

Higher form Yang-Mills as higher BFYM theories

Danhua Song, Kai Lou, Ke Wu, Jie Yang

School of Mathematical Sciences, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048, China

Abstract

In this paper, we establish higher structures for deformed BF models. We call them 2BFYM and 3BFYM, which give rise to the corresponding dynamics of 2-form Yang-Mills and 3-form Yang-Mills. 2BF and 3BF theories are the generalizations of BF theory within the higher gauge theory framework. BFYM theory, as a deformation of BF theory, can give the formulation of the standard Yang-Mills theory. We generalize the BFYM theory to 2BFYM and 3BFYM theories by constructing their actions. Then we prove that they can give the formulations of the higher Yang-Mills theories. Finally, we study the gauge symmetries of 2BFYM and 3BFYM theories.

Keywords: crossed module, 2-crossed module, 2-form Yang-Mills, 3-form Yang-Mills, 2BFYM theory, 3BFYM theory.

1. Introduction

As an ordinary gauge theory, the BF theory [1, 2] which works in any dimension is a topological field theory [3]. For a discussion of this topic and its development, we suggest [4–12], and references therein. Given a Lie group G and its corresponding Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , we consider a \mathfrak{g} -valued gauge field 1-form A over a d -dimensional manifold M and its corresponding field strength 2-form $F = dA + A \wedge A$. The BF action is defined by

$$S_{BF} = \int_M \langle \bar{B}, F \rangle, \quad (1.1)$$

where \bar{B} is a \mathfrak{g} -valued Lagrange multiplier $(d-2)$ -form and the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is defined in $\Lambda^k(M, \mathfrak{g})$. The classical field equations of (1.1) are

$$F = 0, \quad d\bar{B} + A \wedge^{[\cdot]} \bar{B} = 0,$$

where $[\cdot]$ is a Lie bracket acts on A and \bar{B} 's Lie algebras. And the first equation implies that A is a flat connection, and the second equation implies that the Lagrange multiplier \bar{B} is a constant field which means that BF theory is a topological field theory. In this paper, we study the non-abelian BF theory and the abelian case is considered in [13].

In addition, there is a deformed BF theory, known as the BFYM theory, in which the action is

$$S_{BFYM} = \int_M \langle \bar{B}, F \rangle + e^2 \langle \bar{B}, *\bar{B} \rangle, \quad (1.2)$$

where e is a nonvanishing coupling constant and $*$ is the Hodge star operator on M . The field equations of (1.2) are

$$F + 2e^2 *\bar{B} = 0, \quad d\bar{B} + A \wedge^{[\cdot]} \bar{B} = 0.$$

By solving the first equation to get \bar{B} , and plugging the result into the second equation, we obtain the Yang-Mills equation, namely, $d*F + A \wedge^{[\cdot]} *F = 0$. On the other hand, by substituting the solution

Email addresses: danhua_song@163.com (Danhua Song), wuke@cnu.edu.cn (Ke Wu)

for \overline{B} back into (1.2), we obtain the Yang-Mills action [1]

$$S_{YM} = -\frac{1}{4e^2} \int_M \langle F, *F \rangle. \quad (1.3)$$

Consequently, the BFYM and Yang-Mills are classically equivalent [1, 14, 15]. The equivalence also holds at the quantum level [14, 16]. In the limit of vanishing coupling, $e \rightarrow 0$, the action (1.2) goes back to the topological BF action (1.1).

For quite a while, the higher gauge theory has attracted a lot of attention [17–28]. In the higher gauge theories approach, one can generalize the BF theory to 2BF [29–34] and 3BF theories [35]. Specifically, the constrained 2BF actions can describe the general relativity, Einstein-Yang-Mills theory [36] and the spincube model of quantum gravity [37], and so on. The constrained 3BF action can describe the correct dynamics of Yang-Mills, Klein-Gordon, Dirac, Weyl, and Majorana fields coupled to Einstein-Cartan gravity [25, 35, 38] and, etc. We summarize these models and their mathematical structures in Table 1.

| topological theory | categorical structure | algebraic structure | linear structure |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| BF | Lie group | Lie group | Lie algebra |
| 2BF | Lie 2-group | Lie crossed module | differential crossed module |
| 3BF | Lie 3-group | Lie 2-crossed module | differential 2-crossed module |

Table 1: The mathematical structures of BF, 2BF and 3BF

However, the question is whether the higher BF theories can give the formulations of the higher Yang-Mills theories. The answer is no. So it is interesting to see if we can push the deformed BF theory further and set up some sort of ‘higher deformed BF theory’ which is equivalent to the ‘higher-form Yang-Mills theory’. This indeed turns out to be the case, and we will try to elaborate on that in detail.

The study of higher Yang-Mills theory was originally proposed in [39] in which Baez pushes the Yang-Mills theory further and set up a kind of 2-form Yang-Mills theory by using the idea of a categorical ladder. Gastel, in 2018, gave the definitions of 2-Yang-Mills and 3-Yang-Mills theory [40]. But his idea is different from Baez’s. In our work [41], we perform one more step along Baez’s approach, generalizing the underlying algebraic structure to a Lie 3-group, which is equivalent to a Lie 2-crossed module [21]. This generalization gives rise to 3-form Yang-Mills.

We will generalize the BFYM theory to the higher BFYM theories, in the framework of higher gauge theory. We will construct the action for the higher BFYM theories which can describe the corresponding dynamics of higher Yang-Mills theories. The results of this paper and some related formulas are summarized in Table 2, in which 2BFYM and 3BFYM are our constructions.

| theory | action |
|-------------------|---|
| BF | $S_{BF} = \int_M \langle \bar{B}, F \rangle$ |
| BFYM | $S_{BFYM} = \int_M \langle \bar{B}, F \rangle + e^2 \langle \bar{B}, * \bar{B} \rangle$ |
| Yang-Mills | $S_{YM} = -\frac{1}{4e^2} \int_M \langle F, *F \rangle$ |
| 2BF | $S_{2BF} = \int_M \langle \bar{B}, \mathcal{F} \rangle + \langle \bar{C}, \mathcal{G} \rangle$ |
| 2BFYM | $S_{2BFYM} = \int_M \langle \bar{B}, \mathcal{F} \rangle + e^2 \langle \bar{B}, * \bar{B} \rangle + \langle \bar{C}, \mathcal{G} \rangle + (-1)^{d+1} e^2 \langle \bar{C}, * \bar{C} \rangle$ |
| 2-form Yang-Mills | $S_{2YM} = (-\frac{1}{4e^2}) \int_M \langle \mathcal{F}, * \mathcal{F} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{G}, * \mathcal{G} \rangle$ |
| 3BF | $S_{3BF} = \int_M \langle \bar{B}, \mathcal{F} \rangle + \langle \bar{C}, \mathcal{G} \rangle + \langle \bar{D}, \mathcal{H} \rangle$ |
| 3BFYM | $S_{3BFYM} = \int_M \langle \bar{B}, \mathcal{F} \rangle + e^2 \langle \bar{B}, * \bar{B} \rangle + \langle \bar{C}, \mathcal{G} \rangle + (-1)^{d+1} e^2 \langle \bar{C}, * \bar{C} \rangle + \langle \bar{D}, \mathcal{H} \rangle + e^2 \langle \bar{D}, * \bar{D} \rangle$ |
| 3-form Yang-Mills | $S_{3YM} = (-\frac{1}{4e^2}) \int_M \langle \mathcal{F}, * \mathcal{F} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{G}, * \mathcal{G} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{H}, * \mathcal{H} \rangle$ |

Table 2: The results of higher form YM, BF theories

The layout of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we give a short overview of the Lie 2-crossed module and differential 2-crossed module. In addition, we develop the notion of G -invariant bilinear form in the differential 2-crossed module, which is a generalization of the counterpart in the differential crossed module. In section 3, we apply this construction to define the G -invariant bilinear forms in the vector spaces of the Lie algebra valued differential forms. In section 4, we give a brief overview of BF, 2BF and 3BF theories. In section 5, we extend the 2-form Yang-Mills theory in the 4-dimensional manifold to the one on the d -dimensional manifold M , and apply this developed formalism to construct the 3-form Yang-Mills action. In the section 6, we construct the 2BFYM theory and 3BFYM theory based on the BFYM theory. Then, we analyze these higher BFYM theories which can describe the corresponding dynamics of the higher form Yang-Mills. Finally, we study the gauge symmetries of 2BFYM and 3BFYM theories.

2. 2-crossed modules (of Lie groups and Lie algebras)

In this section we review the central concepts: Lie crossed module, Lie 2-crossed module and their infinitesimal versions. A detailed discussion is given in [21, 39, 42–46].

2.1. Pre-crossed modules and crossed modules (of Lie groups and Lie algebras)

Definition 2.1 (Lie pre-crossed module). A Lie pre-crossed module $(H, G; \alpha, \triangleright)$ is given by a Lie group map $\alpha : H \rightarrow G$ together with a smooth left action \triangleright of G on H by automorphisms such that:

$$\alpha(g \triangleright h) = g\alpha(h)g^{-1}, \quad (2.1)$$

for each $g \in G$ and $h \in H$. The Peiffer commutators in a pre-crossed module are defined as $[[\cdot, \cdot]] : H \times H \rightarrow H$ by

$$[[h, h']] = hh'h^{-1} (\alpha(h) \triangleright h'^{-1}), \quad (2.2)$$

for any $h, h' \in H$.

A Lie pre-crossed module is said to be a **Lie crossed module (or a strict Lie 2-group)**, if all of its Peiffer commutators are trivial, which is to say that

$$\alpha(h) \triangleright h' = hh'h^{-1}, \quad (2.3)$$

for each $h, h' \in H$. Note that the map $(h_1, h_2) \in H \times H \rightarrow [[h, h']] \in H$, called the Peiffer pairing, is G -equivariant

$$g \triangleright [[h_1, h_2]] = [[g \triangleright h_1, g \triangleright h_2]], \quad (2.4)$$

for each $h_1, h_2 \in H$ and $g \in G$. Moreover $[[h_1, h_2]] = 1_H$ if either h_1 or h_2 is 1_H . A simple example of the Lie crossed module is $G = H = U(N)$ with α the identity map and the \triangleright the adjoint action.

A Lie crossed module has a corresponding differential crossed module, also called a Lie 2-algebra. Similarly, there is the infinitesimal version of the Lie pre-crossed module. In order not to introduce additional symbols, we use some same symbols as the Lie pre-crossed module. This approach is also used for the Lie 2-crossed module.

Definition 2.2 (Differential pre-crossed modules). A differential pre-crossed module $(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}; \alpha, \triangleright)$ is given by a Lie algebra map $\alpha : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ together with a left action \triangleright of \mathfrak{g} on \mathfrak{h} by derivations such that:

$$\alpha(X \triangleright Y) = [X, \alpha(Y)], \quad (2.5)$$

for each $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{h}$. The Peiffer commutators in a differential pre-crossed module are defined as $[[\cdot, \cdot]] : \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ by

$$[[Y, Y']] = [Y, Y'] - \alpha(Y) \triangleright Y', \quad (2.6)$$

for each $Y, Y' \in \mathfrak{h}$.

A differential pre-crossed module is said to be a **differential crossed module (or a strict Lie 2-algebra)**, if all of its Peiffer commutators vanish, which is to say that:

$$\alpha(Y) \triangleright Y' = [Y, Y'], \quad (2.7)$$

for each $Y, Y' \in \mathfrak{h}$. Note that the map $(Y_1, Y_2) \in \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow [[Y_1, Y_2]] \in \mathfrak{h}$, called the Peiffer pairing, is \mathfrak{g} -equivariant:

$$X \triangleright [[Y_1, Y_2]] = [[X \triangleright Y_1, Y_2]] + [[Y_1, X \triangleright Y_2]], \quad (2.8)$$

for each $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, and $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathfrak{h}$. Moreover the map $(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow X \triangleright Y \in \mathfrak{h}$ is necessarily bilinear, and we have

$$X \triangleright [Y_1, Y_2] = [X \triangleright Y_1, Y_2] + [Y_1, X \triangleright Y_2], \quad (2.9)$$

for each $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathfrak{h}$, and

$$[X_1, X_2] \triangleright Y = X_1 \triangleright (X_2 \triangleright Y) - X_2 \triangleright (X_1 \triangleright Y), \quad (2.10)$$

for each $X_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$, and $Y \in \mathfrak{h}$.

The differential version of our simple example from above is evidently $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} = u(N)$ with \triangleright being the adjoint action and α the identity map.

2.2. 2-crossed modules (of Lie groups and Lie algebras)

Definition 2.3 (Lie 2-crossed modules). A Lie 2-crossed module $(L, H, G; \beta, \alpha, \triangleright, \{, \})$ is given by a complex of Lie groups:

$$L \xrightarrow{\beta} H \xrightarrow{\alpha} G$$

together with smooth left action \triangleright by automorphisms of G on L and H (and on G by conjugation), i.e.

$$g \triangleright (e_1 e_2) = (g \triangleright e_1)(g \triangleright e_2), \quad (g_1 g_2) \triangleright e = g_1 \triangleright (g_2 \triangleright e), \quad (2.11)$$

for any $g, g_1, g_2 \in G, e, e_1, e_2 \in H$ or L , and a G -equivariant smooth function $\{, \} : H \times H \rightarrow L$, the Peiffer lifting, such that

$$g \triangleright \{h_1, h_2\} = \{g \triangleright h_1, g \triangleright h_2\}, \quad (2.12)$$

for any $g \in G$ and $h_1, h_2 \in H$. They satisfy:

- 1) $L \xrightarrow{\beta} H \xrightarrow{\alpha} G$ is a complex of G -modules (in other words β and α are G -equivariant and $\beta \circ \alpha$ maps L to 1_G , the identity of G);
- 2) $\beta \{h_1, h_2\} = [[h_1, h_2]]$, for each $h_1, h_2 \in H$;
- 3) $[l_1, l_2] = \{\beta(l_1), \beta(l_2)\}$, for each $l_1, l_2 \in L$, and here $[l_1, l_2] = l_1 l_2 l_1^{-1} l_2^{-1}$;
- 4) $\{h_1 h_2, h_3\} = \{h_1, h_2 h_3 h_2^{-1}\} \alpha(h_1) \triangleright \{h_2, h_3\}$, for each $h_1, h_2, h_3 \in H$;
- 5) $\{h_1, h_2 h_3\} = \{h_1, h_2\} \{h_1, h_3\} \{[[h_1, h_3]]^{-1}, \alpha(h_1) \triangleright h_2\}$, for each $h_1, h_2, h_3 \in H$;
- 6) $\{\beta(l), h\} \{h, \beta(l)\} = l(\alpha(h) \triangleright l^{-1})$, for each $h \in H$ and $l \in L$.

There is a left action of H on L by automorphisms \triangleright' which is defined by

$$h \triangleright' l = l \{ \beta(l)^{-1}, h \}, \quad (2.13)$$

for each $l \in L$ and $h \in H$. This together with the homomorphism $\beta : L \rightarrow H$ defines a crossed module. In particular, for any $h \in H$,

$$h \triangleright' 1_L = \{1_H, h\} = \{h, 1_H\} = 1_L,$$

where 1_H and 1_L are the identity of H and L , respectively.

Just as mentioned above, there is a differential 2-crossed module, also called a 2-crossed module of Lie algebras, appeared in [47].

Definition 2.4 (Differential 2-crossed modules). A differential 2-crossed module $(\ell, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}; \beta, \alpha, \triangleright, \{, \})$ is given by a complex of Lie algebras:

$$\ell \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathfrak{g},$$

together with left action \triangleright by derivations of \mathfrak{g} on $\ell, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}$ (on the latter by the adjoint representation), and a \mathfrak{g} -equivariant bilinear map $\{, \} : \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \ell$, the Peiffer lifting, such that

$$X \triangleright \{Y_1, Y_2\} = \{X \triangleright Y_1, Y_2\} + \{Y_1, X \triangleright Y_2\}, \quad (2.14)$$

for each $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathfrak{h}$. They satisfy:

- 1) $\ell \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathfrak{g}$ is a complex of \mathfrak{g} -modules;
- 2) $\beta \{Y_1, Y_2\} = [[Y_1, Y_2]]$, for each $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathfrak{h}$, where $[[Y_1, Y_2]] = [Y_1, Y_2] - \alpha(Y_1) \triangleright Y_2$;
- 3) $[Z_1, Z_2] = \{\beta(Z_1), \beta(Z_2)\}$, for each $Z_1, Z_2 \in L$;
- 4) $\{[Y_1, Y_2], Y_3\} = \alpha(Y_1) \triangleright \{Y_2, Y_3\} + \{Y_1, [Y_2, Y_3]\} - \alpha(Y_2) \triangleright \{Y_1, Y_3\} - \{Y_2, [Y_1, Y_3]\}$, for each $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \in \mathfrak{h}$. This is the same as :

$$\{[Y_1, Y_2], Y_3\} = \{\alpha(Y_1) \triangleright Y_2, Y_3\} - \{\alpha(Y_2) \triangleright Y_1, Y_3\} - \{Y_1, \beta \{Y_2, Y_3\}\} + \{Y_2, \beta \{Y_1, Y_2\}\};$$

- 5) $\{Y_1, [Y_2, Y_3]\} = \{\beta\{Y_1, Y_2\}, Y_3\} - \{\beta\{Y_1, Y_3\}, Y_2\}$ for each $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \in \mathfrak{h}$;
6) $\{\beta(Z), Y\} + \{Y, \beta(Z)\} = -(\alpha(Y) \triangleright Z)$, for each $Y \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{l}$.

Analogously to the Lie 2-crossed module case, there is a left action of \mathfrak{h} on \mathfrak{l} which is defined by

$$Y \triangleright' Z = -\{\beta(Z), Y\}, \quad (2.15)$$

for each $Y \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{l}$. This together with the homomorphism $\beta : \mathfrak{l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ defines a differential crossed module $(L, H; \beta, \triangleright')$.

2.3. non-degenerate symmetric G -invariant forms in Lie 2-crossed module

In this section, we set up the G -invariant bilinear forms on the Lie algebras of a Lie 2-crossed module, which is a generalization on the Lie algebras of a Lie crossed module [29] and is useful here and later on.

The mixed relations play an important part in our work. We will not try here to elaborate on that in adequate detail, but we still give some relevant facts. Let $(L, H, G; \beta, \alpha, \triangleright, \{, \})$ be a Lie 2-crossed module, and $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{h}, g; \beta, \alpha, \triangleright, \{, \})$ be the associated differential 2-crossed module. When $(H, G; \alpha, \triangleright)$ is a Lie crossed module, there are two mixed relations [29] induced by the action via \triangleright on H and also denoted by \triangleright

$$\alpha(g \triangleright Y) = g\alpha(Y)g^{-1}, \quad \forall g \in G, Y \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\alpha(h) \triangleright Y = hYh^{-1}, \quad \forall h \in H, Y \in \mathfrak{h}. \quad (2.17)$$

Besides, $(L, H; \beta, \triangleright')$ is also a Lie crossed module, i.e. satisfying

$$\beta(h \triangleright' l) = h\beta(l)h^{-1}, \quad \forall h \in H, l \in L, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\beta(l) \triangleright' l' = ll'l^{-1}, \quad \forall l, l' \in L. \quad (2.19)$$

Thus there are also the mixed relations, corresponding to the differential crossed module $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{h}; \beta, \triangleright')$, induced by the action via \triangleright' on L and also denoted by \triangleright'

$$\beta(h \triangleright' Z) = h\beta(Z)h^{-1}, \quad \forall h \in H, Z \in \mathfrak{l}, \quad (2.20)$$

$$\beta(l) \triangleright' Z = lZl^{-1}, \quad \forall l \in L, Z \in \mathfrak{l}. \quad (2.21)$$

Definition 2.5 (non-degenerate symmetric G -invariant forms). *Let us consider a Lie 2-crossed module $(L, H, G; \beta, \alpha, \triangleright, \{, \})$ and $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{h}, g; \beta, \alpha, \triangleright, \{, \})$ be the associated differential 2-crossed module. A symmetric non-degenerate G -invariant form in $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{h}, g; \beta, \alpha, \triangleright, \{, \})$ is given by a triple of non-degenerate symmetric bilinear forms \langle, \rangle_g in g , $\langle, \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}$ in \mathfrak{h} and $\langle, \rangle_{\mathfrak{l}}$ in \mathfrak{l} such that*

1. \langle, \rangle_g is G -invariant, i.e.

$$\langle gXg^{-1}, gX'g^{-1} \rangle_g = \langle X, X' \rangle_g, \quad \forall g \in G, X, X' \in g;$$

2. $\langle, \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is G -invariant, i.e.

$$\langle g \triangleright Y, g \triangleright Y' \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} = \langle Y, Y' \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad \forall g \in G, Y, Y' \in \mathfrak{h};$$

3. $\langle, \rangle_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is G -invariant, i.e.

$$\langle g \triangleright Z, g \triangleright Z' \rangle_{\mathfrak{l}} = \langle Z, Z' \rangle_{\mathfrak{l}}, \quad \forall g \in G, Z, Z' \in \mathfrak{l}.$$

Note that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is necessarily H -invariant. Since

$$\langle hYh^{-1}, hY'h^{-1} \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} = \langle \alpha(h) \triangleright Y, \alpha(h) \triangleright Y' \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} = \langle Y, Y' \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad \forall h \in H, Y, Y' \in \mathfrak{h},$$

where we have used the mixed relation (2.17). In the case when the Peiffer lifting or the map β is trivial consequently, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell}$ is H -invariant, i.e.

$$\langle h \triangleright' Z, h \triangleright' Z' \rangle_{\ell} = \langle Z, Z' \rangle_{\ell}, \quad \forall h \in H, Z, Z' \in \ell.$$

Besides, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell}$ is necessarily L -invariant based on the H -invariance of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell}$, i.e.

$$\langle lZl^{-1}, lZ'l^{-1} \rangle_{\ell} = \langle \beta(l) \triangleright' Z, \beta(l) \triangleright' Z' \rangle_{\ell} = \langle Z, Z' \rangle_{\ell}, \quad \forall l \in L, Z, Z' \in \ell,$$

where the mixed relation (2.21) is used. See [38] for details.

There are no compatibility conditions between the symmetric bilinear forms $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell}$. Any representation of G can be made unitary if G is a compact group [48]. There exists a rich class of examples of the Lie crossed module in which G is compact and H is a non-abelian group, by constructing them from chain complexes of vector spaces, see [29]. In like wise, there is also a good Lie 2-crossed module with the desired properties constructed from chain complexes of vector spaces, for more detail see [21, 29, 45]. The following Lemma is significant in our article.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(L, H, G; \beta, \alpha, \triangleright, \{, \})$ is a Lie 2-crossed module with the group G being compact in the real case, or having a compact real form in the complex case. Then one can construct G -invariant symmetric non-degenerate bilinear forms $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell}$ in the associated differential 2-crossed module $(\ell, \mathfrak{h}, g; \beta, \alpha, \triangleright, \{, \})$. Furthermore these forms can be chosen to be positive definite.*

These invariance conditions imply that:

$$\langle [X, X'], X'' \rangle_g = -\langle X', [X, X''] \rangle_g, \quad (2.22)$$

$$\langle [Y, Y'], Y'' \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} = -\langle Y', [Y, Y''] \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad (2.23)$$

$$\langle [Z, Z'], Z'' \rangle_{\ell} = -\langle Z', [Z, Z''] \rangle_{\ell}. \quad (2.24)$$

We can define two bilinear antisymmetric maps $\sigma : \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow g$ by the rule:

$$\langle \sigma(Y, Y'), X \rangle_g = -\langle Y, X \triangleright Y' \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad (2.25)$$

for $X \in g, Y, Y' \in \mathfrak{h}$, and $\kappa : \ell \times \ell \rightarrow g$ by the rule:

$$\langle \kappa(Z, Z'), X \rangle_g = -\langle Z, X \triangleright Z' \rangle_{\ell}, \quad (2.26)$$

for $X \in g, Z, Z' \in \ell$. Due to $\sigma(Y', Y) = -\sigma(Y, Y')$ and $\kappa(Z', Z) = -\kappa(Z, Z')$, then we have

$$\langle Y, X \triangleright Y' \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} = -\langle Y', X \triangleright Y \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} = -\langle X \triangleright Y, Y' \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad (2.27)$$

$$\langle Z, X \triangleright Z' \rangle_{\ell} = -\langle Z', X \triangleright Z \rangle_{\ell} = -\langle X \triangleright Z, Z' \rangle_{\ell}. \quad (2.28)$$

Further, one needs to define two bilinear maps $\eta_1 : \ell \times \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ and $\eta_2 : \ell \times \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ by the rule:

$$\langle \{Y, Y'\}, Z \rangle_{\ell} = -\langle Y', \eta_1(Z, Y) \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} = -\langle Y, \eta_2(Z, Y') \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad (2.29)$$

for each $Y, Y' \in \mathfrak{h}$, and $Z \in \ell$. See [35, 38] for more properties about these maps.

To obtain the 2-form Yang-Mills equations, one can define a map $\alpha^* : g \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ in [39] by the rule:

$$\langle Y, \alpha^*(X) \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} = \langle \alpha(Y), X \rangle_g, \quad \forall X \in g, Y \in \mathfrak{h}. \quad (2.30)$$

Here, to obtain the 3-form Yang-Mills equations, we has to define a map $\beta^* : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \ell$ by the rule:

$$\langle Z, \beta^*(Y) \rangle_{\ell} = \langle \beta(Z), Y \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad \forall Y \in \mathfrak{h}, Z \in \ell. \quad (2.31)$$

The maps $\sigma, \kappa, \eta_1, \eta_2, \alpha^*$ and β^* will play major roles in our constructions.

3. Lie algebra valued differential forms

In this section we will mostly follow the original notations and definitions of [23]. Given a Lie algebra g , there is a vector space $\Lambda^k(M, g)$ of g -valued differential k -forms on M . For $A \in \Lambda^k(M, g)$, we write $A = \sum_a A^a X_a$ where A^a is a scalar differential k -form and the X_a is an element in g . Here we assume g to be a matrix Lie algebra. Then we have $[X, X'] = XX' - X'X$ for $X, X' \in g$. For $A = \sum_a A^a X_a \in \Lambda^{k_1}(M, g)$, $A' = \sum_b A'^b X_b \in \Lambda^{k_2}(M, g)$, define

$$A \wedge A' := \sum_{a,b} A^a \wedge A'^b X_a X_b, \quad A \wedge^{[.]} A' := \sum_{a,b} A^a \wedge A'^b [X_a, X_b], \quad dA = \sum_a dA^a X_a,$$

then there is an identity

$$A \wedge^{[.]} A' = A \wedge A' - (-1)^{k_1 k_2} A' \wedge A.$$

In the above, we can also choose g to be \mathfrak{h} or \mathfrak{l} . For $B = \sum_b B^b Y_b \in \Lambda^{t_1}(M, \mathfrak{h})$, $B' = \sum_b B'^b Y_b \in \Lambda^{t_2}(M, \mathfrak{h})$, where $Y_a, Y_b \in \mathfrak{h}$, define

$$A \wedge^\triangleright B := \sum_{a,b} A^a \wedge B^b X_a \triangleright Y_b, \quad B \wedge^{\{.\}} B' := \sum_{a,b} B^a \wedge B'^b \{Y_a, Y_b\},$$

$$B \wedge^{[[.]]} B' := \sum_{a,b} B^a \wedge B'^b [[Y_a, Y_b]], \quad \alpha(B) := \sum_a B^a \alpha(Y_a).$$

For $C = \sum_a C^a Z_a \in \Lambda^{q_1}(U, \mathfrak{l})$, $C' = \sum_b C'^b Z_b \in \Lambda^{q_2}(U, \mathfrak{l})$, define

$$A \wedge^\triangleright C := \sum_{a,b} A^a \wedge C^b X_a \triangleright Z_b, \quad B \wedge^{\triangleright'} C := \sum_{a,b} B^a \wedge C^b Y_a \triangleright' Z_b, \quad \beta(C) := \sum_a C^a \beta(Z_a),$$

where $Y_a \triangleright' Z_b = -\{\beta(Z_b), Y_a\}$ by using (2.15).

Furthermore, we have non-degenerate G -invariant forms in $\Lambda^k(M, g)$, $\Lambda^t(M, \mathfrak{h})$ and $\Lambda^q(M, \mathfrak{l})$ induced by \langle, \rangle_g , $\langle, \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\langle, \rangle_{\mathfrak{l}}$ and we denote them by \langle, \rangle defined as follows

$$\langle A, A' \rangle := \sum_{a,b} A^a \wedge A'^b \langle X_a, X_b \rangle_g, \quad \langle B, B' \rangle := \sum_{a,b} B^a \wedge B'^b \langle Y_a, Y_b \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad \langle C, C' \rangle := \sum_{a,b} C^a \wedge C'^b \langle Z_a, Z_b \rangle_{\mathfrak{l}}.$$

There are identities

$$\langle A, A' \rangle = (-1)^{k_1 k_2} \langle A', A \rangle, \quad \langle B, B' \rangle = (-1)^{t_1 t_2} \langle B', B \rangle, \quad \langle C, C' \rangle = (-1)^{q_1 q_2} \langle C', C \rangle,$$

using the symmetry of \langle, \rangle_g , $\langle, \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\langle, \rangle_{\mathfrak{l}}$.

The following propositions give properties for the Lie algebra valued differential forms corresponding to the identities of non-degenerate symmetric G -invariant forms in the Lie (2-)crossed module.

Proposition 3.1. *For $A \in \Lambda^k(U, g)$, $A_1 \in \Lambda^{k_1}(U, g)$, $A_2 \in \Lambda^{k_2}(U, g)$, $B \in \Lambda^t(U, \mathfrak{h})$, $B_1 \in \Lambda^{t_1}(U, \mathfrak{h})$ and $B_2 \in \Lambda^{t_2}(U, \mathfrak{h})$, have*

- 1) $\alpha(A \wedge^\triangleright B) = A \wedge^{[.]} \alpha(B)$;
- 2) $\alpha(B_1) \wedge^\triangleright B_2 = B_1 \wedge^{[.]} B_2$;
- 3) $A \wedge^\triangleright (B_1 \wedge^{[.]} B_2) = (A \wedge^\triangleright B_1) \wedge^{[.]} B_2 + (-1)^{k t_1} B_1 \wedge^{[.]} (A \wedge^\triangleright B_2)$;
- 4) $(A_1 \wedge^{[.]} A_2) \wedge^\triangleright B = A_1 \wedge^\triangleright (A_2 \wedge^\triangleright B) + (-1)^{k_1 k_2 + 1} A_2 \wedge^\triangleright (A_1 \wedge^\triangleright B)$.

Proof: We can get those identities easily by using (2.5), (2.7), (2.9) and (2.10). □

Proposition 3.2. 1) For $A \in \Lambda^k(U, g), A' \in \Lambda^{k'}(U, g)$ and $C \in \Lambda^*(U, \ell)$,

$$\beta(A \wedge^\triangleright C) = A \wedge^\triangleright \beta(C), \quad (3.1)$$

$$A \wedge^\triangleright A' = A \wedge A' + (-1)^{kk'+1} A' \wedge A. \quad (3.2)$$

2) For $A \in \Lambda^k(U, g), B_1 \in \Lambda^{t_1}(U, h), B_2 \in \Lambda^{t_2}(U, h)$ and $W \in \Lambda^*(U, \omega)$ ($\omega = g, h, \ell$),

$$d(A \wedge^\triangleright W) = dA \wedge^\triangleright W + (-1)^k A \wedge^\triangleright dW, \quad (3.3)$$

$$d(B_1 \wedge^{\{\cdot\}} B_2) = dB_1 \wedge^{\{\cdot\}} B_2 + (-1)^{t_1} B_1 \wedge^{\{\cdot\}} dB_2, \quad (3.4)$$

$$A \wedge^\triangleright (B_1 \wedge^{\{\cdot\}} B_2) = (A \wedge^\triangleright B_1) \wedge^{\{\cdot\}} B_2 + (-1)^{kt_1} B_1 \wedge^{\{\cdot\}} (A \wedge^\triangleright B_2), \quad (3.5)$$

Proof: see [23].

□

Proposition 3.3. For $A_1 \in \Lambda^{k_1}(M, g), A_2 \in \Lambda^{k_2}(M, g), A_3 \in \Lambda^{k_3}(M, g), B_1 \in \Lambda^{t_1}(M, h), B_2 \in \Lambda^{t_2}(M, h), B_3 \in \Lambda^{t_3}(M, h), C_1 \in \Lambda^{q_1}(M, \ell), C_2 \in \Lambda^{q_2}(M, \ell)$ and $C_3 \in \Lambda^{q_3}(M, \ell)$ we have

$$\langle A_1 \wedge^{[\cdot]} A_2, A_3 \rangle = (-1)^{k_1 k_2 + 1} \langle A_2, A_1 \wedge^{[\cdot]} A_3 \rangle, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\langle B_1 \wedge^{[\cdot]} B_2, B_3 \rangle = (-1)^{t_1 t_2 + 1} \langle B_2, B_1 \wedge^{[\cdot]} B_3 \rangle, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\langle C_1 \wedge^{[\cdot]} C_2, C_3 \rangle = (-1)^{q_1 q_2 + 1} \langle C_2, C_1 \wedge^{[\cdot]} C_3 \rangle. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof: We can get those identities easily by using (2.22), (2.23) and (2.24).

□

Proposition 3.4. For $A \in \Lambda^k(M, g), B_1 \in \Lambda^{t_1}(M, h), B_2 \in \Lambda^{t_2}(M, h), C_1 \in \Lambda^{q_1}(M, \ell)$ and $C_2 \in \Lambda^{q_2}(M, \ell)$, we have

$$\langle B_1, A \wedge^\triangleright B_2 \rangle = (-1)^{t_2(k+t_1)+kt_1+1} \langle B_2, A \wedge^\triangleright B_1 \rangle = (-1)^{kt_1+1} \langle A \wedge^\triangleright B_1, B_2 \rangle, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\langle C_1, A \wedge^\triangleright C_2 \rangle = (-1)^{q_2(k+q_1)+kq_1+1} \langle C_2, A \wedge^\triangleright C_1 \rangle = (-1)^{kq_1+1} \langle A \wedge^\triangleright C_1, C_2 \rangle. \quad (3.10)$$

Proof: We can get those identities easily by using (2.27) and (2.28).

□

We can define a bilinear map $\bar{\sigma} : \Lambda^{t_1}(M, h) \times \Lambda^{t_2}(M, h) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{t_1+t_2}(M, g)$ by the rule

$$\langle \bar{\sigma}(B_1, B_2), A \rangle = (-1)^{kt_2+1} \langle B_1, A \wedge^\triangleright B_2 \rangle, \quad (3.11)$$

for $A \in \Lambda^k(M, g), B_1 \in \Lambda^{t_1}(M, h)$ and $B_2 \in \Lambda^{t_2}(M, h)$, and the following identity holds

$$\langle A, \bar{\sigma}(B_1, B_2) \rangle = (-1)^{t_1 t_2 + 1} \langle A \wedge^\triangleright B_2, B_1 \rangle. \quad (3.12)$$

Similarly, we can define a bilinear map $\bar{\kappa} : \Lambda^{q_1}(M, \ell) \times \Lambda^{q_2}(M, \ell) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{q_1+q_2}(M, g)$ by the rule

$$\langle \bar{\kappa}(C_1, C_2), A \rangle = (-1)^{kq_2+1} \langle C_1, A \wedge^\triangleright C_2 \rangle, \quad (3.13)$$

for $A \in \Lambda^k(M, \mathfrak{g})$, $C_1 \in \Lambda^{q_1}(M, \ell)$ and $C_2 \in \Lambda^{q_2}(M, \ell)$, and there is an identity

$$\langle A, \overline{\kappa}(C_1, C_2) \rangle = (-1)^{q_1 q_2 + 1} \langle A \wedge^\triangleright C_2, C_1 \rangle. \quad (3.14)$$

Finally, we define bilinear maps $\overline{\eta}_i : \Lambda^q(M, \ell) \times \Lambda^t(M, \mathfrak{h}) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{q+t}(M, \mathfrak{h})$ by

$$\overline{\eta}_i(C, B) := \sum_{a,b} C^b \wedge B^a \eta_i(Z_b, Y_a), \quad i = 1, 2$$

for $B = \sum_a B^a Y_a \in \Lambda^t(M, \mathfrak{h})$, $C = \sum_b C^b Z_b \in \Lambda^q(M, \ell)$. There are two identities

$$\langle B_1 \wedge^{\{\cdot\}} B_2, C \rangle = (-1)^{t_1(t_2+q)+1} \langle B_2, \overline{\eta}_1(C, B_1) \rangle, = (-1)^{t_2 q + 1} \langle B_1, \overline{\eta}_2(C, B_2) \rangle \quad (3.15)$$

by using (2.29). Besides, for $A \in \Lambda^k(M, \mathfrak{g})$, $B \in \Lambda^t(M, \mathfrak{h})$ and $C \in \Lambda^q(M, \ell)$, we have

$$\langle B, \alpha^*(A) \rangle = \langle \alpha(B), A \rangle, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\langle C, \beta^*(B) \rangle = \langle \beta(C), B \rangle, \quad (3.17)$$

being induced by $\langle Y, \alpha^*(X) \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} = \langle \alpha(Y), X \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\langle Z, \beta^*(Y) \rangle_{\ell} = \langle \beta(Z), Y \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}$.

4. Higher BF theories

4.1. 2BF theory

The space of 2-group gauge fields is given by the forms

$$A \in \Lambda^1(M, \mathfrak{g}), \quad B \in \Lambda^2(M, \mathfrak{h}).$$

The pair (A, B) is called a local 2-connection. We consider the curvature 2-form of A

$$F = dA + A \wedge A,$$

and the 2-curvature 3-form of (A, B)

$$\mathcal{G} = dB + A \wedge^\triangleright B,$$

and the fake curvature

$$\mathcal{F} = dA + A \wedge A - \alpha(B).$$

In the framework of higher category theory, one can define the categorical generalization of the BF action to the so-called 2BF action [29, 30], by passing from the gauge group to the gauge 2-group. The 2BF action can be given by

$$S_{2BF} = \int_M \langle \overline{B}, \mathcal{F} \rangle + \langle \overline{C}, \mathcal{G} \rangle. \quad (4.1)$$

Here the $\overline{B} \in \Lambda^{d-2}(M, \mathfrak{g})$ and the $\overline{C} \in \Lambda^{d-3}(M, \mathfrak{h})$ are Lagrange multipliers ($d \geq 3$).

By taking the variational derivative δS_{2BF} to zero, we have

$$\delta S_{2BF} = \int_M \delta \langle \overline{B}, \mathcal{F} \rangle + \delta \langle \overline{C}, \mathcal{G} \rangle = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

The first section is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \langle \overline{B}, \mathcal{F} \rangle &= \langle \delta \overline{B}, \mathcal{F} \rangle + \langle \overline{B}, \delta \mathcal{F} \rangle \\ &= \langle \delta \overline{B}, \mathcal{F} \rangle + \langle \delta A, d\overline{B} + A \wedge^{[\cdot]} \overline{B} \rangle - \langle \delta B, \alpha^*(\overline{B}) \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

by using (3.6) and (3.16). The second section is as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\langle\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{G}\rangle &= \langle\delta\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{G}\rangle + \langle\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \delta\mathcal{G}\rangle \\ &= \langle\delta\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{G}\rangle + (-1)^d\langle\delta B, d\overline{\mathcal{C}} + A \wedge^\triangleright \overline{\mathcal{C}}\rangle + (-1)^d\langle\delta A, \overline{\sigma}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, B)\rangle.\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

by using (3.9) and (3.12). We thus have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\overline{B} &: \mathcal{F} = 0, \\ \delta\overline{\mathcal{C}} &: \mathcal{G} = 0, \\ \delta A &: d\overline{B} + A \wedge^{[\cdot]} \overline{B} = (-1)^{d+1}\overline{\sigma}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}, B), \\ \delta B &: d\overline{\mathcal{C}} + A \wedge^\triangleright \overline{\mathcal{C}} = (-1)^d\alpha^*(\overline{B}).\end{aligned}$$

From the first and second equations of motion, we immediately see that (A, B) is a 2-flat 2-connection with the vanishing fake curvature condition. Similarly to the case of the BF action, the 2BF action defines a topological theory, i.e., a theory with no propagating degrees of freedom, see [31, 34].

4.2. 3BF theory

The space of 3-group gauge fields is given by the forms

$$A \in \Lambda^1(M, \mathfrak{g}), \quad B \in \Lambda^2(M, \mathfrak{h}), \quad C \in \Lambda^3(M, \mathfrak{l}).$$

The pair (A, B, C) is called a local 3-connection. Consider the curvature 2-form of A

$$F_1 = dA + A \wedge A,$$

and the 2-curvature 3-form of (A, B)

$$F_2 = dB + A \wedge^\triangleright B,$$

and the 3-curvature 4-form of (A, B, C)

$$\mathcal{H} = dC + A \wedge^\triangleright C + B \wedge^{\{\cdot\}} B.$$

Besides, there are the fake 1-curvature 2-form

$$\mathcal{F} = dA + A \wedge A - \alpha(B),$$

and the fake 2-curvature 3-form

$$\mathcal{G} = dB + A \wedge^\triangleright B - \beta(C).$$

Continuing the categorical generalization one step further, one can generalize the 2BF action to the 3BF action [25, 35, 38, 49], by passing from the 2-groups to the 3-groups. One can define the topological 3BF action

$$S_{3BF} = \int_M \langle\overline{B}, \mathcal{F}\rangle + \langle\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{G}\rangle + \langle\overline{D}, \mathcal{H}\rangle,\tag{4.5}$$

where the $\overline{B} \in \Lambda^{d-2}(M, \mathfrak{g})$, the $\overline{\mathcal{C}} \in \Lambda^{d-3}(M, \mathfrak{h})$ and $\overline{D} \in \Lambda^{d-4}(M, \mathfrak{l})$ are Lagrange multipliers ($d \geq 4$). By taking the variational derivative δS_{3BF} to zero, we have

$$\delta S_{3BF} = \int_M \delta\langle\overline{B}, \mathcal{F}\rangle + \delta\langle\overline{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{G}\rangle + \delta\langle\overline{D}, \mathcal{H}\rangle = 0.$$

The first section is as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\langle\overline{B}, \mathcal{F}\rangle &= \langle\delta\overline{B}, \mathcal{F}\rangle + \langle\overline{B}, \delta\mathcal{F}\rangle \\ &= \langle\delta\overline{B}, \mathcal{F}\rangle + \langle\delta A, d\overline{B} + A \wedge^{[\cdot]} \overline{B}\rangle - \langle\delta B, \alpha^*(\overline{B})\rangle,\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

by using the result (4.2). The second section is as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\langle\overline{C}, \mathcal{G}\rangle &= \langle\delta\overline{C}, \mathcal{G}\rangle + \langle\overline{C}, \delta\mathcal{G}\rangle \\ &= \langle\delta\overline{C}, \mathcal{G}\rangle + (-1)^d\langle\delta B, d\overline{C} + A \wedge^\triangleright \overline{C}\rangle + (-1)^d\langle\delta A, \overline{\sigma}(\overline{C}, B)\rangle + (-1)^d\langle\delta C, \beta^*(\overline{C})\rangle,\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

by using the result (4.2) and (3.17). And the third section is as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\langle\overline{D}, \mathcal{H}\rangle &= \langle\delta\overline{D}, \mathcal{H}\rangle + \langle\overline{D}, \delta\mathcal{H}\rangle \\ &= \langle\delta\overline{D}, \mathcal{H}\rangle + \langle\delta C, d\overline{D} + A \wedge^\triangleright \overline{D}\rangle + (-1)^{d+1}\langle\delta A, \overline{\kappa}(\overline{D}, C)\rangle - \langle\delta B, \overline{\eta}_2(\overline{D}, B) + \overline{\eta}_1(\overline{D}, B)\rangle,\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

by using (3.10), (3.15) and (3.14).

We thus have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\overline{B} &: \mathcal{F} = 0, \\ \delta\overline{C} &: \mathcal{G} = 0, \\ \delta\overline{D} &: \mathcal{H} = 0, \\ \delta A &: d\overline{B} + A \wedge^{[\cdot]} \overline{B} = (-1)^{d+1}\overline{\sigma}(\overline{C}, B) + (-1)^d\overline{\kappa}(\overline{D}, C), \\ \delta B &: d\overline{C} + A \wedge^\triangleright \overline{C} = (-1)^d\alpha^*(\overline{B}) + (-1)^d(\overline{\eta}_2(\overline{D}, B) + \overline{\eta}_1(\overline{D}, B)), \\ \delta C &: d\overline{D} + A \wedge^\triangleright \overline{D} = (-1)^{d+1}\beta^*(\overline{C}).\end{aligned}$$

From the first, second and third equations of motion, we immediately see that (A, B, C) is a 3-flat 3-connection with the vanishing fake curvature conditions.

One can also introduce higher dimensional, n BF actions, see for example [50]. We will not go into all the detail here.

5. Higher form Yang-Mills theories

In 2002, Baez has generalized Yang-Mills theory to a kind of 2-form Yang-Mills theory on a 4-dimensional manifold M by replacing the Lie group by a Lie 2-group [39]. In this section, we extend these results to d -dimensional manifolds M ($d \geq 4$). For a 2-connection (A, B) and the corresponding curvature form $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$, one can introduce the 2-form Yang-Mills action

$$S_{2YM} = \left(-\frac{1}{4e^2}\right) \int_M \langle\mathcal{F}, *\mathcal{F}\rangle + \langle\mathcal{G}, *\mathcal{G}\rangle.$$

Take the variational derivative of δS_{2YM}

$$\delta S_{2YM} = \left(-\frac{1}{2e^2}\right) \int_M \langle\delta\mathcal{F}, *\mathcal{F}\rangle + \langle\delta\mathcal{G}, *\mathcal{G}\rangle.$$

The first section is as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\delta\mathcal{F}, *\mathcal{F}\rangle &= \langle\delta(dA + A \wedge A - \alpha(B)), *\mathcal{F}\rangle \\ &= \langle\delta A, d*\mathcal{F} + A \wedge^{[\cdot]} *\mathcal{F}\rangle - \langle\delta B, \alpha^*(*\mathcal{F})\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

by using $\delta(A \wedge A) = A \wedge^{[\cdot]} \delta A$, (3.6) and (3.16).

The second section is as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\delta\mathcal{G}, *\mathcal{G}\rangle &= \langle\delta(dB + A \wedge^\triangleright B), *\mathcal{G}\rangle \\ &= \langle d\delta B, *\mathcal{G}\rangle + \langle\delta A \wedge^\triangleright B, *\mathcal{G}\rangle + \langle A \wedge^\triangleright \delta B, *\mathcal{G}\rangle \\ &= -\langle\delta B, d*\mathcal{G}\rangle - \langle\delta A, \overline{\sigma}(*\mathcal{G}, B)\rangle - \langle\delta B, A \wedge^\triangleright *\mathcal{G}\rangle \\ &= -\langle\delta A, \overline{\sigma}(*\mathcal{G}, B)\rangle - \langle\delta B, d*\mathcal{G} + A \wedge^\triangleright *\mathcal{G}\rangle\end{aligned}$$

by using $\delta(A \wedge^\triangleright B) = \delta A \wedge^\triangleright B + A \wedge^\triangleright \delta B$, (3.9) and (3.12).

Thus one obtain

$$\delta S_{2YM} = (-\frac{1}{2e^2}) \int_M \langle \delta A, d * \mathcal{F} + A \wedge^{[1]} * \mathcal{F} - \bar{\sigma}(*\mathcal{G}, B) \rangle - \langle \delta B, d * \mathcal{G} + A \wedge^\triangleright * \mathcal{G} + \alpha^*(\mathcal{F}) \rangle.$$

We see that the variation of the action vanishes for δA and δB if only if the following field equations hold

$$d * \mathcal{F} + A \wedge^{[1]} * \mathcal{F} = \bar{\sigma}(*\mathcal{G}, B), \quad (5.1)$$

$$d * \mathcal{G} + A \wedge^\triangleright * \mathcal{G} = -\alpha^*(\mathcal{F}). \quad (5.2)$$

Continuing the categorical generalization one step further, we generalize the 2-form Yang-Mills action to a sort of 3-form Yang-Mills action, by passing from the notion of a 2-group to the notion of a 3-group. In this way, we set up the 3-form Yang-Mills theory involving the 3-connection (A, B, C) and the corresponding curvature form $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$.

Copying the usual formula for the Yang-Mills action and the 2-form Yang-Mills action, we write down the following 3-form Yang-Mills action

$$S_{3YM} = (-\frac{1}{4e^2}) \int_M \langle \mathcal{F}, * \mathcal{F} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{G}, * \mathcal{G} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{H}, * \mathcal{H} \rangle.$$

We obtain the field equations as follows

$$d * \mathcal{F} + A \wedge^{[1]} * \mathcal{F} = \bar{\sigma}(*\mathcal{G}, B) + (-1)^{d\kappa}(*\mathcal{H}, C), \quad (5.3)$$

$$d * \mathcal{G} + A \wedge^\triangleright * \mathcal{G} = -\bar{\eta}_2(*\mathcal{H}, B) - \bar{\eta}_1(*\mathcal{H}, B) - \alpha^*(\mathcal{F}), \quad (5.4)$$

$$d * \mathcal{H} + A \wedge^\triangleright * \mathcal{H} = \beta^*(\mathcal{G}). \quad (5.5)$$

For details, see [41].

6. Higher form Yang-Mills as higher BFYM theories

6.1. 2BFYM theory

6.1.1. 2-form Yang-Mills as the 2BFYM theory

From above, we have obtained the 2-form Yang-Mills theory. It is interesting to see if we can push the BFYM theory further and set up a sort of 2BFYM theory, which is precisely equivalent to the 2-form Yang-Mills theory.

We construct the 2BFYM action on a d -dimensional manifold M as

$$S_{2BFYM} = \int_M \langle \bar{B}, \mathcal{F} \rangle + e^2 \langle \bar{B}, *\bar{B} \rangle + \langle \bar{C}, \mathcal{G} \rangle + (-1)^{d+1} e^2 \langle \bar{C}, *\bar{C} \rangle. \quad (6.1)$$

where the coefficient $(-1)^{d+1}$ is critical. Taking the variational derivative of S_{2BFYM} , We have

$$\delta S_{2BFYM} = \int_M \delta \langle \bar{B}, \mathcal{F} \rangle + e^2 \delta \langle \bar{B}, *\bar{B} \rangle + \delta \langle \bar{C}, \mathcal{G} \rangle + (-1)^{d+1} e^2 \delta \langle \bar{C}, *\bar{C} \rangle$$

By using (4.3) and (4.4), have

$$\delta \langle \bar{B}, \mathcal{F} \rangle + e^2 \delta \langle \bar{B}, *\bar{B} \rangle = \langle \delta \bar{B}, \mathcal{F} \rangle + \langle \delta A, d\bar{B} + A \wedge^{[1]} \bar{B} \rangle - \langle \delta B, \alpha^*(\bar{B}) \rangle + 2e^2 \langle \delta \bar{B}, *\bar{B} \rangle,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \langle \bar{C}, \mathcal{G} \rangle + (-1)^{d+1} e^2 \delta \langle \bar{C}, *\bar{C} \rangle &= \langle \delta \bar{C}, \mathcal{G} \rangle + (-1)^d \langle \delta B, d\bar{C} + A \wedge^\triangleright \bar{C} \rangle + (-1)^d \langle \delta A, \bar{\sigma}(\bar{C}, B) \rangle \\ &\quad + (-1)^{d+1} 2e^2 \langle \delta \bar{C}, *\bar{C} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_{2BFYM} = \int_M & \langle \delta \bar{B}, \mathcal{F} + 2e^2 * \bar{B} \rangle + \langle \delta A, d\bar{B} + A \wedge^{[1]} \bar{B} + (-1)^d \bar{\sigma}(\bar{C}, B) \rangle \\ & + \langle \delta \bar{C}, \mathcal{G} + (-1)^{d+1} 2e^2 * \bar{C} \rangle + (-1)^d \langle \delta B, d\bar{C} + A \wedge^\triangleright \bar{C} - (-1)^d \alpha^*(\bar{B}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the equations of motion :

$$\delta \bar{B} : \mathcal{F} + 2e^2 * \bar{B} = 0, \quad (6.2)$$

$$\delta \bar{C} : \mathcal{G} + (-1)^{d+1} 2e^2 * \bar{C} = 0, \quad (6.3)$$

$$\delta A : d\bar{B} + A \wedge^{[1]} \bar{B} = (-1)^{d+1} \bar{\sigma}(\bar{C}, B), \quad (6.4)$$

$$\delta B : d\bar{C} + A \wedge^\triangleright \bar{C} = (-1)^d \alpha^*(\bar{B}). \quad (6.5)$$

(6.2) can be solved for \bar{B} , and replacing the result into equation (6.4), we obtain the first 2-form Yang-Mills equations, namely,

$$d * \mathcal{F} + A \wedge^{[1]} * \mathcal{F} = \bar{\sigma}(*\mathcal{G}, B). \quad (6.6)$$

(6.3) can be solved for \bar{C} and replacing the result into equation (6.5), we obtain the second 2-form Yang-Mills equations, namely,

$$d * \mathcal{G} + A \wedge^\triangleright * \mathcal{G} = -\alpha^*(*\mathcal{F}). \quad (6.7)$$

On the other side, by substituting the solution for \bar{B} from (6.2) and the solution for \bar{C} from (6.3) back into (6.1), we obtain the 2-form Yang-Mills action

$$S_{2YM} = \left(-\frac{1}{4e^2}\right) \int_M \langle \mathcal{F}, *\mathcal{F} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{G}, *\mathcal{G} \rangle. \quad (6.8)$$

Consequently, the action (6.1) and 2-form Yang-Mills theory are classically equivalent. In the limit of vanishing coupling, $e \rightarrow 0$, the action (6.1) is the topological 2BF theory.

6.1.2. The gauge symmetry of 2BFYM

We consider the following gauge transformations [29]

- 1) Thin: $A' = g^{-1} A g + g^{-1} d g$, $B' = g^{-1} \triangleright B$, $\bar{B}' = g^{-1} \bar{B} g$, $\bar{C}' = g^{-1} \triangleright \bar{C}$,
- 2) Fat: $A' = A + \alpha(\phi)$, $B' = B + d\phi + A \wedge^\triangleright \phi + \phi \wedge \phi$, $\bar{B}' = \bar{B} + \bar{\sigma}(\bar{C}, \phi)$, $\bar{C}' = \bar{C}$,

where g is a smooth map $M \rightarrow G$ and ϕ is a 1-form in $\Lambda^1(M, \mathfrak{h})$.

Under the thin gauge transformation, the corresponding curvatures change as

- $F' = g^{-1} F g$,
- $\mathcal{F}' = g^{-1} \mathcal{F} g$,
- $\mathcal{G}' = g^{-1} \triangleright \mathcal{G}$.

Considering the invariance of the bilinear forms, the 2BF action is invariant as

$$S'_{2BF} = S_{2BF}.$$

Due to

$$\langle g^{-1} \bar{B} g, g^{-1} \mathcal{F} g \rangle = \langle \bar{B}, \mathcal{F} \rangle, \quad \langle g^{-1} \bar{B} g, g^{-1} * \bar{B} g \rangle = \langle \bar{B}, *\bar{B} \rangle,$$

$$\langle g^{-1} \triangleright \bar{\mathcal{C}}, g^{-1} \triangleright \mathcal{G} \rangle = \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{G} \rangle, \quad \langle g^{-1} \triangleright \bar{\mathcal{C}}, g^{-1} \triangleright * \bar{\mathcal{C}} \rangle = \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}, * \bar{\mathcal{C}} \rangle,$$

we have

$$S'_{2BFYM} = S_{2BFYM}.$$

Thus, the 2BFYM action is thin gauge invariant under the thin gauge transformation. We call that the 2BFYM theory has the thin gauge symmetry.

Under the fat gauge transformation, the curvatures change as

- $F \mapsto F + d(\alpha(\phi)) + A \wedge \alpha(\phi) + \alpha(\phi) \wedge A + \alpha(\phi) \wedge \alpha(\phi),$
- $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F},$
- $\mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{G} + \mathcal{F} \wedge^\triangleright \phi.$

Due to

$$\langle \bar{\sigma}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}, \phi), \mathcal{F} \rangle = -\langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{F} \wedge^\triangleright \phi \rangle,$$

by using (3.11), the 2BF action is invariant as

$$S'_{2BF} = S_{2BF},$$

and we have

$$S'_{2BFYM} = S_{2BFYM} + e^2 R,$$

where

$$R = \langle \bar{B}, * \bar{\sigma}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}, \phi) \rangle - \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}, *(\bar{B} + \bar{\sigma}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}, \phi)) \wedge^\triangleright \phi \rangle. \quad (6.9)$$

So, the 2BFYM action is not fat gauge invariant under the fat gauge transformation.

If

$$\bar{B} + \bar{\sigma}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}, \phi) = 0,$$

the 2BFYM action changes as

$$S'_{2BFYM} = S_{2BF} + (-1)^{d+1} e^2 \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}, * \bar{\mathcal{C}} \rangle.$$

We introduce a constrained condition

$$\langle \bar{B}, * \bar{\sigma}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}, \phi) \rangle = \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}, *(\bar{B} + \bar{\sigma}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}, \phi)) \wedge^\triangleright \phi \rangle.$$

In this instance, $R = 0$. The 2BFYM action is fat gauge invariant and the fat gauge transformation is the fat gauge symmetry of 2BFYM theory.

6.2. 3BFYM theory

6.2.1. 3-form Yang-Mills as the 3BFYM theory

Similarly, we have obtained the 3-form Yang-Mills theory. We will push the 2BFYM theory further and set up some sort of 3BFYM theory. Our goal now is to construct the 3BFYM action which is precisely equivalent to the 3-form Yang-Mills theory.

We define the 3BFYM action on a d -dimensional manifold M as

$$S_{3BFYM} = \int_M \langle \bar{B}, \mathcal{F} \rangle + e^2 \langle \bar{B}, * \bar{B} \rangle + \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{G} \rangle + (-1)^{d+1} e^2 \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}, * \bar{\mathcal{C}} \rangle + \langle \bar{D}, \mathcal{H} \rangle + e^2 \langle \bar{D}, * \bar{D} \rangle. \quad (6.10)$$

Set the variation of the action

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_{3BFYM} &= \int_M \delta \langle \bar{B}, \mathcal{F} \rangle + e^2 \delta \langle \bar{B}, * \bar{B} \rangle + \delta \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{G} \rangle + (-1)^{d+1} e^2 \delta \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}, * \bar{\mathcal{C}} \rangle + \delta \langle \bar{D}, \mathcal{H} \rangle + e^2 \delta \langle \bar{D}, * \bar{D} \rangle \\ &= \int_M \delta \langle \bar{B}, \mathcal{F} \rangle + 2e^2 \delta \langle \bar{B}, * \bar{B} \rangle + \delta \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{G} \rangle + (-1)^{d+1} 2e^2 \delta \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}, * \bar{\mathcal{C}} \rangle + \delta \langle \bar{D}, \mathcal{H} \rangle + 2e^2 \delta \langle \bar{D}, * \bar{D} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By using (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8), have

$$\delta\langle\bar{B}, \mathcal{F}\rangle + 2e^2\langle\delta\bar{B}, *\bar{B}\rangle = \langle\delta\bar{B}, \mathcal{F}\rangle + \langle\delta A, d\bar{B} + A \wedge^{[1]} \bar{B}\rangle - \langle\delta B, \alpha^*(\bar{B})\rangle + 2e^2\langle\delta\bar{B}, *\bar{B}\rangle,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\langle\bar{C}, \mathcal{G}\rangle + (-1)^{d+1}2e^2\langle\delta\bar{C}, *\bar{C}\rangle &= \langle\delta\bar{C}, \mathcal{G}\rangle + (-1)^d\langle\delta B, d\bar{C} + A \wedge^\triangleright \bar{C}\rangle + (-1)^d\langle\delta A, \bar{\sigma}(\bar{C}, B)\rangle + (-1)^d\langle\delta C, \beta^*(\bar{C})\rangle \\ &\quad + (-1)^{d+1}2e^2\langle\delta\bar{C}, *\bar{C}\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\langle\bar{D}, \mathcal{H}\rangle + 2e^2\langle\delta\bar{D}, *\bar{D}\rangle &= \langle\delta\bar{D}, \mathcal{H}\rangle + \langle\delta C, d\bar{D} + A \wedge^\triangleright \bar{D}\rangle + (-1)^{d+1}\langle\delta A, \bar{\kappa}(\bar{D}, C)\rangle - \langle\delta B, \bar{\eta}_2(\bar{D}, B)\rangle + \bar{\eta}_1(\bar{D}, B) \\ &\quad + 2e^2\langle\delta\bar{D}, *\bar{D}\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_{3BFYM} &= \int_M \langle\delta\bar{B}, \mathcal{F} + 2e^2 *\bar{B}\rangle + \langle\delta A, d\bar{B} + A \wedge^{[1]} \bar{B} + (-1)^d\bar{\sigma}(\bar{C}, B) + (-1)^{d+1}\bar{\kappa}(\bar{D}, C)\rangle + \langle\delta\bar{C}, \\ &\quad \mathcal{G} + (-1)^{d+1}2e^2 *\bar{C}\rangle + (-1)^d\langle\delta B, d\bar{C} + A \wedge^\triangleright \bar{C} - (-1)^d\alpha^*(\bar{B}) - (-1)^d(\bar{\eta}_2(\bar{D}, B) + \\ &\quad \bar{\eta}_1(\bar{D}, B))\rangle + \langle\delta\bar{D}, \mathcal{H} + 2e^2 *\bar{D}\rangle + \langle\delta C, d\bar{D} + A \wedge^\triangleright \bar{D} + (-1)^d\beta^*(\bar{C})\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

One obtains the following equations of motion

$$\delta\bar{B} : \mathcal{F} + 2e^2 *\bar{B} = 0, \quad (6.11)$$

$$\delta\bar{C} : \mathcal{G} + (-1)^{d+1}2e^2 *\bar{C} = 0, \quad (6.12)$$

$$\delta\bar{D} : \mathcal{H} + 2e^2 *\bar{D} = 0, \quad (6.13)$$

$$\delta A : d\bar{B} + A \wedge^{[1]} \bar{B} = (-1)^{d+1}\bar{\sigma}(\bar{C}, B) + (-1)^d\bar{\kappa}(\bar{D}, C), \quad (6.14)$$

$$\delta B : d\bar{C} + A \wedge^\triangleright \bar{C} = (-1)^d\alpha^*(\bar{B}) + (-1)^d(\bar{\eta}_2(\bar{D}, B) + \bar{\eta}_1(\bar{D}, B)), \quad (6.15)$$

$$\delta C : d\bar{D} + A \wedge^\triangleright \bar{D} = (-1)^{d+1}\beta^*(\bar{C}). \quad (6.16)$$

(6.11) can be solved for \bar{B} , and replacing the result into equation (6.14), we obtain the first 3-form Yang-Mills equations, namely,

$$d*\mathcal{F} + A \wedge^{[1]} *\mathcal{F} = \bar{\sigma}(*\mathcal{G}, B) + (-1)^d\bar{\kappa}(*\mathcal{H}, C). \quad (6.17)$$

(6.12) can be solved for \bar{C} and replacing the result into equation (6.15), we obtain the second 3-form Yang-Mills equations, namely,

$$d*\mathcal{G} + A \wedge^\triangleright *\mathcal{G} = -\bar{\eta}_2(*\mathcal{H}, B) - \bar{\eta}_1(*\mathcal{H}, B) - \alpha^*(*\mathcal{F}). \quad (6.18)$$

(6.13) can be solved for \bar{D} and replacing the result into equation (6.16), we obtain the third 3-form Yang-Mills equations, namely,

$$d*\mathcal{H} + A \wedge^\triangleright *\mathcal{H} = \beta^*(*\mathcal{G}). \quad (6.19)$$

On the other side, by substituting the solution for \bar{B} from (6.11), the solution for \bar{C} from (6.12) and the solution for \bar{D} from (6.13) back into (6.10), we obtain the 3-form Yang-Mills action

$$S_{3YM} = \left(-\frac{1}{4e^2}\right) \int_M \langle\mathcal{F}, *\mathcal{F}\rangle + \langle\mathcal{G}, *\mathcal{G}\rangle + \langle\mathcal{H}, *\mathcal{H}\rangle. \quad (6.20)$$

Therefore, the action (6.10) and 3-form Yang-Mills theory are classically equivalent. And in the limit of vanishing coupling, $e \rightarrow 0$, the action (6.10) is the topological 3BF theory.

6.2.2. The gauge symmetry of 3BFYM

g and ϕ are as above and $\psi \in \wedge^2(M, \ell)$. Besides, given a trilinear map $\theta : \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h} \times \ell \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ defined by

$$\langle \theta(Y, Y', Z), X \rangle_g = -\langle Z, \{X \triangleright Y, Y'\} \rangle_\ell, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}, Y, Y' \in \mathfrak{h}, Z \in \ell, \quad (6.21)$$

there is a trilinear map $\bar{\theta} : \Lambda^{t_1}(M, \mathfrak{h}) \times \Lambda^{t_2}(M, \mathfrak{h}) \times \Lambda^q(M, \ell) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{t_1+t_2+q}(M, \mathfrak{g})$ defined by

$$\langle \bar{\theta}(B, B', C), A \rangle = (-)^{(q+k)(t_1+t_2)+1} \langle C, (A \wedge^\triangleright B) \wedge^{\{\cdot\}} B' \rangle, \quad (6.22)$$

for $A \in \Lambda^k(M, \mathfrak{g})$, $B \in \Lambda^{t_1}(M, \mathfrak{h})$, $B' \in \Lambda^{t_2}(M, \mathfrak{h})$, $C \in \Lambda^q(M, \ell)$.

We consider three types of gauge transformations appearing in [35].

1) G -gauge transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} A' &= g^{-1} A g + g^{-1} d g, & B' &= g^{-1} \triangleright B, & C' &= g^{-1} \triangleright C, \\ \bar{B}' &= g^{-1} \bar{B} g, & \bar{C}' &= g^{-1} \triangleright \bar{C}, & \bar{D}' &= g^{-1} \triangleright \bar{D}. \end{aligned}$$

Under the G -gauge transformation, the curvatures are given by

- $\mathcal{F}' = g^{-1} \mathcal{F} g$,
- $\mathcal{G}' = g^{-1} \triangleright \mathcal{G}$,
- $\mathcal{H}' = g^{-1} \triangleright \mathcal{H}$.

The 3BF action is gauge invariant as

$$S'_{3BF} = S_{3BF}.$$

Due to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g^{-1} \bar{B} g, g^{-1} \mathcal{F} g \rangle &= \langle \bar{B}, \mathcal{F} \rangle, & \langle g^{-1} \bar{B} g, g^{-1} * \bar{B} g \rangle &= \langle \bar{B}, * \bar{B} \rangle, \\ \langle g^{-1} \triangleright \bar{C}, g^{-1} \triangleright \mathcal{G} \rangle &= \langle \bar{C}, \mathcal{G} \rangle, & \langle g^{-1} \triangleright \bar{C}, g^{-1} \triangleright * \bar{C} \rangle &= \langle \bar{C}, * \bar{C} \rangle, \\ \langle g^{-1} \triangleright \bar{D}, g^{-1} \triangleright \mathcal{H} \rangle &= \langle \bar{D}, \mathcal{H} \rangle, & \langle g^{-1} \triangleright \bar{D}, g^{-1} \triangleright * \bar{D} \rangle &= \langle \bar{D}, * \bar{D} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$S'_{3BFYM} = S_{3BFYM}.$$

Thus, the 3BFYM action is G -gauge invariant under the G -gauge transformation. We call that the 3BFYM theory has the G -gauge symmetry.

2) H -gauge transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} A' &= A - \alpha(\phi) & B' &= B - d\phi - A' \wedge^\triangleright \phi - \phi \wedge \phi, & C' &= C + B' \wedge^{\{\cdot\}} \phi + \phi \wedge^{\{\cdot\}} B, \\ \bar{B}' &= \bar{B} - \bar{\sigma}(\bar{C}', \phi) - \bar{\theta}(\phi, \phi, \bar{D}), & \bar{C}' &= \bar{C} - \eta_1(\bar{D}, \phi) - \eta_2(\bar{D}, \phi), & \bar{D}' &= \bar{D}. \end{aligned}$$

Under the H -gauge transformation, the curvatures are given by

- $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}$,
- $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G} - \mathcal{F} \wedge^\triangleright \phi$,
- $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{G}' \wedge^{\{\cdot\}} \phi - \phi \wedge^{\{\cdot\}} \mathcal{G}'$.

The 3BF action is gauge invariant as

$$S'_{3BF} = S_{3BF}.$$

Due to

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \overline{B}', * \overline{B}' \rangle &= \langle \overline{B}, * \overline{B} \rangle + W, \\ \langle \overline{C}', * \overline{C}' \rangle &= \langle \overline{C}, * \overline{C} \rangle + Q, \\ \langle \overline{D}', * \overline{D}' \rangle &= \langle \overline{D}, * \overline{D} \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}W &= -\langle \overline{B}, *(\overline{\sigma}(\overline{C}', \phi) + \theta(\phi, \phi, \overline{D})) \rangle + \langle \overline{C}', *(\overline{B} - \overline{\sigma}(\overline{C}', \phi) - \theta(\phi, \phi, \overline{D})) \wedge^\triangleright \phi \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \overline{D}, *(\overline{B} - \overline{\sigma}(\overline{C}', \phi) - \theta(\phi, \phi, \overline{D})) \wedge^\triangleright \phi \wedge^{\{\cdot\}} \phi \rangle,\end{aligned}\tag{6.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}Q &= -\langle \overline{C}, *(\eta_1(\overline{D}, \phi) + \eta_2(\overline{D}, \phi)) \rangle + \langle *(\eta_1(\overline{D}, \phi) + \eta_2(\overline{D}, \phi) - \overline{C}) \wedge^{\{\cdot\}} \phi \\ &\quad - \phi \wedge^{\{\cdot\}} *(\eta_1(\overline{D}, \phi) + \eta_2(\overline{D}, \phi) - \overline{C}), \overline{D} \rangle,\end{aligned}\tag{6.24}$$

we have

$$S'_{3BFYM} = S_{3BFYM} + e^2 W + (-1)^{d+1} e^2 Q.$$

So, the 3BFYM action is not H -gauge invariant under the H -gauge transformation.

If

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{B} - \overline{\sigma}(\overline{C}', \phi) - \theta(\phi, \phi, \overline{D}) &= 0, \\ \eta_1(\overline{D}, \phi) + \eta_2(\overline{D}, \phi) - \overline{C} &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

the 3BFYM action changes as

$$S'_{3BFYM} = S_{3BF} + e^2 \langle \overline{D}, * \overline{D} \rangle.$$

We introduce two constrained conditions

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \overline{B}, *(\overline{\sigma}(\overline{C}', \phi) + \theta(\phi, \phi, \overline{D})) \rangle &= \langle \overline{C}', *(\overline{B} - \overline{\sigma}(\overline{C}', \phi) - \theta(\phi, \phi, \overline{D})) \wedge^\triangleright \phi \rangle + \langle \overline{D}, *(\overline{B} - \overline{\sigma}(\overline{C}', \phi) - \theta(\phi, \phi, \overline{D})) \wedge^\triangleright \phi \wedge^{\{\cdot\}} \phi \rangle, \\ \langle \overline{C}, *(\eta_1(\overline{D}, \phi) + \eta_2(\overline{D}, \phi)) \rangle &= \langle *(\eta_1(\overline{D}, \phi) + \eta_2(\overline{D}, \phi) - \overline{C}) \wedge^{\{\cdot\}} \phi - \phi \wedge^{\{\cdot\}} *(\eta_1(\overline{D}, \phi) + \eta_2(\overline{D}, \phi) - \overline{C}), \overline{D} \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

In this instance, $W = 0$, $Q = 0$. The 3BFYM action is H -gauge invariant and the H -gauge transformation is the H -gauge symmetry of 3BFYM theory.

3) L -gauge transformation:

$$\begin{aligned}A' &= A, \quad B' = B + \beta(\psi), \quad C' = C + d\psi + A \wedge^\triangleright \psi, \\ \overline{B}' &= \overline{B} + \overline{\kappa}(\overline{D}, \psi), \quad \overline{C}' = \overline{C}, \quad \overline{D}' = \overline{D}.\end{aligned}$$

Under the L -gauge transformation, the curvatures are given by

- $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}$,
- $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G}$,
- $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{F} \wedge^\triangleright \psi$.

The 3BF action is gauge invariant as

$$S'_{3BF} = S_{3BF}.$$

Due to

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \overline{B}', * \overline{B}' \rangle &= \langle \overline{B}, * \overline{B} \rangle + P, \\ \langle \overline{C}', * \overline{C}' \rangle &= \langle \overline{C}, * \overline{C} \rangle, \\ \langle \overline{D}', * \overline{D}' \rangle &= \langle \overline{D}, * \overline{D} \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$P = \langle \overline{B}, * \overline{\kappa}(\overline{D}, \psi) \rangle - \langle \overline{D}, *(\overline{B} + \overline{\kappa}(\overline{D}, \psi)) \wedge^\triangleright \psi \rangle,$$

we have

$$S'_{3BFYM} = S_{3BFYM} + e^2 P.$$

So, the 3BFYM action is not L -gauge invariant under the L -gauge transformation.

If

$$\overline{B} + \overline{\kappa}(\overline{D}, \psi) = 0,$$

the 3BFYM action changes as

$$S'_{3BFYM} = S_{3BF} + (-1)^{d+1} e^2 \langle \overline{C}, * \overline{C} \rangle + e^2 \langle \overline{D}, * \overline{D} \rangle.$$

We introduce a constrained condition

$$\langle \overline{B}, * \overline{\kappa}(\overline{D}, \psi) \rangle = \langle \overline{D}, *(\overline{B} + \overline{\kappa}(\overline{D}, \psi)) \wedge^\triangleright \psi \rangle.$$

In this instance, $P = 0$. The 3BFYM action is L -gauge invariant and the L -gauge transformation is the L -gauge symmetry of 3BFYM theory.

Acknowledgment

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos.11871350, NSFC no. 11971322).

References

- [1] M. Celada, D. González and M. Montesinos, BF gravity, *Classical. Quant. Grav.* 33 (2016) 213001, arXiv:1610.02020 [gr-qc].
- [2] L. Freidel, K. Krasnov and R. Puzio, BF description of higher dimensional gravity theories, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* 3 (1999) 1289–1324, arXiv:hep-th/9901069.
- [3] E. Witten, Topological Quantum Field Theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 117 (1988) 353–386.
- [4] G. T. Horowitz, Exactly soluble diffeomorphism invariant theories, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 125 (1989) 417–437.
- [5] M. Blau and G. Thompson, A new class of topological field theories and the Ray-Singer torsion, *Phys. Lett. B* 228 (1989) 64-68.
- [6] M. Blau and G. Thompson, Topological gauge theories of antisymmetric tensor fields, *Ann. Phys.* 205 (1991) 130-172.
- [7] D. Birmingham, M. Blau, M. Rakowski and G. Thompson, Topological Field Theories, *Phys. Rep.* 209 (1991) 129-340.
- [8] V. Cuesta and M. Montesinos, Cartan's equations define a topological field theory of the BF type, *Phys. Rev. D* 76 (2007) 104004.
- [9] V. Cuesta, M. Montesinos, M. Velázquez and J. D. Vergara, Topological field theories in n-dimensional spacetimes and Cartan's equations, *Phys. Rev. D* 78 (2008) 064046, arXiv:0809.2741 [gr-qc].
- [10] M. I. Caicedo, R. Gianvittorio, A. Restuccia and J. Stephany, BRST quantization of non-abelian BF topological theories, *Phys. Lett. B* 354 (1995) 292-299, arXiv:hep-th/9502137.
- [11] J. C. Baez, An Introduction to Spin Foam Models of BF Theory and Quantum Gravity, *Lect. Notes. Phys.* 543 (2000) 25-94, arXiv:gr-qc/9905087.

- [12] A. S. Cattaneo, P. Cotta-Ramusino and C. A. Rossi, Loop Observables for BF Theories in Any Dimension and the Cohomology of Knots, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 51 (2000) 301-316.
- [13] A. S. Schwartz, The Partition Function of a Degenerate Quadratic Functional and Ray-Singer Invariants, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 2, (1978) 247–252.
- [14] A. S. Cattaneo, P. Cotta-Ramusino, F. Fucito, M. Martellini, M. Rinaldi, A. Tanzini and M. Zeni, Four-dimensional Yang-Mills theory as a deformation of topological BF theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 197 (1998) 571–621, arXiv:hep-th/9705123.
- [15] F. Fucito, M. Martellini and M. Zeni, The BF formalism for QCD and quark confinement, *Nucl. Phys. B* 496 (1997) 259–284, arXiv:hep-th/9605018 .
- [16] M. Martellini and M. Zeni, Feynman rules and β -function for the BF Yang-Mills theory, *Phys. Lett. B* 401 (1997) 62–68, arXiv:hep-th/9702035.
- [17] J. C. Baez and J. Huerta, An invitation to higher gauge theory, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* 43 (2010) 2335–2392, arXiv:1003.4485.
- [18] J. C. Baez and U. Schreiber, Higher gauge theory, *Contemp. Math.* 431 (2007) 7–30, arXiv:math/0511710.
- [19] T. Bartels, Higher gauge theory I : 2-bundles, arXiv:math/0410328 .
- [20] J. C. Baez and U. Schreiber, Higher gauge theory: 2-connections on 2-bundles, arXiv:hep-th/0412325.
- [21] J. F. Martins and R. Picken, The fundamental Gray 3-groupoid of a smooth manifold and local 3-dimensional holonomy based on a 2-crossed module, *Differ. Geom. Appl.* 29 (2011) 179–206, arXiv:0907.2566 [math.CT].
- [22] C. Saemann and M. Wolf, Six-dimensional superconformal field theories from principal 3-bundles over twistor space, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 104 (2014) 1147–1188, arXiv:1305.4870 [hep-th].
- [23] W. Wang, On 3-gauge transformations, 3-curvatures, and Gray-categories, *J. Math. Phys* 55 (2014) 043506, arXiv:1311.3796 [math-ph].
- [24] D. Fiorenza, H. Sati and U. Schreiber, The E_8 moduli 3-stack of the C-field in M-theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 333 (2015) 117–151, arXiv:1202.2455 [hep-th] .
- [25] T. Radenkovic and M. Vojinovic, Quantum gravity and elementary particles from higher gauge theory, *Ann. Univ. Craiova Phys.* 30, 74 (2020), arXiv:2103.08037 [hep-th] .
- [26] U. Schreiber, From loop space mechanics to nonabelian strings, arXiv:hep-th/0509163.
- [27] P. Aschieri, L. Cantini and B. Jurco, Nonabelian bundle gerbes, their differential geometry and gauge theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 254 (2005) 367-400, arXiv:hep-th/0312154.
- [28] F. Girelli and H. Pfeiffer, Higher gauge theory-differential versus integral formulation, *J. Math. Phys.* 45 (2004) 3949–3971, arXiv:hep-th/0309173.
- [29] J. F. Martins and A. Mikovic, Lie crossed modules and gauge-invariant actions for 2-BF theories, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* 15 (2011) 1059–1084, arXiv:1006.0903 [hep-th].
- [30] F. Girelli, H. Pfeiffer and E. M. Popescu, Topological Higher Gauge Theory-from BF to BFCG theory, *J. Math. Phys.* 49 (2008) 032503, arXiv:0708.3051 [hep-th].
- [31] A. Miković, M. A. Oliveira and M. Vojinović, Hamiltonian analysis of the BFCG theory for a generic Lie 2-group, arXiv:1610.09621 [math-ph].
- [32] A. Miković, M. A. Oliveira and M. Vojinović, Hamiltonian analysis of the BFCG formulation of General Relativity, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 36 (2019) 015005, arXiv:1807.06354.

- [33] A. Miković, M. A. Oliveira and M. Vojinović, Hamiltonian analysis of the BF_{CG} theory for the Poincaré 2-group, *Classical. Quant. Grav.* 33 (2016) 065007, arXiv:1508.05635 [gr-qc].
- [34] A. Miković and M. A. Oliveira, Canonical formulation of Poincare BF_{CG} theory and its quantization, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* 47 (2015) 58, arXiv:1409.3751 [gr-qc].
- [35] T. Radenkovic and M. Vojinovic, Gauge symmetry of the 3BF theory for a generic Lie 3-group, arXiv:2101.04049 [hep-th].
- [36] T. Radenkovic and M. Vojinovic, Construction and examples of higher gauge theories, arXiv:2005.09404 [gr-qc].
- [37] A. Mikovic and M. Vojinovic, Poincare 2-group and quantum gravity, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 29 (2012), 165003, arXiv:1110.4694 [gr-qc].
- [38] T. Radenković and M. Vojinović, Higher gauge theories based on 3-groups, *J. High. Energy. Phys.* 10 (2019) 222, arXiv:1904.07566 [hep-th].
- [39] J. C. Baez, Higher Yang-Mills theory, arXiv:hep-th/0206130.
- [40] A. Gastel, Canonical Gauges in Higher Gauge Theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 376 (2020) 1053–1071, arXiv:1810.06278 [math-ph].
- [41] D. H. Song, K. Wu and J. Yang, 3-form Yang-Mills based on 2-crossed modules, arXiv:2108.12852 [math-ph].
- [42] J. C. Baez and A. D. Lauda, Higher-Dimensional Algebra V: 2-Groups, *Theor. Appl. Categ.* 12 (2004) 423–491, arXiv:math/0307200.
- [43] J. C. Baez and A. S. Crans, Higher-Dimensional Algebra VI: Lie 2-Algebras, *Theor. Appl. Categ.* 12 (2004) 492–528, arXiv:math/0307263.
- [44] R. Brown and P. J. Higgins, On the connection between the second relative homotopy groups of some related spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 36 (1978) 193–212.
- [45] K. H. Kamps and T. Porter, 2-groupoid enrichments in homotopy theory and algebra, *K-theory.* 25 (2002) 373–409.
- [46] A. Mutlu, T. Porter and R. Brown, Freeness conditions for 2-crossed modules and complexes, *Theor. Appl. Categ.* 4 (1998) 174–194.
- [47] D. Roberts and U. Schreiber, The inner automorphism 3-group of a strict 2-group, *J. Homotopy. Relat. Str.* 3(1) 2008 193-245, arXiv:0708.1741 [math.CT].
- [48] W. Fulton, J. Harris, *Representation Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [49] T. Radenkovic and M. Vojinovic, Hamiltonian Analysis for the Scalar Electrodynamics as 3BF Theory, *Symmetry* 12 (2020) 620, arXiv:2004.06901 [gr-qc].
- [50] A. Mikovic and M. Vojinovic, Standard Model and 4-groups, *Europhys. Lett.* 133 (2021) 61001, arXiv:2008.06354 [hep-th].