

Mapping method of group classification

Stanislav Opanasenko[†] and Roman O. Popovych[‡]

[†] *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Memorial University of Newfoundland,
St. John's (NL) A1C 5S7, Canada*

[‡] *Mathematical Institute, Silesian University in Opava, Na Rybníčku 1, 746 01 Opava,
Czech Republic
Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Wien, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, A-1090 Wien, Austria
Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine, 3 Tereshchenkivska Str., 01024 Kyiv, Ukraine*

E-mail: *sopanasenko@mun.ca, rop@imath.kiev.ua*

We revisit the entire framework of group classification of differential equations. After introducing the notion of weakly similar classes of differential equations, we develop the mapping method of group classification for such classes, which generalizes all the versions of this method that have been presented in the literature. The mapping method is applied to group classification of various classes of Kolmogorov equations and of Fokker–Planck equations in the case of space dimension one. The equivalence groupoids and the equivalence groups of these classes are computed. The group classification problems for these classes with respect to the corresponding equivalence groups are reduced to finding all inequivalent solutions of heat equations with inequivalent potentials admitting Lie-symmetry extensions. This reduction allows us to exhaustively solve the group classification problems for the classes of Kolmogorov and Fokker–Planck equations with time-independent coefficients.

1 Introduction

Problems of group classification of classes of differential equations were first considered by Sophus Lie himself. Thus, in [27] he solved the group classification problem for the entire class of second-order linear partial differential equations in two complex independent variables. The research interest in such classifications was renewed by Ovsiannikov late 1950s, who in particular revisited the above Lie's classification in invariant terms [37]. In the past decades the field of group classification has been steadily developed, but until this very day the consideration of complicated classes of differential equations, e.g., of those appearing in problems of invariant parameterization [39], within the framework of group classification is mostly avoided. The reason is partly in a lack of methods to handle such classes. The applicability of the powerful algebraic method of group classification [4, 18, 20, 41] is rather limited to classes with nice transformational properties, which includes various kinds of normalized classes [25, 26, 41], see [47] for a recent breakthrough in extending the algebraic method to specific non-normalized classes. (Definitions of related notions are presented in Section 2 below.) For generic classes, the simplest version of the direct method of group classification is still heavily used although there are better alternatives such as the partition of the class under study into its normalized subclasses [26, 41, 49], the furcate splitting method [6, 32, 36] and the mapping method [48, 35].

The generalization of the mapping method of group classification is the primary objective of the present paper. For this purpose, we update basic notions of the field of group classification and formalize the generalized group classification problem for a general class of differential equations. The efficiency of the developed method is demonstrated by means of its application to group classification of various classes of (1+1)-dimensional second-order linear evolution equations, including classes of Kolmogorov and Fokker–Planck equations.

The history of the group analysis of (1+1)-dimensional second-order linear evolution equations dates back to the aforementioned seminal paper [27] of Sophus Lie, where the group classi-

fication problem for these equations was solved as a part of the group classification problem for the entire class of second-order linear partial differential equations in two complex independent variables. After Ovsiannikov's revision [37], there were a number of papers restating, specifying or developing the above Lie's result. We refer the reader to [42] for a list of these papers and a modern treatment of the problem. The equivalence problem for (1+1)-dimensional second-order linear evolution equations was initiated in [8, 12, 27] and further considered in [19, 22, 31]. Darboux transformations between such equations were studied, e.g., in [9, 10, 29, 42].

In general, a group classification list for a class $\mathcal{L}$ of differential equations may not be contained in a reasonable group classification list for its superclass $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$. Thus, although the general point equivalence within the class $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ is obviously consistent with that within the subclass $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to the inclusion $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{L}}$, no system from $\mathcal{L}$ may belong to the known classification list for $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ at all. If the group classification problem for the class $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ is solved up to the equivalence generated by its equivalence group or a subgroup thereof, then this equivalence may even be inconsistent with the analogous equivalence in $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to the inclusion $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{L}}$, and the known classification list for $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ cannot be easily adjusted to obtain a group classification list for the class $\mathcal{L}$. For example, the group classification problem for the class $\mathcal{E}$ of (1+1)-dimensional second-order linear evolution equations

$$u_t = A(t, x)u_{xx} + B(t, x)u_x + C(t, x)u + D(t, x) \quad (1)$$

with $A \neq 0$ is traditionally solved by reducing it to that for the class $\mathcal{P}$ of heat equations with potentials,

$$u_t = u_{xx} + C(t, x)u,$$

which is actually a simple incarnation of the mapping method of group classification. Then all the listed equations representing Lie-symmetry extensions in the class $\mathcal{E}$ belong to $\mathcal{P}$ and thus, this classification list is not appropriate for various classes of Kolmogorov or Fokker–Planck equations. Moreover, the equivalence groups of these classes are not consistent with the equivalence groups of the classes $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ in several senses, e.g., with respect to the relations of inclusion and of weak similarity.

The Fokker–Planck equations are prominent equations in stochastic analysis by virtue of the fact that they describe the evolution of the transition probability density in diffusion processes [16]. In the case of one spatial variable, the entire class $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ of the Fokker–Planck equations consists of equations of the form

$$u_t = (A(t, x)u)_{xx} + (B(t, x)u)_x,$$

where A and B are functions of (t, x) , called the diffusion coefficient and the drift, respectively, with $A \neq 0$. Besides stochastic analysis, Fokker–Planck equations play an important role in various natural sciences, including biology and physics [43].

The study of equations from the class $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ within the framework of the group analysis of differential equations commenced in the middle of the 1980s, when the Fokker–Planck equations describing the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck and the Rayleigh processes were considered in [2] and [44], respectively. Point transformations that reduce these and a number of other physically important Fokker–Planck equations to the heat equation were constructed in [45]. Lie symmetries of general (1+1)-dimensional Fokker–Planck equations were discussed in [13, 24, 46].

The adjoint class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ is constituted by Kolmogorov equations,

$$u_t = A(t, x)u_{xx} + B(t, x)u_x$$

with $A \neq 0$, which are formally adjoint to Fokker–Planck equations if A is replaced by $-A$. This fact reflects on the similarity of transformational properties of the classes $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$. In particular, the maximal Lie invariance algebras of Kolmogorov and Fokker–Planck equations with the

arbitrary-element tuples $(-A, B)$ and (A, B) , respectively, are isomorphic. Furthermore, there is a connection between the equivalence groups of these classes, see [42] and Section 3. Thus, we can switch between considerations of these classes whenever we feel this simplifies a problem at hand [42, Section 5].

Our personal interest in the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ lies in the fact that reaction–diffusion equations of the form $u_t = f(u_x)u_{xx} + g(u)$ with $f \neq 0$ are linearized by the hodograph transformation $\tilde{t} = t$, $\tilde{x} = u$, $\tilde{u} = x$ to Kolmogorov equations if $f(u_x) = cu_x^{-2}$, where c is an arbitrary nonzero constant. In [36], the class of equations of the above form with arbitrary $f \neq 0$ was represented as a union of four subclasses, and three of them were classified up to the equivalences generated by their equivalence groups. At the same time, the fourth subclass, which consists of linearizable equations, was classified only up to the general point equivalence. The group classification of the last subclass modulo the equivalence generated by its equivalence group is reduced to the analogous classification of the similar class $\mathcal{K}'^{+1}$ of the reduced ($A = 1$) Kolmogorov equations with stationary drifts. Unfortunately, *there are no group classifications of classes of Kolmogorov and Fokker–Planck equations with respect to the corresponding equivalence groups in the literature*, including the class $\mathcal{K}'^{+1}$. We fill this gap in the present paper.

The classes $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ are not normalized, and their group classification problems cannot be tackled with the algebraic method. Moreover, the action groupoids of their equivalence groups constitute only small portions of the corresponding equivalence groupoids. In other words, within each of the classes $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ there are too many admissible transformations that are not generated by equivalence transformations. Firstly, this suggests us that a partition of any of these classes into normalized subclasses is unlikely, and secondly, it means that the number of inequivalent cases of Lie-symmetry extensions in both $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ modulo the corresponding equivalence groups is significantly higher than the analogous number up to the general point equivalence. At the same time, we show that the group classification problems for $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ modulo the corresponding equivalence groups are equivalent to the analogous problems for the subclasses $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon \subset \bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon \subset \bar{\mathcal{F}}$ of reduced Kolmogorov and Fokker–Planck equations, which are singled out by the constraint $A = \epsilon$. Here and in what follows ϵ is a fixed number in $\{-1, 1\}$. Moreover, the subclasses $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$ are weakly similar to the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ of heat equations with potentials, see Definition 3. The class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ is disjointedly semi-normalized with respect to the linear superposition of solutions [42]. Hence the equivalences generated by its equivalence group $G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}$ and by its equivalence groupoid are the same. The group classification of this class is quite simple, and the well-known classification list contains only three inequivalent cases of essential Lie-symmetry extensions [27, 37]. In [42] with the help of the standard version of the mapping method of group classification, this list was mapped to the group classification lists for the classes $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$ up to the general point equivalence, each of which is comprised of four cases of inequivalent essential Lie-symmetry extensions.

In the present paper, we apply the advanced version of the mapping method to the group classification of the classes $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$ modulo the equivalences generated by the corresponding equivalence groups. Here, the image of any equation from $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ is a set of equations from $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$) that necessarily contains equations that are inequivalent with respect to the corresponding equivalence group. Thus, the mapping method is applied for the first time to classes with so general weak similarity. It reduces the group classification problems for the classes $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$, and hence for the classes $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$, to finding all solutions of the $G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}$ -inequivalent heat equations with potentials that are inequivalent with respect to the essential point symmetry groups thereof. This means that these classification problems are in fact no-go problems in the sense of explicitly listing inequivalent Lie-symmetry extensions. Nonetheless, the mapping method allows us to solve, in a closed form, the group classification problems for the classes $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}'$ of Kolmogorov and Fokker–Planck equations with time-independent coefficients and their reduced subclasses $\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon$ modulo the equivalences generated by the corresponding equivalence groups. The basis for this application of the mapping method is the

well-known group classification of the subclass $\mathcal{P}'$ of $\mathcal{P}$ constituted by the heat equations with time-independent potentials C . It is necessary to note that Fokker–Planck equations arising in applications, in particular those describing the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck and the Rayleigh processes, have time-independent coefficients.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The advanced theory of point transformations between differential equations is given in Section 2. Thus, Sections 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 are devoted to the notions of admissible and equivalence transformations within classes of differential equations, a revisited formulation of the group classification problem and developing the mapping method of group classification, respectively. In Section 3, we compute the equivalence groupoids and the equivalence groups of the class $\mathcal{E}$, of its reparameterization $\check{\mathcal{E}}$ and of various subclasses of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\check{\mathcal{E}}$. In particular, we first construct a minimal self-consistent generating set of admissible transformations for the class $\mathcal{P}'$ of the heat equations with time-independent potentials C . Using the known group classification of the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ and the developed version of the mapping method, in Section 4 we revisit the solution of the group classification problems for the classes $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$, $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$, $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$ up to the general point equivalence and exhaustively study the analogous problems up to the equivalences generated by the corresponding equivalence groups. Applying the same approach, in Section 5 we completely solve the group classification problems, up to the equivalences generated by the corresponding equivalence groups, for the subclasses of the above classes that consists of the equations with time-independent coefficients. The most interesting results of the present paper are discussed in Section 6.

2 Point transformations in classes of differential equations and the mapping method of group classification

Let $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathcal{L}_\theta \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$ be a class (of systems) of differential equations, $\mathcal{L}_\theta$, of order r in independent variables $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and dependent variables $u = (u^1, \dots, u^m)$ with the arbitrary-element tuple $\theta = (\theta^1(x, u_{(r)}), \dots, \theta^k(x, u_{(r)}))$ running through the solution set $\mathcal{S}$ of an auxiliary system S of differential equations and differential inequalities. (The notation of variables in this section differs from that in the other sections.) The systems $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ have the form $L_\theta(x, u_{(r)}) := L(x, u_{(r)}, \theta_{(q)}(x, u_{(r)})) = 0$, where L is a fixed tuple of r th order differential functions in u parameterized by derivatives of θ . The short-hand notations $u_{(r)}$ and $\theta_{(q)}$ are used for the tuples of derivatives of the corresponding functions with respect to their arguments up to orders r and q , respectively. In the auxiliary system S , the jet variables $(x, u_{(r)})$ and the arbitrary elements θ play the role of the independent and the dependent variables, respectively.

2.1 Admissible and equivalence transformations

Admissible transformations of the class $\mathcal{L}$ are triples $\mathcal{T}$ of the form $(\theta_1, \Phi, \theta_2)$, where $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ and Φ is a point transformation¹ relating the systems $\mathcal{L}_{\theta_1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\theta_2}$, $\Phi_*\mathcal{L}_{\theta_1} = \mathcal{L}_{\theta_2}$. Here $\Phi_*\mathcal{L}_{\theta_1}$ is the pushforward of the system $\mathcal{L}_{\theta_1}$ by the transformation Φ , and the equality $\Phi_*\mathcal{L}_{\theta_1} = \mathcal{L}_{\theta_2}$ should be understood as $(\text{pr}_{(r)}\Phi)^*L_{\theta_2}|_{\mathcal{L}_{\theta_1}} = 0$, where $\text{pr}_{(r)}\Phi$ is the r th prolongation of the transformation Φ . In this case, we also say $\Phi_*\theta_1 = \theta_2$. For an admissible transformation $\mathcal{T} = (\theta_1, \Phi, \theta_2)$, θ_1 and θ_2 are respectively called the source and the target of $\mathcal{T}$, $s(\mathcal{T}) := \theta_1$ and $t(\mathcal{T}) := \theta_2$. The composition $\mathcal{T} \star \mathcal{T}'$ of admissible transformations $\mathcal{T} = (\theta_1, \Phi, \theta_2)$ and $\mathcal{T}' = (\theta'_1, \Phi', \theta'_2)$ is defined if and only if $\theta'_1 = s(\mathcal{T}') = t(\mathcal{T}) = \theta_2$, and it is equal to $\mathcal{T} \star \mathcal{T}' := (\theta_1, \Phi' \circ \Phi, \theta'_2)$, which gives a partial multiplication for admissible transformations of $\mathcal{L}$. For any $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$, the unit at θ is $\text{id}_\theta := (\theta, \text{id}_{(x,u)}, \theta)$, where $\text{id}_{(x,u)}$ is the identity transformation of (x, u) . The inverse of $\mathcal{T}$ is

¹In the case of one dependent variable, one can also consider various structures consisting of contact transformations instead of point ones.

$\mathcal{T}^{-1} := (\theta_2, \Phi^{-1}, \theta_1)$, where Φ^{-1} is the inverse of Φ . This makes the set

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim} = \{(\theta_1, \Phi, \theta_2) \mid \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathcal{S}, \Phi \in \text{Diff}_{(x,u)}^{\text{loc}} : \Phi_* \mathcal{L}_{\theta_1} = \mathcal{L}_{\theta_2}\}$$

of admissible transformations of the class $\mathcal{L}$ a groupoid, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$, called the *equivalence groupoid* of this class, where the set of objects is $s(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}) = t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}) = \mathcal{S}$ and the base groupoid is $\mathcal{S} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S} := \{\text{id}_{\theta} \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$.

Usual equivalence transformations of the class $\mathcal{L}$ are the point transformations (i.e., local diffeomorphisms) in the space coordinatized by $(x, u_{(r)}, \theta)$ that are projectable to the spaces coordinatized by (x, u) and $(x, u_{(r)})$, are consistent with the contact structure of the jet space $J^r(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_u^m)$ and leave $\mathcal{L}_{\theta}$ in $\mathcal{L}$ for any value of the arbitrary-element tuple θ of $\mathcal{L}$. Such transformations constitute the *usual equivalence (pseudo)group* $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ of the class $\mathcal{L}$. In what follows, we omit the attribute “usual” for such groups since we do not deal with other types of equivalence transformations in the present paper. Most objects that are called groups in the present paper are in fact pseudogroups of local diffeomorphisms but we omit the attribute “pseudo” as well.

Let π be the natural projection from the space coordinatized by $(x, u_{(r)}, \theta)$ onto the space coordinatized by (x, u) . There are two particularly important subgroupoids of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$, the action groupoid of the equivalence group $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ and the fundamental groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^f$ of the class $\mathcal{L}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim} &= \mathcal{G}(G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}) := \{(\theta, \pi_* \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_* \theta) \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \in G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}\}, \\ \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^f &:= \{\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim} \mid s(\mathcal{T}) = t(\mathcal{T})\} = \{(\theta, \Phi, \theta) \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}, \Phi \in G_{\theta}\} = \bigsqcup_{\theta \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{G}_{\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Here for each $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\theta}$ is the vertex group of the system $\mathcal{L}_{\theta}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\theta} = \{\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim} \mid s(\mathcal{T}) = t(\mathcal{T}) = \theta\}$. It is associated with the complete point symmetry group G_{θ} of $\mathcal{L}_{\theta}$: $(\theta, \Phi, \theta) \in \mathcal{G}_{\theta}$ if and only if $\Phi \in G_{\theta}$. The fundamental groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^f$ of $\mathcal{L}$ is a normal subgroupoid of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$.

Any wide² subgroupoid $\mathcal{U}$ of the equivalence groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ of the class $\mathcal{L}$ generates an equivalence relation for elements of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$. More specifically, $\mathcal{T}, \tilde{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ are called *$\mathcal{U}$ -equivalent* if there exist $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{T}_1 \star \mathcal{T} \star \mathcal{T}_2$.³ We call $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ composable with $\mathcal{T}' \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ up to the $\mathcal{U}$ -equivalence if an admissible transformation that is $\mathcal{U}$ -equivalent to $\mathcal{T}$ is composable with $\mathcal{T}'$ or, equivalently, if $\mathcal{T}$ is composable with an admissible transformation that is $\mathcal{U}$ -equivalent to $\mathcal{T}'$. A set $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{T}_{\gamma} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$, where Γ is an index set, is called a *generating set of admissible transformations for the class $\mathcal{L}$ up to the $\mathcal{U}$ -equivalence* if any admissible transformation of this class can be represented as the composition of a finite number of elements of the set $\mathcal{B} \cup \hat{\mathcal{B}} \cup \mathcal{U}$. Here $\hat{\mathcal{B}} = \{\mathcal{T}^{-1} \mid \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{B}\}$. A subset $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ is *self-consistent with respect to the $\mathcal{U}$ -equivalence* if the composability of elements of $\mathcal{B} \cup \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ up to the $\mathcal{U}$ -equivalence implies their usual composability. The standard choice for $\mathcal{U}$ is $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{G}^{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}}$ [47], and then one can use the term ‘ $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ -equivalence’ instead of ‘ $\mathcal{G}^{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}}$ -equivalence’. For specific classes of differential equations, e.g., for classes of linear homogeneous systems of differential equations, other choices of $\mathcal{U}$ can be more convenient, see Section 3.2.

The class $\mathcal{L}$ is called *normalized* in the usual sense if $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim} = \mathcal{G}^{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}}$ and *semi-normalized* if the groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ coincides with the Frobenius product of $\mathcal{G}^{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^f$,

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim} = \mathcal{G}^{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}} \star \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^f := \{\mathcal{T} \star \mathcal{T}' \mid \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{G}^{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}}, \mathcal{T}' \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^f, t(\mathcal{T}) = s(\mathcal{T}')\}.$$

More generally, consider a subgroup H of $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ and a family $N_{\mathcal{S}} := \{N_{\theta} < G_{\theta} \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$ of subgroups of the groups G_{θ} that is *uniform* with respect to H , i.e., $N_{s(\mathcal{T})} \star \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T} \star N_{t(\mathcal{T})}$ for any $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{G}^H$. Here $\mathcal{G}^H := \{(\theta, \pi_* \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_* \theta) \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \in H\}$ is the action groupoid of H , and for

²A subgroupoid $\mathcal{U}$ of a groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ is called *wide* if the sets of objects of $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ coincide, $s(\mathcal{U}) = s(\mathcal{G})$. More generally, a subset $\mathcal{M}$ of a groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ is called *wide* if the set $s(\mathcal{M})$ coincides with the set of objects of $\mathcal{G}$, $s(\mathcal{M}) = s(\mathcal{G})$.

³One can also introduce other kinds of equivalence with respect to $\mathcal{U}$ for elements of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$, see [47].

any $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{N}_\theta := \{(\theta, \Phi, \theta) \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}, \Phi \in N_\theta\}$ is the subgroup of the vertex group $\mathcal{G}_\theta$ that is associated with N_θ . Denote $\mathcal{N}^f := \sqcup_{\theta \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{N}_\theta$. Then the Frobenius product

$$\mathcal{N}^f \star \mathcal{G}^H := \{\mathcal{T} \star \mathcal{T}' \mid \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{N}^f, \mathcal{T}' \in \mathcal{G}^H, \mathfrak{t}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathfrak{s}(\mathcal{T}')\},$$

is a subgroupoid of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim$. We call the class $\mathcal{L}|\mathcal{S}$ *semi-normalized with respect to the subgroup H of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim$ and the family $N_{\mathcal{S}}$ of subgroups of the point symmetry groups* if $\mathcal{N}^f \star \mathcal{G}^H = \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim$, and we add the modifier “disjointedly” to “semi-normalized” if additionally $\mathcal{N}^f \cap \mathcal{G}^H = \mathcal{S} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$. In particular, if the class $\mathcal{L}$ consists of linear homogeneous systems of differential equations, $H = G_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim$, and for any $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$, N_θ is the subgroup of G_θ that is constituted by the point symmetry transformations of the linear superposition of solutions, $N_\theta = \{\Phi \in G_\theta \mid \Phi: \tilde{x} = x, \tilde{u} = u + f(x)\}$ with $f(x) = (f^1(x), \dots, f^m(x))$ running through the solution set of $\mathcal{L}_\theta$, then the class $\mathcal{L}$ is called (*disjointedly*) *semi-normalized with respect to the linear superposition of solutions*.

See [47] and references therein for more definitions of structures related to point or contact transformations within classes of differential equations.

A *subclass* $\mathcal{L}'$ of the class $\mathcal{L}$ is a specific subset of $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L}' = \{\mathcal{L}_\theta \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{S}\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{S}'$ is the solution set of an auxiliary system $\mathcal{S}'$ obtained by supplementing the system $\mathcal{S}$ with the system $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ of additional auxiliary differential equations and/or differential inequalities. We say that subclass $\mathcal{L}'$ is singled out from the class $\mathcal{L}$ by the system $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ of differential constraints on the arbitrary-element tuple θ . Among such constraints, we distinguish those that can be imposed by relating the class $\mathcal{L}$ via wide subsets of admissible transformations (usually, from $\mathcal{G}^{G_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim}$) to its proper subclasses. In contrast to the general constraints on the arbitrary-element tuple, these specific constraints are called *gauges*. More precisely, let $\mathcal{L}'$ be a subclass of $\mathcal{L}$ that is singled out from $\mathcal{L}$ by the additional auxiliary system $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ on the arbitrary-element tuple θ . We say that a wide subset $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim$ gauges the class $\mathcal{L}$ to its subclass $\mathcal{L}'$ or, equivalently, the set $\mathcal{S}$ to its subset $\mathcal{S}'$ if $\mathfrak{t}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{S}'$. Then the system $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ is called the gauge on θ associated with $\mathcal{M}$.

Suppose that the system $\mathcal{S}'$ contains algebraic equations $S^i(x, u_{(r)}, \theta) = 0$ in θ , where the index i runs through a finite index set I , $|I| \leq \#\theta = k$, and the function tuple $S := (S^i, i \in I)$ is of the maximal rank with respect to θ . Here the attribute “algebraic” means “not being genuinely differential”. The arbitrary-element tuple θ can (locally) be split into “parametric” and “leading” parts $\hat{\theta}$ and $\check{\theta}$ with $\#\check{\theta} = |I|$ and $\det(\partial S / \partial \check{\theta}) \neq 0$.⁴ Solving the system $S = 0$ with respect to $\check{\theta}$, we can assume without loss of generality that $S = \check{\theta} - \Theta(x, u_{(r)}, \hat{\theta})$. Excluding $\check{\theta}$ from the system $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ due to the substitution $\check{\theta} = \Theta(x, u_{(r)}, \hat{\theta})$ for $\check{\theta}$ and considering $\hat{\theta}$ as the only arbitrary elements, we reparameterize the class $\mathcal{L}'$ to a class $\mathcal{L}'_r$ with a less number of arbitrary elements. A benefit of the reparameterization is that it allows one to avoid the consideration of insignificant equivalence transformations [11, Appendix A], whose presence complicates the computation of equivalence transformations of the class $\mathcal{L}'$. At the same time, in contrast to $\mathcal{L}'$, the reparameterized class $\mathcal{L}'_r$ is not formally a subclass of $\mathcal{L}$, although it can be embedded in $\mathcal{L}$ via naturally identifying with its counterpart $\mathcal{L}'$, $\mathcal{L}'_r \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}$. This is why we can still informally say that the class $\mathcal{L}'_r$ is a subclass of $\mathcal{L}$.

If the system $\mathcal{S}'$ contains genuinely differential constraints that can algebraically be solved with respect to a subtuple $\check{\theta}$ of θ , $\check{\theta} = \Theta(x, u_{(r)}, \hat{\theta}_{(q)})$, where $\hat{\theta}$ is the complement of $\check{\theta}$ in θ , i.e., the subtuple $\check{\theta}$ can be expressed in terms of derivatives of $\hat{\theta}$, the class $\mathcal{L}'$ can be reparameterized in an analogous way. Nevertheless, this is not so important in this case as in the previous one since such constraints are not related to the existence of insignificant equivalence transformations.

2.2 Revisited statement of group classification problem

Loosely speaking, the group classification problem for the class $\mathcal{L}$ is to classify the maximal Lie invariance algebras $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$ of the systems $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ from the class $\mathcal{L}$ up to an appropriate equivalence

⁴Throughout the paper, any inequality of the form $f \neq 0$ with a function f means that this function is nonzero for each point in its domain.

related to point transformations between such systems. To solve the group classification problem for the class $\mathcal{L}$ is to list all inequivalent values of θ for each of which the algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$ is wider than a Lie invariance algebra of $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ that is in a certain sense common or general for all systems in the class $\mathcal{L}$. Thus, for a rigorous formulation of the group classification problem, one should precisely specify the equivalence to be used, invariance algebras to be assumed “common” or “general” and in which sense these algebras are “common” or “general”.

There are two standard equivalences used in the course of group classification of the class $\mathcal{L}$, the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}$ -equivalence and the $G_{\mathcal{L}}$ -equivalence. The classification with respect to the equivalence groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is the classification up to all point transformations within the class $\mathcal{L}$ or, in other words, up to the general point equivalence called the similarity of systems of differential equations. The $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}$ -equivalence coincides with the equivalence with respect to the equivalence group $G_{\mathcal{L}}$ if the class $\mathcal{L}$ is semi-normalized but for a general class of differential equations, the latter equivalence is weaker. If the class $\mathcal{L}$ is not semi-normalized, then one may try to partition it into semi-normalized subclasses that are invariant under the action of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and to solve the group classification problem for each of these subclasses with respect to its own equivalence group. If such a partition is not feasible, one should carry out the group classification of the class $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to its equivalence group and additionally indicate which $G_{\mathcal{L}}$ -inequivalent cases of Lie-symmetry extensions are $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}$ -equivalent. Such equivalences are called additional, and are realized by admissible transformations of the class $\mathcal{L}$ that do not belong to the action groupoid $\mathcal{G}^{G_{\mathcal{L}}}$ of the equivalence group $G_{\mathcal{L}}$. One can also use the equivalence with respect to the generalized, the extended or the extended generalized equivalence groups instead of the usual one [21]. In some classification problems, e.g., in partial preliminary group classification problems [5] or in group classifications of potential systems or potential equations [40], the corresponding equivalence groups are replaced by their proper subgroups.

Likewise, there are two approaches to assigning which invariance algebras are regarded “common” or “general” for systems in the class $\mathcal{L}$. The traditional approach is to take the common part $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^\cap = \cap_{\theta \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{g}_\theta$ of all the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$ as basic for the further classification of its extensions [37]. It is called the kernel of maximal Lie invariance algebras of systems from the class $\mathcal{L}$ or, briefly, the *kernel algebra* of $\mathcal{L}$. Although this approach is applicable to a generic class of differential equations, there may be better alternatives for some classes. In fact, the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$, $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$, may have more in common than merely the common part $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^\cap$. Within the more general second approach, one considers a family $\{\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_\theta \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$ of *uniform* subalgebras of the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$, $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$.⁵ The uniformity of these subalgebras means that their parameterization by θ is consistent with the equivalence used in the course of the group classification of the class $\mathcal{L}$. More specifically, $(\pi_* \mathcal{T})_* \mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{T}*\theta}^{\text{unf}}$ for any $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$ and any $\mathcal{T} \in G_{\mathcal{L}}$ in the case of the $G_{\mathcal{L}}$ -uniformity and $\Phi_* \mathfrak{g}_{\theta_1}^{\text{unf}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\theta_2}^{\text{unf}}$ for any $\mathcal{T} = (\theta_1, \Phi, \theta_2) \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}$ in the case of the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}$ -uniformity. The uniform subalgebras from the same family usually have a similar structure, e.g., they are simultaneously abelian or their dimensions are the same or, more generally, they have the same arbitrariness of their parameters. Note that, under classifying up to the $G_{\mathcal{L}}$ -equivalence, the first (traditional) approach can be considered as a particular case of the second approach, where each $G_{\mathcal{L}}$ -uniform subalgebra coincides with the kernel algebra of $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^\cap$ for any $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$. This is due to the fact that the kernel algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^\cap$ is invariant under the $G_{\mathcal{L}}$ -action,

$$(\pi_* \mathcal{T})_* \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^\cap = (\pi_* \mathcal{T})_* (\cap_{\theta \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{g}_\theta) = \cap_{\theta \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{T}*\theta} = \cap_{\theta \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{g}_\theta = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^\cap \quad \text{for any } \mathcal{T} \in G_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

⁵For each $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$ is defined by a homogeneous linear system of differential equations $\Theta^\theta[v] = 0$ in components of vector fields v on the space with coordinates (x, u) . Here $\Theta^\theta = \Theta^\theta[v]$ is a tuple of homogeneous linear differential functions in components of v that is parameterized by derivatives of θ . In other words, a vector field v belongs to $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$ if and only if $\Theta^\theta[v] = 0$. We assume that the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$ is defined by an analogous system $\tilde{\Theta}^\theta[v] = 0$, which contains the system $\Theta^\theta[v] = 0$ as a subsystem.

Proposition 1. *Given a family $\{\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_\theta \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim$ -uniform subalgebras, for each $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$, the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$ is invariant under the adjoint action of the point symmetry group G_θ of the system $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ on $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$ and, moreover, it is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$.*

Proof. We fix an arbitrary $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$ and an arbitrary $\Phi \in G_\theta$. Then $\mathcal{T} := (\theta, \Phi, \theta)$ belongs to the vertex group $\mathcal{G}_\theta \subset \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim$ and thus $\Phi_* \mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}} = \mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$. In other words, the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$ is invariant under the adjoint action of the point symmetry group G_θ of the system $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ on $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$.

To prove the second claim of the proposition, we follow the proof of [35, Corollary 25]. Let $v \in \mathfrak{g}_\theta$ and thus $\{\exp(\varepsilon v)\}$, where the parameter ε runs through a neighborhood of zero in $\mathbb{R}$, is a local one-parameter point symmetry group of the system $\mathcal{L}_\theta$, $\{\exp(\varepsilon v)\} \subseteq G_\theta$. For any $w \in \mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$ we have $(\exp(\varepsilon v))_* w \in \mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$. Recall that

$$[v, w] = \mathcal{L}_v w := \left. \frac{dw_\varepsilon}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{w_\varepsilon - w_0}{\varepsilon}, \quad \text{where} \quad w_\varepsilon|_p := (\exp(-\varepsilon v)_* w)|_{\exp(\varepsilon v)(p)}$$

for any point p in the space coordinatized by (x, u) . The vector field $u_\varepsilon = (w_\varepsilon - w_0)/\varepsilon$ clearly belongs to the algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$ for any $\varepsilon \neq 0$, and thus it satisfies the system defining this algebra, $\bar{\Theta}^\theta[u_\varepsilon] = 0$. Recalling that components of u_ε are smooth in (x, u, ε) , we use the continuity of $\bar{\Theta}^\theta$ in components of vector fields to show

$$\bar{\Theta}^\theta[[v, w]] = \bar{\Theta}^\theta \left[\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u_\varepsilon \right] = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \bar{\Theta}^\theta[u_\varepsilon] = 0,$$

implying that $[v, w] \in \mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$. $\square$

In practice, uniform subalgebras are either known a priori from the very form of the systems $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ or can be easily computed at the preliminary step of group classification of $\mathcal{L}$. From a known family $\{\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_\theta \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$ of $G_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim$ -uniform subalgebras one can easily construct a family of $G_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim$ -uniform subalgebras each of which contains the kernel algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^\square$.

Proposition 2. *Given a family $\{\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_\theta \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$ of $G_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim$ -uniform subalgebras, for an arbitrary $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$, let $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$ be the minimal subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$ that contains both $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^\square$ and $\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$. Then $\{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\theta^{\text{unf}} \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is a family of $G_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim$ -uniform subalgebras of the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$, $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$.*

Proof. We fix an arbitrary $\mathcal{T} \in G_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim$ and an arbitrary $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$ and recall that the kernel algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^\square$ is invariant under the $G_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim$ -action, $(\pi_* \mathcal{T})_* \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^\square = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^\square$. Since in addition $(\pi_* \mathcal{T})_* \mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{T}*\theta}^{\text{unf}}$, we have that $(\pi_* \mathcal{T})_* \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\theta^{\text{unf}} \supseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{T}*\theta}^{\text{unf}}$ and $(\pi_* \mathcal{T})_* \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\theta^{\text{unf}} \supseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^\square$. The fact that $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$ directly implies that $(\pi_* \mathcal{T})_* \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$ is a subalgebra of $(\pi_* \mathcal{T})_* \mathfrak{g}_\theta = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{T}*\theta}$. Therefore, $(\pi_* \mathcal{T})_* \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\theta^{\text{unf}} \supseteq \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{T}*\theta}^{\text{unf}}$. Analogously, we derive $(\pi_* \mathcal{T}^{-1})_* \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{T}*\theta}^{\text{unf}} \supseteq \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$ and thus $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{T}*\theta}^{\text{unf}} \supseteq (\pi_* \mathcal{T})_* \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$. Hence $(\pi_* \mathcal{T})_* \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\theta^{\text{unf}} = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{T}*\theta}^{\text{unf}}$. $\square$

On the other hand, attaching the kernel algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^\square$ to each of the chosen uniform subalgebras may backfire. First of all, Proposition 2 does in general not hold in the case of the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim$ -uniformity since the kernel algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^\square$ is not necessarily $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim$ -invariant, cf. [14, Example 1]. Moreover, the family $\{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\theta^{\text{unf}} \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$ may not have important properties of the family $\{\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}} \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$. In a best-case scenario, the class $\mathcal{L}$ is disjointedly semi-normalized with respect to a family of subgroups H_θ of the complete point symmetry groups G_θ , and we can choose the family of Lie algebras of the subgroups H_θ as a family of uniform subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$ of the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$, see [25, Definition 1]. In this case, for each $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$, the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$ and has a complement subspace in $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$ that is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$, called the *essential Lie invariance algebra* $\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{ess}}$ of $\mathcal{L}_\theta$, $\mathfrak{g}_\theta = \mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{ess}} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$, and moreover $\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{ess}} = \mathfrak{g}_\theta \cap \pi_* \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim$. Therefore, the group classification of $\mathcal{L}$ reduces to the classification of appropriate subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim$. Even if the family of uniform subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$ cannot be chosen in the above way, one may want to ensure at least some of the aforementioned properties.

To summarize, the statement of group classification problem can be revisited as follows.

To carry out the group classification of a class $\mathcal{L}$ of systems of differential equations with respect to its equivalence groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ (resp. its equivalence group $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$) is to list all $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ -inequivalent (resp. $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ -inequivalent) values of its arbitrary-element tuple $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$ such that the maximal Lie invariance algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$ of the systems $\mathcal{L}_{\theta}$ are wider than the corresponding elements of the chosen family $\{\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{unf}} \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ -uniform (resp. $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ -uniform) subalgebras of the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$.

The problem can be reformulated in the case when the essential Lie invariance algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{ess}}$ is defined for each $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$ in a canonical way.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a class of systems of differential equations, where for any value of its arbitrary-element tuple $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$ one can canonically define the essential subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{ess}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$. The group classification of this class with respect to its equivalence groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ (resp. its equivalence group $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$) reduces to listing all $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ -inequivalent (resp. $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ -inequivalent) values $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$ such that the essential Lie invariance algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{ess}}$ of the systems $\mathcal{L}_{\theta}$ are wider than the kernel $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\cap \text{ess}} = \cap_{\theta \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{ess}}$ of the essential Lie invariance algebras of the class $\mathcal{L}$.

As an example, consider a class $\mathcal{L}$ of linear homogeneous systems of differential equations. Any system $\mathcal{L}_{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}$ admits, in view of the linear superposition of solutions, the point symmetry transformations of the form $\tilde{x} = x, \tilde{u} = u + f(x)$, where $f(x) = (f^1(x), \dots, f^m(x))$ is an arbitrary solution of $\mathcal{L}_{\theta}$. This reflects on the structure of both the complete point symmetry group G_{θ} and the maximal Lie invariance algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$ thereof. In fact, if the admissible transformations of the class $\mathcal{L}$ are fiber-preserving and their u -components are affine with respect to u ,⁶ then the maximal Lie invariance algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$ of the system $\mathcal{L}_{\theta}$ can be represented as $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta} := \mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{ess}} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{lin}}$. Here

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{lin}} &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m f^i(x) \partial_{u^i} \mid f \text{ is a solution of } \mathcal{L}_{\theta} \right\}, \\ \mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{ess}} &= \left\{ Q = \sum_{a=1}^n \xi^a(x) \partial_{x_a} + \sum_{i,j=1}^m \eta^{ij}(x) u^j \partial_{u^i} \mid Q \in \mathfrak{g}_{\theta} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

are an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$ corresponding to the linear superposition of solutions and the *essential* subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$, respectively. The family $\{\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{lin}} \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is taken here to be a family of uniform subalgebras of the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$, and thus it is natural to classify extensions not of the algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\cap}$ but of the algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\cap \text{ess}}$. If additionally the class $\mathcal{L}$ is disjointedly semi-normalized with respect to the linear superposition of solutions, then $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{ess}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\theta} \cap \pi_* \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ for any $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$. Note that every system $\mathcal{L}_{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}$ admits the vector field $I = \sum_{i=1}^m u^i \partial_{u^i}$ as its Lie symmetry, i.e., $I \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\cap \text{ess}} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\cap}$.⁷ Therefore, it may be tempting to consider the family of the wider uniform subalgebras $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\theta}^{\text{lin}}$ of the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$ than the subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{lin}}$ that are obtained by attaching I to $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{lin}}$ for each $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$, $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\theta}^{\text{lin}} = \langle I \rangle \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{lin}}$. Although the subalgebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\theta}^{\text{lin}}$ may share, with $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{lin}}$, the property to be ideal of $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$, it may not have a complement subspace in $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$ that is closed with respect to the Lie bracket of vector fields. This leads to artificial complications in applying the algebraic method of group classification. As a result, the family $\{\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{lin}} \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is a natural and convenient choice for a family of uniform subalgebras of the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$ when solving the group classification problem for the class $\mathcal{L}$.

⁶These properties are quite common for classes of linear homogeneous systems of differential equations. At the same time, see [11, Section 4] for an example of a class of such systems, where admissible transformations are not fiber-preserving, and for any value of the corresponding arbitrary-element tuple θ , $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{lin}}$ is not an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$ and any complement subspace to $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{lin}}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$ contains vector fields of a different form although such a subspace can be chosen to be a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$. This is the class $\mathcal{L}_0$ in the notation of [11], which consists of the linear homogeneous systems of second-order ordinary differential equations that are similar with respect to point transformations to the elementary (free particle) system.

⁷Under the above conditions, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\cap} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\cap \text{ess}} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\cap \text{lin}}$, where $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\cap \text{lin}} = \{\sum_{i=1}^m f^i(x) \partial_{u^i} \mid f \text{ is a solution of } \mathcal{L}_{\theta} \text{ for any } \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$. In other words, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\cap \text{lin}} \neq \{0\}$ if and only if the systems from the class $\mathcal{L}$ have a common nonzero solution. This is the case, e.g., for the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ of Kolmogorov equations whose set of common solutions consists of the constant functions, and hence $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}^{\cap \text{lin}} = \langle \partial_u \rangle$.

2.3 Extension of the mapping method of group classification

We develop the mapping method of group classification proposed in [48] to solve the group classification problem for the classes $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and their subclasses.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ be related classes of differential equations and let the group classification problem for the class $\mathcal{L}$ be already solved. The underlying idea of the mapping method is to derive a group classification list for the class $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ from the known group classification list for the class $\mathcal{L}$. A natural choice for the relation between classes of differential equations within the framework of the mapping method is their weak similarity although there are also other options [47]; see also [48] and Definition 6 below for the more restricted notion of (usual) similarity of classes of differential equations.

Definition 3. Classes $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ of systems of differential equations with the same number of independent variables, the same number of dependent variables, the same number of equations in systems and the same order of systems are called *weakly similar* if for each system $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ from the class $\mathcal{L}$ there exists a system $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\theta}}$ from the class $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ that is similar to $\mathcal{L}_\theta$, and vice versa.

Weak similarity is an equivalence relation for classes of differential equations.

The mapping method straightforwardly works for weakly similar classes of differential equations in the case of group classification up to the general point equivalence if one looks for extensions of the subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$ that are chosen as uniform with respect to this equivalence. Let CL be a known classification list for the class $\mathcal{L}$ up to the general point equivalence with respect to a family $\{\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}} \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -uniform subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$. The list CL is a complete set of the $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -inequivalent values $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_\theta \not\supseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\theta'}^{\text{unf}}$. Each $\theta \in \text{CL}$ should be supplemented with the corresponding maximal Lie invariance algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$. Then for any $\tilde{\theta} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$, we define the candidate for the uniform subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}$ as $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\text{unf}} := \Phi_* \mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$,⁸ where the value $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$ and the point transformation Φ are such that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\theta}} = \Phi_* \mathcal{L}_\theta$.⁹ This candidate is well-defined. Indeed, if there exist another $\theta' \in \mathcal{S}$ and a point transformation Φ' such that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\theta}} = \Phi'_* \mathcal{L}_{\theta'}$, then

$$\Phi'_* \mathfrak{g}_{\theta'}^{\text{unf}} = (\Phi \circ \Phi^{-1} \circ \Phi')_* \mathfrak{g}_{\theta'}^{\text{unf}} = \Phi_* ((\Phi^{-1} \circ \Phi')_* \mathfrak{g}_{\theta'}^{\text{unf}}) = \Phi_* \mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\text{unf}}$$

since $(\theta', \Phi^{-1} \circ \Phi', \theta) \in \mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ and thus $(\Phi^{-1} \circ \Phi')_* \mathfrak{g}_{\theta'}^{\text{unf}} = \mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$ in view of the $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -uniformity of the family $\{\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}} \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$. Moreover, the family $\{\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\text{unf}} \mid \tilde{\theta} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}\}$ is $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -uniform. Indeed, for any $(\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\theta}_2) \in \mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ there exist $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ and point transformations Φ_1 and Φ_2 such that $(\Phi_i)_* \mathcal{L}_{\theta_i} = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\theta}_i}$, $i = 1, 2$. Then the triple $(\theta_1, \Phi, \theta_2)$ with $\Phi = \Phi_2^{-1} \circ \tilde{\Phi} \circ \Phi_1$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$. Representing $\tilde{\Phi} = \Phi_2 \circ \Phi \circ \Phi_1^{-1}$, in view of the $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -uniformity of the family $\{\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}} \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$ we derive

$$\tilde{\Phi}_* \mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}_1}^{\text{unf}} = (\Phi_2 \circ \Phi \circ \Phi_1^{-1})_* ((\Phi_1)_* \mathfrak{g}_{\theta_1}^{\text{unf}}) = (\Phi_2 \circ \Phi)_* \mathfrak{g}_{\theta_1}^{\text{unf}} = (\Phi_2)_* \mathfrak{g}_{\theta_2}^{\text{unf}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}_2}^{\text{unf}}.$$

We call the family $\{\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\text{unf}} \mid \tilde{\theta} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}\}$ to be associated with the family $\{\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}} \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$. It is obvious that the $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ - and $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -equivalences are consistent with respect to the weak similarity of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$.¹⁰ Thus, given a complete list CL of $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -inequivalent Lie-symmetry extensions of $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -uniform Lie invariance algebras $\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}}$ in the class $\mathcal{L}$, an analogous list $\tilde{\text{CL}}$ for the class $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is obtained by taking, for each $\theta \in \text{CL}$, a single value $\tilde{\theta} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ such that the system $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\theta}}$ is similar to $\mathcal{L}_\theta$. The list $\tilde{\text{CL}}$ provides $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -inequivalent Lie-symmetry extensions of the $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -uniform Lie invariance algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\text{unf}}$ in the class $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. The list $\tilde{\text{CL}}$ contains only $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -inequivalent values of $\tilde{\theta}$ since otherwise the list CL

⁸The tildes over θ in $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\text{unf}}$ indicate that these are the maximal Lie invariance algebra of the system $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\theta}}$ and a uniform subalgebra of this algebra, respectively.

⁹At the same time, the kernel algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^\square$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^\square$ of the classes $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ are not directly related in the general case, and thus the algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^\square$ should be computed independently.

¹⁰Given a binary relation $\mathfrak{R}$ over sets M and M' , equivalence relations $\mathfrak{E}$ and $\mathfrak{E}'$ defined on M and M' , respectively, are called *consistent with respect to $\mathfrak{R}$* if for any $m_1, m_2 \in M$ and any $m'_1, m'_2 \in M'$ with $m_1 \mathfrak{R} m'_1$ and $m_2 \mathfrak{R} m'_2$, the equivalence $m_1 \sim_{\mathfrak{E}} m_2$ implies $m'_1 \sim_{\mathfrak{E}'} m'_2$, and vice versa.

would contain $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -equivalent values of θ . The list $\widetilde{\text{CL}}$ is exhaustive because if there exists $\tilde{\theta}_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ that is $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -inequivalent to any of the values in $\widetilde{\text{CL}}$, then its associated value $\theta_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ would be $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -inequivalent to any value in the list CL , which is absurd.

The transition between the group classifications of the weakly similar classes $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ with respect to the corresponding equivalence groups, except for some special cases where the direct relation between these group classifications exists, is more challenging. Firstly, one can require strong normalization properties for such a transition between weakly similar classes.

Proposition 4. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ be weakly similar semi-normalized classes of differential equations, and there is a family $\{\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{unf}} \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$ of $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -uniform subalgebras of the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$ that is invariant with respect to the fundamental groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{\text{f}}$ of $\mathcal{L}$. Then there is an associated family $\{\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\text{unf}} \mid \tilde{\theta} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}\}$ of $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -uniform subalgebras of the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}$, and the group classifications of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ with respect to the corresponding equivalence groups and the above subalgebra families are equivalent.*

Proof. Consider arbitrary $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ and arbitrary $\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2 \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ such that the system $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\theta}_i}$ is similar to $\mathcal{L}_{\theta_i}$, $i = 1, 2$. Suppose that the systems $\mathcal{L}_{\theta_1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\theta_2}$ are $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -equivalent. Then the systems $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\theta}_1}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\theta}_2}$ are similar to each other, and thus they are $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -equivalent since the class $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is semi-normalized. Switching the roles of the classes $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ shows that the systems $\mathcal{L}_{\theta_1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\theta_2}$ are $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -equivalent if and only if the systems $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\theta}_1}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\theta}_2}$ are $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -equivalent, i.e., the $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ - and $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -equivalences are consistent with respect to the weak similarity of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$.

Since the family $\{\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{unf}} \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$ of $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -uniform subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$ is in addition invariant with respect to the groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{\text{f}}$, then it is a family of $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -uniform subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$ as well in view of the semi-normalization of $\mathcal{L}$, which means the representation $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} = \mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{\text{f}} \star \mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{\text{f}}$. Therefore, there is a well-defined family $\{\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\text{unf}} \mid \tilde{\theta} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}\}$ of $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -uniform subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}$ that is associated with the family $\{\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{unf}} \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$ via the weak similarity of the classes $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Any $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -uniform subalgebra is $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -uniform. $\square$

Proposition 4 is particularly important when the class $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is a subclass of the class $\mathcal{L}$. In this case, we can reduce the group classification of the class $\mathcal{L}$ to that of its subclass $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$.

Corollary 5. *Group classifications of weakly similar normalized classes $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ with respect to associated families of $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ - and $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ -uniform subalgebras of the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}$, respectively, are equivalent.*

Alternatively, instead of considering classes with strong normalization properties, one can require stronger similarity of classes of differential equations to ensure the equivalence of their group classifications with respect to the corresponding equivalence groups. More precisely, we consider similar classes that are related by a fixed point transformation. Let π_p be the projection from the space with coordinates $(x, u_{(r)}, \theta)$ on the space with coordinates $(x, u_{(p)})$, $p = 0, \dots, r$, and thus $\pi_0 := \pi$.

Definition 6. A class $\mathcal{L}$ of differential equations is called *similar* to a class $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ if these classes have the same number of independent variables, the same number of dependent variables, the same number of equations in systems and the same order of systems, and there exists a point transformation $\Psi: (x, u_{(r)}, \theta) \mapsto (\tilde{x}, \tilde{u}_{(r)}, \tilde{\theta})$ that is projectable on the space of $(x, u_{(p)})$ for any $0 \leq p \leq r$, whereas $(\pi_p)_* \Psi$ is the p th order prolongation of $\pi_* \Psi$, $\Psi_* \mathcal{S} = \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\Psi_* \mathcal{L}_{\theta} = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\Psi_* \theta}$ for any $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$. The transformation Ψ is called a *similarity transformation* between classes $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$.

Since the similarity of classes of differential equations is an equivalence relation, the classes $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ are said to be *similar*.

Proposition 7. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ be similar classes of differential equations. Then their group classifications with respect to the corresponding equivalence groups are equivalent.*

Proof. Let Ψ be a similarity transformation between the classes $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Then by construction, $\Psi_*G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim} = \{\Psi \circ \mathcal{T} \circ \Psi^{-1} \mid \mathcal{T} \in G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}\}$ is the equivalence group $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{\sim}$ of the class $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. This implies that the $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ - and $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{\sim}$ -equivalences are consistent with respect to the similarity of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$.

The kernel algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\cap}$ of the class $\mathcal{L}$ is mapped by Ψ onto the kernel algebra of the class $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{\cap} = (\pi_*\Psi)_*\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\cap}$. Indeed, if v is a Lie-symmetry vector field of any system $\mathcal{L}_{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}$, then $(\pi_*\Psi)_*v$ is a Lie-symmetry vector field of the system $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\Psi_*\theta} \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, and $\Psi_*\theta$ runs through $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ when θ runs through $\mathcal{S}$, i.e., $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{\cap} \supset (\pi_*\Psi)_*\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\cap}$. Analogously, $(\pi_*\Psi^{-1})_*\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{\cap} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\cap}$, and thus $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{\cap} = (\pi_*\Psi)_*\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\cap}$.

If $\{\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{unf}} \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is a family of $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ -uniform subalgebras of the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$, then one can define a family $\{\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\text{unf}} \mid \tilde{\theta} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}\}$ of $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{\sim}$ -uniform subalgebras of the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}$ as $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\text{unf}} := (\pi_*\Psi)_*\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{unf}}$, where $\tilde{\theta} = \Psi_*\theta$. For any $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \in G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{\sim}$, there exists a unique transformation $\mathcal{T} \in G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \circ \Psi = \Psi \circ \mathcal{T}$, which is obviously defined by $\mathcal{T} := \Psi^{-1} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{T}} \circ \Psi$. The $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{\sim}$ -uniformity of the family $\{\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\text{unf}} \mid \tilde{\theta} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}\}$ follows from the $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ -uniformity of the family $\{\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{unf}} \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_*\tilde{\mathcal{T}})_*\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\text{unf}} &= (\pi_*\tilde{\mathcal{T}})_*((\pi_*\Psi)_*\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{unf}}) = ((\pi_*\tilde{\mathcal{T}}) \circ (\pi_*\Psi))_*\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{unf}} = (\pi_*(\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \circ \Psi))_*\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{unf}} \\ &= (\pi_*(\Psi \circ \mathcal{T}))_*\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{unf}} = (\pi_*\Psi)_*((\pi_*\mathcal{T})_*\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{unf}}) = (\pi_*\Psi)_*\mathfrak{g}_{\Psi_*\theta}^{\text{unf}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_*\tilde{\theta}}^{\text{unf}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the identity $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_*\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_*(\Psi_*\theta) = (\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \circ \Psi)_*\theta = (\Psi \circ \mathcal{T})_*\theta = \Psi_*(\mathcal{T}_*\theta)$. $\square$

Lastly, when neither normalization properties of weakly similar classes nor their specific similarity are strong enough to satisfy the conditions of Propositions 4 or 7, one can still ensure the consistency of the equivalences with respect to the corresponding equivalence groups of such classes in certain special cases [48, Propositions 4 and 5]. For general weakly similar classes $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, one needs to check the consistence of the $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ - and $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{\sim}$ -equivalences by a direct computation. Thus, the mapping method was successfully applied to weakly similar classes of (1+1)-dimensional reaction–diffusion equations in [48] to show the equivalence of their group classifications. These classes are related by a family of point transformations parameterized by the arbitrary-element tuple of the source class, and there is a closed expression of the arbitrary elements of the target class via those of the source class. At the same time, it is not the most general case of weak similarity of classes of differential equations. For example, the classes $\mathcal{K}^{\epsilon}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}^{\epsilon}$) and $\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}$ are weakly similar, and each equation $\mathcal{P}_C^{\epsilon}$ from the class $\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}$ is related to a set of equations in the class $\mathcal{K}^{\epsilon}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}^{\epsilon}$) by a family of point transformations parameterized by an arbitrary solution of the equation $\mathcal{P}_C^{\epsilon}$ (resp. of the adjoint equation to $\mathcal{P}_C^{\epsilon}$), see Section 4.2. In contrast to [48], there is no closed form for values of the arbitrary element of $\mathcal{K}^{\epsilon}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}^{\epsilon}$) in terms of associated values of the arbitrary element of $\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}$. As a result, a more general version of the mapping method than that in [48] is required to tackle the group classification problems for the classes $\mathcal{K}^{\epsilon}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\epsilon}$.

To obtain the group classification of the class $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ modulo the $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{\sim}$ -equivalence from that of its weakly similar class $\mathcal{L}$ with the help of the mapping method, one should start with a classification list CL for the class $\mathcal{L}$ modulo the $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ -equivalence, which coincides with the $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ -equivalence if the class $\mathcal{L}$ is semi-normalized. First of all, given a family $\{\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}^{\text{unf}} \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$ of $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ -uniform subalgebras of the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\theta}$, one can construct as above the family $\{\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\text{unf}} \mid \tilde{\theta} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}\}$ of $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{\sim}$ -uniform subalgebras of the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}$, which are thus $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{\sim}$ -uniform as well. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\theta}$ be the set of systems in $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ that are similar to $\mathcal{L}_{\theta}$. In other words, for each $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\theta}} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\theta}$ there exists a point transformation Φ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\theta}} = \Phi_*\mathcal{L}_{\theta}$. Since the system similarity is an equivalence relation, systems $\mathcal{L}_{\theta_1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\theta_2}$ are $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ -equivalent if and only if the sets $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\theta_1}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\theta_2}$ coincide. Moreover, $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\theta_1} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\theta_2} = \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathcal{L}_{\theta_1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\theta_2}$ are $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ -inequivalent. Therefore, the class $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ can be represented as the disjoint union of the subset $\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}$ of systems in $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ with no Lie-symmetry extensions and the sets $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\theta}$ each of which is associated with a Lie-symmetry extension case, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{N}} \sqcup (\sqcup_{\theta \in \text{CL}} \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\theta})$. For any $\theta \in \text{CL}$, all elements of $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\theta}$ are $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{\sim}$ -equivalent but not necessarily $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{\sim}$ -equivalent. Thus, the mapping method reduces the group classification of the class $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ up to the $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{\sim}$ -equivalence to the problem of finding, for each $\theta \in \text{CL}$, a complete list of $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^{\sim}$ -inequivalent systems in the set $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{\theta}$. In its turn, the latter problem may be alternatively seen as constructing, for each $\theta \in \text{CL}$, a

set of point transformations from the system $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ to systems from $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\theta$ such that the transformed systems constitute a complete set of $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^\sim$ -equivalent systems in $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\theta$.

For weakly similar classes with certain additional properties, other mapping procedures can be applied. Suppose that for each $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$ there exists a subset $\mathfrak{M}_\theta$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\theta$ having the following properties:¹¹

1. $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \cup_{\theta \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{M}_\theta$.
2. If $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ are $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^\sim$ -equivalent, then $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_2} = \mathcal{T}_* \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_1}$ for some $\mathcal{T} \in G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^\sim$.
3. If $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\theta}_1} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_1}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_2$ is $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^\sim$ -equivalent to $\tilde{\theta}_1$, then there exists $\theta_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\theta}_2} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_2}$ and θ_2 is $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^\sim$ -equivalent to θ_1 .

The third property necessarily holds if the class $\mathcal{L}$ is semi-normalized. Let CL be a known classification list for the class $\mathcal{L}$ modulo the $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^\sim$ -equivalence with respect to a family $\{\mathfrak{g}_\theta^{\text{unf}} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_\theta \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$ of $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^\sim$ -uniform subalgebras of the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$. We again construct the associated family $\{\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\text{unf}} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}} \mid \tilde{\theta} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}\}$ of $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^\sim$ -uniform subalgebras of the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\theta}}$, which are thus $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^\sim$ -uniform as well. The classification list for the class $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is obtained by merging complete lists of $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^\sim$ -inequivalent values of $\tilde{\theta}$ with $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\theta}}$ in the sets $\mathfrak{M}_\theta$ for all values of θ in CL.

3 Equivalence groups and equivalence groupoids

3.1 General second-order linear evolution equations

We use the paper [42] as a reference point for known results on admissible transformations of the class $\mathcal{E}$ of equations of the form (1).

Proposition 8. *The class $\mathcal{E}$ is normalized in the usual sense. Its equivalence group $G_{\mathcal{E}}^\sim$ consists of the transformations of the form¹²*

$$\tilde{t} = T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = X(t, x), \quad \tilde{u} = U^1(t, x)u + U^0(t, x), \quad (2)$$

$$\tilde{A} = \frac{X_x^2}{T_t}A, \quad \tilde{B} = \frac{X_x}{T_t} \left(B - 2 \frac{U_x^1}{U^1} A \right) - \frac{X_t - X_{xx}A}{T_t}, \quad \tilde{C} = -\frac{U^1}{T_t} E \frac{1}{U^1}, \quad (3)$$

$$\tilde{D} = \frac{U^1}{T_t} \left(D + E \frac{U^0}{U^1} \right),$$

where T , X , U^0 and U^1 are arbitrary smooth functions of their arguments with $T_t X_x U^1 \neq 0$, and $E := \partial_t - A \partial_{xx} - B \partial_x - C$.

The class $\mathcal{E}$ is a natural choice for a superclass of the classes of Kolmogorov and heat equations considered below due to its normalization in the usual sense.

Remark 9. For convenience of further singling out classes of Fokker–Planck equations, we can reparameterize the equations from the class $\mathcal{E}$ to the form

$$u_t = (A(t, x)u)_{xx} + (B(t, x)u)_x + C(t, x)u + D(t, x),$$

where the arbitrary elements A and D are the same as in (1), and B and C in (1) are expressed in terms of the new arbitrary elements as $B + 2A_x$ and $C + B_x + A_{xx}$, respectively. In addition, we extend the arbitrary-element tuple (A, B, C, D) with the derivatives A_x , A_{xx} and B_x . The

¹¹The second property can be weakened, e.g., as follows. If $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ are $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^\sim$ -equivalent, then for any $\tilde{\theta}_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_2}$ there exists $\tilde{\theta}_1 \in \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_1}$ that is $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^\sim$ -equivalent to $\tilde{\theta}_2$.

¹²Recall that in the course of working with the equivalence group of a class of differential equations, the arbitrary elements of the class and their derivatives are considered as coordinates in a certain extended jet space and not as functions, cf. Section 2.1.

reparameterized class $\check{\mathcal{E}}$ is normalized as well. The (t, x, u, A, D) -components of equivalence transformations in the class $\check{\mathcal{E}}$ are of the same form as in Proposition 8, where instead of E we use the proper expression $\check{E} = \partial_t - \partial_{xx} \circ A - \partial_x \circ B - C$ for the differential operator associated with the reparameterized source equation, and the (B, C) -components are

$$\tilde{B} = \frac{X_x}{T_t} \left(B - 2 \frac{U_x^1}{U^1} A \right) - \frac{X_t + 3X_{xx}A}{T_t}, \quad \tilde{C} = -\frac{\check{E}^\dagger(X_x U^1)}{T_t X_x U^1}. \quad (4)$$

Here $\check{E}^\dagger = -\partial_t - A\partial_{xx} + B\partial_x - C$ is the formal adjoint of the operator $\check{E}$. The components for A_x , A_{xx} and B_x are obtained via the standard prolongation of the components for A and B to these derivatives.

In the subsequent subsections we single out various subclasses of the class $\mathcal{E}$ or of its reparameterization $\check{\mathcal{E}}$ via gauging arbitrary elements of these classes by wide families of admissible transformations from the action groupoids of the corresponding equivalence groups or imposing the condition that the arbitrary elements of a subclass do not depend on t . (This condition cannot be realized as a gauge.) We study admissible transformations of the subclasses singled out. The order of gauging is as follows.

Firstly, we single out the subclass $\mathcal{E}_0$ of homogeneous equations, gauging $D = 0$ by a wide subset $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}}$ of $\mathcal{G}^{\check{\mathcal{E}}}$ and excluding D from the arbitrary-element tuple. Here for each element of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}}$, we set $T(t) = t$, $X(t, x) = x$, $U^1(t, x) = 1$, and the parameter function U^0 is a solution of the equation from $\mathcal{E}$ with the source value of the arbitrary-element tuple (A, B, C, D) .

Proposition 10. *The class $\mathcal{E}_0$ is disjointedly semi-normalized with respect to the linear superposition of solutions. Its equivalence group $G_{\mathcal{E}_0}^{\sim}$ consists of the transformations of the form*

$$\tilde{t} = T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = X(t, x), \quad \tilde{u} = U^1(t, x)u, \quad (5)$$

$$\tilde{A} = \frac{X_x^2}{T_t} A, \quad \tilde{B} = \frac{X_x}{T_t} \left(B - 2 \frac{U_x^1}{U^1} A \right) - \frac{X_t - X_{xx}A}{T_t}, \quad \tilde{C} = -\frac{U^1}{T_t} E \frac{1}{U^1}, \quad (6)$$

where T , X and U^1 are smooth functions of their arguments with $T_t X_x U^1 \neq 0$ and $E = \partial_t - A\partial_{xx} - B\partial_x - C$ is the differential operator associated with the source equation.

Remark 11. The subclass $\check{\mathcal{E}}_0$ of homogenous equations in the reparameterization $\check{\mathcal{E}}$ of the class $\mathcal{E}$ is a similar reparameterization of the class $\mathcal{E}_0$. At the same time, in contrast to $\check{\mathcal{E}}$, the class $\check{\mathcal{E}}_0$ does not need the extension of the arbitrary-element tuple (A, B, C) to derivatives for preserving normalization properties of this class. More specifically, as its non-reparameterized counterpart $\mathcal{E}_0$, the class $\check{\mathcal{E}}_0$ with the arbitrary-element tuple (A, B, C) is disjointedly semi-normalized with respect to the linear superposition of solutions. The (t, x, u) -, A - and (B, C) -components of the transformations constituting the equivalence group $G_{\check{\mathcal{E}}_0}^{\sim}$ of the class $\check{\mathcal{E}}_0$ are defined by the equations (5), the first equation in (6) and the equations (4), respectively, where T , X and U^1 are smooth functions of their arguments with $T_t X_x U^1 \neq 0$.

The obvious second choice would be the gauge $A = 1$, because this gauge does not affect the normalization property, cf. [42]. Nevertheless, at this stage we prefer to single out the desired classes $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$, $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ from the class $\mathcal{E}_0$ with the gauge $B = 0$, from the class $\mathcal{E}_0$ with the gauge $C = 0$ and from the class $\check{\mathcal{E}}_0$ with the gauge $C = 0$, respectively. Note that all these gauges are carried out by wide families of elements of the action groupoids of the corresponding equivalence groups. The subsequent gauge $A = \epsilon$ with fixed $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ in the classes $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ is of the same nature, whereas the same gauge in the class $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ can only be realized by admissible transformations that do not necessarily belong to $\mathcal{G}^{\bar{\mathcal{P}}}$. In this way, we derive the respective subclasses $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$, $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$ of reduced equations. A similar procedure is then carried out for the subclasses of equations with time-independent coefficients from the classes $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{E}_0$, $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$, $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$.

Consider the classes $\mathcal{E}'$ and $\mathcal{E}'_0$ that are singled out from the classes $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}_0$, respectively, by the constraints $A_t = B_t = C_t = 0$. (The classes $\check{\mathcal{E}}'$ and $\check{\mathcal{E}}'_0$ can be considered in a similar way.)

Proposition 12. (i) The equivalence group $G_{\mathcal{E}'}^{\sim}$ of the class $\mathcal{E}'$ consists of the transformations of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{t} &= c_1 t + c_2, \quad \tilde{x} = X(x), \quad \tilde{u} = e^{c_3 t} W(x) u + U^0(t, x), \\ \tilde{A} &= \frac{X_x^2}{c_1} A, \quad \tilde{B} = \frac{X_x}{c_1} \left(B - 2 \frac{W_x}{W} A \right) + \frac{X_{xx}}{c_1} A, \quad \tilde{C} = \frac{W}{c_1} E' \frac{1}{W} + \frac{c_3}{c_1}, \\ \tilde{D} &= \frac{e^{c_3 t} W}{c_1} \left(D + E \frac{U^0}{e^{c_3 t} W} \right).\end{aligned}$$

(ii) The equivalence group $G_{\mathcal{E}'_0}^{\sim}$ of the class $\mathcal{E}'_0$ consists of the transformations of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{t} &= c_1 t + c_2, \quad \tilde{x} = X(x), \quad \tilde{u} = e^{c_3 t} W(x) u, \\ \tilde{A} &= \frac{X_x^2}{c_1} A, \quad \tilde{B} = \frac{X_x}{c_1} \left(B - 2 \frac{W_x}{W} A \right) + \frac{X_{xx}}{c_1} A, \quad \tilde{C} = \frac{W}{c_1} E' \frac{1}{W} + \frac{c_3}{c_1}.\end{aligned}$$

Here c_1 , c_2 and c_3 are arbitrary constants with $c_1 \neq 0$, X , W and U^0 are arbitrary smooth functions of their arguments with $X_x W \neq 0$, $E := \partial_t - A \partial_{xx} - B \partial_x - C$ and $E' := A \partial_{xx} + B \partial_x + C$.

Proof. The class $\mathcal{E}$ is normalized in the usual sense, the class $\mathcal{E}_0$ is disjointedly semi-normalized with respect to the linear superposition of solutions, and the equations from the class $\mathcal{E}'_0$ have no common nonzero solutions. Therefore, the groups $G_{\mathcal{E}'}^{\sim}$ and $G_{\mathcal{E}'_0}^{\sim}$ are the subgroups of the groups $G_{\mathcal{E}}^{\sim}$ and $G_{\mathcal{E}_0}^{\sim}$, respectively, that consist of the elements preserving the constraints $A_t = B_t = C_t = 0$.

We act on the A -, B - and C -components of $\mathcal{T} \in G_{\mathcal{E}}^{\sim}$, which are of the form (3), by the operator $D_{\tilde{t}} = (T_t)^{-1} D_t - X_t (T_t X_x)^{-1} D_x$. Here D_t and D_x are the operators of total derivatives with respect to the variables t and x in the jet space with independent variables (t, x, u) and the arbitrary elements of the class under consideration as the dependent variables, and $D_{\tilde{t}}$ is the operator D_t in the transformed variables, $D_{\tilde{t}} = \mathcal{T}_* D_t$. We take into account the constraints $A_t = B_t = C_t = 0$ and $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{t}} = \tilde{B}_{\tilde{t}} = \tilde{C}_{\tilde{t}} = 0$ and split the obtained equations with respect to A , B , C , A_x , B_x and C_x , which leads to the equations $T_{tt} = 0$, $X_t = 0$, $(U_x^1/U^1)_t = (U_t^1/U^1)_t = 0$, i.e., $T = c_1 t + c_2$, $X = X(x)$ and $U^1 = e^{c_3 t} W(x)$.

Since the A -, B - and C -components (6) of transformations in $G_{\mathcal{E}_0}^{\sim}$ coincide with those in $G_{\mathcal{E}}^{\sim}$, which are given by (3), the procedure of singling out $G_{\mathcal{E}'_0}^{\sim}$ from $G_{\mathcal{E}_0}^{\sim}$ is the same. $\square$

3.2 Heat equations with potentials

The classification technique to be applied for classes of Kolmogorov and Fokker–Planck equations requires the knowledge of the group classification of the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$, which is constituted by the reduced heat equations with potentials, $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$: $u_t = \epsilon u_{xx} + C(t, x)u$ with fixed $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$.

Starting with the class $\mathcal{E}_0$, one can reach its subclass $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ via successively gauging $A = \epsilon$ and $B = 0$ by wide subsets of the action groupoids of the equivalence groups of the class $\mathcal{E}_0$ and its subclass singled out by the gauge $A = \epsilon$, respectively. In general, as was emphasized in [34], the order of gauging arbitrary elements of a class of differential equations may be important if one is to ensure normalization properties of gauged subclasses. To demonstrate the importance of the choice of the order, let us gauge the arbitrary element B of the class $\mathcal{E}_0$ to zero first, $B = 0$. It is achieved by the wide subset of $\mathcal{G}(G_{\mathcal{E}_0}^{\sim})$, where $T(t) = t$, $U^1(t, x) = 1$ and the parameter function X is an arbitrary solution of the Kolmogorov equation $X_t = A X_{xx} + B X_x$ with the source value of (A, B) . The gauged subclass is the class $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ of equations of the form

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}}_\vartheta: \quad u_t = A(t, x)u_{xx} + C(t, x)u \quad \text{with} \quad \vartheta := (A, C).$$

Proposition 13. *The equivalence groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{\mathcal{P}}}^{\sim}$ of the class $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ consists of the triples $(\vartheta, \Phi, \tilde{\vartheta})$, where $\vartheta = (A, C)$ runs through the set of values of the arbitrary-element tuple of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$, and Φ is an arbitrary point transformation of the form (2),*

$$\Phi: \quad \tilde{t} = T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = X(t, x), \quad \tilde{u} = U^1(t, x)u + U^0(t, x),$$

where T and X are smooth functions of their arguments with $T_t X_x \neq 0$, U^1 is found from the relation

$$\frac{U_x^1}{U^1} = \frac{X_{xx}}{2X_x} - \frac{1}{2A} \frac{X_t}{X_x}$$

with $U^1 \neq 0$, and U^0/U^1 is a solution of the equation $\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{\vartheta}$. The value $\tilde{\vartheta} = (\tilde{A}, \tilde{C})$ of the arbitrary-element tuple of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is related to ϑ by

$$\Phi^* \tilde{A} = \frac{X_x^2}{T_t} A, \quad \Phi^* \tilde{C} = -\frac{U^1}{T_t} P \frac{1}{U^1}$$

with the differential operator $P := \partial_t - A\partial_{xx} - C$, which defines the source equation.

The class $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is not normalized since each admissible transformations of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ satisfies the relation from Proposition 13. Even though this condition can be solved for U^1 , the result cannot be interpreted in terms of extended generalized equivalence groups of classes of differential equations. Recall that parameters of such groups may nonlocally depend on the arbitrary elements of the class in a specific way only. In particular, an introduction of virtual arbitrary elements should make the dependence of group elements of the extended arbitrary-element tuple completely local, which is not the case for the class $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$. A similar example can be found in [38, Proposition 21]. See a correct construction of an extended generalized equivalence group, e.g., in [34, Section 9]. At the same time, it is easy to compute the usual equivalence group of the class $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$, splitting the above classifying condition and the equation for U^0/U^1 with respect to ϑ .

Proposition 14. *The usual equivalence group of the class $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is constituted by the point transformations of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t} &= T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = X(x), \quad \tilde{u} = c\sqrt{|X_x|} u, \\ \tilde{A} &= \frac{X_x^2}{T_t} A, \quad \tilde{C} = \frac{1}{T_t} \left(C - \left(\frac{X_{xxx}}{2X_x} - \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{X_{xx}}{X_x} \right)^2 \right) A \right), \end{aligned}$$

where T and X are arbitrary smooth functions of their arguments with $T_t X_x \neq 0$, and c is an arbitrary nonzero constant.

Carrying out the further gauge $A = \epsilon$ with fixed $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ by the wide subset of $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{\mathcal{P}}}^{\sim}$ singled out by the constraints $T_t = \epsilon \operatorname{sgn} A$, $X_x = |A|^{-1/2}$, $U^0 = 0$ and $U_x^1/U^1 = (AX_{xx} - X_t)/(2AX_x)$ with the source value of A , we obtain the subclass $\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}$. One can easily switch between the classes $\mathcal{P}^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{P}^{+1}$ using the transformation $(t, C) \mapsto (-t, -C)$. For convenience, we also use the alternative notation $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}^{+1}$.

Proposition 15. *The equivalence group $G_{\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}}^{\sim}$ of the class $\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}$ consists of the point transformations with components of the form*

$$\tilde{t} = T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = X^1(t)x + X^0(t), \quad \tilde{u} = V(t) \exp \left(-\frac{\epsilon X_t^1}{4X^1} x^2 - \frac{\epsilon X_t^0}{2X^1} x \right) u, \quad (7a)$$

$$\tilde{C} = \frac{1}{(X^1)^2} \left(C + \frac{\epsilon}{4} X^1 \left(\frac{1}{X^1} \right)_{tt} x^2 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} X^1 \left(\frac{X_t^0}{(X^1)^2} \right)_t x + \frac{V_t}{V} + \frac{X_t^1}{2X^1} + \frac{\epsilon}{4} \left(\frac{X_t^0}{X^1} \right)^2 \right), \quad (7b)$$

where X^0 , X^1 and V run through the set of smooth functions of t with $X^1 V \neq 0$, and $T_t = (X^1)^2$. Moreover, the class $\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}$ is disjointedly semi-normalized with respect to the linear superposition of solutions.

Thus, normalization that is lost at the first stage of gauging is recovered at the second one. If we reverse the order of the gauges, $A = \epsilon$ first and $B = 0$ second (both realized by wide subsets of the action groupoids of the corresponding equivalence groups), normalization is preserved at each step.

The following lemma may be seen as a corollary of Proposition 15 but it is also of interest per se. Denote by $G_{\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon}^{\text{ess}}$ the essential subgroup of the point symmetry group $G_{\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon}$ of the equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$, which consists of the essential point symmetry transformations of $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$,¹³ $G_{\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon}^{\text{ess}} = G_{\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon} \cap \pi_* G_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\epsilon}$, cf. [42, Section 2] and [25, Section 3]. Thus, $G_{\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon} = G_{\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon}^{\text{ess}} \ltimes G_{\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon}^{\text{lin}}$, where $G_{\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon}^{\text{lin}}$ is the (normal) subgroup of $G_{\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon}$ related to the linear superposition of solutions.

Lemma 16. *If the projection $\pi_* \mathcal{T}$ of an equivalence transformation $\mathcal{T}$ of the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ maps a nonzero solution f of an equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon \in \mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ to a solution $\tilde{f}$ thereof, then this projection belongs to the group $G_{\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon}^{\text{ess}}$.*

Proof. As an element of the group $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\epsilon}$, the transformation $\mathcal{T}$ is of the form (7) and, acting on equations from $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$, it maps the equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ to the equation $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{C}}^\epsilon$ from the same class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$. The latter means that the point transformation $\pi_* \mathcal{T}$ of (t, x, u) pushes forward each solution of $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$, including f , to a solution of $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{C}}^\epsilon$. Therefore, the function $\tilde{f}$ is a (nonzero) solution of both the equations $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{C}}^\epsilon$. Any nonzero solution of an equation in the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ completely defines the value of the arbitrary element C associated with this equation and thus the equation itself. Therefore, $C = \tilde{C}$ as functions, i.e., $C(t, x) = \tilde{C}(t, x)$ for any (t, x) in the domain running by the independent variables. In other words, the transformation $\pi_* \mathcal{T}$ maps each solution of the equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ to a solution of the same equation, and this in turn means that $\pi_* \mathcal{T} \in G_{\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon}^{\text{ess}}$. $\square$

The class $\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}$ of reduced heat equations with time-independent potentials and fixed $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ consists of the equations of the form

$$u_t = \epsilon u_{xx} + C(x)u.$$

This class can be obtained via gauging the arbitrary elements A and B in the class $\mathcal{E}'_0$ to $A = \epsilon$ and $B = 0$ by the wide subset $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}'_0}$ of $\mathcal{G}(G_{\mathcal{E}'_0}^{\sim})$ singled out by the constraints $T_t = \epsilon \operatorname{sgn} A$, $X_x = |A|^{-1/2}$ and $2W_x = (B/A + X_{xx}/X_x)W$ with the source value of (A, B) . Nevertheless, since the class $\mathcal{E}'_0$ is not normalized in any sense, the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ is the most appropriate superclass for $\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}$ in the course of studying transformational properties of $\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}$.

Corollary 17. *The equivalence group $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{\epsilon}}$ of the class $\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}$ of reduced heat equations with time-independent potentials consists of the transformations of the form*

$$\tilde{t} = c_1^2 t + c_2, \quad \tilde{x} = c_1 x + c_3, \quad \tilde{u} = c_4 e^{c_5 t} u, \quad \tilde{C} = \frac{1}{c_1^2} (C + c_5), \quad (8)$$

where $c_1, \dots, c_5$ are arbitrary constants with $c_1 c_4 \neq 0$.

Proof. We follow the proof of Proposition 12 and use the notation D_t , D_x and $D_{\tilde{t}}$ of this proof. As the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ is disjointedly semi-normalized with respect to the linear superposition of solutions, the group $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{\epsilon}}$ consists of the elements of $G_{\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}}$ that preserve the constraint $C_t = 0$. We act on the relation (7b) by the operator $D_{\tilde{t}} = (X^1)^{-2} D_t - (X^1)^{-3} (X_t^1 x + X_t^0) D_x$ and take into account that $C_t = 0$ and $\tilde{C}_{\tilde{t}} = 0$. Splitting the derived equation with respect to C and C_x , we yield the system $X_t^0 = X_t^1 = (V_t/V)_t = 0$. $\square$

¹³More specifically, for any equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon \in \mathcal{P}^\epsilon$, its point symmetry transformations are of the form

$$\tilde{t} = T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = X^1(t)x + X^0(t), \quad \tilde{u} = V(t) \exp \left(-\frac{\epsilon X_t^1}{4X^1} x^2 - \frac{\epsilon X_t^0}{2X^1} x \right) u + U^0(t, x),$$

where the parameter functions T , X , V and U^0 satisfy a system of equations involving C . The group $G_{\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon}^{\text{ess}}$ is the subgroup of $G_{\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon}$ associated with the constraint $U^0 = 0$.

The rest of the section is devoted to the description of the equivalence groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim}$ of the class $\mathcal{P}' := \mathcal{P}^{'+1}$. The analogous result for $\epsilon = -1$ is easily derived from this description by the transformation $(t, C) \mapsto (-t, -C)$.

Since the class $\mathcal{P}'$ consists of linear homogeneous differential equations, it is natural to classify the admissible transformations of this class up to the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim} \ltimes \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\text{lin}}$ -equivalence instead of the standard $G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim}$ -equivalence, i.e., the linear superposition of solutions should additionally be taken into account. Here $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\text{lin}}$ is the normal subgroupoid of both $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^f$, that is associated with this superposition. In other words, it consists of all the triples of the form (C, Φ, C) , where C is an arbitrary smooth function of x and the point transformation Φ is of the form $\tilde{t} = t$, $\tilde{x} = x$, $\tilde{u} = u + f(t, x)$ with an arbitrary solution $f(t, x)$ of the equation $\mathcal{P}'_C$. The symbol ' $\ltimes$ ' denotes the semidirect $\star$ -product. Let $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\text{ess}}$ be the subgroupoid of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim}$, that consists of triples with u -components of the transformational parts being of the form $\tilde{u} = U(t, x)u$ for some nonzero functions U of (t, x) . The groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim}$ can be represented as the semidirect $\star$ -product of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\text{ess}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\text{lin}}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim} = \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\text{ess}} \ltimes \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\text{lin}}$. Moreover, the intersection $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\text{ess}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\text{lin}}$ is trivial since it coincides with the base groupoid of the class $\mathcal{P}'$. Hence for any $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim}$, there exist unique $\mathcal{T}_0 \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\text{ess}}$ and $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2 \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\text{lin}}$ such that $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_0 \star \mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T}_2 \star \mathcal{T}_0$. Thus, the classification of the admissible transformations of the class $\mathcal{P}'$ up to the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim} \ltimes \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\text{lin}}$ -equivalence reduces to the classification of the elements of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\text{ess}}$ up to the $G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim}$ -equivalence.

Theorem 18. *A minimal self-consistent generating (up to the $G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim}$ -equivalence and the linear superposition of solutions) set $\mathcal{B}$ of admissible transformations for the class $\mathcal{P}'$ is the union of the following families of admissible transformations $(C, \Phi, \tilde{C})$ (below $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$):*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{1\mu} &:= \left(\frac{\mu}{x^2} + x^2, \Phi_1, \frac{\mu}{\tilde{x}^2} \right), \quad \Phi_1: \quad \tilde{t} = \frac{1}{2} \tan 2t, \quad \tilde{x} = \frac{x}{\cos 2t}, \quad \tilde{u} = |\cos 2t|^{1/2} e^{-\tan(2t)x^2/2} u; \\ \mathcal{T}_{2\mu} &:= \left(\frac{\mu}{x^2} - x^2, \Phi_2, \frac{\mu}{\tilde{x}^2} \right), \quad \Phi_2: \quad \tilde{t} = \frac{1}{4} e^{4t}, \quad \tilde{x} = e^{2t} x, \quad \tilde{u} = e^{-x^2/2-t} u; \\ \mathcal{T}_3 &:= (x, \Phi_3, 0), \quad \Phi_3: \quad \tilde{t} = t, \quad \tilde{x} = x - t^2, \quad \tilde{u} = e^{tx-t^3/3} u. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since the class $\mathcal{P}'$ is a subclass of the class $\mathcal{P}$, the equivalence groupoid of $\mathcal{P}'$ is a subgroupoid of the equivalence groupoid of $\mathcal{P}$. The class $\mathcal{P}$ is disjointedly semi-normalized with respect to the linear superposition of solutions. Hence the classification of the admissible transformations of $\mathcal{P}'$ up to the $G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim}$ -equivalence and the linear superposition of solutions reduces to finding, modulo the $G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim}$ -equivalence, the point transformations of the form (7a) between equations in $\mathcal{P}'$, i.e., transformations preserving the independence of the arbitrary element C on t . In other words, the relation between (time-independent) source and target arbitrary elements C and $\tilde{C}$ is given by the equality (7b) that is interpreted as a relation between functions, i.e., $\tilde{C}$ should be substituted by $\Phi^* \tilde{C}$. We act on this relation by the operator $-(X^1)^2 \partial_{\tilde{t}} = -\partial_t + (X^1)^{-1} (X_t^1 x + X_t^0) \partial_x$ taking into account the aforementioned time-independence of the arbitrary elements. This amounts to the relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{X_t^1 x + X_t^0}{(X^1)^3} C_x + \frac{2X_t^1}{(X^1)^3} C - \frac{(X^1)^2}{4} \left(\frac{1}{(X^1)^3} \left(\frac{1}{X^1} \right)_{tt} \right)_t x^2 + \left(\frac{X_t^0}{2(X^1)^3} \right)_{tt} x \\ & - \left(\frac{1}{(X^1)^2} \frac{V_t}{V} \right)_t + \left(\frac{1}{4(X^1)^2} \right)_{tt} - \left(\frac{(X_t^0)^2}{2(X^1)^4} \right)_t = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

To analyze solutions of the equation (9), we employ the extension of the method of furcate splitting [7, 32, 36] to classifying admissible transformations [34, 48]. It allows us to find precisely the $G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim}$ -equivalent values of the arbitrary element C that are sources of admissible transformations in $\mathcal{P}'$ not generated by the equivalence group $G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim}$. (The exact form of the corresponding

point transformations is to be computed directly thereafter.) The *template form* of equations for such C is

$$(a_1x + a_2)C_x + 2a_1C + a_3x^2 + a_4x + a_5 = 0$$

for some constants a 's. The complete system of template-form equations for a specific value of the arbitrary element C is obtained by varying admissible transformations with the source C and fixing values of t in (9). Denote by k the number of linearly independent tuples $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)$ associated with these equations. It is obvious that any system of template-form equations with $k > 2$ has no solutions. Consider the possible values $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ separately.

$k = 0$. Each value of the tuple of parameter functions X^0 , X^1 and V that leads only to the identity template-form equation corresponds to a wide family of admissible transformations with the same transformational parts. Any such family is contained in the action groupoid of the equivalence group $G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim}$.

$k = 2$. Up to linearly recombining two fixed independent template-form equations, we can assume without loss of generality that they have the form

$$xC_x + 2C + a_3x^2 + a_4x + a_5 = 0, \quad C_x + a'_3x^2 + a'_4x + a'_5 = 0.$$

Integrating the second equation we see that $C(x)$ is at most a cubic polynomial, but the first equation implies that the coefficient of x^3 in $C(x)$ vanishes. Thus, $C(x)$ is at most a quadratic polynomial in x , and thus up to the $G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim}$ -equivalence it belongs to $\{-x^2, x^2, x, 0\}$. Moreover, $k = 2$ for each such C .

$k = 1$. Let $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)$ be the corresponding (nonzero) coefficient tuple. For admissible transformations in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim} \setminus (\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim} \times \mathcal{G}^{\text{lin}})$, the coefficient tuple of $(xC_x, C_x, x^2, x^1, x^0)$ in the equation (9) is proportional to the tuple $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)$ with a nonzero multiplier $\lambda(t)$.

If $a_1 = 0$, then $a_2 \neq 0$ and $X_t^1 = 0$. Hence $a_3 = 0$ as well, and without loss of generality we can set $a_2 = 1$. The template-form equation assumes the form

$$C_x + a_4x + a_5 = 0,$$

meaning that $C(x)$ is at most a quadratic polynomial and should be excluded from consideration here since $k = 2$ for any such C .

If $a_1 \neq 0$, then we can set $a_1 = 1$ without loss of generality and $a_2 = 0 \pmod{G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim}}$. Therefore $X_t^0 = 0$, which implies $a_4 = 0$. The template-form equation takes the form

$$xC_x + 2C + a_3x^2 + a_5 = 0,$$

which has the general solution $C(x) = \mu/x^2 - a_3x^2/4 - a_5/2$, where $\mu \neq 0$ in view of $k = 1$, and therefore $C(x) = \mu/x^2 \pm x^2$ up to the $G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim}$ -equivalence.

The heat equations with the potentials $\mu/x^2 \pm x^2$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and x are related via point transformations to the heat equations with the canonical potentials μ/x^2 and 0, respectively. The heat equations with potentials μ/x^2 and $\tilde{\mu}/x^2$, where $\mu \neq \tilde{\mu}$, are not similar to each other with respect to point transformations.¹⁴ Therefore, a generating (up to the $G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim}$ -equivalence and the linear superposition of solutions) set of admissible transformations for the class $\mathcal{P}'$ consists of $\mathcal{T}_{1\mu}$, $\mathcal{T}_{2\mu}$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{T}_3$ and $G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim}$ -inequivalent essential point symmetries of the heat equations with canonical potentials μ/x^2 , $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. The essential point symmetry groups of the equations $\mathcal{P}_{\mu/x^2}$, $\mu \neq 0$, and $\mathcal{P}_0$ are constituted by the point transformations of the form

$$\tilde{t} = \frac{\alpha t + \beta}{\gamma t + \delta}, \quad \tilde{x} = \frac{\Lambda x}{\gamma t + \delta}, \quad \tilde{u} = \sigma \sqrt{|\gamma t + \delta|} u \exp \frac{\gamma x^2}{4(\gamma t + \delta)} \quad (10)$$

¹⁴Indeed, it follows immediately from Proposition 15 that if $\mathcal{P}_{\mu/x^2} \sim \mathcal{P}_{\mu'/x^2} \pmod{G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\sim}}$, then $\mu = \mu'$.

and

$$\tilde{t} = \frac{\alpha t + \beta}{\gamma t + \delta}, \quad \tilde{x} = \frac{\Lambda x + \kappa t + \nu}{\gamma t + \delta}, \quad \tilde{u} = \sigma \sqrt{|\gamma t + \delta|} u \exp \frac{\gamma \Lambda^2 x^2 - 2\Lambda \Gamma x + \Gamma(\kappa t + \nu)}{4\Lambda^2(\gamma t + \delta)},$$

respectively, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \kappa, \nu$ and σ are arbitrary constants with $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma > 0$ and $\sigma \neq 0$, and the respective tuples $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ and $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \kappa, \nu)$ are defined up to nonzero multipliers, $\Lambda := \pm\sqrt{\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma}$, $\Gamma := \kappa\delta - \nu\gamma$. Each element of the vertex group at $C(t, x) = \mu/x^2$ with $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is $G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim}$ -equivalent to either the unit at C or the triple

$$\mathcal{T}_{4\mu} := \left(\frac{\mu}{x^2}, \Phi_4, \frac{\mu}{\tilde{x}^2} \right), \quad \Phi_4: \tilde{t} = -\frac{1}{t}, \quad \tilde{x} = \frac{x}{t}, \quad \tilde{u} = \sqrt{|t|} e^{-x^2/(4t)} u.$$

In particular, any transformation of the form (10) with $\gamma \neq 0$ is the successive composition of a scale transformation, a shift with respect to t , the transformation Φ_4 and one more shift with respect to t , whereas any Galilean boost is the successive composition of the transformation Φ_4 with a shift with respect to x and with one more copy of Φ_4 . At the same time, the admissible transformation $\mathcal{T}_{4\mu}$ itself can be decomposed as $\mathcal{T}_{4\mu} = \check{\mathcal{T}}_{0\mu} \star \mathcal{T}_{1\mu} \star \mathcal{T}_{0\mu} \star \mathcal{T}_{1\mu}^{-1}$, where the admissible transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{0\mu} &:= \left(\frac{\mu}{x^2} + x^2, \Phi_0, \frac{\mu}{\tilde{x}^2} + \tilde{x}^2 \right), \quad \Phi_0: \tilde{t} = t - \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad \tilde{x} = x, \quad \tilde{u} = u, \\ \check{\mathcal{T}}_{0\mu} &:= \left(\frac{\mu}{x^2}, \check{\Phi}_0, \frac{\mu}{\tilde{x}^2} \right), \quad \check{\Phi}_0: \tilde{t} = 4t, \quad \tilde{x} = 2x, \quad \tilde{u} = \frac{u}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

from the vertex groups at $C(t, x) = \mu/x^2 + x^2$ and at $C(t, x) = \mu/x^2$, respectively, belong to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{G^{\sim}}$ as well.

The minimality and the self-consistency of the set $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{T}_{1\mu}, \mathcal{T}_{2\mu}, \mathcal{T}_3 \mid \mu \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is obvious. $\square$

Remark 19. Recall that point transformations are interpreted as local diffeomorphisms. Thus, the transformation Φ_1 becomes invertible after restricting it on an interval $(\pi k/2, \pi(k+1)/2)$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The range of the t -component of Φ_2 is $(0, +\infty)$. To get, e.g., the range $(-\infty, 0)$, we should take the composition $\mathcal{T}_{4\mu} \star \mathcal{T}_{2\mu}$, and to get other ranges, we should compose $\mathcal{T}_{2\mu}$ with other elements of the vertex group at $C(t, x) = \mu/x^2$.

In view of the self-consistency of the generating set $\mathcal{B}$ up to the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{G^{\sim}} \ltimes \mathcal{G}^{\text{lin}}$ -equivalence, any admissible transformation $\mathcal{T}$ between equations from the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{G^{\sim}}$ -orbit of $\mathcal{P}'_{\mu/x^2}$ can be presented as the composition

$$\mathcal{T} = \check{\mathcal{T}} \star \mathcal{T}^{\varepsilon_1} \star \mathcal{T}_3^{\varepsilon_2} \star \mathcal{T}' \star \mathcal{T}_3^{-\varepsilon_3} \star \bar{\mathcal{T}}^{-\varepsilon_4} \star \check{\mathcal{T}} \star \mathcal{T}_0,$$

where $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_4 \in \{0, 1\}$, $\check{\mathcal{T}}, \bar{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{G^{\sim}}$, $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}' \in \mathcal{G}_{\mu/x^2}$, $\mathcal{T}_0 \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\text{lin}}$, and each two successive admissible transformations in the decomposition are composable, in particular, $\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4 = 0$ and, if $\mu \neq 0$, $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3 = 0$. Furthermore, either $\mathcal{T}' \in \mathcal{G}_{\mu/x^2} \cap \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{G^{\sim}}$ or $\mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{T}_1' \star \mathcal{T}_{4\mu} \star \mathcal{T}_2'$ with $\mathcal{T}_1', \mathcal{T}_2' \in \mathcal{G}_{\mu/x^2} \cap \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{G^{\sim}}$, and $\mathcal{T}_{4\mu} = \check{\mathcal{T}}_{0\mu} \star \mathcal{T}_{1\mu} \star \mathcal{T}_{0\mu} \star \mathcal{T}_{1\mu}^{-1}$.

If the source of $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{G^{\sim}}$ belongs to the complement of the union of the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{G^{\sim}}$ -orbits of $\mathcal{P}'_{\mu/x^2}$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, in $\mathcal{P}'$, then $\mathcal{T} = \check{\mathcal{T}} \star \mathcal{T}_0$, where $\check{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{G^{\sim}}$ and $\mathcal{T}_0 \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\text{lin}}$.

Theorem 18 combined with Theorem 47 below implies the following assertion.

Corollary 20. *A point transformation that is, up to the linear superposition of solutions, not the projection of a transformation from the equivalence group $G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim}$ to the space of independent and dependent variables connects two similar equations from the class $\mathcal{P}'$ if and only if their essential Lie invariance algebras strictly contain the (essential) kernel algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\cap, \text{ess}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\cap}$ of the class $\mathcal{P}'$.*

In other words, extension cases for admissible transformations of the class $\mathcal{P}'$ are the same as extension cases for essential Lie symmetries within this class,

$$\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\sim} \setminus (\mathcal{G}^{G_{\mathcal{P}'}} \times \mathcal{G}^{\text{lin}}) \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\text{s}(\mathcal{T})}^{\text{ess}}, \mathfrak{g}_{\text{t}(\mathcal{T})}^{\text{ess}} \not\supseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\cap \text{ess}}.$$

Theorem 18 and Corollary 20 can be extended to the subclasses $\mathcal{E}'$ and $\mathcal{E}'_0$ of the classes $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}_0$, respectively, that consist of the equations with time-independent coefficients A , B and C .

Corollary 21. (i) A minimal self-consistent generating (up to the $G_{\mathcal{E}'}$ -equivalence) set of admissible transformations for the class $\mathcal{E}'$ is $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{T}_{1\mu}, \mathcal{T}_{2\mu}, \mathcal{T}_3 \mid \mu \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

(ii) A minimal self-consistent generating (up to the $G_{\mathcal{E}'_0}$ -equivalence and the linear superposition of solutions) set of admissible transformations for the class $\mathcal{E}'_0$ is $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{T}_{1\mu}, \mathcal{T}_{2\mu}, \mathcal{T}_3 \mid \mu \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Proof. The class $\mathcal{E}'_0$ is related to the class $\mathcal{P}'$ via the wide subset $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}'_0}$ of $\mathcal{G}(G_{\mathcal{E}'_0}^{\sim})$. In view of Proposition 12 and Corollary 17, two equations from $\mathcal{P}'$ are $G_{\mathcal{P}'}$ -equivalent if and only if they are $G_{\mathcal{E}'_0}^{\sim}$ -equivalent. The subgroupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}'_0}^{\text{lin}}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}'_0}^{\text{f}}$ that is related to the linear superposition of solutions for equations from $\mathcal{E}'_0$ is the normal subgroupoid of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}'_0}^{\sim}$. Hence the set $\mathcal{B}$ from Theorem 18 is a minimal self-consistent generating (up to the $G_{\mathcal{E}'_0}$ -equivalence and the linear superposition of solutions) set of admissible transformations for the class $\mathcal{E}'_0$.

The argumentation for the class $\mathcal{E}'$ is similar. $\square$

Corollary 22. (i) A point transformation that is not the projection of a transformation from the equivalence group $G_{\mathcal{E}'}$ to the space of independent and dependent variables connects two similar equations from the class $\mathcal{E}'$ if and only if the essential Lie invariance algebras of their homogenous counterparts strictly contain the (essential) kernel algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}'_0}^{\cap \text{ess}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}'_0}^{\cap}$ of the class $\mathcal{E}'_0$.

(ii) A point transformation that is, up to the linear superposition of solutions, not the projection of an equivalence transformation of the class $\mathcal{E}'_0$ to the space of independent and dependent variables connects two similar equations from this class if and only if their essential Lie invariance algebras strictly contain the (essential) kernel algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}'_0}^{\cap \text{ess}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}'_0}^{\cap}$ of the class $\mathcal{E}'_0$.

Thus, Corollaries 20 and 22 give the second series of examples of classes of differential equations with such a relation between admissible transformations and Lie symmetries in the literature after the class of the general Burgers–Korteweg–de Vries equations of an arbitrary order $n \geq 2$ with time-independent arbitrary elements, see [34, Section 10] and [33].

3.3 Kolmogorov equations

The class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ of Kolmogorov equations, $\bar{\mathcal{K}}_{A,B}: u_t = A(t, x)u_{xx} + B(t, x)u_x$, is singled out from the class $\mathcal{E}_0$ via gauging its arbitrary elements by the wide family of elements of $\mathcal{G}(G_{\mathcal{E}'_0}^{\sim})$ with $T(t) = t$, $X(t, x) = x$, $U^1(t, x) = 1/U(t, x)$, where U is an arbitrary nonzero solution of the source equation, $U_t = AU_{xx} + BU_x + CU$. The equivalence groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}^{\sim}$ of the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ is easily derived from that of $\mathcal{E}_0$ or $\mathcal{E}$.

Proposition 23. A point transformation Φ connects two equations $\bar{\mathcal{K}}_{A,B}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{K}}_{\tilde{A},\tilde{B}}$ from the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ if and only if its components are of the form (2),

$$\tilde{t} = T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = X(t, x), \quad \tilde{u} = U^1(t, x)u + U^0(t, x),$$

where T and X are arbitrary smooth functions of their arguments with $T_t X_x \neq 0$, $U^1 \neq 0$, and $1/U^1$ and U^0/U^1 run through the solution set of the equation $\bar{\mathcal{K}}_{A,B}$. The arbitrary elements of the source and the target equations are related by

$$\Phi^* \tilde{A} = \frac{X_x^2}{T_t} A, \quad \Phi^* \tilde{B} = \frac{X_x}{T_t} \left(B - 2 \frac{U_x^1}{U^1} A \right) - \frac{X_t - X_{xx} A}{T_t}.$$

Corollary 24. *The equivalence group $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{\sim}$ of the class $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ of Kolmogorov equations is constituted by the point transformations of the form*

$$\tilde{t} = T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = X(t, x), \quad \tilde{u} = U^1 u + U^0, \quad \tilde{A} = \frac{X_x^2}{T_t} A, \quad \tilde{B} = \frac{X_x}{T_t} B - \frac{X_t - X_{xx} A}{T_t},$$

where T and X run through the set of smooth functions of their arguments with $T_t X_x \neq 0$, and U^0 and U^1 are arbitrary constants with $U^1 \neq 0$.

It follows from Proposition 23 and Corollary 24 that the class $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ is not normalized in any sense.

The class $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ is related to its subclass $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ with fixed $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ of reduced (backward for $\epsilon = -1$ and forward for $\epsilon = 1$) Kolmogorov equations

$$\mathcal{K}_B^\epsilon: u_t = \epsilon u_{xx} + B(t, x) u_x \quad (11)$$

via the wide subset $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}}$ of elements of $\mathcal{G}^{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}}$ with $T_t = \epsilon \operatorname{sgn} A$, $X_x = |A|^{-1/2}$, $U^0 = 0$ and $U^1 = 1$, which imposes the gauge $A = \epsilon$. Within the framework of group analysis of differential equations, the difference between forward and backward equations is not essential. The classes $\mathcal{K}^{+1}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{-1}$ are obviously similar with respect to alternating the signs of t and B , and thus their equivalence groups coincide.

Proposition 25. *A point transformation Φ connects two equations $\mathcal{K}_B^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{B}}^\epsilon$ from the class $\mathcal{K}$ if and only if its components are of the form*

$$\tilde{t} = T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = X^1(t)x + X^0(t), \quad \tilde{u} = U^1(t, x)u + U^0(t, x),$$

where T , X^0 and X^1 are arbitrary smooth functions of t with $X^1 \neq 0$ and $T_t = (X^1)^2$, $U^1 \neq 0$, and $1/U^1$ and U^0/U^1 are arbitrary solutions of the equation $\mathcal{K}_B^\epsilon$. The relation between the arbitrary elements of the source and the target equations is given by

$$\Phi^* \tilde{B} = \frac{1}{X^1} \left(B - 2\epsilon \frac{U_x^1}{U^1} \right) - \frac{X_t^1 x + X_t^0}{(X^1)^2}.$$

The admissible transformations $(B, \Phi, \tilde{B})$ described in Proposition 25 constitute the equivalence groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^\epsilon}^{\sim}$ of the class $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$.

Corollary 26. *The equivalence group $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^\epsilon}^{\sim}$ of the class $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ consists of the point transformations of the form*

$$\tilde{t} = T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = X^1(t)x + X^0(t), \quad \tilde{u} = c_1 u + c_2, \quad \tilde{B} = \frac{1}{X^1} B - \frac{X_t^1 x + X_t^0}{(X^1)^2}, \quad (12)$$

where T , X^0 and X^1 are arbitrary smooth functions of t with $X^1 \neq 0$ and $T_t = (X^1)^2$, and c_1 and c_2 are arbitrary constants with $c_1 \neq 0$.

Corollary 27. *The group $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^\epsilon}^{\sim}$ can be embedded via the trivial prolongation of point transformations therein to the arbitrary element A , $\tilde{A} = A$, to the group $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{\sim}$ as a subgroup thereof.*

Corollary 27 is not trivial since the class $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ is not normalized.

Let us discuss the computation of the equivalence group $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}'}^{\sim}$ of the class $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}'$ of Kolmogorov equations with time-independent diffusion and drift coefficients,

$$u_t = A(x) u_{xx} + B(x) u_x.$$

Since the class $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ is not normalized or disjointedly semi-normalized with respect to the linear superposition of solutions, we cannot directly prove that the elements of $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{\sim}$ preserving the

time-independence of A and B constitute the entire group $G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}'}^{\sim}$. In other words, singling out $G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}'}^{\sim}$ as a subgroup of $G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}^{\sim}$ is not a proper way for computing $G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}'}^{\sim}$. For this purpose, we should take another approach, interpreting the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$ as the subclass of $\mathcal{E}_0$ that is singled out by the constraint $A_t = B_t = C = 0$ and reparameterized by excluding C from the tuple of arbitrary elements. The constraint $A_t = B_t = 0$ cannot be realized via relating the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ to its subclass via a wide subset of its admissible transformations, and hence this is not a gauge. Since the explicit description of the equivalence groupoid of $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$ is cumbersome and in fact is not needed in what follows, we present the group $G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}'}^{\sim}$ only.

Proposition 28. *The equivalence group $G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}'}^{\sim}$ of the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$ is constituted by the point transformations of the form*

$$\tilde{t} = c_1 t + c_2, \quad \tilde{x} = X(x), \quad \tilde{u} = c_3 u + c_4, \quad \tilde{A} = \frac{X_x^2}{c_1} A, \quad \tilde{B} = \frac{X_x}{c_1} B + \frac{X_{xx}}{c_1} A,$$

where X is an arbitrary function of x with $X_x \neq 0$ and $c_1, \dots, c_4$ are arbitrary constants with $c_1 c_3 \neq 0$.

Once again, it is convenient for further purposes to consider the subclass $\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon$ of $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$ with fixed $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ that consists of reduced (backward for $\epsilon = -1$ and forward for $\epsilon = 1$) Kolmogorov equations with time-independent drifts,

$$u_t = \epsilon u_{xx} + B(x)u_x.$$

The corresponding gauging $A = \epsilon$ is imposed by a wide subset of the action groupoid of $G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}'}^{\sim}$.

Proposition 29. *The equivalence group $G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}'^\epsilon}^{\sim}$ of the class $\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon$ of reduced Kolmogorov equations with time-independent drifts consists of the point transformations of the form*

$$\tilde{t} = c_1^2 t + c_2, \quad \tilde{x} = c_1 x + c_3, \quad \tilde{u} = c_4 u + c_5, \quad \tilde{B} = \frac{1}{c_1} B,$$

where $c_1, \dots, c_5$ are arbitrary constants with $c_1 c_4 \neq 0$.

3.4 Fokker–Planck equations

The class $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0$ is related to its subclass $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ of Fokker–Planck equations

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{A,B}: \quad u_t = (A(t, x)u)_{xx} + (B(t, x)u)_x,$$

where A and B are arbitrary smooth functions of (t, x) with $A \neq 0$, by the wide subset of $\mathcal{G}(G_{\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0}^{\sim})$ singled out by the constraint $T(t) = t$, $X(t, x) = x$ and $U_t^1 = -AU_{xx}^1 + BU_x^1 - CU^1$ with the source values of (A, B, C) .

Proposition 30. *A point transformation Φ connects Fokker–Planck equations $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{A,B}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\tilde{A},\tilde{B}}$ if and only if its components are of the form (2),*

$$\tilde{t} = T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = X(t, x), \quad \tilde{u} = U^1(t, x)u + U^0(t, x),$$

where T and X run through the set of arbitrary functions of their arguments with $T_t X_x \neq 0$, U^1 is an arbitrary nonzero smooth function of (t, x) such that $X_x U^1$ satisfies the adjoint equation to $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{A,B}$, $(X_x U^1)_t = -A(X_x U^1)_{xx} + B(X_x U^1)_x$, and U^0 is an arbitrary solution of $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{A,B}$. The arbitrary elements of the target equation are defined by

$$\Phi^* \tilde{A} = \frac{X_x^2}{T_t} A, \quad \Phi^* \tilde{B} = \frac{X_x}{T_t} \left(B - 2 \frac{U_x^1}{U^1} A \right) - \frac{X_t + 3 X_{xx} A}{T_t}.$$

Proof. The equivalence groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}}^{\sim}$ of the class $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ is found as the subgroupoid of the equivalence groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}_0}^{\sim} = \mathcal{G}(G_{\check{\mathcal{E}}_0}^{\sim}) \ltimes \mathcal{G}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}_0}^{\text{lin}}$ of the class $\check{\mathcal{E}}_0$, where the source and the target arbitrary-element tuples of the corresponding admissible transformations satisfy the constraints $C = 0$ and $\tilde{C} = 0$, respectively. Here $\mathcal{G}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}_0}^{\text{lin}}$ denotes the subgroupoid of $\mathcal{G}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}_0}^{\text{f}}$ associated with the linear superposition of solutions. $\square$

Either directly or using the duality between Fokker–Planck and Kolmogorov equations [42, Section 5], we can show the following result.

Corollary 31. *The equivalence group $G_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}}^{\sim}$ of the class $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ consists of the point transformations of the form*

$$\tilde{t} = T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = X(t, x), \quad \tilde{u} = \frac{c_1}{X_x} u, \quad \tilde{A} = \frac{X_x^2}{T_t} A, \quad \tilde{B} = \frac{X_x}{T_t} B - \frac{X_t + X_{xx} A}{T_t},$$

where T and X are smooth functions of their arguments with $T_t X_x \neq 0$, and c_1 is an arbitrary nonzero constant.

Similarly to $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$, we relate the class $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ via the wide subset of $\mathcal{G}(G_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}}^{\sim})$, where $T_t = \epsilon \operatorname{sgn} A$ and $X_x = |A|^{-1/2}$, to its subclass $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$ with fixed $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ of reduced (backward for $\epsilon = -1$ and forward for $\epsilon = 1$) Fokker–Planck equations of the form

$$u_t = \epsilon u_{xx} + (B(t, x)u)_x,$$

cf. [30]. Note that the equation $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon$ is formally adjoint to $\mathcal{K}_B^{-\epsilon}$.

Proposition 32. *The equivalence groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}^\epsilon}^{\sim}$ of the class $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$ is constituted by the triples of the form $(B, \Phi, \tilde{B})$, where B and $\tilde{B}$ are the drifts of the source and the target Fokker–Planck equations, respectively, and Φ is a point transformation with the components*

$$\tilde{t} = T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = X^1(t)x + X^0(t), \quad \tilde{u} = U^1(t, x)u + U^0(t, x),$$

where T , X^0 and X^1 are arbitrary smooth functions of t with $X^1 \neq 0$ and $T_t = (X^1)^2$, $X^1 U^1$ is an arbitrary nonzero solution of the adjoint equation to $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon$, $(X^1 U^1)_t = -\epsilon X^1 U_{xx}^1 + B X^1 U_x^1$, and U^0 is an arbitrary solution of the source equation $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon$. The relation between the source and the target drifts is given by

$$\Phi^* \tilde{B} = \frac{1}{X^1} \left(B - 2\epsilon \frac{U_x^1}{U^1} \right) - \frac{X_t^1 x + X_t^0}{(X^1)^2}.$$

Corollary 33. *The equivalence group $G_{\mathcal{F}^\epsilon}^{\sim}$ of the class $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$ consists of point transformations of the form*

$$\tilde{t} = T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = X^1(t)x + X^0(t), \quad \tilde{u} = \frac{c_1}{X^1} u + c_2, \quad \tilde{B} = \frac{1}{X^1} B - \frac{X_t^1 x + X_t^0}{(X^1)^2},$$

where T , X^0 and X^1 are arbitrary smooth functions of t with $X^1 \neq 0$ and $T_t = (X^1)^2$, and c_1 and c_2 are arbitrary constants with $c_1 \neq 0$.

The class $\bar{\mathcal{F}}'$ of Fokker–Planck equations with time-independent diffusion and drift coefficients,

$$u_t = (A(x)u)_{xx} + (B(x)u)_x,$$

is of high interest in view of physical importance of such equations. Thus, all the Fokker–Planck equations mentioned in the introduction as those modeling various stochastic processes have time-independent diffusion and drift coefficients. The equivalence groupoid of the class $\bar{\mathcal{F}}'$ is found analogously to that of the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$, see a discussion before Proposition 28. However, since it is irrelevant in what follows, we opt to present the equivalence group of $\bar{\mathcal{F}}'$ only.

Proposition 34. *The equivalence group $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'}$ of the class $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'$ consists of the point transformations of the form*

$$\tilde{t} = c_1 t + c_2, \quad \tilde{x} = X(x), \quad \tilde{u} = \frac{c_3}{X_x} u, \quad \tilde{A} = \frac{X_x^2}{c_1} A, \quad \tilde{B} = \frac{X_x}{c_1} B - \frac{X_{xx}}{c_1} A,$$

where X is an arbitrary function of x with $X_x \neq 0$, and c_1 , c_2 and c_3 are arbitrary constants with $c_1 c_3 \neq 0$.

Similarly as before, we gauge the arbitrary element A of the class $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'$ to a fixed $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ by a wide subset of $\mathcal{G}(G_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'})$, thus relating this class to its subclass of reduced (backward for $\epsilon = -1$ and forward for $\epsilon = 1$) Fokker–Planck equations with time-independent drifts

$$\mathcal{F}_B^{\prime\epsilon}: \quad u_t = \epsilon u_{xx} + (B(x)u)_x.$$

Again, the equation $\mathcal{F}_B^{\prime\epsilon}$ is formally adjoint to $\mathcal{K}_B^{\prime-\epsilon}$.

Proposition 35. *The equivalence group $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime\epsilon}}$ of the class $\mathcal{F}^{\prime\epsilon}$ of reduced Fokker–Planck equations with time-independent drifts consists of the point transformations of the form*

$$\tilde{t} = c_1^2 t + c_2, \quad \tilde{x} = c_1 x + c_3, \quad \tilde{u} = c_4 u, \quad \tilde{B} = \frac{1}{c_1} B, \quad (13)$$

where $c_1, \dots, c_4$ are arbitrary constants with $c_1 c_4 \neq 0$.

4 Group classifications of classes of Kolmogorov and Fokker–Planck equations

Any equation E from the class $\mathcal{E}_0$ admits, in view of the linear superposition of solutions, the point symmetry transformations of the form $\tilde{t} = t$, $\tilde{x} = x$, $\tilde{u} = u + f(t, x)$, where $f(t, x)$ is an arbitrary solution of E . Therefore, the maximal Lie invariance algebra $\mathfrak{g}_E$ of E is of the form $\mathfrak{g}_E = \mathfrak{g}_E^{\text{ess}} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_E^{\text{lin}}$. Here $\mathfrak{g}_E^{\text{lin}}$ is the infinite-dimensional ideal of $\mathfrak{g}_E$ that corresponds to the linear superposition of solutions, $\mathfrak{g}_E^{\text{lin}} := \{f(t, x)\partial_u \mid f \text{ is a solution of } E\}$, and $\mathfrak{g}_E^{\text{ess}} = \mathfrak{g}_E \cap \pi_* \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ is a finite-dimensional subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_E$, which is called the essential Lie invariance algebra of the equation E . In what follows, we classify the essential Lie invariance algebras of Kolmogorov and Fokker–Planck equations. The following proposition is an obvious consequence of Proposition 10.

Proposition 36. *The family $\{\mathfrak{g}_E^{\text{lin}} \mid E \in \mathcal{E}_0\}$ is $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ -uniform, and thus for any subclass $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{E}_0$, the corresponding family $\{\mathfrak{g}_E^{\text{lin}} \mid E \in \mathcal{L}\}$ is $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}$ -uniform.*

The class $\mathcal{E}_0$ is related via a wide subset of $\mathcal{G}(G_{\mathcal{E}_0})$ to its subclass $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$, and both the classes $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ are disjointedly semi-normalized with respect to the linear superposition principle. In view of Proposition 4, the group classification problem for the class $\mathcal{E}_0$ reduces to that for the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$. These problems were solved by S. Lie himself [27]. Below, we revisit the classification result for the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ using Theorem 18.

Theorem 37. *A complete list of $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\epsilon}$ -inequivalent (resp. $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\epsilon}$ -inequivalent) essential Lie-symmetry extensions of the (essential) kernel algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^{\text{ess}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\cap = \langle u\partial_u \rangle$ in the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ is exhausted by the following cases:*

1. $C = C(x): \quad \partial_t;$
2. $C = \mu x^{-2}: \quad \partial_t, \quad D, \quad \Pi;$
3. $C = 0: \quad \partial_t, \quad D, \quad \Pi, \quad \partial_x, \quad G.$

Here $\mu \neq 0$, $D = 2t\partial_t + x\partial_x$, $\Pi = 4t^2\partial_t + 4tx\partial_x - (\epsilon x^2 + 2t)u\partial_u$, $G = 2t\partial_x - \epsilon xu\partial_u$, and only basis vector fields of essential Lie-symmetry extensions are presented. The similar assertion on the class $\mathcal{E}_0$ is obtained via setting $A = \epsilon$ and $B = 0$ for each element of the list.

Remark 38. For the Lie-symmetry extension in Case 1 to be maximal, the potential C should take a general value, which is not equivalent to the values from the other cases, i.e., for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ we have $C(x) \neq \mu(x+\beta)^{-2} + \alpha(x+\beta)^2 + \gamma$ and $C(x) \neq \alpha x^2 + \beta x + \gamma$. In view of Theorem 18, the $G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalence, which coincides with the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalence, reduces within Case 1 to the $G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalence, cf. Corollary 17.

We use the classification list for the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ presented in Theorem 37 as a base for applying the mapping method in order to solve group classification problems for classes $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$, $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$, $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$, which are weakly similar to the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$.

4.1 Group classifications with respect to equivalence groupoids

The group classification problems for the entire classes of Kolmogorov and Fokker–Planck equations with respect to the associated equivalence groupoids were solved in [42]. We revisit those results via taking into account Remark 38 and involving more assertions from Sections 3 and 5.

Theorem 39. The kernel algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}^\cap$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}}^\cap$ of the classes $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ are $\langle \partial_u, u\partial_u \rangle$ and $\langle u\partial_u \rangle$, respectively. Complete lists of $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}^\sim$ -inequivalent essential Lie-symmetry extensions of the essential kernel algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}^{\cap, \text{ess}} = \langle u\partial_u \rangle$ and of $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}}^\sim$ -inequivalent Lie-symmetry extensions of the essential kernel algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}}^{\cap, \text{ess}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}}^\cap$ are exhausted by the following cases:

1. $B = B(x): \quad \partial_t;$
2. $B = \epsilon \check{\epsilon} x^{-1}(1 - 2a \tan(a \ln |x|)): \quad \partial_t, \quad D - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon x B(x)u\partial_u, \quad \Pi - 2\epsilon t x B(x)u\partial_u;$
3. $B = \epsilon \check{\epsilon} b x^{-1}: \quad \partial_t, \quad D, \quad \Pi - 2\check{\epsilon} b t u \partial_u;$
4. $B = 0: \quad \partial_t, \quad \partial_x, \quad D, \quad \Pi, \quad G.$

In all the cases, $A = \epsilon$ with ϵ taking a single value in $\{-1, 1\}$, and only basis vector fields of essential Lie-symmetry algebras besides $u\partial_u$ are presented. In addition, $a > 0$, $b \geq 1$, $b \neq 2$, $D = 2t\partial_t + x\partial_x$, $\Pi = 4t^2\partial_t + 4tx\partial_x - (\epsilon x^2 + 2t)u\partial_u$, $G = 2t\partial_x - \epsilon xu\partial_u$, $\check{\epsilon} = 1$ for the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\check{\epsilon} = -1$ for the class $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$.

Proof. The class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ is related to its subclass $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ via a wide subset of the action groupoid of its equivalence group, and thus these classes are weakly similar. The kernel algebras of these classes coincide, and the family of uniform subalgebras corresponding to the linear superposition of solutions in $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ is clearly mapped to its counterpart in $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$. Then the consideration after Definition 3 implies that the group classification of $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ up to the $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}^\sim$ -equivalence reduces to the group classification of $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ up to the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalence. The same claim is also true for the classes $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$. (See also the beginning of the next Section 4.2 for a stronger relation between the above classes.)

The classes $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$ are weakly similar to the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$. Indeed, given an equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon: u_t = \epsilon u_{xx} + C(t, x)u$, point transformations of the form

$$(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) = (t, x), \quad \tilde{u} = \frac{u}{\hat{U}(t, x)} \quad \text{and} \quad (\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) = (t, x), \quad \tilde{u} = \check{U}(t, x)u \quad (14)$$

with arbitrary nonzero solutions $\hat{U}$ and $\check{U}$ of the equations $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ and $(\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon)^\dagger = \mathcal{P}_{-C}^{-\epsilon}$ map it to the reduced Kolmogorov and Fokker–Planck equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_B^\epsilon: \quad & \tilde{u}_t = \epsilon \tilde{u}_{xx} + B(t, x)\tilde{u} \quad \text{with} \quad B := 2\epsilon \hat{U}_x / \hat{U}, \\ \mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon: \quad & \tilde{u}_t = \epsilon \tilde{u}_{xx} + (B(t, x)\tilde{u})_x \quad \text{with} \quad B := -2\epsilon \check{U}_x / \check{U}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Furthermore, all reduced Kolmogorov and Fokker–Planck equations can be obtained in this way.

There is a clear correspondence between the families of uniform subalgebras corresponding to the linear superposition of solutions in $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$) and $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$. Moreover, the essential kernel algebras of the classes $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$, $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ coincide, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^{\cap \text{ess}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}^\epsilon}^{\cap \text{ess}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^{\cap \text{ess}} = \langle u\partial_u \rangle$.

Thus, the group classification problems up to the general point equivalence for the classes $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$) are equivalent. In accordance with Section 2.3, to obtain a classification list for the class $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$) up to this equivalence, one needs to find a single element from the image of each representative of the classification list for the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ that is presented in Theorem 37. In other words, one should find a particular (e.g., time-independent) solution of the equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}_{-C}^\epsilon$) for each of the potentials C from Theorem 37 and recover the corresponding drift B . Then an arbitrary time-independent potential C leads to an arbitrary time-independent drift, which gives Case 1 of Theorem 39. For the potential $C = \mu/x^2$ with $\mu \neq 0$, each time-independent solution of $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}_{-C}^\epsilon$) satisfies the Euler equation $\epsilon x^2 u_{xx} + \mu u = 0$, whose general solution depends on the value of μ . This results in splitting a single classification case in the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ to Cases 2 and 3 for the group classification of Fokker–Planck and Kolmogorov equations. The potential $C = 0$ trivially gives Case 4. The corresponding essential Lie invariance algebras are obtained via pushing forward, by the relevant point transformation of the form (14), the basis vector fields of the essential Lie invariance algebras of the corresponding heat equations with potentials. $\square$

Remark 40. It follows from Remark 38 and results of Sections 5.2 and 5.3 that the Lie-symmetry extension in Case 1 of Theorem 39 is maximal if and only if the corresponding value $B = B(x)$ is general, i.e., it is $G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -inequivalent to values collected in Table 2 except the general Case 0 of this table. Moreover, Theorem 18 with its consequences, Propositions 25, 29, 32 and 35 and lemmas from Sections 5.2 and 5.3 imply that equations $\bar{\mathcal{K}}_B$ and $\bar{\mathcal{K}}_{\tilde{B}}$ (resp. $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_B$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\tilde{B}}$) with $A = \epsilon$ and with general values $B = B(x)$ and $\tilde{B} = \tilde{B}(x)$ are similar if and only if $c_1 \tilde{B}(c_1 x + c_2) = B(x) + 2\epsilon \tilde{W}_x(x)/W(x)$, where c_1 and c_2 are arbitrary constants with $c_1 \neq 0$ and W is an arbitrary nonvanishing solution of the equation $\epsilon \tilde{W}_{xx} + B\tilde{W}_x = \lambda \tilde{W}$ for a constant λ .

Remark 41. It is clear from the proof that, after replacing $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ by $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$, respectively, Theorem 39 also gives the solutions of the group classification problems for the classes $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$ up to the general point equivalence.

4.2 Group classifications with respect to equivalence groups

Recall that the class $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ is related to its superclass $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ via the subset $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}$ of $\mathcal{G}^{G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}}$, see the paragraph with the equation (11). The essential kernel algebras of the classes $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ are the same, $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}^{\cap \text{ess}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^{\cap \text{ess}} = \langle u\partial_u \rangle$. If the projection of an equivalence transformation of the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ to the space with the coordinates (t, x, u, B) relates two equations from the class $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$, then, in view of Corollaries 24 and 26, this projection belongs to the group $G_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^\sim$. This implies that the $G_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^\sim$ - and $G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}^\sim$ -equivalences are consistent via $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}$. Additionally taking into account Proposition 36, we conclude that the group classification of $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ up to the $G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}^\sim$ -equivalence reduces to the group classification of $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ up to the $G_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalence. In view of Corollaries 31 and 33, the analogous claim holds for the classes $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$.

To solve the group classification problems for the classes $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$, we apply the version of the mapping method described at the end of Section 2.3 using the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ of heat equations with potentials as that whose group classification is known.

We begin with the class $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$. Consider the following set of point transformations mapping a fixed heat equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ with potential $C = C(t, x)$ to equations in the class $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$:

$$\mathfrak{T}_C := \{ \Phi_U : \tilde{t} = t, \tilde{x} = x, \tilde{u} = u/U(t, x) \mid U \neq 0 : U_t = \epsilon U_{xx} + CU \}.$$

Thus, a transformation $\Phi_U \in \mathfrak{T}_C$ with a fixed nonzero solution U of $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ maps the equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ to the Kolmogorov equation $\mathcal{K}_B^\epsilon$ with the drift $B = 2\epsilon U_x/U$, cf. [8, 9]. By $\mathfrak{K}_C$ we denote the set of Kolmogorov equations that are the images of $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ under such maps,

$$\mathfrak{K}_C := (\mathfrak{T}_C)_* \mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon = \{\mathcal{K}_B^\epsilon \mid B = 2\epsilon U_x/U, U \neq 0: U_t = \epsilon U_{xx} + CU\}.$$

Lemma 42. (i) For any equation $\mathcal{K}_B^\epsilon \in \mathcal{K}^\epsilon$, there exists a function C of (t, x) such that $\mathcal{K}_B^\epsilon \in \mathfrak{K}_C$.

(ii) If reduced Kolmogorov equations $\mathcal{K}_B^\epsilon \in \mathfrak{K}_C$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{B}}^\epsilon \in \mathfrak{K}_{\tilde{C}}$ are $G_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalent, then the equations $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{C}}^\epsilon$ are $G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalent.

Proof. (i) An equation $\mathcal{K}_B^\epsilon$ belongs to $\mathfrak{K}_C$ for any C of the form $C = (U_t - \epsilon U_{xx})/U$, where U is a nonzero solution of the equation $2U_x = \epsilon BU$.

(ii) The equations $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{C}}^\epsilon$ are similar, and the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ is semi-normalized. $\square$

In what follows, for each of the classes under consideration, ϖ denotes the projection from the space with coordinates (t, x, u, θ) to the space with coordinates (t, x) , where θ is the corresponding arbitrary-element tuple.

Lemma 43. If $\tilde{C} = \mathfrak{T}_* C$ for some $\mathfrak{T} \in G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$, then the transformation $\check{\mathfrak{T}} \in G_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^\sim$ with $\varpi_* \check{\mathfrak{T}} = \varpi_* \mathfrak{T}$ and the identity u -component maps $\mathfrak{K}_C$ onto $\mathfrak{K}_{\tilde{C}}$, $\mathfrak{K}_{\tilde{C}} = \check{\mathfrak{T}}_* \mathfrak{K}_C$.

Proof. It is convenient to put tildes for the arguments t and x of functions with tildes. For an arbitrary Kolmogorov equation $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{B}}^\epsilon \in \mathfrak{K}_{\tilde{C}}$, we have $\tilde{B} = 2\epsilon \tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}}/\tilde{U}$ for some nonzero solution $\tilde{U}$ of $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{C}}^\epsilon$. Since the transformation $\mathfrak{T}$ belongs to $G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$ and, therefore, is of the form (7), the solution $\tilde{U}$ of $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{C}}^\epsilon$ can be represented in the form

$$\tilde{U}(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) = V(t)U(t, x) \exp \left(-\epsilon \frac{X_t^1(t)}{4X^1(t)} x^2 - \epsilon \frac{X_t^0(t)}{2X^1(t)} x \right) \quad (15)$$

for some solution U of $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$, where the expression of $(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x})$ via (t, x) is defined in (12). Setting $B := 2\epsilon U_x/U$, we obtain

$$\tilde{B}(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) = \frac{2\epsilon U_x(t, x)}{X^1(t)U(t, x)} - \frac{X_t^1(t)x + X_t^0(t)}{(X^1(t))^2} = \frac{B(t, x)}{X^1(t)} - \frac{X_t^1(t)x + X_t^0(t)}{(X^1(t))^2}. \quad (16)$$

This means that the Kolmogorov equation $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{B}}^\epsilon$ with the drift $B = 2\epsilon U_x/U$, which belongs to $\mathfrak{K}_C$, is mapped to $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{B}}^\epsilon$ by the point transformation $\tilde{t} = T(t)$, $\tilde{x} = X^1(t)x + X^0(t)$, $\tilde{u} = u$ of (t, x, u) with $T_t = (X^1)^2$, which is prolonged to B according to (16). This defines the required transformation $\check{\mathfrak{T}} \in G_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^\sim$, which does not depend on the choice of $\tilde{B}$. Hence $\mathfrak{K}_{\tilde{C}} \subseteq \check{\mathfrak{T}}_* \mathfrak{K}_C$. Reverting the argumentation, $(\mathcal{K}_B^\epsilon \in \mathfrak{K}_C) \rightsquigarrow (U \in \mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon) \rightsquigarrow (\tilde{U} \in \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{C}}^\epsilon) \rightsquigarrow (\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{B}}^\epsilon \in \mathfrak{K}_{\tilde{C}})$, we derive the inverse inclusion. As a result, $\mathfrak{K}_{\tilde{C}} = \check{\mathfrak{T}}_* \mathfrak{K}_C$. $\square$

Corollary 44. Kolmogorov equations $\mathcal{K}_B^\epsilon = (\Phi_U)_* \mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{B}}^\epsilon = (\Phi_{\tilde{U}})_* \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{C}}^\epsilon$ from $\mathfrak{K}_C$ are $G_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalent if and only if the corresponding nonzero solutions U and $\tilde{U}$ of the equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ are $G_{\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon}^{\text{ess}}$ -equivalent.

Proof. Since the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ is disjointedly semi-normalized with respect to the linear superposition of solutions, the essential point symmetry group $G_{\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon}^{\text{ess}}$ of the equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ coincides with the stabilizer subgroup of $\pi_* G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$ with respect to $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$. Then the lemma's statement follows from the proof of Lemma 43 for the particular value $\tilde{C} = C$ or, more specifically, from the equivalence of the equations (15) and (16). $\square$

The above assertions on equations from the class $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ constitute the theoretical basis for analyzing the group classification problem for this class with respect to its equivalence group $G_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^\sim$. In view of Lemma 42, if equations $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{C}}^\epsilon$ are $G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -inequivalent, then any equations $\mathcal{K}_B^\epsilon \in \mathfrak{K}_C$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{B}}^\epsilon \in \mathfrak{K}_{\tilde{C}}$ are $G_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -inequivalent. Lemma 43 implies that it suffices to consider only the image of a single representative $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ of each $G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -orbit. In other words, C should run through the set of all potentials of the canonical $G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -inequivalent equations that admit extensions of the essential kernel algebra of the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$, see Theorem 37. Corollary 44 shows how to distinguish inequivalent Kolmogorov equations in the set $\mathfrak{K}_C$. It is necessary to find a complete set of $G_{\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon}^{\text{ess}}$ -inequivalent solutions U^γ of the equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$, where γ indexes this set, and then to map the equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ by each of the point transformations $\Phi_{U^\gamma} \in \mathfrak{T}_C$.

The procedure for group classification of the class $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$ up to the $G_{\mathcal{F}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalence can be described similarly. It is based on the following construction. Consider a heat equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ with potential $C = C(t, x)$ and the set $\mathfrak{T}_C$ of point transformations that are parameterized by solutions of the heat equation $\mathcal{P}_{-C}^\epsilon$ and map $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ to Fokker–Planck equations from the class $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$,

$$\mathfrak{T}_C = \{\Psi_U: \tilde{t} = t, \tilde{x} = x, \tilde{u} = U(t, x)u \mid U \neq 0: U_t = -\epsilon U_{xx} - CU\}.$$

By $\mathfrak{T}_C$ we denote the set of Fokker–Planck equations that are the images of $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ under such maps,

$$\mathfrak{F}_C := (\mathfrak{T}_C)_* \mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon = \{\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon \mid B = -2\epsilon U_x/U, U \neq 0: U_t = -\epsilon U_{xx} - CU\}.$$

Lemma 45. (i) For any equation $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon \in \mathcal{F}^\epsilon$, there exists a function C of (t, x) such that $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon \in \mathfrak{F}_C$.

(ii) If reduced Kolmogorov equations $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon \in \mathfrak{F}_C$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{B}}^\epsilon \in \mathfrak{F}_{\tilde{C}}$ are $G_{\mathcal{F}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalent, then the equations $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{C}}^\epsilon$ are $G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalent.

(iii) If $\tilde{C} = \mathcal{T}_* C$ for some $\mathcal{T} \in G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$, then the transformation $\check{\mathcal{T}} \in G_{\mathcal{F}^\epsilon}^\sim$ with $\varpi_* \check{\mathcal{T}} = \varpi_* \mathcal{T}$ and the identity u -component maps $\mathfrak{F}_C$ onto $\mathfrak{F}_{\tilde{C}}$, $\mathfrak{F}_{\tilde{C}}^\epsilon = \check{\mathcal{T}}_* \mathfrak{F}_C$.

(iv) Fokker–Planck equations $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon = (\Psi_U)_* \mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{B}}^\epsilon = (\Psi_{\tilde{U}})_* \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{C}}^\epsilon$ from $\mathfrak{F}_C$ are $G_{\mathcal{F}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalent if and only if the corresponding nonzero solutions U and $\tilde{U}$ of the equation $\mathcal{P}_{-C}^\epsilon$ are $G_{\mathcal{P}_{-C}^\epsilon}^{\text{ess}}$ -equivalent.

Summing up the results of this section, we obtain the following joint description of the group classifications of the classes $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$, $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$, $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$ up to the equivalences generated by the corresponding equivalence groups. Recall that ϵ takes a fixed value in $\{-1, 1\}$, and in the classes $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ we can choose any of these two values.

Theorem 46. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be one of the classes $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$, $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$, $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$. A complete list of $G_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim$ -inequivalent essential Lie-symmetry extensions of the essential kernel algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\text{ess}} = \langle u \partial_u \rangle$ of $\mathcal{L}$ is exhausted by the cases with $A = \epsilon$ if $\mathcal{L} \in \{\bar{\mathcal{K}}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}\}$ and $B = 2\epsilon \check{\epsilon} U_x/U$, where $\check{\epsilon} = 1$ for $\mathcal{L} \in \{\bar{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{K}^\epsilon\}$ and $\check{\epsilon} = -1$ for $\mathcal{L} \in \{\bar{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{F}^\epsilon\}$, the function U of (t, x) runs through a complete set of $G_{\mathcal{P}_{-C}^\epsilon}^{\text{ess}}$ -inequivalent nonzero solutions of the equations $\mathcal{P}_{-C}^\epsilon$, and the potential C runs through the values listed in Theorem 37 subject to the conditions of Remark 38. Depending on C , basis vector fields of essential Lie invariance algebras besides $u \partial_u$ are the following:

1. $C = C(x): \quad \hat{P}_U^t;$
2. $C = \mu x^{-2}: \quad \hat{P}_U^t, \hat{D}_U, \hat{\Pi}_U;$
3. $C = 0: \quad \hat{P}_U^t, \hat{D}_U, \hat{\Pi}_U, \hat{P}_U^x, \hat{G}_U.$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_U^t &:= \partial_t - R(t, x)u \partial_u, & \hat{D}_U &:= 2t \partial_t + x \partial_x - (2tR(t, x) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon x B(t, x))u \partial_u, \\ \hat{\Pi}_U &:= 4t^2 \partial_t + 4tx \partial_x - (\epsilon x^2 + 2t + 4t^2 R(t, x) + 2\epsilon tx B(t, x))u \partial_u, \\ \hat{P}_U^x &:= \partial_x - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon B(t, x)u \partial_u, & \hat{G}_U &:= 2t \partial_x - \epsilon(x + tB(t, x))u \partial_u, \end{aligned}$$

and $R := \check{\epsilon} U_t/U$.

4.3 Constructing an explicit classification list is a no-go problem

In principle, the only difference of the group classification of the class $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ up to the $G_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalence from that up to the general point equivalence lies in the necessity of finding, in a closed form, all $G_{\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon}^{\text{ess}}$ -inequivalent solutions of each selected equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ from the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ instead of a single particular solution thereof. (For the class $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$, the equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ is replaced by the equation $\mathcal{P}_{-C}^\epsilon$.) At the same time, it is the biggest obstacle to accomplish the classification procedure and present an explicit classification list. The problem of finding all $G_{\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon}^{\text{ess}}$ -inequivalent solutions of an equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ is equivalent to representing the entire solution set of this equation in a closed form¹⁵ and singling out canonical representatives among $G_{\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon}^{\text{ess}}$ -equivalent solutions.

In general, the problem of finding solutions of a differential equation that are inequivalent with respect to its point symmetry group can be considered with the help of the group foliation method, see [37, Chapter VII] and [3, 28].¹⁶ Let us discuss the equation $\mathcal{P}_C := \mathcal{P}_C^{+1}$ with the potential $C(t, x) = \mu x^{-2}$, where $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, within this framework.

The group $G_{\mathcal{P}_C}^{\text{ess}} =: G$ is constituted by the point transformations of the form (10). Prolonging these transformations to derivatives and invariantizing [17] the coordinate function u_t of the underlying jet space under the normalization condition $(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}}) = (0, 1, 1, 0)$, we find the first-order normalized differential invariant I_{10} of this group,

$$I_{10} = x^2 \frac{u_t}{u} - x^2 \frac{u_x^2}{u^2} - x \frac{u_x}{u}.$$

All differential invariants of the group G can be expressed in terms of I_{10} by means the operators D_1^i and D_2^i of invariant differentiation,

$$D_1^i = x^2 \left(D_t - \frac{2u_x}{u} D_x \right), \quad D_2^i = x D_x \quad \text{with} \quad [D_1^i, D_2^i] = 2(I_{02} + I_{10})D_2^i - 2D_1^i,$$

where I_{02} is the invariantization of u_{xx} , $I_{02} = x^2(u_{xx} - u_t)/u$. In particular, a functional basis of such invariants of order less than or equal to two is constituted by I_{10} and¹⁷

$$\hat{I}_{20} := D_1^i I_{10}, \quad \hat{I}_{11} := D_2^i I_{10}, \quad I_{02} = \frac{[D_1^i, D_2^i] I_{10} + 2\hat{I}_{20}}{2\hat{I}_{11}} - I_{10}.$$

The highest rank for G -automorphic systems is equal to the number of independent variables of the equation $\mathcal{P}_C$, which is two. The order k of automorphic systems to be considered in the course of constructing a group foliation for $\mathcal{P}_C$ is equal to two, which follows from the following conditions. It should not be less than the order of the equation $\mathcal{P}_C$ whereas the cardinality of a functional basis of differential invariants of G whose order is not less than k should be greater than or equal to the joint number of independent and dependent variables in $\mathcal{P}_C$, which is equal to three.

¹⁵Integral representations, which are known, e.g., for the general solutions of the equations $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ with $C = \mu x^{-2}$, are not appropriate for using in the course of presenting a group classification list.

¹⁶We revisit basic notions of the theory of group foliations using the notation of Section 2. A system of differential equations is called *automorphic* with respect to a group G of point transformations in the space coordinatized by the independent and dependent variables of this system (or, briefly, *G-automorphic*) if its solution set is the G -orbit of its fixed solution. Each G -automorphic system can be rewritten in terms of differential invariants of G in a special way. If a system of differential equations E with independent variables x and dependent variables u admits a group G as its point symmetry group, then there exists a group foliation of E with respect to G , which is a (finite) set of classes $\mathcal{L}^i = \{\mathcal{L}_{\theta^i}^i \mid \theta^i \in \mathcal{S}^i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, of (systems of) differential equations with the same independent and dependent variables such that for any $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and for any $\theta^i \in \mathcal{S}^i$ the system $\mathcal{L}_{\theta^i}^i$ is G -automorphic and the solution set of E is the (disjoint) union of the solution sets of $\mathcal{L}_{\theta^i}^i$, $\theta^i \in \mathcal{S}^i$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, the set $\mathcal{S}^i$ is the solution set of an auxiliary system $\mathcal{S}^i$ for the arbitrary-element tuple θ^i of the class $\mathcal{L}^i$, which is called the *resolving system* for the i th component of the group foliation.

¹⁷In contrast to the normalized differential invariants I_{ij} , $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}_0$ with $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, which are obtained by invariantizing, under the above normalization condition, the jet variables u_{ij} associated with the derivatives $\partial^{i+j} u / \partial t^i \partial x^j$, the notation $\hat{I}_{ij}$ is used for differentiated differential invariants having a similar association.

Thus, each of such rank-two systems $\mathcal{A}_F^2$ takes the form

$$I_{02} = -\mu, \quad \hat{I}_{11} = F(I_{10}, \hat{I}_{20}), \quad (17)$$

where F is a smooth function of $(I_{10}, \hat{I}_{20})$ satisfying the compatibility condition for $\mathcal{A}_F^2$. The first equation in (17) is the representation of the equation $\mathcal{P}_C$ in terms of differential invariants of G . Treating now the third-order differential invariants

$$\hat{I}_{30} := (D_1^i)^2 I_{10}, \quad \hat{I}_{21} := D_2^i D_1^i I_{10}, \quad \hat{I}_{12} := (D_2^i)^2 I_{10}, \quad \hat{I}_{03} := D_2^i I_{02}$$

as functions of $(I_{10}, \hat{I}_{20})$, we rewrite the operators of invariant differentiation on solutions of $\mathcal{A}_F^2$ as

$$D_1^i = \hat{I}_{20} \partial_{I_{10}} + \hat{I}_{30} \partial_{\hat{I}_{20}}, \quad D_2^i = F \partial_{I_{10}} + \hat{I}_{21} \partial_{\hat{I}_{20}}.$$

The third-order syzygy

$$D_1^i I_{02} - D_2^i (\hat{I}_{11} + I_{02}) = -\hat{I}_{20} - 5\hat{I}_{11} + 2(I_{02} + I_{10})^2 + 4(I_{10} - I_{02})$$

can be seen as a cross-differentiation of the equations of the automorphic system $\mathcal{A}_F^2$, when restricted to its solution set. Thus, the left hand side of this equality is $-\hat{I}_{12} = -D_2^i \hat{I}_{11}$, which upon further expansion gives

$$-FF_1 - \hat{I}_{21}F_2 = -5F - \hat{I}_{20} + 2I_{10}^2 + 4(1 - \mu)I_{10} + 2\mu(\mu + 2),$$

where $F_1 := \partial F / \partial I_{10}$ and $F_2 := \partial F / \partial \hat{I}_{20}$. Assuming first that $F_2 \neq 0$, we obtain the following expressions for $\hat{I}_{21}$ and $\hat{I}_{12}$ in terms of F :

$$\hat{I}_{21} = \frac{5F + \hat{I}_{20} - 2I_{10}^2 - 4(1 - \mu)I_{10} - 2\mu(\mu + 2) - FF_1}{F_2},$$

$$\hat{I}_{12} = 5F + \hat{I}_{20} - 2I_{10}^2 - 4(1 - \mu)I_{10} - 2\mu(\mu + 2).$$

A similar expression for $\hat{I}_{30}$ can be found by representing $\hat{I}_{21}$ as

$$\hat{I}_{21} = D_2^i D_1^i \hat{I}_{10} = (D_1^i D_2^i - 2(I_{02} + I_{10})D_2^i + 2D_1^i) \hat{I}_{10} = \hat{I}_{20}F_1 + \hat{I}_{30}F_2 - 2(I_{02} + I_{10})F + 2\hat{I}_{20},$$

and hence

$$\hat{I}_{30} = \frac{(5 - F_1)F + \hat{I}_{20} - 2(I_{10} + 1 - \mu)^2 + 2(1 - 4\mu)}{F_2^2} - \frac{\hat{I}_{20}(F_1 + 2) - 2(I_{10} - \mu)F}{F_2},$$

while $\hat{I}_{03}$ vanishes on solutions of $\mathcal{A}_F^2$. The compatibility conditions in pairs of the above equations for third-order differential invariants,

$$D_1^i \hat{I}_{21} - D_2^i \hat{I}_{30} = -2\hat{I}_{30} + 2\hat{I}_{21}(I_{10} + I_{02}),$$

$$D_2^i \hat{I}_{21} - D_1^i \hat{I}_{12} = 4\hat{I}_{21} - 4\hat{I}_{12}(I_{10} + I_{02}) - 2\hat{I}_{03}\hat{I}_{11} - 4\hat{I}_{20} - 2\hat{I}_{11}^2 - 4\hat{I}_{11}(I_{10} + I_{02}),$$

when restricted to the solution set of the automorphic system $\mathcal{A}_F^2$, give the same *resolving* equation,

$$(FF_y - 2\bar{F})^2 F_{zz} - 2F(FF_y - \bar{F})F_z F_{yz} + F^2 F_z^2 F_{yy} + (-4\bar{F}y - 2F^2 + 14Fy - 4yz - 18z)F_z^3 + (\check{F}F_y + 6Fy + 22F + 2\check{F} + 2\bar{F})F_z^2 = 0, \quad (18)$$

where $y := I_{10} - \mu$, $z := \hat{I}_{20}$, $\bar{F} := 5F + z - 2y^2 - 4y - 8\mu$ and $\check{F} := -4F - 2y^2 - 4y - 8\mu$.

If $F_2 = 0$, then $\hat{I}_{11}$ becomes a function $\hat{F}$ of I_{10} only, and $\hat{I}_{20}$ is treated as a function $\check{F}$ of I_{10} as well, which leads to the rank-one automorphic system $\mathcal{A}_\theta^1$ with $\theta = (\hat{F}, \check{F})$,

$$I_{02} = -\mu, \quad \hat{I}_{11} = \hat{F}(I_{10}), \quad \hat{I}_{20} = \check{F}(I_{10}). \quad (19)$$

Abusing notations, we rewrite the operators of invariant differentiation on solutions of $\mathcal{A}_\theta^1$ as $D_1^i = \check{F} \partial_{I_{10}}$ and $D_2^i = \hat{F} \partial_{I_{10}}$. The syzygies

$$\begin{aligned} D_1^i I_{02} - D_2^i (\hat{I}_{11} + I_{02}) &= -\hat{I}_{20} - 5\hat{I}_{11} + 2(I_{02} + I_{10})^2 + 4(I_{10} - I_{02}), \\ D_2^i I_{20} - D_1^i \hat{I}_{11} &= 2\hat{I}_{20} - 2(I_{02} + I_{10})\hat{I}_{11} \end{aligned}$$

on the solution set of $\mathcal{A}_\theta^1$ become

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{F} \hat{F}' &= 5\hat{F} + \check{F} - 2Q(y), \\ \hat{F} \check{F}' - \check{F} \hat{F}' &= 2\check{F} - 2y\hat{F}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where the prime denotes the differentiation with respect to y , and $Q(y) := y^2 + 2y + 4\mu$. It is reduced by substituting $\hat{F}$ from the first equation to the second one to

$$\hat{F}^2 \hat{F}'' - 2(\hat{F} + Q(y))\hat{F}' + (6y + 14)\hat{F} - 4Q(y) = 0.$$

Every value of the function F or of the pair $(\hat{F}, \check{F})$, which satisfies the equations (18) or (20), respectively, gives the orbit of a solution of $\mathcal{P}_C$, and different values correspond to different orbits. In other words, the problem of finding all G -inequivalent solutions of the equation $\mathcal{P}_C$ is equivalent to solving the system (17) or the system (19) for each of such values, which is of course a no-go problem.

5 Group classifications of equations with time-independent coefficients

All the canonical (up to the general point equivalence) extensions of the essential kernel algebras within the classes $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ correspond to equations with constant diffusion coefficients and time-independent drifts, see Theorem 39. Besides, physically meaningful Fokker–Planck equations, in particular those mentioned in the introduction, have time-independent coefficients. Moreover, in contrast to the classes $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$, complete closed-form lists of inequivalent essential Lie-symmetry extensions within the classes $\bar{\mathcal{F}}'$ and $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$ can be constructed in an explicit form. The group classification technique to be applied to the latter classes coincides with that used in Section 4.2, but now the class $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon$ is to be mapped instead of the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$. In Section 4.2 we describe in detail the procedure of obtaining Lie-symmetry extensions within the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$, so below we focus on Fokker–Planck equations from the class $\bar{\mathcal{F}}'$ and then just formulate the classification result for the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$.

5.1 Group classifications of heat equations with time-independent potentials

The equations in the class $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon$ that admit extensions of the essential kernel algebra of $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon$ were described using no equivalence, e.g., in [19]. The list of such equations modulo the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon}$ -equivalence is presented in Theorem 37, where Case 1 should be interpreted as the general case within the class $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon$. Below we develop another approach and solve the group classification problem for this class up to the $G_{\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon}$ -equivalence, simultaneously obtaining the group classification of the class $\mathcal{E}'_0$ up to the $G_{\mathcal{E}'_0}$ -equivalence.

Table 1. Complete group classifications of the classes $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{E}'_0$ up to the $G_{\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon}$ - and $G_{\mathcal{E}'_0}$ -equivalences, respectively.

no.	C	Basis elements of $\mathfrak{g}_C^{\text{ess}}$ besides ∂_t and $u\partial_u$
0	$C(x)$	–
1	μx^{-2}	$D(t), D(t^2)$
1a	$\mu x^{-2} + \epsilon x^2$	$D(\cos 4t), D(\sin 4t)$
1b	$\mu x^{-2} - \epsilon x^2$	$D(e^{4t}), D(e^{-4t})$
2	0	$P(1), P(t), D(t), D(t^2)$
2a	ϵx^2	$P(\cos 2t), P(\sin 2t), D(\cos 4t), D(\sin 4t)$
2b	$-\epsilon x^2$	$P(e^{2t}), P(e^{-2t}), D(e^{4t}), D(e^{-4t})$
2c	x	$\bar{P}(1), \bar{P}(t), D(t) - \frac{3}{2}\epsilon\bar{P}(t^2), D(t^2) - \epsilon\bar{P}(t^3)$

Here $\mu \neq 0$, $D(f) = f\partial_t + \frac{1}{2}f_t x\partial_x - (\frac{1}{8}\epsilon f_{tt}x^2 + \frac{1}{4}f_t)u\partial_u$, $P(f) = f\partial_x - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon f_t x u\partial_u$, $\bar{P}(f) = P(f) + F u\partial_u$, and F is a fixed antiderivative of $f = f(t)$.

Theorem 47. *The (essential) kernel algebras of the classes $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{E}'_0$ coincide with $\langle \partial_t, u\partial_u \rangle$. A complete list of $G_{\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon}$ -inequivalent (resp. $G_{\mathcal{E}'_0}$ -inequivalent) essential Lie-symmetry extensions in the class $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon$ (resp. the class $\mathcal{E}'_0$) is exhausted by the cases given in Table 1.*

Proof. Case 1 of Theorem 37 with the general value $C = C(x)$ is the general case in the class $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon$. To obtain all $G_{\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon}$ -inequivalent Lie-symmetry extensions in the class $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon$, we take the following simple steps:

- For each of Cases 2 and 3 of Theorem 37, we determine its counterpart with the most general time-independent potential, say $\bar{C}$, in its $G_{\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon}$ -orbit.
- Using the equivalence transformations in $G_{\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon}$, we simplify the equation $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon_{\bar{C}}$, obtaining $G_{\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon}$ -inequivalent Lie-symmetry extension cases in the class $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon$.

Thus, the general equation in the $G_{\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon}$ -orbit of the heat equation with the potential μ/x^2 , where $\mu \neq 0$, has the potential

$$\bar{C}(t, x) = \frac{\mu}{(x + \zeta)^2} - \frac{\epsilon}{4}\sigma \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \right)_{tt} (x + \zeta)^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\zeta_{tt}x + \theta,$$

where σ , ζ and θ are arbitrary smooth functions of t with $\sigma \neq 0$, cf. Proposition 15. The time-independence of this potential implies that it is at most as general as

$$\bar{C}(t, x) = \frac{\mu}{(x + \beta)^2} + \alpha(x + \beta)^2 + \gamma$$

for some constants α , β and γ . Then, using the transformation from $G_{\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon}$ with $c_1 = |\alpha|^{1/4}$, $c_2 = 0$, $c_3 = |\alpha|^{1/4}\beta$, $c_4 = 1$ and $c_5 = -\gamma$, see Corollary 17, we can reduce $\bar{C}(x)$ to $\mu/x^2 + x^2 \operatorname{sgn} \alpha$.

The $G_{\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon}$ -orbit of the equation $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon_0$ consists of the equations with at most quadratic potentials, and a complete set of $G_{\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon}$ -inequivalent equations among them is exhausted by the equations $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon_C$ with $C \in \{0, x^2, -x^2, x\}$.

Another way to obtain the group classification of $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon$ up to the $G_{\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon}$ -equivalence is to extend Cases 2 and 3 of Theorem 37 by the inverses of the admissible transformations listed in Theorem 18. The corresponding essential Lie invariance algebras are obtained via pushing forward the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\mu/x^2}^{\text{ess}}$ by these inverses.

The $G_{\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon}$ - and $G_{\mathcal{E}'_0}$ -equivalences are consistent via the wide subset $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}'_0}$ of $\mathcal{G}(G_{\mathcal{E}'_0})$, cf. the paragraph before Corollary 17 and the proof of Corollary 21. $\square$

5.2 Group classifications of Fokker–Planck equations with time-independent coefficients

First of all, the group classification of the class $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'$ up to the $G_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'}^\sim$ -equivalence reduces to the group classification of the class $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$ up to the $G_{\mathcal{F}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalence, cf. the discussion at the beginning of Section 4.2. The procedure of the latter classification is similar to that described in Section 4.2 for the classes $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$, but for $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$ we can solve the corresponding problem completely by finding inequivalent solutions of the ordinary differential equations $\epsilon W_{xx} + C(x)W = -\lambda W$, where the coefficient C runs through the set of potentials of canonical representatives of the Lie-symmetry extensions in the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$.

For each time-independent potential $C = C(x)$, we consider the family $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}'_C$ of point transformations $\Psi_U: \tilde{t} = t, \tilde{x} = x, \tilde{u} = U(t, x)u$ mapping the equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon = \mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ to Fokker–Planck equations $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon = \mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon$ with time-independent drifts $B = B(x)$. The parameter function U satisfies the equation $\mathcal{P}_{-C}^\epsilon$, and $B = -2\epsilon U_x/U$. In view of time-independence of drifts B within the class $\mathcal{F}^\epsilon$, the function U takes the form $U(t, x) = V(t)W(x)$. Substituting this expression for U into the equation $\mathcal{P}_{-C}^\epsilon$, we separate the variables and obtain that, up to a negligible nonzero constant multiplier, $V(t) = e^{\lambda t}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, while the function W satisfies the equation $\mathcal{W}_{C, \lambda}: \epsilon W_{xx} + CW = -\lambda W$. Thus,

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}'_C := \{ \Psi_{\lambda, W}: \tilde{t} = t, \tilde{x} = x, \tilde{u} = e^{\lambda t} W(x)u \mid \epsilon W_{xx} + CW = -\lambda W, W(x) \neq 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

The image of this family of point transformations acting on the equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ is the set $\mathfrak{F}'_C$ of reduced Fokker–Planck equations with drifts of the form $B = -2\epsilon U_x/U$, where U runs through the set of nonzero solutions of the equation $\mathcal{P}_{-C}^\epsilon$ in separated variables, $U(t, x) = e^{\lambda t} W(x)$,

$$\mathfrak{F}'_C := \{ \mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon \mid B = -2\epsilon W_x/W, W = W(x) \neq 0: \epsilon W_{xx} + CW = -\lambda W, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

Lemma 48. *Given Fokker–Planck equations $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon \in \mathfrak{F}'_C$ and $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon$ being $G_{\mathcal{F}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalent to $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon$, there exists a potential $\tilde{C}$ such that the equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ is $G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalent to $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$, and $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon \in \mathfrak{F}'_{\tilde{C}}$.*

Proof. Since the equation $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon$ is $G_{\mathcal{F}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalent to $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon$, there exists the equivalence transformation $\mathcal{T} \in G_{\mathcal{F}^\epsilon}^\sim$ such that $(\varpi_* \mathcal{T})^* \tilde{B} = c_1^{-1} B$ for a nonzero constant c_1 . It is easy to see that the function $\tilde{C}$ with $(\varpi_* \mathcal{T})^* \tilde{C} = c_1^{-2} C$ satisfies the requirements of the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 49. *If $\tilde{C} = \mathcal{T}_* C$ for some $\mathcal{T} \in G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$, then the transformation $\check{\mathcal{T}} \in G_{\mathcal{F}^\epsilon}^\sim$ with $\varpi_* \check{\mathcal{T}} = \varpi_* \mathcal{T}$ and the identity u -component maps $\mathfrak{F}'_C$ onto $\mathfrak{F}'_{\tilde{C}}$, $\mathfrak{F}'_{\tilde{C}} = \check{\mathcal{T}}_* \mathfrak{F}'_C$.*

Proof. For an arbitrary Fokker–Planck equation $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon \in \mathfrak{F}'_C$, we have $\tilde{B} = -2\epsilon \tilde{U}_{\tilde{x}}/\tilde{U}$ for some nonzero solution $\tilde{U}$ of $\mathcal{P}_{-C}^\epsilon$ of the form $\tilde{U}(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) = e^{\tilde{\lambda} \tilde{t}} \tilde{W}(\tilde{x})$. As an element of $G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$, the transformation $\mathcal{T}$ has the form (8). Thus, we have $\tilde{U}(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) = c_4 e^{c_5 t} U(t, x)$ for some solution U of $\mathcal{P}_{-C}^\epsilon$ of the form $U(t, x) = e^{\lambda t} W(x)$. Setting $B := -2\epsilon U_x/U = -2\epsilon W_x/W$, we obtain $\tilde{B}(\tilde{x}) = c_1^{-1} B(x)$. This means that the Fokker–Planck equation $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon$ with the drift $B = -2\epsilon W_x/W$, which belongs to $\mathfrak{F}'_C$, is mapped to $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon$ by the equivalence transformation obtained via prolonging the point transformation $\tilde{t} = c_1^2 t + c_2$, $\tilde{x} = c_1 x + c_3$, $\tilde{u} = u$ of (t, x, u) as $\tilde{B} = c_1^{-1} B$. This defines the required transformation $\check{\mathcal{T}} \in G_{\mathcal{F}^\epsilon}^\sim$, which does not depend on the choice of $\tilde{B}$. Hence $\mathfrak{F}'_{\tilde{C}} \subseteq \check{\mathcal{T}}_* \mathfrak{F}'_C$. Reverting the argumentation we derive the inverse inclusion. $\square$

Lemma 50. *The Fokker–Planck equations $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon := (\Psi_{\lambda, W})_* \mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon := (\Psi_{\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{W}})_* \mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ from $\mathfrak{F}'_C$ are $G_{\mathcal{F}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalent if and only if the associated solutions $U(t, x) = e^{\lambda t} W(x)$ and $\tilde{U}(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) = e^{\tilde{\lambda} \tilde{t}} \tilde{W}(\tilde{x})$ of the equation $\mathcal{P}_{-C}^\epsilon$ are H_C -equivalent, where $H_C := G_{\mathcal{P}_{-C}^\epsilon}^{\text{ess}} \cap \pi_* G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$.*

Proof. Let Fokker–Planck equations $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_{\tilde{B}}^\epsilon \in \mathfrak{F}'_C$ be $G_{\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalent, that is, there exists an equivalence transformation $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon$, which is of the form (13), such that $\tilde{B} = \mathcal{T}_* B$. The last equality implies that the associated functions U and $\tilde{U}$ satisfy $\tilde{U}(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) = f(t)U(t, x)$ with a nonzero function f of t . This function can be found from the representations with separated variables for U and $\tilde{U}$, $f(t) = e^{(\lambda - c_1^2 \tilde{\lambda})t - \tilde{\lambda} c_2}$. The point transformation

$$\check{\mathcal{T}}: \quad \tilde{t} = c_1^2 t + c_2, \quad \tilde{x} = c_1 x + c_3, \quad \tilde{u} = e^{(\lambda - c_1^2 \tilde{\lambda})t - \tilde{\lambda} c_2} u, \quad \tilde{C} = \frac{1}{c_1^2} (C + (\lambda - c_1^2 \tilde{\lambda}))$$

belongs to $G_{\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon}^\sim$. Since its projection $\pi_* \check{\mathcal{T}}$ maps the solution U of $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon$ to the solution $\tilde{U}$ thereof, then $\pi_* \check{\mathcal{T}} \in G_{\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon}^{\text{ess}}$. Thus, the solutions U and $\tilde{U}$ are H_C -equivalent.

Conversely, let U and $\tilde{U}$ be H_C -equivalent solutions of the equation $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon$. Then there exist constants $c_1, \dots, c_5$ with $c_1 c_4 \neq 0$ such that $\tilde{U}(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) = c_4 e^{c_5 t} U(t, x)$, where $\tilde{t} = c_1^2 t + c_2$ and $\tilde{x} = c_1 x + c_3$. The corresponding drifts B and $\tilde{B}$ are related via $\tilde{B}(\tilde{x}) = B(x)/c_1$, and thus the equations $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{B}}^\epsilon$ are $G_{\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalent. $\square$

Having at our disposal the group classification of the class $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon$ and Lemmas 48–50, we solve the group classification problems for the classes $\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}'$ with respect to the corresponding equivalence groups using the mapping method.

Theorem 51. *The (essential) kernel algebras of the classes $\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}'$ coincide with $\langle \partial_t, u \partial_u \rangle$. A complete list of $G_{\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon}^\sim$ -inequivalent (resp. $G_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}'}^\sim$ -inequivalent) essential Lie-symmetry extensions in the class $\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon$ (resp. the class $\bar{\mathcal{F}}'$) is exhausted by the cases given in Table 2.*

Proof. Recall that the class $\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon$ is related to its superclass $\bar{\mathcal{F}}'$ via a subset $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{G}^{G_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}'}}^\sim$. If the projection of an equivalence transformation of the class $\bar{\mathcal{F}}'$ to the space with the coordinates (t, x, u, B) relates two equations from the class $\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon$, then in view of Propositions 34 and 35, this projection belongs to the group $G_{\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon}^\sim$. This means that the $G_{\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon}^\sim$ - and the $G_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}'}^\sim$ -equivalences are consistent via $\mathcal{M}$. The essential kernel algebras of the classes $\bar{\mathcal{F}}'$ and $\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon$ are the same, $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}'}^{\cap \text{ess}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon}^{\cap \text{ess}} = \langle \partial_t, u \partial_u \rangle$. These two facts together with Proposition 36 imply that the group classification of $\bar{\mathcal{F}}'$ up to the $G_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}'}^\sim$ -equivalence reduces to the group classification of $\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon$ up to the $G_{\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalence.

For the latter classification, we again use the procedure described at the end of Section 2.3. The application of this procedure to the class $\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon$ is based on Lemmas 48–50. To each potential C listed in Table 1, we relate the set $\mathfrak{F}'_C$ of Fokker–Planck equations that are similar to the equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$. This set is defined to be the image of the equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ with respect to the family $\check{\mathcal{T}}'_C$ of point transformations. In view of Lemma 50, $G_{\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon}^\sim$ -inequivalent equations from the set $\mathfrak{F}'_C$ are associated with H_C -inequivalent particular solutions of the equation $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon$: $U_t = -\epsilon U_{xx} - C(x)U$ that are of the form $U(t, x) = e^{\lambda t} W(x)$. The group H_C from Lemma 50 induces a subgroup $H_{\mathcal{W}_C}^\sim$ of the equivalence group of the class $\mathcal{W}_C$ of linear ordinary differential equations $\mathcal{W}_{C, \lambda}$: $\epsilon W_{xx} + C(x)W = -\lambda W$, which are parameterized by $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Here C is a fixed function of x . In other words, instead of describing H_C -inequivalent special solutions of the equation $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon$, we can classify solutions of equations in the class $\mathcal{W}_C$ up to the $H_{\mathcal{W}_C}^\sim$ -equivalence.¹⁸ Therefore, to obtain a group classification list for the class $\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon$ modulo the $G_{\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalence, we should find an exhaustive list of $H_{\mathcal{W}_C}^\sim$ -inequivalent solutions of equations in $\mathcal{W}_C$. A classification list for the class $\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon$ is constituted by the Fokker–Planck equations $\mathcal{F}_B^\epsilon$ whose drifts are constructed with the help of the found solutions W , $B = -2\epsilon W_x/W$.

It is convenient to denote $\kappa := \epsilon \lambda$ and $\nu := \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - 4\epsilon \mu}$. Thus, $\nu \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ if $4\epsilon \mu \leq 1$ and $\nu \in i\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ if $4\epsilon \mu > 1$. Thereafter a_1 and a_2 are arbitrary real constants with $(a_1, a_2) \neq (0, 0)$,

¹⁸Given a class $\mathcal{L}$ of (systems of) differential equations, a solution f of a system $\mathcal{L}_\theta \in \mathcal{L}$ is called $G_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim$ -equivalent to a solution $\tilde{f}$ of a system $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\theta}} \in \mathcal{L}$ if there exists $\mathcal{T} \in G_{\mathcal{L}}^\sim$ such that $\tilde{\theta} = \mathcal{T}_* \theta$ and $(\pi_* \mathcal{T})^* \tilde{f} = f$.

Table 2. The group classifications of the classes $\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon$, $\bar{\mathcal{F}}'$, $\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon$ and $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$ up to the $G_{\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon}^\sim$, $G_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}'}^\sim$, $G_{\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon}^\sim$ and $G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}'}^\sim$ -equivalences, respectively.

no.	W	Basis elements of $\mathfrak{g}_B^{\text{ess}}$ besides ∂_t and $u\partial_u$
0	$W(x)$	–
1	$\sqrt{ x } \cos(\alpha \ln x), \sqrt{ x }, \sqrt{ x } \ln x ,$ $\sqrt{ x } (a_1 x ^\alpha + a_2 x ^{-\alpha})$	$D_{B,0}(t), D_{B,0}(t^2)$
1'	$\sqrt{ x } \mathcal{Z}_\beta(x), \sqrt{ x } \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_\gamma(x)$	$D_{B,1}(t), D_{B,1}(t^2)$
1''	$\sqrt{ x } \mathcal{C}_\beta(x), \sqrt{ x } \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\gamma(x)$	$D_{B,-1}(t), D_{B,-1}(t^2)$
1a	$ x ^{-1/2} \operatorname{Re} \left((a_1 - a_2 i) W_{-\frac{1}{4}\kappa i, \frac{1}{2}\nu}(ix^2) \right)$	$D_{B,\kappa}(\cos 4t), D_{B,\kappa}(\sin 4t)$
1b	$ x ^{-1/2} \left(a_1 W_{\frac{1}{4}\kappa, \frac{1}{2}\nu}(x^2) + a_2 W_{-\frac{1}{4}\kappa, \frac{1}{2}\nu}(-x^2) \right)$	$D_{B,\kappa}(e^{4t}), D_{B,\kappa}(e^{-4t})$
2	1, x	$P_B(1), P_B(t), D_{B,0}(t), D_{B,0}(t^2)$
2'	$\cos x$	$P_B(1), P_B(t), D_{B,1}(t), D_{B,1}(t^2)$
2''	$e^x, \cosh x, \sinh x$	$P_B(1), P_B(t), D_{B,-1}(t), D_{B,-1}(t^2)$
2a	$ x ^{-1/2} \operatorname{Re} \left((a_1 - a_2 i) W_{-\frac{1}{4}\kappa i, \frac{1}{4}}(ix^2) \right)$	$P_B(\sin 2t), P_B(\cos 2t), D_{B,\kappa}(\cos 4t), D_{B,\kappa}(\sin 4t)$
2b	$ x ^{-1/2} \left(a_1 W_{\frac{1}{4}\kappa, \frac{1}{4}}(x^2) + a_2 W_{-\frac{1}{4}\kappa, \frac{1}{4}}(-x^2) \right)$	$P_B(e^{2t}), P_B(e^{-2t}), D_{B,\kappa}(e^{4t}), D_{B,\kappa}(e^{-4t})$
2c	$a_1 \operatorname{Ai}(x) + a_2 \operatorname{Bi}(x)$	$\bar{P}_B(1), \bar{P}_B(t), D_{B,0}(t) + \frac{3}{2}\bar{P}_B(t^2), D_{B,0}(t^2) + \bar{P}_B(t^3)$

Here $A = \epsilon$ for the classes $\bar{\mathcal{F}}'$ and $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$; $B = 2\epsilon\check{W}_x/W$ with $\check{\epsilon} = -1$ for the classes $\mathcal{F}'^\epsilon$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}'$ and $\check{\epsilon} = 1$ for the classes $\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon$ and $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$; $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $\nu \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup i\mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, $\alpha, \beta, \nu \neq \frac{1}{2}$; $(a_1, a_2) \neq (0, 0)$ and (a_1, a_2) are defined up to a nonzero multiplier; $\mathcal{Z}_\beta, \mathcal{C}_\beta, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_\gamma$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\gamma$ are cylinder functions, see the proof of Theorem 51 for details; Ai and Bi are the Airy functions of the first and the second kind, respectively; $W_{k,m}$ is a Whittaker function; $D_{B,\kappa}(f) = f\partial_t + \frac{1}{2}f_t x \partial_x - \epsilon(\frac{1}{8}f_{tt}x^2 + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon f_t - \kappa f + \frac{1}{4}f_t x B(x))u\partial_u$, $P_B(f) = f\partial_x - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon(f_t x + fB(x))u\partial_u$, $\bar{P}_B(f) = P_B(f) - \epsilon F u \partial_u$, and F is a fixed antiderivative of $f = f(t)$.

which are defined up to a nonzero multiplier when they appear in expressions for B . The enumeration below is associated with the corresponding cases of Table 1, and the proof goes on a case-by-case basis. For basic results on involved special functions, see, e.g., [1, 23].

0. If C is a general function of x , then W is such a function as well.

1. $C(x) = \mu x^{-2}$ with $\mu \neq 0$. Consider first the case $\kappa \neq 0$. The general solution of the equation $\mathcal{W}_{C,\lambda}: x^2 W_{xx} + (\kappa x^2 + \epsilon\mu)W = 0$ is $W(x) = \sqrt{|x|} Z_{|\nu|}(\sqrt{|\kappa|}x)$, where the cylinder function $Z_{|\nu|}$ is $\mathcal{Z}_\nu, \mathcal{C}_\nu, \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{|\nu|}$ or $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{|\nu|}$ if $4\epsilon\mu \leq 1$ and $\kappa > 0$, $4\epsilon\mu \leq 1$ and $\kappa < 0$, $4\epsilon\mu > 1$ and $\kappa > 0$ or $4\epsilon\mu > 1$ and $\kappa < 0$, respectively. Here $\mathcal{Z}_\nu$ and $\mathcal{C}_\nu$ are linear combinations of linearly independent Bessel and of linearly independent modified Bessel functions, respectively. The cylinder function $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{|\nu|}$ is an arbitrary linear combination of the (linearly independent) modifications of the Hankel functions $H_\nu^{(1)}$ and $H_\nu^{(2)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{|\nu|}(x) &= a_1 \tilde{H}_\nu^{(1)}(x) + a_2 \tilde{H}_\nu^{(2)}(x) \\ &= \frac{a_1}{2} (e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu\pi} H_\nu^{(1)}(x) + e^{\frac{1}{2}\nu\pi} H_\nu^{(2)}(x)) + \frac{a_2}{2i} (e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu\pi} H_\nu^{(1)}(x) - e^{\frac{1}{2}\nu\pi} H_\nu^{(2)}(x)), \end{aligned}$$

and the cylinder function $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{|\nu|}$ is an arbitrary linear combination of the modified Bessel function K_ν and the modification $\tilde{I}_\nu$ of the modified Bessel function I_ν (for $\nu \neq 0$),

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{|\nu|}(x) = a_1 \tilde{I}_\nu(x) + a_2 K_\nu(x) = \frac{a_1 \pi i}{2 \sin(\nu\pi)} (I_\nu(x) + I_{-\nu}(x)) + a_2 K_\nu(x).$$

It is not possible to define a numerically satisfactory companion to K_ν , which remains finite as $\nu \rightarrow 0$. All the above functions are real-valued and represent the general solution of $\mathcal{W}_{C,\lambda}$ for each related values of parameters, see [15] for more details on the functions $\tilde{H}_\nu^{(1)}$, $\tilde{H}_\nu^{(2)}$, $\tilde{I}_\nu$ and K_ν . The group $H_{\mathcal{W}_C}^\sim$ consists of the transformations $\tilde{x} = c_1 x$, $\tilde{W} = c_2 W$, $\tilde{\lambda} = c_1^{-2} \lambda$, where c_1 and c_2 are nonzero arbitrary constants. Therefore, the arguments of the above cylinder functions can be scaled to x using transformations from $H_{\mathcal{W}_C}^\sim$.

If $\kappa = 0$, then the equation $\mathcal{W}_{C,\lambda}$ degenerates to the Euler equation $x^2 W_{xx} + \epsilon \mu W = 0$. Depending on the value of $\text{sgn}(1 - 4\epsilon\mu)$, -1 , 0 or 1 , its general solution assumes the form

$$\begin{aligned} W(x) &= \sqrt{|x|} (a_1 \cos(|\nu| \ln |x|) + a_2 \sin(|\nu| \ln |x|)), & W(x) &= \sqrt{|x|} (a_1 + a_2 \ln |x|) \quad \text{or} \\ W(x) &= \sqrt{|x|} (a_1 |x|^\nu + a_2 |x|^{-\nu}), \end{aligned}$$

and up to the $H_{\mathcal{W}_C}^\sim$ -equivalence, each of the first two values of W reduces to an element of $\{\sqrt{|x|} \cos(|\nu| \ln |x|)\}$ or $\{\sqrt{|x|}, \sqrt{|x|} \ln |x|\}$, respectively, whereas the last value cannot be simplified. Note that the values $W(x) = |x|^\sigma$ with $\sigma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and $W(x) = \sqrt{|x|} \cos(|\nu| \ln |x|)$ with $\nu \in i\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ give the drifts from Cases 2 and 3 of Theorem 39.

1a. $C(x) = \mu x^{-2} + \epsilon x^2$ with $\mu \neq 0$. The equation $\mathcal{W}_{C,\lambda}$ is $x^2 W_{xx} + (x^4 + \kappa x^2 + \epsilon \mu) W = 0$. As a pair of its real-valued linearly independent solutions, we can take the real and imaginary parts of $|x|^{-1/2} W_{-\frac{1}{4}\kappa i, \frac{1}{2}\nu}(ix^2)$, where $W_{k,m}$ is a Whittaker function, and $\nu \neq 1/2$. If $\nu \in 2\mathbb{N}_0 + 1$, the function $W(x)$ can be represented in terms of regular and irregular Coulomb functions,

$$W(x) = |x|^{-1/2} \left(a_1 F_{\frac{\nu-1}{2}} \left(-\kappa, \frac{1}{2}x^2 \right) + a_2 G_{\frac{\nu-1}{2}} \left(-\kappa, \frac{1}{2}x^2 \right) \right).$$

The group $H_{\mathcal{W}_C}^\sim$, which is constituted by the point transformations $\tilde{x} = \pm x$, $\tilde{W} = c_1 W$, $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda$, where c_1 is a nonzero arbitrary constant, does not allow us to gauge the parameters of W .

1b. $C(x) = \mu x^{-2} - \epsilon x^2$ with $\mu \neq 0$. The equation $\mathcal{W}_{C,\lambda}$ is $x^2 W_{xx} + (-x^4 + \kappa x^2 + \epsilon \mu) W = 0$. Its (real-valued) general solution can be represented in the form

$$W(x) = |x|^{-1/2} (a_1 W_{\frac{1}{4}\kappa, \frac{1}{2}\nu}(x^2) + a_2 W_{-\frac{1}{4}\kappa, \frac{1}{2}\nu}(-x^2))$$

for any value of ν , and $\nu \neq 1/2$. The group $H_{\mathcal{W}_C}^\sim$ is the same as that in Case 1a, and hence no gauging of parameters in W is possible.

2. $C = 0$. The group $H_{\mathcal{W}_C}^\sim$ consists of the point transformations $\tilde{x} = c_1 x + c_2$, $\tilde{W} = c_3 W$, $\tilde{\lambda} = c_1^{-2} \lambda$, where c_1 , c_2 , and c_3 are arbitrary constants with $c_1 c_3 \neq 0$. The general solution of the equation $\mathcal{W}_{C,\lambda}$: $W_{xx} + \kappa W = 0$ is $W(x) = a_1 x + a_2$ if $\kappa = 0$, $W(x) = a_1 e^{\sqrt{-\kappa}x} + a_2 e^{-\sqrt{-\kappa}x}$ if $\kappa < 0$ and $W(x) = a_1 \sin(\sqrt{\kappa}x) + a_2 \cos(\sqrt{\kappa}x)$ if $\kappa > 0$. Up to the $H_{\mathcal{W}_C}^\sim$ -equivalence, each of these values of W reduces to an element of $\{1, x\}$, of $\{e^x, \sinh x, \cosh x\}$ and to $\cos x$, respectively.

2a, 2b. $C(x) = \pm x^2$. These cases are specifications of Cases 1a and 1b for $\nu = 1/2$ with the same group $H_{\mathcal{W}_C}^\sim$.

2c. $C(x) = x$. We replace this potential by the $G_{\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}}^\sim$ -equivalent potential $C(x) = -\epsilon x$. Then the equation $\mathcal{W}_{C,\lambda}$ takes the form $W_{xx} - (x - \kappa)W = 0$, and its general solution is $W(x) = a_1 \text{Ai}(x - \kappa) + a_2 \text{Bi}(x - \kappa)$. Here Ai and Bi are the Airy functions of the first and the second kinds. The group $H_{\mathcal{W}_C}^\sim$ is constituted by the point transformations $\tilde{x} = c_1 x + c_2$, $\tilde{W} = c_3 W$, $\tilde{\lambda} = c_1^{-2} \lambda$, where c_1 , c_2 and c_3 are arbitrary constants with $c_1 c_3 \neq 0$. Therefore, up to the $H_{\mathcal{W}_C}^\sim$ -equivalence, we can set $W(x) = a_1 \text{Ai}(x) + a_2 \text{Bi}(x)$. $\square$

Remark 52. It is evident from the classification procedure that there are additional equivalences between classification cases listed in Table 2, cf. also Theorem 39. More specifically, the Fokker-Planck equations corresponding to Cases 1, 1', 1'', 1a and 1b (resp. Cases 2, 2', 2'', 2a, 2b

and 2c) with the same value of $\nu := \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-4\epsilon\mu}$ (see the proof of Theorem 51) are pairwise $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalent. It is easy to construct transformations realizing the additional equivalences between equations related to classification list. Let $\mathcal{F}_{B_1}^\epsilon = (\Psi_{\lambda_1, W_1})_* \mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{F}_{B_2}^\epsilon = (\Psi_{\lambda_2, W_2})_* \mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ be two such equations, where $C(x) = \mu x^{-2}$ with $\mu \neq 0$ in Cases 1* and $C = 0$ in Cases 2*. Then the transformation $\Phi := \Psi_{\lambda_2, W_2} \circ (\Psi_{\lambda_1, W_1})^{-1}$ maps $\mathcal{F}_{B_1}^\epsilon$ to $\mathcal{F}_{B_2}^\epsilon$, $\mathcal{F}_{B_2}^\epsilon = \Phi_* \mathcal{F}_{B_1}^\epsilon$. The canonical representatives of the corresponding $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalence class are presented in Theorem 39.

Remark 53. Cases 2, 2', 2'', 2a and 2b of Table 2 are counterparts of Cases 1, 1', 1'', 1a and 1b for $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ with further Lie-symmetry extensions (see the proof of Theorem 51) but Case 2c does not admit such an interpretation. It is a consequence of the fact that the set $\mathfrak{t}(s^{-1}(C))$ with $C = 0$ in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$ is wider than the similar set with $C(x) = \mu/x^2$, where $\mu \neq 0$.

5.3 Group classifications of Kolmogorov equations with time-independent coefficients

We only formulate the assertions constituting the theoretical basis of the procedure of classifying reduced Kolmogorov equations with time-independent drifts; their proofs are analogous to those of Lemmas 48–50. For each time-independent potential $C = C(x)$, by $\mathfrak{T}'_C$ and $\mathfrak{K}'_C$ we denote the family of the point transformations mapping the equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ to such Kolmogorov equations and the set of corresponding images, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{T}'_C &= \{ \Phi_{\lambda, W} : \tilde{t} = t, \tilde{x} = x, \tilde{u} = e^{\lambda t} u / W(x) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, W \neq 0 : \epsilon W_{xx} + CW = -\lambda W \}, \\ \mathfrak{K}'_C &:= (\mathfrak{T}'_C)_* \mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon = \{ \mathcal{K}_B^\epsilon \mid B = 2\epsilon W_x / W, W = W(x) \neq 0 : \epsilon W_{xx} + CW = -\lambda W, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 54. (i) Given Kolmogorov equations $\mathcal{K}_B^\epsilon \in \mathfrak{K}'_C$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{B}}^\epsilon$ being $G_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalent to $\mathcal{K}_B^\epsilon$, there exists a function $\tilde{C}$ of x such that the equation $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{C}}^\epsilon$ is $G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalent to the equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$, and $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{B}}^\epsilon \in \mathfrak{K}'_{\tilde{C}}$.

(ii) If $\tilde{C} = \mathcal{T}_* C$ for some $\mathcal{T} \in G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$, then the transformation $\check{\mathcal{T}} \in G_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^\sim$ with $\varpi_* \check{\mathcal{T}} = \varpi_* \mathcal{T}$ and the identity u -component maps $\mathfrak{K}'_C$ onto $\mathfrak{K}'_{\tilde{C}}$, $\mathfrak{K}'_{\tilde{C}} = \check{\mathcal{T}}_* \mathfrak{K}'_C$.

(iii) The Kolmogorov equations $\mathcal{K}_B^\epsilon := (\Phi_{\lambda, W})_* \mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{B}}^\epsilon := (\Phi_{\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{W}})_* \mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ from $\mathfrak{K}'_C$ are $G_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalent if and only if the associated solutions $e^{\lambda t} W(x)$ and $e^{\tilde{\lambda} t} \tilde{W}(x)$ of the equation $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$ are $G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^{\text{ess}} \cap \pi_* G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalent.

The group classification of the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$ up to the $G_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalence reduces to the group classification of the class $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ up to the $G_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalence, and the latter classification is very similar to that done in Theorem 51. Its result can be summarized by Table 2 as well, cf. Theorem 39.

Theorem 55. The essential kernel algebras of the classes $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ and $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$ coincide with $\langle \partial_t, u \partial_u \rangle$. A complete list of $G_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -inequivalent (resp. $G_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -inequivalent) essential Lie-symmetry extensions in the class $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ (resp. the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$) is exhausted by the cases given in Table 2.

6 Conclusion

The mapping method of group classification is an efficient tool for solving group classification problems. Its simplest version is related to similar classes of differential equations, where the respective equivalences are obviously consistent and there is a clear one-to-one correspondence between the associated kernel algebras and between classification lists.

Another simple version of the method is the reduction of the group classification of a class $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ to that of its subclass $\mathcal{L}$ with the help of mapping $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ onto $\mathcal{L}$ by a wide family of admissible transformations from the action groupoid of the equivalence group of $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$. If the stabilizer subgroup

of this group with respect to $\mathcal{L}$ coincides with the equivalence group of $\mathcal{L}$, then any classification list for $\mathcal{L}$ is a classification list for $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$.

In the present paper, we have extended the mapping method of group classification to weakly similar classes. But the weaker a relation between classes is, the less interrelated their group classifications are. Thus, in general there is no relation between the kernel algebras of (genuinely) weakly similar classes. This urged us to reformulate the classical statement of the group classification problem in Section 2.2, replacing the kernel algebra of the class under study by a family of uniform subalgebras of the maximal Lie invariance algebras of systems from this class and thus revisiting the entire framework of group classification of classes of differential equations. Given weakly similar classes $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, each of such families for $\mathcal{L}$ is associated with such a family for $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, and vice versa. We have suggested various techniques within the framework of the mapping method of group classification depending on normalization properties of involved classes of differential equations and on the equivalences to be used in the course of group classification.

Before discussing the obtained results for Kolmogorov and Fokker–Planck equations, we note that the classes in the pairs $(\bar{\mathcal{K}}, \bar{\mathcal{F}})$, $(\mathcal{K}^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}^{-\epsilon})$, $(\bar{\mathcal{K}}', \bar{\mathcal{F}}')$ and $(\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}'^{-\epsilon})$ are the adjoint of each other in the sense that the adjoint of any equation in the first class belongs to the second class, and vice versa. The adjointness leads to an intimate connection between the equivalence groupoids of the first and the second classes, between their equivalence groups as well as between the maximal Lie invariance algebras of equations from these classes, and thus the studies of these objects for the first and the second classes are similar. This is why we have considered in detail only one of the classes in each pair for particular purposes. We follow the same convention in the rest of the conclusion as well.

The fact underlying the application of the mapping method to group classification of the classes $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ up to the $G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}^\sim$ - and $G_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalences, respectively, is that these classes are weakly similar to the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ of heat equations with potentials. Moreover, the group classification of the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ is reduced to the group classification of the class $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ via mapping $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ onto $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ by a wide family of admissible transformations from the action groupoid of $G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}^\sim$. This reduction is particularly convenient since the class $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ is weakly similar to the class $\mathcal{P}^\epsilon$ in a specific way, namely, point transformations establishing the similarity can be chosen to have the identity (t, x) -components. Unfortunately, it appeared that the explicit listing of all Lie-symmetry extension cases in the class $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ up to the $G_{\mathcal{K}^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalence is actually a “no-go” problem because it is equivalent to finding all $G_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon}$ -inequivalent solutions of the heat equations $\mathcal{P}_C^\epsilon$, where C runs through the list from Theorem 37. This claim has additionally been interpreted by means of the group foliation method in Section 4.3. On the other hand, the mapping method allowed us at least to describe the solution of the group classification problems for $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ in Theorem 46.

Although the classification procedures for the classes $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$ are analogous, the mapping method has demonstrated much better results for the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$, which is weakly similar to the class $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon$. More specifically, we have reduced the group classification of the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$ to that of the class $\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon$ via mapping $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$ onto $\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon$ by a wide family of admissible transformations from the action groupoid of the equivalence group $G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}'}^\sim$ of $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$. The class $\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon$ is weakly similar to the class $\mathcal{P}'^\epsilon$ with respect to point transformations with the identity (t, x) -components. While the technical crux of the mapping method for the class $\mathcal{K}^\epsilon$ lies in solving the equations $U_t = \epsilon U_{xx} + C(t, x)U$ for the values of the potential C collected in Theorem 37, for the class $\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon$ the corresponding equations are $\epsilon U_{xx} + (C(x) + \lambda)U = 0$, whose explicit general solutions can be found for all associated potentials and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ in terms of elementary or special functions. This allows us to present an explicit classification list for the class $\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon$ up to the $G_{\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon}^\sim$ -equivalence (resp. for the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$ up to the $G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}'}^\sim$ -equivalence) in Table 2.

However nice this result is, it also indirectly confirms that the difficulty level of problems concerning admissible transformations in the superclass $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ and Lie symmetries of equations therein is too high. Firstly, let us discuss Lie symmetries of equations in $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$. There are only four

(resp. three) families of Lie-symmetry extension cases in the class and $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ (resp. $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$) modulo its equivalence groupoid and they are parameterized by at most one real parameter, but there are already eleven (in an “optimistical” count, which became nineteen in a “pessimistic” count, see Table 2) $G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}'$ -inequivalent Lie-symmetry extension cases in the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$. Furthermore, in some of these cases the corresponding values of arbitrary elements are expressed in terms of special functions and parameterized by up to three real parameters. Recall that arbitrary-element values expressed in terms of special functions arose in [48] as well, where the mapping method was applied to another pair of weakly similar classes. Moreover, $G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}'$ -inequivalent Lie-symmetry extension cases are also $G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}$ -equivalent, and therefore all of them are contained in a classification list of the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ with respect to its equivalence group $G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}$ but this is only a small part of the list. In fact, this list is much wider since Lie-symmetry extension cases with time-dependent drifts are therein as well in view of the weakness of the $G_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}$ -equivalence, and as discussed above, it cannot be presented in an explicit form.

To discuss the classification of admissible transformations of the class $\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon$ (resp. $\bar{\mathcal{K}}'$), let us look first at its counterpart for the class $\mathcal{P}'$ in accordance with the mapping technique. Theorem 18 states that the class $\mathcal{P}'$ possesses the minimal self-consistent generating (up to the $G_{\mathcal{P}'}$ -equivalence and the linear superposition of solutions) set $\mathcal{B}$ of admissible transformations, which consists of three families. The admissible transformations from $\mathcal{B}$ are precisely those that induce all independent additional equivalences between the $G_{\mathcal{P}'}$ -inequivalent Lie-symmetry extension cases in the class $\mathcal{P}'$ that are listed in Table 1. An analogous generating set $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ for the class $\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon$ is constituted by the admissible transformations inducing all independent additional equivalences between the $G_{\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon}$ -inequivalent Lie-symmetry extension cases in the class $\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon$ that are collected in Table 2; cf. Remark 52. The set $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is definitely minimal for the class $\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon$ but, as with Lie symmetries, is significantly more voluminous than its counterpart $\mathcal{B}$. Each element of $\mathcal{B}$ is associated with a family of elements of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Moreover, many elements of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ correspond to admissible identity transformations in $\mathcal{P}'$. It is then clear that no generating set of admissible transformations of the class $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ can be presented in an explicit form.

Justifying our interest in the subject of the paper in the introduction, we have mentioned the class $\mathcal{L}$ of reaction–diffusion equations $\mathcal{L}_{c,g}$: $u_t = cu_x^{-2}u_{xx} + g(u)$ with $c \neq 0$, which was under study in [36]. Using results obtained in the present paper, we can solve the group classification problem for the class $\mathcal{L}$ up to the $G_{\mathcal{L}}$ -equivalence. More specifically, we can translate the group classification list for the class $\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon$ that is given in Table 2 to that for the class $\mathcal{L}$. Thus, the constant arbitrary element c of $\mathcal{L}$ can be gauged to ϵ by scaling equivalence transformations of $\mathcal{L}$, and the gauged subclass of equations with $c = \epsilon$ is similar to the class $\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon$ via the hodograph transformation $\tilde{t} = t$, $\tilde{x} = u$, $\tilde{u} = x$, $g = -B$. The Lie symmetries of equations from the class $\mathcal{L}$ should be classified within the revisited framework of group classification, see Section 2.2. The uniform family $\{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon}^{\text{lin}} \mid B_t = 0\}$ of subalgebras of the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{K}'^\epsilon}$ that are related to the linear superposition of solutions is mapped by the hodograph transformation onto the uniform family of subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon,g}}^{\text{unf}} = \langle f(t, u)\partial_x \rangle$ of the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon,g}}$, where for each fixed value of the arbitrary element g , the parameter function $f = f(t, u)$ runs through the solution set of the equation $f_t = \epsilon f_{uu} - g(u)f_u$. A complete list of $G_{\mathcal{L}}$ -inequivalent essential Lie-symmetry extensions in $\mathcal{L}$ is given by the modification of Table 2 with $g = -B$ and permuted x and u .

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the anonymous reviewer for valuable remarks. The research of ROP was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), projects P28770 and P33594, while SO acknowledges the support of NSERC. Also ROP expresses his gratitude to Memorial University of Newfoundland for hospitality during his stay in St. John’s, while SO to the University of Vienna.

References

- [1] Abramowitz M. and Stegun I., *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1964.
- [2] An I., Chen S. and Guo H., Search for the symmetry of the Fokker–Planck equation, *Phys. A* **128** (1984), 520–528.
- [3] Anco S., Ali S. and Wolf T., Exact solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations by the method of group foliation reduction, *SIGMA* **7** (2011), 066, [arXiv:1105.5303](#).
- [4] Basarab-Horwath P., Lahno V. and Zhdanov R., The structure of Lie algebras and the classification problem for partial differential equations, *Acta Appl. Math.* **69** (2001), 43–94, [arxiv:math-ph/0005013](#).
- [5] Bihlo A., Dos Santos Cardoso-Bihlo E. and Popovych R.O., Complete group classification of a class of nonlinear wave equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **53** (2012), 123515, [arXiv:1106.4801](#).
- [6] Bihlo A., Poltavets N. and Popovych R.O., Lie symmetries of two-dimensional shallow water equations with variable bottom topography, *Chaos* **30** (2020), 073132, [arXiv:1911.02097](#).
- [7] Bihlo A. and Popovych R.O., Zeroth-order conservation laws of two-dimensional shallow water equations with variable bottom topography, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **145** (2020), 291–321, [arXiv:1912.11468](#).
- [8] Bluman G., On the transformation of diffusion processes into the Wiener process, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **39** (1980), 238–247.
- [9] Bluman G. and Shtelen V., Nonlocal transformations of Kolmogorov equations into the backward heat equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **291** (2004), 419–437.
- [10] Bluman G.W. and Yüzbaşı Z.K., How symmetries yield non-invertible mappings of linear partial differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **491** (2020), 124354.
- [11] Boyko V. and Lokazyuk O. and Popovych R.O., Admissible transformations and Lie symmetries of linear systems of second-order ordinary differential equations, [arXiv:2105.05139](#), 49 pp.
- [12] Cherkasov I.D., On the transformation of the diffusion process to a Wiener process, *Theory Probab. Appl.* **2** (1957), 373–377.
- [13] Cicogna G. and Vitali D., Classification of the extended symmetries of Fokker–Planck equations, *J. Phys. A* **23** (1990), L85–L88.
- [14] Dos Santos Cardoso-Bihlo E. M. and Bihlo A. and Popovych R. O. Enhanced preliminary group classification of a class of generalized diffusion equations, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **16** (2011), 3622–3638, [arXiv:1012.0297](#).
- [15] Dunster T.M., Bessel functions of purely imaginary order, with an application to second-order linear differential equations having a large parameter, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **21** (1990), 995–1018.
- [16] Feller W., *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*, John Wiley & Sons, New York – London – Sydney, 1968.
- [17] Fels M. and Olver P. J., Moving coframes. II. Regularization and theoretical foundations, *Acta Appl. Math.* **55** (1999), 127–208.
- [18] Gazeau J.P. and Winternitz P., Symmetries of variable coefficient Korteweg–de Vries equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **33** (1992), 4087–4102.
- [19] Güngör F., Equivalence and symmetries for variable coefficient linear heat type equations. I, *J. Math. Phys.* **59** (2018), 051507, [arXiv:1501.01481](#).
- [20] Güngör F., Lahno V.I. and Zhdanov R.Z., Symmetry classification of KdV-type nonlinear evolution equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **45** (2004), 2280–2313, [arXiv:nlin/0201063](#).
- [21] Ivanova N.M., Popovych R.O. and Sophocleous C., Group analysis of variable coefficient diffusion-convection equations. I. Enhanced group classification, *Lobachevskii J. Math.* **31** (2010), 100–122, [arXiv:0710.2731](#).
- [22] Johnpillai I.K. and Mahomed F.M., Singular invariant equation for the (1+1) Fokker–Planck equation, *J. Phys. A* **34** (2001), 11033–11051.
- [23] Kamke E., *Differentialgleichungen. Lösungsmethoden und Lösungen. I: Gewöhnliche Differentialgleichungen*, B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1977.
- [24] Kozlov R., On symmetries of the Fokker–Planck equation, *J. Engrg. Math.* **82** (2013), 39–57.
- [25] Kurujibwami C. and Basarab-Horwath P. and Popovych, R., Algebraic method for group classification of (1+1)-dimensional linear Schrödinger equations, *Acta Appl. Math.*, **157** (2018), 171–203, [arXiv:1607.04118](#).
- [26] Kurujibwami C. and Popovych R.O., Equivalence groupoids and group classification of multidimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **491** (2020), 124271, [arXiv:2003.02781](#).

- [27] Lie S., Über die Integration durch bestimmte Integrale von einer Klasse linear partieller Differentialgleichungen, *Arch. for Math.* **6** (1881), 328–368; translation by N.H. Ibragimov: Lie S. On integration of a class of linear partial differential equations by means of definite integrals, in *CRC Handbook of Lie group analysis of differential equations*, vol. 2, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995, pp. 473–508.
- [28] Martina L., Sheftel M. and Winternitz P., Group foliation and non-invariant solutions of the heavenly equation, *J. Phys. A* **34** (2001), 9243–9263, [arXiv:math-ph/0108004](#).
- [29] Matveev V.B. and Salle M.A., *Darboux transformations and solitons*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [30] Miyadzawa T., Theory of the one-variable Fokker–Planck equation, *Phys. Rev. A* **39** (1989), 1447–1468.
- [31] Morozov O., Contact equivalence problem for linear parabolic equations, 2003, [arXiv:math-ph/0304045](#).
- [32] Nikitin A.G. and Popovych R.O., Group classification of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Ukrainian Math. J.* **53** (2001), 1255–1265, [arXiv:math-ph/0301009](#).
- [33] Opanasenko S., Equivalence groupoid of a class of general Burgers–Korteweg–de Vries equations with space-dependent coefficients, in *Collection of Works of Institute of Mathematics* **16** (2019), no. 1, 131–154, [arXiv:1909.00036](#).
- [34] Opanasenko S., Bihlo A. and Popovych R.O., Group analysis of general Burgers–Korteweg–de Vries equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **58** (2017), 081511, [arXiv:1703.06932](#).
- [35] Opanasenko, S. and Bihlo, A. and Popovych, R. O., Equivalence groupoid of variable-coefficient Burgers equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **491** (2020), 124215, [arXiv:1910.13500](#).
- [36] Opanasenko S., Boyko V. and Popovych R.O., Enhanced group classification of reaction–diffusion equations with gradient-dependent diffusion, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **484** (2020), 123739, [arXiv:1804.08776](#).
- [37] Ovsiannikov L.V., *Group analysis of differential equations*, Academic Press, New York – London, 1982.
- [38] Poheketa O. and Popovych R.O., Extended symmetry analysis of generalized Burgers equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **58** (2017), 101501, [arXiv:1603.09377](#).
- [39] Popovych R. O. and Bihlo A., Symmetry preserving parameterization schemes, *J. Math. Phys.* **53** (2010), 073102, [arXiv:1010.3010](#).
- [40] Popovych R.O. and Ivanova N.M., Potential equivalence transformations for nonlinear diffusion-convection equations, *J. Phys. A* **38** (2005), 3145–3155, [arXiv:math-ph/0402066](#).
- [41] Popovych R.O., Kunzinger M. and Eshraghi H., Admissible transformations and normalized classes of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Acta Appl. Math.* **109** (2010), 315–359, [arXiv:math-ph/0611061](#).
- [42] Popovych R.O., Kunzinger M. and Ivanova N.M., Conservation laws and potential symmetries of linear parabolic equations, *Acta Appl. Math.* **100** (2008), 113–185, [arXiv:0706.0443](#).
- [43] Risken H., *The Fokker–Planck equation. Methods of solution and applications*, Springer Series in Synergetics, vol. 18, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [44] Sastri C. and Dunn K., Lie symmetries of some equations of the Fokker–Planck type, *J. Math. Phys.* **26** (1985), 3042–3047.
- [45] Shtelen W. and Stogny V., Symmetry properties of one- and two-dimensional Fokker–Planck equations, *J. Phys. A* **22** (1989), L539–L543.
- [46] Spichak S. and Stogny V., Symmetry classification and exact solutions of the one-dimensional Fokker–Planck equation with arbitrary coefficients of drift and diffusion, *J. Phys. A* **32** (1999), 8341–8353.
- [47] Vaneeva O., Bihlo A. and Popovych R., Generalization of the algebraic method of group classification with application to nonlinear wave and elliptic equations, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **91** (2020), 105419, [arXiv:2002.08939](#).
- [48] Vaneeva O.O., Popovych R.O. and Sophocleous C., Enhanced group analysis and exact solutions of variable coefficient semilinear diffusion equations with a power source, *Acta Appl. Math.* **106** (2009), 1–46, [arXiv:0708.3457](#).
- [49] Vaneeva O. and Pošta S., Equivalence groupoid of a class of variable coefficient Korteweg–de Vries equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **58** (2017), 101504, [arXiv:1604.06880](#).