Low-rank decomposition for quantum simulations with complex basis functions

Michael P. Kaicher[1]
Departamento de Física Teórica, Universidad Complutense, 28040 Madrid, Spain

(Dated: 13 December 2021)

Low-rank decompositions to reduce the Coulomb operator to a pairwise form suitable for its quantum simulation are well-known in quantum chemistry, where the underlying basis functions are real-valued. We generalize the result of Ref. 1 to *complex* basis functions $\psi_p(\mathbf{r}) \in \mathbb{C}$ by means of the Schur decomposition and decomposing matrices into their symmetric and anti-symmetric components. This allows the application of low-rank decomposition strategies to general basis sets.

arXiv:2109.09404v1 [quant-ph] 20 Sep 2021

I. INTRODUCTION

When simulating a second quantized Hamiltonian of a fermionic system with a quantum computer, recent works employed low-rank decomposition strategies known from classical simulation algorithms to express the interaction terms as sums of squares of one-body operators[1–6], which allows for a simulation in terms of fermionic Gaussian unitaries and Ising-type interactions[1]. With the exception of Ref. 6, all such decomposition strategies rely on symmetry properties of the two-body matrix elements in the second-quantized form which result from the the underlying single-particle basis functions being real-valued. This in particular applies to molecular electronic structure type Hamiltonians, which in general do not require complex basis functions[7].

However, other quantum systems exist that are better described by complex basis functions, where the decomposition strategy of Ref. 1 can no longer be applied. One prominent example are Landau-level wave functions[8] used to represent the Hamiltonian describing the fractional quantum Hall effect[9–11]. Our work shows how one can employ the low-rank representation of the Hamiltonian terms describing the two-body interaction systems represented by complex-valued single-particle basis functions.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section II we review the low-rank strategy introduced by Motta *et al.*, extend their result to complex-valued basis functions in Section III, and conclude in Section IV.

II. LOW-RANK REPRESENTATION FOR REAL-VALUED BASIS FUNCTIONS

Second quantized time-independent Hamiltonians that describe a non-relativistic system of N_f interacting fermions are typically of the following form,

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_1 + \hat{H}_2, \tag{1}$$

where $\hat{H}_1$ ($\hat{H}_2$) contains a linear combination of a quadratic (quartic) polynomial of fermionic annihilation and creation operators, more specifically

$$\hat{H}_1 = \sum_{p,q=1}^{N_f} f_{p,q} \hat{c}_p^\dagger \hat{c}_q, \tag{2}$$

$$\hat{H}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q,r,s=1}^{N_f} h_{p,q,r,s} \hat{c}_p^\dagger \hat{c}_q^\dagger \hat{c}_r \hat{c}_s, \tag{3}$$

where f is a two-dimensional tensor describing the one-body terms such as kinetic energy or local potentials and h is a four-dimensional tensor describing the physical (e.g. Coulomb-) interaction. Here, $\hat{c}_p^\dagger$ and $\hat{c}_q$ are fermionic creation and annihilation operators acting on the spin-orbitals p and q, $f_{p,q}$ and $h_{p,q,r,s}$ are overlap integrals where the subscript p coressponds to the p-th single particle function $\psi_p(\mathbf{r})$. The factor $\frac{1}{2}$ corrects for counting the interaction between two indistinguishable fermions twice. The tensors f and h carry a structure that depends on the employed basis functions, the quantum system at hand, and naturally reflect the fermionic nature of the problem.

In this section, we will present a condensed version of the method described in Ref. 1, where $\hat{H}$ (using a real-valued basis set) can be rewritten as the sum-of-squares of one-particle operators, which allows for a relatively simple quantum simulation of its exponential map, e.g. required to simulate its time evolution[12].

For sets of *real-valued* basis functions $\psi_p(\mathbf{r}) \in \mathbb{R}$, explicit expressions were given for rewriting the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H} =& \sum_{p,q} f_{p,q} \hat{c}_p^\dagger \hat{c}_q + \sum_{p,q} S_{p,q} \hat{c}_p^\dagger \hat{c}_q + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{L=1}^{N_f^2} \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i^{(L)} \lambda_j^{(L)} \hat{n}_i^{(L)} \hat{n}_j^{(L)} \\ \equiv& \hat{F} + \hat{S} + \sum_L \hat{V}^{(L)},\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where f (S) is a two-dimensional tensor containing all one-body contributions (from the interaction term), $\hat{n}_i^{(L)}$ are number operators in a rotated basis, and $\lambda_i^{(L)}$ are coefficients. In fact, the coefficients $\lambda_i^{(L)}$ are connected to the spectrum of the reshaped tensor h and can be used to truncate the summation over L, which can lead to a significant reduction in simulation cost at low truncation error[1]. Importantly, the creation and annihilation operators in the rotated basis only satisfy the an-

ticommutation relations for a fixed L and not for $L' \neq L$. This implies that $\left[\hat{V}^{(L)}, \hat{V}^{(L')}\right] \neq 0$, in general.

As one can see from Eq. (4), the simplicity of its quantum simulation becomes evident when applying the Jordan-Wigner transformation[13]. Then, the fermionic number operators (after applying the single-particle transformation $\hat{U}_L$) is diagonal with no appearance of Pauli-Z strings, allowing for a simple simulation by means of an Ising-type interaction.

The exponential map of the Hamiltonian operator (e.g. for performing a unitary time evolution step) can than be approximated by a Trotter step to first order[14],

$$e^{i\Delta t\hat{H}} \approx e^{i\Delta t\left(\hat{F}+\hat{S}\right)} \prod_{L=1}^{N_f^2} \left(\hat{U}^{(L)} e^{i\Delta t\hat{V}^{(L)}} \left(\hat{U}^{(L)}\right)^\dagger\right), \tag{5}$$

where $\hat{U}^{(L)}$ are basis rotation operations which can be implemented through Givens rotations[15]. As discussed in Ref. 1, all terms on the right-hand side can be implemented using a low-order polynomial number of Givens rotations and phase gates[16]. The purpose of this work is to give the explicit expressions for the basis rotations for the case that the underlying single-particle basis functions in Eq. (1) are complex-valued.

III. FACTORIZATION OF THE INTERACTION TERM FOR COMPLEX-VALUED BASIS FUNCTIONS

In the following, we will make extensive use of flattening (also known as *reshaping*) of tensors, which is why we will be rigorous with comma-separated notation in the subscripts, e.g. $h_{p,q,r,s}$ is a $(N_f \times N_f \times N_f \times N_f)$-tensor, while $h_{pq,r,s}$ denotes the reshaped $(N_f^2 \times N_f \times N_f)$-tensor, where the first two dimensions are flattened.

We consider the interaction term

$$\hat{H}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q,r,s=1}^{N_f} h_{p,q,r,s} \hat{c}_p^\dagger \hat{c}_q^\dagger \hat{c}_r \hat{c}_s, \tag{6}$$

where the two-body matrix elements are defined as

$$h_{p,q,r,s} = \frac{1}{4}\left(v_{p,q,r,s} - v_{q,p,r,s} + v_{q,p,s,r} - v_{p,q,s,r}\right), \tag{7}$$

$$v_{p,q,r,s} = \iint d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \psi_p^*(\mathbf{r}_1)\psi_q^*(\mathbf{r}_2)\hat{V}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) \times \psi_s(\mathbf{r}_1)\psi_r(\mathbf{r}_2). \tag{8}$$

Here, $\psi_p(\mathbf{r})$ describes the p-th basis function for a particle located at position $\mathbf{r}$ and $V(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2)$ describes the interaction potential between particle 1 and 2, typically given by the Coulomb potential $V(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) = 1/|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|$, in appropriate units. We will assume that the resulting tensor elements computed through the two-electron integrals are real-valued, which leads to the property $h_{p,q,r,s} = (h_{p,q,r,s})^* = h_{s,r,q,p}$. One of the more prominent examples where this holds is for the fractional quantum Hall systems mentioned in the introduction, e.g. Haldane's spherical model[9], or the two-dimensional disk geometry[10,11]. Note, that since we have chosen $h_{p,q,r,s}$ to possess the following symmetries, $h_{p,q,r,s} = -h_{q,p,r,s} = -h_{p,q,s,r} = h_{q,p,s,r}$, this will also translate to $h_{s,r,q,p}$, resulting in the eight-fold symmetry

$$\begin{aligned} h_{p,q,r,s} &= -h_{q,p,r,s} = -h_{p,q,s,r} = h_{q,p,s,r} \\ &= h_{s,r,q,p} = -h_{r,s,q,p} = -h_{s,r,p,q} = h_{r,s,p,q}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

We rewrite Eq. (6) as

$$\hat{H}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q,r,s=1}^{N_f} h_{p,q,r,s} \hat{c}_p^\dagger \hat{c}_s \hat{c}_q^\dagger \hat{c}_r + \sum_{p,r=1}^{N_f} h_{p,r} \hat{c}_p^\dagger \hat{c}_r, \tag{10}$$

where we defined

$$h_{p,r} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=1}^{N_f} h_{p,q,r,q}. \tag{11}$$

We begin by transposing the tensor, such that indices belonging to particle 1 (here p,s) and particle 2 (here q,r) are grouped together. This is followed by flattening the tensor into a $(N_f^2 \times N_f^2)$ matrix, so that $h_{p,q,r,s} = h_{ps,qr}$. Due to the symmetry properties in Eq. (9), we know that the flattened matrix $h_{ps,qr}$ is real and symmetric, which means that we can diagonalize it by means of a Schur decomposition[17], which results in

$$h = O^{[h]} \Sigma^{[h]} {O^{[h]}}^T, \tag{12}$$

where h is the flattened tensor with matrix elements $h_{ps,qr}$, $O^{[h]}$ is a $(N_f^2 \times N_f^2)$-real orthogonal matrix, $\Sigma^{[h]}$ is a $(N_f^2 \times N_f^2)$-diagonal matrix with non-negative real-valued entries, and the superscript $[h]$ indicates that O and Σ belong here to the decomposition of h. With this, we can write the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (10) as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ps,qr}^{N_f^2} h_{ps,qr} \hat{c}_p^\dagger \hat{c}_s \hat{c}_q^\dagger \hat{c}_r = &\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ps,qr}^{N_f^2} \sum_{L=1}^{N_f^2} \left(O^{[h]}\right)_{ps,L} \left(\Sigma^{[h]}\right)_{L,L} \\ &\times \left(O^{[h]}\right)_{qr,L} \hat{c}_p^\dagger \hat{c}_s \hat{c}_q^\dagger \hat{c}_r. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

We now introduce L-dependent matrices $O_L^{[h]}$ whose matrix elements are given by

$$\left(O_L^{[h]}\right)_{p,s} = \left(O^{[h]}\right)_{ps,L}, \tag{14}$$

which can easily be obtained from `numpy.reshape()`. We can then write Eq. (13) as

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q,r,s=1}^{N_f} h_{p,q,r,s} \hat{c}_p^\dagger \hat{c}_s \hat{c}_q^\dagger \hat{c}_r \\ =&\frac{1}{2} \sum_{L=1}^{N_f^2} \sum_{ps,qr}^{N_f^2} \left(\Sigma^{[h]}\right)_{L,L} \left(O_L^{[h]}\right)_{p,s} \hat{c}_p^\dagger \hat{c}_s \left(O_L^{[h]}\right)_{q,r} \hat{c}_q^\dagger \hat{c}_r, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

and Eq. (12) as

$$h_{ps,qr} = \sum_{L=1}^{N_f^2} \Sigma_{L,L}^{[h]} \left(O_L^{[h]}\right)_{p,s} \left(O_L^{[h]}\right)_{q,r}. \tag{16}$$

By introducing the real-valued symmetric and anti-symmetric components of the matrix $O_L^{[h]}$,

$$\mathscr{S}^{[O_L]} = \frac{1}{2}\left(O_L^{[h]} + \left(O_L^{[h]}\right)^T\right), \tag{17}$$

$$\mathscr{A}^{[O_L]} = \frac{1}{2}\left(O_L^{[h]} - \left(O_L^{[h]}\right)^T\right), \tag{18}$$

we can write Eq. (16) as

$$h_{ps,qr} = \sum_{L=1}^{N_f^2} \Sigma_{L,L}^{[h]} \left(\mathscr{S}^{[O_L]}\right)_{p,s} \left(\mathscr{S}^{[O_L]}\right)_{q,r} + \sum_{L=1}^{N_f^2} \Sigma_{L,L}^{[h]} \left(\mathscr{A}^{[O_L]}\right)_{p,s} \left(\mathscr{A}^{[O_L]}\right)_{q,r}, \tag{19}$$

leading to an expression for the interaction term in terms of symmetric and antisymmetric matrices. One might expect two additional terms appearing in Eq. (19), namely the cross terms $\mathscr{S}\mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{A}\mathscr{S}$, since Eq. (19) is obtained by replacing $O_L^{[h]}$ in Eq. (16) with its symmetric and anti-symmetric components defined in Eqs. (17)-(18). However, the cross terms vanish due to the symmetry constraint $h_{ps,qr} = h_{sp,rq}$, which follows from Eq. (9). If we denote with $L_\mathscr{S}$ and $L_\mathscr{A}$ the set of indices in L which give a non-zero symmetric matrix $\mathscr{S}^{[O_l]}$ and $\mathscr{A}^{[O_l]}$, respectively, with $L_\mathscr{S} \cup L_\mathscr{A} = \{1,2,\ldots,N_f^2\}$ and $L_\mathscr{S} \cap L_\mathscr{A} = \{\}$, we can write Eq. (19) as

$$h_{ps,qr} = \sum_{L_\mathscr{S}} \Sigma_{L_\mathscr{S},L_\mathscr{S}}^{[h]} \left(\mathscr{S}^{[O_{L_\mathscr{S}}]}\right)_{p,s} \left(\mathscr{S}^{[O_{L_\mathscr{S}}]}\right)_{q,r} + \sum_{L_\mathscr{A}} \Sigma_{L_\mathscr{A},L_\mathscr{A}}^{[h]} \left(\mathscr{A}^{[O_{L_\mathscr{A}}]}\right)_{p,s} \left(\mathscr{A}^{[O_{L_\mathscr{A}}]}\right)_{q,r}, \tag{20}$$

which leads to

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{p,q,r,s=1}^{N_f} h_{p,q,r,s}\hat{c}_p^\dagger \hat{c}_s \hat{c}_q^\dagger \hat{c}_r = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{L_\mathscr{S}} \sum_{p,q,r,s=1}^{N_f} \Sigma_{L_\mathscr{S},L_\mathscr{S}}^{[h]} \left(\mathscr{S}^{[O_{L_\mathscr{S}}]}\right)_{p,s} \hat{c}_p^\dagger \hat{c}_s \left(\mathscr{S}^{[O_{L_\mathscr{S}}]}\right)_{q,r} \hat{c}_q^\dagger \hat{c}_r + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{L_\mathscr{A}} \sum_{p,q,r,s=1}^{N_f} \Sigma_{L_\mathscr{A},L_\mathscr{A}}^{[h]} \left(\mathscr{A}^{[O_{L_\mathscr{A}}]}\right)_{p,s} \hat{c}_p^\dagger \hat{c}_s \left(\mathscr{A}^{[O_{L_\mathscr{A}}]}\right)_{q,r} \hat{c}_q^\dagger \hat{c}_r. \tag{21}$$

We have split the Hamiltonian into the symmetric and anti-symmetric components of the sliced tensor of $O^{[h]}$ that diagonalizes the Coulomb tensor in its flattened form $h_{ps,qr}$ (Eq. (12)). We can now diagonalize the symmetric matrix defined in Eq. (17),

$$\mathscr{S}^{[O_L]} = U^{[\mathscr{S}^{[O_L]}]} \Sigma^{[\mathscr{S}^{[O_L]}]} {U^{[\mathscr{S}^{[O_L]}]}}^\dagger, \tag{22}$$

where $U^{[\mathscr{S}^{[O_L]}]}$ is a unitary matrix and $\Sigma^{[\mathscr{S}^{[O_L]}]}$ a real-valued diagonal matrix. Similarly, one can decompose the antisymmetric matrix defined in Eq. (18) into

$$\mathscr{A}^{[O_L]} = U^{[\mathscr{A}^{[O_L]}]} \Sigma^{[\mathscr{A}^{[O_L]}]} {U^{[\mathscr{A}^{[O_L]}]}}^\dagger, \tag{23}$$

where $U^{[\mathscr{A}^{[O_L]}]}$ is a unitary matrix and $\Sigma^{[\mathscr{A}^{[O_L]}]}$ is a diagonal matrix only possessing purely imaginary entries. Note, that by definition $\mathscr{S}^{[O_{L_\mathscr{A}}]} = \mathbb{0}_{N_f} = \mathscr{A}^{[O_{L_\mathscr{S}}]}$.

By introducing a new set of operators

$${\hat{b}_a^{(L)}}^\dagger = \begin{cases} \sum_{p=1}^{N_f} \left(U^{[\mathscr{S}^{[O_{L_\mathscr{S}}]}]}\right)_{p,a} \hat{c}_p^\dagger, \text{ if } L \in L_\mathscr{S}, \\ \sum_{p=1}^{N_f} \left(U^{[\mathscr{A}^{[O_{L_\mathscr{A}}]}]}\right)_{p,a} \hat{c}_p^\dagger, \text{ if } L \in L_\mathscr{A}, \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

$$\hat{b}_a^{(L)} = \begin{cases} \sum_{p=1}^{N_f} \left(U^{[\mathscr{S}^{[O_{L_\mathscr{S}}]}]^\dagger}\right)_{p,a} \hat{c}_p, \text{ if } L \in L_\mathscr{S}, \\ \sum_{p=1}^{N_f} \left(U^{[\mathscr{A}^{[O_{L_\mathscr{A}}]}]}\right)_{p,a} \hat{c}_p, \text{ if } L \in L_\mathscr{A}, \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

we can express the Hamiltonian in terms of L^2 pairwise interaction terms. Note, that the operators defined in Eqs. (24)-(25) only fulfill the canonical anticommutation relations for a fixed L, but not for $L' \neq L$. By defining $\hat{n}_a^{(L)} = {\hat{b}_a^{(L)}}^\dagger \hat{b}_a^{(L)}$, we arrive at an expression of the interaction terms of Eq. (4) for complex-valued basis functions,

$$\sum_L \hat{V}^{(L)} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{L_\mathscr{S}} \Sigma_{L_\mathscr{S},L_\mathscr{S}}^{[h]} \sum_{a,b=1}^{N_f} \Sigma_{a,a}^{[\mathscr{S}^{[O_L]}]} \Sigma_{b,b}^{[\mathscr{S}^{[O_L]}]} \hat{n}_a^{(L)} \hat{n}_b^{(L)} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{L_\mathscr{A}} \Sigma_{L_\mathscr{A},L_\mathscr{A}}^{[h]} \sum_{a,b=1}^{N_f} \Sigma_{a,a}^{[\mathscr{A}^{[O_L]}]} \Sigma_{b,b}^{[\mathscr{A}^{[O_L]}]} \hat{n}_a^{(L)} \hat{n}_b^{(L)}. \tag{26}$$

Inserting the right-hand side of Eq. (26) into Eq. (4) gives the low-rank representation of a second quantized Hamiltonian as in Eq. (1) for complex-valued basis sets. This result was derived using only the fundamental anticommutation relation and indistinguishability of fermions, and assuming that the resulting overlap integrals are real-valued.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this work, we presented a low-rank decomposition of a general second quantized Hamiltonian with complex-valued basis functions into a sum of squared normal operators. For

complex-valued basis functions, one loses a symmetry required to employ the method of Ref. 1, and we showed how this can be overcome by expressing the transformation matrices of the Schur-decomposed reshaped tensor h in terms of its symmetric and antisymmetric components, and using the fermionic nature of the indistinguishable particles to cancel cross terms that mix anti-symmetric and symmetric components. This result allows one to apply low-rank decomposition-based quantum algorithms to general basis sets. Note, that at the time of this writing, a similar result was presented in Ref.[6], which also discusses how to determine a sum-of-squares decomposition of $\hat{H}_2$ by a greedy search algorithm, by means of a low-depth non-orthogonal one-particle bases expansion of $\hat{H}_2$. Since we did not perform numerical experiments and only mentioned truncation strategies to lower the number of elements (by e.g. truncating the sums over the symmetric and anti-symmetric components $L_{\mathscr{S}}$ and $L_{\mathscr{A}}$ by introducing a truncation threshold in the eigenvalues of Σ as in Refs. 1 and 6), future work should focus on explicit error analysis of such strategies to our decomposition.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

MPK thanks Simon Balthasar Jäger for discussions. MPK acknowledges funding through S2018-TCS4342 QUITEMAD-CM.

[1] M. Motta, E. Ye, J. R. McClean, Z. Li, A. J. Minnich, R. Babbush, and G. K. Chan, "Low rank representations for quantum simulation of electronic structure," arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.02625 (2018).

[2] J. L. Whitten, "Coulombic potential energy integrals and approximations," The Journal of Chemical Physics **58**, 4496–4501 (1973).

[3] G. K.-L. Chan, A. Keselman, N. Nakatani, Z. Li, and S. R. White, "Matrix product operators, matrix product states, and ab initio density matrix renormalization group algorithms," The Journal of chemical physics **145**, 014102 (2016).

[4] B. Peng and K. Kowalski, "Highly efficient and scalable compound decomposition of two-electron integral tensor and its application in coupled cluster calculations," Journal of chemical theory and computation **13**, 4179–4192 (2017).

[5] Y. Matsuzawa and Y. Kurashige, "Jastrow-type decomposition in quantum chemistry for low-depth quantum circuits," Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation **16**, 944–952 (2020).

[6] N. C. Rubin, J. Lee, and R. Babbush, "Compressing many-body fermion operators under unitary constraints," (2021), arXiv:2109.05010 [quant-ph].

[7] T. Helgaker, P. Jorgensen, and J. Olsen, Molecular electronic-structure theory (John Wiley & Sons, 2014).

[8] L. Landau, "Diamagnetismus der metalle," Zeitschrift für Physik **64**, 629–637 (1930).

[9] G. Fano, F. Ortolani, and E. Colombo, "Configuration-interaction calculations on the fractional quantum hall effect," Physical Review B **34**, 2670 (1986).

[10] E. Tsiper, "Analytic coulomb matrix elements in the lowest landau level in disk geometry," Journal of Mathematical Physics **43**, 1664–1667 (2002).

[11] M. P. Kaicher, S. B. Jäger, P.-L. Dallaire-Demers, and F. K. Wilhelm, "Roadmap for quantum simulation of the fractional quantum hall effect," Physical Review A **102**, 022607 (2020).

[12] S. Lloyd, "Universal quantum simulators," Science **273**, 1073–1078 (1996), https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/science.273.527

[13] P. Jordan and E. Wigner, "Über das paulische äquivalenzverbot," Zeitschrift für Physik **47**, 631–651 (1928).

[14] H. F. Trotter, "On the product of semi-groups of operators," Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **10**, 545–551 (1959).

[15] D. Wecker, M. B. Hastings, N. Wiebe, B. K. Clark, C. Nayak, and M. Troyer, "Solving strongly correlated electron models on a quantum computer," Physical Review A **92**, 062318 (2015).

[16] The leading order depends on the underlying qubit connectivity and whether one applies approximation techniques which further reduce the gate count, see Ref.[1].

[17] I. Schur, "Über die charakteristischen wurzeln einer linearen substitution mit einer anwendung auf die theorie der integralgleichungen," Mathematische Annalen **66**, 488–510 (1909).