

On duality for nonconvex minimization problems within the framework of abstract convexity

Ewa Bednarczuk* and Monika Syga†

Abstract

By applying the perturbation function approach, we propose the Lagrangian and the conjugate duals for minimization problems of the sum of two, generally nonconvex, functions. The main tools are the Φ -convexity theory and minimax theorems for Φ -convex functions. We provide conditions ensuring zero duality gap and introduce Φ -Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions that characterize solutions to primal and dual problems. We also discuss the relationship between the dual problems introduced in the present investigation and some conjugate-type duals existing in the literature.

Keywords: Abstract convexity, Minimax theorem, Lagrangian duality, Nonconvex optimization, conjugate duality, zero duality gap, Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 32F17; 49J52; 49K27; 49K35; 52A01

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{X} be a real vector space. We consider the minimization problem of the form

$$\text{Min}_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + g(x). \quad (\text{P})$$

where $f, g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$.

Our standing assumptions and notations are as follows.

- (a) Φ and Ψ are classes (closed under addition of real constants) of real-valued functions $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, called elementary functions, of simple structure, e.g. affine, quadratic, step functions. In the sequel, we put additional requirements on sets of elementary functions of algebraic character when needed, e.g. in some of constructions and theorems we assume that Φ is symmetric ($\Phi = -\Phi$), and/or Φ is additive, ($\Phi + \Phi \subset \Phi$).

- (b) A function $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ is proper, i.e., the domain of f is nonempty, i.e.

$$\text{dom}(f) := \{x \in X : f(x) < +\infty\} \neq \emptyset.$$

and $g : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ is proper and $\text{dom}(f) \cap \text{dom}(g) \neq \emptyset$.

*Systems Research Institute, Polish Academy of Sciences, Newelska 6, 01-447 Warsaw

†Warsaw University of Technology, Faculty of Mathematics and Information Science, ul. Koszykowa 75, 00-662 Warsaw, Poland, M.Syga@mini.pw.edu.pl

- (c) When \mathcal{X} is a Hilbert space the inner product is denoted by $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ and the associated norm is $\| \cdot \|$.

Main tool of the present investigation is the abstract convexity theory, called Φ -convexity. The origins of the Φ -convexity theory goes back to the investigations of Ky Fan [10], Moreau [13], and Rubinov and Kutateladze [12]. Applications in optimization were investigated e.g. by Balder [1], Dolecki and Kurcyusz [8], Pallaschke & Rolewicz [15], Rubinov [19], in mass transport by Rüschendorf [20].

The underlying idea of Φ -convexity also called *convexity without linearity*, is to replace the classical bi-linear coupling functions used in the convex analysis by general (possibly nonlinear) coupling functions. Φ -convexity provides a framework for the analysis of important classes of nonconvex problems. In the case of bi-linear coupling, this framework allows for the retrieval, and sometimes refinement, of classical results of convex analysis.

Φ -convexity provides global tools for investigating nonconvex objects and offers a framework for investigating global optimization problems, see, e.g. the monographs by Alexander Rubinov [19], and by Diethard Pallaschke & Stefan Rolewicz [15]. Basic concepts of Φ -convexity theory from a historical perspective, its rôle in global optimization and duality theory have been recently discussed in several presentations during the on-line WOMBAT 2020 meeting (<https://wombat.mocao.org/wombat-2020/recordings/>).

An important class of Φ -convex functions are Φ_{lsc} -convex functions defined on a Hilbert space with elementary functions defined by (3.5). In a series of papers, [18], [16], [17], Stefan Rolewicz investigated particular subclass of Φ_{lsc} -convex functions, called paraconvex functions (weakly convex, semiconvex) functions.

Formulae for Φ -subdifferentials, Φ -conjugates, Φ -infimal convolution for the sum of two functions have been studied by Jeyakumar, Rubinov & Wu [11] who also provided generalizations of the results obtained for convex problems by Burachik & Jeyakumar in [6]. The results of [11] have been generalized by Bui, Burachik, Kruger & Yost in [5] to the sum of any finite number of functions f_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$. In [5] the dual problem (ICD) is formulated on the basis of the Φ -infimal convolution of Φ -convex conjugates of functions f_i in the classes of elementary functions Φ for which $0 \in \Phi$ and $\Phi + \Phi \subset \Phi$.

In the present paper, we construct a Φ -conjugate dual (CD), where $0 \in \Phi$, for the problem of minimizing the sum of two proper functions which is based on the perturbation function $p(\cdot, \cdot)$. We calculate the c -conjugate $p_c^*(\cdot, \cdot)$ with respect to a suitably chosen coupling function c and we define the dual (CD) as the problem of maximizing the function $-p_c^*(0, \cdot)$. This approach coincides with the approach to conjugate duality in the convex case, see e.g. [3, 4].

We also introduce the Φ -Lagrangian function $\mathcal{L}(\cdot, \cdot)$ for which the Φ -Lagrangian dual (LD) is equivalent to the Φ -conjugate dual problem (CD).

The question of conditions ensuring zero duality gap is approached via minimax theorems obtained by Syga in [21] and [22] for classes of elementary functions Φ which are convex sets. In Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 of [21], the so-called *intersection property* is proved to be a necessary and sufficient condition for the minimax equality to hold. These results allow us to prove that the intersection property for the Φ -Lagrange function is necessary and sufficient for zero duality gap both in the Φ -Lagrangian and the Φ -conjugate dualities.

We investigate the relationships between Φ -infimal convolution dual (ICD) and the Φ -conjugate dual problem (CD) zero duality gap conditions obtained in [5].

The contribution of the paper is as follows.

- Construction of the conjugate dual (CD) via the conjugate $p_c^*(\cdot, \cdot)$ of the perturbation function p with respect to the coupling function c (formula (cpl)) (Section 3 and Section 4).
- Construction of the Lagrangian dual equivalent to the conjugate dual (Section 5).
- Derivation of conditions for zero duality gap in the form of the so-called *intersection property* and discussion of its relationship to respective conditions for Φ -inf convolution-based zero duality gap proved in [5] (Section 6).
- Definition of Φ -KKT conditions and characterization of solutions to problems (P) and (CD). (Section 7).

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Abstract Convexity

Let Φ be a set of elementary real-valued functions $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$. The set

$$\text{supp}_\Phi(f) := \{\varphi \in \Phi : \varphi \leq f\}$$

is called the *support* of f with respect to Φ , where, for any $g, h : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$, $g \leq h \Leftrightarrow g(x) \leq h(x) \ \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$. We will use the notation $\text{supp}(f)$ whenever the class Φ is clear from the context. Elements of class Φ are called elementary functions.

Definition 2.1 ([8], [15], [19]) *A function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ is called Φ -convex on \mathcal{X} if*

$$f(x) = \sup\{\varphi(x) : \varphi \in \text{supp}(f)\} \ \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

If the set \mathcal{X} is clear from the context, we simply say that f is Φ -convex.

2.2 Φ -subgradients

Definition 2.2 (see e.g. formula 1.1.1 of [15] and Definition 1.7 of [19]) *An element $\varphi \in \Phi$ is called a Φ -subgradient of a function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ at $\bar{x} \in \text{dom } f$, if the following inequality holds*

$$f(x) - f(\bar{x}) \geq \varphi(x) - \varphi(\bar{x}) \ \forall x \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (2.1)$$

The set of all Φ -subgradients of f at \bar{x} is denoted as $\partial_\Phi f(\bar{x})$. For characterizations of subgradients see Proposition 1.2, Proposition 1.3 of [19] and formula 1.1.7_{max} of [15].

Definition 2.3 (see e.g. Definition 7.8 of [19], formula 1.1.1 _{ε} of [15]) *An element $\varphi \in \Phi$ is called a Φ - ε -subgradient of a function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ at $\bar{x} \in \text{dom } f$, if the following inequality holds*

$$f(x) - f(\bar{x}) \geq \varphi(x) - \varphi(\bar{x}) - \varepsilon \ \forall x \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (2.2)$$

The set of all Φ - ε -subgradients of f at \bar{x} is denoted as $\partial_\Phi^\varepsilon f(\bar{x})$. If f is Φ -convex on \mathcal{X} , then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the domain of the ε -subdifferential mapping $\text{dom } \partial_\Phi^\varepsilon f = \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \partial_\Phi^\varepsilon f(x) \neq \emptyset\}$ coincides with $\text{dom } f$.

2.3 Φ -conjugation

Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$. The function $f_{\Phi}^* : \Phi \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$,

$$f_{\Phi}^*(\varphi) := \sup_{x \in X} (\varphi(x) - f(x)) \quad (2.3)$$

is called the Φ -conjugate of f (see e.g. [13]). The function f_{Φ}^* is convex whenever Φ is convex. For the characterisation of the epigraph of f_{Φ}^* , see e.g. Proposition 7.8 of [19].

Accordingly, the Φ -bi-conjugate of f is defined as

$$f_{\Phi}^{**}(x) := \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} (\varphi(x) - f_{\Phi}^*(\varphi)).$$

The following relationships between ε - Φ -subgradients, conjugate and biconjugate functions hold.

Theorem 2.4 *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$.*

(i) *Fenchel-Moreau inequality: For every $x \in \text{dom}(f)$ and every $\varphi \in \Phi$*

$$f(x) + f_{\Phi}^*(\varphi) \geq \varphi(x). \quad (2.4)$$

(ii) *For every $x \in \text{dom}(f)$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$\varphi \in \partial_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon} f(x) \Leftrightarrow f(x) + f_{\Phi}^*(\varphi) \leq \varphi(x) + \varepsilon. \quad (2.5)$$

(iii) *For every $x \in \text{dom}(f)$, $f_{\Phi}^{**}(x) \leq f(x)$.*

(iv) *For every $x \in \text{dom}(f)$*

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0) \partial_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon} f(x) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow f(x) = f_{\Phi}^{**}(x). \quad (2.6)$$

(v) *f is Φ -convex on $\mathcal{X} \Leftrightarrow f(x) = f_{\Phi}^{**}(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$.*

Proof.

(i) Follows directly from the definition of the Φ -conjugate function, see e.g. Proposition 1 [14] and Proposition 1.2.2 of [15].

(ii) Follows from the definition of the conjugate and the ε - Φ -subgradient, see Proposition 1 of [14], Proposition 1.2.4 _{ε} of [15], Proposition 7.10 of [19].

(iii) Follows directly from Fenchel-Moreau inequality (i).

(iv) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varphi \in \partial_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon} f(x)$. By (ii) and the definition of the Φ -bi-conjugate

$$f(x) \leq \varphi(x) - f_{\Phi}^*(\varphi) + \varepsilon \leq f_{\Phi}^{**}(x) + \varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, $f(x) \leq f_{\Phi}^{**}(x)$. By (iii), the conclusion follows.

(v) For the proof see Theorem 1.2.6 of [15] and Theorem 7.1 of [19],

■

As noted in Proposition 1.2.3 of [15], the space \mathcal{X} induces on Φ the family of functions $x : \Phi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $x(\varphi) = \varphi(x)$. This family of functions is also denoted by \mathcal{X} . Hence, for any $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}$, $\bar{\varphi} \in \Phi$, we write $\bar{x} \in \partial_{\mathcal{X}} f_{\Phi}^*(\bar{\varphi})$ if

$$f_{\Phi}^*(\varphi) - f_{\Phi}^*(\bar{\varphi}) \geq \varphi(\bar{x}) - \bar{\varphi}(\bar{x}) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \Phi. \quad (2.7)$$

The following proposition holds (see also Proposition 1 of [14]).

Proposition 2.5 *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be a Φ -convex function. Let $\bar{\varphi} \in \Phi$ and $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

$$(i) \quad f(\bar{x}) + f_{\Phi}^*(\bar{\varphi}) = \bar{\varphi}(\bar{x}).$$

$$(ii) \quad \bar{\varphi} \in \partial_{\Phi} f(\bar{x}).$$

$$(iii) \quad \bar{x} \in \partial_{\mathcal{X}} f^*(\bar{\varphi}).$$

Proof. The equivalence between (i) and (ii) was proved in [19], Proposition 7.7 and [15], Proposition 1.2.4. We show the equivalence between (i) and (iii).

Assume that (i) holds. Then, by Φ -convexity of f , and Theorem 2.4(v), we get

$$f_{\Phi}^{**}(\bar{x}) + f_{\Phi}^*(\bar{\varphi}) = \bar{\varphi}(\bar{x}),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\varphi(\bar{x}) - f_{\Phi}^*(\varphi) + f_{\Phi}^*(\bar{\varphi}) \leq \bar{\varphi}(\bar{x}) \quad \forall \quad \varphi \in \Phi,$$

i.e. $\bar{\varphi} \in \partial_X f_{\Phi}^*(\bar{x})$.

Assume that (iii) holds. We have the following inequality

$$\bar{\varphi}(\bar{x}) \geq \varphi(\bar{x}) - f_{\Phi}^*(\varphi) + f_{\Phi}^*(\bar{\varphi}) \quad \forall \quad \varphi \in \Phi.$$

Taking the supremum over $\varphi \in \Phi$ we obtain

$$\bar{\varphi}(\bar{x}) \geq \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \{ \varphi(\bar{x}) - f_{\Phi}^*(\varphi) \} + f_{\Phi}^*(\bar{\varphi}) \quad \forall \quad \varphi \in \Phi,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\bar{\varphi}(\bar{x}) \geq f(\bar{x}) + f_{\Phi}^*(\bar{\varphi}) \quad \forall \quad \varphi \in \Phi.$$

This, together with the Fenchel-Moreau inequality, gives (i). ■

3 Perturbation function and its conjugate.

Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be real linear vector spaces. The perturbation function $p : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ related to problem (P) is defined as

$$p(x, y) := f(x) + g(x + y). \quad (3.1)$$

Clearly, $p(x, 0) = f(x) + g(x)$.

In this section we investigate the conjugate p^* to the perturbation function p . The obtained formulae, will be used in Section 4 to define the conjugate dual to problem (P) .

Let Φ and Ψ be two classes of elementary functions defined on \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} , respectively, where \mathcal{H} is a real linear subspace, $\mathcal{X} + \mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{H}$. To define the conjugate p^* we introduce the coupling function c on the Cartesian product $\Phi \times \Psi$, $c : (\Phi \times \Psi) \times (\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$c((\varphi, \psi), (x, y)) := \varphi(x) + \psi(x + y) - \psi(x). \quad (cpl)$$

In other words, elementary functions $c = (\varphi, \psi) : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on the product $(\Phi \times \Psi)$ are of the form

$$c = (\varphi, \psi)(x, y) := \varphi(x) + \psi(x + y) - \psi(x). \quad (c)$$

By $(\Phi \times \Psi)^c$ we denote the Cartesian product $\Phi \times \Psi$ equipped with the coupling (cpl) . For other coupling functions defined on the Cartesian product $\Phi \times \Psi$ see [14].

Clearly, if the class Ψ consists of all affine functions, i.e. $\psi(y) = \langle w, y \rangle + d$, $w \in \mathcal{X}^\circ$ and \mathcal{X}° is the algebraic dual of \mathcal{X} , $d \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$c((\varphi, \psi), (x, y)) := \varphi(x) + \psi_0(y),$$

where $\psi_0(y) = \langle w, y \rangle$, which is the standard bi-linear coupling, see e.g. [3, 4].

The conjugate $p_c^* : (\Phi \times \Psi)^c \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ with respect to the coupling (cpl) , i.e. with respect to the set of elementary functions $(\Phi \times \Psi)^c$, is given as

$$p_c^*(\varphi, \psi) := \sup_{x, y \in \mathcal{X}} \{\varphi(x) + \psi(x + y) - \psi(x) - p(x, y)\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Clearly, the conjugate p_c^* depends on the choice of the coupling between the Cartesian products $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ and $\Phi \times \Psi$ (for other definitions of nonlinear couplings see e.g. [14].) In the sequel we simplify the notation and put $p^* := p_c^*$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} p^*(\varphi, \psi) &= \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{X}} \{\varphi(x) + \psi(x + y) - \psi(x) - f(x) - g(x + y)\} \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \varphi(x) - f(x) - \psi(x) + \sup_{y \in \mathcal{X}} \{\psi(x + y) - g(x + y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

By putting $z := x + y$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p^*(\varphi, \psi) &= \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \varphi(x) - f(x) - \psi(x) + \sup_{z \in \mathcal{X}} \{\psi(z) - g(z)\} \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \varphi(x) - f(x) - \psi(x) + g_\Psi^*(\psi). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

(P1) When $\Psi = \Phi$, $0 \in \Phi$, and $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y}$, by (3.3), for any $\varphi \in \Phi$

$$\begin{aligned} p^*(0, \varphi) &= \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -f(x) - \varphi(x) + g_\Phi^*(\varphi) \\ &= {}^*f_\Phi(\varphi) + g_\Phi^*(\varphi), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where ${}^*f_\Phi(\varphi) := \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -f(x) - \varphi(x)$. Clearly, ${}^*f_\Phi(\varphi) = f_\Phi^*(-\varphi)$ whenever $-\varphi \in \Phi$.

When Φ is a convex set, then $p^*(0, \cdot) : \Phi \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ is convex.

(P2) When $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y}$ is a Hilbert space and $\Phi = \Phi_{lsc} = \Psi$, where

$$\Phi_{lsc} := \{\varphi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \varphi(x) := -a\|x\|^2 + \langle v, x \rangle + c, \ a \geq 0, \ v \in \mathcal{X}, \ c \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad (3.5)$$

by (3.3), for any $\varphi \in \Phi$,

$$\begin{aligned} p^*(0, \varphi) &= \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -f(x) + a\|x\|^2 - \langle v, x \rangle - c + g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(\varphi) \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -f(x) + a\|x\|^2 - \langle v, x \rangle + g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(\varphi_1) \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -\tilde{f}(x) - \langle v, x \rangle + g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(\varphi_1) \\ &= \tilde{f}_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, -v) + g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(a, v) = p^*(0, \varphi_1) \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where $\varphi_1(x) := -a\|x\|^2 + \langle v, x \rangle$ and $\tilde{f}(x) := \tilde{f}_{\varphi_1}(x) = f(x) - a\|x\|^2$ and we identify functions from the class Φ_{lsc} of the form φ_1 with pairs (a, v) , $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $v \in \mathcal{X}$. By (3.6), the domain of $p^*(0, \cdot)$ can be restricted to elementary functions of the form φ_1 (with $c = 0$). As previously, ${}^*f_{\Phi_{lsc}}(\varphi_1) := \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -\varphi_1(x) - f(x)$. Clearly, ${}^*f(\varphi_1) = {}^*f(a, v) = \tilde{f}^*(0, -v)$. By Proposition 6.3 of [19] \tilde{f} is Φ_{lsc} -convex whenever f is. Moreover, $p^*(0, \cdot)$ is a convex function on Φ_{lsc} .

(P3) When Φ is symmetric, i.e., $\Phi = -\Phi$ with $(-\varphi)(x) := -\varphi(x)$ we have

$$p^*(0, \varphi) = -f_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi). \quad (3.7)$$

Consider now $\Phi = \Phi_{conv}$, where \mathcal{X} is a Banach space with the dual \mathcal{X}^* ,

$$\Phi_{conv} := \{\varphi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \varphi(x) = \langle v, x \rangle + c, \ v \in \mathcal{X}^*, \ c \in \mathbb{R}\}. \quad (3.8)$$

By (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} p^*(0, \varphi) &= \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -f(x) - \langle v, x \rangle - c + c + g^*(v) \\ &= f^*(-v) + g^*(v) = p^*(0, \varphi_0), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where $g^*(v) := \sup_{y \in \mathcal{X}} \langle v, y \rangle - g(y)$ is the conjugate to g in the sense of convex analysis, $\varphi_0(y) := \langle v, y \rangle$. By (3.9), we can restrict the domain of $p^*(0, \cdot)$ to linear functionals.

4 The Φ -conjugate dual

Following the classical (convex) approach (see e.g. Bot [3] and Bonnans, Shapiro [4]), we introduce the conjugate dual to (P) by the formula

$$\text{Max}_{\psi \in \Psi} -p_c^*(0, \psi). \quad (\text{GCD})$$

(D1) When $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y}$, $\Psi = \Phi$, $0 \in \Phi$, and the coupling c is given by (cpl) , by (3.4), the Φ -conjugate dual (GCD) takes the form

$$\text{Max}_{\varphi \in \Phi} -{}^*f_{\Phi}(\varphi) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi). \quad (\text{CD})$$

where ${}^*f_{\Phi}(\varphi) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -f(x) - \varphi(x)$. For dual problems resulting from other coupling functions c see e.g. [14].

(D2) When $0 \in \Phi$ and the set Φ is symmetric, i.e. $\Phi = -\Phi$, problem (CD) takes the form

$$\text{Max}_{\varphi \in \Phi} -f_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi). \quad (CD^{sym})$$

(c.f. Corollary 5.2 of [11].)

(D3) When $0 \in \Phi$, and $\Phi + \Phi \subset \Phi$ and $\Phi = -\Phi$ the problem (CD^{sym}) becomes the Φ -infimal convolution dual (ICD) as introduced in [5],

$$\text{Max}_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \Phi, \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 = 0} -f_{\Phi}^*(\varphi_2) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi_1). \quad (ICD)$$

In general, when Φ is not symmetric we have

$$\text{val}(\text{CD}) \geq \text{val}(CD^{sym}). \quad (4.1)$$

Example 4.1 1. Let \mathcal{X} be a Hilbert space and $\Phi = \Phi_{lsc}$. The Φ_{lsc} -conjugate dual (CD) takes the form

$$\text{Max}_{(a,w) \in \Phi_{lsc}} -(\tilde{f}_{(a,w)})_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, -w) - g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(a, w), \quad (CD^{lsc})$$

where functions of the form $\varphi_1(x) := -a\|x\|^2 + \langle w, x \rangle$ are identified with pairs (a, w) , $w \in \mathcal{X}$, $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\tilde{f}_{\varphi_1}(x) := \tilde{f}_{(a,w)}(x) = f(x) - a\|x\|^2$ (according to (3.6) we can neglect constants). Since $\Phi_{lsc} \neq -\Phi_{lsc}$, the Φ_{lsc} -conjugate dual does not coincide, in general, with Φ_{lsc} -infimal convolution dual (ICD) , see Example 6.9 below.

2. When \mathcal{X} is a Banach space, and $\Phi = \mathcal{X}^*$, the \mathcal{X}^* -conjugate dual (CD) becomes the classical Fenchel dual

$$\text{Max}_{v \in \mathcal{X}^*} -f^*(-v) - g^*(v). \quad (\text{FD})$$

(FD) coincides with (CD^{sym}) and the \mathcal{X}^* -infimal convolution dual (ICD) .

4.1 Weak (conjugate) duality

Let \mathcal{X} be a real linear space. By (3.4), for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\varphi \in \Phi$

$$\begin{aligned} p(x, 0) + p^*(0, \varphi) &= p(x, 0) + {}^*f(\varphi) + g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi), \\ &\geq f(x) + g(x) - f(x) - \varphi(x) + \varphi(x) - g(x) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

In consequence,

$$p(x, 0) \geq -p^*(0, \varphi) \quad x \in \mathcal{X} \quad \varphi \in \Phi \quad (4.2)$$

which yields the *weak duality*

$$\text{val}(P) := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x, 0) = \inf_{x \in X} f(x) + g(x) \geq \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} -p^*(0, \varphi) =: \text{val}(CD). \quad (4.3)$$

The problem of zero duality gap will be addressed in Section 6.

5 Lagrangian dual

In this section we introduce the Φ -Lagrangian function (L) with the Φ -Lagrangian dual equivalent to the Φ -conjugate dual (CD).

For problem (P), we consider the Φ -Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{X} \times \Phi \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ defined as

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \varphi) := f(x) + \varphi(x) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi) \quad (\text{L})$$

with the Φ -Lagrangian primal

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi) \quad (\text{LP})$$

and the Φ -Lagrangian dual

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi). \quad (\text{LD})$$

Then

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi) = f(x) + \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \varphi(x) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi) = f(x) + g_{\Phi}^{**}(x). \quad (5.1)$$

Proposition 5.1 *If $g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is Φ -convex on \mathcal{X} , the Φ -Lagrangian primal (LP) is equivalent to (P), i.e.,*

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + g(x) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi), \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. Follows from Theorem 2.4 (v). ■

On the other hand, by (L),

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + \varphi(x) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi) = - \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -f(x) - \varphi(x) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi).$$

By using the notation ${}^*f_{\Phi}(\varphi) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -\varphi(x) - f(x)$,

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi) = \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} -{}^*f_{\Phi}(\varphi) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi) \quad (5.3)$$

which shows that the Φ -conjugate dual (CD) is equivalent to the Φ -Lagrangian dual (LD) with the Lagrangian defined by (L).

Example 5.2 *Let \mathcal{X} be a Hilbert space. For $\varphi \in \Phi_{lsc}$, $\varphi(x) := -a\|x\|^2 + \langle w, x \rangle$, $a \geq 0$, $w \in \mathcal{X}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi) &= \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + \varphi(x) - g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(\varphi) = - \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -f(x) - \varphi(x) - g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(\varphi) \\ &= - \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -f(x) + a\|x\|^2 - \langle w, x \rangle - g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(\varphi) \\ &= - \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -\tilde{f}(x) - \langle w, x \rangle - g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(\varphi) \\ &= -\tilde{f}_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, -w) - g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(a, w), \end{aligned}$$

where φ is identified with the pair (a, w) , and, for a given φ , $\tilde{f} := f(\cdot) - a\|\cdot\|^2$.

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi) = \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi_{lsc}} -\tilde{f}_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, -w) - g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(a, w), \quad (5.4)$$

and the Φ_{lsc} -conjugate dual (CD^{lsc}) coincides with the Φ_{lsc} -Lagrangian dual (LD).

Example 5.3 Let \mathcal{X} be a Banach space. Let $\Phi := \{\varphi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \varphi(x) := \langle v, x \rangle + c, v \in \mathcal{X}^*, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and let $g(\cdot) := \text{ind}_A(\cdot)$ be the indicator function of a set A ,

$$A := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid x \in K\}$$

where K is a cone in \mathcal{X} . For the problem (P) with $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi) &= f(x) + \varphi(x) - (\text{ind}_A)^*(\varphi) \\ &= f(x) + \langle v, x \rangle + c - \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{\langle v, x \rangle + c - \text{ind}_K(x)\} \\ &= f(x) + \langle v, x \rangle - \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{\langle v, x \rangle - \text{ind}_K(x)\} \\ &= f(x) + \langle v, x \rangle - \sup_{x \in K} \langle v, x \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sup_{x \in K} \langle v, x \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & v \in K^\circ \\ +\infty & v \notin K^\circ \end{cases}$$

where $K^\circ := \{v \in \mathcal{X} \mid \langle v, x \rangle \leq 0 \ \forall x \in K\}$ is the polar cone to K , we get

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \varphi) = \begin{cases} f(x) + \langle v, x \rangle & v \in K^{\text{circ}} \\ -\infty & v \notin K^\circ \end{cases}.$$

Consequently, the Φ -Lagrangian primal (LP) is

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{v \in K^*} f(x) + \langle v, x \rangle = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + \sup_{v \in K^\circ} \langle v, x \rangle,$$

and the Φ -Lagrangian dual (LD) is

$$\sup_{v \in K^\circ} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + \langle v, x \rangle.$$

is equivalent to the Φ -conjugate dual (CD) is

$$\sup_{v \in K^*} -f^*(-v).$$

6 Zero duality gap for Φ -conjugate duality

In view of Proposition 5.1, and formula (5.3), the question of zero duality gap for Φ -conjugate and Φ -Lagrangian dualities can be investigated simultaneously, by seeking conditions ensuring minimax equality for Φ -Lagrangian.

We begin this section by discussing zero duality gap for problems (LP), (LD) from the point of view of minimax theorems. The characterisation of zero duality gap for problems (LP), (LD) is expressed with the help of the so called *intersection property*, which is used in general minimax theorems formulated within the framework of Φ -convexity as it is done in [22] for the case, where the elementary functions may admit infinite values. For convenience of the reader we provide the outline of the proof based on Lemma 6.2. The intersection property together with the condition $\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + g(x) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + g_\Phi^{**}(x)$ immediately gives the zero duality gap condition for the pair of dual problems (P) and (CD).

Theorem 6.1 *Let \mathcal{X} be a real vector space. Let Φ be a convex set of elementary functions $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f, g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ and the Φ -Lagrangian is given by (L).*

The following are equivalent:

- (i) *for every $\alpha < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi)$ there exist $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \Phi$ and $\bar{\varphi}_1 \in \text{supp} \mathcal{L}(\cdot, \varphi_1)$ and $\bar{\varphi}_2 \in \text{supp} \mathcal{L}(\cdot, \varphi_2)$ such that functions $\bar{\varphi}_1$ and $\bar{\varphi}_2$ have the intersection property on \mathcal{X} at the level α , i.e., for all $t \in [0, 1]$*

$$[t\bar{\varphi}_1 + (1-t)\bar{\varphi}_2 < \alpha] \cap [\bar{\varphi}_1 < \alpha] = \emptyset \quad [t\bar{\varphi}_1 + (1-t)\bar{\varphi}_2 < \alpha] \cap [\bar{\varphi}_2 < \alpha] = \emptyset, \quad (6.1)$$

where $[\bar{\varphi} < \alpha] := \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \bar{\varphi}(x) < \alpha\}$.

- (ii)

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi) = \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi).$$

The proof of Theorem 6.1 is based on the following lemma (c.f. [22], Lemma 4.1).

Lemma 6.2 *Let X be a set, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any two functions. The functions φ_1 and φ_2 have the intersection property on X at the level α if and only if $\exists t_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that*

$$t_0\varphi_1 + (1-t_0)\varphi_2 \geq \alpha \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (6.2)$$

Lemma 4.1 proved in [22] refers to a more general situation, where $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ and reduces to Lemma 6.2 whenever $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi)$. By (i), there exist $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \Phi$ and $\bar{\varphi}_1 \in \text{supp} \mathcal{L}(\cdot, \varphi_1)$ and $\bar{\varphi}_2 \in \text{supp} \mathcal{L}(\cdot, \varphi_2)$ such that $\bar{\varphi}_1 \in \text{supp} \mathcal{L}(\cdot, \varphi_1)$ and $\bar{\varphi}_2 \in \text{supp} \mathcal{L}(\cdot, \varphi_2)$ have the intersection property on \mathcal{X} at the level α . By Lemma 6.2 and (6.2), there exists $t \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$t\bar{\varphi}_1 + (1-t)\bar{\varphi}_2 \geq \alpha \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (6.3)$$

By the definition of the support set and the inequality (6.3) we get

$$t\mathcal{L}(x, \varphi_1) + (1-t)\mathcal{L}(x, \varphi_2) \geq \alpha \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (6.4)$$

By the concavity of \mathcal{L} as a function of φ , we have

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \varphi_0) \geq \alpha \quad \forall x \in X, \quad (6.5)$$

where $\varphi_0 = t\varphi_1 + (1-t)\varphi_2$ and, by convexity of Φ , $\varphi_0 \in \Phi$.

From this we deduce the following inequality

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \inf_{x \in X} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi) \geq \alpha \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (6.6)$$

By the fact that the inequality (6.6) holds for every $\alpha < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi)$ we get the desired conclusion.

The second implication follows directly from Theorem 2.1 of [22].

■

Remark 6.3 *Let us note that in some classes of functions (e.g. Φ_{lsc} and Φ_{conv} , see Proposition 2 and Proposition 4 of [2]) the intersection property at the level α is equivalent to the condition*

$$[\varphi_1 < \alpha] \cap [\varphi_2 < \alpha] = \emptyset. \quad (6.7)$$

Theorem 6.1 allows us to formulate the following zero duality gap conditions for Φ -conjugate dual (CD).

Theorem 6.4 *Let \mathcal{X} be a real vector space. Let Φ be a convex set of elementary functions $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f, g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ and the Φ -Lagrangian is given by (L). Assume that*

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + g(x) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + g_{\Phi}^{**}(x). \quad (6.8)$$

The following are equivalent:

(i) *for every $\alpha < \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi)$ there exist $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \Phi$ and $\bar{\varphi}_1 \in \text{supp} \mathcal{L}(\cdot, \varphi_1)$ and $\bar{\varphi}_2 \in \text{supp} \mathcal{L}(\cdot, \varphi_2)$ such that functions $\bar{\varphi}_1$ and $\bar{\varphi}_2$ have the intersection property at the level α , i.e., for all $t \in [0, 1]$*

$$[t\bar{\varphi}_1 + (1-t)\bar{\varphi}_2 < \alpha] \cap [\bar{\varphi}_1 < \alpha] = \emptyset \quad \text{or} \quad [t\bar{\varphi}_1 + (1-t)\bar{\varphi}_2 < \alpha] \cap [\bar{\varphi}_2 < \alpha] = \emptyset. \quad (6.9)$$

(ii)

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{f(x) + g(x)\} = \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} -^* f_{\Phi}(\varphi) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi),$$

where $^* f_{\Phi}(\varphi) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -\varphi(x) - f(x)$.

Proof. Follows directly from Theorem 6.1 and formula (5.1). ■

By Proposition 5.1 if g is Φ -convex on \mathcal{X} , then (6.8) holds. The following conditions for zero duality gap for problems (P) and (ICD) were proved in [5].

Theorem 6.5 ([5], Theorem 3.5) *Let \mathcal{X} be a real linear vector space. Let $f, g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ and $0 \in \Phi$ and $\Phi + \Phi \subset \Phi$. The following properties are equivalent:*

(i)

$$0 \in \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} (\partial_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon} f + \partial_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon} g)(\mathcal{X}), \quad (6.10)$$

(ii) $\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (f(x) + g(x)) = \sup_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \Phi, \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 = 0} (-f_{\Phi}^*(\varphi_1) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi_2)) = \text{val}(\text{ICD}) < +\infty$.

Remark 6.6 *The following inequalities hold*

$$\text{val}(P) \stackrel{(1)}{\geq} \text{val}(LP) \stackrel{(2)}{\geq} \text{val}(LD) \stackrel{(3)}{=} \text{val}(CD) \stackrel{(4)}{\geq} \text{val}(\text{ICD}), \quad (6.11)$$

where (1) holds by Theorem 2.4 (iii), (2) holds by general minimax inequality, (3) holds by (5.3) and (4) holds by (4.1).

In particular, condition (6.10) of Theorem 6.5 implies that $\text{val}(P) = \text{val}(LP)$, i.e.

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + g(x) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + g_{\Phi}^{**}(x).$$

Theorem 6.7 Let \mathcal{X} be a real vector space, $0 \in \Phi$, and $\kappa := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi) < +\infty$.

Consider the following conditions:

1. condition (6.10):

$$0 \in \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} (\partial_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon} f + \partial_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon} g)(\mathcal{X}),$$

2. condition (6.9): for every $\alpha < \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi)$ there exist $\bar{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi} \in \Phi$, $\varphi_1 \in \text{supp} \mathcal{L}(\cdot, \bar{\varphi})$ and $\varphi_2 \in \text{supp} \mathcal{L}(\cdot, \tilde{\varphi})$ such that φ_1 and φ_2 have the intersection property at the level α .

Then

1. If Φ is convex, $\Phi = -\Phi$, and

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + g(x) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + g_{\Phi}^{**}(x) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi) = \kappa. \quad (6.12)$$

then (2) implies (1).

2. If $\Phi + \Phi \subset \Phi$, then (1) implies (2).

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 6.2, there exists $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ $t_0\varphi_1 + (1 - t_0)\varphi_2 \geq \alpha := \kappa - \varepsilon \quad \forall \quad x \in \mathcal{X}$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{L}(x, t_0\psi_1 + (1 - t_0)\psi_2) \geq t_0\mathcal{L}(x, \psi_1) + (1 - t_0)\mathcal{L}(x, \psi_2) \geq t_0\varphi_1 + (1 - t_0)\varphi_2$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \psi_0) \geq \kappa - \varepsilon = (\varepsilon + \kappa) - 2\varepsilon \quad \forall \quad x \in \mathcal{X}, \quad (6.13)$$

where $\psi_0 := t_0\psi_1 + (1 - t_0)\psi_2 \in \Phi$ (in view of the convexity of Φ). By assumption (6.12), and Theorem 2.4, (iii), there exists $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ satisfying

$$\varepsilon + \kappa > f(\bar{x}) + g(\bar{x}) \geq \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathcal{L}(\bar{x}, \varphi) = f(\bar{x}) + g_{\Phi}^{**}(\bar{x}). \quad (6.14)$$

Moreover, $\varepsilon + f(\bar{x}) + g_{\Phi}^{**}(\bar{x}) \geq \varepsilon + \kappa > f(\bar{x}) + g(\bar{x})$, hence $g_{\Phi}^{**}(\bar{x}) \geq g(\bar{x}) - \varepsilon$ and

$$\varepsilon + \kappa > f(\bar{x}) + g(\bar{x}) \geq \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathcal{L}(\bar{x}, \varphi) = f(\bar{x}) + g_{\Phi}^{**}(\bar{x}) \geq f(\bar{x}) + g(\bar{x}) - \varepsilon. \quad (6.15)$$

By (6.13), (6.14), (6.15) for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (a). \quad & \mathcal{L}(x, \psi_0) \geq \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathcal{L}(\bar{x}, \varphi) - 2\varepsilon \geq \mathcal{L}(\bar{x}, \psi_0) - 3\varepsilon \\ (b). \quad & \mathcal{L}(x, \psi_0) \geq f(\bar{x}) + g(\bar{x}) - 3\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, by (b),

$$(b'). \quad \mathcal{L}(\bar{x}, \psi_0) \geq f(\bar{x}) + g(\bar{x}) - 3\varepsilon.$$

Since $\Phi = -\Phi$, hence $-\psi_0 \in \Phi$ and by (a),

$$f(x) + \psi_0(x) - g^*(\psi_0) = \mathcal{L}(x, \psi_0) \geq f(\bar{x}) + \psi_0(\bar{x}) - g^*(\psi_0) - 3\varepsilon \quad \forall \quad x \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (6.16)$$

which shows that $-\psi_0 \in \partial^{3\varepsilon} f(\bar{x})$. By (b'),

$$\mathcal{L}(\bar{x}, \psi_0) \geq f(\bar{x}) + g(\bar{x}) - 3\varepsilon \quad \text{i.e.} \quad f(\bar{x}) + \psi_0(\bar{x}) - g^*(\psi_0) \geq f(\bar{x}) + g(\bar{x}) - 3\varepsilon$$

which gives $\psi_0(\bar{x}) - g^*(\psi_0) \geq g(\bar{x}) - 3\varepsilon$.

By Theorem 2.4 (ii), the latter is equivalent to $\psi_0 \in \partial^{3\varepsilon} g(\bar{x})$.

This means that

$$0 \in \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} (\partial^\varepsilon f + \partial^\varepsilon g)(\mathcal{X})$$

i.e. (1) holds.

(1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $\alpha < \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi)$ and take any β such that $\alpha < \beta < \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi)$.

Let $\varepsilon = \beta - \alpha > 0$. By assumption, there exist $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\bar{\varphi} \in \partial_\Phi^\varepsilon f(\bar{x})$ and $\tilde{\varphi} \in \partial_\Phi^\varepsilon g(\bar{x})$ such that

$$\bar{\varphi} + \tilde{\varphi} = 0. \quad (6.17)$$

Since $\bar{\varphi} \in \partial_\Phi^\varepsilon f(\bar{x})$ the following inequality holds for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$

$$f(x) - f(\bar{x}) \geq \bar{\varphi}(x) - \bar{\varphi}(\bar{x}) - \varepsilon,$$

which is equivalent to

$$f(x) + (-\bar{\varphi}(x)) - g_\Phi^*(-\bar{\varphi}) - (f(\bar{x}) + (-\bar{\varphi}(\bar{x})) - g_\Phi^*(-\bar{\varphi})) \geq 0 - \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{L}(x, -\bar{\varphi}) - \mathcal{L}(\bar{x}, -\bar{\varphi}) \geq -\varepsilon. \quad (6.18)$$

By the equality (6.17) we have $\tilde{\varphi} = -\bar{\varphi}$ and the inequality (6.18) takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \tilde{\varphi}) \geq \mathcal{L}(\bar{x}, \tilde{\varphi}) - \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (6.19)$$

Since $\tilde{\varphi} \in \partial_\Phi^\varepsilon g(\bar{x})$, the inequality $g_\Phi^*(\tilde{\varphi}) + g(\bar{x}) \leq \tilde{\varphi}(\bar{x}) + \varepsilon$ holds (see Theorem 2.4(ii)). We have

$$\mathcal{L}(\bar{x}, \tilde{\varphi}) = f(\bar{x}) + \tilde{\varphi}(\bar{x}) - g_\Phi^*(\tilde{\varphi}) \geq f(\bar{x}) + g(\bar{x}) - \varepsilon \geq \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{f(x) + g(x)\} - \varepsilon$$

The above inequality together with (6.19) and (6.12) give

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \tilde{\varphi}) \geq \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{f(x) + g(x)\} - \varepsilon = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathcal{L}(x, \varphi) - \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (6.20)$$

By the inequality (6.20) we have

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \tilde{\varphi}) \geq \beta - \varepsilon = \beta - \beta + \alpha = \alpha.$$

Let $\varphi_1 \equiv \alpha$ then $\varphi_1 \in \text{supp } \mathcal{L}(\cdot, \tilde{\varphi})$ and $[\varphi_1 < \alpha] = \emptyset$. Let $\varphi_2 \in \text{supp } \mathcal{L}(\cdot, \bar{\varphi})$, then φ_1, φ_2 have the intersection property at the level α . ■

Remark 6.8 1. Let \mathcal{X} be a topological vector space equipped with closed convex pointed cone S which induces the ordering relation: $x \leq y \Leftrightarrow y - x \in S$. The family of functions $L := \{\ell_y : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid y \in \mathcal{X}\}$ defined as

$$\ell_y(x) = \max\{\lambda \geq 0 \mid \lambda y \leq x\}$$

It was shown in [7], (see also [9]) that $0 \in L$, $L + L \subset L$, and $L = -L$ and a function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is increasing positive homogeneous (IPH) if and only if f is L -convex. L -conjugate dual (CD) coincides with L -infimal convolution dual (ICD).

2. By Theorem 6.7, if Φ is convex, $0 \in \Phi$, $\Phi + \Phi \subset \Phi$ and $\Phi = -\Phi$,

$$\text{condition (6.10)} \Leftrightarrow \text{condition (6.9) + equality(6.12)}.$$

3. If $0 \in \Phi$, $\Phi + \Phi \subset \Phi$ and $\Phi = -\Phi$, then (CD) is equivalent to (ICD) (see Corollary 6.10 below) and Theorem 6.5 is stronger than Theorem 6.4 since the convexity of Φ is not required in Theorem 6.5.

4. If $0 \in \Phi$, $\Phi + \Phi \subset \Phi$ and $\Phi \neq -\Phi$, (e.g., $\Phi = \Phi_{lsc}$) the Φ -infimal convolution dual (ICD) is defined but is not equivalent to (CD) and it may happen that zero duality gap holds for (CD) but not for (ICD) (see Example 6.9).

Example 6.9 Let $\Phi = \Phi_{lsc}$. Let $g(x) = -x^2$ and $f(x) = 2x^2$. It is easy to see that $\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (f(x) + g(x)) = 0$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, the elements of the set $\partial_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon} g$ are of the form $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $a \geq 1$ and some $b \in \mathbb{R}$, this means that

$$0 \notin \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} (\partial_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon} f + \partial_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon} g)(\mathcal{X}).$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{L}(x, 1, 0) = 2x^2 - x^2 - \sup_{x \in X} \{-x^2 + x^2\} = x^2.$$

This means that $\hat{\varphi} \equiv 0$ belongs to the set $\text{supp} \mathcal{L}(x, 1, 0)$. We have

$$\mathcal{L}(x, 3, 0) = 2x^2 - 3x^2 - \sup_{x \in X} \{-3x^2 + x^2\} = -x^2,$$

and the set $\text{supp} \mathcal{L}(x, 3, 0) \neq \emptyset$. Hence the functions $\hat{\varphi}$ and any other $\varphi \in \text{supp} \mathcal{L}(x, 3, 0)$ have the intersection property at every level $\alpha < 0$.

For any $a \geq 0$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{f} = (2 - a)x^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g^*(a, b) &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{bx - ax^2 + x^2\} = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{for } 0 \leq a < 1 \\ +\infty & \text{for } a = 1, b \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } a = 1, b = 0, \\ \frac{b^2}{4(a-1)} & \text{for } a > 1 \end{cases} \\ \tilde{f}^*(0, -b) &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{-bx + ax^2 - 2x^2\} = \begin{cases} \frac{-b^2}{4(a-2)} & \text{for } 0 \leq a < 2 \\ 0 & \text{for } a = 2, b = 0 \\ +\infty & \text{for } a = 2, b \neq 0 \\ +\infty & \text{for } a > 2, \end{cases} \\ f^*(0, b) &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{bx - 2x^2\} = \frac{b^2}{8}. \end{aligned}$$

In consequence,

$$\text{val(ICD)} = \sup_{b \in \mathbb{R}} -f^*(0, -b) - g^*(0, b) = \sup_{b \in \mathbb{R}} -\frac{b^2}{8} - \infty = -\infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{val(CD)} &= \sup_{(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}} -\tilde{f}^*(0, -b) - g^*(a, b) \\ &= \max\{0, \sup_{1 < a < 2} \sup_{b \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{b^2}{4(a-2)} - \frac{b^2}{4(a-1)}\} \\ &= \max\{0, \sup_{1 < a < 2} \sup_{b \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{b^2}{4} \frac{1}{(a-1)(a-2)}\} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 6.10 *Let \mathcal{X} be a real vector space. Let $g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be Φ -convex. If $\Phi + \Phi \subset \Phi$, $0 \in \Phi$, $\Phi = -\Phi$ and Φ is a convex set, then the intersection property (6.1) is equivalent to (6.10). Consequently, (ICD) is equivalent to (CD^{sym}) , i.e.*

$$val(ICD) = \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} -f_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi) = val(CD^{sym}). \quad (6.21)$$

Proof. Follows directly from Theorem 6.7. ■

7 Φ -Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions.

In this section we provide a characterisation of solutions to (P) and $(CD)/(CD^{sym})$ in terms of the Φ -Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions.

Let \mathcal{X} be a real vector space. Consider problem (P)

$$\text{Min}_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) + g(x). \quad (P)$$

where $f, g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ are Φ -convex.

The existence of solutions to the dual problem (CD^{sym}) was investigated in [11] and the following result was proved.

Proposition 7.1 ([11], Corollary 5.2) *Let Φ be an additive and symmetric set of elementary functions, i.e. $-\varphi \in \Phi$ if $\varphi \in \Phi$. Assume that f and g are Φ -convex. If the mapping $\text{supp}(\cdot, \Phi)$ is additive in f, g , then there exists $\varphi^* \in \Phi$, such that*

$$\inf_{x \in X} \{f(x) + g(x)\} = -f_{\Phi}^*(\varphi^*) - g_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi^*) = \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \{-f_{\Phi}^*(\varphi) - g_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi)\}$$

Definition 7.2 *Let Φ be symmetric i.e. $\Phi = -\Phi$. We say that $x^* \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\varphi^* \in \Phi$ satisfy the Φ -Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions (KKT) for the pair of dual problems (P) and (CD^{sym}) if*

$$-\varphi^* \in \partial_{\Phi} f(x^*), \quad x^* \in \partial_{\mathcal{X}} g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi^*). \quad (KKT)$$

Theorem 7.3 *Let \mathcal{X} be a vector space and Φ be a symmetric set of elementary functions. Let $f, g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be Φ -convex functions. Let $x^* \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\varphi^* \in \Phi$.*

The following conditions are equivalent.

(i) x^* and φ^* are solutions to (P) and (CD^{sym}) , respectively, i.e.

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (f(x) + g(x)) = f(x^*) + g(x^*) = \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} (-f_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi)) = -f_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi^*) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi^*) \quad (7.1)$$

(ii) x^* and φ^* satisfy the Φ -KKT conditions, i.e.,

$$-\varphi^* \in \partial_{\Phi} f(x^*), \quad x^* \in \partial_{\mathcal{X}} g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi^*). \quad (7.2)$$

Proof. Assume that (7.1) holds, i.e.

$$f(x^*) + g(x^*) = -f_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi^*) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi^*). \quad (7.3)$$

By Theorem 2.4 and the Φ -convexity of g , (7.1) is equivalent to

$$f(x^*) + g_{\Phi}^{**}(x^*) = -f_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi^*) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi^*).$$

By the definition of $g_{\Phi}^{**}(x^*)$, $f(x^*) + \varphi^*(x^*) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi^*) \leq -f_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi^*) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi^*)$, i.e.,

$$f(x^*) + f_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi^*) \leq -\varphi^*(x^*).$$

This, together with the Fenchel-Moreau inequality yields to $f(x^*) + f_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi^*) = -\varphi^*(x^*)$ i.e. $-\varphi^* \in \partial_{\Phi} f(x^*)$.

Analogously, by replacing in (7.3) function f with $f_{\Phi}^{**}(x^*)$ we obtain $x^* \in \partial_{\mathcal{X}} g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi^*)$.

Assume now that the conditions (7.2) hold. By the Proposition 2.5,

$$-\varphi^*(x^*) = f_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi^*) + f(x^*) \quad (7.4)$$

and

$$\varphi^*(x^*) = g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi^*) + g(x^*). \quad (7.5)$$

Hence,

$$f(x^*) + g(x^*) = -f_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi^*) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi^*).$$

From (7.5) we get $\varphi^* \in \partial_{\Phi} g(x^*)$, which means that $0 \in \partial_{\Phi} f(x^*) + \partial_{\Phi} g(x^*) \subset \partial_{\Phi} (f+g)(x^*)$. From the equality (7.4) we have $x^* \in \partial_{\mathcal{X}} f_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi^*)$, this, together with the assumption that $x^* \in \partial_{\mathcal{X}} g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi^*)$, yields to

$$f_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi) + g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi) - f_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi^*) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi^*) \geq -\varphi(x^*) + \varphi^*(x^*) + \varphi(x^*) - \varphi^*(x^*) \quad \forall \quad \varphi \in \Phi.$$

Equivalently, $-f_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi) \leq -f_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi^*) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi^*)$ for all $\varphi \in \Phi$ which means that $-f_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi^*) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi^*) = \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi} (-f_{\Phi}^*(-\varphi) - g_{\Phi}^*(\varphi))$. This completes the proof. ■

7.1 KKT for $\Phi = \Phi_{lsc}$

Let \mathcal{X} be a Hilbert space. In the present subsection we prove a variant of Theorem 7.3 with f and g which are Φ_{lsc} -convex, where

$$\Phi_{lsc} = \{\varphi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \varphi(x) := -a\|x\|^2 + \langle v, x \rangle + c, \ a \geq 0, \ v \in \mathcal{X}, \ c \in \mathbb{R}\}. \quad (7.6)$$

The set Φ_{lsc} is nonsymmetric and forms a non pointed cone with the lineality space $L = \mathcal{X}$. By Proposition 6.3 of [19], the class of Φ_{lsc} -convex functions defined on Hilbert space \mathcal{X} coincides with the class of all lower semicontinuous functions minorized by a function $\varphi \in \Phi_{lsc}$. Clearly, the class Φ_{lsc} is additive and the sum $f+g$ of any Φ_{lsc} -convex functions f and g is a Φ_{lsc} function.

Recall that the Φ_{lsc} -conjugate dual to problem (P) with Φ_{lsc} -convex functions f and g has the form (CD^{lsc})

$$\text{Max}_{(a,w) \in \Phi_{lsc}} - (\tilde{f}_{(a,w)})_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, -w) - g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(a, w), \quad (CD^{lsc})$$

where functions of the form $\psi_1(x) := -a\|x\|^2 + \langle w, x \rangle$ are identified with pairs (a, w) , $a \geq 0$, $w \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\tilde{f}_{\psi_1}(x) = \tilde{f}_{(a,w)}(x) := f(x) - a\|x\|^2$.

Theorem 7.4 Let \mathcal{X} be a Hilbert space. Let $f, g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be Φ_{lsc} -convex functions.

In order that $x^* \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\varphi^* = (a^*, w^*) \in \Phi_{lsc}$ be such that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (f(x) + g(x)) &= f(x^*) + g(x^*) = \sup_{(a, w) \in \Phi_{lsc}} (-(\tilde{f}_{(a, w)})_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, -w) - g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, w)) \\ &= -(\tilde{f}_{(a^*, w^*)})_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, -w^*) - g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(a^*, w^*), \end{aligned} \quad (7.7)$$

i.e. $x^* \in \mathcal{X}$ solves (P) and $\varphi^* = (a^*, w^*) \in \Phi_{lsc}$ solves (CD^{lsc}) it is necessary and sufficient that $x^* \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\varphi^* \in \Phi_{lsc}$ satisfy the Φ -Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions (KKT),

$$(0, -w^*) \in \partial_{lsc} \tilde{f}(x^*), \quad x^* \in \partial_{\mathcal{X}} g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(\varphi^*) \quad (7.8)$$

where ∂_{lsc} denotes the Φ_{lsc} -subgradient.

Proof. By Proposition 2.5, the inclusions in formulae (7.8) are respectively equivalent to the following equalities

$$-\langle w^*, x^* \rangle = (\tilde{f}_{(a^*, w^*)})_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, -w^*) + \tilde{f}(x^*) = (\tilde{f}_{(a^*, w^*)})_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, -w^*) + f(x^*) - a^* \|x^*\|^2, \quad (7.9)$$

where $\tilde{f}_{(a^*, w^*)}(x^*) := f(x^*) - a^* \|x^*\|^2$ and $\varphi^*(x^*) = -a^* \|x^*\|^2 + \langle w^*, x^* \rangle = g_{lsc}^*(\varphi^*) + g(x^*)$. Consequently,

$$(\tilde{f}_{(a^*, w^*)})_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, -w^*) + f(x^*) = -g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(\varphi^*) - g(x^*)$$

and, in view of (4.2), we obtain (7.7).

Assume now that (7.7) holds with $\varphi^*(x) := -a^* \|x\|^2 + \langle w^*, x \rangle$, i.e.

$$f(x^*) + g(x^*) = -(\tilde{f}_{(a^*, w^*)})_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, -w^*) - g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(\varphi^*), \quad (7.10)$$

where

$$(\tilde{f}_{(a^*, w^*)})_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, -w^*) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} -\langle w^*, x \rangle - (f(x) + a^* \|x\|^2)$$

and, as previously, $\tilde{f}_{\varphi}(\cdot) = \tilde{f}_{(a, w)}(\cdot) = f(\cdot) - a \|\cdot\|^2$ for any $\varphi \in \Phi$. By Theorem 2.4 and the Φ -convexity of g , (7.10) is equivalent to

$$f(x^*) + g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^{**}(x^*) = -(\tilde{f}_{(a^*, w^*)})_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, -w^*) - g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(\varphi^*).$$

By definition of $g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^{**}(x^*)$, $f(x^*) + \varphi^*(x^*) - g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(\varphi^*) \leq -(\tilde{f}_{(a^*, w^*)})_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, -w^*) - g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(\varphi^*)$, i.e.,

$$f(x^*) + (\tilde{f}_{(a^*, w^*)})_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, -w^*) \leq -\varphi^*(x^*).$$

Hence, $\tilde{f}(x^*) + (\tilde{f}_{(a^*, w^*)})_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, -w^*) \leq -\langle w^*, x^* \rangle$ and, by Fenchel-Moreau inequality, $\tilde{f}_{(a^*, w^*)}(x^*) + (\tilde{f}_{(a^*, w^*)})_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, -w^*) = -\langle w^*, x^* \rangle$ i.e. $(0, -w^*) \in \partial_{lsc} \tilde{f}_{(a^*, w^*)}(x^*)$.

Analogously, by (7.10), $\tilde{f}_{(a^*, w^*)}(x^*) + a^* \|x^*\|^2 + g(x^*) = -(\tilde{f}_{(a^*, w^*)})_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, -w^*) - g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(\varphi^*)$, and since \tilde{f} is Φ_{lsc} convex, $\tilde{f}_{(a, w)} = (\tilde{f}_{(a, w)})_{\Phi_{lsc}}^{**}$, and

$$-(\tilde{f}_{(a^*, w^*)})_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, -w^*) - \langle w^*, x^* \rangle + a^* \|x^*\|^2 + g(x^*) \leq -(\tilde{f}_{(a^*, w^*)})_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(0, -w^*) - g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(\varphi^*),$$

i.e. $g(x^*) + g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(\varphi^*) \leq \varphi^*(x^*)$ which, together with Fenchel-Moreau inequality, gives $x^* \in \partial_{\mathcal{X}} g_{\Phi_{lsc}}^*(\varphi^*)$. ■

The example below illustrates Theorem 7.4.

Example 7.5 Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}$. Let $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by the following formulas

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2(x-1)^2 & \text{for } x \geq 0 \\ 2(x+1)^2 & \text{for } x < 0 \end{cases}, \quad g(x) = -x^2.$$

It is easy to see that f and g are nonconvex but Φ_{lsc} -convex, where the set Φ_{lsc} is defined by (3.5). Functions $\varphi \in \Phi_{lsc}$ such that $c = 0$ will be identified with pairs (a, v) , $a, v \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider the problem

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{f(x) + g(x)\}.$$

Let $\varphi^*(x) = -x^2$, which we identify with the pair $(1, 0)$, it is easy to see that

$$f(x) - f(2) \geq x^2 - (2)^2$$

i.e. $(-1, 0) \in \partial_{lsc} f(2)$. Now we show that $2 \in \partial_{\mathcal{X}} g_{lsc}^*(1, 0)$ i.e. that the KKT conditions hold and this means, that $\varphi^*(x) = -x^2$ and $x^* = 2$ are the solutions of the dual and primal problems, respectively. By simple calculations we get

$$g^*(a, b) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{-ax^2 + bx + x^2\} = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{for } a \leq 1, b \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } a = 1, b = 0 \\ \frac{-b^2}{4(1-a)} & \text{for } a > 1, b \neq 0 \end{cases},$$

and it is easy to see that $2 \in \partial_{\mathcal{X}} g_{lsc}^*(1, 0)$ and $(0, 0) \in \partial_{lsc} \tilde{f}(2)$, where $\tilde{f}_{(a,v)} = f - a\|\cdot\|^2$.

8 Conclusions

In conclusion, Theorem 6.4 provides sufficient and necessary conditions for zero duality gap for primal (P), Φ -Lagrangian (LP), Φ -Lagrangian dual (LD) and Φ -conjugate dual (CD) problems, for a suitably defined Φ -Lagrangian function when $0 \in \Phi$, and Φ is a convex set. Theorem 6.4, together with Theorem 7.3 and Theorem 7.4 reveal the importance of properties of the elementary functions Φ in general duality theory.

References

- [1] E. BALDER, *An extension of duality-stability relations to nonconvex optimization problems*, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 15 (1977).
- [2] E. M. BEDNARCZUK AND M. SYGA, *Minimax theorems for Φ -convex functions with applications*, Control and Cybernetics, 43 (2014), pp. 421–437.
- [3] R. BOT, *Conjugate Duality in Convex Optimization*, vol. 637 of Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems, Springer, 2010.
- [4] J. F. BONNANS AND A. SHAPIRO, *Perturbation Analysis of Optimization Problems*, Springer Series in Operations Research, 2000.
- [5] H. T. BUI, R. S. BURACHIK, A. Y. KRUGER, AND D. T. YOST, *Zero duality gap in view of abstract convexity*, arXiv:1910.08156, (2020).

- [6] R. S. BURACHIK AND V. JEYAKUMAR, *A new geometric condition for Fenchel's duality in infinite dimensional spaces*, Mathematical Programming, 102 (2005), pp. 1436–4646.
- [7] M. DARYAEI AND H. MOHEBI, *Abstract convexity of extended real-valued icr functions*, Optimization, 62 (2013), pp. 835–855.
- [8] S. DOLECKI AND S. KURCYUSZ, *On Φ -convexity in extremal problems*, SIAM J. Control and Optimization, 16 (1978), pp. 277–300.
- [9] A. C. EBERHARD AND H. MOHEBI, *Maximal abstract monotonicity and generalized fenchel's conjugation formulas*, Set-Valued and Variational Analysis, 18 (2010), pp. 79–108.
- [10] K. FAN, *Minimax theorems*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 39 (1953), pp. 42–47.
- [11] V. JEYAKUMAR, A. M. RUBINOV, AND Z. Y. WU, *Generalized Fenchel's conjugation formulas and duality for abstract convex functions*, J. Optim. Theory Appl., 132 (2007), pp. 441–458.
- [12] S. KUTATELADZE AND A. RUBINOV, *Minkowski duality and its applications*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 27 (1972), pp. 127–176.
- [13] J. J. MOREAU, *Inf-convolution, sous-additivité, convexité des fonctions numériques*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 49 (1970), pp. 109–154.
- [14] W. OETTLI AND D. SCHLÄGER, *Conjugate functions for convex and nonconvex duality*, J. of Global Optimization, 13 (1998), pp. 337—347.
- [15] D. PALLASCHKE AND S. ROLEWICZ, *Foundations of Mathematical Optimization*, Kluwer Academic, 1997.
- [16] S. ROLEWICZ, *On paraconvex multifunctions*, Oper. Res. Verfahren, 31 (1979), pp. 539–546.
- [17] ———, *On $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex and strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex functions*, Control and Cybernetics, 29 (2000), pp. 367–377.
- [18] S. ROLEWICZ, *Paraconvex analysis*, Control and Cybernetics, 34 (2005), pp. 951—965.
- [19] A. M. RUBINOV, *Abstract Convexity and Global Optimization*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [20] L. RÜSCHENDORF AND L. UCKELMANN, *On the n -coupling problem*, Journal of Multivariate Analysis, 81 (2002), pp. 242–258.
- [21] M. SYGA, *Minimax theorems for Φ -convex functions: sufficient and necessary conditions*, Optimization, 65 (2016), pp. 635–649.
- [22] M. SYGA, *Minimax theorems for extended real-valued abstract convex-concave functions*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, 176 (2018), pp. 306–318.