

# A Sylvester-type matrix equation over the Hamilton quaternions with an application<sup>1</sup>

Long-Sheng Liu<sup>a</sup>, Qing-Wen Wang<sup>a,b\*</sup>, Mahmoud Saad Mehany<sup>a,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup> Collaborative Innovation Center for the Marine Artificial Intelligence, Shanghai 200444, P. R. China

<sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematics, Ain Shams University, Cairo, 11566, A.R. Egypt

**Abstract:** We derive the solvability conditions and a formula of a general solution to a Sylvester-type matrix equation over Hamilton quaternions. As an application, we investigate the necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability of the quaternion matrix equation, which involves  $\eta$ -Hermiticity. We also provide an algorithm with a numerical example to illustrate the main results of this paper.

**Keywords:** matrix equation; Hamilton quaternion;  $\eta$ -Hermitian matrix; Moore–Penrose inverse; rank

**2010 AMS Subject Classifications:** 15A03; 15A09; 15A24; 15B33; 15B57

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{R}$  stand for the real number field and

$$\mathbb{H} = \{u_0 + u_1\mathbf{i} + u_2\mathbf{j} + u_3\mathbf{k} \mid \mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{j}^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 = \mathbf{ijk} = -1, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

$\mathbb{H}$  is called the Hamilton quaternion algebra, which is a non-commutative division ring. Hamilton quaternions and Hermitian quaternion matrices have been utilized in statistics of quaternion random signals [1], quaternion matrix optimization problems [2], signal and color image processing, face recognition [3, 4], and so on.

Sylvester and Sylvester-type matrix equations have a large number of applications in different disciplines and fields. For example, the Sylvester matrix equation

$$A_1X + XB_1 = C_1 \tag{1.1}$$

and the Sylvester-type matrix equation

$$A_1X + YB_1 = C_1 \tag{1.2}$$

have been applied in singular system control [5], system design [6], perturbation theory [7], sensitivity analysis [8],  $H_\alpha$ -optimal control [9], linear descriptor systems [10], and control theory [11]. Roth [12] gave the Sylvester-type matrix Equation (1.2) for the first time over the polynomial integral domain. Baksalary and Kala [13] established the solvability conditions for Equation (1.2)

<sup>1</sup>This research was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China grant (11971294 and 12171369)

\* Corresponding author.

Email address: wqw@t.shu.edu.cn, wqw369@yahoo.com (Q.W. Wang).

and gave an expression of its general solution. In addition, Baksalary and Kala [14] derived the necessary and sufficient conditions for a two-sided Sylvester-type matrix equation

$$A_{11}X_1B_{11} + C_{11}X_2D_{11} = E_{11} \quad (1.3)$$

to be consistent. Özgüler [15] studied (1.3) over a principal ideal domain. Wang [16] investigated (1.3) over an arbitrary regular ring with an identity element.

Due to the wide applications of quaternions, the investigations on Sylvester-type matrix equations have been extended to  $\mathbb{H}$  in the last decade (see, e.g., [21, 22, 20, 17, 18, 19, 24, 23]). They are applied for signal processing, color-image processing, and maximal invariant semidefinite or neutral subspaces, etc. (see, e.g., [25, 26, 27, 28]). For instance, the general solution to Sylvester-type matrix Equation (1.2) can be used in color-image processing. He [29] derived the matrix Equation (1.2) as an essential finding. Roman [25] established the necessary and sufficient conditions for Equation (1.1) to have a solution. Kychei [30] investigated Cramer's rules to drive the necessary and sufficient conditions for Equation (1.3) to be solvable. As an extension of Equations (1.2) and (1.3), Wang and He [31] gave the solvability conditions and the general solution to the Sylvester-type matrix equation

$$A_1X_1 + X_2B_1 + C_3X_3D_3 + C_4X_4D_4 = E_1 \quad (1.4)$$

over the complex number field  $\mathbb{C}$ , which can be generalized to  $\mathbb{H}$  and applicable in some Sylvester-type matrix equations over  $\mathbb{H}$  (see, e.g., [32, 29]).

We know that in system and control theory, the more unknown matrices that a matrix equation has, the wider its application will be. Consequently, for the sake of developing theoretical studies and the applications mentioned above of Sylvester-type matrix equation and their generalizations, in this paper, we aim to establish some necessary and sufficient conditions for the Sylvester-type matrix equation

$$A_1X_1 + X_2B_1 + A_2Y_1B_2 + A_3Y_2B_3 + A_4Y_3B_4 = B \quad (1.5)$$

to have a solution in terms of the rank equalities and Moore–Penrose inverses of some coefficient quaternion matrices in Equation (1.5) over  $\mathbb{H}$ . We derive a formula of its general solution when it is solvable. It is clear that Equation (1.5) provides a proper generalization of Equation (1.4), and we carry out an algorithm with a numerical example to calculate the general solution of Equation (1.5). As a special case of Equation (1.5), we also obtain the solvability conditions and the general solution for the two-sided Sylvester-type matrix equation

$$A_{11}Y_1B_{11} + A_{22}Y_2B_{22} + A_{33}Y_3B_{33} = T_1. \quad (1.6)$$

To the best of our knowledge, so far, there has been little information on the solvability conditions and an expression of the general solution to Equation (1.6) by using generalized inverses.

As usual, we use  $A^*$  to denote the conjugate transpose of  $A$ . Recall that a quaternion matrix  $A$ , for  $\eta \in \{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$ , is said to be  $\eta$ -Hermitian if  $A = A^{\eta*}$ , where  $A^{\eta*} = -\eta A^* \eta$  [33]. For more properties and information on  $\eta^*$ -quaternion matrices, we refer to [33]. We know that  $\eta$ -Hermitian matrices have some applications in linear modeling and statistics of quaternion

random signals [1, 33]. As an application of Equation (1.5), we establish some necessary and sufficient conditions for the quaternion matrix equation

$$A_1X_1 + (A_1X_1)^{\eta^*} + A_2Y_1A_2^{\eta^*} + A_3Y_2A_3^{\eta^*} + A_4Y_3A_4^{\eta^*} = B \quad (1.7)$$

to be consistent. Moreover, we derive a formula of the general solution to Equation (1.7) where  $B = B^{\eta^*}$ ,  $Y_i = Y_i^{\eta^*}$  ( $i = \overline{1, 3}$ ) over  $\mathbb{H}$ .

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some definitions and lemmas. In Section 3, we establish some necessary and sufficient conditions for Equation (1.5) to have a solution. In addition, we give an expression of its general solution to Equation (1.5) when it is solvable. In Section 4, as an application of Equation (1.5), we consider some solvability conditions and the general solution to Equation (1.7), where  $Y_i = Y_i^{\eta^*}$  ( $i = \overline{1, 3}$ ). Finally, we give a brief conclusion to the paper in Section 5.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper,  $\mathbb{H}^{m \times n}$  stands for the space of all  $m \times n$  matrices over  $\mathbb{H}$ . The symbol  $r(A)$  denotes the rank of  $A$ .  $I$  and  $0$  represent an identity matrix and a zero matrix of appropriate sizes, respectively. In general,  $A^\dagger$  stands for the Moore–Penrose inverse of  $A \in \mathbb{H}^{l \times k}$ , which is defined as the solution of  $AYA = A$ ,  $YAY = Y$ ,  $(AY)^* = AY$  and  $(YA)^* = YA$ . Moreover,  $L_A = I - A^\dagger A$  and  $R_A = I - AA^\dagger$  represent two projectors along  $A$ .

The following lemma is due to Marsaglia and Styan [34], which can be generalized to  $\mathbb{H}$ .

**Lemma 2.1** ([34]). *Let  $A \in \mathbb{H}^{m \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{H}^{m \times k}$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{H}^{l \times n}$ ,  $D \in \mathbb{H}^{j \times k}$  and  $E \in \mathbb{H}^{l \times i}$  be given. Then, we have the following rank equality:*

$$r \begin{pmatrix} A & BL_D \\ R_EC & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} A & B & 0 \\ C & 0 & E \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix} - r(D) - r(E).$$

**Lemma 2.2** ([35]). *Let  $A \in \mathbb{H}^{m \times n}$  be given. Then,*

- (1)  $(A^\eta)^\dagger = (A^\dagger)^\eta$ ,  $(A^{\eta^*})^\dagger = (A^\dagger)^{\eta^*}$ .
- (2)  $r(A) = r(A^{\eta^*}) = r(A^\eta) = r(A^\eta A^{\eta^*}) = r(A^{\eta^*} A^\eta)$ .
- (3)  $(L_A)^{\eta^*} = -\eta(L_A)\eta = (L_A)^\eta = L_{A^*} = R_{A^{\eta^*}}$ .
- (4)  $(R_A)^{\eta^*} = -\eta(R_A)\eta = (R_A)^\eta = R_{A^*} = L_{A^{\eta^*}}$ .
- (5)  $(AA^\dagger)^{\eta^*} = (A^\dagger)^{\eta^*} A^{\eta^*} = (A^\dagger A)^\eta = A^\eta (A^\dagger)^\eta$ .
- (6)  $(A^\dagger A)^{\eta^*} = A^{\eta^*} (A^\dagger)^{\eta^*} = (AA^\dagger)^\eta = (A^\dagger)^\eta A^\eta$ .

**Lemma 2.3** ([16]). *Let  $A_{ii}$ ,  $B_{ii}$  and  $C_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be given matrices with suitable sizes over  $\mathbb{H}$ .  $A_1 = A_{22}L_{A_{11}}$ ,  $T = R_{B_{11}}B_{22}$ ,  $F = B_{22}L_T$ ,  $G = R_{A_1}A_{22}$ . Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The system*

$$A_{11}X_1B_{11} = C_1, \quad A_{22}X_1B_{22} = C_2 \quad (2.1)$$

*has a solution.*

(2)

$$A_{ii}A_{ii}^\dagger C_i B_{ii}^\dagger B_{ii} = C_i \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

and

$$G(A_{22}^\dagger C_2 B_{22}^\dagger - A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger)F = 0.$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} r \begin{pmatrix} A_{ii} & C_i \end{pmatrix} &= r(A_{ii}), \quad r \begin{pmatrix} C_i \\ B_{ii} \end{pmatrix} = r(B_{ii}) \quad (i = 1, 2), \\ r \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & C_1 & 0 \\ A_{22} & 0 & -C_2 \\ 0 & B_{11} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix} &= r \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{22} \end{pmatrix} + r(B_{11}, B_{22}). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.4** ([13]). *Let  $A_1$ ,  $B_1$  and  $C_1$  be given matrices with suitable sizes. Then, the Sylvester-type Equation (1.2) is solvable if and only if*

$$R_{A_1} C_1 L_{B_1} = 0.$$

*In this case, the general solution to Equation (1.2) can be expressed as*

$$X = A_1^\dagger C_1 - A_1^\dagger U_1 B_1 + L_{A_1} U_2, \quad Y = R_{A_1} C_1 B_1^\dagger + A_1 A_1^\dagger U_1 + U_3 R_{B_1},$$

*where  $U_1, U_2$ , and  $U_3$  are arbitrary matrices with appropriate sizes.*

**Lemma 2.5** ([31]). *Let  $A_1, B_1, C_3, D_3, C_4, D_4$  and  $E_1$  be given matrices over  $\mathbb{H}$ . Put*

$$A = R_{A_1} C_3, \quad B = D_3 L_{B_1}, \quad C = R_{A_1} C_4, \quad D = D_4 L_{B_1},$$

$$E = R_{A_1} E_1 L_{B_1}, \quad M = R_A C, \quad N = D L_B, \quad S = C L_M.$$

*Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *Equation (1.4) has a solution.*

(2)

$$R_M R_A E = 0, \quad E L_B L_N = 0, \quad R_A E L_D = 0, \quad R_E L_B = 0.$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} r \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & C_4 & C_3 & A_1 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r(B_1) + r(C_4, C_3, A_1), \\ r \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & A_1 \\ D_3 & 0 \\ D_4 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r \begin{pmatrix} D_3 \\ D_4 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix} + r(A_1), \\ r \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & C_3 & A_1 \\ D_4 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r(A_1, C_3) + r \begin{pmatrix} D_4 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ r \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & C_4 & A_1 \\ D_3 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r(A_1, C_4) + r \begin{pmatrix} D_3 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the general solution to Equation (1.4) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= A_1^\dagger(E_1 - C_3X_3D_3 - C_4X_4D_4) - A_1^\dagger T_7 B_1 + L_{A_1} T_6, \\ X_2 &= R_{A_1}(E_1 - C_3X_3D_3 - C_4X_4D_4)B_1^\dagger + A_1 A_1^\dagger T_7 + T_8 R_{B_1}, \\ X_3 &= A^\dagger E B^\dagger - A^\dagger C M^\dagger E B^\dagger - A^\dagger S C^\dagger E N^\dagger D B^\dagger - A^\dagger S T_2 R_N D B^\dagger + L_A T_4 + T_5 R_B, \\ X_4 &= M^\dagger E D^\dagger + S^\dagger S C^\dagger E N^\dagger + L_M L_S T_1 + L_M T_2 R_N + T_3 R_D, \end{aligned}$$

where  $T_1, \dots, T_8$  are arbitrary matrices with appropriate sizes over  $\mathbb{H}$ .

### 3. SOME SOLVABILITY CONDITIONS AND A FORMULA OF THE GENERAL SOLUTION

In this section, we establish the solvability conditions and a formula of the general solution to Equation (1.5). We begin with the following lemma, which is used to reach the main results of this paper.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $A_{11}, B_{11}, C_{11}$ , and  $D_{11}$  be given matrices with suitable sizes over  $\mathbb{H}$ ,  $A_{11}L_{A_{22}} = 0$  and  $R_{B_{11}}B_{22} = 0$ . Set*

$$A_1 = A_{22}L_{A_{11}}, \quad C_{11} = C_2 - A_{22}A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger B_{22}. \quad (3.1)$$

Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) The system (2.1) is consistent.
- (2)

$$R_{A_{ii}}C_i = 0, \quad C_i L_{B_{ii}} = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad R_{A_1}C_{11} = 0.$$

(3)

$$A_{ii}A_{ii}^\dagger C_i B_{ii}^\dagger B_{ii} = C_i \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad C_1 B_{11}^\dagger B_{22} = A_{11}A_{22}^\dagger C_2.$$

(4)

$$\begin{aligned} r(A_{ii}, C_i) &= r(A_{ii}), \quad r\begin{pmatrix} B_{ii} \\ C_i \end{pmatrix} = r(B_{ii}) \quad (i = 1, 2), \\ r\begin{pmatrix} C_1 & 0 & A_{11} \\ 0 & -C_2 & A_{22} \\ B_{11} & B_{22} & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r(A_{22}) + r(B_{11}). \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the general solution to system (2.1) can be expressed as

$$X_1 = A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger + L_{A_{11}} A_{22}^\dagger C_2 B_{22}^\dagger + L_{A_{22}} V_1 + V_2 R_{B_{11}} + L_{A_{11}} V_3 R_{B_{22}}, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $V_1, V_2$ , and  $V_3$  are arbitrary matrices with appropriate sizes over  $\mathbb{H}$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) It follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& G(A_{22}^\dagger C_2 B_{22}^\dagger - A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger)F = 0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow R_{A_1}(A_1 + A_{22}A_{11}^\dagger A_{11})A_{22}^\dagger C_2 B_{22}^\dagger - A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger B_{22} = 0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow R_{A_1}A_{22}A_{11}^\dagger A_{11}A_{22}^\dagger C_2 B_{22}^\dagger B_{22} - A_{22}A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger B_{22} = 0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow R_{A_1}A_{22}A_{11}^\dagger A_{11}A_{22}^\dagger A_{22}A_{22}^\dagger C_2 B_{22}^\dagger B_{22} - A_{22}A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger B_{22} = 0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow R_{A_1}(A_{22} - A_1)A_{22}^\dagger A_{22}A_{22}^\dagger C_2 B_{22}^\dagger B_{22} - A_{22}A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger B_{22} = 0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow R_{A_1}C_2 - A_{22}A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger B_{22} = 0 \Leftrightarrow R_{A_1}C_{11} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $G$  and  $F$  are given in Lemma 2.3.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) If the system (2.1) has a solution, then there exists a solution  $X_0$  such that

$$A_{11}X_0B_{11} = C_1, \quad A_{22}X_0B_{22} = C_2.$$

It is easy to show that

$$R_{A_{ii}}C_i = 0, \quad C_iL_{B_{ii}} = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Thus,  $A_{ii}A_{ii}^\dagger C_i B_{ii}^\dagger B_{ii} = C_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). It follows from  $R_{B_{11}}B_{22} = 0$ ,  $A_{11}L_{A_{22}} = 0$  that

$$C_1B_{11}^\dagger B_{22} = A_{11}X_0B_{11}B_{11}^\dagger B_{22} = A_{11}X_0B_{22} = A_{11}A_{22}^\dagger A_{22}X_0B_{22} = A_{11}A_{22}^\dagger C_2.$$

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Since  $A_{22} - A_1 = A_{22}A_{11}^\dagger A_{11}$  and  $C_1B_{11}^\dagger B_{22} = A_{11}A_{22}^\dagger C_2$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{A_1}C_{11} &= R_{A_1}C_2 - R_{A_1}A_{22}A_{11}^\dagger C_1B_{11}^\dagger B_{22} = R_{A_1}C_2 - R_{A_1}A_{22}A_{11}^\dagger A_{11}A_{22}^\dagger C_2 \\
&= R_{A_1}C_2 - R_{A_1}(A_{22} - A_1)A_{22}^\dagger C_2 = R_{A_1}C_2 - R_{A_1}A_{22}A_{22}^\dagger C_2 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) It follows from  $R_{B_{11}}B_{22} = 0$  and  $A_{11}L_{A_{22}} = 0$  that

$$r(B_{22}, B_{11}) = r(B_{11}), \quad \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{22} \end{pmatrix} = r(A_{22}).$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{A_{ii}}C_i &= 0 \Leftrightarrow r(R_{A_{ii}}C_i) = 0 \Leftrightarrow r(A_{ii}, C_i) = r(A_{ii}) \quad (i = 1, 2), \\
C_iL_{B_{ii}} &= 0 \Leftrightarrow r(C_iL_{B_{ii}}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow r\begin{pmatrix} B_{ii} \\ C_i \end{pmatrix} = r(B_{ii}) \quad (i = 1, 2), \\
R_{A_1}C_{11} &= 0 \Leftrightarrow r(R_{A_1}C_{11}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow r(C_{11}, A_1) = r(A_1) \\
&\Leftrightarrow r\begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & A_{22}L_{A_{11}} \\ R_{B_{11}}B_{22} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(A_{22}L_{A_{11}}) + r(R_{B_{11}}B_{22}) \\
&\Leftrightarrow r\begin{pmatrix} C_2 - A_{22}A_{11}^\dagger C_1B_{11}^\dagger B_{22} & A_{22} & 0 \\ B_{22} & 0 & B_{11} \\ 0 & A_{11} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{22} \end{pmatrix} + r(B_{11}, B_{22}) \\
&\Leftrightarrow r\begin{pmatrix} C_1 & 0 & A_{11} \\ 0 & -C_2 & A_{22} \\ B_{11} & B_{22} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{22} \end{pmatrix} + r(B_{11}, B_{22}) = r(A_{22}) + r(B_{11}).
\end{aligned}$$

We now prove that  $X_1$  in (3.2) is the general solution of the system (2.1). We prove it in two steps. We show that  $X_1$  is a solution of system (2.1) in Step 1. In Step 2, if the system (2.1) is consistent, then the general solution to system (2.1) can be expressed as (3.2).

Step 1. In this step, we show that  $X_1$  is a solution of system (2.1). Substituting  $X_1$  in (3.2) into the system (2.1) yields

$$A_{11}X_1B_{11} = A_{11}X_0B_{11}, \quad A_{22}X_1B_{22} = A_{22}X_0B_{22}, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $X_0 = A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger + L_{A_{11}} A_{22}^\dagger C_2 B_{22}^\dagger$ . Since  $R_{A_{11}} C_1 = 0$  and  $C_1 L_{B_{11}} = 0$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{11}X_0B_{11} &= A_{11}A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger + L_{A_{11}} A_{11}^\dagger A_{22} A_{22}^\dagger C_{11} B_{22}^\dagger B_{11} \\ &= A_{11}A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger B_{11} + A_{11}L_{A_{11}} A_{11}^\dagger C_{11} - R_{A_{22}} C_{11} B_{22}^\dagger B_{11} = A_{11}A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger B_{11} \\ &= -R_{A_{11}} C_1 B_{11}^\dagger B_{11} - C_1 L_{B_{11}} + C_1 = C_1. \end{aligned}$$

By

$$R_{B_{11}} B_{22} = 0, \quad R_{A_{22}} C_{22} = 0, \quad C_2 L_{B_{22}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad C_1 B_{11}^\dagger B_{22} = A_{11} A_{22}^\dagger C_2,$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{22}X_0B_{22} &= A_{22}(A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger + L_{A_{11}} A_{22}^\dagger C_2 B_{22}^\dagger)B_{22} \\ &= A_{22}A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger B_{22} + A_{22}A_{22}^\dagger C_2 B_{22}^\dagger B_{22} - A_{22}A_{11}^\dagger A_{11} A_{22}^\dagger C_2 B_{22}^\dagger B_{22} \\ &= C_2 + A_{22}A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger B_{22} - A_{22}A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger B_{22} = C_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $A_{11}X_1B_{11} = C_1$ ,  $A_{22}X_1B_{22} = C_2$ .  $X_1$  is a solution of system (2.1).

Step 2. In this step, we show that the general solution to the system (2.1) can be expressed as (3.2). It is sufficient to show that for an arbitrary solution, say,  $X_{01}$  of (2.1),  $X_{01}$  can be expressed in form (3.2). Put

$$V_1 = X_{01}B_{22}B_{22}^\dagger, \quad V_2 = X_{01}, \quad V_3 = X_{01}B_{11}B_{11}^\dagger.$$

It follows from  $B_{22} = B_{11}B_{11}^\dagger B_{22}$  and  $A_{11} = A_{11}A_{22}^\dagger A_{22}$  that

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger + L_{A_{11}} A_{22}^\dagger C_2 B_{22}^\dagger + L_{A_{22}} V_1 + V_2 R_{B_{11}} + L_{A_{11}} V_3 R_{B_{22}} \\ &= A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger + L_{A_{11}} A_{22}^\dagger C_2 B_{22}^\dagger + L_{A_{22}} X_{01} B_{22} B_{22}^\dagger + X_{01} R_{B_{11}} + L_{A_{11}} X_{01} B_{11} B_{11}^\dagger R_{B_{22}} \\ &= A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger + L_{A_{11}} A_{22}^\dagger C_2 B_{22}^\dagger + X_{01} B_{22} B_{22}^\dagger - A_{22}^\dagger A_{22} X_{01} B_{22} B_{22}^\dagger + X_{01} - X_{01} B_{11} B_{11}^\dagger \\ &\quad + X_{01} B_{11} B_{11}^\dagger R_{B_{22}} - A_{11}^\dagger A_{11} X_{01} B_{11} B_{11}^\dagger R_{B_{22}} \\ &= A_{11}^\dagger C_1 B_{11}^\dagger + L_{A_{11}} A_{22}^\dagger C_2 B_{22}^\dagger - X_{01} R_{B_{11}} B_{22} B_{22}^\dagger + X_{01} - A_{22}^\dagger A_{22} X_{01} B_{22} B_{22}^\dagger \\ &\quad - A_{11}^\dagger A_{11} X_{01} B_{11} B_{11}^\dagger + A_{11}^\dagger A_{11} X_{01} B_{11} B_{11}^\dagger B_{22} B_{22}^\dagger \\ &= X_{01} + A_{11}^\dagger A_{11} X_{01} B_{11} B_{11}^\dagger B_{22} B_{22}^\dagger - A_{11}^\dagger A_{11} A_{22}^\dagger A_{22} X_{01} B_{22} B_{22}^\dagger \\ &= X_{01} + A_{11}^\dagger A_{11} X_{01} B_{22} B_{22}^\dagger - A_{11}^\dagger A_{11} X_{01} B_{22} B_{22}^\dagger = X_{01}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $X_{01}$  can be expressed as (3.2). To sum up, (3.2) is the general solution of the system (2.1).  $\square$

Now, we give the fundamental theorem of this paper.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $A_i$ ,  $B_i$ , and  $B$  ( $i = \overline{1,4}$ ) be given quaternion matrices with appropriate sizes over  $\mathbb{H}$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} R_{A_1}A_2 &= A_{11}, \quad R_{A_1}A_3 = A_{22}, \quad R_{A_1}A_4 = A_{33}, \quad B_2L_{B_1} = B_{11}, \quad B_{22}L_{B_{11}} = N_1, \\ B_3L_{B_1} &= B_{22}, \quad B_4L_{B_1} = B_{33}, \quad R_{A_{11}}A_{22} = M_1, \quad S_1 = A_{22}L_{M_1}, \quad R_{A_1}BL_{B_1} = T_1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} C &= R_{M_1}R_{A_{11}}, \quad C_1 = CA_{33}, \quad C_2 = R_{A_{11}}A_{33}, \quad C_3 = R_{A_{22}}A_{33}, \quad C_4 = A_{33}, \\ D &= L_{B_{11}}L_{N_1}, \quad D_1 = B_{33}, \quad D_2 = B_{33}L_{B_{22}}, \quad D_3 = B_{33}L_{B_{11}}, \quad D_4 = B_{33}D, \\ E_1 &= CT_1, \quad E_2 = R_{A_{11}}T_1L_{B_{22}}, \quad E_3 = R_{A_{22}}T_1L_{B_{11}}, \quad E_4 = T_1D, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

$$C_{11} = (L_{C_2}, L_{C_4}), \quad D_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{D_1} \\ R_{D_3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_{22} = L_{C_1}, \quad D_{22} = R_{D_2}, \quad C_{33} = L_{C_3}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_{33} &= R_{D_4}, \quad E_{11} = R_{C_{11}}C_{22}, \quad E_{22} = R_{C_{11}}C_{33}, \quad E_{33} = D_{22}L_{D_{11}}, \quad E_{44} = D_{33}L_{D_{11}}, \\ M &= R_{E_{11}}E_{22}, \quad N = E_{44}L_{E_{33}}, \quad F = F_2 - F_1, \quad E = R_{C_{11}}FL_{D_{11}}, \quad S = E_{22}L_M, \\ F_{11} &= C_2L_{C_1}, \quad G_1 = E_2 - C_2C_1^\dagger E_1D_1^\dagger D_2, \quad F_{22} = C_4L_{C_3}, \quad G_2 = E_4 - C_4C_3^\dagger E_3D_3^\dagger D_4, \\ F_1 &= C_1^\dagger E_1D_1^\dagger + L_{C_1}C_2^\dagger E_2D_2^\dagger, \quad F_2 = C_3^\dagger E_3D_3^\dagger + L_{C_3}C_4^\dagger E_4D_4^\dagger. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Then, the following statements are equivalent:

(1) Equation (1.5) is consistent.

(2)

$$R_{C_i}E_i = 0, \quad E_iL_{D_i} = 0 \quad (i = \overline{1,4}), \quad R_{E_{11}}EL_{E_{44}} = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

(3)

$$r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_2 & A_3 & A_4 & A_1 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(B_1) + r(A_2, A_3, A_4, A_1), \quad (3.9)$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_2 & A_4 & A_1 \\ B_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(A_2, A_4, A_1) + r \begin{pmatrix} B_3 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_3 & A_4 & A_1 \\ B_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(A_3, A_4, A_1) + r \begin{pmatrix} B_2 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_4 & A_1 \\ B_2 & 0 & 0 \\ B_3 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} B_2 \\ B_3 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix} + r(A_4, A_1), \quad (3.12)$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_2 & A_3 & A_1 \\ B_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(A_2, A_3, A_1) + r \begin{pmatrix} B_4 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_2 & A_1 \\ B_3 & 0 & 0 \\ B_4 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} B_3 \\ B_4 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix} + r(A_2, A_1), \quad (3.14)$$



$$r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_3 & A_1 \\ B_2 & 0 & 0 \\ B_4 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} B_2 \\ B_4 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix} + r(A_3, A_1), \quad (3.15)$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_1 \\ B_2 & 0 \\ B_3 & 0 \\ B_4 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} B_2 \\ B_3 \\ B_4 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix} + r(A_1), \quad (3.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_2 & A_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & A_4 \\ B_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -B & A_3 & A_1 & A_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_4 & 0 & 0 & B_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= r \begin{pmatrix} B_3 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \\ 0 & B_1 \\ B_4 & B_4 \end{pmatrix} + r \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & A_1 & 0 & 0 & A_4 \\ 0 & 0 & A_3 & A_1 & A_4 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) Equation (1.5) can be written as

$$A_1 X_1 + X_2 B_1 = B - (A_2 Y_1 B_2 + A_3 Y_2 B_3 + A_4 Y_3 B_4). \quad (3.18)$$

Clearly, Equation (1.5) is solvable if and only if Equation (3.18) has a solution. By Lemma 2.4, Equation (3.18) is consistent if and only if there exist  $Y_i$  ( $i = \overline{1, 3}$ ) in Equation (3.18) such that

$$R_{A_1} [B - (A_2 Y_1 B_2 + A_3 Y_2 B_3 + A_4 Y_3 B_4)] L_{B_1} = 0, \quad (3.19)$$

i.e.,

$$A_{11} Y_1 B_{11} + A_{22} Y_2 B_{22} + A_{33} Y_3 B_{33} = T_1, \quad (3.20)$$

where  $A_{ii}$ ,  $B_{ii}$  ( $i = \overline{1, 3}$ ), and  $T_1$  are defined by (3.4). In addition, when Equation (3.18) has a solution, we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= A_1^\dagger (B - A_2 Y_1 B_2 - A_3 Y_2 B_3 - A_4 Y_3 B_4) - A_1^\dagger U_1 B_1 + L_{A_1} U_2, \\ X_2 &= R_{A_1} (B - A_2 Y_1 B_2 - A_3 Y_2 B_3 - A_4 Y_3 B_4) B_1^\dagger + A_1 A_1^\dagger U_1 + U_3 R_{B_1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $U_i$  ( $i = \overline{1, 3}$ ) are any matrices with appropriate dimensions over  $\mathbb{H}$ . Hence, Equation (3.18) has a solution if and only if there exist  $Y_i$  ( $i = \overline{1, 3}$ ) in Equation (3.18) such that Equation (3.20) is solvable. According to Equation (3.20), we have that

$$A_{11} Y_1 B_{11} + A_{22} Y_2 B_{22} = T_1 - A_{33} Y_3 B_{33}. \quad (3.21)$$

Hence, Equation (3.20) is consistent if and only if Equation (3.21) is solvable. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that Equation (3.21) has a solution if and only if there exists  $Y_3$  in Equation (3.21)

such that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{M_1} R_{A_{11}} (A_{33} Y_3 B_{33} - T_1) &= 0, \quad R_{A_{11}} (T_1 - A_{33} Y_3 B_{33}) L_{B_{22}} = 0, \\ R_{A_{22}} (T_1 - A_{33} Y_3 B_{33}) L_{B_{11}} &= 0, \quad (T_1 - A_{33} Y_3 B_{33}) L_{B_{11}} L_{N_1} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

i.e.,

$$C_1 Y_3 D_1 = E_1, \quad C_2 Y_3 D_2 = E_2, \quad C_3 Y_3 D_3 = E_3, \quad C_4 Y_3 D_4 = E_4, \quad (3.23)$$

where  $C_i, D_i, E_i$  ( $i = \overline{1, 4}$ ) are defined by (3.5). When Equation (3.21) is solvable, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 &= A_{11}^\dagger T B_{11}^\dagger - A_{11}^\dagger A_{22} M_1^\dagger T B_{11}^\dagger - A_{11}^\dagger S_1 A_{22}^\dagger T N_1^\dagger B_{22} B_{11}^\dagger \\ &\quad - A_{11}^\dagger S_1 U_4 R_{N_1} B_{22} B_{11}^\dagger + L_{A_{11}} U_5 + U_6 R_{B_{11}}, \\ Y_2 &= M_1^\dagger T B_{22}^\dagger + S_1^\dagger S_1 A_{22}^\dagger T N_1^\dagger + L_{M_1} L_{S_1} U_7 + U_8 R_{B_{22}} + L_{M_1} U_4 R_{N_1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_{ii}, B_{ii}$  ( $i = \overline{1, 3}$ ),  $M_1, N_1, S_1, T_1$  are defined by (3.4),  $T = T_1 - A_{33} Y_3 B_{33}$  and  $U_j$  ( $j = \overline{4, 8}$ ) are any matrices with the appropriate dimensions over  $\mathbb{H}$ .

It is easy to infer that

$$C_1 L_{C_2} = 0, \quad R_{D_1} D_2 = 0, \quad C_3 L_{C_4} = 0, \quad R_{D_3} D_4 = 0. \quad (3.24)$$

Thus, according to Lemma 3.1, we have that the system (3.23) is consistent if and only if

$$R_{C_i} E_i = 0, \quad E_i L_{D_i} = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, 4), \quad R_{F_{11}} G_1 = 0, \quad R_{F_{22}} G_2 = 0. \quad (3.25)$$

In this case, the general solution to system (3.23) can be expressed as

$$Y_3 = F_1 + L_{C_2} V_1 + V_2 R_{D_1} + L_{C_1} V_3 R_{D_2}, \quad (3.26)$$

$$Y_3 = F_2 - L_{C_4} W_1 - W_2 R_{D_3} - L_{C_3} W_3 R_{D_4}, \quad (3.27)$$

where  $F_1, F_2$  are defined by (3.7) and  $V_i, W_i$  ( $i = \overline{1, 3}$ ) are any matrices with the appropriate dimensions over  $\mathbb{H}$ . Thus, system (3.23) has a solution if and only if (3.25) holds and there exist  $V_i, W_i$  ( $i = \overline{1, 3}$ ) such that (3.26) equals to (3.27), namely

$$(L_{C_2}, L_{C_4}) \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ W_1 \end{pmatrix} + (V_2, W_2) \begin{pmatrix} R_{D_1} \\ R_{D_3} \end{pmatrix} + L_{C_1} V_3 R_{D_2} + L_{C_3} W_3 R_{D_4} = F,$$

i.e.,

$$C_{11} \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ W_1 \end{pmatrix} + (V_2, W_2) D_{11} + C_{22} V_3 D_{22} + C_{33} W_3 D_{33} = F, \quad (3.28)$$

where  $F, C_{ii}$  and  $D_{ii}$  ( $i = \overline{1, 3}$ ) are defined by (3.6). It follows from Lemma 2.5 that Equation (3.28) has a solution if and only if

$$R_M R_{E_{11}} E = 0, \quad E L_{E_{33}} L_N = 0, \quad R_{E_{11}} E L_{E_{44}} = 0, \quad R_{E_{22}} E L_{E_{33}} = 0. \quad (3.29)$$

In this case, the general solution to Equation (3.28) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1 &= (I_m, 0) \left[ C_{11}^\dagger (F - C_{22}V_3D_{22} - C_{33}W_3D_{33}) - C_{11}^\dagger U_{11}D_{11} + L_{C_{11}}U_{12} \right], \\
W_1 &= (0, I_m) \left[ C_{11}^\dagger (F - C_{22}V_3D_{22} - C_{33}W_3D_{33}) - C_{11}^\dagger U_{11}D_{11} + L_{C_{11}}U_{12} \right], \\
W_2 &= \left[ R_{C_{11}}(F - C_{22}V_3D_{22} - C_{33}W_3D_{33})D_{11}^\dagger + C_{11}C_{11}^\dagger U_{11} + U_{21}R_{D_{11}} \right] \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_n \end{pmatrix}, \\
V_2 &= \left[ R_{C_{11}}(F - C_{22}V_3D_{22} - C_{33}W_3D_{33})D_{11}^\dagger + C_{11}(C_{11})^\dagger U_{11} + U_{21}R_{D_{11}} \right] \begin{pmatrix} I_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
V_3 &= E_{11}^\dagger F E_{33}^\dagger - E_{11}^\dagger E_{22} M^\dagger F E_{33}^\dagger - E_{11}^\dagger S E_{22}^\dagger F N^\dagger E_{44} E_{33}^\dagger \\
&\quad - E_{11}^\dagger S U_{31} R_N E_{44} E_{33}^\dagger + L_{E_{11}} U_{32} + U_{33} R_{E_{33}}, \\
W_3 &= M^\dagger F E_{44}^\dagger + S^\dagger S E_{22}^\dagger F N^\dagger + L_M L_S U_{41} + L_M U_{31} R_N - U_{42} R_{E_{44}},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $U_{11}, U_{12}, U_{21}, U_{31}, U_{32}, U_{33}, U_{41}$ , and  $U_{42}$  are any matrices with the suitable dimensions over  $\mathbb{H}$ .  $M, E, N, S, C_{11}, D_{11}$ , and  $E_{ii}$  ( $i = \overline{1, 4}$ ) are defined by (3.6),  $m$  is the column number of  $A_4$  and  $n$  is the row number of  $B_4$ . We summarize up that (3.20) has a solution if and only if (3.25) and (3.29) hold. Hence, Equation (1.5) is solvable if and only if (3.25) and (3.29) hold.

In fact,  $R_{C_2}E_2 = 0, E_1L_{D_1} = 0 \Rightarrow R_{F_{11}}G_1 = 0; R_{C_4}E_4 = 0, E_3L_{D_3} = 0 \Rightarrow R_{F_{22}}G_2 = 0; R_{C_3}E_3 = 0, E_1L_{D_1} = 0 \Rightarrow R_M R_{E_{11}}E = 0; R_{C_4}E_4 = 0, E_1L_{D_1} = 0 \Rightarrow EL_{E_{33}}L_N = 0; R_{C_4}E_4 = 0, E_2L_{D_2} = 0 \Rightarrow R_{E_{22}}EL_{E_{33}} = 0$ . The specific proof is as follows.

Firstly, we prove that  $R_{C_2}E_2 = 0, E_1L_{D_1} = 0 \Rightarrow R_{F_{11}}G_1 = 0; R_{C_4}E_4 = 0, E_3L_{D_3} = 0 \Rightarrow R_{F_{22}}G_2 = 0$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1 and elementary transformations that

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{C_1}E_1 = 0 &\Leftrightarrow r(E_1, C_1) = r(C_1) = r(CT_1, CA_{33}) = r(CA_{33}) \Leftrightarrow \\
r(T_1, A_{33}, A_{11}, A_{22}) &= r(A_{33}, A_{11}, A_{22}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

$$R_{C_2}E_2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow r(E_2, C_2) = r(C_2) \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & A_{33} & A_{11} \\ B_{22} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(A_{33}, A_{11}) + r(B_{22}), \tag{3.31}$$

$$R_{C_3}E_3 = 0 \Leftrightarrow r(E_3, C_3) = r(C_3) \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & A_{33} & A_{22} \\ B_{11} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(A_{33}, A_{22}) + r(B_{11}), \tag{3.32}$$

$$R_{C_4}E_4 = 0 \Leftrightarrow r(E_4, C_4) = r(C_4) \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & A_{33} \\ B_{11} & 0 \\ B_{22} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(A_{33}) + r \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} \\ B_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.33}$$

$$E_1L_{D_1} = 0 \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ D_1 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & A_{11} & A_{22} \\ B_{33} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(A_{11}, A_{22}) + r(B_{33}), \tag{3.34}$$

$$E_2L_{D_2} = 0 \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} E_2 \\ D_2 \end{pmatrix} = r(D_2) \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & A_{11} \\ B_{33} & 0 \\ B_{22} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} B_{33} \\ B_{22} \end{pmatrix} + r(A_{11}), \tag{3.35}$$

$$E_3L_{D_3} = 0 \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} E_3 \\ D_3 \end{pmatrix} = r(D_3) \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & A_{22} \\ B_{33} & 0 \\ B_{11} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} B_{33} \\ B_{11} \end{pmatrix} + r(A_{22}), \tag{3.36}$$

$$E_4 L_{D_4} = 0 \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} E_4 \\ D_4 \end{pmatrix} = r(D_4) \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} T_1 \\ B_{33} \\ B_{11} \\ B_{22} \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} B_{33} \\ B_{11} \\ B_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.37)$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 and (3.24) that  $R_{F_{11}}G_1 = 0$  and  $R_{F_{22}}G_2 = 0$  are equivalent to

$$r \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & 0 & C_1 \\ 0 & -E_2 & C_2 \\ D_1 & D_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{pmatrix} + r(D_1, D_2), \quad (3.38)$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} E_3 & 0 & C_3 \\ 0 & -E_4 & C_4 \\ D_3 & D_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} C_3 \\ C_4 \end{pmatrix} + r(D_3, D_4). \quad (3.39)$$

According to Lemma 2.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.38) \\ \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 & 0 & A_{11} & A_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & -T_1 & A_{33} & 0 & 0 & A_{11} \\ B_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{11} & A_{22} & 0 \\ A_{33} & 0 & 0 & A_{11} \end{pmatrix} + r \begin{pmatrix} B_{33} & 0 \\ 0 & B_{22} \end{pmatrix} \\ \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & A_{11} & A_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & T_1 & A_{33} & A_{11} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_{22} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{33} & A_{11} \end{pmatrix} + r \begin{pmatrix} B_{33} & 0 \\ 0 & B_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

Thus, it follows from (3.40) that (3.38) holds when (3.31) and (3.34) hold. Similarly, if (3.33) and (3.36) hold, then (3.39) holds.

Secondly, we prove that  $R_{C_3}E_3 = 0$ ,  $E_1L_{D_1} = 0 \Rightarrow R_M R_{E_{11}}E = 0$ ;  $R_{C_4}E_4 = 0$ ,  $E_1L_{D_1} = 0 \Rightarrow EL_{E_{33}}L_N = 0$ ;  $R_{C_4}E_4 = 0$ ,  $E_2L_{D_2} = 0 \Rightarrow R_{E_{22}}EL_{E_{33}} = 0$ . According to Lemma 2.5 and (3.24), we have that (3.29) are equivalent to

$$r \begin{pmatrix} F & L_{C_1} & L_{C_3} \\ R_{D_1} & 0 & 0 \\ R_{D_3} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(L_{C_1}, L_{C_3}) + r \begin{pmatrix} R_{D_1} \\ R_{D_3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.41)$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} F & L_{C_2} & L_{C_4} \\ R_{D_2} & 0 & 0 \\ R_{D_4} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(L_{C_2}, L_{C_4}) + r \begin{pmatrix} R_{D_2} \\ R_{D_4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.42)$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} F & L_{C_1} & L_{C_4} \\ R_{D_1} & 0 & 0 \\ R_{D_4} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(L_{C_1}, L_{C_4}) + r \begin{pmatrix} R_{D_1} \\ R_{D_4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.43)$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} F & L_{C_2} & L_{C_3} \\ R_{D_2} & 0 & 0 \\ R_{D_3} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(L_{C_2}, L_{C_3}) + r \begin{pmatrix} R_{D_2} \\ R_{D_3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.44)$$

respectively. By Lemma 2.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.41) \quad & \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} F & I & I & 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 & 0 & D_1 & 0 \\ I & 0 & 0 & 0 & D_3 \\ 0 & C_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} I & D_1 & 0 \\ I & 0 & D_3 \end{pmatrix} + r \begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & C_3 \end{pmatrix} \\
 & \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & 0 & C_1 \\ 0 & -E_3 & C_3 \\ D_1 & D_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C_3 \end{pmatrix} + r(D_1, D_3).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

Similarly, we can show that (3.42)–(3.44) are equivalent to

$$r \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & 0 & C_1 \\ 0 & -E_4 & C_4 \\ D_1 & D_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C_4 \end{pmatrix} + r(D_1, D_4), \tag{3.46}$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} E_2 & 0 & C_2 \\ 0 & -E_3 & C_3 \\ D_2 & D_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} C_2 \\ C_3 \end{pmatrix} + r(D_2, D_3), \tag{3.47}$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} E_2 & 0 & C_2 \\ 0 & -E_4 & C_4 \\ D_2 & D_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} C_2 \\ C_4 \end{pmatrix} + r(D_2, D_4). \tag{3.48}$$

Substituting  $C_i, D_i$ , and  $E_i$  ( $i = 1, 3$ ) in (3.5) into the rank equality (3.45) and by Lemma 2.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.45) \quad & \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 & 0 & A_{11} & A_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & -T_1 & A_{33} & 0 & 0 & A_{22} \\ B_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{11} & A_{22} & 0 \\ A_{33} & 0 & 0 & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} + r \begin{pmatrix} B_{33} & 0 \\ 0 & B_{11} \end{pmatrix} \\
 & \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & A_{11} & A_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & T_1 & A_{33} & A_{22} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_{11} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{33} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} + r \begin{pmatrix} B_{33} & 0 \\ 0 & B_{11} \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

Hence, it follows from (3.32) and (3.34) that (3.49) holds. Similarly, we can prove that when (3.33), (3.34) hold and (3.33), (3.35) hold, we can get that (3.46) and (3.48) hold, respectively. Thus, Equation (3.20) has a solution if and only if (3.8) holds. That is to say, Equation (1.5) has a solution if and only if (3.8) holds.

(2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) We prove the equivalence in two parts. In the first part, we want to show that (3.30) to (3.37) are equivalent to (3.9) to (3.16), respectively. In the second part, we want to show that (3.47) is equivalent to (3.17).

Part 1. We want to show that (3.30) to (3.37) are equivalent to (3.9) to (3.16), respectively. It follows from Lemma 2.1 and elementary operations to (3.30) that

$$(3.30) \Leftrightarrow r(R_{A_1}BL_{B_{11}}, R_{A_1}A_4, R_{A_1}A_2, R_{A_1}A_3) = r(R_{A_1}A_4, R_{A_1}A_2, R_{A_1}A_3) \\ \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_4 & A_2 & A_3 & A_1 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(A_4, A_2, A_3, A_1) + r(B_1) \Leftrightarrow (17).$$

Similarly, we can show that (3.31) to (3.33) are equivalent to (3.10) to (3.12), respectively. Now, we turn to prove that (3.34) is equivalent to (3.11). It follows from the Lemma 2.1 and elementary transformations that

$$(3.34) \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} R_{A_1}BL_{B_1} & R_{A_1}A_2 & R_{A_1}A_3 \\ B_4L_{B_1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(R_{A_1}A_2, R_{A_1}A_3) + r(B_4L_{B_1}) \\ \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_2 & A_3 & A_1 \\ B_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(A_2, A_3, A_1) + r \begin{pmatrix} B_4 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow (21).$$

Similarly, we can show that (3.35) to (3.37) are equivalent to (3.14) to (3.16). Hence, (3.30) to (3.37) are equivalent to (3.9) to (3.16), respectively.

Part 2. We want to show that (3.47)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3.17). It follows from Lemma 2.1 and elementary operations to (3.47) that

$$(3.47) \Leftrightarrow \\ \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} R_{A_{11}}T_1L_{B_{22}} & 0 & R_{A_{11}}A_{33} \\ 0 & -R_{A_{22}}T_1L_{B_{11}} & R_{A_{22}}A_{33} \\ B_{33}L_{B_{22}} & B_{33}L_{B_{11}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} R_{A_{11}}A_{33} \\ R_{A_{22}}A_{33} \end{pmatrix} + r(B_{33}L_{B_{22}}, B_{33}L_{B_{11}}) \\ \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 & A_{11} & 0 & A_{33} \\ 0 & -T_1 & 0 & A_{22} & A_{33} \\ B_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_{33} & B_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} B_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & B_{11} \\ B_{33} & B_{33} \end{pmatrix} + r \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0 & A_{33} \\ 0 & A_{22} & A_{33} \end{pmatrix} \\ \Leftrightarrow r \begin{pmatrix} B_3 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \\ B_4 & B_4 \\ B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_1 \end{pmatrix} + r \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & 0 & A_4 & A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_3 & A_4 & 0 & A_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ = r \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 & A_2 & 0 & A_4 & A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -B & 0 & A_3 & A_4 & 0 & A_1 \\ B_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_4 & B_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow (3.17).$$

Hence, (3.30) to (3.37) and (3.47) are equivalent to (3.9) to (3.17), respectively.  $\square$

Next, we give the formula of general solution to matrix Equation (1.5) by using Moore–Penrose. According to Theorem 3.2, we get the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let matrix Equation (1.5) be solvable. Then, the general solution to matrix Equation (1.5) can be expressed as*

$$X_1 = A_1^\dagger(B - A_2Y_1B_2 - A_3Y_2B_3 - A_4Y_3B_4) - A_1^\dagger U_1B_1 + L_{A_1}U_2,$$

$$X_2 = R_{A_1}(B - A_2Y_1B_2 - A_3Y_2B_3 - A_4Y_3B_4)B_1^\dagger + A_1A_1^\dagger U_1 + U_3R_{B_1},$$

$$Y_1 = A_{11}^\dagger TB_{11}^\dagger - A_{11}^\dagger A_{22}M_1^\dagger TB_{11}^\dagger - A_{11}^\dagger S_1A_{22}^\dagger TN_1^\dagger B_{22}B_{11}^\dagger \\ - A_{11}^\dagger S_1U_4R_{N_1}B_{22}B_{11}^\dagger + L_{A_{11}}U_5 + U_6R_{B_{11}},$$

$$Y_2 = M_1^\dagger TB_{22}^\dagger + S_1^\dagger S_1A_{22}^\dagger TN_1^\dagger + L_{M_1}L_{S_1}U_7 + U_8R_{B_{22}} + L_{M_1}U_4R_{N_1},$$

$$Y_3 = F_1 + L_{C_2}V_1 + V_2R_{D_1} + L_{C_1}V_3R_{D_2}, \text{ or } Y_3 = F_2 - L_{C_4}W_1 - W_2R_{D_3} - L_{C_3}W_3R_{D_4},$$

where  $T = T_1 - A_{33}Y_3B_{33}$ ,  $U_i (i = \overline{1, 8})$  are arbitrary matrices with appropriate sizes over  $\mathbb{H}$ ,

$$V_1 = (I_m, 0) \left[ C_{11}^\dagger (F - C_{22}V_3D_{22} - C_{33}W_3D_{33}) - C_{11}^\dagger U_{11}D_{11} + L_{C_{11}}U_{12} \right],$$

$$W_1 = (0, I_m) \left[ C_{11}^\dagger (F - C_{22}V_3D_{22} - C_{33}W_3D_{33}) - C_{11}^\dagger U_{11}D_{11} + L_{C_{11}}U_{12} \right],$$

$$W_2 = \left[ R_{C_{11}}(F - C_{22}V_3D_{22} - C_{33}W_3D_{33})D_{11}^\dagger + C_{11}C_{11}^\dagger U_{11} + U_{21}R_{D_{11}} \right] \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_n \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V_2 = \left[ R_{C_{11}}(F - C_{22}V_3D_{22} - C_{33}W_3D_{33})D_{11}^\dagger + C_{11}C_{11}^\dagger U_{11} + U_{21}R_{D_{11}} \right] \begin{pmatrix} I_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V_3 = E_{11}^\dagger FE_{33}^\dagger - E_{11}^\dagger E_{22}M_1^\dagger FE_{33}^\dagger - E_{11}^\dagger SE_{22}^\dagger FN^\dagger E_{44}E_{33}^\dagger - E_{11}^\dagger SU_{31}R_N E_{44}E_{33}^\dagger + L_{E_{11}}U_{32} + U_{33}R_{E_{33}},$$

$$W_3 = M_1^\dagger FE_{44}^\dagger + S_1^\dagger SE_{22}^\dagger FN^\dagger + L_M L_S U_{41} + L_M U_{31}R_N - U_{42}R_{E_{44}},$$

$U_{11}, U_{12}, U_{21}, U_{31}, U_{32}, U_{33}, U_{41}$ , and  $U_{42}$  are arbitrary matrices with appropriate sizes over  $\mathbb{H}$ ,  $m$  is the column number of  $A_4$  and  $n$  is the row number of  $B_4$ .

#### ALGORITHM WITH A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

In this section, we give Algorithm 3.4 with a numerical example to illustrate the main results.

**Algorithm 3.4.** *Algorithm for computing the general solution of Equation (1.5)*

- (1) *Input the quaternion matrices  $A_i, B_i$  ( $i = \overline{1, 4}$ ) and  $B$  with conformable shapes.*
- (2) *Compute all matrices given by (3.4)–(3.7).*
- (3) *Check equalities in (3.8) or (3.9)–(3.17). If not, it returns inconsistent.*
- (4) *Else, compute  $X_i Y_j$  ( $i = \overline{1, 2}, j = \overline{1, 3}$ ).*

**Example 3.5.** *Consider the matrix Equation (1.5). Put*

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{i} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{i} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix}, A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{i} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ B_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{j} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{k} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{k} & \mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 3\mathbf{i} & \mathbf{i} - 1 \\ 0 & \mathbf{j} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Computation directly yields

$$\begin{aligned}
r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_2 & A_3 & A_4 & A_1 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r(B_1) + r(A_2, A_3, A_4, A_1) = 3, \\
r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_2 & A_4 & A_1 \\ B_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r(A_2, A_4, A_1) + r \begin{pmatrix} B_3 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix} = 4, \\
r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_3 & A_4 & A_1 \\ B_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r(A_3, A_4, A_1) + r \begin{pmatrix} B_2 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix} = 4, \\
r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_4 & A_1 \\ B_2 & 0 & 0 \\ B_3 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r \begin{pmatrix} B_2 \\ B_3 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix} + r(A_4, A_1) = 3, \\
r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_2 & A_3 & A_1 \\ B_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r(A_2, A_3, A_1) + r \begin{pmatrix} B_4 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix} = 4, \\
r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_2 & A_1 \\ B_3 & 0 & 0 \\ B_4 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r \begin{pmatrix} B_3 \\ B_4 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix} + r(A_2, A_1) = 3, \\
r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_3 & A_1 \\ B_2 & 0 & 0 \\ B_4 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r \begin{pmatrix} B_2 \\ B_4 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix} + r(A_3, A_1) = 3, \\
r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_1 \\ B_2 & 0 \\ B_3 & 0 \\ B_4 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r \begin{pmatrix} B_2 \\ B_3 \\ B_4 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix} + r(A_1) = 3, \\
r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_2 & A_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & A_4 \\ B_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -B & A_3 & A_1 & A_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_4 & 0 & 0 & B_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r \begin{pmatrix} B_3 & 0 \\ B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \\ 0 & B_1 \\ B_4 & B_4 \end{pmatrix} + r \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & A_1 & 0 & 0 & A_4 \\ 0 & 0 & A_3 & A_1 & A_4 \end{pmatrix} = 7.
\end{aligned}$$

All rank equalities in (3.9) to (3.17) hold. Hence, according to Theorem 3.2, Equation (1.5) has a solution. Moreover, by Theorem 3.3, we have that



$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{i} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{j} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{k} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{k} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Remark 3.6.** *Chu et al. gave potential applications of the maximal and minimal ranks in the discipline of control theory (e.g., [36, 37, 38]). We may consider the rank bounds of the general solution of Equation (1.5).*

#### 4. THE GENERAL SOLUTION TO EQUATION WITH $\eta$ -HERMICITY

In this section, as an application of (1.5), we establish some necessary and sufficient conditions for quaternion matrix Equation (1.7) to have a solution and derive a formula of its general solution involving  $\eta$ -Hermicity.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $A_i$  ( $i = \overline{1,4}$ ) and  $B$  be given matrices with suitable sizes over  $\mathbb{H}$ ,  $B = B^{\eta*}$ . Set*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{A_1}A_2 &= A_{11}, R_{A_1}A_3 = A_{22}, R_{A_1}A_4 = A_{33}, R_{A_{11}}A_{22} = M_1, S_1 = A_{22}L_{M_1}, \\ R_{A_1}B(R_{A_1})^{\eta*} &= T_1, C = R_{M_1}R_{A_{11}}, C_1 = CA_{33}, C_2 = R_{A_{11}}A_{33}, \\ C_3 &= R_{A_{22}}A_{33}, C_4 = A_{33}, E_1 = CT_1, E_2 = R_{A_{11}}T_1(R_{A_{22}})^{\eta*}, E_3 = R_{A_{22}}T_1(R_{A_{11}})^{\eta*}, E_4 = T_1C^{\eta*}, \\ C_{11} &= (L_{C_2}, L_{C_4}), C_{22} = L_{C_1}, C_{33} = L_{C_3}, E_{11} = R_{C_{11}}C_{22}, E_{22} = R_{C_{11}}C_{33}, \\ M &= R_{E_{11}}E_{22}, N = (R_{E_{22}}E_{11})^{\eta*}, F = F_2 - F_1, E = R_{C_{11}}F(R_{C_{11}})^{\eta*}, S = E_{22}L_M, \\ F_{11} &= C_2L_{C_1}, G_1 = E_2 - C_2C_1^\dagger E_1(C_4^{\eta*})^\dagger C_3^{\eta*}, F_{22} = C_4L_{C_3}, G_2 = E_4 - C_4C_3^\dagger E_3(C_2^{\eta*})^\dagger C_1^{\eta*}, \\ F_1 &= C_1^\dagger E_1(C_4^{\eta*})^\dagger + L_{C_1}C_2^\dagger E_2(C_3^{\eta*})^\dagger, F_2 = C_3^\dagger E_3(C_2^{\eta*})^\dagger + L_{C_3}C_4^\dagger E_4(C_1^{\eta*})^\dagger. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) Equation (1.7) is consistent.
- (2)  $R_{C_i}E_i = 0$  ( $i = \overline{1,4}$ ),  $R_{E_{22}}E(R_{E_{22}})^{\eta*} = 0$ .
- (3)

$$\begin{aligned} r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_2 & A_3 & A_4 & A_1 \\ A_1^{\eta*} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r(A_1) + r(A_2, A_3, A_4, A_1), \\ r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_2 & A_3 & A_1 \\ A_4^{\eta*} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_1^{\eta*} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r(A_2, A_3, A_1) + r(A_4, A_1), \\ r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_2 & A_4 & A_1 \\ A_3^{\eta*} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_1^{\eta*} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r(A_2, A_4, A_1) + r(A_3, A_1), \\ r \begin{pmatrix} B & A_3 & A_4 & A_1 \\ A_2^{\eta*} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_1^{\eta*} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r(A_3, A_4, A_1) + r(A_2, A_1), \end{aligned}$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 & A_2 & 0 & A_4 & A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -B & 0 & A_3 & A_4 & 0 & A_1 \\ A_3^{\eta^*} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2^{\eta^*} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_4^{\eta^*} & A_4^{\eta^*} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_1^{\eta^*} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_1^{\eta^*} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2r \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & 0 & A_4 & A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_3 & A_4 & 0 & A_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this case, the general solution to Equation (1.7) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \frac{\widehat{X}_1 + (\widehat{X}_2)^{\eta^*}}{2}, \quad Y_1 = \frac{\widehat{Y}_1 + (\widehat{Y}_1)^{\eta^*}}{2}, \quad Y_2 = \frac{\widehat{Y}_2 + (\widehat{Y}_2)^{\eta^*}}{2}, \quad Y_3 = \frac{\widehat{Y}_3 + (\widehat{Y}_3)^{\eta^*}}{2}, \\ \widehat{X}_1 &= A_1^\dagger (C_1 - A_2 Y_1 A_2^{\eta^*} - A_3 Y_2 A_3^{\eta^*} - A_4 Y_3 A_4^{\eta^*}) + L_{A_1} U_2, \\ \widehat{X}_2 &= R_{A_1} (C_1 - A_2 Y_1 A_2^{\eta^*} - A_3 Y_2 A_3^{\eta^*} - A_4 Y_3 A_4^{\eta^*}) (A_1^\dagger)^{\eta^*} + A_1 A_1^\dagger U_1 + U_3 R_{A_1^{\eta^*}}, \\ \widehat{Y}_1 &= A_{11}^\dagger T (A_{11}^\dagger)^{\eta^*} - A_{11}^\dagger A_{22} M_1^\dagger T (A_{11}^\dagger)^{\eta^*} - A_{11}^\dagger U_4 A_{22}^\dagger T (M_1^\dagger)^{\eta^*} (A_{22}^\dagger)^{\eta^*} + L_{A_{11}} U_5 + U_6 R_{A_{11}^{\eta^*}}, \\ \widehat{Y}_2 &= M_1^\dagger T (A_{22}^\dagger)^{\eta^*} + S_1^\dagger S_1 A_{22}^\dagger T (M_1^\dagger)^{\eta^*} + L_{M_1} L_{S_1} U_7 + U_8 R_{A_{22}^{\eta^*}} + L_{M_1} U_4 R_{M_1^{\eta^*}}, \\ \widehat{Y}_3 &= F_1 + L_{C_2} V_1 + V_2 R_{C_4^{\eta^*}} + L_{C_1} V_3 R_{C_3^{\eta^*}}, \quad \text{or} \quad \widehat{Y}_3 = F_2 - L_{C_4} W_1 - W_2 R_{C_2^{\eta^*}} - L_{C_3} W_3 R_{C_1^{\eta^*}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $T = T_1 - A_{33} Y_3 (A_{33})^{\eta^*}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= (I_m, 0) \left[ C_{11}^\dagger (F - C_{22} V_3 C_{33}^{\eta^*} - C_{33} W_3 C_{22}^{\eta^*}) - C_{11}^\dagger U_{11} C_{11}^{\eta^*} + L_{C_{11}} U_{12} \right], \\ W_1 &= (0, I_m) \left[ C_{11}^\dagger (F - C_{22} V_3 C_{33}^{\eta^*} - C_{33} W_3 C_{22}^{\eta^*}) - C_{11}^\dagger U_{11} C_{11}^{\eta^*} + L_{C_{11}} U_{12} \right], \\ W_2 &= \left[ R_{C_{11}} (F - C_{22} V_3 C_{33}^{\eta^*} - C_{33} W_3 C_{22}^{\eta^*}) (C_{11}^{\eta^*})^\dagger + C_{11} C_{11}^\dagger U_{11} + U_{21} L_{C_{11}}^{\eta^*} \right] \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_n \end{pmatrix}, \\ V_2 &= \left[ R_{C_{11}} (F - C_{22} V_3 C_{33}^{\eta^*} - C_{33} W_3 C_{22}^{\eta^*}) (C_{11}^{\eta^*})^\dagger + C_{11} C_{11}^\dagger U_{11} + U_{21} L_{C_{11}}^{\eta^*} \right] \begin{pmatrix} I_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ V_3 &= E_{11}^\dagger F (E_{22}^{\eta^*})^\dagger - E_{11}^\dagger E_{22} M^\dagger F (E_{22}^{\eta^*})^\dagger - E_{11}^\dagger S E_{22}^\dagger F N^\dagger E_{11}^{\eta^*} (E_{22}^{\eta^*})^\dagger \\ &\quad - E_{11}^\dagger S U_{31} R_N E_{11}^{\eta^*} (E_{22}^{\eta^*})^\dagger + L_{E_{11}} U_{32} + U_{33} L_{E_{22}}^{\eta^*}, \\ W_3 &= M^\dagger F (E_{11}^{\eta^*})^\dagger + S^\dagger S E_{22}^\dagger F N^\dagger + L_M L_S U_{41} + L_M U_{31} R_N - U_{42} L_{E_{11}}^{\eta^*}, \end{aligned}$$

$U_{11}, U_{12}, U_{21}, U_{31}, U_{32}, U_{33}, U_{41}$ , and  $U_{42}$  are any matrices with suitable dimensions over  $\mathbb{H}$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to show that (1.7) has a solution if and only if the following matrix equation has a solution:

$$A_1 \widehat{X}_1 + \widehat{X}_2 A_1^{\eta^*} + A_2 \widehat{Y}_1 A_2^{\eta^*} + A_3 \widehat{Y}_2 A_3^{\eta^*} + A_4 \widehat{Y}_3 A_4^{\eta^*} = B. \quad (4.1)$$

If (1.7) has a solution, say,  $(X_1, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3)$ , then

$$(\widehat{X}_1, \widehat{X}_2, \widehat{Y}_1, \widehat{Y}_2, \widehat{Y}_3) := (X_1, X_1^{\eta^*}, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3)$$

is a solution of (4.1). Conversely, if (4.1) has a solution, say

$$(\widehat{X}_1, \widehat{X}_2, \widehat{Y}_1, \widehat{Y}_2, \widehat{Y}_3).$$

It is easy to show that (1.7) has a solution

$$(X_1, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) := \left( \frac{\widehat{X}_1 + (\widehat{X}_2)^{\eta^*}}{2}, \frac{\widehat{Y}_1 + (\widehat{Y}_1)^{\eta^*}}{2}, \frac{\widehat{Y}_2 + (\widehat{Y}_2)^{\eta^*}}{2}, \frac{\widehat{Y}_3 + (\widehat{Y}_3)^{\eta^*}}{2} \right).$$

□

Letting  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  vanish in Theorem 3.2, it yields to the following result.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $A_{ii}, B_{ii}$  ( $i = \overline{1,3}$ ), and  $T_1$  be given matrices with appropriate sizes over  $\mathbb{H}$ . Set*

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= R_{A_{11}} A_{22}, \quad N_1 = B_{22} L_{B_{11}}, \quad S_1 = A_{22} L_{M_1}, \\ C &= R_{M_1} R_{A_{11}}, \quad C_1 = C A_{33}, \quad C_2 = R_{A_{11}} A_{33}, \quad C_3 = R_{A_{22}} A_{33}, \quad C_4 = A_{33}, \\ D &= L_{B_{11}} L_{N_1}, \quad D_1 = B_{33}, \quad D_2 = B_{33} L_{B_{22}}, \quad D_3 = B_{33} L_{B_{11}}, \quad D_4 = B_{33} D, \\ E_1 &= C T_1, \quad E_2 = R_{A_{11}} T_1 L_{B_{22}}, \quad E_3 = R_{A_{22}} T_1 L_{B_{11}}, \quad E_4 = T_1 D, \\ C_{11} &= (L_{C_2}, L_{C_4}), \quad D_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{D_1} \\ R_{D_3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_{22} = L_{C_1}, \quad D_{22} = R_{D_2}, \quad C_{33} = L_{C_3}, \\ D_{33} &= R_{D_4}, \quad E_{11} = R_{C_{11}} C_{22}, \quad E_{22} = R_{C_{11}} C_{33}, \quad E_{33} = D_{22} L_{D_{11}}, \quad E_{44} = D_{33} L_{D_{11}}, \\ M &= R_{E_{11}} E_{22}, \quad N = E_{44} L_{E_{33}}, \quad F = F_2 - F_1, \quad E = R_{C_{11}} F L_{D_{11}}, \quad S = E_{22} L_M, \\ F_{11} &= C_2 L_{C_1}, \quad G_1 = E_2 - C_2 C_1^\dagger E_1 D_1^\dagger D_2, \quad F_{22} = C_4 L_{C_3}, \quad G_2 = E_4 - C_4 C_3^\dagger E_3 D_3^\dagger D_4, \\ F_1 &= C_1^\dagger E_1 D_1^\dagger + L_{C_1} C_2^\dagger E_2 D_2^\dagger, \quad F_2 = C_3^\dagger E_3 D_3^\dagger + L_{C_3} C_4^\dagger E_4 D_4^\dagger. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) Equation (1.6) is consistent.
- (2)  $R_{C_i} E_i = 0$ ,  $E_i L_{D_i} = 0$  ( $i = \overline{1,4}$ ),  $R_{E_{22}} E L_{E_{33}} = 0$ .
- (3)

$$r(T_1, A_{11}, A_{22}, A_{33}) = r(A_{11}, A_{22}, A_{33}),$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} T_1 \\ B_{11} \\ B_{22} \\ B_{33} \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} \\ B_{22} \\ B_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad r \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & A_{11} & A_{22} \\ B_{33} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(A_{11}, A_{22}) + r(B_{33}),$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & A_{11} & A_{33} \\ B_{22} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(A_{11}, A_{33}) + r(B_{22}),$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & A_{33} & A_{22} \\ B_{11} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(A_{33}, A_{22}) + r(B_{11}), \quad r \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & A_{33} \\ B_{11} & 0 \\ B_{22} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} \\ B_{22} \end{pmatrix} + r(A_{33}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
r \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 & A_{11} & 0 & A_{33} \\ 0 & -T_1 & 0 & A_{22} & A_{33} \\ B_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_{33} & B_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r \begin{pmatrix} B_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & B_{11} \\ B_{33} & B_{33} \end{pmatrix} + r \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0 & A_{33} \\ 0 & A_{22} & A_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \\
r \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & A_{22} \\ B_{11} & 0 \\ B_{33} & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= r \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} \\ B_{33} \end{pmatrix} + r(A_{22}), \quad r \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & A_{11} \\ B_{33} & 0 \\ B_{22} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} B_{33} \\ B_{22} \end{pmatrix} + r(A_{11}).
\end{aligned}$$

In this case, the general solution to Equation (1.6) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_1 &= A_{11}^\dagger T B_{11}^\dagger - A_{11}^\dagger A_{22} M_1^\dagger T B_{11}^\dagger - A_{11}^\dagger S_1 A_{22}^\dagger T N_1^\dagger B_{22} B_{11}^\dagger \\
&\quad - A_{11}^\dagger S_1 U_4 R_{N_1} B_{22} B_{11}^\dagger + L_{A_{11}} U_5 + U_6 R_{B_{11}}, \\
Y_2 &= M_1^\dagger T B_{22}^\dagger + S_1^\dagger S_1 A_{22}^\dagger T N_1^\dagger + L_{M_1} L_{S_1} U_7 + U_8 R_{B_{22}} + L_{M_1} U_4 R_{N_1}, \\
Y_3 &= F_1 + L_{C_2} V_1 + V_2 R_{D_1} + L_{C_1} V_3 R_{D_2}, \text{ or } Y_3 = F_2 - L_{C_4} W_1 - W_2 R_{D_3} - L_{C_3} W_3 R_{D_4},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $T = T_1 - A_{33} Y_3 B_{33}$ ,  $U_i (i = 1, \dots, 8)$  are any matrices with suitable dimensions over  $\mathbb{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1 &= (I_m, 0) \left[ C_{11}^\dagger (F - C_{22} V_3 D_{22} - C_{33} W_3 D_{33}) - C_{11}^\dagger U_{11} D_{11} + L_{C_{11}} U_{12} \right], \\
W_1 &= (0, I_m) \left[ C_{11}^\dagger (F - C_{22} V_3 D_{22} - C_{33} W_3 D_{33}) - C_{11}^\dagger U_{11} D_{11} + L_{C_{11}} U_{12} \right], \\
W_2 &= \left[ R_{C_{11}} (F - C_{22} V_3 D_{22} - C_{33} W_3 D_{33}) D_{11}^\dagger + C_{11} C_{11}^\dagger U_{11} + U_{21} R_{D_{11}} \right] \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_n \end{pmatrix}, \\
V_2 &= \left[ R_{C_{11}} (F - C_{22} V_3 D_{22} - C_{33} W_3 D_{33}) D_{11}^\dagger + C_{11} C_{11}^\dagger U_{11} + U_{21} R_{D_{11}} \right] \begin{pmatrix} I_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
V_3 &= E_{11}^\dagger F E_{33}^\dagger - E_{11}^\dagger E_{22} M_1^\dagger F E_{33}^\dagger - E_{11}^\dagger S E_{22}^\dagger F N_1^\dagger E_{44} E_{33}^\dagger - E_{11}^\dagger S U_{31} R_N E_{44} E_{33}^\dagger + L_{E_{11}} U_{32} + U_{33} R_{E_{33}}, \\
W_3 &= M_1^\dagger F E_{44}^\dagger + S^\dagger S E_{22}^\dagger F N_1^\dagger + L_M L_S U_{41} + L_M U_{31} R_N - U_{42} R_{E_{44}},
\end{aligned}$$

$U_{11}, U_{12}, U_{21}, U_{31}, U_{32}, U_{33}, U_{41}$ , and  $U_{42}$  are any matrices with suitable dimensions over  $\mathbb{H}$ .

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

We have established the solvability conditions and an exact formula of a general solution to quaternion matrix Equation (1.5). As an application of Equation (1.5), we also have established some necessary and sufficient conditions for Equation (1.7) to have a solution and derived a formula of its general solution involving  $\eta$ -Hermicity. The quaternion matrix Equation (1.5) plays a key role in studying the solvability conditions and general solutions of other types of matrix equations. For example, we can use the results on Equation (1.5) to investigate the solvability conditions and the general solution of the following system of quaternion matrix

equations

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 Y_1 &= C_2, \quad Y_1 B_2 = D_2, \\ A_3 Y_2 &= C_3, \quad Y_2 B_3 = D_3, \\ A_4 Y_3 &= C_4, \quad Y_3 B_4 = D_4, \\ G_1 Y_1 H_1 + G_2 Y_2 H_2 + G_3 Y_3 H_3 &= G \end{aligned}$$

where  $Y_1, Y_2$  and  $Y_3$  are unknown quaternion matrices and the others are given.

It is worth mentioning that the main results of (1.5) are available over not only  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  but also any division ring. Moreover, inspired by [39], we can investigate Equation (1.5) in tensor form.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Took, C.C.; Mandic, D.P. Augmented second-order statistics of quaternion random signals. *Signal Process.* **2011**, *91*, 214–224.
- [2] Qi, L.; Luo, Z.Y.; Wang, Q.W.; Zhang, X.Z. Quaternion matrix optimization: Motivation and analysis. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **2021**, *193*, 621–648. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10957-021-01906-y>.
- [3] Jia, Z.G.; Ling, S.T.; Zhao, M.X. Color two-dimensional principal component analysis for face recognition based on quaternion model. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Intelligent Computing: Intelligent Computing Theories and Application, Liverpool, UK, 7–10 August 2017; pp. 177–189.
- [4] Wang, Q.W.; Wang, X.X. Arnoldi method for large quaternion right eigenvalue problem. *J. Sci. Comput.* **2020**, *58*, 1–20.
- [5] Shahzad, A.; Jones, B.L.; Kerrigan, E.C.; Constantinides, G.A. An efficient algorithm for the solution of a coupled sylvester equation appearing in descriptor systems. *Automatica* **2011**, *47*, 24–48.
- [6] Syrmos, V.L.; Lewis, F.L. Coupled and constrained Sylvester equations in system design. *Circuits Syst. Signal Process.* **1994**, *13*, 66–94.
- [7] Li, R.C. A bound on the solution to a structured Sylvester equation with an application to relative perturbation theory. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **1999**, *21*, 44–45.
- [8] Barraud, A.; Lesecq, S.; Christov, N. From sensitivity analysis to random floating point arithmetics-application to Sylvester equations. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Numerical Analysis and Its Applications, Rousse, Bulgaria, 11–15 June 2000; Volume 1998; p. 351.
- [9] Saberi, A.; Stoorvogel, A.A.; Sannuti, P. *Control of Linear Systems with Regulation and Input Constraints*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2003.
- [10] Darouach, M. Solution to Sylvester equation associated to linear descriptor systems. *Syst. Control Lett.* **2006**, *55*, 835–838.
- [11] Castelan, E.B.; Gomes da Silva, V. On the solution of a Sylvester matrix equation appearing in descriptor systems control theory. *Syst. Control Lett.* **2005**, *54*, 109–117.
- [12] Roth, W.E. The equations  $AX - YB = C$  and  $AX - XB = C$  in matrices. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1952**, *3*, 392–396.
- [13] Baksalary, J.K.; Kala, R. The matrix equations  $AX - YB = C$ . *Linear Algebra Appl.* **1979**, *25*, 41–43.
- [14] Baksalary, J.K.; Kala, R. The matrix equations  $AXB + CYD = E$ . *Linear Algebra Appl.* **1979**, *30*, 141–147.
- [15] Özgüler, A.B. The matrix equation  $AXB + CYD = E$  over a principal ideal domain. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **1991**, *12*, 581–591.
- [16] Wang, Q.W. A system of matrix equations and a linear matrix equation over arbitrary regular ring with identity. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **2004**, *384*, 43–54.
- [17] Liu, X. The  $\eta$ -anti-Hermitian solution to some classic matrix equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2018**, *320*, 264–270.

- [18] Liu, X.; Zhang, Y. Consistency of split quaternion matrix equations  $AX^* - XB = CY + D$  and  $X - AX^*B = CY + D$ . *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **2019**, *64*, 1–20.
- [19] Liu, X.; Song, G.J.; Zhang, Y. Determinantal representations of the solutions to systems of generalized Sylvester equations. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **2019**, *12*, 1–19.
- [20] Mehany, M.S.; Wang, Q.W. Three symmetrical systems of coupled Sylvester-like quaternion matrix equations. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 550. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14030550>.
- [21] Jiang, J.; Li, N. An iterative algorithm for the generalized reflexive solution group of a system of quaternion matrix equations. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 776.
- [22] Liu, L.S.; Wang, Q.W.; Chen, J.F.; Xie, Y.Z. An exact solution to a quaternion matrix equation with an application. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 375.
- [23] Wang, Q.W.; Rehman, A.; He, Z.H.; Zhang, Y. Constrained generalized Sylvester matrix equations. *Automatica* **2016**, *69*, 60–64.
- [24] Wang, Q.W.; He, Z.H.; Zhang, Y. Constrained two-sided coupled Sylvester-type quaternion matrix equations. *Automatica* **2019**, *101*, 207–213.
- [25] Rodman, L. *Topics in Quaternion Linear Algebra*; Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, USA, 2014.
- [26] Jia, Z.G.; Ng, M.K.; Song, G.J. Robust quaternion matrix completion with applications to image inpainting. *Numer. Linear Algebra Appl.* **2019**, *26*, 1–35.
- [27] Yu, S.W.; He, Z.H.; Qi, T.C.; Wang, X.X. The equivalence canonical form of five quaternion matrices with applications to imaging and Sylvester-type equations. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **2021**, *393*, 113494.
- [28] Yuan, S.F.; Wang, Q.W.; Duan, X.F. On solutions of the quaternion matrix equation  $AX = B$  and their applications in color image restoration. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **2013**, *221*, 10–20.
- [29] He, Z.H. Some new results on a system of Sylvester-type quaternion matrix equations. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **2021**, *69*, 3069–3091.
- [30] Kyrchei, I. Cramers rules for Sylvester quaternion matrix equation and its special cases. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **2018**, *28*, 1–26.
- [31] Wang, Q.W.; He, Z.H. Some matrix equations with applications. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **2012**, *60*, 1327–1353.
- [32] Zhang, Y.; Wang, R.H. The exact solution of a system of quaternion matrix equations involving  $\eta$ -Hermiticity. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2013**, *222*, 201–209.
- [33] Took, C.C.; Mandic, D.P.; Zhang, F.Z. On the unitary diagonalization of a special class of quaternion matrices. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2011**, *24*, 1806–1809.
- [34] Marsaglia, G.; Styan, G.P. Equalities and inequalities for ranks of matrices. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **1974**, *2*, 269–292.
- [35] He, Z.H.; Wang, Q.W. A real quaternion matrix equation with applications. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **2013**, *61*, 725–740.
- [36] Chu, D.L.; Chan, H.; Ho, D.W.C. Regularization of singular systems by derivative and proportional output feedback. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **1998**, *19*, 21–38.
- [37] Chu, D.L.; De Lathauwer, L.; Moor, B. On the computation of restricted singular value decomposition via cosine-sine decomposition. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **2000**, *22*, 550–601.
- [38] Chu, D.L.; Hung, Y.S.; Woerdeman, H.J. Inertia and rank characterizations of some matrix expressions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **2009**, *31*, 1187–1226.
- [39] Li, T.; Wang, Q.W.; Zhang, X.F. A Modified conjugate residual method and nearest kronecker product preconditioner for the generalized coupled Sylvester tensor equations. *Mathematics* **2022**, *10*, 1730.