arXiv:2109.09756v2 [math.RT] 18 Feb 2022

An Overview of Penumbral Moonshine

John F. R. Duncan*†[1,2], Jeffrey A. Harvey‡[3], and Brandon C. Rayhaun§[4]

[1]Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan.

[2]Department of Mathematics, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, U.S.A.

[3]Enrico Fermi Institute and Department of Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, U.S.A.

[4]Institute for Theoretical Physics, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA 94305, U.S.A.

Dedicated to the memory of John H. Conway.

Abstract

As Mathieu moonshine is a special case of umbral moonshine, Thompson moonshine (in half-integral weight) is a special case of a family of similar relationships between finite groups and vector-valued modular forms of a certain kind. We call this penumbral moonshine. We introduce and explain some features of this phenomenon in this work.

MSC2020: 11F22, 11F27, 11F37, 11F50, 20C34.

*jduncan@gate.sinica.edu.tw

†john.duncan@emory.edu

‡j-harvey@uchicago.edu

§brayhaun@stanford.edu

Contents

1 Introduction **3**
1.1 Monstrous Moonshine . . . 3
1.2 Umbral Moonshine . . . 4
1.3 Motivation . . . 5
1.4 Thompson Moonshine . . . 7
1.5 Umbral Forms . . . 10
1.6 Penumbral Forms . . . 11
1.7 Penumbral Moonshine . . . 14

2 Notation **18**

3 Preliminaries **20**
3.1 Modular Forms . . . 20
3.2 Vector-Valued Modular Forms . . . 23
3.3 Jacobi Forms . . . 25
3.4 Eichler–Zagier Operators . . . 27
3.5 Rademacher Sums . . . 29

4 Moonshine **31**
4.1 Groups . . . 31
4.2 Forms . . . 38
4.3 Modules . . . 39
4.4 Lattices . . . 44

5 Summary and Outlook **47**

A Some Non-Examples **48**

B McKay–Thompson Series **50**
B.1 Characters . . . 53
B.2 Theta Corrections . . . 56
B.3 Singular Parts . . . 57
B.4 Coefficients . . . 60

References **82**

1 Introduction

To introduce penumbral moonshine, we first recall primary features of two of its precedents, monstrous and umbral moonshine. We review the former in § 1.1, and the latter in § 1.2. We use our review of umbral moonshine to motivate the main question of this work in § 1.3, and then, in § 1.4, explain how it is that Thompson moonshine, viewed from an appropriate place, sets us on a path toward an answer. To push further along this path we point out some distinguishing features of the forms of umbral moonshine in § 1.5. This motivates the formulation of the Thompson-moonshine-compatible counterpart features that we present in § 1.6. By the end of § 1.6 we are at a point where penumbral moonshine may be viewed. We describe what we see, and explain the content of this work, in § 1.7.

1.1 Monstrous Moonshine

In 1978 McKay famously observed a relationship between the Fourier expansion of the *elliptic modular invariant*

$$J(\tau) = q^{-1} + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + 864299970q^3 + \cdots \quad (q = e^{2\pi i\tau},\ \tau \in \mathbb{H}) \tag{1.1}$$

and the representation theory of the sporadic simple monster group, $\mathbb{M}$, which was at that point a recent discovery of Fischer and Griess (see [59, 60]). Specifically, McKay noticed that the coefficient of q in (1.1) is just one more than (what was then conjectured to be) the dimension of the smallest non-trivial ordinary representation of $\mathbb{M}$. Shortly after, Thompson, building upon similar observations [101], conjectured [100] the existence of an infinite-dimensional graded $\mathbb{M}$-module

$$V^\natural = \bigoplus_{n\geq -1} V_n^\natural \tag{1.2}$$

whose *McKay–Thompson series*

$$T_g(\tau) := \sum_{n\geq -1} \mathrm{tr}(g|V_n^\natural)q^n, \tag{1.3}$$

for $g \in \mathbb{M}$, are the normalized principal moduli associated to genus zero subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. That is to say, the McKay–Thompson series T_g should be very special functions. (See § 3.1 for the relevant definitions.)

In this picture, the elliptic modular invariant (1.1) arises when one chooses g to be the identity element of $\mathbb{M}$, and in particular recovers the graded dimension of $V^\natural$. Away from the identity element, Thompson's conjecture was made precise by Conway and Norton, with their concrete specification [25] of an association of genus zero groups $\Gamma_g < SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ to elements of the

monster $g \in \mathbb{M}$. The resulting *monstrous moonshine conjecture* was proven by Borcherds [5], following the explicit algebraic construction [51–53] of a candidate $\mathbb{M}$-module (1.2) by Frenkel, Lepowsky, and Meurman.

Frenkel, Lepowsky and Meurman established a vertex operator algebra (VOA) structure on $V^\natural$ in [53], following the introduction of the notion of vertex algebra by Borcherds in [4], and this structure plays a key role in Borcherds' proof. Interestingly, this particular example of a VOA serves to define a chiral conformal field theory (CFT) in the sense of physics (see e.g. [29, 69]). So we may consider applying physical arguments—even if they are not always rigorous—to monstrous moonshine.

From the physical point of view, the fact that the McKay–Thompson series T_g are modular functions follows once one has the VOA structure in place. (Cf. e.g. [9,55], and see [30,31,77,113] for rigorous mathematical results along these lines.) However, the groups Γ_g, which govern the transformation properties of the T_g, are often larger than the *Hecke congruence groups*,

$$\Gamma_0(m) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ cm & d \end{pmatrix} \,\middle|\, a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, ad - bcm = 1 \right\}, \tag{1.4}$$

that one would expect from established CFT or VOA arguments. In particular, the relevant congruence groups (1.4) are often not genus zero. This enhancement of the invariance groups of the McKay–Thompson series T_g to conspiratorially yield genus zero subgroups Γ_g of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ is simultaneously the most mysterious aspect of monstrous moonshine and its defining feature.

The conceptual origins of monstrous moonshine are not a main focus of this work, although we do not doubt that concrete connections will emerge in due course. In the meantime we refer to [36] for a proposal that the genus zero property emerges from a 3-dimensional gravity theory, and refer to [54] for connections to universal Teichmüller space, and a celebrated infinite simple Thompson group. An analysis of the genus zero property from a physical, string theoretic point of view, which reinterprets and refines important earlier work [105, 106] of Tuite, is given in [83, 84]. For recent reviews of moonshine see [1, 34, 37, 70].

1.2 Umbral Moonshine

In the time that has passed since McKay's original observation there have been similar discoveries relating privileged automorphic functions to exceptional finite groups, and they have led to new ties between mathematics and physical models arising from CFT and string theory. In particular, significant developments have followed from the *Mathieu moonshine* observation of Eguchi, Ooguri, and Tachikawa [46], in which the sporadic simple Mathieu group M_{24} (see e.g. [23, 24]) governs the Fourier coefficients of a weight $\frac{1}{2}$ mock modular form

$$H_1^{(2)}(\tau) = -2q^{-\frac{1}{8}} + 2 \cdot 45 q^{\frac{7}{8}} + 2 \cdot 231 q^{\frac{15}{8}} + 2 \cdot 770 q^{\frac{23}{8}} + \cdots, \tag{1.5}$$

which may be extracted from the elliptic genus of a K3 surface. (See e.g. [10] or [37] for introductory accounts.)

It was later realized that Mathieu moonshine belongs to a family of interrelated moonshine phenomena. Specifically, *umbral moonshine* [16–18, 38] attaches to each Niemeier lattice $L^{(\ell)}$ [79, 107] a vector-valued mock modular form $H^{(\ell)} = (H_r^{(\ell)})$ of weight $\frac{1}{2}$, and a finite group

$$G^{(\ell)} := \mathrm{Aut}(L^{(\ell)})/W^{(\ell)} \tag{1.6}$$

(here $W^{(\ell)}$ is the Weyl group of the root system of $L^{(\ell)}$), in such a way that $H^{(\ell)}$ recovers the graded dimension of a virtual graded $G^{(\ell)}$-module,

$$K^{(\ell)} = \bigoplus_{r \bmod 2m} \bigoplus_{D \equiv r^2 \bmod 4m} K^{(\ell)}_{r,-\frac{D}{4m}}. \tag{1.7}$$

Moreover, the associated vector-valued graded trace functions, $H_g^{(\ell)} = (H_{g,r}^{(\ell)})$, defined for $g \in G^{(\ell)}$ by

$$H^{(\ell)}_{g,r}(\tau) := \sum_{D \equiv r^2 \bmod 4m} \mathrm{tr}(g|K^{(\ell)}_{r,-\frac{D}{4m}}) q^{-\frac{D}{4m}}, \tag{1.8}$$

and also called McKay–Thompson series, are optimal, in a sense which identifies them as natural analogues of normalized principal moduli. (See [11, 18, 35], and also § 1.5 below, for more discussion of this.) In brief, the McKay–Thompson series (1.8) of umbral moonshine are very special, just like the McKay–Thompson series (1.3) of monstrous moonshine.

We refer to [28, 82, 112] for introductory accounts of mock modular forms, and refer to [7] for a thorough reference. For an introduction to umbral moonshine see [37].

1.3 Motivation

The indexing symbol in umbral moonshine (1.6–1.8) is called a lambency, following [16, 17]. It takes the form

$$\ell = m + n, n', \ldots, \tag{1.9}$$

and thereby specifies the m in (1.7–1.8). Mathieu moonshine (1.5) corresponds to the choice $\ell = 2$, in which case the root system is A_1^{24}.

The lambency notation (1.9) is inspired by the original work [25] of Conway and Norton on monstrous moonshine, in which such symbols are used to denote some of the aforementioned (in § 1.1) extensions of $\Gamma_0(m)$ that conspire to be genus zero. Their convention is that the $n, n', \ldots$ in (1.9) represent the presence of Atkin–Lehner involutions $W_n, W_{n'}, \ldots$ (see (3.1)) in the extension of $\Gamma_0(m)$ defined by (1.9) (cf. (3.3)). In particular,

1. the $n, n', \dots$ in (1.9) should be exact divisors of m (so that $\gcd(n, \frac{m}{n}) = 1$, and similarly for $n', \dots$), and
2. the set $\{1, n, n', \dots\}$ should be closed under the natural group operation (see (3.2)) on exact divisors of m.

In this work we refer to general symbols ℓ as in (1.9) that satisfy the above two conditions as *lambencies*, and use adjectives such as umbral, penumbral, &c., to refer to those particular lambencies that appear in the corresponding settings. We also say that ℓ has *genus zero*, or not, according as the corresponding subgroup (see (3.3)) of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ defines a genus zero quotient (see (3.4)) of the upper half-plane, or not.

The Atkin–Lehner involutions W_n (3.1) for $\Gamma_0(m)$ act naturally, as involutions, on spaces of modular forms for $\Gamma_0(m)$. For this reason we may use the W_n to refine spaces of modular forms, by splitting them into invariant and anti-invariant parts. A special role in the modular form theory—and in moonshine—is played by the *Fricke involution*, W_m, being the coset of $\Gamma_0(m)$ in $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ represented by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ m & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.10}$$

On this account, a lambency $\ell = m + n, n', \dots$, and the discrete group it represents, are called *Fricke* or *non-Fricke*, according as m is included in $\{1, n, n', \dots\}$ or not. It develops that all of the lambencies of umbral moonshine (1.6–1.8) are non-Fricke and genus zero. (We will say more about this in § 1.5.)

With the connection to subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ in mind, let us call m the *level* of a lambency $\ell = m + n, n', \dots$. It develops that, for ℓ one of the lambencies of umbral moonshine, and m the level of ℓ, the (non-holomorphic) modular completion $\widehat{H}^{(\ell)}$ of $H^{(\ell)}$ transforms in the same way as a vector-valued modular form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ for the index m Weil representation (see (3.11), (3.13)) of the modular group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \Gamma_0(1)$ (1.4). This means that the components $\widehat{H}_r^{(\ell)}$ of $\widehat{H}^{(\ell)}$ transform in the same way as the theta-coefficients (cf. (3.18)) of a holomorphic Jacobi form of weight 1 and index m. That is, if we set

$$\phi^{(\ell)}(\tau, z) := \sum_{r \bmod 2m} H_r^{(\ell)}(\tau) \theta_{m,r}(\tau, z), \tag{1.11}$$

where the $\theta_{m,r}$ are the theta series of the even positive-definite lattices of rank 1 (as defined in (3.16)), and if we define $\widehat{\phi}^{(\ell)}$ similarly, taking $\widehat{H}_r^{(\ell)}$ in place of $H_r^{(\ell)}$ in (1.11), then we have the *modular invariance* identities

$$\widehat{\phi}^{(\ell)}(\tau + 1, z) = \widehat{\phi}^{(\ell)}(\tau, z) = \widehat{\phi}^{(\ell)}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{z}{\tau}\right) \frac{1}{\tau} e^{-2\pi i m \frac{z^2}{\tau}}, \tag{1.12}$$

and also $\phi(\tau + 1, z) = \phi(\tau, z)$, for $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. (See e.g. [47] for a detailed introduction to

Jacobi forms, and see [28] for a more succinct account, that also discusses mock Jacobi forms.)

Given the connection (1.11–1.12), between Jacobi forms and umbral moonshine, it is natural to consider the broader theory of the former, in case it can shed light on the latter. Some particularly fruitful aspects of this broader theory have developed from relationships to automorphic functions of other kinds; e.g. genus 2 Siegel modular forms [49], and elliptic modular forms of integral weight [92]. Significantly for us, such relationships have illuminated new, but similar structures. For instance, in the setting of [92], Fricke anti-invariant elliptic modular forms (cf. (1.10)) are absent. But they appear naturally—see [89, 90]—with the introduction [88] of skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms, which supply another non-holomorphic variant of the more typical holomorphic notion.

Briefly, a *skew-holomorphic Jacobi form* of weight k and index m is a real-analytic function $\varphi : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, which, similar to (1.11), admits a theta-decomposition

$$\varphi(\tau, z) = \sum_{r \bmod 2m} \overline{f_r(\tau)} \theta_{m,r}(\tau, z), \tag{1.13}$$

where the $\theta_{m,r}$ are as in (1.11), but where the f_r in (1.13) comprise the components of a holomorphic vector-valued modular form $f = (f_r)$ of weight $k - \frac{1}{2}$ for the dual of the index m Weil representation (see (3.13)). In the case that $k = 1$, this entails the *skew-modular invariance* identities

$$\varphi(\tau + 1, z) = \varphi(\tau, z) = \varphi\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{z}{\tau}\right) \frac{1}{|\tau|} e^{-2\pi i m \frac{z^2}{\tau}}, \tag{1.14}$$

for $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, which may be compared to (1.12).

Comparing (1.11–1.12) with (1.13–1.14) we see that skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms are close cousins of the kinds of functions that arise in umbral moonshine. In light of this, a question naturally arises:

Is there a skew-holomorphic analog of umbral moonshine?

This is the main question of this work, and our primary purpose here is to provide a positive answer: the phenomenon we call penumbral moonshine. In the remainder of this introduction we will offer an approach to navigating, and further delineating, the penumbral moonshine landscape.

1.4 Thompson Moonshine

For a first step along a path toward penumbral moonshine we note that a candidate for a "first" case of a skew-holomorphic analog of umbral moonshine has actually already appeared. Indeed, it was conjectured in [65], and proven in [61], that a certain (scalar-valued) weakly holomorphic

modular form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ for $\Gamma_0(4)$, satisfying[1]

$$\begin{aligned}\breve{F}^{(-3,1)}(\tau) &= \sum_{D\geq -3} \breve{C}^{(-3,1)}(D)q^D \\ &= 2q^{-3} + 248 + 2\cdot 27000q^4 - 2\cdot 85995q^5 + 2\cdot 1707264q^8 + \dots,\end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

and closely related to a form appearing in work of Borcherds (see Example 2 in § 14 of [6]) and Zagier (see § 5 of [111]), supports moonshine for the sporadic simple group *Th* of Thompson. That is, there exists a virtual graded *Th*-module

$$\breve{W}^{(-3,1)} = \bigoplus_{D\geq -3} \breve{W}_D^{(-3,1)}, \tag{1.16}$$

whose graded dimension recovers $\breve{F}^{(-3,1)}$. Moreover, the associated graded trace functions,

$$\breve{F}_g^{(-3,1)}(\tau) := \sum_{D\geq -3} \mathrm{tr}(g|\breve{W}_D^{(-3,1)})q^D \tag{1.17}$$

for $g \in Th$, are also optimal (cf. (1.8)), in a sense which identifies them as analogs of normalized principal moduli. (See §§ 1.5–1.6 below, and also [35], for more expository discussion of this.)

The connection to skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms (1.13–1.14) is that the vector-valued function $F^{(-3,1)} = (F_0^{(-3,1)}, F_1^{(-3,1)})$, defined by

$$F_r^{(-3,1)}(\tau) := \sum_{D\equiv r^2 \bmod 4} C^{(-3,1)}(D,r)q^{\frac{D}{4}}, \tag{1.18}$$

where $C^{(-3,1)}(D,r)$ is taken to be $\breve{C}^{(-3,1)}(D)$ (1.15) in case $D \equiv r^2 \bmod 4$, and 0 otherwise, transforms under the dual of the index $m = 1$ Weil representation. That is, the function

$$\varphi^{(-3,1)}(\tau,z) := \sum_{r \bmod 2} \overline{F_r^{(-3,1)}(\tau)}\theta_{1,r}(\tau,z) \tag{1.19}$$

(cf. (1.13)) satisfies the same skew-modular invariance identities (1.14) as a skew-holomorphic Jacobi form of weight 1 and index 1. Thus the Thompson moonshine (1.15–1.17) of [65] furnishes a candidate first case (1.18–1.19) for a skew-holomorphic counterpart to the umbral moonshine story (1.6–1.8).

We seek to situate this Thompson moonshine (1.15–1.19) inside its own skew-holomorphic family, so let us review the role that lambencies (1.9) play, in organizing the various instances (1.11–1.12) of umbral moonshine. This role is structural at the level of Jacobi forms, for just as the $n, n', \dots$ in a lambency $\ell = m + n, n', \dots$ as in (1.9) determine an extension (3.3) of the congruence group $\Gamma_0(m)$, and refine spaces of modular forms for this group, they simultaneously

[1]The symbol $\mathcal{F}_3$ was used to denote the function (1.15) in [65].

refine spaces of Jacobi forms of index m, by imposing symmetries amongst the theta-coefficients that appear in a theta-decomposition such as (1.11). Specifically, there is a map $n \mapsto a(n)$ which naturally associates a square root of 1 modulo $4m$ to each exact divisor n of m (see (3.30)), and the $n, n', \dots$ present in a lambency $\ell = m + n, n', \dots$ of umbral moonshine represent the requirement that

$$H^{(\ell)}_{ra(n)} = H^{(\ell)}_r \tag{1.20}$$

for all r, and similarly with $n', \dots$ in place of n (and likewise for the completions $\widehat{H}^{(\ell)}_r$).

Recall from § 1.2 that the theta-decompositions of holomorphic (1.11) and skew-holomorphic (1.13) Jacobi forms of index m are governed by dual representations of the modular group. For this reason, a lambency $\ell = m + n, n', \dots$ may also be used to refine skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms, via precisely the same requirement. That is, we may restrict to skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms φ of index m satisfying

$$f_{ra(n)} = f_r \tag{1.21}$$

for all r, and similarly with $n', \dots$ in place of n, where the f_r are as in (1.13).

An interesting point of contrast between the holomorphic and skew-holomorphic cases now appears. To see this observe that we obtain $\phi^{(\ell)}(\tau, -z) = -\phi^{(\ell)}(\tau, z)$ by making two applications of the second modular invariance identity in (1.12) (and noting that the completion map $\phi \mapsto \widehat{\phi}$ is linear). In terms of the $H^{(\ell)}_r$ (1.11) this manifests in the requirement that

$$H^{(\ell)}_{-r} = -H^{(\ell)}_r \tag{1.22}$$

for all r, since $\theta_{m,r}(\tau, -z) = \theta_{m,-r}(\tau, z)$ (cf. (3.16)). On the other hand, two applications of the second identity in (1.14) yields $\varphi(\tau, -z) = \varphi(\tau, z)$, so we must have

$$f_{-r} = f_r \tag{1.23}$$

for theta-coefficients (1.13) in the skew-holomorphic case. (Cf. (3.26).) We also have $a(m) = -1$ (see (3.30)). So comparing (1.20) and (1.22) we see one reason why the lambencies of umbral moonshine are non-Fricke (cf. (1.10)), and comparing (1.21) and (1.23) we see that any lambencies of relevance to penumbral moonshine should be Fricke.

Another consequence of (1.22) is that the components $H^{(2)}_r$ of $H^{(2)}$ are completely determined by $H^{(2)}_1$ (1.5). Indeed, the $H^{(2)}_r$ are indexed by r mod 4 according to (1.11), and (1.22) demands that $H^{(2)}_3 = -H^{(2)}_1$, and $H^{(2)}_r = 0$ for r even. By a similar token, there is no $\ell = 1$ case of umbral moonshine, because $r \equiv -r \bmod 2m$ for all r, when $m = 1$, and this forces $H^{(\ell)}_r = -H^{(\ell)}_r$ for all r in (1.22).

In contrast, the skew-modular invariance requirement (1.14) does not rule out examples with

$m = 1$, as the identity (1.23) is tautological in this case. Sure enough, Thompson moonshine in the skew-holomorphic formulation (1.18–1.19) is consistent with the "first" Fricke choice, $\ell = 1$.

1.5 Umbral Forms

For another step in the direction of a distinguished skew-holomorphic family, we recall in more detail what distinguishes the forms $H^{(\ell)}$ of umbral moonshine. For this, note that by taking (1.11) together with translation invariance $\phi^{(\ell)}(\tau + 1, z) = \phi^{(\ell)}(\tau, z)$ (cf. (1.12)), or simply by (1.8), we obtain Fourier series expansions

$$H_r^{(\ell)}(\tau) = \sum_{D \equiv r^2 \bmod 4m} C^{(\ell)}(D, r) q^{-\frac{D}{4m}}, \tag{1.24}$$

for the component functions $H_r^{(\ell)}$ of the $H^{(\ell)}$. From (1.24) we see that $H_r^{(\ell)}$ can only fail to be bounded as $\Im(\tau) \to \infty$, if a Fourier coefficient $C^{(\ell)}(D, r)$ is non-zero for some $D > 0$.

If it were the case that $C^{(\ell)}(D, r)$ were vanishing, for all r and all $D > 0$, then the $H_r^{(\ell)}$ would be unary theta series of weight $\frac{1}{2}$, according to a result of Serre and Stark [85] (and we would have $\widehat{H}_r^{(\ell)} = H_r^{(\ell)}$ and $\widehat{\phi}^{(\ell)} = \phi^{(\ell)}$). That is, the $H_r^{(\ell)}$ would be linear combinations of the Thetanullwerte $\theta^0_{m',r'}$ (see (3.16)). However, Skoruppa showed [87] that there are no unary theta series compatible with the conditions (1.11–1.12) satisfied by holomorphic Jacobi forms of weight 1. So the $H^{(\ell)}$ and $\phi^{(\ell)}$ (1.11) of umbral moonshine are uniquely determined by the Fourier coefficients $C^{(\ell)}(D, r)$ (1.24) with $D > 0$.

We can now explain the sense in which the $H^{(\ell)}$ of umbral moonshine naturally serve as analogs of normalized principal moduli. Indeed, *optimality* for the mock modular forms $H^{(\ell)} = (H_r^{(\ell)})$ of umbral moonshine is the condition that

$$C^{(\ell)}(D, r) = 0 \text{ for } D > 0 \text{ unless } D = 1. \tag{1.25}$$

And the lambency ℓ (1.9) actually determines the $C^{(\ell)}(D, r)$ with $D = 1$, once we've fixed a value for $C^{(\ell)}(1, 1)$, according to the following rule.

$$C^{(\ell)}(1, r) = \begin{cases} C^{(\ell)}(1,1) & \text{if } r \equiv a \bmod 2m \text{ for some } a \in \{1, a(n), a(n'), \dots\}, \\ -C^{(\ell)}(1,1) & \text{if } r \equiv -a \bmod 2m \text{ for some } a \in \{1, a(n), a(n'), \dots\}, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{1.26}$$

Note that the consistency of this rule (1.26) depends upon the fact that $\{1, n, n', \dots\}$ is a group (see § 1.3) under the natural operation (3.2). Note also that (1.26) is consistent with (1.20) and (1.22). For this reason (1.26) would force $C^{(\ell)}(1, r) = 0$ for all r, if ℓ were not non-Fricke.

In umbral moonshine we have the convention that $C^{(\ell)}(1, 1) = -2$ for all ℓ (cf. (1.5)), so $H^{(\ell)}$

is uniquely determined by the lambency ℓ via (1.26), and the umbral optimality condition (1.25), just as the McKay–Thompson series T_g (1.3) of monstrous moonshine is uniquely determined by its invariance group Γ_g, and the condition that it be a normalized principal modulus for that group.

We have mentioned in § 1.3 that each lambency $\ell = m + n, n', \dots$ specifies a subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ that extends $\Gamma_0(m)$ (see (3.3)), and we have explained in § 1.4 how such a symbol simultaneously refines, via symmetries (1.20), vector-valued mock modular forms that are compatible with (1.11–1.12), so long as it is non-Fricke. It develops that, just as normalized principal moduli exist only for groups that are genus zero, a vector-valued mock modular form that is optimal in the umbral sense (1.25), and satisfies (1.26) for some non-Fricke lambency ℓ, can be involved in moonshine if and only if ℓ has genus zero. Indeed, examples of such forms, satisfying (1.25–1.26) and having integer Fourier coefficients (1.24), are exhibited in [14] for each non-Fricke lambency (1.9) of genus zero. On the other hand, it is proven in [14] that such a vector-valued mock modular form necessarily has transcendental Fourier coefficients (1.24), if the subgroup (3.3) of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ represented by the lambency in question has positive genus. Forms with transcendental coefficients are problematic for moonshine, because transcendental numbers do not arise as dimensions of representations (cf. (1.8)).

1.6 Penumbral Forms

We now pursue parallels, in the skew-holomorphic setting, to the distinguishing provisions (1.25–1.26) that we have just reviewed. For this, (1.13) and (1.14) take the place of (1.11) and (1.12), and the counterpart to (1.24) is

$$f_r(\tau) = \sum_{D \equiv r^2 \bmod 4m} C_\varphi(D, r) q^{\frac{D}{4m}}. \tag{1.27}$$

(Sure enough, (1.18) is consistent with the $m = 1$ case of this.) So in particular, the f_r in (1.13) can only fail to be bounded as $\Im(\tau) \to \infty$ if $C_\varphi(D, r) \neq 0$ for some $D < 0$.

Thus, for a skew-holomorphic analog of umbral optimality (1.25), we should choose a $D_0 < 0$, and require that

$$C_\varphi(D, r) = 0 \text{ for } D < 0 \text{ unless } D = D_0. \tag{1.28}$$

Then, for skew-holomorphic counterparts to the functions of umbral moonshine, we should consider lambencies ℓ that are Fricke (1.10) (on account of (1.21) and (1.23)), and examine the weakly holomorphic vector-valued modular forms $f = (f_r)$, compatible with (1.13–1.14), that satisfy D_0-*optimality* (1.28), and a suitable analog of the lambency condition (1.26) for ℓ. Since a is congruent to one of $a(1), a(n), a(n'), \dots$ modulo $2m$ if and only if $-a$ is, when $\ell = m + n, n', \dots$ is Fricke, and since $C_\varphi(D, r) = C_\varphi(D, -r)$ for all r according to (1.23), the

natural skew-holomorphic counterpart to (1.26) is

$$C_\varphi(D_0, r) = \begin{cases} C_\varphi(D_0, r_0) & \text{if } r \equiv r_0 a \bmod 2m \text{ for some } a \in \{1, a(n), a(n'), \dots\}, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \tag{1.29}$$

where r_0 is a fixed square root of D_0 modulo $4m$.

The reader may have anticipated by this point that the defining function of Thompson moonshine (1.15–1.17) in the skew-holomorphic formulation, $F^{(-3,1)} = (F_r^{(-3,1)})$ (1.18), satisfies D_0-optimality (1.28) and the lambency condition (1.29), for D_0 and ℓ as indicated by the superscript, $(D_0, \ell) = (-3, 1)$. Penumbral moonshine develops from similarly distinguished vector-valued modular forms $F^{(\lambda)} = (F_r^{(\lambda)})$, determined, via (1.28–1.29), by more general values of D_0 and ℓ, as encoded by the *lambdency* symbols[2]

$$\lambda = (D_0, \ell). \tag{1.30}$$

But in advance of more discussion of moonshine, we should detail how it is that these counterparts $F^{(\lambda)}$ to $F^{(-3,1)}$ are determined by their lambdencies (1.30), and upon what grounds they are distinguished. Indeed, we have explained in § 1.5 how it is that the conditions (1.25–1.26), relevant to umbral moonshine, provide an analog of the notion of normalized principal modulus, the latter being crucial in monstrous moonshine (see § 1.1). To evaluate the effectiveness of (1.28–1.29) we should address the extent to which that analogy extends.

In a word, it extends, but there are three subtleties arising in the skew-holomorphic setting that should be addressed:

1. There are unary theta series that are compatible with the conditions (1.13–1.14). For example, we may take

$$f_r(\tau) = \theta^0_{m,r}(\tau) := \sum_n q^{\frac{(2mn+r)^2}{4m}} \tag{1.31}$$

   (cf. (3.16)) in (1.13), and this is compatible with (1.14) for any m. Other solutions to (1.13–1.14), with $C_\varphi(D, r) = 0$ in (1.27) for all $D < 0$ and all r, are also unary theta series, by the same result [85] we mentioned in § 1.5. Thus we may say that a weakly holomorphic modular form $f = (f_r)$ as in (1.13–1.14) is determined by its Fourier coefficients $C_\varphi(D, r)$ (1.27) with $D < 0$, but only "up to theta series." Also, the symmetry condition (1.21) will not automatically be satisfied by a theta series solution, unless we impose it explicitly.

2. There may be no non-theta series D_0-optimal (1.28) solutions to the lambency condition (1.29), depending on how the lambency $\ell = m + n, n', \dots$ is chosen. For example, if D_0 is not a square modulo $4m$ then there is no r for which $C_\varphi(D_0, r)$ appears in (1.24), and

[2] As a mnemonic, a lambdency is "a lambency with a D." Pronunciation: "*lam*-den-see."

in particular no choice for r_0 in (1.29). And when D_0 is a square modulo $4m$, it may be that any solution to (1.29) automatically solves it for a "larger" lambency. For example, if $D_0 = -4$ and $\ell = 10 + 10$, then we must take $r_0 = 6$ or $r_0 = 14$. Either way we have $r_0 a(2) \equiv r_0 \bmod 20$ (cf. § 2), so $\ell = 10+10$ may be replaced with $\ell = 10+2, 5, 10$ in (1.29).

3. It is possible that there is a serious ambiguity in the choice of r_0 in (1.29). Specifically, there may be solutions r to $D_0 \equiv r^2 \bmod 4m$ that do not arise as $r_0 a(n) \bmod 2m$, for any exact divisor n of m. In this case different choices of r_0 can lead to substantially different forms (by singling out different subrepresentations of the relevant Weil representation, cf. (3.35)).

Now, the absence of theta series in the umbral setting (1.11–1.12) does not represent as significant a discrepancy as it might seem, because unary theta series solutions do arise when the modular invariance condition (1.12), representing invariance under the action of the modular group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \Gamma_0(1)$, is relaxed to invariance under $\Gamma_0(n)$ for suitable n. (See [18] for more discussion of this.) So the absence of theta series solutions to (1.11–1.12) is the exception, rather than the rule. Moreover, the coefficients of unary theta series, like (1.31), do not grow, and are sparse, being supported at perfect square powers (of some fixed power of q, cf. (1.31), (3.16)). On this basis, and with the comparison to principal moduli in mind, it is natural to regard the theta series solutions to (1.28–1.29) as counterparts to constant functions.

Regarding the second subtlety, we can do no less than respect the restriction on lambencies (1.9) that it represents. We also desire that lambencies accurately represent the symmetries (1.21) of the forms they index (cf. (1.30)), so we formalize the situation by saying that $D_0 < 0$ is *ℓ-admissible*, for $\ell = m + n, n', \dots$ as in (1.9) and Fricke (1.10), if D_0 is a square modulo $4m$, and if solutions to the lambency condition (1.29) do not automatically satisfy it for a larger group of exact divisors of m than the one $\{1, n, n', \dots\}$ that is encoded in ℓ.

It develops that the third subtlety does not arise under suitable restrictions on D_0 and m. For example, we can rule it out by requiring that D_0 is a *fundamental discriminant*, in the sense that it coincides with the discriminant of the number field that its square root defines,

$$D_0 = \mathrm{Disc}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D_0})). \tag{1.32}$$

We make this restriction (1.32) in this work, and moreover adopt the convention that r_0 be the smallest non-negative solution to

$$D_0 \equiv r_0^2 \bmod 4m \tag{1.33}$$

in (1.29). Thus we avoid ambiguity in (1.29) in what follows.

With these considerations in place, we are ready to present a comparison to principal moduli. For this, choose a fundamental $D_0 < 0$ (1.32), and a (necessarily Fricke) lambency $\ell = m + n, n', \dots$ such that D_0 is ℓ-admissible. Then a weakly holomorphic modular form $f = (f_r)$ as in

(1.13–1.14) is uniquely determined, up to scale, and up to theta series, by D_0-optimality (1.28) and the lambency condition (1.29). Thus, if $F^{(\lambda)} = (F_r^{(\lambda)})$ is a non-theta series D_0-optimal (1.28) solution to (1.29) for ℓ, where $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$, then $F^{(\lambda)}$ is analogous to a principal modulus. Furthermore, writing

$$F_r^{(\lambda)}(\tau) = \sum_{D \equiv r^2 \bmod 4m} C^{(\lambda)}(D, r) q^{\frac{D}{4m}} \tag{1.34}$$

(cf. (1.27)), we obtain analogs of normalized principal moduli by fixing $C^{(\lambda)}(D_0, r_0)$ (where r_0 is as in (1.33)), and fixing enough $C^{(\lambda)}(D, r)$ with $D = d^2$ a perfect square (cf. (1.31)), to pin down the contributions from theta series. Also, we reduce the number of d to be considered by requiring the symmetry (1.21) represented by ℓ.

We have mentioned in § 1.5 that the vector-valued mock modular form determined by umbral optimality (1.25) and the lambency condition (1.26), for ℓ non-Fricke, can only participate in moonshine if ℓ has genus zero, on account of the fact that it necessarily has transcendental Fourier coefficients, if ℓ has positive genus. In the present setting the connection to genus zero groups may be considered to be even closer to the monstrous one, in that it manifests in the very existence of $F^{(\lambda)}$ as above. To wit, we prove in our companion paper [42] that $F^{(\lambda)} = (F_r^{(\lambda)})$, as in (1.34), for $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$, is guaranteed to exist if ℓ has genus zero, but fails to exist for generic choices of D_0 and ℓ. With regard to rationality, we mention that a function $F^{(\lambda)} = (F_r^{(\lambda)})$ as in (1.34) has integral Fourier coefficients, for suitable choices of $C^{(\lambda)}(D_0, r_0)$ and $C^{(\lambda)}(d^2, r)$, according to the main result of [76].

We emphasize here that while the functions (1.8) appearing in umbral moonshine are generally mock modular, the functions of penumbral moonshine are genuinely modular. This is part of the reason we refer to penumbral moonshine as such: It is similar to umbral moonshine in various ways, but less shadowy. However, as we will see presently, many features of penumbral moonshine remain to be illuminated.

1.7 Penumbral Moonshine

We have arrived at the following place. For each lambdency $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$ (1.30), where ℓ is a lambency (1.9) that is Fricke (1.10) and genus zero, and D_0 is an ℓ-admissible (see § 1.6) negative fundamental discriminant (1.32), we have a distinguished weakly holomorphic vector-valued modular form $F^{(\lambda)} = (F_r^{(\lambda)})$ as in (1.34), uniquely determined by (1.28–1.29), up to the choice of $C^{(\lambda)}(D_0, r_0)$ and $C^{(\lambda)}(d^2, r)$ (for finitely many d and r). Furthermore, for suitable choices of $C^{(\lambda)}(D_0, r_0)$ and the $C^{(\lambda)}(d^2, r)$, the Fourier coefficients of the component functions $F_r^{(\lambda)}$ are integers.

The mysterious promise of moonshine is that, for each such lambdency $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$ as above (and for appropriate choices of $C^{(\lambda)}(D_0, r_0)$ and the $C^{(\lambda)}(d^2, r)$), there should be an associated

finite group $G^{(\lambda)}$ (cf. (1.6)), and a virtual graded $G^{(\lambda)}$-module

$$W^{(\lambda)} = \bigoplus_{r \bmod 2m} \bigoplus_{D \equiv r^2 \bmod 4m} W^{(\lambda)}_{r, \frac{D}{4m}} \tag{1.35}$$

(cf. (1.7)), such that $F^{(\lambda)}$ is the graded dimension function of $W^{(\lambda)}$. Moreover, the associated vector-valued graded trace functions (a.k.a. McKay–Thompson series) $F^{(\lambda)}_g = (F^{(\lambda)}_{g,r})$, defined for $g \in G^{(\lambda)}$ by

$$F^{(\lambda)}_{g,r}(\tau) := \sum_{D \equiv r^2 \bmod 4m} \operatorname{tr}(g | W^{(\lambda)}_{r, \frac{D}{4m}}) q^{\frac{D}{4m}} \tag{1.36}$$

(cf. (1.8)), should be distinguished directly similarly to $F^{(\lambda)}$, via suitable (higher-level) counterparts to (1.28–1.29).

We present proof of this promise in this work, for $D_0 = -3$ and $D_0 = -4$. Indeed, $\lambda = (-3, 1)$ corresponds to the original motivating example of Thompson moonshine (1.15–1.19), and the more general cases $\lambda = (-3, \ell)$ define our sought-after skew-holomorphic family; the goal we set for ourselves in § 1.4. But although $D_0 = -3$ is the smallest (in magnitude) value we can take for D_0 in (1.28), nothing we have seen along the path we have taken rules out the possibility of other worthy values of D_0. We manifest this possibility in this work, by providing another skew-holomorphic family—and therefore another skew-holomorphic analog of umbral moonshine—that is defined by lambdencies of the form $\lambda = (-4, \ell)$.

In this paper, moonshine manifests as follows.

1. In § 4.1 we identify finite groups $G^{(\lambda)}$, for $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$ as above, with $D_0 = -3$ and $D_0 = -4$. (In particular, each ℓ arising is Fricke and genus zero, and $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$ appears only when D_0 is ℓ-admissible.)
2. In § 4.2 we specify the vector-valued modular forms $F^{(\lambda)}_g = (F^{(\lambda)}_{g,r})$, for $g \in G^{(\lambda)}$, that we expect to arise as McKay–Thompson series (1.36). By construction they are distinguished, in that they satisfy higher-level counterparts to (1.28–1.29).
3. In § 4.3 we prove the existence of virtual graded $G^{(\lambda)}$-modules $W^{(\lambda)}$ (1.35), that realize the distinguished forms $F^{(\lambda)}_g = (F^{(\lambda)}_{g,r})$ as McKay–Thompson series (1.36).
4. Also in § 4.3, we further demonstrate the distinguished nature of the forms $F^{(\lambda)}_g$, at least for some lambdencies λ, by identifying discriminant properties of the corresponding $G^{(\lambda)}$-modules $W^{(\lambda)}$ (1.35), similar to those observed in umbral moonshine (see [16, 17] and [27]) and Thompson moonshine (see [65]). These properties serve to predict the minimal extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ over which the $G^{(\lambda)}$-module structure on $W^{(\lambda)}_{r, \frac{D}{4m}}$ can be defined, in terms of the value D.
5. For some of the lambdencies λ arising we give descriptions of the corresponding groups $G^{(\lambda)}$ in terms of modular lattices in § 4.4. This suggests that modular lattices may play

an organizing role in penumbral moonshine, similar to that played by the Niemeier lattices (1.6) in umbral moonshine (though the precise nature of this aspect of penumbral moonshine remains to be elucidated).

We restrict here to D_0-optimality for $D_0 = -3$ and $D_0 = -4$, both for practical and theoretical reasons. One practical concern is the size of this manuscript. A slightly less prosaic practical concern is the size of the Fourier coefficients in (1.34). These coefficients grow more and more quickly as D_0 increases in magnitude, and the more quickly they grow, the harder it gets to guess the corresponding groups $G^{(\lambda)}$, and therefore also the corresponding structures (1.35–1.36).

A theoretical, and perhaps more interesting reason for restricting to $D_0 = -3$ and $D_0 = -4$ is the fact that the genus zero criterion for the existence of $F^{(\lambda)} = (F_r^{(\lambda)})$ as in (1.34), that we mentioned in the penultimate paragraph of § 1.6, admits a converse for these values of D_0, but not in general. Indeed, we prove in [42] that $F^{(\lambda)} = (F_r^{(\lambda)})$ as in (1.34) does not exist if $D_0 = -3$ or $D_0 = -4$ and the lambency ℓ in $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$ has positive genus (at least under some conditions on the level of ℓ), and also explain some ways that this statement fails, for more general values of D_0. (See op. cit. for explicit examples and more discussion of this.)

The reader may recall from § 1.5 that the genus zero criterion on ℓ is both sufficient and necessary in the umbral case. That is, a mock modular form $H^{(\ell)}$ as in (1.11–1.12), that satisfies the optimality condition (1.25) and the lambency condition (1.26), can be involved in moonshine if and only if the (necessarily non-Fricke) lambency ℓ has genus zero. With this in mind we take the above-mentioned necessity of the genus zero criterion for the (necessarily Fricke) lambency ℓ, in the penumbral cases that $D_0 = -3$ and $D_0 = -4$, as motivation for our restriction to these values of D_0 in this work.

The above notwithstanding, it is not our intention to discourage the pursuit of penumbral moonshine for $D_0 < -4$. Indeed, we have no concrete evidence to offer that penumbral moonshine is not more general than the phenomena that we present in this paper. It may be that the most interesting instances are yet to be discovered. (That said, the adventurous reader should beware of the non-examples that we discuss in § A, and also keep in mind that the extension of penumbral moonshine to non-fundamental D_0 will generally necessitate a choice of r_0 in (1.29), and a corresponding enrichment $\lambda = (D_0, r_0, \ell)$ of the notation (1.30).)

Another restriction we make in this work is to only consider the lambencies $\ell = m+n, n', \ldots$ with square-free level m. Again there are practical and theoretical reasons for this. A practical reason is the fact that the Fourier coefficients in (1.34) are very small, for the (very few) genus zero lambencies arising that don't have square-free level. This prevents the corresponding groups $G^{(\lambda)}$, and module structures $W^{(\lambda)}$ (1.35), from being very interesting in these cases. A theoretical reason is the fact that the theta series ambiguity in $F^{(\lambda)} = (F_r^{(\lambda)})$ as in (1.34) turns out to be as small as possible when m is square-free, at least if we require the symmetry condition (1.21). That is, for ℓ Fricke, genus zero, and of square-free level m, the prescription (1.31) gives the only

theta series solution to (1.28–1.29) that is also consistent with the symmetry condition (1.21), so that the vector-valued modular form $F^{(\lambda)}$ in (1.34) is uniquely determined by $C^{(\lambda)}(D_0, r_0)$ and $C^{(\lambda)}(1,1)$. On this basis, $F^{(\lambda)}$ is as strongly as possible an analog of a normalized principal modulus, when the level of ℓ in $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$ is square-free.

In this work we take $C^{(\lambda)}(1,1) = 0$ for all λ arising (cf. (1.15) and (1.18)), and use representation theoretic considerations to fix the $C^{(\lambda)}(D_0, r_0)$. The condition that $C^{(\lambda)}(1,1) = 0$ just seems to be preferred by penumbral moonshine, at least for $D_0 = -3$ and $D_0 = -4$. The determination of $C^{(\lambda)}(D_0, r_0)$ comes down to the degrees of the number fields defined by the irreducible characters of $G^{(\lambda)}$, and a (practical) desire that the McKay–Thompson series (1.36) all have integer coefficients. In umbral moonshine the maximal degree of a number field defined by an irreducible character is 1 or 2, for every umbral group $G^{(\ell)}$ (1.6). This is the reason we can take $C^{(\ell)}(1,1) = -2$ for all umbral lambencies ℓ (cf. § 1.5), and avoid irrationalities in the Fourier coefficients (1.8) of the $H_g^{(\ell)}$. In penumbral moonshine the maximal degree is sometimes larger than 2. Here we opt to adjust $C^{(\lambda)}(D_0, r_0)$ accordingly, rather than making a uniform choice.

Although we cannot offer a comprehensive penumbral counterpart to the role that Niemeier lattices play (1.6), in defining the groups of umbral moonshine (cf. 5. above), we can point to a connection to the monster, at least for $D_0 = -3$ and $D_0 = -4$, and m square-free. For this note the curious fact that $G^{(-3,1)} = Th$ centralizes an element of order 3 in the monster. We find that this connection extends, in the sense that $G^{(-4,1)}$, being a group of the form $2.F_4(2).2$ (see (4.3)), centralizes an element of order 4 in the monster, and for either $D_0 = -3$ or $D_0 = -4$, the group $G^{(\lambda)}$ is closely related to the centralizer of an element of order m in $G^{(D_0,1)}$, when $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$ and m is the level of ℓ. In particular, at least when D_0 and m are coprime, the group $G^{(\lambda)}$ is closely related to the centralizer of some element of $\mathbb{M}$.

In the spirit of McKay's original observation on the elliptic modular invariant (1.1) and the monster, we pause here to note that the $\lambda = (-4, 1)$ counterpart to (1.15) is

$$\begin{aligned} \breve{F}^{(-4,1)}(\tau) &= \sum_{D \geq -4} \breve{C}^{(-4,1)}(D) q^D \\ &= 2q^{-4} - 492 + 2 \cdot 142884 q^4 - 2 \cdot 565760 q^5 + 2 \cdot 18473000 q^8 + \dots, \end{aligned} \tag{1.37}$$

and both 142884 and 565760 occur as dimensions of irreducible representations of $G^{(-4,1)}$.

We offer two more comments on the nature of the modules $W^{(\lambda)}$ (1.35). Firstly, we have described the homogeneous components of $W^{(\lambda)}$ as virtual $G^{(\lambda)}$-modules, and similarly for the homogeneous components of the $G^{(\ell)}$-modules (1.7) of umbral moonshine, but the reader may be aware that the virtual-ness in the umbral case is of a very special kind. Indeed, each $K^{(\ell)}_{r,-\frac{D}{4m}}$ is actually either a module, or -1 times a module, depending on r (cf. (1.26)) and the sign of D. A similar statement holds for the modules $W^{(\lambda)}_{r,\frac{D}{4m}}$ of Thompson moonshine, where $\lambda = (-3, 1)$ (but the dependence on r is a bit different in this case). We find (see § 4.3) that this practical

non-virtual-ness of the modules of Thompson moonshine extends to all cases $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$ of penumbral moonshine with $D_0 = -3$, but not, in general for $D_0 = -4$.

For a final comment on modules, and for a conclusion to this introductory exploration of the penumbral moonshine landscape, we note that the notation (1.7), for the modules of umbral moonshine, reflects the origin of the original Mathieu moonshine observation (1.5), in the physics of K3 surfaces. (See [19,20,44] for results that relate other cases of umbral moonshine to physical models involving K3 surfaces.) We are not yet aware of any counterpart geometric or physical aspect to penumbral moonshine, either for $D_0 = -3$ or $D_0 = -4$. However, in another companion paper, [41], we develop connections between Thompson moonshine (1.15–1.19) and generalized moonshine for the monster (see [8,80]), and provide evidence that these connections extend to other cases of penumbral moonshine (see also [40]). Generalized monstrous moonshine is now well-understood at the level of CFTs, VOAs, and Borcherds–Kac–Moody algebras, so the results of op. cit. give us some confidence that penumbral moonshine will ultimately manifest rich algebraic and physical features. We also mention [15], on account of the fact that it includes physical constructions of skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms, which—although different from those that appear in this work—are involved in similar phenomena.

The organization of the rest of the paper is as follows. In § 2 we give a guide to notation, and in § 3 we present a review of necessary background material. The content of § 4 is as described above. In particular, our main results appear in § 4.3. In § 5 we summarize our results and suggest some open problems for future study. The appendices contain comments on $D_0 < -4$, and data that defines the penumbral McKay–Thompson series $F_g^{(\lambda)}$.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Nathan Benjamin, Scott Carnahan, Miranda Cheng, Sarah Harrison, Shamit Kachru, Michael Mertens, Ken Ono, Natalie Paquette, Roberto Volpato, and Max Zimet for helpful discussions. B.R. acknowledges support from the U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF) under grant PHY-1720397. J.D. acknowledges support from the NSF (DMS-1203162, DMS-1601306), and the Simons Foundation (#316779, #708354). J.H. acknowledges support from the NSF under grant PHY-1520748, and the hospitality of the Aspen Center for Physics supported by the NSF under grant PHY-1607611. This research was also supported in part by the NSF under grant PHY-1748958.

2 Notation

$\sqrt{\cdot}$	We define $\sqrt{z} := e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}$ for $z = e^{i\theta}$ where $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$.
$n * n'$	We set $n * n' := \frac{nn'}{\gcd(n,n')^2}$ for integers n and n', not both zero. See (3.2).
$a(n)$	The assignment $n \mapsto a(n)$ defines an isomorphism $\mathrm{Ex}_m \to O_m$. See (3.30).

$C_\varphi(D,r)$ A Fourier coefficient of a weakly skew-holomorphic Jacobi form φ. See (1.27), (3.24).

$C^{(\lambda)}(D,r)$ A Fourier coefficient of $F_r^{(\lambda)}$. See (1.34) and (4.12).

$C_g^{(\lambda)}(D,r)$ A Fourier coefficient of $F_{g,r}^{(\lambda)}$. See (4.12).

$C_{n,\chi}^{m,D_0,r_0}(D,r)$ A Fourier coefficient of $R_{n,\chi,r}^{m,D_0,r_0}$. See (3.39).

$C_{n,\chi}^{(\lambda)}(D,r)$ A Fourier coefficient of $R_{n,\chi}^{(\lambda)}$. See (3.44).

D_0 A negative discriminant. See (1.28).

$\mathrm{e}(\,\cdot\,)$ We set $\mathrm{e}(x) := e^{2\pi i x}$.

$\mathcal{E}_m$ The space of elliptic forms of index m. See (3.18).

$\mathcal{E}_m^\alpha$ An eigenspace for the action of Ex_m on $\mathcal{E}_m$. See (3.34).

Ex_m The group of exact divisors of m. Cf. (3.30).

$F^{(\lambda)}$ A shorthand for $F_g^{(\lambda)}$ in case $g = e$. Cf. (1.34) and (1.36).

$\breve{F}^{(\lambda)}$ A shorthand for $\breve{F}_g^{(\lambda)}$ in case $g = e$. Cf. (1.15), (1.37) and (4.14).

$F_r^{(\lambda)}$ A shorthand for $F_{g,r}^{(\lambda)}$ in case $g = e$. See (1.34) and cf. (1.36).

$F_g^{(\lambda)}$ A McKay–Thompson series arising from $W^{(\lambda)}$. Cf. (1.36).

$\breve{F}_g^{(\lambda)}$ A McKay–Thompson series arising from $\breve{W}^{(\lambda)}$. See (3.14) and (4.14).

$F_{g,r}^{(\lambda)}$ A component function of $F_g^{(\lambda)}$. See (1.36).

$G^{(\lambda)}$ The finite group attached to a lambdency λ. See Tables 2 and 3.

(γ,υ) An element of $\widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Cf. (3.11).

Γ A subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ that is commensurable with $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Cf. (3.4).

$\widetilde{\Gamma}_0(n)$ The preimage of $\Gamma_0(n)$ under the natural map $\widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. See § 3.2.

$\Gamma_0(m)$ The Hecke congruence group of level m. See (1.4).

$\Gamma_0(m) + K$ A subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ that normalizes $\Gamma_0(m)$. See (3.3).

$J_{k,m}^{\mathrm{wsh}}$ A shorthand for $J_{k,m}^{\mathrm{wsh}}(1)$. See § 3.3.

$J_{k,m}^{\mathrm{wsh},\alpha}$ For $\alpha \in \widehat{O}_m$ we set $J_{k,m}^{\mathrm{wsh},\alpha} := J_{k,m}^{\mathrm{wsh}} \cap \mathcal{E}_m^\alpha$. See (3.35).

$J_{k,m}^{\mathrm{wsh}}(n)$ A shorthand for $J_{k,m}^{\mathrm{wsh}}(n,1)$. See § 3.3.

$J_{k,m}^{\mathrm{wsh}}(n,\chi)$ A certain space of weakly skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms. See § 3.3.

K A subgroup of Ex_m for some m. See (3.3).

ℓ A lambency (pronounced "*lam*-ben-see"). See (1.9).

λ A lambdency (pronounced "*lam*-den-see"). See (1.30).

$L^{(\lambda)}$ A lattice attached to λ, for certain lambdencies λ. See Tables 2 and 3, and § 4.4.

$m+n, n', \ldots$ A lambency and a shorthand for a discrete subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. See (1.9) and (3.3).

$M_{k,m}^{\mathrm{wh},+}(n,\chi)$ A certain space of weakly holomorphic modular forms of half-integral weight. See (3.9).

O_m The multiplicative group of a mod $2m$ such that $a^2 \equiv 1 \bmod 4m$. See (3.29).

$\widehat{O}_m$ The group $\widehat{O}_m := \hom(O_m, \mathbb{C}^*)$ of characters of O_m. Cf. (3.34).

$\Omega_m(n)$ The Omega matrix associated to a divisor n of m. See (3.28).

Ω_m^α A linear combination of Omega matrices associated to a character α of O_m. See (3.38).

P_m^α A projection operator on elliptic forms. See (3.37).

$\varphi^{(\lambda)}$ A shorthand for $\varphi_g^{(\lambda)}$ in case $g = e$. Cf. (4.11).

$\varphi_g^{(\lambda)}$ The weakly skew-holomorphic Jacobi form attached to the element $g \in G^{(\lambda)}$. See (4.11).

q We set $q := \mathrm{e}(\tau)$ for $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$.

$R^{m,D_0,r_0}_{n,\chi,r}$ A Rademacher sum. See (3.39).
$R^{(\lambda)}_{n,\chi}$ A normalized Rademacher sum. See (3.43–3.44).
$\rho_{n|h}$ A homomorphism of groups from $\Gamma_0(n)$ to the h-th roots of unity in $\mathbb{C}$. See (3.8).
ϱ_m The Weil representation $\varrho_m : \widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to GL_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ of index m. See (3.13).
$\widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ The metaplectic double cover of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. See § 3.2.
θ_m The vector-valued function whose components are the $\theta_{m,r}$. Cf. (3.16).
θ^0_m The vector-valued function whose components are the $\theta^0_{m,r}$. Cf. (3.15–3.16).
$\theta_{m,r}$ A 2-variable theta series. See (3.16).
$\theta^0_{m,r}$ A Thetanullwert. Cf. (3.15–3.16).
W_n An Atkin–Lehner involution. See (3.1).
$W^{(\lambda)}$ A virtual graded $G^{(\lambda)}$-module attached to a lambdency λ. See (1.35) and § 4.3.
$\breve{W}^{(\lambda)}$ A virtual graded $G^{(\lambda)}$-module attached to a lambdency λ. Cf. (1.16–1.17) and see (4.16).
$\breve{W}^{(\lambda)}_D$ A homogeneous component of $\breve{W}^{(\lambda)}$. See (4.16).
$W^{(\lambda)}_{r,\frac{D}{4m}}$ A homogeneous component of $W^{(\lambda)}$. See (1.35) and § 4.3.
$\mathcal{W}_m(n)$ An Eichler–Zagier operator on $\mathcal{E}_m$. See (3.27).
X_Γ The Riemann surface associated to $\Gamma < SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. See (3.4).
χ A character of $\Gamma_0(n)$ of the form $\chi = \rho^v_{n|h}$, for positive integers n, h and v.
y We set $y := \mathrm{e}(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

3 Preliminaries

In this section we review some preliminary notions. We review scalar-valued modular forms in § 3.1, and review vector-valued modular forms in § 3.2. We review skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms in § 3.3, and the Eichler–Zagier operators that refine them in § 3.4. Finally, we discuss Rademacher sums in § 3.5.

3.1 Modular Forms

The *modular group* is $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Say that a group $\Gamma < SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ is *commensurable* with the modular group if the intersection $\Gamma \cap SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ has finite index in both Γ and $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. If Γ is such a group, its action on $\mathbb{H}$, given by $\gamma\tau := \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}$ for $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, extends naturally to $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}} := \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$. (See e.g. Proposition 1.10.2 in [33].)

We will only consider subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ that are commensurable with the modular group in the above sense. The particular examples of interest here are the Hecke congruence subgroups (1.4), and the extensions of these by Atkin–Lehner involutions. To define these extensions, first recall that a positive integer n is said to be an *exact divisor* of m if it is both a divisor of m and also coprime with $\frac{m}{n}$. The set of exact divisors of m is denoted Ex_m. For each $n \in \mathrm{Ex}_m$, the set

$$W_n := \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \begin{pmatrix} an & b \\ cm & dn \end{pmatrix} \;\middle|\; a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, adn^2 - bcm = n \right\} \tag{3.1}$$

is a coset of $\Gamma_0(m)$ in $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, $\Gamma_0(m) = W_1$. In this work we refer to the cosets W_n, and sometimes also their representative elements, as *Atkin–Lehner involutions* of $\Gamma_0(m)$. These cosets obey the same group law as exact divisors of m, namely $W_n W_{n'} = W_{n*n'}$ for $n, n' \in \mathrm{Ex}_m$, where

$$n * n' := \frac{nn'}{\gcd(n, n')^2}. \tag{3.2}$$

In particular, $W_n^2 = W_1 = \Gamma_0(m)$ for $n \in \mathrm{Ex}_m$. As we have mentioned in § 1.3, the coset W_m is represented by the Fricke involution (1.10).

To any subgroup $K = \{1, n, n' \dots\}$ of Ex_m we can attach an *Atkin–Lehner extension* of $\Gamma_0(m)$ by setting

$$\Gamma_0(m) + K := \bigcup_{n \in K} W_n. \tag{3.3}$$

We say that $\Gamma_0(m)+K$ is *Fricke* or *non-Fricke* according as K contains m or not. The subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ represented by a lambency $\ell = m + n, n', \dots$ (1.9) is none other than $\Gamma_0(m) + K$ (3.3), where $K = \{1, n, n', \dots\}$.

Of particular importance in this work, and moonshine more generally, are the $\Gamma_0(m) + K$ which have genus zero. To define the genus of a group $\Gamma < SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ that is commensurable with the modular group note that

$$X_\Gamma := \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H} \cup \widehat{\mathbb{Q}} \tag{3.4}$$

naturally admits the structure of a compact Riemann surface (see e.g. [86]). The *genus* of Γ is just the genus of X_Γ as a surface. So Γ has genus zero if X_Γ is isomorphic to the Riemann sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. There are only finitely many Atkin–Lehner extensions $\Gamma_0(m) + K$ with genus zero. These are classified in [50]. See Table 1 for a list of the Fricke cases.

Modular forms for Γ are functions on $\mathbb{H}$ that define sections of line bundles on X_Γ (3.4). To put this concretely let $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, let ν be a $\mathbb{C}$-valued function on Γ, and for $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ define

$$(f|_{k,\nu}\gamma)(\tau) := \left(\sqrt{c\tau + d}\right)^{-2k} \nu(\gamma) f(\gamma\tau) \tag{3.5}$$

in case $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where $\sqrt{re^{2\pi i t}} := \sqrt{r}e^{\pi i t}$ for $r > 0$ and $0 < t < \pi$. Then a *weakly holomorphic modular form* of weight $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ for Γ with multiplier ν is a holomorphic function $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $f|_{k,\nu}\gamma = f$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and such that for every $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $(f|_k\gamma)(\tau) = O(e^{C\Im(\tau)})$ as $\Im(\tau) \to \infty$. Here we write $f|_k\gamma$ for $f|_{k,\nu}\gamma$ when $\nu \equiv 1$ is trivial. A weakly holomorphic modular form is called a *holomorphic* modular form if it is bounded near the cusps of Γ. That is, a holomorphic modular form should satisfy $(f|_k\gamma)(\tau) = O(1)$ as $\Im(\tau) \to \infty$, for each $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. A holomorphic modular form f is called *cuspidal* if $f|_k\gamma$

vanishes as $\Im(\tau) \to \infty$, for each $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

A weakly holomorphic modular form of weight 0 with trivial multiplier for Γ naturally defines a morphism of Riemann surfaces $X_\Gamma \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ (cf. (3.4)). Such a weakly holomorphic modular form is called a *principal modulus* or *hauptmodul* for Γ if the corresponding map $X_\Gamma \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is an isomorphism. So principal moduli exist only for genus zero groups. A principal modulus f for Γ is called *normalized* if $f(\tau) = q^{-\frac{1}{h}} + O(q^{\frac{1}{h}})$ as $\Im(\tau) \to \infty$, where $q = \mathrm{e}(\tau)$ and where h is the smallest rational number such that Γ contains $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. The McKay–Thompson series (1.3) of monstrous moonshine are normalized principal moduli—in particular, the elliptic modular invariant (1.1) is the normalized principal modulus for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$—and it is in this sense that they are organized by genus zero groups.

In this work we are only interested in weakly holomorphic modular forms for groups Γ that contain some Hecke congruence group $\Gamma_0(n)$. We say that a weakly holomorphic modular form for such a group Γ has *level* n if n is the smallest positive integer for which this containment holds.

Other than modular forms with trivial multiplier, we will also be interested in modular forms that lie in Kohnen plus spaces of half-integral weight [72, 73]. To explain what these are, let $\psi_0 : \Gamma_0(4) \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be the function defined by setting

$$\psi_0(\gamma) = \left(\frac{c}{d}\right) \epsilon_d, \tag{3.6}$$

for $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where $\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)$ is the Kronecker symbol (see e.g. p.503 of [64]), and ϵ_d is 1 or i according as $d \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ or $d \equiv 3 \bmod 4$. Next, for $k \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ and M a positive integer, suppose that f is a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight k for $\Gamma_0(4M)$, with multiplier $\nu = \psi_0$. Then f admits a *Fourier series expansion* of the form

$$f(\tau) = \sum_n c_f(n) q^n \tag{3.7}$$

(where $q = \mathrm{e}(\tau)$). If $k \in 2\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ then the function f in (3.7) is said to be a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight k in the *Kohnen plus space* for $\Gamma_0(4M)$ if $c_f(n) = 0$ whenever n is not a square modulo 4, whereas if $k \in 2\mathbb{Z} - \frac{1}{2}$ then the condition on exponents is that $c_f(n) = 0$ whenever $-n$ is not a square modulo 4.

In this work we will be interested in certain refinements of this notion. To detail these we utilize the function $\rho_{n|h}$, defined for $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(n)$ by setting

$$\rho_{n|h}(\gamma) := \mathrm{e}\left(-\frac{cd}{nh}\right) \tag{3.8}$$

in case $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. (Cf. § 2 of [11].) Now $\rho_{n|h}$ is trivial when restricted to $\Gamma_0(nh)$, so given positive integers M and h, it is natural to consider those weakly holomorphic modular forms of

weight k in the Kohnen plus space for $\Gamma_0(4Mh)$ that satisfy $f|_{k,\nu}\gamma = f$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(4M)$, where now $\nu = \psi_0\rho_{4M|h}^v$ for some v. We call such a function a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight k in the Kohnen plus space for $\Gamma_0(4M)$ with *character* $\rho_{4M|h}^v$. In practice, we will have $M = mn$ where m is the level of a lambency ℓ (1.9), and n is the order of an element in a finite group. Taking $\chi = \rho_{4mn|h}^v$, we will use

$$M_{k,m}^{\mathrm{wh},+}(n,\chi) \tag{3.9}$$

to denote the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms f in the Kohnen plus space of weight k for $\Gamma_0(4mn)$ with character χ, whose Fourier coefficients $c_f(n)$ vanish unless $(-1)^{k-\frac{1}{2}}n$ is a square modulo $4m$.

Holomorphic and cuspidal modular forms in the Kohnen plus spaces are defined via growth conditions at cusps, just as for modular forms in general.

3.2 Vector-Valued Modular Forms

In § 1 the McKay–Thompson series of penumbral moonshine have been described as weakly holomorphic vector-valued modular forms of half-integral weight. To explain this notion in more detail it is convenient to work with the *metaplectic group* $\widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, which we may define to be the double cover of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ whose elements are the pairs (γ, υ), where $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\upsilon : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ is either of the two holomorphic functions that satisfy $\upsilon(\tau)^2 = c\tau + d$ in case $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. The multiplication rule is $(\gamma, \upsilon)(\gamma', \upsilon') = (\gamma'', \upsilon'')$, where $\gamma'' := \gamma\gamma'$ and $\upsilon''(\tau) := \upsilon(\gamma'\tau)\upsilon'(\tau)$.

For n a positive integer we reserve the notation $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0(n)$ for the inverse image of $\Gamma_0(n)$ under the natural map $\widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Also, we set

$$\widetilde{S} := \left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \sqrt{\tau}\right), \quad \widetilde{T} := \left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), 1\right). \tag{3.10}$$

Then $\widetilde{S}$ and $\widetilde{T}$ serve as generators for $\widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

For n a positive integer, for $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, and ν a $GL(V)$-valued function on $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0(n)$, where V is a complex vector space, a holomorphic function $f : \mathbb{H} \to V$ is called a *weakly holomorphic vector-valued modular form* of weight k and level n with multiplier system ν, if $f|_{k,\nu}(\gamma, \upsilon) = f$ for all $(\gamma, \upsilon) \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0(n)$, where now

$$(f|_{k,\nu}(\gamma, \upsilon))(\tau) := \upsilon(\tau)^{-2k}\nu(\gamma, \upsilon)f(\gamma\tau) \tag{3.11}$$

(cf. (3.5)), and if for any $(\gamma, \upsilon) \in \widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ there is a $C > 0$ such that $\alpha((f|_k(\gamma, \upsilon))(\tau)) = O(e^{C\Im(\tau)})$ as $\Im(\tau) \to \infty$, for any linear functional $\alpha : V \to \mathbb{C}$. As before we write $f|_k(\gamma, \upsilon)$ for the action (3.11) when $\nu \equiv 1$. Holomorphic vector-valued modular forms and cuspidal vector-valued modular forms are defined as in the scalar-valued case (discussed in § 3.1), replacing the exponential growth condition with boundedness and vanishing, respectively.

Of increasing importance in moonshine are vector-valued modular forms for which the multiplier system is the Weil representation associated to an even lattice, or the dual of this. To define this notion let L be an even lattice, let (b^+, b^-) be its signature, and let L^* denote the dual of L. (For background on lattices see e.g. [26] for a lot, or § 4.4 for a little.) Then the *Weil representation* attached to L is the unitary representation $\varrho_L : \widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to GL(\mathbb{C}[L^*/L])$ defined by requiring that

$$\varrho_L\big(\widetilde{T}\big)e_\gamma = \mathrm{e}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}(\gamma,\gamma)\right)e_\gamma, \quad \varrho_L\big(\widetilde{S}\big)e_\gamma = \frac{\mathrm{e}\left(\frac{1}{8}(b^- - b^+)\right)}{\sqrt{|L^*/L|}} \sum_{\delta \in L^*/L} \mathrm{e}\left(-(\gamma,\delta)\right)e_\delta, \tag{3.12}$$

where $(\cdot\,,\cdot)$ is the symmetric bilinear form of L, and $\{e_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in L^*/L}$ is the natural basis for the group ring $\mathbb{C}[L^*/L]$.

Here we will be concerned with the case that $(b^+, b^-) = (1, 0)$, so that $L = \sqrt{2m}\mathbb{Z}$ for some positive integer m. For such L we call ϱ_L the *index m Weil representation*, write ϱ_m in place of ϱ_L, and identify L^*/L with $\mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$ in the natural way. Then (3.12) reduces to

$$\varrho_m\big(\widetilde{T}\big)e_r = \mathrm{e}\left(\frac{r^2}{4m}\right)e_r, \quad \varrho_m\big(\widetilde{S}\big)e_r = \frac{\mathrm{e}\left(-\frac{1}{8}\right)}{\sqrt{2m}} \sum_{s \bmod 2m} \mathrm{e}\left(-\frac{rs}{2m}\right)e_s, \tag{3.13}$$

for r defined modulo $2m$. In practice it can be useful to have explicit expressions for Weil representation matrix elements associated to general elements of the metaplectic group, especially when working with its subgroups. Computationally efficient expressions can be found in [95].

It is possible to pass from vector-valued modular forms for a Weil representation (or the dual of such a thing), to scalar-valued modular forms, at the price of an increase in level. In this work are interested in the case that $f = (f_r)$ is a vector-valued modular form for the restriction to $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0(n)$ of the dual of the index m Weil representation, for some m. Then

$$\breve{f}(\tau) := \sum_{r \bmod 2m} f_r(4m\tau) \tag{3.14}$$

is a scalar-valued modular form of level $4mn$. Moreover, $\breve{f}$ belongs to a Kohnen plus space (cf. § 3.1). Specifically, a weakly holomorphic vector-valued modular form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$, level n, and multiplier system $\varrho_m^{-1}\rho_{n|h}^v$ is sent under the map (3.14) to a function in the space $M^{\mathrm{wh},+}_{\frac{1}{2},m}(n, \rho^v_{4mn|h})$ (see (3.9)).

Note that the lattice L naturally furnishes an example of a vector-valued modular form with multiplier system ϱ_L^{-1}. Indeed, if $\theta^0_\gamma(\tau) := \sum_{\lambda \in \gamma + L} q^{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda,\lambda)}$ denotes the theta series naturally attached to the coset $\gamma \in L^*/L$ then

$$\theta^0_L := (\theta^0_\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma \in L^*/L} \theta^0_\gamma e_\gamma \tag{3.15}$$

is a vector-valued modular form of weight $k = \frac{1}{2}(b^- + b^+)$ for $\widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with multiplier ϱ_L^{-1}.

We reserve the notation $\theta^0_{m,r}$ for θ^0_γ when $L = \sqrt{2m}\mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$ corresponds to $\gamma \in L^*/L$, and write $\theta^0_m := (\theta^0_{m,r})$ for the vector-valued function that takes the *Thetanullwerte* $\theta^0_{m,r}$ as its components. Thus θ^0_m is a vector-valued modular form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ for $\widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with multiplier $\varrho_m^{-1} = \varrho_m^*$. A result of Serre and Stark [85] states that any holomorphic modular form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ is a linear combination of Thetanullwerte $\theta^0_{m,r}$.

Note that the Thetanullwert $\theta^0_{m,r}$ is the specialization to $z = 0$ of the two variable theta series

$$\theta_{m,r}(\tau, z) := \sum_{s \equiv r \bmod 2m} q^{\frac{s^2}{4m}} y^s, \tag{3.16}$$

where $y = \mathrm{e}(z)$. We write $\theta_m = (\theta_{m,r})$ for the 2-variable vector-valued function whose r-th component is $\theta_{m,r}$.

3.3 Jacobi Forms

We now review the notion of skew-holomorphic Jacobi form in more detail. To begin say that a smooth function $\varphi : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is an *elliptic form* of index m if $z \mapsto \varphi(\tau, z)$ is holomorphic for every $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, and if φ is invariant under the index m *elliptic action* of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ on functions $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$,

$$(\varphi|_m(\lambda, \mu))(\tau, z) := \mathrm{e}(m\lambda^2\tau + 2m\lambda z)\varphi(\tau, z + \lambda\tau + \mu), \qquad (\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2. \tag{3.17}$$

Write $\mathcal{E}_m$ for the space of index m elliptic forms. Any $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_m$ admits a *theta-decomposition*

$$\varphi(\tau, z) = \sum_{r \bmod 2m} h_r(\tau)\theta_{m,r}(\tau, z) \tag{3.18}$$

where $\theta_{m,r}$ is as in (3.16), and the smooth functions h_r are called the *theta-coefficients* of φ. So in particular, the $\theta_{m,r}$ are invariant for the index m elliptic action. Concretely, we have

$$\theta_m(\tau, z) = \upsilon(\tau)^{-1} \mathrm{e}\left(-\frac{cmz^2}{c\tau + d}\right) \varrho_m^*(\gamma, \upsilon)\theta_m\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \frac{z}{c\tau + d}\right) \tag{3.19}$$

for $(\gamma, \upsilon) \in \widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, where $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, and ϱ_m^* denotes the dual of the representation ϱ_m defined by (3.13). This extends the statement just made (see (3.16)), that the Thetanullwerte $\theta^0_{m,r}$ comprise the components of a vector-valued modular form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ for the dual of the index m Weil representation.

Now for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and for positive integers n, h and v, set $\chi = \rho^v_{n|h}$ (see (3.8)), and define the

weight k and character χ *skew-modular* action of $\Gamma_0(n)$ on elliptic functions of index m as

$$(\varphi|^{\mathrm{sk}}_{k,m,\chi}\gamma)(\tau,z) := (c\tau+d)^{-k}\frac{c\bar{\tau}+d}{|c\tau+d|}\,\mathrm{e}\left(-\frac{cmz^2}{c\tau+d}\right)\chi(\gamma)\varphi\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d},\frac{z}{c\tau+d}\right), \tag{3.20}$$

when $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma_0(n)$. Then a *weakly skew-holomorphic Jacobi form* of weight k, index m, level n, and character $\chi = \rho^v_{n|h}$ is an elliptic function $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_m$ that has anti-holomorphic theta-coefficients, is invariant for the weight k and character χ skew-modular action (3.20), and is such that the theta-coefficients (see (3.18)) satisfy an exponential growth condition as in § 3.1, near each cusp. We denote the space of such functions by $J^{\mathrm{wsh}}_{k,m}(n,\chi)$. Note that we suppress the character χ from notation when it is trivial, and write $J^{\mathrm{wsh}}_{k,m}$ as a shorthand for $J^{\mathrm{wsh}}_{k,m}(1)$. In the case that φ is a weakly skew-holomorphic Jacobi form, for the theta-decomposition (3.18) we write

$$\varphi(\tau,z) = \sum_{r \bmod 2m} \overline{f_r(\tau)}\theta_{m,r}(\tau,z) \tag{3.21}$$

(cf. (1.13)), since in this situation the theta-coefficients are anti-holomorphic by definition.

It follows from the definitions that, for s an integer, the assignment $\varphi(\tau,z) \mapsto \varphi(\tau,sz)$ defines an injective map

$$J^{\mathrm{wsh}}_{k,m}(n) \to J^{\mathrm{wsh}}_{k,ms^2}(n). \tag{3.22}$$

Taking $n = 1$ in (3.22) we see that the index m' Weil representation of $\widetilde{SL_2}(\mathbb{Z})$ contains a copy of the index $m = \frac{m'}{s^2}$ Weil representation in case m' is divisible by a perfect square s^2. Another consequence of the definitions is the fact that $\varphi(\tau,z) \mapsto \varphi(M\tau,z)$, for M a positive integer, defines an injection

$$J^{\mathrm{wsh}}_{k,mM}(n) \to J^{\mathrm{wsh}}_{k,m}(Mn). \tag{3.23}$$

Taking $n = 1$ in (3.23) we obtain a way to apply statements about weakly skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms of level 1 to those of higher level. (This will manifest in §§ 4.1–4.2. See in particular Tables 4 and 5.)

From (3.19–3.21) we see that the complex conjugates of the theta-coefficients of a weakly skew-holomorphic Jacobi form of weight k and index m comprise the components of a weakly holomorphic vector-valued modular form of weight $k - \frac{1}{2}$ for the dual of the index m Weil representation (3.13). Also, we see that a weakly skew-holomorphic Jacobi form $\varphi \in J^{\mathrm{wsh}}_{k,m}(n,\chi)$ admits a Fourier series expansion

$$\varphi(\tau,z) = \sum_{\substack{D,s\in\mathbb{Z} \\ D\equiv s^2 \bmod 4m}} C_\varphi(D,s)\bar{q}^{\frac{D}{4m}}q^{\frac{s^2}{4m}}y^s, \tag{3.24}$$

with $C_\varphi(D,s)=0$ for $D \ll 0$.

Note that an application of the skew-modular action (3.20) with $\gamma = -I$ yields

$$\varphi(\tau,-z) = (-1)^{k+1}\varphi(\tau,z) \tag{3.25}$$

for $\varphi \in J^{\mathrm{wsh}}_{k,m}(n,\chi)$, since $\chi(-I)=1$ when χ takes the form $\chi = \rho^v_{n|h}$ (see (3.8)). We also have $\theta_{m,r}(\tau,-z) = \theta_{m,-r}(\tau,z)$ (cf. (3.16)), so it follows that

$$f_{-r} = (-1)^{k+1} f_r \tag{3.26}$$

for f_r as in (3.21).

3.4 Eichler–Zagier Operators

For each divisor n of m we may consider the *Eichler–Zagier operator* $\mathcal{W}_m(n)$, which acts on $\mathcal{E}_m$ according to the rule

$$(\varphi|\mathcal{W}_m(n))(\tau,z) := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{a,b=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{e}\left(m\left(\frac{a^2}{n^2}\tau + 2\frac{a}{n}z + \frac{ab}{n^2}\right)\right)\varphi\left(\tau, z+\frac{a}{n}\tau+\frac{n}{n}\right). \tag{3.27}$$

In terms of theta-coefficients (3.18), the action of the Eichler–Zagier operators is $h \mapsto \Omega_m(n)h$, where $h=(h_r)$, and where $\Omega_m(n)$ is a $2m \times 2m$ *Omega matrix*. The Omega matrices commute with the Weil representation and have entries given by

$$\Omega_m(n)_{r,s} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r = -s \bmod 2n \text{ and } r = s \bmod \frac{2m}{n}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.28}$$

In the case that n is an exact divisor of m we may alternatively express the action (3.27) as follows. Define

$$O_m := \left\{ a \bmod 2m \mid a^2 \equiv 1 \bmod 4m \right\}, \tag{3.29}$$

regard O_m as a group under multiplication, and note that we obtain an isomorphism from the group Ex_m of exact divisors of m (cf. (3.2)) to O_m, to be denoted $n \mapsto a(n)$, by requiring that $a(n)$ is the unique integer modulo $2m$ such that

$$a(n) \equiv -1 \bmod 2n, \quad a(n) \equiv 1 \bmod \frac{2m}{n}. \tag{3.30}$$

Then for n an exact divisor of m, for φ an elliptic form of index m, and for h_r as in (3.18) we

have

$$\varphi|\mathcal{W}_m(n) = \sum_r h_{ra(n)}\theta_{m,r}. \tag{3.31}$$

Note also that, when restricted to exact divisors of m, the Eichler–Zagier operators (3.27), and also the Omega matrices (3.28), satisfy the defining relations

$$\mathcal{W}_m(n)\mathcal{W}_m(n') = \mathcal{W}_m(n * n'), \tag{3.32}$$

$$\Omega_m(n)\Omega_m(n') = \Omega_m(n * n'), \tag{3.33}$$

(cf. (3.2)) of the Atkin–Lehner operators (3.1).

In § 1.4 we explained a way (see (1.21)) in which lambencies may be used to refine spaces of weakly skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms. We now use the Eichler–Zagier operators (3.27–3.28) to perform a closely related task. For this let m be a positive integer, let $\alpha : O_m \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be a character of O_m (3.29), and let $\mathcal{E}_m^\alpha$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{E}_m$ composed of forms φ such that $\varphi|\mathcal{W}_m(n) = \alpha(a(n))\varphi$ for each $n \in \mathrm{Ex}_m$, where $a(n)$ is as in (3.30). Then, writing $\widehat{O}_m$ for the group of characters of O_m, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_m = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\widehat{O}_m} \mathcal{E}_m^\alpha. \tag{3.34}$$

Now set $J_{k,m}^{\mathrm{wsh},\alpha} := J_{k,m}^{\mathrm{wsh}} \cap \mathcal{E}_m^\alpha$. Then it follows from (3.34) that we have

$$J_{k,m}^{\mathrm{wsh}} = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\widehat{O}_m} J_{k,m}^{\mathrm{wsh},\alpha}. \tag{3.35}$$

Taking theta-coefficients on both sides of (3.35) we obtain a statement about vector-valued modular forms for the (dual of the) index m Weil representation. Namely, the characters $\alpha \in \widehat{O}_m$ define forms that are governed by subrepresentations. It develops that these subrepresentations are irreducible if m is square-free (see e.g. [91]). The existence of the injective map (3.22) shows how it is that this statement fails when m is not square-free (cf. § 3.4 of [14]).

Note that half of the summands in (3.35) actually vanish. To see this take $m = n$ in (3.30–3.31) so as to obtain $\varphi|\mathcal{W}_m(m) = \sum_{r \bmod 2m} \overline{f}_{-r}\theta_{m,r}$ for $\varphi \in J_{k,m}^{\mathrm{wsh}}$ as in (3.21). Then from (3.26) we have that

$$\varphi|\mathcal{W}_m(m) = (-1)^{k+1}\varphi \tag{3.36}$$

for $\varphi \in J_{k,m}^{\mathrm{wsh}}$. Now say that $\alpha \in \widehat{O}_m$ is *even* or *odd* according as $\alpha(-1) = 1$ or $\alpha(-1) = -1$. Then from (3.36) we conclude that the space $J_{k,m}^{\mathrm{wsh},\alpha}$ is trivial unless α and k have opposite parity. In particular, weakly skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms of weight 1, which are a focus of

this work, are necessarily invariant under $\mathcal{W}_m(m)$. (Cf. (1.23).)

It will be useful in what follows to consider the projection operators $P_m^\alpha : \mathcal{E}_m \to \mathcal{E}_m^\alpha$. We will describe them explicitly by defining matrices Ω_m^α that implement the projection at the level of theta-coefficients, according to the rule

$$P_m^\alpha(h^{\mathrm{t}}\theta_m) = h^{\mathrm{t}}\Omega_m^\alpha\theta_m. \tag{3.37}$$

(Here h^{t} is the row-vector form of $h = (h_r)$.) This requirement (3.37) does not uniquely determine Ω_m^α, but it does if we further demand that $(\Omega_m^\alpha)_{r,s} = (\Omega_m^\alpha)_{r,-s} = (\Omega_m^\alpha)_{-r,s}$. On general grounds, these matrices commute with the Weil representation and therefore must be expressible as a linear combination of the $\Omega_m(n)$ (3.28). Concretely, we have

$$\Omega_m^\alpha = \frac{1}{\#\,\mathrm{Ex}_m}\sum_{n\in\mathrm{Ex}_m}\alpha(a(n))\Omega_m(n). \tag{3.38}$$

3.5 Rademacher Sums

In § 4.2 we will use Rademacher sums to specify the McKay–Thompson series of penumbral moonshine at $D_0 = -3$. We explain the details of this construction here. The formulae we present are modest generalizations of expressions that have appeared in various places in the literature, e.g. [12, 36, 108].

Here the data of a Rademacher sum is as follows.

1. A positive integer m, the index.
2. A pair (D_0, r_0) consisting of a negative discriminant D_0 and an integer r_0 defined modulo $2m$ which satisfies $D_0 \equiv r_0^2 \bmod 4m$. This specifies the singular behavior of the Rademacher sum as τ approaches the cusp at infinity.
3. A positive integer n, the level.
4. A character $\chi : \Gamma_0(n) \to \mathbb{C}^*$ of the form $\chi = \rho_{n|h}^v$ (see (3.8)), which contributes to the multiplier system.

To this data, we (formally) attach a Rademacher sum $R_{n,\chi}^{m,D_0,r_0}$. When it converges it defines a vector-valued mock modular form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ which furnishes the theta-coefficients of a weakly skew-holomorphic mock Jacobi form. In all the cases of interest to us it will actually be modular, belonging to one of the spaces $J_{k,m}^{\mathrm{wsh}}(n, \chi^*)$. We will define this vector-valued function $R_{n,\chi}^{m,D_0,r_0} = (R_{n,\chi,r}^{m,D_0,r_0})$ through its Fourier development, which takes the form

$$R_{n,\chi,r}^{m,D_0,r_0}(\tau) = \delta_{r,\pm r_0}q^{\frac{D_0}{4m}} + \sum_{\substack{D\geq 0\\ D\equiv r^2 \bmod 4m}} C_{n,\chi}^{m,D_0,r_0}(D,r)q^{\frac{D}{4m}}, \tag{3.39}$$

where the Fourier coefficients are given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n,\chi}^{m,D_0,r_0}(D,r) &= \sum_{s \bmod 2m} \sum_{\substack{c>0 \\ c\equiv 0 \bmod n}} \sum_{\substack{0\le a<c \\ (a,c)=1}} B_{\gamma,\frac{1}{2}}\left(\vec{\mu}, \frac{D}{4m}\right)_s K_{\gamma,\chi}\left(\vec{\mu}, \frac{D}{4m}\right)_{sr}, \\ C_{n,\chi}^{m,D_0,r_0}(0,0) &= \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{e}(-\tfrac{1}{8}) \sum_{s \bmod 2m} \frac{\sqrt{-\mu_s}}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \sum_{\substack{c>0 \\ c\equiv 0 \bmod n}} \left(\frac{2\pi}{c}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{\substack{0\le a<c \\ (a,c)=1}} K_{\gamma,\chi}(\vec{\mu},0)_{sr}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

In the above, $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & * \\ c & * \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, we use $\Gamma(x)$ for the usual Gamma function, and $\vec{\mu} = (\mu_r)$ is the $2m$ component vector which describes the poles of the Rademacher sum: it is zero in every entry except for r_0 and $-r_0$, where it is $\mu_{r_0} = \mu_{-r_0} = \frac{D_0}{4m}$. We also define

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\gamma,\chi}\left(\vec{\mu}, \frac{D}{4m}\right)_{sr} &:= \mathrm{e}\left(\frac{D}{4m}\frac{d}{c}\right) \chi(\gamma)\varrho_m(\gamma,\upsilon)^{\dagger}_{rs}\,\mathrm{e}\left(\mu_s \frac{a}{c}\right), \\ B_{\gamma,\frac{1}{2}}\left(\vec{\mu}, \frac{D}{4m}\right)_s &:= \mathrm{e}(-\tfrac{1}{8})\left(-\frac{4m\mu_s}{D}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{2\pi}{c} I_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{4\pi}{c}\sqrt{\frac{-D\mu_s}{4m}}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

for $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\upsilon = \sqrt{c\tau + d}$ (cf. § 2), where $I_\alpha(x)$ denotes the modified Bessel function of the first kind, given by the power series expression

$$I_\alpha(x) := \sum_{n\ge 0} \frac{1}{\Gamma(m+\alpha+1)m!}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2m+\alpha}. \tag{3.42}$$

We adopt the following conventions. In the case that the character χ is trivial, we suppress it from the notation, i.e. we write R_n^{m,D_0,r_0}. In the case that r_0 is uniquely determined (modulo $2m$) by D_0, we suppress it from the notation, and write $R_{n,\chi}^{m,D_0}$. We also attach a *normalized* Rademacher sum

$$R_{n,\chi}^{(\lambda)}(\tau) := \Omega_m^{\alpha}\big(aR_{n,\chi}^{m,D_0,r_0}(\tau) + b\theta_m(\tau)\big) \tag{3.43}$$

to each lambdency $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$. Here r_0 is the minimal non-negative integer such that $D_0 \equiv r_0^2 \bmod 4m$, and the character $\alpha \in \widehat{O}_m$ is chosen so that $\ker(\alpha) = \{1, n, n', \dots\}$ when $\ell = m+n, n', \dots$. Also, a and b are chosen so that $C_{n,\chi}^{(\lambda)}(1,\pm 1) = 0$ and $C_{n,\chi}^{(\lambda)}(D_0, \pm r_0) = 1$, where

$$R_{n,\chi}^{(\lambda)}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{D\ge D_0 \\ D\equiv r^2 \bmod 4m}} C_{n,\chi}^{(\lambda)}(D,r) q^{\frac{D}{4m}} \tag{3.44}$$

is the Fourier series expansion of $R_{n,\chi}^{(\lambda)}$.

The convergence of the Rademacher sums (3.39), (3.44) follows from similar arguments to those that appear in [38].

4 Moonshine

We give a detailed description of penumbral moonshine at $D_0 = -3$ and $D_0 = -4$ in this section. We discuss the relevant groups—both the infinite ones described by lambencies, and the finite ones indexed by lambdencies—in § 4.1. Then we describe the associated weakly holomorphic vector-valued modular forms in § 4.2. Our main results appear in § 4.3, wherein we prove that the forms of § 4.2 are realized as the McKay–Thompson series associated to modules for the finite groups that appear in § 4.1. We also discuss some notable properties of these modules in § 4.3, including a discriminant property, similar to that which appears in umbral moonshine. We discuss a connection between penumbral moonshine and distinguished lattices in § 4.4.

4.1 Groups

As we have explained in § 1.7, the cases of penumbral moonshine that we present in this work are parameterized by the lambdencies $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$ (1.30), where

- ℓ is a lambency (1.9) that represents a Fricke genus zero subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ (cf. (3.3)), and
- D_0 is an ℓ-admissible discriminant (see § 1.6), and
- either $D_0 = -3$ or $D_0 = -4$.

There are finitely many Fricke genus zero lambencies, and they are all on display in Table 1. In this table the lambencies ℓ for which $D_0 = -3$ is ℓ-admissible are indicated with the symbol $\star$, and we use the symbol ‡ to indicate those for which $D_0 = -4$ are ℓ-admissible.

Recall from § 1.7 that we also restrict attention to lambencies $\ell = m+n, n', \ldots$ with square-free level m. Inspecting Table 1 we see that

$$\begin{gathered} 1, \\ 3+3,\ 7+7,\ 13+13,\ 19+19,\ 31+31, \\ 21+3, 7, 21,\ 39+3, 13, 39, \end{gathered} \tag{4.1}$$

are the lambencies to be considered for $D_0 = -3$, while for $D_0 = -4$ the relevant lambencies are

$$\begin{gathered} 1, \\ 2+2,\ 5+5,\ 17+17,\ 29+29,\ 41+41, \\ 10+2, 5, 10,\ \ 26+2, 13, 26,\ \ 34+2, 17, 34. \end{gathered} \tag{4.2}$$

(We also see that, for $D_0 = -3$, the only lambency that we neglect by restricting to square-free levels is $\ell = 49 + 49$, while for $D_0 = -4$ we neglect just $\ell = 25 + 25$ and $\ell = 50 + 2, 25, 50$.)

Table 1: The lambencies ℓ that are Fricke and genus zero.

1 ⋆ ‡	$17+17$ ‡	$31+31$ ⋆	$51+3,17,51$
$2+2$ ‡	$18+2,9,18$	$32+32$	$54+2,27,54$
$3+3$ ⋆	$18+18$	$33+33$	$55+5,11,55$
$4+4$	$19+19$ ⋆	$34+2,17,34$ ‡	$56+7,8,58$
$5+5$ ‡	$20+4,5,20$	$35+5,7,35$	$59+59$
$6+2,3,6$	$20+20$	$35+35$	$60+3,4,12,15,20,60$
$6+6$	$21+3,7,21$ ⋆	$36+4,9,36$	$60+4,15,60$
$7+7$ ⋆	$21+21$	$36+36$	$62+2,31,62$
$8+8$	$22+2,11,22$	$38+2,19,38$	$66+2,3,6,11,22,33,66$
$9+9$	$23+23$	$39+3,13,39$ ⋆	$66+6,11,66$
$10+2,5,10$ ‡	$24+3,8,24$	$39+39$	$69+3,23,69$
$10+10$	$24+24$	$41+41$ ‡	$70+2,5,7,10,14,35,70$
$11+11$	$25+25$ ‡	$42+2,3,6,7,14,21,42$	$71+71$
$12+3,4,12$	$26+2,13,26$ ‡	$42+3,14,42$	$78+2,3,6,13,26,39,78$
$12+12$	$26+26$	$44+4,11,44$	$87+3,29,87$
$13+13$ ⋆ ‡	$27+27$	$45+5,9,45$	$92+4,23,92$
$14+2,7,14$	$28+4,7,28$	$46+2,23,46$	$94+2,47,94$
$14+14$	$29+29$ ‡	$47+47$	$95+5,19,95$
$15+3,5,15$	$30+2,3,5,6,10,15,30$	$49+49$ ⋆	$105+3,5,7,15,21,35,105$
$15+15$	$30+2,15,30$	$50+2,25,50$ ‡	$110+2,5,10,11,22,55,110$
$16+16$	$30+5,6,30$	$50+50$	$119+7,17,119$

Note from (4.1–4.2) that each lambency arising is of the form $\ell = m+n,n',\dots,$ where $\{1,n,n',\dots\}$ is the entire set of exact divisors of m (and this is even true when m is not square-free). It follows from this that $F^{(\lambda)}$ as in (1.34)—being a non-theta series D_0-optimal (1.28) solution to (1.29) for ℓ—is uniquely determined by a choice of $C^{(\lambda)}(D_0,r_0)$, and the condition that $C^{(\lambda)}(1,1)=0$, for all the relevant lambdencies $\lambda=(D_0,\ell)$, so long as we also impose the symmetry condition (1.21). Thus the $F^{(\lambda)}$ that we treat here furnish strong analogs of normalized principal moduli, according to the discussion of § 1.7.

Having explained the content of Table 1, we now turn to Tables 2 and 3. The main service these tables provide is the specification of the penumbral groups $G^{(\lambda)}$, for $\lambda=(D_0,\ell)$, for $D_0=-3$ in Table 2, and for $D_0=-4$ in Table 3. In the top rows of these tables we just give the levels m of the lambencies in question, rather than the full lambencies $\ell=m+n,n',\dots,$ as the latter are uniquely determined by the former according to (4.1–4.2).

In Table 2 we write *Th* for the sporadic simple Thompson group, as studied in [93,98]. (See also [24].) This is the only sporadic simple group that appears amongst the cases of penumbral moonshine that we present here, but the remaining penumbral groups do include some other exceptional examples. For instance, a group of the form $2.F_4(2).2$ appears in Table 3. To understand what we mean by this write $F_4(2)$ for the Lie-type finite simple group of exceptional type F_4, defined over the field with 2 elements, and let $F_4(2).2$ denote its automorphism group. (See [24, 81].) The notation $2.F_4(2).2$ refers to a group G that participates in a short exact

Table 2: The penumbral groups, and related structures, for $D_0 = -3$.

m	1	3	7	13	19	21	31	39
$G^{(\lambda)}$	Th	$3.G_2(3)$	$L_2(7)$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	$\mathbb{1}$	$\mathbb{1}$	$\mathbb{1}$	$\mathbb{1}$
$C(m)$	Th	$\mathbb{Z}_3 \times G_2(3)$	$\mathbb{Z}_7 \times L_2(7)$	$\mathbb{Z}_{13} \times \mathbb{Z}_3$	$\mathbb{Z}_{19}$	$\mathbb{Z}_{21}$	$\mathbb{Z}_{31}$	$\mathbb{Z}_{39}$
$\mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda^{(\lambda)})$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times Th$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times G_2(3)$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times L_2(7).2$					
$C^{(\lambda)}(0,0)$	248	28	6	1	1	0	0	1
$C^{(\lambda)}(D_0, r_0)$	2	4	2	1	1	1	1	1

sequence

$$\mathbb{1} \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \to G \xrightarrow{\pi} F_4(2).2 \to \mathbb{1}, \tag{4.3}$$

and is not of the form $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times F_4(2).2$. Here, as in Tables 2 and 3, we write $\mathbb{Z}_n$ for a cyclic group of order n, and $\mathbb{1}$ is a shorthand for $\mathbb{Z}_1$. Now there is actually more than one solution to (4.3). The group $G^{(-4,1)}$ is a solution for which the smallest order of a preimage under π of an outer automorphism of $F_4(2)$ is 4. We can also say that $G^{(-4,1)}$ is the unique solution to (4.3) that occurs as a subgroup of the monster.

It is a similar but simpler story for $3.G_2(3)$, which appears in Table 2. To explain this notation write $G_2(3)$ for the Lie-type finite simple group of exceptional type G_2, defined over the field with 3 elements. (See [24].) The notation $3.G_2(3)$ refers to the unique-up-to-isomorphism group G that participates in a short exact sequence

$$\mathbb{1} \to \mathbb{Z}_3 \to G \to G_2(3) \to \mathbb{1}, \tag{4.4}$$

and is not of the form $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times G_2(3)$.

To understand the notation $2.S_6.2$, which appears in Table 3, recall that there is exactly one positive integer n for which the symmetric group S_n on n letters admits an outer automorphism; namley, $n = 6$. (See e.g. [23, 58].) We write $S_6.2$ for the automorphism group of S_6, and note that the sporadic simple Mathieu group M_{12} admits $S_6.2$ as a subgroup. (See e.g. [24].) We also note that there is a unique group G that satisfies

$$\mathbb{1} \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \to G \xrightarrow{\pi} M_{12} \to \mathbb{1} \tag{4.5}$$

and is not of the form $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times M_{12}$. (This solution to (4.5) is commonly denoted $2.M_{12}$.) By $2.S_6.2$ we mean the preimage under the π in (4.5) of a subgroup $S_6.2$ in M_{12}.

Two more notable groups appear in Table 3. Namely, we have ${}^2F_4(2)$, denoting the first Ree group of type ${}^2F_4$ (cf. e.g. [110]), and ${}^2F_4(2)'$, denoting the commutator subgroup of ${}^2F_4(2)$, which is simple, and also known as the Tits group [104].

Table 3: The penumbral groups, and related structures, for $D_0 = -4$.

m	1	5	13	17	29	41
$G^{(\lambda)}$	$2.F_4(2).2$	$2.S_6.2$	$\mathbb{Z}_4$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{1}$	$\mathbb{1}$
$C(m)$	$2.F_4(2).2$	$\mathbb{Z}_5 \times 2.S_6.2$	$\mathbb{Z}_{13} \times \mathbb{Z}_4$	$\mathbb{Z}_{17} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$		
$\mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda^{(\lambda)})$						
$C^{(\lambda)}(0,0)$	-492	8	2	1	1	0
$C^{(\lambda)}(D_0, r_0)$	2	1	1	1	1	1

m	2	10	26	34
$G^{(\lambda)}$	${}^2F_4(2)$	$\mathbb{Z}_5 : \mathbb{Z}_4$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{1}$
$C(2m)$	$\mathbb{Z}_4 \times {}^2F_4(2)'$	$\mathbb{Z}_{20} \times D_{10}$	$\mathbb{Z}_{52}$	
$\mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda^{(\lambda)})$	$2.F_4(2)$			
$C^{(\lambda)}(0,0)$	52	2	0	1
$C^{(\lambda)}(D_0, r_0)$	2	1	1	1

This explains all the notation for penumbral groups $G^{(\lambda)}$ in Tables 2 and 3, except for $L_2(7)$ and $L_2(7).2$, which are shorthands for $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_7)) \simeq PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$, respectively, and D_{10}, which denotes the dihedral group of order 10.

As we mentioned in § 1.7, the groups $G^{(\lambda)}$ for $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$ with $D_0 = -3$ are closely related to centralizer subgroups of $G^{(-3,1)} = Th$. More specifically, for each m, the relevant centralizer subgroup is the largest that occurs as the centralizer of g in G, for $G = Th$ and g an element of order m in G. This is the meaning of $C(m)$ in Table 2. The reader will note, from Table 2, that $G^{(\lambda)}$ is isomorphic to $C(m)/\mathbb{Z}_m$, for all m except for $m = 3$, when $\lambda = (-3, \ell)$ and m is the level of ℓ.

The meaning and significance of $C(m)$ in Table 3 is the same, except that $D_0 = -4$, and $G^{(-4,1)} = 2.F_4(2).2$ replaces Th, and it does not work for $m = 29$ or $m = 41$ because there are no elements with these orders in $2.F_4(2).2$. Also, we need a slightly different formulation for the m that are even, when $D_0 = -4$. To explain this let G be the unique normal subgroup of index 2 in $G^{(-4,1)} = 2.F_4(2).2$. Then, for m the level of ℓ and m even, we should consider the largest subgroup of $G^{(-4,1)}$ that centralizes an element of order $2m$ in the complement of G in $G^{(-4,1)}$. This is the meaning of $C(2m)$ in Table 3. Unfortunately, this prescription also fails to make sense for all relevant m, because there is no element of order 68 in $2.F_4(2).2$.

We note here that the group G of the previous paragraph, having index 2 in $G^{(-4,1)}$, is the unique-up-to-isomorphism solution to

$$\mathbb{1} \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \to G \to F_4(2) \to \mathbb{1} \tag{4.6}$$

that is not of the form $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times F_4(2)$. This is the group we have in mind when we write $2.F_4(2)$

(in Table 3, and in § 4.4).

For some values of m the groups $G^{(\lambda)}$ are closely related to automorphism groups of distinguished modular lattices $\Lambda^{(\lambda)}$. These lattices are discussed in more detail in § 4.4. Their automorphism groups are denoted $\mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda^{(\lambda)})$, and are described, for the relevant lambdencies λ, in Tables 2 and 3.

We also specify the values of $C^{(\lambda)}(D_0, r_0)$ and $C^{(\lambda)}(0,0)$, for every penumbral lambdency λ with $D_0 = -3$ or $D_0 = -4$, in Tables 2 and 3. As we have explained earlier in this section, the choice of $C^{(\lambda)}(D_0, r_0)$ determines $F^{(\lambda)}$ uniquely (at least for the lambdencies considered here), once we also require that $C^{(\lambda)}(1,1) = 0$. The value of $C^{(\lambda)}(0,0)$ is given because it coincides with the rank of $\Lambda^{(\lambda)}$, in all the cases that the latter object exists (except for $\lambda = (-3, 3+3)$, in which case $C^{(\lambda)}(0,0)$ is twice the rank of the lattice we describe).

To conclude this section we offer a few words as to how the $G^{(\lambda)}$ were found. In short, we have relied upon the genus zero subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ to guide us here. Indeed, we have discussed in § 1.6 how it is that genus zero groups govern the forms $F^{(\lambda)}$ that can serve as graded-dimension functions in penumbral moonshine. (And in § 1.7 we have noted the stronger sense that this is true, when $D_0 = -3$ and $D_0 = -4$.) Observe now that if $\varphi \in J^{\mathrm{wsh}}_{k,mM}(n)$ for some positive integers k, m, M and n, then setting $\varphi'(\tau, z) := \varphi(M\tau, z)$ we have

$$C_{\varphi'}(D, r) = C_{\varphi}(D, r) \tag{4.7}$$

at the level of Fourier coefficients. So in particular, the map $\varphi(\tau, z) \mapsto \varphi(M\tau, z)$ of (3.23) preserves D_0-optimality (1.28). For this reason, if $M = p$ is a prime for which D_0 is a square modulo $4p$, then the $n = 1$ case of this map (3.23) sends $F^{(\lambda')}$ to a candidate for the graded trace $F^{(\lambda)}_g$ of an element $g \in G^{(\lambda)}$ of order p, when $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$ for m the level of ℓ, and $\lambda' = (D_0, \ell')$ for mp the level of ℓ'. So for example, the primes p which appear as levels of Fricke genus zero lambencies ℓ' of level p, for which D_0 is ℓ'-admissible, are primes we might expect to see arising as orders of elements of $G^{(\lambda)}$, for $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$ and $\ell = 1$. But this only controls the primes p for which D_0 is a square modulo $4p$. As we explain in more detail in [42], the remaining primes p can also be handled, but for such primes the obstruction to the appearance of p in the prime spectrum of $G^{(\lambda)}$, for $\ell = m + n, n', \ldots$, is the positivity of the genus of a certain non-Fricke lambency ℓ', with level mp.

To summarize what we have just explained suppose that $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$ is a penumbral lambdency (cf. Table 1) and m is the level of ℓ. If p is a prime such that D_0 is a square modulo $4p$ then p can appear as the order of an element of $G^{(\lambda)}$ if there is a Fricke genus zero lambency ℓ' of level mp, whereas if p is a prime such that D_0 is not a square modulo $4p$ then p can appear as the order of an element of $G^{(\lambda)}$ if there is a non-Fricke genus zero lambency ℓ' of level mp.

Before moving on we point out that the above prescription sometimes delivers more primes than we are able to use. For example, it perfectly predicts the prime spectrum of $G^{(\lambda)}$ for $\lambda = (-3, 1)$, but we could not find a compelling candidate for $G^{(\lambda)}$ with $\lambda = (-4, 1)$ that has

elements of order 29 and 41 (cf. Tables 1 and 3). Also, the above prescription has not been formulated for forms with non-trivial characters, so it works best for $p > 3$, because we have found empirically that non-trivial characters often occur for the McKay–Thompson series $F_g^{(\lambda)}$ associated to elements $g \in G^{(\lambda)}$, that have order not coprime to 6. (Cf. § B.1.)

Table 4: Multiplicative relationships for penumbral moonshine at $D_0 = -3$.

Relation	$[g]$	$[h]$
$F_{g,0}^{(-3,1)}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}\left(F_{h,0}^{(-3,3+3)}(3\tau) - F_{h,2}^{(-3,3+3)}(3\tau)\right)$ $F_{g,1}^{(-3,1)}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}\left(F_{h,3}^{(-3,3+3)}(3\tau) - F_{h,1}^{(-3,3+3)}(3\tau)\right)$	3A 6B 9A 9B 9C 12AB 18A 18B 21A 24AB 27A 27BC 36BC 39AB	1A 2A 3B 3C 3D 4A 6BD 6C 7A 8A 9A 9BC 12C 13A
$F_{g,r}^{(-3,1)}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{s \bmod 14 \\ s\equiv r \bmod 2}} F_{h,s}^{(-3,7+7)}(7\tau)$	7A 14A 21A 28A	1A 2A 3A 4A
$F_{g,r}^{(-3,1)}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{s \bmod 26 \\ s\equiv r \bmod 2}} F_{h,s}^{(-3,13+13)}(13\tau)$	13A 39AB	1A 3AB
$F_{g,r}^{(-3,1)}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{s \bmod 38 \\ s\equiv r \bmod 2}} F_{h,s}^{(-3,19+19)}(19\tau)$	19A	1A
$F_{g,r}^{(-3,1)}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{s \bmod 42 \\ s\equiv r \bmod 2}} I_s F_{h,s}^{(-3,21+3,7,21)}(21\tau)$ $F_{g,r}^{(-3,3+3)}(\tau) = 2\sum_{\substack{s \bmod 42 \\ s\equiv r \bmod 6}} F_{h,s}^{(-3,21+3,7,21)}(7\tau)$ $F_{g,r}^{(-3,7+7)}(\tau) = 2\sum_{\substack{s \bmod 42 \\ s\equiv r \bmod 24}} I_s F_{h,s}^{(-3,21+3,7,21)}(3\tau)$	21A 7A 3A	1A 1A 1A
$F_{g,r}^{(-3,1)}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{s \bmod 62 \\ s\equiv r \bmod 2}} F_{h,s}^{(-3,31+31)}(31\tau)$	31A	1A
$F_{g,r}^{(-3,1)}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{s \bmod 78 \\ s\equiv r \bmod 2}} I_s F_{h,s}^{(-3,39+3,13,39)}(39\tau)$ $F_{g,r}^{(-3,3+3)}(\tau) = 2\sum_{\substack{s \bmod 78 \\ s\equiv r \bmod 6}} F_{h,s}^{(-3,39+3,13,39)}(13\tau)$ $F_{g,r}^{(13+13,-3)}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{s \bmod 78 \\ s\equiv r \bmod 26}} I_s F_{h,s}^{(-3,39+3,13,39)}(3\tau)$	39AB 13A 3A	1A 1A 1A

Table 5: Multiplicative relations for penumbral moonshine at $D_0 = -4$.

Relation	$[g]$	$[h]$
$F_{g,r}^{(-4,1)}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{s \bmod 4 \\ s\equiv r \bmod 2}} (-1)^{\frac{s}{2}+1} F_{h,s}^{(-4,2+2)}(2\tau)$	4Q	1A
	4NR	2A
	4JKOS	2B
	12Q	3A
	8FGOP	4AF
	8HQ	4BG
	8DEJT	4C
	20D	5A
	12JKOPR	6A
	20E	10A
	24CDEF	12A
	52AB	13A
	8I	4DE
	16EF	8D
	24CDEF	12BC
$F_{g,r}^{(-4,1)}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{s \bmod 10 \\ s\equiv r \bmod 2}} F_{h,s}^{(-4,5+5)}(5\tau)$	5A	1A
	10A	2A
	10D	2B
	10E	2C
	15A	3A
	30A	6A
	20ABC	4ABC
	10B	2D
	10C	2E
	30C	6B
	30B	6C
	20D	4D
	40ABCD	8ABCD
	20E	4E
$F_{g,r}^{(-4,1)}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{s \bmod 20 \\ s\equiv r \bmod 2}} (-1)^{\frac{s}{2}+1} F_{h,s}^{(-4,10+2,5,10)}(10\tau)$	20D	1A
	20E	2A
$F_{g,r}^{(-4,2+2)}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{s \bmod 20 \\ s\equiv r \bmod 4}} F_{h,s}^{(-4,10+2,5,10)}(5\tau)$	5A	1A
	10A	2A
$F_{g,r}^{(-4,1)}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{s \bmod 26 \\ s\equiv r \bmod 2}} F_{h,s}^{(-4,13+13)}(13\tau)$	13A	1A
	52AB	4AB
	26A	2A
$F_{g,r}^{(-4,1)}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{s \bmod 34 \\ s\equiv r \bmod 2}} F_{h,s}^{(-4,17+17)}(17\tau)$	17A	1A
	34A	2A
$F_{g,r}^{(-4,1)}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{s \bmod 52 \\ s\equiv r \bmod 2}} (-1)^{\frac{s}{2}+1} F_{h,s}^{(-4,26+2,13,26)}(26\tau)$	52AB	1A, 2A
$F_{g,r}^{(-4,2+2)}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{s \bmod 20 \\ s\equiv r \bmod 4}} F_{h,s}^{(-4,26+2,13,26)}(13\tau)$	13A	1A

4.2 Forms

We now describe our predictions for the McKay–Thompson series $F_g^{(\lambda)} = (F_{g,r}^{(\lambda)})$ (cf. (1.35–1.36)) of penumbral moonshine. For $D_0 = -3$ we specify these forms as Rademacher sums, as follows. Take ℓ in (4.1), and to begin assume that the level m of ℓ is not composite. Then the corresponding vector-valued function $F^{(\lambda)}$, for $\lambda = (-3, \ell)$, may be described explicitly as

$$F^{(\lambda)}(\tau) = C^{(\lambda)}(D_0, r_0) R_1^{m,-3}(\tau) + b\theta_m^0(\tau), \tag{4.8}$$

where $R_1^{m,-3}$ is the level 1, index m Rademacher sum defined in equation (3.39), and b is chosen so that $C^{(\lambda)}(1,1) = 0$. The constant $C^{(\lambda)}(D_0, r_0)$ is chosen (see Table 2) to be as small as possible such that the resulting $G^{(\lambda)}$-module $W^{(\lambda)}$ is well-defined in the sense of having integral multiplicities in its decomposition into irreducible representations.

The case of composite level m requires only a modest generalization of this. Namely, the Weil representation ϱ_m is now reducible (cf. (3.35)), and so we require our identity McKay–Thompson series to live inside a subrepresentation. This subrepresentation is exactly that which is specified, via the symmetry condition (1.21), by the lambency $\ell = m+n, n', \ldots$ in question. We may pass to this subrepresentation by acting on the result of Rademacher summation with an appropriate projection matrix Ω_m^α (see (3.38)), so that in general we have

$$F^{(\lambda)}(\tau) = C^{(\lambda)}(D_0, r_0) R_1^{(\lambda)}(\tau), \tag{4.9}$$

where $R_1^{(\lambda)}$ is now the normalized Rademacher sum defined in (3.43).

We now describe Rademacher sum constructions for the McKay–Thompson series of penumbral moonshine at $D_0 = -3$ attached to non-identity elements of the groups $G^{(\lambda)}$. For every relevant lambency ℓ (see (4.1)), the putative graded trace function (1.36) can be written as

$$F_g^{(\lambda)}(\tau) = M_g \left(C^{(\lambda)}(D_0, r_0) R_{n_g, \psi_g}^{(\lambda)}(\tau) + \sum_{k>1} \kappa_{k,g} \theta_m(k^2 \tau) \right), \tag{4.10}$$

where $\lambda = (-3, \ell)$, and where M_g is a matrix which should commute with $\varrho_m(\widetilde{\Gamma}_0(o(g)))$. (In most cases, M_g is the identity matrix.) The various ingredients which go into this description (4.10) are presented in the tables in Tables 9–12, and the tables of § B.2.

The McKay–Thompson series we propose for penumbral moonshine at $D_0 = -4$ may also be described in terms of Rademacher sums. In many instances an expression as in (4.10) suffices, but the general case requires a more complicated construction. Rather than taking that route we instead specify the $F_g^{(\lambda)}$ with $\lambda = (-4, \ell)$, and ℓ as in (4.2), by explicitly describing the poles at cusps of the corresponding scalar-valued forms $\breve{F}_g^{(\lambda)}$ (in § B.3), and providing enough coefficients of each component $F_{g,r}^{(\lambda)}$ to pin down the contributions from theta series (in § B.4). (This approach was more convenient, for the purpose of carrying out computer-aided

computations using PARI [97].)

With these prescriptions in place we note that the McKay–Thompson series of penumbral moonshine enjoy multiplicative relationships, similar to those that manifest for umbral moonshine (see § 5.3 of [17]). These are coefficient-level relations between McKay–Thompson series with fixed D_0, but with different lambency and level. For $D_0 = -3$ we display these relationships in Table 4. Look to Table 5 for the cases that $D_0 = -4$. In Table 4 we use a symbol I_s, defined by setting $I_s := 1$ if $s^2 \equiv 0 \bmod 3$, and $I_s := -\frac{1}{2}$ otherwise.

Most of the relationships in Tables 4 and 5 are explained by the level-raising map (3.23) (see also (4.7)). The remaining ones, involving I_s, follow from exactly the same construction, but applied to forms with non-trivial characters. These multiplicative relationships between McKay–Thompson series are consistent with the close relationship between penumbral groups and centralizers that we discussed in § 4.1 (see Tables 2 and 3).

Although we have formulated most of our discussion in terms of vector-valued modular forms, we remark that the functions $F_g^{(\lambda)}$, for $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$ with m the level of ℓ, can equivalently be repackaged as weakly skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms $\varphi_g^{(\lambda)}$ of weight 1 and index m, with level $n = o(g)$, by setting

$$\varphi_g^{(\lambda)}(\tau, z) := \sum_{r \bmod 2m} \overline{F_{g,r}^{(\lambda)}(\tau)} \theta_{m,r}(\tau, z). \tag{4.11}$$

Also, we adopt the notational convention of writing $C_g^{(\lambda)}(D, r)$ as a shorthand for $C_\varphi(D, r)$ when $\varphi = \varphi_g^{(\lambda)}$. The prescriptions we have made here are such that the $C_g^{(\lambda)}(D, r)$ are all rational integers, and in particular real, so that we have

$$F_{g,r}^{(\lambda)} = \sum_{D \equiv r^2 \bmod 4m} C_g^{(\lambda)}(D, r) q^{\frac{D}{4m}} \tag{4.12}$$

for the Fourier expansions of the $F_{g,r}^{(\lambda)}$ in (4.11) (cf. (3.24)).

4.3 Modules

With the previous section as preparation, we now formulate and prove our main results.

Theorem 4.1. *For $\lambda = (-3, \ell)$ with ℓ in (4.1), and for $G^{(\lambda)}$ the corresponding penumbral group (as described in § 4.1, Table 2), there exists a virtual graded $G^{(\lambda)}$-module $W^{(\lambda)}$ (1.35) for which the associated McKay–Thompson series $F_g^{(\lambda)}$ (1.36) are as specified by (4.10), and the data of Tables 9–12 and 20–23.*

Theorem 4.2. *For $\lambda = (-4, \ell)$ with ℓ in (4.2), and for $G^{(\lambda)}$ the corresponding penumbral group (as described in § 4.1, Table 3), there exists a virtual graded $G^{(\lambda)}$-module $W^{(\lambda)}$ (1.35) for which the associated McKay–Thompson series $F_g^{(\lambda)}$ (1.36) are as specified by the data of Tables 13–19, 24–30, and 39–55.*

Proof of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2. Note that the $\ell = 1$ case of Theorem 4.1 has been established in [61]. The remaining cases, of both theorems, may be proved by directly similar arguments. Specifically, for each lambdency λ (cf. (4.1–4.2)), we require to verify the congruences amongst the coefficients of the $F_g^{(\lambda)}$, for $g \in G^{(\lambda)}$, that are given by Thompson's reformulation (see e.g. [94]) of Brauer's characterization of virtual characters. For example, we have to check that

$$F_{g^p}^{(\lambda)} \equiv F_g^{(\lambda)} \bmod p \tag{4.13}$$

for each $g \in G^{(\lambda)}$, for each prime p that divides $\#G^{(\lambda)}$, and some more involved congruences are required, modulo powers of primes p for which the p-valuation of $\#G^{(\lambda)}$ is greater than 1. Since the derivation of these congruences has appeared a number of times in recent literature, we suppress the details here, and instead refer to § 4 of [71], for a detailed discussion in the case that $\lambda = (-3, 1)$, wherein $G^{(\lambda)} = Th$ is the Thompson group. (See § B.2 of [94] for a complete list of congruences arising in this case. See [56] for a derivation of the congruences that apply in Mathieu moonshine (1.5).)

Note that no congruences are required in the case that $G^{(\lambda)}$ is trivial. So for the remainder of this proof let $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$ be a penumbral lambdency for which $G^{(\lambda)}$ is not trivial (cf. Tables 2 and 3). Also let m be the level of ℓ. Then to verify Thompson's congruences for $G^{(\lambda)}$, we consider the scalar-valued modular forms

$$\breve{F}_g^{(\lambda)}(\tau) := \sum_{r \bmod 2m} F_{g,r}^{(\lambda)}(4m\tau) \tag{4.14}$$

(cf. (3.14)), for $g \in G^{(\lambda)}$. Note that in principle it may happen that $C_g^{(\lambda)}(D, r) \neq C_g^{(\lambda)}(D, r')$, for $r \not\equiv r' \bmod 2m$, in which case information may be lost in the passage from the vector-valued $F_g^{(\lambda)}$ to the scalar-valued $\breve{F}_g^{(\lambda)}$. However, this does not occur for any of the lambencies in question (4.1–4.2), so, at least for the cases under consideration, it suffices to verify the required congruences (4.13), &c., with $\breve{F}_g^{(\lambda)}$ in place of $F_g^{(\lambda)}$.

The next step is to find the minimal positive even integer k for which there exists a cusp form G in the Kohnen plus space of weight $k - \frac{1}{2}$ and level $4m$ such that G (not to be confused with $G^{(\lambda)}$) has integer Fourier coefficients, and satisfies $G(\tau) = q^d + O(q^{d+1})$, where $d \geq |D_0|$. Then, for each $g \in G^{(\lambda)}$, the function $f_g := \breve{F}_g^{(\lambda)} G$ is a modular form of weight k for $\Gamma_0(4mN)$, where $N = nh$, for $n = o(g)$ and h as given in the tables of § B.1. Now it suffices to check (4.13), &c., with f_g in place of $F_g^{(\lambda)}$, and since the f_g are modular forms, we may apply Sturm's bound in order to do this. Specifically, taking N_* to be the least common multiple of the N arising in any one of the required congruences, the forms f_g in that congruence all belong to the space of modular forms of weight k (with trivial character) for $\Gamma_0(4mN_*)$, and Theorem 1 of [96] tells

us that the congruence holds, if it holds up to $O(q^{B_*})$, for

$$B_* = \frac{k}{12}\left[SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(4mN_*)\right]. \tag{4.15}$$

So the final step we take is to find the largest value B that occurs as the right-hand side of (4.15), for every congruence arising (see Table 6), and check all these congruences up to $O(q^B)$. We performed this check, at least up to $O(q^{2B})$ in each case, using PARI2 [97]. □

To supplement the above proof we give the relevant values of k and B in Table 6, for each of the relevant lambdencies λ. It turns out that, for each λ arising, the largest N_* in (4.15) is of the form $N = nh$ for some particular $g \in G^{(\lambda)}$. So we specify these values of N, n and h too. (The conjugacy classes of corresponding elements $g \in G^{(\lambda)}$ can be extracted from the tables in § B.1. For example, $n = o(g) = 24$ and $h = 12$ for g in the classes $24CD$ of $G^{(-3,1)} = Th$.)

Table 6: Sturm bounds for Theorems 4.1 and 4.2.

D_0	ℓ	k	N	n	h	B
-3	1	10	288	24	12	1920
	$3+3$	4	48	24	2	384
	$7+7$	4	9	3	3	192
	$13+13$	4	9	3	3	336
-4	1	16	320	40	8	3072
	$2+2$	8	128	16	8	1024
	$5+5$	6	80	20	4	1440
	$13+13$	4	16	4	4	448
	$17+17$	4	4	2	2	144
	$10+2, 5, 10$	4	5	5	1	120
	$26+2, 13, 26$	2	2	2	1	56

We have formulated Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 in terms of virtual modules, but, as we alluded to in § 1.7, this virtual-ness is of a special nature for $D_0 = -3$. More specifically, for $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$, with $D_0 = -3$ and ℓ any of the lambencies in (4.1), it is the case that all the multiplicities of irreducible characters for $G^{(\lambda)}$ in $W^{(\lambda)}_{r,\frac{D}{4m}}$ are non-negative or non-positive, depending only on r, and whether or not D is the minimal discriminant not less that $D_0 = -3$ such that $D \equiv r^2 \bmod 4m$. For example, in Thompson moonshine, where $\lambda = (-3, 1)$, we have that $W^{(\lambda)}_{r,\frac{D}{4}}$ is $(-1)^r$ times an honest module if $D \geq 0$, and the remaining non-zero component $W^{(\lambda)}_{1,\frac{-3}{4}}$ is 2 times the trivial representation (cf. (1.15–1.16)).

We note here that for $\lambda = (-3, 1)$, we make the passage from $\breve{W}^{(\lambda)}$ (see (1.16)) to $W^{(\lambda)}$ (see (1.35)) by taking $W^{(\lambda)}_{r,\frac{D}{4}}$ to be $\breve{W}^{(\lambda)}_D$ in case $D \equiv r^2 \bmod 4$, and 0 otherwise. Conversely, for any of the penumbral lambdencies λ (see (4.1–4.2)), we obtain a virtual graded $G^{(\lambda)}$-module $\breve{W}^{(\lambda)} = \bigoplus_D \breve{W}^{(\lambda)}_D$ from $W^{(\lambda)}$ (1.35), for which the associated McKay–Thompson series are the

scalar-valued forms $\breve{F}_g^{(\lambda)}$ (cf. (1.17), (4.14)), by setting

$$\breve{W}_D^{(\lambda)} := \bigoplus_{\substack{r \bmod 2m \\ D \equiv r^2 \bmod 4m}} W^{(\lambda)}_{r,\frac{D}{4m}}. \tag{4.16}$$

Note though, that for all the lambdencies λ we consider, the r such that $D \equiv r^2 \bmod 4m$ are uniquely determined modulo $2m$. So in practice there is a just a single term in the summation in (4.16), for each of the lambdencies considered here.

The statement we have given above on non-virtual-ness fails in general for $D_0 = -4$. For example, for $\lambda = (-4, 1)$, both positive and negative multiplicities arise in the decomposition of $W^{(\lambda)}_{0,0}$ into irreducible modules for $G^{(\lambda)}$ (cf. (1.37)). However, we see another feature here, in that the grading by r predicts whether the corresponding (virtual) modules $W^{(\lambda)}_{r,\frac{D}{4}}$ are faithful or not. Indeed, the irreducible constituents of the modules $W^{(\lambda)}_{r,\frac{D}{4}}$ with $r = 1$ are all faithful for $G^{(\lambda)}$, whereas the modules $W^{(\lambda)}_{r,\frac{D}{4}}$ with $r = 0$ factor through to $F_4(2).2$ (cf. (4.3)).

A directly similar statement holds for $\lambda = (-3, 3+3)$, where the group $G^{(\lambda)}$ is $3.G_2(3)$ (cf. (4.4)). Specifically, the decomposition of $W^{(\lambda)}_{r,\frac{D}{12}}$ into irreducible representations of $G^{(\lambda)}$ features only faithful irreducible representations if r is coprime to 3, while the representations with $r \equiv 0 \bmod 3$ factor through to $G_2(3)$.

As we have commented in § 4.4, one aspect of Thompson moonshine which was not emphasized in [65] is the fact that the Thompson group is the automorphism group of a 248-dimensional unimodular lattice, which we label as $\Lambda^{(-3,1)}$. The associated complex representation appears as a particular graded component of the module $W^{(-3,1)}$. Specifically, we have

$$W^{(\lambda)}_{0,0} \cong \Lambda^{(\lambda)} \otimes \mathbb{C}. \tag{4.17}$$

This property (4.17) holds also for $\lambda = (-3, 7+7)$ and $\lambda = (-4, 2+2)$ (cf. § 4.4), while for $\lambda = (-3, 3+3)$ we should take two copies of $\Lambda^{(\lambda)}$ on the right-hand side. Note that there is an obstruction to (4.17) for $\lambda = (-4, 1)$, in that there is no non-trivial $G^{(\lambda)}$-module of the correct dimension in this case (cf. (1.37)). That is, the left-hand side of (4.17) is really virtual when $\lambda = (-4, 1)$. Also, there are four cases (see Tables 2 and 3) where the left-hand side of (4.17) is zero-dimensional.

A final feature that we emphasize here is a discriminant property similar to the one found in umbral moonshine (see § 6.4 of [17]). For certain lambdencies $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$, this property relates the number fields over which the $G^{(\lambda)}$-modules $W^{(\lambda)}_{r,\frac{D}{4m}}$ are defined, to the discriminants D that index them. For example, for $\lambda = (-3, 1)$, whereby $G^{(\lambda)} = Th$ is the Thompson group, the $G^{(\lambda)}$-module $W^{(\lambda)}_{1,\frac{5}{4}}$ is (-1 times) the direct sum of a conjugate pair of irreducible modules of dimension 85995 (cf. (1.15)), and the trace of an element of order 15 on either of these modules takes values in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15})$ (but not in $\mathbb{Q}$). This extends, with one consequence being

that irrational numbers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15})$ must be used, in order to write down the $G^{(\lambda)}$-module $W^{(\lambda)}_{r,\frac{D}{4}}$ explicitly using matrices, whenever $D = 5f^2$ and f is coprime to 3.

A similar property holds for $\lambda = (-4, 1)$, where now $G^{(\lambda)}$ takes the form $2.F_4(2).2$ (cf. (4.3)), and $W^{(\lambda)}_{1,\frac{D}{4}}$ involves the direct sum of a conjugate pair of irreducible (and faithful) modules of dimension 565760, whenever $D = 5f^2$ and f is odd (cf. (1.37)). Furthermore, the trace of an element of order 40 on either of these 565760-dimensional modules takes values in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40})$ (but not in $\mathbb{Q}$). For a general statement we offer the following.

Conjecture 4.3. *For D_0, ℓ, d and D_1 as in Table 7 set $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$, suppose that f is coprime to D_0, let $D = df^2$, and choose r such that $D \equiv r^2 \bmod 4m$, where m is the level of ℓ. Then there exists an element g in $G^{(\lambda)}$ of order $|D_1|$, and an irreducible constituent M of $W^{(\lambda)}_{r,\frac{D}{4m}}$ such that $\operatorname{tr}(g|M)$ is an irrational element of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D_1})$.*

Table 7: Parameters for the discriminant property of penumbral moonshine.

D_0	ℓ	d	D_1
-3	1	4	-12
		5	-15
		8	-24
		9	-27
		13	-39
-3	$3+3$	4	$-3, -12$
		13	-39
-4	1	4	-16
		8	-32
-4	$2+2$	4	$-4, -16$

D_0	ℓ	d	D_1
-3	$13+13$	13	-3
-4	1	5	-40
		12	-12
-4	$2+2$	16	$-4, -16$
-4	$5+5$	5	-8
-4	$13+13$	13	-4

At least some cases of Conjecture 4.3 may be proved by standard methods. For example, for $\lambda = (-3, 1)$, we may apply the argument of the proof of Theorem 2 in Gannon's work [56] to show that if $D > 0$ and M is an irreducible $G^{(\lambda)}$-module that is isomorphic to its dual, then M appears with even multiplicity in $W^{(\lambda)}_{r,\frac{D}{4}}$. Then, after an inspection of the character table of $G^{(\lambda)} = Th$, the $d = 5$ case of Conjecture 4.3, for $\lambda = (-3, 1)$, comes down to a verification that the Fourier coefficient $C^{(\lambda)}_g(D, r)$ (cf. (1.34) and (1.36)) is odd, when $o(g) = 15$ and $D = 5f^2$, with f coprime to 3. That statement follows if we can show that the Fourier coefficients of

$$\breve{F}^{(\lambda)}_g(\tau) + \theta(\tau) + \frac{1}{2}\theta(5\tau) - \frac{1}{2}\theta(45\tau) \tag{4.18}$$

are all even, when $o(g) = 15$ and $\theta(\tau) := \sum_n q^{n^2}$. Similar to the proof of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, this may be verified by multiplying (4.18) by a suitably chosen cusp form, and using Sturm's bound [96] to reduce to a finite check. (These steps, except for the one due to Gannon, are

essentially the same as those that are employed in [27], where the discriminant property of Mathieu moonshine is considered.)

Our purpose in formulating the discriminant properties of penumbral moonshine as a conjecture is to emphasize that what we are really looking for is a constructive proof, that somehow incorporates the arithmetic of the number fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D_1})$. Indeed, we have similar hopes for the statements of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2. Apart from the original vertex operator construction [51–53] of Frenkel, Lepowsky and Meurman (see § 1.1), and the vertex operator constructions that have proven relevant to umbral moonshine (see [2, 13, 39, 44, 45]), the discriminant property of Conjecture 4.3 is perhaps the most powerful hint we have so far, as to the form that a constructive proof of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 might take.

The reader will note that we have divided Table 7 into two parts, the cases of the first part being just those for which

$$D_1 = dD_0 \tag{4.19}$$

is an option. With one fewer free parameters, these cases are perhaps the more compelling. The cases of the second part stray from this, to a lesser or greater extent. For example, there is just a factor of 2 separating $D_1 = 40$ and $dD_0 = 20$, when $\lambda = (-4, 1)$ and $d = 5$. On the other hand, the factor d completely disappears from D_1 when $\ell = 5 + 5$ or $\ell = 13 + 13$. Is there a natural modification of (4.19), that incorporates the level of ℓ?

Note also that all the values of D_1 in Table 7 are discriminants. That is, they are congruent to 0 or 1 modulo 4. For $\lambda = (-3, 1)$, the cases that d is 5, 8 or 13 are further distinguished in that the corresponding discriminants are fundamental (see (1.32)). These cases of the discriminant property were discussed already in [65]. (See Conjectures 4.3 and 4.4 of op. cit.)

4.4 Lattices

Historically, special lattices have played a significant role in moonshine. Examples include the role of the Leech lattice and the E_8 root lattice in monstrous moonshine and Conway moonshine [32, 43], respectively, and the classification of cases of umbral moonshine by Niemeier lattices (cf. (1.6)). Preliminary evidence suggests that lattices also play some role in penumbral moonshine, although the full connection remains to be elucidated. We collect here some relevant facts about lattices and their automorphism groups and indicate some important structures that we expect to be relevant for penumbral moonshine.

Recall that a *lattice* L is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module equipped with a symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot) : L \times L \to \mathbb{R}$. A lattice is *positive-definite* if this form induces a positive-definite inner product on the vector space $L_{\mathbb{R}} = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. A lattice is *integral* if $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in L$, and it is

even if $(\lambda,\lambda)\in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all $\lambda\in L$. The *dual lattice* L^* is defined as

$$L^*=\{\lambda^*\in L_{\mathbb{R}}\mid(\lambda^*,\lambda)\in\mathbb{Z}\text{ for all }\lambda\in L\}. \tag{4.20}$$

If L has rank n then by choosing a basis $\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n$ we can express elements of L as

$$\lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\xi_i\lambda_i,\xi_i\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.21}$$

We then uniquely determine a matrix $A=(A_{ij})$, called the *Gram matrix* of L, by writing the norm of a lattice vector as

$$(\lambda,\lambda)=\sum_{i,j}\xi_iA_{ij}\xi_j. \tag{4.22}$$

The automorphism group of a lattice $\mathrm{Aut}(L)$ is defined as the set of elements $g\in GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $Lg=L$ and $gAg^{\mathrm{t}}=A$. (Here the superscript in g^{t} denotes transposition of matrices.) A lattice L is said to be *k-modular* if there is a matrix $T\in GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$ with $L=L^*T$ and $TAT^{\mathrm{t}}=kA$, and a 1-modular lattice is usually called *unimodular*. We will see below that the lattices $\Lambda^{(\lambda)}$ that we have been able to associate to examples of penumbral moonshine are all m-modular, where m is the level of ℓ, when $\lambda=(D_0,\ell)$.

Special lattices of particular relevance to penumbral moonshine are picked out by the condition of global irreducibility that was developed in [63] following work of Thompson [98]. Global irreducibility is the generalization of a property which we will follow [103] in referring to as Thompson's condition. We define this simpler notion first. Let G be a finite group and L a torsion free $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module of finite rank. We say that the pair (G,L) satisfies *Thompson's condition* if L/pL is irreducible as an $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$-module, for every prime p. We note that for such a pair, if $(\cdot,\cdot)$ is a G-invariant integral symmetric bilinear form defined on L, then the lattice $(L,(\cdot,\cdot))$ is k-modular for some integer k [99], and so we immediately connect back to the k-modularity foreshadowed in the previous paragraph.

Pairs (G,L) obeying Thompson's condition are very rare. The three best known examples are (W_{E_8},Γ_8) with Γ_8 the E_8 root lattice and $W(E_8)$ its associated Weyl group, $(2.Co_1,\Lambda)$ with Λ the Leech lattice and Co_1 the first Conway group [21, 22], and $(\mathbb{Z}_2\times Th,\Lambda_{248})$ with Λ_{248} the rank 248 unimodular Thompson–Smith lattice and Th the sporadic simple Thompson group. In light of Thompson moonshine [65], we label the third of these as $\Lambda^{(-3,1)}:=\Lambda_{248}$ and associate it to the $\lambda=(-3,1)$ case of penumbral moonshine. Note in particular that the unimodularity (i.e. the 1-modularity) of $\Lambda^{(-3,1)}$ matches the index of the weakly skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms which carry Thompson moonshine, or equivalently, the level of the Fricke genus zero lambency $\ell=1$.

To find lattices associated to other cases of penumbral moonshine it is necessary to consider

the more general notion of global irreducibility, as formulated by Gross [62]. To define this, again let G be a finite group, and let V be a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}[G]$-module such that the $\mathbb{R}[G]$-module $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ is irreducible. Write $K = \mathrm{End}_G(V)$ for the algebra consisting of the $\alpha \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V)$ which satisfy $g\alpha = \alpha g$ for all $g \in G$. (In the previous paragraph, we took $V = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, in which case $K = \mathbb{Q}$.) Let R be a maximal order in K and Λ an $R[G]$-lattice in V, i.e. a free $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule of rank $n = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(V)$ inside V which is mapped by R and G to itself. Following op. cit. we say that V is *globally irreducible* if $V_{\mathfrak{p}} := \Lambda/\mathfrak{p}\Lambda$ is an irreducible representation of G over $R/\mathfrak{p}$, for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}$.

Groups and lattices obeying this more general condition of global irreducibility have been widely studied. See e.g. [62, 74, 102, 103]. An example of such a lattice with $K = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-7}]$ is described in [62] and in [48]. The group $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$ (denoted $L_2(7)$ in Table 2) has a 3-dimensional irreducible complex representation ψ defined over K, and $\psi \oplus \bar{\psi}$ is a globally irreducible representation of dimension 6. The associated lattice is a rank 6, 7-modular lattice with automorphism group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$ which arises from the theta polarization of the Klein quartic, the genus 3 Riemann surface with maximal automorphism group $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$. We denote this lattice as $\Lambda^{(-3,7+7)}$ and associate it to penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-3, 7+7)$, which, according to Theorem 4.1, furnishes moonshine for the group $G^{(-3,7+7)} = PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$. We again emphasize that the 7-modularity of $\Lambda^{(-3,7+7)}$ matches nicely onto the fact that the level of the lambency $\ell = 7 + 7$ in question is 7.

A number of globally irreducible pairs of lattices and groups can be constructed using Lie theory [63, 98]. See [74] for detailed constructions. Every complex simple Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$, viewed as a vector space, can be decomposed into a direct sum of Cartan subalgebras

$$\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{h} \mathcal{H}_i \tag{4.23}$$

with h the Coxeter number. The Killing form is non-degenerate on $\mathcal{L}$ and this can be used to equip a $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{L}$ with a symmetric bilinear form. In the case that $\mathcal{L}$ is the Lie algebra of E_8, Thompson and Smith used this idea to construct the Thompson–Smith lattice $\Lambda^{(-3,1)}$ (see [93]). An important ingredient in their construction was the fact that the 248-dimensional representation of Th is globally irreducible. Related constructions for other Lie algebras can be found in [63, 74]. One other example is of particular interest for penumbral moonshine. Taking $\mathcal{L}$ to the 14-dimensional Lie algebra of G_2 leads to a rank 14, 3-modular lattice with automorphism group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times G_2(3)$ (see [109] for a construction), which acts irreducibly via its 14-dimensional representation. We identify this lattice with the lattice $\Lambda^{(-3,3+3)}$ corresponding to the $\lambda = (-3, 3+3)$ case of penumbral moonshine. As we've seen, the group at this lambency takes the form $3.G_2(3)$ (cf. (4.4)).

Taking instead the Lie algebra of F_4 gives rise to a rank 52, 2-modular lattice with automorphism group $2.F_4(2)$ (cf. (4.6) and see [75]). The Tits group ${}^2F_4(2)'$ is a subgroup of the

automorphism group and acts on the lattice via a globally irreducible representation of dimension 26 over $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-2}]$. We associate this lattice with the lattice $\Lambda^{(-4,2+2)}$ of penumbral moonshine, and find that we can take the penumbral group to be the larger group $G^{(-4,2+2)} = {}^2F_4(2)$, which contains ${}^2F_4(2)'$ as its derived subgroup with index 2.

Further information about the lattices we have discussed can be found in the online database [78]. At the time of writing, there are several cases of penumbral moonshine to which we have not been able to attach a corresponding lattice. For example, we don't have a candidate in case $\lambda = (4, -1)$, where the corresponding representation of $G^{(\lambda)}$ is virtual (cf. (1.37)). There is also the curious fact of a 5-modular lattice of rank 8 (called $Q8(1)$ in [78], cf. also Example 6.2 of [102]). Although the rank is right for $\lambda = (-4, 5 + 5)$, according to (4.17) and Table 3, the automorphism group is rather far from the penumbral group $2.S_6.2$ (cf. (4.5)) at this lambdency. Clearly it is desirable to develop a more systematic understanding of the role that modular lattices play in penumbral moonshine.

5 Summary and Outlook

We have presented an overview of penumbral moonshine, including a concrete description of the corresponding McKay–Thompson series for $D_0 = -3$ and $D_0 = -4$. Moreover, we have proven that these distinguished modular forms arise from virtual graded modules. In many ways the structure of penumbral moonshine parallels the structure of umbral moonshine, but in such a way that mock modular forms do not appear. However, many elements of penumbral moonshine need to be better understood.

The most important open problem is the explicit construction of the penumbral moonshine modules that we have discussed. Do CFTs and VOAs also play a role here, or are some other structures required? In the case of Mathieu moonshine, the Conway moonshine module serves as a "fake" moonshine VOA—in the sense that it is close, but not quite right (see [44])—and this leads us to concrete constructions of moonshine modules, at least for some cases of umbral moonshine. Are there analogous "fake" theories for any of the cases of penumbral moonshine?

Also, it would be interesting to see examples beyond those we have given at $D_0 = -3$ and $D_0 = -4$. To what extent does penumbral moonshine occur at other lambdencies? We comment briefly on VOA constructions which yield graded representations of finite groups at lambdencies with $D_0 < -4$ in § A. However, these examples appear to be qualitatively different from penumbral moonshine at $D_0 = -3$ and $D_0 = -4$.

An important yet-to-be-realized development is the determination of the algebraic objects that are meant to be organizing the instances of penumbral moonshine, as the Niemeier lattices do (1.6) for umbral moonshine. We have provided preliminary evidence that modular lattices might be involved, but they do not appear to be involved in all cases.

Finally, we acknowledge the possibility that it might be possible to improve upon some of

the specifications we have made here. The rapid growth in the coefficients of the forms involved (see the tables in § B.4), the existence of theta series ambiguities in these forms (cf. (1.31)), and the absence of an organizing family of algebraic objects (cf. § 4.4), are three factors which make the pursuit of penumbral moonshine especially challenging, and especially fascinating.

A Some Non-Examples

Relationships between modular forms and finite groups are a relatively common occurrence. For example, one expects such a connection any time there is a (suitably nice) vertex operator algebra with a non-trivial group of automorphisms. On the other hand, phenomena which earn the name "moonshine" are special, distinguished by properties which set them apart from the generic situation. For example, as we have stressed in the introduction, § 1, monstrous moonshine is distinguished by its genus zero property, and genus zero groups also play a central role in characterizing the possible occurrences of umbral and penumbral moonshine. Another feature which further privileges umbral and penumbral moonshine is their discriminant property, discussed in § 4.3.

In generalizing penumbral moonshine to lambencies beyond $D_0 = -3$ and $D_0 = -4$, we can expect to come across examples of weakly skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms which are related to finite groups, but which should not be thought of as belonging to the penumbral family. Without attempting to be complete, it is the purpose of this appendix to illustrate this in a few familiar examples, which all occur at $D_0 < -4$.

Monstrous moonshine at $D_0 = -23$

We will start our discussion at the lambdency $\lambda = (-23, 6+6)$. It is possible to show that the vector-valued modular form

$$f(\tau) = (0, J(\tau)\eta(\tau), 0, 0, 0, -J(\tau)\eta(\tau), 0, -J(\tau)\eta(\tau), 0, 0, 0, J(\tau)\eta(\tau)) \tag{A.1}$$

satisfies the conditions (1.28) and (1.29), where $J(\tau) = q^{-1} + 0 + 196884q + \cdots$ is the elliptic modular invariant (1.1), and $\eta(\tau) := q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n>0}(1-q^n)$ is the Dedekind eta function. From the standard connection between J and the monster group $\mathbb{M}$ (see § 1.1), we expect this function to exhibit monstrous moonshine. However, the additional factor of the eta function alters the standard interpretation slightly, as follows. The monster CFT $V^\natural$ contains a Virasoro subalgebra at central charge $c = 24$, and therefore its partition function decomposes into characters $\chi_h^{(c)}(\tau)$ of the Virasoro algebra,

$$J(\tau) = \sum_{h \geq 0} n(h) \chi_h^{(24)}(\tau), \tag{A.2}$$

where $n(h)$ is the number of Virasoro primaries of conformal dimension h, and

$$\chi_h^{(24)}(\tau) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-q)q^{-\frac{23}{24}}}{\eta(\tau)}, & h = 0 \\ \frac{q^{h-\frac{23}{24}}}{\eta(\tau)}, & h > 0. \end{cases} \tag{A.3}$$

The Virasoro primaries at a given conformal dimension transform into one another under the action of the automorphism group, so $n(h)$ will still decompose into dimensions of irreducible representations of the monster. Moreover, from the explicit expressions for the Virasoro characters in (A.3), we see that any of the non-zero components of $f(\tau)$ can (almost) serve as the generating function for the numbers $n(h)$,

$$J(\tau)\eta(\tau) = -q^{\frac{1}{24}} + q^{-\frac{23}{24}} \sum_{h \geq 0} n(h) q^h, \tag{A.4}$$

up to the term $-q^{\frac{1}{24}}$ appearing on the right hand side. Similarly, the functions

$$T_g(\tau)\eta(\tau) = -q^{\frac{1}{24}} + q^{-\frac{23}{24}} \sum_{h \geq 0} \mathrm{tr}(g|VP_h^\natural) q^h, \tag{A.5}$$

with $T_g(\tau)$ the McKay-Thompson series of the moonshine module $V^\natural$, can be embedded into weakly skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms of higher level and thought of as encoding the graded characters of an auxiliary $\mathbb{M}$-module, $VP^\natural = \bigoplus_{h \geq 0} VP_h^\natural$, where $VP_h^\natural$ is the space of Virasoro primaries of conformal dimension h in $V^\natural$.

The additional term $-q^{\frac{1}{24}}$ present in all these functions contributes, what would be seen by someone unaware of the monster CFT, a mild virtuality of the $\mathbb{M}$-module (specifically, a trivial representation would come in with a minus sign at the grading $\frac{1}{24}$). The $\mathbb{M}$-module $VP^\natural$ one attaches to this function has otherwise positive multiplicities when decomposing into $\mathbb{M}$-irreps, and the "true" $\mathbb{M}$-module $V^\natural$ is of course completely positive.

Baby monster CFT at $D_0 = -15$

We can obtain another example in the same spirit by going to $D_0 = -15$ and $m = 4$. We construct the function

$$f(\tau) = \Omega(R_1^{(4,-15,1)}(\tau) - 16\theta_4^0(\tau)) \tag{A.6}$$

where $R_1^{(4,-15,1)}(\tau)$ is the Rademacher sum of equation (3.39), and

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2}(\Omega_4(1) - \Omega_4(2) + \Omega_4(4)) \tag{A.7}$$

is a projector onto an irreducible subrepresentation of the $m = 4$ Weil representation (cf. (3.22), (3.35)). We find that this function takes the shape

$$f(\tau) = (f_0(\tau), f_1(\tau), 0, f_3(\tau), -f_0(\tau), f_3(\tau), 0, f_1(\tau)) \tag{A.8}$$

with the non-trivial components given (up to a Dedekind eta function factor) by the characters $\chi_{V\mathbb{B}^\natural(\alpha)}(\tau)$ of the baby monster CFT [66]

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(\tau) &= \chi_{V\mathbb{B}^\natural(2)}(\tau)\eta(\tau) = 962566q + 10506240q^2 + 410132480q^3 + \cdots \\ f_1(\tau) &= \chi_{V\mathbb{B}^\natural(0)}(\tau)\eta(\tau) = q^{-\frac{15}{16}}(1 - q + 96255q^2 + 9550635q^3 + \cdots) \\ f_3(\tau) &= -\chi_{V\mathbb{B}^\natural(1)}(\tau)\eta(\tau) = -q^{\frac{9}{16}}(4371 + 1139374q + 63532485q^2 + \cdots). \end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

The factor of $\eta(\tau)$ requires an interpretation analogous to the one we provided in the context of the monster, but otherwise, we expect (and of course, find) that this weakly skew-holomorphic Jacobi form is related to the representation theory of $2.\mathbb{B}$, the Schur cover of the inner automorphism group of the baby monster VOA $V\mathbb{B}^\natural$ introduced by Höhn [66, 67].

The baby monster VOA can be described as the commutant of a $c = \frac{1}{2}$ Virasoro subalgebra of the moonshine module. It is possible to construct other commutant subVOAs of $V^\natural$ in a similar manner. One can ask if these more general models have relationships to weakly skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms, like the connection we established for the baby monster VOA. We have found at least two other examples, though we do not provide the details. One occurs at $D_0 = -111$, with $m = 30$, and is related to the Fischer VOA [68], whose modules transform with respect to the triple cover $3.Fi'_{24}$ of its inner automorphism group. The other occurs at $D_0 = -44$, with $m = 12$, and is related to a VOA referred to as $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathbb{Z}_{4\mathrm{A}}}$ in [3], which can be obtained as the charge conjugation orbifold of a lattice VOA attached to a particular 23-dimensional sublattice of the Leech lattice, and whose modules transform under representations of a quadruple cover $4.2^{22}.Co_3$ of its inner automorphism group. See [3] for a further discussion of these models and their characters.

B McKay–Thompson Series

In this section we present data that characterizes the McKay–Thompson series discussed in § 4.2.

In order to present this data we need names for the conjugacy classes of the penumbral groups $G^{(\lambda)}$ arising. We adopt the conventions of [57] for this purpose. Specifically, for each of the lambdencies λ we study (see (4.1–4.2)) such that the corresponding group $G^{(\lambda)}$ is non-cyclic (see Tables 2 and 3), we use the class names, and character tables, that can be accessed in [57] by using the character table names given in Table 8.

For the cases that $G^{(\lambda)}$ is cyclic it suffices to say that nA, nB, &c., denote conjugacy classes

Table 8: Character table names.

D_0	ℓ	$G^{(\lambda)}$	Table name
-3	1	Th	`"Th"`
	$3+3$	$3.G_2(3)$	`"3.G2(3)"`
	$7+7$	$L_2(7)$	`"L3(2)"`
-4	1	$2.F_4(2).2$	`"Isoclinic(2.F4(2).2)"`
	$2+2$	${}^2F_4(2)$	`"2F4(2)'.2"`
	$5+5$	$2.S_6.2$	`"2.M12M4"`
	$10+2, 5, 10$	$\mathbb{Z}_5 : \mathbb{Z}_4$	`"5:4"`

of elements of order n.

The data we present is as follows. We specify the levels and characters of the McKay–Thompson series $F_g^{(\lambda)}$ (cf. (1.36)) for all λ arising (cf. § 4.1) in the tables in § B.1, we specify the theta contributions for the McKay–Thompson series with $D_0 = -3$ in the tables in § B.2, we specify the singular parts of the scalar-valued versions $\breve{F}_g^{(\lambda)}$ of the McKay–Thompson series with $D_0 = -4$ in the tables in § B.3, and specify the first few coefficients of each of the McKay–Thompson series, both for $D_0 = -3$ and $D_0 = -4$, in the tables in § B.4.

In all the tables that follow, the entries in the first rows are (mostly) conjugacy class names. Note that we use $nAB\ldots$ as a shorthand for the union of conjugacy classes nA, nB, ... in these tables.

In the tables in § B.1, the entries of the second rows are characters, given in the form $n|h_v$, except that we simply write n in case $h = v = 1$. In Table 10, for $\lambda = (-3, 3+3)$, we also specify the presence, or not, of a matrix $A := \mathrm{diag}(1, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 1, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$. If this A is present then we take $M_g = A$ in (4.10). Otherwise we take M_g to be the identity. (In particular, M_g may be omitted from (4.10) whenever $\lambda \neq (-3, 3+3)$.)

In the tables in § B.2, the entries of the second rows are theta series, given as sums of symbols of the form $\kappa(k^2)$. Such a symbol is to be interpreted as $\kappa\theta_m(k^2\tau)$, where m is the level of the relevant lambency ℓ. For an example, consider the sum $\frac{1}{2}(9) - \frac{3}{2}(81)$, which is attached to the conjugacy class $27BC$ of $G^{(-3,1)} = Th$ by Table 20. The corresponding theta series is $\frac{1}{2}\theta_1(9\tau) - \frac{3}{2}\theta_1(81\tau)$.

The tables in § B.1 and § B.2 provide all the parameters necessary for the Rademacher sum description (4.10) of the McKay–Thompson series $F_g^{(\lambda)}$ of penumbral moonshine, for $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$ with $D_0 = -3$.

The tables in § B.3 determine the McKay–Thompson series $F_g^{(\lambda)}$ of penumbral moonshine, for $\lambda = (D_0, \ell)$ with $D_0 = -4$, up to theta series, by specifying the singular parts of the scalar-valued functions $\breve{F}_g^{(\lambda)}$ (see (4.14)). More precisely, if m is the level of the lambency ℓ in question, then $\breve{F}_g^{(\lambda)}$ is a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ with trivial multiplier for

$\Gamma_0(4mnh)$, where n and h are as specified in the tables of § B.1. The expansion at infinity takes the form $2q^{-4} + O(1)$ in each case, so we suppress this information from the tables in question. For each non-infinite cusp of $\Gamma_0(4mnh)$ where the function $\breve{F}_g^{(\lambda)}$ does not remain bounded, we specify the corresponding singular part. For example, taking $\lambda = (-4, 1)$ and g in the class $12A$ or $12B$, we see from Table 24 that the expansion of $\breve{F}_g^{(\lambda)}$ in a neighborhood of the cusp of $\Gamma_0(96)$ represented by $\frac{1}{24}$ takes the form $4iq^{-1} + O(1)$, and this function is bounded near all other non-infinite cusps. (We have $h = 2$ for g in $12A$ or $12B$, according to Table 13.) Note that conjugacy classes whose McKay–Thompson series remain bounded near non-infinite cusps are suppressed from the tables of § B.3. Note also that we use ξ as a shorthand for $\mathrm{e}(\frac{1}{64})$ in these tables, and we use ω as a shorthand for $\mathrm{e}(\frac{1}{20})$.

With the singular parts in place, by virtue of § B.3, the coefficients given in the tables in § B.4 are sufficient to remove any ambiguity arising from theta series, in the cases that $D_0 = -4$. We also present coefficients for the cases that $D_0 = -3$ in § B.4.

B.1 Characters

Table 9: Characters of penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-3, 1)$.

$[g]$	1A	2A	3A	3B	3C	4A	4B	5A	6A	6B
$n\|h_v$	1	2	$3\|3_2$	3	$3\|3_1$	4	$4\|2_1$	5	$6\|3_2$	$6\|3_1$
$[g]$	6C	7A	8A	8B	9A	9B	9C	10A	12AB	12C
$n\|h_v$	6	7	$8\|2_1$	$8\|4_3$	9	9	$9\|3_2$	10	$12\|3_2$	12
$[g]$	12D	13A	14A	15AB	18A	18B	19A	20A	21A	24AB
$n\|h_v$	$12\|6_5$	13	14	$15\|3_2$	18	$18\|3_1$	19	$20\|2_1$	$21\|3_2$	$24\|6_5$
$[g]$	24CD	27A	27BC	28A	30AB	31AB	36A	36BC	39AB	
$n\|h_v$	$24\|12_{11}$	$27\|3_2$	$27\|3_2$	28	$30\|3_1$	31	36	36	$39\|3_2$	

Table 10: Characters of penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-3, 3 + 3)$.

$[g]$	1A	3AB	2A	6AB	3CD	3E	3F	3G
$M \cdot n\|h_v$	1	$A \cdot 1$	2	$A \cdot 2$	3	3	$3\|3_2$	3
$[g]$	4AB	12ABCD	6CDF	6E	7A	21AB	8AB	24ABCD
$M \cdot n\|h_v$	4	$A \cdot 4$	6	$6\|3_1$	7	$A \cdot 7$	$8\|2_1$	$A \cdot (8\|2_1)$
$[g]$	9A	9BC	12EH	12FGIJ	13AB	39ABCD		
$M \cdot n\|h_v$	$9\|3_2$	$9\|3_2$	12	12	13	$A \cdot 13$		

Table 11: Characters of penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-3, 7 + 7)$.

$[g]$	1A	2A	3A	4A	7AB
$n\|h_v$	1	2	$3\|3_2$	4	7

Table 12: Characters of penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-3, 13 + 13)$.

$[g]$	1A	3AB
$n\|h_v$	1	$3\|3_2$

Table 13: Characters of penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 1)$.

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	2CD	2EF	2G	3A	6A	3B
$n\|h_v$	1	$2\|2_1$	2	$2\|2_1$	2	$2\|2_1$	3	$6\|2_1$	3

$[g]$	6B	4ABC	4DEF	4G	4HI	4JK	4LM
$n\|h_v$	$6\|2_1$	$4\|2_1$	$4\|4_3$	$4\|2_1$	4	$4\|4_1$	$4\|2_1$

$[g]$	4N	4O	4P	5A	10A	6C	6D	6E	6F	6G	6HI
$n\|h_v$	$4\|4_3$	$4\|4_1$	4	5	$10\|2_1$	6	$6\|2_1$	6	$6\|2_1$	6	$6\|2_1$

$[g]$	6JK	6L	6M	6N	7A	14A	8ABC	8DE
$n\|h_v$	6	$6\|2_1$	6	$6\|2_1$	7	$14\|2_1$	8	$8\|4_3$

$[g]$	8FG	8H	8I	8J	8KL	9A	18A	10B	10CD	10E
$n\|h_v$	$8\|4_1$	8	$8\|2_1$	$8\|4_3$	$8\|2_1$	9	$18\|2_1$	10	$10\|2_1$	10

$[g]$	12ABC	12DEFG	12HI	12JK	12LMN
$n\|h_v$	$12\|2_1$	$12\|4_1$	$12\|2_1$	$12\|4_3$	$12\|2_1$

$[g]$	12OP	13A	26A	14B	14C	15A	30A	16AB	16CD
$n\|h_v$	$12\|4_3$	13	$26\|2_1$	14	$14\|2_1$	15	$30\|2_1$	16	$16\|2_1$

$[g]$	16EF	17A	34A	18B	18C	20ABC	21A	42A	24AB
$n\|h_v$	$16\|4_3$	17	$34\|2_1$	18	$18\|2_1$	$20\|4_3$	21	$42\|2_1$	$24\|4_1$

$[g]$	24CD	28AB	30B	30C	4QR	4S	12Q	8MN
$n\|h_v$	$24\|4_3$	$28\|2_1$	$30\|2_1$	30	$4\|4_3$	$4\|4_1$	$12\|4_1$	$8\|8_3$

$[g]$	8OP	8Q	8RS	8T	8U	20D	12R	16GHI	16J
$n\|h_v$	$8\|4_1$	8	$8\|8_5$	$8\|4_3$	$8\|8_7$	$20\|4_3$	$12\|4_3$	$16\|4_3$	16

$[g]$	16KLMN	20E	24EF	24GHIJ	52AB	32ABCD	32EFGH	40ABCD
$n\|h_v$	$16\|4_3$	$20\|4_3$	$24\|4_3$	$24\|8_7$	$52\|4_3$	32	$32\|4_3$	$40\|8_7$

Table 14: Characters of penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 2+2)$.

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	3A	4A	4B	4C	5A	6A
$n\|h_v$	1	2	$2\|2_1$	3	$4\|4_3$	$4\|2_1$	$4\|4_1$	5	$6\|2_1$

$[g]$	8AB	8C	10A	12A	13A	16AB
$n\|h_v$	$8\|4_1$	$8\|8_1$	10	$12\|4_1$	13	$16\|8_5$

$[g]$	4DE	4F	4G	8D	8E	12BC	16CD	20AB
$n\|h_v$	4	$4\|4_3$	$4\|2_1$	$8\|4_3$	$8\|8_3$	$12\|4_1$	$16\|8_5$	20

Table 15: Characters of penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 5+5)$.

$[g]$	1A	2AB	2CD	3A	6A	4ABCDE	5A	10A	2E	6B	6C
$n\|h_v$	1	$2\|2_1$	2	3	$6\|2_1$	$4\|4_3$	5	$10\|2_1$	$2\|2_1$	6	$6\|2_1$

$[g]$	8ABCD	20AB
$n\|h_v$	$8\|8_7$	$20\|4_3$

Table 16: Characters of penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 10+2, 5, 10)$.

$[g]$	1A	5A	4AB	2A
$n\|h_v$	1	5	4	2

Table 17: Characters of penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 13+13)$.

$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB
$n\|h_v$	1	$2\|2_1$	$4\|4_3$

Table 18: Characters of penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 17+17)$.

$[g]$	1A	2A
$n\|h_v$	1	$2\|2_1$

Table 19: Characters of penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 26+2, 13, 26)$.

$[g]$	1A	2A
$n\|h_v$	1	2

B.2 Theta Corrections

Table 20: Theta corrections for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-3, 1)$.

$[g]$	3A	4A	9A	9B	12AB	12C	21A
$\sum_k \kappa(k^2)$	$18(9)$	$8(4)$	$6(9)$	$-3(9)$	$-1(4) + 3(36)$	$-1(4)$	$-\frac{3}{8}(9)$
$[g]$	27A	27BC	28A	36A	36BC	39AB	
$\sum_k \kappa(k^2)$	$-1(9) + 3(81)$	$\frac{1}{2}(9) - \frac{3}{2}(81)$	(4)	$2(4) - 3(36)$	$-1(4)$	$\frac{9}{7}(9)$	

Table 21: Theta corrections for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-3, 3 + 3)$.

$[g]$	3CD	3E	4AB	12ABCD
$\sum_k \kappa(k^2)$	$12(9)$	$-6(9)$	$4(4)$	$4(4)$
$[g]$	9A	9BC	12EH	12FGIJ
$\sum_k \kappa(k^2)$	$-2(9) + 6(81)$	$(9) - 3(81)$	$4(4) - 6(36)$	$-2(4)$

Table 22: Theta corrections for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-3, 7 + 7)$.

$[g]$	3A	7AB
$\sum_k \kappa(k^2)$	$-\frac{3}{4}(9)$	$-2(49)$

Table 23: Theta corrections for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-3, 13 + 13)$.

$[g]$	3AB
$\sum_k \kappa(k^2)$	$\frac{9}{7}(9)$

B.3 Singular Parts

Table 24: Singular parts for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 1)$.

1A		2A		2B		2C		3AB		6AB		4A		4BC	
0	$-\frac{i-1}{q}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$-\frac{2i}{q^4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{16}{q}$	0	$\frac{8i-8}{q}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{i-1}{q}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{2i}{q^4}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$-\frac{16i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{16i}{q}$
		$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{2\xi^{-8}}{q^4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{16i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{16i}{q}$			$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{2\xi^8}{q^4}$				

5A		10A		6C		6D		6E		6F		6G	
$\frac{1}{5}$	$-\frac{i+1}{q}$	$\frac{1}{20}$	$-\frac{2i}{q^4}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$-\frac{8}{q}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{8i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{4}{q}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$-\frac{4i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$-\frac{2}{q}$
		$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{2\xi^{-8}}{q^4}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{8i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{32}\xi^{-4}}{q}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$-\frac{4i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{\sqrt{8}\xi^{-4}}{q}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{2i}{q}$

6H		7A		14A		9A		18A		10B		10C	
$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{2i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{7}$	$\frac{i-1}{q}$	$\frac{1}{28}$	$\frac{2i}{q^4}$	$\frac{1}{9}$	$-\frac{i+1}{q}$	$\frac{1}{36}$	$-\frac{2i}{q^4}$	$\frac{1}{20}$	$-\frac{4}{q}$	$\frac{1}{20}$	$-\frac{4i}{q}$
$\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{2}\xi^{-4}}{q}$			$\frac{1}{14}$	$-\frac{2\xi^8}{q^4}$			$\frac{1}{18}$	$-\frac{2\xi^{-8}}{q^4}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$-\frac{4i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{\sqrt{8}\xi^4}{q}$

12AB		12C		12HI		12L	
$\frac{1}{24}$	$\frac{4i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{24}$	$-\frac{2i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{24}$	$-\frac{4i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{24}$	$-\frac{6i}{q}$

13A		26A		14B		14C		15A		30A	
$\frac{1}{13}$	$-\frac{i+1}{q}$	$\frac{1}{52}$	$-\frac{2i}{q^4}$	$\frac{1}{28}$	$\frac{2}{q}$	$\frac{1}{28}$	$-\frac{2i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{15}$	$\frac{i-1}{q}$	$\frac{1}{60}$	$\frac{2i}{q^4}$
		$\frac{1}{26}$	$\frac{2\xi^{-8}}{q^4}$	$\frac{1}{14}$	$-\frac{2i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{7}$	$\frac{i\sqrt{2}\xi^{-4}}{q}$			$\frac{1}{30}$	$-\frac{2\xi^8}{q^4}$

17A		34A		18B		18C		21A		42A	
$\frac{1}{17}$	$-\frac{i+1}{q}$	$\frac{1}{68}$	$-\frac{2i}{q^4}$	$\frac{1}{36}$	$-\frac{2}{q}$	$\frac{1}{36}$	$-\frac{2i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{21}$	$-\frac{i+1}{q}$	$\frac{1}{84}$	$-\frac{2i}{q^4}$
		$\frac{1}{34}$	$-\frac{2\xi^{-8}}{q^4}$	$\frac{1}{18}$	$-\frac{2i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{9}$	$\frac{i\sqrt{2}\xi^4}{q}$			$\frac{1}{42}$	$\frac{2\xi^{-8}}{q^4}$

28AB		30B		30C		4Q		12Q		20D		52AB	
$\frac{1}{56}$	$-\frac{2i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{60}$	$-\frac{2i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{60}$	$\frac{2}{q}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{2\xi^{-8}}{q^4}$	$\frac{1}{24}$	$-\frac{2\xi^8}{q^4}$	$\frac{1}{40}$	$-\frac{2\xi^{-8}}{q^4}$	$\frac{1}{104}$	$-\frac{2\xi^{-8}}{q^4}$
		$\frac{1}{15}$	$-\frac{i\sqrt{2}\xi^{-4}}{q}$	$\frac{1}{30}$	$-\frac{2i}{q}$								

Table 25: Singular parts for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 2+2)$.

1A		3A		5A		8AB		8C		13A		16ABCD		20AB	
$\frac{1}{4}$	$-\frac{2}{q}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$-\frac{2}{q}$	$\frac{1}{20}$	$-\frac{2}{q}$	$\frac{1}{16}$	$\frac{2\,\xi^{28}}{q}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{4\,\xi^{23}}{q}$	$\frac{1}{52}$	$-\frac{2}{q}$	$\frac{1}{64}$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}\xi^{20}}{q^4}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{2\,\omega^7}{q}$
$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{2i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{2i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$-\frac{2i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{2\,\xi^{22}}{q}$	$\frac{3}{8}$	$\frac{4\,\xi^{21}}{q}$	$\frac{1}{26}$	$-\frac{2i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{32}$	$\frac{2\,\xi^{46}}{q}$	$\frac{3}{8}$	$\frac{2\,\omega^6}{q}$
						$\frac{3}{16}$	$\frac{2\,\xi^{36}}{q}$	$\frac{5}{8}$	$\frac{4\,\xi^{27}}{q}$			$\frac{3}{32}$	$\frac{2\,\xi^{10}}{q}$		
						$\frac{3}{8}$	$\frac{2\,\xi^{18}}{q}$	$\frac{7}{8}$	$\frac{4\,\xi^{25}}{q}$						
						$\frac{5}{8}$	$\frac{2\,\xi^{30}}{q}$								
						$\frac{7}{8}$	$\frac{2\,\xi^{26}}{q}$								

Table 26: Singular parts for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 5+5)$.

1A		2A		3A		6A		5A		10A	
$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}\xi^{40}}{q}$	$\frac{1}{20}$	$-\frac{2i}{q^4}$	$\frac{1}{15}$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}\xi^{24}}{q}$	$\frac{1}{60}$	$\frac{2i}{q^4}$	$\frac{1}{25}$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}\xi^{40}}{q}$	$\frac{1}{100}$	$-\frac{2i}{q^4}$
		$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{2\,\xi^{56}}{q^4}$			$\frac{1}{30}$	$\frac{2\,\xi^{40}}{q^4}$			$\frac{1}{50}$	$\frac{2\,\xi^{24}}{q^4}$

2D		2E		6B		6C		4D		20AB	
$\frac{1}{20}$	$-\frac{4}{q}$	$\frac{1}{20}$	$-\frac{4i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{60}$	$\frac{2}{q}$	$\frac{1}{60}$	$-\frac{2i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{40}$	$\frac{2\,\xi^{24}}{q^4}$	$\frac{13}{200}$	$\frac{2\,\xi^{56}}{q^4}$
$\frac{1}{10}$	$-\frac{4i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{\sqrt{8}\xi^4}{q}$	$\frac{1}{30}$	$-\frac{2i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{15}$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}\xi^{44}}{q}$				

Table 27: Singular parts for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 10+2, 5, 10)$.

1A		5A		4AB	
$\frac{1}{20}$	$-\frac{2}{q}$	$\frac{1}{100}$	$-\frac{2}{q}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{2\omega^7}{q}$
$\frac{1}{10}$	$-\frac{2i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{50}$	$-\frac{2i}{q}$	$\frac{3}{8}$	$\frac{2\omega^6}{q}$

Table 28: Singular parts for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 13 + 13)$.

1A		4AB		2A	
$\frac{1}{13}$	$-\frac{i+1}{q}$	$\frac{1}{104}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{2}(1-i)}{q^4}$	$\frac{1}{52}$	$-\frac{2i}{q^4}$
				$\frac{1}{26}$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}(1-i)}{q^4}$

Table 29: Singular parts for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 17 + 17)$.

1A		2A	
$\frac{1}{17}$	$-\frac{i+1}{q}$	$\frac{1}{68}$	$-\frac{2i}{q^4}$
		$\frac{1}{34}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{2}(1-i)}{q^4}$

Table 30: Singular parts for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 26 + 2, 13, 26)$.

1A		2A	
$\frac{1}{52}$	$-\frac{2}{q}$	$\frac{1}{104}$	$-\frac{2}{q^4}$
$\frac{1}{26}$	$-\frac{2i}{q}$	$\frac{1}{52}$	$-\frac{2i}{q}$
		$\frac{3}{52}$	$\frac{2}{q}$
		$\frac{1}{13}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{2}\xi^4}{q}$

B.4 Coefficients

Table 31: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-3, 1)$, Part 1.

r	D	1A	2A	3A	3B	3C	4A	4B	5A	6A	6B	6C	7A
0	0	248	−8	14	5	−4	8	.	−2	4	−2	1	3
	4	54000	240	−54	54	.	16	.	.	.	−6	6	2
	8	3414528	−1536	.	−108	108	.	.	28	−12	.	12	12
	12	88660992	7168	336	12	−312	.	.	−8	−8	16	4	.
	16	1417878000	−26640	−702	702	.	16	.	.	.	18	−18	−14
	20	16555069440	86016	.	−1512	1512	.	.	−60	24	.	−24	.
	24	154184576000	−250880	2912	−4	−2920	.	.	.	40	−32	4	.
	28	1208278536192	675840	−5616	5616	.	.	.	192	.	−48	48	28
	32	8251985424384	−1708032	.	−10044	10044	.	.	−116	−60	.	60	−12
	36	50337746998000	4096240	17836	−2	−17840	16	.	.	−80	76	−2	42
	40	279250592947200	−9397248	−30240	30240	.	.	.	−300	.	96	−96	.
	44	1428218123904000	20751360	.	−50760	50760	.	.	.	120	.	−120	−96
	48	6806527762341888	−44326912	82656	36	−82584	.	.	888	152	−160	−4	.
	52	30484812119150592	91951104	−133056	133056	.	.	.	−408	.	−192	192	.
	56	129203628422400000	−185825280	.	−209520	209520	.	.	.	−240	.	240	84
	60	521175528272588800	366833664	326560	−32	−326624	.	.	−1200	−288	288	.	−40
	64	2010450258635670000	−708952080	−500526	500526	.	16	.	.	.	354	−354	170
	68	7446765885087449088	1343913984	.	−759888	759888	.	.	3088	432	.	−432	.
1	−3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	5	−171990	42	.	54	−54	42	−22	10	−6	.	6	.
	9	−8192000	.	−128	16	160	.	.	.	.	.	.	16
	13	−183902292	−84	378	−378	.	−84	108	−42	.	−6	6	.
	17	−2687827968	.	.	864	−864	.	.	32	.	.	.	.
	21	−29466050250	310	−1794	−12	1770	310	−330	.	10	−2	4	−14
	25	−261761531904	.	3456	−3456	.	.	.	96	.	.	.	16
	29	−1976452670250	−810	.	6480	−6480	−810	790	.	.	.	.	−30
	33	−13096231436288	.	−11648	16	11680	.	.	−288	.	.	.	.
	37	−77897942407350	1866	20358	−20358	.	1866	−1782	150	.	6	−6	68
	41	−422964412416000	.	.	34560	−34560	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	45	−2123461679148810	−3850	−57330	18	57366	−3850	3894	440	−10	14	2	.
	49	−9956715872256000	.	93312	−93312	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−64
	53	−43956516990730734	7698	.	149148	−149148	7698	−7854	−1234	−12	.	12	30
	57	−183919810117435392	.	−234624	−48	234528	.	.	608	.	.	.	−112
	61	−733341541502130750	−14910	363636	−363636	.	−14910	14850	.	.	−12	12	.
	65	−2799317972297318400	.	.	556416	−556416	.	.	1600	.	.	.	240
	69	−10269820018890314750	27650	−841232	34	841300	27650	−27390	.	20	−16	2	.

Table 32: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-3, 1)$, Part 2.

r	D	8A	8B	9A	9B	9C	10A	12A	12B	12C	12D	13A	14A	15A	15B	18A	18B	19A	20A	21A	24A	24B	24C	24D
0	0	.	.	5	−4	2	2	2	2	−1	.	1	−1	1	1	1	−2	1	.	.	.	.	.	.
	4	.	.	.	.	.	.	−2	−2	−2	.	−2	2	.	.	.	.	2	.	2	.	.	.	.
	8	.	.	.	.	.	4	.	.	.	.	.	4	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	12	.	.	12	12	−6	8	.	.	.	.	4	.	−2	−2	4	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	16	.	.	.	.	.	.	−2	−2	−2	.	6	2	.	.	.	.	−2	.	−2	.	.	.	.
	20	.	.	.	.	.	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	−3	−3	.	.	4	.	.	.	.	.	.
	24	.	.	−4	−4	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	4	4	.	.	.	.	.	.
	28	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	.	.	.	.	.	.	−2	.	.	.	.
	32	.	.	.	.	.	−12	.	.	.	.	.	−4	4	4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	36	.	.	−2	−20	28	.	4	4	−2	.	−6	−6	.	.	−2	4	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.
	40	.	.	.	.	.	12	.	.	.	.	4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	44	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.
	48	.	.	36	36	−18	8	.	.	.	.	−4	.	6	6	−4	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	52	.	.	.	.	.	24	.	.	.	.	8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	56	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−12	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	60	.	.	−32	−32	−32	−16	.	.	.	.	.	8	6	6	.	.	.	.	−4	.	.	.	.
	64	.	.	.	.	.	.	−2	−2	−2	.	6	10	.	.	.	.	6	.	2	.	.	.	.
	68	.	.	.	.	.	−16	.	.	.	.	12	.	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
1	−3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	5	−6	2	.	.	.	2	.	.	6	2	.	.	1	1	.	.	−2	−2	.	.	.	2	2
	9	.	.	16	−2	−8	.	.	.	.	.	2	.	.	.	.	.	2	.	−2	.	.	.	.
	13	−4	−12	.	.	.	6	−6	−6	6	.	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	−2	.	2	2	.	.
	17	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	6	.	−4	−4	.	.	2	.	.	.	.	.	.
	21	22	−10	−12	−12	6	.	−2	−2	4	−6	.	2	.	.	4	−2	.	.	−2	−2	−2	2	2
	25	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−2	.	.	.	.	.	4	.	−2	.	.	.	.
	29	6	30	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−8	−6	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	33	.	.	16	16	16	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	37	−38	18	.	.	.	6	6	6	−6	.	.	4	.	.	.	.	.	−2	2	−2	−2	.	.
	41	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	45	−26	−50	18	18	−36	.	14	14	2	6	.	.	−4	−4	2	−4	−2	4	.	−2	−2	−2	−2
	49	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	10	.	.	.	.	.	2	.	2	.	.	.	.
	53	66	−22	.	.	.	−2	.	.	12	12	.	−2	2	2	.	.	.	6	.	.	.	−4	−4
	57	.	.	−48	−48	24	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	8	8	.	.	−2	.	2	.	.	.	.
	61	50	90	.	.	.	.	−12	−12	12	.	6	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−4	−4	.	.
	65	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−6	.	4	4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	69	−126	50	34	34	34	.	−16	−16	2	−12	16	.	.	.	2	2	.	.	.	.	.	−4	−4

Table 33: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-3, 1)$, Part 3.

r	D	27A	27B	27C	28A	30A	30B	31A	31B	36A	36B	36C	39A	39B
0	0	2	−1	−1	1	−1	−1	.	.	−1	−1	−1	1	1
	4	.	.	.	2	.	.	−2	−2	4	−2	−2	−2	−2
	8	.	.	.	.	−2	−2	2	2	.	.	.	.	.
	12	.	.	.	.	2	2	.	.	.	.	.	−2	−2
	16	.	.	.	2	.	.	.	.	4	−2	−2	.	.
	20	.	.	.	.	−1	−1	2	2	.	.	.	.	.
	24	−4	−4	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	28	.	.	.	.	.	.	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.
	32	.	.	.	.	.	.	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.
	36	−2	1	1	2	.	.	2	2	−2	−2	−2	.	.
	40	.	.	.	.	.	.	2	2	.	.	.	−2	−2
	44	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	48	.	.	.	.	2	2	.	.	.	.	.	2	2
	52	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−1	−1
	56	.	.	.	.	.	.	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.
	60	4	4	4	.	2	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	64	.	.	.	2	.	.	−2	−2	4	−2	−2	.	.
	68	.	.	.	.	2	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
1	−3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	5	.	.	.	.	−1	−1	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.
	9	−2	1	1	.	.	.	−2	−2	.	.	.	2	2
	13	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	1
	17	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	21	.	.	.	2	.	.	.	.	4	4	4	.	.
	25	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−2	−2
	29	.	.	.	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	33	−2	−2	−2	.	.	.	2	2	.	.	.	.	.
	37	.	.	.	4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	41	.	.	.	.	.	.	2	2	.	.	.	.	.
	45	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	2	2	2	.	.
	49	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−2	−2
	53	.	.	.	−2	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	57	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	61	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	65	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	69	−2	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	2	2	2	−2	−2

Table 34: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-3, 3+3)$, Part 1.

r	D	1A	3A	3B	2A	6A	6B	3C	3D	3E	3F	3G	4A	12A	12B	4B	12C	12D	6C	6D	6E
0	0	28	28	28	−4	−4	−4	10	10	−8	4	−2	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	−4
	12	672	672	672	32	32	32	24	24	24	−12	24	.	.	.	.	.	.	8	8	−4
	24	5824	5824	5824	−64	−64	−64	−8	−8	−8	−8	−8	.	.	.	.	.	.	8	8	8
	36	35672	35672	35672	152	152	152	−4	−4	−40	56	−28	8	8	8	8	8	8	−4	−4	8
	48	165312	165312	165312	−320	−320	−320	72	72	72	−36	72	.	.	.	.	.	.	−8	−8	4
	60	653120	653120	653120	576	576	576	−64	−64	−64	−64	−64	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	72	2276352	2276352	2276352	−1024	−1024	−1024	−72	−72	−72	144	−72	.	.	.	.	.	.	8	8	−16
	84	7238400	7238400	7238400	1792	1792	1792	240	240	240	−120	240	.	.	.	.	.	.	16	16	−8
	96	21327488	21327488	21327488	−2944	−2944	−2944	−136	−136	−136	−136	−136	.	.	.	.	.	.	8	8	8
1	13	−756	378	378	12	−6	−6	.	.	.	.	.	12	−6	−6	12	−6	−6	.	.	.
	25	−6912	3456	3456	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	37	−40716	20358	20358	−12	6	6	.	.	.	.	.	−12	6	6	−12	6	6	.	.	.
	49	−186624	93312	93312	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	61	−727272	363636	363636	24	−12	−12	.	.	.	.	.	24	−12	−12	24	−12	−12	.	.	.
	73	−2515968	1257984	1257984	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	85	−7939620	3969810	3969810	−36	18	18	.	.	.	.	.	−36	18	18	−36	18	18	.	.	.
	97	−23272704	11636352	11636352	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
2	4	108	−54	−54	12	−6	−6	.	.	.	.	.	4	−2	−2	4	−2	−2	.	.	.
	16	1404	−702	−702	−36	18	18	.	.	.	.	.	4	−2	−2	4	−2	−2	.	.	.
	28	11232	−5616	−5616	96	−48	−48	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	40	60480	−30240	−30240	−192	96	96	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	52	266112	−133056	−133056	384	−192	−192	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	64	1001052	−500526	−500526	−708	354	354	.	.	.	.	.	4	−2	−2	4	−2	−2	.	.	.
	76	3380832	−1690416	−1690416	1248	−624	−624	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	88	10452672	−5226336	−5226336	−2112	1056	1056	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	100	30153708	−15076854	−15076854	3468	−1734	−1734	.	.	.	.	.	4	−2	−2	4	−2	−2	.	.	.
3	−3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
	9	−256	−256	−256	.	.	.	32	32	−4	−16	8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	21	−3588	−3588	−3588	−4	−4	−4	−24	−24	−24	12	−24	−4	−4	−4	−4	−4	−4	8	8	−4
	33	−23296	−23296	−23296	.	.	.	32	32	32	32	32	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	45	−114660	−114660	−114660	28	28	28	36	36	36	−72	36	28	28	28	28	28	28	4	4	−8
	57	−469248	−469248	−469248	.	.	.	−96	−96	−96	48	−96	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	69	−1682464	−1682464	−1682464	−32	−32	−32	68	68	68	68	68	−32	−32	−32	−32	−32	−32	4	4	4
	81	−5461504	−5461504	−5461504	.	.	.	128	128	92	−208	104	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	93	−16381404	−16381404	−16381404	36	36	36	−288	−288	−288	144	−288	36	36	36	36	36	36	.	.	.

Table 35: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-3, 3+3)$, Part 2.

r	D	6F	7A	21A	21B	8A	24A	24B	8B	24C	24D	9A	9B	9C	12E	12F	12G	12H	12I	12J	13A	39A	39B	13B	39C	39D
0	0	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	−2	−2	−2	−2	−2	−2	−2	−2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	12	8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−4	−4	−4	−4	−4	−4
	24	8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−8	−8	−8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	36	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−4	2	2	−4	−4	−4	−4	−4	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.
	48	−8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	4	4	4	4	4
	60	.	−8	−8	−8	.	.	.	.	.	.	8	8	8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	72	8	8	8	8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	84	16	8	8	8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	96	8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	8	8	8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
1	13	.	.	.	.	−4	2	2	−4	2	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−2	1	1	−2	1	1
	25	.	4	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	−2	−2	4	−2	−2
	37	.	−4	2	2	4	−2	−2	4	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	49	.	−4	2	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	−2	−2	4	−2	−2
	61	.	.	.	.	8	−4	−4	8	−4	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	73	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	85	.	4	−2	−2	−4	2	2	−4	2	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	97	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
2	4	.	−4	2	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	−2	−2	4	−2	−2	4	−2	−2	4	−2	−2
	16	.	4	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	−2	−2	4	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.
	28	.	4	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	40	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	−2	−2	4	−2	−2
	52	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	2	−1	−1	2	−1	−1
	64	.	−4	2	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	−2	−2	4	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.
	76	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	88	.	−8	4	4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−4	2	2	−4	2	2
	100	.	4	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	−2	−2	4	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.
3	−3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
	9	.	−4	−4	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	−4	2	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	4	4	4	4	4
	21	8	−4	−4	−4	−4	−4	−4	−4	−4	−4	.	.	.	8	8	8	8	8	8	.	.	.	.	.	.
	33	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−4	−4	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	45	4	.	.	.	−4	−4	−4	−4	−4	−4	.	.	.	4	4	4	4	4	4	.	.	.	.	.	.
	57	.	4	4	4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	69	4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−4	−4	−4	4	4	4	4	4	4	−4	−4	−4	−4	−4	−4
	81	.	8	8	8	.	.	.	.	.	.	8	−4	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	4	4	4	4	4
	93	.	−4	−4	−4	4	4	4	4	4	4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Table 36: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-3, 7+7)$.

r	D	1A	2A	3A	4A	7A	7B
0	0	6	−2	.	2	−1	−1
	28	56	8	−4	.	.	.
	56	168	−8	.	.	.	.
	84	560	16	8	.	.	.
	112	1400	−24	−4	.	.	.
	140	3360	32	.	.	.	.
1	29	−30	2	.	2	−2	−2
	57	−112	.	2	.	.	.
	85	−350	2	−2	2	.	.
	113	−912	.	.	.	−2	−2
	141	−2142	2	.	2	.	.
2	4	2	2	2	2	2	2
	32	−12	−4	.	.	2	2
	60	−40	8	−4	.	2	2
	88	−152	−8	4	.	2	2
	116	−336	16	.	.	.	.
	144	−854	−22	−8	2	.	.
3	9	16	.	−2	.	2	2
	37	68	4	2	4	−2	−2
	65	240	.	.	.	2	2
	93	670	−2	−2	−2	−2	−2
	121	1696	.	4	.	2	2
	149	3906	2	.	2	.	.
4	16	−14	2	−2	2	.	.
	44	−96	.	.	.	2	2
	72	−308	4	4	.	.	.
	100	−854	−6	−2	2	.	.
	128	−2100	4	.	.	.	.
5	−3	2	2	2	2	2	2
	25	16	.	−2	.	2	2
	53	30	−2	.	−2	2	2
	81	112	.	4	.	.	.
	109	294	6	−6	6	.	.
	137	672	.	.	.	.	.
6	8	12	4	.	.	−2	−2
	36	42	−6	.	2	.	.
	64	170	10	2	2	2	2
	92	432	−16	.	.	−2	−2
	120	1160	24	−4	.	−2	−2
	148	2592	−32	.	.	2	2
7	21	−28	4	−4	4	.	.
	49	−128	.	4	.	−2	−2
	77	−420	−4	.	−4	.	.
	105	−1120	.	−4	.	.	.
	133	−2716	4	8	4	.	.

Table 37: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-3, 13+13)$.

r	D	1A	3A	3B
0	0	1	1	1
	52	8	−1	−1
	104	12	.	.
	156	32	2	2
1	105	−1	−1	−1
	157	−5	1	1
2	4	−1	−1	−1
	56	−6	.	.
	108	−14	1	1
	160	−30	.	.
3	9	1	1	1
	61	3	.	.
	113	6	.	.
	165	11	−1	−1
4	16	3	.	.
	68	6	.	.
	120	18	.	.
5	25	−1	−1	−1
	77	−6	.	.
	129	−11	1	1
6	36	−3	.	.
	88	−8	1	1
	140	−18	.	.
7	−3	1	1	1
	49	5	−1	−1
	101	9	.	.
	153	22	1	1
8	12	2	−1	−1
	64	3	.	.
	116	12	.	.
	168	18	.	.
9	29	−3	.	.
	81	−8	1	1
	133	−19	−1	−1
10	48	−2	1	1
	100	−3	.	.
	152	−12	.	.
11	17	3	.	.
	69	8	−1	−1
	121	18	.	.
12	40	2	−1	−1
	144	5	2	2
13	13	−2	1	1
	65	−6	.	.
	117	−18	.	.

Table 38: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-3, \ell)$, for the ℓ such that $G^{(\lambda)}$ is trivial.

$\ell = 19 + 19$

r	D	1A
0	0	1
	76	4
	152	4
1	77	−3
	153	−4
2	4	1
	156	2
3	9	1
	85	1
	161	3
4	16	−1
	92	−2
	168	−6
5	25	2
	101	4
	177	7
6	36	−1
	112	−2
	188	−6
7	49	1
	201	1
8	64	3
	140	2
9	5	−1
	81	−2
	157	−5
10	24	2
	100	3
	176	8
11	45	−1
	121	−2
	197	−4
12	144	1
13	17	1
	93	3
	169	5
14	44	−2
	120	−4
	196	−7
15	−3	1
	73	3
	149	4
16	104	−2
	180	−2
17	137	−1
	213	−3
18	20	2
	96	2
	172	6
19	57	−2
	133	−6
	209	−8

$\ell = 21 + 3, 7, 21$

r	D	1A
0	84	4
	168	4
1	85	1
	169	1
2	4	−1
	88	−2
	172	−4
3	9	−1
	93	−1
	177	−3
4	16	1
	100	1
	184	4
5	25	1
	109	3
	193	5
6	120	−2
7	49	−2
	133	−4
8	64	−1
9	−3	1
	81	2
	165	3
10	16	1
	100	1
	184	4
11	37	−1
	121	−2
	205	−4
12	60	−2
	144	−4
13	85	1
	169	1
14	28	2
	112	2
	196	6
15	57	1
16	4	−1
	88	−2
	172	−4
17	37	−1
	121	−2
	205	−4
18	72	2
	156	2
19	25	1
	109	3
	193	5
20	64	−1
21	21	−2
	105	−2
	189	−4

$\ell = 31 + 31$

r	D	1A
0	124	2
	248	2
1	125	1
	249	1
2	4	−1
3	9	−1
	133	−1
4	140	−1
5	149	2
6	36	1
	160	1
7	0	.
8	64	−1
	188	−2
9	81	−1
	205	−2
10	224	1
11	−3	1
	121	1
	245	1
12	20	1
13	169	−1
14	72	−1
	196	−2
15	101	−1
	225	−1
16	8	1
17	41	1
	165	2
18	76	1
19	113	−1
	237	−2
20	28	−1
	152	−1
21	317	−1
22	112	2
	236	1
23	33	1
	157	1
24	328	−1
25	5	−1
	129	−1
26	56	−1
	180	−1
27	233	1
28	40	1
	164	1
29	97	1
	221	1
30	32	−1
31	93	−2
	217	−2

$\ell = 39 + 3, 13, 39$

r	D	1A
0	0	1
	156	2
1	157	−1
2	4	1
3	9	1
	165	−1
4	328	−1
5	25	1
	181	1
6	192	−1
7	49	1
	205	1
8	220	−1
9	81	1
10	256	1
11	277	−1
12	144	2
13	13	−1
14	40	1
	196	1
15	69	−1
16	256	1
17	133	1
18	12	−1
19	49	1
	205	1
20	88	−1
	244	−1
21	129	1
22	328	−1
23	0	.
24	108	1
25	157	−1
26	52	1
	208	1
27	105	−1
28	4	1
29	0	.
30	432	−1
31	25	1
	181	1
32	88	−1
	244	−1
33	−3	1
	153	1
34	220	−1
35	133	1
36	48	1
37	277	−1
38	40	1
	196	1
39	273	−2

Table 39: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 1)$, Part 1.

r	D	1A	2A	2B	2C	2D	2E
0	−4	2	2	2	2	2	2
	0	−492	−492	−236	−236	20	20
	4	285768	285768	−4536	−4536	72	72
	8	36946000	36946000	−40880	−40880	80	80
	12	1637253000	1637253000	−245880	−245880	−120	−120
	16	41113156392	41113156392	−1147608	−1147608	−216	−216
	20	714279354880	714279354880	−4521472	−4521472	512	512
	24	9528573985632	9528573985632	−15776928	−15776928	864	864
	28	103908981471750	103908981471750	−50136570	−50136570	−1530	−1530
	32	965186762176000	965186762176000	−147786240	−147786240	−2560	−2560
	36	7859501322759240	7859501322759240	−409469368	−409469368	4168	4168
	40	57299054446418400	57299054446418400	−1076864544	−1076864544	6624	6624
	44	380015588051867768	380015588051867768	−2707974024	−2707974024	−10120	−10120
	48	2321467996848768000	2321467996848768000	−6549027840	−6549027840	−15360	−15360
	52	13193011320003648000	13193011320003648000	−15302960640	−15302960640	23040	23040
	56	70315558875217757248	70315558875217757248	−34680339392	−34680339392	33856	33856
	60	353819656086751886850	353819656086751886850	−76464243198	−76464243198	−49662	−49662
	64	1690291743695465538600	1690291743695465538600	−164452702680	−164452702680	−71640	−71640
	68	7702768030627895808000	7702768030627895808000	−345780940800	−345780940800	102400	102400
1	5	1131520	−1131520	9216	−9216	−1024	1024
	9	102359040	−102359040	57344	−57344	8192	−8192
	13	3825792000	−3825792000	414720	−414720	−46080	46080
	17	86445056000	−86445056000	1433600	−1433600	204800	−204800
	21	1395199862784	−1395199862784	7022592	−7022592	−780288	780288
	25	17612599689216	−17612599689216	18579456	−18579456	2654208	−2654208
	29	183913692978176	−183913692978176	74382336	−74382336	−8264704	8264704
	33	1649164188672000	−1649164188672000	167731200	−167731200	23961600	−23961600
	37	13040188237440000	−13040188237440000	589317120	−589317120	−65479680	65479680
	41	92721546593255424	−92721546593255424	1191264256	−1191264256	170180608	−170180608
	45	601801663086074880	−601801663086074880	3812244480	−3812244480	−423582720	423582720
	49	3607454891819188224	−3607454891819188224	7106641920	−7106641920	1015234560	−1015234560
	53	20160979755149184000	−20160979755149184000	21180902400	−21180902400	−2353433600	2353433600
	57	105858010020252672000	−105858010020252672000	37068595200	−37068595200	5295513600	−5295513600
	61	525539095157700541440	−525539095157700541440	104406506496	−104406506496	−11600722944	11600722944
	65	2480167304842238771200	−2480167304842238771200	173630177280	−173630177280	24804311040	−24804311040
	69	11177065807482817622016	−11177065807482817622016	466884145152	−466884145152	−51876016128	51876016128

Table 40: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 1)$, Part 2.

r	D	2F	2G	3A	6A	3B	6B	4A	4B	4C	4D	4E
0	−4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	0	20	20	−6	−6	−6	−6	36	−28	−28	−20	−20
	4	72	72	.	.	.	.	−216	168	168	72	72
	8	80	80	280	280	280	280	464	−560	−560	−80	−80
	12	−120	−120	−810	−810	−810	−810	−888	1160	1160	−120	−120
	16	−216	−216	.	.	.	.	2376	−1848	−1848	216	216
	20	512	512	5224	5224	5224	5224	−4608	3584	3584	512	512
	24	864	864	−11664	−11664	−11664	−11664	6240	−8096	−8096	−864	−864
	28	−1530	−1530	.	.	.	.	−10746	13830	13830	−1530	−1530
	32	−2560	−2560	49420	49420	49420	49420	23040	−17920	−17920	2560	2560
	36	4168	4168	−95592	−95592	−95592	−95592	−37080	28840	28840	4168	4168
	40	6624	6624	.	.	.	.	45792	−58656	−58656	−6624	−6624
	44	−10120	−10120	325724	325724	325724	325724	−70792	91000	91000	−10120	−10120
	48	−15360	−15360	−578340	−578340	−578340	−578340	138240	−107520	−107520	15360	15360
	52	23040	23040	.	.	.	.	−207360	161280	161280	23040	23040
	56	33856	33856	1712464	1712464	1712464	1712464	238144	−306624	−306624	−33856	−33856
	60	−49662	−49662	−2867886	−2867886	−2867886	−2867886	−347646	446978	446978	−49662	−49662
	64	−71640	−71640	.	.	.	.	645192	−501816	−501816	71640	71640
	68	102400	102400	7680720	7680720	7680720	7680720	−921600	716800	716800	102400	102400
1	5	1024	−1024	112	−112	112	−112	.	.	.	.	.
	9	−8192	8192	−336	336	−336	336	.	.	.	.	.
	13	46080	−46080	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	17	−204800	204800	2720	−2720	2720	−2720	.	.	.	.	.
	21	780288	−780288	−6480	6480	−6480	6480	.	.	.	.	.
	25	−2654208	2654208	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	29	8264704	−8264704	29456	−29456	29456	−29456	.	.	.	.	.
	33	−23961600	23961600	−58320	58320	−58320	58320	.	.	.	.	.
	37	65479680	−65479680	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	41	−170180608	170180608	208128	−208128	208128	−208128	.	.	.	.	.
	45	423582720	−423582720	−377136	377136	−377136	377136	.	.	.	.	.
	49	−1015234560	1015234560	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	53	2353433600	−2353433600	1150800	−1150800	1150800	−1150800	.	.	.	.	.
	57	−5295513600	5295513600	−1950480	1950480	−1950480	1950480	.	.	.	.	.
	61	11600722944	−11600722944	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	65	−24804311040	24804311040	5342848	−5342848	5342848	−5342848	.	.	.	.	.
	69	51876016128	−51876016128	−8654688	8654688	−8654688	8654688	.	.	.	.	.

Table 41: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 1)$, Part 3.

r	D	4F	4G	4H	4I	4J	4K	4L	4M	4N	4O	4P	5A	10A
0	−4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	0	−20	4	−12	−12	−4	−4	4	4	12	−4	4	8	8
	4	72	−24	8	8	−24	−24	−24	−24	8	−24	40	18	18
	8	−80	−48	80	80	48	48	−48	−48	−80	48	80	.	.
	12	−120	136	−120	−120	136	136	136	136	−120	136	−120	.	.
	16	216	264	−280	−280	−264	−264	264	264	280	−264	−248	−108	−108
	20	512	−512	512	512	−512	−512	−512	−512	512	−512	512	130	130
	24	−864	−928	864	864	928	928	−928	−928	−864	928	864	132	132
	28	−1530	1542	−1530	−1530	1542	1542	1542	1542	−1530	1542	−1530	.	.
	32	2560	2560	−2560	−2560	−2560	−2560	2560	2560	2560	−2560	−2560	.	.
	36	4168	−4120	4104	4104	−4120	−4120	−4120	−4120	4104	−4120	4136	−1010	−1010
	40	−6624	−6432	6624	6624	6432	6432	−6432	−6432	−6624	6432	6624	900	900
	44	−10120	10104	−10120	−10120	10104	10104	10104	10104	−10120	10104	−10120	768	768
	48	15360	15360	−15360	−15360	−15360	−15360	15360	15360	15360	−15360	−15360	.	.
	52	23040	−23040	23040	23040	−23040	−23040	−23040	−23040	23040	−23040	23040	.	.
	56	−33856	−34240	33856	33856	34240	34240	−34240	−34240	−33856	34240	33856	−5252	−5252
	60	−49662	49666	−49662	−49662	49666	49666	49666	49666	−49662	49666	−49662	4350	4350
	64	71640	71688	−71704	−71704	−71688	−71688	71688	71688	71704	−71688	−71672	3600	3600
	68	102400	−102400	102400	102400	−102400	−102400	−102400	−102400	102400	−102400	102400	.	.
1	5	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	20	−20
	9	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	40	−40
	13	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	17	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	21	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−216	216
	25	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	216	−216
	29	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	176	−176
	33	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	37	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	41	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−1576	1576
	45	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1380	−1380
	49	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1224	−1224
	53	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	57	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	61	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−7560	7560
	65	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	6200	−6200
	69	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	5016	−5016

Table 42: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 1)$, Part 4.

r	D	6C	6D	6E	6F	6G	6H	6I	6J	6K	6L	6M	6N	7A	14A	8A	8B	8C
0	−4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	0	−14	−14	10	10	−2	−2	2	2	2	2	2	2	−2	−2	−4	−4	−4
	4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−8	−8	−8
	8	40	40	−8	−8	16	16	8	8	8	8	8	8	.	.	16	16	16
	12	−90	−90	54	54	−18	−18	6	6	6	6	6	6	26	26	8	8	8
	16	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−8	−8	−8
	20	200	200	−88	−88	56	56	8	8	8	8	8	8	−28	−28	.	.	.
	24	−288	−288	144	144	−72	−72	.	.	.	.	.	.	16	16	−32	−32	−32
	28	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−42	−42	6	6	6
	32	540	540	−276	−276	132	132	−4	−4	−4	−4	−4	−4	.	.	.	.	.
	36	−712	−712	344	344	−184	−184	−8	−8	−8	−8	−8	−8	.	.	−8	−8	−8
	40	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	168	168	96	96	96
	44	1212	1212	−612	−612	300	300	−4	−4	−4	−4	−4	−4	.	.	−8	−8	−8
	48	−1620	−1620	828	828	−396	−396	12	12	12	12	12	12	−180	−180	.	.	.
	52	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	84	84	.	.	.
	56	2704	2704	−1328	−1328	688	688	16	16	16	16	16	16	−224	−224	−192	−192	−192
	60	−3438	−3438	1746	1746	−846	−846	18	18	18	18	18	18	.	.	2	2	2
	64	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−8	−8	−8
	68	5520	5520	−2736	−2736	1392	1392	16	16	16	16	16	16	712	712	.	.	.
1	5	−48	48	48	−48	.	.	−16	16	16	−16	16	−16	12	−12	.	.	.
	9	80	−80	−16	16	32	−32	−16	16	16	−16	16	−16	.	.	.	.	.
	13	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−12	12	.	.	.
	17	−160	160	32	−32	−64	64	32	−32	−32	32	−32	32	16	−16	.	.	.
	21	144	−144	−144	144	.	.	48	−48	−48	48	−48	48	−28	28	.	.	.
	25	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	29	−336	336	336	−336	.	.	−112	112	112	−112	112	−112	.	.	.	.	.
	33	720	−720	−144	144	288	−288	−144	144	144	−144	144	−144	112	−112	.	.	.
	37	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	41	−1280	1280	256	−256	−512	512	256	−256	−256	256	−256	256	−128	128	.	.	.
	45	1008	−1008	−1008	1008	.	.	336	−336	−336	336	−336	336	44	−44	.	.	.
	49	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−144	144	.	.	.
	53	−1680	1680	1680	−1680	.	.	−560	560	560	−560	560	−560	.	.	.	.	.
	57	3600	−3600	−720	720	1440	−1440	−720	720	720	−720	720	−720	.	.	.	.	.
	61	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	516	−516	.	.	.
	65	−5760	5760	1152	−1152	−2304	2304	1152	−1152	−1152	1152	−1152	1152	.	.	.	.	.
	69	4320	−4320	−4320	4320	.	.	1440	−1440	−1440	1440	−1440	1440	−504	504	.	.	.

Table 43: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 1)$, Part 5.

r	D	8D	8E	8F	8G	8H	8I	8J	8K	8L	9A	18A	10B	10C	10D	10E	12A	12B	12C	12D
0	−4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	0	4	4	−4	−4	4	4	4	.	.	3	3	4	4	.	.	−6	−6	.	−2
	4	.	.	.	.	8	−8	.	.	.	.	.	−6	−6	2	2	.	.	.	.
	8	.	.	.	.	16	−16	.	−16	−16	10	10	.	.	.	.	8	8	−4	−8
	12	−8	−8	−8	−8	8	8	−8	8	8	.	.	.	.	.	.	6	6	−6	6
	16	−24	−24	24	24	8	8	−24	.	.	.	.	12	12	4	4	.	.	.	.
	20	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−14	−14	18	18	2	2	−24	−24	.	8
	24	.	.	.	.	−32	32	.	32	32	.	.	−28	−28	4	4	.	.	12	.
	28	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	32	64	64	−64	−64	.	.	64	.	.	10	10	.	.	.	.	12	12	.	4
	36	.	.	.	.	8	−8	.	.	.	6	6	22	22	−2	−2	24	24	.	−8
	40	.	.	.	.	96	−96	.	−96	−96	.	.	36	36	4	4	.	.	.	.
	44	8	8	8	8	−8	−8	8	−8	−8	50	50	−64	−64	.	.	−4	−4	20	−4
	48	−128	−128	128	128	.	.	−128	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−36	−36	.	−12
	52	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	56	.	.	.	.	−192	192	.	192	192	−92	−92	28	28	−4	−4	16	16	−32	−16
	60	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	.	.	62	62	−2	−2	18	18	−30	18
	64	264	264	−264	−264	8	8	264	.	.	.	.	−120	−120	.	.	.	.	.	.
	68	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	30	30	.	.	.	.	−48	−48	.	16
1	5	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	−4	−4	4	−4	4	.	.	.	.
	9	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	6	−6	24	−24	−8	8	.	.	.	.
	13	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	17	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	20	−20	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	21	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−8	8	−8	8	.	.	.	.
	25	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−24	24	8	−8	.	.	.	.
	29	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−28	28	16	−16	16	−16	.	.	.	.
	33	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	37	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	41	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	12	−12	−24	24	8	−8	.	.	.	.
	45	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−20	20	−20	20	.	.	.	.
	49	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	120	−120	−40	40	.	.	.	.
	53	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	60	−60	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	57	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	61	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−24	24	−24	24	.	.	.	.
	65	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−128	128	−120	120	40	−40	.	.	.	.
	69	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	72	−72	72	−72	.	.	.	.

Table 44: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 1)$, Part 6.

r	D	12E	12F	12G	12H	12I	12J	12K	12L	12M	12N	12O	12P	13A	26A	14B	14C	15A	30A	16A	16B	16C	16D	16E	16F
0	−4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	0	−2	−2	−2	2	2	2	2	4	−2	−2	2	2	2	2	2	2	−1	−1	4	4	.	.	.	.
	4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	2	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	8	−8	−8	−8	−8	−8	.	.	−12	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	12	6	6	6	−10	−10	−2	−2	−14	−2	−2	−2	−2	6	6	2	2	.	.	8	8	−8	−8	.	.
	16	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−4	−4	.	.	.	.	8	8	.	.	.	.
	20	8	8	8	8	8	−8	−8	16	−8	−8	−8	−8	.	.	−4	−4	4	4	.	.	.	.	.	.
	24	.	.	.	16	16	−8	−8	20	8	8	−8	−8	.	.	8	8	6	6	.	.	.	.	.	.
	28	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	6	6	.	.	6	6	6	6	−10	−10
	32	4	4	4	−4	−4	−4	−4	−8	4	4	−4	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	36	−8	−8	−8	−8	−8	8	8	−16	8	8	8	8	−2	−2	.	.	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.
	40	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−8	−8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	44	−4	−4	−4	28	28	12	12	36	12	12	12	12	.	.	.	.	−6	−6	−8	−8	8	8	.	.
	48	−12	−12	−12	12	12	12	12	24	−12	−12	12	12	12	12	−12	−12	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	52	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	10	10	12	12	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	56	−16	−16	−16	−48	−48	16	16	−64	−16	−16	16	16	4	4	8	8	4	4	.	.	.	.	.	.
	60	18	18	18	−46	−46	−14	−14	−62	−14	−14	−14	−14	.	.	.	.	−6	−6	2	2	2	2	18	18
	64	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	24	24	.	.	.	.	8	8	.	.	.	.
	68	16	16	16	16	16	−16	−16	32	−16	−16	−16	−16	−24	−24	−8	−8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
1	5	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	−4	2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.
	9	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	−4	.	.	4	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.
	13	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	−4	12	−12	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	17	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	21	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−4	4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	25	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	12	−12	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	29	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−8	8	.	.	−4	4	.	.	.	.	.	.
	33	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	37	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	41	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	8	−8	.	.	.	.	.	.
	45	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−12	12	−6	6	.	.	.	.	.	.
	49	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−4	4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	53	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−16	16	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	57	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	61	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	16	−16	12	−12	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	65	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	12	−12	.	.	8	−8	.	.	.	.	.	.
	69	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	40	−40	12	−12	.	.	.	.	.	.

Table 45: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 1)$, Part 7.

r	D	17A	34A	18B	18C	20A	20B	20C	21A	42A	24A	24B	24C	24D	28A	28B	30B	30C	4Q	4R	4S
0	−4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	0	1	1	1	1	.	.	.	1	1	−2	−2	2	2	.	.	1	1	−52	12	−4
	4	−2	−2	.	.	2	2	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	648	8	−24
	8	2	2	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−5200	−80	48
	12	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	2	2	−2	−2	−2	−2	−2	−2	.	.	30600	−120	136
	16	4	4	.	.	−4	−4	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−143208	280	−264
	20	.	.	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	565760	512	−512
	24	.	.	.	.	−4	−4	−4	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	−4	−4	2	2	−1973088	−864	928
	28	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−2	−2	.	.	6265350	−1530	1542
	32	−2	−2	6	6	.	.	.	.	.	4	4	−4	−4	.	.	.	.	−18470400	2560	−2560
	36	−4	−4	2	2	−2	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−2	−2	51188360	4104	−4120
	40	.	.	.	.	−4	−4	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	4	.	.	−134615520	−6624	6432
	44	.	.	−6	−6	.	.	.	.	.	−4	−4	−4	−4	.	.	2	2	338485368	−10120	10104
	48	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	4	−4	−4	.	.	.	.	−818611200	15360	−15360
	52	2	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1912896000	23040	−23040
	56	.	.	4	4	4	4	4	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	4	4	4	4	−4335080512	−33856	34240
	60	−2	−2	.	.	−2	−2	−2	.	.	2	2	2	2	.	.	2	2	9557974530	−49662	49666
	64	8	8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−20556507240	71704	−71688
	68	6	6	6	6	.	.	.	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	43222732800	102400	−102400
1	5	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−2	2	.	.	.
	9	.	.	2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	13	4	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	17	2	−2	8	−8	.	.	.	4	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	21	−4	4	.	.	.	.	.	2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	−4	4	.	.	.
	25	4	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	29	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−4	4	.	.	.
	33	8	−8	.	.	.	.	.	4	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	37	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	41	.	.	−8	8	.	.	.	4	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	45	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−4	4	.	.	.	.	.	.	2	−2	.	.	.
	49	−4	4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	53	−8	8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	57	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	61	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	65	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	69	4	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Table 46: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 1)$, Part 8.

r	D	12Q	8M	8N	8O	8P	8Q	8R	8S	8T	8U	20D	12R	16G	16H	16I	16J	16K	16L	16M	16N	20E	24E
0	−4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	0	2	.	.	−4	−4	4	.	.	4	.	−2	2	−4	−4	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2
	4	.	−8	−8	.	.	8	.	.	.	8	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−2	.
	8	−16	.	.	.	.	16	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	12	−18	.	.	−8	−8	8	−16	−16	−8	.	.	−2	.	.	.	8	.	.	.	.	.	−2
	16	.	.	.	24	24	8	.	.	−24	.	−8	.	8	8	−8	8	−4	−4	−4	−4	.	.
	20	56	32	32	.	.	.	.	.	.	−32	10	−8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	2	.
	24	72	.	.	.	.	−32	.	.	.	.	12	−8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−4	.
	28	.	−10	−10	6	6	6	54	54	6	−10	.	.	−10	−10	−10	6	−10	−10	−10	−10	.	.
	32	−132	.	.	−64	−64	.	.	.	64	.	.	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−4
	36	−184	−72	−72	.	.	8	.	.	.	72	10	8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−6	.
	40	.	.	.	.	.	96	.	.	.	.	−20	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−4	.
	44	300	.	.	8	8	−8	−112	−112	8	.	−32	12	.	.	.	−8	.	.	.	.	.	−4
	48	396	.	.	128	128	.	.	.	−128	.	.	12	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−4
	52	.	160	160	.	.	.	.	.	.	−160	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	56	−688	.	.	.	.	−192	.	.	.	.	−12	16	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	.
	60	−846	18	18	2	2	2	210	210	2	18	30	−14	18	18	18	2	18	18	18	18	−2	2
	64	.	.	.	−264	−264	8	.	.	264	.	60	.	−8	−8	8	8	4	4	4	4	4	.
	68	1392	−320	−320	.	.	.	.	.	.	320	.	−16	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
1	5	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	9	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	13	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	17	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	21	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	25	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	29	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	33	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	37	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	41	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	45	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	49	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	53	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	57	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	61	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	65	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	69	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Table 47: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 1)$, Part 9.

r	D	24F	24G	24H	24I	24J	52A	52B	32A	32B	32C	32D	32E	32F	32G	32H	40A	40B	40C	40D
0	−4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	0	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	2	2	2	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	4	.	.	.	.	.	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	2	2	2	2
	8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	12	−2	2	2	2	2	−2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	16	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	4	4	4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	20	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	2	2	2	2
	24	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	28	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	6	6	6	6	−2	−2	−2	−2	.	.	.	.
	32	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	36	.	.	.	.	.	2	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−2	−2	−2	−2
	40	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	44	−4	−4	−4	−4	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	48	−4	.	.	.	.	−4	−4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	52	.	.	.	.	.	2	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	56	.	.	.	.	.	4	4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	60	2	−6	−6	−6	−6	.	.	2	2	2	2	−6	−6	−6	−6	−2	−2	−2	−2
	64	.	.	.	.	.	4	4	4	4	4	4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	68	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
1	5	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	9	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	13	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	17	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	21	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	25	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	29	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	33	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	37	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	41	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	45	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	49	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	53	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	57	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	61	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	65	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	69	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Table 48: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 2+2)$, Part 1.

r	D	1A	2A	2B	3A	4A	4B	4C	5A	6A	8A	8B	8C	10A	12A	13A	16A	16B
0	0	52	−12	4	−2	4	−4	−4	2	−2	−4	−4	−4	−2	−2	0	−2	−2
	8	5200	80	−48	16	0	−16	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	0	0	0	0
	16	143208	−280	264	0	−24	−8	24	8	0	−24	−24	−8	0	0	0	0	0
	24	1973088	864	−928	−72	0	32	0	−12	8	0	0	−16	4	0	0	0	0
	32	18470400	−2560	2560	132	64	0	−64	0	4	−64	−64	−16	0	4	0	8	8
	40	134615520	6624	−6432	0	0	−96	0	20	0	0	0	−16	4	0	0	0	0
	48	818611200	−15360	15360	−396	−128	0	128	0	−12	−128	−128	−32	0	4	4	0	0
	56	4335080512	33856	−34240	688	0	192	0	12	−16	0	0	−32	−4	0	−4	0	0
	64	20556507240	−71704	71688	0	264	−8	−264	−60	0	−264	−264	−40	−4	0	−4	−12	−12
	72	89018794800	145200	−144464	−1728	0	−368	0	0	16	0	0	−56	0	0	0	0	0
	80	357139394560	−282624	282624	2584	−512	0	512	60	24	−512	−512	−64	−4	−8	0	0	0
	88	1342064959200	534240	−535584	0	0	672	0	0	0	0	0	−80	0	0	4	0	0
	96	4764286006272	−986112	986112	−5796	896	0	−896	72	−36	−896	−896	−96	8	−4	0	16	16
1	9	−8192	0	0	16	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	−2	0	0
	17	−204800	0	0	−32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	25	−2654208	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	33	−23961600	0	0	144	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	41	−170180608	0	0	−256	0	0	0	−8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	49	−1015234560	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	−2	0	0
	57	−5295513600	0	0	720	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	65	−24804311040	0	0	−1152	0	0	0	−40	0	0	0	0	0	0	−2	0	0
	73	−106317619200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	81	−422838640640	0	0	2752	0	0	0	−40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	89	−1577014812672	0	0	−4128	0	0	0	128	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	97	−5561511321600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	−4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	4	648	8	−24	0	0	8	0	−2	0	0	0	4	−2	0	−2	0	0
	12	30600	−120	136	−18	−8	8	−8	0	−2	16	16	8	0	−2	−2	−4	−4
	20	565760	512	−512	56	0	0	0	10	−8	0	0	16	2	0	0	0	0
	28	6265350	−1530	1542	0	6	6	6	0	0	54	54	14	0	0	0	6	6
	36	51188360	4104	−4120	−184	0	8	0	10	8	0	0	20	−6	0	2	0	0
	44	338485368	−10120	10104	300	8	−8	8	−32	12	112	112	24	0	−4	0	−12	−12
	52	1912896000	23040	−23040	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	2	0	0
	60	9557974530	−49662	49666	−846	2	2	2	30	−14	210	210	42	−2	2	0	10	10
	68	43222732800	102400	−102400	1392	0	0	0	0	−16	0	0	48	0	0	0	0	0
	76	179878809240	−203112	203160	0	−24	24	−24	40	0	432	432	56	8	0	0	−12	−12
	84	697599931392	390144	−390144	−3240	0	0	0	−108	24	0	0	96	4	0	0	0	0
	92	2545506517500	−728580	728572	4770	−4	−4	−4	0	34	812	812	84	0	2	−2	20	20
	100	8806302499464	1327112	−1327128	0	0	8	0	114	0	0	0	100	2	0	2	0	0

Table 49: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 2+2)$, Part 2.

r	D	4D	4E	4F	4G	8D	8E	12B	12C	16C	16D	20A	20B
0	0	−4	−4	4	−4	0	0	−2	−2	−2	−2	−4	−4
	8	16	16	0	−16	0	0	0	0	0	0	−4	−4
	16	−8	−8	−24	−8	0	0	0	0	0	0	−8	−8
	24	−32	−32	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	−12	−12
	32	0	0	64	0	0	0	4	4	8	8	−20	−20
	40	96	96	0	−96	0	0	0	0	0	0	−24	−24
	48	0	0	−128	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	−40	−40
	56	−192	−192	0	192	0	0	0	0	0	0	−52	−52
	64	−8	−8	264	−8	0	0	0	0	−12	−12	−68	−68
	72	368	368	0	−368	0	0	0	0	0	0	−92	−92
	80	0	0	−512	0	0	0	−8	−8	0	0	−120	−120
	88	−672	−672	0	672	0	0	0	0	0	0	−152	−152
	96	0	0	896	0	0	0	−4	−4	16	16	−200	−200
1	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	57	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	73	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	81	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	89	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	97	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	−4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	4	−8	−8	0	8	0	−4	0	0	0	0	2	2
	12	8	8	−8	8	0	0	−2	−2	−4	−4	8	8
	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	10
	28	6	6	6	6	−10	−2	0	0	6	6	16	16
	36	−8	−8	0	8	0	12	0	0	0	0	22	22
	44	−8	−8	8	−8	0	0	−4	−4	−12	−12	32	32
	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	40
	60	2	2	2	2	18	−6	2	2	10	10	62	62
	68	0	0	0	0	0	−16	0	0	0	0	80	80
	76	24	24	−24	24	0	0	0	0	−12	−12	104	104
	84	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	140	140
	92	−4	−4	−4	−4	−20	4	2	2	20	20	176	176
	100	−8	−8	0	8	0	28	0	0	0	0	222	222

Table 50: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 5 + 5)$.

r	D	1A	2A	2B	2C	3A	6A	4A	4B	5A	10A	2D	2E	4C	6B	6C	4D	8A	8B	20A	20B	4E	8C	8D
0	0	8	8	.	.	−1	−1	.	.	−2	−2	4	4	.	1	1	−2	.	.	−2	−2	2	.	.
	20	130	130	2	2	4	4	2	2	.	.	18	18	2	.	.	10	2	2	.	.	2	2	2
	40	900	900	4	4	.	.	−4	−4	.	.	36	36	−4	.	.	−20	.	.	.	.	−4	.	.
	60	4350	4350	−2	−2	−6	−6	−2	−2	.	.	62	62	−2	2	2	30	−2	−2	.	.	−2	−2	−2
	80	17020	17020	−4	−4	10	10	4	4	.	.	124	124	4	−2	−2	−60	.	.	.	.	4	.	.
	100	57366	57366	6	6	.	.	6	6	−4	−4	222	222	6	.	.	114	−2	−2	4	4	2	−2	−2
	120	173700	173700	4	4	−18	−18	−4	−4	.	.	356	356	−4	2	2	−180	.	.	.	.	−4	.	.
1	21	−108	108	−4	4	.	.	.	.	2	−2	−4	4	.	2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	41	−788	788	4	−4	4	−4	.	.	2	−2	−12	12	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	61	−3780	3780	−12	12	.	.	.	.	.	.	−12	12	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	81	−14692	14692	20	−20	−4	4	.	.	−2	2	−60	60	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	101	−49120	49120	−32	32	2	−2	.	.	.	.	−32	32	.	−2	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	121	−148248	148248	56	−56	.	.	.	.	2	−2	−168	168	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
2	4	9	9	1	1	.	.	1	1	−1	−1	−3	−3	1	.	.	−1	1	1	−1	−1	−1	1	1
	24	66	66	2	2	3	3	−2	−2	1	1	−14	−14	−2	1	1	6	.	.	1	1	−2	.	.
	44	384	384	.	.	−3	−3	.	.	−1	−1	−32	−32	.	1	1	−16	.	.	−1	−1	.	.	.
	64	1800	1800	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	−60	−60	.	.	.	30	.	.	.	.	2	.	.
	84	6786	6786	2	2	9	9	2	2	1	1	−110	−110	2	1	1	−54	−2	−2	1	1	2	−2	−2
	104	22272	22272	.	.	−12	−12	.	.	−3	−3	−192	−192	.	.	.	96	.	.	1	1	.	.	.
	124	66303	66303	−1	−1	.	.	−1	−1	3	3	−321	−321	−1	.	.	−161	−1	−1	−1	−1	−1	−1	−1
3	9	20	−20	−4	4	2	−2	.	.	.	.	12	−12	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	29	88	−88	8	−8	−2	2	.	.	−2	2	8	−8	.	2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	49	612	−612	−20	20	.	.	.	.	2	−2	60	−60	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	69	2508	−2508	36	−36	6	−6	.	.	−2	2	36	−36	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	89	9280	−9280	−64	64	−8	8	.	.	.	.	192	−192	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	109	29412	−29412	108	−108	.	.	.	.	2	−2	108	−108	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	129	86148	−86148	−180	180	18	−18	.	.	−2	2	540	−540	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
4	−4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	16	−54	−54	2	2	.	.	−2	−2	1	1	6	6	−2	.	.	−4	.	.	1	1	.	.	.
	36	−505	−505	−1	−1	−1	−1	−1	−1	.	.	11	11	−1	−1	−1	5	−1	−1	.	.	−3	−1	−1
	56	−2626	−2626	−2	−2	2	2	2	2	−1	−1	14	14	2	2	2	−6	.	.	−1	−1	2	.	.
	76	−10620	−10620	4	4	.	.	4	4	.	.	36	36	4	.	.	20	.	.	.	.	4	.	.
	96	−36732	−36732	4	4	−3	−3	−4	−4	3	3	68	68	−4	−1	−1	−36	.	.	−1	−1	−4	.	.
	116	−113412	−113412	−4	−4	6	6	−4	−4	3	3	92	92	−4	2	2	44	.	.	−1	−1	−4	.	.
5	5	20	−20	−4	4	2	−2	.	.	.	.	−4	4	.	2	−2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	25	216	−216	8	−8	.	.	.	.	−4	4	−24	24	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	45	1380	−1380	−20	20	−6	6	.	.	.	.	−20	20	.	−2	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	65	6200	−6200	40	−40	8	−8	.	.	.	.	−120	120	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	85	23400	−23400	−72	72	.	.	.	.	.	.	−72	72	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	105	76200	−76200	120	−120	−12	12	.	.	.	.	−360	360	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	125	226300	−226300	−204	204	22	−22	.	.	.	.	−204	204	.	6	−6	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Table 51: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 10+2, 5, 10)$.

r	D	1A	5A	4A	2A	4B
0	0	2	2	−4	−2	−4
	40	20	.	−24	4	−24
	80	60	.	−120	−4	−120
	120	180	.	−404	4	−404
	160	440	.	−1140	−8	−1140
1	41	−4	1	.	.	.
	81	−20	.	.	.	.
	121	−56	−1	.	.	.
	161	−140	.	.	.	.
2	4	−1	−1	1	−1	1
	44	−16	−1	16	.	16
	84	−54	1	70	2	70
	124	−161	−1	223	−1	223
	164	−390	.	630	2	630
3	9	4	−1	.	.	.
	49	20	.	.	.	.
	89	64	−1	.	.	.
	129	180	.	.	.	.
	169	440	.	.	.	.
4	16	4	−1	−4	.	−4
	56	6	1	−26	−2	−26
	96	36	1	−100	4	−100
	136	76	1	−308	−4	−308
5	25	−8	2	.	.	.
	65	−40	.	.	.	.
	105	−120	.	.	.	.
	145	−320	.	.	.	.
6	−4	1	1	1	1	1
	36	5	.	11	−3	11
	76	20	.	52	4	52
	116	44	−1	180	−4	180
	156	135	.	519	7	519
7	9	4	−1	.	.	.
	49	20	.	.	.	.
	89	64	−1	.	.	.
	129	180	.	.	.	.
	169	440	.	.	.	.
8	24	−6	−1	−6	2	−6
	64	−30	.	−34	−2	−34
	104	−96	−1	−128	.	−128
	144	−256	−1	−384	−4	−384
9	41	−4	1	.	.	.
	81	−20	.	.	.	.
	121	−56	−1	.	.	.
	161	−140	.	.	.	.
10	20	10	.	10	2	10
	60	30	.	62	−2	62
	100	114	4	222	2	222
	140	280	.	688	−8	688

Table 52: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 13+13)$.

r	D	1A	4A	2A	4B
0	0	2	.	2	.
	52	10	2	10	2
	104	28	−4	28	−4
	156	66	2	66	2
1	53	−8	.	8	.
	105	−18	.	18	.
	157	−52	.	52	.
2	4	1	−1	1	−1
	56	2	2	2	2
	108	3	−1	3	−1
	160	10	2	10	2
3	9	2	.	−2	.
	61	8	.	−8	.
	113	16	.	−16	.
	165	48	.	−48	.
4	16	−2	.	−2	.
	68	−12	.	−12	.
	120	−36	.	−36	.
	172	−83	1	−83	1
5	25	6	.	−6	.
	77	12	.	−12	.
	129	42	.	−42	.
6	36	−1	1	−1	1
	88	−6	−2	−6	−2
	140	−19	1	−19	1
7	49	−2	.	2	.
	101	−8	.	8	.
	153	−24	.	24	.
8	12	3	−1	3	−1
	64	12	2	12	2
	116	30	−2	30	−2
	168	72	4	72	4
9	29	−4	.	4	.
	81	−16	.	16	.
	133	−44	.	44	.
10	−4	1	1	1	1
	48	6	−2	6	−2
	100	15	1	15	1
	152	34	−2	34	−2
11	17	2	.	−2	.
	121	8	.	−8	.
	173	8	.	−8	.
12	40	−4	.	−4	.
	92	−17	−1	−17	−1
	144	−40	2	−40	2
13	13	4	.	−4	.
	65	12	.	−12	.
	117	36	.	−36	.
	169	80	.	−80	.

Table 53: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 17 + 17)$.

r	D	1A	2A
0	0	1	1
	68	6	6
	136	14	14
1	69	2	−2
2	4	−1	−1
	72	−5	−5
	140	−13	−13
3	77	−2	2
	145	−2	2
4	16	2	2
	84	7	7
	152	14	14
5	25	2	−2
	93	2	−2
	161	10	−10
6	36	−2	−2
	104	−8	−8
	172	−16	−16
7	49	−2	2
	117	−6	6
	185	−14	14
8	−4	1	1
	64	4	4
	132	9	9
9	13	2	−2
	81	4	−4
	149	12	−12
10	32	−1	−1
	100	−5	−5
	168	−12	−12
11	53	−4	4
	121	−8	8
12	8	1	1
	76	3	3
	144	6	6
13	33	4	−4
	101	6	−6
	169	20	−20
14	60	−1	−1
	128	−3	−3
15	21	−2	2
	89	−6	6
	157	−16	16
16	52	1	1
	120	2	2
	188	1	1
17	17	2	−2
	85	8	−8
	153	14	−14

Table 54: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, 26 + 2, 13, 26)$.

r	D	1A	2A
0	104	4	4
	208	4	4
1	105	1	−1
	209	2	−2
2	4	−1	−1
	108	−1	−1
3	9	−1	1
	113	−2	2
4	224	2	2
5	25	1	−1
	129	3	−3
6	36	1	1
	140	1	1
7	49	−1	1
	153	−2	2
8	64	−2	−2
	168	−4	−4
9	185	−1	1
10	−4	1	1
	100	1	1
11	17	1	−1
	121	2	−2
12	144	−2	−2
13	65	−2	2
	169	−4	4
14	92	−1	−1
	196	−1	−1
15	17	1	−1
	121	2	−2
16	48	2	2
	152	2	2
17	185	−1	1
18	12	−1	−1
	116	−2	−2
19	49	−1	1
	153	−2	2
20	88	2	2
	192	2	2
21	25	1	−1
	129	3	−3
22	172	1	1
23	9	−1	1
	113	−2	2
24	56	−2	−2
	160	−2	−2
25	105	1	−1
	209	2	−2
26	52	2	2
	156	2	2

Table 55: McKay–Thompson series for penumbral moonshine at $\lambda = (-4, \ell)$, for the ℓ such that $G^{(\lambda)}$ is trivial.

$\ell = 29 + 29$

r	D	1A
0	0	1
	116	2
1	117	−2
2	4	1
	120	1
3	9	1
	125	−1
4	132	−1
5	25	1
	141	3
6	36	−1
	152	−2
7	49	2
	165	1
8	180	−1
9	313	−1
10	100	2
	216	3
11	5	−1
	121	−1
12	28	1
	144	3
13	53	−1
	169	−1
14	80	1
	196	1
15	109	1
16	24	−1
	140	−1
17	57	2
	173	2
18	92	−2
	208	−3
19	13	1
	129	1
20	284	−1
21	93	−1
22	20	1
	136	2
23	65	−1
	181	−3
24	−4	1
	112	2
25	161	−2
26	96	1
27	33	1
	149	1
28	88	−1
	204	−3
29	29	2
	145	2

$\ell = 34 + 2, 17, 34$

r	D	1A
0	0	1
	136	2
1	137	−1
2	4	1
	140	−1
3	9	1
	145	1
4	152	−2
5	25	1
	161	2
6	308	−1
7	185	−1
8	64	2
	200	1
9	81	−1
	217	−2
10	100	1
11	121	1
12	8	−1
13	33	1
	169	2
14	60	−1
15	0	.
16	120	2
17	17	−1
	153	−1
18	52	1
	188	1
19	0	.
20	128	−1
21	33	1
	169	2
22	76	−1
	212	−2
23	121	1
24	32	1
25	81	−1
	217	−2
26	−4	1
	132	1
27	185	−1
28	376	−2
29	25	1
	161	2
30	84	−1
31	9	1
	145	1
32	72	1
33	137	−1
34	68	2
	204	2

$\ell = 41 + 41$

r	D	1A
0	164	2
1	329	1
2	4	−1
	168	−1
3	9	−1
4	180	1
5	189	2
6	200	−1
7	49	−1
	213	−1
8	0	.
9	81	1
10	264	1
11	121	−1
12	144	−1
13	5	1
14	32	1
	196	1
15	225	−1
16	92	−1
17	453	−1
18	−4	1
	160	1
19	33	1
20	236	−2
21	113	−1
22	156	1
23	37	1
	201	1
24	0	.
25	133	−1
26	348	−1
27	73	1
	237	1
28	128	1
29	21	−1
30	80	−1
31	141	1
32	40	1
	204	1
33	0	.
34	8	−1
	172	−1
35	77	−1
36	148	1
37	57	1
38	132	−1
39	45	−1
	209	−1
40	452	1
41	205	2

References

[1] V. Anagiannis and M. Cheng, *TASI Lectures on Moonshine*, PoS **TASI2017** (2018), 010.

[2] V. Anagiannis, M. Cheng, and S. Harrison, *$K3$ elliptic genus and an umbral moonshine module*, Comm. Math. Phys. **366** (2019), no. 2, 647–680. MR 3922534

[3] J.-B. Bae, J. Harvey, K. Lee, S. Lee, and B. Rayhaun, *Conformal field theories with sporadic group symmetry*, Comm. Math. Phys. **388** (2021), no. 1, 1–105.

[4] R. Borcherds, *Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A. **83** (1986), no. 10, 3068–3071.

[5] ———, *Monstrous moonshine and monstrous Lie superalgebras*, Invent. Math. **109** (1992), no. 2, 405–444. MR 1172696

[6] ———, *Automorphic forms on $\mathrm{O}_{s+2,2}(\mathbf{R})$ and infinite products*, Invent. Math. **120** (1995), no. 1, 161–213. MR 1323986

[7] K. Bringmann, A. Folsom, K. Ono, and L. Rolen, *Harmonic Maass forms and mock modular forms: theory and applications*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 64, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017. MR 3729259

[8] S. Carnahan. Generalized Moonshine IV: Monstrous Lie algebras. arXiv:1208.6254.

[9] M. Cheng, *$K3$ surfaces, $N = 4$ dyons and the Mathieu group M_{24}*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. **4** (2010), no. 4, 623–657. MR 2793423 (2012e:11076)

[10] M. Cheng and J. Duncan, *The largest Mathieu group and (mock) automorphic forms*, String-Math 2011, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 85, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012, pp. 53–82. MR 2985326

[11] ———, *On Rademacher sums, the largest Mathieu group and the holographic modularity of moonshine*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. **6** (2012), no. 3, 697–758. MR 3021323

[12] ———, *Rademacher sums and Rademacher series*, Conformal field theory, automorphic forms and related topics, Contrib. Math. Comput. Sci., vol. 8, Springer, Heidelberg, 2014, pp. 143–182. MR 3559204

[13] ———, *Meromorphic Jacobi Forms of Half-Integral Index and Umbral Moonshine Modules*, Comm. Math. Phys. **370** (2019), no. 3, 759–780. MR 3995918

[14] ———, *Optimal mock Jacobi theta functions*, Adv. Math. **372** (2020), 107284. MR 4127159

[15] M. Cheng, J. Duncan, S. Harrison, J. Harvey, S. Kachru, and B. Rayhaun, *Attractive strings and five-branes, skew-holomorphic Jacobi forms and moonshine*, J. High Energy Phys. (2018), no. 7, 130, front matter + 28. MR 3858593

[16] M. Cheng, J. Duncan, and J. Harvey, *Umbral Moonshine*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. **8** (2014), no. 2, 101–242. MR 3271175

[17] ———, *Umbral Moonshine and the Niemeier Lattices*, Res. Math. Sci. **1** (2014), no. 3, 1–81.

[18] ———, *Weight one Jacobi forms and umbral moonshine*, J. Phys. A **51** (2018), no. 10, 104002, 37. MR 3766220

[19] M. Cheng and S. Harrison, *Umbral moonshine and K3 surfaces*, Comm. Math. Phys. **339** (2015), no. 1, 221–261. MR 3366057

[20] M. Cheng, S. Harrison, R. Volpato, and M. Zimet, *K3 string theory, lattices and moonshine*, Res. Math. Sci. **5** (2018), no. 3, Paper No. 32, 45. MR 3832169

[21] J. Conway, *A perfect group of order* $8,315,553,613,086,720,000$ *and the sporadic simple groups*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **61** (1968), 398–400. MR 0237634 (38 #5915)

[22] ———, *A group of order* $8,315,553,613,086,720,000$, Bull. London Math. Soc. **1** (1969), 79–88. MR 0248216 (40 #1470)

[23] ———, *Three lectures on exceptional groups*, Finite simple groups (Proc. Instructional Conf., Oxford, 1969), 1971, pp. 215–247. MR 0338152

[24] J. Conway, R. Curtis, S. Norton, R. Parker, and R. Wilson, *Atlas of finite groups. Maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups. With comput. assist. from J. G. Thackray.*, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1985.

[25] J. Conway and S. Norton, *Monstrous moonshine*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **11** (1979), no. 3, 308–339. MR 554399 (81j:20028)

[26] J. Conway and N. Sloane, *Sphere packings, lattices and groups*, third ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 290, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999, With additional contributions by E. Bannai, R. E. Borcherds, J. Leech, S. P. Norton, A. M. Odlyzko, R. A. Parker, L. Queen and B. B. Venkov. MR 1662447 (2000b:11077)

[27] T. Creutzig, G. Höhn, and T. Miezaki, *The McKay-Thompson series of Mathieu moonshine modulo two*, Ramanujan J. **34** (2014), no. 3, 319–328. MR 3231314

[28] A. Dabholkar, S. Murthy, and D. Zagier, *Quantum Black Holes, Wall Crossing, and Mock Modular Forms*, arXiv:1208.4074.

[29] L. Dixon, P. Ginsparg, and J. Harvey, *Beauty and the beast: superconformal symmetry in a Monster module*, Comm. Math. Phys. **119** (1988), no. 2, 221–241. MR 968697 (90b:81119)

[30] C. Dong, H. Li, and G. Mason, *Modular invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory and generalized Moonshine*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **214** (2000), 1–56.

[31] C. Dong, X. Lin, and S.-H. Ng, *Congruence property in conformal field theory*, Algebra Number Theory **9** (2015), no. 9, 2121–2166. MR 3435813

[32] J. Duncan, *Super-Moonshine for Conway's largest sporadic group*, Duke Math. J. **139** (2007), no. 2, 255–315.

[33] ———, *Arithmetic groups and the affine* E_8 *Dynkin diagram*, Groups and symmetries, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, vol. 47, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 135–163. MR 2500559 (2010g:11070)

[34] ———, *A short introduction to the algebra, geometry, number theory and physics of moonshine*, pp. 1–85, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2020.

[35] ———, *From the Monster to Thompson to O'Nan*, Vertex operator algebras, number theory and related topics, Contemp. Math., vol. 753, Amer. Math. Soc., [Providence], RI, [2020] ©2020, pp. 73–93. MR 4139238

[36] J. Duncan and I. Frenkel, *Rademacher sums, moonshine and gravity*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. **5** (2011), no. 4, 1–128.

[37] J. Duncan, M. Griffin, and K. Ono, *Moonshine*, Res. Math. Sci. **2** (2015), Art. 11, 57. MR 3375653

[38] ———, *Proof of the umbral moonshine conjecture*, Res. Math. Sci. **2** (2015), Art. 26, 47. MR 3433373

[39] J. Duncan and J. Harvey, *The umbral moonshine module for the unique unimodular Niemeier root system*, Algebra Number Theory **11** (2017), no. 3, 505–535. MR 3649360

[40] J. Duncan, J. Harvey and B. Rayhaun, *Modular Products and Modules for Finite Groups*, arXiv:2202.08271.

[41] ———, *Two New Avatars of Moonshine for the Thompson Group*, arXiv:2202.08277.

[42] ———, *Skew-Holomorphic Jacobi Forms and Genus Zero Groups*, in preparation.

[43] J. Duncan and S. Mack-Crane, *The moonshine module for Conway's group*, Forum Math. Sigma **3** (2015), e10, 52. MR 3376736

[44] ———, *Derived equivalences of K3 surfaces and twined elliptic genera*, Res. Math. Sci. **3** (2016), Art. 1, 47. MR 3465528

[45] J. Duncan and A. O'Desky, *Super vertex algebras, meromorphic Jacobi forms and umbral moonshine*, J. Algebra **515** (2018), 389–407. MR 3859972

[46] T. Eguchi, H. Ooguri, and Y. Tachikawa, *Notes on the K3 Surface and the Mathieu group M_{24}*, Exp. Math. **20** (2011), 91–96.

[47] M. Eichler and D. Zagier, *The theory of Jacobi forms*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 55, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1985. MR 781735

[48] N. Elkies, *The Klein quartic in number theory*, The eightfold way, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 35, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999, pp. 51–101. MR 1722413

[49] A. Feingold and I. Frenkel, *A hyperbolic Kac-Moody algebra and the theory of Siegel modular forms of genus 2*, J. Math. Ann. **263** (1983), 87–144.

[50] C. Ferenbaugh, *The genus-zero problem for $n|h$-type groups*, Duke Math. J. **72** (1993), no. 1, 31–63. MR MR1242878 (94i:20030)

[51] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, and A. Meurman, *A natural representation of the Fischer-Griess Monster with the modular function J as character*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **81** (1984), no. 10, Phys. Sci., 3256–3260. MR MR747596 (85e:20018)

[52] ———, *A moonshine module for the Monster*, Vertex operators in mathematics and physics (Berkeley, Calif., 1983), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 3, Springer, New York, 1985, pp. 231–273. MR 86m:20024

[53] ———, *Vertex operator algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 134, Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1988. MR 90h:17026

[54] I. Frenkel and R. Penner, *Sketch of a program for universal automorphic functions to capture monstrous moonshine*, arXiv:2012.14220.

[55] M. Gaberdiel, S. Hohenegger, and R. Volpato, *Mathieu twining characters for K3*, JHEP **1009** (2010), 058, 19 pages.

[56] T. Gannon, *Much ado about Mathieu*, Adv. Math. **301** (2016), 322–358. MR 3539377

[57] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.8.7*, 2017.

[58] G. Glauberman, *On the Suzuki groups and the outer automorphisms of S_6*, Groups, difference sets, and the Monster (Columbus, OH, 1993), Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 4, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, pp. 55–72. MR 1400408

[59] R. Griess, Jr., *The structure of the "monster" simple group*, Proceedings of the Conference on Finite Groups (Univ. Utah, Park City, Utah, 1975), Academic Press, New York, 1976, pp. 113–118. MR 0399248 (53 #3099)

[60] ———, *The friendly giant*, Invent. Math. **69** (1982), no. 1, 1–102. MR 671653 (84m:20024)

[61] M. Griffin and M. Mertens, *A proof of the Thompson moonshine conjecture*, Res. Math. Sci. **3** (2016), 3:36. MR 3582425

[62] B. Gross, *Group representations and lattices*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), no. 4, 929–960. MR 1071117

[63] ———, *Groups over* $\mathbf{Z}$, Invent. Math. **124** (1996), no. 1-3, 263–279. MR 1369418

[64] B. Gross, W. Kohnen, and D. Zagier, *Heegner points and derivatives of L-series. II.*, Math. Ann. **278** (1987), no. 1–4, 497–562.

[65] J. Harvey and B. Rayhaun, *Traces of singular moduli and moonshine for the Thompson group*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. **10** (2016), no. 1, 23–62. MR 3521908

[66] G. Höhn, *Selbstduale vertexoperatorsuperalgebren und das babymonster (self-dual vertex operator super algebras and the baby monster)*, Bonner Mathematische Schriften, Vol. **286** (2007), 1–85, Bonn, 1996.

[67] ———, *The group of symmetries of the shorter Moonshine module*, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg. **80** (2010), no. 2, 275–283. MR 2734692 (2012a:17052)

[68] G. Höhn, C.-H. Lam, and H. Yamauchi, *McKay's E_6 observation on the largest Fischer group*, Comm. Math. Phys. **310** (2012), no. 2, 329–365. MR 2890302

[69] Y.-Z. Huang, *Vertex operator algebras and conformal field theory*, Internat. J. Modern Phys. A **7** (1992), no. 10, 2109–2151. MR 1160677

[70] S. Kachru, *Elementary introduction to Moonshine*, arXiv:1605.00697.

[71] M. Khaqan, *Elliptic curves and Thompson's sporadic simple group*, J. Number Theory **224** (2021), 274–306. MR 4230542

[72] W. Kohnen, *Modular forms of half-integral weight on* $\Gamma_0(4)$. Math. Ann., 248(3):249–266, 1980.

[73] ———, *Newforms of half-integral weight.* J. Reine Angew. Math., 333:32–72, 1982.

[74] A. Kostrikin and P. Tiep, *Orthogonal decompositions and integral lattices*, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, vol. 15, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1994. MR 1308713

[75] W. Lempken, B. Schröder, and P. Tiep, *Symmetric squares, spherical designs, and lattice minima*, J. Algebra **240** (2001), no. 1, 185–208, With an appendix by Christine Bachoc and Tiep. MR 1830550

[76] W. McGraw, *The rationality of vector valued modular forms associated with the Weil representation*, Math. Ann. **326** (2003), no. 1, 105–122. MR 1981614

[77] M. Miyamoto, *Modular invariance of vertex operator algebras satisfying C_2-cofiniteness*, Duke Math. J. **122** (2004), no. 1, 51–91. MR 2046807 (2005f:17028)

[78] G. Nebe and N. Sloane, *A Catalgoue of Lattices*, online at http://www.math.rwth-aachen.de/~ Gabriele.Nebe/LATTICES/.

[79] H.-V. Niemeier, *Definite quadratische Formen der Dimension* 24 *und Diskriminante* 1, J. Number Theory **5** (1973), 142–178. MR 0316384 (47 #4931)

[80] S. Norton, Generalized Moonshine. *Proc. Symp. Pure Math*, 47:208–209, 1987.

[81] S. Norton and R. Wilson, *The maximal subgroups of $F_4(2)$ and its automorphism group*, Comm. Algebra **17** (1989), no. 11, 2809–2824. MR 1025610

[82] K. Ono, *Unearthing the visions of a master: harmonic Maass forms and number theory*, Current developments in mathematics, 2008, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2009, pp. 347–454. MR 2555930

[83] N. Paquette, D. Persson, and R. Volpato, *Monstrous BPS-algebras and the superstring origin of moonshine*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. **10** (2016), no. 3, 433–526. MR 3573665

[84] ———, *BPS algebras, genus zero and the heterotic Monster*, J. Phys. A **50** (2017), no. 41, 414001, 17. MR 3708102

[85] J.-P. Serre and H. Stark, *Modular forms of weight* 1/2, Modular functions of one variable, VI (Proc. Second Internat. Conf., Univ. Bonn, Bonn, 1976), Springer, Berlin, 1977, pp. 27–67. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 627. MR 0472707 (57 #12400)

[86] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan, No. 11. Iwanami Shoten, Publishers, Tokyo, 1971, Kanô Memorial Lectures, No. 1. MR MR0314766 (47 #3318)

[87] N.-P. Skoruppa, *Über den Zusammenhang zwischen Jacobiformen und Modulformen halbganzen Gewichts*, Bonner Mathematische Schriften [Bonn Mathematical Publications], 159, Universität Bonn Mathematisches Institut, Bonn, 1985, Dissertation, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität, Bonn, 1984. MR 806354 (87a:11045)

[88] ———, *Developments in the theory of Jacobi forms*, Automorphic functions and their applications (Khabarovsk, 1988), Acad. Sci. USSR, Inst. Appl. Math., Khabarovsk, 1990, pp. 167–185. MR 1096975 (92e:11043)

[89] ———, *Explicit formulas for the Fourier coefficients of Jacobi and elliptic modular forms*, Invent. Math. **102** (1990), no. 3, 501–520. MR 1074485 (91j:11029)

[90] ———, *Heegner cycles, modular forms and Jacobi forms*, Sém. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux (2) **3** (1991), no. 1, 93–116. MR 1116103 (92g:11044)

[91] ———, *Jacobi forms of critical weight and Weil representations*, Modular forms on Schiermonnikoog, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2008, pp. 239–266. MR 2512363 (2011a:11093)

[92] N.-P. Skoruppa and D. Zagier, *Jacobi forms and a certain space of modular forms*, Invent. Math. **94** (1988), no. 1, 113–146. MR 958592 (89k:11029)

[93] P. Smith, *A simple subgroup of M? and $E_8(3)$*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **8** (1976), no. 2, 161–165. MR 0409630

[94] S. Smith, *On the head characters of the Monster simple group*, Finite groups—coming of age (Montreal, Que., 1982), Contemp. Math., vol. 45, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1985, pp. 303–313. MR 822245 (87h:20037)

[95] F. Strömberg, *Weil representations associated with finite quadratic modules*, Math. Z. **275** (2013), no. 1-2, 509–527. MR 3101818

[96] J. Sturm, *On the congruence of modular forms*, Number theory (New York, 1984–1985), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1240, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 275–280. MR 894516 (88h:11031)

[97] The PARI Group, Univ. Bordeaux, *PARI/GP version `2.11.2`*, 2019, available from `http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/`.

[98] J. Thompson, *A conjugacy theorem for E_8*, J. Algebra **38** (1976), no. 2, 525–530. MR 0399193

[99] ———, *Finite groups and even lattices*, J. Algebra **38** (1976), no. 2, 523–524. MR 399257

[100] ———, *Finite groups and modular functions*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **11** (1979), no. 3, 347–351. MR MR554401 (81j:20029)

[101] ———, *Some numerology between the Fischer-Griess Monster and the elliptic modular function*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **11** (1979), no. 3, 352–353. MR MR554402 (81j:20030)

[102] P. Tiep, *Globally irreducible representations of finite groups and integral lattices*, Geom. Dedicata **64** (1997), no. 1, 85–123. MR 1432536

[103] ———, *Globally irreducible lattices*, Integral quadratic forms and lattices (Seoul, 1998), Contemp. Math., vol. 249, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 97–111. MR 1732353

[104] J. Tits, *Algebraic and abstract simple groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **80** (1964), 313–329. MR 164968

[105] M. Tuite, *Monstrous Moonshine from orbifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. **146** (1992), no. 2, 277–309. MR 1165184

[106] ———, *On the relationship between Monstrous Moonshine and the uniqueness of the Moonshine module*, Comm. Math. Phys. **166** (1995), no. 3, 495–532. MR 1312433

[107] B. Venkov, *On the classification of integral even unimodular 24-dimensional quadratic forms*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. **148** (1978), 65–76, 273, Algebra, number theory and their applications. MR 558941 (81d:10024)

[108] D. Whalen, *Vector-Valued Rademacher Sums and Automorphic Integrals*, arXiv:1406.0571.

[109] R. Wilson, *On a 14-dimensional lattice invariant under the simple group $G_2(3)$*, J. Group Theory **15** (2012), no. 6, 709–716. MR 2997018

[110] ———, *On the simple groups of Suzuki and Ree*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **107** (2013), no. 3, 680–712. MR 3100781

[111] D. Zagier, *Traces of singular moduli*, Motives, polylogarithms and Hodge theory, Part I (Irvine, CA, 1998), Int. Press Lect. Ser., vol. 3, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2002, pp. 211–244. MR 1977587 (2004h:11037)

[112] ———, *Ramanujan's mock theta functions and their applications (after Zwegers and Ono-Bringmann)*, Astérisque (2009), no. 326, Exp. No. 986, vii–viii, 143–164 (2010), Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 2007/2008. MR 2605321

[113] Y. Zhu, *Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society **9** (1996), no. 1, 237–302.