

On subclasses of Browder and Weyl operators

Z. Aznay, A. Ouahab, H. Zariouh

Abstract

The main purpose of this paper, is to introduce and study the classes (ab_e) and (aw_e) which are strongly related to what has been recently studied in [3]. Furthermore, we give the connection between these classes and those that have been studied in [6]. We also give an affirmative answer to a question asked in [3].

1 Introduction

In 1909, H.Weyl [15] examined the spectra of all compact perturbation of a self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space, and found that their intersection consisted precisely of those points of the spectrum which were not isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. Today this classical result may be stated by saying that the spectral points of a self-adjoint operator which do not belong to Weyl spectrum are precisely the eigenvalues of finite multiplicity which are isolated points of the spectrum. This Weyl's theorem has been extended from self-adjoint operators to normal operators and to several other Banach spaces classes of operators, and many new variants such as Rakočević's, Browder's have been obtained by many researchers, see for example [12, 5, 6, 11, 13, 14]. Moreover, in [7] Berkani extended Weyl's theorem (and some their variants) in the context of B-Fredholm theory to a new variant called generalized Weyl's theorem and he proved in particular that the class of normal operators (\mathcal{N}) is a subclass of the class (gW) of operators satisfying generalized Weyl's theorem, which in turn is a subclass of the class (W) of operators satisfying Weyl's theorem; so that $(\mathcal{N}) \subset (gW) \subset (W)$. On the other hand, a result due to Conway [9, Chapter XI, Proposition 4.6] shows that the essential spectrum of a normal operator consists precisely of all points in its spectrum except the isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. As a motivation for this result, we went very recently (see [3]) in the same direction by determining the class named (W_e) of operators which satisfy this result and we proved that $(\mathcal{N}) \subset (gW_e) = (gW) \cap (W_e) \subset (W)$; where (gW_e) is the generalization class of (W_e) in the context of B-Fredholm theory. As a continuation of [3], we extend these result by studying others new classes of operators named (gaw_e) and (aw_e) and we prove that $(\mathcal{N}) \subset (gaw_e) = (gaw) \cap (gW_e) \subset (aw_e) = (aw) \cap (W_e)$; where (gaw) and (aw) are the classes studied in [6]. Furthermore, we give an affirmative answer to a question asked in [3].

^o2010 AMS subject classification: Primary 47A53, 47A10, 47A11
 Keywords: (aw_e) -operators, (ab_e) -operators

2 Terminology and preliminaries

Let X denote an infinite dimensional complex Banach space, and denote by $L(X)$ the algebra of all bounded linear operators on X . For $T \in L(X)$, we denote by T^* , $\alpha(T)$ and $\beta(T)$ the dual of T , the dimension of the kernel $\mathcal{N}(T)$ and the codimension of the range $\mathcal{R}(T)$, respectively. By $\sigma(T)$ and $\sigma_a(T)$, we denote the spectrum and the approximate spectrum of T , respectively.

Recall that T is said to be upper semi-Fredholm, if $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is closed and $\alpha(T) < \infty$, while T is called lower semi-Fredholm, if $\beta(T) < \infty$. $T \in L(X)$ is said to be semi-Fredholm if T is either an upper semi-Fredholm or a lower semi-Fredholm operator. T is Fredholm if T is upper semi-Fredholm and lower semi-Fredholm. If T is semi-Fredholm then the index of T is defined by $\text{ind}(T) = \alpha(T) - \beta(T)$. For an operator $T \in L(X)$, the ascent $p(T)$ and the descent $q(T)$ are defined by $p(T) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{N}(T^n) = \mathcal{N}(T^{n+1})\}$ and $q(T) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{R}(T^n) = \mathcal{R}(T^{n+1})\}$, respectively; the infimum over the empty set is taken ∞ . An operator T is said to be Weyl if it is Fredholm of index zero and is said to be Browder if it is Fredholm of finite ascent and descent.

If $T \in L(X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $T_{[n]}$ the restriction of T on $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$. T is said to be semi-B-Fredholm if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$ is closed and $T_{[n]} : \mathcal{R}(T^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(T^n)$ is semi-Fredholm. A B-Fredholm (resp., B-Weyl) operator is similarly defined.

We recall that a complex number $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ is a pole of the resolvent of T , if $T - \lambda I$ has finite ascent and finite descent, and $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T)$ is a left pole of T if $p = p(T - \lambda I) < \infty$ and $\mathcal{R}((T - \lambda I)^{p+1})$ is closed. In the following list, we summarize the notations and symbols needed later.

iso A : isolated points in a given subset A of \mathbb{C} , acc A : accumulation points of a given subset A of \mathbb{C} , A^C : the complementary of a subset $A \subset \mathbb{C}$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we denote by $B(\lambda, \epsilon) = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \mu| < \epsilon\}$ the open ball of radius ϵ centred at λ , $D(\lambda, \epsilon) = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \mu| \leq \epsilon\}$ the closed ball of radius ϵ centred at λ and $C(\lambda, \epsilon) = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \mu| = \epsilon\}$ the circle of radius ϵ centred at λ .

(B): the class of operators satisfying Browder's theorem [$T \in (B)$ if $\Delta(T) = \Pi^0(T)$],

(gB): the class of operators satisfying generalized Browder's theorem [$T \in (gB)$ if $\Delta^g(T) = \Pi(T)$],

(W): the class of operators satisfying Weyl's theorem [$T \in (W)$ if $\Delta(T) = E^0(T)$],

(gW): the class of operators satisfying generalized Weyl's theorem [$T \in (gW)$ if $\Delta^g(T) = E(T)$].

$\sigma_b(T)$: Browder spectrum of T	$\Delta^g(T) := \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{bw}(T)$
$\sigma_{ub}(T)$: upper semi-Browder spectrum of T	$\Delta_e(T) := \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_e(T)$
$\sigma_w(T)$: Weyl spectrum of T	$\Delta_e^g(T) := \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{bf}(T)$
$\sigma_{uw}(T)$: upper semi-Weyl spectrum of T	$\Pi^0(T)$: poles of T of finite rank
$\sigma_e(T)$: essential spectrum of T	$\Pi(T)$: poles of T
$\sigma_d(T)$: Drazin spectrum of T	$\Pi_a^0(T)$: left poles of T of finite rank
$\sigma_{ld}(T)$: left Drazin spectrum of T	$\Pi_a(T)$: left poles of T
$\sigma_{bw}(T)$: B-Weyl spectrum of T	$E^0(T) := \text{iso } \sigma(T) \cap \sigma_p^0(T)$
$\sigma_{bf}(T)$: B-Fredholm spectrum of T	$E(T) := \text{iso } \sigma(T) \cap \sigma_p(T)$
$\sigma_p(T)$: eigenvalues of T	$E_a^0(T) := \text{iso } \sigma_a(T) \cap \sigma_p^0(T)$
$\sigma_p^0(T)$: eigenvalues of T of finite multiplicity	$E_a(T) := \text{iso } \sigma_a(T) \cap \sigma_p(T)$
$\Delta(T) := \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_w(T)$	

For more details on several classes and spectra originating from Fredholm or B-Fredholm theory,

we refer the reader to [1, 7].

3 The class (ab_e) -operators

In [3, Definition 2.1], we have introduced and studied the class (B_e) -operators, as a subclass of the class (B) of operators satisfying Browder's theorem. As a continuation of [3, 6], we introduce in the next definition a new class of operators named (ab_e) -operators, as a subclass of the classes (B_e) and (ab) . Recall [3, 6] that $T \in (ab)$ if $\Delta(T) = \Pi_a^0(T)$ and that $T \in (B_e)$ if $\Delta_e(T) = \Pi^0(T)$ [or equivalently $\sigma_e(T) = \sigma_b(T)$].

Definition 3.1. A bounded linear operator $T \in L(X)$ is said to belong to the class (ab_e) [$T \in (ab_e)$ for brevity] if $\Delta_e(T) = \Pi_a^0(T)$, and is said to belong to the class (gab_e) [$T \in (gab_e)$ for brevity] if $\Delta_e^g(T) = \Pi_a(T)$.

Example 3.2. (1) Let T be the operator defined on $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ (which is usually denoted by l^2) by $T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_1, \frac{x_2}{2}, \frac{x_3}{3}, \dots)$. It is easily seen that $\sigma(T) = \sigma_a(T) = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n}\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\sigma_{bf}(T) = \sigma_e(T) = \sigma_{ub}(T) = \sigma_{ld}(T) = \{0\}$. So $T \in (ab_e) \cap (gab_e)$.

(2) Let Q be the operator defined on $l^1(\mathbb{N})$ (which is usually denoted by l^1) by $Q(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (0, \alpha_1 x_1, \alpha_2 x_2, \dots, \alpha_k x_k, \dots)$, where (α_i) is a sequence of complex numbers such that $0 < |\alpha_i| < 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_i| < \infty$, and we define the operator T on $l^1 \oplus l^1$ by $T = Q \oplus 0$. We have $\sigma(T) = \sigma_a(T) = \{0\}$. It is easily seen that the range $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$ of T^n is not closed for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $\sigma_{bf}(T) = \sigma_e(T) = \{0\}$ and $\Pi_a^0(T) = \Pi_a(T) = \emptyset$. Thus $T \in (ab_e) \cap (gab_e)$.

Our next proposition prove that the class (ab_e) contains the class (gab_e) . Moreover, the example given below shows that this inclusion in general is proper.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $T \in L(X)$. If $T \in (gab_e)$, then $T \in (ab_e)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $T \in (gab_e)$ that's $\Delta_e^g(T) = \Pi_a(T)$. As $\Delta_e(T) \subset \Delta_e^g(T)$, then $\Delta_e(T) \subset \Pi_a^0(T)$. Conversely, let $\lambda \in \Pi_a^0(T)$. As $T \in (gab_e)$, then $\lambda \in [\sigma_{ub}(T) \cup \sigma_{bf}(T)]^C$, and so $T - \lambda I$ is both a semi-Fredholm and a B-Fredholm operator. Hence $T - \lambda I$ is a Fredholm operator and $\lambda \in \Delta_e(T)$. Thus $T \in (ab_e)$. \square

Example 3.4. Let S be the operator defined on l^2 by $S(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_1, 0, x_2, 0, x_3, \dots)$. And hereafter, let R denote the unilateral right shift operator defined on l^2 by $R(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$. We have $\sigma(S) = \sigma_e(S) = \sigma_{bf}(S) = D(0, 1)$ and $\sigma_{uf}(S) = \sigma_a(S) = C(0, 1)$. We consider the operator $T = S \oplus R \oplus 0$; where 0 means the null operator acting on l^2 . It is easily seen that $\sigma(T) = \sigma_e(T) = \sigma_{bf}(T) = D(0, 1)$, $\sigma_{ub}(T) = \sigma_a(T) = C(0, 1) \cup \{0\}$ and $\sigma_{ld}(T) = C(0, 1)$. So $\Delta_e(T) = \Delta_e^g(T) = \Pi_a^0(T) = \emptyset$ and $\Pi_a(T) = \{0\}$. This proves that $T \in (ab_e)$ and $T \notin (gab_e)$.

Proposition 3.5. *Every Riesz operator $T \in L(X)$ belongs to the class (gab_e) .*

Proof. As T is a Riesz operator, then $\sigma(T) = \sigma_a(T)$. And since $\sigma_e(T) = \sigma_{ub}(T) = \{0\}$, from [3, Theorem 2.14] we have $\sigma_{bf}(T) = \sigma_{ld}(T)$. Hence $T \in (gab_e)$. \square

Remark 3.6. The classes (ab_e) and (gab_e) are not stable under the duality. To see this, we consider the operator $A = P \oplus 2S$; where S is the operator defined in the Example 3.4 and P is the projection defined on l^2 onto $\mathbb{C}e_1$; where $e_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$. Then $\sigma(A) = \sigma_{bf}(A) = D(0, 2)$, $\sigma_{ub}(A) = \{0\} \cup C(0, 2)$ and $\sigma_a(A) = \{0, 1\} \cup C(0, 2)$. Hence $A \notin (ab_e)$ and then $A \notin (gab_e)$. However $A^* \in (gab_e)$, since $\sigma_a(A^*) = D(0, 2)$.

For $T \in L(X)$, we have always $\Delta(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$ and $\Delta^g(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$. However, we cannot guarantee these two inclusions, if we replace $\Delta(T)$ by $\Delta_e(T)$ and $\Delta^g(T)$ by $\Delta_e^g(T)$. As example, we have $\Delta_e(R) = \Delta_e^g(R) = B(0, 1) \not\subset \sigma_a(R) = C(0, 1)$. Nonetheless, we have the following lemma which will be useful in the sequel. For definitions and properties of operators with topological uniform descent, we refer the reader to the paper of Grabiner [10].

Lemma 3.7. Let $T \in L(X)$. Then the following statements hold.

- (i) $\Delta_e(T) \subset \sigma_a(T) \iff \Delta_e^g(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$.
- (ii) $\sigma_e(T) \subset \sigma_a(T) \iff \sigma_{bf}(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$.
- (iii) If $\Pi_a(T) \subset \Delta_e^g(T)$ then $\Pi_a^0(T) \subset \Delta_e(T)$.
- (iv) If $\Pi_a(T) \subset \Delta^g(T)$ then $\Pi_a^0(T) = \Pi^0(T)$.

Proof. (i) “If” let $\lambda \in \Delta_e^g(T)$, then by [10, Corollary 4.8] there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\lambda := B(\lambda, \epsilon) \setminus \{\lambda\} \subset (\sigma_e(T))^C$. If $B_\lambda \cap (\sigma_a(T))^C \neq \emptyset$, then by hypotheses there exists $\mu \notin \Delta_e(T)$ such that $\mu \notin \sigma_e(T)$. So $\mu \notin \sigma(T)$. We deduce from [10, Corollary 4.8], that $\lambda \in \Pi(T)$ and then $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T)$. If $B_\lambda \cap (\sigma_a(T))^C = \emptyset$, then for all $\mu \in B_\lambda$, we have $p(T - \mu I) = p(T - \lambda I) = \infty$. Hence $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T)$ and then $\Delta_e^g(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$. “Only if” obvious, since $\Delta_e(T) \subset \Delta_e^g(T)$.

(ii) “If” is obvious. “Only if” let $\lambda \notin \sigma_a(T)$, then $\lambda \notin \sigma_{bf}(T)$. So that $T - \lambda I$ is a semi-Fredholm and a B-Fredholm operator. So $\lambda \notin \sigma_e(T)$.

(iii) Since $\Pi_a^0(T) \subset \Pi_a(T)$, then $\Pi_a^0(T) \subset \Delta_e^g(T)$. Let $\lambda \in \Pi_a^0(T)$, then $T - \lambda I$ is a semi-Fredholm and a B-Fredholm operator. Hence $\lambda \in \Delta_e(T)$.

(iv) Goes similarly with (iii). □

Note that since from [3], the class (B_e) and its generalization class (gB_e) in the context of B-Fredholm theory coincide, then we only refer to the class (B_e) when necessary. We also recall the following equivalence which will be useful in the sequel: $\sigma_e(T) = \sigma_w(T) \iff \sigma_{bf}(T) = \sigma_{bw}(T)$.

Theorem 3.8. Let $T \in L(X)$. The following statements hold.

- (i) If $\Pi_a^0(T) \subset \Delta_e(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$, then $\Pi^0(T) = \Pi_a^0(T)$.
- (ii) If $\Pi_a(T) \subset \Delta_e^g(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$, then $\Pi(T) = \Pi_a(T)$ and $\Pi^0(T) = \Pi_a^0(T)$.
- (iii) $T \in (B_e) \iff \Delta_e(T) \subset \Pi(T) \iff \Delta_e(T) \subset \Pi_a^0(T) \iff \Delta_e(T) \subset \Pi_a(T) \iff \Delta_e^g(T) \subset \Pi_a(T)$.

Proof. (i) Let $\lambda \in \Pi_a^0(T)$, then $T - \lambda I$ is an operator of topological uniform descent for $n \geq d$; where d is the degree of stable iteration of $T - \lambda I$. As $(T - \lambda I)^{d+1}$ is also a semi-Fredholm operator, then $\epsilon := \gamma((T - \lambda I)|_{\mathcal{R}(T - \lambda I)^d}) > 0$. For $\mu \in B_\lambda := B(\lambda, \epsilon) \setminus \{\lambda\}$, we set $S = T - \lambda I$ and $V = T - \mu I$. Then $\|(V - S)|_{\mathcal{R}(T - \lambda I)^d}\| = \|(\lambda - \mu)I|_{\mathcal{R}(T - \lambda I)^d}\| = |\lambda - \mu| < \epsilon$. Hence the operator $V - S$ is sufficiently small and invertible. As $\Pi_a^0(T) \subset \Delta_e(T)$ then by [10, Corollary 4.8], V is a Fredholm

operator with $\alpha(V) = 0$. Hence $B_\lambda \subset (\sigma_e(T) \cup \sigma_a(T))^C$. Let us to show that $B_\lambda \subset (\sigma(T))^C$. Suppose to the contrary, that's there exists $\mu \in B_\lambda$ such that $\mu \in \sigma(T)$. Then $\mu \in \Delta_e(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$, and this is a contradiction. Using again [10, Corollary 4.8], we deduce that $\lambda \in \Pi^0(T)$. Hence $\Pi^0(T) = \Pi_a^0(T)$.

(ii) Goes similarly with the first point. Remark that Lemma 3.7 proves that the condition $\Pi_a(T) \subset \Delta_e^g(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$ implies that $\Pi_a^0(T) \subset \Delta_e(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$.

(iii) Let us to show only that $\Delta_e(T) \subset \Pi_a(T) \implies \Delta_e^g(T) \subset \Pi_a(T)$. All other equivalences are clear and are left to the reader. From Lemma 3.7, we have $\Delta_e^g(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$. Let $\lambda \in \Delta_e^g(T)$ be arbitrary. From the punctured neighborhood theorem for semi-B-Fredholm operators, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\lambda := B(\lambda, \epsilon) \setminus \{\lambda\} \subset (\sigma_e(T))^C$. If there exists $\mu \in B_\lambda \cap \sigma_a(T)$, then $\mu \in \Delta_e(T) \subset \Pi_a(T)$ and from the Grabiner's theory, we deduce that $\mu \notin \sigma_a(T)$, this is a contradiction. So $B_\lambda \subset (\sigma_a(T))^C$. Hence $\lambda \notin \sigma_{ld}(T)$ and then $\lambda \in \Pi_a(T)$. \square

The condition " $\Delta_e(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$ " (which is equivalent by Lemma 3.7 to " $\Delta_e^g(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$ ") assumed in the previous theorem is crucial. Indeed, we consider the operator $U = T \oplus R$; where T is the operator defined in the first point of Example 3.2. Then $\sigma(U) = \sigma_w(U) = \sigma_b(U) = D(0, 1)$, $\sigma_a(U) = \{0\} \cup \{1/n\}_{n \geq 2} \cup C(0, 1)$ and $\sigma_e(U) = \sigma_{bf}(U) = \sigma_{ub}(U) = \sigma_{ld}(U) = \{0\} \cup C(0, 1)$. So $\Pi_a^0(U) = \Pi_a(U) = \{1/n\}_{n \geq 2} \subset D(0, 1) \setminus (\{0\} \cup C(0, 1)) = \Delta_e(U) = \Delta_e^g(U)$. But $\Pi^0(U) = \Pi(U) = \emptyset \neq \Pi_a^0(U)$.

From Theorem 3.8 we obtain immediately the following corollary, which gives a relationship between the classes (ab_e) , (gab_e) and the class (B_e) .

Corollary 3.9. *Let $T \in L(X)$. The following statements hold.*

- (i) $T \in (ab_e)$ if and only if $T \in (B_e)$ and $\Pi^0(T) = \Pi_a^0(T)$.
- (ii) $T \in (gab_e)$ if and only if $T \in (B_e)$ and $\Pi(T) = \Pi_a(T)$.

Corollary 3.9 above shows that the class (ab_e) is included in the class (B_e) . However, the operator given in Remark 3.6 above, shows that this inclusion in general is proper.

Our next proposition gives a relationship between the class (ab_e) (resp., the class (gab_e)) and the class (ab) (resp., the class (gab)). Its proof is simple and is left to the reader. Recall that [6], $T \in (gab)$ if $\Delta^g(T) = \Pi_a(T)$. And it is proved in [6] that an operator $T \in (gab)$ implies that $T \in (ab)$, but in general not conversely.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $T \in L(X)$. The following statements hold.*

- (i) $T \in (ab_e)$ if and only if $T \in (ab)$ and $\sigma_e(T) = \sigma_w(T)$.
- (ii) $T \in (gab_e)$ if and only if $T \in (gab)$ and $\sigma_e(T) = \sigma_w(T)$.

From Corollary 3.9 and Proposition 3.10, we conclude that $(ab_e) = (ab) \cap (B_e)$ and $(gab_e) = (gab) \cap (B_e)$. Moreover, we show by the following example that the inclusions $(ab_e) \subset (ab)$ and $(gab_e) \subset (gab)$ in general are proper. Here and elsewhere, L denotes the unilateral left shift operator defined on l^2 by $L(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (x_2, x_3, \dots)$. It is easily seen that $\sigma(L) = \sigma_w(L) =$

$\sigma_a(L) = \sigma_{ub}(L) = \sigma_{ld}(L) = D(0, 1)$ and $\sigma_e(L) = C(0, 1)$. So $\Delta^g(L) = \Pi_a(L) = \emptyset \neq \Delta_e(L)$. Thus $L \in (gab)$ and then $L \in (ab)$. But $L \notin (ab_e)$ and then $L \notin (gab_e)$.

Remark 3.11. It follows from Corollary 3.9 and Proposition 3.10 that if $T \in (ab_e)$, then $\Delta(T) = \Delta_e(T) = \Pi^0(T) = \Pi_a^0(T)$ and $\Delta^g(T) = \Delta_e^g(T) = \Pi(T)$. And if in addition, $T \in (gab_e)$ then $\Delta(T) = \Delta_e(T) = \Pi^0(T) = \Pi_a^0(T)$ and $\Delta^g(T) = \Delta_e^g(T) = \Pi(T) = \Pi_a(T)$.

From Proposition 3.3 and Remark 3.11, we obtain the following result which gives a sufficient condition for an operator belonging to the class (ab_e) , to belong to the class (gab_e) .

Corollary 3.12. *Let $T \in L(X)$. Then $T \in (gab_e)$ if and only if $T \in (ab_e)$ and $\Pi(T) = \Pi_a(T)$.*

In [3], we had mentioned that the left shift operator $L \in (aB)$, but $L \notin (B_e)$ and we had asked the following question. Does there exist an operator $T \in L(X)$ such that $T \in (B_e)$, but $T \notin (aB)$? We answer here this question affirmatively. Note that (aB) is the class of operators satisfying the classical a-Browder's theorem that is $T \in (aB)$ if $\sigma_{uw}(T) = \sigma_{ub}(T)$.

Example 3.13. We consider the operator T defined on $l^2 \oplus l^2$ by $T = L \oplus S$, where $S(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_1, 0, x_2, 0, x_3, \dots)$ is the operator defined in Example 3.4. We have $\sigma(T) = \sigma_e(T) = \sigma_{ub}(T) = D(0, 1)$ and $\sigma_{ue}(T) = \sigma_{uw}(T) = C(0, 1)$. Thus $T \in (B_e)$, but $T \notin (aB)$. So the classes (aB) and (B_e) are independent.

4 The class (aw_e) -operators

According to [6], we say that $T \in (aw)$ if $\Delta(T) = E_a^0(T)$ and according to [3], we say that $T \in (W_e)$ if $\Delta_e(T) = E^0(T)$. As a continuation of [3, 6] and the first part of this paper, we introduce a new class called (aw_e) -operators, as a subclass of the classes (ab_e) and (aw) . Furthermore, we prove that $(aw_e) = (aw) \cap (W_e)$.

Definition 4.1. A bounded linear operator $T \in L(X)$ is said to belong to the class (aw_e) [$T \in (aw_e)$ for brevity] if $\Delta_e(T) = E_a^0(T)$, and is said to belong to the class (gaw_e) [$T \in (gaw_e)$ for brevity] if $\Delta_e^g(T) = E_a(T)$.

Example 4.2. (1) Every normal operator N acting on a Hilbert space belongs to $(gaw_e) \cap (aw_e)$. Indeed, from [3, Corollary 3.10] we obtain $\Delta_e(N) = E^0(N) = E_a^0(N) = \{\text{iso } \sigma(N) : \alpha(N - \lambda I) < \infty\}$ and $\Delta_e^g(N) = E(N) = E_a(N) = \text{iso } \sigma(N)$.

(2) Let T be the operator defined on l^2 by $T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (\frac{x_2}{2}, \frac{x_3}{3}, \frac{x_4}{4}, \dots)$. Since $E_a(T) = E_a^0(T) = \{0\}$ and $\sigma(T) = \sigma_e(T) = \sigma_{bf}(T) = \{0\}$, then $T \notin (aw_e) \cup (gaw_e)$.

(3) Every injective quasinilpotent operator T acting on an infinite dimensional Banach space belongs to $(gaw_e) \cap (aw_e)$, since $\sigma_{bf}(T) = \sigma_e(T) = \{0\} = \sigma(T)$ and $E_a(T) = E_a^0(T) = \emptyset$.

The following proposition, gives a relationship between the class (aw_e) [resp., (gaw_e)] and the class (ab_e) [resp., (gab_e)].

Proposition 4.3. *Let $T \in L(X)$. The following statements hold.*

- (i) $T \in (aw_e)$ if and only if $T \in (ab_e)$ and $\Pi_a^0(T) = E_a^0(T)$.
- (ii) $T \in (gaw_e)$ if and only if $T \in (gab_e)$ and $\Pi_a(T) = E_a(T)$.

Proof. (i) Assume that $T \in (aw_e)$. As $\Pi_a^0(T) \subset E_a^0(T)$, then $\Pi_a^0(T) \subset \Delta_e(T)$. Moreover, $\Delta_e(T) = \Delta_e(T) \cap E_a^0(T) \subset \text{iso } \sigma_a(T) \cap (\sigma_e(T))^C$. Since the inclusion $\text{iso } \sigma_a(T) \cap (\sigma_e(T))^C \subset \Pi_a^0(T)$ is always true, it follows that $T \in (ab_e)$ and $\Pi_a^0(T) = E_a^0(T)$. The converse is obvious.

(ii) Goes similarly with the first point. \square

Remark that the operator T given in the second point of Example 4.2, shows that the class (aw_e) in general is a proper subclass of the class (ab_e) . It shows also that the class (gaw_e) in general is a proper subclass of the class (gab_e) .

From Proposition 4.3 and Corollary 3.12, we obtain the following corollary, which gives a relationship between the classes (aw_e) and (gaw_e) .

Corollary 4.4. *Let $T \in L(X)$. Then $T \in (gaw_e)$ if and only if $T \in (aw_e)$ and $E_a(T) = \Pi(T)$.*

Proof. Assume that $T \in (gaw_e)$. From Proposition 4.3 and Corollary 3.12, we have $T \in (gab_e)$ and $E_a(T) = \Pi(T) = \Pi_a(T)$. So $E_a^0(T) = \Pi_a^0(T)$. Hence $T \in (aw_e)$ and $E_a(T) = \Pi(T)$. Conversely, suppose that $T \in (aw_e)$ and $E_a(T) = \Pi(T)$. So $E_a(T) = \Pi(T) = \Pi_a(T)$. From Proposition 4.3, $T \in (ab_e)$ and by Remark 3.11, $\Delta_e^g(T) = \Pi(T) = E_a(T)$. Thus $T \in (gaw_e)$. \square

From Corollary 4.4, the class (gaw_e) is included in the class (aw_e) . Furthermore, this inclusion in general is proper. For this, we consider the operator B defined on $l^2 \oplus l^2$ by $B = Q \oplus 0$; where Q is any injective quasi-nilpotent operator defined on an infinite dimensional Banach space. We have $B \in (aw_e)$, since $\sigma(B) = \sigma_e(B) = \{0\}$ and $E_a^0(B) = \emptyset$. But $B \notin (gaw_e)$, since $\sigma_{bf}(B) = E_a(B) = \{0\}$. Here $\Pi(B) = \emptyset$.

Remark 4.5. The classes (aw_e) and (gaw_e) are not stable under the duality. To see this, let S be the operator defined on l^2 by $S(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, \frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{x_2}{3}, \frac{x_3}{4}, \dots)$. Since S is quasi-nilpotent and compact operator which is not of finite rank, then $\sigma(S) = \sigma_e(S) = \sigma_{bf}(S) = \{0\}$ and $E_a(S) = E_a^0(S) = \emptyset$. So $S \in (gaw_e)$. But its dual $S^* = T \notin (aw_e)$, as seen in Example 4.2.

Recall [6] that $T \in (gaw)$ if $\Delta^g(T) = E_a(T)$. And it is proved in [6] that the class (gaw) is a subclass of the class (aw) . Our next proposition, proves that the class (aw_e) [resp., (gaw_e)] is included in the class (aw) [resp., (gaw)]. Moreover, the left shift operator L shows that in general these two inclusions are proper. We have $\sigma(L) = \sigma_a(T) = \sigma_{bw}(L) = \sigma_w(L) = D(0, 1)$, $\sigma_{bf}(L) = \sigma_e(L) = C(0, 1)$ and $E_a(L) = E_a^0(L) = \emptyset$. Thus $L \in (gaw)$ and then $L \in (aw)$. But $L \notin (aw_e)$ and then $L \notin (gaw_e)$.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $T \in L(X)$. The following statements hold.*

- (i) $T \in (aw_e)$ if and only if $T \in (aw)$ and $\sigma_e(T) = \sigma_w(T)$.
- (ii) $T \in (gaw_e)$ if and only if $T \in (gaw)$ and $\sigma_e(T) = \sigma_w(T)$.

Proof. (i) If $T \in (aw_e)$ then from Proposition 4.3, $T \in (ab_e)$. From Proposition 3.10, $\sigma_e(T) = \sigma_w(T)$ and so $T \in (aw)$. The converse is obvious.

(ii) Goes similarly with (i). \square

We give in the following proposition, the relationship between the class (aw_e) [resp., (gaw_e)] and the class (W_e) [resp., (gW_e)]. The class (gW_e) was introduced and studied in [3] as follows: an operator $T \in (gW_e)$ if $\Delta_e^g(T) = E(T)$, and it is proved in [3] that (gW_e) is a subclass of (W_e) .

Proposition 4.7. *Let $T \in L(X)$. The following statements hold.*

(i) $T \in (aw_e)$ if and only if $T \in (W_e)$ and $E^0(T) = E_a^0(T)$.

(ii) $T \in (gaw_e)$ if and only if $T \in (gW_e)$ and $E(T) = E_a(T)$.

Proof. (i) If $T \in (aw_e)$, then $T \in (ab_e)$. From Remark 3.11 we conclude that $\Delta_e(T) = \Pi^0(T) = \Pi_a^0(T) = E_a^0(T)$. So $\Delta_e(T) = \Pi^0(T) = \Pi_a^0(T) = E_a^0(T) = E^0(T)$. Thus $T \in (W_e)$ and $E^0(T) = E_a^0(T)$. The converse is clear.

(ii) Goes similarly with (i). \square

From Propositions 4.6 and 4.7, we deduce that $(aw_e) = (aw) \cap (W_e)$ and $(gaw_e) = (gaw) \cap (gW_e)$. But the operator A given in Remark 3.6, shows that the inclusions $(aw_e) \subset (W_e)$ and $(gaw_e) \subset (gW_e)$ in general are proper.

Now, we give a similar results to Lemma 3.7 and to Theorem 3.8. The proof of Theorem 4.9 is left to the reader.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $T \in L(X)$.*

(i) If $E_a(T) \subset \Delta_e^g(T)$ then $E_a^0(T) \subset \Delta_e(T)$.

(ii) If $E_a(T) \subset \Delta_e^g(T)$ then $E_a^0(T) \subset \Delta(T)$.

Proof. (i) Since $E_a(T) \subset \Delta_e^g(T)$, then $E_a^0(T) \subset E_a^0(T) \cap \Delta_e^g(T)$. We have always $E_a^0(T) \cap \Delta_e^g(T) \subset \Delta_e(T)$. Indeed, if $\lambda \in E_a^0(T) \cap \Delta_e^g(T)$, then $T - \lambda I$ is a B-Fredholm operator with $\alpha(T - \lambda I) < \infty$. Hence, $T - \lambda I$ is a semi-Fredholm and consequently $T - \lambda I$ is a Fredholm operator. Thus $\lambda \in \Delta_e(T)$.

(ii) Goes similarly with (i). \square

Theorem 4.9. *Let $T \in L(X)$. The following statements hold.*


(i) If $E_a^0(T) \subset \Delta_e(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$, then $E^0(T) = E_a^0(T) = \Pi^0(T) = \Pi_a^0(T)$.

(ii) If $E_a(T) \subset \Delta_e^g(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$, then $E(T) = E_a(T) = \Pi(T) = \Pi_a(T)$ and $E^0(T) = E_a^0(T) = \Pi^0(T) = \Pi_a^0(T)$.

Remark 4.10. From Proposition 4.3, Proposition 4.7 and Remark 3.11 (or from Theorem 4.9 and Proposition 4.6), we deduce that if $T \in (aw_e)$ then $\Delta(T) = \Delta_e(T) = \Pi^0(T) = \Pi_a^0(T) = E^0(T) = E_a^0(T)$ and $\Delta^g(T) = \Delta_e^g(T) = \Pi(T)$. If in addition, $T \in (gaw_e)$ then $\Delta(T) = \Delta_e(T) = \Pi^0(T) = \Pi_a^0(T) = E^0(T) = E_a^0(T)$ and $\Delta^g(T) = \Delta_e^g(T) = \Pi(T) = \Pi_a(T) = E(T) = E_a(T)$.

As conclusion, we give a summary of the results obtained in the two preceding parts of this paper. In the following diagram, the arrows signify the relation of inclusion between the known

classes (B) , (gB) , (B_e) , (gB_e) , (ab) , (gab) , (W) , (gW) , (W_e) , (gW_e) , (aw) , (gaw) and various classes introduced and studied in this paper. The numbers near the arrows are references to the results obtained in the present paper (numbers without brackets) or to the bibliography therein (the numbers in square brackets).



References

- [1] P. Aiena, *Fredholm and Local Spectral Theory II, with Application to Weyl-type Theorems*, Springer Lecture Notes of Math no. 2235, (2018).
- [2] M. Amouch and H. Zguitti, *On the equivalence of Browder's and generalized Browder's theorem*, Glasgow Math. J., **48** (2006), 179–185.

- [3] Z. Aznay and H. Zariouh, *On the class (W_e) -operators*, Preprint, arXiv:2105.02131, (2021).
- [4] M. Berkani, *On a class of quasi-Fredholm operators*, Integer. equ. oper. Theory, **34** (1999), 244–249.
- [5] M. Berkani, H. Zariouh, *Extended Weyl type theorems*, Math. Bohemica **134** (2009) 369–378.
- [6] M. Berkani, H. Zariouh *New extended Weyl type theorems*, Mat. Vesnik, **62** (2010), 145–154.
- [7] M. Berkani and J. J. Koliha, *Weyl type theorems for bounded linear operators*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), **69** (2003), 359–376.
- [8] M. Berkani and M. Sarih, *On semi B -Fredholm operators*, Glasg. Math. J., **43** (2001), 457–465.
- [9] John. B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*; Second Edition, Springer Science Business Media, (2004).
- [10] S. Grabiner, *Uniform ascent and descent of bounded operators*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **34** (1982), 317–337.
- [11] A. Gupta and N. Kashyap, *Property (Bw) and Weyl type theorems*, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl., **3** (2011), 1–7.
- [12] R.E. Harte, W.Y. Lee, *Another note on Weyl's theorem*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., **349** (1997), 2115– 2124 .
- [13] V. Rakočević, *On a class of operators*, Mat. Vesnik, **37** (1985), 423–426.
- [14] V. Rakočević, *Operators obeying a-Weyl's theorem*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., **34** (1989), 915–919.
- [15] H. Weyl, *Über Beschränkte quadratische formen, deren differenz vollstetig ist*, Rend. Circ.Mat. Palermo, **27** (1909), 373–392.

Zakariae Aznay,
 Laboratory (L.A.N.O), Department of Mathematics,
 Faculty of Science, Mohammed I University,
 Oujda 60000 Morocco.
 aznay.zakariae@ump.ac.ma

Abdelmalek Ouahab,
 Laboratory (L.A.N.O), Department of Mathematics,
 Faculty of Science, Mohammed I University,
 Oujda 60000 Morocco.
 ouahab05@yahoo.fr

Hassan Zariouh,
 Department of Mathematics (CRMEFO),
 and laboratory (L.A.N.O), Faculty of Science,
 Mohammed I University, Oujda 60000 Morocco.
 h.zariouh@yahoo.fr