also, from (3.11) and (3.12) we have

$$\int_{Q_{1/2}} (U_h - V_h)^2 dx dt \leq M \varepsilon^2 + M I_h(0)$$

where M is a universal constant. Since $U \in C([-1, 1], L_a^2(B_1^*)) \cap L^2([-1, 1], H_a^1(B_1^*))$, $U_h - V_h \rightarrow U - V$, $\nabla(U_h - V_h) \rightarrow \nabla(U - V)$ in $L_a^2(Q_{1/2}^*)$ and using 3.3, we have $I_h(0) \rightarrow 0$. Hence by trace theorem $(U_h - V_h)(t, x, 0) \rightarrow (U - V)(t, x, 0)$ in $L^2(Q_{1/2})$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.2. *There exist $0 < \delta, \lambda < 1$ (depending on n, s and ellipticity), a linear function $\ell(x) = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} \cdot x$ and constant $C = C(n, s) > 0$ such that for any solution U of (2.1) which satisfies (3.1)*

and (3.2),

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^{n+2}} \int_{Q_\lambda} |U(t, x, 0) - \ell(x)|^2 dt dx + \frac{1}{\lambda^{n+3+a}} \int_{Q_\lambda^*} y^a |U - \ell(x)|^2 dt dX < \lambda^3$$

and $|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq C$.

Proof. Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ be any real number. From Lemma 3.1, there exists a $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that if (3.2) holds, then

$$(3.14) \quad \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^a |U - V|^2 dt dX < \epsilon^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{Q_{1/2}} |U - V|^2 dt dx < \epsilon^2$$

where V solves (3.3). Now by Lemma 2.3, V is smooth, we define

$$\ell(x) = V(0, 0, 0) + \nabla_x V(0, 0, 0) \cdot x = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} \cdot x.$$

Also by Lemma 2.3, there exists a constant $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(n, s)$ such that

$$|V(0, 0, 0)| + |\nabla_x V(0, 0, 0)| \leq \tilde{C} \|V\|_{L_a^2(Q_{1/2} \times [0, 1/2])}.$$

Now, using triangle inequality, $(a + b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$, (3.14) and (3.1), we get

$$\int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^a |V|^2 dt dX \leq 2 \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^a |U - V|^2 dt dX + 2 \int_{Q_{1/2}^*} y^a |U|^2 dt dX \leq 2\epsilon^2 + 2 \leq 4.$$

Hence we get

$$|V(0, 0, 0)| + |\nabla_x V(0, 0, 0)| \leq C,$$

where $C = 4\tilde{C}$.

Using triangle inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda^{n+2}} \int_{Q_\lambda} |U(t, x, 0) - \ell(x)|^2 dt dx + \frac{1}{\lambda^{n+3+a}} \int_{Q_\lambda^*} y^a |U - \ell(x)|^2 dt dX \\ & \leq \frac{2}{\lambda^{n+3+a}} \int_{Q_\lambda^*} y^a |U - V|^2 dt dX + \frac{2}{\lambda^{n+3+a}} \int_{Q_\lambda^*} y^a |V - \ell(x)|^2 dt dX \\ & \quad + \frac{2}{\lambda^{n+2}} \int_{Q_\lambda} |U - V|^2 dt dx + \frac{2}{\lambda^{n+2}} \int_{Q_\lambda} |V - \ell(x)|^2 dt dx. \end{aligned}$$

For any $(t, x, y) \in Q_{1/4}^*$, using Mean Value theorem, Taylor's Theorem and Lemma 2.3, we have a universal constant D such that

$$\begin{aligned} |V(t, x, y) - \ell(x)| & \leq |V(t, x, y) - V(t, x, 0)| + |V(t, x, 0) - V(0, x, 0)| \\ & \quad + |V(0, x, 0) - V(0, 0, 0) - \nabla_x V(0, 0, 0) \cdot x| \\ & \leq |V_y(t, x, \xi_1)|y + |V_t(\xi_2, x, 0)|t + D|x|^2 \quad (\text{for some } \xi_1 \in (0, y) \text{ and } \xi_2 \in (0, t)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq D\xi y + Dt + D|x|^2 \leq D(|X|^2 + t).$$

Hence, using (3.14) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda^{n+2}} \int_{Q_\lambda} |U(t, x, 0) - \ell(x)|^2 dt dx + \frac{1}{\lambda^{n+3+a}} \int_{Q_\lambda^*} y^a |U - \ell(x)|^2 dt dX \\ & \leq \frac{2\epsilon^2}{\lambda^{n+3+a}} + \frac{4D^2}{\lambda^{n+3+a}} \int_{Q_\lambda^*} y^a (|X|^4 + |t|^2) dt dX + \frac{2\epsilon^2}{\lambda^{n+2}} + \frac{4D^2}{\lambda^{n+2}} \int_{Q_\lambda} (|x|^4 + |t|^2) dt dx \\ & \leq \frac{2\epsilon^2}{\lambda^{n+3+a}} + \frac{8D^2}{1+a} \lambda^4 + \frac{2\epsilon^2}{\lambda^{n+2}} + 8D^2 \lambda^4. \end{aligned}$$

Now first choose $0 < \lambda < 1/4$ small enough such that

$$\frac{8D^2}{1+a} \lambda^4 + 8D^2 \lambda^4 \leq \frac{\lambda^3}{2},$$

then take ϵ such that

$$\epsilon^2 = \frac{\lambda^{n+6+a}}{8},$$

which in turn fixes δ . □

Before moving ahead we would like to give some real analysis estimates. Following estimate is analogous to [[20], Equation (3.4)]

Estimate 1: For given $0 < \sigma < 1$, define

$$r_i := \frac{\sigma^i r}{2}$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$. We have

$$(3.15) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} r_i^{2s-1} \left(\int_{Q_{r_i}(x_0, t_0)} |f(x, t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c \int_0^r \rho^{2s-2} \left(\int_{Q_\rho(x_0, t_0)} |f(x, t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\rho$$

for some c depends on s, σ and n .

Proof. In this proof we will denote $Q_{r_i}(x_0, t_0)$ by Q_i . We will assume $|Q_1| = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} r_i^{2s-1} \left(\int_{Q_i} |f(x, t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & = r_0^{2s-1} \left(\int_{Q_0} |f(x, t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i^{2s-1} \left(\int_{Q_i} |f(x, t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & = \frac{2s-1}{2^{2s-1}-1} \int_{r/2}^r \rho^{2s-2} d\rho \left(\int_{Q_0} |f(x, t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^{2s-1}(2s-1)}{1-\sigma^{1-2s}} \int_{\sigma^i r/2}^{\sigma^{i-1} r/2} \rho^{2s-2} d\rho \left(\int_{Q_i} |f(x, t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{2s-1}{2^{2s-1}-1} \int_{r/2}^r \rho^{2s-2} d\rho \frac{1}{(r/2)^{(n+2)/2}} \left(\int_{Q_0} |f(x,t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^{2s-1} (2s-1)}{1-\sigma^{1-2s}} \int_{\sigma^i r/2}^{\sigma^{i-1} r/2} \rho^{2s-2} d\rho \frac{1}{(\sigma^i r/2)^{(n+2)/2}} \left(\int_{Q_i} |f(x,t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{(2s-1)2^{\frac{(n+2)}{2}}}{(2^{2s-1}-1)} \int_{r/2}^r \rho^{2s-2} \frac{1}{\rho^{(n+2)/2}} \left(\int_{Q_\rho} |f(x,t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\rho \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^{2s-1} (2s-1) \sigma^{\frac{(n+2)}{2}}}{(1-\sigma^{1-2s})} \int_{\sigma^i r/2}^{\sigma^{i-1} r/2} \rho^{2s-2} \frac{1}{\rho^{(n+2)/2}} \left(\int_{Q_\rho} |f(x,t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\rho \\
&\leq \left(\frac{(2s-1)2^{\frac{(n+2)}{2}}}{(2^{2s-1}-1)} + \frac{\sigma^{2s-1} (2s-1) \sigma^{\frac{(n+2)}{2}}}{(1-\sigma^{1-2s})} \right) \int_0^r \rho^{2s-2} \left(\int_{Q_\rho} |f(x,t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\rho.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Estimate 2: We have the following estimate which is analogue of [[20], Equation (3.13)],

$$(3.16) \quad \sup_{(x,t) \in Q_{1/2}} \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_2^f((x,t), r) \leq \frac{1}{(n+2) C_{n+2}^{(2s-1)/(n+2)}} \int_0^{C_{n+2} r^{n+2}} \rho^{\frac{2s-1}{n+2}} (g^{**}(\rho))^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho},$$

where $0 < r < 1/2$, $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_2^f((x,t), r) = \int_0^r \rho^{2s-2} \left(\int_{Q_\rho(x_0, t_0)} |f(x,t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\rho$, $g = |f|^2$ and C_{n+2} denotes measure of Q_1 in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Proof. From Hardy-Littlewood inequality [25], we have

$$(3.17) \quad \int_{Q_\rho(x_0, t_0)} g(t, x) dt dx \leq \frac{1}{C_{n+2} \rho^{n+2}} \int_0^{C_{n+2} \rho^{n+2}} g^*(s) ds \leq g^{**}(C_{n+2} \rho^{n+2}).$$

Multiply (3.17) with ρ^{2s-2} and integrate with respect to ρ in $(0, r)$ to get

$$\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_2^f((x_0, t_0), r) \leq \int_0^r \rho^{2s-2} (g^{**}(C_{n+2} \rho^{n+2}))^{\frac{1}{2}} d\rho.$$

Now by changing variables we get (3.16). □

Now we will define some functions as in [2]:

- Define

$$\tilde{\omega}_1(r) := \max\{\omega_A(\gamma r)/\tilde{\delta}, r\},$$

where γ and $\tilde{\delta}$ will be fixed later. By [[33] Theorem 8] we can assume ω_1 is concave. Without loss of generality we can assume $\omega_1(1) = 1$. Finally we define

$$\omega_1(r) := \tilde{\omega}_1(\sqrt{r}).$$

Then, $\omega_1(r)$ is 1/2-decreasing function. Also, by application of change of variables we have $\omega_1(r)$ is Dini continuous.

- We define

$$\omega_2(r) := \max \left\{ \gamma I(\gamma r) / \tilde{\delta}, r \right\},$$

where $I(r) := r^{2s-1} \left(\int_{Q_r} |f(x, t)|^2 dt dx \right)^{1/2}$.

- We define

$$\omega_3(\lambda^k) := \sum_{i=0}^k \omega_1(\lambda^{k-i}) \omega_2(\lambda^i),$$

where λ is from Lemma 3.2.

- Finally, we define

$$\omega(\lambda^k) = \max \left\{ \omega_3(\lambda^k), \lambda^{k/2} \right\}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *There exists a universal constant C_{sum} such that*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega(\lambda^i) \leq C_{sum}.$$

Proof. Since ω_1 is an increasing function, we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega(\lambda^i) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega_3(\lambda^i) + \frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{\lambda}} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega_1(\lambda^i) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega_2(\lambda^i) + \frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{\lambda}}.$$

Using the Dini continuity, increasing nature of ω_A and change of variables, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega_1(\lambda^i) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_A(\gamma \lambda^{i/2})}{\tilde{\delta}} + \frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{\lambda}} \leq \frac{\omega_A(\gamma)}{\tilde{\delta}} + \frac{1}{(-\log \sqrt{\lambda}) \tilde{\delta}} \int_0^{\gamma} \frac{\omega_A(s)}{s} ds.$$

Choose $\gamma < \tilde{\delta}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega_1(\lambda^i) \leq 1.$$

Note that from (3.15), we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega_2(\lambda^i) \leq \frac{c\gamma}{\tilde{\delta}} \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_2^f((0, 0), \gamma) + \frac{1}{1 - \lambda}.$$

Using (3.16) we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega_2(\lambda^i) \leq \frac{c\gamma}{\tilde{\delta}(n+2) C_{n+2}^{(2s-1)/(n+2)}} \int_0^{C_{n+2}\gamma^{n+2}} \rho^{\frac{2s-1}{n+2}} (g^{**}(\rho))^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho},$$

where $g = f^2$. We have given that $f \in L(\frac{n+2}{2s-1}, 1)$, which gives us $g \in L(\frac{n+2}{2(2s-1)}, \frac{1}{2})$. Since $2(2s-1) < n+2$, therefore from [[39], Equation (6.8)], we get

$$\int_0^\infty \rho^{\frac{2s-1}{n+2}} (g^{**}(\rho))^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} < \infty.$$

Hence we get a universal bound on $\sum_{i=0}^\infty \omega_2(\lambda^i)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Note that by replacing $U(t, X)$ by

$$U_\gamma(t, X) = \left(\int_{Q_\gamma} U(t, x, 0)^2 dt dx + \int_{Q_\gamma^*} U(t, X)^2 dt dX + 1 \right)^{-1} U(\gamma^2 t, \gamma X),$$

we can assume

$$\int_{Q_1} U(t, x, 0)^2 dt dx + \int_{Q_1^*} U(t, X)^2 dt dX \leq 1,$$

modulus of continuity for A is $\omega_A(\gamma r)$, and $r^{2s-1} \left(\int_{Q_r} |f(x, t)|^2 dt dx \right)^{1/2} \leq \gamma I(\gamma r)$. In the following lemma we shall denote $U_\gamma(t, X)$ by $U(t, X)$, $\omega_A(\gamma r)$ by $\omega_A(r)$, and $\gamma I(\gamma r)$ by $I(r)$.

Lemma 3.4. *There exist a sequence of linear functions $\ell_k(x) = a_k + b_k \cdot x$ and a constant $C = C(n, s) > 0$ such that for any solution U of (2.1) satisfies*

$$(3.18) \quad \frac{1}{\lambda^{k(n+2)}} \int_{Q_{\lambda^k}} |U(t, x, 0) - \ell_k(x)|^2 dt dx + \frac{1}{\lambda^{k(n+3+a)}} \int_{Q_{\lambda^k}^*} y^a |U - \ell_k(x)|^2 dt dX < \lambda^{2k} \omega^2(\lambda^k),$$

$$(3.19) \quad |a_{k+1} - a_k| \leq C \lambda^k \omega(\lambda^k) \quad \text{and} \quad |b_{k+1} - b_k| \leq C \omega(\lambda^k).$$

Proof. We will prove the lemma by induction on k . For $k = 0$, take $\ell_k(x) = 0$ and we get

$$\int_{Q_1} |U(t, x, 0)|^2 dt dx + \int_{Q_1^*} y^a |U|^2 dt dX \leq 1 \leq \omega^2(1).$$

Thus we are done for $k = 0$.

Let us assume result is true for $k = 0, 1, \dots, i$. We will prove it for $k = i + 1$. Define

$$\tilde{U}(t, X) := \frac{U(\lambda^{2i} t, \lambda^i X) - \ell_i(\lambda^i x)}{\lambda^i \omega(\lambda^i)},$$

then \tilde{U} is a weak solution to

$$\begin{cases} y^a \partial_t \tilde{U} - \operatorname{div}(y^a \tilde{B} \nabla \tilde{U}) = -\operatorname{div}(y^a F) & \text{in } Q_1^* \\ -y^a \tilde{U}_y|_{y=0} = \tilde{f} & \text{on } Q_1, \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{B}(X) = B(\lambda^i X)$, $\tilde{f}(t, x) = \frac{\lambda^{-ia}}{\omega(\lambda^i)} f(\lambda^{2i} t, \lambda^i x)$ and the vector field F is given by $\lambda^i \omega(\lambda^i) F = ((I - A(\lambda^i x)) \nabla_x \ell(\lambda^i x), 0)$. Now we estimate the following:

Bound for f :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_1} \tilde{f}^2 dt dx &= \frac{\lambda^{-2ia}}{\omega^2(\lambda^i)} \int_{Q_1} f^2(\lambda^{2i}t, \lambda^i x) dt dx = \frac{\lambda^{-2ia}}{\omega^2(\lambda^i)} \int_{Q_{\lambda^i}} f^2(t, x) dt dx \\ &\leq \frac{\omega_2^2(\lambda^i)}{\omega^2(\lambda^i)} \leq \tilde{\delta}^2 \frac{\omega_2^2(\lambda^i)}{\omega_1^2(1)\omega_2^2(\lambda^i)} = \tilde{\delta}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Bound for F : Note that by induction hypothesis

$$|b_i| \leq \sum_{j=1}^i |b_j - b_{j-1}| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} C\omega(\lambda^j) \leq CC_{sum} =: C_1.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_1^*} y^a |F|^2 dt dX &= \lambda^{-2i} \omega^{-2}(\lambda^i) \int_{Q_1^*} y^a |(I - A(\lambda^i x)) \nabla_x \ell_i(\lambda^i x)|^2 dt dX \\ &= 2\omega^{-2}(\lambda^i) \int_{B_{\lambda^i}} |(I - A(x)) b_i|^2 dt dX \\ &\leq 2\omega^{-2}(\lambda^i) \omega_A^2(\lambda^i) C_1^2 \\ &\leq 2C_1^2 \frac{\omega_A^2(\lambda^i)}{\omega_1^2(\lambda^i)\omega_2(1)} \leq 2C_1^2 \tilde{\delta}^2 \frac{\omega_A^2(\lambda^i)}{\omega_A^2(\lambda^i)} = 2C_1^2 \tilde{\delta}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Bound for \tilde{B} :

$$|B(\lambda^i x) - I|^2 \leq \omega_A^2(\lambda^i) \leq \omega_A^2(1) \leq \tilde{\delta}^2.$$

Choose $\tilde{\delta} < \delta$ such that $2C_1^2 \tilde{\delta}^2 < \delta^2$. We rewrite (3.18) for $k = i$ as

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^{i(n+2)}} \int_{Q_{\lambda^i}} \frac{|U(t, x, 0) - \ell_i(x)|^2}{\lambda^{2i}\omega^2(\lambda^i)} dt dx + \frac{1}{\lambda^{i(n+3+a)}} \int_{Q_{\lambda^i}^*} y^a \frac{|U(t, x, y) - \ell_i(x)|^2}{\lambda^{2i}\omega^2(\lambda^i)} dt dX < 1.$$

Now after changing the variables, we get

$$\int_{Q_1} |\tilde{U}(t, x, 0)|^2 dt dx + \int_{Q_1^*} y^a |\tilde{U}(t, x, y)|^2 dt dX \leq 1.$$

By Lemma 3.2 there exists a $l(x) = a + b \cdot x$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^{n+2}} \int_{Q_\lambda} |\tilde{U}(t, x, 0) - l(x)|^2 dt dx + \frac{1}{\lambda^{n+3+a}} \int_{Q_\lambda^*} y^a |\tilde{U} - l(x)|^2 dt dX < \lambda^3$$

and $|a| + |b| \leq C$. After putting the value of \tilde{U} and changing the variables, we get

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^{(i+1)(n+2)}} \int_{Q_{\lambda^{i+1}}} |U(t, x, 0) - \ell_{i+1}(x)|^2 dt dx + \frac{1}{\lambda^{(i+1)(n+3+a)}} \int_{Q_{\lambda^{i+1}}^*} y^a |U - \ell_{i+1}(x)|^2 dt dX < \lambda^{2(i+1)} \omega^2(\lambda^{i+1})$$

where $\ell_{i+1}(x) = \ell_i(x) + \lambda^i \omega(\lambda^i) l(\lambda^{-i}x)$. By putting $x = 0$ we get $|a_{i+1} - a_i| \leq C\lambda^i \omega(\lambda^i)$. Take gradient to get $|b_{i+1} - b_i| \leq C\omega(\lambda^i)$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *There exists a linear function $\ell_{t_0, x_0}(x) = a_\infty + b_\infty \cdot x$ such that for any solution U of (2.1) with (3.1) satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{r^{n+3+a}} \int_{Q_r^*(t_0, x_0, 0)} |U(t, x, y) - \ell_{(t_0, x_0)}(x)|^2 y^a dt dX \leq C_l r^2 K^2(r)$$

for all $0 < r < 1/2$, where C_l is a universal constant and K is $1/2$ -decreasing concave modulus of continuity.

Proof. WLOG we will assume that $(t_0, x_0) = (0, 0)$. First we will estimate for U_γ with small r then will do it for U . Note that a_k and b_k are convergent as

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega(\lambda^i) < \infty.$$

We define a_∞ and b_∞ as limit of a_k and b_k respectively. We will denote $\ell_{(0,0)}$ by ℓ_0 . Take r such that $\lambda^{k+1} \leq r \leq \lambda^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Now using triangle inequality, (3.18) and (3.19) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_r} |U(t, x, 0) - \ell_0(x)|^2 dt dx &\leq 2 \int_{Q_r} |U(t, x, 0) - \ell_k(x)|^2 dt dx + 2 \int_{Q_r} |\ell_k - \ell_0(x)|^2 dt dx \\ &\leq 2\lambda^{-n-2} \lambda^{2k} \omega^2(\lambda^k) + 2 \int_{Q_r} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} |\ell_i - \ell_{i+1}|^2 dt dx \\ &\leq 2\lambda^{-n-2} \lambda^{2k} \omega^2(\lambda^k) + 4 \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} |a_i - a_{i+1}|^2 + 4r^2 \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} |b_i - b_{i+1}|^2 \\ &\leq 2\lambda^{-n-2} \lambda^{2k} \omega^2(\lambda^k) + 4C\lambda^{2k} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \omega^2(\lambda^i) + 4C\lambda^{2k} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \omega^2(\lambda^i) \\ &\leq (8C + 2)\lambda^{2k} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \omega(\lambda^i) \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Now follow the same lines of proof as in [2] from (4.30) to (4.35) to get

$$(3.20) \quad \frac{1}{r^{n+3+a}} \int_{Q_r^*} |U(t, x, y) - \ell_0(x)|^2 y^a dt dX \leq C_l r^2 K^2(r),$$

where $K = K_1(r) + K_2(r) + K_3(r)$ and

$$K_1(r) := \sup_{a \geq 0} \int_a^{a+\sqrt{r}} \frac{\omega_1(t)}{t} dt, \quad K_2(r) := \sqrt{r} \quad \text{and} \quad K_3(r) = \sup_{a \geq 0} \int_a^{a+C_n+2r} \rho^{\frac{2s-1}{n+2}} (g^{**}(\rho))^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho}.$$

Now put the value of U_γ and do change of variables to get

$$(3.21) \quad \int_{Q_{r\gamma}^*} |U(t, x, y) - \ell_0(\gamma^{-1}x)|^2 y^a dt dX \leq \gamma^{-2} (1 + \|U(t, x, 0)\|_{L^2(Q_\gamma)} + \|U(t, X)\|_{L^2(Q_\gamma^*)}) C_l (r\gamma)^2 K^2(r\gamma/\gamma).$$

Call $K(r/\gamma)$ by $K(r)$, $\ell_0(\gamma^{-1}x)$ by $\ell_0(x)$ and use the fact γ is a universal constant to get

$$(3.22) \quad \int_{Q_r^*} |U(t, x, y) - \ell_0(x)|^2 y^a dt dx \leq \tilde{C}_l r^2 K^2(r),$$

for $0 < r < \gamma\lambda$. For $\gamma\lambda < r < 1/2$, we will done by replacing \tilde{C}_l to $C\tilde{C}_l/(\gamma^{n+6}\lambda K(\gamma\lambda))$, call it again by C_l . \square

Lemma 3.6. *There exists a universal constant C_{bdr} such that*

$$|\nabla \ell_{(t_1, x_1)} - \nabla \ell_{(t_2, x_2)}| \leq C_{bdr} K(|(t_1, x_1) - (t_2, x_2)|),$$

and

$$|U(t_1, x, 0) - U(t_2, x, 0)| \leq C_{bdr} K(\sqrt{|t_1 - t_2|}) |t_1 - t_2|^{1/2}$$

for $(t_i, x_i) \in Q_{1/2}$, where $\ell_{(t_i, x_i)}$ denotes the linear function constructed in Lemma 3.5.

Proof. Proceeding like previous lemma we get a linear function $\ell_{t_0, x_0}(x) = a_\infty + b_\infty \cdot x$ such that

$$\int_{Q_r(t_0, x_0, 0)} |U(t, x, 0) - \ell_{(t_0, x_0)}(x)|^2 dt dx \leq Cr^2 K^2(r).$$

Now apply Campanato Characterization to get the result. \square

Now to prove Theorem 1.1, we have to combine the above boundary estimates with known interior estimates in [15]. In order to do this we need the following rescaled version of interior estimate.

Theorem 3.7. *Let u be a weak solution of*

$$(3.23) \quad u_t - \operatorname{div}(B(x)\nabla u) - b \cdot \nabla u = \operatorname{div}(g) \text{ in } Q_1,$$

with $\|u\|_{L^2(Q_1)} \leq 1$, where B, g are Dini continuous and b is smooth. Then there exists a constant $C_{ir} > 0$ depending on n , ellipticity, $\|b\|_1$ (C^1 -norm of b), $\|g\|_\infty$, and $\Psi(1)$ such that for all $(t_1, x_1), (t_2, x_2) \in Q_{1/2}$, we have

$$|\nabla u(t_1, x_1) - \nabla u(t_2, x_2)| \leq C_{ir} (\Psi(|(t_1, x_1) - (t_2, x_2)|) + |(t_1, x_1) - (t_2, x_2)|^\alpha),$$

where

$$\Psi(r) = \sup_{a \geq 0} \int_a^{a+\sqrt{r}} \frac{\Psi_B(s)}{s} ds + \sup_{a \geq 0} \int_a^{a+\sqrt{r}} \frac{\Psi_g(s)}{s} ds$$

and

$$|u(t_1, x) - u(t_2, x)| \leq C_{ir} (\Psi(\sqrt{|t_1 - t_2|}) + |t_1 - t_2|^{1/4}) \sqrt{|t_1 - t_2|}$$

Furthermore for all $(t, x) \in Q_{1/2}$,

$$|\nabla u(t, x)| \leq C_{ir} (1 + \Psi(1)).$$

The proof of the Theorem 3.7 will be done by compactness method. We will sketch it as most of the details are similar to boundary estimate. We need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.8. *Let u be a weak solution of (3.23) with $\|u\|_{L^2(Q_1)} \leq 1$. Then, given any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta = \delta(\epsilon, n, \text{ellipticity}, \|b\|_1, \|g\|_\infty) > 0$ such that if*

$$(3.24) \quad \omega_B(1) \leq \delta^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_g(1) \leq \delta^2.$$

then there exists v which solves weakly

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{cases} v_t - \Delta v + b \cdot \nabla v = 0 & \text{in } Q_{1/2} \\ v = u & \text{on } \partial_p Q_{1/2} \end{cases}$$

such that

$$\int_{Q_{1/2}} |u - v|^2 dt dX < \epsilon^2.$$

Proof. We have existence of solution of (3.25) by Remark 4.2. Now proceed along the similar lines as in previous lemma. For the required Energy estimate see Lemma 1.2.3 ([15]). \square

Lemma 3.9. *There exist $0 < \delta_{int}, \lambda_{int} < 1$ (depending on n , ellipticity, $\|b\|_1$), a linear function $\ell(x) = \mathcal{A}_{int} + \mathcal{B}_{int} \cdot x$ and constant $C_{int} = C(n, \|b\|_1) > 0$ such that for any solution u of (3.23) with $\|u\|_{L^2(Q_1)}$ and satisfies (3.24),*

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^{n+2}} \int_{Q_\lambda} |u - \ell(x)|^2 dt dx < \lambda^3$$

and $|\mathcal{A}_{int}| + |\mathcal{B}_{int}| \leq C_{int}$.

Proof. Since v , solution of (3.25), is smooth. We can proceed like the proof of the Lemma 3.2. \square

Lemma 3.10. *There exist a sequence of linear functions $\ell_{int}^k(x) = a_{int}^k + b_{int}^k \cdot x$ and a constant $C_{int} = C(n, \|b\|_1) > 0$ such that for any weak solution u of (3.23) with $\|u\|_{L^2(Q_1)}$,*

$$(3.26) \quad \frac{1}{\lambda_{int}^{k(n+2)}} \int_{Q_{\lambda_{int}^k}} |u - \ell_{int}^k(x)|^2 dt dx < \lambda_{int}^{2k} \psi^2(\lambda_{int}^k)$$

and

$$(3.27) \quad |a_{int}^{k+1} - a_{int}^k| \leq C_{int} \lambda_{int}^k \psi(\lambda_{int}^k) \quad \text{and} \quad |b_{int}^{k+1} - b_{int}^k| \leq C_{int} \psi(\lambda_{int}^k)$$

Proof. Note that $u_\gamma(t, x) = u(\gamma^2 t, \gamma x)$ will satisfy (3.23) with $\Psi_B(\gamma r)$ and $\gamma g(\gamma x)$. Now we will some define functions:

Define

$$\tilde{\psi}_1(r) := \max\{\Psi_B(\gamma_{int} r) / \tilde{\delta}_{int}, r\},$$

where γ_{int} and $\tilde{\delta}_{int}$ will be fixed later. By [[33] Theorem 8] we can assume $\tilde{\Psi}_1$ is concave. Without loss of generality we can assume $\tilde{\Psi}_1(1) = 1$. Finally we define

$$\psi_1(r) := \tilde{\psi}_1(\sqrt{r}).$$

Then, $\psi_1(r)$ is 1/2-decreasing function. Also, using change of variables we have $\psi_1(r)$ is Dini continuous.

Similarly, define $\psi_2(r)$ corresponding to Dini continuity of g , i.e., ω_g . Finally define

$$\psi := \max\{\psi_3(r), \sqrt{r}\}$$

where $\psi_3(\lambda^k) := \sum_{i=0}^k \psi_1(\lambda^{k-i})\psi_2(\lambda^i)$.

Now proceed as in the Lemma 3.4. □

Sketch of proof of Theorem 3.7. First we will get the estimate as in Lemma 3.5 and then use Campanato type characterization to get the implications of the theorem. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let (t_1, x_1, y_1) and (t_2, x_2, y_2) be in $Q_{1/2}^*$. Without loss of generality we shall assume $y_1 \leq y_2$. We will do proof in two cases:

- (i) $|(t_1, X_1) - (t_2, X_2)| \leq \frac{y_1}{4}$.
- (ii): $|(t_1, X_1) - (t_2, X_2)| \geq \frac{y_1}{4}$.

In the first case, we will consider

$$\tilde{W}(t, x, y) := U(t, x, y) - \ell_{(t_1, x_1)}(x),$$

then using Lemma 3.5 for $r = y_1/2$, we have

$$\int_{Q_{\frac{y_1}{2}}^*(t_1, x_1, y_1)} |U(t, x, y) - \ell_{(t_1, x_1)}(x)|^2 y^a dt dX \leq C_n C_l y_1^{n+5+a} K^2(y_1),$$

where $C_n = 2^{n+5+a}$. Note that the following rescaled function

$$W(t, x, y) = \tilde{W}(t_1 + y_1^2 t, x_1 + y_1 x, y_1 y) / y_1$$

solves $W_t - \operatorname{div}(B(y_1 X) \nabla W) - (a/y)W_y = -\operatorname{div}((B(y_1 X) - I) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\ell})$, in $\mathbf{B}_{1/2}$ and

$$\|W\|_{L^2(\mathbf{Q}_{1/2})} \leq C_n C_l K(y_1).$$

Now apply Proposition 3.7 to get

$$|\nabla W(t, X) - \nabla W(0, 0, 1)| \leq C_{ir} \|W\|_{L^2(\mathbf{Q}_{1/2})} (K(y_1 |(t, X) - (0, 0, 1)|) + |(t, X) - (0, 0, 1)|^{1/2}).$$

Using $\ell_{(t_1, x_1)}$ is linear and rescaling back to get

$$|\nabla U(t_1, X_1) - \nabla U(t_2, X_2)| \leq C(K(y_1)K(|(t_1, X_1) - (t_2, X_2)|) + \frac{K(y_1)}{\sqrt{y_1}}|(t_1, X_1) - (t_2, X_2)|^{1/2})$$

Use K is $1/2$ -decreasing and bounded to get

$$|\nabla U(t_1, X_1) - \nabla U(t_2, X_2)| \leq CK(|(t_1, X_1) - (t_2, X_2)|).$$

Similary, we get

$$|U(t_1, X) - U(t_2, X)| \leq CK(|t_1 - t_2|^{1/2})|t_1 - t_2|^{1/2}.$$

This proves the first case.

To prove the case(ii) first note that using triangle inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} |y_2| &= |(t_2, X_2) - (t_2, x_2, 0)| \leq |(t_2, X_2) - (t_1, X_1)| + |(t_1, X_1) - (t_1, x_1, 0)| + |(t_1, x_1, 0) - (t_2, x_2, 0)| \\ &\leq |(t_2, X_2) - (t_1, X_1)| + |y_1| + |(t_2, X_2) - (t_1, X_1)| \leq 6|(t_2, X_2) - (t_1, X_1)|. \end{aligned}$$

Use Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.5 to get the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla U(t_1, X_1) - \nabla U(t_2, X_2)| &\leq |\nabla U(t_1, X_1) - \nabla \ell_{(t_1, x_1)}| + |\nabla \ell_{(t_1, x_1)} - \nabla \ell_{(t_2, x_2)}| + |\nabla U(t_2, X_2) - \nabla \ell_{(t_2, x_2)}| \\ &\leq CK(y_1) + CK(|(t_1, x_1) - (t_2, x_2)|) + CK(y_2) \leq CK(6|(t_1, X_1) - (t_2, X_2)|). \end{aligned}$$

Now we will get estimate for continuity in t variable:

$$\begin{aligned} |U(t_1, X) - U(t_2, X)| &\leq |U(t_1, x, y) - U(t_1, x, 0) + U(t_2, x, 0) - U(t_2, x, y)| + |U(t_1, x, 0) - U(t_2, x, 0)| \\ &\leq |U_y(t_1, x, \xi_1) - U_y(t_2, x, \xi_2)||y| + CK(|t_1 - t_2|^{1/2})|t_1 - t_2|^{1/2} \\ &\leq C(K(|(t_1, x, \xi_1) - (t_1, x, \xi_2)|) + K(|t_1 - t_2|^{1/2}))|t_1 - t_2|^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where ξ_1, ξ_2 lies between 0 and y . Using the condition of Case(ii) we get

$$|U(t_1, X) - U(t_2, X)| \leq CK(6|t_1 - t_2|^{1/2})|t_1 - t_2|^{1/2}.$$

This completes the proof of case(ii). □

4. APPENDIX

Theorem 4.1. *For every $f \in L^2(Q_1)$ and $F \in L^2(Q_1^*)$, there exists a $u \in C(-1, 1; V(B_1^*))$ unique weak solution to*

$$\begin{cases} y^\alpha \partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(y^\alpha B(x) \nabla u) = \operatorname{div}(y^\alpha F) & \text{in } Q_1^* \\ y^\alpha u_y|_{y=0} = f & \text{on } Q_1 \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial_p Q_1^* \setminus Q_1, \end{cases}$$

where $V(B_1^*) = \{v \in H_a^1(B_1^*) : \partial B_1^* \setminus \{y = 0\}\}$.

Proof. Consider $f \in L^2(Q_1)$ and $F \in L_a^2(Q_1^*)$. Now, using the density of smooth function, we have $f_k \in C_c^\infty(Q_1)$ and $F_k \in C_c^\infty(Q_1^*)$ such that $f_k \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(Q_1)$ and $F_k \rightarrow F$ in $L_a^2(Q_1^*)$. Define $g_k : [-1, 1] \rightarrow V^*$ as following: Fix t , for $v \in V$,

$$g_k(t)v = \int_{B_1} f_k(t, x)v(x)dx + \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha F_k(t, X) \cdot \nabla v(X)dX.$$

Using triangle inequality, Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and trace theorem (in first term), we have $g_k(t) \in V^*$ with

$$\|g_k(t)\|_{V^*} \leq \left(\int_{B_1} |f_k(t, x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha |F_k(t, X)|^2 dX \right)^{1/2}.$$

Use $f_k \in L^2(Q_1)$ and $F_k \in L_a^2(Q_1^*)$ to get $g_k \in L^2(-1, 1; V^*)$. Also, Define $\tilde{g}_k : [-1, 1] \rightarrow V^*$ as following: Fix t , for $v \in V$,

$$\tilde{g}_k(t)v = \int_{B_1} (f_k)_t(t, x)v(x)dx + \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha (F_k)_t(t, X)v(X)dX,$$

then $g'_k = \tilde{g}_k \in L^2(-1, 1; V^*)$. For $u, v \in V$, define

$$a(u, v) = \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dX.$$

Note that by Poincare inequality, for all $u \in V$ we have

$$a(u, u) \geq C\|u\|^2.$$

Thus we have verified conditions of Theorem 5.1 of [22] for $u_0 = 0$ and $\chi = 0$. Hence we get $u_k \in L^2(-1, 1; V)$ and $(u_k)_t \in L^2(-1, 1; V)$ such that for almost all t and for all $v \in V$ we have

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{B_1^*} (u_k)_t v dX + \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha \nabla u_k \cdot \nabla v dX = \int_{B_1} f_k(t, x)v(x)dx + \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha F_k(t, X) \cdot \nabla v(X)dX.$$

Put $v = u_k(t)$ in (4.1) and integrate with respect to t to get

$$\int_{-1}^1 \int_{B_1^*} (u_k)_t u_k dX dt + \int_{-1}^1 \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha \nabla u_k \cdot \nabla u_k dX dt = \int_{-1}^1 \int_{B_1} f_k u_k dx dt + \int_{-1}^1 \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha F_k \cdot \nabla u_k dX dt.$$

Since $t \rightarrow \|u_k(t)\|_V$ is a absolutely continuous function, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1^*} u_k^2(1) dX dt + \int_{-1}^1 \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha \nabla u_k \cdot \nabla u_k dX dt = \int_{-1}^1 \int_{B_1} f_k u_k dx dt + \int_{-1}^1 \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha F_k \cdot \nabla u_k dX dt.$$

On applying Holder's inequality, trace theorem and AM-GM inequality in first term of RHS and Holder's inequality and AM-GM inequality in second term we get

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{-1}^1 \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha \nabla u_k \cdot \nabla u_k dX dt \leq 2C_{Tr} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{B_1} f_k^2 dx dt + 2 \int_{-1}^1 \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha |F_k|^2 dX dt.$$

Now write (4.1) as

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{B_1^*} (u_k)_t v dX = \int_{B_1} f_k(t, x) v(x) dx + \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha F_k(t, X) \cdot \nabla v(X) dX - \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha \nabla u_k \cdot \nabla v dX.$$

After applying Holder's inequality and trace theorem in first term of RHS and Holder's inequality in second term and third term of RHS we get, for almost all t

$$\|(u_k)_t(t)\|_{V^*} \leq \left(\int_{B_1} |f_k(t, x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha |F_k(t, X)|^2 dX \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha |\nabla u_k|^2 dX \right)^{1/2}.$$

After Squaring both side and applying AM-GM inequality we get

$$\int_{-1}^1 \|(u_k)_t(t)\|_{V^*}^2 dt \leq 4 \int_{-1}^1 \int_{B_1} |f_k(t, x)|^2 dx dt + 4 \int_{-1}^1 \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha |F_k(t, X)|^2 dX dt + 4 \int_{-1}^1 \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha |\nabla u_k|^2 dX dt.$$

Using (4.2) and boundedness of $\|f_k\|_{L^2}$ and $\|F_k\|_{L_a^2}$, we get u_k and $(u_k)_t$ is bounded in $L^2(-1, 1; V)$ and $L^2(-1, 1; V^*)$ respectively. Hence we will get a weak convergent subsequence u_k such that $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ in $L^2(-1, 1; V)$ and $(u_k)_t \rightharpoonup u_t$ in $L^2(-1, 1; V^*)$. Hence, for all $\phi \in H_0^1(-1, 1; V)$ we have

$$(4.4) \quad - \int_{-1}^1 \int_{B_1^*} u \phi_t dX dt + \int_{-1}^1 \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi dX dt = \int_{-1}^1 \int_{B_1} f(t, x) \phi dx dt + \int_{-1}^1 \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha F_k(t, X) \cdot \nabla \phi(t, X) dX dt.$$

Also $u \in L^2(-1, 1; V)$ and $u_t \in L^2(-1, 1; V^*)$ implies $u \in C(-1, 1; V)$. Since $u_k(0) = 0$ for all k , $u(0) = 0$. Note that (4.4) is equivalent to following: for all $-1 < t_1 < t_2 < 1$ and $\phi \in H^1(-1, 1; V)$ we have

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{B_1^*} u \phi(t_1) dX - \int_{B_1^*} u \phi(t_2) dX dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_1^*} u \phi_t dX dt + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi dX dt$$

$$(4.6) \quad = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_1} f(t, x) \phi dx dt + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_1^*} y^\alpha F(t, X) \cdot \nabla \phi(t, X) dX dt.$$

Now we will prove uniqueness. Assume there are two solutions u_1 and u_2 in $C(-1, 1; V) \cap L^2(-1, 1; V)$. By standard argument Steklov average of u^i , u_h^i belongs to $H^1(-1, 1; V)$ and satisfy

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_1^*} (u_h^i)_t \phi dX dt + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_1^*} y^a \nabla u_h^i \cdot \nabla \phi dX dt = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_1} f_h(t, x) \phi dx dt + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_1^*} y^a F_h(t, X) \cdot \nabla \phi(t, X) dX dt.$$

On Putting $\phi = u_h^2 - u_h^1$ and subtracting two equations we get

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_1^*} (u_h^2 - u_h^1)_t (u_h^2 - u_h^1) dX dt + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_1^*} y^a \nabla (u_h^2 - u_h^1) \cdot \nabla (u_h^2 - u_h^1) dX dt = 0.$$

On passing limit as $h \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$\int_{B_1^*} (u^2 - u^1)^2(t_2) dX - \int_{B_1^*} (u^2 - u^1)^2(t_1) dX \leq 0$$

Take $t_1 \rightarrow -1$ and conclude $u^2 = u^1$. This completes the proof of uniqueness. \square

Remark 4.2. For every $F \in L^2(Q_1)$, there exists a unique weak solution $U \in C(-1, 1; V(B_1))$ to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(B(x)\nabla u) = \operatorname{div}(F) & \text{in } Q_1 \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial_p Q_1. \end{cases}$$

This can be obtained by taking $V = H_0^1(B_1)$ and measure $dxdt$ instead of $y^a dX dt$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Audrito, *On the existence and Hölder regularity of solutions to some nonlinear Cauchy-Neumann problems*, [arXiv:2107.03308](#).
- [2] K. Adimurthi & A. Banerjee, *Borderline regularity for fully nonlinear equations in dini domains*, [arXiv:1806.07652v2](#), to appear in *Advances in Calculus of Variations*.
- [3] I. Athanasopoulos, L. Caffarelli & E. Milakis, *On the regularity of the non-dynamic parabolic fractional obstacle problem*, *J. Differ. Equ.* 265(6) (2018), 2614-2647.
- [4] A. Audrito & S. Terracini, *On the nodal set of solutions to a class of nonlocal parabolic reaction-diffusion equations*, [arXiv:1807.10135](#)
- [5] A. Balakrishnan, *Fractional powers of closed operators and the semigroups generated by them*, *Pacific J. Math.* 10 (1960) 419-437.
- [6] A. Banerjee, D. Danielli, N. Garofalo & A. Petrosyan, *The regular free boundary in the thin obstacle problem for degenerate parabolic equations*, *Algebra i Analiz* 32 (2020), no. 3, 84-126.
- [7] A. Banerjee, D. Danielli, N. Garofalo & A. Petrosyan, *The structure of the singular set in the thin obstacle problem for degenerate parabolic equations*, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 60 (2021), no. 3, Paper No. 91, 52 pp.
- [8] A. Banerjee & N. Garofalo, *Monotonicity of generalized frequencies and the strong unique continuation property for fractional parabolic equations*, *Adv. Math.* 336 (2018), 149-241.

- [9] A. Banerjee, N. Garofalo, I. Munive & D. Nhieu, *The Harnack inequality for a class of nonlocal parabolic equations*, Commun. Contemp. Math. 23 (2021), no. 6, Paper No. 2050050, 23 pp.
- [10] A. Banerjee & I. Munive, *Gradient continuity estimates for the normalized p -Poisson equation*, Commun. Contemp. Math. 22 (2020), no. 8, 1950069, 24 pp.
- [11] A. Biswas, M. De Leon-Contreras & P. Stinga *Harnack inequalities and Hölder estimates for master equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 53 (2021), no. 2, 2319-2348.
- [12] A. Biswas & P. R. Stinga, *Regularity Estimates for Nonlocal Space-Time Master Equations in Bounded Domains*, J. Evol. Equ. 21 (2021), 503–565.
- [13] L. Caffarelli, *Interior estimates for fully nonlinear equations*, Ann. of Math. 130 (1989), 189–213.
- [14] L. Caffarelli & X. Cabre, *Fully nonlinear elliptic equations*. AMS 43, Providence, RI, pp. 21–28 (1995).
- [15] L. Caffarelli & C. E. Kenig, *Gradient estimates for variable coefficient parabolic equations and singular perturbation problems*. Amer. J. Math. 120 (1998), no. 2, 391–439.
- [16] L. Caffarelli & L. Silvestre, *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 32 (2007), no. 7-9, 1245-1260.
- [17] L. Caffarelli & L. Silvestre, *Hölder regularity for generalized master equations with rough kernels*, Advances in analysis: the legacy of Elias M. Stein, 63-83, Princeton Math. Ser., 50, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2014.
- [18] L. Caffarelli & P. R. Stinga, *Fractional elliptic equations, Caccioppoli estimates and regularity*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 33 (2016), 767–807.
- [19] F. Chiarenza & R. Serapioni, *A remark on a Harnack inequality for degenerate parabolic equations.*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 73 (1985), 179-190.
- [20] P. Daskalopoulos, T. Kuusi & G. Mingione, *Borderline estimates for fully nonlinear elliptic equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 39(2014), 574–590.
- [21] H. Dong & T. Phan, *Regularity for parabolic equations with singular or degenerate coefficients*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 60 (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 44, 39 pp.
- [22] G. Duvaut & J.-L. Lions, *Les inéquations en mécanique et en physique*, (French) Travaux et Recherches Mathématiques, no. 21. Dunod, Paris, 1972. xx+387 pp.
- [23] F. Duzaar & G. Mingione, *Gradient estimates via non-linear potentials*, Amer. J. Math. 133 (2011), no. 4, 1093–1149.
- [24] E. B. Fabes, C. E. Kenig & R. P. Serapioni, *The local regularity of solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations 7 (1982) no. 1, 77-116.
- [25] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood & G. Pólya, *Inequalities*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. xii + 324 (1988).
- [26] T. Kuusi & G. Mingione, *Universal potential estimates*, J. Funct. Anal. 262 (2012), no. 10, 4205–4269.
- [27] T. Kuusi & G. Mingione, *Linear potentials in nonlinear potential theory*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 207 (2013), no. 1, 215–246.
- [28] T. Kuusi & G. Mingione, *Guide to nonlinear potential estimates*, Bull. Math. Sci. 4 (2014), no. 1, 1–82.
- [29] T. Kuusi & G. Mingione, *A nonlinear Stein theorem*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 51 (2014), no. 1-2, 45–86.

- [30] O. A. Ladyženskaja, V. A. Solonnikov & N. N. Ural'ceva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, translations of Mathematical Monographs 23, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1968.
- [31] R. Lai, Y. Lin & A. Ruland, *The Calderón problem for a space-time fractional parabolic equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 52 (2020), no. 3, 2655-2688.
- [32] M. Litsgard & K. Nystrom, *Fractional powers of parabolic operators with time-dependent measurable coefficients*, [arXiv:2104.07313](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.07313).
- [33] G. G. Lorentz: *Approximation of functions*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York-Chicago, Ill.-Toronto, Ont., 1966.
- [34] M. Kenkre, E. W. Montroll & M. F. Shlesinger, *Generalized master equations for continuous-time random walks*, Journal of Statistical Physics, 9 (1973), (1) 45-50.
- [35] R. Metzler & J. Klafter, *The random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach*, Phys. Rep. 339 (2000), no. 1, 77 pp.
- [36] E. W. Montroll & G. H. Weiss, *Random walks on lattices. II*, J. Mathematical Phys. 6 (1965), 167-181.
- [37] A. Nekvinda, *Characterization of traces of the weighted Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega, d_M^\epsilon)$ on M* , Czechoslovak Math. J. 43, 695-711 (1993).
- [38] K. Nyström & O. Sande, *Extension properties and boundary estimates for a fractional heat operator*, Nonlinear Analysis, 140 (2016), 29-37.
- [39] R. O'Neil, *Integral transforms and tensor products on Orlicz spaces and $L(p, q)$ spaces*, J. Anal. Math. 21, 4-276 (1968).
- [40] M. Riesz, *Intégrales de Riemann-Liouville et potentiels*, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged, 9 (1938), 1-42.
- [41] M. Riesz, *L'intégrale de Riemann-Liouville et le problème de Cauchy*, (French) Acta Math. 81 (1949), 1-223.
- [42] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas & O. I. Marichev, *Fractional integrals and derivatives. Theory and applications*, Edited and with a foreword by S. M. Nikol'skii. Translated from the 1987 Russian original. Revised by the authors. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, 1993. xxxvi+976 pp.
- [43] E. M. Stein, *Editor's note: the differentiability of functions in R^n* , Ann. of Math. (2) 113 (1981), no. 2, 383-385.
- [44] P. R. Stinga & J. L. Torrea, *Regularity theory and extension problem for fractional nonlocal parabolic equations and the master equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 49 (2017), 3893-3924.

TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, CENTRE FOR APPLICABLE MATHEMATICS, BANGALORE-560065,
INDIA

Email address, Vedansh Arya: vedansh@tifrbng.res.in

TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, CENTRE FOR APPLICABLE MATHEMATICS, BANGALORE-560065,
INDIA

Email address, Dharmendra Kumar: dharmendra2020@tifrbng.res.in