

Cohomology and deformations of Jacobi-Jordan algebras

Amir Baklouti¹, Saïd Benayadi²,
Abdenacer Makhlouf³, Sabeur Mansour⁴,

^{1,4}Umm Al-Qura University, College of first Common year, Department of mathematics, P.O. Box 14035,
Holly Makkah 21955, Saudi Arabia

² Université de Lorraine, Laboratoire IECL, CNRS UMR 7502, UFR MIM, 3 rue Augustin Frenel BP 45112,
F-57112 Metz Cedex 03, France

³ Université de Haute Alsace, IRIMAS - Département de Mathématiques, 6 rue des frères Lumière
F-68093 Mulhouse, France

Abstract

In this paper, we define and develop a cohomology and deformation theories of Jacobi-Jordan algebras. We construct a cohomology based on two operators, called zigzag cohomology, and detail the low degree cohomology spaces. We describe the relationships between first and second cohomology groups with extensions and deformations. Moreover, we consider cohomology properties of pseudo-euclidean Jacobi-Jordan algebras and provide a deformation theory that fits with our zigzag cohomology of Jacobi-Jordan algebras. Furthermore, the paper includes several examples and applications.

Keywords: Jacobi-Jordan algebra, Cohomology, Deformation, Extension.
MSC[2020] 17C50, 16W10, 17B56

1 Introduction

Cohomology theories of associative and nonassociative algebras have been extensively studied. In the context of Jordan algebras the results are relatively scarce. Most of the known results focus on the theory of Lie algebras, see [12, 13, 16, 21, 25]. In this paper we consider a class of commutative non-associative algebras that satisfy the Jacobi identity instead of associativity, which are called Jacobi-Jordan algebras. It turns out that they are a special class of Jordan algebras. The set of these algebras is a subclass of a class of Jordan-Lie superalgebras introduced in [20]. For more details about these algebras see [5] and [8].

Our main upshot in this work is developing a cohomology and deformation theory for Jacobi-Jordan algebras analogous to the existing theories for associative and Lie algebras. Notice that there is no general cohomology for Jordan algebras, even though there is some attempts, see [9, 10, 17, 18]. However, we introduce a cohomology that has several properties not enjoyed by the Hochschild theory. The complex provided here is defined by two sequences of operators d^i and δ^i which satisfy $d^p \delta^{p-1} = 0$ for any integer p . We denote by ${}_z Z^p(\mathfrak{J}, M)$ the kernel of d and by ${}_z B^p(\mathfrak{J}, M)$ the image of δ and by ${}_z H^p(\mathfrak{J}, M) := {}_z Z^p(\mathfrak{J}, M) / {}_z B^p(\mathfrak{J}, M)$ the p^{th} cohomology space of \mathfrak{J} with values/coefficients in Jacobi-Jordan \mathfrak{J} -module M . We obtain that ${}_z H^0(\mathfrak{J}, M)$ is the annihilator of \mathfrak{J} and ${}_z H^1(\mathfrak{J}, M)$ corresponds to derivations. Moreover, the second group of cohomology classifies the extension of \mathfrak{J} by a module M . Furthermore, we show that this cohomology controls one parameter formal deformations. We also explore cohomology properties of pseudo-euclidean Jacobi-Jordan algebras.

The outline of the paper is as follows: In the second section we give some basic notions and concepts used through the paper, namely some properties of Jacobi-Jordan algebras and their representations. In Section 3, we introduce a cohomology for Jacobi-Jordan algebras. It is called zigzag cohomology since it deals with two types of cochains and two sequences of operators. We explore the low degree cohomology spaces and extensions. Moreover, explicit computation on examples are provided. In Section 4, we study scalar zigzag cohomology of pseudo-Euclidean Jacobi-Jordan algebras, which are Jacobi-Jordan algebras endowed with an associative nondegenerate, symmetric bilinear forms. We characterize the second cohomology group in terms of derivations and antiderivations. Moreover, we provide an exact sequence involving the third cohomology group, from which we deduce some dimension results. In Section 5, we study one-parameter formal deformations of Jacobi-Jordan algebras and show that the zigzag cohomology fits perfectly and provide the expected results, that is the infinitesimal deformations are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of the second zigzag cohomology group. Section 6 deals with deformations of Jacobi-Jordan algebra homomorphisms with similar results. We prove that infinitesimal deformations of a Jacobi-Jordan algebra homomorphism from \mathfrak{J} into an admissible Jacobi-Jordan algebra M are classified by the first cohomology group ${}_z H^1(\mathfrak{J}, A)$. The last section is devoted to an explicit computation of the second zigzag cohomology group of a given 4-dimensional Jacobi-Jordan algebra. Moreover, we provide an example of deformation of the considered Jacobi-Jordan algebra and show that this deformation is isomorphic to another Jacobi-Jordan algebra which is given in the 4-dimensional classification, see [8].

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some notations and develop some necessary tools. For general results about Jacobi-Jordan algebras and their applications see for instance [5] and [8].

In the sequel, we work over a field \mathbb{K} of characteristic 0. We refer to a nonassociative algebra or simply an algebra as a pair (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) consisting of a \mathbb{K} -vector space \mathcal{A} and a bilinear map $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}; (x, y) \mapsto xy$.

Definition 1. A Jacobi-Jordan algebra is a pair (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) consisting of a vector space \mathfrak{J} and a

bilinear map $\mathfrak{J} \otimes \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}$; $(x, y) \mapsto xy$, satisfying the identities

- (i) $xy = yx$,
- (ii) $J(x, y, z) := x(yz) + y(zx) + z(xy) = 0, \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{J}$.

Example 1. Let $\mathfrak{J}_4 = (\mathbb{K}^4, \cdot)$ be the Jacobi-Jordan algebra defined and denoted by $A_{1,4}$ in [8, Proposition 3.4]. It is defined with respect to a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ by $e_1 \cdot e_1 = e_2, e_1 \cdot e_3 = e_4$. In the sequel we refer to this Jacobi-Jordan algebra and its underlying vector space by \mathfrak{J}_4 and use concatenation for the product. This example will be used in the sequel to illustrate the low degree cohomology of Jacobi-Jordan algebras and we refer to it by \mathfrak{J}_4 .

Definition 2. Let \mathfrak{J} be an algebra. We consider the new product $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ defined on the vector space \mathfrak{J} by:

$$\{x, y\} := xy + yx, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{J}.$$

The algebra \mathfrak{J} is called Jacobi-Jordan admissible algebra if $(\mathfrak{J}, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ is a Jacobi-Jordan algebra.

Definition 3. Let (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) be a nonassociative algebra. The anti-associator of this algebra is the trilinear map $\text{Aasso} : \mathfrak{J} \times \mathfrak{J} \times \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}$ defined by: $\text{Aasso}(x, y, z) := (x \cdot y) \cdot z + x \cdot (y \cdot z), \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{J}$.

We say that (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) is an anti-associative algebra if $\text{Aasso}(x, y, z) = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{J}$.

Proposition 1. *Let (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) be an anti-associative algebra. Define a new product \circ in \mathfrak{J} by $x \circ y := x \cdot y + y \cdot x, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{J}$. Then (\mathfrak{J}, \circ) is a Jacobi-Jordan algebra. Hence anti-associative algebras are admissible Jacobi-Jordan algebras.*

Straightforward computation.

Definition 4. A nonassociative algebra (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) is a Jordan algebra if it is commutative and if

$$x \cdot (x^2 \cdot y) = x^2 \cdot (x \cdot y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{J}. \quad (2.1)$$

Remark 1. It is shown in [24] that equation (2.1) is equivalent to the following equation:

$$x((yz)t) + y((zx)t) + z((xy)t) = (xy)(zt) + (yz)(xt) + (zx)(yt),$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{J}$.

For every elements a_1, \dots, a_n of a commutative nonassociative algebra \mathfrak{J} , (where $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$), and for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we define $a^i := a_1$ if $i = 1$ and $a^i := a^{i-1} \cdot a_i$ if $i \geq 2$. We note $a^i =: p_i(a_1, \dots, a_i)$. If $a_1 = \dots = a_n = a$, $a^n := p_n(a)$. An element a of \mathfrak{J} is called nilpotent if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $a^n = 0$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, \mathfrak{J}^n is the set of all finite sums of product $p_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ of n elements in \mathfrak{J} . The algebra (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) is called nilpotent if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\mathfrak{J}^n = \{0\}$. If all elements of (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) are nilpotent, (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) is called a nilalgebra. Recall that A. A. Albert proved that all finite dimensional Jordan nilalgebras over a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ are nilpotent (see [24], Theorem 4.3 page 96).

Now, we recall an interesting lemma (Lemma 1 in [3]).

Lemma 1. *Let (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) be a commutative algebra over a field of characteristic $\neq 2$. If $x^3 = 0$, $\forall x \in \mathfrak{J}$, then (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) is a Jordan algebra.*

Remark that if (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) is a Jacobi-Jordan algebra, then $x^3 = 0$, $\forall x \in \mathfrak{J}$. Using this lemma and the Albert's result above, the following proposition was proved in [5]:

Proposition 2. *Every Jacobi-Jordan algebra (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) is a nilpotent Jordan algebra such that $x^3 = 0$, $\forall x \in \mathfrak{J}$.*

In ([8], Lemma 2.4), we found a nice characterization of Jacobi-Jordan algebras: (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) is a Jacobi-Jordan algebra if and only if (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) is a commutative algebra such that $x^3 = 0$, $\forall x \in \mathfrak{J}$.

Now, we shall define modules and representations of a Jacobi-Jordan algebra as in [1]. These definitions can be found also in [19] for Jordan algebras.

Definition 5. Let \mathfrak{J} be a Jacobi-Jordan algebra. A (left) Jacobi-Jordan \mathfrak{J} -module (Jacobi-Jordan \mathfrak{J} -module, for short) is a vector space M equipped with a bilinear map $\star : \mathfrak{J} \times M \longrightarrow M$, called action, such that for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{J}$ and $a \in M$:

$$(xy) \star a = -x \star (y \star a) - y \star (x \star a). \quad (2.2)$$

Definition 6. Let (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) be a Jacobi-Jordan algebra and M be a vector space. A linear map $\pi : \mathfrak{J} \longrightarrow \text{End}(M)$ is said to be a representation of the Jacobi-Jordan algebra if

$$\pi(xy) = -\pi(x)\pi(y) - \pi(y)\pi(x), \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{J}. \quad (2.3)$$

Remark 2. If we view the Jacobi-Jordan algebra as a Jordan algebra, one may use the definition of representations introduced by Jacobson. It turns out that if we replace π by $-\pi$ we get Jacobson's representations satisfying the identity

$$\pi(xy) = \pi(x)\pi(y) + \pi(y)\pi(x), \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{J}. \quad (2.4)$$

Notice that representations were also considered in [1, 5, 10].

Representations of a Jacobi-Jordan algebra \mathfrak{J} and Jacobi-Jordan \mathfrak{J} -modules are two different ways of describing the same structure: more precisely, there exists an isomorphism of categories between the category of the \mathfrak{J} -modules and the category of representations of \mathfrak{J} .

The one-to-one correspondence between Jacobi-Jordan \mathfrak{J} -module structures \star on M and representations π of \mathfrak{J} on M is given by the two-sided formula $\pi(x)(a) := x \star a$, for all $x \in \mathfrak{J}$ and $a \in M$. Furthermore, it was proved in [5] that (π, M) is a representation of \mathfrak{J} if and only if the vector space $\tilde{\mathfrak{J}} = \mathfrak{J} \oplus M$ endowed with the following product:

$$(x + v)(y + w) = xy + \pi(x)w + \pi(y)v, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{J}, v, w \in M,$$

is a Jacobi-Jordan algebra.

Definition 7. Let \mathfrak{J} be an algebra and let $D \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{J})$.

1. D is called derivation of the algebra (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) if

$$D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{J}.$$

2. D is called anti-derivation of the algebra (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) if

$$D(xy) = -D(x)y - xD(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{J}.$$

Recall that $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{J})$ endowed with the commutator is a Lie algebra denoted by $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{J})$. Denote by $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{J})$ the vector space of derivations of the algebra (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) and $\text{ADer}(\mathfrak{J})$ the vector space of anti-derivations of the algebra (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) .

Recall the following result [5, Remark 2.2].

Proposition 3. *Let \mathfrak{J} be a Jacobi-Jordan algebra.*

1. *For all $x \in \mathfrak{J}$, $L_x : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}$, defined by $L_x(y) := xy$, $\forall y \in \mathfrak{J}$, belongs to $\text{ADer}(\mathfrak{J})$.*
2. *If $D, D' \in \text{ADer}(\mathfrak{J})$, the anti-commutator $\{D, D'\} := DD' + D'D$, of D and D' , is an anti-derivation of (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) if and only if*

$$\{D, D'\}(xy) = D(x)D'(y) + D'(x)D(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{J}.$$

3 Zigzag Cohomology of Jacobi-Jordan algebras

In this section, we develop a cohomology theory for Jacobi-Jordan algebras. The first problem is to define the differential operators and then define the first and second cohomology groups. After that we give interpretations of these groups.

3.1 Definition of a zigzag Cohomology

We first single out the following definition:

Definition 8. Let \mathfrak{J} and M be two vector spaces. An n -linear map $f : \underbrace{\mathfrak{J} \times \mathfrak{J} \dots \times \mathfrak{J}}_{n \text{ times}} \rightarrow M$, is said to be:

- a) symmetric if:

$$f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ for all } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n,$$

- b) skew-symmetric if:

$$f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = \text{sign}(\sigma)f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ for all } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n,$$

where \mathfrak{S}_n is the group of permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of n -linear maps is denoted by $L^n(\mathfrak{J}, M)$. The set of symmetric (resp. skew-symmetric) n -linear maps is denoted by $S^n(\mathfrak{J}, M)$ (resp. $A^n(\mathfrak{J}, M)$). Moreover, we set $L^0(\mathfrak{J}, M) = S^0(\mathfrak{J}, M) = A^0(\mathfrak{J}, M) = M$.

Now, let \mathfrak{J} be a Jacobi-Jordan algebra over a field \mathbb{K} of characteristic zero. Let M be a \mathfrak{J} -module and $\pi : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \text{End}(M)$ be a representation of \mathfrak{J} in M . Define the two following sequences of operators $(d^n)_n$ and $(\delta^n)_n$:

$$0 \longrightarrow L^0(\mathfrak{J}, M) \xrightarrow{d^0} L^1(\mathfrak{J}, M) \xrightarrow{d^1} L^2(\mathfrak{J}, M) \xrightarrow{d^2} L^3(\mathfrak{J}, M) \xrightarrow{d^3} \dots L^n(\mathfrak{J}, M) \xrightarrow{d^n} L^{n+1}(\mathfrak{J}, M) \longrightarrow \dots,$$

$$\delta^n : A^n(\mathfrak{J}, M) \longrightarrow L^{n+1}(\mathfrak{J}, M)$$

by

$$d^0(m)(x) = \delta^0(m)(x) := \pi(x)m,$$

$$d^p(c)(x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \pi(x_i) c(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{p+1}) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+1} c(x_i x_j, x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_{p+1}),$$

and

$$\delta^p(c)(x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \pi(x_i) c(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{p+1}) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+1} c(x_i x_j, x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_{p+1}),$$

Theorem 1. For $p \geq 1$, we have $d^p \circ \delta^{p-1} = 0$.

Proof. Let c be a skew-symmetric $(p-1)$ -linear map in $A^{p-1}(\mathfrak{J}, M)$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} d^p \circ \delta^{p-1} c(x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}) &= \\ \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \pi(x_i) \delta^{p-1} c(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{p+1}) &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+1} \delta^{p-1} c(x_i x_j, x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_{p+1}). \end{aligned}$$

The first sum of the right hand side:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \pi(x_i) \delta^{p-1} c(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{p+1}) &= \\ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+1} \left(\pi(x_i) \pi(x_j) + \pi(x_j) \pi(x_i) \right) c(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_{p+1}) & \\ - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j, k \leq p+1 \\ j \neq i, k \neq i, j < k}} \pi(x_i) c(x_j x_k, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, \widehat{x}_k, \dots, x_{p+1}). & \end{aligned}$$

The second sum of the right hand side:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+1} \delta^{p-1} c(x_i x_j, x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, \widehat{x_j}, \dots, x_{p+1}) = \\
& \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+1} \pi(x_i x_j) c(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, \widehat{x_j}, \dots, x_{p+1}) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j, k \leq p+1 \\ i \neq j, i \neq k, j < k}} \pi(x_i) c(x_j x_k, x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, \widehat{x_j}, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, x_{p+1}) \\
& - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq p+1 \\ \{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = \emptyset, i < j, k < l}} c(x_i x_j, x_k x_l, x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, \widehat{x_j}, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, \widehat{x_l}, \dots, x_{p+1}) \\
& - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j, k \leq p+1 \\ i < j, k \neq i, k \neq j}} c((x_i x_j) x_k, x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, \widehat{x_j}, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, x_{p+1}) \\
& = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+1} \pi(x_i x_j) c(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, \widehat{x_j}, \dots, x_{p+1}) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j, k \leq p+1 \\ j, k \neq i, j < k}} \pi(x_i) c(x_j x_k, x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, \widehat{x_j}, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, x_{p+1}),
\end{aligned}$$

since c is skew-symmetric and by using Jacobi identity. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
d^p \circ \delta^{p-1} c(x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}) &= \\
\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+1} \left(\pi(x_i) \pi(x_j) + \pi(x_j) \pi(x_i) + \pi(x_i x_j) \right) c(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, \widehat{x_j}, \dots, x_{p+1}) &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

because π is a representation. □

Notation 1. We denote by ${}_Z Z^p(\mathfrak{J}, M) := \ker(d^p)$ the space of p -cocycles, and by ${}_Z B^p(\mathfrak{J}, M) := \{c \in L^p(\mathfrak{J}, M); \exists c' \in A^{p-1}(\mathfrak{J}, M) : c = \delta^{p-1} c'\}$ the space of p -coboundaries. Then we define the p^{th} cohomology space of \mathfrak{J} with values/coefficients in M as the quotient

$${}_Z H^p(\mathfrak{J}, M) := {}_Z Z^p(\mathfrak{J}, M) / {}_Z B^p(\mathfrak{J}, M).$$

3.2 Low degree cohomology spaces and Extensions

An algebraic motivation is the interpretation of the low degree cohomology spaces in terms of algebraic properties of the Jacobi-Jordan algebra, which makes the cohomology spaces interesting invariant to compute. In degree zero we have:

$${}_Z H^0(\mathfrak{J}, M) = {}_Z Z^0(\mathfrak{J}, M) = \{m \in M; d^0 m = 0\} = \{m \in M; \forall x \in \mathfrak{J}; \pi(x)m = 0\}.$$

A particular case is here $M = \mathfrak{J}$ with the adjoint action. The quotient space is then

$${}_Z H^0(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{J}) = \text{Ann}(\mathfrak{J}),$$

the annihilator of \mathfrak{J} .

Now, we shall investigate the first group of cohomology. Let ${}_Z Z^1(\mathfrak{J}, M)$ be the module of all linear maps f from \mathfrak{J} to M such that

$$\pi(x)f(y) + \pi(y)f(x) + f(xy) = 0, \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{J}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{J}$. Let ${}_Z B^1(\mathfrak{J}, M)$ be all those f such that there is an element m belonging to M with $f(x) = \pi(x)m$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{J}$.

$${}_Z H^1(\mathfrak{J}, M) = {}_Z Z^1(\mathfrak{J}, M) / {}_Z B^1(\mathfrak{J}, M) = \text{ADer}(\mathfrak{J}, M) / \text{PDer}(\mathfrak{J}, M),$$

with

$$\text{ADer}(\mathfrak{J}, M) := \{f \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{J}, M); \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{J} : f(xy) = -\pi(x)f(y) - \pi(y)f(x)\},$$

and

$$\text{PDer}(\mathfrak{J}, M) := \{f \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{J}, M); \exists m \in M; \forall x \in \mathfrak{J} : f(x) = \pi(x)m\}.$$

One of the most important case is where $M = \mathfrak{J}$ with the adjoint action (L) defined by $L_x(y) = xy$, $x, y \in \mathfrak{J}$. In this case, we use the following notation $\text{ADer}(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{J}) = \text{ADer}(\mathfrak{J})$, defining the linear space of (all) antiderivations of \mathfrak{J} , and $\text{IADer}(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{J}) := \text{IADer}(\mathfrak{J})$, the subspace of inner derivations, i.e. $\text{IADer}(\mathfrak{J}) := \{L_x; x \in \mathfrak{J}\}$. Indeed, Proposition 3 says that for all x in \mathfrak{J} , $L_x : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}$ defined by $L_x(y) = xy$, $\forall y \in \mathfrak{J}$ is an antiderivation. The quotient space is then ${}_Z H^1(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{J}) = \text{OADer}(\mathfrak{J})$, the space of outer antiderivations of \mathfrak{J} .

Another very important case is $M = \mathbb{K}$ with the trivial action. Here

$${}_Z H^1(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{K}) = \{f \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{K}); \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{J} : f(xy) = 0\} / \{0\} = (\mathfrak{J}/\mathfrak{J}^2)^*.$$

Example 2 (Computation of ${}_Z H^1(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})$). Let h be a linear form of \mathfrak{J}_4 . By easy computation we can prove that h belongs to ${}_Z H^1(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K}) = \{f \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K}); \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{J}_4 : f(xy) = 0\}$ if and only if the matrix of h with respect to the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$. Thus, ${}_Z H^1(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})$ is two dimensional. Moreover, ${}_Z H^1(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})$ is spanned by $\{h_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, h_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\}$.

Example 3 (Computation of ${}_Z H^1(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathfrak{J}_4)$). We next investigate the first group of cohomology of \mathfrak{J}_4 with the adjoint representation. We find the dimension and generators of ${}_Z H^1(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathfrak{J}_4)$.

An endomorphism D on the previous algebra \mathfrak{J}_4 is an antiderivation if and only if the matrix of D on the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & -2\alpha_1 & \alpha_3 & 0 \\ \alpha_4 & 0 & \alpha_5 & 0 \\ \alpha_6 & \alpha_4 & \alpha_7 & -\alpha_1 - \alpha_5 \end{pmatrix},$$

where, $(\alpha_i)_{\{1 \leq i \leq 7\}}$ are scalar.

The matrix of an inner antiderivation $L_x : \mathfrak{J}_4 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{J}_4$ defined by $L_x(y) := xy; \forall y \in \mathfrak{J}_4$ has the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 & a & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where a and b are scalar. Thus, the dimension of ${}_z H^1(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathfrak{J}_4)$ is 5 and elements of ${}_z H^1(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})$ can be written as the classes of the following matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & -2\alpha_1 & \alpha_3 & 0 \\ \alpha_4 & 0 & \alpha_5 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_4 & 0 & -\alpha_1 - \alpha_5 \end{pmatrix},$$

where, $(\alpha_i)_{\{1 \leq i \leq 5\}}$ are scalar.

Now, we deal with the relationship between equivalence classes of abelian extensions and the second cohomology groups.

Definition 9. A short exact sequence of Jacobi-Jordan algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} \mathfrak{J} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (3.1)$$

is called an abelian extension of \mathfrak{J} by M in case $(i(M))^2 = \{0\}$, where $i : M \longrightarrow E$ is the inclusion map and $p : E \longrightarrow \mathfrak{J}$ the projection. As a vector space, $E = M \oplus \mathfrak{J}$ and the subspace M is obviously an \mathfrak{J} -module, the Jacobi-Jordan algebra structure on E being given by:

$$(a \oplus x)(b \oplus y) = (\pi(x)b + \pi(y)a + c(x, y) \oplus xy).$$

where $a, b \in M, x, y \in \mathfrak{J}$ and $c : \mathfrak{J} \times \mathfrak{J} \longrightarrow M$ is a bilinear map. Such a Jacobi-Jordan algebra is denoted by E_c .

Definition 10. (1) Two abelian extensions E_c and $E_{c'}$ of \mathfrak{J} by M are called equivalent in case the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{i} & E_c & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathfrak{J} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow id_M & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow id_{\mathfrak{J}} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{i} & E_{c'} & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathfrak{J} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

In this case, φ is necessarily an isomorphism. Denote by $Ext(\mathfrak{J}, M)$ the set (actually an abelian group) of equivalence classes of abelian extensions of \mathfrak{J} by M .

- (2) An extension (3.1) is called trivial if it is equivalent to the extension (3.2) with $c = 0$, that is the Jacobi-Jordan algebra $E_0 = M \rtimes \mathfrak{J}$ is the semi-direct product of \mathfrak{J} by M . If such an isomorphism does not exist, then the extension is called non-trivial.

- (3) An extension (3.1) of a Jacobi-Jordan algebra is called central extension if the module M is trivial, i.e., if the \mathfrak{J} -action on M is identically zero. In this case, M belongs to the annihilator of E .

Notation 2. Hereafter we denote by:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_zZ_a^n(\mathfrak{J}, M) &= {}_zZ^n(\mathfrak{J}, M) \bigcap A^n(\mathfrak{J}, M). \\ {}_zZ_s^n(\mathfrak{J}, M) &= {}_zZ^n(\mathfrak{J}, M) \bigcap S^n(\mathfrak{J}, M). \\ {}_zH_s^2(\mathfrak{J}, M) &:= ({}_zZ_s^2(\mathfrak{J}, M) / {}_zB^2(\mathfrak{J}, M)). \\ {}_zH_s^3(\mathfrak{J}, M) &:= ({}_zZ_s^3(\mathfrak{J}, M) / {}_zB^3(\mathfrak{J}, M)). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4. Let \mathfrak{J} be a Jacobi-Jordan algebra and (π, M) be a representation of \mathfrak{J} . Consider

$$C(M) = \{m \in M; \pi(x)m = 0, \forall x \in \mathfrak{J}\}.$$

If $C(M) = \{0\}$, then ${}_zH^2(\mathfrak{J}, M) = {}_zH_s^2(\mathfrak{J}, M)$.

Proof. Let $c \in {}_zZ^2(\mathfrak{J}, M)$. Then, there exist $c_s \in S^2(\mathfrak{J})$ and $c_a \in A^2(\mathfrak{J})$ such that $c = C_s + c_a$. We have $d^2c(x, y, z) - d^2c(y, x, z) = 2\pi(z)c_a(x, y)$. Thus, $\pi(z)c_a(x, y) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{J}$, because c is a 2-cocycle. Consequently, $c_a(x, y)$ belongs to $C(M) = \{0\}$. \square

Theorem 2.

$${}_zH_s^2(\mathfrak{J}, M) \simeq Ext(\mathfrak{J}, M).$$

Proof. Suppose that $E = \mathfrak{J} \oplus M$ endowed with the following product:

$$(a \oplus x)(b \oplus y) = (\pi(x)b + \pi(y)a + c(x, y) \oplus xy), \quad (3.2)$$

where $a, b \in M$, $x, y \in \mathfrak{J}$ and $c : \mathfrak{J} \times \mathfrak{J} \longrightarrow M$ is a bilinear map, is a Jacobi Jordan algebra. It is clear that product (3.2) is commutative if and only if c is symmetric. Moreover, the Jacobi identity is equivalent to the fact that c is a 2-cocycle. Now, we shall prove that two extensions E_c and $E_{c'}$ are equivalent if and only if there exists an isomorphism φ defined by

$$\varphi(a \oplus x) := a + \psi(x) \oplus x,$$

where $\psi : \mathfrak{J} \longrightarrow M$ is a linear map. In fact, Since $p \circ \varphi(0 \oplus x) = p(0 \oplus x) = x$, then there exists an element $\psi(x) \in M$ such that $\varphi(0 \oplus x) = \psi(x) \oplus x$. On the other hand, $\varphi \circ i(a) = i(a)$. Then, $\varphi(a \oplus 0) = a \oplus 0$. This means that

$$\varphi(a \oplus x) = \varphi(a \oplus 0) + \varphi(0 \oplus x) = a + \psi(x) \oplus x.$$

One can easily see that any such morphism is bijective. Consequently, E_c and $E_{c'}$ are equivalent if and only if φ is an isomorphism, which is equivalent to the fact that $c' = c + \delta^2(\psi)$. That is c and c' are cohomologous. \square

Corollary 1. *The set of trivial abelian extensions is in one to one correspondence with ${}_zB^2(\mathfrak{J}, M)$.*

Proof. Let $E : \mathfrak{J} \oplus M$ be a trivial abelian extension of \mathfrak{J} . The product on E is defined as above by: $(a \oplus x).(b \oplus y) = \pi(x)b + \pi(y)a + c(x, y) \oplus xy$.

Since E is isomorphic to the semi-direct product $M \rtimes \mathfrak{J}$. By the proof of Theorem 2 the isomorphism is defined above by: $\varphi : a \oplus x \mapsto a + \psi(x) \oplus x$. An easy computation implies that this fact is equivalent to the fact $c = \delta\psi$. \square

In the following example, We compute the dimension and generators of ${}_zH_s^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})$. This characterises the abelian extensions of \mathfrak{J}_4 by \mathbb{K} called the one dimensional central extensions.

Example 4 (Computation of ${}_zH^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})$). Let c be an element of ${}_zZ^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})$. Then c is a bilinear form of \mathfrak{J}_4 which satisfies the following equation:

$$c(xy, z) + c(yz, x) + c(xz, y) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in \mathfrak{J}_4. \quad (3.3)$$

Apply the equation above to $x = e_i, y = e_j, z = e_k$, we get the following assertions:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{For } i = j = k = 1, \quad c(e_2, e_1) = 0, \\ \text{For } i = j = 1 \text{ and } k = 2, \quad c(e_2, e_2) = 0, \\ \text{For } i = j = 1 \text{ and } k = 3, \quad c(e_2, e_3) = -2c(e_4, e_1), \\ \text{For } i = j = 1 \text{ and } k = 4, \quad c(e_2, e_4) = 0, \\ \text{For } i = 1 \text{ and } j = k = 3, \quad c(e_4, e_3) = 0, \\ \text{For } i = 1, j = 3 \text{ and } k = 4, \quad c(e_4, e_4) = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Thus, the matrix of c with respect to the basis (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) is given by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & 0 & \alpha_{13} & \alpha_{14} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{14} & 0 \\ \alpha_{31} & \alpha_{32} & \alpha_{33} & \alpha_{34} \\ \alpha_{41} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently, ${}_zZ^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})$ is 8-dimensional and generated by $\{E_{11}, E_{13}, E_{31}, E_{32}, E_{33}, E_{34}, E_{41}, E_{14} - \frac{1}{2}E_{23}\}$, where (E_{ij}) are elementary matrices.

Proposition 5. *The space ${}_zH^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})$ is 6-dimensional and generated by the classes*

$$\{E_{13}, E_{32}, E_{33}, E_{34}, E_{41}, E_{14} - \frac{1}{2}E_{23}\}.$$

Proof. Let f be the linear form of \mathfrak{J}_4 defined by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \end{pmatrix}$, with respect to the basis (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) . We have $\delta f(e_1, e_1) = f(e_2) = b$, $\delta f(e_1, e_3) = \delta f(e_3, e_1) = f(e_4) = d$ and $\delta f(e_i, e_j) = 0$ if $(i, j) \notin \{(1, 1), (1, 3), (3, 1)\}$. Thus the matrix $M(\delta f)$ of δf is given by $M(\delta f) = bE_{11} + d(E_{13} + E_{31})$. Thus, $\dim {}_zB^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K}) := \dim(\text{Im } \delta^1) = 2$ and ${}_zB^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})$ is generated by E_{11} and $E_{13} + E_{31}$. Consequently, $\dim {}_zH^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K}) = 6$ and ${}_zH^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})$ is generated by $\{E_{13}, E_{32}, E_{33}, E_{34}, E_{41}, E_{14} - \frac{1}{2}E_{23}\}$, because $\dim {}_zZ^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K}) = 8$ and ${}_zZ^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})$ is generated by $\{E_{11}, E_{13}, E_{31}, E_{32}, E_{33}, E_{34}, E_{41}, E_{14} - \frac{1}{2}E_{23}\}$. \square

Corollary 2. *The space $Ext(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})$ is 2-dimensional and generated by*

$$\{E_{33}, E_{23} + E_{32} - 2E_{14} - 2E_{41}\}.$$

Proof. We have from Example 1 that the dimension of ${}_Z Z^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})$ is 8 and generated by $\{E_{11}, E_{13}, E_{31}, E_{32}, E_{33}, E_{34}, E_{41}, E_{14} - \frac{1}{2}E_{23}\}$. Thus ${}_Z Z_s^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})$ is of dimension 4 and generated by the cocycles defined by $\{c_1 = E_{11}, c_2 = E_{13} + E_{31}, c_3 = E_{33}, c_4 = E_{23} + E_{32} - 2E_{14} - 2E_{41}\}$.

We have shown that c_1 and c_2 are coboundaries. Moreover, we have proved in the previous proposition that the classes of $E_{32}, E_{33}, E_{34}, E_{41}, E_{14} - \frac{1}{2}E_{23}$, are linearly independent. Thus, c_3 and c_4 are not coboundaries. Consequently, the dimension of ${}_Z H_s^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})$ is 2 and generators are $\{c_3, c_4\}$. \square

4 Scalar zigzag cohomology of pseudo-Euclidean Jacobi Jordan algebras

We aim in this section to consider Jacobi-Jordan algebras with nondegenerate, symmetric bilinear forms and discuss their zigzag cohomology.

Definition 11. Let (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) be a Jacobi-Jordan algebra. A bilinear form B on \mathfrak{J} is said to be an associative scalar product on (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) if B is nondegenerate, symmetric and associative bilinear form. Recall that B is associative means $B(x \cdot y, z) = B(x, y \cdot z)$, for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{J}$.

A Jacobi-Jordan algebra (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) is called a pseudo-Euclidean Jacobi-Jordan algebra if it is endowed with an associative scalar product.

We denote by $F(\mathfrak{J})$ the vector space of all invariant symmetric bilinear forms of \mathfrak{J} and by Δ_p the dimension of $F(\mathfrak{J})$. The vector subspace of $F(\mathfrak{J})$ generated by all nondegenerate invariant symmetric bilinear forms is denoted by $S(\mathfrak{J})$ and its dimension by b_p . For more details about this type of algebras see [6] and [7].

Remark 3. Recall that in [7, Lemma 7.1.1 page 103], it is proved that if the field \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed then $F(\mathfrak{J})$ is equal to the vector space spanned by all associative scalar product of \mathfrak{J} , that is $\Delta_p = b_p$.

Definition 12. Let (\mathfrak{J}, B) be a pseudo-Euclidean Jacobi-Jordan algebra. A (anti-)derivation D is said to be symmetric (resp. skew-symmetric) with respect to B if $B(D(x), y) = B(x, D(y))$ (resp. $B(D(x), y) = -B(x, D(y))$) for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{J}$.

The set of all symmetric (resp. skew-symmetric) derivations with respect to B is denoted by $Der_s(\mathfrak{J})$ (resp. $Der_a(\mathfrak{J})$). We denote by Δ_s (resp. Δ_a) the dimension of $Der_s(\mathfrak{J})$ (resp. $Der_a(\mathfrak{J})$). We also denote by Δ the dimension of $Der(\mathfrak{J})$.

Proposition 6. *Let (\mathfrak{J}, B) be a pseudo-Euclidean Jacobi-Jordan algebra. We have*

$${}_Z Z^2(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{K}) \simeq \{h \in End(\mathfrak{J}) : h(xy) = -x {}^t h(y) - y {}^t h(x)\}.$$

Proof. Let $c \in {}_Z Z^2(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{K})$. There exist $c_s \in S^2(\mathfrak{J})$ and $c_a \in A^2(\mathfrak{J})$ such that $c = c_s + c_a$. The fact that B is symmetric and nondegenerate implies that there exist $f, g \in End(\mathfrak{J})$ such that $c_s(x, y) = B(f(x), y)$ and $c_a(x, y) = B(g(x), y)$. It is clear that $f = {}^t f$ and $g = -{}^t g$. By the invariance of B we get the result for $h = f + g$. The converse is clear. \square

Corollary 3. *Let (\mathfrak{J}, B) be a pseudo-Euclidean Jacobi-Jordan algebra. We have*

$$(i) \quad {}_zH_s^2(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{K}) \simeq ADer_s(\mathfrak{J})/IADer(\mathfrak{J}),$$

$$(ii) \quad {}_zZ_a^2(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{K}) \simeq Der_a(\mathfrak{J}).$$

Proof. (i) Let $c \in {}_zZ_s^2(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{K})$. By Proposition 6, there exists $h \in End(\mathfrak{J})$ such that $c(x, y) = B(h(x), y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{J}$. The fact that c is symmetric implies that h is B -symmetric, that is h belongs to $ADer_s(\mathfrak{J})$. Now, if $c \in {}_zB^2(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{K})$, then there exist a linear map f and an element a_0 of \mathfrak{J} such that $c(x, y) = \delta^1 f(x, y) = B(a_0 x, y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{J}$. Since B is nondegenerate, then, $\delta^1 f = L_{a_0}$.

(ii) One gets it in a similar way. \square

Lemma 2. *Let \mathfrak{J} be a pseudo-Euclidean Jordan algebra and φ be a symmetric invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{J} (i.e., $\varphi \in F(\mathfrak{J})$). Then, the map $\mu(\varphi) : \mathfrak{J} \times \mathfrak{J} \times \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, defined by*

$$\mu(\varphi)(x, y, z) = \varphi(xy, z), \text{ for all } x, y, z \in \mathfrak{J},$$

is an element of ${}_zZ_s^3(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{K})$.

Proof. Since φ is symmetric and invariant, then $\mu(\varphi)$ is symmetric. Furthermore, it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d^3 \mu(\varphi)(x, y, z, t) &= \mu(\varphi)(xy, z, t) + \mu(\varphi)(xz, y, t) + \mu(\varphi)(xt, y, z) + \mu(\varphi)(yz, x, t) + \mu(\varphi)(yt, x, z) + \mu(\varphi)(zt, x, y) \\ &= \varphi((xy)z + (zx)y + (yz)x, t) + \varphi((xt)y + (ty)x + (yx)t, z) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z, t \in \mathfrak{J}$. We conclude that $\mu(\varphi)$ is an element of ${}_zZ_s^3(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{K})$. \square

Lemma 3. *Let (\mathfrak{J}, B) be a pseudo-Euclidean Jacobi-Jordan algebra. If $D \in Der(\mathfrak{J})$, then the map $\nu(D) : \mathfrak{J} \times \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, defined by*

$$\nu(D)(x, y) = B(D(x), y) + B(x, D(y)), \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{J},$$

is an element of $F(\mathfrak{J})$.

Proof. Since B is a symmetric bilinear form and D is a linear form, it follows that $\nu(D)$ is a symmetric bilinear form. Moreover, $\nu(D)$ is invariant because B is invariant and D is a derivation. \square

Theorem 3. *Let (\mathfrak{J}, B) be a pseudo-Euclidean Jacobi-Jordan algebra. The following sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow Der_a(\mathfrak{J}, B) \xrightarrow{i} Der(\mathfrak{J}) \xrightarrow{\nu} F(\mathfrak{J}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}} {}_zH_s^3(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{K}) \quad (4.1)$$

is exact, where $i(D) = D$, for all $D \in Der(\mathfrak{J})$ and $\bar{\mu}(\varphi)$ is the cohomology class of $\mu(\varphi)$.

Proof. It is clear that $i(\text{Der}_a(\mathfrak{J}, B)) = \text{Der}_a((\mathfrak{J}, B)) = \ker(\nu)$. Now we are going to show that $\nu(\text{Der}(\mathfrak{J})) = \ker(\bar{\mu})$. Let $D \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{J})$; denote by tD the transpose of D with respect to B . Let $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{J}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(\nu(D))(x, y, z) &= \nu(D)(xy, z) = B(D(xy), z) + B(xy, D(z)) \\ &= B(D(x)y, z) + B(xD(y), z) + B(xy, D(z)) \\ &= B(xy, D(z)) + B(yz, D(x)) + B(zx, D(y)) \\ &= \varphi(xy, z) + \varphi(yz, x) + \varphi(zx, y),\end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi : \mathfrak{J} \times \mathfrak{J} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is defined by $\varphi(x, y) = B((D - {}^tD)x, y)$.

Thus, $\mu(\nu(D)) = \delta^2\varphi$. That is, $\nu(D)$ belongs to $\ker \bar{\mu}$. Conversely, let $T \in F(\mathfrak{J})$ such that $\bar{\mu}(T) = 0$, that is there exists a skew-symmetric bilinear form φ on \mathfrak{J} such that

$$\mu(T)(x, y, z) := T(xy, z) = \delta^2\varphi(x, y, z).$$

The fact that B is nondegenerate implies that there exist two endomorphisms f, h of \mathfrak{J} such that $T(x, y) = B(f(x), y)$ and $\varphi(x, y) = B(h(x), y), \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{J}$. Since T is invariant, then $f(xy) = f(x)y = xf(y), \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{J}$. Moreover, $f = {}^tf$, where tf is the transpose of f with respect to B because B and T are symmetric. The fact that φ is skew-symmetric implies that $h = -{}^th$, where th is the transpose of h with respect to B . Let $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{J}$; since

$$T(xy, z) = \varphi(xy, z) + \varphi(yz, x) + \varphi(xz, y)$$

then

$$B(f(xy), z) = B(h(xy) - xh(y) - yh(x), z).$$

Because B is nondegenerate, then

$$f(xy) = h(xy) - xh(y) - yh(x).$$

Consequently, $\frac{1}{2}(f + h)$ is a derivation of \mathfrak{J} . It is clear that $f = (\frac{1}{2}(f + h)) + {}^t(\frac{1}{2}(f + h))$, thus $T = \nu(\frac{1}{2}(f + h))$. We conclude that $\text{Ker}(\bar{\mu}) \subset \nu(\text{Der}(\mathfrak{J}))$; so $\text{Ker}(\bar{\mu}) = \nu(\text{Der}(\mathfrak{J}))$. \square

The theorem leads to the following corollary.

Corollary 4. *Let (\mathfrak{J}, B) be a pseudo-Euclidean Jacobi-Jordan algebra. We have*

$$b_p \leq \Delta_p \leq \Delta - \Delta_a + \dim({}_zH_s^3(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{K})).$$

Remark 4. Furthermore, we have

$$\Delta_p \geq 1 + \frac{m(m+1)}{2},$$

where m is the dimension of the annihilator of \mathfrak{J} .

Example 5. We consider the 4-dimensional Jacobi-Jordan algebra \mathfrak{J}_4 (Example 1), and endow it with pseudo-Euclidean structure B , see [5], where the invariant scalar product on \mathfrak{J}_4 is defined by

$$B(e_1, e_4) = B(e_2, e_3) = 1.$$

We shall calculate the dimension of $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{J}_4)$. An endomorphism D of \mathfrak{J}_4 is a derivation if and only if the matrix of D with respect to the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ is written as

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & 2\alpha_1 & \alpha_3 & 0 \\ \alpha_4 & 0 & \alpha_5 & 0 \\ \alpha_6 & 2\alpha_4 & \alpha_7 & \alpha_1 + \alpha_5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, $\dim(\text{Der}\mathfrak{J}_4) = 7$. We have shown in Example 1 that ${}_Z Z^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})$ is spanned by the cocycle corresponding to the following matrices with respect to the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & 0 & \alpha_{13} & \alpha_{14} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{14} & 0 \\ \alpha_{31} & \alpha_{32} & \alpha_{33} & \alpha_{34} \\ \alpha_{41} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently, $\dim({}_Z Z_a^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})) = 2$. By (ii) of Corollary 3, we have $\dim(\text{Der}_a(\mathfrak{J}_4)) = 2$. Now, we have to compute ${}_Z H_s^3(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})$. A basis of ${}_Z Z_s^3(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})$ is given by $\{c_1, \dots, c_6\}$, where the nonzero terms are

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(e_1, e_3, e_4) &= -\frac{1}{4}c_1(e_2, e_3, e_3) = 1, \\ c_2(e_3, e_3, e_3) &= 1, \\ c_3(e_1, e_1, e_4) &= -c_3(e_1, e_2, e_3) = 1, \\ c_4(e_1, e_1, e_1) &= 1, \\ c_5(e_1, e_1, e_3) &= 1, \\ c_6(e_1, e_3, e_3) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $f_1, f_2 \in A^2(\mathfrak{J})$. We have

$$\delta f_1(e_2, e_3, e_3) = 0 \neq c_1(e_2, e_3, e_3) = 1.$$

$$\delta f_2(e_3, e_3, e_3) = 0 \neq c_2(e_3, e_3, e_3) = 1.$$

Thus, c_1 and c_2 are not coboundaries. Now we prove that c_3, \dots, c_6 are coboundaries. For $f_3 \in A^2(\mathfrak{J})$ defined by $f_3(e_2, e_4) = -f_3(e_4, e_2) = 1$ and $f_3(e_i, e_j) = 0$ otherwise, we have $c_3 = \delta f_3$. For $f_4 \in A^2(\mathfrak{J})$ defined by $f_4(e_1, e_2) = -\frac{1}{3}$ and $f_4(e_i, e_j) = 0$ otherwise, we have $c_4 = \delta f_4$. For $f_5 \in A^2(\mathfrak{J})$ defined by $f_5(e_2, e_3) = 1$ and $f_5(e_i, e_j) = 0$ otherwise, we have $c_5 = \delta f_5$. For $f_6 \in A^2(\mathfrak{J})$ defined by $f_6(e_3, e_4) = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $f_6(e_i, e_j) = 0$ otherwise, we have $c_6 = \delta f_6$. Thus, c_3, \dots, c_6 are coboundaries. Consequently, $\dim({}_Z H_s^3(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})) = 2$ and ${}_Z H_s^3(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathbb{K})$ is spanned by the cohomological classes c_1 and c_2 . By Corollary 4, we have:

$$\dim(F(\mathfrak{J}_4)) \leq 7.$$

5 Deformations of Jacobi-Jordan algebras

One-parameter formal deformations were introduced for associative algebras by Gerstenhaber [14] and then studied for Lie algebras by Nijenhuis and Richardson [22]. This approach based on formal power series was extended to various algebraic structures. In this section we discuss formal deformations of Jacobi-Jordan algebras and formal deformations of Jacobi-Jordan algebras homomorphisms. We show that the zigzag cohomology defined above is suitable to establish their connections to cohomology groups.

Let $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ be the power series ring in one variable t and coefficients in \mathbb{K} and $\mathfrak{J}[[t]]$ be the set of formal power series whose coefficients are elements of the vector space \mathfrak{J} , ($\mathfrak{J}[[t]]$ is obtained by extending the coefficients domain of \mathfrak{J} from \mathbb{K} to $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$).

Definition 13. A one-parameter formal deformation of a Jacobi-Jordan algebra (\mathfrak{J}, \cdot) is a Jacobi-Jordan $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ -algebra $(\mathfrak{J}[[t]], \mu_t)$, where $\mu_t = \sum_{i \geq 0} t^i \mu_i$, which is a $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ -bilinear map such that $\mu_0(x, y) = x \cdot y$ and

$$\mu_t(x, y) = \mu_t(y, x), \quad (5.1)$$

$$\mu_t(x, \mu_t(y, z)) + \mu_t(y, \mu_t(z, x)) + \mu_t(z, \mu_t(x, y)) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in \mathfrak{J}. \quad (5.2)$$

The deformation is said to be of order N if $\mu_t = \sum_{i \geq 0}^N \mu_i t^i$ and infinitesimal if $N = 1$.

Remark 5. 1. Condition (5.1) is equivalent to the fact that for all i , μ_i is a symmetric bilinear map.

2. Condition (5.2) is called deformation equation and is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} t^{i+j} (\mu_i(x, \mu_j(y, z)) + \mu_i(y, \mu_j(z, x)) + \mu_i(z, \mu_j(x, y))) = 0.$$

By identification with respect to parameter t , we get the following equations for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\sum_{i=0}^p (\mu_i(x, \mu_{p-i}(y, z)) + \mu_i(y, \mu_{p-i}(z, x)) + \mu_i(z, \mu_{p-i}(x, y))) = 0.$$

The first equation ($p = 0$) corresponds to Jacobi condition with respect to the initial Jacobi-Jordan multiplication μ_0 . For $p = 1$ the equation corresponds to:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_0(x, \mu_1(y, z)) + \mu_0(y, \mu_1(z, x)) + \mu_0(z, \mu_1(x, y)) \\ & + \mu_1(x, \mu_0(y, z)) + \mu_1(y, \mu_0(z, x)) + \mu_1(z, \mu_0(x, y)) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

If we consider \mathfrak{J} as a \mathfrak{J} -module with respect to the adjoint action, we get that the equation (5.3) is equivalent to the fact that $d^2 \mu_1(x, y, z) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{J}$.

Hence, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 7. *Let (\mathfrak{J}, μ_0) be a Jacobi-Jordan algebra and $\mu_t = \sum_i t^i \mu_i$ be a deformation of \mathfrak{J} . Then,*

$$\mu_1 \in {}_Z Z_s^2(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{J}).$$

Definition 14. Let (\mathfrak{J}, μ_0) be a Jacobi-Jordan algebra and let $\mu_t = \sum t^i \mu_i$ and $\mu'_t = \sum t^i \mu'_i$ be two deformations of \mathfrak{J} such that $\mu_0 = \mu'_0$. We say that μ and μ' are equivalent if there exists a formal isomorphism of the form

$$\Phi_t = \Phi_0 + t\Phi_1 + t^2\Phi_2 + \dots, \text{ where } \Phi_0 = id,$$

such that

$$\Phi_t \circ \mu'_t = \mu_t \circ (\Phi_t \otimes \Phi_t). \quad (5.4)$$

Expanding (5.4) and identifying coefficient of t^s lead to:

$$\sum_{i+j=s} \Phi_i \mu'_j - \sum_{i+j+k=s} \mu_i \circ (\Phi_j \otimes \Phi_k) = 0. \quad (5.5)$$

Notice that equation for $s = 0$ since $\mu_0 = \mu'_0$ because $\Phi_0 = id$.

Proposition 8. *Let (\mathfrak{J}, μ_0) be a Jacobi-Jordan algebra. Let $\mu_t = \sum t^i \mu_i$ and $\mu'_t = \sum t^i \mu'_i$ be two equivalent deformations of \mathfrak{J} . Then μ'_1 and μ_1 are cohomologous.*

Proof. For $s = 1$ in (5.5), one finds that

$$\mu'_1 + \Phi_1 \mu'_0 - \mu_1 - \mu_0(\Phi_1 \otimes id) - \mu_0(id \otimes \Phi_1) = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\mu'_1 = \mu_1 + \mu_0(\Phi_1 \otimes id) + \mu_0(id \otimes \Phi_1) - \Phi_1 \mu_0 = 0. \quad (5.6)$$

Recall that $\delta^1 \Phi_1 = \mu_0(\Phi_1 \otimes id) + \mu_0(id \otimes \Phi_1) - \Phi_1 \mu_0$. Then the equality (5.6) is equivalent to:

$$\mu'_1 = \mu_1 + \delta^1 \Phi_1.$$

□

Therefore the first term of a deformation depends only on its cohomology class with respect to zigzag cohomology of a Jacobi-Jordan algebra.

Proposition 9. *Let (\mathfrak{J}, μ_0) be a Jacobi-Jordan algebra. There is, over $K[[t]]/t^2$, a one-to-one correspondence between elements μ_1 of ${}_Z H_s^2(\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{J})$ and infinitesimal deformations of \mathfrak{J} defined by*

$$\mu_t(x, y) = \mu_0(x, y) + t\mu_1(x, y), \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{J}.$$

Proof. The proof follows from Propositions 7 and 8. □

6 Deformations of Jacobi-Jordan algebra homomorphisms

Let \mathfrak{J} be a Jacobi-Jordan algebra, A be a Jacobi-Jordan admissible algebra and $\varphi : \mathfrak{J} \longrightarrow A$ be a Jacobi-Jordan homomorphism, where A is endowed by the Jacobi-Jordan product $\{.,.\}$ induced by the anti-commutator. We consider A as a \mathfrak{J} -module with the action defined by $x.a := -L_{\varphi(x)}a = -\{\varphi(x), a\}$, where L is the left multiplication of $(A, \{.,.\})$.

A formal deformation of φ is a formal power series

$$\Phi_t = \sum_{r \geq 0} t^r \Phi_r, \text{ where } \Phi_0 = \varphi, \quad (6.1)$$

and Φ_r ($r \geq 1$) are linear maps from \mathfrak{J} into $A[[t]]$, a formal space with coefficients in A , such that for all x and y in \mathfrak{J} , we have:

$$\Phi_t(xy) = \{\Phi_t(x), \Phi_t(y)\}. \quad (6.2)$$

The product of the right hand side is the anti-commutator extended to formal power series by $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ -bilinearity. The identity (6.2) is equivalent to an infinite system of equation of the form:

$$\Phi_n(xy) = \sum_{k=0}^n \{\Phi_k(x), \Phi_{n-k}(y)\}, \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{J} \text{ (Deformation equation of order } n, n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (6.3)$$

Notice that $n = 0$ corresponds to $\Phi_0 = \varphi$ being a Jacobi-Jordan homomorphism.

Remark 6. The deformation equation (6.3) of order $n \geq 1$ can be written as follow:

$$d^1 \Phi_n(xy) = - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \{\Phi_k(x), \Phi_{n-k}(y)\},$$

where $d^1 \Phi_n(xy) = \{\varphi(x), \Phi_n(y)\} + \{\Phi_n(x), \varphi(y)\} - \Phi_n(xy)$. In particular Φ_1 is a 1-cocycle.

Definition 15. Two formal deformations Φ_t and Φ'_t of φ are equivalent if there exists an automorphism $f_t : A[[t]] \longrightarrow A[[t]]$ of the form

$$f_t = \exp(tL_{\varphi(a_1)} + t^2L_{\varphi(a_2)} + \dots) = id + tL_{\varphi(a_1)} + t^2(L_{\varphi(a_1)}^2/2 + L_{\varphi(a_2)}) + \dots$$

where $a_i \in A$, such that $\Phi'_t = f_t \Phi_t$ and L is the left multiplication of $(A, \{.,.\})$.

Recall that a deformation of a Jacobi-Jordan algebra homomorphism is said infinitesimal if it is of the form $\Phi_t(x, y) = \Phi_0(x, y) + t\Phi_1(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{J}$.

Theorem 4. *Infinitesimal deformations of a Jacobi-Jordan algebra homomorphism from \mathfrak{J} into A are classified by the first cohomology group ${}_z H^1(\mathfrak{J}, A)$.*

Proof. The first order terms Φ_1 in (6.1) are 1-cocycles. Consider two infinitesimal formal deformations Φ and Φ' of φ . They are equivalent if and only if the corresponding cocycles are cohomologous. Conversely, given a Jacobi-Jordan algebra homomorphism $\varphi : \mathfrak{J} \longrightarrow A$, an arbitrary 1-cocycle $\Phi_1 \in {}_z Z^1(\mathfrak{J}; A)$ defines an infinitesimal deformation of φ . \square

In a forthcoming paper, we will extend the zigzag cohomology to provide a cohomology that controls simultaneous deformations of Jacobi-Jordan algebra and Jacobi-Jordan algebra homomorphism.

7 Example : The space ${}_zH_s^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathfrak{J}_4)$ and Deformations

We consider the 4-dimensional Jacobi-Jordan algebra (\mathfrak{J}_4, \cdot) presented in Example 1. We aim in this section to compute its second zigzag cohomology group ${}_zH_s^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathfrak{J}_4)$ and consider some deformations. In particular, we provide a nonisomorphic deformation of \mathfrak{J}_4 .

We first describe the second zigzag cohomology group.

Proposition 10. *Let (\mathfrak{J}_4, \cdot) be the 4-dimensional Jacobi-Jordan algebra defined in Example 1. Then, $\dim {}_zZ_s^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathfrak{J}_4) = 13$ and ${}_zZ_s^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathfrak{J}_4)$ is generated, with respect to the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_4\}$, by $\{c_1, \dots, c_{13}\}$, where the nonzero terms are defined as*

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(e_3, e_3) &= e_2, & c_2(e_1, e_3) &= e_1, & c_2(e_2, e_3) &= -2e_2, \\ c_3(e_1, e_3) &= e_3, & c_3(e_2, e_3) &= -2e_4, & c_4(e_1, e_4) &= e_2, & c_4(e_2, e_3) &= -2e_2, \\ c_5(e_1, e_4) &= e_4, & c_5(e_2, e_3) &= -2e_4, & c_6(e_3, e_3) &= 2e_3, & c_6(e_3, e_4) &= -e_4, \\ c_7(e_1, e_1) &= e_1, & c_7(e_1, e_2) &= -e_2, & c_8(e_1, e_1) &= e_2, \\ c_9(e_1, e_1) &= e_3, & c_9(e_1, e_2) &= -e_4, & c_{10}(e_1, e_1) &= e_4, \\ c_{11}(e_1, e_3) &= e_2, & c_{12}(e_1, e_3) &= e_4, \\ c_{13}(e_3, e_3) &= e_4. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. A symmetric 2-cochain c belongs to ${}_zZ_s^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathfrak{J}_4)$ if and only if c satisfies $d^2c = 0$ which may be expressed with respect to the basis by the following conditions

$$c(e_i e_j, e_k) + c(e_j e_k, e_i) + c(e_k e_i, e_j) + e_i c(e_j, e_k) + e_j c(e_k, e_i) + e_k c(e_i, e_j) = 0, \quad (7.1)$$

where $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. In the sequel, we suppose that $c(e_i, e_j) = \sum_{l=1}^4 \alpha_{i,j}^l e_l$, for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. From the identity (7.1), we get the following equations on the coefficient $\alpha_{i,j}^l$: For $(i, j, k) = (1, 1, 1)$ and $(i, j, k) = (1, 1, 2)$, we obtain respectively:

$$\alpha_{1,2}^1 = \alpha_{1,2}^3 = 0, \quad \alpha_{1,2}^2 = -\alpha_{1,1}^1 \text{ and } \alpha_{1,2}^4 = -\alpha_{1,1}^3. \quad (7.2)$$

$$\alpha_{1,2}^1 = \alpha_{2,2}^3 = 0, \quad \alpha_{2,2}^2 = -2\alpha_{1,2}^1, \quad \alpha_{2,2}^4 = -2\alpha_{1,2}^3, \quad (7.3)$$

which implies that $\alpha_{2,2}^2 = 0$. For $(i, j, k) = (1, 1, 3)$ and $(i, j, k) = (1, 1, 4)$, we obtain respectively:

$$\alpha_{2,3}^1 + 2\alpha_{1,4}^1 = 0, \quad \alpha_{2,3}^2 + 2\alpha_{1,4}^2 + 2\alpha_{1,3}^1 = 0, \quad \alpha_{2,3}^3 + 2\alpha_{1,4}^3 = 0, \quad \text{and } \alpha_{2,3}^4 + 2\alpha_{1,4}^4 + 2\alpha_{1,3}^3 + \alpha_{1,1}^1 = 0. \quad (7.4)$$

$$\alpha_{2,4}^1 = \alpha_{2,4}^3 = 0, \quad \alpha_{2,4}^2 + 2\alpha_{1,4}^1 = 0 \text{ and } \alpha_{2,4}^4 + 2\alpha_{1,4}^3 = 0. \quad (7.5)$$

For $(i, j, k) = (1, 2, 3)$, we get $\alpha_{2,4}^1 = \alpha_{2,4}^3 = 0$, $\alpha_{2,4}^2 + \alpha_{1,3}^1 = 0$ and $\alpha_{2,4}^4 + \alpha_{2,3}^3 + \alpha_{1,2}^1 = 0$.

By (7.2) and (7.4), we have $\alpha_{2,3}^3 = -2\alpha_{1,4}^3$ and $\alpha_{1,2}^1 = 0$. Thus, $\alpha_{2,4}^4 = -2\alpha_{1,4}^3$.

For $(i, j, k) = (1, 3, 3), (1, 3, 4), (2, 3, 3)$, we obtain the following equations:

$$\alpha_{3,4}^1 = \alpha_{3,4}^3 = 0, \quad 2\alpha_{3,4}^2 + \alpha_{3,3}^1 = 0 \text{ and } 2\alpha_{3,4}^4 + 2\alpha_{1,3}^1 + \alpha_{3,3}^3 = 0. \quad (7.6)$$

$$\alpha_{4,4}^1 = \alpha_{4,4}^3 = 0, \quad \alpha_{4,4}^2 + \alpha_{3,4}^1 = 0 \text{ and } \alpha_{4,4}^4 + \alpha_{3,4}^3 + \alpha_{1,4}^1 = 0. \quad (7.7)$$

$$\alpha_{2,3}^1 = 0. \quad (7.8)$$

The equation (7.4) entails that $\alpha_{1,4}^1 = 0$ and the equations (7.5) and (7.6) entails that $\alpha_{2,4}^2 = 0$ and $\alpha_{4,4}^4 = 0$. For $i = j = k = 3$, we get $e_3c(e_3, e_3) = 0$. which implies $\alpha_{3,3}^1 = 0$. The other cases come from the conditions above and from the fact that e_2 and e_4 belong to the annihilator of \mathfrak{J}_4 without other restriction on $\alpha_{i,j}^l$.

Therefore for all $x = x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + x_3e_3 + x_4e_4$ and $y = y_1e_1 + y_2e_2 + y_3e_3 + y_4e_4$ in \mathfrak{J}_4 , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} c(x, y) = & \alpha_{1,1}^1 \left(x_1y_1e_1 - (x_1y_2 + x_2y_1)e_2 - (x_2y_3 + x_3y_2)e_4 \right) + \alpha_{1,1}^2 \left(x_1y_1e_2 \right) \\ & + \alpha_{1,1}^3 \left(x_1y_1e_3 - (x_1y_2 + x_2y_1)e_4 \right) + \alpha_{1,1}^4 \left((x_1y_1)e_4 \right) \\ & + \alpha_{1,3}^1 \left((x_1y_3 + x_3y_1)e_1 - 2(x_2y_3 + x_3y_2)e_2 - (x_3y_4 + x_4y_3)e_4 \right) \\ & + \alpha_{1,3}^2 \left((x_1y_3 + x_3y_1)e_2 \right) + \alpha_{1,3}^3 \left((x_1y_3 + x_3y_1)e_3 - 2(x_2y_3 + x_3y_2)e_4 \right) \\ & + \alpha_{1,3}^4 \left((x_1y_3 + x_3y_1)e_4 \right) + \alpha_{1,4}^2 \left((x_1y_4 + x_4y_1 - 2x_2y_3 - 2x_3y_2)e_2 \right) \\ & + \alpha_{1,4}^4 \left((x_1y_4 + x_4y_1 - 2x_2y_3 - 2x_3y_2)e_4 \right) + \alpha_{3,3}^2 \left(x_3y_3e_2 \right) \\ & + \alpha_{3,3}^3 \left((2x_3y_3e_3 - (x_3y_4 + x_4y_3)e_4) \right) + \alpha_{3,3}^4 \left(x_3y_3e_4 \right) \end{aligned}$$

which entails that $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{13}\}$ is a generating subset of ${}_zZ_s^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathfrak{J}_4)$. Moreover, it is clear that $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{13}\}$ is a free family of cocycles. \square

Let us now compute the 2-coboundaries of the family $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{13}\}$ in order to determine the dimension and a basis of ${}_zH_s^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathfrak{J}_4)$. It is stated in the following main theorem of this section.

Theorem 5. $\dim({}_zH_s^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathfrak{J}_4)) = 6$ and ${}_zH_s^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathfrak{J}_4) = \text{span}\{c_1, \dots, c_6\}$.

Proof. First, we prove that c_7, \dots, c_{13} are coboundaries. Recall that a cocycle $c \in {}_zZ_s^2(\mathfrak{J}_4, \mathfrak{J}_4)$ is a coboundary in case there exists a 1-cochain f such that:

$$c(e_i, e_j) = e_i f(e_j) + e_j f(e_i) - f(e_i e_j), \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}.$$

Using this equation, we fill in the table of cochains and corresponding coboundaries:

cochain	coboundary
$f_7(e_2) = -e_1, f_7(e_1) = f_7(e_3) = f_7(e_4) = 0$	$\delta^1 f_7 = c_7$
$f_8(e_2) = -e_2, f_8(e_1) = f_8(e_3) = f_8(e_4) = 0$	$\delta^1 f_8 = c_8$
$f_9(e_2) = -e_3, f_9(e_1) = f_9(e_3) = f_9(e_4) = 0$	$\delta^1 f_9 = c_9$
$f_{10}(e_2) = -e_1, f_{10}(e_1) = f_{10}(e_3) = f_{10}(e_4) = 0$	$\delta^1 f_{10} = c_{10}$
$f_{11}(e_4) = -e_2, f_{11}(e_1) = f_{11}(e_2) = f_{11}(e_3) = 0$	$\delta^1 f_{11} = c_{11}$
$f_{12}(e_4) = -e_4, f_{12}(e_1) = f_{12}(e_2) = f_{12}(e_3) = 0$	$\delta^1 f_{12} = c_{12}$
$f_{13}(e_3) = 1/2 e_1, f_{13}(e_4) = 1/2 e_2, f_{13}(e_1) = f_{13}(e_2) = 0$	$\delta^1 f_{13} = c_{13}$

Now, we explain how c_1, \dots, c_6 are not trivial, case by case, cocycle by cocycle.

For $i = 1, \dots, 6$, an eventual corresponding cochain of c_i must satisfy respectively the following equation Eq_i :

$$\begin{aligned} Eq_1 : 2e_3f(e_3) = e_2, \quad Eq_2 : e_3f(e_2) = -2e_2, \quad Eq_3 : e_3f(e_2) = -2e_4 \text{ and } 2e_1f(e_1) - f(e_2) = 0, \\ Eq_4 : e_3f(e_2) = -2e_2, \quad Eq_5 : e_1f(e_2) = -2e_4 \text{ and } 2e_1f(e_1) - f(e_2) = 0, \quad Eq_6 : e_3f(e_3) = e_3. \end{aligned}$$

By easy computation, we can verify that each equation Eq_i has no solution. Thus, c_1, \dots, c_6 are not trivial. Now, we prove that the cohomology classes of c_1, \dots, c_6 are linearly independent. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6$ be scalars and f be an endomorphism of \mathfrak{J}_4 such that

$$(\alpha_1c_1 + \dots + \alpha_6c_6)(e_i, e_j) = \delta^1 f(e_i, e_j), \quad (7.9)$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$.

Let $M = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4}$ be the matrix of f with respect to the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_4\}$.

For $i = j = 3$, Equation (7.9) holds if and only if $\alpha_1 = \alpha_6 = 0$.

For $i = 2, j = 3$, Equation (7.9) implies that $\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 = 0$ and $\alpha_3 + \alpha_5 = -\frac{1}{2}a_{12}$. For $i = 1, j = 4$, Equation (7.9) implies that $\alpha_4 = a_{14}$ and $\alpha_5 = a_{34}$. For $i = 1, j = 3$, Equation (7.9) implies that $\alpha_2 = a_{14}$ and $\alpha_3 = a_{34}$. Thus, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_4 = 0$. Moreover, For $i = 1, j = 2$, Equation (7.9) implies that $a_{12} = 0$. Thus, $\alpha_3 = \alpha_5 = 0$.

□

Example 6 (Deformation of \mathfrak{J}_4). We aim to make a use of the cocycles to construct a deformation of \mathfrak{J}_4 . Consider the first cocycle c_1 defined above where the non-zero term is $c_1(e_3, e_3) = e_2$. Since $\sum_{cyc\{x,y,z\}} c_1(c_1(x, y), z) = 0$, then we can consider the formal deformation of the Jacobi-Jordan algebra \mathfrak{J}_4 defined by $x \star_t y := xy + tc_1(x, y)$. The new product \star_t becomes defined by: $e_1 \star_t e_1 = e_2$, $e_1 \star_t e_3 = e_3 \star_t e_1 = e_4$, $e_3 \star_t e_3 = te_2$, otherwise $e_i \star_t e_j = 0$. It defines a new Jacobi-Jordan algebra. If we consider the new basis $u_1 := e_3 - \sqrt{t}e_1$, $u_2 := (t^2 + t)e_2 - 2\sqrt{t}e_4$, $u_3 := e_3 + \sqrt{t}e_1$, $u_4 := (t^2 + t)e_2 + 2\sqrt{t}e_4$. The non zero terms of the product \star_t are: $u_1u_1 = u_2$, $u_3u_3 = u_4$ otherwise $u_i \star_t u_j = 0$. Thus the deformed algebra $(\mathfrak{J}_4, \star_t)$ is isomorphic to the algebra $A_{1,2} \oplus A_{1,2}$ of Proposition 3.4 in [8].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work is funded by grant number 15-MAT5345-10 from the National Science, Technology and Innovation Plan (MAARIFAH), the King Abdul-Aziz City for Science and Technology (KACST), Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. We thank the Science and Technology Unit at Umm Al-Qura University for their continued logistics support.

References

- [1] Agore AL, Militaru G. On a type of commutative algebras. Linear Algebra Appl. 485:222–249 (2015).
- [2] Alekseevsky D., Michor, P. M. and Ruppert W., Extensions of super Lie algebras. J. Lie Theory **15** (1), 125—134 (2005).

- [3] R. Baeza V, On Nuclear Bernstein Algebras, Linear Algebra and its Applications. 142 (1990), pages 19–22.
- [4] Arnal D., Simultaneous deformations of a Lie algebra and its modules. Differential geometry and mathematical physics (Liege, 1980/Leuven, 1981), 3–15, Math. Phys. Stud., 3, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1983.
- [5] Baklouti, A., Benayadi, S., Symplectic Jacobi-Jordan algebra. Linear and Multilinear Algebra. DOI: 10.1080/03081087.2019.1626334, (2019).
- [6] Baklouti A., Benayadi, S., Pseudo-euclidean Jordan algebras. Communications in Algebra **43**, 2094–2123 (2015).
- [7] Baklouti A., Structure et description inductive des algebres de Jordan pseudo-Euclidienne. Thesis, Lorraine University, (2007).
- [8] Burde, D. and Fialowski, A., Jacobi-Jordan algebras. Linear Algebra Appl. **459**, 586–594 (2014).
- [9] Chu C.-H. and Russo B., Cohomology of Jordan triples via Lie algebras. In Topics in functional analysis and algebra, 127–157, Contemp. Math., 672, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016.
- [10] Dubois-Violette M., Exceptional quantum geometry and particle physics. Nuclear Phys. B 912 (2016), 426–449.
- [11] Frégier Y., A new cohomology theory associated to deformations of Lie algebra morphisms. Lett. Math. Phys. 70 (2004), no. 2, 97–107.
- [12] Fialowski A. and Wagemann F., Cohomology and deformations of the infinite-dimensional filiform Lie algebra m_2 . J. Algebra, **319**, (12), 5125–5143, (2008).
- [13] Fuchs D.B., Cohomology of Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras, Consultants Bureau, New York, (1987).
- [14] Gerstenhaber M., On the deformation of rings and algebras, Ann of Math. **79** (1) (1964), 59–108.
- [15] Gerstenhaber M., Schack S D., On the deformation of algebra morphisms and diagrams. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 279 (1983), 1–50.
- [16] Harrison D. K., Commutative Algebras and Cohomology. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (2), 191–204 (1962).
- [17] Glassmann N.D. , Cohomology of Jordan Algebras, Journal of Algebra 15, 167–194 (1970).
- [18] Glassmann N.D., Cohomology of non-associative algebras, Pacific J. Math. Vol. 33, No 3, (1970)

-
- [19] Jacobson N., Structure and Representation of Jordan algebras. Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R.I. (1969).
 - [20] Kamiya N., Okubo S., Jordan-Lie super algebra and Jordan-Lie triple system. J Algebra. **198**, 388–411 (1997).
 - [21] Neeb K. H., Abelian extensions of infinite-dimensional Lie groups. Travaux mathématiques. Fasc. XV,, Trav. Math., XV, Univ. Luxemb., Luxembourg, 69–194 (2004).
 - [22] Nijenhuis A. and Richardson R., Deformation of Lie algebras structures. Jour. Math. Mech. **17** (1967), 89–105.
 - [23] Nijenhuis A., Richardson R., Deformation of homomorphisms of Lie group and Lie algebras. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 175–179.
 - [24] Schafer R.D., An introduction to nonassociative algebras. Academic Press, New York, (1966).
 - [25] Wagemann F., Introduction to Lie algebra cohomology with a view towards BRST cohomology. Lecture Notes. **42** (2010).