arXiv:2110.00051v1 [math.FA] 30 Sep 2021

Hyper-ideals of multilinear operators and two-sided polynomial ideals generated by sequence classes

Geraldo Botelho* and Raquel Wood†

Abstract

In the nonlinear field of multilinear operators and homogeneous polynomials between Banach spaces, we develop a technique, based on the transformation of vector-valued sequences, to create new examples of hyper-ideals of multilinear operators, polynomial hyper-ideals and polynomial two-sided ideals. Several well studied ideals are shown to be actually hyper-ideals or two-sided ideals.

Introduction

Ideals of multilinear operators (multi-ideals) and ideals of homogeneous polynomials (polynomial ideals) between Banach spaces have been intensively investigated since the seminal 1983 paper by Pietsch [29] (see, e.g., the references in [8, 13]). The basic idea is the stability of the class with respect to the composition with linear operators, for example: a class $\mathcal{Q}$ of homogeneous polynomials is an ideal if the following holds: in the following chain of mappings between Banach spaces

$$G \stackrel{u}{\longrightarrow} E \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} F \stackrel{t}{\longrightarrow} H,$$

if P is a continuous homogeneous polynomial belonging to $\mathcal{Q}$ and u and t are bounded linear operators, then the composition $t \circ P \circ u$ belongs to $\mathcal{Q}$. Several distinguished classes of multilinear operators and of polynomials are ideals, such as compact/weakly compact mappings and a number of nuclear-type and absolutely summing type-mappings.

Special types of multi-ideals and of polynomial ideals were introduced and developed in [10, 12, 13, 14, 23, 32, 38] taking into account the composition with multilinear operators/homogeneous polynomials instead of only with linear operators. More precisely:

• A class of multilinear operators which is stable with respect to the composition with multilinear operators on the left-hand side and with linear operators on the right-hand side are called *hyper-ideals of multilinear opeators.*

• A class of homogeneous polynomials which is stable with respect to the composition

*Supported by CNPq Grant 304262/2018-8 and Fapemig Grant PPM-00450-17.

†Supported by a CAPES scholarship.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46B45, 47H60, 46G25, 47L22, 47B10.

Keywords: Banach sequence spaces, polynomial ideals, multi-ideals, operator ideals.

with homogeneous polynomials on the left-hand side and with linear operators on the right-hand side are called *polynomial hyper-ideals*.
• A class of homogeneous polynomials which is stable with respect to the composition with homogeneous polynomials on both the left-hand and right-hand sides are called *polynomial two-sided ideals*.

Precise definitions are given in Section 1. Illustrative examples: the classes of compact and weakly compact multilinear operators are hyper-ideals, the class of compact homogeneous polynomials is a two-sided polynomial ideal and the class of weakly compact polynomials is a polynomial hyper-ideal that fails to be a two-sided ideal.

Techniques to create such special types of ideals were developed in the references quoted above. The goal of this paper is to developed a new technique to create hyper and two-sided ideals that, although quite natural, has gone unnoticed. As a consequence, several well studied ideals are shown to be hyper-ideals or two-sided ideals. This new technique is based on the notion of sequence classes, a concept that was introduced in [6] and has proved to be quite fruitful: applications and new developments can be found in [1, 5, 7, 8, 18, 34, 35].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we give the definitions that are needed to develop our new technique. In Sections 2 and 3 we show how sequence classes can be used to create new hyper-ideals of multilinear operators and new polynomial hyper and two-sided ideals, respectively. In the final Section 4 we show that the ideals our technique provides are not composition ideals, establishing that the hyper-ideals and two-sided ideals we obtain are new indeed.

1 Basic concepts

Throughout the paper, n is a natural number, $E, E_1, \ldots, E_n, F, G, H$ are Banach spaces over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ denotes the Banach space of continuous n-linear operators from $E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n$ to F and $\mathcal{P}(^nE; F)$ denotes the Banach space of continuous n-homogeneous polynomials from E to F, both of them endowed with their usual norms. If $E_1 = \cdots = E_n = E$, we simply write $\mathcal{L}(^nE; F)$. The closed unit ball of E is denoted by B_E and its topological dual by E^*. Let $\varphi \in E^*, \varphi_1 \in E_1^*, \ldots, \varphi_n \in E_n^*$ and $b \in F$ be given. Linear combinations of n-linear operators of the type

$$\varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_n \otimes b \colon E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n \longrightarrow F \ , \ (\varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_n \otimes b)(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \varphi_1(x_1) \cdots \varphi_n(x_n) b,$$

are called multilinear operators of finite type. And linear combinations of n-homogeneous polynomials of the type

$$\varphi^n \otimes b \colon E \longrightarrow F \ , \ (\varphi^n \otimes b)(x) = \varphi(x)^n b,$$

are called polynomials of finite type. For the general theory of multilinear operators and homogeneous polynomials we refer to [21, 27] and for operator ideals to [19, 28].

Definition 1.1. [10] A *Banach hyper-ideal of multilinear operators*, or simply a *Banach hyper-ideal*, is a pair $(\mathcal{H}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}})$ where $\mathcal{H}$ is a subclass of the class of continuous multilinear operators between Banach spaces and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}} \colon \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and Banach spaces $E_1, \ldots, E_n, F$, the components

$$\mathcal{H}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F) := \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F) \cap \mathcal{H}$$

fulfill the following conditions:
• $\mathcal{H}(E_1,\dots,E_n;F)$ is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{L}(E_1,\dots,E_n;F)$ containing the multilinear operators of finite type, on which $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a complete norm satisfying

$$\|I_n\colon \mathbb{K}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}\ ,\ I_n(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)=\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}=1.$$

• The hyper-ideal property: given natural numbers n and $1\leq m_1<\cdots<m_n$, Banach spaces $G_1,\dots,G_{m_n}$, $E_1,\dots,E_n$,F, H, if $A\in\mathcal{H}(E_1,\dots,E_n;F)$, $B_1\in\mathcal{L}(G_1,\dots,G_{m_1};E_1)$, $\dots,B_n\in\mathcal{L}(G_{m_{n-1}+1},\dots,G_{m_n};E_n)$ and $t\in\mathcal{L}(F;H)$, then $t\circ A\circ(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}(G_1,\dots,G_{m_n};H)$ and

$$\|t\circ A\circ(B_1,\dots,B_n)\|_{\mathcal{H}}\leq\|t\|\cdot\|A\|_{\mathcal{H}}\cdot\|B_1\|\cdots\|B_n\|.$$

If the hyper-ideal property holds only for $m_1=1,m_2=2,\dots,m_n=n$, that is, if the multilinear operators $B_1,\dots,B_n$ are replaced with linear operators, then we recover the classical notion of Banach ideal of multilinear operators, or Banach multi-ideal (see [22]).

Definition 1.2. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a subclass of the class of homogeneous polynomials between Banach spaces and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}}\colon\mathcal{Q}\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}$ be a function such that, for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and Banach spaces E,F, the component

$$\mathcal{Q}({}^nE;F):=\mathcal{P}({}^nE;F)\cap\mathcal{Q}$$

is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{P}({}^nE;F)$ containing the polynomials of finite type on which $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is a complete norm satisfying

$$\|\widehat{I}_n\colon\mathbb{K}\longrightarrow\mathbb{K}\ ,\ \widehat{I}_n(\lambda)=\lambda^n\|_{\mathcal{Q}}=1.$$

• [11, 14] Let $(C_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $C_n\geq1$ for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $C_1=1$. We say that $(\mathcal{Q},\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}})$ is a $(C_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$-*polynomial Banach hyper-ideal* if the following hyper-ideal property is satisfied: for $n,m\in\mathbb{N}$, Banach spaces E,F,G and H, if $P\in\mathcal{Q}({}^nE;F)$, $Q\in\mathcal{P}({}^mG;E)$ and $t\in\mathcal{L}(F;H)$, then $t\circ P\circ Q\in\mathcal{Q}({}^{mn}G;H)$ and

$$\|t\circ P\circ Q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}\leq C_m^n\cdot\|t\|\cdot\|P\|_{\mathcal{Q}}\cdot\|Q\|^n.$$

If $C_n=1$ for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, we simply say that $(\mathcal{Q},\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}})$ is a *polynomial Banach hyper-ideal*.

When the hyper-ideal property holds for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ but only for $m=1$, the classical concept of Banach polynomial ideal is recovered (see, e.g., [22]).
• [13] Let $(C_n,K_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of pairs of positive real numbers with $C_n,K_n\geq1$ for every n and $C_1=K_1=1$. We say that $(\mathcal{Q},\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}})$ is a $(C_n,K_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$-*polynomial Banach two-sided ideal* if the following holds: for $m,n,r\in\mathbb{N}$, Banach spaces E,F, G and H, if $P\in\mathcal{Q}({}^nE;F)$, $Q\in\mathcal{P}({}^mG;E)$ and $R\in\mathcal{P}({}^rF;H)$, then $R\circ P\circ Q\in\mathcal{Q}({}^{rmn}G;H)$ and

$$\|R\circ P\circ Q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}\leq K_r\cdot C_m^{rn}\cdot\|R\|\cdot\|P\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^r\cdot\|Q\|^{rn}.$$

To define sequence classes we need some terminology. By $(e_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ we denote the canonical vectors of the spaces of scalar-valued sequences. The symbol $E\hookrightarrow F$ means that the Banach space E is a linear subspace of the Banach space F and that $\|x\|_F\leq\|x\|_E$ for every $x\in E$. As usual, $c_{00}(E)$ stands for the linear space of eventually null E-valued seuences and $\ell_\infty(E)$ for the Banach space of E-valued bounded sequences with the supremum norm.

Definition 1.3. [6] A *sequence class* is a rule $E \mapsto X(E)$ that assigns to each Banach space E a Banach space $X(E)$ formed by E-valued sequences (of course we are considering the usual coordinatewise algebraic operations) such that $c_{00}(E) \subseteq X(E)$, $X(E) \hookrightarrow \ell_\infty(E)$ and $\|e_j\|_{X(\mathbb{K})} = 1$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

A sequence class X is *linearly stable* if for all Banach spaces E and F and any operator $u \in \mathcal{L}(E;F)$, it holds $(u(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty \in X(F)$ whenever $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in X(E)$ and, in this case, the induced linear operator

$$\widetilde{u}\colon X(E) \longrightarrow X(F)\ ,\ \widetilde{u}\left((x_j)_{j=1}^\infty\right) = (u(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty,$$

is continuous with $\|\widetilde{u}\| = \|u\|$.

Plenty of examples of linearly stable sequences classes can be found in the references quoted in the introduction. We just mention some well known classes: the class $E \mapsto c_0(E)$ of norm null sequences, the classes $E \mapsto \ell_p(E)$, $E \mapsto \ell_p^w(E), E \mapsto \ell_p\langle E\rangle, 1 \leq p < \infty$, of absolutely, weakly and strongly Cohen p-summable sequences, the class $E \mapsto \ell_\infty(E)$ of bounded sequences and the class $E \mapsto \mathrm{Rad}(E)$ of almost unconditionally summable sequences.

We also need the following Hölder-type compatibility condition: for sequence classes $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ and Y, we write $X_1(\mathbb{K}) \cdots X_n(\mathbb{K}) \hookrightarrow Y(\mathbb{K})$ if $(\lambda_j^1 \cdots \lambda_j^n)_{j=1}^\infty \in Y(\mathbb{K})$ and

$$\left\|(\lambda_j^1 \cdots \lambda_j^n)_{j=1}^\infty\right\|_{Y(\mathbb{K})} \leq \prod_{m=1}^n \left\|(\lambda_j^m)_{j=1}^\infty\right\|_{X(\mathbb{K})}$$

whenever $(\lambda_j^m)_{j=1}^\infty \in X_m(\mathbb{K}),\ m = 1, \ldots, n$.

In the case $X_1 = \cdots = X_n = X$ we simply write $X(\mathbb{K})^n \hookrightarrow Y(\mathbb{K})$.

2 Hyper-ideals of multilinear operators

In this section we show how sequence classes can produce Banach hyper-ideals.

Definition 2.1. [6] The sequence classe X is said to be *multilinearly stable* if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Banach spaces $E_1, \ldots, E_n, F$ and $A \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$, $(A(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^n))_{j=1}^\infty \in X(F)$ whenever $(x_j^m)_{j=1}^\infty \in X(E_m)$, $m = 1, \ldots, n$ and, in this case, the n-linear induced operator

$$\widetilde{A}\colon X(E_1) \times \cdots \times X(E_n) \longrightarrow X(F)\ ,\ A\left((x_j^1)_{j=1}^\infty, \ldots, (x_j^n)_{j=1}^\infty\right) = (A(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^n))_{j=1}^\infty,$$

is continuous with $\|\widetilde{A}\| = \|A\|$.

Example 2.2. For a Banach space E, consider

$$\mathrm{RAD}(E) := \left\{(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in E^{\mathbb{N}} : \|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\mathrm{RAD}(E)} = \sup_k \|(x_j)_{j=1}^k\|_{\mathrm{Rad}(E)} < \infty\right\}$$

(see [20, 37]) and the closed subspace $\ell_p^u(E)$ of $\ell_p^w(E)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, formed by unconditionally p-summable sequences, that is

$$\ell_p^u(E) = \left\{(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(E) : \lim_k \|(x_j)_{j=k}^\infty\|_{w,p} = 0\right\}$$

(see [19]). The following sequence classes are multilinearly stable: $\ell_\infty(\cdot)$, $c_0(\cdot)$, $\mathrm{Rad}(\cdot)$, $\mathrm{RAD}(\cdot)$, $\ell_1^w(\cdot)$, $\ell_1^u(\cdot)$, $\ell_p(\cdot)$, $\ell_p\langle\cdot\rangle$, $1 \leq p < +\infty$ (see [6]).

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, sequence classes X, Y and Banach spaces $E_1, \ldots, E_n, F$, an operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ is said to be $(X;Y)$-*summing* if $(A(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^n))_{j=1}^\infty \in Y(F)$ whenever $(x_j^m)_{j=1}^\infty \in X(E_m)$, $m = 1, \ldots, n$. In this case we write $A \in \mathcal{L}_{X_1,\ldots,X_n;Y}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ and, according to [6, Proposition 2.4], the n-linear induced operator

$$\widetilde{A}\colon X(E_1) \times \cdots \times X(E_n) \longrightarrow Y(F)\ ,\ A\left((x_j^1)_{j=1}^\infty, \ldots, (x_j^n)_{j=1}^\infty\right) = (A(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^n))_{j=1}^\infty,$$

is continuous. We define $\|A\|_{X;Y} = \|\widetilde{A}\|$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let X and Y be sequence classes with X multilinearly stable, Y linearly stable and $X(\mathbb{K})^n \hookrightarrow Y(\mathbb{K})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(\mathcal{L}_{X;Y}, \|\cdot\|_{X;Y})$ is a Banach hyper-ideal of multilinear operators.*

Proof. By [6, Theorem 3.6] we know that $\mathcal{L}_{X;Y}$ is a Banach multi-ideal, so the hyper-ideal property is all that is left to be proved. To do so, let $B_1 \in \mathcal{L}(G_1, \ldots, G_{m_1}; E_1), \ldots, B_n \in \mathcal{L}(G_{m_{n-1}+1}, \ldots, G_{m_n}; E_n)$, $t \in \mathcal{L}(F; H)$ and $A \in \mathcal{L}_{X;Y}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$. Given sequences $(x_j^k)_{j=1}^\infty \in X(G_k)$, $k = 1, \ldots, m_n$, the multilinear stability of X gives

$$\left(B_1(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^{m_1})\right)_{j=1}^\infty \in X(E_1), \ldots, \left(B_n(x_j^{m_{n-1}+1}, \ldots, x_j^{m_n})\right)_{j=1}^\infty \in X(E_n)$$

and

$$\|\widetilde{B_1}\colon X(G_1) \times \cdots \times X(G_{m_1}) \longrightarrow E_1\| = \|B_1\|, \ldots,$$
$$\|\widetilde{B_n}\colon X(G_{m_{n-1}+1}) \times \cdots \times X(G_{m_n}) \longrightarrow E_n\| = \|B_n\|.$$

Since A is $(X;Y)$-summing,

$$\left(A\left(B_1(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^{m_1}), \ldots, B_n(x_j^{m_{n-1}+1}, \ldots, x_j^{m_n})\right)\right)_{j=1}^\infty \in Y(F)$$

and

$$\|\widetilde{A}\colon X(E_1) \times \cdots X(E_n) \longrightarrow Y(F)\| = \|A\|_{X;Y}.$$

Finally the linear stability of Y yields $\|\widetilde{t}\colon Y(F) \longrightarrow Y(H) = \|t\|$ and

$$\left((t \circ A \circ (B_1, \ldots, B_n)\left(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^{m_n}\right)\right)_{j=1}^\infty =$$

$$= \left(t\left(A\left(B_1(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^{m_1}), \ldots, B_n(x_j^{m_{n-1}+1}, \ldots, x_j^{m_n})\right)\right)\right)_{j=1}^\infty \in Y(H).$$

Therefore, $(t \circ A \circ (B_1, \ldots, B_n)) \in \mathcal{L}_{X;Y}(G_1, \ldots, G_{m_n}; H)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\|t \circ A \circ (B_1, \ldots, B_n)\|_{X;Y} &= \|[t \circ A \circ (B_1, \ldots, B_n)]^\sim\| = \|\widetilde{t} \circ \widetilde{A} \circ (\widetilde{B_1}, \ldots, \widetilde{B_n})\| \\ &\leq \|\widetilde{t}\| \cdot \|\widetilde{A}\| \cdot \|\widetilde{B_1}\| \cdots \|\widetilde{B_n}\| = \|t\| \cdot \|A\|_{X;Y} \cdot \|B_1\| \cdots \|B_n\|.\end{aligned}$$

□

Before giving concrete examples, let us see a property of $\mathcal{L}_{X;Y}$ thas has gone unnoticed and will be helpful later.

By S_n we denote the set of permutations of the set $\{1,\dots,n\}$. According to Floret and García [22], a normed subclass $(\mathcal{G},\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{G}})$ of the class of multilinear operators between Banach spaces is *strongly symmetric* if for all Banach spaces E and F, $n\in\mathbb{N}$, a permutation $\sigma\in S_n$ and $A\in\mathcal{G}({}^nE;F)$, it holds $A_\sigma\in\mathcal{G}({}^nE;F)$ and $\|A_\sigma\|_{\mathcal{G}}=\|A\|_{\mathcal{G}}$, where

$$A_\sigma(x_1,\dots,x_n)=A(x_{\sigma(1)},\dots,x_{\sigma(n)}).$$

Proposition 2.4. *For all sequence classes X and Y, the normed class $(\mathcal{L}_{X;Y},\|\cdot\|_{X;Y})$ of multilinear operators is strongly symmetric.*

Proof. Given $T\in\mathcal{L}({}^nG;H)$ and $\sigma\in S_n$, from the obvious equality

$$\{\|T(z_{\sigma(1)},\dots,z_{\sigma(n)})\|:z_1,\dots,z_n\in B_G\}=\{\|T(z_1,\dots,z_n)\|:z_1,\dots,z_n\in B_G\}$$

it follows easily that $\|T\|=\|T_\sigma\|$.

Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$, Banach spaces E,F, $\sigma\in S_n$ and $A\in\mathcal{L}_{X;Y}({}^nE;F)$ be given. Then the induced operator $\widetilde{A}\colon X(E)^n\longrightarrow Y(F)$ is well defined, n-linear and continuous, so is its permutation $(\widetilde{A})_\sigma\colon X(E)^n\longrightarrow Y(F)$. We can also consider the operator induced by A_σ, namely $\widetilde{A_\sigma}\colon X(E)^n\longrightarrow F^{\mathbb{N}}$. A standard computation shows that

$$(\widetilde{A})_\sigma=\widetilde{A_\sigma},\tag{1}$$

from which it follows that $\widetilde{A_\sigma}$ takes values in $Y(F)$, proving that $A_\sigma\in\mathcal{L}_{X;Y}({}^nE;F)$. Furthermore,

$$\|A\|_{X;Y}=\|\widetilde{A}\|_{\mathcal{L}({}^nX(E);Y(F))}=\|(\widetilde{A})_\sigma\|_{\mathcal{L}({}^nX(E);Y(F))}=\|\widetilde{A_\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{L}({}^nX(E);Y(F))}=\|A_\sigma\|_{X;Y},$$

where the second equality follows from the begining of the proof and the third from (1). □

Now we apply Theorem 2.3 to show that several well studied Banach multi-ideals are actually Banach hyper-ideals. We shall use the obvious fact that every multilinearly stable sequence class is linearly stable.

Example 2.5. Absolutely summing multilinear operators were introduced in [3] and then extensively studied by a number of authors (see, for instance, the references in [8]). By definition, an operator $A\in\mathcal{L}(E_1,\dots,E_n;F)$ is absolutely summing if $(A(x_j^1,\dots,x_j^n))_{j=1}^\infty\in\ell_1(F)$ whenever $(x_j^m)_{j=1}^\infty\in\ell_1^w(E_m)$, $m=1,\dots,n$. Since the sequence classes $\ell_1(\cdot)$ and $\ell_1^w(\cdot)$ are multilinearly stable (Example 2.2), Theorem 2.3 assures that the multi-ideal of absolutely summing operators is actually a Banach hyper-ideal.

Example 2.6. Weakly summing multilinear operators were studied in [6, 24, 30, 36] among others. A well known characterization says that an operator $A\in\mathcal{L}(E_1,\dots,E_n;F)$ is weakly summing if and only if $(A(x_j^1,\dots,x_j^n))_{j=1}^\infty\in\ell_1^w(F)$ whenever $(x_j^m)_{j=1}^\infty\in\ell_1^w(E_m)$, $m=1,\dots,n$. Since the sequence class $\ell_1^w(\cdot)$ is multilinearly stable (Example 2.2), Theorem 2.3 gives that the multi-ideal of weakly summing operators is actually a Banach hyper-ideal.

Example 2.7. Multilinear operators of type p, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, and of cotype q, $2 \leq q < +\infty$, were investigated in [5, 8]. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$. As proved in [5], A has type p if and only if $(A(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^n))_{j=1}^\infty \in \mathrm{Rad}(F)$ whenever $(x_j^m)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(E_m)$, $m = 1, \ldots, n$; and A has cotype q if and only if $(A(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^n))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_q(F)$ whenever $(x_j^m)_{j=1}^\infty \in \mathrm{Rad}(E_m)$, $m = 1, \ldots, n$. Since the sequence classes $\ell_p(\cdot), \ell_q(\cdot)$ and $\mathrm{Rad}(\cdot)$ are multilinearly stable (Example 2.2), from Theorem 2.3 we conclude that the multi-ideals of operators of type p and of operators of cotype q are actually Banach hyper-ideals.

Example 2.8. Cohen almost summing multilinear operators were introduced in [15]. An operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ is Cohen almost summing if and only if $(A(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^n))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_2\langle F\rangle$ whenever $(x_j^m)_{j=1}^\infty \in \mathrm{Rad}(F)$, $m = 1, \ldots, n$. Since the sequence classes $\mathrm{Rad}(\cdot)$ and $\ell_2\langle\cdot\rangle$ are multilinearly stable (Example 2.2), from Theorem 2.3 it follows that the multi-ideal of Cohen almost summing operators is actually a Banach hyper-ideal.

Of course multilinearly stable and linearly stable sequence classes can be combined to produce Banach hyper-ideals that have not been studied yet. We finish this section with an illustrative example.

Example 2.9. Given $1 \leq p < \infty$, since the sequence classes $\ell_p(\cdot)$ and $\ell_p\langle\cdot\rangle$ are multilinearly stable, from Theorem 2.3 we have that the class $\mathcal{L}_{\ell_p(\cdot);\ell_p\langle\cdot\rangle}$ is a Banach hyper-ideal. In the linear case, this class coincides with the Banach operator ideal of Cohen strongly p-summing operators, but in the multilinear case it does not coincide with the well studied class of Cohen strongly p-summing operators (see [2, 15, 16, 17, 25, 26, 31]). In fact, according to [17], an n-linear operator is Cohen strongly p-summing if and only if it sends sequences in $\ell_{np}(\cdot)$ to sequences in $\ell_p\langle\cdot\rangle$, and not sequences in $\ell_p(\cdot)$ to sequences in $\ell_p\langle\cdot\rangle$.

3 Polynomial hyper and two-sided ideals

Classes of polynomials defined by the transformation of vector-valued sequences were treated in [1], but the connection with the corresponding classes of multilinear operators was not investigated there. We do it now. As usual, by $\widehat{A}$ we mean the n-homogeneous polynomial defined by the n-linear operator A and by $\check{P}$ the symmetric n-linear operator associated to the n-homogeneous polynomial P.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X and Y be sequence classes. The following are equivalent for a polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(^nE; F)$:*
(a) $\check{P} \in \mathcal{L}_{X;Y}(^nE; F)$.
(b) *There exists $A \in \mathcal{L}_{X;Y}(^nE; F)$ such that $\widehat{A} = P$.*
(c) $(P(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty \in Y(F)$ *whenever* $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in X(E)$.
(d) *The induced operator*

$$\widetilde{P}\colon X(E) \longrightarrow Y(F)\ ,\ \widetilde{P}((x_j)_{j=1}^\infty) = (P(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty,$$

is a well defined continuous n-homogeneous polynomial.

If the conditions above hold, then

$$\|\widetilde{P}\| \leq \|\check{P}\|_{X;Y} = \inf\{\|A\|_{X;Y} : A \in \mathcal{L}_{X;Y} \text{ e } \widehat{A} = P\} \leq \frac{n^n}{n!}\|\widetilde{P}\|.$$

Proof. Some of the equivalences can be found in the proof of [1, Proposition 2.6]. The remaining ones can be proved by standard arguments. We focus on the relationships between the norms. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}_{X;Y}({}^nE;F)$ be such that $\widehat{A} = P$. For every sequence $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in X(E)$,

$$\widehat{(\widetilde{A})}\left((x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\right) = \widetilde{A}\left((x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty},\ldots,(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\right) = (A(x_j,\ldots,x_j))_{j=1}^{\infty} = (P(x_j))_{j=1}^{\infty} = \widetilde{P}\left((x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\right),$$

that is, $\widetilde{P} = \widehat{(\widetilde{A})}$. So,

$$\|\widetilde{P}\| = \left\|\widehat{(\widetilde{A})}\right\| \leq \|\widetilde{A}\| = \|A\|_{X;Y}.$$

Taking the infimum over all such A and using that $\widehat{\check{P}} = P$ we get

$$\|\widetilde{P}\| \leq \inf\{\|A\|_{X;Y} : A \in \mathcal{L}_{X;Y} \text{ e } \widehat{A} = P\} \leq \|\check{P}\|_{X;Y}.$$

Take again $A \in \mathcal{L}_{X;Y}({}^nE;F)$ such that $\widehat{A} = P$. Denoting by A_s its symmetrization, that is, $A = \frac{1}{n!}\cdot\sum_{\sigma\in S_n} A_\sigma$, we have $A_s = \check{P}$. By Proposition 2.4 we know that $\mathcal{L}_{X;Y}$ is completely symmetric, hence $A_s \in \mathcal{L}_{X;Y}({}^nE;F)$ and $\|A_\sigma\|_{X;Y} = \|A\|_{X;Y}$ for every $\sigma \in S_n$. Therefore,

$$\|\check{P}\|_{X;Y} = \|A_s\|_{X;Y} = \left\|\frac{1}{n!}\cdot\sum_{\sigma\in S_n} A_\sigma\right\|_{X;Y} \leq \frac{1}{n!}\cdot\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\|A_\sigma\|_{X;Y} = \|A\|_{X;Y},$$

from which we conclude that $\inf\{\|A\|_{X;Y} : A \in \mathcal{L}_{X;Y} \text{ e } \widehat{A} = P\} = \|\check{P}\|_{X;Y}$.

For the remaining inequality, note that we proved above that $\widetilde{P} = \widehat{(\widetilde{A})}$ for every $A \in \mathcal{L}_{X;Y}({}^nE;F)$ such that $\widehat{A} = P$. Since $\widehat{\check{P}} = P$ and $\check{P} \in \mathcal{L}_{X;Y}({}^nE;F)$, we have $\widetilde{P} = \widehat{(\widetilde{\check{P}})}$. The symmetry of $\check{P}$ implies easily the symmetry of its induced n-linear operator $\widetilde{\check{P}}$, so [27, Theorem 2.2] gives

$$\|\check{P}\|_{X;Y} = \left\|\widetilde{\check{P}}\right\| \leq \frac{n^n}{n!}\cdot\left\|\widehat{(\widetilde{\check{P}})}\right\| = \frac{n^n}{n!}\cdot\|\widetilde{P}\|.$$

□

Now we can define $(X;Y)$-summing polynomials.

Definition 3.2. Given sequence classes X and Y, we say that a polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^nE;F)$ is $(X;Y)$-*summing*, in symbols $P \in \mathcal{P}_{X;Y}({}^nE;F)$, if the equivalent conditions of the previous theorem hold for P. In this case we define

$$\|P\|_{X;Y;1} = \|\widetilde{P}\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|P\|_{X;Y;2} = \|\check{P}\|_{X;Y}.$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a multilinearly stable sequence class and Y be a linearly stable sequence class such that $X(\mathbb{K})^n \hookrightarrow Y(\mathbb{K})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(\mathcal{P}_{X;Y}, \|\cdot\|_{X;Y;1})$ and $(\mathcal{P}_{X;Y}, \|\cdot\|_{X;Y;2})$ are $\left(\frac{n^n}{n!}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$-polynomial Banach hyper-ideals.*

Proof. Using that $(\mathcal{L}_{X;Y}, \|\cdot\|_{X;Y})$ is a Banach hyper-ideal (Theorem 2.3) and that a polynomial P belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{X;Y}$ if and only if there is A in $\mathcal{L}_{X;Y}$ such that $\widehat{A} = P$ (Theorem 3.1), it follows from [11, Theorem 4.3] that $(\mathcal{P}_{X;Y}, \|\cdot\|_{X;Y;2})$ is a $\left(\frac{n^n}{n!}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$-polynomial Banach hyper-ideal. The issues related to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X;Y;1}$ are all that is left to be checked. The norm axiomas are straightforward. By Theorem 3.1 the norms $\|\cdot\|_{X;Y;1}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{X;Y;2}$ are equivalent on each component $\mathcal{P}_{X;Y}({}^nE;F)$. Since this space is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{X;Y;2}$, it is also complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{X;Y;1}$. Let us prove that $\|\hat{I}_n\colon \mathbb{K} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K},\ \hat{I}_n(\lambda) = \lambda^n\|_{X;Y;1} = 1$ for every n. Note that

$$\|\hat{I}_n\|_{X;Y;1} = \left\|\widetilde{\hat{I}_n}\right\| = \sup\left\{\left\|\left(\lambda_j^n\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}\right\|_{Y(\mathbb{K})} : (\lambda_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in B_{X(\mathbb{K})}\right\}.$$

On the one hand, taking $e_1 = (1,0,0,0\ldots,)$ we get $\|e_1\|_{X(\mathbb{K})} = \|e_1\|_{Y(\mathbb{K})} = 1$. The expression above gives $\|\hat{I}_n\|_{X;Y;1} \geq 1$. On the other hand, combining the experession above with $X(\mathbb{K})^n \hookrightarrow Y(\mathbb{K})$ we obtain

$$\|\hat{I}_n\|_{X;Y;1} \leq \sup\left\{\left\|(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\right\|_{Y(\mathbb{K})}^n : (\lambda_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in B_{X(\mathbb{K})}\right\} \leq 1.$$

To check the hyper-ideal inequality, let $P \in \mathcal{P}_{X;Y}({}^nE;F)$, $Q \in \mathcal{P}({}^mG;E)$ and $t \in \mathcal{L}(F;H)$ be given. We already know that $t \circ P \circ Q \in \mathcal{P}_{X;Y}({}^{mn}G;H)$. The fact that $\widetilde{Q}\colon X(G) \longrightarrow X(E)$ is the polynomial associated to the symmetric operator $\check{\widetilde{Q}}\colon X(G)^m \longrightarrow X(E)$ justifies the first inequality below:

$$\|\widetilde{Q}\| \leq \left\|\check{\widetilde{Q}}\right\| = \|\check{Q}\| \leq \frac{m^m}{m!}\|Q\|,$$

where the equality follows from the multilinear stability of X. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\|t \circ P \circ Q\|_{X;Y;1} &= \|[t \circ P \circ Q]^{\sim}\| = \|\widetilde{t} \circ \widetilde{P} \circ \widetilde{Q}\| \leq \|\widetilde{t}\| \cdot \|\widetilde{P}\| \cdot \|\widetilde{Q}\|^m \\ &= \|t\| \cdot \|P\|_{X;Y;1} \cdot \|\widetilde{Q}\|^m \leq \left(\frac{m^m}{m!}\right)^m \|t\| \cdot \|P\|_{X;Y;1} \cdot \|Q\|^m.\end{aligned}$$

□

The proof above makes clear which condition should be imposed on the sequence class X for $(\mathcal{P}_{X;Y}, \|\cdot\|_{X;Y;1})$ to be a polynomial Banach hyper-ideal without constants (that is, $C_n = 1$ for every n).

Definition 3.4. A sequence class X is *polinomially stable* if, regardless of the $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Banach spaces E and F and $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^nE;F)$, $(P(x_j))_{j=1}^{\infty} \in X(F)$ whenever $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in X(E)$ and $\widetilde{P}\colon X(E) \longrightarrow X(F)$ is an n-homogeneous polynomial with $\|\widetilde{P}\| = \|P\|$.

Example 3.5. It is not difficult to see that the sequence classes $\ell_p(\cdot)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, are polynomially stable.

Bearing in mind Theorem 3.3 and the final part of its proof, a moment's reflection gives the following result.

Theorem 3.6. *Let X be a polynomially stable sequence class and Y be a linearly stable sequence class such that $X(\mathbb{K})^n \hookrightarrow Y(\mathbb{K})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(\mathcal{P}_{X;Y}, \|\cdot\|_{X;Y;1})$ is a polynomial Banach hyper-ideal.*

Next we proceed to the construction of polynomial two-sided ideals.

Theorem 3.7. *Let X and Y be multilinearly stable sequence classes such that $X(\mathbb{K})^n \hookrightarrow Y(\mathbb{K})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(\mathcal{P}_{X;Y}, \|\cdot\|_{X;Y;2})$ is a $\left(\frac{n^n}{n!}, \frac{n^n}{n!}\right)$-polynomial Banach two-sided ideal.*

Proof. Since $(\mathcal{L}_{X;Y}; \|\cdot\|_{X;Y})$ is a Banach hyper-ideal of multilinear operataors (Theorem 2.3), by Theorem 3.1 it is enough to show that this hyper-ideal fulfills the assumption of [13, Proposition 4.2]. To do so, let $A \in \mathcal{L}_{X;Y}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; G)$, $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $mr = n$, G be a Banach space, $B_1 \in \mathcal{L}_{X;Y}(E_1, \ldots, E_m; G), \ldots, B_r \in \mathcal{L}(E_{(r-1)m+1}, \ldots, E_n; G)$ and $C \in \mathcal{L}({}^rG; G)$ be a symmetric operator such that $A = C \circ (B_1, \ldots, B_r)$. For all $(x_j^k)_{j=1}^\infty \in X(E_k)$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$(B_1(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^m))_{j=1}^\infty, \ldots, (B_r(x_j^{(r-1)m+1}, \ldots, x_j^n))_{j=1}^\infty \in Y(G) \text{ and}$$

$$\|\widetilde{B_1}\colon X(E_1) \times \cdots \times X(E_m) \longrightarrow Y(G)\| = \|B_1\|, \ldots,$$
$$\|\widetilde{B_r}\colon X(E_{(r-1)m+1}) \times \cdots \times X(E_n) \longrightarrow G\| = \|B_r\|.$$

The multilinear stability of Y gives

$$\begin{aligned}(A(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^n))_{j=1}^\infty &= ((C \circ (B_1, \ldots, B_r)(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^n))_{j=1}^\infty \\ &= \left(C(B_1(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^m), \ldots, B_r(x_j^{(r-1)m+1}, \ldots, x_j^n))\right)_{j=1}^\infty \in Y(F),\end{aligned}$$

showing that $A \in \mathcal{L}_{X;Y}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ and, moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\|A\|_{X;Y} &= \|\widetilde{A}\colon X(E_1) \times \cdots, X(E_n) \longrightarrow Y(F)\| = \|\widetilde{C} \circ (\widetilde{B_1}, \ldots, \widetilde{B_r}\| \\ &\leq \|\widetilde{C}\colon Y(G)^r \longrightarrow Y(F)\| \cdots \|\widetilde{B_1}\| \cdots \|\widetilde{B_r}\| = \|C\| \cdot \|B_1\| \cdots \|B_r\|.\end{aligned}$$

□

With polynomial stability we can go a bit further.

Theorem 3.8. *Let X and Y be sequence classes such that X is polynomially stable and $X(\mathbb{K})^n \hookrightarrow Y(\mathbb{K})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*
(a) *If Y is multilinearly stable, then $(\mathcal{P}_{X;Y}, \|\cdot\|_{X;Y;1})$ is a $\left(1, \frac{n^n}{n!}\right)_{n=1}^\infty$-polynomial Banach two-sided ideal.*
(b) *If Y is polynomially stable, then $(\mathcal{P}_{X;Y}, \|\cdot\|_{X;Y;1})$ is a Banach polynomial two-sided ideal.*

Proof. We just prove (a). By the previous theorem it is enough to show that the two-sided ideal inequality holds with constants $\left(1, \frac{n^n}{n!}\right)_{n=1}^\infty$. Let $m, n, r \in \mathbb{N}$, $P \in \mathcal{P}_{X;Y}({}^nE; F)$, $Q \in \mathcal{P}({}^mG; E)$ and $R \in \mathcal{P}({}^rF; H)$ be given. Denoting $\widetilde{R}\colon Y(F) \longrightarrow Y(H)$, $[P \circ Q]^\sim\colon X(G) \longrightarrow$

$Y(F)$ and $[R\circ P\circ Q]^{\sim}\colon X(G)\longrightarrow Y(H)$, it holds $[R\circ P\circ Q]^{\sim}=\widetilde{R}\circ[P\circ Q]^{\sim}$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, $\widetilde{R}=\widehat{(\check{\widetilde{R}})}$, thus the multilinear stability of Y gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|R\circ P\circ Q\|_{X;Y;1} &= \|\widetilde{R}\circ[P\circ Q]^{\sim}\|\leq\|\widetilde{R}\|\cdot\|P\circ Q\|^{r}_{X;Y;1}\leq\|\widetilde{R}\|\cdot\|P\|^{r}_{X;Y;1}\cdot\|Q\|^{rn}\\ &= \big\|\widehat{(\check{\widetilde{R}})}\big\|\cdot\|P\|^{r}_{X;Y;1}\cdot\|Q\|^{rn}\leq\big\|\check{\widetilde{R}}\big\|\cdot\|P\|^{r}_{X;Y;1}\cdot\|Q\|^{rn}\\ &= \big\|\check{R}\big\|\cdot\|P\|^{r}_{X;Y;1}\cdot\|Q\|^{rn}\leq\frac{r^r}{r!}\cdot\|R\|\cdot\|P\|^{r}_{X;Y;1}\cdot\|Q\|^{rn},\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from Theorem 3.6. □

As we did in the multilinear case, we shall show that some well studied polynomial ideal are actually hyper or two-sided ideals. The last example concerns a new class. To avoid unnecessary repetitions, we shall be quite briefer.

Example 3.9. Applying the theorems proved in this section for the sequence classes we saw in Examples 2.2 and 3.5, we get the following examples:

(a) The class $\mathcal{P}_{\ell_1^w(\cdot);\ell_1(\cdot)}$ of absolutely summing polynomials and the class $\mathcal{P}_{\ell_1^w(\cdot);\ell_1^w(\cdot)}$ of weakly summing polynomials are $\big(\frac{n^n}{n!}\big)_{n=1}^{\infty}$-polynomial Banach hyper-ideals with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X;Y;1}$ and $\big(\frac{n^n}{n!},\frac{n^n}{n!}\big)_{n=1}^{\infty}$-polynomial Banach two-sided ideals with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X;Y;2}$.

(b) For $1\leq p\leq 2$, the class $\mathcal{P}_{\ell_p(\cdot);\mathrm{Rad}(\cdot)}$ of polynomials of type p is a $\big(1,\frac{n^n}{n!}\big)_{n=1}^{\infty}$-polynomial Banach two-sided ideal with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X;Y;1}$ and a $\big(\frac{n^n}{n!},\frac{n^n}{n!}\big)_{n=1}^{\infty}$-polynomial Banach two-sided ideal with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X;Y;2}$.

(c) For $2\leq q<+\infty$, the class $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Rad}(\cdot);\ell_q(\cdot)}$ of polynomials of cotype q is a $\big(\frac{n^n}{n!}\big)_{n=1}^{\infty}$-polynomial Banach hyper-ideal with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X;Y;1}$ and a $\big(\frac{n^n}{n!},\frac{n^n}{n!}\big)_{n=1}^{\infty}$-polynomial Banach two-sided ideal with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X;Y;2}$.

(d) The class $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Rad}(\cdot);\ell_2\langle\cdot\rangle}$ of Cohen almost summing polynomials is a $\big(\frac{n^n}{n!}\big)_{n=1}^{\infty}$-polynomial Banach hyper-ideal with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X;Y;1}$ and a $\big(\frac{n^n}{n!},\frac{n^n}{n!}\big)_{n=1}^{\infty}$-polynomial Banach two-sided ideal with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X;Y;2}$.

(e) For $1\leq p<+\infty$, the class $\mathcal{P}_{\ell_p(\cdot);\ell_p\langle\cdot\rangle}$ of polynomials associated to the multi-ideal $\mathcal{L}_{\ell_p(\cdot);\ell_p\langle\cdot\rangle}$ from Example 2.9 is a $\big(1,\frac{n^n}{n!}\big)_{n=1}^{\infty}$-polynomial Banach two-sided ideal with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X;Y;1}$ and a $\big(\frac{n^n}{n!},\frac{n^n}{n!}\big)_{n=1}^{\infty}$-polynomial Banach two-sided ideal with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X;Y;2}$.

4 Composition ideals

Composition multi-ideals are always hyper-ideals [10], composition polynomial ideals are always hyper-ideals [11] and sometimes they are two-sided ideals [13]. To make sure that we are creating new hyper and two-sided ideals in this paper, in this section we check that the multi-ideals $\mathcal{L}_{X;Y}$ and the polynomial ideals $\mathcal{P}_{X;Y}$ are not composition ideals in general. Our main results hold for X multilinearly stable, so we have to give a counterexample with X multilinearly stable.

The notion of composition ideals goes back to Pietsch [29], now we give the definitions that matter in our environment. Let X and Y be sequence classes such that $(\mathcal{L}_{X;Y},\|\cdot\|_{X;Y})$

is a Banach multi-ideal, that is, X and Y are linearly stable and satisfy the compatibility condition. By $\Pi_{X;Y}$ we denote the linear component of this multi-ideal, that is, the linear operators $u\colon E \longrightarrow F$ that send sequence in $X(E)$ to sequences in $Y(F)$. Then $(\Pi_{X;Y}, \|\cdot\|_{X;Y})$ is a Banach operator ideal.

Definition 4.1. [9] We say that an n-linear operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ belongs to $(\Pi_{X;Y} \circ \mathcal{L})(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ if there are a Banach space G, an n-linear operator $B \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; G)$ and a linear operator $u \in \Pi_{X;Y}(G; F)$ such that $A = u \circ B$. In this case we define

$$\|A\|_{\Pi_{X;Y}\circ\mathcal{L}} = \inf\{\|B\| \cdot \|u\|_{X;Y} : A = u \circ B, u \in \Pi_{X;Y}\}.$$

And we say that a polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^nE; F)$ belongs to $(\Pi_{X;Y} \circ \mathcal{P})({}^nE; F)$ if there are a Banach space G, a polynomial $Q \in \mathcal{P}({}^nE; G)$ and a linear operator $u \in \Pi_{X;Y}(G; F)$ such that $P = u \circ Q$, or, equivalently, if $\check{P} \in (\Pi_{X;Y} \circ \mathcal{L})({}^nE; F)$. In this case we define

$$\|P\|_{\Pi_{X;Y}\circ\mathcal{L}} = \inf\{\|Q\| \cdot \|u\|_{X;Y} : P = u \circ Q, u \in \Pi_{X;Y}\}.$$

We start by proving that, in the cases that matter to us, the ideals we work with in this paper contain these composition ideals.

Proposition 4.2. *Let X and Y be sequence classes with X multilinearly stable. Then $\Pi_{X;Y} \circ \mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{X;Y}$ with $\|\cdot\|_{X;Y} \leq \|\cdot\|_{\Pi_{X;Y}\circ\mathcal{L}}$ and $\Pi_{X;Y} \circ \mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{X;Y}$ with $\|P\|_{X;Y;2} \leq \frac{n^n}{n!} \cdot \|P\|_{\Pi_{X;Y}\circ\mathcal{P}}$ for every $P \in (\Pi_{X;Y} \circ \mathcal{P})({}^nE; F)$. Moreover, is X is polynomially stable, then $\|P\|_{X;Y;1} \leq \|P\|_{\Pi_{X;Y}\circ\mathcal{P}}$.*

Proof. Given $A \in (\Pi_{X;Y} \circ \mathcal{L})(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$, there are a Banach space G, $u \in \Pi_{X;Y}(G; F)$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; G)$ such that que $A = u \circ B$. Since X is multilinearly stable and u is $(X; Y)$-summing, it follows that $A \in \mathcal{L}_{X;Y}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A\|_{X;Y} = \|\widetilde{A}\| &= \sup\{\|(A(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^n))_{j=1}^\infty\|_{Y(F)} : (x_j^k)_{j=1}^\infty \in B_{X(E_k)}, k = 1, \ldots, n\} \\
&= \sup\{\|(u(B(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^n)))_{j=1}^\infty\|_{Y(F)} : (x_j^k)_{j=1}^\infty \in B_{X(E_k)}, k = 1, \ldots, n\} \\
&= \sup\{\|\widetilde{u}(\,(B(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^n))_{j=1}^\infty)\,)\|_{Y(F)} : (x_j^k)_{j=1}^\infty \in B_{X(E_k)}, k = 1, \ldots, n\} \\
&\leq \|\widetilde{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X(G);Y(F))} \cdot \sup\{\left\|(B(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^n))_{j=1}^\infty)\right\|_{X(G)} : (x_j^k)_{j=1}^\infty \in B_{X(E_k)}, k = 1, \ldots, n\} \\
&= \|u\|_{X;Y} \cdot \sup\left\{\left\|\widetilde{B}\left((x_j^1)_{j=1}^\infty, \ldots, (x_j^n)_{j=1}^\infty\right)\right\|_{X(G)} : (x_j^k)_{j=1}^\infty \in B_{X(E_k)}, k = 1, \ldots, n\right\} \\
&= \|u\|_{X;Y} \cdot \left\|\widetilde{B}\colon X(E_1) \times \cdots \times X(E_k) \longrightarrow X(G)\right\| = \|u\|_{X;Y}\| \cdot \|B\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the infimum over all such factorizations of A we get $\|A\|_{X;Y} \leq \|A\|_{\Pi_{X;Y}\circ\mathcal{L}}$.

In the chain

$$\begin{aligned}
P \in \Pi_{X;Y} \circ \mathcal{P}({}^mE; F) \Longrightarrow \check{P} \in \Pi_{X;Y} \circ \mathcal{L}({}^mE; F) &\Longrightarrow \check{P} \in \mathcal{L}_{X;Y}({}^mE; F) \\
&\Longrightarrow P \in \mathcal{P}_{X;Y}({}^mE; F),
\end{aligned}$$

the first implication follows from [9], the second from Proposition 4.2 and the third from Theorem 3.1. Take a Banach space G, $Q \in \mathcal{P}({}^nE; G)$ and $u \in \Pi_{X;Y}(G; F)$ such that $P = u \circ Q$. Since $\mathcal{L}_{X;Y}$ is a Banach hyper-ideal (Theorem 2.3), we have

$$\|P\|_{X;Y;2} = \|\check{P}\|_{X;Y} = \|u \circ \check{Q}\|_{X;Y} \leq \|u\|_{X;Y} \cdot \|\check{Q}\| \leq \frac{n^n}{n!} \cdot \|u\|_{X;Y} \cdot \|Q\|.$$

Taking the infimum over all such factorizations of P we get the first polynomial norm inequality.

For X polynomially stable, the second polynomial norm inequality follows similarly to the multilinear case proved above. □

We finish the paper by providing an example where $\Pi_{X;Y} \circ \mathcal{L} \neq \mathcal{L}_{X;Y}$ and $\Pi_{X;Y} \circ \mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{P}_{X;Y}$ with X multilinearly stable.

Example 4.3. For $p \geq 1$, by Π_p we denote the Banach ideal of absolutely p-summing operators, that is, $\Pi_p = \Pi_{\ell_p^w(\cdot);\ell_p(\cdot)}$. Let E be a nonreflexive Banach space. Choose $\varphi \in E^*$, $\|\varphi\| = 1$, and consider the continuous bilinear operator

$$A\colon E \times E \longrightarrow E\ ,\ A(x,y) = \varphi(x)y.$$

On the one hand, given sequences $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty, (y_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(E)$, taking $K > 0$ such that $\|y_j\| \leq K$ for every j (weakly p-summable sequences are bounded), we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \|A(x_j,y_j)\|^p = \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\varphi(x_j)|^p \cdot \|y_j\|^p \leq K^p \cdot \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\varphi(x_j)|^p \leq K^p \cdot \sup_{\psi \in B_{E^*}} \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\psi(x_j)|^p < \infty,$$

showing that $(A(x_j,y_j))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(E)$; hence $A \in \mathcal{L}_{\ell_p^w(\cdot);\ell_p(\cdot)}({}^2E;E)$.

On the other hand, from [4, Proposition p. 461] we know that A is not weakly compact. By [33] the linearization of A on the projective tensor product $E\widehat{\otimes}_\pi E$ is a non-weakly compact linear operator, hence a non-absolutely p-summing linear operator by [20, Theorem 2.17]. By [9, Proposition 3.2] it follows that que $A \notin (\Pi_p \circ \mathcal{L})({}^2E;E)$, proving that $\mathcal{L}_{\ell_p^w(\cdot);\ell_p(\cdot)} \neq \Pi_p \circ \mathcal{L} = \Pi_{\ell_p^w(\cdot);\ell_p(\cdot)} \circ \mathcal{L}$. In particular, $\mathcal{L}_{\ell_p^w(\cdot);\ell_p(\cdot)}$ is not a composition ideal. In the case $p = 1$ we have $\ell_1^w(\cdot)$ multilinearly stable and $\mathcal{L}_{\ell_1^w(\cdot);\ell_1(\cdot)}$ is not a composition multi-ideal.

For polynomials, taking $P = \widehat{A}$, Theorem 3.1 gives $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\ell_p^w(\cdot);\ell_p(\cdot)}({}^2E;E)$ and the same argument of the bilinear case gives $P \notin (\Pi_p \circ \mathcal{P})({}^2E;E)$. This proves that $\mathcal{P}_{\ell_p^w(\cdot);\ell_p(\cdot)} \neq \Pi_{\ell_p^w(\cdot);\ell_p(\cdot)} \circ \mathcal{P}$. In the case $p = 1$ we have $\ell_1^w(\cdot)$ multilinearly stable and $\mathcal{P}_{\ell_1^w(\cdot);\ell_1(\cdot)}$ is not a composition polynomial ideal.

References

[1] D. Achour, A. Alouani, P. Rueda, E. A. Sánchez-Pérez, *Tensor characterizations of summing polynomials*, Mediterr. J. Math. **15** (2018), no. 3, Paper No. 127, 12 pp.

[2] D. Achour, L. Mezrag, *On the Cohen strongly p-summing multilinear operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **327** (2007), 550–563.

[3] R. Alencar, M. C. Matos, *Some classes of multilinear mappings between Banach spaces*, Publicaciones Departamento Análisis Matemático, Universidade Complutense de Madrid, 12, 1989.

[4] G. Botelho, *Weakly compact and absolutely summing polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **265** (2002), 458–462.

[5] G. Botelho, J. R. Campos, *Type and cotype of multilinear operators*, Rev. Mat. Complut. **29** (2016), no. 3, 659–676.

[6] G. Botelho, J. R. Campos, *On the transformation of vector-valued sequences by linear and multilinear operators*, Monatsh. Math. **183** (2017), no. 3, 415–435.

[7] G. Botelho, J. R. Campos, J. Santos, *Operator ideals related to absolutely summing and Cohen strongly summing operators*, Pacific J. Math. **287** (2017), no. 1, 1–17.

[8] G. Botelho, D. Freitas, *Summing multilinear operators by blocks: The isotropic and anisotropic cases*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **490** (2020), no. 1, 124203, 21 pp.

[9] G. Botelho, D. Pellegrino, P. Rueda, *On composition ideals of multilinear mappings and homogeneous polynomials*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **43** (2007), no. 4, 1139–1155.

[10] G. Botelho, E. R. Torres, *Hyper-ideals of multilinear operators*, Linear Algebra Appl. **482** (2015), 1–20.

[11] G. Botelho, E. R. Torres, *Polynomial ideals from a nonlinear viewpoint*, arXiv:1603.00246 v1[math.FA], 2016.

[12] G. Botelho, E. R. Torres, *Techniques to generate hyper-ideals of multilinear operators*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **65** (2017), no. 6, 1232–1246.

[13] G. Botelho, E. R. Torres, *Two-sided polynomial ideals on Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **462** (2018), no. 1, 900–914.

[14] G. Botelho and R. Wood, *The polynomial hyper-Borel transform*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., to appear.

[15] Q. Bu, Z. Shi, *On Cohen almost summing multilinear operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **401** (2013), 174–181.

[16] J. R. Campos, *An abstract result on Cohen strongly summing operators*, Linear Algebra Appl. **439** (2013), 4047–4055.

[17] J. R. Campos, *Cohen and multiple Cohen strongly summing multilinear operators*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **62** (2014), no. 3, 322–346.

[18] J. R. Campos, J. Santos, *An anisotropic approach to mid summable sequences*, Colloq. Math. **161** (2020), no. 1, 35–49.

[19] A. Defant, K. Floret, *Tensor Norms and Operator Ideals*, North-Holland, 1993.

[20] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow and A. Tonge, *Absolutely Summing Operators*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[21] S. Dineen, *Complex Analysis on Infinite Dimensional Spaces*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, London, 1999.

[22] K. Floret, D. García, *On ideals of polynomials and multilinear mappings between Banach spaces*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **81** (2003), no. 3, 300–308.

[23] S. García-Hernández, *The duality between ideals of multilinear operators and tensor norms*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM **114** (2020), no. 2, Paper No. 77, 25 pp.

[24] S. G. Kim, *Multiple weakly summing multilinear mappings and polynomials*, Kyungpook Math. J. **47** (2007), no. 4, 501–517.

[25] L. Mezrag, K. Saadi, *Inclusion theorems for Cohen strongly summing multilinear operators*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **16** (2009), no. 1, 1–11.

[26] L. Mezrag, K. Saadi, *Inclusion and coincidence properties for Cohen strongly summing multilinear operators*, Collect. Math. **64** (2013), no. 3, 395–408.

[27] J. Mujica, *Complex Analysis in Banach Spaces*, Dover Publ., 2010.

[28] A. Pietsch, *Operator Ideals*, North-Holland, 1980.

[29] A. Pietsch, *Ideals of multilinear functionals*, In: Proceedings of the second international conference on operator algebras, ideals, and their applications in theoretical physics (Leipzig, 1983). v. 67, 185–199, 1983.

[30] D. Popa, *Mixing multilinear operators*, Illinois J. Math. **56** (2012), 895–903.

[31] D. Popa, *Multiple summability properties for Cohen p-summing operators*, Math. Nachr. **288** (2015), 1028–1046.

[32] D. Popa, *Nuclear multilinear operators with respect to a Banach ideal of multilinear functionals*, Linear Algebra Appl. **489** (2016), 93–103.

[33] R. A. Ryan, *Applications of topological tensor products to infinite dimensional holomorphy*, Thesis–Trinity College Dublin, 1980.

[34] J. Ribeiro, F. Santos, *Generalized multiple summing multilinear operators on Banach spaces.* Mediterr. J. Math. **16**, 5 (2019), Paper No.108, 20.

[35] J. Ribeiro, F. Santos, *Absolutely summing polynomials*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology **27** (2021), 74-87.

[36] C. A. S. Soares, *Aplicações multilineares e polinômios misto somantes*, PhD Thesis, IMECC-UNICAMP, 1998.

[37] N. N. Vakhania, V. I. Tarieladze, S. A. Chobanyan, *Probability Distributions on Banach Spaces*, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1987.

[38] T. Velanga, *Ideals of polynomials between Banach spaces revisited*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **66** (2018), no. 11, 2328–2348.

Faculdade de Matemática
Universidade Federal de Uberlândia
38.400-902 – Uberlândia – Brazil
e-mail: botelho@ufu.br

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística
Universidade de São Paulo
05.508-090 – São Paulo – Brazil
e-mail: raquelwood@ime.usp.br