

# Universal Critical Behavior of Transition to Chaos: Onset of Intermittency

Ken-ichi Okubo\*

*Department of Information and Physical Sciences,  
Graduate School of Information Science and Technology,  
Osaka University 1-5 Yamada-oka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan*

Ken Umeno†

*Department of Applied Mathematics and Physics,  
Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University,  
Yoshida-honmachi, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501 Japan*

(Dated: July 19, 2022)

In this paper, we derive the critical exponent of the chaotic transition analytically for a wider class of maps. In order to achieve this, we extend previous one-dimensional chaotic maps, which results in the generalization of the invariant density function from the Cauchy distribution by adding one parameter. Thanks to this generalization, we can adjust the power exponent of this density function and the one of super diffusion. We prove that these generalized one-dimensional chaotic maps are exact (stronger condition than ergodicity) so that we can obtain the critical exponent of the Lyapunov exponent from the phase average. We prove that the critical exponent of the Lyapunov exponent is  $\frac{1}{2}$  regardless of the power exponent of the density function and thus universal. This result can be considered as rigorous proof of the universality of the critical exponent of the Lyapunov exponent for a countably infinite number of maps.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the field of nonlinear and statistical physics, many studies are dealing with the transition from a stable state to a chaotic state. These studies have attracted a lot of interest not only theoretically but also experimentally [1–16]. Through these studies, various routes to chaos have been proposed according to the causes of how chaos arises.[9, 11, 14]. The Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda$  of the system just above the critical point from the stable state to the chaotic state with the parameter  $a$  can be expressed approximately as

$$\lambda \sim b|a - a_c|^\nu,$$

where  $b$  is the constant,  $a_c$  is the critical point and  $\nu$  is the critical exponent. It has been expected that the critical exponent  $\nu$  is constant for each route to chaos regardless of minor differences among systems and that it is universal [11]. One of the routes is the Intermittency route, in which it is estimated approximately by Pomeau and Manneville[11] that  $\nu = 1/2$  for Type I and  $\nu = 1/2$  for Type III.

So far, the authors have proved  $\nu = 1/2$  rigorously by showing the ergodic property for one-parameter maps in which intermittency has been observed and the Cauchy distribution is preserved [17, 18].

In this paper, we consider a system in which the class of invariant density functions is extended by adding the new parameter to the previously proposed maps. This makes it possible to change the class of superdiffusion

(the power exponent of the density function) by adding a parameter. We prove rigorously that the critical exponent of the Lyapunov exponent is  $\nu = 1/2$  regardless of this power exponent by showing the ergodic property. In other words, the universality of the critical exponent of Lyapunov exponent is found to be *more universal* than the class of these power exponents.

## II. DYNAMICS

An extension of super generalized Boole (SGB) transformations [18] is discussed in this paper. For SGB transformation, one obtains the Cauchy distribution as a unique invariant density when appropriate condition is satisfied. In order to extend the class of invariant density, parameter  $\beta$  is added in SGB transformations according to [19]. In the following, we introduce the new extended maps. At first, let  $F_K(x)$  be the K-angle formula of the cot function such that

$$F_K(\cot \theta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \cot K\theta. \quad (1)$$

Then function  $U_{K,\alpha,\beta}(x)$  is defined as

$$U_{K,\alpha,\beta}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\alpha K F_K(|x|^\beta \text{sgn}(x))|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \text{sgn} \{ \alpha F_K(|x|^\beta \text{sgn}(x)) \}. \quad (2)$$

where  $|\alpha| > 0$ ,  $0 < \beta < 2$ . Then, extended super generalized Boole (ESGB) transformation is noted as

$$x_{n+1} = U_{K,\alpha,\beta}(x_n), \quad (3)$$

where SGB transformations correspond to the case at  $\beta = 1$ . Figure 1 shows the behavior of return maps of  $U_{3,\frac{1}{3},0.5}$ ,  $U_{4,\frac{1}{4},0.5}$  and  $U_{5,\frac{1}{5},0.5}$ .

\* okubo@ist.osaka-u.ac.jp

† umeno.ken.8z@kyoto-u.ac.jp




FIG. 1: Return maps of  $U_{3, \frac{1}{3}, 0.5}$ ,  $U_{4, \frac{1}{4}, 0.5}$  and  $U_{5, \frac{1}{5}, 0.5}$ . The solid, broken, and dotted lines correspond to the form of  $U_{3, \frac{1}{3}, 0.5}$ ,  $U_{4, \frac{1}{4}, 0.5}$  and  $U_{5, \frac{1}{5}, 0.5}$ , respectively.

Then, let us show that the map  $U_{K, \alpha, \beta}$  is a  $K$ -to-one map. Consider points  $x_j, j = 1, \dots, K$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  as follows:

$$x_j = \left| \cot \left( \theta + j \frac{\pi}{K} \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \operatorname{sgn} \left( \cot \left( \theta + j \frac{\pi}{K} \right) \right), j = 1, \dots, K. \quad (4)$$

Then,  $|x_j|^\beta$  and  $|x_j|^\beta \operatorname{sgn}(x)$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} |x_j|^\beta &= \begin{cases} \cot \left( \theta + j \frac{\pi}{K} \right), & \cot \left( \theta + j \frac{\pi}{K} \right) \geq 0, \\ -\cot \left( \theta + j \frac{\pi}{K} \right), & \cot \left( \theta + j \frac{\pi}{K} \right) < 0, \end{cases} \\ |x_j|^\beta \operatorname{sgn}(x_j) &= \cot \left( \theta + j \frac{\pi}{K} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

By transfer  $x_j$  by  $U_{K, \alpha, \beta}$ , we obtain following equation.

$$U_{K, \alpha, \beta}(x_j) = |\alpha K \cot(K\theta)|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \operatorname{sgn} \{ \alpha \cot(K\theta) \}. \quad (6)$$

Then, define  $y = |\alpha K \cot(K\theta)|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \operatorname{sgn} \{ \alpha \cot(K\theta) \}$  and we see that there exist  $K$  pieces  $x_j, j = 1, \dots, K$  which satisfy the relation  $y = U_{K, \alpha, \beta}(x_j)$ .

### III. INVARIANT DENSITY

In this section, the condition in which ESGB transformation has invariant density is considered. A density function

$$\rho_n(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\gamma |x|^{\beta-1}}{|x|^{2\beta} + \gamma^2} \quad (7)$$

is given a priori. From the above discussion, we know that the map  $U_{K, \alpha, \beta}$  is a  $K$ -to-one map. Using the Perron-Frobenius operator, one can obtain  $\rho_{n+1}(y)$ .

$$\rho_{n+1}(y) |dy| = \rho_n(x_0) |dx|_{x=x_0} + \dots + \rho_n(x_{K-1}) |dx|_{x=x_{K-1}} \quad (8)$$

where  $x_j = |\cot(\theta + j \frac{\pi}{K})|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \operatorname{sgn} \{ \cot(\theta + j \frac{\pi}{K}) \}, j = 0, \dots, K-1$  and  $y = |\alpha K \cot(K\theta)|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \operatorname{sgn} \{ \cot(K\theta) \}$ . Here, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{dy}{dx} \right|_{x=x_j} &= |\alpha|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} K^{\frac{1}{\beta}+1} \frac{|\cot(K\theta)|^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1} \sin^2(\theta + j \frac{\pi}{K})}{|\cot(\theta + j \frac{\pi}{K})|^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1} \sin^2(K\theta)}, \\ &= |\alpha|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} K^{\frac{1}{\beta}+1} \frac{|\cot(K\theta)|^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1} \left( 1 + \frac{|y|^{2\beta}}{|\alpha K|^2} \right)}{|\cot(\theta + j \frac{\pi}{K})|^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1} (1 + |x_j|^{2\beta})} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Then,  $\rho_{n+1}(y)$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{n+1}(y) &= \sum_{j=1}^K \rho_n(x_j) \left| \frac{dx}{dy} \right|_{x=x_j} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} K^{\frac{1}{\beta}+1} |\cot(K\theta)|^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1} \left( 1 + \frac{|y|^{2\beta}}{|\alpha K|^2} \right)} \sum_{j=1}^K \rho_n(x_j) \left| \cot \left( \theta + j \frac{\pi}{K} \right) \right|^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} (1 + |x_j|^{2\beta}), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Here, according to [20], it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^K \rho_n(x_j) \left| \cot \left( \theta + j \frac{\pi}{K} \right) \right|^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} (1 + |x_j|^{2\beta}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^K \frac{\gamma}{1 + (\gamma^2 - 1) \sin^2(\theta + j \frac{\pi}{K})} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{K G_K(\gamma) (1 + \cot^2(K\theta))}{G_K^2(\gamma) + \cot^2(K\theta)}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  $G_K(x)$  corresponds to the  $K$ -angle formula of the coth function such as

$$G_K(\coth \theta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \coth K\theta.$$

Thus, one has that

$$\rho_{n+1}(y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{|\alpha K| G_K(\gamma) |y|^{\beta-1}}{|\alpha K|^2 G_K^2(\gamma) + |y|^{2\beta}}. \quad (12)$$

Then, in one iteration the form of the density function does not change but the scale parameter is mapped as

$$\gamma \rightarrow |\alpha| K G_K(\gamma). \quad (13)$$

The necessary and sufficient condition that the density of (7) is the invariant density is as follows.

$$\gamma = |\alpha|KG_K(\gamma). \quad (14)$$

Equation (14) does not depend on  $\beta$  so we can apply the same discussion given in [21].

**Definition 1.** When the parameters  $(K, \alpha)$  satisfy a condition such as

$$\begin{cases} 0 < |\alpha| < 1 & \text{in the case of } K = 2N, \\ \frac{1}{K^2} < |\alpha| < 1 & \text{in the case of } K = 2N + 1, \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

where  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we say that the parameters  $(K, \alpha)$  are in Range B.

**Theorem 2.** If the parameters  $(K, \alpha)$  are in Range B, the ESGB transformations  $U_{K, \alpha, \beta}$  preserve the density function defined in (7).

*Proof.* When the parameters  $(K, \alpha)$  are in Range A defined as

$$\begin{cases} 0 < \alpha < 1 & \text{in the case of } K = 2N, \\ \frac{1}{K^2} < \alpha < 1 & \text{in the case of } K = 2N + 1, \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

it is proven that the (14) has the unique solution  $\gamma^*$  in [18]. Now, define Range A' as

$$\begin{cases} -1 < \alpha < 0 & \text{in the case of } K = 2N, \\ -1 < \alpha < -\frac{1}{K^2} & \text{in the case of } K = 2N + 1. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

In the case of Range A', by extending from  $\alpha$  to  $|\alpha|$ , it can be proven in the similar way as previous studies [18, 21].  $\square$

#### IV. EXACTNESS

The ESGB transformations are exact when the parameters  $(K, \alpha)$  are in Range B. In the following, one reads the proof of exactness.

**Theorem 3.** If the parameters  $(K, \alpha)$  are in Range B, the ESGB transformations  $U_{K, \alpha, \beta}$  are exact.

*Proof.* One sets the variable  $x_n$  as

$$x_n = |\cot(\pi\theta_n)|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \text{sgn}\{\cot(\pi\theta_n)\}. \quad (18)$$

Then, the ESGB transformations can be written as

$$U_{K, \alpha, \beta}(x_n) = |\alpha K \cot(\pi K \theta_n)|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \text{sgn}\{\alpha \cot(\pi K \theta_n)\}. \quad (19)$$

Thus one obtain such equation as

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= |\cot(\pi\theta_{n+1})|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \text{sgn}\{\cot(\pi\theta_{n+1})\} \\ &= |\alpha K \cot(\pi K \theta_n)|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \text{sgn}\{\alpha \cot(\pi K \theta_n)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

since the sign of both sides are consistent, it holds that

$$\text{sgn}\{\cot(\pi\theta_{n+1})\} = \text{sgn}\{\alpha \cot(\pi K \theta_n)\}. \quad (21)$$

Then, for  $K > 0$ , define a map  $\bar{S}_{K, \alpha}$  and one has that

$$\theta_{n+1} = \bar{S}_{K, \alpha}(\theta_n) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{arccot}\{\alpha K \cot(\pi K \theta_n)\}. \quad (22)$$

The equation (22) is an extension of the equation which appears in the proof part in [18]. Therefore following the same way explained in [18, 21], one can prove that the map  $U_{K, \alpha, \beta}$  is exact if  $(K, \alpha)$  are in Range B.  $\square$

Then, since  $\rho(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{1+\beta}}\right)$ ,  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  when the  $(K, \alpha)$  are in Range B, the initial density function converges to the unique stable distribution with characteristic exponent [22]  $0 < \beta < 2$ .

#### V. SUPERDIFFUSION

In ESGB transformations, we can change the power exponent of the invariant density function  $\rho_{\gamma, \beta}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\gamma |x|^{\beta-1}}{|x|^{2\beta+\gamma^2}}$ . For  $\beta = 1$ , we obtain the Cauchy distribution, which is the invariant density of SGB transformations.

In the range where  $|x|$  is large enough, we have

$$\rho_{\gamma, \beta} \simeq \begin{cases} c_+ |x|^{-(\beta+1)} & \text{for } x \rightarrow \infty, \\ c_- |x|^{-(\beta+1)} & \text{for } x \rightarrow -\infty. \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

Then, according to the generalized central limit theorem [22], for independent and identically distributed random variable  $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  that follows the density function  $\rho_{\gamma, \beta}$ ,  $\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k - A_n}{n^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}$  converges to a stable distribution [19].  $A_n$  is represented as

$$A_n = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ n^2 \Im \log\left(\phi_x\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right), & \alpha = 1, \\ n \mathbb{E}[x], & 1 < \alpha < 2, \end{cases}$$

where  $\phi_x$  is the characteristic function of  $x$ . Then, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} O\left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k\right) &= O\left(n^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\right), \\ O\left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k\right)^2\right) &= O\left(n^{\frac{2}{\beta}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Consider a dynamics as  $p_{n+1} = p_n + x_n$ , so we have that

$$\text{MSD} = O(n^\eta), \eta = \frac{2}{\beta}, 0 < \beta < 2. \quad (25)$$

This formula implies the super diffusion whose power exponent is  $\frac{2}{\beta}$ . Thus, we can continuously change this power exponent  $\eta$  by changing  $\beta$ , that is the characteristic exponent of the class of superdiffusion.

## VI. CRITICAL EXPONENT OF LYAPUNOV EXPONENT

In this paper, we define the critical exponent  $\nu$  of the Lyapunov exponent as the supremum of  $x$  which satisfies the following equation.

$$\nu = \sup \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \left| \frac{\lambda}{|\alpha - \alpha_c|^x} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \alpha \rightarrow \alpha_c \right. \right\}, \quad (26)$$

where  $\alpha_c$  is the critical point of a parameter  $\alpha$ .

From above discussion, the behavior of  $\gamma$  as  $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$  or  $\gamma \rightarrow +0$  is the same as that in the SGB transformations [18]. Then for any  $K \in \mathbb{N} \setminus 1$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_{K,\alpha}} = O\left(\sqrt{1 - |\alpha|}\right) \quad (27)$$

in the limit of  $|\alpha| \rightarrow 1 - 0$ , in the case of  $K = 2N + 1$ ,

$$\gamma = O\left(\sqrt{|\alpha| - \frac{1}{(2N+1)^2}}\right) \quad (28)$$

in the limit of  $|\alpha| \rightarrow \frac{1}{(2N+1)^2} + 0$  and in the case of  $K = 2N$ ,

$$\gamma_{2N,\alpha} \sim \sqrt{|\alpha|} \quad (29)$$

in the limit of  $|\alpha| \rightarrow 0$ .

In ESGB transformations, the invariant density functions are extended from the Cauchy distribution, which is

the invariant density of SGB transformations. However, in the following discussion, we prove that the critical exponents of the Lyapunov exponent for ESGB transformations is the same as those for SGB transformations regardless of the power exponent of the invariant density functions.

In terms of the scaling behavior of the Lyapunov exponents  $\lambda \sim b|\alpha - \alpha_c|^\nu$  for ESGB transformations, the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 4.** *Suppose that the parameters  $(K, \alpha)$  are in Range B. For any  $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ , it holds that  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$  at  $(K, |\alpha|) = (N, 1 - 0)$ ,  $(2N + 1, \frac{1}{(2N+1)^2} + 0)$ , and  $(2N, +0)$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $x = |\cot \theta|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \text{sgn}\{\cot \theta\}$  and it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{d\theta} &= -\frac{|\cot \theta|^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}}{\beta \sin^2 \theta}, \\ \frac{dU}{d\theta} &= -\frac{K|\alpha K|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} |\cot(K\theta)|^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}}{\beta \sin^2(K\theta)}, \\ \therefore \frac{dU}{dx} &= \frac{dU}{d\theta} \frac{d\theta}{dx} \\ &= K|\alpha K|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\sin^2(K\theta)} \left| \frac{\cot(K\theta)}{\cot \theta} \right|^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Then, the Lyapunov exponent is calculated as

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi d\theta \log \left| K|\alpha K|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\sin^2(K\theta)} \left| \frac{\cot(K\theta)}{\cot \theta} \right|^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1} \right| \cdot \frac{\gamma |\cot \theta|^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}}{\cot^2 \theta + \gamma^2}. \quad (31)$$

When the invariant density is uniform, the Lyapunov exponent takes the maximum value and is finite [18, 23]. In the following discussion, put  $A = K^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}} \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\sin^2(K\theta)} \left| \frac{\cot(K\theta)}{\cot \theta} \right|^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}$  for simplicity ( $A$  does not depend on  $\gamma$  or  $\alpha$ ) and define  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  as follows:

$$\phi_1(\theta, \gamma, \beta) = \log \left| |\alpha|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} A \right| \frac{\gamma |\cot \theta|^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}}{\cot^2 \theta + \gamma^2}, \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_2(\theta, z, \beta) &= \log \left| |\alpha|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} A \right| \frac{\frac{1}{\gamma} |\cot \theta|^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}}{\frac{1}{\gamma^2} \cot^2 \theta + 1} \\ &= \log \left| |\alpha|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} A \right| \frac{z |\cot \theta|^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}}{z^2 \cot^2 \theta + 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where  $z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\gamma}$ .

(i) In the limit of  $|\alpha| \rightarrow 1 - 0$  ( $\gamma_{K,\alpha} \rightarrow \infty$ ), consider the partial derivative of  $\phi_2$  with respect to  $z$  (fixing  $\theta$  and  $\beta$ , which do not depend  $\gamma$ , and considering the interval  $(a_n, a_{n+1}]$  as the SGB transformations [18], in order not to make  $\phi_2$  diverge):

$$\frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{|\alpha|^\beta} \frac{z |\cot \theta|^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}}{z^2 \cot^2 \theta + 1} \frac{\partial |\alpha|}{\partial z} + \log \left| |\alpha|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} A \right| \frac{|\cot \theta|^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}} (1 - z^2 \cot^2 \theta)}{(z^2 \cot^2 \theta + 1)^2}. \quad (34)$$

Since, in the case of  $K = 2N$ , we have that  $|\alpha| \sim 1 - \frac{4N^2-1}{3}z^2$  [18], and in the case of  $K = 2N + 1$ , we have that  $|\alpha| \sim 1 - \frac{4N(N+1)}{3}z^2$  [18], we see that in both cases  $\frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial z}$  does not diverge in the limit of  $\alpha \rightarrow 1 - 0$  ( $\gamma \rightarrow +\infty$ ). Then, in a way similar to [18], it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_{K,\alpha,\beta}| &< \infty, \\ \lambda_{K,\alpha,\beta} &= \frac{z}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{K-1} \int_{a_n}^{a_{n+1}} d\theta \left[ \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial z}(\theta, 0, \beta) + O(z) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Since  $\lambda_{K,\alpha,\beta}$  and  $z$  are finite,  $\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{K-1} \int_{a_n}^{a_{n+1}} d\theta \left[ \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial z}(\theta, 0, \beta) + O(z) \right]$  is also finite.

Moreover,  $\frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial z}(\theta, 0, \beta)$  does not depend on  $z$ . Therefore, in the limit of  $z \rightarrow +0$  ( $\gamma_{K,\alpha} \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $|\alpha| \rightarrow 1 - 0$ ), it follows

that

$$\lambda_{K,\alpha,\beta} = O(z) = O\left(\sqrt{1-|\alpha|}\right). \quad (36)$$

Thus, we have

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (37)$$

(ii) In the case of  $K = 2N + 1$  in the limit of  $|\alpha| \rightarrow \frac{1}{(2N+1)^2} + 0$  ( $\gamma_{2N+1,\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ ), we have that  $\gamma_{2N+1,\alpha} = O\left(\sqrt{|\alpha| - \frac{1}{(2N+1)^2}}\right)$ . Consider the partial derivative of  $\phi_1$  with respect to  $\gamma$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \gamma} &= \frac{1}{|\alpha|^\beta} \frac{\gamma |\cot \theta|^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}}{\cot^2 \theta + \gamma^2} \frac{\partial |\alpha|}{\partial \gamma} \\ &+ \log \left| |\alpha|^{\frac{1}{\beta}} A \right| \frac{|\cot \theta|^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}} (\cot^2 \theta - \gamma^2)}{(\cot^2 \theta + \gamma^2)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Then,  $\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \gamma}$  does not diverge as  $\alpha \rightarrow \frac{1}{(2N+1)^2} + 0$ . Therefore, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_{2N+1,\alpha,\beta}| &< \infty, \\ \lambda_{2N+1,\alpha,\beta} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi d\theta \phi_1(\theta, \gamma, \beta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{K-1} \int_{a_n}^{a_{n+1}} d\theta \left[ \phi_1(\theta, 0, \beta) + \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \gamma_{2N+1,\alpha}}(\theta, 0, \beta) \gamma_{2N+1,\alpha} + O(\gamma_{2N+1,\alpha}) \right] \\ &= \frac{\gamma_{2N+1,\alpha}}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{K-1} \int_{a_n}^{a_{n+1}} d\theta \left[ \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \gamma_{2N+1,\alpha}}(\theta, 0, \beta) + O(\gamma_{2N+1,\alpha}) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Since  $\lambda_{2N+1,\alpha,\beta}$  and  $\gamma_{2N+1,\alpha}$  are finite,  $\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{K-1} \int_{a_n}^{a_{n+1}} d\theta \left[ \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \gamma}(\theta, 0, \beta) + O(\gamma) \right]$  is also finite.

Moreover,  $\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \gamma}(\theta, 0, \beta)$  does not depend on  $\gamma_{2N+1,\alpha}$ . Therefore, in the limit of  $\gamma_{2N+1,\alpha} \rightarrow +0$  ( $|\alpha| \rightarrow$

$\frac{1}{(2N+1)^2} + 0$ ) it follows that

$$\lambda_{2N+1,\alpha,\beta} = O(\gamma_{2N+1,\alpha}) = O\left(\sqrt{|\alpha| - \frac{1}{(2N+1)^2}}\right). \quad (40)$$

Therefore, we have  $\nu_{\pm 2}$  as

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (41)$$

(iii) In the case of  $K = 2N$  in the limit of  $|\alpha| \rightarrow +0$  ( $\gamma_{2N,\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ ), we have that  $\gamma_{2N,\alpha} \sim \sqrt{|\alpha|}$ . It holds that

$$|\lambda_{2N,\alpha,\beta}| < \infty \quad (42)$$

$$\lambda_{2N,\alpha,\beta} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi d\theta \left[ \frac{1}{\beta} \gamma_{2N,\alpha} \log |\alpha| \frac{|\cot \theta|^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}}{\cot^2 \theta + \gamma^2} + \gamma_{2N,\alpha} \log |A| \frac{|\cot \theta|^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}}{\cot^2 \theta + \gamma^2} \right]. \quad (43)$$

With respect to the first term of (43), according to (29) it holds that in the limit of  $|\alpha| \rightarrow 0$

$$\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\gamma_{2N,\alpha} \log |\alpha|}{|\alpha|^x} \frac{|\cot \theta|^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}}{\cot^2 \theta + \gamma^2} = \begin{cases} 0, & x < \frac{1}{2} \\ -\infty, & x \geq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

Then, with respect to the second term of (43) according to (29), following relation holds in the limit of  $|\alpha| \rightarrow 0$ .

$$\gamma_{2N,\alpha} \log |A| \frac{|\cot \theta|^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}}{\cot^2 \theta + \gamma^2} = O(\sqrt{|\alpha|}) \quad (45)$$

Thus, in the limit of  $|\alpha| \rightarrow +0$ , it follows that

$$\frac{\lambda_{K,\alpha,\beta}}{|\alpha|^x} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{\phi_1(\theta, \gamma, \beta)}{|\alpha|^x} d\theta \begin{cases} \rightarrow 0, & x < \frac{1}{2} \\ \neq 0, & x \geq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

Therefore, in the limit of  $\gamma_{2N,\alpha} \rightarrow +0$  ( $|\alpha| \rightarrow +0$ ), we obtain the critical exponent of the Lyapunov exponent as

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (47)$$

From equations (37), (41) and (47), Theorem 4 holds.  $\square$




FIG. 2: Scaling behavior of Lyapunov exponent for  $K = 2, \beta = 1.5$ . The initial point is  $x_0 = \sqrt{3}$ . The number of iteration is  $10^5$ .

## VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we derived rigorously the critical exponents of the Lyapunov exponent for a wider class of

maps, which was consist with the estimation by Pomeau and Manneville[11]. To this end, we proposed extended super generalized Boole (ESGB) transformations. Applying to the topological conjugacy, we succeeded in extending the class of invariant density function from the Cauchy distribution (invariant density function of Super Generalized Boole transformations) to  $\rho(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\gamma |x|^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}}{|x|^{2\beta} + \gamma^2}$ . We also proved that the ESGB transformations are *exact* when parameters  $(K, \alpha)$  are in range B regardless of  $\beta$  as well as SGB transformations.

Now let's look at the previous studies. In generalized Boole (GB) transformations [17] (corresponding to  $K = 2$  for SGB transformations) whose invariant density function is the Cauchy distribution, we already obtained the critical exponent of the Lyapunov exponent as  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow +0$  by making use of the *explicit* form of the Lyapunov exponent as a function of parameters. In SGB transformations [18], we could not obtain the critical exponent  $\nu_2$  at  $(K, \alpha) = (2N, 0)$  for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  because it is difficult to obtain the explicit form of the Lyapunov exponent for any  $K = 2N$ .

In this paper, by defining newly the critical exponent, we obtained the critical exponent as  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$  at  $(K, |\alpha|) = (2N, +0)$ . Then, we had  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$  at  $(K, |\alpha|) = (N, 1-0), (2N+1, \frac{1}{(2N+1)^2} + 0)$ , and  $(2N, +0)$  regardless of the parameter  $\beta$ . That is, our main conclusion is that  $\nu$  does not depends on  $\eta(\beta)$ . This result is a natural extension of the result of the GB transformations. We want to emphasize that the critical exponents are independent of a parameter  $\beta$ , which demonstrates the estimation by Pomeau and Manneville [11] for countably infinite number of maps whose invariant density belongs not only the Cauchy class but also more wider class. This means that the universality of the critical exponent  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$  can be applied to the wider class.

Furthermore, a possible scaling relation between  $\nu$  and other critical exponents should be investigated because the scaling relation [24] unifies the universality class of statistical mechanics. We expect that our rigorous analysis will promote a deeper understanding of chaos and critical phenomena of transition to chaos and then bring us a new perspective of chaos in physics.

## REFERENCES

- 
- [1] Z. Liu, Y.-C. Lai, L. Billings, and I. B. Schwartz, Transition to chaos in continuous-time random dynamical systems, Physical review letters **88**, 124101 (2002).
  - [2] Y.-C. Lai and C. Grebogi, Quasiperiodicity and suppres-



- sion of multistability in nonlinear dynamical systems, The European Physical Journal Special Topics **226**, 1703 (2017).
- [3] M. Cosenza, O. Alvarez-Llamoza, and G. Ponce, Lyapunov exponent for type-iii intermittent chaos, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation **15**, 2431 (2010).
  - [4] Z. Liu, Y.-C. Lai, and M. A. Matías, Universal scaling of lyapunov exponents in coupled chaotic oscillators, Physical Review E **67**, 045203 (2003).
  - [5] M. S. Milosavljevic, J. N. Blakely, A. N. Beal, and N. J. Corron, Analytic solutions throughout a period doubling route to chaos, Physical Review E **95**, 062223 (2017).
  - [6] E. Ott and J. C. Sommerer, Blowout bifurcations: the occurrence of riddled basins and on-off intermittency, Physics Letters A **188**, 39 (1994).
  - [7] G. Benettin, Power-law behavior of lyapunov exponents in some conservative dynamical systems, Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena **13**, 211 (1984).
  - [8] B. Miller and K. Ravishankar, Stochastic modeling of a billiard in a gravitational field: Power law behavior of lyapunov exponents, Journal of statistical physics **53**, 1299 (1988).
  - [9] B. Huberman and J. Rudnick, Scaling behavior of chaotic flows, Physical review letters **45**, 154 (1980).
  - [10] H. Lamba and C. Budd, Scaling of lyapunov exponents at nonsmooth bifurcations, Physical Review E **50**, 84 (1994).
  - [11] Y. Pomeau and P. Manneville, Intermittent transition to turbulence in dissipative dynamical systems, Communications in Mathematical Physics **74**, 189 (1980).
  - [12] P. Manneville and Y. Pomeau, Intermittency and the lorenz model, Physics Letters A **75**, 1 (1979).
  - [13] F. T. Hioe and Z. Deng, Stability-instability transitions in hamiltonian systems of n dimensions, Physical Review A **35**, 847 (1987).
  - [14] H. L. Swinney, Observations of order and chaos in nonlinear systems, Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena **7**, 3 (1983).
  - [15] Y. Ono, K. Fukushima, and T. Yazaki, Critical behavior for the onset of type-iii intermittency observed in an electronic circuit, Physical Review E **52**, 4520 (1995).
  - [16] D. Feng, J. Zheng, W. Huang, C. Yu, and W. Ding, Type-i-like intermittent chaos in multicomponent plasmas with negative ions, Physical Review E **54**, 2839 (1996).
  - [17] K. Umeno and K.-i. Okubo, Exact lyapunov exponents of the generalized boole transformations, Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics **2016**, 021A01 (2016).
  - [18] K.-i. Okubo and K. Umeno, Universality of the route to chaos: Exact analysis, Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics **2018**, 103A01 (2018).
  - [19] K. Umeno, Superposition of chaotic processes with convergence to lévy's stable law, Physical Review E **58**, 2644 (1998).
  - [20] K. Umeno, Ergodic transformations on r preserving cauchy laws, Nonlinear Theory and Its Applications, IE-ICE **7**, 14 (2016).
  - [21] K.-i. Okubo and K. Umeno, Infinite ergodicity that preserves the lebesgue measure, Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science **31**, 033135 (2021).
  - [22] B. Gnedenko and A. Kolmogorov, *Limit Distributions for Sums of Independent Random Variables* (Addison-Wesley Educational Publishers Inc., 1954).
  - [23] A. Lasota and M. C. Mackey, *Probabilistic properties of deterministic systems* (Cambridge university press, 2008).
  - [24] M. E. Fisher, The theory of equilibrium critical phenomena, Reports on progress in physics **30**, 615 (1967).