

# Global existence and asymptotics for the modified two-dimensional Schrödinger equation in the critical regime

Xuan Liu, Ting Zhang\*

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China*

---

## Abstract

We study the asymptotic behavior of the modified two-dimensional Schrödinger equation  $(D_t - F(D))u = \lambda|u|u$  in the critical regime, where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Im}\lambda \geq 0$  and  $F(\xi)$  is a second order constant coefficients elliptic symbol. For any smooth initial datum of size  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ , we prove that the solution is global-in-time, combining the vector fields method and a semiclassical analysis method introduced by Delort. Moreover, we present the pointwise decay estimates and the large time asymptotic formulas of the solution.

*Keywords:* Schrödinger equation, Semiclassical Analysis, Modified scattering.  
*2000 MSC:* 35Q55, 35B40

---

## 1. Introduction

We consider the following modified critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$(D_t - F(D))u = \lambda|u|^{\frac{2}{n}}u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (1.1)$$

where  $D_t = \frac{\partial_t}{i}$ ,  $D = \frac{\nabla_x}{i}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $F(D)$  is defined via its real symbol, i.e.,

$$F(D)u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} F(\xi)(\mathcal{F}u)(\xi) d\xi,$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the Fourier transform with respect to  $x$  variables. The Cauchy problem (1.1) is critical because the best time decay one can expect for the solution of the linear equation  $(D_t - F(D))u = 0$  with smooth, decaying Cauchy data is  $\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} = O(t^{-n/2})$ , so that the nonlinearity will satisfy  $\| |u|^{\frac{2}{n}}u(t, \cdot) \|_{L^2} \leq Ct^{-1} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}$ , with a time factor  $t^{-1}$  just at the limit of integrability. Schrödinger equations with modified dispersion contain many important equations from the fields of physics. Typical models are the Schrödinger equation ( $F(\xi) = \xi^2$ ), KDV equation ( $F(\xi) = \xi^3$ ), Klein-Gordon equation ( $F(\xi) = \sqrt{1 + \xi^2}$ ) and Benjamin-Ono equation ( $F(\xi) = \xi|\xi|$ ) etc. Over the past decades, the local smoothing properties, the dispersive estimates and the well-posedness of the modified Schrödinger equations have been studied extensively, see e.g. [2, 3, 4, 6, 12, 16, 21, 22, 23] etc. Then we want to study the global existence and asymptotics of solutions to the modified Schrödinger equation (1.1), provided that the initial data is small and spatially localized.

---

\*Corresponding author.

*Email addresses:* lxmath@zju.edu.cn (Xuan Liu), zhangting79@zju.edu.cn (Ting Zhang)

When  $F(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}|\xi|^2$ , it is well known that equation (1.1) represents the classical critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = \lambda|u|^{\frac{2}{n}}u. \quad (1.2)$$

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3$ , Hayashi-Naumkin[15] proved the asymptotics for the small solution  $u(t)$ : There exists  $W(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{(it)^{n/2}} e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} W\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) e^{i|W(\frac{x}{t})|^2 \log t} + O_{L_x^\infty}(t^{-n/2-\beta}), \quad \beta > 0.$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . One note that this is not linear scattering, but rather a modified linear scattering. The idea is to apply the operator  $\mathcal{F}U(-t)$  to the equation (1.2) and use the factorization technique of the Schrödinger operator to derive the ODE for  $\mathcal{F}U(-t)u(t)$ :

$$i\partial_t \mathcal{F}U(-t)u = \lambda t^{-1} |\mathcal{F}U(-t)u(t)|^{\frac{2}{n}} \mathcal{F}U(-t)u + R(t, \xi), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $U(t) =: e^{i\frac{t}{2}\Delta}$  and  $\|R(t, \xi)\|_{L_\xi^\infty} = O(t^{-1-\beta})$  is integrable as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . By a standard ODE argument, they deduce from (1.3) the asymptotic formula for  $\mathcal{F}U(-t)u(t)$  and then in the solution  $u(t)$ .

For the asymptotics of the classical critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation (1.2) in one-dimension with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , there are four alternate approaches. In the paper [7], Deift and Zhou established the asymptotics of the solution for large data in the defocusing case. The proof is based on the complete integrability of (1.2) and the inverse scattering techniques. In the paper [26], Lindblad-Soffer made the change of variables  $u(t, x) = t^{-1/2} e^{i\frac{x^2}{4t}} v(t, \frac{x}{t})$  that allowed one to rewrite the equation (1.2) as

$$i\partial_t v = \lambda t^{-1} |v|^2 v - t^{-2} \partial_{xx} v. \quad (1.4)$$

For small and smooth initial values, they proved that the remainder term  $t^{-2} \|\partial_{xx} v\|_{L_x^\infty}$  is integrable as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Then by standard ODE argument, they deduce from (1.4) the asymptotics of  $v$  and then in the solution  $u$ . In the paper [20], by performing an analysis in Fourier space, Kato-Pusater derive the ODE (1.3) through a very natural stationary phase argument. By standard ODE argument, they obtained the same result as [15] for  $n = 1$ . Their proof is inspired by the space-time resonances method that introduced by Germain, Masmoudi and Shatah [10, 11]. In the paper [19], Ifrim-Tataru developed the wave packet test method: Measure the decay of the solution along the ray  $\Gamma_v = \{x = vt\}$ , traveling with velocity  $v$ , and denote

$$\gamma(t, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t, x) \overline{\Psi_v(t, x)} dx,$$

where  $\Psi_v(t, x)$  is the following wave packet test function

$$\Psi_v(t, x) = \chi\left(\frac{x - vt}{\sqrt{t}}\right) e^{i\frac{x^2}{2t}}, \quad \chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(z) dz = 1.$$

Since  $\Psi_v(t, x)$  is indeed an approximate solution of the linear system, with  $O(1/t)$  errors, Ifrim-Tataru [19] established the ODE for  $\gamma(t, v)$ :

$$\partial_t \gamma(t, v) = -it^{-1} |\gamma(t, v)|^2 \gamma(t, v) + O_{L_v^\infty}(t^{-1/4+C\varepsilon^2})$$

and obtained the asymptotic formula of  $\gamma(t, v)$ . Moreover, by proving that

$$t^{-1/2}\gamma(t, v) - e^{-i\frac{v^2}{2}t}u(t, vt) = O_{L_v^2}(t^{-1+C\varepsilon^2}) \cap O_{L_v^\infty}(t^{-3/4+C\varepsilon^2})$$

$$\gamma(t, \xi) - e^{i\frac{\xi^2}{2}t}(\mathcal{F}u)(t, \xi) = O_{L_\xi^2}(t^{-1/2+C\varepsilon^2}) \cap O_{L_\xi^\infty}(t^{-1/4+C\varepsilon^2})$$

and using the asymptotic formula of  $\gamma(t, v)$ , they obtained the asymptotic formulas of the solution both in physical space and frequency space.

In this paper, we consider the global existence and asymptotics of the solutions to the modified Schrödinger equation (1.1). Since  $F(\xi)$  has no explicit expression, the methods used in [7, 15, 19, 20, 26] can not be applied directly, and we have to develop a new approach. The second author of this paper first studied the asymptotic behavior of the solution to the modified one-dimensional Schrödinger equation. Assuming that  $F(\xi)$  satisfies certain elliptic assumption, Zhang [33] obtained the asymptotics of the solution for small initial data, combining the vector fields method and a semiclassical analysis method introduced by Delort [8]. In this paper, we consider the two-dimensional case and assume that  $F(\xi)$  satisfies the following elliptic assumptions:

$$F(\xi) = F_1(\xi_1) + F_2(\xi_2), \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \rightarrow F(\xi) \in \mathbb{R} \quad (1.5)$$

is a smooth function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , satisfying

$$F_k(\xi_k) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad 0 < c_k \leq F_k''(\xi_k) \leq d_k, \quad \forall \xi_k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.6)$$

for some positive constants  $c_k, d_k, k = 1, 2$ . For example, we can choose smooth functions  $F_k(\xi_k)$ , which have an expansion

$$F_k(\xi_k) = c_{k,\pm}^2 \xi_k^2 + c_{k,\pm}^1 \xi_k + c_{k,\pm}^0 + c_{k,\pm}^{-1} \xi_k^{-1} + c_{k,\pm}^{-2} \xi_k^{-2} + \dots$$

when  $\xi_k$  goes to  $\pm\infty$ , where  $c_{k,\pm}^2 > 0, k = 1, 2$ . In particular, we can choose  $F(\xi) = |\xi|^2$ . Therefore the asymptotic formulas established in this paper can be seen as a generalization of [7, 15, 19, 20, 26].

To state our result precisely, we now give some notations. For  $\psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , the Fourier transform of  $\psi$  is represented as  $\mathcal{F}\psi(\xi) = \hat{\psi}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx$ .  $[A, B]$  denotes the commutator  $AB - BA$  and  $\langle x \rangle =: \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$ . Different positive constants we denote by the same letter  $C$ . We write  $f \lesssim g$  when  $f \leq Cg$ .  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$  denote the usual Schwartz and Sobolev space respectively.  $L^p = L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  denotes the usual Lebesgue space with the norm  $\|\phi\|_{L^p} = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi(x)|^p dx)^{1/p}$  if  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\|\phi\|_{L^\infty} = \text{ess.sup} \{|\phi(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ . Moreover, we denote  $\|(\phi(x), \psi(x))\|_{L^2} =: (\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi(x)|^2 dx)^{1/2} + (\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi(x)|^2 dx)^{1/2}$ .

Throughout the paper,  $F(\xi) = F_1(\xi_1) + F_2(\xi_2)$  denotes the second order constant coefficients classical elliptic symbol, satisfying (1.5)–(1.6). Since  $F_k'$  is strictly increasing, there are smooth strictly concave functions  $\phi_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x_k + F_k'(d\phi_k(x_k)) = 0, k = 1, 2$ , where we write  $d^j \phi_k(x_k) = \frac{d^j \phi_k(x_k)}{dx_k^j}, j \geq 1$  for simplicity. Set  $\phi(x) = (\phi_1(x_1), \phi_2(x_2))$ , then we have

$$x + F'(d\phi(x)) = (x_1 + F_1'(d\phi_1(x_1)), x_2 + F_2'(d\phi_2(x_2))) = 0, \quad (1.7)$$

which will be used frequently from now on.

We now state our results.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Im}\lambda \geq 0$ , and the initial datum  $u_0 \in H^2, (x_k +$*

$F'_k(D))^2 u_0 \in L^2$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , satisfying

$$\|u_0\|_{H^2} + \sum_{k=1}^2 \|(x_k + F'_k(D))^2 u_0\|_{L^2} \leq 1. \quad (1.8)$$

Then for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} (D_t - F(D))u = \lambda|u|u, & t > 1, x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ u(x, 1) = \varepsilon u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

admits a unique global solution  $u \in C([1, \infty); L^2) \cap L^6_{loc}([1, +\infty); L^3)$ , satisfying the pointwise estimate

$$\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon t^{-1}, \quad (1.10)$$

for all  $t > 1$ .

*Remark 1.1.* The fact that the initial datum is given at time  $t = 1$  does not have deep meaning: it is simply more convenient when performing estimates, since the  $L^\infty$  decay of  $\frac{1}{t}$  given by the linear part of the equation is not integrable at  $t = 0$ .

In order to investigate the large time asymptotic behavior of the solution, the following theorem plays an important role.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose that  $u_0$  satisfies (1.8),  $u$  is the solution obtained in Theorem 1.1 and  $v_\Lambda$  is the function defined by (1.22) and (1.29). Let*

$$\Phi(t, x) = \int_1^t s^{-1} |v_\Lambda(s, x)| ds. \quad (1.11)$$

Then for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, there exists a unique complex function  $z_+(x) \in L^2_x \cap L^\infty_x$  such that

$$v_\Lambda(t, x) \exp(-i(w(x)t + \lambda\Phi(t, x))) - z_+(x) = O_{L^\infty_x}(t^{-1/2+C\varepsilon}) \cap O_{L^2_x}(t^{-1+C\varepsilon}),$$

holds as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $w(x) = x \cdot d\phi(x) + F(d\phi(x))$ .

By Theorem 1.2, we obtain the following asymptotics of the solution.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Suppose that  $\text{Im}\lambda = 0$ ,  $u_0$  satisfies (1.8) and  $u$  is the solution obtained in Theorem 1.1. Then for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, the followings hold:*

(a) *Let  $z_+(x)$  be the asymptotic function obtained in Theorem 1.2 and*

$$\phi_+(x) = \int_1^\infty s^{-1} (|v_\Lambda(s, x)| - |z_+(x)|) ds. \quad (1.12)$$

The asymptotic formula

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{t} e^{i(tw(\frac{x}{t}) + \lambda\phi_+(\frac{x}{t}) + \lambda|z_+(\frac{x}{t})|\log t)} z_+(\frac{x}{t}) + O_{L^\infty_x}(t^{-3/2+C\varepsilon}) \cap O_{L^2_x}(t^{-1+C\varepsilon}) \quad (1.13)$$

holds as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

(b) The following modified linear scattering formula holds:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t, x) - e^{i\lambda(\phi_+(\frac{x}{t}) + |z_+(\frac{x}{t})| \log t)} e^{iF(D)t} u_+\|_{L_x^2} = 0. \quad (1.14)$$

where

$$u_+(x) =: -\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{ix \cdot d\phi(y)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_{k=1}^2 F_k''(\phi_k(y_k))}} z_+(y) dy. \quad (1.15)$$

When  $\text{Im}\lambda > 0$ , (1.2) represents the standard dissipative nonlinear Schrödinger equation. It modes the evolution of pulses propagating through optical fibers and in the field of optical fiber engineering (see e.g. [1]). In the paper [30], Shimomura first treated the dissipative nonlinear Schrödinger equation (1.2) and established the asymptotic formula of the small solutions for  $n = 1, 2, 3$ . He proved that the dissipative effects are visible not only in the phase correction of the asymptotic profile but also in the decay rate of the solution. In fact, the uniform norm of the solution decays like

$$\|u(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim (t \log t)^{-n/2},$$

which decays faster than the free solution. After the work of Shimomura [30], there are many papers devoted to studying the decay estimates and the asymptotics of the solutions to the dissipative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, see e.g. [13, 14, 17, 24, 25, 27, 28, 29] and references therein.

Inspired by the work of Shimomura [30], we also study the influence of the dissipative effects on the decay estimates and the asymptotics of the solutions to the modified two-dimensional Schrödinger equation. Our results are the following.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Suppose that  $\text{Im}\lambda > 0$ ,  $u_0$  satisfies (1.8) and  $u$  is the solution obtained in Theorem 1.1. Then for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, the followings hold:*

(a) Let  $z_+(x)$  be the asymptotic function obtained in Theorem 1.2 and

$$\psi_+(x) = \text{Im}\lambda \int_1^\infty s^{-1} (|v_\Lambda(s, x)| e^{\text{Im}\lambda \Phi(s, x)} - |z_+(x)|) ds, \quad (1.16)$$

$$S(t, x) = \frac{1}{\text{Im}\lambda} \log(1 + \text{Im}\lambda |z_+(x)| \log t + \psi_+(x)). \quad (1.17)$$

The asymptotic formula

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{t} e^{i(tw(\frac{x}{t}) + \lambda S(t, \frac{x}{t}))} z_+(\frac{x}{t}) + O_{L_x^\infty}(t^{-3/2+C\varepsilon}) \cap O_{L_x^2}(t^{-1+C\varepsilon}) \quad (1.18)$$

holds as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

(b) The following modified linear scattering formula holds:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t, x) - e^{i\lambda S(t, \frac{x}{t})} e^{iF(D)t} u_+(x)\|_{L_x^2} = 0, \quad (1.19)$$

where  $u_+$  is the function defined in (1.15).

(c) If  $u_0 \neq 0$ , then the following limit exists

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t \log t) \|u(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} = \frac{1}{\text{Im}\lambda}. \quad (1.20)$$

*Remark 1.2.* Note that the limit (1.20) is independent of the initial value  $u_0$ . This property was first established in [5] for a class of nonvanishing initial data.

*Remark 1.3.* By the definition of  $S(t, x)$ , we can write the modification factor  $e^{i\lambda S(t, x)}$  explicitly:

$$e^{i\lambda S(t, x)} = \frac{\exp\left(\frac{i\operatorname{Re}\lambda}{\operatorname{Im}\lambda} \log(1 + \operatorname{Im}\lambda|z_+(x)| \log t + \psi_+(x))\right)}{1 + \operatorname{Im}\lambda|z_+(x)| \log t + \psi_+(x)}. \quad (1.21)$$

We briefly sketch the strategy used to derive our main results. We adopt the semiclassical analysis method introduced by Delort [8], see also [27, 31, 33] which are closer to the problem we are considering. We make first a semiclassical change of variables

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{t} v\left(t, \frac{x}{t}\right) \quad (1.22)$$

for some new unknown function  $v$ , that allows to rewrite the equation (1.9) as

$$(D_t - G_h^w(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi)))v = \lambda h|v|v, \quad (1.23)$$

where the semiclassical parameter  $h = \frac{1}{t}$ , and the Weyl quantization of a symbol  $a$  is given by

$$G_h^w(a)u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y) \cdot \xi} a\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) u(y) dy d\xi.$$

We remark that the operator

$$\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{L}_k = \frac{1}{h} G_h^w(x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)), \quad k = 1, 2. \quad (1.24)$$

commutes exactly to the linear part of the equation (1.23) and a Leibniz rule holds for the action of  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $|v|v$ . Actually, by (1.6) and (1.7), the quotient  $e_k(x, \xi) = \frac{x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)}{\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k)}$  is smooth and  $|e_k(x, \xi)|$  stays between two positive constants. Consequently, one may write, using symbolic calculus for semiclassical operators

$$\mathcal{L}_k = \frac{1}{h} G_h^w(e_k(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))) = G_h^w(e_k) \left[ \frac{1}{h} G_h^w(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k)) \right] + G_h^w(r_k), k = 1, 2$$

with some other symbol  $r_k$ . If one makes act the main contribution on the right-hand side of the above equality on  $|v|v$ , one gets ( $D_k =: \frac{\partial x_k}{i}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & G_h^w(e_k) \left[ \left( D_k - \frac{1}{h} d\phi_k(x_k) \right) (|v|v) \right] \\ &= G_h^w(e_k) \left[ \frac{3}{2} |v| \left( D_k - \frac{1}{h} d\phi_k(x_k) \right) v - \frac{1}{2} |v|^{-1} v^2 \overline{\left( D_k - \frac{1}{h} d\phi_k(x_k) \right) v} \right] \end{aligned}$$

that is a quantity whose  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  norm may be bounded by  $C\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\mathcal{L}v\|_{L^2}$  (if one re-expresses on the right-hand side  $(D_k - \frac{1}{h} d\phi_k(x_k))v$  from  $\mathcal{L}_k v$ ). In other words,  $\mathcal{L}$  obeys a Leibniz rule when acting on  $|v|v$ . Repeating this procedure, one sees that the nonlinearity  $|v|v$  not only obey

a Leibnitz rule for  $\mathcal{L}$  but also for the operator  $\mathcal{L}^2 =: (\mathcal{L}_1^2, \mathcal{L}_2^2)$  (see Lemma 3.2)

$$\|\mathcal{L}^2(|v|v)\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \|v\|_{L^\infty} (\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2}). \quad (1.25)$$

Applying the operator  $\mathcal{L}^2$  to (1.23), then using (1.25), one obtains an energy inequality of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{L}^2 v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^2 \|(x_k + F'_k(D))^2 u_0\|_{L^2} + \int_1^t \|v(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} (\|v(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^2} + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^2}) \frac{d\tau}{\tau}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.26)$$

If one has an a priori estimate  $\|v(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} = O(\varepsilon)$ , Gronwall lemma provides for the left-hand side of (1.26) a  $O(t^{C\varepsilon})$  bound.

On the other hand, one can establish from an a priori  $\|\mathcal{L}^2 v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} = O(t^{C\varepsilon})$  an  $L^\infty$  estimate for  $v$ , by deducing from (1.23) an ODE satisfied by  $v$ . Actually, if one develops the symbol  $x \cdot \xi + F(\xi)$  at  $\xi = d\phi(x)$ , one gets, using that  $\nabla_\xi (x \cdot \xi + F(\xi))|_{\xi=d\phi(x)} = 0$  (see (1.7)),

$$x \cdot \xi + F(\xi) = w(x) + \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_0^1 F''_k(\theta \xi_k + (1-\theta)d\phi_k(x_k))(1-\theta) d\theta (\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2, \quad (1.27)$$

where  $w(x) = x \cdot d\phi(x) + F(d\phi(x))$ . Taking the Weyl quantization and using the symbol calculus, one deduces from (1.23) an ODE for  $v$

$$D_t v = w(x)v + \lambda h |v|v + h^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 G_h^w(b_k) \circ \mathcal{L}_k^2, \quad (1.28)$$

where  $b_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2$  are some other symbols. Assume for a while that one can deduce from the a priori estimate  $\|\mathcal{L}^2 v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} = O(t^{C\varepsilon})$  that  $\|h^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 G_h^w(b_k) \mathcal{L}_k^2 v\|_{L_x^\infty}$  is time integrable, one derives from the ODE (1.28) a uniform  $L^\infty$  control of  $v$ . Putting together these  $L^2$  and  $L^\infty$  estimates and performing a bootstrap argument, one finally shows that (1.23) has global solutions and determines their asymptotic behavior.

To estimate  $\|h^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 G_h^w(e_k) \mathcal{L}_k^2 v\|_{L_x^\infty}$  one would be tempted to use the semiclassical Sobolev inequality. Note however that this would lead to an energy norm of  $v$  containing the spatial derivative of order three, and we can not recover this norm from the equation (1.23) via the standard energy method due to the lack of the regularity of  $|v|v$ . We instead use the operators whose symbols are localized in a neighbourhood of  $M := \{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 : x + F'(\xi) = 0\}$  of size  $O(\sqrt{h})$ . In that way, we can apply Proposition 2.3 to pass uniform norms of the remainders to the  $L^2$  norm. More precisely, we set

$$v_\Lambda = G_h^w(\gamma(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}))v, \quad (1.29)$$

where  $\gamma \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfying  $\gamma = 1$  in a neighbourhood of zero. Applying  $G_h^w(\gamma(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}))$  to the equation (1.23), one obtains the ODE for  $v_\Lambda$

$$D_t v_\Lambda = w(x)v_\Lambda + \lambda h |v_\Lambda|v_\Lambda + R(v), \quad (1.30)$$

where the remainder

$$\begin{aligned} R(v) &= [D_t - G_h^w(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi)), G_h^w(\gamma(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}))]v + G_h^w(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi) - w(x))v_\Lambda \\ &\quad - \lambda h G_h^w(1 - \gamma(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}))(|v|v) + \lambda h (|v|v - |v_\Lambda|v_\Lambda). \end{aligned}$$

To derive from (1.30) and the a priori estimate of the form  $\|\mathcal{L}^2 v(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} = O(t^{C\varepsilon})$  a uniform  $L^\infty$  control of  $v$ , we need to show that  $R(v)$  is a time integrable error term and  $v_{\Lambda^\varepsilon} =: v - v_\Lambda$  decays faster than the main part  $v_\Lambda$ . The proof of these two estimates will strongly use the semiclassical pseudo-differential calculus and constitutes the most technical part of the paper.

The framework of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will present the definitions and properties of Semiclassical pseudo-differential operators. In Section 3, we use the Strichartz estimate and the semiclassical analysis method to prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we prove Theorems 1.2–1.4 by deducing the long-time behavior of solutions from the associated ODE dynamics. Finally, in the Appendix, we give the proof of Lemma 3.2.

## 2. Semiclassical pseudo-differential operators

In order to prove an  $L^\infty$  estimate on  $u$  we need to reformulate the starting problem (1.9) in terms of an ODE satisfied by a new function  $v$  obtained from  $u$ , and this will strongly use the semiclassical pseudo-differential calculus. In this section, we introduce this semiclassical environment, defining classes of symbols and operators we shall use and several useful properties. A general reference is Chapter 7 of the book of Dimassi-Sjöstrand [9] or Chapter 4 of the book of Zworski [34].

**Definition 2.1.** An order function on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$  is a smooth map from  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}_+$ :  $(x, \xi) \rightarrow M(x, \xi)$  such that there are  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $C > 0$ ,

$$M(y, \eta) \leq C \langle x - y \rangle^{N_0} \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{N_0} M(x, \xi),$$

holds for any  $(x, \xi), (y, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $M$  be an order function on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ . One denotes by  $S_\delta(M)$  the space of smooth functions  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^2$

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi, h)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} M(x, \xi) h^{-(|\alpha| + |\beta|)\delta}. \quad (2.1)$$

Recall that  $d\phi_k(x_k), k = 1, 2$  are the functions defined in (1.7). Let

$$e_k(x, \xi) = \frac{x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)}{\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k)}, \quad \tilde{e}_k(x, \xi) = \frac{\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k)}{x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)}, \quad k = 1, 2. \quad (2.2)$$

By Definition 2.2, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume that  $e_k(x, \xi), \tilde{e}_k(x, \xi), d^2\phi_k(x_k), k = 1, 2$  are the functions defined in (1.7) and (2.2). Then we have  $e_k(x, \xi), \tilde{e}_k(x, \xi), d^2\phi_k(x_k) \in S_0(1), k = 1, 2$ .*

*Proof.* Taking a derivative of  $x_k + F'_k(d\phi_k(x_k)) = 0$ , one gets by using (1.6)

$$|d^2\phi_k(x_k)| = \left| -\frac{1}{F''_k(d\phi_k(x_k))} \right| \lesssim 1.$$



Moreover, by induction and (1.6), one gets  $|d^j \phi_k(x_k)| \lesssim 1$ ,  $\forall j \geq 2$ , i.e.  $d^2 \phi_k(x_k) \in S_0(1)$ . On the other hand, since  $x_k + F'_k(d\phi_k(x_k)) = 0$ , one can rewrite  $e_k$  as following

$$e_k(x, \xi) = \frac{F'_k(\xi_k) - F'_k(d\phi_k(x_k))}{\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k)} = \int_0^1 F''_k(t\xi_k + (1-t)d\phi_k(x_k)) dt, \quad k = 1, 2. \quad (2.3)$$

Using  $d^2 \phi_k(x_k) \in S_0(1)$  and (2.3) we can easily check that  $e_k \in S_0(1)$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ . Similarly, we can get  $\tilde{e}_k \in S_0(1)$ ,  $k = 1, 2$  and omit the details.  $\square$

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $M$  be an order function on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ . For any symbol  $a \in S_\delta(M)$ , we define the Weyl quantization  $G_h^w(a)$  to be the operator

$$G_h^w(a)u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\cdot\xi} a\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) u(y) dy d\xi, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2). \quad (2.4)$$

We have the following basic properties for the Weyl quantization.

**Proposition 2.1** (Theorem 4.1 in [34]). *Let  $M$  be an order function on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ . Assume that  $a \in S_\delta(M)$  is a real-valued symbol. Then the Weyl quantization  $G_h^w(a)$  is self-adjoint on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

**Proposition 2.2.** (Theorem 7.3 in [9]) *Let  $M$  be an order function on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ . For any  $a, b \in S_\delta(M)$*

$$G_h^w(a \sharp b) = G_h^w(a) \circ G_h^w(b)$$

where the Moyal product of the symbols is defined by

$$a \sharp b(x, \xi) := \frac{1}{(\pi h)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}(\eta z - y \cdot \zeta)} a(x+z, \xi+\zeta) b(x+y, \xi+\eta) dy d\eta dz d\zeta. \quad (2.5)$$

It is often useful to derive an asymptotic expansion for  $a \sharp b$ , as it allows easier computations than the integral formula (2.5). For the one-dimensional case, we refer to the Appendix of [31].

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $M$  be an order function on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ . For any  $a, b \in S_\delta(M)$*

$$a \sharp b(x, \xi) = ab(x, \xi) + \frac{ih}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 \left| \begin{array}{cc} \partial_{x_j} a(x, \xi) & \partial_{\xi_j} a(x, \xi) \\ \partial_{x_j} b(x, \xi) & \partial_{\xi_j} b(x, \xi) \end{array} \right| + r^{a \sharp b},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r^{a \sharp b} &= -\frac{h^2}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha=(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ |\alpha|=2}} \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha_1|}}{\alpha!} \frac{1}{(\pi h)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}(\eta z - y \cdot \zeta)} \int_0^1 \partial_x^{\alpha_1} \partial_\xi^{\alpha_2} a(x+tz, \xi+t\zeta) \\ &\quad \times (1-t) dt \partial_x^{\alpha_2} \partial_\xi^{\alpha_1} b(x+y, \xi+\eta) dy d\eta dz d\zeta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

*Proof.* From (2.5) and Taylor's formula

$$a(x+z, \xi+\zeta) = a(x, \xi) + \sum_{\substack{\alpha=(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ |\alpha|=1}} \partial_x^{\alpha_1} \partial_\xi^{\alpha_2} a(x, \xi) z^{\alpha_1} \zeta^{\alpha_2}$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{\alpha=(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ |\alpha|=2}} \frac{2}{\alpha!} \int_0^1 \partial_x^{\alpha_1} \partial_\xi^{\alpha_2} a(x + tz, \xi + t\zeta) z^{\alpha_1} \zeta^{\alpha_2} (1-t) dt,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & a \sharp b(x, \xi) \\ = & \frac{1}{(\pi h)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}(\eta \cdot z - y \cdot \zeta)} a(x, \xi) b(x + y, \xi + \eta) dy d\eta dz d\zeta \\ & + \frac{1}{(\pi h)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}(\eta \cdot z - y \cdot \zeta)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha=(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ |\alpha|=1}} \partial_x^{\alpha_1} \partial_\xi^{\alpha_2} a(x, \xi) b(x + y, \xi + \eta) z^{\alpha_1} \zeta^{\alpha_2} dy d\eta dz d\zeta \\ & + \frac{1}{(\pi h)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}(\eta \cdot z - y \cdot \zeta)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha=(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ |\alpha|=2}} \frac{2}{\alpha!} \int_0^1 \partial_x^{\alpha_1} \partial_\xi^{\alpha_2} a(x + tz, \xi + t\zeta) z^{\alpha_1} \zeta^{\alpha_2} \\ & \quad \times (1-t) dt b(x + y, \xi + \eta) dy d\eta dz d\zeta \\ =: & I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

By direct computation, we get

$$I_1 = a(x, \xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(x + y, \xi + \eta) \delta_0(y) \delta_0(\eta) dy d\eta = a(x, \xi) b(x, \xi),$$

where  $\delta_0$  is the Dirac function. Integrate  $I_2$  by parts via the identity

$$z^{\alpha_1} \zeta^{\alpha_2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}(\eta \cdot z - y \cdot \zeta)} = \frac{h}{2i} (-1)^{|\alpha_2|} \partial_\eta^{\alpha_1} \partial_y^{\alpha_2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}(\eta \cdot z - y \cdot \zeta)}, \quad |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| = 1$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{1}{(\pi h)^4} \sum_{\substack{\alpha=(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ |\alpha|=1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{h}{2i} (-1)^{|\alpha_1|} e^{\frac{2i}{h}(\eta \cdot z - y \cdot \zeta)} \partial_x^{\alpha_1} \partial_\xi^{\alpha_2} a(x, \xi) \\ & \quad \times \partial_y^{\alpha_2} \partial_\eta^{\alpha_1} b(x + y, \xi + \eta) dy d\eta dz d\zeta \\ &= \frac{h}{2i} \sum_{\substack{\alpha=(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ |\alpha|=1}} (-1)^{|\alpha_1|} \partial_x^{\alpha_1} \partial_\xi^{\alpha_2} a(x, \xi) \partial_x^{\alpha_2} \partial_\xi^{\alpha_1} b(x, \xi) = \frac{ih}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 \begin{vmatrix} \partial_{x_j} a & \partial_{\xi_j} a \\ \partial_{x_j} b & \partial_{\xi_j} b \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The same calculation shows that  $I_3$  is given by the right-hand side of (2.6). This completes the proof of Proposition 2.3.  $\square$

In particular, from Proposition 2.3, we have that

$$(x_k + F'_k(\xi_k))^2 = (x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)) \sharp (x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)), \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Taking the Weyl quantization, then using Proposition 2.2, we see that

$$\mathcal{L}_k^2 = \frac{1}{h^2} G_h^w((x_k + F'_k(\xi_k))^2), \quad k = 1, 2, \quad (2.7)$$

which will be used frequently in the rest of the paper without reference.

We will use the following boundedness of the Weyl quantization.

**Proposition 2.4.** (Theorem 7.11 in [9]) Let  $a \in S_\delta(1)$ ,  $\delta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , then

$$\|G_h^w(a)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2, L^2)} \leq C, \quad \text{for all } h \in (0, 1].$$

A key role in this paper will be played by symbols  $a$  verifying (2.1) with  $M(x, \xi) = \langle \frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \rangle^{-N}$ , for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Although  $M(x, \xi)$  is no longer an order function because of the term  $h^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , it is useful to keep the notation  $a \in S_\delta(M)$  whenever  $a, M$  verify (2.1).

**Proposition 2.5.** Let  $a \in S_\delta(\langle \frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \rangle^{-2})$ ,  $\delta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , then

$$\|G_h^w(a)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2, L^\infty)} \lesssim h^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{for all } h \in (0, 1].$$

*Proof.* By the definition of the operator  $G_h^w(a)$  in (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_h^w(a)f(x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(\frac{x}{\sqrt{h}}-y)\cdot\xi} a\left(\frac{x+\sqrt{h}y}{2}, \sqrt{h}\xi\right) f(\sqrt{h}y) dy d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h^{-1} \hat{f}\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{h}}\right) d\eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(\frac{x}{\sqrt{h}}-y)\cdot\xi+i\eta\cdot y} a\left(\frac{x+\sqrt{h}y}{2}, \sqrt{h}\xi\right) dy d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Since  $a \in S_\delta(\langle \frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \rangle^{-2})$ , we have that for  $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a\left(\frac{x+\sqrt{h}y}{2}, \sqrt{h}\xi\right)| &\lesssim h^{(\frac{1}{2}-\delta)(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \langle \frac{x+\sqrt{h}y}{2} + F'(\sqrt{h}\xi) \rangle^{-2} \\ &\lesssim \langle \frac{x+\sqrt{h}y}{2} + F'(\sqrt{h}\xi) \rangle^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Integrating (2.8) by parts via the identity

$$\left( \frac{1 - i(\frac{x}{\sqrt{h}} - y) \cdot \partial_\xi}{1 + |\frac{x}{\sqrt{h}} - y|^2} \right)^3 \left( \frac{1 + i(\xi - \eta) \cdot \partial_y}{1 + |\xi - \eta|^2} \right)^3 e^{i(\frac{x}{\sqrt{h}}-y)\cdot\xi+i\eta\cdot y} = e^{i(\frac{x}{\sqrt{h}}-y)\cdot\xi+i\eta\cdot y}$$

and using (2.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |G_h^w(a)f(x)| &\lesssim h^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \hat{f}\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{h}}\right) \right| d\eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle \frac{x}{\sqrt{h}} - y \rangle^{-3} \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-3} \\ &\quad \times \langle \frac{x+\sqrt{h}y}{2} + F'(\sqrt{h}\xi) \rangle^{-2} dy d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

On the other hand, by Young's inequality, we have

$$\left\| \int \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-3} \langle \frac{x+\sqrt{h}y}{2} + F'(\sqrt{h}\xi) \rangle^{-2} d\xi \right\|_{L_\eta^2}$$

$$\lesssim \| \langle \eta \rangle^{-3} \|_{L^1_\eta} \| \langle \frac{x+\sqrt{h}y}{2} + F'(\sqrt{h}\xi) \rangle^{-2} \|_{L^2_\xi} \lesssim 1, \quad (2.11)$$

where the last inequality holds since by (1.6) and (1.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \| \langle \frac{x+\sqrt{h}y}{2} + F'(\sqrt{h}\xi) \rangle^{-2} \|_{L^2_\xi} &= \| \langle \frac{F'(\sqrt{h}\xi) - F'(d\phi(\frac{x+\sqrt{h}y}{2}))}{\sqrt{h}} \rangle^{-2} \|_{L^2_\xi} \\ &\lesssim \| \langle \xi - \frac{d\phi(\frac{x+\sqrt{h}y}{2})}{\sqrt{h}} \rangle^{-2} \|_{L^2_\xi} \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality to (2.10) and using (2.11), we obtain

$$|G_h^w(a)f(x)| \lesssim h^{-1} \left\| \hat{f}\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{h}}\right) \right\|_{L^2_\eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle \frac{x}{\sqrt{h}} - y \rangle^{-3} dy \lesssim h^{-1/2} \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.5.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $h \in (0, 1]$  and  $a(\xi)$  be a smooth function that satisfies  $|\partial_\xi^\alpha a(\xi)| \leq C_\alpha \langle \xi \rangle^{-2-|\alpha|}$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$ . Then*

$$\|G_h^w(a(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}))\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2, L^\infty)} = O(h^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \quad \|G_h^w(a(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}))\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2, L^2)} = O(1).$$

*Proof.* Since  $a(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}) \in S_{\frac{1}{2}}(\langle \frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \rangle^{-2}) \cap S_{\frac{1}{2}}(1)$ , from Propositions 2.4–2.5, we have the estimates in Proposition 2.6.  $\square$

The rest of this section is devoted to establishing some technical results in the semiclassical framework. More precisely, Lemmas 2.2–2.4 will be often recalled to compute the composition of the symbols. While Lemmas 2.5–2.7 deal with the boundedness of the operators and the commutators of the symbols, which will be used to prove Lemmas 3.6–3.7.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose that  $a_k(x, \xi) \in S_0(1)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq 2$ . There exist symbols  $b_k(x, \xi)$ ,  $c_k(x, \xi)$ ,  $d_k(x, \xi) \in S_0(1)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq 2$  such that*

$$a_k(x, \xi)(x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)) = a_k(x, \xi)\sharp(x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)) + hb_k(x, \xi), \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$a_k(x, \xi)(x_k + F'_k(\xi_k))^2 = a_k(x, \xi)\sharp(x_k + F'_k(\xi_k))^2 + hc_k(x, \xi)\sharp(x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)) + h^2d_k(x, \xi), \quad (2.13)$$

for  $k = 1, 2$ . As a corollary, we have the following estimates for the commutators

$$\|[\mathcal{L}_k, G_h^w(a_k(x, \xi))]v\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \|v\|_{L^2_x}, \quad \|[\mathcal{L}_k^2, G_h^w(a_k(x, \xi))]v\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \|\mathcal{L}v\|_{L^2_x} + \|v\|_{L^2_x}, \quad k = 1, 2. \quad (2.14)$$

*Proof.* We give only the proof for  $k = 1$ ; the case for  $k = 2$  can be treated similarly. An application of Proposition 2.3 yields

$$a_1(x, \xi)\sharp(x_1 + F'_1(\xi_1)) = a_1(x, \xi)(x_1 + F'_1(\xi_1)) + \frac{i\hbar}{2}H_1(x, \xi) + r^{a_1(x, \xi)\sharp(x_1 + F'_1(\xi_1))},$$

where  $H_1(x, \xi) = \partial_{x_1} a_1(x, \xi) F_1''(\xi_1) - \partial_{\xi_1} a_1(x, \xi) \in S_0(1)$  by (1.6). For (2.12), it remains to show that  $r^{a_1(x, \xi) \sharp(x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1))} \in h^2 S_0(1)$ . By  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{2i}{h} y \cdot \zeta} dy = (\pi h)^2 \delta(\zeta)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & r^{a_1(x, \xi) \sharp(x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1))} \\ &= \frac{h^2}{4} \frac{1}{(\pi h)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}(\eta z - y \cdot \zeta)} \int_0^1 \partial_{x_1}^2 a_1(x + tz, \xi + t\zeta)(1-t) dt F_1'''(\xi_1 + \eta_1) dy d\eta dz d\zeta \\ &= \frac{h^2}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2i\eta \cdot z} \int_0^1 \partial_{x_1}^2 a_1(x + t\sqrt{h}z, \xi)(1-t) dt F_1'''(\xi_1 + \sqrt{h}\eta_1) d\eta dz \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Using the identity

$$\left( \frac{1 - 2iz \cdot \partial_\eta}{1 + 4|z|^2} \right)^3 \left( \frac{1 - 2i\eta \cdot \partial_z}{1 + 4|\eta|^2} \right)^3 e^{2i\eta \cdot z} = e^{2i\eta \cdot z}$$

to integrate by parts, we obtain

$$|r^{a_1(x, \xi) \sharp(x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1))}| \lesssim h^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle z \rangle^{-3} \langle \eta \rangle^{-3} d\eta dz \lesssim h^2. \quad (2.16)$$

Similarly, we have

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta r^{a_1(x, \xi) \sharp(x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1))}| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} h^2 \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^2, \quad (2.17)$$

i.e.  $r^{a_1(x, \xi) \sharp(x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1))} \in h^2 S_0(1)$ . This completes the proof of (2.12).

Now we proceed to prove (2.13). By Proposition 2.3

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(x, \xi) \sharp(x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1))^2 &= a_1(x, \xi) (x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1))^2 + ihH_2(x, \xi) (x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1)) \\ &\quad + r^{a_1(x, \xi) \sharp(x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1))^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $H_2(x, \xi) = \partial_{x_1} a_1(x, \xi) F_1''(\xi_1) - \partial_{\xi_1} a_1(x, \xi) \in S_0(1)$  by (1.6). Using (2.12), we can write, for some symbol  $a(x, \xi) \in S_0(1)$

$$ihH_2(x, \xi) (x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1)) = ihH_2(x, \xi) \sharp(x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1)) + h^2 a(x, \xi). \quad (2.18)$$

Therefore, for (2.13) it suffices to show that  $r_1^{a_1(x, \xi) \sharp(x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1))^2}$  can be written as the form of (2.13). By (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & r_1^{a_1(x, \xi) \sharp(x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1))^2} \\ &= -\frac{h^2}{2} \frac{1}{(\pi h)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}(\eta z - y \cdot \zeta)} \int_0^1 \partial_{x_1}^2 a_1(x + tz, \xi + t\zeta)(1-t) dt \\ &\quad \times \left[ (F_1''(\xi_1 + \eta_1))^2 + (x_1 + y_1 + F_1'(\xi_1 + \eta_1)) F_1'''(\xi_1 + \eta_1) \right] dy d\eta dz d\zeta \\ &\quad + \frac{h^2}{(\pi h)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}(\eta z - y \cdot \zeta)} \int_0^1 \partial_{x_1} \partial_{\xi_1} a_1(x + tz, \xi + t\zeta)(1-t) dt F_1''(\xi_1 + \eta_1) dy d\eta dz d\zeta \\ &\quad - \frac{h^2}{2} \frac{1}{(\pi h)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}(\eta z - y \cdot \zeta)} \int_0^1 \partial_{\xi_1}^2 a_1(x + tz, \xi + t\zeta)(1-t) dt dy d\eta dz d\zeta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

It is easy to see that the right-hand side of (2.19) belongs to the symbol class  $h^2 S_0(1)$  by the

similar argument as in the proof of (2.16)–(2.17), except the term

$$R(x, \xi) = -\frac{h^2}{2} \frac{1}{(\pi h)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^8} e^{\frac{2i}{h}(\eta \cdot z - y \cdot \zeta)} \int_0^1 \partial_{x_1}^2 a_1(x + tz, \xi + t\zeta)(1-t) dt \\ \times (x_1 + y_1 + F_1'(\xi_1 + \eta_1)) F_1'''(\xi_1 + \eta_1) dy d\eta dz d\zeta.$$

By Mean Value Theorem

$$x_1 + y_1 + F_1'(\xi_1 + \eta_1) = x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1) + \eta_1 \int_0^1 F_1''(\xi_1 + s\eta_1) ds + y_1,$$

we decompose  $R(x, \xi)$  accordingly into three parts

$$R(x, \xi) = A(x, \xi)(x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1)) + R_2(x, \xi) + R_3(x, \xi).$$

Integrate  $R_2$  via the identity  $\eta_1 e^{\frac{2i}{h}(\eta \cdot z - y \cdot \zeta)} = \frac{h}{2i} \partial_{z_1} e^{\frac{2i}{h}(\eta \cdot z - y \cdot \zeta)}$  to get

$$R_2(x, \xi) = \frac{h^3}{4i} \frac{1}{(\pi h)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}(\eta \cdot z - y \cdot \zeta)} \int_0^1 \partial_{x_1}^3 a_1(x + tz, \xi + t\zeta) t(1-t) dt \\ \times F_1'''(\xi_1 + \eta_1) \int_0^1 F_1''(\xi_1 + s\eta_1) ds dy d\eta dz d\zeta,$$

which belongs to the symbol class  $h^3 S_0(1)$  by the similar argument as in the proof of (2.16)–(2.17). Moreover, a similar argument shows that  $A(x, \xi), R_3(x, \xi) \in h^2 S_0(1)$ ; so that by (2.12)

$$A(x, \xi)(x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1)) + R_2(x, \xi) + R_3(x, \xi) = h\tilde{a}(x, \xi)\sharp(x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1)) + h^2\tilde{b}(x, \xi),$$

for some symbols  $\tilde{a}(x, \xi), \tilde{b}(x, \xi) \in S_0(1)$ . This finishes the proof of (2.13).

Similarly, by calculating  $(x_k + F_k'(x_k))\sharp a_k(x, \xi)$  and  $(x_k + F_k'(x_k))^2\sharp a_k(x, \xi)$ , we get

$$a_k(x, \xi)(x_k + F_k'(x_k)) = (x_k + F_k'(x_k))\sharp a_k(x, \xi) + h\tilde{b}_k(x, \xi), \quad (2.20)$$

$$a_k(x, \xi)(x_k + F_k'(x_k))^2 = (x_k + F_k'(x_k))^2\sharp a_k(x, \xi) + h\tilde{c}_k(x, \xi)\sharp(x_k + F_k'(x_k)) + h^2\tilde{d}_k(x, \xi), \quad (2.21)$$

for some symbols  $\tilde{b}_k(x, \xi), \tilde{c}_k(x, \xi), \tilde{d}_k(x, \xi) \in S_0(1)$ . Combining (2.12)–(2.13) and (2.20)–(2.21), we obtain

$$(x_k + F_k'(\xi_k))\sharp a_k - a_k\sharp(x_k + F_k'(\xi_k)) = h(b_k - \tilde{b}_k),$$

$$(x_k + F_k'(\xi_k))^2\sharp a_k - a_k\sharp(x_k + F_k'(\xi_k))^2 = h[c_k\sharp(x_k + F_k'(\xi_k)) - \tilde{c}_k\sharp(x_k + F_k'(\xi_k))] + h^2(d_k - \tilde{d}_k).$$

Taking the Weyl quantization, recalling the definition (1.24), it follows that

$$[\mathcal{L}_k, G_h^w(a_k)]v = G_h^w(b_k - \tilde{b}_k)v,$$

$$[\mathcal{L}_k^2, G_h^w(a_k)]v = G_h^w(c_k) \circ \mathcal{L}_k v - G_h^w(\tilde{c}_k) \circ \mathcal{L}_k v + G_h^w(d_k - \tilde{d}_k)v,$$

for  $k = 1, 2$ . An application of Proposition 2.4 then yields the desired estimates (2.14).  $\square$

Using the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we get the following lemma easily and omit the details.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that  $a_k(x, \xi) \in S_0(1)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq 2$ . There exist symbols  $b_k(x, \xi)$ ,  $c_k(x, \xi)$ ,  $d_k(x, \xi) \in S_0(1)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq 2$  such that*

$$a_k(x, \xi) (\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k)) = a_k(x, \xi) \# (\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k)) + hb_k, \quad (2.22)$$

and

$$a_k(x, \xi) (\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2 = a_k(x, \xi) \# (\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2 + hc_k \# (\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k)) + h^2 d_k, \quad (2.23)$$

for  $k = 1, 2$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Suppose that  $\Gamma(\xi)$  is a smooth function that satisfies  $\Gamma \equiv 0$  in a neighborhood of zero and  $|\partial_\xi^\alpha \Gamma(\xi)| \leq C_\alpha \langle \xi \rangle^{-2-|\alpha|}$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$ . There exist symbols  $a_{kj}(x, \xi)$ ,  $b_{kj}(x, \xi) \in S_{\frac{1}{2}}(\langle \frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \rangle^{-2})$ ,  $1 \leq k, j \leq 2$ , such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma\left(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)(x_k + F'_k(\xi_k))^2 &= \left( \Gamma\left(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right) \# (x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)) \right) \# (x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^2 (ha_{kj}(x, \xi) \# (x_j + F'_j(\xi_j)) + h^2 b_{kj}(x, \xi)), \end{aligned}$$

for  $k = 1, 2$ .

*Proof.* We consider only the case  $k = 1$ ; the case  $k = 2$  follows from a similar argument. An application of Proposition 2.3 yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \Gamma\left(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right) \# (x_1 + F'_1(\xi_1)) \right) \# (x_1 + F'_1(\xi_1)) \\ &= \left( \Gamma\left(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)(x_1 + F'_1(\xi_1)) \right) \# (x_1 + F'_1(\xi_1)) - \frac{h}{4} a_0(x, \xi) \# (x_1 + F'_1(\xi_1)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &a_0(x, \xi) \\ &= \frac{1}{(\pi h)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}(\eta z - y \cdot \zeta)} \int_0^1 (\partial_{\xi_1}^2 \Gamma)\left(\frac{x + tz + F'(\xi + t\zeta)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)(1-t) dt \\ &\quad \times F_1'''(\xi_1 + \eta_1) dy d\eta dz d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{(\pi h)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}\eta \cdot z} \int_0^1 (\partial_{\xi_1}^2 \Gamma)\left(\frac{x + tz + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)(1-t) dt F_1'''(\xi_1 + \eta_1) d\eta dz. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

We claim that

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(x, \xi) &= \frac{1}{(\pi h)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}\eta \cdot z} \int_0^1 (\partial_{\xi_1}^2 \Gamma)\left(\frac{x + tz + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)(1-t) dt F_1'''(\xi_1 + \eta_1) d\eta dz \\ &\in S_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\left\langle \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right\rangle^{-2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

By making a change of variables and then integrating by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|a_0(x, \xi)| &= \left| \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \frac{1 - 2iz \cdot \partial_\eta}{1 + 4|z|^2} \right)^5 \left( \frac{1 - 2i\eta \cdot \partial_z}{1 + 4|\eta|^2} \right)^5 e^{2i\eta \cdot z} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \int_0^1 (\partial_{\xi_1}^2 \Gamma) \left( \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} + tz \right) (1-t) dt F_1'''(\xi_1 + \sqrt{h}\eta_1) d\eta dz \right| \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle z \rangle^{-5} \langle \eta \rangle^{-5} \int_0^1 \left\langle \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} + tz \right\rangle^{-2} (1-t) dt d\eta dz \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle z \rangle^{-3} \langle \eta \rangle^{-5} \left\langle \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right\rangle^{-2} d\eta dz \lesssim \left\langle \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right\rangle^{-2}, \quad (2.27)
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second inequality we used the elementary inequality

$$\langle x + y \rangle^{-2} \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-2} \langle y \rangle^{-2}, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Similarly, we have

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a_0(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} h^{-\frac{1}{2}(|\alpha| + |\beta|)} \left\langle \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right\rangle^{-2} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^2, \quad (2.28)$$

i.e.  $a_0(x, \xi) \in S_{\frac{1}{2}}(\langle \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \rangle^{-2})$ . This finishes the proof of (2.26).

Next, we deal with the first term on the right-hand side of (2.24). Setting  $\Gamma^0(\xi) = \Gamma(\xi)\xi_1$ , we deduce from Proposition 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left( \Gamma \left( \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) (x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1)) \right) \# (x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1)) \\
&= \Gamma \left( \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) (x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1))^2 - \frac{h^{\frac{3}{2}}}{4} \frac{1}{(\pi h)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}(\eta \cdot z - y \cdot \zeta)} \\
&\quad \times \int_0^1 (\partial_{\xi_1}^2 \Gamma^0) \left( \frac{x + tz + F'(\xi + t\zeta)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) (1-t) dt F_1'''(\xi_1 + \eta_1) dy d\eta dz d\zeta \\
&= \Gamma \left( \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) (x_1 + F_1'(\xi_1))^2 - \frac{h^{\frac{3}{2}}}{4(\pi h)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}\eta \cdot z} \int_0^1 (\partial_{\xi_1}^2 \Gamma^0) \left( \frac{x + tz + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) (1-t) dt \\
&\quad \times F_1'''(\xi_1 + \eta_1) d\eta dz. \quad (2.29)
\end{aligned}$$

Assume for a while that we have proved

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{h^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(\pi h)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}\eta \cdot z} \int_0^1 (\partial_{\xi_1}^2 \Gamma^0) \left( \frac{x + tz + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) (1-t) dt F_1'''(\xi_1 + \eta_1) d\eta dz \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^2 \left( h \tilde{a}_j(x, \xi) \# (x_j + F_j'(\xi_j)) + h^2 \tilde{b}_j(x, \xi) \right), \quad (2.30)
\end{aligned}$$

for some symbols  $\tilde{a}_j(x, \xi), \tilde{b}_j(x, \xi) \in S_{\frac{1}{2}}(\langle \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \rangle^{-2})$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Then Lemma 2.4 follows by substituting (2.26), (2.29) and (2.30) into (2.24).

In what follows, we prove (2.30). Let  $\Gamma^j(\xi) = \frac{\partial_{\xi_1}^2 \Gamma^0(\xi)}{|\xi|^2} \xi_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , satisfying  $|\partial_\xi^\alpha \Gamma^j(\xi)| \leq C_\alpha <$



$\xi >^{-2-|\alpha|}$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$ . By direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{h^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(\pi h)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}\eta \cdot z} \int_0^1 (\partial_{\xi_1}^2 \Gamma^0) \left( \frac{x + tz + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) (1-t) dt F_1'''(\xi_1 + \eta_1) d\eta dz \\
&= \frac{h}{(\pi h)^2} \sum_{j=1}^2 \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}\eta \cdot z} \int_0^1 \Gamma^j \left( \frac{x + tz + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) (1-t) dt F_1'''(\xi_1 + \eta_1) d\eta dz (x_j + F'_j(\xi_j)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} z_j e^{\frac{2i}{h}\eta \cdot z} \int_0^1 \Gamma^j \left( \frac{x + tz + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) (1-t) t dt F_1'''(\xi_1 + \eta_1) d\eta dz \right] \\
&= h \sum_{j=1}^2 \left[ \frac{1}{(\pi h)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}\eta \cdot z} \int_0^1 \Gamma^j \left( \frac{x + tz + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) (1-t) dt F_1'''(\xi_1 + \eta_1) d\eta dz (x_j + F'_j(\xi_j)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{ih}{2} \frac{1}{(\pi h)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}\eta \cdot z} \int_0^1 \Gamma^j \left( \frac{x + tz + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) (1-t) t dt F_1^{(4)}(\xi_1 + \eta_1) d\eta dz \right] \\
&=: h \sum_{j=1}^2 [A_j(x, \xi)(x_j + F'_j(\xi_j)) + hB_j(x, \xi)]. \tag{2.31}
\end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument as that used to derive (2.26), we get that

$$A_j(x, \xi) \in S_{\frac{1}{2}}(\langle \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \rangle^{-2}), \quad B_j(x, \xi) \in S_{\frac{1}{2}}(\langle \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \rangle^{-2}), \quad k = 1, 2.$$

This proves (2.30), from which Lemma 2.4 follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Suppose that  $\Gamma(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is a smooth function that satisfies  $\Gamma \equiv 0$  in a neighborhood of zero and  $a(x, \xi) \in S_0(1)$ . Then we have the following estimates*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G_h^w \left( \Gamma \left( \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) a(x, \xi) \right) v\|_{L_x^\infty} &\lesssim h^{1/2} (\|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}v\|_{L_x^2} + \|v\|_{L_x^2}), \\
\|G_h^w \left( \Gamma \left( \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) a(x, \xi) \right) v\|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim h (\|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}v\|_{L_x^2} + \|v\|_{L_x^2}),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}^2 = (\mathcal{L}_1^2, \mathcal{L}_2^2)$  with  $\mathcal{L}_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2$  defined by (1.24).

*Proof.* Assume for a while that we have proved: there exist smooth functions  $\tilde{\Gamma}_j(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  that satisfies  $\tilde{\Gamma}_j \equiv 0$  in a neighborhood of zero, and symbols  $a_j(x, \xi) \in S_0(1)$ ,  $b_{jk}(x, \xi)$ ,  $c_j(x, \xi)$ ,  $c(x, \xi) \in S_{\frac{1}{2}}(\langle \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \rangle^{-2})$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 3$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq 2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma \left( \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) a(x, \xi) &= \frac{1}{h} \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \tilde{\Gamma}_j \left( \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) \#(x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)) \#(x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)) \#a_j \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 b_{jk} \#(x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)) \#a_j + h \sum_{j=1}^3 c_j \#a_j + hc. \tag{2.32}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the Weyl quantization in (2.32), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_h^w\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)a(x,\xi)\right)v \\
= & h\sum_{j=1}^3\sum_{k=1}^2G_h^w\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_j\left(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)\right)\circ\mathcal{L}_k^2\circ G_h^w(a_j)v+h\sum_{j=1}^3\sum_{k=1}^2G_h^w(b_{jk})\circ\mathcal{L}_k\circ G_h^w(a_j)v \\
& +h\sum_{j=1}^3G_h^w(c_j)\circ G_h^w(a_j)v+hG_h^w(c)v.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.5, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|G_h^w\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)a(x,\xi)\right)v\|_{L_x^\infty} \\
\lesssim & h^{1/2}\sum_{j=1}^3\sum_{k=1}^2\left(\|\mathcal{L}_k^2\circ G_h^w(a_j)v\|_{L_x^2}+\|\mathcal{L}_k\circ G_h^w(a_j)v\|_{L_x^2}\right)+h^{1/2}\|v\|_{L_x^2}, \\
& \|G_h^w\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)a(x,\xi)\right)v\|_{L_x^2} \\
\lesssim & h\sum_{j=1}^3\sum_{k=1}^2\left(\|\mathcal{L}_k^2\circ G_h^w(a_j)v\|_{L_x^2}+\|\mathcal{L}_k\circ G_h^w(a_j)v\|_{L_x^2}\right)+h\|v\|_{L_x^2},
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.14) yields the desired estimates in Lemma 2.5.

We now prove (2.32). By Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma\left(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)\sharp a(x,\xi) \\
= & \Gamma\left(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)a(x,\xi)+\frac{i\sqrt{h}}{2}\sum_{k=1}^2(\partial_{\xi_k}\Gamma)\left(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)E_k(x,\xi)+r^{\Gamma\left(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)\sharp a(x,\xi)}, \quad (2.33)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $E_k(x,\xi)=\partial_{\xi_k}a(x,\xi)-\partial_{x_k}a(x,\xi)F_k''(\xi_k)\in S_0(1)$  by (1.6). Using Proposition 2.3 again, we have, for some symbols  $H_{kj}(x,\xi)\in S_0(1)$ ,  $1\leq k,j\leq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_{\xi_k}\Gamma)\left(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)\sharp E_k(x,\xi) \quad (2.34) \\
= & (\partial_{\xi_k}\Gamma)\left(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)E_k(x,\xi)+\frac{i\sqrt{h}}{2}\sum_{j=1}^2(\partial_{\xi_k}\partial_{\xi_j}\Gamma)\left(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)H_{kj}(x,\xi)+r^{(\partial_{\xi_k}\Gamma)\left(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)\sharp E_k(x,\xi)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substitution of (2.34) into (2.33) yields

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)a(x,\xi)=\Gamma\left(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)\sharp a(x,\xi)-\frac{i\sqrt{h}}{2}\sum_{k=1}^2(\partial_{\xi_k}\Gamma)\left(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)\sharp E_k(x,\xi)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{h}{4} \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 (\partial_{\xi_k} \partial_{\xi_j} \Gamma) \left( \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) H_{kj}(x, \xi) - r^{\Gamma(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}) \# a(x, \xi)} \\
& + \frac{i\sqrt{h}}{2} \sum_{k=1}^2 r^{(\partial_{\xi_k} \Gamma)(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}) \# E_k(x, \xi)}. \tag{2.35}
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Gamma \equiv 0$  in a neighborhood of zero and  $H_{kj} \in S_0(1)$ , we have

$$(\partial_{\xi_k} \partial_{\xi_j} \Gamma) \left( \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) H_{kj}(x, \xi) \in S_{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \left\langle \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right\rangle^{-2} \right), \quad 1 \leq k, j \leq 2. \tag{2.36}$$

Moreover, by the definition in (2.6) and the similar argument as in the proof of (2.27)–(2.28), we get

$$r^{\Gamma(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}) \# a(x, \xi)}, r^{(\partial_{\xi_k} \Gamma)(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}) \# E_k(x, \xi)} \in hS_{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \left\langle \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right\rangle^{-2} \right), \quad k = 1, 2. \tag{2.37}$$

Substitution of (2.36) and (2.37) into (2.35) yields

$$\Gamma \left( \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) a(x, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \Gamma_j \left( \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) \# a_j(x, \xi) + hc(x, \xi), \tag{2.38}$$

for some smooth functions  $\Gamma_j(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  that satisfies  $\Gamma_j \equiv 0$  in a neighborhood of zero, and symbols  $a_j(x, \xi) \in S_0(1)$ ,  $c(x, \xi) \in S_{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \left\langle \frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right\rangle^{-2} \right)$ .

Let  $\tilde{\Gamma}_j(\xi) = \frac{\Gamma_j(\xi)}{|\xi|^2}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , satisfying  $|\partial_\xi^\alpha \tilde{\Gamma}_j(\xi)| \leq C_\alpha < \xi >^{-2-|\alpha|}$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$ . By Lemma 2.4, there are symbols  $b_{jk}(x, \xi)$ ,  $c_j(x, \xi) \in S_{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \left\langle \frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right\rangle^{-2} \right)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_j \left( \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) &= \frac{1}{h} \sum_{k=1}^2 \tilde{\Gamma}_j \left( \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) (x_k + F'_k(\xi_k))^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{h} \sum_{k=1}^2 \left( \tilde{\Gamma}_j \left( \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) \# (x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)) \right) \# (x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)) + \sum_{k=1}^2 b_{jk} \# (x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)) + hc_j. \tag{2.39}
\end{aligned}$$

Substitution of (2.39) into (2.38) then gives (2.32), from which Lemma 2.5 follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Suppose that  $\gamma(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , satisfying  $\gamma \equiv 1$  in a neighborhood of zero. There exist symbols  $a_k(x, \xi), b_k(x, \xi) \in S_{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \left\langle \frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right\rangle^{-2} \right)$ ,  $k = 1, 2$  such that*

$$r^{(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi)) \# \gamma \left( \frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right)} - r^{\gamma \left( \frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) \# (x \cdot \xi + F(\xi))} = \sum_{k=1}^2 (ha_k(x, \xi) \# (x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)) + h^2 b_k(x, \xi)).$$

*Proof.* For simplicity, we use the notation  $\gamma_{ij}(\xi) = \partial_{\xi_i \xi_j}^2 \gamma(\xi)$ ,  $\gamma_{iii}(\xi) = \partial_{\xi_i}^3 \gamma(\xi)$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ . By Proposition 2.3

$$r^{(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi)) \# \gamma \left( \frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right)} - r^{\gamma \left( \frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) \# (x \cdot \xi + F(\xi))}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{h}{4} \frac{1}{(\pi h)^4} \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}(\eta z - y \cdot \zeta)} \left[ - \int_0^1 F_k''(\xi_k + t\zeta_k)(1-t) dt \right. \\
&\quad \times \gamma_{kk} \left( \frac{x+y+F'(\xi+\eta)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) + 2 \int_0^1 (1-t) dt \gamma_{12} \left( \frac{x+y+F'(\xi+\eta)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) F_k''(\xi_k + \eta_k) \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 \gamma_{kk} \left( \frac{x+tz+F'(\xi+t\zeta)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) (1-t) dt F_k''(\xi_k + \eta_k) \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \int_0^1 \gamma_{12} \left( \frac{x+tz+F'(\xi+t\zeta)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) F_k''(\xi_k + t\zeta_k)(1-t) dt \right] dy d\eta dz d\zeta \\
&= \frac{h}{4} \frac{1}{(\pi h)^2} \sum_{k=1}^2 \left[ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{2i}{h}y \cdot \zeta} \int_0^1 F_k''(\xi_k + t\zeta_k)(1-t) dt \gamma_{kk} \left( \frac{x+y+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) dy d\zeta \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}\eta \cdot z} \int_0^1 \gamma_{kk} \left( \frac{x+tz+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) (1-t) dt F_k''(\xi_k + \eta_k) d\eta dz \right] \\
&= \frac{h}{4} \frac{1}{(\pi h)^2} \sum_{k=1}^2 (A_k(x, \xi) + B_k(x, \xi)), \tag{2.40}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_k &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{2i}{h}y \cdot \zeta} \int_0^1 (F_k''(\xi_k + t\zeta_k) - F_k''(\xi_k)) (1-t) dt \gamma_{kk} \left( \frac{x+y+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) dy d\zeta, \\
B_k &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}\eta \cdot z} \int_0^1 \gamma_{kk} \left( \frac{x+tz+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) (1-t) dt (F_k''(\xi_k + \eta_k) - F_k''(\xi_k)) d\eta dz.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $A_k$ , using the Mean Value Theorem and the identity  $\zeta_k e^{-\frac{2i}{h}y \cdot \zeta} = -\frac{h}{2i} \partial_{y_k} e^{-\frac{2i}{h}y \cdot \zeta}$  to integrate by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{h}{4} \frac{1}{(\pi h)^2} A_k(x, \xi) &= \frac{ih^{\frac{3}{2}}}{8(\pi h)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{2i}{h}y \cdot \zeta} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 F_k'''(\xi_k + st\zeta_k) t(1-t) ds dt \\
&\quad \times \gamma_{kkk} \left( \frac{x+y+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) dy d\zeta.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the same method as that used to derive (2.30), we can write, for some symbols  $a_{kj}(x, \xi)$ ,  $b_{kj}(x, \xi) \in S_{\frac{1}{2}}(\langle \frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \rangle^{-2})$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 2$

$$\frac{h}{4} \frac{1}{(\pi h)^2} A_k(x, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^2 (h a_{kj}(x, \xi) \#(x_j + F'_j(\xi_j)) + h^2 b_{kj}(x, \xi)). \tag{2.41}$$

For  $B_k$ , we have a similar representation like (2.41). Lemma 2.6 then follows by substituting the representation of  $A_k$ ,  $B_k$  into (2.40).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Suppose that  $\gamma(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , satisfying  $\gamma \equiv 1$  in a neighborhood of zero. There*

exist symbols  $a_k(x, \xi), b_k(x, \xi) \in S_{\frac{1}{2}}(\langle \frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \rangle^{-2})$ ,  $k = 1, 2$  such that

$$r^{w(x)\sharp\gamma(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}})} - r^{\gamma(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}})\sharp w(x)} = \sum_{k=1}^2 (ha_k(x, \xi)\sharp(x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)) + h^2b_k(x, \xi)),$$

where  $w(x) = x \cdot d\phi(x) + F(d\phi(x))$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\Gamma_k^j(x, \xi) = \partial_{\xi_k}^j \left( \gamma(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}) \right)$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since

$$w(x) = x \cdot d\phi(x) + F(d\phi(x)) = \sum_{k=1}^2 (x_k d\phi_k(x_k) + F_k(d\phi_k(x_k))),$$

we have by (1.7)

$$\partial_{x_k} w(x) = d\phi_k(x_k) + (x_k + F'_k(d\phi_k(x_k)))d^2\phi_k(x_k) = d\phi_k(x_k); \quad (2.42)$$

so that

$$\partial_{x_1}\partial_{x_2} w(x) = 0, \quad \partial_{x_k}\partial_{x_k} w(x) = d^2\phi_k(x_k), \quad k = 1, 2. \quad (2.43)$$

It then follows from Proposition 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} & r^{w(x)\sharp\gamma(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}})} - r^{\gamma(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}})\sharp w(x)} \\ &= \frac{h^2}{4} \frac{1}{(\pi h)^4} \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}(\eta \cdot z - y \cdot \zeta)} \left[ - \int_0^1 d^2\phi_k(x_k + tz_k)(1-t)dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \Gamma_k^2(x+y, \xi+\eta) \int_0^1 \Gamma_k^2(x+tz, \xi+t\zeta)(1-t)dt d^2\phi_k(x_k + y_k) dy d\eta dz d\zeta \right] \\ &= \frac{h^2}{4} \frac{1}{(\pi h)^2} \sum_{k=1}^2 \left[ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}\eta \cdot z} \int_0^1 d^2\phi_k(x_k + tz_k)(1-t)dt \Gamma_k^2(x, \xi + \eta) d\eta dz \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{2i}{h}y \cdot \zeta} \int_0^1 \Gamma_k^2(x, \xi + t\zeta)(1-t)dt d^2\phi_k(x_k + y_k) dy d\zeta \right] \\ &= \frac{h^2}{4} \frac{1}{(\pi h)^2} \sum_{k=1}^2 (A_k(x, \xi) + B_k(x, \xi)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.44)$$

where

$$A_k = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{2i}{h}\eta \cdot z} \int_0^1 (d^2\phi_k(x_k + tz_k) - d^2\phi_k(x_k))(1-t)dt \Gamma_k^2(x, \xi + \eta) d\eta dz,$$

$$B_k = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{2i}{h}y \cdot \zeta} \int_0^1 \Gamma_k^2(x, \xi + t\zeta)(1-t)dt (d^2\phi_k(x_k + y_k) - d^2\phi_k(x_k)) dy d\zeta.$$

For  $B_k$ , using the Mean Value Theorem and the identity  $y_k e^{-\frac{2i}{h}y \cdot \zeta} = -\frac{h}{2i} \partial_{\zeta_k} e^{-\frac{2i}{h}y \cdot \zeta}$  to integrate by part, we obtain

$$\frac{h^2}{4} \frac{1}{(\pi h)^2} B_k(x, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{2i}{h}y \cdot \zeta} \int_0^1 h^3 \Gamma_k^3(x, \xi + t\zeta)(1-t)dt$$

$$\times \int_0^1 d^3 \phi_k(x_k + sy_k) ds dy d\zeta,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h^3 \Gamma_k^3(x, \xi) &= h^{\frac{3}{2}} (\partial_{\xi_k}^3 \gamma) \left( \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) (F_k''(\xi_k))^3 + 3h^2 (\partial_{\xi_k}^2 \gamma) \left( \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) F_k''(\xi_k) F_k'''(\xi_k) \\ &\quad + h^{\frac{5}{2}} (\partial_{\xi_k} \gamma) \left( \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \right) F_k^{(4)}(\xi_k), \quad k = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the same method as that used to derive (2.30), we can write, for some symbols  $a_{kj}(x, \xi)$ ,  $b_{kj}(x, \xi) \in S_{\frac{1}{2}}(\langle \frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \rangle^{-2})$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 2$

$$\frac{h^2}{4} \frac{1}{(\pi h)^2} B_k(x, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^2 (h a_{kj}(x, \xi) \#(x_j + F_j'(\xi_j)) + h^2 b_{kj}(x, \xi)). \quad (2.45)$$

For  $A_k$ , we have a similar representation like (2.45). Lemma 2.7 then follows by substituting the representation of  $A_k$ ,  $B_k$  into (2.44).  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

This section is devoted to proving Theorem 1.1. It is organized into two subsections. In the first one, we apply the contraction argument and the Strichartz estimate to prove the global existence and uniqueness of the solution to (1.9). In the second one, we prove the decay estimate (1.10), combining the semiclassical analysis method and the ODE argument.

#### 3.1. Proof of the global existence and uniqueness

Using the classical energy estimate method, we can obtain the following lemma easily and omit the details.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that  $\text{Im}\lambda \geq 0$  and  $u$  is a strong  $L^2$  solution of (1.9) on the time interval  $[1, T]$  with  $T > 1$ , then we have*

$$\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon \|u_0\|_{L^2}, \quad t \in [1, T].$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$  and any initial datum  $u_0 \in H^2$ ,  $(x_k + F_k'(D))^2 u_0 \in L^2$ ,  $k = 1, 2$  satisfying*

$$\|u_0\|_{H^2} + \sum_{k=1}^2 \|(x_k + F_k'(D))^2 u_0\|_{L^2} \leq 1, \quad (3.1)$$

*the Cauchy problem (1.9) has a unique global solution  $u \in C([1, \infty); L^2) \cap L_{loc}^4([1, \infty); L^\infty)$ . Moreover, there exist absolute constants  $T_0, C_1 > 0$  such that*

$$\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_1 \varepsilon, \quad (3.2)$$

*for all  $t \in (1, T_0)$ .*

*Proof.* Since the proof is classical, we only give a brief here. Considering the linear inhomogeneous system,

$$(D_t - F(D))u = f, \quad u(1) = \varepsilon u_0, \quad (3.3)$$

we have,

$$u = e^{iF(D)t} \varepsilon u_0 + i \int_1^t e^{iF(D)(t-s)} f(s) ds.$$

By direct computation, we have

$$\|e^{iF(D)t} f\|_{L_x^2} = \|f\|_{L_x^2}, \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{iF(\xi)t})(x)| \\ &= \left| \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{iF(\xi)t + ix \cdot \xi} e^{-\varepsilon|\xi|^2} d\xi \right| \\ &= \left| \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{iF(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{t}})t + i\frac{x \cdot \eta}{\sqrt{t}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{t}|\eta|^2} d\eta \right| \\ &= \left| \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{t}|\eta|^2} \left( \frac{1 - i(\sqrt{t}F'(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{t}}) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}) \cdot \partial_\eta}{1 + |\sqrt{t}F'(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{t}}) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}|^2} \right)^3 e^{iF(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{t}})t + i\frac{x \cdot \eta}{\sqrt{t}}} d\eta \right| \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\langle \sqrt{t}F'(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{t}}) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}} \right\rangle^{-3} d\eta \lesssim \frac{1}{t}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where the last inequality holds since by (1.6) and (1.7):

$$\left| \sqrt{t}F'(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{t}}) + \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}} \right| = \sqrt{t} \left| F'(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{t}}) - F'(d\phi(\frac{x}{t})) \right| \gtrsim |\eta - \sqrt{t}d\phi(\frac{x}{t})|.$$

The energy estimate (3.4) and the dispersive estimate (3.5) imply the Strichartz estimate for the solution  $u$  to (3.3) (see the theorem of Keel and Tao [32]):

$$\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^6 L_x^3} \leq C\varepsilon \|u_0\|_{L^2} + C\|f\|_{L_t^{\frac{6}{5}} L_x^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \quad (3.6)$$

Choosing that  $f = \lambda|u|u$ , and applying Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^6 L_x^3} \leq C\varepsilon \|u_0\|_{L^2} + C\sqrt{t-1} \|u\|_{L_t^6 L_x^3}^2. \quad (3.7)$$

Using the contraction principle in the space  $L_t^\infty([1, T']; L_x^2) \cap L_t^6([1, T']; L_x^3)$  provided  $1 < T' < 1 + (2C)^{-4}\varepsilon^{-2}$ , we obtain that the Cauchy problem (1.9) has a unique local solution. Since  $\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon \|u_0\|_{L^2}$  by Lemma 3.1, this local solution can be extended to  $[0, \infty)$  with  $u \in L_t^\infty([1, T]; L_x^2) \cap L_t^6([1, T]; L_x^3)$  for all  $T > 1$ . Moreover, it is easy to check that  $u \in C([0, T]; L^2)$  for all  $T > 0$ , and omit the details.

It remains to prove the inequality (3.2). Notice that

$$\partial_{x_k}^2 u = e^{iF(D)t} \varepsilon \partial_{x_k}^2 u_0 + i\lambda \int_1^t e^{iF(D)(t-s)} \partial_{x_k}^2 (|u|u) ds, \quad k = 1, 2$$

and  $|\partial_{x_k}^2 (|u|u)| \lesssim |u| |\Delta u| + |\nabla u|^2$  (see (A.6)). Using successively Strichartz estimate (3.6) and

Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^6 L_x^3} &\leq C\varepsilon \|u_0\|_{H^2} + C\|u\Delta u\|_{L_t^{\frac{6}{5}} L_x^{\frac{3}{2}}} + C\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^{\frac{6}{5}} L_x^{\frac{3}{2}}}^2 \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \|u_0\|_{H^2} + C\sqrt{t-1}\|u\|_{L_t^6 L_x^3} \|\Delta u\|_{L_t^6 L_x^3}, \end{aligned}$$

where we also used Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality  $\|\nabla u\|_{L_x^{\frac{3}{2}}}^2 = \|\nabla u\|_{L_x^3}^2 \lesssim \|u\|_{L_x^3} \|\Delta u\|_{L_x^3}$ . Choosing  $T_0 > 1$  sufficiently approaches 1, the above inequality implies that

$$\|\Delta u\|_{L^\infty((1,t);L^2) \cap L^6((1,t);L^3)} \leq 2C\varepsilon \|u_0\|_{H^2}, \quad \forall t \in (1, T_0).$$

This together with Lemma 3.1 and Sobolev's embedding  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  yields the desired estimate (3.2).  $\square$

### 3.2. Proof of the global decay estimate

The goal of this subsection is to derive the decay estimate (1.10), thus completing the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let  $u$  be the solution of (1.9) given by Theorem 1.3. We make first a semiclassical change of variables

$$u(t, x) = hv(t, hx), \quad h = \frac{1}{t}, \quad (3.8)$$

that allows rewriting the equation (1.9) as

$$(D_t - G_h^w(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi)))v = \lambda h|v|v. \quad (3.9)$$

By direct calculation, we have

$$\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} = \|v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}, \quad \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} = \sqrt{h}\|v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (3.10)$$

Moreover, we have that (recall that  $\mathcal{L}_k$  are the operators defined in (1.24))

$$h(\mathcal{L}_k^2 v)(t, hx) = (x_k + tF'_k(D))^2 u(t, x) \quad k = 1, 2;$$

so that

$$\|\mathcal{L}^2 v(t, x)\|_{L_x^2} = \sum_{k=1}^2 \|(x_k + tF'_k(D))^2 u\|_{L_x^2}. \quad (3.11)$$

By (3.10), the decay estimate (1.10) is equivalent to

$$\|v(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon, \quad t > 1. \quad (3.12)$$

To prove (3.12), we decompose  $v = v_\Lambda + v_{\Lambda^c}$  with

$$v_\Lambda = G_h^w(\Gamma(x, \xi))v,$$

where  $\Gamma(x, \xi) = \gamma(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}})$ ,  $\gamma \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfying  $\gamma \equiv 1$  in a neighbourhood of zero.

We will use the following Sobolev type inequality. For the convenience of the readers, we give the proof in the Appendix.



**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume  $v : [1, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, T > 1$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_2$  independent of  $T$  and  $v$  such that for all  $t \in [1, T]$*

$$\|\mathcal{L}^2(|v|v)\|_{L_x^2} \leq C_2 \|v\|_{L_x^\infty} (\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2}), \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{L}v\|_{L_x^2} \leq C_2 (\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2}), \quad (3.14)$$

provided the right-hand sides are finite.

The rest of this subsection is organized as follows. In Lemma 3.3, we show that  $v_{\Lambda^c}$  decays faster than  $v$  and (3.12) is reduced to prove

$$\|v_{\Lambda}(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon, \quad t > 1.$$

To derive this, we apply  $G_h^w(\Gamma(x, \xi))$  to (3.9) and deduce an ODE for  $v_{\Lambda}$ :

$$D_t v_{\Lambda} = w(x)v_{\Lambda} + \lambda t^{-1}|v_{\Lambda}|v_{\Lambda} + R(v), \quad (3.15)$$

where  $w(x) = x \cdot d\phi(x) + F(d\phi(x))$  and

$$\begin{aligned} R(v) &= [D_t - G_h^w(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi)), G_h^w(\Gamma)]v + G_h^w(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi) - w(x))v_{\Lambda} \\ &\quad - \lambda t^{-1} G_h^w(1 - \Gamma)(|v|v) + \lambda t^{-1} (|v|v - |v_{\Lambda}|v_{\Lambda}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Then we establish the decay estimates of  $R(v)$  in Lemmas 3.4–3.7. Finally, at the end of this subsection, we derive the desired  $L^\infty$  estimate for  $v_{\Lambda}$  and then in the solution  $u$ , combining the ODE and the bootstrap argument. This together with Theorem 1.3 finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose  $u$  is a solution of (1.9) given by Theorem 3.1 and  $v$  is defined by (3.8), there is a constant  $C_3 > 0$  such that for all  $t > 1$ ,*

$$\|v_{\Lambda^c}(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq C_3 t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2}), \quad (3.17)$$

$$\|v_{\Lambda^c}(t, x)\|_{L_x^2} \leq C_3 t^{-1} (\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2}). \quad (3.18)$$

*Proof.* Set  $\Gamma_{-2}(\xi) = \frac{1-\gamma(\xi)}{|\xi|^2}$ , satisfying  $|\partial_\xi^\alpha \Gamma_{-2}(\xi)| \leq C_\alpha \langle \xi \rangle^{-2-|\alpha|}$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$ . By Lemma 2.4, there are symbols  $a_{kj}(x, \xi), b_{kj}(x, \xi) \in S_{\frac{1}{2}}(\langle \frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \rangle^{-2})$ ,  $1 \leq k, j \leq 2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \gamma\left(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right) &= \frac{1}{h} \sum_{k=1}^2 \Gamma_{-2}\left(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right) (x_k + F'_k(\xi_k))^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \sum_{k=1}^2 \left[ \left( \Gamma_{-2}\left(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right) \#(x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)) \right) \#(x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^2 (h a_{kj} \#(x_j + F'_j(\xi_j)) + h^2 b_{kj}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the Weyl quantization, then using Proposition 2.2, one obtains

$$v_{\Lambda^c}(t, x) = h \sum_{k=1}^2 \left[ G_h^w(\Gamma_{-2}(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}})) \circ \mathcal{L}_k^2 v + \sum_{j=1}^2 (G_h^w(a_{kj}) \circ \mathcal{L}_j v + G_h^w(b_{kj}) v) \right].$$

By Proposition 2.5 and Proposition 2.6, one has

$$\|v_{\Lambda^c}(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim h^{1/2} (\|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L} v\|_{L_x^2} + \|v\|_{L_x^2}). \quad (3.19)$$

On the other hand, since  $S_{\frac{1}{2}}(\langle \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \rangle^{-2}) \subset S_{\frac{1}{2}}(1)$ , it follows from Proposition 2.4 that

$$\|v_{\Lambda^c}(t, x)\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim h (\|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L} v\|_{L_x^2} + \|v\|_{L_x^2}). \quad (3.20)$$

Substitution of (3.14) into (3.19)–(3.20) yields the desired estimates in Lemma 3.3.  $\square$

Lemmas 3.4–3.7 are devoted to prove the decay estimate of  $R(v)$  in (3.16).

**Lemma 3.4.** *With the same assumptions as in Lemma 3.3, the following inequalities hold for all  $t > 1$*

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_h^w(1 - \Gamma)(|v|v)\|_{L_x^\infty} &\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_{L_x^\infty} (\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2}), \\ \|G_h^w(1 - \Gamma)(|v|v)\|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim t^{-1} \|v\|_{L_x^\infty} (\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Using the same method as that used to derive (3.17)–(3.18), one gets

$$\|G_h^w(1 - \Gamma)(|v|v)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim t^{-1/2} (\|v\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|\mathcal{L}^2(|v|v)\|_{L_x^2}),$$

$$\|G_h^w(1 - \Gamma)(|v|v)\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim t^{-1} (\|v\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|\mathcal{L}^2(|v|v)\|_{L_x^2}),$$

which together with the inequality (3.13) yields the desired estimates in Lemma 3.4.  $\square$

Similarly, we can obtain the following lemma easily and omit the details.

**Lemma 3.5.** *With the same assumptions as in Lemma 3.3, the following inequalities hold for all  $t > 1$*

$$\begin{aligned} \| |v|v - |v_\Lambda|v_\Lambda \|_{L_x^\infty} &\lesssim t^{-1/2} (\|v\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|v_\Lambda\|_{L_x^\infty}) (\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2}), \\ \| |v|v - |v_\Lambda|v_\Lambda \|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim t^{-1} (\|v\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|v_\Lambda\|_{L_x^\infty}) (\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2}). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.6.** *With the same assumptions as in Lemma 3.3, the following inequalities hold for all  $t > 1$*

$$\|[D_t - G_h^w(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi)), G_h^w(\Gamma)]v\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim t^{-3/2} (\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2}),$$

and

$$\|[D_t - G_h^w(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi)), G_h^w(\Gamma)]v\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim t^{-2} (\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2}).$$

*Proof.* First we start by calculating  $[D_t, G_h^w(\Gamma)] = D_t G_h^w(\Gamma) - G_h^w(\Gamma) D_t$ . Since  $h = t^{-1}$ , by direct computation, we have, under the notation  $\gamma_k(\xi) = \partial_{\xi_k} \gamma(\xi)$ ,

$$D_t G_h^w(\Gamma) v$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{i} \partial_t \left[ \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\cdot\xi} \gamma\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + \frac{F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right) v(t, y) dy d\xi \right] \\
&= G_h^w(\Gamma) D_t v - \frac{it}{2\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\cdot\xi} \gamma\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + \frac{F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right) v(t, y) dy d\xi \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\cdot\xi} (x-y) \cdot \xi \gamma\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + \frac{F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right) v(t, y) dy d\xi \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^2} \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\cdot\xi} \gamma_k\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + \frac{F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right) \left(\frac{x_k + y_k}{2} + F'_k(\xi_k)\right) \frac{\sqrt{h}}{2i} v(t, y) dy d\xi \\
&= -2hi G_h^w(\Gamma) v + \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\cdot\xi} (x-y) \cdot \xi \gamma\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + \frac{F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right) v(t, y) dy d\xi \\
&\quad - \frac{i\sqrt{h}}{2} \sum_{k=1}^2 G_h^w \left( \gamma_k\left(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right) (x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)) \right) v + G_h^w(\Gamma) D_t v. \tag{3.21}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using the identity  $(x-y) \cdot \xi e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\cdot\xi} = \frac{h}{i} \sum_{k=1}^2 \partial_{\xi_k} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\cdot\xi} \xi_k$  to integrate by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{(2\pi h)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\cdot\xi} (x-y) \cdot \xi \gamma\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + \frac{F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right) v(t, y) dy d\xi \\
&= \frac{2hi}{(2\pi h)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\cdot\xi} \gamma\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + \frac{F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right) v(t, y) dy d\xi \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^2} \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\cdot\xi} \gamma_k\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + \frac{F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right) \xi_k F''_k(\xi_k) i\sqrt{h} v(t, y) dy d\xi \\
&= 2hi G_h^w \left( \gamma\left(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right) \right) v + i\sqrt{h} \sum_{k=1}^2 G_h^w \left( \gamma_k\left(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right) \xi_k F''_k(\xi_k) \right) v. \tag{3.22}
\end{aligned}$$

Substitution of (3.22) into (3.21) yields

$$[D_t, G_h^w(\Gamma)] v = i\sqrt{h} \sum_{k=1}^2 G_h^w \left( \gamma_k\left(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right) (\xi_k F''_k(\xi_k) - \frac{x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)}{2}) \right) v. \tag{3.23}$$

On the other hand, an application of Proposition 2.3 gives

$$[G_h^w(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi)), G_h^w(\Gamma)] v = i\sqrt{h} \sum_{k=1}^2 G_h^w \left( \gamma_k\left(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right) (\xi_k F''_k(\xi_k) - (x_k + F'_k(\xi_k))) \right) v + G_h^w(r) v, \tag{3.24}$$

where  $r =: r^{(x\xi + F(\xi))\sharp\gamma\left(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right) - r^{\gamma\left(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right)\sharp(x\xi + F(\xi))}$ . Combining (3.23) and (3.24), then using Lemma 2.6, we have for some symbols  $a_k(x, \xi), b_k(x, \xi) \in S_{\frac{1}{2}}(\langle \frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} \rangle^{-2})$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq 2$

$$[D_t - G_h^w(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi)), G_h^w(\Gamma)] v = \frac{i\sqrt{h}}{2} \sum_{k=1}^2 G_h^w \left( \gamma_k\left(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}\right) (x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)) \right) v - G_h^w(r) v$$

$$= h \sum_{k=1}^2 G_h^w(\Gamma^k(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}))v + h^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 (G_h^w(a_k) \circ \mathcal{L}_k v + G_h^w(b_k)v),$$

where  $\Gamma^k(\xi) =: \frac{i\gamma_k(\xi)\xi_k}{2} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , satisfying  $\Gamma^k \equiv 0$  in a neighborhood of zero. By Propositions 2.4–2.6 and Lemma 2.5, we find the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|[D_t - G_h^w(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi)), G_h^w(\Gamma)]v\|_{L_x^\infty} &\lesssim h^{3/2}(\|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}v\|_{L_x^2} + \|v\|_{L_x^2}), \\ \|[D_t - G_h^w(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi)), G_h^w(\Gamma)]v\|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim h^2(\|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}v\|_{L_x^2} + \|v\|_{L_x^2}), \end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.14) yields the desired estimates in Lemma 3.6.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *With the same assumptions as in Lemma 3.3, the following inequalities hold for all  $t > 1$*

$$\|G_h^w(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi) - w(x))v_\Lambda\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim t^{-3/2}(\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2}), \quad (3.25)$$

$$\|G_h^w(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi) - w(x))v_\Lambda\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim t^{-2}(\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2}). \quad (3.26)$$

*Proof.* From Proposition 2.3 and (2.42)–(2.43), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi) - w(x))\sharp\gamma(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}) \\ = &\gamma(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}})\sharp(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi) - w(x)) \\ &+ i\sqrt{h} \sum_{k=1}^2 \gamma_k(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}) [F_k''(\xi_k)(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k)) - (x_k + F_k'(\xi_k))] \\ &+ (r^{(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi))\sharp\gamma(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}})} - r^{\gamma(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}})\sharp(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi))}) - (r^{w(x)\sharp\gamma(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}})} - r^{\gamma(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}})\sharp w(x)}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma_k(\xi)$  denotes  $\partial_{\xi_k}\gamma(\xi)$ . By Lemmas 2.6–2.7, there are symbols  $a_k(x, \xi), b_k(x, \xi) \in S_{\frac{1}{2}}(< \frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}} >^{-2})$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi) - w(x))\sharp\gamma(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}) \\ = &\gamma(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}})\sharp(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi) - w(x)) + h \sum_{k=1}^2 \Gamma^k(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}})E_k(x, \xi) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^2 (ha_k(x, \xi)\sharp(x_k + F_k'(\xi_k)) + h^2 b_k(x, \xi)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

where  $E_k(x, \xi) =: F_k''(\xi_k)\tilde{e}_k(x, \xi) - 1 \in S_0(1)$  by (1.6) and Lemma 2.1 and  $\Gamma^k(\xi) =: i\gamma_k(\xi)\xi_k \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , satisfying  $\Gamma^k \equiv 0$  in a neighborhood of zero.

We now deal with the first term on the right-hand side of (3.27). Since  $\nabla_\xi(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi))|_{\xi=d\phi(x)} = 0$ , one would get

$$x \cdot \xi + F(\xi)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= x \cdot d\phi(x) + F(d\phi(x)) + \sum_{k=1}^2 (\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2 \int_0^1 F_k''(s\xi_k + (1-s)d\phi_k(x_k))(1-s)ds \\
&= w(x) + \sum_{k=1}^2 \tilde{b}_k(x, \xi)(x_k + F'_k(\xi_k))^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $w(x) = x \cdot d\phi(x) + F(d\phi(x))$  and

$$\tilde{b}_k(x, \xi) = \tilde{e}_k^2(x, \xi) \int_0^1 F_k''(s\xi_k + (1-s)d\phi_k(x_k))(1-s)ds, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Since  $\tilde{b}_k(x, \xi) \in S_0(1)$  by (1.6) and Lemma 2.1, it follows from (2.13) that

$$x \cdot \xi + F(\xi) - w(x) = \sum_{k=1}^2 \left( \tilde{b}_k(x, \xi) \sharp(x_k + F'_k(\xi_k))^2 + hc_k(x, \xi) \sharp(x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)) + h^2 d_k(x, \xi) \right), \quad (3.28)$$

for some symbols  $c_k(x, \xi), d_k(x, \xi) \in S_0(1)$ .

Substituting (3.28) into (3.27), then taking the Weyl quantization, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&G_h^w(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi) - w(x))v_\Lambda \\
&= h^2 G_h^w(\gamma(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}})) \circ \sum_{k=1}^2 \left[ G_h^w(\tilde{b}_k) \circ \mathcal{L}_k^2 v + G_h^w(c_k) \circ \mathcal{L}_k v + G_h^w(d_k)v \right] \\
&\quad + h \sum_{k=1}^2 G_h^w(\Gamma^k(\frac{x + F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}})) E_k(x, \xi)v + h^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 [G_h^w(a_k) \circ \mathcal{L}_k v + G_h^w(b_k)v].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using Propositions 2.4–2.6 and Lemma 2.5, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G_h^w(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi) - w(x))v_\Lambda\|_{L_x^\infty} &\lesssim t^{-3/2}(\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2}), \\
\|G_h^w(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi) - w(x))v_\Lambda\|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim t^{-2}(\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2}),
\end{aligned}$$

which together with the Sobolev type inequality (3.14) yields the desired estimates in Lemma 3.7.  $\square$

**Proof of the decay estimate (1.10).** It follows from Lemmas 3.4–3.7 that there exists a constant  $C_4 > 0$  such that

$$\|R(v)\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq C_4 t^{-3/2} (1 + \|v\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|v_\Lambda\|_{L_x^\infty}) (\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2}), \quad (3.29)$$

and

$$\|R(v)\|_{L_x^2} \leq C_4 t^{-2} (1 + \|v\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|v_\Lambda\|_{L_x^\infty}) (\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2}). \quad (3.30)$$

Let

$$A = \max \{C_1, C_3, 24C_4, C_5\}, \quad (3.31)$$

$$\varepsilon_0 = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{6A}, \frac{1}{32A|\lambda|C_2} \right\}, \quad (3.32)$$

where  $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5$  are the constants in (3.2), Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3, (3.29), (3.40), re-

spectively.

In what follows, we assume that  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  and  $v$  satisfies the following bootstrap assumption on  $t \in (1, T_1)$ :

$$\|v(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq 4A\varepsilon. \quad (3.33)$$

From (3.2), (3.10) and (3.31), we see that  $T_1 > 1$ .

**Claim 3.1.** *With the preceding notations,  $\|\mathcal{L}^2 v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq 2\varepsilon t^{8A|\lambda|C_2\varepsilon}$ .*

*Proof.* We notice the fundamental commutation property

$$[D_t - G_h^w(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi)), \mathcal{L}^2] = 0,$$

that follows by direct computation (one can also see that more easily going back to the non-semiclassical coordinates). Applying the operator  $\mathcal{L}^2$  to the equation (3.9), we get

$$(D_t - G_h^w(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi)))\mathcal{L}^2 v = \lambda t^{-1} \mathcal{L}^2(|v|v). \quad (3.34)$$

Since  $G_h^w(x \cdot \xi + F(\xi))$  is self-adjoint on  $L^2$  by Proposition 2.1, it follows from Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2, the Sobolev type inequality (3.13) and the bootstrap assumption (3.33) that,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2} &\leq \frac{2C_2|\lambda|\|v\|_{L_x^\infty}}{t} (\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2}) \\ &\leq \frac{8A|\lambda|C_2\varepsilon}{t} (\varepsilon + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v\|_{L_x^2}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

By (3.11) and (3.1)

$$\|\mathcal{L}^2 v(1, \cdot)\|_{L^2} = \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^2 \|(x_k + F'_k(D))u_0\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon,$$

we get after integrating the inequality (3.35) from 1 to  $t$

$$\varepsilon + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq 2\varepsilon + \int_1^t \frac{8A|\lambda|C_2\varepsilon}{s} (\varepsilon + \|\mathcal{L}^2 v(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}) ds.$$

From Gronwall's inequality, we have the desired estimate in Claim 3.1.  $\square$

Lemma 3.3 and Claim 3.1 imply

$$\|v_{\Lambda^c}(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq C_3 t^{-1/2} (\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon t^{8A|\lambda|C_2\varepsilon}) \leq 4C_3 \varepsilon t^{-1/2+8A|\lambda|C_2\varepsilon}. \quad (3.36)$$

This together with the bootstrap assumption (3.33) and (3.31) yields

$$\|v_{\Lambda}(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq \|v(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|v_{\Lambda^c}(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq 4A\varepsilon + 4C_3\varepsilon \leq 8A\varepsilon. \quad (3.37)$$

Combining (3.33), (3.37) and Claim 3.1, we can upgrade the estimates of  $R(v)$  in (3.29)–(3.30) to

$$\|R(v)\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq 6C_4 \varepsilon t^{-3/2+8A|\lambda|C_2\varepsilon}, \quad \|R(v)\|_{L_x^2} \leq 6C_4 \varepsilon t^{-2+8A|\lambda|C_2\varepsilon}. \quad (3.38)$$

**Claim 3.2.** *With the preceding notations,  $\|v_{\Lambda}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2A\varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* Multiplying the equation (3.9) by  $\overline{v_\Lambda}$ , then taking Imaginary part, we get

$$\partial_t |v_\Lambda|^2 = -\text{Im}(R(v)\overline{v_\Lambda}),$$

which together with (3.38) and (3.31)–(3.32) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_\Lambda(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} &\leq \|v_\Lambda(1, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} + \int_1^t \|R(v)\|_{L_x^\infty} ds \\ &\leq C_5\varepsilon + 6C_4\varepsilon \int_1^t s^{-3/2+8A|\lambda|C_2\varepsilon} ds \\ &\leq C_5\varepsilon + \frac{12C_4\varepsilon}{1-16A|\lambda|C_2\varepsilon} \leq 2A\varepsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

where the second inequality holds since by Proposition 2.5, there exists a absolute constant  $C_5 > 0$

$$\|v_\Lambda(1, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} = \|G_h^w(\gamma(\frac{x+F'(\xi)}{\sqrt{h}}))v|_{h=1}\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq C_5\|v\|_{L_x^2} \leq C_5\varepsilon. \quad (3.40)$$

□

The estimates in (3.36) and Claim 3.2 imply

$$\|v(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq \|v_\Lambda(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|v_{\Lambda^c}(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq 2A\varepsilon + 4C_3\varepsilon \leq 3A\varepsilon. \quad (3.41)$$

A standard continuation argument then yields that  $T_1 = \infty$  and the estimates in Claim 3.1, Claim 3.2 and (3.41) hold for all  $t > 1$ . Estimate (3.41) together with (3.10) yields the desired time decay estimate (1.10), from which Theorem 1.1 follows. □

#### 4. Proof of Theorems 1.2–1.4

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.2–1.4 by deducing the long-time behavior of solutions from the associated ODE dynamics. It is divided into three subsections.

##### 4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2

The proof is inspired by Section 4 of Shimomura [30]. By the definition of  $\Phi(t, x)$  in (1.11) and Claim 3.2, we have that

$$\|\Phi(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq \int_1^t s^{-1} \|v_\Lambda(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} ds \leq 2A\varepsilon \log t, \quad t > 1. \quad (4.1)$$

Let

$$z(t, x) = v_\Lambda(t, x)e^{-i(w(x)t+\lambda\Phi(t,x))}, \quad t > 1. \quad (4.2)$$

From the equation (3.15) and (4.2), we have that

$$\partial_t z(t, x) = iR(v)e^{-i(w(x)t+\lambda\Phi(t,x))};$$

so that for all  $t_2 > t_1 > 1$

$$z(t_2, x) - z(t_1, x) = i \int_{t_1}^{t_2} R(v)e^{-i(w(x)s+\lambda\Phi(s,x))} ds.$$

Estimates (3.38) and (4.1) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z(t_2, x) - z(t_1, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} &\lesssim \varepsilon \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|R(v)\|_{L_x^\infty} e^{\operatorname{Im}\lambda \|\Phi(s, x)\|_{L_x^\infty}} ds \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon \int_{t_1}^{t_2} s^{-3/2+C_6\varepsilon+2\operatorname{Im}\lambda A\varepsilon} ds \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon t_1^{-1/2+C_6\varepsilon+2\operatorname{Im}\lambda A\varepsilon},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C_6 = 8A|\lambda|C_2$ . A similar argument shows that

$$\|z(t_2, x) - z(t_1, x)\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \varepsilon t_1^{-1+C_6\varepsilon+2\operatorname{Im}\lambda A\varepsilon}, \quad \text{for all } t_2 > t_1 > 1.$$

Therefore, there exists  $z_+(x) \in L_x^\infty \cap L_x^2$  such that

$$\|z(t, x) - z_+(x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon t^{-1/2+C_6\varepsilon+2\operatorname{Im}\lambda A\varepsilon}, \quad \|z(t, x) - z_+(x)\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \varepsilon t^{-1+C_6\varepsilon+2\operatorname{Im}\lambda A\varepsilon}, \quad (4.3)$$

from which Theorem 1.2 follows.

#### 4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Since  $\operatorname{Im}\lambda = 0$  and  $\Phi(t, x)$  is a real-valued function, it follows from (4.2) that  $|v_\Lambda(t, x)| = |z(t, x)|$ . Recalling the definitions of  $\Phi(t, x)$  and  $\phi_+(x)$  in (1.11), (1.12), it follows that

$$\phi_+(x) + |z_+(x)| \log t - \Phi(t, x) = \int_t^\infty s^{-1}(|z(s, x)| - |z_+(x)|) ds. \quad (4.4)$$

Applying (4.3) to (4.4), we find the estimate

$$\|\phi_+(x) + |z_+(x)| \log t - \Phi(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim t^{-1/2+C_6\varepsilon}. \quad (4.5)$$

Estimates (4.3) and (4.5) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|z_+(x) e^{i(w(x)t + \lambda\phi_+(x) + \lambda|z_+(x)| \log t)} - v_\Lambda\|_{L_x^\infty} \\
&\lesssim \|z_+(x) e^{i(w(x)t + \lambda\phi_+(x) + \lambda|z_+(x)| \log t)} - z_+(x) e^{i(w(x)t + \lambda\Phi(t, x))}\|_{L_x^\infty} \\
&\quad + \|z_+(x) e^{i(w(x)t + \lambda\Phi(t, x))} - v_\Lambda(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \\
&\lesssim \|\phi_+(x) + |z_+(x)| \log t - \Phi(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|z_+(x) - z(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \\
&\lesssim t^{-1/2+C_6\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 3.3 and Claim 3.1

$$\|v_{\Lambda^c}(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim t^{-1/2+C_6\varepsilon}, \quad \|v_{\Lambda^c}(t, x)\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim t^{-1+C_6\varepsilon}, \quad t > 1, \quad (4.7)$$

we obtain, by substituting (4.7) into (4.6)

$$\|v(t, x) - z_+(x) e^{i(w(x)t + \lambda\phi_+(x) + \lambda|z_+(x)| \log t)}\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim t^{-1/2+C_6\varepsilon}, \quad t > 1. \quad (4.8)$$

In the same manner, we get

$$\|v(t, x) - z_+(x) e^{i(w(x)t + \lambda\phi_+(x) + \lambda|z_+(x)| \log t)}\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim t^{-1+C_6\varepsilon}, \quad t > 1. \quad (4.9)$$



The asymptotic formula (1.13) then follows from the estimates (4.8)–(4.9) and (3.10).

It remains to derive the modified linear scattering formula (1.14). From the asymptotic formula (1.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-iF(D)t} e^{-i\lambda(\phi_+(\frac{x}{t})+|z_+(\frac{x}{t})|\log t)} u(t, x) &= e^{-iF(D)t} \frac{1}{t} z_+(\frac{x}{t}) e^{itw(\frac{x}{t})} + O_{L^2}(t^{-1+C\varepsilon}) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} e^{-iF(\xi)t} \frac{1}{t} z_+(\frac{y}{t}) e^{itw(\frac{y}{t})} dy d\xi + O_{L^2}(t^{-1+C\varepsilon}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Making a change of variables then using (1.27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{-iF(D)t} e^{-i\lambda(\phi_+(\frac{x}{t})+|z_+(\frac{x}{t})|\log t)} u(t, x) + O_{L^2}(t^{-1+C\varepsilon}) \\ &= \frac{t}{(2\pi)^2} \int \int e^{ix\cdot\xi-it\int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^2 F_k''(\tau\xi_k+(1-\tau)d\phi_k(y_k))(1-\tau)d\tau(\xi_k-d\phi_k(y_k))^2} z_+(y) dy d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \int e^{ix\cdot(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{t}}+d\phi(y))-i\sum_{k=1}^2 \eta_k^2 \int_0^1 F_k''(d\phi_k(y_k)+\tau\frac{\eta_k}{\sqrt{t}})(1-\tau)d\tau} z_+(y) dy d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and using the dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{-iF(D)t} e^{-i\lambda(\phi_+(\frac{x}{t})+|z_+(\frac{x}{t})|\log t)} u(t, x) \\ &\stackrel{L^2}{=} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \int e^{ix\cdot d\phi(y)-\frac{i}{2}\sum_{k=1}^2 \eta_k^2 F_k''(d\phi_k(y_k))} z_+(y) dy d\eta \\ &\stackrel{L^2}{=} -\frac{i}{2\pi} \int e^{ix\cdot d\phi(y)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_{k=1}^2 F_k''(\phi_k(y_k))}} z_+(y) dy = u_+(x), \end{aligned}$$

from which the modified scattering formula (1.14) follows.

#### 4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

We start by deriving the asymptotic formula (4.12) for  $\Phi(t, x)$ , since (4.5) does not hold in the case  $\text{Im}\lambda > 0$ . Note that by the definition of  $\Phi(t, x)$  in (1.11) and (4.2)

$$\partial_t \Phi(t, x) = t^{-1} |v_\Lambda(t, x)| = t^{-1} |z(t, x)| e^{-\text{Im}\lambda\Phi(t, x)}, \quad t > 1;$$

so that

$$\partial_t e^{\text{Im}\lambda\Phi(t, x)} = \text{Im}\lambda t^{-1} |z(t, x)|, \quad t > 1.$$

Integrating the above equation from 1 to  $t$ , we get

$$e^{\text{Im}\lambda\Phi(t, x)} = 1 + \text{Im}\lambda \int_1^t s^{-1} |z(s, x)| ds, \quad t > 1.$$

Recalling the definition of  $\psi_+(x)$  in (1.16), it follows that

$$e^{\text{Im}\lambda\Phi(t, x)} - (1 + \text{Im}\lambda |z_+(x)| \log t) - \psi_+(x) = -\text{Im}\lambda \int_t^\infty s^{-1} (|z(s, x)| - |z_+(x)|) ds. \quad (4.11)$$

Applying (4.3), we find the estimate

$$\|e^{\text{Im}\lambda\Phi(t, x)} - (1 + \text{Im}\lambda |z_+(x)| \log t) - \psi_+(x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon t^{-1/2+C_6\varepsilon+2\text{Im}\lambda A\varepsilon}. \quad (4.12)$$

In particular, we have that

$$1 + \operatorname{Im}\lambda|z_+(x)| \log t + \psi_+(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad (4.13)$$

provided that  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small.

We now prove the asymptotic formula (1.18). By triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \|e^{i(w(x)t + \lambda S(t,x))} z_+(x) - v_\Lambda(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ \leq & \|e^{iw(x)t} (e^{i\lambda S(t,x)} - e^{i\lambda \Phi(t,x)}) z_+\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|e^{iw(x)t} e^{i\lambda \Phi(t,x)} z_+ - v_\Lambda(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ \lesssim & \|e^{i\operatorname{Re}\lambda S(t,x)} (e^{-\operatorname{Im}\lambda S(t,x)} - e^{-\operatorname{Im}\lambda \Phi(t,x)})\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|(e^{i\operatorname{Re}\lambda S(t,x)} - e^{i\operatorname{Re}\lambda \Phi(t,x)}) e^{-\operatorname{Im}\lambda \Phi(t,x)}\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ & + \|z_+(x) - z(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where we used  $|e^{iw(x)t + i\lambda \Phi(t,x)}| = e^{-\operatorname{Im}\lambda \Phi(t,x)} \leq 1$ . By the definition of  $S(t, x)$  in (1.17), (4.13) and the estimate (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|e^{i\operatorname{Re}\lambda S(t,x)} (e^{-\operatorname{Im}\lambda S(t,x)} - e^{-\operatorname{Im}\lambda \Phi(t,x)})\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ \leq & \|(1 + \operatorname{Im}\lambda|z_+(x)| \log t + \psi_+(x))^{-1} - e^{-\operatorname{Im}\lambda \Phi(t,x)}\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ \lesssim & \|e^{\operatorname{Im}\lambda \Phi(t,x)} - (1 + \operatorname{Im}\lambda|z_+(x)| \log t + \psi_+(x))\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ \lesssim & t^{-1/2 + C_6\varepsilon + 2\operatorname{Im}\lambda A\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

A similar argument shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(e^{i\operatorname{Re}\lambda S(t,x)} - e^{i\operatorname{Re}\lambda \Phi(t,x)}) e^{-\operatorname{Im}\lambda \Phi(t,x)}\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ \lesssim & \|S(t, x) - \Phi(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \|e^{\operatorname{Im}\lambda S(t,x)} - e^{\operatorname{Im}\lambda \Phi(t,x)}\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ \lesssim & \|(1 + \operatorname{Im}\lambda|z_+(x)| \log t + \psi_+(x)) - e^{\operatorname{Im}\lambda \Phi(t,x)}\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim t^{-1/2 + C_6\varepsilon + 2\operatorname{Im}\lambda A\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Substituting the estimates (4.15), (4.16) and (4.3) into (4.14), and using (4.7), we get

$$\|e^{i(w(x)t + \lambda S(t,x))} z_+(x) - v(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim t^{-1/2 + C_6\varepsilon + 2\operatorname{Im}\lambda A\varepsilon}, \quad t > 1. \quad (4.17)$$

In the same manner, we get

$$\|e^{i(w(x)t + \lambda S(t,x))} z_+(x) - v(t, x)\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim t^{-1 + C_6\varepsilon + 2\operatorname{Im}\lambda A\varepsilon}, \quad t > 1. \quad (4.18)$$

The asymptotic formula (1.18) then follows from the estimates (4.17)–(4.18) and (3.10).

Using the same method as that used to derive (1.14), we obtain the modified linear scattering formula (1.19) easily and omit the details.

It remains to prove the limit (1.20). By (3.10), it is equivalent to proving that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \log t \|v(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im}\lambda}. \quad (4.19)$$

By the definition of  $\psi_+(x)$  in (1.16) and the estimate (4.3), we have that

$$\|\psi_+(x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \int_1^\infty s^{-1} \|z(s, x) - z_+(x)\|_{L_x^\infty} ds \lesssim \varepsilon \int_1^\infty s^{-2 + C_6\varepsilon + 2\operatorname{Im}\lambda A\varepsilon} ds \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

Thus we have  $1 + \psi_+(x) \geq 0$ , provided that  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficient small. It then follows from the asymptotic formula (4.17) and (1.21) that

$$\log t |v(t, x)| \leq \frac{|z_+(x)| \log t}{1 + \operatorname{Im} \lambda |z_+(x)| \log t + \psi_+(x)} + O(t^{-1/2+C\varepsilon} \log t) \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} \lambda} + O(t^{-1/2+C\varepsilon} \log t),$$

which implies

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \log t \|v(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} \lambda}.$$

Therefore, for (4.19) it suffices to prove that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \log t \|v(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \geq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} \lambda}. \quad (4.20)$$

Assume for a while that we have proved

**Claim 4.1.** *If the limit function  $z_+(x)$  in (4.3) satisfies  $z_+(x) = 0$  for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , then we must have  $u_0 = 0$ .*

Since  $u_0 \neq 0$ , there exists  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $z_+(x_0) \neq 0$ . Therefore, using (4.17) and (1.21), we estimate

$$\log t \|v(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \geq \frac{\log t |z_+(x_0)|}{1 + \operatorname{Im} \lambda |z_+(x_0)| \log t + \psi_+(x_0)} + O(t^{-1/2+C\varepsilon} \log t).$$

Letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we get the limit (4.20), from which the desired limit (1.20) follows.

**Proof of Claim 4.1.** Since  $z_+ = 0$ , it follows from (4.2) and (4.3) that

$$\|v_\Lambda(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \|z(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim t^{-1/2+C\varepsilon}, \quad \forall t > 1$$

which together with (4.7) and (3.10) implies

$$\|u(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim t^{-3/2+C\varepsilon}, \quad \forall t > 1. \quad (4.21)$$

On the other hand, since  $z_+ = 0$  implies  $u_+ = 0$  (see (1.15)), it follows from the equation (1.9), the asymptotic formula (1.19) and Duhamel's formula that

$$u(t, x) = \lambda \int_t^\infty e^{iF(D)(t-s)} (|u|u)(s) ds.$$

Using successively Strichartz's estimate, Hölder's inequality and (4.21) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t, x)\|_{L_t^\infty([T, \infty), L_x^2)} &\lesssim \int_T^\infty \|u(t, x)\|_{L_t^\infty([T, \infty), L_x^2)} \|u(s, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} ds \\ &\lesssim \|u(t, x)\|_{L_t^\infty([T, \infty), L_x^2)} T^{-1/2+C\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $T > 1$  sufficiently large, we deduce that  $\|u(t, x)\|_{L_t^\infty([T, \infty), L_x^2)} = 0$ , which together with the uniqueness of solutions implies  $u \equiv 0$ . This finishes the proof of Claim 4.1.  $\square$

## Appendix

This appendix is devoted to the proof of Lemma 3.2.

**Proof of Lemma 3.2.** We start by recalling that (see Lemma 2.1)

$$e_k(x, \xi) = \frac{x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)}{\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k)} \in S_0(1), \quad \tilde{e}_k(x, \xi) = \frac{\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k)}{x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)} \in S_0(1).$$

Using (2.13) and (2.23), we can write, for some symbols  $c_{kj}, d_{kj} \in S_0(1), 1 \leq k, j \leq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} (x_k + F'_k(\xi_k))^2 &= e_k^2(x, \xi)(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2 \\ &= e_k^2(x, \xi)\sharp(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2 + hc_{k1}(x, \xi)\sharp(x_k + F'_k(\xi_k)) + h^2d_{k1}(x, \xi), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2 &= \tilde{e}_k^2(x, \xi)(x_k + F'_k(\xi_k))^2 \\ &= \tilde{e}_k^2(x, \xi)\sharp(x_k + F'_k(\xi_k))^2 + hc_{k2}(x, \xi)\sharp(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k)) + h^2d_{k2}(x, \xi). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Moreover, using (2.22), we can write

$$x_k + F'_k(\xi_k) = e_k(x, \xi)(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k)) = e_k(x, \xi)\sharp(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k)) + hb_k(x, \xi), \quad k = 1, 2, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

for some symbols  $b_k(x, \xi) \in S_0(1), k = 1, 2$ . Substituting (A.3) into (A.1), then taking the Weyl quantization, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_k^2 &= \frac{1}{h^2}G_h^w(e_k^2) \circ G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2) + G_h^w(c_{k1}) \circ G_h^w(e_k) \circ \frac{1}{h}G_h^w(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k)) \\ &\quad + G_h^w(c_{k1}) \circ G_h^w(b_k) + G_h^w(d_{k1}), \quad k = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Therefore, using Proposition 2.4 we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L}_k^2(|v|v)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \left\| \frac{1}{h^2}G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2)(|v|v) \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \left\| \frac{1}{h}G_h^w(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))(|v|v) \right\|_{L^2} + \|v\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Next, we consider the estimate of the right-hand side of (A.5). Since

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_k x_k}(|v|v) &= \frac{3}{2}|v|\partial_{x_k x_k}v + \frac{1}{2}|v|^{-1}v^2\overline{\partial_{x_k x_k}v} + \frac{3}{2}|v|^{-1}\partial_{x_k}v\text{Re}(\partial_{x_k}v\bar{v}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}|v|^{-3}v^2\overline{\partial_{x_k}v}\text{Re}(\partial_{x_k}v\bar{v}) + |v|^{-1}v|\partial_{x_k}v|^2, \quad k = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

we have, by direct calculation

$$\begin{aligned} &G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2)(|v|v) \\ &= [-h^2\partial_{x_k}^2 + ((d\phi_k(x_k))^2 + hid^2\phi_k(x_k)) + 2hid\phi_k(x_k)\partial_{x_k}](|v|v) \\ &= -\frac{3}{2}h^2|v|\partial_{x_k x_k}v - \frac{1}{2}h^2|v|^{-1}v^2\overline{\partial_{x_k x_k}v} - \frac{3}{2}h^2|v|^{-1}\partial_{x_k}v\text{Re}(\partial_{x_k}v\bar{v}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}h^2|v|^{-3}v^2\overline{\partial_{x_k}v}\text{Re}(\partial_{x_k}v\bar{v}) - h^2|v|^{-1}v|\partial_{x_k}v|^2 + ((d\phi_k(x_k))^2 + hid^2\phi_k(x_k))(|v|v) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +2hid\phi_k(x_k)\left(\frac{3}{2}|v|\partial_{x_k}v + \frac{1}{2}|v|^{-1}v^2\overline{\partial_{x_k}v}\right) \\
= & \frac{3}{2}|v|(-h^2\partial_{x_k}^2 + ((d\phi_k(x_k))^2 + hid^2\phi_k(x_k)) + 2hid\phi_k(x_k)\partial_{x_k})v \\
& + \frac{1}{2}|v|^{-1}v^2\overline{(-h^2\partial_{x_k}^2 + ((d\phi_k(x_k))^2 + hid^2\phi_k(x_k)) + 2hid\phi_k(x_k)\partial_{x_k})v} \\
& - \frac{3}{2}|v|^{-1}(h\partial_{x_k}v - id\phi_k(x_k)v)\operatorname{Re}((h\partial_{x_k}v - id\phi_k(x_k)v)\bar{v}) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}|v|^{-3}v^2\overline{h\partial_{x_k}v - id\phi_k(x_k)v}\operatorname{Re}((h\partial_{x_k}v - id\phi_k(x_k)v)\bar{v}) \\
& - |v|^{-1}v|h\partial_{x_k}v - id\phi_k(x_k)v|^2, \quad k = 1, 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting  $h\partial_{x_k} - id\phi_k(x_k) = iG_h^w(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))$  into the above expression, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2)(|v|v) \\
= & \frac{3}{2}|v|G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2)v + \frac{1}{2}|v|^{-1}v^2\overline{G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2)v} \\
& + \frac{3}{2}|v|^{-1}iG_h^w(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))v\operatorname{Im}(G_h^w(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))(v)\bar{v}) \\
& - \frac{1}{2}|v|^{-3}v^2\overline{iG_h^w(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))v}\operatorname{Im}(G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))(v)\bar{v}) \\
& - |v|^{-1}v|G_h^w(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))v|^2, \quad k = 1, 2.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

By Proposition 2.4, we have, for  $k = 1, 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \frac{1}{h^2}G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2)(|v|v) \right\|_{L_x^2} \\
\lesssim & \|v\|_{L_x^\infty} \left\| \frac{1}{h^2}G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2)v \right\|_{L_x^2} + \left\| \frac{1}{h}G_h^w(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))v \right\|_{L_x^4}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Substitution of the above inequality into (A.5) gives, for  $k = 1, 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathcal{L}_k^2(|v|v)\|_{L_x^2} + \left\| \frac{1}{h^2}G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2)(|v|v) \right\|_{L_x^2} \\
\lesssim & \|v\|_{L_x^\infty} (\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \left\| \frac{1}{h^2}G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2)v \right\|_{L_x^2}) \\
& + \left\| \frac{1}{h}G_h^w(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))v \right\|_{L_x^4}^2 + \left\| \frac{1}{h}G_h^w(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))(|v|v) \right\|_{L_x^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

We now estimate the right-hand side of (A.8). Notice that

$$\partial_{x_k} e^{-it\phi_k(x_k)}v = ie^{-it\phi_k(x_k)}\frac{1}{h}G_h^w(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))v, \tag{A.9}$$

$$\partial_{x_k} e^{-it\phi_k(x_k)}v = -e^{-it\phi_k(x_k)}\frac{1}{h^2}G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2)v; \tag{A.10}$$

so that by Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality

$$\left\| \frac{1}{h}G_h^w(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))v \right\|_{L_x^4}^2 = \|\partial_{x_k} e^{-it\phi_k(x_k)}v\|_{L_x^4}^2$$

$$\lesssim \|e^{-it\phi_k(x_k)}v\|_{L_x^\infty}\|\partial_{x_k x_k}e^{-it\phi_k(x_k)}v\|_{L_x^2}\lesssim \|v\|_{L_x^\infty}\|\frac{1}{h^2}G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2)v\|_{L_x^2}. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

By a similar argument and Young's inequality, we find the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\frac{1}{h}G_h^w(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))(|v|v)\|_{L_x^2} \\ & \lesssim \|e^{-it\phi_k(x_k)}(|v|v)\|_{L_x^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\partial_{x_k x_k}e^{-it\phi_k(x_k)}(|v|v)\|_{L_x^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C(\eta)\|v\|_{L_x^\infty}\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \eta\|\frac{1}{h^2}G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2)(|v|v)\|_{L_x^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Substituting (A.11)–(A.12) into (A.8) and choosing  $\eta > 0$  sufficiently small, it follows that

$$\|\mathcal{L}_k^2(|v|v)\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \|v\|_{L_x^\infty}(\|v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\frac{1}{h^2}G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2)v\|_{L_x^2}), \quad k = 1, 2. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Taking the Weyl quantization in (A.2), then using Propositions 2.4 and 2.2, we obtain

$$\|\frac{1}{h^2}G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2)v\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \|\mathcal{L}_k^2v\|_{L_x^2} + \|\frac{1}{h}G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k)))v\|_{L_x^2} + \|v\|_{L_x^2}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Moreover, it follows from (A.9)–(A.10), Gagliardo-Nirenberg and Young's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\frac{1}{h}G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k)))v\|_{L_x^2} & \lesssim \|e^{-it\phi_k(x_k)}v\|_{L_x^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\partial_{x_k x_k}e^{-it\phi_k(x_k)}v\|_{L_x^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \|v\|_{L_x^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\frac{1}{h^2}G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2)v\|_{L_x^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \eta\|\frac{1}{h^2}G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2)v\|_{L_x^2} + C(\eta)\|v\|_{L_x^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Combining (A.14) and (A.15), choosing  $\eta > 0$  sufficiently small, we obtain

$$\|\frac{1}{h^2}G_h^w((\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))^2)v\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \|\mathcal{L}_k^2v\|_{L_x^2} + \|v\|_{L_x^2}, \quad k = 1, 2. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

The inequality (3.13) is now an immediate consequence of (A.13) and (A.16).

Finally, we prove (3.14). Taking the Weyl quantization in (A.3), then using Propositions 2.4 and 2.2, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{L}_k v\|_{L_x^2} \leq \|\frac{1}{h}G_h^w(\xi_k - d\phi_k(x_k))v\|_{L_x^2} + \|v\|_{L_x^2}, \quad k = 1, 2. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

Substitution of (A.15) and (A.16) into (A.17) yields the desired estimate (3.14). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

This work is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China 11931010, and Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China LDQ23A010001.

## References

- [1] G.P. Agrawal, *Nonlinear Fiber Optics*, Academic, Inc. 1995.
- [2] M. Balabane, On a regularizing effect of Schrödinger type groups. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 6 (1989), no. 1, 1–14.
- [3] M. Ben-Artzi, J.-C. Saut, Uniform decay estimates for a class of oscillatory integrals and applications. *Differential Integral Equations* 12 (1999), no. 2, 137–145.
- [4] R. Carles, On Schrödinger equations with modified dispersion. *Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ.* 8 (2011), no. 3, 173–183.
- [5] T. Cazenave, Y. Martel, Modified scattering for the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *J. Funct. Anal.* 274 (2018), no. 2, 402–432.
- [6] P. Constantin, J.C. Saut, Local smoothing properties of dispersive equations. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1 (1988), no. 2, 413–439.
- [7] P. A. Deift, X. Zhou, Long-time asymptotics for solutions of the NLS equation with initial data in a weighted Sobolev space. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 56 (2003), no. 8, 1029–1077.
- [8] J.M. Delort, Semiclassical microlocal normal forms and global solutions of modified one-dimensional KG equations, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 66 (2016), no. 4, 1451–1528.
- [9] M. Dimassi, J. Sjöstrand, *Spectral asymptotics in the semi-classical limit*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 268. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [10] P. Germain, N. Masmoudi, J. Shatah, Global solutions for 3D quadratic Schrödinger equations. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN.* (2009), 414–432.
- [11] P. Germain, N. Masmoudi, J. Shatah, Global solutions for 2D quadratic Schrödinger equations. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 97(5) (2012), 505–543.
- [12] T. Huang, S. Huang, Q. Zheng, Inhomogeneous oscillatory integrals and global smoothing effects for dispersive equations. *J. Differential Equations* 263 (2017), no. 12, 8606–8629.
- [13] N. Hayashi, C. Li, P. Naumkin, Time decay for nonlinear dissipative Schrödinger equations in optical fields, *Adv. Math. Phys.* (2016), Art. ID 3702738, 7.
- [14] N. Hayashi, C. Li, P. Naumkin, Upper and lower time decay bounds for solutions of dissipative nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* 16 (2017), no. 6, 2089–2104.
- [15] N. Hayashi, P. Naumkin, Asymptotics for large time of solutions to the nonlinear Schrödinger and Hartree equations. *Amer. J. Math.* 120 (1998), no. 2, 369–389.
- [16] T. Hoshiro, Mourre’s method and smoothing properties of dispersive equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 202 (1999), no. 2, 255–265.
- [17] G. Jin, Y. Jin, C. Li, The initial value problem for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with a dissipative nonlinearity in one space dimension. *J. Evol. Equ.* 16 (2016), 983–995.

- [18] N. Kita, T. Sato,  $L^2$ -decay of solutions to a cubic dissipative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Asymptot. Anal.* 129 (2021), no. 3-4, 505–517.
- [19] M. Ifrim, D. Tataru, Global bounds for the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) in one space dimension. *Nonlinearity*, 28 (2015), no. 8, 2661-2675.
- [20] J. Kato, F. Pusateri, A new proof of long-range scattering for critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Differential Integral Equations*, 24 (2011), no. 9-10, 923-940.
- [21] C. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, Oscillatory integrals and regularity of dispersive equations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 40 (1991), no. 1, 33-69.
- [22] C. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, Smoothing effects and local existence theory for the generalized nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Invent. Math.* 134 (1998), no. 3, 489–545.
- [23] C. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, On the illposedness of some canonical dispersive equations, *Duke Math. J.* 106 (2001), no. 3, 617–633.
- [24] N. Kita, A. Shimomura, Asymptotic behavior of solutions to Schrödinger equations with a subcritical dissipative nonlinearity. *J. Differential Equations.* 242 (2007), 192–210.
- [25] N. Kita, A. Shimomura, Large time behavior of solutions to Schrödinger equations with a dissipative nonlinearity for arbitrarily large initial data. *J. Math. Soc. Japan.* 61 (2009), 39–64.
- [26] H. Lindblad, A. Soffer, Scattering and small data completeness for the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Nonlinearity*, 19 (2006), no. 2, 345-353.
- [27] X. Liu, T. Zhang, Modified scattering for the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation with a subcritical dissipative nonlinearity. arxiv: 2106.06367v2.
- [28] T. Ogawa, T. Sato,  $L^2$ -decay rate for the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a small smooth data, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* 27, Paper No. 18, 20 pp (2020).
- [29] T. Sato,  $L^2$ -decay estimate for the dissipative nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the Gevrey class, *Arch. Math.* 115 (2020), 575–588.
- [30] A. Shimomura, Asymptotic behavior of solutions for Schrödinger equations with dissipative nonlinearities. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations.* 31 (2006), 1407–1423.
- [31] A. Stingo, Global existence and asymptotics for quasi-linear one-dimensional Klein-Gordon equations with mildly decaying Cauchy data. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 146 (2018), no. 1, 155-213.
- [32] T. Tao, Endpoint Strichartz estimates. *Amer. J. Math.* 120 (1998), no. 5, 955-980.
- [33] T. Zhang, Global solutions of modified one-dimensional Schrödinger equation. *Commun. Math. Res.* 37 (2021), no.3, 350-386.
- [34] M. Zworski, Semiclassical analysis, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 138. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.