

BORN-INFELD PROBLEM WITH GENERAL NONLINEARITY

JAROSŁAW MEDERSKI AND ALESSIO POMPONIO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, using variational methods, we look for non-trivial solutions for the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} (a(|\nabla u|^2)\nabla u) = g(u), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \ N \geq 3, \\ u(x) \rightarrow 0, & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty, \end{cases}$$

under general assumptions on the continuous nonlinearity g . We assume only growth conditions of g at 0, however no growth conditions at infinity are imposed. If $a(s) = (1 - s)^{-1/2}$, we obtain the well-known Born-Infeld operator, but we are able to study also a general class of a such that $a(s) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $s \rightarrow 1^-$. We find a radial solution to the problem with finite energy.

1. INTRODUCTION

Almost a century ago, Born and Infeld introduced a new electromagnetic theory in a series of papers (see [16–19]) as a nonlinear alternative to the classical Maxwell theory. This theory was proposed to provide a model presenting a unitarian point of view to describe electrodynamics and had the notable feature to be a fine answer to the well-known *infinity energy problem*. In the Born-Infeld model, indeed, the electromagnetic field generated by a point charge has finite energy. A crucial role is played by the following peculiar differential operator

$$\mathcal{Q}(u) = -\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 - |\nabla u|^2}} \right).$$

Such operator is present also in classical relativity, where it represents the mean curvature operator in Lorentz-Minkowski space, see for instance [6, 20].

In last years many authors focused their attention to problems related to \mathcal{Q} in the whole \mathbb{R}^N , with $N \geq 1$. In particular, some results for

$$-\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 - |\nabla u|^2}} \right) = \rho, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

can be found in [10, 12–15, 24, 27, 28], under different assumptions on ρ . Here ρ can be considered as an assigned charges source. See also [5], where the Born-Infeld equation is coupled with the nonlinear Schrödinger one.

Few is still known, at contrary, in presence of a nonlinearity, namely for equations of this type

$$(1.1) \quad -\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 - |\nabla u|^2}} \right) = g(u), \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A15, 35J25, 35J93, 35Q75.

Key words and phrases. Born-Infeld theory, mean curvature operator, Lorentz-Minkowski space, nonlinear scalar field equation, variational methods.

A. Pomponio is partially supported by PRIN 2017JPCAPN *Qualitative and quantitative aspects of nonlinear PDEs*. J. Mederski is partially supported by the National Science Centre, Poland, Grant No. 2017/26/E/ST1/00817 and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project-ID 258734477 – SFB 1173.

Let us observe that classical variational techniques do not work directly for this problem, due to the particular nature of the operator \mathcal{Q} . Indeed, at least formally, solutions of (1.1) are critical points of the functional

$$I(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla u|^2}\right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u) dx,$$

where G is a primitive of g . However, since we have to impose the condition $|\nabla u| \leq 1$, a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N , the lack of regularity of the functional on the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |\nabla u| = 1\}$ requires different and non-standard strategies.

One of the first paper dealing with this kind of problem using variational methods is [11], where $g(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$, for $p > 2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ and $N \geq 3$. By means of suitable truncation arguments (that will be crucial in our approach, as we will see later), the existence of *finite energy* solutions is proved.

We mention, moreover, [2, 3, 33] where (1.1) has been studied by means of ODE-techniques finding solutions which could have infinite energy. In particular, in [2, 3], the existence of positive or sign-changing radial solutions is considered for a pure power nonlinearity or under suitable sign assumptions on g (a prototype of such nonlinearity is $g(s) = -\lambda s + s^p$, for $\lambda > 0$ and $p > 1$). In [33], instead, the existence of oscillating solutions of (1.1), namely with an unbounded sequence of zeros, is proved for nonlinearities such that $g'(0) > 0$. Finally, in [7], a similar problem is considered in an exterior domain.

Our aim is to show existence of finite energy radial solutions involving a large class of operators and nonlinearities in the spirit of Berestycki and Lions [8, 9] and we will present an adequate variational approach for the problem. More precisely we consider

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} (a(|\nabla u|^2) \nabla u) = g(u), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, N \geq 3, \\ u(x) \rightarrow 0, & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty, \end{cases}$$

under the following assumptions on a :

(a0) $a : [0, 1) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is continuous, of class \mathcal{C}^1 on $(0, 1)$, and $[0, 1) \ni s \mapsto a(s)s$ is strictly convex;

(a1) $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1^-} a(s) = +\infty$;

and on the nonlinearity g :

(g0) $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and odd;

(g1) for some $\gamma \geq 2^*/2$, we have

$$-\infty < \liminf_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{|s|^{\gamma-1}} \leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{|s|^{\gamma-1}} = -m < 0;$$

(g2) there exists $\xi_0 > 0$ such that $G(\xi_0) > 0$, where

$$G(s) = \int_0^s g(t) dt, \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Clearly, $a(s) = (1 - s)^\alpha$ with $\alpha < 0$ satisfies (a0), (a1), and we get the operator \mathcal{Q} for $\alpha = -1/2$. Another important example is the following general mean curvature operator arising in the study of hypersurfaces in the Lorentz–Minkowski space \mathbb{L}^{N+1} and in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} given by

$$(1.3) \quad a(s) := \beta(1 - s)^{-1/2} - \gamma(1 + s)^{-1/2}, \quad \beta > 0, \gamma \geq 0,$$

see [20, 23, 29] and references therein.

With regard to g , by assumption (g1), the problem is in the so called *positive mass case*. We will consider also the *zero mass case* namely, instead of (g1), we will assume

(g1') for some $\gamma > 2^*$, we have

$$-\infty < \liminf_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{|s|^{\gamma-1}} \leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{|s|^{\gamma-1}} = 0.$$

If the constant γ in the assumption (g1') is not greater than N , we need also a condition at infinity on g . More precisely, we require

$$(g1'') \text{ whenever } N \geq \gamma > 2^*, \limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} g(s)/|s|^{q^*-1} = 0, \text{ for some } q \in \left(\frac{N\gamma}{N+\gamma}, N\right),$$

where $q^* = \frac{qN}{N-q}$. Observe that, clearly, we have $2^* < \gamma < q^*$ and it is easy to see that a pure power non-linearity $g(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$, with $p > 2^*$, satisfies assumptions (g1') and (g1''). Therefore we generalize the existence results contained in [11].

We recall that these kinds of hypotheses on g have been introduced for the first time in [8, 9] for the study of

$$(1.4) \quad -\Delta u = g(u), \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $\gamma = 2$. However, we want to remark that, in contrast to what happens in these previous papers, in our case there is no assumption on the behaviour at infinity of g in the positive mass case or in the zero mass case if, in (g1'), $\gamma > N$. This is a direct consequence of the natural framework associated to (1.2) which has to take in account the condition $|\nabla u| \leq 1$, a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N : this assures that each function is, actually, bounded. See Section 2 for more details.

An intermediate step for the study of (1.2), based on an approximation argument, has been widely studied in the literature, e.g. see [34] and references therein. Indeed by the Taylor expansion of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|u|}}$ to the k -th order, we arrive at the approximated problem

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{Q}(u) \approx -\Delta u - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_4 u - \frac{3}{2 \cdot 2^2}\Delta_6 u - \dots - \frac{(2k-3)!!}{(k-1)! \cdot 2^{k-1}}\Delta_{2k} u = g(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Note that [34] deals precisely with (1.5), where g satisfying more restrictive Berestycki-Lions-type assumptions. In [34] (see also the references therein), it is not clear if one can solve (1.1) passing to the limit, as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. We would like to mention that some partial results using this approximation process have been obtained only in case of the fixed charges source ρ on the right hand side instead of the nonlinear term $g(u)$, see e.g. [12, 13, 27, 28]. Therefore (1.1) requires a different variational approach presented in this work.

Our main result reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that a satisfies (a0), (a1) and g satisfies (g0) and (g2). If, in addition, (g1) holds, or $\gamma > N$ and (g1') holds, or $\gamma \leq N$ and both (g1'), (g1'') hold, then there exists a nontrivial radial solution u of (1.2) such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(|\nabla u|^2) dx, \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(|\nabla u|^2)|\nabla u|^2 dx, \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G(u)| dx < +\infty,$$

where $A(s) = \int_0^s a(t) dt$.

We use a truncation argument applied to a similarly as in [11] but due to the lack of scaling of the nonlinearity we use a different variational approach for (1.2). Inspired by [25, 26] (see also [1, 4, 21, 22]), we will adapt for our problem the method explored considering an auxiliary functional that allows to construct a suitable Palais-Smale sequence, which almost satisfies a Pohozaev type identity. The compactness properties of the general nonlinear term will be investigated similarly as in [31, 32], see Sections 3 and 4 for more details.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce our functional framework and some technical tools. Section 3 and Section 4 will be devoted, respectively, to the positive mass case and to the zero mass one and, therein, we will prove our main result.

We conclude this introduction fixing some notations. For any $p \geq 1$, we denote by $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the usual Lebesgue spaces equipped by the standard norm $|\cdot|_p$. In our estimates, we will frequently denote by $C > 0$, $c > 0$ fixed constants, that may change from line to line, but are always independent of the variable under consideration. We also use the notation $o_n(1)$ to indicate a quantity which goes to zero as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Moreover, for any $R > 0$, we denote by B_R the ball of \mathbb{R}^N centred in the origin with radius R . Finally, if u is a radial function of \mathbb{R}^N , with an abuse of notation, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we denote $u(x) = u(r)$, with $r = |x|$.

Acknowledgements. This work has been partially carried out during a stay of J.M. at Karlsruhe Institute of Technology. This work has been also partially carried out during a stay of A.P. in Poland at Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń, and at Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Sciences in Warsaw. J.M and A.P. would like to express their deep gratitude to these prestigious institutions for the support and warm hospitality.

The authors wish to thank Prof. Antonio Azzollini for many inspiring comments and discussions.

2. FUNCTIONAL FRAMEWORK

In this section we introduce the functional framework related to (1.2) with some useful continuous and compact embedding properties. Moreover, following [11], we present a truncated problem which will play a crucial role in our arguments.

Take any $q > 2$. Let $\mathcal{X}_0^{2,q}$ be the completion of $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the following norm

$$\|u\|_0 = (|\nabla u|_2^2 + |\nabla u|_q^2)^{1/2}.$$

Recall that

$$\mathcal{X}_0^{2,q} \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \text{for } p \in \begin{cases} [2^*, q^*] & \text{if } q < N, \\ [2^*, +\infty) & \text{if } q = N, \\ [2^*, +\infty] & \text{if } q > N, \end{cases}$$

and, denoting

$$\mathcal{X}_0 := \mathcal{X}_{0,\text{rad}}^{2,q} = \{u \in \mathcal{X}_0^{2,q} : u \text{ radially symmetric}\},$$

we have

$$\mathcal{X}_0 \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \text{for } p \in \begin{cases} (2^*, q^*) & \text{if } q < N, \\ (2^*, +\infty) & \text{if } q \geq N, \end{cases}$$

see e.g. [11, 34]. Moreover, as in [11, 35], we have the following

Lemma 2.1. *Let $p \in [2, q]$, if $q < N$, and $p \in [2, N)$, if $q \geq N$. Then there exists $C > 0$ (depending only on N and p) such that for all $u \in \mathcal{X}_0$, there holds*

$$|u(x)| \leq C|x|^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} |\nabla u|_p,$$

for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$.

In the positive mass case we always assume that $q > N$ and let $\mathcal{X}^{2,q,\gamma}$ be the completion of $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the following norm

$$\|u\| = (|\nabla u|_2^2 + |\nabla u|_q^2 + |u|_\gamma^2)^{1/2}$$

and, clearly, if $\gamma \geq 2^*$, then $\mathcal{X}^{2,q,\gamma}$ and $\mathcal{X}_0^{2,q}$ coincides. Moreover $\mathcal{X}^{2,q,\gamma}$ is continuously embedded into $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $p \in [\min\{2^*, \gamma\}, +\infty]$ and

$$\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{X}_{\text{rad}}^{2,q,\gamma} = \{u \in \mathcal{X}^{2,q,\gamma} : u \text{ radially symmetric}\}$$

embeds compactly into $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for $p \in (\min\{2^*, \gamma\}, +\infty)$.

Similarly as in [11] for \mathcal{Q} we introduce a truncated problem. Let us fix $\theta_1 \in (0, 1)$. For any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$ we fix $q = q(\theta) > N$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad q \geq 2 \frac{a'(1-\theta)(1-\theta) + a(1-\theta)}{a(1-\theta)}.$$

Then we define a continuous function $a_\theta : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$a_\theta(s) := \begin{cases} a(s) & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq 1-\theta, \\ (1-\theta)^{-\frac{q-2}{2}} a(1-\theta) s^{\frac{q-2}{2}} & \text{if } s > 1-\theta. \end{cases}$$

The functions $a_\theta(s)$ and $\varphi(s) := a_\theta(s)s$ are differentiable in $[0, +\infty) \setminus \{1-\theta\}$ and, by (2.1) and (a0), we deduce that $\varphi'(s_1) < \varphi'_-(1-\theta) \leq \varphi'_+(1-\theta) < \varphi'(s_2)$, for any $s_1 < 1-\theta < s_2$.

Lemma 2.2. *The map $\varphi(s)$ is strictly convex.*

Proof. Clearly φ is strictly convex on $[0, 1-\theta]$ and on $[1-\theta, +\infty)$. Take $0 < s < 1-\theta < t$. If $\frac{s+t}{2} \leq 1-\theta$, then by the convexity we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(s) - \varphi\left(\frac{s+t}{2}\right) &> \varphi'\left(\frac{s+t}{2}\right)\left(s - \frac{s+t}{2}\right), \\ \varphi(1-\theta) - \varphi\left(\frac{s+t}{2}\right) &> \varphi'\left(\frac{s+t}{2}\right)\left(1-\theta - \frac{s+t}{2}\right), \\ \varphi(t) - \varphi(1-\theta) &> \varphi'_+(1-\theta)(t - 1 + \theta). \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.1) we get $\varphi'_+(1-\theta) \geq \varphi'\left(\frac{s+t}{2}\right)$ and we conclude

$$\frac{\varphi(s) + \varphi(t)}{2} > \varphi\left(\frac{s+t}{2}\right).$$

Similarly we argue if $\frac{s+t}{2} > 1-\theta$ and we conclude. \square

For the positive mass case we will consider the following truncated problem

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(a_\theta(|\nabla u|^2)\nabla u) u = g(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in \mathcal{X}. \end{cases}$$

For the zero mass case, instead, we will consider the following truncated problem

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(a_\theta(|\nabla u|^2)\nabla u) u = g(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in \mathcal{X}_0. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, if u_θ is a solution of (2.2) or of (2.3) such that $|\nabla u_\theta| \leq 1-\theta$, then u_θ is a solution also of (1.2).

Observe that there exists $\bar{c}_\theta = \bar{c}_\theta(\theta) > 0$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \bar{c}(s^2 + |s|^q) \leq a_\theta(s^2)s^2 \leq \bar{c}_\theta(s^2 + |s|^q), \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(2.5) \quad \bar{c}(s^2 + |s|^q) \leq A_\theta(s^2) \leq \bar{c}_\theta(s^2 + |s|^q), \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $A_\theta(s) = \int_0^s a_\theta(t) dt$ and

$$\bar{c} := \frac{2}{q} \cdot \frac{(1-\theta_1)^{\frac{q-2}{2}}}{1 + (1-\theta_1)^{q-2}} \cdot \min_{s \in [0,1)} a(s)$$

is independent of θ .

We conclude this section with the following lemma, which is also new for \mathcal{Q} and which will play a crucial role in our arguments.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in \mathcal{X}_0 and*

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(|\nabla u_n|^2) |\nabla u_n|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(|\nabla u_0|^2) |\nabla u_0|^2 dx.$$

Then $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ strongly in \mathcal{X}_0 .

Proof. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $\varphi(v) := a_\theta(|v|^2)|v|^2$, for $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$. By Lemma 2.2, φ is strictly convex, hence the map $\Phi : \mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\Phi(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(\nabla u) dx, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{X}_0,$$

is well defined and strictly convex as well. So, since $\frac{1}{2}(\nabla u_n + \nabla u_0) \rightharpoonup \nabla u_0$, we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}(\nabla u_n + \nabla u_0)\right) dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(\nabla u_0) dx.$$

Then, taking into account the convexity of φ , we know that, a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N ,

$$\xi_n := \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(\nabla u_n) + \varphi(\nabla u_0)) - \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}(\nabla u_n + \nabla u_0)\right) \geq 0,$$

hence, by (2.6) and (2.7),

$$(2.8) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi_n dx = 0.$$

For any $k \geq 1$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_k &:= \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(v_1) + \varphi(v_2)) - \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}(v_1 + v_2)\right) : v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ s.t. } |v_1|, |v_2| \leq k, |v_1 - v_2| \geq \frac{1}{k} \right\}, \\ \Omega_{n,k} &:= \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |\nabla u_n|, |\nabla u_0| \leq k, |\nabla u_n - \nabla u_0| \geq \frac{1}{k} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu_k > 0$, by the strict convexity of φ , and (2.8) holds, we infer that the Lebesgue measure $|\Omega_{n,k}| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Take any $\varepsilon > 0$, we find a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ such that $|\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{n_k,k}| < \varepsilon$. Again letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and passing to a subsequence we obtain that $\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u_0$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^N . Note that a_θ is of class \mathcal{C}^1 on $(0, 1 - \theta)$ and $(1 - \theta, +\infty)$, hence φ' exists almost everywhere. Now take $s \in [0, 1]$, by (2.4) we observe that the sequence $\{\varphi'(\nabla u_n - s\nabla u_0)\nabla u_0\}$ is uniformly integrable and tight and converges a.e. to $\varphi'((1-s)\nabla u_0)\nabla u_0$. In view of the Vitali Convergence Theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(\nabla u_n) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(\nabla u_n - \nabla u_0) dx &= \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi'(\nabla u_n - s\nabla u_0) \nabla u_0 dx ds \\ &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi'((1-s)\nabla u_0) \nabla u_0 dx ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(\nabla u_0) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since (2.6) holds, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(\nabla u_n - \nabla u_0) dx \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, and by (2.4) we conclude. \square

3. THE POSITIVE MASS CASE

In this section we deal with the positive mass case, namely, we will assume on g (g0), (g1) and (g2).

Let $g_1(s) := \max\{g(s) + ms^{\gamma-1}, 0\}$, for $s \geq 0$, and $g_2(s) = g_1(s) - g(s)$, for $s \geq 0$, and $g_i(s) = -g_i(-s)$ for $s < 0$. Then $g_1(s), g_2(s) \geq 0$, for $s \geq 0$,

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} g_1(s)/s^{\gamma-1} = 0,$$

$$(3.2) \quad g_2(s) \geq ms^{\gamma-1}, \quad \text{for } s \geq 0.$$

If we set

$$G_i(s) = \int_0^s g_i(t) dt, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2,$$

then by (3.2) we have

$$(3.3) \quad G_2(s) \geq \frac{m}{\gamma} |s|^\gamma, \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By (g1) and (3.1), we have that there exist two fixed positive constants, \bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2 such that

$$(3.4) \quad |g(s)| \leq \bar{c}_1 |s|^{\gamma-1}, \quad \text{for all } |s| \leq \bar{c}_2,$$

$$(3.5) \quad |G(s)| \leq \bar{c}_1 |s|^\gamma, \quad \text{for all } |s| \leq \bar{c}_2,$$

$$(3.6) \quad |g_1(s)| \leq \bar{c}_1 |s|^{\gamma-1}, \quad \text{for all } |s| \leq \bar{c}_2,$$

$$(3.7) \quad |G_1(s)| \leq \bar{c}_1 |s|^\gamma, \quad \text{for all } |s| \leq \bar{c}_2.$$

Lemma 3.1. *For any $u \in \mathcal{X}$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u) dx$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(u)u dx$ are well defined. The same is true for $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_i(u) dx$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_i(u)u dx$, for $i = 1, 2$.*

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{X}$. Since \mathcal{X} is embedded into $L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G(u)| dx &= \int_{\{|u| \leq \bar{c}_2\}} |G(u)| dx + \int_{\{|u| > \bar{c}_2\}} |G(u)| dx \\ &\leq \bar{c}_1 \int_{\{|u| \leq \bar{c}_2\}} |u|^\gamma dx + \text{meas}\{|u| > \bar{c}_2\} \cdot \max_{\{s \leq \|u\|_\infty\}} |G(s)| \\ &\leq \bar{c}_1 |u|_\gamma^\gamma + \text{meas}\{|u| > \bar{c}_2\} \cdot \max_{\{s \leq \|u\|_\infty\}} |G(s)| < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

The arguments are similar for $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(u)u dx$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_i(u) dx$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_i(u)u dx$, $i = 1, 2$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *If $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in \mathcal{X} , then*

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_n)u_n dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_0)u_0 dx$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(u_n) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(u_0) dx.$$

Proof. Here we follow some ideas of [31, Corollary 3.6] (cf. [32]) and we divide the proof into two intermediate steps by which the conclusion follows immediately.

STEP 1: We claim that

$$(3.10) \quad \lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_n)(u_n - u_0) dx = 0.$$

Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in \mathcal{X} then, by the continuous embedding of \mathcal{X} into $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we infer that there exists $M > 0$ such that $|u_n|_\infty \leq M$, for any $n \geq 1$. Take any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\beta > 2^*$. Then, by (3.1), we find $0 < \delta < M$ and $c_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |g_1(s)| &\leq \varepsilon |s|^{\gamma-1} & \text{if } |s| \in [0, \delta], \\ |g_1(s)| &\leq c_\varepsilon |s|^{\beta-1} & \text{if } |s| \in (\delta, M]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |g_1(u_n)(u_n - u_0)| dx \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{\gamma-1} |u_n - u_0| dx + c_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{\beta-1} |u_n - u_0| dx,$$

and, by the compact embedding of \mathcal{X} into $L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the boundedness of the sequence $\{u_n\}$ in \mathcal{X} , we infer that

$$\limsup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |g_1(u_n)(u_n - u_0)| dx \leq \varepsilon C$$

for some constant $C > 0$ and so (3.10) is proved.

STEP 2: We claim that

$$\lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_n) u_0 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_0) u_0 dx.$$

Since the sequence $\{g_1(u_n) u_0\}$ is uniformly integrable and tight, then the conclusion follows by Vitali Convergence Theorem.

STEP 3: We claim that

$$\lim_n \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_n) u_n dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_n)(u_n - u_0) dx \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_0) u_0 dx.$$

Indeed, if we set $\phi_n(s) = g_1(u_n)(u_n - s u_0)$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, taking in account Step 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_n \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_n) u_n dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_n)(u_n - u_0) dx \right) \\ &= \lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\phi_n(0) - \phi_n(1)) dx = - \lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\int_0^1 \phi'_n(s) ds \right) dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_n) u_0 dx \right) ds = \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_0) u_0 dx \right) ds = - \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi'_0(s) dx \right) ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\phi_0(0) - \phi_0(1)) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_0) u_0 dx. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (3.9) is similar. □

Solutions of (2.2) will be found as critical points of the functional $I_\theta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$I_\theta(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A_\theta(|\nabla u|^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(u) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(u) dx.$$

The functional is well defined in \mathcal{X} by (2.5).

Lemma 3.3. *For any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$, the functional $I_\theta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ verifies the mountain pass geometry. More precisely:*

- (i) *there are $\alpha, \rho > 0$ such that $I_\theta(u) \geq \alpha$, for $\|u\| = \rho$;*
- (ii) *there is $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \{0\}$, independent of $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$, with $\|\bar{u}\| > \rho$ and $|\nabla \bar{u}| < 1 - \theta_1$, almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^N , and such that $I_\theta(\bar{u}) < 0$.*

Proof. (i) By the continuous embedding of \mathcal{X} into $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and by (3.1), we can consider $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small such that

$$G_1(u(x)) \leq \frac{m}{2\gamma} |u(x)|^\gamma, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and for any } u \in \mathcal{X} \text{ with } \|u\| = \rho.$$

Hence, by (3.3) and (2.5), for any $u \in \mathcal{X}$ with $\|u\| = \rho$, we have

$$I_\theta(u) \geq \frac{\bar{c}}{2} (|\nabla u|_2^2 + |\nabla u|_q^q) + \frac{m}{2\gamma} |u|_\gamma^\gamma \geq c \|u\|^\beta \geq \alpha > 0,$$

where $\beta = \max\{2, q, \gamma\}$.

(ii) Let $u_R \in \mathcal{X}$ such that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$u_R(x) := \begin{cases} \xi_0 & \text{in } B_R, \\ -\frac{\xi_0}{\sqrt{R}}|x| + \xi_0(1 + \sqrt{R}) & \text{in } B_{R+\sqrt{R}} \setminus B_R, \\ 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R+\sqrt{R}}. \end{cases}$$

Arguing as in [8], for R sufficiently large, we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u_R) dx > 0$ and, clearly, $|\nabla u_R| < 1 - \theta_1$. Moreover, for any $t > 1$, we have also that $|\nabla u_R(\cdot/t)| \leq 1 - \theta_1$ and so, denoting $\bar{u} = u_R(\cdot/t)$, with R and t sufficiently large and independently by $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$, we have $\|\bar{u}\| > \rho$ and

$$I_\theta(\bar{u}) \leq c_1 (t^{N-2} |\nabla u_R|_2^2 + t^{N-q} |\nabla u_R|_q^q) - t^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u_R) dx < 0.$$

□

Let us define the mountain pass level for the functional I_θ

$$m_\theta := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_\theta(\gamma(t)),$$

where

$$\Gamma := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}([0,1], \mathcal{X}) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = \bar{u}\}.$$

By Lemma 3.3, we deduce that $m_\theta \geq \alpha$, for any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$.

Observe that, since $|\nabla \bar{u}| < 1 - \theta_1$, we have that $I_{\theta_1}(t\bar{u}) = I_\theta(t\bar{u})$, for any $t \in [0,1]$ and for any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$. Hence we deduce that

$$m_\theta \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_\theta(t\bar{u}) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_{\theta_1}(t\bar{u}),$$

for any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$. Hence there exists $c > 0$ (independent of $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$) such that

$$(3.11) \quad 0 < m_\theta \leq c, \quad \text{for any } \theta \in (0, \theta_1].$$

Following [25, 26], we define the functional $J_\theta : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$J_\theta(\sigma, u) = I_\theta(u(e^{-\sigma} \cdot)) = \frac{e^{N\sigma}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A_\theta(e^{-2\sigma} |\nabla u|^2) dx + e^{N\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(u) dx - e^{N\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(u) dx.$$

With similar arguments of Lemma 3.3, also J_θ has a mountain pass geometry and we can define its mountain pass level as

$$\tilde{m}_\theta := \inf_{(\sigma, \gamma) \in \Sigma \times \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\theta(\sigma(t), \gamma(t)),$$

where

$$\Sigma := \{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}([0,1], \mathbb{R}) \mid \sigma(0) = \sigma(1) = 0\}.$$

Observe that arguing as in [25, Lemma 3.1], we obtain

Lemma 3.4. *For any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$, the mountain pass levels of I_θ and J_θ coincide, namely $m_\theta = \tilde{m}_\theta$.*

Now, as an immediate consequence of Ekeland's variational principle [36, Theorem 2.8] (cf. [26, Lemma 2.3]) we obtain the following results.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose that $\tilde{\gamma} \in \Sigma \times \Gamma$ satisfies*

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\theta(\tilde{\gamma}(t)) \leq m_\theta + \varepsilon,$$

then there exists $(\sigma, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}$ such that

- (1) $\text{dist}_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}}((\theta, u), \tilde{\gamma}([0, 1])) \leq 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}$;
- (2) $J_\theta(\sigma, u) \in [m_\theta - \varepsilon, m_\theta + \varepsilon]$;
- (3) $\|DJ_\theta(\sigma, u)\|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}^*} \leq 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}$.

Proposition 3.6. *For any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$, there exists a sequence $\{(\sigma_n, u_n)\} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}$ such that, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we get*

- (1) $\sigma_n \rightarrow 0$;
- (2) $J_\theta(\sigma_n, u_n) \rightarrow m_\theta$;
- (3) $\partial_\sigma J_\theta(\sigma_n, u_n) \rightarrow 0$;
- (4) $\partial_u J_\theta(\sigma_n, u_n) \rightarrow 0$ strongly in \mathcal{X}^* .

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.5 we conclude by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. □

Now we find a radial solution of the truncated problem (2.2).

Proposition 3.7. *For any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$, there exists $u_\theta \in \mathcal{X}$ a non-trivial solution of (2.2) such $I_\theta(u_\theta) = m_\theta$. Moreover there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$(3.12) \quad \|u_\theta\|_0 \leq C, \quad \text{for any } \theta \in (0, \theta_1].$$

Finally u_θ is a weak solution of

$$(3.13) \quad -\left(r^{N-1}a_\theta(|u'_\theta(r)|^2)u'_\theta(r)\right)' = r^{N-1}g(u_\theta(r)),$$

namely

$$\int_0^{+\infty} r^{N-1}a_\theta(|u'_\theta(r)|^2)u'_\theta(r)v'(r)dr = \int_0^{+\infty} r^{N-1}g(u_\theta(r))v(r)dr,$$

for all $v \in \mathcal{X}$.

Proof. Fix $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$. By Proposition 3.6, there exists a sequence $\{(\sigma_n, u_n)\} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}$ such that

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{e^{N\sigma_n}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A_\theta(e^{-2\sigma_n}|\nabla u_n|^2) dx + e^{N\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(u_n) dx - e^{N\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(u_n) dx = m_\theta + o_n(1), \\ \frac{Ne^{N\sigma_n}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A_\theta(e^{-2\sigma_n}|\nabla u_n|^2) dx - e^{(N-2)\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(e^{-2\sigma_n}|\nabla u_n|^2)|\nabla u_n|^2 dx \\ \quad + Ne^{N\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(u_n) dx - Ne^{N\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(u_n) dx = o_n(1), \\ e^{(N-2)\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(e^{-2\sigma_n}|\nabla u_n|^2)|\nabla u_n|^2 dx + e^{N\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_2(u_n)u_n dx - e^{N\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_n)u_n dx = o_n(1)\|u_n\|. \end{cases}$$

From the first and the second equation of the previous system we get

$$e^{(N-2)\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(e^{-2\sigma_n}|\nabla u_n|^2)|\nabla u_n|^2 dx = Nm_\theta + o_n(1).$$

Therefore, since $\sigma_n \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, by (2.4) we deduce that $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in \mathcal{X}_0 and so also in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, namely there exists $\bar{C} > 0$ such that $|u_n|_\infty \leq \bar{C}$, for any $n \geq 1$. This implies that, by (3.1) and Lemma 2.1, there exists $R > 1$ such that

$$G_1(u_n(x)) \leq \frac{m}{2^\gamma} |u_n(x)|^\gamma, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ with } |x| \geq R \text{ and for any } n \geq 1.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(u_n) dx = \int_{B_R} G_1(u_n) dx + \int_{B_R^c} G_1(u_n) dx \leq C \max_{\{s \leq \bar{C}\}} |G_1(s)| + \frac{m}{2\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n(x)|^\gamma dx.$$

By this, by (3.3) and by the first equation of (3.14), we infer that $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence also in \mathcal{X} . Then there exists $u_\theta \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_\theta$ in \mathcal{X} . Since $\partial_u J_\theta(\sigma_n, u_n) \rightarrow 0$ strongly in \mathcal{X}^* and $\sigma_n \rightarrow 0$, we have that u_θ is a weak (possibly trivial) solution of (2.2) and so it satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(|\nabla u_\theta|^2) |\nabla u_\theta|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_2(u_\theta) u_\theta dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_\theta) u_\theta dx.$$

Since $u_n \rightharpoonup u_\theta$ in \mathcal{X} , by the weak lower semicontinuity and the Fatou's Lemma we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(|\nabla u_\theta|^2) |\nabla u_\theta|^2 dx &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(|\nabla u_n|^2) |\nabla u_n|^2 dx, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_2(u_\theta) u_\theta dx &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_2(u_n) u_n dx; \end{aligned}$$

while, by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_\theta) u_\theta dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_n) u_n dx.$$

Therefore, by the third equation of (3.14),

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(|\nabla u_\theta|^2) |\nabla u_\theta|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_2(u_\theta) u_\theta dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(|\nabla u_n|^2) |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_2(u_n) u_n dx \right] \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left[e^{(N-2)\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(e^{-2\sigma_n} |\nabla u_n|^2) |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + e^{N\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_2(u_n) u_n dx \right] \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left[e^{N\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_n) u_n dx + o_n(1) \|u_n\| \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_\theta) u_\theta dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(|\nabla u_\theta|^2) |\nabla u_\theta|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_2(u_\theta) u_\theta dx \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(3.15) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(|\nabla u_\theta|^2) |\nabla u_\theta|^2 dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(|\nabla u_n|^2) |\nabla u_n|^2 dx,$$

$$(3.16) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_2(u_\theta) u_\theta dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_2(u_n) u_n dx.$$

In view of Lemma 2.3 equation (3.15) implies that $u_n \rightarrow u_\theta$ strongly in \mathcal{X}_0 .

Moreover, since, by (3.2), we know that for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we can write $g_2(s)s = m|s|^\gamma + h(s)$, where h is a non-negative continuous function, by Fatou's Lemma we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\theta|^\gamma dx &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^\gamma dx, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(u_\theta) dx &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(u_n) dx. \end{aligned}$$

These last two inequalities and (3.16) imply that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\theta|^\gamma dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^\gamma dx$$

and so, actually, $u_n \rightarrow u_\theta$ strongly in \mathcal{X} and so $I_\theta(u_\theta) = m_\theta$.
Finally, since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(|\nabla u_\theta|^2) |\nabla u_\theta|^2 dx = Nm_\theta,$$

by (3.11) and (2.4), we prove that there exists $C > 0$ such that $\|u_\theta\|_0 \leq C$, for any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$. \square

We are now able to conclude the proof of our main theorem in the positive mass case.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 3.7, for any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$, there exists $u_\theta \in \mathcal{X}$ a nontrivial solution of (2.2) such $I_\theta(u_\theta) = m_\theta$. Since $q > N$, by [30], we deduce that $u_\theta \in C^{1,\alpha}$, for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Let us prove the following

CLAIM: there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(3.17) \quad |a_\theta(|u'_\theta(r)|^2) u'_\theta(r)| \leq C, \quad \text{for any } r \geq 0 \text{ and } \theta \in (0, \theta_1].$$

By the regularity of u_θ , we infer that $u'_\theta(0) = 0$ and so also

$$a_\theta(|u'_\theta(0)|^2) u'_\theta(0) = 0.$$

We now consider the case $r > 0$. Integrating the equation (3.13), for any $r > 0$, we have

$$-a_\theta(|u'_\theta(r)|^2) u'_\theta(r) = \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \int_0^r s^{N-1} g(u_\theta(s)) ds.$$

By Lemma 2.1 and by (3.12), we deduce that there exists $R > 1$, such that

$$(3.18) \quad |u_\theta(r)| \leq \bar{c}_2, \quad \text{for any } \theta \in (0, \theta_1] \text{ and for any } r > R,$$

where \bar{c}_2 is defined in (3.4).

By the continuous embedding of \mathcal{X}_0 in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and (3.12), there exists $C > 0$ such that $|u_\theta|_\infty \leq C\|u_\theta\|_0 \leq C$, for any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$, and so we have that, for any $0 < r \leq R$ and $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$,

$$|a_\theta(|u'_\theta(r)|^2) u'_\theta(r)| \leq \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \int_0^r s^{N-1} |g(u_\theta(s))| ds \leq C.$$

While, for any $r > R$,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_\theta(|u'_\theta(r)|^2) u'_\theta(r)| &\leq \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \int_0^r s^{N-1} |g(u_\theta(s))| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \left(\int_0^R s^{N-1} |g(u_\theta(s))| ds + \int_R^r s^{N-1} |g(u_\theta(s))| ds \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{r^{N-1}} + \underbrace{\frac{c_1}{r^{N-1}} \int_1^r s^{N-1} |g(u_\theta(s))| ds}_{(A)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have to estimate (A). First of all, by Lemma 2.1 and (3.12), for $r > 1$, we have that

$$|u_\theta(r)| \leq Cr^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} |\nabla u_\theta|_2 \leq \bar{C} r^{-\frac{N-2}{2}}.$$

Hence, by (3.18) and (3.4), since $\gamma \geq 2^*/2$,

$$(A) \leq \frac{C}{r^{N-1}} \int_1^r s^{N-1} |u_\theta(s)|^{\gamma-1} ds \leq \frac{C}{r^{N-1}} \int_1^r s^{N-1-\frac{N-2}{2}(\gamma-1)} ds \leq C \left(r^{1-\frac{N-2}{2}(\gamma-1)} + 1 \right) \leq C.$$

Therefore the claim is proved.

Now we conclude if we show the existence of $\bar{\theta} \in (0, \theta_1]$ such that

$$(3.19) \quad |u'_{\bar{\theta}}(r)| \leq 1 - \bar{\theta}, \quad \text{for any } r \geq 0.$$

Suppose by contradiction that (3.19) does not hold, then there exists a sequence $\{\theta_n\} \subset (0, \theta_1]$ which tends to zero and a sequence $\{r_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\lim_n |u'_{\theta_n}(r_n)| = 1,$$

which implies that (by (a1))

$$\lim_n a_{\theta_n}(|u'_{\theta_n}(r_n)|) |u'_{\theta_n}(r_n)| = +\infty.$$

Thus we obtain a contradiction with (4.15).

Finally, taking into account (2.4), (2.5) and Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(|\nabla u_{\bar{\theta}}|^2) dx, \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(|\nabla u_{\bar{\theta}}|^2) |\nabla u_{\bar{\theta}}|^2 dx, \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G(u_{\bar{\theta}})| dx < +\infty.$$

□

Remark 3.8. Note that in (g1) we assume $\gamma \geq 2^*/2$. Actually this is a technical requirement which is essential only in proof of Theorem 1.1, while, in all the other our arguments, we can simply take $\gamma > 1$. Therefore there exists a radial solution to (2.2) for any $\gamma > 1$.

4. THE ZERO MASS CASE

In this section we deal with the zero mass case, namely, we will assume that g satisfies (g0) and (g2). Moreover $\gamma > N$ and (g1') holds, or $\gamma \leq N$ and both (g1'), (g1'') hold.

Let $g_1(s) := \max\{g(s), 0\}$ and $g_2(s) := g_1(s) - g(s)$ for $s \geq 0$ and then we can extend them as odd functions for $s < 0$. Then $g_1(s), g_2(s) \geq 0$, for $s \geq 0$ and

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} g_1(s)/|s|^{\gamma-1} = 0, \quad \text{for some } \gamma > 2^*.$$

Moreover, whenever $\gamma \in (2^*, N]$, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} g_1(s)/|s|^{q^*-1} = 0,$$

For $i = 1, 2$ we set

$$G_i(s) = \int_0^s g_i(t) dt$$

and note that $G_i(s) \geq 0$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

In view of (g1'), there exist two positive constants, \bar{c}_1 and \bar{c}_2 , such that

$$(4.3) \quad |g(s)| \leq \bar{c}_1 |s|^{\gamma-1}, \quad \text{for all } |s| \leq \bar{c}_2,$$

$$(4.4) \quad |G(s)| \leq \bar{c}_1 |s|^\gamma, \quad \text{for all } |s| \leq \bar{c}_2,$$

$$(4.5) \quad |g_1(s)| \leq \bar{c}_1 |s|^{\gamma-1}, \quad \text{for all } |s| \leq \bar{c}_2,$$

$$(4.6) \quad |G_1(s)| \leq \bar{c}_1 |s|^\gamma, \quad \text{for all } |s| \leq \bar{c}_2.$$

Moreover, in the case $\gamma \in (2^*, N]$, by $(g1')$ and $(g1'')$, there exists a positive constant \bar{c}_3 such that

$$(4.7) \quad |g(s)| \leq \bar{c}_3 \left(|s|^{\gamma-1} + |s|^{q^*-1} \right), \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(4.8) \quad |G(s)| \leq \bar{c}_3 \left(|s|^\gamma + |s|^{q^*} \right), \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(4.9) \quad |g_1(s)| \leq \bar{c}_3 \left(|s|^{\gamma-1} + |s|^{q^*-1} \right), \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(4.10) \quad |G_1(s)| \leq \bar{c}_3 \left(|s|^\gamma + |s|^{q^*} \right), \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have

Lemma 4.1. *For any $u \in \mathcal{X}_0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u) dx$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(u)u dx$ are well defined. The same is true for $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_i(u) dx$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_i(u)u dx$, for $i = 1, 2$.*

The following compactness results hold.

Lemma 4.2. *If $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in \mathcal{X}_0 , then*

$$\lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_n)u_n dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_0)u_0 dx$$

and

$$\lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(u_n) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(u_0) dx.$$

Proof. In the case $\gamma > N$, the arguments are similar to those of the proof of Lemma 3.2. Here we treat only the case $\gamma \in (2^*, N]$, enlightening only the main differences.

By (4.1) and (4.2), take any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\beta \in (2^*, q^*)$, then we find $\delta > 0$ and $c_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |g_1(s)| &\leq \varepsilon |s|^{\gamma-1} && \text{if } |s| \in [0, \delta], \\ |g_1(s)| &\leq c_\varepsilon |s|^{\beta-1} && \text{if } |s| \in (\delta, 1/\delta), \\ |g_1(s)| &\leq \varepsilon |s|^{q^*-1} && \text{if } |s| \in [1/\delta, +\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |g_1(u_n)(u_n - u_0)| dx &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{\gamma-1} |u_n - u_0| dx + c_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{\beta-1} |u_n - u_0| dx \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{q^*-1} |u_n - u_0| dx, \end{aligned}$$

and, by the compact embedding of \mathcal{X}_0 into $L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the boundedness of the sequence $\{u_n\}$ in \mathcal{X}_0 , we infer that

$$\limsup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |g_1(u_n)(u_n - u_0)| dx \leq \varepsilon C$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Now the proof goes on similarly as in Lemma 3.2. \square

Solutions of (2.3) will be found as critical points of the functional $I_\theta : \mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$I_\theta(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A_\theta(|\nabla u|^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(u) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(u) dx.$$

which is well defined in \mathcal{X}_0 . Here and in what follows, with an abuse of notation, we use I_θ , J_θ , m_θ , \tilde{m}_θ , Γ , and Σ in the zero mass setting, as well.

We show that I_θ satisfies the mountain pass geometry.

Lemma 4.3. *For any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$, the functional $I_\theta : \mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ verifies the mountain pass geometry. More precisely:*

- (i) there are $\alpha, \rho > 0$ such that $I_\theta(u) \geq \alpha$, for $\|u\|_0 = \rho$;
(ii) there is $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{X}_0 \setminus \{0\}$, independent of $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$, with $\|\bar{u}\|_0 > \rho$ and $|\nabla \bar{u}| < 1 - \theta_1$, almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^N , and such that $I_\theta(\bar{u}) < 0$.

Proof. (i) We start with the case $\gamma > N$. We fix $q \in (N, \gamma)$. By the continuous embedding of \mathcal{X}_0 into $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and by (4.4), we can consider $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small such that

$$G(u(x)) \leq \bar{c}_1 |u(x)|^\gamma, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and for any } u \in \mathcal{X}_0 \text{ with } \|u\|_0 = \rho.$$

Hence, by (2.5) and since \mathcal{X}_0 is embedded into $L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for any $u \in \mathcal{X}_0$ with $\|u\|_0 = \rho$, we have

$$I_\theta(u) \geq c (|\nabla u|_2^2 + |\nabla u|_q^q - |u|_\gamma^\gamma) \geq c (|\nabla u|_2^2 + |\nabla u|_q^q - |\nabla u|_2^\gamma - |\nabla u|_q^\gamma) \geq \alpha > 0.$$

Let us consider now the case $\gamma \in (2^*, N]$. By (4.1) and (4.2), take any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\beta \in (\max\{2^*, q\}, q^*)$, then we find $c_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$0 \leq G_1(s) \leq \varepsilon (|s|^\gamma + |s|^{q^*}) + c_\varepsilon |s|^\beta, \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence, if $\rho < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_\theta(u) &\geq c (|\nabla u|_2^2 + |\nabla u|_q^q) - \varepsilon (|u|_\gamma^\gamma + |u|_{q^*}^{q^*}) - c_\varepsilon |u|_\beta^\beta \\ &\geq c \left[|\nabla u|_2^2 + |\nabla u|_q^q - \varepsilon (|\nabla u|_2^\gamma + |\nabla u|_q^\gamma + |\nabla u|_2^{q^*} + |\nabla u|_q^{q^*}) - (|\nabla u|_2^\beta + |\nabla u|_q^\beta) \right] \\ &\geq c \left[\|u\|_0^q - \|u\|_0^\beta - \varepsilon (\|u\|_0^\gamma + \|u\|_0^{q^*}) \right] \geq \alpha > 0. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) As in the proof of Lemma 3.3. □

Let us define the mountain pass level for the functional I_θ

$$m_\theta := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_\theta(\gamma(t)),$$

where

$$\Gamma := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathcal{X}_0) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = \bar{u}\}.$$

By Lemma 3.3, we deduce that $m_\theta \geq \alpha$, for any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$.

Observe that, since $|\nabla \bar{u}| < 1 - \theta_1$, we have that $I_{\theta_1}(t\bar{u}) = I_\theta(t\bar{u})$, for any $t \in [0, 1]$ and for any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$. Hence we deduce that

$$m_\theta \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_\theta(t\bar{u}) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_{\theta_1}(t\bar{u}),$$

for any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$. Hence there exists $c > 0$ (independent of $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$) such that

$$(4.11) \quad 0 < m_\theta \leq c_2, \quad \text{for any } \theta \in (0, \theta_1].$$

As done in Section 3, we define the functional $J_\theta : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$J_\theta(\sigma, u) = I_\theta(u(e^{-\sigma} \cdot)) = \frac{e^{N\sigma}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A_\theta(e^{-2\sigma} |\nabla u|^2) dx + e^{N\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(u) dx - e^{N\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(u) dx.$$

The functional J_θ has a mountain pass geometry and we can define its mountain pass level as

$$\tilde{m}_\theta := \inf_{(\sigma, \gamma) \in \Sigma \times \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\theta(\sigma(t), \gamma(t)),$$

where

$$\Sigma := \{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \mid \sigma(0) = \sigma(1) = 0\}.$$

The following holds

Lemma 4.4. *For any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$, the mountain pass levels of I_θ and J_θ coincide, namely $m_\theta = \tilde{m}_\theta$.*

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose that $\tilde{\gamma} \in \Sigma \times \Gamma$ satisfies*

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\theta(\tilde{\gamma}(t)) \leq m_\theta + \varepsilon,$$

then there exists $(\sigma, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}_0$ such that

- (1) $\text{dist}_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}_0}((\theta, u), \tilde{\gamma}([0, 1])) \leq 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}$;
- (2) $J_\theta(\sigma, u) \in [m_\theta - \varepsilon, m_\theta + \varepsilon]$;
- (3) $\|DJ_\theta(\sigma, u)\|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}^*} \leq 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}$.

Proposition 4.6. *For any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$, there exists a sequence $\{(\sigma_n, u_n)\} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}_0$ such that, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we get*

- (1) $\sigma_n \rightarrow 0$;
- (2) $J_\theta(\sigma_n, u_n) \rightarrow m_\theta$;
- (3) $\partial_\sigma J_\theta(\sigma_n, u_n) \rightarrow 0$;
- (4) $\partial_u J_\theta(\sigma_n, u_n) \rightarrow 0$ strongly in \mathcal{X}_0^* .

Proposition 4.7. *For any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$, there exists $u_\theta \in \mathcal{X}_0$ a non-trivial solution of (2.2) such $I_\theta(u_\theta) = m_\theta$. Moreover there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$(4.12) \quad \|u_\theta\|_0 \leq C, \quad \text{for any } \theta \in (0, \theta_1].$$

Finally u_θ is a weak solution of

$$(4.13) \quad - (r^{N-1} a_\theta(|u'_\theta(r)|^2) u'_\theta(r))' = r^{N-1} g(u_\theta(r)),$$

namely

$$\int_0^{+\infty} r^{N-1} a_\theta(|u'_\theta(r)|^2) u'_\theta(r) v'(r) dr = \int_0^{+\infty} r^{N-1} g(u_\theta(r)) v(r) dr,$$

for all $v \in \mathcal{X}_0$.

Proof. Fix $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$. By Proposition 4.6, there exists a sequence $\{(\sigma_n, u_n)\} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}_0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{e^{N\sigma_n}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A_\theta(e^{-2\sigma_n} |\nabla u_n|^2) dx + e^{N\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(u_n) dx - e^{N\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(u_n) dx = m_\theta + o_n(1), \\ \frac{Ne^{N\sigma_n}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A_\theta(e^{-2\sigma_n} |\nabla u_n|^2) dx - e^{(N-2)\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(e^{-2\sigma_n} |\nabla u_n|^2) |\nabla u_n|^2 dx \\ \quad + Ne^{N\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(u_n) dx - Ne^{N\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(u_n) dx = o_n(1), \\ e^{(N-2)\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(e^{-2\sigma_n} |\nabla u_n|^2) |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + e^{N\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_2(u_n) u_n dx - e^{N\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_n) u_n dx = o_n(1) \|u_n\|. \end{cases}$$

From the first and the second equation of the previous system we get

$$e^{(N-2)\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(e^{-2\sigma_n} |\nabla u_n|^2) |\nabla u_n|^2 dx = Nm_\theta + o_n(1).$$

Therefore, since $\sigma_n \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, by (2.4) we deduce that $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in \mathcal{X}_0 . Then there exists $u_\theta \in \mathcal{X}_0$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_\theta$ in \mathcal{X}_0 . Since $\partial_u J_\theta(\sigma_n, u_n) \rightarrow 0$ strongly in \mathcal{X}_0^* and $\sigma_n \rightarrow 0$, we have that u_θ is a weak (possibly trivial) solution of (2.3) and so it satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(|\nabla u_\theta|^2) |\nabla u_\theta|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_2(u_\theta) u_\theta dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_1(u_\theta) u_\theta dx.$$

Arguing as in proof of Proposition 3.7 we can show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(|\nabla u_\theta|^2)|\nabla u_\theta|^2 dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(|\nabla u_n|^2)|\nabla u_n|^2 dx.$$

In view of Lemma 2.3, we have that $u_n \rightarrow u_\theta$ strongly in \mathcal{X}_0 and so $I_\theta(u_\theta) = m_\theta$. Finally, since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a_\theta(|\nabla u_\theta|^2)|\nabla u_\theta|^2 dx = Nm_\theta,$$

by (4.11) and (2.4), we prove that there exists $C > 0$ such that $\|u_\theta\|_0 \leq C$, for any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$. \square

We are now able to conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. When $\gamma > N$ we can change slightly the arguments of Section 3. Here we deal just with the case $2^* < \lambda \leq N$ and so we have to assume $(g1'')$.

By Proposition 4.7, for any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$, there exists $u_\theta \in \mathcal{X}_0$ a nontrivial solution of (2.3) such $I_\theta(u_\theta) = m_\theta$. Being $q < N$, we cannot repeat the arguments of the previous section and we follow some ideas of [11, Lemma 3.2]. Since u_θ is a solution of (4.13) in $(0, +\infty)$, it is easy to check that u_θ is regular for $r > 0$. Moreover, $r^{N-1}a_\theta(|u'_\theta(r)|^2)u'_\theta(r)$ satisfies the Cauchy condition at the origin so that it has a finite limit as $r \rightarrow 0$. We claim that

$$(4.14) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{N-1}a_\theta(|u'_\theta(r)|^2)u'_\theta(r) = 0.$$

Suppose, by contradiction, that it is different from zero and then there should exist $r_0 > 0$ such that $|u'_\theta(r)| > 1 - \theta$, for $r \in (0, r_0]$. Therefore, for r sufficiently small,

$$C \leq |r^{N-1}a_\theta(|u'_\theta(r)|^2)u'_\theta(r)| = r^{N-1}|u'_\theta(r)|^{q-1},$$

namely

$$|u'_\theta(r)| \geq Cr^{-\frac{N-1}{q-1}}.$$

By this we have

$$r^{N-1}a_\theta(|u'_\theta(r)|^2)|u'_\theta(r)|^2 = r^{N-1}|u'_\theta(r)|^q \geq Cr^{-\frac{N-1}{q-1}}$$

near 0, which is not integrable since $q < N$. Since u_θ is a solution of (4.13), we get a contradiction. Let us prove the following

CLAIM: there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(4.15) \quad |a_\theta(|u'_\theta(r)|^2)u'_\theta(r)| \leq C, \quad \text{for any } r \geq 0 \text{ and } \theta \in (0, \theta_1].$$

By the regularity of u_θ , we infer that $u'_\theta(0) = 0$ and so also

$$a_\theta(|u'_\theta(0)|^2)u'_\theta(0) = 0.$$

We now consider the case $r > 0$. Integrating the equation (4.13), for any $r > 0$, we have

$$-a_\theta(|u'_\theta(r)|^2)u'_\theta(r) = \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \int_0^r s^{N-1}g(u_\theta(s)) ds.$$

By Lemma 2.1 and by (4.12), we deduce that there exists $R > 1$, such that

$$(4.16) \quad |u_\theta(r)| \leq \bar{c}_2, \quad \text{for any } \theta \in (0, \theta_1] \text{ and for any } r > R,$$

where \bar{c}_2 is given in (4.3).

By the continuous embedding of \mathcal{X}_0 in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for $p \in [2^*, q^*]$, and (4.12), there exists $C > 0$ such that $|u_\theta|_p \leq C\|u_\theta\|_0 \leq C$, for $p \in [2^*, q^*]$ and any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$. So, using (4.7), we have that, for any $0 < r \leq R$ and $\theta \in (0, \theta_1]$,

$$|a_\theta(|u'_\theta(r)|^2)u'_\theta(r)| \leq \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \int_0^r s^{N-1}|g(u_\theta(s))| ds \leq C.$$

While, for any $r > R$,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_\theta(|u'_\theta(r)|^2)u'_\theta(r)| &\leq \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \int_0^r s^{N-1} |g(u_\theta(s))| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \left(\int_0^R s^{N-1} |g(u_\theta(s))| ds + \int_R^r s^{N-1} |g(u_\theta(s))| ds \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{r^{N-1}} + \underbrace{\frac{c_1}{r^{N-1}} \int_1^r s^{N-1} |g(u_\theta(s))| ds}_{(A)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have to estimate (A). First of all, by Lemma 2.1 and (4.12), for $r > 1$, we have that

$$|u_\theta(r)| \leq Cr^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} |\nabla u_\theta|_2 \leq \bar{C} r^{-\frac{N-2}{2}}.$$

Hence, by (4.16) and (4.7), since $2^* < \gamma < q^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} (A) &\leq \frac{C}{r^{N-1}} \int_1^r s^{N-1} (|u_\theta(s)|^{\gamma-1} + |u_\theta(s)|^{q^*-1}) ds \\ &\leq \frac{C}{r^{N-1}} \int_1^r s^{N-1-\frac{N-2}{2}(\gamma-1)} ds \leq C \left(r^{1-\frac{N-2}{2}(\gamma-1)} + 1 \right) \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the claim is proved.

Now we conclude as in the previous section. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] C.O. Alves, O.H. Miyagaki, A. Pomponio, *Solitary waves for a class of generalized Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation in \mathbb{R}^N with positive and zero mass*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **477**, (2019), 523–535.
- [2] A. Azzollini, *Ground state solution for a problem with mean curvature operator in Minkowski space*, J. Funct. Anal., **266** (2014), 2086–2095.
- [3] A. Azzollini, *On a prescribed mean curvature equation in Lorentz-Minkowski space*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, **106** (2016), 1122–1140.
- [4] A. Azzollini, P. d’Avenia, A. Pomponio, *Multiple critical points for a class of nonlinear functionals*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **190**, (2011), 507–523.
- [5] A. Azzollini, A. Pomponio, G. Siciliano, *On the Schrödinger-Born-Infeld system*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., New Series, **50**, (2019), 275–289.
- [6] R. Bartnik, L. Simon, *Spacelike hypersurfaces with prescribed boundary values and mean curvature*, Comm. Math. Phys. **87** (1982), 131–152.
- [7] R. Bartolo, E. Caponio, A. Pomponio, *Spacelike graphs with prescribed mean curvature on exterior domains in the Minkowski spacetime*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **149**, (2021), 5139–5151.
- [8] H. Berestycki, P.L. Lions, *Nonlinear scalar field equations. I - existence of a ground state*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **82** (1983), 313–345.
- [9] H. Berestycki, P.L. Lions, *Nonlinear scalar field equations. II. Existence of infinitely many solutions*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **82** (1983), 347–375.
- [10] D. Bonheure, F. Colasuonno, J. Földes, *On the Born-Infeld equation for electrostatic fields with a superposition of point charges*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **198** (2019), 749–772.
- [11] D. Bonheure, A. Derlet, C. De Coster, *Infinitely many radial solutions of a mean curvature equation in Lorentz–Minkowski space*, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste, **44**, (2012), 259–284.
- [12] D. Bonheure, P. d’Avenia, A. Pomponio, *On the electrostatic Born-Infeld equation with extended charges*, Comm. Math. Phys., **346**, (2016), 877–906.
- [13] D. Bonheure, P. d’Avenia, A. Pomponio, W. Reichel, *Equilibrium measures and equilibrium potentials in the Born-Infeld model*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **139** (2020), 35–62.
- [14] D. Bonheure, A. Iacopetti, *On the regularity of the minimizer of the electrostatic Born-Infeld energy*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **232** (2019), 697–725.
- [15] D. Bonheure, A. Iacopetti, *A sharp gradient estimate and $W^{2,q}$ regularity for the prescribed mean curvature equation in the Lorentz-Minkowski space*, preprint, arXiv:2101.08594.
- [16] M. Born, *Modified field equations with a finite radius of the electron*, Nature **132** (1933), 282.

- [17] M. Born, *On the quantum theory of the electromagnetic field*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **143** (1934), 410–437.
- [18] M. Born, L. Infeld, *Foundations of the new field theory*, Nature **132** (1933), 1004.
- [19] M. Born, L. Infeld, *Foundations of the new field theory*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **144** (1934), 425–451.
- [20] S.-Y. Cheng, S.-T. Yau, *Maximal space-like hypersurfaces in the Lorentz-Minkowski spaces*, Ann. of Math. **104** (1976), 407–419.
- [21] P.L. Cunha, P. d’Avenia, A. Pomponio, G. Siciliano, *A multiplicity result for Chern-Simons-Schrödinger equation with a general nonlinearity*, Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications NoDEA **22**, (2015), 1831–1850.
- [22] P. d’Avenia, J. Mederski, A. Pomponio, *Nonlinear scalar field equation with competing nonlocal terms*, Nonlinearity **34** (2021), 5687–5707.
- [23] G. Dai, *Some results on surfaces with different mean curvatures in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} and \mathbb{L}^{N+1}* , to appear in Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, doi.org/10.1007/s10231-021-01118-1
- [24] A. Haarala, *The electrostatic Born-Infeld equations with integrable charge densities*, preprint, arXiv:2006.08208.
- [25] J. Hirata, N. Ikoma, K. Tanaka, *Nonlinear scalar field equations in \mathbb{R}^N : mountain pass and symmetric mountain pass approaches*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **35**, (2010), 253–276.
- [26] L. Jeanjean, *Existence of solutions with prescribed norm for semilinear elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **28**, (1997), 1633–1659.
- [27] M.K.-H. Kiessling, *On the quasi-linear elliptic PDE $-\nabla \cdot (\nabla u / \sqrt{1 - |\nabla u|^2}) = 4\pi \sum_k a_k \delta_{s_k}$ in physics and geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. **314** (2012), 509–523.
- [28] M.K.-H. Kiessling, *Correction to: On the quasi-linear elliptic PDE $-\nabla \cdot (\nabla u / \sqrt{1 - |\nabla u|^2}) = 4\pi \sum_k a_k \delta_{s_k}$ in physics and geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. **364** (2018), 825–833.
- [29] O. Kobayashi, *Maximal surfaces in the 3-dimensional Minkowski space L^3* , Tokyo J. Math. **6** (1983), no. 2, 297–309.
- [30] G. Lieberman, *Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA **12**, (1988), 1203–1219.
- [31] J. Mederski, *Nonradial solutions of nonlinear scalar field equations*, Nonlinearity **33** (2020), 6349–6380.
- [32] J. Mederski, *General class of optimal Sobolev inequalities and nonlinear scalar field equations*, J. Differential Equations **281** (2021), 411–441.
- [33] A. Pomponio, *Oscillating solutions for prescribed mean curvature equations: Euclidean and Lorentz-Minkowski cases*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **38**, (2018), 3899–3911.
- [34] A. Pomponio, T. Watanabe, *Some quasilinear elliptic equations involving multiple p -Laplacians*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **67** (2018), no. 6, 2199–2224.
- [35] W. A. Strauss, *Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **55**, (1977), 149–162.
- [36] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhäuser Verlag 1996.

J. MEDERSKI

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,
POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
UL. ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-656 WARSAW, POLAND
AND

DEPARTEMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR ANALYSIS
KARLSRUHE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (KIT)
D-76128 KARLSRUHE, GERMANY
Email address: jmederski@impan.pl

A. POMPONIO

DIPARTIMENTO DI MECCANICA, MATEMATICA E MANAGEMENT
POLITECNICO DI BARI
VIA ORABONA 4, 70125 BARI, ITALY
Email address: alessio.pomponio@poliba.it