

Exponential stability of the Euler-Bernoulli microbeam and thermal effect.

Roberto Díaz*, Octavio Vera† and Nicolás Zumelzu ‡

Abstract

The main goal in this work is to prove the exponential decay of the semigroup associated with a thermoelastic system composed of an Euler-Bernoulli type equation that models the transverse oscillation of a homogeneous microbeam with axial movement and in which a viscous damping is acting. In addition, to this microbeam has been endowed with a thermal effect given by the Coleman-Gurtin model which depends essentially on past history, representing an improvement of Fourier, Cattaneo and Green-Naghdi models. To achieve this goal, we will use mainly multiplicative techniques and standard tools of functional analysis.

Keywords: C_0 -semigroup, functional analysis, exponential stability, system with memory.
Mathematics Subject Classification: 5B40, 5N05, 74K10.

1 Introduction

The use of electromechanical systems of small dimensions (dimensions of the order of micrometers or nanometers) has received in the last time an integral treatment within the scientific literature, this is due to its multiple and interesting applications in science and technology. On the other hand, due to the small dimensions of some of the pieces that make up these systems (or parts of them), they must ideally be modeled as microbeams. One of the main aspects to be modeled as beams or microbeams devices of these tiny systems, is to take into account the scale effects of the material and that these allow an analytical and mechanical treatment of high degree of significance for both engineering and industry. An important factor that can be highlighted from theories that take into account a minimum particle size, is that they can be applied without restrictions to structures of natural scale, when the materials that compose it are of high homogeneity.

Regarding the analysis of the oscillations in the beams, this has played a fundamental role in the technical literature of recent years. Namely one of the main problems of both the physical and mathematical point of view, is the question of the stabilization of the

*Departamento de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad de los Lagos, Osorno, Chile, (roberto.diaz@ulagos.cl)

†Departamento de Matemática, Universidad del Bío-Bío, Concepción, Chile, (octaviovera49@gmail.com)

‡Departamento de Física y Matemática, Universidad de Magallanes, Punta Arenas, Chile, (nicolas.zumelzu@umag.cl)

vibrations of a structure and as is known there are several types of stability but the most important of all is exponential stability. On the other hand, if a structure is given a heat effect, a sufficiently precise and realistic model is created from the physical point of view [18, 19, 23]. At present moment, the effects of heat in a structure are mainly modeled by two non-classical modern perspectives that, at the limit case, involve the classical Fourier law of heat conduction, which has as its main deficiency the infinite propagation of heat in a body [25], but there are several models that improve this deficiency such as the Cattaneo's law or Green-Naghdi type III model, however non of them consider the effects of past history in the heat flow (see [16, 20, 21]), unlike the model Coleman-Gurtin, which is essentially based on the assumption that the heat pulse is influenced by the past history of the temperature gradient and eliminate the paradoxes and controversies that the previous models [16].

On the other hand, according to Newton's laws, the constitutive equation that models the transverse oscillations of a microbeam with constant axial movement and in which an external disturbing force acting on it, is given by the following relation [3, 4]

$$M_{xx} + \rho A (u_{tt} + 2 \kappa u_{xt} + \kappa^2 u_{xx}) = F(x, t) \quad (1.1)$$

where $u(x, t)$ is the transverse displacement, ρ is the material density of the medium, κ is the axial speed acting on the beam, $M(x, t)$ is the bending moment, A is cross-sectional area and $F(x, t)$ is the external force acting on it. According to the theory of beams of Euler-Bernoulli and when applied to the model (1.1) the equation of the transverse movement of the beam with axial movement κ can be deduced and which is given by the equation:

$$u_{xxxx} + \frac{\rho A}{EI} (u_{tt} + 2 \kappa u_{xt} + \kappa^2 u_{xx}) + \alpha_T [M_T]_{xx} = \frac{1}{EI} F(x, t) \quad (1.2)$$

In this case $E I$ is the flexural rigidity of the beam, M_T is the thermal moment, α_T is the thermal expansion coefficient.

It can be highlighted firstly that in the study of this type of problems it is ideally sought that the semigroup associated with these equations or systems coupled with some dissipative effect decay exponentially when time t tends to infinity. In this sense we note that in general there are several contributions to the study of the asymptotic behavior of systems associated with thermoviscoelastic problems with memory which in essence physically allow resistance to the change of state of the system, namely [9, 11, 13, 17, 25, 26]. In this direction the following works can be enunciated when the heat flow model has been modified or follows a non-classical heat law, whose results are closely related to the one presented to this paper:

Abouregal et al. [1] they study the following system provided with an initial condition of the sinusoidal type.

$$\begin{aligned} u_{xxxx} + \frac{\rho A}{EI} (u_{tt} + 2 \kappa u_{xt} + \kappa^2 u_{xx}) + \alpha_T [M_T]_{xx} &= \frac{1}{EI} F(x, t) \\ K (\theta_{xx} + \theta_{zz}) &= \left(1 + \tau_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) [\rho C_E \theta_t - \gamma T_0 z u_{txx}] \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

and they show through the tools of the numerical analysis that under certain conditions of the variable θ and the axial constant κ of the previous system, this decays exponentially as time increase.

Díaz et al. in [2] study a variation of the previous problem and using standard tools of semigroups of linear operators theory, prove the well-posedness of the problem and the exponential stability of the following system

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} + (p(x) u_{xx})_{xx} + 2g(x) u_t + 2\kappa u_{xt} - \kappa^2 u_{xx} + \beta \theta_x &= 0 \\ \theta_{tt} + \theta_t - \kappa \theta_{xx} - \eta u_{xxt} - \xi \theta_{xxt} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

In this work we will study the equation (1.4)₁ with a thermal effect acting on it, such a thermal effect is given by the model of Coleman-Gurtin [12], which considers the effects of past history and gives us a more efficient and realistic mathematical model from the physical point of view. Namely our system is composed of the following equations

$$u_{tt} + (\mathbf{p}(x) u_{xx})_{xx} + 2\mathbf{g}(x) u_t + 2\kappa u_{xt} - \kappa^2 u_{xx} + \beta \theta_x = 0, \quad \text{in } \Gamma \quad (1.5)$$

$$\theta_t - \frac{1 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \theta_{xx} - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \int_0^\infty \varphi(s) \theta_{xx}(t - s) ds + \beta u_{xt} = 0, \quad \text{in } \Gamma. \quad (1.6)$$

Where $\Gamma = \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+ = (0, L) \times (0, +\infty)$, $\mathbf{p}(x) \in H^2(\Omega)$ which describes the non-homogeneity of the material, $\mathbf{g}(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ represents the force viscous damping [22]. The constants β, κ, λ_2 are assumed to be strictly positive and $\lambda_1 \in (0, 1)$, the memory kernel φ is considered to be regular and positive function tend to zero as time increases.

For the problem (1.5)–(1.6), we consider the following boundary values

$$u(0, t) = u(L, t) = u_x(0, t) = u_x(L, t) = 0, \quad \theta(0, t) = \theta(L, t) = 0 \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad (1.7)$$

and initial conditions

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad \theta(x, t)|_{t \leq 0} = \theta_0(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega \quad (1.8)$$

Throughout this paper, we always assume that there exist real constants α_i ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) such that for $x \in [0, L]$ and that satisfy the following estimates.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \alpha_1 &\leq \mathbf{p}(x) \leq \alpha_2, \\ 0 < \alpha_3 &\leq \mathbf{g}(x) \leq \alpha_4. \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

The main goals of this work are to establish the well-posedness of the problem (1.5) - (1.8) considering the smoothness assumptions of the functions $\varphi, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{g}$ and prove that the energy of the system decays exponentially as time t tends to infinity.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2, we consider the system (1.5)–(1.8) and we give some notations and contents needed to deploy our main results. In Section 3, well-posedness of the system is established. In Section 4, we will prove that energy of the system under consideration decay to zero when t tends to infinity.

2 Functional setting and notation

In this section, we provide the semigroup context and the main tools which be used to obtain the main result . We consider the Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces

$$L^p(\Omega), \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad H_0^1(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad H_0^2(\Omega)$$

in the case $p = 2$ we write $\|u\|$ instead of $\|u\|_2$.

In order to write the system (1.5)–(1.8) as a Cauchy problem in a Hilbert space, we introduce the new variable in the form proposed by [9, 13]

$$\eta^t(x, s) = \eta(s) = \int_0^s \theta(x, t - \tau) d\tau, \quad (x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, t \geq 0 \quad (2.10)$$

Note that (2.10) satisfies the equation

$$\eta_t(x, s) + \eta_s(x, s) = \theta(x, t), \quad (x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, t \geq 0$$

and

$$\eta^t(0) = 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+, t \geq 0$$

also

$$\eta^0(x, s) = \int_0^s \theta(x, -\tau) d\tau, \quad (x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+$$

Now substituting in the original system the variables (u, v, θ, η) where $v = u_t$ and we consider $\mu(s) = -\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \varphi'(s)$, $l = \frac{1-\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} > 0$ we see that (1.5)–(1.8) be yields the following equivalent system

$$v_t + (\mathbf{p}(x) u_{xx})_{xx} + 2 \mathbf{g}(x) v + 2 \kappa v_x - \kappa^2 u_{xx} + \beta \theta_x = 0, \quad \text{in } \Gamma \quad (2.11)$$

$$\theta_t - l \theta_{xx} - \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \eta_{xx}(s) ds + \beta v_x = 0, \quad \text{in } \Gamma \quad (2.12)$$

$$\eta_t + \eta_s = \theta. \quad \text{in } \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (2.13)$$

Thus, the boundary conditions become

$$\begin{aligned} u(0, t) = u(L, t) = u_x(0, t) = u_x(L, t) = 0, \quad \theta(0, t) = \theta(L, t) = 0, \\ \eta(0, s) = \eta(L, s) = 0, \quad t \geq 0, s > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

and the initial conditions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x) = v_0(x), \quad \theta(x, 0) = \theta_0(x) \\ \eta^0(x, s) = \eta_0(x, s), \quad (x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

The kernel within (2.12) satisfies the following hypothesis

$$\mathbb{H}_1 : \mu(s) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^+), \mu(s) \geq 0, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\mathbb{H}_2 : \mu'(s) \leq 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\mathbb{H}_3 : \mu_0 = \int_0^\infty \mu(s) ds > 0, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\mathbb{H}_4 : \exists \delta_1 > 0, \text{ such that } \mu'(s) + \delta_1 \mu(s) \leq 0. \quad (2.19)$$

In view of $\mathbb{H}_1 - \mathbb{H}_4$, let's assume that the η variable belongs to the weighted Sobolev space with given by:

$$\mathcal{M} = L^2_\mu(\mathbb{R}^+, H_0^1(\Omega)) = \left\{ \eta : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow H_0^1(\Omega) : \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \|\eta_x(s)\|^2 ds < \infty \right\}$$

and the norm of this space is given :

$$\|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 = \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \|\eta_x\|^2 ds$$

Now, we define the following phase space

$$\mathcal{H} = H_0^2 \times L^2 \times L^2 \times \mathcal{M}$$

The inner product on \mathcal{H} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi, \Phi_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{p}(x) u_{xx} \bar{u}_{1xx} dx + \kappa^2 \int_{\Omega} u_x \bar{u}_{1x} dx + \int_{\Omega} v \bar{v}_1 dx + \int_{\Omega} \theta \bar{\theta}_1 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \eta_x \bar{\eta}_{1x} ds dx \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where $\Phi(t) = \Phi = (u, v, \theta, \eta)^\top$, $\Phi_1(t) = \Phi_1 = (u_1, v_1, \theta_1, \eta_1)^\top$.

The space \mathcal{H} is equipped with the induced norm

$$\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \left\| \sqrt{p(x)} u_{xx} \right\|^2 + \kappa^2 \|u_x\|^2 + \|v\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2 + \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 \quad (2.21)$$

On the other hand the initial value problem (2.11)–(2.15) can be reduced to the following abstract initial value problem for a first order evolution equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Phi(t) = \mathbb{A} \Phi(t), \quad \Phi(0) = \Phi_0 \quad (2.22)$$

where

$$\Phi_0 = (u_0, v_0, \theta_0, \eta_0)^\top.$$

The linear operator $\mathbb{A} : \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{A}) \subset \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is given by

$$\mathbb{A} \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ -(\mathbf{p}(x) u_{xx})_{xx} - 2\mathbf{g}(x)v - 2\kappa v_x + \kappa^2 u_{xx} - \beta \theta_x \\ l \theta_{xx} + \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \eta_{xx}(s) ds - \beta v_x \\ \theta - \eta_s \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.23)$$

with domain $\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{A})$ of the operator \mathbb{A} is defined by

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{A}) = \left\{ \Phi \in \mathcal{H} \left| \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{p}(x) u_{xx} - \kappa^2 u \in H^2, v \in H_0^2 \\ \theta \in H_0^1, \eta \in \mathcal{M}, \eta_s \in \mathcal{M}, \eta(0) = 0 \\ l \theta_{xx} + \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \eta_{xx}(s) ds \in L^2 \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

3 Well posedness

Theorem 3.1 *The operator \mathbb{A} generates a C_0 -semigroup $\mathbf{B}(t) = e^{\mathbb{A}t}$ of contractions on the space \mathcal{H} .*

Proof. We will show that \mathbb{A} is a dissipative operator and the mapping $I - \mathbb{A}$ is surjective. Firstly we observe that $\overline{\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{A})} = \mathcal{H}$, by using (2.20) and we have for any $\Phi \in \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{A})$ that:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbb{A} \Phi, \Phi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{p}(x) v_{xx} \bar{u}_{xx} dx + \kappa^2 \int_{\Omega} v_x \bar{u}_x dx + \int_{\Omega} [- (\mathbf{p}(x) u_{xx})_{xx} - 2 \mathbf{g}(x) v] \bar{v} dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} [-2 \kappa v_x + \kappa^2 u_{xx} - \beta \theta_x] \bar{v} dx + \int_{\Omega} \left[l \theta_{xx} + \int_0^{\infty} \mu(s) \eta_{xx}(s) ds - \beta v_x \right] \bar{\theta} dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} \mu(s) (\theta - \eta_s)_x \bar{\eta}_x ds dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Integrating by parts in (3.24) and straightforward calculations we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbb{A} \Phi, \Phi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= 2i \operatorname{Im} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{p}(x) \bar{u}_{xx} v_{xx} dx + 2i \kappa^2 \operatorname{Im} \int_{\Omega} \bar{u}_x v_x dx + 2i \beta \operatorname{Im} \int_{\Omega} \bar{v}_x \theta dx \\ &+ 2i \operatorname{Im} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} \mu(s) \theta_x \bar{\eta}_x ds dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g}(x) |v|^2 dx - l \int_{\Omega} |\theta_x|^2 dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} \mu'(s) \|\eta_x\|^2 ds \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Taking real parts in (3.25) we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle \mathbb{A} \Phi, \Phi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = -2 \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g}(x) |v|^2 dx - l \int_{\Omega} |\theta_x|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} \mu'(s) \|\eta_x\|^2 ds \leq 0 \quad (3.26)$$

thus \mathbb{A} is a dissipative operator.

On the other hand, we prove that the operator $I - \mathbb{A} : \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is surjective, we will use similar ideas given in [10, 13]. Let any $\Phi^* = (u^*, v^*, \theta^*, \eta^*)^{\top} \in \mathcal{H}$, and consider the equation

$$(I - \mathbb{A}) \Phi = \Phi^*$$

which can be written as

$$u - v = u^*, \quad (3.27)$$

$$v + (\mathbf{p}(x) u_{xx})_{xx} + 2 \mathbf{g}(x) v + 2 \kappa v_x - \kappa^2 u_{xx} + \beta \theta_x = v^* \quad (3.28)$$

$$\theta - l \theta_{xx} - \int_0^{\infty} \mu(s) \eta_{xx}(s) ds + \beta v_x = \theta^* \quad (3.29)$$

$$\eta - \theta + \eta_s = \eta^* \quad (3.30)$$

Integrating (3.30) we obtain

$$\eta(s) = (1 - e^{-s}) \theta + \int_0^s e^{\tau-s} \eta^* d\tau \quad (3.31)$$

Replacing (3.31) in (3.29) and (3.27) in (3.28)–(3.29) and considering that

$$\vartheta = \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \int_0^s e^{\tau-s} \eta_{xx}^* d\tau ds \quad (3.32)$$

belongs to H^{-1} (see [11]) the systems (3.27)–(3.30) becomes

$$\mathbf{c}_0 u + 2\kappa u_x + (\mathbf{p}(x) u_{xx})_{xx} - \kappa^2 u_{xx} + \beta \theta_x = \mathbf{c}_0 u^* + 2\kappa u_x^* + v^* \quad (3.33)$$

$$\theta - (l + \mathbf{c}_1) \theta_{xx} + \beta u_x = \beta u_x^* + \theta^* + \vartheta \quad (3.34)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}_0 &= 1 + 2\mathbf{g}(x) \\ \mathbf{c}_1 &= \int_0^\infty \mu(s) (1 - e^{-s}) ds \end{aligned}$$

namely the function \mathbf{c}_0 is bounded and positive by (1.9) and the constant \mathbf{c}_1 is positive under $\mathbb{H}_1 - \mathbb{H}_3$. Now consider the bilinear form $\mathcal{J}(z, z)$ associated with (3.33) - (3.34) and which is given by:

$$\mathcal{J}(z, z) = \|\sqrt{\mathbf{c}_0} z_1\|^2 + \kappa^2 \|z_{1x}\|^2 + \left\| \sqrt{\mathbf{p}(x)} z_{1xx} \right\|^2 + \|z_2\|^2 + (l + \mathbf{c}_1) \|z_{2x}\|^2$$

where $z = (z_1, z_2) \in H_0^2 \times H_0^1$. It is not hard to see that \mathcal{J} is linear, bounded and coercive. Therefore, by Lax-Milgram's Theorem exists a unique solution $z = (z_1, z_2) = (u, \theta) \in H_0^2 \times H_0^1$ for the problem (3.33)–(3.34).

On other hand, from(3.27) we have that $v \in H_0^2$ and by (3.31) is not hard to see that $\eta(0) = 0$ and

$$\int_0^\infty \mu(s) \|\eta_x\|^2 ds \leq 2\mu_0 \|\theta_x\|^2 + 2\|\eta^*\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2$$

Therefore $\eta \in \mathcal{M}$. Additionally from (3.28) we have

$$(\mathbf{p}(x) u_{xx})_{xx} - \kappa^2 u_{xx} \in L^2$$

thus, from the regularity theory for the linear elliptic equations we obtain

$$\mathbf{p}(x) u_{xx} - \kappa^2 u \in H^2$$

and then $\Phi \in \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{A})$ solve the problem

$$(I - \mathbb{A}) \Phi = \Phi^*$$

therefore $I - \mathbb{A}$ is a surjective operator. As a consequence of Lumer-Phillips theorem \mathbb{A} is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup $\mathbf{B}(t)$ of contractions on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

From this theorem follows well-posedness for the abstract Cauchy problem (2.22) thanks to the semigroup theory of linear operators. In particular, the following theorem [5] is obtained immediately.

Theorem 3.2 *For any $\Phi_0 \in \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{A})$. Then $\Phi(t)$ is a strong solution of (2.22) satisfying*

$$\Phi \in C((0, \infty); \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{A})) \cap C^1((0, \infty); \mathcal{H})$$

Exponential stability

In this section, we focus on to prove the uniform exponential stability for $\mathbf{B}(t) = e^{\mathbb{A}t}$ semigroup associated to the operator \mathbb{A} , given in the section 2. For this we will see that the energy of the system decays uniformly exponentially with time. The achievement of this objective will be based on the use of multiplicative techniques, which essentially consist of the following lemmas

Lemma 3.3 *For every solution $\Phi(t)$ of the system (2.11)–(2.15) the total energy $\mathcal{E} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is given at time t by*

$$2\mathcal{E} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{p}(x) |u_{xx}|^2 dx + \kappa^2 \int_{\Omega} |u_x|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\theta|^2 dx + \int_0^{+\infty} \mu(s) \|\eta_x\|^2 ds \quad (3.35)$$

and satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(t) = -2 \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g}(x) |v|^2 dx - l \int_{\Omega} |\theta_x|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} \mu'(s) \|\eta_x\|^2 ds \quad (3.36)$$

Proof. By multiplying the equations (2.11) and (2.12) by v and θ , boundary conditions (2.14) and integrating by parts we follows the result.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $\Phi(t)$ be solution of the problem (2.11)–(2.15). Then the time derivative of the functional F_1 , defined by*

$$F_1(t) = \int_{\Omega} u v dx + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g}(x) u^2 dx \quad (3.37)$$

satisfies the inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} F_1(t) \leq - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{p}(x) |u_{xx}|^2 dx - \frac{\kappa^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} |u_x|^2 dx + C_{\kappa} \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx + \frac{\beta^2}{2\kappa^2} \int_{\Omega} |\theta|^2 dx \quad (3.38)$$

where $C_{\kappa} \geq 5$

Proof. Differentiating (3.37) in t - variable, we follows:

$$\frac{d}{dt} F_1(t) = \int_{\Omega} u v_t dx + \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g}(x) u^2 dx \quad (3.39)$$

Using (2.11) in (3.39) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} F_1(t) &= \int_{\Omega} u \left[-(\mathbf{p}(x) u_{xx})_{xx} - 2g(x)v - 2\kappa v_x + \kappa^2 u_{xx} - \beta \theta_x \right] dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g}(x) u^2 dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

Integrating by parts (3.40), simplifying, follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} F_1(t) = & - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{p}(x) u_{xx}^2 dx + 2\kappa \int_{\Omega} u_x v dx - \kappa^2 \int_{\Omega} u_x^2 dx + \beta \int_{\Omega} u_x \theta dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

by carefully using Young's inequality in (3.41) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} F_1(t) = & - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{p}(x) |u_{xx}|^2 dx + \frac{\kappa^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} |u_x|^2 dx + 4 \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx - \kappa^2 \int_{\Omega} |u_x|^2 dx \\ & + \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u_x|^2 dx + \frac{\beta^2}{2\kappa^2} \int_{\Omega} |\theta|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\frac{d}{dt} F_1(t) \leq - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{p}(x) |u_{xx}|^2 dx - \frac{\kappa^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} |u_x|^2 dx + C_{\kappa} \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx + \frac{\beta^2}{2\kappa^2} \int_{\Omega} |\theta|^2 dx$$

where $C_{\kappa} \geq 5$, hence lemma is follows.

Lemma 3.5 *Let $\Phi(t)$ be solution of the problem (2.11)–(2.15). Then the functional I , defined by*

$$I(t) = - \int_0^{\infty} \mu(s) \left(\int_{\Omega} \theta_t \eta dx \right) ds \quad (3.42)$$

satisfies the inequality

$$I(t) \leq C_1 \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx + C_2 \int_{\Omega} |\theta_x|^2 dx - C_3 \int_0^{\infty} \mu'(s) \|\eta_x\|^2 ds \quad (3.43)$$

for positive constants:

$$C_1 = \frac{\beta \mu_0 \sigma_2}{2}, \quad C_2 = \frac{l \mu_0 \sigma_1}{2}, \quad C_3 = \frac{l}{2\sigma_1 \delta_1} + \frac{\mu_0 \beta}{2\sigma_2 \delta_1} + \frac{l \mu_0}{\delta_1}$$

Proof. In fact, by using (2.12) in (3.42) follows

$$\begin{aligned} I(t) = & - \int_0^{\infty} \mu(s) \left(\int_{\Omega} \left[l \theta_{xx} + \int_0^{\infty} \mu(s) \eta_{xx}(s) ds - \beta v_x \right] \eta dx \right) ds \\ = & -l \int_0^{\infty} \mu(s) \int_{\Omega} \theta_{xx} \eta dx ds + \beta \int_0^{\infty} \mu(s) \int_{\Omega} v_x \eta dx ds \\ & - l \int_{\Omega} \left| \int_0^{\infty} \mu(s) \eta_x ds \right|^2 dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

Integrating by parts and using \mathbb{H}_4 and Young's inequality in (3.44) follows

$$\begin{aligned} I(t) \leq & \frac{l \mu_0 \sigma_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\theta_x|^2 dx - \frac{l}{2\sigma_1 \delta_1} \int_0^{\infty} \mu'(s) \|\eta_x\|^2 ds + \frac{\beta \mu_0 \sigma_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx \\ & - \frac{\mu_0 \beta}{2\sigma_2 \delta_1} \int_0^{\infty} \mu'(s) \|\eta_x\|^2 ds - \frac{l \mu_0}{\delta_1} \int_0^{\infty} \mu'(s) \|\eta_x\|^2 ds \quad \text{for } \sigma_1, \sigma_2 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting the previous inequality

$$I(t) \leq C_1 \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx + C_2 \int_{\Omega} |\theta_x|^2 dx - C_3 \int_0^{\infty} \mu'(s) \|\eta_x\|^2 ds$$

where

$$C_1 = \frac{\beta \mu_0 \sigma_2}{2}, \quad C_2 = \frac{l \mu_0 \sigma_1}{2}, \quad C_3 = \frac{l}{2 \sigma_1 \delta_1} + \frac{\mu_0 \beta}{2 \sigma_2 \delta_1} + \frac{l \mu_0}{\delta_1}$$

hence lemma has been proved.

Lemma 3.6 *Let $\Phi(t)$ be solution of the problem (2.11)–(2.15). Then the time derivative of the functional F_2 , defined by*

$$F_2(t) = - \int_0^{\infty} \mu(s) \left(\int_{\Omega} \theta \eta dx \right) ds \quad (3.45)$$

satisfies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} F_2(t) &\leq C_1 \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx + C_2 \int_{\Omega} |\theta_x|^2 dx + \left(\frac{\sigma_3}{2} - \mu_0 \right) \int_{\Omega} |\theta|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \left(C_3 + \frac{C_p}{2 \sigma_3} \right) \int_0^{\infty} \mu'(s) \|\eta_x\|^2 ds \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

Proof. Differentiating (3.45) in t -variable and using (2.13) we have:

$$\frac{d}{dt} F_2(t) = - \int_0^{\infty} \mu(s) \left(\int_{\Omega} \theta_t \eta dx \right) ds - \mu_0 \int_{\Omega} |\theta|^2 dx + \int_0^{\infty} \mu(s) \left(\int_{\Omega} \theta \eta_s dx \right) ds \quad (3.47)$$

Integrating by parts and using the Young and Poincaré inequalities in the last integral of (3.47) we get

$$\int_0^{\infty} \mu(s) \left(\int_{\Omega} \theta \eta_s dx \right) ds \leq \frac{\sigma_3}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\theta|^2 dx - \frac{C_p}{2 \sigma_3} \int_0^{\infty} \mu'(s) \|\eta_x\|^2 ds \quad \text{for } \sigma_3 > 0 \quad (3.48)$$

where C_p is the Poincaré's constant. On other hand, by using (3.43) and (3.48) in (3.47) follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} F_2(t) &\leq C_1 \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx + C_2 \int_{\Omega} |\theta_x|^2 dx + \left(\frac{\sigma_3}{2} - \mu_0 \right) \int_{\Omega} |\theta|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \left(C_3 + \frac{C_p}{2 \sigma_3} \right) \int_0^{\infty} \mu'(s) \|\eta_x\|^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

completing our proof.

Now we are able to define the following Lyapunov functional

$$\mathcal{L}(t) = N \mathcal{E}(t) + N_1 F_1 + N_2 F_2$$

where N, N_1, N_2 are positive constants that will be chosen later carefully. On the other hand, to ensure the equivalence of the functional $\mathcal{E}(t)$ and $\mathcal{L}(t)$, we state the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7 For N large enough there are two positive constants γ_1, γ_2 so that

$$\gamma_1 \mathcal{E}(t) \leq \mathcal{L}(t) \leq \gamma_2 \mathcal{E}(t)$$

Proof. According to the Young and Poincaré inequalities, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(t) &\leq \frac{\sigma C_p}{\kappa^2} \int_{\Omega} |u_x|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2\sigma} \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx + 2\alpha_3 C_p \int_{\Omega} |u_x|^2 dx \leq \zeta_1 \mathcal{E}(t) \quad \text{for } \sigma > 0 \\ F_2(t) &\leq \frac{\mu_0}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\theta|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 \leq \zeta_2 \mathcal{E}(t) \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_1 = \max \left\{ \frac{\sigma C_p}{\kappa^2} + 2\alpha_3 C_p, \frac{1}{2\sigma} \right\}$ and $\zeta_2 = \max \left\{ \frac{\mu_0}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\}$, therefore there is a positive constant γ_0 that

$$|\mathcal{L}(t) - N\mathcal{E}(t)| = |N_1 F_1 + N_2 F_2| \leq \gamma_0 \mathcal{E}(t)$$

Therefore, we can choose $\gamma_1 = N - \gamma_0$ and $\gamma_2 = N + \gamma_0$ as long as $N - \gamma_0 > 0$. In this way the lemma is proven

We state our main results as follows.

Theorem 3.8 Assume that μ satisfies the conditions $\mathbb{H}_1 - \mathbb{H}_4$. Then there exist positive constants K and γ such that the relation

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq K \mathcal{E}(0) e^{-\gamma t}$$

holds for every $t \geq 0$

Proof. Taking time derivative of \mathcal{L} we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}'(t) = N \mathcal{E}'(t) + N_1 F_1' + N_2 F_3'$$

according to the lemma 3.4 and 3.6 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}'(t) &\leq N \left[-2 \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g}(x) |v|^2 dx - l \int_{\Omega} |\theta_x|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} \mu'(s) \|\eta_x\|^2 ds dx \right] \\ &+ N_1 \left[- \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{p}(x) |u_{xx}|^2 dx - \frac{\kappa^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} |u_x|^2 dx + C_{\kappa} \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx + \frac{\beta^2}{2\kappa^2} \int_{\Omega} |\theta|^2 dx \right] \\ &+ N_2 \left[C_1 \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx + C_2 \int_{\Omega} |\theta_x|^2 dx + \left(\frac{\sigma_3}{2} - \mu_0 \right) \int_{\Omega} |\theta|^2 dx \right] \\ &- N_2 \left[\left(C_3 + \frac{C_p}{2\sigma_3} \right) \int_0^{\infty} \mu'(s) \|\eta_x\|^2 ds \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

By grouping terms in (3.49) and using (1.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}'(t) &\leq -[2\alpha_4 N - C_{\kappa} N_1 - C_1 N_2] \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx - [Nl - N_2 C_2] \int_{\Omega} |\theta_x|^2 dx \\ &+ \left[\frac{N}{2} - N_2 \left(C_3 + \frac{C_p}{2\sigma_3} \right) \right] \int_0^{\infty} \mu'(s) \|\eta_x\|^2 ds - N_1 \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{p}(x) u_{xx}^2 dx \\ &- \left[N_2 \left(\mu_0 - \frac{\sigma_3}{2} \right) - N_1 \frac{\beta^2}{2\kappa^2} \right] \int_{\Omega} |\theta|^2 dx - N_1 \frac{\kappa^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} |u_x|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

this last inequality can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}'(t) \leq & -\mathcal{C}_1 \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx - \mathcal{C}_2 \int_{\Omega} |\theta_x|^2 dx + \mathcal{C}_3 \int_0^{\infty} \mu'(s) \|\eta_x\|^2 ds - N_1 \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{p}(x) u_{xx}^2 dx \\ & - \mathcal{C}_4 \int_{\Omega} |\theta|^2 dx - N_1 \frac{\kappa^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} |u_x|^2 dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.50)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_1 &= 2\alpha_4 N - C_{\kappa} N_1 - C_1 N_2, \quad \mathcal{C}_2 = Nl - N_2 C_2 \\ \mathcal{C}_3 &= \frac{N}{2} - N_2 \left(C_3 + \frac{C_p}{2\sigma_3} \right), \quad \mathcal{C}_4 = N_2 \left(\mu_0 - \frac{\sigma_3}{2} \right) - N_1 \frac{\beta^2}{2\kappa^2} \end{aligned}$$

then the constants $\mathcal{C}_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are strictly positive if and only if

$$\mu_0 > \frac{\sigma_3}{2}, \quad N_1 > 0, \quad N_2 > \frac{N_1 \beta^2}{2\kappa^2 \left(\mu_0 - \frac{\sigma_3}{2} \right)}$$

and N is chosen as

$$N > \max \left\{ \frac{C_{\kappa} N_1 + C_1 N_2}{2\alpha_4}, \frac{N_2 C_2}{l}, 2N_2 \left(C_3 + \frac{C_p}{2\sigma_3} \right) \right\}$$

therefore for a certain positive constant λ , the inequality (3.50) becomes

$$\mathcal{L}'(t) \leq -\lambda \mathcal{E}(t) + \mathcal{C}_3 \int_0^{\infty} \mu'(s) \|\eta_x\|^2 ds \leq -\lambda \mathcal{E}(t) \quad (3.51)$$

according to lemma 3.7, the inequality (3.51) becomes

$$\mathcal{L}'(t) \leq -\gamma \mathcal{L}(t), \quad \forall t > 0$$

where $\gamma = \frac{\lambda}{\gamma_2}$, then by integrating we get

$$\mathcal{L}'(t) \leq K \mathcal{L}(0) e^{-\gamma t}, \quad \forall t > 0$$

or equivalently

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq K \mathcal{E}(0) e^{-\gamma t}, \quad \forall t > 0$$

In this way our main theorem is proven.

Conclusion

When we proof the well-posedness and the exponential decay (via the energy method) and not another weaker type of decay as for example the polynomial decay, we have made an improvement of the works named in the section 1 offering with it a much more realistic model from the physical point of view. Let us say that these results can be improved furthermore by considering the Cattaneo's law [28] and Gurtin-Pipkin law [29] instead of equation (1.4), obtaining an effective prediction for heating propagation on the structure. All these statements constitute a promising set of new questions to be addressed in further research.

Acknowledgements

References

- [1] A. ABOUELREGAL, A. ZENKOUR, *Thermoelastic problem of an axially moving microbeam subjected to a external transverse excitation*, Journal of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics. 53, 167–178 (2015).
- [2] R. DÍAZ, O. VERA, *Asymptotic behaviour for a thermoelastic problem of a microbeam with thermoelasticity of type III*, Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations. 74 , 1–13 (2017).
- [3] L. CHEN, X. YANG, *Stability in parametric resonance of axially moving viscoelastic beams with time-dependent speed*, Journal of Sound and Vibration. 184 , 879–891(2005).
- [4] H. DING, L. CHEN, *Approximate and numerical analysis of nonlinear forced vibration of axially moving viscoelastic beams*, Acta Mechanica Sinica. 27, 426–437(2011)
- [5] A. PAZY, *Semigroup of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, Springer–Verlag. New York (1983)
- [6] K. LIU, Z. LIU, *On the type of C_0 -semigroup associated with the abstract linear viscoelastic system*. Z. angew. Math. Phys. 47 (1996)
- [7] Z. LIU, S. ZHENG, *Semigroups associated with dissipative systems*, Chapman and Hall (1999)
- [8] C.M DAFERMOS, *On the existence and the asymptotic stability of solution to the equations of linear thermoelasticity*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 29, 241–271 (1968)
- [9] C. GIORGI, V. PATA, *Stability of linear thermoelastic systems with memory*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 11 , 627–644 (2001).
- [10] C. GIORGI, M. NASO, *Exponential stability of a linear viscoelastic bar with thermal effect*, Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata (IV), Vol. CLXXVIII , 45–66 (2000).
- [11] C. GIORGI, A. MARZOCCHI, V. PATA , *Asymptotic behavior of a semilinear problem in heat conduction with memory*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.5 , 333–354 (1998).
- [12] B. COLEMAN, M. GURTIN, *Equipresence and constitutive equations for rigid heat conductors*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 18 , 199–208 (1967).
- [13] B. FENG, *On a Semilinear Timoshenko-Coleman-Gurtin- system : Quasi-stability and attractors*, Discrete and dynamical systems. 37, (2017).

- [14] C. RAPOSO, W. BASTOS, J. AVILA, A transmission problem for Euler-Bernoulli beam with Kelvin-Voight damping, *Applied mathematics and information sciences.* 4, 17–28 (2011)
- [15] S. ZHENG, *Nonlinear parabolic Equation and Hyperbolic-Parabolic Coupled Systems*, Pitman series Monographs and Survey in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Longman (1995).
- [16] C. GIORGI, D. GRANDI, V. PATA, On the Green-Naghdi type III heat conduction model, *Discrete and continuous dynamical systems series B.*19, (2014).
- [17] M. CONTI, V. PATA, M. SQUASSINA Singular Limit of Differential Systems with Memory, *indiana University Mathematics Journal.* 55, 169–215 (2006).
- [18] D. CARLSON, Linear thermoelasticity, *Linear Theories of Elasticity and Thermoelasticity*, 297–345 (1972)
- [19] M. ALVES, P. GAMBOA, G. GORAIN, A. RAMBAUD, O. VERA, Asymptotic behavior of a flexible structure with Cattaneo type of thermal effect, *Indagationes Mathematicae.* 27, 821–834 (2016)
- [20] S. BARGMANN, A. FAVATA, P. PODIO-GUIDUGLI, A Revised Exposition of the Green–Naghdi Theory of Heat Propagation, *journal of elasticity.*114, 143–154 (2014)
- [21] C. CHRISTOV, On frame indifferent formulation of the Maxwell-Cattaneo model of finite speed heat conduction, *Mech. Res. Comm.*36, 481–486 (2009).
- [22] L. HERRMANN, Vibration of the Euler-Bernoulli Beam with Allowance for Dampings, *Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering.* 2, 2 – 4, 2008.
- [23] H. FERNÁNDEZ, J. MUÑOZ, R. QUINTANILLA, Decay of solutions in nonsimple thermoelastic bars, *International Journal of Engineering Science.* 48, 1233–1241 (2010)
- [24] Q. ZHANG, Stability analysis of an interactive system of wave equation and heat equation with memory, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 65, 905–923 (2014)
- [25] J. NUNZIATO, On the heat conduction materials with memory , *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics.* 29, 187–204 (1971)
- [26] J. SHOMBERG, Robust exponential attractors for coleman-Gurtin equations with dynamic boundary conditions possessing memory , *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations.* 47, 1–35 (2016).
- [27] M. GURTIN, A. PIPKIN, A general theory of heat conduction with finite wave speeds, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*31,113–126, (1968).
- [28] C. CATTANEO, Sulla conduzione del calore. *Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ., Modena* 3 (1948), 83–101.

- [29] M.E.GURTIN, A.C. PIPKIN, A general theory of heat conduction with finite wave speeds. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 31 (1968), 113–126