

MIXED LEADER-FOLLOWER DYNAMICS

Hsin-Lun Li

School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences,
Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287, USA

ABSTRACT. Leader-Follower (LF) dynamics originated from Hegselmann-Krause (HK) dynamics. The original HK model consists of a finite number of agents whose opinion is a number in $[0, 1]$. Agent i updates its opinion x_i by taking the average opinion of its neighbors, the agents whose opinion is at most ϵ apart from x_i for $\epsilon > 0$ a confidence threshold. The LF model involves two types of groups—the leader group and the follower group. All leader groups have their target opinion. The original LF model partitions all agents whose opinion is a number in $[-1, 1]$ to a follower group, a leader group with a positive target opinion in $[0, 1]$ and a leader group with a negative target opinion in $[-1, 0]$. A leader group agent has a constant degree to its target and mix it with the average opinion of its group neighbors at each update. A follower has a constant degree to the average opinion of each leader group neighbors and mix it with the average opinion of its group neighbors at each update. In this paper, we consider a variant of the LF model, namely the mixed model, in which the degrees can vary over time, the opinions can be high dimensional, and the number of leader groups can be more than two. The LF model can be applied to e-commerce and the mixed model covers it when there is only one confidence threshold. We study the mixed model theoretically and investigate circumstances under which all agents achieve a consensus.

1. INTRODUCTION

Leader-Follower (LF) dynamics originated from Hegselmann-Krause (HK) dynamics. The original Hegselmann-Krause model consists of a finite number of agents whose opinion is a number in $[0, 1]$. Agent i updates its opinion x_i via taking the average opinion of its neighbors, the agents whose opinion is at most ϵ apart from x_i for $\epsilon > 0$ a confidence threshold. The authors in [3] proposed a leader-follower model that partitions agents whose opinion is in $[-1, 1]$ to a follower group, a leader group with a positive target opinion in $[0, 1]$ and a leader group with a negative target opinion in $[-1, 0]$. Define $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Say N agents including N_1 followers, N_2 positive target agents and N_3 negative target agents, set as $[N_1]$, $[N_1 + N_2] - [N_1]$ and $[N] - [N_1 + N_2]$. The mechanism is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x_i(t+1) &= \frac{1 - \alpha_i - \beta_i}{|N_i^F(t)|} \sum_{j \in N_i^F(t)} x_j(t) + \frac{\alpha_i}{|N_i^P(t)|} \sum_{j \in N_i^P(t)} x_j(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta_i}{|N_i^N(t)|} \sum_{j \in N_i^N(t)} x_j(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, N_1, \\ x_i(t+1) &= \frac{(1 - w_i)}{|N_i^P(t)|} \sum_{j \in N_i^P(t)} x_j(t) + w_i d, \quad i = N_1 + 1, \dots, N_1 + N_2, \\ x_i(t+1) &= \frac{1 - z_i}{|N_i^N(t)|} \sum_{j \in N_i^N(t)} x_j(t) + z_i g, \quad i = N_1 + N_2 + 1, \dots, N, \end{aligned}$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 91D25, 91D30.

Key words and phrases. Hegselmann-Krause dynamics, mixed Leader-Follower dynamics, consensus.

where

$$\begin{aligned}
x_i(t) &= \text{opinion of agent } i \text{ at time } t, \\
d &\in [0, 1] \text{ is the positive target opinion,} \\
g &\in [-1, 0] \text{ is the negative target opinion,} \\
\epsilon_i &= \text{confidence threshold of agent } i, \\
N_i^F(t) &= \{j \in [N_1] : \|x_i(t) - x_j(t)\| \leq \epsilon_i\}, \\
N_i^P(t) &= \{j \in [N_1 + N_2] - [N_1] : \|x_i(t) - x_j(t)\| \leq \epsilon_i\}, \\
N_i^N(t) &= \{j \in [N] - [N_1 + N_2] : \|x_i(t) - x_j(t)\| \leq \epsilon_i\}, \\
\alpha_i &= \text{degree to the average opinion of agent } i\text{'s positive target neighbors,} \\
\beta_i &= \text{degree to the average opinion of agent } i\text{'s negative target neighbors,} \\
w_i &= \text{degree to the positive target of agent } i, \\
z_i &= \text{degree to the negative target of agent } i, \\
\alpha_i, \beta_i, w_i, z_i &\in [0, 1].
\end{aligned}$$

The authors in [2] pointed out that it can be an application in e-commerce. In this paper, we consider a variant of the leader-follower model, namely the mixed model. The differences are:

- opinions can be high dimensional,
- the number of leader groups can be more than two,
- the degree of a leader group agent to its group target and the degree of a follower group agent to the average opinion of each of its leader group neighbors can vary over time,
- only one confidence threshold, ϵ .

In the leader group, each agent can choose its degree to the target opinion and mix its opinion with the average opinion of its group neighbors at each update. In the follower group, each agent can choose its degree to the average opinion of its neighbors in each leader group and mix its opinion with the average opinion of its group neighbors at each update. In a nutshell, leader group members only can interact in its group, whereas follower group members can interact out of its group. Considering a finite set of agents partitioned to a follower group, F , and m leader groups, L_1, \dots, L_m , the mixed model is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
x_i(t+1) &= \frac{\alpha_i^k(t)}{|N_i^{L_k}(t)|} \sum_{j \in N_i^{L_k}(t)} x_j(t) + (1 - \alpha_i^k(t))g_k, \quad i \in L_k, \\
x_i(t+1) &= \frac{(1 - \sum_{k=1}^m \beta_i^k(t))}{|N_i^F|} \sum_{k \in N_i^F} x_k + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\beta_i^k(t)}{|N_i^{L_k}|} \sum_{k \in N_i^{L_k}} x_k, \quad i \in F,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_i^k(t) &\in [0, 1] \text{ is the degree to the average opinion of agent } i\text{'s group neighbors,} \\
g_k &\in \mathbf{R}^d \text{ is the target opinion of leader group } k, \\
N_i^F(t) &= \{j \in F : \|x_j(t) - x_i(t)\| \leq \epsilon\}, \\
N_i^{L_k}(t) &= \{j \in L_k : \|x_j(t) - x_i(t)\| \leq \epsilon\}, \\
\beta_i^k(t) &= \text{degree to the average opinion of agent } i\text{'s neighbors in leader group } k, \\
\beta_i^k(t) &\in [0, 1] \text{ and equals 0 if } N_i^{L_k}(t) = \emptyset.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that the system of leader group k becomes a HK model if $\alpha_i^k(t) = 0$ for all $i \in L_k$, and the follower group system becomes a HK model if $\beta_i^k(t) = 0$ for all $i \in F$ and $k \in [m]$. The differences between HK dynamics and LF dynamics are:

Mixed Leader-Follower Dynamics

- HK dynamics has only one group and one interaction rule, whereas LF dynamics has two types of groups—the leader group and the follower group—in which each has its interaction rule,
- the update of an agent in HK dynamics only depends on its neighbors, whereas the update of an agent in LF dynamics may also depend on a target.

2. THE MODEL

We first investigate the behavior of a leader group. Let L be a leader group and g be its target. It turns out that the maximum distance between its opinions and target, $\max_{i \in L} \|x_i(t) - g\|$, is nonincreasing over time.

Lemma 1. We have

$$\|x_i(t+1) - g\| \leq \alpha_i(t) \max_{j \in N_i(t)} \|x_j(t) - g\| \text{ for all } i \in L.$$

In particular,

$$\max_{i \in L} \|x_i(t+1) - g\| \leq \max_{i \in L} \alpha_i(t) \max_{i \in L} \|x_i(t) - g\|.$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\|x_i(t+1) - g\| = \frac{\alpha_i(t)}{|N_i(t)|} \left\| \sum_{j \in N_i(t)} [x_j(t) - g] \right\| \leq \alpha_i(t) \max_{j \in N_i(t)} \|x_j(t) - g\|.$$

Therefore,

$$\max_{i \in L} \|x_i(t+1) - g\| \leq \max_{i \in L} \alpha_i(t) \max_{i \in L} \|x_i(t) - g\|.$$

□

The next theorem shows circumstances under which all agents in a leader group achieve their target. It turns out that the degree to their target, $1 - \alpha_i(t)$, can be very small to achieve their target for all agents in L .

Theorem 2. Assume that $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \max_{i \in L} \alpha_i(t) < 1$. Then,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \max_{i \in L} \|x_i(t) - g\| = 0.$$

In particular, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|x_i(t) - g\| = 0$ if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(t) = 0$ for some $i \in L$.

Proof of Theorem 2 . Since $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \max_{i \in L} \alpha_i(t) < 1$, there is $(t_k)_{k \geq 0} \subset \mathbf{N}$ strictly increasing such that $\max_{i \in L} \alpha_i(t_k) \leq \delta < 1$ for some δ . For all $t \geq 1$, $t_s < t \leq t_{s+1}$ for some $s \in \mathbf{N}$. Via Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{i \in L} \|x_i(t) - g\| &\leq \max_{i \in L} \alpha_i(t-1) \dots \max_{i \in L} \alpha_i(t_0) \max_{i \in L} \|x_i(t_0) - g\| \\ &\leq \delta^{s+1} \max_{i \in L} \|x_i(t_0) - g\|. \end{aligned}$$

As $t \rightarrow \infty$, $s \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \max_{i \in L} \|x_i(t) - g\| \leq 0.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \max_{i \in L} \|x_i(t) - g\| = 0.$$

Also, due to Lemma 1 and $\max_{j \in L} \|x_j(t) - g\|$ bounded over time,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|x_i(t+1) - g\| \leq 0 \text{ therefore } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|x_i(t) - g\| = 0.$$

□

In other words, all leader group agents achieve their target as long as the minimum degree to their target has a lower bound larger than 0 for infinitely many times. Now, considering a LF system consisting of a follower group F and a leader group L , let $B(c, r)$ be a ball centered at c of radius r .

Definition 3. The convex hull generated by v_1, \dots, v_n , $C(\{v_1, \dots, v_n\})$, is the smallest convex set containing v_1, \dots, v_n , i.e.,

$$C(\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i : (a_i)_{i=1}^n \text{ is stochastic} \right\}.$$

Observe that $x_i(t+1) \in C(\{x_k(t)\}_{k \in L \cup F} \cup \{g\})$ for all $i \in L \cup F$; therefore we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4. For all $\delta \geq 0$, if

$$\{x_k(t)\}_{k \in L \cup F} \subset B(g, \delta), \text{ then } \{x_k(t+1)\}_{k \in L \cup F} \subset B(g, \delta).$$

Next, we investigate circumstances under which all agents achieve a consensus. It turns out that a consensus can be achieved as long as their opinion lies in $B(g, \delta)$ for some $\delta < \epsilon$ at some time, and the minimum degree of all leader group agents to their goal and the minimum degree of all followers to the average opinion of their leader neighbors have a lower bound after some time.

Theorem 5. Assume that $\{x_i(t)\}_{i \in L \cup F} \subset B(g, \delta)$ for some $\delta < \epsilon$ and that

$$\sup_{s \geq t} \left\{ \max_{i \in F} (1 - \beta_i(s)), \max_{i \in L} \alpha_i(s) \right\} < 1.$$

Then,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \max_{i \in L \cup F} \|x_i(t) - g\| = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 5. Via Theorem 2,

$$\max_{k \in L} \|x_k(s) - g\| < \epsilon - \delta \text{ for some } p \geq t \text{ and for all } s \geq p.$$

For all $s \geq p$, $i \in F$ and $j \in L$, via Lemma 4,

$$\|x_i(s) - x_j(s)\| \leq \|x_i(s) - g\| + \|g - x_j(s)\| < \delta + \epsilon - \delta = \epsilon$$

therefore $N_i^L = L$. Let $\gamma = \sup_{s \geq t} (\max_{i \in F} (1 - \beta_i(s)) \vee \max_{i \in L} \alpha_i(s))$, $\alpha_t = \max_{k \in L} \alpha_k(t)$, $\beta_t = \max_{k \in F} (1 - \beta_k(t))$, $A_t = \max_{k \in F} \|x_k(t) - g\|$ and $C_t = \max_{k \in L} \|x_k(t) - g\|$. By Lemma 1 and the triangle inequality, for all $i \in F$ and $t > p$,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{t+1} &\leq \beta_t A_t + C_t \\ &\leq \beta_t \beta_{t-1} \dots \beta_p A_p + \beta_t \dots \beta_{p+1} C_p + \dots + \beta_t C_{t-1} + C_t \\ &\leq \gamma^{t-p+1} A_p + (t - p + 1) \gamma^{t-p} C_p \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} A_{t+1} \leq 0.$$

Via Theorem 2, we are done. \square

Now, consider a LF system consisting of a follower group and m leader group. Similarly as Theorem 5, we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 6. Assume that $\{x_i(t), g_k\}_{i \in (\bigcup_{k=1}^m L_k) \cup F, k \in [m]} \subset B(g_j, \delta)$ for some $\delta < \epsilon$ and $j \in [m]$ and that

$$\sup_{s \geq t} \left\{ \max_{i \in F} (1 - \sum_{k=1}^m \beta_i^k(s)), \max_{k \in [m]} \max_{i \in L_k} \alpha_i^k(s) \right\} < 1.$$

Then,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \max_{i \in (\bigcup_{k=1}^m L_k) \cup F} \|x_i(t) - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\beta_i^k(t)}{\sum_{j=1}^m \beta_i^j(t)} g_k\| = 0.$$

In particular for all $i \in (\bigcup_{k=1}^m L_k) \cup F$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_i(t) = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\beta_i^k}{\sum_{j=1}^m \beta_i^j} g_k \text{ if } \beta_i^k(t) \rightarrow \beta_i^k \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty \text{ for all } k \in [m].$$

Corollary 7. Assume that all leader groups are independent systems and

$$(x_i(t))_{i \in L_k} \subset B(g_k, \delta_k) \text{ for some } t \in \mathbf{N} \text{ and } \delta_k < \epsilon \text{ and for all } k \in [m],$$

and all followers belong to one of them, and that

$$\sup_{s \geq t} \left\{ \max_{i \in F} \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^m \beta_i^k(s)\right), \max_{k \in [m]} \max_{i \in L_k} \alpha_i^k(s) \right\} < 1.$$

Then, all systems eventually achieve their target.

REFERENCES

- [1] H Li, Mixed Hegselmann-Krause Dynamics, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems-B*, (2021), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.03050>
- [2] Q Zha, G Kou, H Zhang, H Liang, X Chen, CC Li and Y Dong, Opinion dynamics in finance and business: a literature review and research opportunities, *Financial Innovation*, **44** (2020).
- [3] Y Zhao, G Kou, Y Peng and Y Chen, Understanding influence power of opinion leaders in e-commerce networks: An opinion dynamics theory perspective, *Information Sciences*, **426** (2018), 131–147.

Email address: hsinlun1@asu.edu