Compact groups with probabilistically central monothetic subgroups

João Azevedo and Pavel Shumyatsky

ABSTRACT. If K is a closed subgroup of a compact group G, the probability that randomly chosen pair of elements from K and G commute is denoted by Pr(K,G). Say that a subgroup $K \leq G$ is ϵ -central in G if $Pr(\langle g \rangle, G) \geq \epsilon$ for any g in K. Here $\langle g \rangle$ denotes the monothetic subgroup generated by $g \in G$. Our main result is that if K is ϵ -central in G, then there is an ϵ -bounded number e and a normal subgroup $T \leq G$ such that the index [G:T] and the order of the commutator subgroup $[K^e, T]$ both are finite and ϵ -bounded. In particular, if G is a compact group for which there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that $Pr(\langle g \rangle, G) \geq \epsilon$ for any $g \in G$, then there is an ϵ -bounded number e and a normal subgroup T such that the index [G:T] and the order of $[G^e, T]$ both are finite and ϵ -bounded.

1. Introduction

In this paper, all compact groups are Hausdorff topological spaces. By a subgroup of a topological group we mean a closed subgroup unless explicitly stated otherwise. If S is a subset of a topological group G, then we denote by $\langle S \rangle$ the subgroup (topologically) generated by S. A subgroup of G is monothetic if it is generated by a single element.

The Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{M} of a compact group G is the one generated by all closed subsets of G. We say that a measure on (G, \mathcal{M}) is a (left) Haar measure provided μ is both inner and outer regular, $\mu(K) < \infty$ and $\mu(xE) = \mu(E)$ for all compact subsets K and measurable subsets E of G (see [14, Chapter 4] or [22, Chapter II]). Recall that there is a unique Haar measure μ on (G, \mathcal{M}) such that $\mu(G) = 1$.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 20F24, 20P05, 22C05.

Key words and phrases. Commuting probability, Haar measure, compact groups, monothetic subgroups.

Supported by CNPq and FAPDF.

Let G be a compact group and let K be a subgroup of G. Consider the set $C = \{(x, y) \in K \times G | xy = yx\}$. This is closed in $K \times G$ since it is the preimage of 1 under the continuous map $f : K \times G \to G$ given by f(x, y) = [x, y]. Denoting the normalized Haar measures of K and G by ν and μ , respectively, the probability that a random element from K commutes with a random element from G is defined as $Pr(K, G) = (\nu \times \mu)(C)$. This is a well-studied concept (see in particular [5, 6, 10, 12, 15, 18, 19, 23, 28]).

Recently Detomi and the second author proved in [3] that if G is finite and $Pr(K, G) \ge \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, then there is a normal subgroup T of G and a subgroup B of K such that the indices [G:T] and [K:B] and the order of the subgroup [T, B] are ϵ -bounded. Throughout the article we use the expression "(a, b, ...)-bounded" to mean that a quantity is bounded from above by a number depending only on the parameters a, b, ... If B and T are subgroups of a group G, we denote by [T, B] the subgroup generated by all commutators [t, b] with $t \in T$ and $b \in B$. In the case where K = G, this is a well-known theorem due to P.M. Neumann [25]. Conversely, if K is a subgroup of a finite group G, and if $T \leq G$ and $B \leq K$, then Pr(K, G) is bounded away from zero in terms of the indices [G:T] and [K:B] and the order of [T, B]. The present work grew out of a desire to understand the impact of similar probabilistic considerations on the structure of a compact group. Our first goal is to extend the main result of [3] to compact groups.

PROPOSITION 1.1. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let G be a compact group having a subgroup K such that $Pr(K,G) \ge \epsilon$. Then there is a normal subgroup $T \le G$ and a subgroup $B \le K$ such that the indices [G:T] and [K:B] and the order of [T, B] are ϵ -bounded.

Let G be a compact group and let K be a subgroup of G. If $Pr(\langle g \rangle, G) \geq \epsilon$ for every $g \in K$ we say that K is ϵ -central in G, and in the case where K = G we say that G is ϵ -central. If e is a positive integer, we denote by G^e the subgroup generated by all eth powers of elements of G. Recall that a group G has finite exponent e if $G^e = 1$ and e is the least positive number with this property. It is easy to see that if G has exponent e, then $Pr(\langle g \rangle, G) \geq \frac{1}{e}$ for all $g \in G$. More generally, if $G^e \leq Z(G)$, where Z(G) denotes the center of G, then $Pr(\langle g \rangle, G) \geq \frac{1}{e}$ for all $g \in G$. We shall prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.2. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and assume that the subgroup K is ϵ central in G. Then there is an ϵ -bounded number e and a finite-index normal subgroup $T \leq G$ such that the index [G:T] and the order of $[K^e, T]$ are ϵ -bounded.

 $\mathbf{2}$

The theorem implies that if G is an ϵ -central compact group, then there is an ϵ -bounded number e and a normal subgroup T such that the index [G:T] and the order of $[G^e, T]$ are ϵ -bounded. Moreover, the exponent of the commutator subgroup [T, T] is ϵ -bounded. Indeed, passing to the quotient over $[G^e, T]$ we can assume that all eth powers of elements of G centralize T. In particular, T/Z(T) has exponent e, and a theorem of Zelmanov [**34**] ensures that T/Z(T) is locally finite. A result of Mann [**20**] can then be used to deduce that [T, T] has finite e-bounded exponent.

As usual, we denote the conjugacy class of an element $x \in G$ by x^G . It is easy to see that if K is a subgroup of G such that $|x^G| \leq n$ for every $x \in K$, then K is $\frac{1}{n}$ -central in G. More generally, let l, n be positive integers and suppose that K is a subgroup of a compact group G such that any conjugacy class containing an lth power x^l of an element $x \in K$ is of size at most n. It is not difficult to see that K is $\frac{1}{ln}$ -central in G. It turns out that this admits a converse: if K is ϵ -central in G, then there exist ϵ -bounded integers l and n such that every conjugacy class containing an lth power of an element of K has cardinality at most n. Indeed, let $x \in K$. Since $Pr(\langle x \rangle, G) \geq \epsilon$, in view of Proposition 1.1 there is a normal subgroup T of G and a subgroup B of $\langle x \rangle$ such that the indices [G : T] and $[\langle x \rangle : B]$ and the order of [T, B] are ϵ -bounded. Hence, as required, there are ϵ -bounded numbers l and n such that $[G : C_G(x^l)] \leq n$ for all $x \in K$. Therefore we have proved that

For every $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ there are positive integers l and n depending only on ϵ with the property that if K is an ϵ -central subgroup of the compact group G, then $[G : C_G(g^l)] \leq n$ for all $g \in K$.

Taking this into consideration, Theorem 1.2 will follow from the next proposition.

PROPOSITION 1.3. Let G be a compact group and let l, n be positive integers. Suppose that there is a subgroup K of G such that $[G : C_G(g^l)] \leq n$ for every $g \in K$. Then there exist a positive integer e, depending only on l and n, and a normal subgroup T of G, such that the index [G : T] and the order of $[K^e, T]$ are (l, n)-bounded.

Recall that a group is said to be a BFC-group if its conjugacy classes are finite and have bounded size. A famous theorem of B. H. Neumann says that in a BFC-group the commutator subgroup G' is finite [24]. It follows that if $|x^G| \leq n$ for every $x \in G$, then the order of G' is bounded by a number depending only on n. A first explicit bound for the order of G' was found by J. Wiegold [31], and the best known was obtained in [9] (see also [26] and [30]). Proposition 1.3 is an extension of the Neumann theorem (in the particular case where K = G and l = 1 the proof shows that we can take T = G and e = 1). Recently, some other generalisations of Neumann's theorem have been obtained (see in particular [1, 2, 4]).

We end this introduction with the remark that Theorem 1.1 admits a converse:

For any positive integers s, e, m there is $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ depending only on s, e, m with the property that if K is a subgroup of a compact group G and if G has a normal subgroup T of index at most s such that $[K^e, T]$ has order at most m, then K is ϵ -central in G.

To see this simply note that if K is as above, then $[G : C_G(g^e)] \leq ms$ for every $g \in K$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we record some results needed in the proofs of the main theorems. The following lemma is [28, Lemma 3.1].

LEMMA 2.1. Let G be a compact group and let K be a subgroup of G. Then either $\mu(K) = 0$ or $\mu(K) > 0$ and K is open on G. Furthermore, in the latter case, $\mu(K) = [G:K]^{-1}$.

LEMMA 2.2. Let G be a compact group, and let K and H be subgroups of G with $K \leq H$. Assume further that $\mu(K) \geq \epsilon \mu(H) > 0$ for some positive ϵ . Then $[H:K] \leq \epsilon^{-1}$.

PROOF. Since $\mu(K), \mu(H) > 0$, the previous lemma implies that both subgroups are of finite index and $\mu(K) = [G:K]^{-1}$ and $\mu(H) = [G:H]^{-1}$. Hence the result.

For every $x \in G$, the centralizer $C_G(x)$ equals $f_x^{-1}(1)$, where f_x is the continuous function $f_x(y) = [x, y]$, so this subgroup is closed and measurable.

LEMMA 2.3. Let H and K be subgroups of a compact group G, with $H \leq K$. Then

$$Pr(K,G) \le Pr(H,G) \le Pr(H,K).$$

In particular, $Pr(G,G) \leq Pr(K,G) \leq Pr(K,K)$.

PROOF. Let μ , ν and λ be the normalized Haar measures of G, K and H, respectively. Given $x \in G$, the map $\alpha : \{hC_H(x) \mid h \in H\} \rightarrow$

 $\{kC_K(x) \mid k \in K\}$ taking $hC_H(x)$ to $hC_K(x)$ is injective. We deduce that $[H: C_H(x)] \leq [K: C_K(x)]$ and $\nu(C_K(x)) \leq \lambda(C_H(x))$. We have

$$Pr(H,G) = \int_{G} \lambda(C_H(x)) d\mu(x) \ge \int_{G} \nu(C_K(x)) d\mu(x) = Pr(K,G).$$

The other inequality is proved in an analogous way.

Suppose that G is a compact group and let N be a normal subgroup of G. The normalized Haar measure on G/N coincides with the one induced by the normalized Haar measure on G. If A is a measurable subset of G, we denote by χ_A the characteristic function of A. We say that $x \in G$ is an FC-element if the conjugacy class of x in G is finite.

In the case of finite groups the next lemma was established in [3].

LEMMA 2.4. Let G be a compact group and let N be a normal subgroup of G. For any subgroup K of G, we have

$$Pr(K,G) \le Pr(KN/N,G/N)Pr(K \cap N,N).$$

PROOF. If X is a compact group, we denote by μ_X the normalized Haar measure of X. We have

$$Pr(K,G) = \int_{K} \mu_G(C_G(x)) d\mu_K(x).$$

If $x \in G$ is an FC-element, then

$$\mu_G(C_G(x)N) = [C_G(x)N : C_G(x)]\mu_G(C_G(x)) = [N : C_N(x)]\mu_G(C_G(x)),$$

so $\mu_G(C_G(x)N)\mu_N(C_N(x)) = \mu_G(C_G(x))$. Let FC(K) be the abstract subgroup of K consisting of elements having finite conjugacy class in G. Then

$$\int_{K} \mu_G(C_G(x)) d\mu_K(x) = \int_{FC(K)} \mu_G(C_G(x)) d\mu_K(x)$$
$$= \int_{FC(K)} \mu_G(C_G(x)N) \mu_N(C_N(x)) d\mu_K(x)$$
$$\leq \int_{K} \mu_G(C_G(x)N) \mu_N(C_N(x)) d\mu_K(x).$$

We now apply the extended Weil formula $[\mathbf{27}, p.88]$ to the last integral and obtain

$$Pr(K,G) \leq \int_{K\cap N} \left(\int_{K\cap N} \mu_G(C_G(xk)N)\mu_N(C_N(xk))d\mu_{K\cap N}(k) \right) d\mu_{\frac{K}{K\cap N}}(x(K\cap N))$$

$$\leq \int_{\frac{K}{K\cap N}} \left(\int_{K\cap N} \mu_{\frac{G}{N}}(C_{\frac{G}{N}}(xN))\mu_N(C_N(xk))d\mu_{K\cap N}(k) \right) d\mu_{\frac{K}{K\cap N}}(x(K\cap N))$$

$$= \int_{\frac{K}{K\cap N}} \mu_{\frac{G}{N}}(C_{\frac{G}{N}}(xN)) \left(\int_{K\cap N} \mu_N(C_N(xk))d\mu_{K\cap N}(k) \right) d\mu_{\frac{K}{K\cap N}}(x(K\cap N)). \quad (1)$$

If x is any element of K, define the set

$$A_x = \{ (k, n) \in (K \cap N) \times N \mid [xk, n] = 1 \} \\ = \{ (k, n) \in (K \cap N) \times N \mid xk \in C_G(n) \cap x(K \cap N) \}.$$

If $C_G(n) \cap x(K \cap N)$ is nonempty, then it equals $tC_{K \cap N}(k)$ for some $t \in x(K \cap N)$. Thus,

$$A_x = \{ (k, n) \in (K \cap N) \times N \mid xk \in tC_{K \cap N}(k) \}$$

= $\{ (k, n) \in (K \cap N) \times N \mid k \in x^{-1}tC_{K \cap N}(k) \}.$

We use the Lebesgue-Fubini Theorem to give an estimate for the expression in parenthesis in (1):

$$\int_{K\cap N} \mu_N(C_N(xk)) d\mu_{K\cap N}(k) = \int_{(K\cap N)\times N} \chi_{A_x}(k,n) d(\mu_{K\cap N} \times \mu_N)(k,n)$$
$$\leq \int_N \mu_{K\cap N}(x^{-1}tC_{K\cap N}(n)) d\mu_N(n)$$
$$= \int_N \mu_{K\cap N}(C_{K\cap N}(n)) d\mu_N(n)$$
$$= Pr(K\cap N, N).$$

Replacing this back in (1) we have

$$Pr(K,G) \leq \int_{\frac{K}{K\cap N}} \mu_{\frac{G}{N}}(C_{\frac{G}{N}}(xN)) \left(\int_{K\cap N} \mu_{N}(C_{N}(xn))d\mu_{K\cap N}(n) \right) d\mu_{\frac{K}{K\cap N}}(x(K\cap N))$$
$$\leq Pr(K\cap N,N) \int_{\frac{K}{K\cap N}} \mu_{\frac{G}{N}}(C_{\frac{G}{N}}(xN))d\mu_{\frac{K}{K\cap N}}(x(K\cap N)).$$

Finally, since $K/K \cap N$ and KN/N are isomorphic, we can apply Corollary 2.5 in [28] with respect to the last integral above to conclude that

$$\int_{\frac{K}{K\cap N}} \mu_{\frac{G}{N}}(C_{\frac{G}{N}}(xN))d\mu_{\frac{K}{K\cap N}}(x(K\cap N)) = \int_{\frac{KN}{N}} \mu_{\frac{G}{N}}(C_{\frac{G}{N}}(xN))d\mu_{\frac{KN}{N}}(xN)$$
$$= Pr(KN/N, G/N).$$

The lemma follows.

If A and B are normal subgroups of a group G such that $[A : C_A(B)] \leq m$ and $[B : C_B(A)] \leq m$, then [A, B] has m-bounded order. This well-known result is due to Baer, cf. [29, 14.5.2]. We need a variation of it, which is Lemma 2.1 in [3].

LEMMA 2.5. Let $m \ge 1$ and let G be a group containing a normal subgroup A and a subgroup B such that $[A : C_A(y)] \le m$ and $[B : C_B(x)] \le m$ for all $x \in A, y \in B$. Assume further that $\langle B^G \rangle$ is abelian. Then [A, B] has finite m-bounded order.

The next theorem holds in any group and plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 1.1. It is taken from [1].

THEOREM 2.6. Let m be a positive integer, G a group having a subgroup K such that $|x^G| \leq m$ for each $x \in K$, and let $H = \langle K^G \rangle$. Then the order of the commutator subgroup [H, H] is finite and m-bounded.

The next lemma is essentially Lemma 2.1 in [5].

LEMMA 2.7. Let G be a compact group with normalized Haar measure μ and let $r \geq 1$. Suppose that X is a closed symmetric subset of G containing the identity. If $\mu(X) > \frac{1}{r+1}$, then $\langle X \rangle = X^{3r}$.

PROOF. Suppose $x_i \in X^{3i+1} \setminus X^{3i}$ for $i = 0, \ldots, r$. Then for each i, as long as $X^{3i+1} \setminus X^{3i}$ is nonempty, we have

$$x_i X \subseteq X^{3i+2} \setminus X^{3i-1}.$$

So, assume that the sets $X^{3i+1} \setminus X^{3i}$ are nonempty for $i = 0, \ldots, r$. Then x_0X, \ldots, x_rX are disjoint subsets of G, each of measure $\mu(X)$, and

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{r} x_i X\right) = (r+1)\mu(X) > 1.$$

Therefore $X^{3i+1} = X^{3i}$ for some $i \leq r$. In particular, $X^{3r} = \langle X \rangle$. \Box

In a compact group G the set $\{x \in G \mid |x^G| \le n\}$ is closed and thus measurable.

LEMMA 2.8. Let G be a compact group and n a positive integer. The set $X = \{x \in G \mid |x^G| \le n\}$ is a closed subset of G.

PROOF. It is sufficient to show that if $a \in G \setminus X$, then *a* is contained in an open subset *U* which has empty intersection with *X*. Since $a \notin X$, we can choose n + 1 elements x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1} in such a way that the conjugates a^{x_i} are distinct for $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$. Set

$$U = \{ u \in G; \ [u, x_i x_i^{-1}] \neq 1 \text{ for } 1 \le i, j \le n+1 \}.$$

Observe that $a \in U$ and every element in U has at least n + 1 conjugates, whence $U \cap X = \emptyset$. Further, since the commutator map is continuous, U is open. The proof is complete.

REMARK 2.9. If S is any subset of G, we denote by x^S the set of S-conjugates of x in G. The previous argument also proves that the set $\{x \in G \mid |x^S| \leq n\}$ is closed in G for any (not necessarily closed) subset S of G.

3. Proof of Proposition 1.1

Now we are able to prove Proposition 1.1, which we restate here for the reader's convenience.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let G be a compact group having a subgroup K such that $Pr(K,G) \ge \epsilon$. Then there is a normal subgroup $T \le G$ and a subgroup $B \le K$ such that the indices [G:T] and [K:B] and the order of [T, B] are ϵ -bounded.

PROOF. Let μ and ν be the normalized Haar measures of G and K, respectively. Set

$$X = \{ x \in K \mid |x^G| \le 2/\epsilon \}.$$

Note that X is measurable, by Lemma 2.8: it is the intersection of K and the closed set $\{x \in G \mid |x^G| \le 2/\epsilon\}$. We have

$$K \setminus X = \{ x \in K \mid |x^G| > 2/\epsilon \}.$$

Since $\mu(C_G(x)) < \epsilon/2$ for all $x \in K \setminus X$, it follows that

$$\epsilon \leq \Pr(K, G) = \int_{K} \mu(C_G(x)) d\nu(x)$$
$$= \int_{X} \mu(C_G(x)) d\nu(x) + \int_{K \setminus X} \mu(C_G(x)) d\nu(x)$$
$$\leq \int_{X} d\nu(x) + \int_{K \setminus X} \frac{\epsilon}{2} d\nu(x)$$
$$= \nu(X) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} (1 - \nu(X)) \leq \nu(X) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

This implies that $\epsilon/2 \leq \nu(X)$. Let *B* be the subgroup generated by *X*. Then, by Lemma 2.7, every element of *B* is a product of at most $6/\epsilon$ elements of *X*. Clearly, $\nu(B) \geq \nu(X) \geq \epsilon/2$, so the index of *B* in *K* is at most $2/\epsilon$, by Lemma 2.2. Furthermore, $|b^G| \leq (2/\epsilon)^{6/\epsilon}$ for every $b \in B$.

Let $L = \langle B^G \rangle$. Theorem 2.6 tells us that the commutator subgroup [L, L] has finite ϵ -bounded order. Let us use the bar notation for images of subgroups of G in G/[L, L]. By Lemma 2.4, $Pr(\overline{K}, \overline{G}) \geq Pr(K, G) \geq \epsilon$. Moreover, $[\overline{K} : \overline{B}] \leq [K : B] \leq \epsilon/2$ and $|\overline{b}^{\overline{G}}| \leq |b^G| \leq (2/\epsilon)^{6/\epsilon}$ for any $b \in B$. Thus we can pass to the quotient over [L, L] and assume that L is abelian.

Now we set

$$Y = \{ y \in G \mid |y^K| \le 2/\epsilon \}.$$

Observe that Y is closed, by Remark 2.9. Arguing as before, since $\nu(C_K(y)) < \epsilon/2$ for all $y \in G \setminus Y$, we have

$$\epsilon \leq Pr(K,G) = \int_{G} \nu(C_K(y))d\mu(y)$$

=
$$\int_{Y} \nu(C_K(y))d\mu(y) + \int_{G\setminus Y} \nu(C_K(y))d\mu(y)$$

$$\leq \int_{Y} d\mu(y) + \int_{G\setminus Y} \epsilon/2d\mu(y)$$

=
$$\mu(Y) + \epsilon/2(1 - \mu(Y)) \leq \mu(Y) + \epsilon/2.$$

Therefore, $\mu(Y) \ge \epsilon/2$. Let *E* be the subgroup generated by *Y*. Lemma 2.7 ensures that every element of *E* is a product of at most $6/\epsilon$ elements of *Y*. Also, we have $\mu(E) \ge \mu(Y) \ge \epsilon/2$, so the index of *E* in *G* is at most $2/\epsilon$, by Lemma 2.2. Since $|y^K| \le 2/\epsilon$ for every $y \in Y$, it follows

that $|g^{K}| \leq (2/\epsilon)^{6/\epsilon}$ for every $g \in E$. Let T be the maximal normal subgroup of G contained in E. Then the index [G:T] is ϵ -bounded. Moreover, $|b^{G}| \leq (2/\epsilon)^{6/\epsilon}$ for every $b \in B$ and $|g^{K}| \leq (2/\epsilon)^{6/\epsilon}$ for every $g \in T$. As L is abelian, we can apply Lemma 2.5 and deduce that [T, B] has finite ϵ -bounded order. The proposition follows. \Box

4. About ϵ -central subgroups

Let G be a topological group generated by a symmetric set X. If it is possible to write $g \in G$ as a product of finitely many elements from X, we denote by w(g) the shortest length of such an expression. If g cannot be written as a product of finitely many elements of X, we simply say that w(g) is infinite. The next result is Lemma 2.1 in [4].

LEMMA 4.1. Let G be a group generated by a symmetric set X and let D be a subgroup of index m in G. Then every coset Db contains an element such that $w(g) \leq m - 1$.

We remark that Lemma 4.1 holds for topological groups and their closed (open) subgroups of finite index. Indeed, for an integer $r \ge 0$ let D_r be the union of the cosets of D containing some element g with $w(g) \le r$. Then $D_r \subseteq D_{r+1}$ and $D_r X \subseteq D_{r+1}$ for all r. Let R be the minimal integer such that $D_{R+1} = D_R$. Then D_R is a closed set containing the group generated by X, so $D_R = G$. Since D has mcosets and $D_0 = D$, R < m.

We now proceed to the proof of Proposition 1.3, which we restate here for the reader's convenience.

Let G be a compact group and let l, n be positive integers. Suppose that there is a subgroup K of G such that $[G : C_G(g^l)] \leq n$ for every $g \in K$. Then there exists a positive integer e, depending only on l and n, and a normal subgroup T of G, such that the index [G : T] and the order of $[K^e, T]$ both are finite and (l, n)-bounded.

Let G be a compact group satisfying the hypothesis of Proposition 1.3. Let X be the union of the conjugacy classes of G containing an lth power of an element of K and let H be the subgroup generated by X. Define m as the maximum of the indices of $C_H(x)$ in H, where $x \in X$. Obviously, $m \leq n$.

LEMMA 4.2. For any $x \in X$ the order of the subgroup [H, x] is *m*-bounded.

PROOF. Since the index of $C_H(x)$ in H is at most m, Lemma 4.1 guarantees that there are elements y_1, \ldots, y_m in H such that each y_i is a product of at most m-1 elements of X and the subgroup [H, x]

is generated by the commutators $[y_i, x]$, for $i = 1, \ldots, m$. For any such *i* write $y_i = y_{i1} \ldots y_{i(m-1)}$, where y_{ij} belongs to *X*. Using the standard commutator identities, we can rewrite $[y_i, x]$ as a product of conjugates in *H* of the commutators $[y_{ij}, x]$. Let $\{h_1, \ldots, h_s\}$ be the set of conjugates in *H* of all elements from the set $\{x, y_{ij} | 1 \le i, j \le m-1\}$. Note that the number *s* here is *m*-bounded. This follows from the fact that $C_H(x)$ has index at most *m* in *H* for every $x \in X$. Let *D* be the subgroup of *H* generated by h_1, \ldots, h_s . Since [H, x] is contained in the commutator subgroup *D'*, it suffices to show that *D'* has finite *m*-bounded order. Observe that the center Z(D) has index at most m^s in *D*, since the index of $C_H(h_i)$ is at most *m* for every h_i . Thus, by Schur's theorem [**29**, 10.1.4], we conclude that *D'* has finite *m*-bounded order.

We now argue by induction on m. If $[H: C_H(g^{l^2})] \leq m-1$ for all $g \in K$, then by induction the result holds. We therefore assume that there is $d \in K$ such that $[H: C_H(d^{l^2})] = m$. Of course, necessarily, $[H: C_H(d^l)] = m$. Set $a = d^l$ and choose b_1, \ldots, b_m in H such that $a^H = \{a^{b_i} \mid i = 1, \ldots, m\}$ and $w(b_i) \leq m-1$ (the existence of the elements b_i is guaranteed by Lemma 4.1). Since $C_H(a) = C_H(a^l)$, it follows that $(a^l)^H = \{(a^l)^{b_i} \mid i = 1, \ldots, m\}$. Set $U = C_G(\langle b_1, \ldots, b_m \rangle)$. Note that the index of U in G is n-bounded. Indeed, since $w(b_i) \leq m-1$ we can write $b_i = b_{i1} \ldots b_{i(m-1)}$, where $b_{ij} \in X$ and $i = 1, \ldots, m$. By the hypothesis, the index of $C_G(b_{ij})$ in G is at most n for any such element b_{ij} . Thus, $[G: U] \leq n^{(m-1)m}$.

LEMMA 4.3. Suppose that $u \in U$ and $ua \in X$. Then $[H, u] \leq [H, a]$.

PROOF. For each i = 1, ..., m we have $(ua)^{b_i} = ua^{b_i}$, since u belongs to U. By hypothesis, $ua \in X$. Hence, taking into account the assumption on the cardinality of the conjugacy class of ua in H, we deduce that $(ua)^H$ consists exactly on the elements $(ua)^{b_i}$, for i = 1, ..., m. Therefore, given an arbitrary element $h \in H$, there exists $b \in \{b_1, \ldots, b_m\}$ such that $(ua)^h = (ua)^b$ and so $u^h a^h = ua^b$. It follows that $[u, h] = a^b a^{-h} \in [H, a]$, and the lemma holds.

Let R be the normal closure in G of the subgroup [H, a], that is, $R = [H, a^{b_1}] \dots [H, a^{b_n}]$, where a^{b_i} are all the conjugates of a in G (if $|a^G| \leq n - 1$, then not all the a^{b_1}, \dots, a^{b_n} are pairwise distinct). By Lemma 4.2, each of the subgroups $[H, a^{b_i}]$ has *n*-bounded order. Thus, the order of R is *n*-bounded as well.

Let $Y_1 = Xa^{-l} \cap U$ and $Y_2 = Xa^{-1} \cap U$. Note that for any $y \in Y_1$, the product ya^l belongs to X. So, by Lemma 4.3 applied with a^l in place of a and y in place of u, the subgroup [H, y] is contained in $[H, a^l]$,

which is contained in R. Similarly, for any $y \in Y_2$, we have $[H, y] \leq R$. Set $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$. Thus, $[H, Y] \leq R$.

Let U_0 be the maximal normal subgroup of G contained in U. Observe that the index of U_0 in G is *n*-bounded. Observe further that for any $u \in U_0$ the commutators $[u, a^{-l}]$ and $[u, a^{-1}]$ lie in Y. Since $[U_0, a^{-1}] = [U_0, a]$, we deduce that

$$[H, [U_0, a]] \le R.$$

Let $K_0 = K \cap U_0$. We remark that $(ua)^l (a^l)^{-1} \in Y$ whenever $u \in K_0$. We pass to the quotient $\overline{G} = G/R$ and use the bar notation to denote images in \overline{G} . We know that \overline{Y} is central in \overline{H} . We also deduce that $[\overline{U}_0, \overline{a}] \leq Z(\overline{H})$.

Since $(ua)^{l}(a^{l})^{-1} \in Y$ whenever $u \in K_{0}$ and since $\overline{Y} \leq Z(\overline{H})$, it follows that in the quotient $\overline{G}/Z(\overline{H})$ the element \overline{a} commutes with \overline{U}_{0} and $(\overline{ua})^{l}(\overline{a})^{-l} = 1$ for every $\overline{u} \in \overline{K}_{0}$. This implies that \overline{K}_{0} has exponent dividing l modulo $Z(\overline{H})$. It follows that \overline{K}_{0}^{l} is abelian and every element of $\overline{K_{0}}^{l^{2}}$ is again an lth power of an element in \overline{K}_{0} . We therefore deduce that

$$Pr(\overline{K}_0^{l^2}, \overline{G}) \ge \frac{1}{n}.$$

By Proposition 1.1 there is a normal subgroup \overline{T} in \overline{G} and a subgroup \overline{V} in $\overline{K}_0^{l^2}$ such that the indices $[\overline{G}:\overline{T}]$ and $[\overline{K}_0^{l^2}:\overline{V}]$ and the order of $[\overline{T},\overline{V}]$ are (l,n)-bounded. Let T be the inverse image of \overline{T} in G and V the inverse image of \overline{V} in $K_0^{l^2}$. Bearing in mind that the order of R is n-bounded, we conclude that the indices [G:T] and $[K_0^{l^2}:V]$ are (n,l)-bounded, as also is the order of [T,V]. As the index of V in $K_0^{l^2}$ is bounded, there is a positive (n,l)-bounded integer e such that $K^e \leq V$. This completes the proof of the proposition.

COROLLARY 4.4. Let G be a compact group and let G_0 be the connected component of identity in G. Then, the following are equivalent

- (i) The probability $Pr(G_0, G)$ is positive;
- (ii) The centralizer $C_G(G_0)$ is open in G;
- (iii) The connected component G_0 is ϵ -central in G for some $\epsilon > 0$.

PROOF. Suppose first that $Pr(G_0, G) > 0$. Then there are subgroups of finite index T of G and B of G_0 such that [T, B] is finite. Since G_0 is divisible [21], the only finite index subgroup of G_0 is G_0 itself. Therefore, the set of commutators $\{[x, y] | x \in G_0, y \in T\}$ is connected and finite, hence is trivial and $T \leq C_G(G_0)$.

Now, assume that $C_G(G_0)$ is open in G and let μ and μ_0 be the normalized Haar measures of G and G_0 , respectively. For any $x \in G_0$,

the inclusion $C_G(G_0) \leq C_G(x)$ holds, thus $\mu(C_G(G_0)) \leq \mu(C_G(x))$. We have

$$Pr(\langle x \rangle, G) = \int_{G_0} \mu(C_G(x)) d\mu_0(x) \ge \mu(C_G(G_0)) > 0.$$

We conclude that G_0 is ϵ -central, where $\epsilon = [G : C_G(G_0)]^{-1}$, and so (ii) implies (iii).

Now we assume the validity of (iii) and prove that (i) holds. By Theorem 1.2, there are a finite index subgroup T of G and a natural number e such that $[G_0^e, T]$ is finite. Since G_0 is divisible, $G_0 = G_0^e$ and so T centralizes G_0 and $T \leq C_G(x)$ for any $x \in G_0$. Writing μ and μ_0 for the normalized Haar measures of G and G_0 , respectively, we have

$$Pr(G_0, G) = \int_{G_0} \mu(C_G(x)) d\mu_0(x) \ge \mu(T) > 0.$$

5. Corollaries for Finite Groups

In this section we collect some easy corollaries of Theorem 1.2 for finite groups. Roughly, we show that many well-known results on the exponent of a finite group admit a probabilistic interpretation in the spirit of Theorem 1.2.

The restricted Burnside problem was whether the order of an r-generator finite group of exponent e is bounded in terms of r and e alone. This was famously solved in the affirmative by Zelmanov [**32**, **33**]. Theorem 1.2 enables us to obtain the following extension of Zelmanov's theorem.

THEOREM 5.1. Let G be a finite ϵ -central r-generator group. Then G has a normal subgroup N such that both the index [G : N] and the order of the commutator subgroup [N, N] are (r, ϵ) -bounded.

PROOF. By Theorem 1.2 there is an ϵ -bounded number e and a normal subgroup $T \leq G$ such that the index [G:T] and the order of $[G^e, T]$ are ϵ -bounded. The solution of the Restricted Burnside problem implies that the index $[G:G^e]$ is (e, r)-bounded. Therefore the subgroup $N = G^e \cap T$ has the required properties.

An important part of the eventual solution of the restricted Burnside problem was developed by Hall and Higman in their paper [13]. They proved that if p is a prime and G is a finite group with Sylow p-subgroups of exponent p^s , then G has a normal series of s-bounded length all of whose factors are p-groups, or p'-groups, or direct products of nonabelian simple groups of order divisible by p.

THEOREM 5.2. Let p be a prime and G a finite group with ϵ -central Sylow p-subgroups. Then G has a normal series of ϵ -bounded length all of whose factors are p-groups, or p'-groups, or direct products of nonabelian simple groups of order divisible by p.

PROOF. Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of G. By Theorem 1.2 there is an ϵ -bounded number e and a normal subgroup $T \leq G$ such that the index [G:T] and the order of $[P^e, T]$ are ϵ -bounded. Set $P_0 = P^e$. It is sufficient to show that T has a normal series with the required properties. Note that $[P_0, T]$ is normal in T. Let Z be the inverse image in T of the center of $T/[P_0, T]$. Consider the normal series

$$1 \le [P_0, T] \le Z \le T.$$

Here $[P_0, T]$ has ϵ -bounded order, $Z/[P_0, T]$ is abelian, and T/Z has Sylow *p*-subgroups of exponent dividing *e*. According to the Hall-Higman theory T/Z has a normal series of ϵ -bounded length with the required properties. Thus, the result follows.

Given a group-word w, we write w(G) for the corresponding verbal subgroup of a group G, that is, the subgroup generated by the values of w in G. The word is said to be a law in G if w(G) = 1. In view of Theorem 1.2 it is easy to see that if w(G) is ϵ -central in G, then a law of (ϵ, w) -bounded length holds in the group G. This simple observation provides a tool for obtaining extensions of results about finite groups satisfying certain laws. We will illustrate this with a theorem bounding the nonsoluble length of a finite group. The concept of nonsoluble length $\lambda(G)$ of a finite group G was introduced in [17]. This is the minimum number of nonsoluble factors in a normal series of G in which every factor either is soluble or is a direct product of non-abelian simple groups. (In particular, the group is soluble if and only if its nonsoluble length is 0.)

It was shown in [7] that if a word w is a law in the Sylow 2-subgroup of a finite group G, then $\lambda(G)$ is bounded in terms of the length of the word w only. This can be extended as follows.

THEOREM 5.3. Let w be a group-word and P a Sylow 2-subgroup of a finite group G such that w(P) is ϵ -central in P. Then $\lambda(G)$ is (ϵ, w) -bounded.

PROOF. As explained above, this is straightforward combining the result in [7] and Theorem 1.2.

For a group of automorphisms A of a group G we write $C_G(A)$ for the centralizer of A in G. It is well-known that if a finite group G admits a coprime group of automorphisms A, then $C_{G/N}(A) = NC_G(A)/N$ for any A-invariant normal subgroup N of G (see for example [8, Theorem 6.2.2 (iv)]). Here the group A is a coprime group of automorphisms if (|G|, |A|) = 1. The symbol $A^{\#}$ stands for the set of nontrivial elements of the group A. The main result of [16] states that if a finite group G admits an elementary abelian coprime group of automorphisms Aof order p^2 such that $C_G(\phi)$ has exponent dividing ϵ for each $\phi \in A^{\#}$, then the exponent of G is (ϵ, p) -bounded. We can now extend this in the following way.

THEOREM 5.4. Let $\epsilon > 0$, and let G be a finite group admitting an elementary abelian coprime group of automorphisms A of order p^2 such that $C_G(\phi)$ is ϵ -central in G for each $\phi \in A^{\#}$. Then there is a (p, ϵ) -bounded number e and an A-invariant normal subgroup T such that the index [G:T] and the order of $[G^e, T]$ are (p, ϵ) -bounded.

PROOF. Let A_1, \ldots, A_{p+1} be the subgroups of order p in A and set $G_i = C_G(A_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p + 1$. According to Theorem 1.2 there is an ϵ -bounded number d and, for $i = 1, \ldots, p + 1$, A-invariant normal subgroups $T_i \leq G$ such that the index $[G:T_i]$ and the order of $[G_i^d, T_i]$ are ϵ -bounded. Set $T = \bigcap T_i$ and observe that T is Ainvariant and the index of T in G is (p, ϵ) -bounded. Let $N_i = [G_i^d, T]$ and $N_0 = \prod N_i$. Note that the subgroup N_0 is normal in T and has (p,ϵ) -bounded order. Let $N = \langle N_0^G \rangle$ be the normal closure of N_0 in G. Since the index of T in G is (p, ϵ) -bounded, it follows that the order of N is (p, ϵ) -bounded as well. We also observe that N is A-invariant since the subgroups N_i are. Let C be the centralizer of T modulo N, that is, $C = \{x \in G \mid [T, x] \leq N\}$. Clearly, the subgroup C is A-invariant. Moreover $G_i^d \leq C$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p+1$. Hence, $C_{G/C}(A_i)$ has exponent dividing d for each $i = 1, \ldots, p+1$. Now the main result of [16] says that the exponent of G/C is (d, p)-bounded. Therefore there exists a (p,ϵ) -bounded number e such that $G^e \leq C$, that is, $[G^e,T] \leq N$. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 5.5. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 5.4 there exists a number $\epsilon_0 > 0$ depending only on ϵ and p such that G is ϵ_0 -central.

PROOF. Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 5.4. The theorem tells us that there is a (p, ϵ) -bounded number e and a normal subgroup T such that the index [G : T] and the order of $[G^e, T]$ are (p, ϵ) bounded. As explained in the introduction, Theorem 1.2 admits a converse. Hence the result. In the spirit of the work [11] we record the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.6. Let $\epsilon > 0$, and let G be a finite group admitting an elementary abelian coprime group of automorphisms A of order p^3 such that the commutator subgroup of $C_G(\phi)$ is ϵ -central in G for each $\phi \in A^{\#}$. Then there is a (p, ϵ) -bounded number e and an Ainvariant normal subgroup T such that the index [G:T] and the order of $[[G, G]^e, T]$ are (p, ϵ) -bounded.

PROOF. Let A_1, \ldots, A_s be the subgroups of order p of A and let D_i denote the commutator subgroup of $C_G(A_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$. According to Theorem 1.2 there is an ϵ -bounded number d and, for $i = 1, \ldots, s$, A-invariant normal subgroups $T_i \leq G$ such that the index $[G:T_i]$ and the order of $[D_i^d, T_i]$ are ϵ -bounded. Set $T = \bigcap T_i$ and observe that T is A-invariant and the index of T in G is (p, ϵ) -bounded. Let $N_i = [D_i^d, T]$ and $N_0 = \prod N_i$. Note that N_0 is normal in T and has (p,ϵ) -bounded order. Let $N = \langle N_0^G \rangle$ be the normal closure of N_0 in G. Since the index of T in G is (p, ϵ) -bounded, it follows that the order of N is (p, ϵ) -bounded. We also observe that N is A-invariant since the subgroups N_i are. Let C be the centralizer of T modulo N, that is, $C = \{x \in G \mid [T, x] \leq N\}$. Clearly, the subgroup C is A-invariant. Moreover $D_i^d \leq C$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$. Hence, $C_{G/C}(A_i)$ has commutator subgroup of exponent dividing d for each $i = 1, \ldots, s$. Now the main result of [11] says that the exponent of the commutator subgroup of G/C is (d, p)-bounded. Therefore there exists a (p, ϵ) -bounded number e such that $[G,G]^e \leq C$, that is, $[[G,G]^e,T] \leq N$. This completes the proof.

References

- Acciarri, C., Shumyatsky, P.: A stronger form of Neumann's BFC-theorem. Israel J. Math. 242, 269-278 (2021)
- [2] Detomi, E., Morigi, M., Shumyatsky, P.: BFC-theorems for higher commutator subgroups. Quarterly J. Math. 70, no. 3, 849 - 858 (2019)
- [3] Detomi, E., Shumyatsky, P.: On the commuting probability for subgroups of a finite group. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, (2022), DOI:10.1017/prm.2021.68
- [4] Dierings, G., Shumyatsky, P.: Groups with boundedly finite conjugacy classes of commutators. Quarterly J. Math. 69, no. 3, 1047 - 1051 (2018)
- [5] Eberhard, S.: Commuting probabilities of finite groups. Bull. London Math. Soc. 47, 796 - 808 (2015)
- [6] Erfanian, A., Rezaei, R., Lescot, P.: On the relative commutativity degree of a subgroup of a finite group. Comm. Algebra 35, 4183–4197 (2007)
- [7] Fumagalli, F., Leinen, F., Puglisi, O.: An upper bound for the nonsolvable length of a finite group in terms of its shortest law. arXiv:2101.09119
- [8] Gorenstein, D.: Finite Groups. Chelsea Publishing Company, New York (1980)

- [9] Guralnick, R.M., Maroti, A.: Average dimension of fixed point spaces with applications. J. Algebra 226, 298 308 (2011)
- [10] Guralnick, R.M., Robinson, G.: On the commuting probability in finite groups. J. Algebra 300, 509–528 (2006)
- [11] Guralnick, R.M., Shumyatsky, P.: Derived subgroups of fixed points. Israel J. Math. 126, 345 - 362 (2001)
- [12] Gustafson, W.H.: What is the probability that two group elements commute? Amer. Math. Monthly 80, 1031–1304 (1973)
- [13] Hall, P., Higman, G.: The *p*-length of a *p*-soluble group and reduction theorems for Burnside's problem. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 6, 1 - 42 (1956)
- [14] Hewitt, E., Ross, K.: Abstract Harmonic Analysis. Vol. I Springer, Berlin (1963)
- [15] Hofmann, K.H., Russo, F.G.: The probability that x and y commute in a compact group. Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 153, 557 571 (2012)
- [16] Khukhro, E.I., Shumyatsky, P.: Bounding the exponent of a finite group with automorphisms. J. Algebra 212, 363 - 374 (1999)
- [17] Khukhro, E.I., Shumyatsky, P.: Nonsoluble and non-p-soluble length of finite groups. Israel J. Math. 207, 507 - 525 (2015)
- [18] Lescot, P.: Sur certains groupes finis. Rev. Math. Spéciales, Avril 1987 276-277
- [19] Lescot, P.: Degré de commutativité et structure d'un groupe fini (1). Rev. Math. Spéciales, Avril 1988 276–279
- [20] Mann, A.: The exponent of central factors and commutator groups. J. Group Theory 10, 435 - 436 (2007)
- [21] Mycielski, J.: Some properties of connected compact groups. Colloq. Math. 5, 162 - 166 (1958)
- [22] Nachbin, L.: The Haar Integral, Van Nostrand (1965)
- [23] Nath, R.K., Yadav, M.K.: Some results on relative commutativity degree. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo. 64 (2), 229 - 239 (2015)
- [24] Neumann, B.H.: Groups covered by permutable subsets. J. London Math. Soc.(3) 29, 236 248 (1954)
- [25] Neumann, P.M.: Two combinatorial problems in group theory. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 21, 456 - 458 (1989)
- [26] Neumann, P.M., Vaughan-Lee, M.R.: An essay on BFC groups. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 35, 213 - 237 (1977).
- [27] Reiter, H.: Classical Harmonic Analysis and Locally Compact Groups, Oxford, (2000)
- [28] Rezaei, R., Erfanian, A.: On the commutativity degree of compact groups. Arch. Math. 43, 345-356 (2009)
- [29] Robinson, D.J.S.: A course in the theory of groups, Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 80. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996
- [30] Segal, D., Shalev, A.: On groups with bounded conjugacy classes. Quart. J. Math. Oxford 50, 505 - 516 (1999)
- [31] Wiegold, J.: Groups with boundedly finite classes of conjugate elements. Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 238, 389 - 401 (1957)
- [32] Zelmanov, E.I.: Solution of the restricted Burnside problem for groups of odd exponent. Math. USSR-Izv. 36, 41 - 60 (1991)
- [33] Zelmanov, E.I.: Solution of the restricted Burnside problem for 2-groups. Math. USSR-Sb.. 72, 543 - 565 (1992)

[34] Zelmanov, E.I.: On periodic compact groups. Isr. J. Math. 77(1-2), 83 - 95(1992)

Department of Mathematics, University of Brasilia, Brasilia-DF, 70910-900 Brazil

Email address: J.P.P.Azevedo@mat.unb.br

Department of Mathematics, University of Brasilia, Brasilia-DF, 70910-900 Brazil

Email address: pavel@unb.br