AstroSat detection of a mHz QPO and cyclotron line in IGR J19294+1816 during the 2019 outburst

Gayathri Raman^{1,2}, Varun³, Biswajit Paul⁴ and Dipankar Bhattacharya⁵ ¹ Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Main Gate Rd, IIT Area, Powai, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400076, India

2 Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, The Pennsylvania State University, 525 Davey Lab, University Park, PA 16802, USA

3 Aryabhatta Research Institute of Observational Sciences (ARIES), Manora Peak, Nainital-263001, Uttarakhand, India 4

Raman Research Institute (RRI), Sadashivanagar, Bengaluru, Karnataka 560080, India

⁵ Inter University Center for Astronomy and Astrophysics (IUCAA), Ganeshkhind, Pune, Maharashtra 411007, India

6 January 2022

ABSTRACT

We present results from timing and spectral analysis of the HMXB X-ray pulsar IGR J19294+1816 observed using AstroSat during its recent Type-I outburst in October, 2019. AstroSat observations sampled the outburst at the decline phase right after the outburst peak. We carried out timing analysis on the light curves obtained using the Large Area X-ray Proportional Counter (LAXPC) instrument on board AstroSat and measured a spin period of 12.485065 ± 0.000015 s. The peak in the power density spectrum (PDS) corresponding to the spin period of 12.48 s also shows a broadened base. We also detected a Quasi Periodic Oscillation (QPO) feature at 0.032 ± 0.002 Hz with an RMS fractional amplitude of $\sim 18\%$ in the PDS. We further carried out a joint spectral analysis using both the Soft X-ray Telescope (SXT) and the LAXPC instruments and detected a Cyclotron Resonant Scattering Feature (CRSF) at 42.7 ± 0.9 keV and an Fe emission line at 6.4 ± 0.1 keV. IGR J19294+1816, being an intermediate spin pulsar, has exhibited a plethora of spectral and timing features during its most recent 2019 outburst, adding it to the list of transients that exhibit both a QPO as well as a CRSF.

Key words: X-rays: binaries, (stars:), stars: neutron, accretion, accretion discs

1 INTRODUCTION

Accretion powered High Mass X-ray Binary (HMXB) pulsars comprise of a highly magnetized neutron star (NS) accreting from a high mass companion. Most of these systems in the Milky Way galaxy are transient sources that usually remain in quiescence for long periods, and occasionally exhibit outbursts with durations ranging from several days to hundreds of days (see Paul & Naik 2011 for a review). Outbursts from these systems have been classified as Type-I and Type-II depending upon their peak luminosities and duration. Type-1 outbursts tend to be periodic with durations of a few days to tens of days and X-ray luminosities $L_X \sim 10^{35} - 10^{37}$ ergs s⁻¹. They exhibit periodic enhanced episodes of accretion during the periastron passage of the NS. The Type-II outbursts, however, are not periodic, are much longer in duration, lasting for weeks to months and are characterized by luminosities $L_X \sim 10^{37} - 10^{38}$ ergs s⁻¹. These systems exhibit luminosity dependent timing and spectral features such as variations in

pulse profiles, presence/absence of Quasi Periodic Oscillations (QPOs) in the power density spectra, variation in cyclotron line energy properties, etc. (Paul & Naik 2011).

Accretion onto a NS is an efficient way of generating high energy photons. However, the maximum luminosity that can be reached by this process is limited by several factors. For the case of spherical accretion, the Eddington luminosity limits the maximum attainable luminosity. However, for a highly magnetized NS in an accreting binary system, the maximum luminosity attained, can greatly exceed the L_{Edd} via the formation of accretion columns (Basko & Sunyaev 1976; Mushtukov et al. 2015). From the current understanding of the theory of accretion, we know that emission region configuration strongly depends on the mass accretion rate (Basko & Sunyaev 1976). At lower X-ray luminosities, the accretion mounds on the NS polar caps majorly dominate the X-ray emission. The NS surface is heated up by the inflowing plasma via Coulomb collisions (Zel'dovich & Shakura

1969; Mushtukov et al. 2015). However, at higher mass accretion rates, the plasma is stopped at some distance above the NS surface by radiation-dominated shock and the radiation is observed to originate from an extended 'accretion column' (Basko & Sunyaev 1976; Becker et al. 2012; Mushtukov et al. 2015; Doroshenko et al. 2017). A 'critical' luminosity has been defined in literature that serves as a boundary between these two regimes. The behavior of pulse profiles and even CRSF line energy properties have been shown to vary in these two domains (Becker et al. 2012; Mushtukov, Nagirner & Poutanen 2012). Luminosity-related variations in the CRSF line energies and pulse profiles, help probe changes in the configuration of the accretion column, all of which can be best studied while accreting magnetized NS systems undergo episodic outbursts.

Observations of X-ray pulsars have indicated that they have spin periods ranging from milli-seconds (for example, SAX J1808.4+3658, Wijnands & van der Klis 1998) all the way up to a few thousand seconds (for example, 4U2206+54, 2S 0114+65, see Wang & Tong 2020). In fact, recent studies have indicated that the Be X-ray binary population comprises of two sub populations based on their spin and orbital periods and orbital eccentricities (Knigge, Coe & Podsiadlowski 2011): i) the short spin $P_{spin} \sim 10$ s, $P_{orb} \sim 40$ d, and ii) $P_{spin} \sim 200 \text{ s}, P_{orb} \approx 100 \text{ d}$ (Prišegen 2020). Such a bimodal distribution in the NS spin has been suggested to be the result of two distinct NS forming supernova pathways (Knigge, Coe & Podsiadlowski 2011). In this context, particularly interesting are the X-ray pulsars hosting NS with intermediate spin (\sim several seconds to several tens of seconds) since they also occupy a unique position in the Corbet diagram of spin period versus orbital period. IGR J19294+1816 is one such poorly studied intermediate spin pulsar that underwent an outburst in October 2019, which we observed and studied using AstroSat instruments.

IGR J19294+1816, a hard X-ray transient, was first detected with the IBIS/ISGRI camera on board *INTEGRAL* Gamma Ray Observatory while undergoing an outburst in 2009 (Turler, Rodriguez & Ferrigno 2009). Pulsations at 12.4 s were detected from this bright source using Swift observations (Rodriguez et al. 2009); Strohmayer et al. (2009) further confirmed the X-ray pulsar nature of this object using RXTE-PCA observations. Corbet & Krimm (2009) inferred an orbital period of 117.2 days using long term Swift-BAT flux modulations. NIR spectroscopy revealed that the system hosts a B1Ve optical companion star and the distance to the system was inferred to be around 11 ± 1 kpc (Tsygankov et al. 2019). A cyclotron absorption feature was detected at ~42 keV using *NuSTAR* observations (Tsygankov et al. 2019).

A recent XMM-Newton observation detected enhanced X-ray activity during its periastron passage, indicating it to be a Type-I X-ray outburst (Domcek et al. 2019). In order to study the wide band spectral and timing characteristics of this source during its latest outburst, we carried out X-ray observations using the various *AstroSat* instruments. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the

details of the observations and data reduction methods for the LAXPC and SXT instruments. This is followed by timing and spectral analysis and results in Section 3. We then discuss the implications of our results and describe the broadband outburst properties of this pulsar system in Section 4.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

AstroSat observations of IGR 19294+1816 were carried out using the SXT and LAXPC instruments on the 29th of October, 2019, following an ATel reporting re-brightening of the source in XMM-Newton observations (Domcek et al. 2019). The source was already in the declining phase of its outburst after its peak had passed. This observation was part of a Target of Opportunity (ToO) proposal (Obs ID T03 3272) comprising of AstroSat orbits 22082-22093 and a total exposure time of ~62 ks.

The Indian satellite AstroSat carries five pavloads providing simultaneous multi-wavelength coverage in the UV, soft and hard X-ray bands. The Large Area X-ray Proportional Counter (LAXPC) is a broad-band timing instrument that comprises of three co-aligned proportional counter detectors with a $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ field of view. It achieves a timing resolution of 10 micro-second and is sensitive in the broad band energy range of 3.0–80.0 keV. LAXPC has proven to be extremely useful in carrying out CRSF line studies (Varun et al. 2019a,b). Details of the LAXPC calibration can be found in Antia et al. (2017); Yadav et al. (2017). During this observation, the LXP30 detector was non functional and the LXP10 detector was corrected for gain changes rendering it unreliable for spectroscopic work. We have therefore utilised the LXP20 detector for spectroscopic analysis in this work. The level 1 LXP20 data files were reduced using the standard data reduction pipeline¹ (Format A). The level 2 event file was generated using the standard procedure laxpc_make_event. We further generated the good time intervals that exclude the time intervals corresponding to Earth occultation and satellite passage through the South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA) using the task laxpc_make_stdgti. With the help of the as1bary tool, the new level 2 event file was then barycenter corrected from the satellite frame to the Solar System Barycenter using the orbital information and the source coordinates. The resultant event file was then used for further timing and spectral analvsis.

The Soft X-ray Telescope (SXT) is an imaging telescope operating in the 0.3–8.0 keV energy band (Singh et al. 2016). It has an X-ray optics unit consisting of 40 confocal cells made of gold-coated aluminium foils. The X-ray CCD consists of 600×600 pixels. It images a circular region of the sky with a radius of ~ 20[']. The on-axis Point Spread Function (PSF) has a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 100^{''}. It has a spectral resolution of ~150 eV at 6 keV. During this observation, the *SXT* was operated in the Photon Counting (PC)

¹ http://astrosat-ssc.iucaa.in/?q=laxpcData



Figure 1. The 15–50 keV Swift BAT light curve shows the October 2019 outburst of IGR J19294+1816. A prompt AstroSat observation was undertaken and its location on the long term light curve is indicated in red.

mode with a time resolution of 2.4 s. The LEVEL 1 PC mode data were processed using the SXT software pipeline version 1.4b and the SXT spectral redistribution matrices in CALDB (v20160510). Orbit-wise event files were merged using the Julia software-based SXT merger tool called sxtevtmergerjl. We selected all the events inside a circular region of radius 15' centered on the source coordinates. The image, light curve and spectra were extracted using the Xselect tool.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Timing

We obtained a long term Swift BAT light curve from the Swift-BAT hard X-ray transient Monitor page² to indicate the segment of the outburst which was sampled by *AstroSat*. The Swift-BAT light curve in the 15–50 keV energy band, with the *AstroSat* observation marked on it, shows a marked increase in the count rate during the October 2019 outburst (see Figure 1).

We extracted the time series from LAXPC unit LXP20 with a 5 ms binning in the 3.0-80.0 keV energy band using the task <code>laxpc_make_lightcurve</code>. The background subtracted, barycenter corrected light curve showed a steady emission during the observations with an average count rate of 96 counts s⁻¹.

We created the Power Density Spectrum (PDS) using the FTOOLs task **powspec** from the LXP20 light curve of the full observation. The PDS was normalised such that the integral gives the squared RMS fractional variability. Interestingly, we detect signatures of a QPO like bump at ~0.032 Hz along with spin frequency peak at 0.08 Hz and its two harmonics (at ~0.16 Hz and ~0.25 Hz) in the 3.0–80.0 keV PDS as shown in Figure 2. The spin peak feature shows a peculiar broadening at its base. To infer the properties of the QPO, the PDS in the frequency range around the centroid of the QPO



Figure 2. The white noise subtracted RMS normalised PDS of the light curve showing the pulse period at ~ 0.08 Hz and the QPO feature at 0.032 Hz (top panel). The QPO is modeled using a Lorenztian function, shown here as a solid black line. The bottom panel shows the variation of the QPO rms fractional amplitude as a function of energy. The QPO is not detected beyond 30 keV.

² https://swift.gsfc.nasa.gov/results/transients/index.html



Figure 3. The average pulse profile of IGR J19294+1816 in the 3.0–80.0 keV energy band for LXP20 plotted with 64 phase bins per period.

(0.01-0.07 Hz) was fitted with a model consisting of a constant to describe the continuum along with a Lorentzian component. The QPO centroid frequency was determined to be 0.032 ± 0.002 Hz with a width of 0.012 ± 0.006 Hz. The Quality-factor for this QPO feature is calculated to be $(Q=\nu_0/\sigma) \sim 2.7$. The white noise subtracted RMS value of the QPO in the 3.0–80.0 keV energy band is found to be $13.6\%\pm0.5\%$. We further calculated the QPO RMS fractional variability in different energy bands and found that it increased as a function of energy (Figure 2, bottom panel). The QPO is detected in the 3 energy bands 3–6 keV, 6–10 keV, 10–30 keV with an RMS of $10.2\%\pm0.4\%$, $13.5\%\pm1.2\%$, and $15.7\%\pm1.0\%$, respectively. The QPO feature is not detectable in the LAXPC power spectra beyond 30 keV.

To determine the spin period accurately we used the pulse folding and χ^2 -maximization method using the FTOOLS task efsearch. We searched for 1000 trial periods around a central pulse period of 12.48 s with a resolution of 0.1 μ s. A maximum χ^2 , indicating the best period, was obtained for a pulse period value 12.485065 ± 0.000015 s. The quoted uncertainty in the pulse period was determined by generating a 1000 gaussian randomized light curve realizations and carrying out period searches on all of the sample. This was achieved using the method specified in Boldin, Tsygankov & Lutovinov (2013). The orbital parameters of this source are not known except its orbital period. Therefore a period search was done on the light curve which is only barycenter corrected. The period value might still not be accurate due to the orbital motion of the source. We have folded the total light curve with the best period obtained from the period search and used MJD 58784.99998 as the reference epoch to create a pulse profile as shown in Figure 3. The pulse profile shows a very broad single peak which is spread across the whole phase range. There is an indication of the presence of another component on the right side of this peak.

The count statistics in the full energy range data allowed us to create pulse profiles in several energy bands. We extracted light curves in the following bands: 3–6 keV, 6–10 keV,



Figure 4. The energy resolved pulse profiles of IGR J19294+1816 in 6 different energy bands from the LXP20 detector. These are the energy bands in which pulsations were detected.

10-30 keV, 30-39 keV, 39-42 keV, 42-45 keV, 45-65 keV and 65-80 keV. Two energy bands (39-42 keV and 42-45 keV) are specifically chosen around the center of the cyclotron line that was seen in the spectral analysis (see section 3.2). We folded the light curves at the newly estimated spin period and obtained corresponding pulse profiles. The energy resolved pulse profiles are shown in Figure 4. We detected pulsations upto 45 keV. In the last two energy bands (45-65 keV and 65-80 keV) pulsations are not detected due to poor statistics in the data. The pulse profile has a single peak in the soft X-ray bands. In the 3-6 keV band, the pulse profile has an additional feature to the left of the peak. This feature disappears in the 6–10 keV band. A different component appears on right side in 10-30 keV band and becomes more prominent in subsequent energy bands. We compute the Pulse Fraction (PF) from the pulse profiles using the prescription: $\frac{I_{max}-I_{min}}{I_{max}+I_{min}}$. The PF shows an interesting trend as a function of energy. The PF first increases from 3-6 keV up to 10-30 keV band and then decreases in the subsequent energy bands as shown in Figure 5. We observe this trend in the PF when the source luminosity was ${\sim}1.6{\times}10^{37}~{\rm erg~s^{-1}}$ (see Section 3.2 for spectral analysis and flux estimation details). This trend is different from the one reported by NuSTAR observations that were carried out at two different X-ray luminosities $L_{\rm X} = 6.7 \times 10^{34}$, and 3.4×10^{36} erg s⁻¹, where the PF was found to be increasing with energy in both cases. However, we note that the PF be-



Figure 5. Variation of pulse fraction is shown for different energy bands. Mid point of energy band is taken as reference for the whole energy band.

havior is consistent with the reports of Roy, Choudhury & Agrawal (2017) derived using RXTE data.

3.2 Spectroscopy

We further carried out a joint spectral analysis using the SXT and the LAXPC instruments. The spectral products for the LXP20 detector, which include the source and background spectra in the 5.0-50.0 keV energy range and the response files were extracted for all the layers using the Format A pipeline as specified in Section 2. We restrict our spectral range to 50.0 keV beyond which the spectrum has very limited S/N ratio. We also ignore the energy channels below 5.0 keV since the LAXPC response is not fully understood at those energies. The 0.3–7.0 keV SXT spectrum was extracted from the LEVEL 2 event file using the Xselect tool. For SXT spectral fitting, we used the background spectrum and Response Matrix File (RMF) distributed by TIFR-POC³ and the sxt_ARFModule tool to generate the corrected Auxiliary Response File (ARF). We then imported all the spectral products into XSPEC v.12.10.0 for fitting. We included the recommended 3%systematics when fitting the joint LAXPC-SXT spectra, in order to account for the uncertainty in the spectral responses.

The 5.0-50.0 keV LXP20 spectrum and the 1.0-7.0 keV SXT spectrum were jointly fit by introducing a relative normalization term in the form of a constant factor. The constant factor was fixed at 1.0 for LAXPC and was left free to vary for



Figure 6. The unfolded LXP20 spectrum and fit residuals are shown for the joint fit using SXT and LAXPC obtained for Model 1 'nthcomp'. The total model fit is shown as a solid black (SXT) and red (LAXPC) lines. The middle panel shows the residuals with no CRSF component added, while the lower most panel shows the residuals when the CRSF line has been accounted for.

the SXT spectrum. We also applied a gain correction for the SXT pipeline by using the gain_fit command. We fixed the gain slope to 1.0 and allowed the gain offset value to vary. To describe the spectral continuum, we compared three different models: 1) The thermal comptonization model 'nthcomp' (Zdziarski, Johnson & Magdziarz 1996; Życki, Done & Smith 1999) and 2) an absorbed power law with a high energy cutoff, 'highEcut' and 3) a cutoffpl model. The 'nthcomp' model describes comptonization of soft photons in a hot plasma. It provides a better description of the continuum shape at lower energies compared to a power law by incorporating a low energy rollover such that the scattered spectrum has a fewer photons at energies below the typical input seed photon energies⁴. We then compared the spectral fit parameters of this model with the two other phenomenological models, i.e., 'highEcut' and 'cutoffPL'. We also note that the more commonly adopted physical model 'compTT' was resulting in highly unphysical fit parameters and was therefore ignored for this analysis. The ISM absorption model TBabs was used to account for the line-of-sight neutral hydrogen (nH) column density. We adopted the updated photoionization cross sections and the Wilms abundance model (Wilms, Allen & Mc-Cray 2000). All three continuum models showed significant soft X-ray residuals that prompted us to include a blackbody component, which significantly improved the fit. We assume that the same blackbody photons contribute as seed photons for the comptonization process, and so we tie the two temperatures for the fitting. Blackbody temperatures of the order of ~ 1.5 keV, as seen for this pulsar in this work, were recently reported in a similar transient pulsar system, Swift J0243.6+6124 (Jaisawal, Naik & Chenevez 2017). We note

 $^{4}\ https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/xanadu/xspec/manual/node205.html$

6 G. Raman et al.

Model	Parameters	Model 1 (nthComp)	Model 2 (PL \times highEcut)	Model 3 (cutoff PL)
const	LAXPC SXT	1.0 (fixed) 1.14	1.0 (fixed) 1.13	1.0 (fixed) 1.13
TBabs	nH (×10 ²² cm ⁻²)	$1.65 {\pm} 0.2$	$2.1 {\pm} 0.3$	$2.02{\pm}0.4$
bbodyrad	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{kT} \ \mathrm{(keV)} \\ \mathrm{norm}^{a} \end{array}$	$1.41{\pm}0.1$ $1.4{\pm}0.8$	1.68 ± 0.1 2.1 ± 0.6	$1.57 {\pm} 0.1$ $2.24 {\pm} 0.3$
nth comp	Gamma $kT_e (keV)$ $kT_{BB} (keV)$	1.5 ± 0.1 11.2 ± 2.1 1.41 ± 0.1 (tied to kT _{BB})		
power law	$\frac{\text{index } (\alpha)}{\text{norm}^b}$		$0.55 {\pm} 0.1$ $0.01 {\pm} 0.003$	
highEcut	cutoff E (keV) fold E (keV)		$^{14.8\pm1.4}_{24.62^{+9.6}_{-4.1}}$	
cutoffpl	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{index} \ (\alpha) \\ \mathrm{highEcut} \\ \mathrm{norm}^{b} \end{array}$			$0.26{\pm}0.01$ $18.4{\pm}3.8$ 0.01
gabs	$\begin{array}{l} {\rm E}_{cycl} \ ({\rm keV}) \\ \sigma_{cycl} \ ({\rm keV}) \\ {\rm optical \ depth} \ (\tau) \end{array}$	$42.7{\pm}0.9$ $2.72{\pm}1.1$ $1.8{\pm}0.1$	45.8 ± 3.1 4.5 ± 2.0 1.9 ± 0.9	42.9 ± 0.8 2.9 ± 0.8 1.7 ± 0.5
gaus		6.40 ± 0.1 0.01 (fixed) 128.8	6.42 ± 0.1 0.01 (fixed) 130.1	6.40 ± 0.1 0.01 (fixed) 136.1
Reduced χ^2 / dof		1.1/50	1.01/50	1.03/53

 Table 1. Best fit spectral parameters for the joint SXT and LAXPC spectral fit.

^a The blackbody norm is a dimensionless parameter defined as $\frac{R_{\rm km}^2}{D_{10}^2}$, where $R_{\rm km}$ is the source radius in km and D_{10} is the distance to the source in units of 10 kpc.

 b photons $\rm keV^{-1}~cm^{-2}~s^{-1}$ at 1 keV

that all three spectral models indicate a consistent blackbody temperature with an emission size of \sim 1.3–1.5 km.

The LAXPC spectrum using all three models also showed a broad absorption feature near ~42 keV, which we interpret as the CRSF. This line is fit using a Gaussian absorption (gabs) model. The addition of the gabs model improved the reduced χ^2 to 1.1 (with a $\Delta\chi^2 \sim 52.4$ for 50 dof). The CRSF line energy is poorly constrained by the highEcut model with a larger uncertainty (45.8±3.1 keV), compared to the other two models. To compute the significance for multiplicative components like the CRSF line, we adopt the F-test routine in IDL package, MPFTEST⁵ (DeCesar et al. 2013) and compute an F-test probability. We estimate the significance of the CRSF line to be 5.2σ , 6.0σ and 5.8σ , for Models 1, 2 & 3, respectively. The SXT spectrum was dominated by continuum emission, with a narrow excess near ~6.4 keV. We added a Gaussian emission line with a fixed width of 0.01 keV to model this line for all three spectral model fits. The Fe K- α line is detected at ~6.4 keV and is independent of the continuum spectral model. Details of the best fit parameters are shown in Table 1. We obtain a positive SXT gain offset value of 30.2 eV, 36.1 eV and 30.5 eV, for the three models, respectively.

In order to statistically examine the CRSF line, we carried out a correlation study of the CRSF line parameters with different continuum parameters. The CRSF centroid line energy at \sim 42 keV remained largely uncorrelated with the

⁵ https://pages.physics.wisc.edu/ craigm/idl/down/mpftest.pro

compton continuum parameters. We also carried out an Ftest to compare the spectral models. We find that Model 2 ('highEcut') is a statistically more preferred phenomenological model (with a p-value~0.001) compared to the 'cutoffPL' model. However, we find that the physical model 'nthcomp' is statistically indistinguishable from the two phenomenological models using the current data.

4 DISCUSSION

We report the results from a timing and spectral study of the HMXB pulsar IGR 19294+1816 using AstroSat observations that were carried out during the October 2019 outburst. The observations fall on the declining phase of the outburst. We measure the pulsar's spin period to be 12.485065±0.000015 s. We also report the detection of a 0.032 Hz QPO from the timing analysis of LAXPC light curves. From our spectral analysis results we find the cyclotron line energy to be centered at 42.7±0.9 keV (consistent with NuSTAR report, Tsygankov et al. 2019) and estimate the pulsar's magnetic field to be 4.6×10^{12} G. From the 0.7–50 keV model flux obtained using our spectroscopy results and assuming a source distance of 11 kpc, we find that during its declining outburst phase, IGR 19294+1816 had a source luminosity of 1.6×10^{37} ergs s⁻¹.

4.1 Cyclotron line & Quasi Periodic Oscillation

Out of 36 X-ray binary sources with confirmed detections of CRSF (Staubert et al. 2019), only 9 sources exhibit simultaneous cyclotron line absorption along with a QPO. This becomes crucial since we can now obtain two independent measures of the magnetic field and probe the magnetospheric interactions using combined timing and spectral properties. A list of such sources are given in Table 2. Results from our work makes IGR 19294+1816 the 10th such source in this list.

In our work, we detect the CRSF feature at 42 keV, which indicates (for a z of ~0.3 and for M_{NS} in the range 1.4– 2.0 M_{\odot} : Cottam, Paerels & Mendez 2002) a magnetic field strength of 4.6×10^{12} G. Our measurement of E_{CRSF} agrees with the *NuSTAR* studies of the source (Tsygankov et al. 2019). It is also interesting to note that the centroid energy of the cyclotron line has remained steady between the different luminosity states. Particularly, Tsygankov et al. (2019) have conducted their spectral analysis over two luminosity states ($L_X \sim 6.4 \times 10^{34}$ ergs s⁻¹ and $L_X \sim 3.4 \times 10^{36}$ ergs s⁻¹) and our work probes a slightly higher luminosity (i.e. $L_X \sim 1.6 \times 10^{37}$ ergs s⁻¹) during which the E_{CRSF} has remained fairly steady at ~42 keV (see Figure 7).

Interestingly, there is strong observational evidence for the bimodal dependence of the CRSF line energy with X-ray luminosity (L_X) (Becker et al. 2012; Mushtukov et al. 2015; Staubert et al. 2019). Both positive and negative correlations between the CRSF line energy and L_X have been observed in various HMXB pulsars and the luminosity where the correlation changes from positive to negative has therefore been associated with the critical luminosity. Becker et al. (2012)



Figure 7. The CRSF line energy measured by *NuSTAR* and *AstroSat* for IGR 19294+1816 are shown as function of luminosity. The red curve indicates the critical luminosity model function (for an accretion disk parameter of $\Lambda = 0.5$) that demarcates the sub and super critical accretion regimes (Mushtukov et al. 2015).

also provide a theoretical framework that explains the beam pattern in both the regimes - above L_{crit} , radiation dominated shocks are responsible for the generation of a fan beam; below L_{crit} , Coulomb interactions dominate the flow decelaration process and radiation escapes parallel to the field to produce a pencil beam pattern.

In this context we discuss different works addressing the computation of the critical luminosity achieved in pulsar accretion columns. Becker et al. (2012) derive an effective L_{Edd} that arises specifically for the case of pulsar accretion columns. The overall accretion dynamics is governed by matter being decelerated in response to radiation pressure or coulomb interactions and these two domains are separated by a critical luminosity (L_{crit}) , which for a canonical NS, is $\sim 10^{37}$ erg s⁻¹. However, more recent calculations by Mushtukov et al. (2015), which are based on the physical model derived by Basko & Sunyaev (1976), provide an accurate estimate of critical luminosity by accounting for the resonances in the Compton scattering cross section, polarization as well as the accretion flow configuration. They show that the L_{crit} is not a monotonic function of the magnetic field and has a more complicated behavior. Their theoretical work compares well with observed behavior for some of the sources like V 0332+53, 4U 0115+63, GX 304-1. Sources like A 0535+26 show neither a positive or a negative correlation (Caballero et al. 2007; Mushtukov et al. 2015). The CRSF line in IGR 19294+1816 has so far been measured using NuSTAR and AstroSat. Figure 7 shows the CRSF line energy measurements as a function of luminosity along with the critical luminosity model curve (shown for an accretion disk parameter of $\Lambda = 0.5$, Mushtukov et al. 2015). The current AstroSat measurement lies very close to the L_{crit}, in the sub-critical regime. Future broadband X-ray observations in different luminosity

Sr	Source	Nature	E_{cycl}	QPOs	Ref.
No.			(keV)	$ u_{qpo}$	
1	4U 0115+63	Transient	$12,24,36,\ 48,62$	1-2 mHz, 27-46 mHz	1, 2
2	V 0332+53	Transient	28	0.22 Hz, 0.05 Hz	3,4
3	A 0535+26	Transient	50	27 - 72 mHz	5, 6
4	1A 1118-61	Transient	55, 110?	80 mHz, 70-90 mHz	7, 8
5	Swift 1626.6-5156	Transient	10,18	1 Hz	9
6	Cen X-3	Persistent	28	40-90 mHz	10
7	GX 304-1	Transient	54	$0.125~\mathrm{Hz}$	11 & 12
8	4U 1901+03	Transient	30	$0.135 \; \text{Hz}$	13 & 14
9	4U 1626-67	Persistent	37	1 mHz, 48 mHz	15, 16 & 17
10	IGR J19294+1816	Transient	42	$3.5 \mathrm{~mHz}$	18 and this work

Table 2. A list of all the transient and persistent X-ray binary sources exhibiting a *CRSF* as well as a QPO. Numbered references to the QPO and CRSF publications are indicated below. 1. Roy et al. (2019) 2. Heindl et al. (1999) 3. Qu et al. (2005a) 4. Caballero-Garcia et al. (2015) 5. Finger, Wilson & Harmon (1996) 6. Camero-Arranz et al. (2012) 7. Devasia et al. (2011) 8. Maitra, Paul & Naik (2012) 9. Reig et al. (2008) 10. Raichur & Paul (2008a) 11. Devasia et al. (2011) 12. Yamamoto et al. (2011) 13. James et al. (2011) 14. Beri et al. (2020) 15. Orlandini et al. (1998) 16. Raman et al. (2016) 17. Chakrabarty et al. (2001) 18. Tsygankov et al. (2019).

states might be useful in understanding the accretion geometry and in turn, the correlation behavior of this pulsar.

In accretion powered X-ray pulsars, both the transient as well as the persistent population often exhibit QPOs. They are observed for several of the sources during their outbursts (see Paul & Naik 2011 and references therein). Since HMXBs host highly magnetized NS, their inner disk radii extend to about 1000 km due to which their corresponding keplerian frequencies fall in the mHz regime. We can therefore expect to detect slow mHz QPOs (\sim 1 mHz–1 Hz) in such systems (Psaltis 2006). This is unlike QPOs observed in LMXBs or black hole X-ray binaries whose QPO frequencies are \sim 1 Hz–100's of Hz.

QPOs are traditionally understood to be a result of plasma instabilities that are generated around the magnetospheric boundary. Two very popular models that are often invoked to explain the QPO frequency (ν_{qpo}) are the Keplerian Frequency Model (KFM, van der Klis et al. 1987) and the Beat Frequency Model (BFM, Alpar & Shaham 1985). In the KFM, the X-rays are generated by inhomogeneities that are modulated at ν_k and so the QPO is generated at the keplerian frequency corresponding to the inner accretion disk. Thus the keplerian frequency is $\nu_{\rm k} = \nu_{\rm qpo}$. However, the KFM is valid under the assumption that the NS is spinning slower than the keplerian frequency corresponding to the radius at which the QPO generating inhomogeneity is located. This is the case for some of the HMXBs like EXO 2030+375, A0535+262 (Finger, Wilson & Harmon 1996), etc. Otherwise, a faster rotating NS will give rise to centrifugal forces that will inhibit accretion (Stella, White & Rosner 1986). The BFM, on the other hand, assumes that the keplerian frequency is modulated by the rotating magnetic field of the NS. The QPO feature is therefore assumed to arise as a beat phenomenon between the NS spin $(\nu_{\rm spin})$ and the $\nu_{\rm k}$, giving $\nu_{\rm qpo} = \nu_{\rm k} - \nu_{\rm spin}$.

IGR 19294+1816 has a spin frequency of $\nu_{\rm s} = 0.08$ Hz and the measured QPO frequency lies at $\nu_{\rm qpo} \sim 0.034$ Hz. Since the $\nu_{\rm s} > \nu_{\rm qpo}$, the KFM does not apply to this object in this scenario. In order to examine if the BFM is applicable, we use the X-ray luminosity and the QPO frequency measurements to estimate the NS surface magnetic field as predicted by the BFM. Assuming a circular orbit, we can calculate the radius at which the QPO is generated as,

$$r_{\rm qpo} = \left(\frac{GM_{\rm NS}}{4\pi^2\nu_{\rm k}^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \tag{1}$$

where, the keplerian frequency as predicted by the BFM is, $\nu_{\rm k} = \nu_{\rm qpo} + \nu_{\rm spin}$. The BFM further assumes that the QPO is generated at the magnetospheric radius (r_M), i.e., r_M = $r_{\rm qpo}$. According to Ghosh & Lamb (1979), r_M is given by:

$$r_M = 2.3 \times 10^8 \times M_{1.4}^{1/7} R_6^{10/7} L_{37}^{-2/7} B_{2.5B_{12}}^{4/7} cm \qquad (2)$$

For a generic NS with mass ${\sim}1.4~M_{\odot}$ and a measured 1.0–50.0 keV flux of $1.2{\times}10^{-9}~{\rm ergs~s^{-1}~cm^{-2}}$, we obtain a magnetic field strength in the range $1.2{\times}10^{13}\text{-}6.2{\times}10^{12}$ G, corresponding to a neutron star with radius in the range 10–15 km.

Another model that has been invoked to explain such low frequency mHz QPOs is the magnetic disk precession model (Shirakawa & Lai 2002). The authors demonstrate that the inner region of the accretion disk is subject to magnetic torques that can cause warping and precession of the accretion disk. Under typical conditions present in X-ray pulsars, these magnetic torques can overcome the viscous damping and can enable the instability mode to grow, which may in turn generate mHz QPOs. This model was proposed by Shirakawa & Lai (2002) originally to explain the mHz QPOs in sources like 4U 1626-67, etc. More recently, Dugair et al. (2013) and Roy et al. (2019) showed that this warped disk model was able to explain the mHz QPOs observed in 4U 0115+63.

The QPO precessional frequency as specified by Shirakawa & Lai (2002) is given by:

$$\tau_{prec} = 776 \times \alpha^{0.85} \times L_{37}^{-0.71}$$
s (3)

where α is the accretion disk viscosity parameter (usually

<1), and L₃₇ is the X-ray luminosity in units of 10^{37} erg/s. Assuming an α =0.02 (this choice comes from the model fits carried out by Roy et al. 2019 for the source 4U0115+63), we obtain a predicted $\nu_{\rm qpo} \sim 3.6$ mHz, which is a factor of 10 smaller than our detected 32 mHz QPO.

4.2 Broadening of the pulse peak

The base of the pulse peak in the power density spectrum from our analysis of IGR 19294+1816 exhibits a broadened shape very similar to the one previously observed by Rodriguez et al. (2009). These wing like features have also been previously reported in several other HMXB sources, for example, Cen X-3 (Raichur & Paul 2008b), 4U 1626-67 (Jain, Paul & Dutta 2010), GX 304-1 (Devasia et al. 2011), 4U 1901+03 (James et al. 2011) and Her X-1 (Moon & Eikenberry 2001). In order to explain wings observed in HMXB sources, Lazzati & Stella (1997) and Burderi et al. (1993) have shown that the periodic and aperiodic variability components of the power spectrum are not to be considered independent of each other, as has been traditionally assumed. They show that these wings arise as a result of the coupling between the coherent periodic pulse variability and the aperiodic red noise component. We also note that the QPO feature is observed simultaneously alongside the broadened peak unlike in some other sources where the pulse peak narrows down once the QPO starts appearing (see for example, James et al. 2011). A clear understanding of such anomalies requires a systematic study using of transient pulsars across multiple observations.

4.3 Outbursts in transient Be X-ray binaries

IGR 19294+1816 has been repeatedly studied during each of its previous outbursts: the very first 2009 outburst using INTEGRAL (Turler, Rodriguez & Ferrigno 2009), the second outburst in October 2010 using INTEGRAL (Bozzo et al. 2011) the combined 2009 & 2010 outbursts using RXTE (Roy, Choudhury & Agrawal 2017), and the further outbursts in 2017-2018 using *Swift* and *NuSTAR* (Harrison et al. 2013; Tsygankov et al. 2019). Our study of this pulsar during its most recent 2019 outburst using *AstroSat* has additionally strengthened our knowledge about some of the timing and spectral characteristics of this source.

The detection of a pulse peak and its higher harmonics have been reported in all previous outbursts including in this current work. Notably, the higher harmonics decrease in strength as the outburst progresses from its highest to its lowest flux levels as seen from RXTE observations (Roy, Choudhury & Agrawal 2017). Inhomogeneities in the NS accretion disk also get prominently detected in the form of mHz QPOs in a number of HMXB pulsars during their periastron passage outbursts (for example, 4U 0115+63: Dugair et al. 2013, V 0332+53:Caballero-García et al. 2016, etc.) and IGR 19294+1816 is no exception to this. The strong presence of the mHz QPO (with an increasing rms power with energy) for IGR 19294+1816 at such a high luminosity, possibly indicates that the inner regions surrounding the magnetosphere become most visible during the highest flux states. Interestingly, this is in stark contrast with the behavior observed for another HMXB transient, V0332+53 (Caballero-García et al. 2016), where the mHz QPO is most visible during the lowest flux states (and the rms power of the QPO decreases at higher energies, see Qu et al. 2005b).

Another interesting outburst characteristic is the variation of the pulse fraction. RXTE observations (Roy, Choudhury & Agrawal 2017) and LAXPC observations (this work) tend to show an increasing pulse fraction all the way up to ~ 30 keV, as expected in most accretion powered X-ray pulsars. However, beyond 30 keV, the PF drops dramatically. This is a peculiar deviation from the trend reported using NuSTAR (Tsygankov et al. 2019) for this source. Although the current LAXPC observations have captured the source during a much higher luminosity compared to the NuS-TAR observations, we note that the PF is lower.

Unlike the other transient Be X-ray pulsars that exhibit luminosity dependent pulse profiles (for example, A0535+262, 1A 1118-61, etc. see Paul & Naik 2011 and references therein), IGR 19294+1816 has not demonstrated any detectable changes in the pulse profiles as a function of different luminosity states as also pointed out by Tsygankov et al. (2019). It has also not exhibited any luminosity state dependence for the CRSF parameters within the last decade. It does however show a variation of pulse profiles as a function of energy. Most pulsars exhibit a dependence of profile shape with energy as well as time (White, Swank & Holt 1983). At different source luminosities, the appearance of complex sub structures in the pulse profiles has been reported in some sources like GX 304-1 Devasia et al. (2011). The changes in the pulse profile shape seen for IGR 19294+1816 and similar pulsars can be attributed to the variable geometry and physical processes that occur near the NS surface and within the accretion column.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We have analysed the outburst characteristics of the transient X-ray pulsar IGR 19294+1816 using the LAXPC and SXT instruments on board AstroSat during the falling phase of its 2019 outburst. We have detected a low frequency QPO at 0.032 Hz; a similar feature (at ~ 0.035 Hz) was tentatively reported earlier by Rodriguez et al. (2009) using RXTE. We have also confirmed the presence of a strong cyclotron feature at 42 keV and a fairly weak Fe emission line at 6.4 keV using the joint SXT and LAXPC broadband spectra. Our studies indicate that some spectro-timing features for IGR 19294+1816 are consistent with other transient Be X-ray binaries and some properties are not. We encourage future broadband observations with good spectral resolution that can sample different accretion regimes in order to test various E_{CRSF}/L_X correlations for this object. Obtaining stronger binary parameter constraints also will help understand this class of transient Be X-ray binary sources better.

10 G. Raman et al.

Acknowledgements : This publication is based on the results obtained from the AstroSat mission of the Indian Space Research Organisation (ISRO), archived at the Indian Space Science Data Centre (ISSDC). We thank members of LAXPC instrument team for their contribution to the development of the LAXPC instrument. We also thank the LAXPC & SXT POC at TIFR for verifying and releasing the data via the ISSDC data archive and providing the necessary software tools. The authors are grateful to Alexander Mushtukov for providing us with the latest critical luminosity model curve that have been used in this study.

Data availability statement:

The data underlying this article are available in the AstroSat data archive: https://astrobrowse.issdc.gov.in/astro_archive/archive/Home.jsp

REFERENCES

- Alpar M. A., Shaham J., 1985, Nature, 316, 239
- Antia H. M. et al., 2017, ApJS, 231, 10
- Basko M. M., Sunyaev R. A., 1976, MNRAS, 175, 395
- Becker P. A. et al., 2012, A&A, 544, A123
- Beri A., Girdhar T., Iyer N. K., Maitra C., 2020, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 500, 1350–1365
- Boldin P. A., Tsygankov S. S., Lutovinov A. A., 2013, Astronomy Letters, 39, 375
- Bozzo E., Ferrigno C., Falanga M., Walter R., 2011, A&A, 531, A65
- Burderi L., Robba N. R., Guainazzi M., Cusumano G., 1993, Nuovo Cimento C Geophysics Space Physics C, 16, 675
- Caballero I. et al., 2007, A&A, 465, L21
- Caballero-Garcia M. D., Camero-Arranz A., Arabaci M. O., Hudec R., 2015, The be/x-ray binary system v 0332+53: A short review
- Caballero-García M. D. et al., 2016, Astronomy & Astrophysics, 589, A9
- Camero-Arranz A. et al., 2012, The Astrophysical Journal, 754, 20
- Chakrabarty D., Homer L., Charles P. A., O'Donoghue D., 2001, ApJ, 562, 985
- Corbet R. H. D., Krimm H. A., 2009, The Astronomer's Telegram, 2008, 1
- Cottam J., Paerels F., Mendez M., 2002, Nature, 420, 51
- DeCesar M. E., Boyd P. T., Pottschmidt K., Wilms J., Suchy S., Miller M. C., 2013, ApJ, 762, 61
- Devasia J., James M., Paul B., Indulekha K., 2011, MNRAS, 417, 348
- Devasia J., James M., Paul B., Indulekha K., 2011, Monthly
- Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 417, 348–358 Domcek V. et al., 2019, The Astronomer's Telegram, 13215, 1
- Doroshenko V., Tsygankov S. S., Mushtukov A. A., Lutovinov A. A., Santangelo A., Suleimanov V. F., Poutanen J., 2017, MNRAS, 466, 2143

- Dugair M. R., Jaisawal G. K., Naik S., Jaaffrey S. N. A., 2013, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 434, 2458–2464
- Finger M. H., Wilson R. B., Harmon B. A., 1996, ApJ, 459, 288
- Ghosh P., Lamb F. K., 1979, ApJ, 234, 296
- Harrison F. A. et al., 2013, ApJ, 770, 103
- Heindl W. A., Coburn W., Gruber D. E., Pelling M. R., Rothschild R. E., Wilms J., Pottschmidt K., Staubert R., 1999, ApJ, 521, L49
- Jain C., Paul B., Dutta A., 2010, MNRAS, 403, 920
- Jaisawal G. K., Naik S., Chenevez J., 2017, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 474, 4432–4437
- James M., Paul B., Devasia J., Indulekha K., 2011, MNRAS, 410, 1489
- Knigge C., Coe M. J., Podsiadlowski P., 2011, Nature, 479, 372–375
- Lazzati D., Stella L., 1997, ApJ, 476, 267
- Maitra C., Paul B., Naik S., 2012, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 420, 2307–2317
- Moon D.-S., Eikenberry S. S., 2001, ApJ, 552, L135
- Mushtukov A. A., Nagirner D. I., Poutanen J., 2012, Phys. Rev. D, 85, 103002
- Mushtukov A. A., Suleimanov V. F., Tsygankov S. S., Poutanen J., 2015, MNRAS, 447, 1847
- Orlandini M. et al., 1998, ApJ, 500, L163
- Paul B., Naik S., 2011, Bulletin of the Astronomical Society of India, 39, 429
- Paul B., Naik S., 2011, Transient high mass x-ray binaries
- Prišegen M., 2020, Astronomy & Astrophysics, 640, A86
- Psaltis D., 2006, Accreting neutron stars and black holes: a decade of discoveries, Vol. 39, pp. 1–38
- Qu J. L., Zhang S., Song L. M., Falanga M., 2005a, The Astrophysical Journal, 629, L33–L36
- Qu J. L., Zhang S., Song L. M., Falanga M., 2005b, The Astrophysical Journal, 629, L33–L36
- Raichur H., Paul B., 2008a, ApJ, 685, 1109
- Raichur H., Paul B., 2008b, ApJ, 685, 1109
- Raman G., Paul B., Bhattacharya D., Mohan V., 2016, MN-RAS, 458, 1302
- Reig P., Belloni T., Israel G. L., Campana S., Gehrels N., Homan J., 2008, Astronomy & Astrophysics, 485, 797–805
- Rodriguez J., Tomsick J. A., Bodaghee A., Zurita Heras J. A., Chaty S., Paizis A., Corbel S., 2009, A&A, 508, 889
- Roy J. et al., 2019, The Astrophysical Journal, 872, 33
- Roy J. et al., 2019, ApJ, 872, 33 $\,$
- Roy J., Choudhury M., Agrawal P. C., 2017, ApJ, 848, 124 Shirakawa A., Lai D., 2002, ApJ, 565, 1134
- Singh K. P. et al., 2016, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 9905, Proc. SPIE, p. 99051E
- Staubert R. et al., 2019, A&A, 622, A61
- Stella L., White N. E., Rosner R., 1986, ApJ, 308, 669
- Strohmayer T., Rodriquez J., Markwardt C., Tomsick J., Bodaghee A., Chaty S., Corbel S., Paizis A., 2009, The Astronomer's Telegram, 2002, 1
- Tsygankov S. S., Doroshenko V., Mushtukov A. e. A., Lu-

- tovinov A. A., Poutanen J., 2019, A&A, 621, A134
- Turler M., Rodriguez J., Ferrigno C., 2009, The Astronomer's Telegram, 1997, 1
- van der Klis M., Stella L., White N., Jansen F., Parmar A. N., 1987, ApJ, 316, 411
- Varun, Maitra C., Pradhan P., Raichur H., Paul B., 2019a, MNRAS, 484, L1
- Varun, Pradhan P., Maitra C., Raichur H., Paul B., 2019b, ApJ, 880, 61
- Wang W., Tong H., 2020, MNRAS, 492, 762
- White N. E., Swank J. H., Holt S. S., 1983, ApJ, 270, 711
- Wijnands R., van der Klis M., 1998, Nature, 394, 344
- Wilms J., Allen A., McCray R., 2000, ApJ, 542, 914
- Yadav J. S., Agrawal P. C., Antia H. M., Manchanda R. K., Paul B., Misra R., 2017, Current Science, 113, 591
- Yamamoto T., Sugizaki M., Mihara T., Nakajima M., Yamaoka K., Matsuoka M., Morii M., Makishima K., 2011, PASJ, 63, S751
- Zdziarski A. A., Johnson W. N., Magdziarz P., 1996, MN-RAS, 283, 193
- Zel'dovich Y. B., Shakura N. I., 1969, Soviet Ast., 13, 175
- Życki P. T., Done C., Smith D. A., 1999, MNRAS, 309, 561